

## CALIBRATION OF A RUMEN BOLUS TO MEASURE CONTINUOUS INTERNAL BODY TEMPERATURE IN MOOSE<sup>1</sup>

Andrew Herberg<sup>2</sup>, Veronique St.-Louis<sup>3</sup>, Michelle Carstensen<sup>3</sup>, James Forester<sup>4</sup>, John Fieberg<sup>4</sup>, Dan Thompson<sup>5</sup>, and John Crouse<sup>5</sup>.

### ABSTRACT

Mortality implant transmitters (MITs), a device that can record continuous rumen temperature, have been deployed in wild moose (*Alces alces*) in Minnesota to understand physiological and behavioral responses of moose to increasing ambient temperatures. We compared temperatures collected using MITs to temperatures collected using vaginal implant transmitters in 10 captive female moose (>2 years old) at the Kenai Moose Research Center in Alaska. Both devices collected continuous body temperature measurements at 5 min intervals for 1 year. We directly observed moose behavior for a total of 384 hours during four, two-week windows distributed seasonally within the sampling period, to assess potential effects of behaviors on MIT-recorded temperatures. We documented a decrease in MIT-recorded temperatures following water intake and developed an approach for censoring these observations. After removing these observations, MIT-based temperatures were, on average, 0.03°C (95% CI = -0.57–0.55°C;  $\bar{x}$  = 38.14°C) lower than VIT-based temperatures ( $\bar{x}$  = 38.17°C;  $n$  = 760,439). We fit linear mixed-effects models to explore the relationship between MIT and VIT-based temperatures across seasons and individuals. On average, the difference between predicted and observed temperatures was 0.05°C (95% PI = -0.19–0.29°C) and 0.33°C (95% PI = 0.01–0.63°C) for winter and summer seasons, respectively. We conclude that minimally invasive MITs can accurately record internal body temperature in moose, and thus provide a tool for understanding the physiological and behavioral responses of moose to environmental stressors.

---

<sup>1</sup>Wildlife Society Bulletin. 2018. 42(2): 328-337.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Natural Resources Science and Management, University of Minnesota – Twin Cities, University of Minnesota, 115 Green Hall, 1530 Cleveland Ave. N., St. Paul, MN 55108, USA

<sup>3</sup>Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, 5463 West Broadway, Forest Lake, MN 55025, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Fisheries, Wildlife and Conservation Biology, University of Minnesota – Twin Cities, University of Minnesota, 135 Skok Hall, 2003 Upper Buford Circle, St. Paul, MN 55108, USA

<sup>5</sup>Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Kenai Moose Research Center, 43961 Kalifornsky Beach Road Suite B, Soldotna, AK 99669, USA