

FACTORS LIMITING JUVENILE STEELHEAD SURVIVAL IN STREAMS TRIBUTARY TO MINNESOTA WATERS OF LAKE SUPERIOR¹

Tracy L. Close and Charles S. Anderson

Minnesota Department of Natural Resources
Section of Fisheries
500 Lafayette Road
St. Paul, Minnesota 55155

Abstract.--Numbers of adult steelhead spawning in many of Minnesota's tributary streams to Lake Superior have declined. Restrictive regulations have been implemented to attempt to halt the decline and information is being collected to develop rehabilitation strategies. Increasing smolt yield from tributary streams may increase steelhead abundance but factors limiting the smolt yield are poorly understood. Survival rates were estimated and potential limiting variables measured to develop a linear regression model relating parr survival to environmental factors. Survival rates through two summers of growth varied from 0.7% to 9.0%. Flooding shortly after stocking, substrate diameter, early winter snowfall, summer discharge, and the amount of woody debris were correlated with survival. If increasing smolt yield is desirable, riparian forests should not be logged and beaver should be removed from streams rearing steelhead. Planting conifers in riparian zones is suggested as a long term strategy to discourage beaver infestation. These strategies will probably be implemented most effectively if fisheries managers are members of multidiscipline resource management teams. Experimental addition of woody debris is recommended to verify its limiting effect, and to determine how it functions to improve survival of steelhead parr.

INTRODUCTION

Angler catches of adult steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) during the spring spawning runs have declined in Minnesota's tributaries to Lake Superior during the last two decades. Adult returns to the French River fish trap have also declined since 1991. The Minnesota DNR developed a steelhead management plan in 1991 with the goal to "...stop the decline of adult steelhead, and to

gather the necessary information to rehabilitate wild steelhead stocks" (MNDNR 1991). Harvest restrictions were imposed to attempt to stop the decline, virtually eliminating legal angling harvest. To successfully rehabilitate, we need to determine how limiting factors such as habitat, weather, predation and competition limit steelhead abundance and then mitigate their effects. In the interim, increasing the smolt yield may improve fishing and assist with rehabilitation. However, factors limiting the

¹ This project was funded in part by the Federal Aid in Sport Fish Restoration (Dingell-Johnson) Program. Completion Report, Study 677, D.J. Project F-26-R Minnesota.

smolt yield are poorly understood. The focus of this work was on the factors limiting smolt yield.

After the factors limiting smolt yield are identified, habitat management may be instituted to increase yield and the utility of the habitat management will require evaluation. Evaluation is possible only when pretreatment densities and survival rates are known. Precise estimates of survival rates from stocking through two summers of growth were lacking. One objective of this study was to determine expected densities and survival rates of parr through two summers of growth in North Shore streams before habitat is altered.

A second objective of this study was to develop a multiple regression model of steelhead parr survival in Minnesota to identify limiting factors. Elements of a regression model are either limiting factors or correlates of limiting factors, serving as focal points for habitat management or additional research.

METHODS

Study Area

Our study area was in the Minnesota portion of the Lake Superior watershed, an area known as the North Shore (Figure 1). North Shore watersheds are dominated by second growth forests and have little groundwater input. Channel substrates are rocky and fish species are few. Our study reaches were principally inhabited by one or more of the following species: blacknose dace (*Rhinichthys atratulus*), longnose dace (*Rhinichthys cataractae*), slimy sculpin (*Cottus cognatus*), creek chub (*Semotilus atromaculatus*), and brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*). A few brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) or specimens of other minnow species were also found. Selected data typical of the water chemistry and geomorphology of North Shore streams is presented in Table 1.




Figure 1. Study stream locations on the North Shore of Lake Superior, Minnesota.

Table 1. Selected weather and geomorphology data measured in four study reaches from 1991-1995 in four streams tributary to Lake Superior, Minnesota. Units of measure are in parentheses.

	Amity Creek	French River	Stewart River	Two Island River
Hydraulic Structure^a				
% Very shallow fast	29.5	14.5	58.5	15.7
% Shallow fast	46.0	46.2	9.5	66.3
% Deep fast	0.0	2.3	0.4	8.5
% Very shallow slow	1.9	0.5	8.6	1.1
% Shallow slow	16.1	21.1	20.9	4.8
% Deep slow	6.4	15.4	2.0	3.5
% Forest debris	0.0	1.3	0.2	0.8
Mean channel width (m)	5.3	4.0	4.5	6.3
Sector gradiat ($m \cdot km^{-1}$)	20.6	11.9	11.5	12.2
Substrate^b				
% Muck-detritus	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0
% Silt-Clay (<0.062 mm dia.)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6
% Sand (0.062-3.2 mm dia.)	0.0	21.3	0.0	5.3
% Gravel (3.2-64 mm dia.)	31.3	50.5	38.6	34.8
% Cobble (64-128 mm dia.)	20.0	13.1	31.2	23.3
% Rubble (128-256 mm dia.)	16.0	7.9	16.8	15.5
% Small boulder (256-508 mm dia.)	13.9	5.7	8.1	12.1
% Large boulder (>508 mm dia.)	10.1	1.0	5.3	8.3
% Ledge rock	8.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
Weighted mean substrate diameter (mm)	189	74	146	172
Discharge (open water)				
Highest discharge ($m^3 \cdot sec^{-1}$)	7.412	11.744	89.776	15.307
Lowest discharge ($m^3 \cdot sec^{-1}$)	0.030	0.0	0.052	0.662
Median discharge (=50% exceedence, $m^3 \cdot sec^{-1}$)	0.191	0.072	0.693	1.515
Stream Temperature				
Highest recorded ($^{\circ}C$)	24.8	24.4	25.1	17.8
Water Chemistry				
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> accrual rate ($mg \cdot tile^{-1} \cdot week^{-1}$)	14.27	*	15.56	16.5
Total alkalinity ($mg \cdot l^{-1}$)	94	54	80	*
Total dissolved solids ($mg \cdot l^{-1}$)	248	104	132	*
Total phosphorus ($mg \cdot l^{-1}$)	<0.005	0.015	<0.005	*
Nitrate nitrogen ($mg \cdot l^{-1}$)	0.028	0.017	0.016	*
Nitrite nitrogen ($mg \cdot l^{-1}$)	<0.001	0.0015	<0.001	*
pH	8.17	7.78	7.71	*
Sulfates ($mg \cdot l^{-1}$)	9	<1	6	*
Chlorides ($mg \cdot l^{-1}$)	58	<0.1	<0.1	*
Riparian Morphology				
Mean forest canopy density at stream center (%)	36.5	70.3	32.0	52.6

^a Oswood and Barber (1982)

* Not available

Data Collection

Single study reaches in four North Shore streams were stocked with steelhead fry and monitored (Table 2, Figure 1). Study reaches in each of two streams were stocked in June each year from 1991-1994 with unfed steelhead fry at various stocking rates. Natural barriers of various kinds prevented upstream migration of rainbow trout into the study reaches and no fry were stocked upstream of the study reaches. Steelhead parr are protected from angling by a minimum size limit of 40 cm, and angling was minimal in the study reaches.

Dependent Variable

Survival rate through two summers of growth was selected as the dependent variable for multiple regression analysis. Smolt yield would have been the preferred dependent variable but estimating smolt yield was precluded by the absence of smolt traps. Smolt traps capable of surviving floods are costly structures requiring considerable maintenance, and are visually objectionable to many people. As a result, the oldest presmolt age group that could be accurately measured in most streams was the age 1 population in the fall.

We assessed young-of-the-year (YOY) abundance in the fall of their first growing season to more precisely associate environmental factors and mortality. Population estimates of YOY steelhead and other species were done in late August and September when seasonal growth was nearly complete. A representative portion of the study reach was electrofished to minimize the potential confounding effects of electrofishing on YOY growth and survival. Parr density was estimated in six, 50 m long sites which were equally spaced throughout the reach. Block seines were placed at the ends of each site, and all fish species were removed with backpack electrofishing gear to a temporary holding pen. Two removal runs, each consisting of an upstream and downstream pass, were made. Fish populations were estimated for each site using the removal model of Carle and Strub (1978). The population estimate for the reach was the product of the mean density of the six sites within the reach and the surface area of the reach. The YOY survival rate was the estimated population size in the fall divided by the number of fry stocked in the reach the previous spring.

Population estimates of age 1 steelhead were also made in late August and September. Electrofishing effects were not a concern for

Table 2. Steelhead fry stocking schedule from 1991-1994 and study reach descriptions for four streams tributary to Lake Superior, Minnesota.

River	Year class	Number stocked	Stocking rate (no./100m ²)	Length (km)	Low Flow Surface Area (ha)
Amity Creek	1992	10,600	67	2.9	1.59
	1994	20,000	126		
French River	1991	38,700	645	1.5	0.60
	1993	15,000	251		
Stewart River	1991	96,600	595	3.6	1.62
	1993	43,200	267		
Two Island River	1992	13,000	103	2.0	1.27
	1994	25,600	202		

the age 1 population estimate, so the entire reach was electrofished using a mark and recapture technique. Fish captured during the marking run were marked by removing the dorsal tip of the caudal fin. The second electrofishing encounter for marked fish followed approximately 48 h after they were marked. This was sufficient time for fish to recover and randomly mix with unmarked fish, and assured equal catchability with unmarked fish (Peterson and Cederholm 1984). When marked mortalities were found, a fish of similar size was removed from the "marked" data set. All salmonids were measured to the nearest millimeter total length and released near the point of capture. Salmonid population sizes were estimated using the smoothing spline based methods of Anderson (1995) to reduce potential bias due to size selection by sampling gear. The age 1 survival rate was the estimated population size from the second assessment divided by the estimated population size of YOY from the first assessment. Adult minnows and sculpins had a narrow size range, so populations were estimated using the modified Chapman mark and recapture model (Ricker 1975).

Independent Variables

We evaluated an extensive number of potential limiting variables in the four representative streams. The independent variables that we measured can be included in one of three general categories: geomorphology, weather, and competition/predation. One study reach was established in each stream and year to year variation in geomorphology was assumed to be negligible, thus, the geomorphology variables had four observations. All other independent variables had eight observations.

Several variables were measured to assess the limiting effect of in-stream and riparian geomorphology (Table 2). Water depth, channel width, water velocity, and overhead cover were measured during June through August using methods of Oswald and Barber (1982) which were slightly modified to include a very shallow (≤ 15 cm) depth category. This method was selected because it was

rapid and objective, and because stream features are categorized similarly in Minnesota's stream surveys, minimizing the data collection needed to apply our survival model in other North Shore streams. Substrates were also quantified categorically. Channel gradient was determined from USGS quadrangle topographic maps. Water samples were collected in August 1991 and analyzed at the Department of Agriculture (DOA) laboratory in St. Paul. Riparian canopy density (Carlson et al. 1990, Li et al. 1994) was estimated at center stream using a convex forest canopy densiometer (Lemmon 1957).

The chlorophyll *a* accrual rate (Grimm and Fisher 1986) during a six week low flow period was measured to compare primary production rates in the four study streams. Thirty-six 75mm X 75mm X 10 mm quarry tiles were placed in each study sector at equal depths with equal solar illumination. Six tiles were randomly selected from each stream weekly and frozen for later chlorophyll *a* analysis at the DOA laboratory.

Stream stage, water temperature, air temperature, and snowfall were measured to assess the limiting effect of weather. Stage and water temperature (Table 2) were measured at 5 s intervals with a temperature-pressure transducer and means were logged hourly on a data logger. Stage-discharge functions were calculated for each stream. Mean hourly stage could then be used to estimate mean hourly discharge. Air temperature and snowfall data were collected at the US Weather Bureau station at Duluth International Airport and at private weather stations near Isabella and Finland Minnesota.

Competition and predation within each reach were assessed by measuring steelhead parr growth, competitor densities, and predator densities (Table 3). Measurements of total length (mm) and weight (g) were collected from a random sample of 50 or more YOY steelhead. Densities (number $\cdot 100\text{m}^{-2}$) of YOY steelhead, adult minnows, sculpins, brook trout, and YOY brook trout were also estimated.

Table 3. Selected competition/predation data measured in four study reaches from 1991-1995 in four streams tributary to Lake Superior, Minnesota. Two data separated by a comma represent means of the first, and second year classes stocked. Two data separated by a dash represents the range of the four observations.

	Amity Creek	French River	Stewart River	Two Island River
Age 0 steelhead, mean weight (g)	3.987, 4.910	3.147, 2.820	3.358, 4.290	2.451, 2.380
Age 0 steelhead, mean condition factor (g·10 ⁵ ·mm ⁻³)	1.018, 1.068	0.902, 0.961	0.941, 1.018	1.052, 0.969
Adult brook trout (>age 0, number·100 m ⁻²)	0.20 - 1.00	2.48 - 7.40	0.21 - 6.00	2.0 - 22.1
Age 0 brook trout 0.00 - 2.91 (number·100 m ⁻²)	0.00 - 8.60	0.00 - 0.30	4.40 - 22.51	
Slimy sculpin (number·100 m ⁻²)	17.60 - 65.10	0.00	0.00	26.60 - 41.20
Blacknose dace (number·100 m ⁻²)	10.70 - 21.80	20.00 - 34.45	5.00 - 12.58	0.00
Longnose dace (number·100 m ⁻²)	0.00	0.00 - 0.80	28.20 - 56.84	0.00
Creek chubs (number·100 m ⁻²)	0.00 - 3.00	5.70 - 15.79	0.90 - 2.47	0.00
Northern redbelly dace (number·100 m ⁻²)	0.00	0.00 - 11.10	0.00 - 1.40	0.00

Data Analysis

We assumed that mortality increased dramatically as discharge increased above some threshold value. To identify thresholds, discharge data were expressed as a series of redundant variables: frequency and percent of time that discharge equaled or exceeded the 1% exceedence value; the 5% exceedence value; the 10% exceedence value; etc.. Exceedence is an index of relative discharge (Bedient and Huber 1988). One percent exceedence, for example, was a very high discharge that was exceeded only 1% of the time during the four years of study in the reach. Similar variables were developed to determine low flow thresholds.

We developed variables that were composites of the measured variables, and some that integrated the duration and intensity

of a variable. For example, the mean specific stream power index (MSSPI) was a composite variable integrating discharge, gradient, and channel width to evaluate a stream's energy or power to displace fish.

$$\text{MSSPI} = Q \cdot S \cdot W^{-1}$$

WHERE: Q = discharge (m³·s⁻¹)

S = slope (gradient) of the reach (m·km⁻¹)

W = mean bank full width (m)

The MSSPI value was calculated for each mean hourly discharge and is proportional to a metric called the specific stream power, described in the hydraulics literature (Ferguson 1987). Regressors integrating the magnitude and duration of extreme air temperatures were developed (e.g., \sum daily low temperatures \leq -17.8° C, Seelbach 1987). Stressful stream temperatures were expressed as degree-hours

of water temperature $<5^{\circ}\text{C}$ or $>23^{\circ}\text{C}$. The effects of cold water temperatures (Cunjak and Power 1987a, Smith and Griffith 1994) and high water velocities (Cunjak 1988) were integrated as degree-hours of water temperature $\leq 5^{\circ}\text{C}$ when the discharge was also greater than the 25% exceedence discharge.

Environmental variables were grouped to facilitate analysis. Variables were divided into four groups categorized as Discharge, Climate, Geomorphology, and Competition/predation assuming that the variables in each category were likely to be correlated. Variables in each variable category were then allocated to one of two subsets: one to develop a "YOY survival model;" and a second subset to develop an "age 1 survival model." The YOY survival model subset contained data collected exclusively during the interval from stocking to the first electrofishing assessment. The age 1 survival model data set contained data collected exclusively during the interval between the first and second electrofishing assessments. Geomorphology data were common to both intervals, so both subsets contained the variables.

The number of variables in each subset was then reduced to a more tractable number of regressors. It was clear after inspection of the data sets that survival was not correlated with the MSSPI index, stream water chemistry, or the chlorophyll *a* accrual rate, so they were eliminated from further analyses. Simple correlation matrices were then developed for each variable group. Scatter plots of the variables most correlated with survival were developed and inspected. Variables with few data points or with influential outliers were eliminated from the analyses. When two or more variables were correlated with survival and with each other, one variable was selected for regression based on some practical consideration such as ease of measurement. Variables that were most correlated with the appropriate survival rate were selected from among the four categories for stepwise forward and backward regression analysis (Table 4). Alpha to enter and remove was 0.15. Statistical significance of the model coefficients was defined at the 0.05 level.

Table 4. Variables included in the stepwise linear regression analyses and their simple correlations (*r*) with survival.

VARIABLE	<i>r</i>
Young-of-the-Year (YOY) Model	
% of time discharge $\geq 50\%$ exceedence within 4 weeks of stocking	-0.629
Σ (mean hourly stream temperature - 12°C) for all mean temperatures $> 12^{\circ}\text{C}$	0.711
% of water surface area containing forest debris	-0.658
Weighted mean substrate diameter	0.629
Sector gradient	0.815
Age 1 Model	
Exceedence value on the day that permanent ice cover formation began	0.639
Discharge during the highest water temperature	0.668
Hours of water temperature $\leq 5^{\circ}\text{C}$ and discharge $> 90\%$ exceedence	0.589
Total snowfall by 15 January	0.570
Highest annual water temperature	-0.527
Total hours of highest water temperature	-0.574
% of water surface area containing forest debris	0.629
Riparian forest canopy density (%)	0.581
Mean weight of YOY steelhead on 1 October	-0.645
Variance of the mean weight of YOY steelhead on 1 October	-0.630

RESULTS

Survival Rates and Densities

Marked fish were usually vigorous when released during electrofishing assessments and very few mortalities were observed, suggesting that mortalities were insufficient to bias the population estimates. Survival rates were quite variable, ranging from 0.7% to 9.0% through two summers of growth (Table 5). YOY densities ranged from 6.4-81.0·100m⁻². Age 1 densities ranged from 1.4-14.4·100m⁻².

YOY Survival Model

Both stepwise forward, and backward procedures resulted in the same model. The model explained 69% (adjusted R²) of the observed variation in the survival rate. YOY survival (Y) increased with weighted mean substrate diameter (X₁), and decreased with duration (h) of discharge greater than the 50% exceedence (median) discharge within four weeks after stocking (X₂). Specifically,

$$Y = 12.719 + 0.126 \cdot X_1 - 0.269 \cdot X_2$$

Age 1 Survival Model

Both stepwise forward and backward procedures again resulted in the same model. This model explained 97% (adjusted R²) of the variation in the survival rate. Survival of age 1 parr (Y) increased with discharge during the highest mean hourly water temperature of the summer (X₁), increased with cumulative snowfall before 15 January (X₂), and increased with the percentage of the stream surface area occupied by forest debris (X₃). Specifically,

$$Y = -24.24 + 2.26 \cdot X_1 + 1.32 \cdot X_2 + 14.96 \cdot X_3$$

Predicted survival through two summers of growth is obtained by the product of the YOY and age 1 model survival estimates.

DISCUSSION

Model predictions should be viewed with caution if weather or habitat measurements from the stream where prediction is desired are outside the ranges of the data used to develop the models (see Tables 2 and 3). Our study reaches were representative of North

Table 5. Survival rates and densities of steelhead parr stocked in four study reaches from 1991-1994 in four streams tributary to Lake Superior, Minnesota.

Stream	Year Class	Survival(%) age 0 to age 1	YOY density: number· 100m ⁻²	Survival(%) age 1 to age 2	Age 1 density: number· 100m ⁻²	Overall survival rate (%)
Amity Creek	1992	32.4	21.6	28.3	6.1	9.0
	1994	17.8	22.4	6.5	1.4	1.1
French River	1991	1.8	11.8	37.3	4.4	0.7
	1993	8.7	21.7	47.0	10.2	4.1
Stewart River	1991	13.6	81.0	17.8	14.4	2.4
	1993	5.7	15.1	29.0	4.4	1.7
Two Island River	1992	6.3	6.4	63.6	4.1	4.0
	1994	13.2	26.7	22.3	6.0	2.9
Mean		12.4	25.1	31.5	5.1	3.9

Shore streams, and weather during the study was considered typical for the area. We did not experience drought, unusually long periods of extreme heat or cold, or winter flow cessation during the study. The survival models developed in this study are inappropriate for these extreme conditions.

YOY Limiting Factors

Steelhead may only be susceptible to displacement by floods during a limited period immediately after stocking. Work by Nuhfer et al. (1994) showed that recruitment of brown trout was inversely related to stream discharge during 30 d periods when fry were entering the free feeding stage of development; the stage at which our steelhead fry were stocked. Flood displacement has also been reported for chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) fry by Irvine (1986).

We suspect that after territories were established, and YOY steelhead were acclimated to the stream, they were at much lower risk of displacement by flooding. Lack of a significant flood variable in the age 1 model and recent observations of emigrating steelhead in the French River support this hypothesis. No steelhead were captured in our smolt trap during a flood in 1996 while discharges receded from 9.63 to 1.98 m³·s⁻¹, but they were captured at discharges less than 1.98 m³·s⁻¹. Age 1 juveniles were apparently able to avoid flood displacement by seeking cover, but moved downstream of their own volition at the lower discharges.

The significant positive partial regression coefficient of the weighted mean substrate diameter suggests that survival of YOY increased as substrate size increased. Large substrates probably provided lateral cover which prevented YOY steelhead from being displaced during floods, and provided resting sites that minimized energy expenditure during drift feeding (Fausch 1984). Fausch and White (1981) suggested that resting sites can be a "critical and scarce" resource, characteristics of a limiting factor. Invertebrate production is also positively correlated with substrate size

(Bell 1969), and more food may enhance growth and survival of fish.

Age 1 Limiting Factors

Correct understanding of our Age 1 model requires a caveat: early emigrants are indistinguishable from true mortalities within the study sector. Smolt trap data from French River show that many steelhead parr emigrate at age 1 during their second season of growth. In 1995, for example, 60% of the emigrating steelhead were age 1 parr. Electrofishing of age 1 parr took place in late fall during this study, so our mortality estimates included presmolts that emigrated. We hypothesize that these age 1 migrants were not smolts, and emigrated because habitat was unavailable. Recent analyses of scales from adult steelhead captured in the French River fish trap substantiate the conclusion of Hassinger et al. (1974) that age 1 emigrants survive poorly in Lake Superior and contribute little to the fishery.

The Age 1 model suggests that higher flows may have mitigated the effect of high water temperature (>23°C). Rainbow trout can survive warm temperatures as long as well oxygenated water is available (Scott and Crossman 1973). Increased discharge is almost always accompanied by increased turbulence which may yield higher dissolved oxygen concentrations, and thus, yield greater survival rates. Three of the four study sites were in upstream areas that were well shaded, yet a variable related to high water temperature was significant. The scatter plot of age 1 survival versus this variable, however, was highly influenced by one datum, suggesting that the biological significance of this variable is suspect in our study reaches. Lower reaches of many North Shore streams are often stressfully warm in summer, as evidenced by observations of moribund salmonids during high stream temperatures.

We hypothesize that total snowfall prior to 15 January was positively correlated with survival of age 2 parr because deep snows insulated stream waters from very cold air temperatures. Other workers have found that early winter is a stressful period for stream

dwelling salmonids because maintenance metabolism exceeds energy gain from feeding, reducing lipid reserves (Gardiner and Geddes 1980, Cunjak and Power 1987a, Cunjak 1988). Heavy snow insulates stream waters from cold air temperatures and may mitigate the physiological stress of cold water. Heavy snow cover may also limit anchor ice formation which is known to be destructive to aquatic organisms of all types (Butler 1979).

Our findings suggest that woody debris may be as important for North Shore steelhead as it is for Pacific salmonids in their native range. Heifetz et al. (1986) found that wintering juvenile steelhead preferred deep pools containing cover such as woody debris, root wads, and cobble; riffles, pools, and glides that did not contain such cover were not used. Swales et al. (1986) found that wintering chinook salmon were most abundant in deep pools containing log debris, suggesting such habitat is preferred, and its lack may limit survival. Woody debris often improves habitat for juvenile salmonids by altering a stream's hydraulic morphology (Elliot 1986, Bilby and Ward 1991, Flebbe and Dolhoff 1995). Fausch and Northcote (1992) projected that 80% of the salmonid biomass was forgone in a 332 m long reach due to prior debris removal.

Our analysis indicates that age 1 survival might be increased by the addition of woody debris. If the steelhead population is limited by smolt yield, then an increase in age 1 survival could increase adult steelhead abundance. If, for example, the 1995 catch of emigrant steelhead in the French River is typical (60% age 1), and if the age 1 parr emigrate because of a lack of woody debris, doubling the present amount of woody debris could double the abundance of age 2 presmolts and increase smolt yield.

If fisheries managers wish to increase juvenile steelhead survival, they need to influence management of the entire watershed. Fisheries managers should discourage clear cutting in watersheds of streams containing juvenile steelhead, particularly in the riparian zones. Undisturbed forests retard runoff and moderate floods (Wesche 1993), reduce stream temperatures (Verry 1996), and insure the

continuous input of woody debris. They should encourage loggers to leave an undisturbed forest corridor equaling 10-bankfull width + 15 m on both sides of the stream (Verry 1996). Beaver impound and kill trees in large areas, creating beaver meadows and interrupting the supply of woody debris for many years. Beaver should be removed from streams containing steelhead and discouraged from recolonizing by the planting of conifers in the riparian zone. Removal of woody debris from streams should be avoided unless debris blocks upstream migration of fish (AFS 1983, Elliot 1986).

MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

Fisheries managers will probably be most effective at implementing land use practices that benefit steelhead by participating on multidiscipline resource management teams. Such teams focus on the ecosystem, giving fisheries equal consideration with other resource products.

Small scale addition of woody debris warrants further study. Limitation of steelhead by woody debris can only be proven if survival rates increase after the addition or decrease after removal of woody debris when compared to an untreated reference stream. It is important to determine the role woody debris plays in juvenile steelhead survival and how its placement may maximize survival before addition of woody debris is considered as a routine management strategy. Work should continue to address the relative importance of smolt yield versus subsequent survival in Lake Superior as limiting factors for steelhead.

References

- AFS (American Fisheries Society). 1983. Stream Obstruction Removal Guidelines. American Fisheries Society. Bethesda, Maryland.
- Anderson, C. S. 1995. Measuring and correcting for size selection in electrofishing mark-recapture experiments. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 124:663-676.
- Bedient P. B., and W. C. Huber. 1988. *Hydrology and Floodplain Analysis*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.
- Bell H. L. 1969. Effect of substrate types on aquatic insect distribution. *Journal of the Minnesota Academy of Science* 35:79-91.
- Bilby R. E., and J. W. Ward. 1991. Characteristics and function of large woody debris in streams draining old-growth, clear-cut, and second-growth forests in southwestern Washington. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 48:2499-2508.
- Butler, R. L. 1979. Anchor ice, its formation and effects on aquatic life. *Science in Agriculture* 26(2):2.
- Carle, F. L., and M. R. Strub. 1978. A new method for estimating population size from removal data. *Biometrics* 34:621-630.
- Carlson, J. Y., C. W. Andrus, and H. A. Froehlich. 1990. Woody debris, channel features and macroinvertebrates of streams with logged and undisturbed riparian timber in northeastern Oregon, USA. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 47:1103-1111.
- Cunjak, R. A. 1988. Physiological consequences of overwintering in streams: the cost of acclimatization? *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 45:443-452.
- Cunjak, R. A., and G. Power. 1987a. The feeding and energetics of stream-resident trout in winter. *Journal of Fish Biology* 31:493-511.
- Elliot, S. T. 1986. Reduction of a dolly varden population and macrobenthos after removal of logging debris. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 115:392-400.
- Fausch, K. D. 1984. Profitable stream positions for salmonids: relating specific growth rate to net energy gain. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 62:441-451.
- Fausch, K. D., and T. G. Northcote. 1992. Large woody debris and salmonid habitat in a small coastal British Columbia stream. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 49:682-693.
- Fausch, K. D., and R. D. White. 1981. Competition between brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*) and brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) for positions in a Michigan stream. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 38:1220-1227.
- Ferguson, R. 1987. Hydraulic and sedimentary controls of channel pattern. In *River Channels*, ed. K. Richards, pp. 129-158. Basil Blackwell.
- Flebbe, P. A., and C.A. Dolloff. 1995. Trout use of woody debris and habitat in Appalachian wilderness streams of North Carolina. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 15:579-590.
- Gardiner, W. R., and P. Geddes. 1980. The influence of body composition on the survival of juvenile salmon. *Hydrobiologia* 69:67-72.
- Grimm, N. B., and S. G. Fisher. 1986. Nitrogen limitation in a Sonoran Desert stream. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society*. 5:2-15.
- Hassinger, R. L., J. G. Hale, and D. E. Woods. 1974. *Steelhead of the Minnesota North Shore*. Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, Division of Fish and Wildlife, Section of Fisheries Technical Bulletin Number 11, St. Paul.
- Heifetz, J., M. L. Murphy, and K. V. Koski. 1986. Effects of logging on winter habitat of juvenile salmonids in Alas-

- kan streams. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 6:52-58.
- Irvine, J. R. 1986. Effects of varying discharge on the downstream movement of salmon fry, *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha* Walbaum. *Journal of Fish Biology* 28:17-28.
- Lemmon, P. E. 1957. A new instrument for measuring forest overstory density. *Journal of Forestry*. 55:667-668.
- Li, H. W., G. A. Lamberti, T. N. Pearsons, C. K. Tait, J. L. Li, and J. C. Buckhouse. 1994. Cumulative effects of riparian disturbances along high desert trout streams of the John Day basin, Oregon. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 123:627-640.
- MNDNR (Minnesota Department of Natural Resources). 1991. North Shore steelhead plan. Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, Division of Fish and Wildlife, Section of Fisheries, St. Paul.
- Nuhfer, A. J., R. D. Clark Jr., and G. R. Alexander. 1994. Recruitment of brown trout in the south branch of the Au Sable River, Michigan in relation to stream flow and winter severity. Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Fisheries Research Report Number 2006. Lansing.
- Oswood, M. E., and W. E. Barber. 1982. Assessment of fish habitat in streams: goals, constraints, and a new technique. *Fisheries*. 7(4):8-11.
- Peterson, N. P., and C. J. Cederholm. 1984. A comparison of the removal and mark-recapture methods of population estimation for juvenile coho salmon in a small stream. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 4:99-102.
- Ricker, W. E. 1975. Computation and interpretation of biological statistics of fish populations. Ottawa, Ontario: Bulletin of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 191.
- Scott, W. B., and E. J. Crossman. 1973. *Freshwater Fishes of Canada*. Ottawa, Ontario: Bulletin of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 184.
- Seelbach, P. W. 1987. Effects of winter severity on steelhead smolt yield in Michigan: an example of the importance of environmental factors in determining smolt yield. *American Fisheries Society Symposium* 1:441-450. Bethesda, Maryland.
- Smith, R. W., and J. S. Griffith. 1994. Survival of rainbow trout during their first winter in the Henrys Fork of the Snake River, Idaho. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 123:747-756.
- Swales, S., R. B. Lauzier, and C. D. Levings. 1986. Winter habitat preferences of juvenile salmonids in two interior rivers in British Columbia. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*. 64:1506-1514.
- Verry, E. S. 1996. Riparian area structure and function: physical and chemical components. In *Proceedings of, At the Waters Edge: The Science of Riparian Forestry*, pp. 47-53. Minnesota Extension Service, University of Minnesota, St Paul.
- Wesche, T. A. 1993. Watershed management and land-use practices. In *Inland Fisheries Management in North America*, ed. C. C. Kohler and W. A. Hubert, pp. 181-204. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland.