

# **Attachment A**

## **Comment Summaries**

Voyageur Country ATV System EAW Record of Decision

March 18, 2021

---

---

## Introduction

The Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (DNR) released the Voyageur Country ATV System Project (the Project) Environmental Assessment Worksheet (EAW) for public review on November 16, 2020. The DNR received 70 written letters or e-mails on the EAW from various state and local agencies, non-governmental groups, and citizens. An alphabetical listing of commenters, arranged by organization or last name of the commenter, follows in the table below.

A unique comment identification (Comment ID) correlates individual comments within each comment document. If a comment letter consisted of more than one comment, letters were assigned to the comment ID (e.g., 01-a-z). Where feasible, DNR has grouped similar comments together and responded to a comment representative of the grouping (i.e., Comment Topic). These grouped responses are found within the Record of Decision (ROD). This improves the readability of the ROD and helps to show common themes expressed by commenters. In accordance with Minnesota Rules, part 4410.1700, Subpart 4, DNR gave due consideration to all substantive and timely comments on the content of the EAW and a response was provided.

Comment letters are available upon request.

There were a number of comments received during the public comment period that were considered non-substantive for a variety of reasons, such as an opinion, request to approve or deny a permit application, or general statement about the Project by the commenter. These comments did not address the accuracy or completeness of the material contained in the EAW or environmental impacts and did not warrant further investigation prior to the final ROD. In accordance with Minn. R. 4410.1700, Subp. 4, these comments did not receive a specific response.

## Reader Tip

You can search for a specific comment ID when using the electronic version of the document.

- First, locate the unique commenter ID (e.g., 01) in the following table
- Next, do one of the following:
  - Choose Edit >Find, or
  - Ctrl+F (for PC) or Command – ⌘+F (for Mac)
- Type in the commenter ID in the search box.

Comment ID	Commenter Name	Comment Received	Comment Topic	Comment Summary
29	1854 Treaty Authority Vogt, Darren	Nov 24, 2020	Tribal Rights	Commenter stated that the EAW failed to include treaty rights. "The eastern portion of the project area falls within the 1854 Ceded Territory where Bands retain treaty rights to hunt, fish, and gather. Commenter stated that Treaty rights should be acknowledged in the assessment along with a description of any potential impacts (access for the exercise of treaty rights, hunting/fishing/gathering activities, natural/cultural resources, cumulative impacts, etc.)"
28	Andrews, David Jr.	Nov 24, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed support of the proposed project.
16	Banovetz, Mike	Nov 20, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter is in support of the proposed project.
42-a	Barnes, Michael	Dec 08, 2020	Land Use	Commenter expressed concerns about the proposed project crossing private property in proximity to the City of Orr, MN.
42	Barnes, Michael	Dec 08, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed opposition to the trail expansion in the City of Orr
25	Bergerson, Steve	Nov 23, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter is in support of the proposed project.
59	Booth, Carol	Dec 16, 2020	Correction	Commenter requested that a correction be made to page 12 of the EAW as follows: On page 12, please change the Lake Vermilion Bike Trail to the Lake Vermilion Trail. Since the Lake Vermilion Trail alignment is still conceptual, the rest of the verbiage is still good.
59-a	Booth, Carol	Dec 16, 2020	Land use	Commenter questioned the use of privately owned land use along Alvina and Winnefred Roads
22	Brown, Donna	Nov 23, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter is in support of the proposed project. Note: commenter sent this email twice.
71	Carter, William and Cheri	Jan 14, 2021	Non-Substantive	Commenter is opposed to the proposed trail from County Rod 901 to use of Old Highway 53 north of Orr.
71-a	Carter, William and Cheri	Jan 14, 2021	Land Use	Commenter expressed concern about the trail crossing the western portion of their property citing potential property depreciation and reduced enjoyment of spending time outside

Comment ID	Commenter Name	Comment Received	Comment Topic	Comment Summary
				the home. The commenter does not give permission for the trail to cross their property.
71-b	Carter, William and Cheri	Jan 14, 2021	Air	Commenter expressed concern over dust and exhaust.
71-c	Carter, William and Cheri	Jan 14, 2021	Noise	Commenter expressed concern over noise because "young horses and ATVs do not mix".
71-d	Carter, William and Cheri	Jan 14, 2021	General - Safety and Enforcement	Commenter expressed concern about safety citing the convergence of Old Hwy 53, the ATV trail on their private driveway, and the horses in their riding arena.
34	Charbonneau, Richard	Nov 27, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed support for the proposed project.
26	Culbert, David	Nov 24, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter is in support of the proposed project.
05	Dreon, Laura	Nov 16, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed support for the proposed project.
35	Duame, Mike	Nov 28, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed support for the proposed project.
18	Eisenhauer, James	Nov 21, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter is in support of the proposed project.
45	Erzar, Bill	Dec 11, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter is in support of the proposed project.
04-1	Etzel, Jim	Nov 16, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed opposition to the proposed project.
04-1a	Etzel, Jim	Nov 16, 2020	Wildlife	Commenter expressed concern for displaced "wild creatures."
04-2	Etzel, Jim	Dec 15, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed opposition to the proposed project.
20	Freed, Mary	Nov 23, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed opposition to the proposed project.
20-a	Freed, Mary	Nov 23, 2020	Noise	Commenter expressed concern over the noise.
20-b	Freed, Mary	Nov 23, 2020	Water Resources - Wetland	Commenter expressed concern over wetland erosion.
20-c	Freed, Mary	Nov 23, 2020	Alternative Route	Commenter suggested that ATV trails should be located along freeways.
01	Fuchs, Dennis	Nov 16, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter is in support of proposed project.
09	Fuchs, Mark	Nov 17, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter is in support of the proposed project.
03	Gelineau, William	Nov 16, 2020	Habitat/Plant Communities	Commenter states he has seen how ATVs "damage forest floor."

<b>Comment ID</b>	<b>Commenter Name</b>	<b>Comment Received</b>	<b>Comment Topic</b>	<b>Comment Summary</b>
03-a	Gelineau, William	Nov 16, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed opposition to "any ATV use" as well as snowmobile use except on designated snowmobile trails
67	Gillet, Marc A.J.	Dec 29, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed support for the proposed project.
46	Haen, Josh	Dec 13, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed support for the project.
66	Harvey, Paul and Jolyn	Dec 27, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter is in support of the proposed project.
51	Holman, Veronica	Dec 15, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter is in support of the proposed project "going through my property by way of the State snowmobile trail."
54-a	Horton, Ashley	Dec 15, 2020	Land Use	Commenter expressed concern about the trail going through private property of grandmother, as well as the safety of riders and persons and pets walking on the property where the proposed trail would intersect with the driveway. Commenter expressed concern about private property use without "just compensation."
54-b	Horton, Ashley	Dec 15, 2020	Wildlife	Commenter expressed concern for wildlife being disturbed by the proposed trail going across the private property.
54	Horton, Ashley	Dec 15, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed opposition to the proposed project.
53	Hunt, John	Dec 15, 2020	Habitat/Plant Communities	Commenter expressed concern about potential ecological impacts such as erosion and introduction of invasive species, as well as the increased maintenance to prevent or repair erosion. The commenter expressed concern specific to the conversion of the David Dill-Arrowhead State Trail southeast of Elephant Lake - between Forest Road 180 and Forest Road 601 (from snowmobile only to summer use).
53	Hunt, John	Dec 15, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed opposition to the reclassification of winterized trails to non-winter motorized use.
63	Johnson, Maureen	Dec 17, 2020	Environmental Impact Statement	Commenter asserts that an EIS should be completed, citing a need to quantify noise as it occurs in groups of ATV riders including day and/or night groups of ATV riders.

<b>Comment ID</b>	<b>Commenter Name</b>	<b>Comment Received</b>	<b>Comment Topic</b>	<b>Comment Summary</b>
63-a	Johnson, Maureen	Dec 17, 2020	Noise	Commenter suggested the EAW should have quantified noise and asserts that the proposer's description of noise is different from reality.
63-b	Johnson, Maureen	Dec 17, 2020	Master Plan	Commenter stated that "the Master Plan" should be included with EAW in order for the public to see what future impacts are possible and evaluate with CPE.
63-c	Johnson, Maureen	Dec 17, 2020	Permitting	Commenter stated that the areas of the proposed project that cross the Superior National Forest must comply with USFS plans and regulations.
63-d	Johnson, Maureen	Dec 17, 2020	Water Resources	Commenter asserts that the EAW did not provide sufficient evaluation in respect to protection of water resources and should be "better evaluated" pursuant to MPCA and DNR rules.
36	Jones, Terry	Nov 28, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter is in support of the proposed project.
11	King, John	Nov 17, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed support for the proposed comment.
06	King, Tom	Nov 16, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter is opposed to the proposed project
06-a	King, Tom	Nov 16, 2020	Noise	Commenter expressed concern for noise generated by proposed project.
06-b	King, Tom	Nov 16, 2020	Air	Commenter expressed concern for dust generated by proposed project
06-c	King, Tom	Nov 16, 2020	Solid Waste	Commenter expressed concern for litter generated by proposed project.
06-d	King, Tom	Nov 16, 2020	Wildlife	Commenter expressed concern "endangerment of animals" in proposed project area
06-e	King, Tom	Nov 16, 2020	General - Maintenance Costs	Commenter expressed concern about maintenance including additional LE needed to patrol.
70	Kjaer, Darin	Jan 14, 2021	Non-Substantive	Commenter is opposed to the proposed route starting in Orr and running north near Hwy 53

Comment ID	Commenter Name	Comment Received	Comment Topic	Comment Summary
70-a	Kjaer, Darin	Jan 14, 2021	Land Use	Commenter expressed concern about the proposed trail crossing private property in the City of Orr.
44	Kleist, Tom	Dec 10, 2020	Prior work completed	Commenter expressed concern about work already completed on the project (See Project Area Map 2.2/Topographic Map 3.2- Forest Road 207 the old Crane Lake Lookout Tower)
44-a	Kleist, Tom	Dec 10, 2020	General- Restricted	Commenter expressed both support and opposition for the project (needs less restriction for folks that don't ride ATVs, but happy to see more opportunities for those that do ride ATVs)
27	Klocek, Dan	Nov 24, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter is in opposition of the proposed project.
27-a	Klocek, Dan	Nov 24, 2020	General- Maintenance Costs	Commenter expressed concern of maintenance and costs
07	Konchar, AJ	Nov 17, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter is in support of the proposed project.
23	Koski, Michael	Nov 23, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed support for the proposed project. Note: commenter did not name VCATV in his comment. DNR replied, requesting clarification.
13	Kutac, Chris	Nov 18, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed support for the proposed project.
12	Lappi, Jason	Nov 18, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed opposition to the restrictions the proposed trails would bring
39	Larkin, Scott	Dec 02, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed support for the proposed project.
37	Lemke, Tim	Nov 28, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed support for the proposed project.
47	Longhenry, Jackie	Dec 14, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter is in opposition of the proposed project.
47-a	Longhenry, Jackie	Dec 14, 2020	Land Use	Commenter expressed concern about the trail passing through their privately owned parcels that have no easement for "this type of access.
69	Longhenry, John	Jan 12, 2021	Non-Substantive	Commenter is opposed to the proposed project.

Comment ID	Commenter Name	Comment Received	Comment Topic	Comment Summary
69-a	Longhenry, John	Jan 12, 2021	Land Use	Commenter expressed concern about the trail going through their private property, citing reduced usability, destruction, and property depreciation. Commenter stated he was never contacted by the Club (or anyone) prior to the proposed project moving forward and that after contacting the Club, he was told the Club plans to use an easement to traverse his property. Commenter does not believe said easement exists. City of Orr, MN
69-b	Longhenry, John	Jan 12, 2021	Noise	Commenter expressed concern over noise.
69-c	Longhenry, John	Jan 12, 2021	Solid waste	Commenter expressed concern about trash left on trails.
69-d	Longhenry, John	Jan 12, 2021	Air	Commenter expressed concern over dust.
08-a	Magnotto, Chris	Nov 17, 2020	Soils	Commenter expressed concern about "displacement of soils"
08-b	Magnotto, Chris	Nov 17, 2020	Habitat/Plant Communities	Commenter expressed concern about "interruption of habitat"
08	Magnotto, Chris	Nov 17, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed limited support of the proposed project, with the exception of motorized vehicles for the disabled and for snowmobile use in the winter months.
52	Minnesota Department of Agriculture Hardel, Marie	Dec 15, 2020	Habitat/Plant Communities	The Minnesota Department of Agriculture expressed concern about the proposed project's potential to spread noxious weeds, in particular meadow knapweed, and suggested a survey for meadow knapweed as well as a plan for effective control coordinated and guaranteed prior to new construction as well as ongoing monitoring and management to prevent the spread.



Comment ID	Commenter Name	Comment Received	Comment Topic	Comment Summary
58	Minnesota Pollution Agency Kromar, Karen	Dec 16, 2020	Water Resources - Permitting	<p>Commenter noted that the proposed project meets the threshold of 50 or more acres and it has the ability to discharge stormwater to adjacent trout streams and potentially to impaired waters, it will require review and approval by MPCA prior to obtaining NPDES/SDS General Stormwater Permit coverage.</p> <p>Commenter recommends that the proposer reach out to MPCA engineering staff prior to preparing and submitting their SWPPP to determine whether permanent Stormwater treatment is required.</p> <p>Commenter stated that the SWPPP would require plans that include additional BMPs to protect special waters (trout streams) during construction, including stabilization requirements, sediment basins, and buffers.</p> <p>Commented stated that all surface waters, including wetlands, where 50 feet of existing buffer must be disturbed would require redundant (double) down gradient sediment controls.</p>
73	Nohr, Mark & Alis	Nov 22, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed opposition to the proposed project.
15	Nohr, Mark & Alis	Nov 20, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed opposition to the proposed project.
15-a	Nohr, Mark & Alis	Nov 20, 2020	General - Maintenance	Commenter expressed concern for DNR CO workload and road maintenance
15-b	Nohr, Mark & Alis	Nov 20, 2020	Noise	Commenter expressed concern over the increased noise from ATVs
15-c	Nohr, Mark & Alis	Nov 20, 2020	Wildlife	Commenter reported fewer wildlife sightings as a result of increased ATV traffic.

Comment ID	Commenter Name	Comment Received	Comment Topic	Comment Summary
15-d	Nohr, Mark & Alis	Nov 20, 2020	Solid Waste	Commenter reported seeing more trash on roads as a result of increased ATV traffic.
68	Northern St. Louis and Koochiching County Trails Task Force and Iron Range Tourism Bureau Pierce, Beth	Jan 06, 2021	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed support for the proposed project on behalf of the Northern St Louis and Koochiching County Trails Task Force and the Iron Range Tourism Bureau
19	Nupen, Mark	Nov 22, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed opposition to the proposed project.
19-a	Nupen, Mark	Nov 22, 2020	General - Maintenance Costs	Commenter expressed concern over increasing maintenance costs, particularly to Blaine Township, for roads and trails.
19-b	Nupen, Mark	Nov 22, 2020	Noise	Commenter expressed concern over the noise "many of" the ATVs
19-c	Nupen, Mark	Nov 22, 2020	Alternative Route	Commenter suggests putting trails in populated areas instead of the wilderness
60	Olson, Blaine	Dec 16, 2020	General - Maintenance	Commenter expressed interest in seeing more maintenance and regulations for ATV Trail Use. Commenter offered suggestions such as seasonal riding to prevent excessive wear (especially during "wet months of the year" and less usage during hunting seasons in order to accommodate walker hunters.
65	Olson, Dick	Dec 18, 2020	General - Maintenance	Commenter suggests that a plan be in place to fund and maintain the trails.
65-a	Olson, Dick	Dec 18, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed support for the proposed project.
65-b	Olson, Dick	Dec 18, 2020	Land Use	Commenter would like to see a plan that considers future road use, specifically pointing out that culverts and road beds should not be narrowed in the "likely" event they are used by the forestry industry in the future.
65-c	Olson, Dick	Dec 18, 2020	Trail Use	Commenter suggested closing "more fragile" trails on occasion to mitigate damage and consider multiple use beyond

Comment ID	Commenter Name	Comment Received	Comment Topic	Comment Summary
				snowmobiles and be considerate of hunters that wish to use the trails.
41	Olson, Ken	Dec 07, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed opposition to the proposed project.
41-a	Olson, Ken	Dec 07, 2020	Land Use	Commenter expressed concern over trespassing onto private lands (generally speaking, did not specify any specific private property)
41-b	Olson, Ken	Dec 07, 2020	Noise	Commenter expressed concern for noise from an increase in ATV use.
48	Orr City Council Carter, Cheri Clerk/Treasurer	Dec 15, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter is in support of development and expansion of proposed project on southern end of the city (via county highway 23 and Brandt and Johnson Roads) to provide accessibility to City businesses
48a	Orr City Council Carter, Cheri Clerk/Treasurer	Dec 15, 2020	General Safety	Commenter stated that the City of Orr Council does not support the expansion of the northern trail connection as outlined in the EAW citing "safety of the residents" Specifically pedestrians and vehicles at the intersection of King Road and Highway 53, due to this being an intersection with limited visibility. Also safety between ATVs and semi traffic from the business located on County Road 901/Suliin Road. The steep grade of the hill on County Road 901 and the location of its intersection with Highway 53 compounds the safety hazards.
48b	Orr City Council Carter, Cheri Clerk/Treasurer	Dec 15, 2020	Alternative Route	Commenter states that the Orr City Council is willing to work with the Club to work out a different trail alignment for the north side of the City that does not "jeopardize the safety of residents."
43	Pautsch, Michael	Dec 09, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed support for the proposed project.
21	Rogus, Jim	Nov 23, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed support for the proposed project.
10	Schmitz, Donna	Nov 17, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed opposition to the proposed project.

Comment ID	Commenter Name	Comment Received	Comment Topic	Comment Summary
10a	Schmitz, Donna	Nov 17, 2020	Alternative Route	Commenter suggested alternate site for ATV riders such as an abandoned gravel pit or frac mining area.
55	Schneider, Jeff	Dec 15, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter is opposed to the proposed project.
55-a	Schneider, Jeff	Dec 15, 2020	Land Use	Commenter expressed concern about the proposed trail section in Orr heading north near Hwy 53, citing other options that do not have to traverse private properties. City of Orr, MN.
55-b	Schneider, Jeff	Dec 15, 2020	Noise	Commenter expressed concern over noise.
33	Sheppard, Nicholas	Nov 27, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter is in support of the proposed project.
61	Spinelli, Jim	Dec 16, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter is in support of the proposed project.
02	Swenson, Judy	Nov 16, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter is opposed to the expansion of paths, etc. for ATVs
02-a	Swenson, Judy	Nov 16, 2020	Noise	Commenter expressed concern about impacts to noise
02-b	Swenson, Judy	Nov 16, 2020	Air	Commenter expressed concern about impacts to "air pollution"
02-c	Swenson, Judy	Nov 16, 2020	Habitat/Plant Communities	Commenter expressed concern for ATVs infringing on natural habitat
02-d	Swenson, Judy	Nov 16, 2020	Cumulative Potential Effect	Commenter expressed general concern about cumulative impact of "every small project"
17	Titus, Jason	Nov 21, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter is in opposition of the proposed project.
17-a	Titus, Jason	Nov 21, 2020	General - Maintenance	Commenter expressed concern over damage of logging roads.
17-b	Titus, Jason	Nov 21, 2020	Solid Waste & Hazardous Materials	Commenter expressed concern about trash left on trails and oil/fuel leakage.
17-c	Titus, Jason	Nov 21, 2020	General - Maintenance/Costs	Commenter expressed concern about cost of maintaining gravel roads and damaged signage

Comment ID	Commenter Name	Comment Received	Comment Topic	Comment Summary
17-d	Titus, Jason	Nov 21, 2020	Habitat/Plant Communities	Commenter expressed concern over damage of vegetation.
14	Tolkinen, Thomas	Nov 19, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed support for the proposed project.
32	Tveiten, Lance	Nov 27, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed support of the proposed project.
38	Ulseth, Robert	Nov 29, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter is in support of the proposed project.
62	Voyageurs Conservancy Hausman Rhode, Christina	Dec 16, 2020	Habitat/Plant Communities	Commenter expressed concern about invasive species and asserts that even with BMPs, it is difficult to control the spread of invasive species. Commenter suggests that emphasis should be placed on keeping development of trails away from "high value areas" and enforcement of trail management.
62-a	Voyageurs Conservancy Hausman Rhode, Christina	Dec 16, 2020	Cumulative Potential Effect (CPE)	Commenter asserts that the EAW did not assessed a limited geographic scope regarding cumulative potential effects, to that of the immediate area of the Arrowhead Trail and should require an assessment of the potential impact of the surrounding area.
62-b	Voyageurs Conservancy Hausman Rhode, Christina	Dec 16, 2020	General-Monitoring & Enforcement	Commenter recommended that the proposed project include an enhanced monitoring and enforcement component, to include various methods that would help mitigate environmental impacts, with special emphasis given to off-trail activity near Voyageurs National Park.
62-c	Voyageurs Conservancy Hausman Rhode, Christina	Dec 16, 2020	Mitigation	Commenter expressed concern that the EAW does not clearly define how mitigation as described in section 10a, "limiting traffic, seasonality or speeds on areas that are more vulnerable" would be enforced. Further, the commenter speculates that even if such mitigation were enforced, it would only encourage ATV riders to find alternative routes that could be undesignated and cause even worse environmental impact on trails that are not monitored.
62-d	Voyageurs Conservancy Hausman Rhode, Christina	Dec 16, 2020	Water Resources - Water Quality	Commenter stated that mitigation efforts are not clearly defined in section 19 c of the EAW.

Comment ID	Commenter Name	Comment Received	Comment Topic	Comment Summary
62-e	Voyageurs Conservancy Hausman Rhode, Christina	Dec 16, 2020	Alternative Route	Commenter requested that an alternative route be considered in order to reduce off-trail ATV use within Voyageur National Park. Commenter included a description and map of a suggested alternative route.
64	Wall, Nancy Jean	Dec 18, 2020	Land Use	Commenter expressed concern that the Club sought support from the city of Orr, but the City did not vote due to lack of communication with local citizens and private property owners not being contacted by the Club prior to the trails being proposed.
64-a	Wall, Nancy Jean	Dec 18, 2020	Figure	Commenter asserts that Figure 2-3 included with EAW is "not an existing route" and is "color coded incorrectly"
64-b	Wall, Nancy Jean	Dec 18, 2020	Land Use	Commenter expressed concern over use of private property in City of Orr. Also, citing safety (dangerous road access), privacy concerns and potential depreciation of property.
57	Wall-Glowaski, Collen	Dec 15, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed opposition to the proposed project.
57-a	Wall-Glowaski, Collen	Dec 15, 2020	Land Use	Commenter expressed concern about the trail going through private property and safety concerns that would result for children and pets. City of Orr, MN
57-b	Wall-Glowaski, Collen	Dec 15, 2020	Solid Waste	Commenter expressed concern over litter that can result from ATV riding.
56	Wardas, Sandy	Dec 15, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter is in opposition of the proposed project.
56-a	Wardas, Sandy	Dec 15, 2020	Land Use	Commenter expressed concern about the proposed project designating Brandt Rd., in the City of Orr, as a trail for ATVs.
56-b	Wardas, Sandy	Dec 15, 2020	General Safety and Maintenance	Commenter expressed concern over increased traffic that would affect persons and animals as well as maintenance costs due to increased traffic

<b>Comment ID</b>	<b>Commenter Name</b>	<b>Comment Received</b>	<b>Comment Topic</b>	<b>Comment Summary</b>
72	Weiland, Kathy	Jan 14, 2021	Noise	Commenter expressed concern over additional noise she hears with the ATV riders on her "dirt road" describing the disruption as loud as a "train coming through the house."
72-a	Weiland, Kathy	Jan 14, 2021	Solid Waste	Commenter expressed concern about trash left on trails.
72-b	Weiland, Kathy	Jan 14, 2021	Wildlife	Commenter noted that with the current influx of riders siting/hearing wildlife has declined.
72-c	Weiland, Kathy	Jan 14, 2021	General - Safety and Enforcement	Commenter expressed concern over safety and the effort it would take enforcement to patrol the trails. Commenter suggested that mile markers should be installed along the trail to aid first responders.
24	Wognum, Nick	Nov 23, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter is in support of the proposed project.
30	Wright, Terry	Nov 24, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed support for the project.
40	Anonymous	Dec 07, 2020	Non-Substantive	Commenter expressed support for the proposed project.