GROUNDWATER THRESHOLDS PROJECT

Highlights of Stakeholder Meeting 3

October 21, 2015

COMMENTS ON PRESENTATIONS (responses in italics)

1. Erik Smith presentation on USGS potential recharge map

Questions/comments

- How is model used by MPCA? -- *To calculate vulnerability of aquifers to water quality degradation*
- How do lakes, wetlands, factor into model? -- *Model doesn't' recognize open water 'cells' but does include peatlands, other wetland types*
- Will you incorporate more recent land use data? -- Not immediately USGS is doing some modeling of larger areas, and may do so. But at a 1-km grid, land use changes don't make that much difference. Needed to balance size of grid for accuracy vs. calculation time; not meant to be a site-level tool.
- What about multiple lenses of groundwater and balancing of flows between aquifers? -- Model doesn't calculate that; would need a more site-specific model. Not modeled for specific aquifers.
- What's the 'error' range for actual recharge compared to potential recharge? -- Highly variable, depending on location. Can't be measured based on a specific precip. event.
- Does pattern tiling prevent recharge? -- Not part of this model. New study underway through LCCMR at field scale.
- What about runoff from hard (impervious) surfaces? -- *Captured in urban land use categories;* not significant in rural ones.
- What about differences in urban soil types? *Yes, those are factored in?*
- Can model be overlaid on a groundwater model? *Yes, that's the intent*.
- Could you model recharge pre-European settlement? You could base it on land cover if you assumed the climate to be same as current period.

2. Presentations on appropriation and hydrogeology (Hovey, Thompson)

Questions/comments

- How much water is authorized compared to how much is actually pumped statewide? *Will look into this.*
- Is there an estimate for the amount of pumping from domestic wells? *No (check USGS estimate)*
- Do you consider water quality issues in domestic wells as a permitting issue? Yes, if notified of them.
- How critical is availability of Geologic Atlases in permitting decisions? -- Need to use what's available
- Length of time to complete all atlases? TBD

- If a well's static level is lower than surface water (river), can it ever affect water levels in river? *Yes, because pumping can change the hydraulic gradient.*
- Why did shift of cities to surface water sources (recommended many years ago) not occur? Cost, primarily, and reluctance to switch to new withdrawal and treatment systems. Groundwater needs less treatment. However, Burnsville and Shakopee did switch.
- Were permits in 2014 largely for ag irrigation? Yes
- Does DNR ever lower permitted volumes after permits are issued? *We are beginning to consider this*
- Well interferences? *Fairly common, can often be resolved by lowering pump*
- Irrigation permits are downstream water quality impacts considered? *Sometimes nitrate example, permit had conditions such as scheduling of fertilization*
- Are contaminants considered negative impacts? DNR's focus is primarily quantity, but we need to consider quality in some situations. Nitrates primarily MDA's role, not covered by DNR's permit program.
- Limited permits will create costs for cities and water users if they need to find new sources.
- As pressure in well is lowered (confined aquifer) does recharge increase? *Yes, recharge is induced.*
- Do well-drillers have a role in permitting process? *Yes, are asked to provide information.*
- Cost of aquifer testing for permittees is high.

Summary of worksheet responses

(Answers to some questions shown in italics)

Question 1. What key questions/concerns do you have about the way streams, lakes, and/or wetlands are impacted by groundwater appropriations?

- Why are we looking at this issue in a silo? (Appropriations vs. usage vs. other impacts)
- Are seasonal impacts being considered? (recognize the time lag between water use and impact to resource)
- How can long-term impacts to ecosystems be assessed?
- Will climate changes be taken into account is assessing future appropriations?
- How thresholds apply to current conditions vs. desired conditions
- How will the DNR determine the protected flow (i.e., 85%) of a stream?
- Is enough "local" information being collected in the process for the viability of the program? Many [economic] impacts are permanent.
- How can the accuracy of the analysis be assured without long-term monitoring?
- Want to see quantifiable limits in each area. Will DNR stop/cancel permits or ration use?
- Will DNR's threshold decisions be peer-reviewed?
- Will the DNR issue provisional permits until monitoring is complete?

Question 2. What key questions/concerns do you have about the possible approaches DNR has presented to developing thresholds for streams, lakes, and wetlands?

- How to determine cause of impact climate, pumping, drainage patterns?
- Is time of travel accounted for in pumping limits? Impacts from furthest away later in year (i.e., not in August)
- Additional protective threshold for coldwater streams should not be limited to "drought" periods.
- How will thresholds be enforced?
- As species change, will the thresholds change?
- How will thresholds change what is happening in Cold Spring?
- How would these thresholds change what happened to White Bear Lake?
- Does "Waters of the U.S." federal rule impact DNR appropriations? No, we don't believe it does.
- [DNR is] trying to protect the "best" levels for ecology. Why not use the lowest natural level if ecosystem can rebound from it?
- What happens when the levels are reached will wells be shut off?
- Will levels be adjusted in long-term drought? If a stream normally dries up from drought, why limit pumping?
- How will thresholds be translated into operational metrics? How will the metrics be enforced?
- Will specific levels be set in statute or left to the discretion of DNR?
- Groundwater is only 3% impact but the assumption is that it's the only control on the system. Other things can be done to offset pumping – i.e., use of stormwater for recharge.
- How would new threshold impact existing permits? (How to transition from old to new process?)
- Will thresholds be set at a regional scale, given the diversity of conditions across MN?
- How long will it take to implement the 20% of the thresholds that will deliver 80% of the value?
- What's the availability of hydrogeologic data? Need up-to-date data for accuracy.

Question 3. What key questions/concerns do you have about the way DNR makes permit decisions?

- Provide more information on negative impacts to permit holders
- Lack of sound hydrologic models.
- Should there be a public input process for certain permits?
- What are current thresholds used by DNR?
- Equitable implementation (in terms of allocation)
- Appropriation thresholds need to be equitable and promote conservation.
- Models won't be ready in time.
- Will water rights (use priorities) be re-evaluated?
- How often will appropriation permits be reviewed (term of permit)?
- How will we adapt thresholds is there a review process?
- Effects on drinking water supplies.

Question 4. What additional questions or insights do you have given your unique stakeholder's perspective (i.e., your locality, your field, the group you represent)?

- Water quality for all users needs to be considered.
- More information on water use that falls below permit thresholds (i.e., private wells) is needed.
- How are economic impacts considered in this process, both now and in future?
- Extreme drawdowns of shallow lakes may not be a bad thing i.e., already used for lake management.
- What is the technology used for groundwater monitoring? Need to continue to invest in new technology.
- Translate scientific data into information that will help the public with land use decisions.
- How does water use by municipalities compare with their permitted appropriations? *Most don't use all that they've requested, since they don't want to exceed the permitted maximums.*
- Is existing groundwater data being reviewed and evaluated often enough?
- When was DNR's current modeling program developed? Are newer models available? *We're using latest models*. Would DNR consider privately-developed models?
- Report should acknowledge that water use cuts across other sectors in spite of narrow focus of report. The Legislature needs to look more broadly. Need to acknowledge bigger picture.
- Why not have different regional thresholds?
- Concerned not enough stakeholder group members are showing up for meetings.
- Recognize that the Legislature will have its own process in terms of law or rules.