# Twin Metals Minnesota EIS RGU's Review of Proposer's Initial Data Submittal Comment Tracking Table - Thru Section 2.0 Provided to Twin Metals Minnesota on June 15, 2020 / Response provided from TMM to MDNR on July 24, 2020

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Metals Response
1		Clarification. Revision Record Table. Column 5. Please clarify what is meant by "Project Configuration Version?" No action requested. Provide intent.	This is a designation that is part of the TMM Document Control Process.
2		RGU Note. Cover Letter. The public review Scoping EAW will not have a cover letter of this type. Information presented may or may not be reflected in future documentation. No action requested.	Comment is noted. TMM is choosing not to resubmit the cover letter.
3		Correction. Last sentence. The statement "If permitted this would be the first underground mining operation" is incorrect. Add "non-ferrous" and it would be correct. Action requested: Text correction.	Comment is noted. TMM is choosing not to resubmit the cover letter.
4	Lover letter; 2nd	Clarification. Last sentence. This article (https://www.minnpost.com/mnopedia/2016/04/very-brief- history-mining-cuyuna-iron-range/) states that the Armor #2 Mine near Crosby was the last operating underground mine to close (also in 1967). There may be other statements in conflict if one searched more sites. Action requested: For accuracy confirm and revise as necessary.	Comment is noted. TMM is choosing not to resubmit the cover letter.
5	letter: 5th	Clarification. Text includes statement regarding 11 operating mines. Action requested: Provide citation and clarify if they were operating at the same time or otherwise.	Comment is noted. TMM is choosing not to resubmit the cover letter.
6	letter; 5th	Clarification. RGU notes the project is within the BWCAW watershed, and thus the statement about outside the Wilderness could be confusing. Action requested: Revise by noting outside the BWCAW but within the watershed to improve accuracy.	Comment is noted. TMM is choosing not to resubmit the cover letter.
7		Correction. Top of page; last sentence. The formation is the Duluth Complex, not the Duluth Mineral Complex. Appears to only use in document. Action requested: Text correction.	Comment is noted. TMM is choosing not to resubmit the cover letter.
8	letter; pg. 2; bullet	Note. Bullet list; numbers 1 & 5. Statements regarding specific percentages of reduction in footprint or impacts cannot be verified without the previous mine plans. Absent this and other information, such assertions are speculative. RGU reserves judgment as to relevance for disclosure in future documentation. No action requested.	Comment is noted. TMM is choosing not to resubmit the cover letter.
9	letter; pg. 2. bullet	Future information request. Bullet list; number 2. Kinetic testing is required to state tailings would not produce ARD (or AMD). DNR will need to review this data and evaluate if the assertion is supported. No action requested. DNR will be making a specific request for the data.	Comment is noted. TMM is choosing not to resubmit the cover letter.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
10		Note. Bullet list; number 8. Regarding assertion that "no waste rock stored on the surface." The assertion is correct however the RGU notes the project proposes to handle rock with sulfide mineralization during construction and classify this as ore, which would be temporarily stockpiled on the surface at the temporary rock storage facility. No action requested.	Comment is noted. TMM is choosing not to resu
11	xiii	Glossary; acid rock drainage. Definition asserts ARD always contains both metals and sulfate. Action requested: Confirm proposed definition or remove statement.	The ARD definition within the glossary is from the International Network for Acid Prevention. It is Twin Metals Minnesota Mine Materials Charact
			TMM's use is consistent with the GARD guide de
12	xiv	Glossary; closure. Closure is defined in Minns Rules part 6132.0100, subp. 6. Action requested: Add reference to Minnesota Rules in the text.	Text has been edited to read: "Closure begins w would be no renewed use or activity by the perr 6132.0100, subpart 6."
13	xv	Glossary; construction stormwater. Because instances may be present where constituent loading occurs to construction water that requires additional management, the definition should be modified to reflect this potential situation. Action requested: Modify text to read: "Construction stormwater: direct precipitation or stormwater that has contacted surfaces disturbed by construction that could have increased constituent loading."	See Comment 181.
14	xv	Glossary; contact water. Note on water management classifications and definitions. It will be necessary to consider implications of definitions of the various types of water in terms of regulatory definitions. This can be a source of confusion. RGU- and regulatory-approved definitions for the EIS and any subsequent permitting will need to not only make sense for describing the project but must also align with language and definitions in permits. Will require future consultation. No action requested.	See Comment 71.
15	xv	Glossary; contamination. More precisely "contamination" implies the presence of physical, chemical, biological, or radiological elements at concentrations above regulatory standards. Action requested: Revise as necessary.	This glossary is intended to help a wide audience document. Generic or plain language is used in s regulatory definitions, nor are they intended to differing definitions and interpretations that car Regulatory definitions can be adopted in TMM of definitions is complete. Documents requested b level analysis will adopt regulatory language as r
16	xv	Glossary; corehole. Action requested: Provide definition of corehole. See Line 3143.	Glossary revised: "corehole: A hole drilled in be
17	xvi	Glossary; dam. The text provided is not the "state" definition of a dam. Action requested: See Minn. Rules part 6115.0320, subp. 5, for the definition of a dam under the rules. Modify text to accommodate this definition.	Text has been edited to read: "Dam: A structure Rules Chapter 6115.0320, Subpart 5."

submit the cover letter.

the GARD guide glossary produced by the is consistent with the glossary definition of ARD in the cterization Program Volume 1.

definition – low pH, presence of sulfate and metals.

when, as prescribed in the Permit to Mine, there ermittee and is defined in Minnesota R., part

nce understand how TMM is using terms within this n some cases. These are not intended to be legal or to encompass or resolve the comprehensive and can be found in federal, state, and local law and rule. A documentation after agency engagement on d by the state that aim to satisfy EIS- and permittingas required.

bedrock to retrieve a core sample."

re that impounds water and is defined in Minnesota

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
18	xvi		No berm is proposed at the dry stack facility, de would be filtered and the majority of water is re
		berm is proposed at the dry stack facility.	Additionally, see Comment 155 as to why buttre
	xvi		Glossary is meant to introduce terms at a high lotter terms at a high lotter text (see lines 843-872 for construction and line
19		Glossary; dry stack facility. For the purposes of the EIS, the definition should better describe the actual proposed facility in more detail, not expressly focusing on its function or how it is constructed. Action requested: Modify text.	Project descriptions have been provided that TN EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upd Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ad project descriptions including design or constru- details of the dry stack facility.
20	xvi	Glossary; development rock. Text identifies the definition of development rock as "sulfide barren." No rock is devoid of sulfur. The mine materials characterization plan is intended to address the appropriate cut-off of rock reactivity that could be used for construction. Action requested: Modify definitions accordingly and use consistently throughout the document.	See Comment 42 as it describes how developme
21	xviii	Glossary; gravity concentration circuit. Text reads: "used to recover dense minerals and produce gravity concentrate." Greater consistency with the definition of the flotation circuit would include a reference to the target metals. Such text might read: "used to recover targeted metals, including platinum, palladium, and gold to produce gravity concentrate. Requested action: Review recommended text for accuracy, revise if necessary, and adopt.	Definition of concentrator has also been correct related to recovery of the target metals. The con concentration, flotation, concentrate dewaterin
22	xix	Glossary; mine supply water. Add definition for mine supply water to glossary. Action requested: Add the definition.	makeup. The concentrator would be located at Text has been edited to read: "mine supply wate used for dust suppression and equipment requi

definition has been edited to read: "Since the tailings removed, a dry stack facility does not require a dam."

tressing is not required for the design.

n level. The dry stack facility is explained in detail in the nes 933-990 for layout and operations).

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated ruction details on the dry stack facility and operating

ment rock glossary text has been changed.

tration circuit: Process circuit within the comminution ling platinum, palladium, and gold to produce gravity uses the differences in the density of the gold, these denser minerals from the remaining minerals."

ected to read: "concentrator: A subset of the process concentrator would include grinding, gravity ring, concentrate storage and loadout, and reagent at the plant site."

ater: Water that would be pumped underground and uirements like drill water."

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
			Text has been edited to read: "ore: Rock that co processed by TMM through the concentrator to two from flotation and one from gravity. Ore is deposit."
			Additionally glossary had been expanded to incl gravity concentrate, and nickel concentrate.
23	xxi	phrase: "through the concentrator to recover targeted metals into three concentrates, two from flotation and one from gravity. Ore is found" Action requested: Review recommended text for	"concentrate: Concentrates would be the end p would contain the minerals that would be separ would be produced either through the flotation would be sold on a global market."
		accuracy, revise if necessary, and adopt.	"copper concentrate: The first flotation product and palladium while minimizing the amount of r
			"gravity concentrate: The product of the gravity of platinum, palladium, and gold.
			"nickel concentrate: The second flotation producopper, platinum, palladium, gold, silver, and th
24	xxi	Glossary; overflow ore stockpile and pre-operational ore stockpile. From comment at text at Lines 605-605. Action requested: Modify text to make distinction clearer. May need to refine definitions in the glossary.	Overflow ore stockpile and pre-operational ore exist at different times on the footprint of the to
25	xxii	Glossary; proposed action and proposed project. Outside each respective definition, the term "proposed action" is used three times in the document while "proposed project" is used once. The use of these terms in the text have a specific context in NEPA and MEPA respectively, with the term "Project" referring to the Twin Metals Minnesota Project subject to the EIS. Action requested: For the definitions for "proposed action" and "proposed project" respectively, add the NEPA and/or MEPA qualifying language to better distinguish between the two.	See Comment 15. The term "proposed action" o "proposed project" only occurs within the gloss effects.
26	xxii	Glossary; reclamation. The reclamation definition seems to combine too much (or possibly combined two definitions). Reclamation definition goes beyond what is outlined in Minn. Rules part 6130, subp. 29, but references Minn. Rules parts 6132.2000 to 6131.3200. Action requested: Revise in line with rules and then ensure consistent use throughout document.	Text has been edited to read: "reclamation: Act of Minnesota Rules, parts 6132.2000 to 6132.32 equivalent undisturbed condition. When the ob mining conditions and uses, it is sometimes call be applicable to the Project.
27	xxii	Glossary; reclamation stockpile. From comment at Lines 826-828. Add definition for mine reclamation stockpile to glossary. Action requested: Add term to glossary.	Text has been added to glossary: "reclamation s medium such as topsoil and peat for reclamatio clearing and construction of the Project."

contains the targeted metals which would be to recover targeted metals into three concentrates, s found in the basal mineralized zone of the Maturi

clude definition of concentrate, copper concentrate,

products of the TMM project. These concentrates arated from rock in the mine. TMM's concentrates on process or the gravity concentration process and

Ict that would recover copper, gold, silver, platinum, of nickel and cobalt recovered."

ty concentration circuit that would target the recovery

duct that would recover nickel, cobalt, the remaining the remaining sulfides."

re stockpile are two different ore stockpiles that would e temporary rock storage facility. See lines 628-658.

" only occurs within the glossary and the term ssary and an explanation of cumulative potential

ctivities that successfully accomplish the requirements 3200. Actions intended to return the land surface to an objective of reclamation is to return the land to prealled restoration." Minn. R. 6130 and 6131 would not

stockpile: stockpile of material suitable as a growth ion. Material would be stripped and stored during

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
28	xxiv	Glossary; temporary rock storage facility. RGU notes that although the proposed definition is correct, it could be written to be more specific and understandable. Proposed text: "temporary rock storage facility: Physical infrastructure on which the pre-operational ore stockpile, and the overflow ore stockpile in operations, would be located. It is a lined facility at the plant site that would convey precipitation to the central contact water pond." Action requested: Review recommended text for accuracy, revise if necessary, and adopt.	Text had been edited to read: "temporary rock pre- operational ore stockpile, and the overflow lined facility at the plant site that would convey
29	xxv	Glossary; waste rock. From text at Lines 253-255. Would the sulfur content of waste rock be S% > 0% and less than the ore grade cut-off? Action requested: Please confirm and state more directly. Apply any clarifications to the glossary definition.	Text was edited to read: "waste rock: Rock mine below the targeted cut-off grade that would be stopes for permanent storage." From the basal mineralized zone was added to a sulfide mineralization.
30	XXV	Glossary; wetlands. Wetland delineation definition does not include enough specificity. Add that it also differentiates between types of wetlands. Action requested: Revise as needed.	See Comment 15.
31	xxv	Glossary; Wetland Conservation Act. Definition should note WCA has been amended since 2000. Action requested: Update definition.	Text has been edited to read: "This act was pass 1996, and 2000, and 2009)"
32	9-11	RGU note. The term "preliminary" is applied to a number of designs and locations. This is appropriate at this stage however the public review Scoping EAW will evaluate the Project proposed by TMM. Because the MEPA review per se results in no final governmental actions, it is possible for project features to change over the course of the EIS. Therefore, information presented at this time may or may not be reflected in future documentation. No action requested.	Comment is noted.
33	13-14	RGU note. This document is not really intended to "provide information needed for the environmental review and permitting process." This characterization appears inconsistent with language on document purpose on lines 16-19 and 32-35. A more accurate statement might read: "The purpose of this document is to provide necessary information for the environmental review of the Project." Action requested: Review recommended text for accuracy, revise if necessary, and adopt.	Text has been edited to read: "The purpose of t the environmental review of the Project."
34	64-66	Clarification. This text indicates information will come from different sources, some of which is publicly available and some of which is newly developed by Twin Metals Minnesota. For example, presumably the analysis involves ore processing information that is not generally public. A clearer statement might read: "This SEAW data submittal uses information from a number of sources, some of which is publicly available with other information, for example, being data acquired by TMM that is summarized to supplement the assessment. Beyond what's presented in the data submittal, additional work and data collection is ongoing and reflected in the sections on future scope." Action requested: Review recommended text for accuracy, revise if necessary, and adopt.	Text edited to read: "This SEAW data submittal which is publicly available with other informatic supplement the assessment. Beyond what is pre data collection is ongoing and reflected in the se

ck storage facility: Physical infrastructure on which the ow ore stockpile in operations, would be located. It is a vey precipitation to the central contact water pond."

ined during operations from the basal mineralized zone be managed underground and placed in mined out

to convey that TMM anticipates waste rock to have

assed into law in 1991 (and amended in 1993, 1994,

f this document is to provide necessary information for

al uses information from a number of sources, some of ation being data acquired by TMM that is summarized to presented in the data submittal, additional work and e sections on Future Scope."

## Twin Metals Minnesota EIS RGU's Review of Proposer's Initial Data Submittal Comment Tracking Table - Section 3.0 Background

Provided to Twin Metals Minnesota on June 15, 2020 / Response provided from TMM to MDNR on July 24, 2020

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
35	152	RGU note. Project locations with section, township, and range information will be verified by agency staff. No action requested.	Comment is noted.
36	210-211	Clarification. The sentence identifies that three products would be created, a "copper concentrate, nickel concentrate, and gravity concentrate." Because the metallic character of the copper and nickel concentrates are captured in their name, a consistent approach would do the same for the gravity concentrate. Action requested: Suggested text might read: "produce three products, copper concentrate, nickel concentrate, and a gravity concentrate targeting platinum, palladium, and gold." Alternative language might also be: "platinum group metal gravity concentrate." Action requested: Review recommended text for accuracy, revise if necessary, and adopt.	Glossary definition revised in response to Comm concentrate are explained on line 672.
37	221-222	Clarification. If understood correctly it appears gravity concentrate is where all metals except copper and nickel would collect during processing. If correct, the gravity concentrate definition on page xviii could be improved by stating that. Action requested: If accurate, consider potential application in the glossary definition.	Glossary definition revised in response to Comm are "floatable" and do report to the copper cond are not captured as a part of the gravity concent process). What metals report to what concentra
38	224	Clarification. Comment also refers to Table 3-2. Question: Is the Q3 Yr-3 projected start of construction independent of when all permits and approvals would have been secured? In other words, is it possible for the construction phase to commence in Q1, Q2, or Q4 of Yr-3? Action requested: Confirm and clarify, with any further RGU recommendations predicated on the response.	Text has been edited to read: "The construction Q3 Year -3 to Q4 Year -1 (note that in the Projec and not to a specific calendar quarter)." As Q3 corresponds to a 3 month unit of time and could commence in any of the calendar quarters
39	231	Clarification. Use "progressive" instead of "concurrent" to match the language used in Minn. Rules Chapter 6132. Action requested: Text substitution.	See Comment 15.
40	238-241	Clarification. The intent of post-closure maintenance and monitoring is not clear. Would it be the plan that a complete release would be the goal at the end pursuant to Minn. Rules part 6132.4800, subp. 3? Action requested: Modify text to match project intent with this provision in Minnesota Rules.	Text added to read: "The end of this phase woul maintenance and monitoring requirements set f mineral leases, permits, and applicable land mar request for release from applicable authorizatio
41	242	Clarification. The document makes no reference to lower grade or "lean" ore. Action requested: Confirm that there is no plan to have lean ore. If the answer is "yes, there would be lean ore," then propose supplemental text to describe the situation.	There is no plan to have "lean ore." All ore broug concentrator.

### letals Response

ment 21. Additionally the targeted minerals of gravity

ment 21. Additionally, platinum, palladium, and gold incentrate and the nickel concentrate if those metals entration circuit (which occurs before flotation in the crates is detailed in lines 668-673.

on phase would occur during a 30-month period from ect schedule quarters refers to a 3 month unit of time

and not to a specific calendar quarter construction ers during YR -3.

ould be marked by completing all applicable t forth in federal or state surface authorizations, nanagement plans after which TMM would submit a ions, such as the Permit to Mine."

bught to the surface would be processed through the

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
42	248	Clarification. The text identifies the definition of development rock as "sulfide barren." No rock is devoid of sulfur. The mine materials characterization plan is intended to address the appropriate	Glossary definition for development rock was ed mined when mine development would occur un would be used for construction aggregate and w declines and ventilation raises, and periodically
		accordingly and use consistently throughout the document. See also glossary definition.	Description of development rock in line 248 rem is outside of the basal mineralized zone.
43	253-255	Question: Would the sulfur content of waste rock be S% > 0% and less than the ore grade cut-off? Action requested: Please confirm and state more directly. Apply any clarifications to the glossary definition.	See Comment 29. In addition to updating the glo 253-255 was clarified to state that waste rock is which has sulfide mineralization.
			In the Mine Material Characterization Program Characterization Program that TMM will be align
44	253-255	Clarification. The definitions of the types of rock should be aligned with the definition of waste rock in Minn. Rules part 6132.0100, subp. 34. For example, development rock would be a sub-category of waste rock. Action requested: Review the cited rule and modify, as needed, the Project definitions to match the rule. This will introduce clarity into both the EIS and permitting.	Consistent with the response to Comment 15, the wide audience understand how TMM is using te is used in some cases. These are not intended to intended to encompass or resolve the comprehe that can be found in federal, state, and local law TMM documentation after agency engagement the state that aim to satisfy EIS- and permitting- required.
45	264	Clarification. The text indicates the "cut-off point" would be determined as mined rock would be monitored and tested during construction of the mine declines and ventilation raises. Best mining practice would suggest the "cut-off point" be determined ahead of time. Testing at the time of construction would then be used to determine which rock exceeds sulfide mineralization criteria and that which does not (e.g., development vs waste rock vs ore). No action requested but anticipate further discussion as it may be beneficial in development of later information submittals.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to contin will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
46	264	Clarification. The description would benefit from some additional detail on "monitoring and testing" proposed to assess the cut-off point. Action requested: Provide additional detail on proposed monitoring and testing. Anticipate further discussion as it may be beneficial in development of later information submittals.	TMM intends to work with the MDNR through the Characterization Program to define the details o
47	268	Clarification. Ore mined during construction would be placed on a temporary stockpile. How long is temporary? Action requested: Provide some temporal definition to the term "temporary" in the document text.	See lines 636-644. Temporary in this context wo construction phase and two years during the op would be consumed through the process within

edited to: "development rock: Development rock is underground but outside the basal mineralized zone. It would be mined during the construction of the y throughout the Project."

emoved "sulfide barren" and clarified that hanging wall

glossary definition, the description of waste rock in line is expected to be rock from the basal mineralized zone

n volumes, TMM states that within the Mine Material igned with Minn. R. definition of waste rock.

the description of waste rock is intended to help a terms within this document. Generic or plain language to be legal or regulatory definitions, nor are they chensive and differing definitions and interpretations aw and rule. Regulatory definitions can be adopted in nt on definitions is complete. Documents requested by g-level analysis will adopt regulatory language as

tinued engagement during the EIS development and

the development of the Mine Materials on the monitoring and testing required.

vould be a maximum of four. Two years during the operations phase. "The pre-operational ore stockpile in the first two years of operations."

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
48	268	Clarification. The text identifies a number of project features in place during operations but does not mention the temporary surface crushing facility. This is identified in Figure 3-9 as well as in later text. The document should identify how this would work at the appropriate place? Are there impact avoidance features in the proposed design (e.g., containment of materials and dust; covered facility, or other features)? Action requested: Address the item and modify text as appropriate.	In Pre-Operation Ore Stockpile text has been ad dust and the containment of materials at the te
49	269-271	Clarification. The text is unclear as to where the collected contact water reports until the commissioning of the plant? Action requested: Provide clarification on the point and revise the text as needed.	Text has been edited to read: "The temporary reditching to direct flow of stormwater to the censtored until use in the processing circuit during stormwater in the central contact water pond n water)." Contact water at the site would be coll used underground during operations. The rest were utilized once the concentrator is commission store this water until the start of operations. This storage capacity is based on a preliminary were provided that TMM believes are adequate to sc expected to be updated during EIS development Section 2.0 to outline additional details that ma including details on water management and designations.
50	273	Usage. This text represents one of several instances where consistency across rock terms is needed. All rock is either ore or waste rock, with waste rock then being further classified as, for example, development or construction rock. Action requested: Please clarify the text consistent with rock definitions in Minn. Rules part 6132.0100, subp. 34.	See Comment 44
51	273	Clarification. During construction, a rock containing sulfides would not be classified as waste rock because it has a lower grade of sulfides than low-grade ore. Is it not still a sulfide bearing waste rock? Action requested: Respond to query and modify text as warranted.	See lines 265-269 and 281-284. Any material braconsidered ore and processed through the conc
52	273-275	Clarification. The fate of rock placed on the temporary storage facility is unclear. Does this mean that all of the rock placed on the temporary pile would be processed once operations begins? Action requested: Confirm that the cut-off grade changes between construction and operations. Modify text as needed to address the fate of rock placed on the temporary pile.	See lines 265-269 and 281-284. Any material broconsidered ore and processed through the conc construction and operations.
53	274-275	Question. Is it correct that during construction, rock is either barren or has sulfide mineralization, and if so, then would be ore (thus not dependent on cut-off grade, but on whether there is sulfide mineralization)? Action requested: Provide clarification and modify text so this is clear.	See lines 265-269 and 281-284. Any material broconsidered ore and processed through the conc construction and operations.

added to read: "BMPs such as water sprays to control temporary rock crushing facility would be included."

rock storage facility is a lined facility designed with entral contact water pond where it is collected and g commissioning and operations. The collected I may be used underground as necessary (e.g. drilling ollected at the central contact water pond and may be t would be stored for use as make-up water that would oned, the process water pond could also be used to

y water balance. Project descriptions have been scope analyses for the EIS. Project descriptions are ent to satisfy the EIS scope. Text has been added to hay be provided in updated project descriptions lesign or construction details of water management

brought to surface with sulfide mineralization would be ncentrator.

brought to surface with sulfide mineralization would be ncentrator. The cut-off grade does change between

brought to surface with sulfide mineralization would be ncentrator. The cut-off grade does change between

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
54	276	Clarification. Will there be both pre-operational ore and actual ore onsite at the same time, and if yes, how would this rock be managed? Has storage capacity been estimated and addressed in the design? Action requested: Address the item and modify text as warranted.	See Comment 99 for a detailed response. During will be ore conveyed to surface and added to the concentrator. The pre-operational ore stockpile from the pre-operational ore stockpile and fed t with ore that was currently being mined.
55	278-279	Question: Is there sufficient capacity underground during construction as drifts are excavated and before stopes are created? Action requested: Address the item and modify text as appropriate.	The referenced lines refer to the operation phas mine could start accepting engineered tailings b starts. Waste rock as necessary could also be ad before engineered tailings backfill is added. Add rock brought to surface during the construction
56	277-278	Clarification. Lines 250-251 state that there may be development or "construction" rock generated during operations. Does this align with this text? Action requested: Confirm and clarify text as warranted.	Development rock would be mined periodically point in time throughout the construction or op the surface; rock transported to surface would e concentrator) or development rock (and used as
57	281	RGU note. It would simplify and add clarity to simply state: "At no time would waste rock be brought to the surface," if it is assumed the current definition of waste rock remains. Action requested: Consider text revision once rock definitions are settled.	Text has been edited to read: "At no time would
58	281	Clarification. The text states no waste rock will be transported to the surface. When tunneling into the basal unit and encountering low grade ore (waste rock), where would it be placed if it cannot be transported to the surface? Action requested: Amend text as appropriate to address comment.	If low grade ore was encountered during construors ore stockpile and processed through the concent
59	281-284	Clarification. As noted previously, by definition in Minnesota Rules, this rock is waste. Action requested: Please clarify the text is consistent with rock definitions in Minn. Rules part 6132.0100, subp. 34.	See Comment 44.
60	281-284	Clarification. Rock that would be transported to the surface during construction would be considered waste rock during the operational phase. Action requested: Consider eliminating the statement "that no waste rock will be transported to the surface during construction and operational phases."	See Comment 57.
61	285-288	Clarification. The section appropriately has a focus on ARD potentials. Are there other non-targeted 285-288 metals (such as arsenic or similar) or other compounds in tailings? Action requested: Address the item and modify text as appropriate.	Text has been edited to read: "Metal leaching (N analyzed through kinetic testing as summarized
UI			Additionally the definition of tailings has been en the leftover finely ground (milled) ore after the removed."

ing start up and the first 2 years of operation, there the coarse or stockpile that then feeds the le is processed at this same time and is reclaimed d to the coarse ore stockpile where it would be mixed

ase. Capacity would be created and the underground backfill within approximately six months after mining added to mined out stopes in that same time period dditionally, refer to lines 263-275 for the treatment of on phase.

ly throughout the project. See lines 281-284. "At no operation phases would waste rock be transported to d either be classified as ore (and processed through the as construction aggregate)."

Id waste rock be brought to the surface"

truction it would be transferred to the pre-operational entrator as ore. See lines 263-275.

(ML) potential of the tailings is currently being ed in Section 5.1.3."

edited in the glossary to read: "tailings: Tailings are e desired minerals have been physically separated and

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
62	285-288	Information need. Further information is needed to confirm that potential ARD from waste rock stockpiles and tailings is avoided. Pre-construction, ore would be on the surface for a period of before it is processed and could produce ARD. There is also no detail on what could become low grade pre-operational ore, which cannot be processed, and may need to be disposed of. For tailings, additional information is needed to demonstrate 0.2% S tailings would not produce AMD. Action requested: Consider eliminating the statement that the potential for ARD has been avoided recognizing this will be an issue receiving detailed treatment in the EIS. Another approach is to identify "preliminary analysis suggests that; see Sections 5.1.3 and 5.3" or similar.	Text has been edited as requested to include: "F of the Project and the rock management strateg the two most common ARD sources associated stockpiles and ARD from tailings) has been avoid that test work results are summarized in Sectior
63	288	Clarification. The text reads: "the Project would not have permanent waste rock stockpiles on the surface" If there is no temporary waste rock storage, then the phrase "permanent waste rock" is not needed. Action requested: Please clarify and revise the text to be consistent with other changes to rock classification and management terminology.	Text has been edited to remove permanent, so not have waste rock stockpiles on surface, due t of ore, thus avoiding the potential for ARD from been applied universally to be consistent with th data submittal.
64	292	Clarification. The text reads: "the Project would recover most sulfides from the ore, producing tailings with sulfur less than 0.2% S." Whether the tailings have less than 0.2% S or produce no AMD is yet to be determined. Also to be determined is the potential for release of trace metals in neutral drainage. Action requested: Consider eliminating the statement that the potential for ARD has been avoided recognizing this will be an issue receiving detailed coverage in the EIS. Another approach is to state "preliminary analysis suggests that; see Sections 5.1.3 and 5.3" or similar.	See Comment 62.
65	292	RGU note. Assertions from Lines 285 to 295, much of which is based on Section 5.1.3, will likely receive detailed analysis during the EIS. Information in this section will eventually be cross-referenced to its proposed treatment in the SEAW and draft scoping decision. No action requested.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to conti will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
66	294	Clarification. The word "concentration" is missing from this line of text: "demonstrated that sulfur content at this to be non-acid generating (testwork results" Action requested: Modify text to address the item by substituting "content" with "concentration."	Text has been edited to read: "has demonstra acid generating"
67	296	Information need. A detailed project water flow diagram will be crucial. The design flow will need to define the design storms for all of the various water holding and collection systems. For holding ponds, long duration storms will govern; but for collection systems/ditches/diversions, short-duration high-intensity storms are likely to govern the design. Various storm types will need to be evaluated. Action requested: Ensure the applicable Future Scope section(s) address the item as appropriate. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. Project descriptions have been provided that TN EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upd Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ac project descriptions including details on process

"Preliminary analysis suggests that through the design eegy, the potential for acrid rock drainage (ARD) from d with mines of this type (ARD from waste rock oided." Additionally, the end of the paragraph states ion 5.1.3.

to the sentence now reads: "First, the Project would e to the underground mining and processing strategy om waste rock stockpiles on surface." This change has in the definition of waste rock presented in the SEAW

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

rated that sulfur concentration at this level to be non-

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated ess water flow.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
68	302-305	Clarification. To be more clear and distinguish water routing from the underground mine, it makes sense to identify the source(s) of water leaving the mine (principally mine water inflow) being routed to the plant site. The rest of the cycle involving the plant site and tailings management site would be described followed by the Birch Lake reservoir reference. Action requested: Modify text as recommended. In general, there will need to be a text description of the content of Figure 3-3 prepared.	The details of water routing from the undergrous shown on Figure 3-3 are provided in the Water I Line 302 is within the Overview of the Water Ma description occurs in the Water Management Pl
69	302-305	RGU note. DNR will request an analysis to determine whether treatment of circulated water is needed to prevent the build-up of chemical constituents in the water, which could affect use in the processing circuit. No action requested. This will be assessed as a future information need to be identified in the proposed EIS scope.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to conti will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
70	311	Project definition. The text states that stormwater and surface water "would be diverted." Would any of this diverted water be used in the process? Action requested: Modify text with sentence added at the end answering the question whether "yes" or "no" about use in the process.	Text has been edited to read: "Stormwater and diverted, following natural drainage patterns to the site. This water would be classified as non-co process water."
71	314	Definition. The definitions include construction stormwater, contact water and noncontact water, but does not include industrial stormwater. Industrial stormwater (ISW) would include stormwater that contacts any industrial activity, which differentiates it from the defined "contact water" but also would be different from the defined "noncontact" water that only seems to refer to upstream water that is diverted to prevent run-on. Conversely, it is possible that "contact water" is intended to encompass all industrial stormwater on the site? It is also noted that SDS-Industrial Stormwater Permit is listed in Item 8. Action requested: Consider the item and modify text as appropriate.	The details of water routing from the undergrous shown on Figure 3-3 are provided in the Water I 1099). Text has been added stating "TMM is continuing construction stormwater, industrial stormwater definitions during the EIS process after engager impact analyses and inform permitting." Additionally, Project descriptions are expected t EIS scope. Text has been added to Section 2.0 to updated project descriptions including details on
72	314	Regulatory guidance. Activities at the site would transition from generating construction stormwater to generating industrial stormwater. At some times these construction and industrial stormwater activities will overlap. There will need to be a plan for the transition between these two activities, which are defined and regulated differently. Action requested: Modify text to address the item. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. Project descriptions have been provided that TN EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upd Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ac project descriptions including details on water d
73	329	Clarification. The text identifies the priority sources for process water. Requesting clarification about whether runoff from mining areas would be a source of process water? Action requested: If the answer is "yes," then modify text accordingly.	Contact water is defined in lines 317-319 and we would potentially come in contact with ore or tawater source line 331).

ound mine, as well as more detail about all the flows r Management Plan in Section 3 (starting in line 1099). Management and Water Balance, while further Plant in Section 3 (starting line 1099).

tinued engagement during the EIS development and

Id surface water from outside the site would be to the extent possible, so it does not mix with water on -contact water and would not be used as a source of

ound mine, as well as more detail about all the flows er Management Plan in Section 3 (starting on line

ing to evaluate regulatory classification of water as er, and wastewater. TMM will begin to use these ement with agencies to improve the precision of

d to be updated during EIS development to satisfy the to outline additional details that may be provided in on water definitions.

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated r definitions.

would include direct precipitation or stormwater that tailings. This contact water would be used as a process

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
74	350-352	Clarification. The text reads: Water from mine inflowand water that could not be used immediatelywould be stored in ponds" It seems like process water would not be needed until the concentrator is operative, which is estimated to be at least 2 years after mine construction and dewatering starts. How will all this water be held for that time, including winter snow melt? In the ponds "across the site?" Action requested: Address the item and modify text as appropriate.	See Comment 49 for a discussion on how water contact area. See Comment 71 as the project ha continuing to evaluation regulatory classification During construction, precipitation (including sno non-contact water will be discharged in complian and during construction the stored contact water for example for underground drilling and dust su construction period to inform pond design for ad
75	361	Clarification. The text indicates that the instantaneous rate of pumping would be 800 gpm. Provide an explanation on how this was determined. Action requested: Provide how this was calculated. Modify text as appropriate.	This rate was estimated using a preliminary wate balance modeling outlined in Section 6.3.1.
76	359	Information need. Greater detail needs to be provided on the proposed appropriation Birch Lake, especially on timing and related range of volumes. Any seasonality in withdrawals needs to be understood. Action requested: Modify text to better describe proposed appropriations from Birch Lake.	Project descriptions have been provided that TM EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upda Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ad project descriptions including details on water a
77	363	Clarification. The text provide a comparison to a garden hose output to provide context for understanding 800 gpm. DNR considers the typical flow rate from ½" – ¾" garden hoses ranges from 10-15 gpm. Action requested: Modify text to match this rate or provide a different example for comparison.	Text has been edited to read: "put the withdra equivalent to approximately 50 to 80 garden hos
78	362	Clarification. It is possible that during periods of drought or low flow, surface water appropriations may be suspended. Other surface ponds would also likely be deficient during this time. Do plans call for the filling of secondary ponds from Birch Lake during drier conditions so that there is stored water is surface appropriations are suspended? Action requested: Provide response and modify text as appropriate.	Based on a preliminary water balance, TMM doe during drier conditions. As the water balance is r assessed the need for secondary ponds will be re TMM will research regulatory options for withdr
79	414-416	Inquiry. The text indicates that rock from drilling of the ventilations raise(s) would be handled as development rock. Question: Is it already known that the ventilation raises would not pass through any sulfide mineralized rock? If not, is it possible there could be waste rock/rock to be processed as ore brought to the surface at that time? In other words, it seems unlikely that all decline-construction-rock would be classified as developmental rock; some may be low-grade ore. Action requested: Clarify and modify text with answer.	The drilled rock would be handled in the same m phase. See lines 263-269. "During the construction approach the BMZ, mined rock would be monitor sulfide mineralization begins. When sulfide miner the development rock. During the construction p handled as ore."
80	459-471	RGU note. DNR will need to understand the basis for the proposed 40:60 stope-to-pillar ratio with the project. No action requested. Future discussion item."	Comment is noted.

er is stored from the temporary rock storage facility has not identified industrial stormwater and is ion of water.

nowmelt) classified as construction stormwater and liance with permits. Only contact water will be stored, ater will be used to meet construction water demand, suppression. Water balance modeling will include the adequate capacity.

ater balance and will be updated based on water

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated r appropriations.

Irawal into context, 800 gallons per minute is noses..."

loes not anticipate the need for secondary ponds is refined, design storms are detailed, and impacts are e re-evaluated during EIS preparation and if necessary, adrawal during low flow conditions.

e manner as the other rock during the construction ction phase, as the mine declines and ventilation raises itored and tested to determine the cut-off point where ineralization begins, this would represent the "end" of n phase rock with sulfide mineralization would be

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
81	488	Clarification. Greater detail should be provided on the ventilation raise sites, including surface infrastructure, heating requirements, propane storage, etc. Table 3-2 identifies 15 acres of total covertype conversion to accommodate the sites and roads. Action required: Supplement text with the requested detail. For example, a description of the features provided on Figure 3-4.	Text has been added to read: "To heat the mine, located on the surface at ventilation raise site 2. pass through a direct-fired propane heater befor tank storage facility for the heater stations woul stations. The facility would include multiple prop determined by the contracted propane supply co consumption for a minimum of three days."
			Additionally refer to Lines 402-416 for details or operations.
82	498-500	Clarification. Minn Rules part 6132.3200, subp. 2(4)c requires that all other equipment, facilities, and structures shall be removed and foundations razed and <u>covered with a minimum of two feet of</u> <u>surface overburden</u> . Action requested: Revise text to include the overburden requirement (including throughout document for similar occurrences).	Text has been edited to read: "During reclamatic structures. Foundations that are above-grade or broken and buried in place and covered with a m
83	501	Clarification. Regarding non-hazardous demolition debris, it is reasonable to presume that all demolition debris would be appropriately disposed. Detail should be provided on how waste would be characterized and sorted for proper disposal (e.g., sorting any hazardous from non-hazardous). Action requested: Modify text to include the sorting methodology.	See Comment 161. It is expected that demolition would follow the same procedure as the plant si
84	503-508	Additional information. The text indicates that it is expected some equipment could be left underground at closure. How will the determination be made that equipment does or does not have the potential to impact groundwater quality? Action requested: Provide additional detail and modify text accordingly. DNR takes the opportunity to note that all equipment should be planned for removal.	Text has been edited to remove equipment and which has no potential to impact future groundw not be economically removed and recovered." T support (bolting and/or shotcrete) is not intende closure would be conducted pursuant to an appr
85	508	Clarification. A criteria for proposing to leave equipment underground includes "could not be economically removed and recovered." This needs further clarity/discussion. Action requested: Please modify text to incorporate the requested information.	See Comment 84.
86	513-514	Clarification. Wouldn't workings that had been backfilled also passively fill with groundwater? Please clarify. Action requested: Modify text as warranted.	Text had been edited to read: "After removal of underground workings, backfilled stopes would groundwater levels progressively rise to pre-Proj

ne, TMM would use propane gas-fired air heaters 2. Fresh air would initially enter the heater station and fore being ducted to the main intake raise. A propane ould be located in close proximity to both heater ropane tanks. Tank sizing and quantity would be y company and would be based on peak propane

on construction and Lines 479-488 for details on

ition, TMM would demolish surface ventilation or buried 0 to 2 ft (0 to 0.6 m) below grade would be a minimum of two feet of surface overburden."

ion waste management at the underground mine area site.

nd re-written to read: "Underground infrastructure adwater quality could be left underground if it could ' TMM takes the opportunity to note that ground aded to be removed from the mine. Reclamation and oproved plan under Minn. R. 6132.

of equipment and infrastructure from the Id be allowed to passively fill with groundwater as roject conditions after mine operations cease."

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
			As defined in the glossary, underground working haulage areas, drifts, stopes, and ventilation rais below ground surface.
87	513-514	Clarification. It appears that not all areas of the underground workings would be either backfilled with waste rock or with tailings. The text should expressly identify any areas would not be backfilled and proposed treatment in closure. Action requested: Modify text as appropriate	The engineered tailings backfill would be used to backfill is not proposed for ramps, haulage area backfilling of areas other than stopes has not be on the current analysis.
			As stated in lines 512-515, the plan would be to groundwater as groundwater levels progressive cease.
88	514	Clarification. DNR's preliminary understanding was there is no groundwater at mine level. Why would groundwater levels rise to pre-mine levels at closure? Action requested: Provide response.	Groundwater exists at the mine level, however a discussion on Description Hydrogeologic Units (I Conductivity (lines 3381-3418) for discussion on
89	516-521	Clarification. Regarding closure of the portal and upper segment of the declines, Minn Rules part 6132.3200, subp. 2 (1) requires that "Access to underground mines shall be properly sealed as approved by the commissioner and county mine inspector." Action requested: Revise text to indicate this is the proposed method, subject to approval by the DNR commissioner and the county mine inspector.	Text had been edited to read: "Once closure act completed and approved pursuant to federal an upper segment of the declines and at the portal would be covered with a granular cover layer, al revegetation of the portal area."
90	522-523	Clarification. Presume that the backfilled areas of the portals would also be monitored for potential subsidence. Action requested: Revise text as needed.	Text had been edited to read: "Post-closure mai and monitoring the portal, ventilation raise sites confirm closure integrity and lack of subsidence
91	526	Clarification. Based on this description, it would be useful and improve clarity for figure 3-1 to include a box labeled "tailings management site" surrounding the tailings dewatering, engineered tailings backfill, and the dry stack facility. Action requested: A comment is provided at Figure 3-1.	See Comment 751.
92	548	Clarification. The nomenclature of the term "temporary" as in stockpiles is potentially confusing. Generally a stockpile present throughout the life of the project should not have a "temporary" classification. Is the adjective "temporary" necessary in the name of the project feature? Action requested: Consider dropping "temporary" from the name. If there some kind of non-temporal value believed necessary, choose a different term. Modify text as appropriate.	The pre-operational ore stockpile would exist du Project operations. The overflow ore stockpile w during shutdowns of the underground mine. Use
93	555	Clarification. Additional detail needed on above ground rock crushing conducted during the construction period and early operations. Action requested: Modify text with additional detail.	See Comment 108.
94	552-553	Clarification. Text reads that use of development rock, including crushing, would be evaluated through "testing to prove its geochemical suitability." Instead of using the term "testing" more precise to state: "after adequate <u>characterization</u> to prove its geochemical suitability." Action requested: Revise text.	Text has been edited to read: "The developmen adequate characterization to prove its geochem

ings include: all underground excavations (i.e., ramps, aises) beginning at the point the decline or raise goes

to backfill the mined out stopes. Engineered tailings eas, drifts, and ventilation raises. Engineered tailings been determined to be geotechnically required based

to allow the underground workings to passively fill with ve rise to pre-Project conditions after mine operations

r at a very low hydraulic conductivity. Please refer to (lines 3282-3380) and Site-Specific Hydraulic on groundwater levels.

activities in the underground workings have been and state regulations, fill would be placed within the tal as a barrier to block mine re-entry. The barrier , above which rooting soil would be placed to support

naintenance would consist of vegetation monitoring tes, and above first 2,000 feet of mine decline to ce."

during Project construction and the first two years of e would exist intermittently to feed the concentrator Jse of the word "temporary" is accurate.

ent rock would be used as construction aggregate after emical suitability."

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
95	562	Future action. As proposed the temporary rock storage facility would be lined and store pre- operational ore, and early operation ore, without any type of "enclosure" structure. The feasibility of such a measure or some other containment will likely undergo future consideration. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to conti will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
96	564-567	Future action. The text identifies the rock storage facility is lined with water management features. What would be done with water collected at the temporary rock storage facility during the construction phase? Would treatment be available during construction, or would water that comes in contact with potentially AMD producing rock need to be stored until treatment is available? Action requested: Modify text to address the questions. Future discussion item.	See Comment 49.
97	570	Future action. As proposed the Project places the temporary crushing facility on the surface. The feasibility of having the pre-operational and early operational rock be crushed underground will likely undergo future consideration. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to contiwing will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
98	572	DNR notes the importance of understanding the two-year period of surface rock crushing, especially in terms of layout, design, and staging, in order to assess the treatment of potential impacts in the EIS. No specific action requested, however next data submittal should provide particular focus for this part of the project.	Additional text has been added in response to C
99	576	Clarification. Would "new" ore that is not from construction be added to overflow ore stock pile while the construction ore is still being managed? Action requested: Provide response and modify text as appropriate.	The pre-operational ore stockpile would be exhaused to store ore in the ore overflow ore stockpoverflow ore stockpile would not exist at the same
100	585-586	Clarification. Commissioning of the plant would involve some amount of processing ore. Consider when Year-1 ends and Year 1 begins. Action requested: Modify text if needed to accommodate the point. If no change needed, please explain.	Text has been added to state: "Some ore or rock processing circuits or mechanical completion ch through all circuits in the concentrator and filter of Year 1) and the beginning of production ramp See Figure 3-2. Commissioning and ramp-up of t commercial production starting at the end of Q2 mechanical checks and wet commissioning ahea from the pre-operational ore stockpile for these
101	601	Clarification. The listing should also include "contracted mobile equipment for services." Action requested: Modify text.	Text has been edited to read: "mobile equipm employee bussing, snow removal, and contracte

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

Comment 108.

whausted before the temporary rock storage facility is kpile. The pre-operational ore stockpile and the same time.

ock may be required for commissioning individual checks, however first run-of-mine ore processed ter plant would denote the start of operations (Day 1 mp-up."

of the concentrator begins in Q3 of Year-1 with Q2 of Year 1. Initial commissioning would include lead of first run-of-mine ore. Ore would be available ese mechanical checks and wet commissioning.

ment for services that TMM plans to contract such as cted mobile equipment."

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Mo
102	604-605	Nomenclature. Review of the document in general seems to reveal that names of stockpiles and storage facilities change between phases of the mine (e.g., overflow ore vs temporary rock storage). To introduce some consistency across project phases, as an example could the temporary rock storage facility be named the ore storage facility? Another example would be the coarse ore storage facility, which is separate and distinct (outside the footprint of the temporary rock storage)? Action requested: Consider the possibility of a more uniform naming system for the project features; implement any that are immediately feasible. Future discussion item.	The temporary rock storage facility is the footport of the footport of the footport of the overflow of the ove
103	604-605	Question. Why is pre-operational ore stockpile separate from overflow ore stockpile? Different because one is crushed? Clarify. Action requested: Modify text to make distinction clearer. May need to refine definitions in the glossary.	Text has been added to the Temporary Rock Sto stockpile and the overflow ore stockpile would but the stockpiles would occur at different time would contain blasted ore before it is crushed a first two years of Project operations. The overfle exist at the temporary rock storage facility after overflow ore stockpile is much smaller than the intermittently utilized based on Project mainter overflow ore stockpile are discussed in more de The descriptions of both the pre-operational or been updated in response to multiple other con
104	611	Clarification. How is ore moved from overflow ore stockpile to coarse ore stockpile? Action requested: Address item by modifying text to read: "would be supplemented via ??? with ore from the pre-operational stockpile"	See Lines 645-658 for discussion on the overflow to read: "ore in the overflow ore stockpile woul conveyor, transferred to the coarse ore stockpil stockpile, along the same conveyors as the pre-
105	614	Clarification. The text indicates the coarse ore stockpile would have a concrete floor. Is this the same for the reclaim area (with conveyor)? Also for both, identify measures in the design to protect groundwater. Action requested: Address item and modify text as appropriate.	Text has been edited to read: "The coarse ore st reclaim area in a concrete tunnel underneath th structure." Measures to protect groundwater include cover dome which would prevent infiltration of precip stockpile and reclaim area underlain by concret
106	629	Nomenclature. Section starts by reading: " <u>Throughout the life of the project</u> , two stockpiles would be managed on the temporary rock storage facility." Another example of potentially confusing nomenclature around the use of the term "temporary." Action requested: Consider dropping "temporary" from the name. If there some kind of non-temporal value believed necessary, choose a different term. Modify text as appropriate.	See Comment 92.

tprint and infrastructure that would support both the w ore stockpile which both would be placed on it.

Storage Facility sub-section: "The pre-operational ore Id both be placed on the temporary rock storage facility mes of the Project. The pre-operational ore stockpile d and would exist during Project construction and the rflow ore stockpile would be crushed ore and would ter processing the pre-operational ore stockpile. The he pre-operational ore stockpile and would be tenance. The pre-operational ore stockpile and the detail in the following two sub-sections."

ore stockpile and the overflow ore stockpile have both omments.

low ore stockpile. In this section text has been edited buld be reclaimed by front end load, loaded onto a spile feed conveyor and conveyed to the coarse ore re-operational ore stockpile was reclaimed."

e stockpile would have a concrete working floor with a the working floor, and a covered geodesic dome

vering the entire coarse ore stockpile with a geodesic cipitation into the ore and having the entire coarse ore rete reducing potential impacts to groundwater.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
107	636	Question. Pre-operational Ore stockpile - this stockpile would be present for the 30 months of construction as well as during the first two years of operation. Does/will the hydrologic model account for volumes that could accumulate during this extended period? Action requested: Answer the question and modify text as appropriate.	This will be part of the surface water supplemer has been edited in Section 6.3.1 to read: "The co groundwater, for all Project operations, includin water balance model."
108	640	Guidance. More detailed information is needed for the two years of operations for the above- ground temporary rock crushing facility to identify potential impacts. Design and detailed location, how ore would be moved from the stockpile to the crusher, and then to the coarse ore stockpile needs to be easily understood. Action requested: Modify text to provide additional clarity. May need to consider a specific figure or figures to demonstrate what will be occurring. Future discussion item.	Text has been edited to read: "Ore extracted from would be trucked from the underground mine a ore stockpile within the temporary rock storage ready to process ore, a front-end loader would p bins that direct ore into the mobile jaw crusher facility) which are located next to the temporar onto the reclaim conveyor that leads to the tran feed conveyor, joining the run-of-mine ore and the Refer to Figure 3-9 for conveyor lay-out and for
109	636 - 644	Clarification. Regarding materials handled at the pre-operational ore stockpile, would any low-grade ore that cannot be processed be transported to the surface during construction? If yes, what is the plan for how the rock would be handled separately from pre-operational ore that would be processed? If no, why? Action requested: Supplement the existing text to clarify the treatment of "low-grade ore." If this is an issue of rock classification, make it clear how this is addressed.	See Comment 41 for response regarding lean/lo regarding discussion on material brought to the
110	636 - 644	Clarification. The text should be expanded to provide more information on pre-operational ore handling and processing, and address whether pre-operational ore would need to be segregated by ore quality. Action requested: Modify text.	See Comment 108 for text edits. At this stage, th
111	636 - 644	Clarification. The text should elaborate on oxidation or other potential issues that could affect the processing of the pre-operational ore, and whether it could prevent some of the ore from being processed. Action requested: Modify text.	Pre-operational ore would not be crushed befor that minimal oxidation would occur to pre-oper

ental scope, specifically the water balance model. Text combined hydrologic regime, both surface water and ding construction and closure, will be simulated using a

from mine development during the construction stage e and be temporarily stockpiled in the pre-operational ge facility. Once the concentrator is commissioned and d place the stockpiled ore into temporary crusher feed er (together called the temporary surface crushing rary rock storage facility. The crusher would place ore ransfer station before being placed on the coarse ore d finally feeding the coarse or stockpile."

or location of the temporary surface crushing facility.

/low grade ore and Comment 51 for response ne surface during construction.

, there are no plans to segregate ore based on quality.

ore it would be stockpiled. Therefore it is expected erational ore and processing would not be impacted.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
112	650	Clarification. How would crushed overflow ore be moved to the stockpile from the crusher and then back to the coarse ore stockpile? Understanding this part of the project allows insights on assessment of potential impacts from dust and dust control, spillage, and water management. Action requested: Modify text to address the item as appropriate.	Text has been edited to read: "The conveyor tra from the main decline conveyor to one of two c or 2) the overflow ore stockpile feed conveyor. temporarily full, crushed ore would be diverted conveyed to the overflow ore stockpile. If there space available in the coarse ore stockpile, ore i front end load, loaded onto a conveyor, transfe conveyed to the coarse ore stockpile, along the was reclaimed. The overflow ore stockpile woul schedule of both the underground mine and the
			Measures to mitigate potential impacts are designed would be covered; Conveyors would be covered points, as needed, to control dust." Additionally outlines the management of water in these area
113	636 - 658	Question. Why is the pre-operational ore stockpile / overflow ore stockpile not covered like the coarse ore stock pile? Action requested: Provide a rationale for not covering this project feature.	The ore in the pre-operational ore stockpile is n be used intermittently. Note while these are no both these stockpiles would be located - is lined
114	696	Clarification. Please confirm the gravity concentrate <u>only</u> recovers platinum, palladium, and gold as target metals. Cobalt and silver are recovered from the two flotation circuits, along with copper and nickel. Action requested: Confirmation.	See lines 668-673. With gravity concentration T and gold, but it's worth noting that: 1) the gravity concentrate may recover some sil 2) TMM has found instances where gold and silv
115	718-732	Clarification. The text indicates reagents would be used in the copper flotation circuit. What type of reagents added? Action requested: Include complete listing.	See Table 7-2 Process Reagents for reagents use
116	790	Clarification. This section on reclamation of the plant site does not address decommissioning the various contact water ponds, any contaminated soils, and water management in terms of where the latter would be routed. Also no mention of vegetation type. Because the site is near the water, the impact on run-off water quality and quantity that reaches the lake is dependent on what is replanted and how permeable the site is. For example, conversion from forested to grassland vegetation can influence surface water run-off quality and quantity. Action requested: Modify text to provide detail as currently envisioned. If necessary, identify as a future information need in appropriate Future Scope section(s).	Text has been edited to read - relating to contac promote proper runoff and drainage. Pond liner landfill for disposal. Additional soil cover would cover thickness over remaining buried infrastruc to Comment 119.
117	797-798	Clarification. DNR notes Minn. Rules part 6132.3200, subp. 2(4)c, requires that all other equipment, facilities, and structures shall be removed and foundations razed and covered with a minimum of two feet of surface overburden. Action requested: Revise text to include the overburden requirement.	Text has been edited to read: "Building foundati grade or buried 0 to 2 ft (0 to 0.6 m) below grad with a minimum of two feet of surface overburc

ransfer system on surface has the ability to divert ore conveyor: 1) the coarse ore stockpile feed conveyor r. During operations when the coarse ore stockpile is ed to the overflow ore stockpile feed conveyor and re is ore in the overflow ore stockpile and there is e in the overflow ore stockpile would be reclaimed by ferred to the coarse ore stockpile feed conveyor and us same conveyors as the pre-operational ore stockpile uld exist intermittently, based on the maintenance he concentrator."

escribed in lines 1706-1709: "The coarse ore stockpile ed and water sprays would be provided at transfer Ily, Plant Site Contact Water Management section reas.

not crushed and the overflow ore stockpile would only not covered the temporary rock storage facility - where ed.

TMM is targeting the recovery of platinum, palladium,

silver, and ilver occur together as an electrum in Maturi ore.

sed by the Project.

tact water ponds: "Building areas would be graded to hers and other debris would be hauled to a licensed Id be imported as needed to provide sufficient soil ructure. " Additionally, text has been edit in response

ation walls and equipment foundations that are aboveade would be broken and buried in place and covered arden."

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
118	804-808	Advisory. Project-related changes in surface hydrology and wetlands at the plant site will need to be fully understood. Whether the closure condition results in return to the pre-project hydrology, or some derivative thereof, is necessary to estimate any permanent impacts on aquatic habitat such as Keeley Creek and wetlands. This will be a factor in determining the EIS's treatment of these issues in scoping. Future discussion item.	This is highlighted in Section 8.3.2. specifically "Fassessed using results from the future scope for
119	815	Clarification. What type of cover would be restored? Because this part of the site is within shoreland management area, the type of vegetative cover is important for water quality and can be a factor in degree of change to runoff quality and quantity, and impacts to aquatic habitat. Action requested: Address the item and modify the text as determined appropriate.	Text has been edited to read: "Reclamation of the management infrastructure to control erosion a planned plant site post-closure surface topograp would serve as a growth medium for revegetation revegetation would be confirmed based on refe plant communities have been selected consider plant communities in the project region. The tar a range of mixed hardwood pine forest to jack p
120	821-823	Clarification. The tailings dewatering plant seems to be a series of buildings as in figure 3-13. Consider labeling the figure to coincide with the text or alter definitions. Action requested: Comment submitted on Figure 3-13.	See Comment 762.
121	826-828	Glossary. The reclamation material stockpile should be defined in the glossary. Action requested: Add to glossary.	Glossary revised in response to Comment 27.
122	843	Future action. RGU notes there are specific methodologies for the siting of dry stack facilities. During consideration of potential locational alternatives, it will be necessary to describe how the site location was determined, including the methodology and parameters used in that siting. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to continuity will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
123	845	Clarification. First sentence. Remove the word "start." Action requested: Edit.	Text has been edited to read: "The dry stack fact to east and development would occur during the years of the operation phase."
124	848	Operations. Trucking tailings is not recommended during periods of precipitation. Action requested: Incorporate text that addresses the item.	See lines 954-958: "Placement at the dry stack fa (below 5 degrees Fahrenheit) would be avoided cake at temperatures below 5 degrees Fahrenhe compaction and thus preference would be to av
125	849	Clarification. The K value spec for the compacted tails should be provided. Sentence would read: "placement on the drystack facility where it would be dozed into place and compacted with mobile equipment <u>to a projected K value specification of X</u> ." Action requested: Make edit with K value included.	The K value of compacted tailings is still being ev Project descriptions have been provided that TN EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upd

"Potential impacts to aquatic resources will be or water resources outlined in Section 6.3."

f the plant site would include use of water and stormwater quality, quantity, and rates. Once the raphy is established, reclamation cover materials that ation would be placed. Plant communities selected for efference site and revegetation plot findings. Until then, ering climate change and the anticipated evolution of target plant community at the plant site would include < pine barrens."

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

acility would be developed in three stages from west the construction phase and continue through the 25

k facility during wet periods or during cold periods ed as much as practicable. Placement of tailings filter wheit increases the likelihood of re-handling and reavoid placement at that time."

evaluated.

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the pdated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
126		Clarification. Neither the document nor the figure appear to identify where contact water ditch and groundwater cut-off wall would be. It will be necessary to depict these features so that the resulting flow patterns can be assessed for potential impacts. Action requested: Address the item and modify the text and figure(s) as determined appropriate.	A contact water ditch label was added to Figure the perimeter haul road and the contact water o typical cross-section that include the road, grou Lines 1385-1399 in the text describe the location
127	857-858	Clarification. The text reads: "for as long as possible to delay impacts." It is unclear what "impacts" are being delayed. If for example that site clearing would be limited to each stage of footprint development, then the impacts related to covertype conversion would too occur in stages over the operational life of the project. Action requested: Provide clarity in the text as to what specific impacts are being delayed.	Delayed impacts would be related to delays in la 861. Text has been edited to read: "This staged stack facility for as long as practical to delay imp
128	863-865	Clarification. The text indicates the likelihood of areas with exposed bedrock. Is blasting of the bedrock expected to occur at the DSF? Action requested: Describe need or reason blasting won't occur. Modify text to address the issue "yes" or "no."	Text has been edited to read: "The majority of t blasting may occur in high reliefs areas and sect depending on elevation."
129	864	Question. Is 6 inches of sand adequate for a liner foundation over bedrock, especially if bedrock is sharp or jagged? Action requested: Provide response and modify text as warranted.	If there are areas that engineers recommend a d
130	872	Clarification/information need. There are no design or construction details of the ponds, for example volume. They seem to be bermed, which leads to the question of whether these would constitute some type of failure risk to downslope public waters? Most of these ponds are just uphill from public waters so the design is important. Action requested: Address the item and modify text as determined appropriate. Ensure the Future Scope section(s) identify the design specifications of these ponds and relevant engineered features are captured.	Project descriptions have been provided that TN EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upd Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ad project descriptions including design or constru-
131	874	Correction. Figure 3-17 does not appear to be the correct figure. Consider Figure 3-13. Action requested: Revise as needed.	Correct. Figure reference has been edited.
132	880	Clarification. Fig. 3-13 does not identify all components of water management infrastructure such as the contact water ditch. It also shows a culvert from the dry stack facility to an area that does not have a contact water pond. On Fig 3-31, this culvert is shown between the label for "E-house Switchyard" and the label for "Emergency Pond." Action requested: Because this text specifically summarizes the content on Figure 3-13 (the correct reference), modify text and or figure to address the item. Action requested: A comment is provided at Comment 3-13.	See Comment 763.
133	886	Clarification. Is characterizing the tailings filter cake as being "dry" a common terminology for a product exhibiting a 13% to 16% moisture content? Action requested: Provide response and modify text as warranted.	"Dry" is common industry terminology used to c

re 3-13. The groundwater cutoff wall occurs between er ditch, we recommend reviewing Figure 3-20 to see a bundwater cutoff wall, and the contact water ditch. ion of the groundwater cutoff wall.

n land clearing and grubbing discussed on lines 860ed approach would minimize the footprint of the dry mpacts related to clearing and grubbing."

f the area is expected to be fill, however localized ections of the contact water ditches may be blasted

deeper bed layer additional fill will be used.

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated ruction details of water management features.

describe tailings filter cake.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
134	886	Information request. What is the moisture content of these tailings when saturated? Action requested: Provide response.	Project descriptions have been provided that TN EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upd Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ac project descriptions including operation details
135	886	Information request. What is the degree of saturation of 15% moisture of these tailings? Action requested: Provide response.	Project descriptions have been provided that TN EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upd Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ac project descriptions including operation details
136	886	Operations. DNR notes these are optimal moisture contents. Dry Stack operations commonly do not achieve this level during the first year or two of operation and depart from this level during system upsets such as precipitation, snow, or high humidity. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	The feasibility of dry stacking tailings as propose criteria and engineering analyses. TMM propose not favorable. TMM looks forward to continued
137	888-891	Clarification. The text indicates a feature of the binder would be to "minimize movement of water" through the engineered backfill. Question: Is the hydraulic conductivity of the engineered tailings backfill known? Action requested: If yes, the text could be modified to read: "increase structural integrity, minimize movement of water (estimated K = X), and enhance"; also a sentence could be added on how it compares to the natural, undisturbed K values of the unmined surrounding material. If no, this is likely a future information need and point of discussion.	The K value of the engineered tailings backfill is Project descriptions have been provided that TM EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upda
138	905	Clarification. The design and construction of emergency pond is not clear. This is the only time it is mentioned except on Fig. 3-13. Action requested: Provide text to address the item.	Text has been edited to read: "The emergency p approved alternate geomembrane liner over a 1 liner; the soil layer would be compacted to mee not more than 1 x 10-6 centimeters per second
139	917	Clarification. Are conveyors covered? Action requested: Provide response. Modify text if warranted.	Text has been edited to read: "The filter cake we to either the backfill plant or the filter cake store
140	919 - 921	Clarification. The text indicates the load out building is being designed with a capacity to house 1.5 days of tailings production. A sentence should be provided that explains the basis for this capacity, especially in the event that tailings cannot be placed. In addition, if there are circumstances where it may be too small to house all tailings, the text should explain where the tailings would be stored before they are placed on the dry stack. Action requested: Address the issue and modify text as appropriate.	See lines 949-958. With placement of engineere flexibility of the overall tailings management sys required. The filtered tailings is not expected to air.
141	920	Clarification. The text indicates the load out building is being designed with a capacity to house 1.5 days of tailings production. 1.5 days of storage provides a small margin considering that dry stack tailings cannot be deposited in severe cold, during snow melt, and at other times of liquid precipitation, which can last for days. In addition, would the heated tailings draw moisture from the air while in storage? Action requested: Address the issue and modify text as appropriate.	Same as Comment 140.

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that will be provided in updated Is of the dry stack facility.

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that will be provided in updated Is of the dry stack facility.

osed by TMM is high based upon widely accepted oses to backfill stopes when dry stacking conditions are ed dialogue with the MDNR on this technology.

is still being evaluated.

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope.

v pond would be lined with a 60 mil HPDE or engineera 1-ft (300-mm) thick, low-permeability, compacted soil eet maximum hydraulic conductivity requirements of d (cm/sec)."

would be transported via covered short-run conveyors orage and loadout building."

ered tailings backfill underground increases the system and reduces the tailings storage capacity to gain any appreciable amount of moisture from the

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
142	927	Clarification: Confirming the correct term for the backfilled tailings is "thickened tailings" and not "paste tailings." Thickened tailings are less dense than paste tailings. Action requested: Address the issue and modify text as appropriate.	As referred to in line 927, engineered tailings ba cake. This is done to achieve the desired moistu- it remains pumpable and still achieves the requi
143	937	Closure. DNR notes that given the final design height of the dry stack facility, it would likely be a source of ongoing dust generation, even after closure. Even with a good topsoil, it would be difficult to maintain a good vegetative cover, especially during droughts. Action requested: Address the issue and modify text as appropriate.	Comment is noted. TMM will not address specu engaging the MDNR on the details of air quality
144	938	Clarification. Based on local elevation data (see also Figure 10-1), the statement "similar to hills in the area" is not particularly accurate. Action requested: Consider eliminating the sentence or provide a rationale to warrant retaining it. There is no apparent rationale from the lake view projected in Figure 10-1.	Text edited sentence removed.
145	943	Clarification. The K value spec for the compacted tails should be provided. Action requested: Make edit with K value included.	See Comment 125.
146	943	Clarification. Overall slope is 4:1, what is actual side slope? Or is the side slope 4:1, and the overall slope with berms is less steep than 4:1? Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	See Figure 3-19. The overall slope is 4H:1V so the benches.
147	943	Operations. The slopes would be steeper than 4H:1V between benches. Rainwater erosion could be a problem. Maintenance could also be a challenge. Existing facilities are known for instances of sediment flows and pond filling during periods of intense rain. Action requested: Address the issue and modify text as appropriate.	Comment is noted. TMM will not address the sp engaging the MDNR in detailed analysis during t Also, see Comment 155: "The exterior slopes we that would not only meet or exceeds slope stabi potential and support the establishment and lon cover."
148	945	RGU note. More text to clarify, and provide more map detail, will be needed on development (e.g., phases) of the dry stack facility; also on benches and vertical intervals. No action requested. This will be assessed as a future information need in the proposed EIS scope.	Project descriptions have been provided that TM EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upda Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ad project descriptions including design or construct
149	949-958	Clarification. Provide more information on how cold conditions affect tailings placement and how tailings would be handled during these periods. How was 5 degrees F chosen as the temp below which tailings can't be placed on the dry stack facility? More detail should be provided regarding dry stacking operations below freezing. Action requested: Consider breaking the treatment of dry stack facility operation into "above-freezing" and "below-freezing" sections to address these issues; if there's an appreciable break in management prescriptions at a higher temperature (than freezing), provide a rationale and use that.	Project descriptions have been provided that TM EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upda Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ad project descriptions including operating details o

backfill is a blend of thickened tailings and tailings filter ture content for the engineered tailings backfill where uired strength as backfill after a desired cure time.

culation of potential impacts. TMM looks forward to ty analysis during EIS development.

the slope would be steeper than 4H:1V between

speculation in the comment. TMM looks forward to g the course of the EIS.

were flattened to provide a stable embankment slope ability requirements but would also limit erosion ong-term sustainability of a vegetated reclamation

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated ruction details on the dry stack facility.

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated s of the dry stack facility.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
150	949-958	Clarification. Provide more information on how wet conditions affect tailings placement and how tailings would be handled during these periods. More detail should be provided regarding dry stacking operations during wet conditions. Action requested: Consider breaking the treatment of dry stack facility operation into "wet" and "dry" sections to address these issues; if there's an appreciable break in management prescriptions at a particular rainfall rate, probability, or similar, provide the rationale and use that.	Project descriptions have been provided that TM EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upda Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ad project descriptions including operating details o
151	955	Clarification. "Practicable" as applied in this instance should be better defined. Action requested: Address issue and modify text as appropriate.	The determination of practicability is a combination of practicability is a combination of practicability is a combination of the specifications, and operational objectives or combination of the specification of the spe
152	956	Clarification. If understood correctly it would not be possible to sequester thickened tailings underground during the first several years of operations. How would the large volume of filter pressed tailings be addressed for those early months that cannot be placed at the DSF due to cold and rainy conditions on the surface? Action requested: Address the item and modify text as appropriate.	The underground mine can start accepting engir starts. Once the concentrator begins processing in the underground mine for engineered tailings 100% tailings filter cake for the dry stack facility, portions of each.
153	969-977	Clarification. Details of the stages of construction are lacking. This is important when assessing potential water management impacts. Action requested: Provide additional detail as warranted.	Project descriptions have been provided that TM EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upda Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ad project descriptions including design or construct
154	978-979	DNR note. More detail on the two-dimensional stability analyses that were performed, and figures showing all 2D cross sections that were modeled, will be a future information needs. Stability analyses will likely be required to consider how higher than average annual precipitation and extreme precipitation events could affect stability. No action requested. Future information and discussion item.	Comment is noted. Project descriptions have been provided that TM EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upda Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ad project descriptions including design or construct
155	978 - 990	Clarification. The text should include a sentence identifying the rationale as to why buttressing is not required as an additional added factor of safety to ensure the stability of the dry stack facility. Action requested: Add sentence to address item.	Text has been edited to read: "Based on the dry buttressing would not be required. The purpose toe of a slope. This can be an effective solution w have already mobilized along a failure plane. And a slope. The dry stack facility design of the 4H:1V structural zone have shown, through limit equili target design factors of safety and provide long to facility. The exterior slopes were flattened to pro only meet or exceeds slope stability requiremen the establishment and long-term sustainability of
156	978 - 990	DNR note. More detail on the geotechnical and hydrological properties of the tailings, including the unsaturated hydraulic properties for the tailings, will be a future information need. No action requested. Future information and discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to continue will be responsive to inquiries and requests.

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated s of the dry stack facility.

nation of meeting permit requirements, engineering onstraints that are managed hour to hour.

gineered tailings backfill within six months after mining ng ore and creating tails there would be space available gs backfill. The Project would be capable of producing ty, 100% engineered tailings backfill, or different

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated ruction details on the dry stack facility.

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated uction details on the dry stack facility.

ry stack facility design and initial stability analysis, se of buttressing is to increase resistive forces at the n when a slope is too steep or when shear stresses Another means of improving slope stability is to flatten 1V exterior slopes and well-compacted tailings in the ilibrium analysis, that the dry stack facility would meet g term stability around the perimeter of the dry stack provide a stable embankment slope that would not ents but would also limit erosion potential and support y of a vegetated reclamation cover."

tinued engagement during the EIS development and

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
157		Question. Is there the potential for tailings coming out of the filter plant to not always meet the target moisture content needed for maximum compaction? If so, the text should identify how these tailings would be handled. Action requested: Modify text to address the issue. May need to consider designing separate storage into the dry stack facility for these tailings if needed.	Text has been edited in Tailings Dewatering Plan Project would be engineered to handle periods of of off-spec tailings filter cake. This would be acco are properly sized and engineered with enough of would allow disposal of tailings as an engineered
158	985	Clarification. As noted previously, provide the estimated K value specification in noting the "well- compacted tailings." Action requested: Add value to text.	See Comment 125.
159		Clarification. It is unclear what is intended by use of the term "structural zone." Action requested: Explain what this represents with the facility and modify text to clarify. Comment provided at Figure 3-19.	Text has been edited to read: "Two-dimensional section of the dry stack facility structure and fou of scenarios including: construction (with elevate liquefaction and pseudo-static seismic loading. T of the dry stack facility embankment geometry a dry stack facility design meets required factors o The dry stack facility would have a structural zon tailings under the sloping exterior perimeter slop zone would be compacted to a minimum nomina control guidelines to provide sufficient strength structural zone within the interior of the dry stace within the interior of the dry stace structural zone within the interior of the dry stace structural zone within the interior of the dry stace tailings, though to a lesser standard of compacting within this zone would not have a material impacting showever compacting of the tailings would provide slopes and would also reduce the required storage.
160	1000	Clarification. What is the estimated depth for the topsoil to be placed on the slopes and top of the dry stack? Action requested: Address the item and modify text as appropriate.	See lines 1420-1425. The dry stack facility would phase. As portions of the slope and crest of the o surfaces would be graded and covered to promo consist of at least 2 ft (.6 m) of cover soil underla sourced from the reclamation material stockpile

ant Layout and Operational Activities to read: "The s of upset that may occur resulting in the production complished by both ensuring that the filter presses n design capacity and an operational flexibility that ed tailings backfill or tailings filter cake."

al stability analysis was conducted using a typical crossbundation design. The analyses considered a number ated pore pressures), long term static, post . The stability analyses were used to inform the design y and foundation treatments and to confirm that the s of safety for stability during operations and closure. one that consists of placed and compacted filtered lopes and crest of the dry stack facility. This structural inated compactive effort and governed by quality th to ensure a safe and stable landform. The nontack facility would also comprise compacted filtered ction compared to the structural zone. Tailings placed pact on the global stability of the dry stack facility, wide trafficability and stability for working surfaces and rage volume of tailings filter cake for the project."

Id be concurrently reclaimed during the operation e dry stack facility are constructed, the completed note runoff and inhibit infiltration. The cover would rlain by a hydraulic barrier. Cover soil would be le and seeded to establish grasslands.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
161		Clarification. What is the likelihood of having contaminated materials on the tailings plant site? How would these be handled during restoration to prevent environmental impacts? Action requested: Address the item and modify text as determined warranted.	Plant Site Reclamation, Closure, and Post-closur the majority of the demolition waste (material in from removal of structures would be acceptable existing demolition debris landfill. Any remaining Reagent suppliers, which would be under contra- closure stage of the Project. Solid waste and inco- regulations and requirements. Other special ma- demolition debris, not classified as solid waste, time of closure may include nuclear sources, pa- fluorescent and sodium halide bulbs, batteries, capacitors. These materials would be safely coll It is expected that buildings at the tailings mana- procedures outlined in the section Plant Site Re- specifically salvage (when practicable / feasible)
162	1016	Clarification. It will be necessary to understand the projected lifetime of the proposed liner. This will inform the potential for impacts (e.g., water quality) in closure, potential monitoring and/or remediation measures, and play into financial assurance. Action requested: Modify text to provide any clarification as currently understood. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. Project descriptions have been provided that TM EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upd Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ad project descriptions including design or constru
163		Clarification. There needs to be an explanation of vegetation management plans on the dry stack. How is timely vegetation to be established with proposed progression (i.e., taconite stockpiles are reclaimed from the bottom up as mining progresses)? It is uncertain whether the establishment of vegetation is proposed in a way that allows a progression of reclamation and minimization of erosion. Action requested: Add text as requested.	Reclaimed Portion of the Dry Stack Facility text would be constructed in three stages, generally nearest the tailings dewatering plant, and progr dry stack facility would correspondingly be cons to form lifts and benches on as described in the slope of the dry stack facility would be reclaime as silt fences, erosion control mats and / or logs vegetation became established."
164	1019	Clarification. The non-contact water diversion area described as a series of diversion dikes and ditches to divert water may cause direct and indirect wetland impacts. Wetlands in and around these areas need to be delineated and evaluated for potential impacts. Action requested: Comment provided in the wetlands section.	See Comment 535.

ure Maintenance text edited to read: "It is anticipated I not salvageable, saleable, recyclable, or reusable) ole for disposal in a new (location to be determined) or ing concentrate would be shipped to customers. tract to TMM, would remove reagents remaining at the ndustrial solid waste would be managed per state naterials - defined as those materials not classified as e, and not a RCRA-regulated material - on site at the partially used paint, chemical and petroleum products, s, electronic waste, lighting ballasts, and small ollected, removed, and properly recycled or disposed."

nagement site would be reclaimed following the same Reclamation, Closure, and Post-closure Maintenance, e), demolition, disposal, and restoration

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated <sup>•</sup>uction details of liners and cover systems.

At has been edited in to read: "The dry stack facility and the west side of the dry stack facility by starting on the west side of the dry stack facility by gressing eastward during the life of the Project. The anstructed by placing, grading, and compacting tailings the Tailings Management Site section. The exterior side and concurrent with their construction and BMPs, such and temporary mulch erosion controls, placed until

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
165	1025	Clarification. Provide a reference of an existing figure for access road location and USFS road. Consider whether Figure 2-1 is appropriate. Action requested: Provide a citation or possibly a new figure.	Text has been edited to read: "The access road void the plant site as shown in Figure 2-1."
166	1029-1031	DNR note. Sizing culverts to handle more than a 100-year, 24-hour storm event should be considered (as they may not be adequate). No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. Project descriptions have been provided that TM EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upda Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ad project descriptions including design or construct
167	1049	Clarification. Change "ordinary high water mark" to "ordinary high water level elevation." Action requested: Text edit.	Text has been changed in Section 3.0 and in Sect have been updated to ordinary high water level
168	1049	Clarification. The text states the water intake pump house would be located 100 feet from the OHWL on Birch Lake. Is that outside of the shoreland setback also? Action requested: Based on answer, modify text to read: "ordinary high water mark of Birch Lake reservoir, which is within/outside? the shoreland setback."	See line 2133 and line 2399. The water intake far requirements for Birch Lake, identified by Lake C
169	1049	Question. What is the OHWL elevation of Birch Lake? Action requested: Based on answer, please include within sentence. Sentence could read: "ordinary high water level <u>elevation of XX</u> for Birch Lake reservoir, <u>which is</u> "	Text edited to read: "A water intake pump house high water level elevation of 1419.99 ft (432.8 m
170	1049	Question. At what elevation above the 100-year flood elevation would the pump house infrastructure be constructed? MDH Well Rules Chapter 4725 state: "to prevent the entry of flood water by: A. extending casing at least 5 feet above the regional flood level." Action requested: Respond to question and modify text to address.	Note the water intake facility is not a well. See F back, and heights above reservoir water level.
171	1050	Clarification. The text indicates a water intake pipeline would be installed underground and then proceed under the lake. Will this part of the project actually involve any physical activity below the Ordinary High Water Level? Action requested: Modify text to address the item, either yes or no.	Text has been edited in response to Comment 1
172	1053-1055	Permit need. The proposed activity is subject to a DNR permit. Action requested: End the paragraph with a new sentence that reads: "A DNR Public Waters Work Permit will be required for the water intake structure proposed to be placed on the bed of Birch Lake reservoir."	TMM uses the Table 3-17 through Table 3-19 ex the need for one or all permits again in the text i
173	1065	RGU note. Rather than speculate on conditions that may or may not be present at closure, more direct to just describe the two scenarios being proposed on the fate of the remaining equipment and infrastructure. This approach allows for a more direct assessment for future EIS scoping. Action requested: Edit sentence to read: "removed and transported to an approved landfill for disposal or abandoned in place, either of which would be subject to required site closure provisions." Note that it will more than likely be required to remove the infrastructure from the lake.	Text has been edited to read: "During reclamatic the water intake facility would be removed and abandoned in place, either of which would be su

would extend from Highway 1 to the northern edge

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated ruction details of water management features.

ection 4.0. All references to ordinary high water mark el elevation.

facility, would be required to abide by setback e County Shoreland Zoning Ordinances.

use would be located 100 ft (30.5 m) from the ordinary 8 m) for Birch Lake."

Figure 3-17 for design of the water intake facility, set

: 172.

exclusively to identify needed permits. To introduce xt is redundant.

tion, saleable equipment or salvageable materials at d transported to an approved landfill for disposal or subject to required site closure provisions."

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Mo
174	1085	RGU note. Characterizing the power supply as "sufficient" is not relevant to the project description. Simply noting power would be sourced from a regional power provider is fine. Action requested: Modify sentence to read: "a regional power provider would supply the Project with power."	Text has been edited to read: "At the off-site ele would connect to an existing transmission line, Project with power."
175	1098	Clarification. DNR notes the reclamation and closure should plan for complete removal of the power infrastructure. Action requested: Revise text accordingly.	Text edited to read: "Future use of overhead ele input from the utility provider and pursuant to s Additionally, updated project descriptions will b scope. Text has been added to Section 2.0 to ou updated project descriptions including details o
176	1100	Guidance. Both DNR and MPCA will need a detailed water balance to assess TMM's claim that there would be no discharge of process/contact water. The water balance will need to cover different potential operating options (full operation, partial shutdown, temporary idle, and similar) and cover the full range of reasonably possible climatic conditions (for example). Action requested: Ensure the appropriate Future Scope sections of the document identify this as an information need. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM will produce detailed climatic conditions as part of Phase 2 of the sur 6.3.1 and will be provided during EIS developme
177	1105	Regulatory Guidance. Four types of water for purposes of management are defined. Consider revising the definitions to be more consistent with rule and permit definitions. For instance, process water and contact water as defined would be considered wastewater and would require an NPDES/SDS permit to discharge (which the text indicates would not be required as there would be no discharge). Similarly, non-contact water would be considered stormwater associated with industrial activity, which would require a different NPDES/SDS permit to authorize discharge. Action requested: Consider the guidance in reviewing potential definitions of water being managed with the project. Apply revised definitions in next data submittal as appropriate. Future discussion item.	See Comment 71.
178	1106-1127	Guidance. Both DNR and MPCA will need a better definition/understanding of the proposed categorizing process of wastewater vs contact water, as it relates to both regulatory definitions and practical considerations. This will be necessary for the state to be able to fully assess potential environmental effects as well as what water quality permits may or may not be required for the proposed project. Action requested: Modify text if possible to address the item. Future discussion item.	See Comment 71.
179	1106-1127	Question. Would any of the water described as "contact water" be proposed to be regulated under a general Industrial Stormwater permit? Action requested: Answer the question and modify text as appropriate.	See Comment 71.

electrical substation, the Project transmission line e, and a regional power provider would supply the

electric transmission lines would be based on future to state and federal reclamation requirements."

Il be furnished during EIS development to satisfy the EIS outline additional details that may be provided in s on reclamation and closure.

ed water balances for various operating conditions and surface water supplemental scope described in Section ment to satisfy the EIS scope

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
180	1113	Definition of contact water. It will be necessary to consider implications of definitions of the various types of water in terms of regulatory definitions. This can be a source of confusion. RGU- and regulatory-approved definitions for the EIS will need to not only make sense for describing the project but must also align with language and definitions in permits. It is possible contact water would be defined to also include water that comes in contact with development rock, or temporary waste rock, or pre-operational ore, or overflow ore. No action requested. Will require future consultation.	See Comment 71.
181	1126	Definition. Because instances may be present where constituent loading occurs to construction water that requires additional management, the definition should be modified to reflect this potential situation. Action requested: Modify text to read: "Construction stormwater: direct precipitation or stormwater that has contacted surfaces disturbed by construction that could have increased constituent loading." Comment also provided in glossary.	The Project's construction stormwater is anticip construction stormwater regulated throughout Stormwater General Permit. TMM has edited th more closely align with the regulatory definition Minn. R. 7090.0080): "Construction stormwater runoff and drainage associated with activities fo grading, and excavating, that result in land distu
182	1134-1137	Information need. MPCA and DNR will need a detailed chemical balance to assess whether all process water (and contact water?) would be managed in a closed loop with no discharge as offered in the text. The chemical balance will need to cover a range of potential operating scenarios, climatic conditions, and rock reactivity. For example, it is possible that constituents could build up to the point where it might interfere in the concentration process or adversely affect equipment. Action requested: Ensure the appropriate Future Scope section(s) addresses the item. Modify text as appropriate. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. Project descriptions have been provided that TN EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upd Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ac project descriptions including details on process
183	1147-1148	Question. Would contact water need to be treated before it can be added to the process water? If so, the text should describe what type of treatment might be needed, and any bi-products (and their disposal) that might be generated during the treatment process. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Contact water will not require treatment before
184	1158	Glossary. There needs to be a definition of mine supply water in glossary, which may include information from lines 1205-1207. Action requested: Create definition and add to glossary.	Glossary revised in response to Comment 22.

cipated to have constituent loading consistent with ut Minnesota under the Minn. Construction the Project's definition of construction stormwater to ons of "stormwater" and "construction activity" (per er: Stormwater runoff, snow melt runoff, and surface for the purpose of construction, including clearing, sturbance."

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated ess water flow.

ore it is used as process water.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
185	1158	Clarification. The document is unclear as to the mine supply water source? In addition and as relevant, some explanation would be useful on the need to bring "mine inflow" back to surface rather than using it for "mine supply water." Action requested: Provide clarifying text and modify accordingly.	Text has been added to Underground Mine Proc the underground mine would flow from the mine mine-wide supply water distribution system. The fresh/fire water tanks, when new water can be a would be fed by the sediment pond. Mine supply equipment requirements like drill water." and "Underground mine water would need to be clea be re-used for underground equipment or as pro before recirculating back underground through t process water circuit for use in processing."
186	1159	Guidance. The naming convention for DNR Public water 69-3P in the EIS will be Birch Lake. First usage in all EIS-related documents will be as follows: Birch Lake reservoir (Birch Lake); subsequent usage as follows: Birch Lake. Action requested: Global revision requested throughout in text, tables, and figures.	See Comment 394.
187	1159	Future information need. More figures are needed on the overall water management program. Action requested: Coordinate with DNR on how to address this request.	Project descriptions have been provided that TM EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upda Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ad project descriptions including details on process
188		Clarification. The text lists a series of bullets for process water losses. Question: Could process water be lost via seepage through water collection ditches that are not lined with liners? Action requested: If the answer is "yes," revise and/or add to the bullet list accordingly.	The potential magnitude of seepage has not yet scope of work, as discussed in Section 6.3.2.
189	1205	Clarification. The document is unclear as to the mine supply water source? In addition and as relevant, some explanation would be useful on the need to bring "mine inflow" back to surface rather than using it for "mine supply water." Action requested: Provide clarifying text and modify accordingly.	See Comment 185.

ocess Water Management: "Mine supply water for nine water tank to the portals to feed the underground The mine water tank would be supplied from the e added to the system, otherwise the mine water tank uply water would and be used for dust suppression and

leared of sediment as well as de-oiled before it could process water. This would occur at the sediment pond h the mine water supply system or added to the

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated ess water flow.

et been quantified and would be addressed as a future

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
190	1205-1207	Guidance. Mine supply water would be pumped underground from the process water pond and used for dust suppression and equipment requirements like drill water. Excess mine supply water would be recaptured through a series of sumps. This results in pumping of wastewater into the mine. This will be an issue of interest for permitting under the Class V injection well program. Action requested: Ensure the Future Scope of the appropriate section(s) addresses the item. Modify text as appropriate to address the item. Ensure Table 3-8 addresses the item.	Mine supply water would primarily be sourced f tank. The sediment pond's feed is precipitation from the mine; the water pumped from the min inflow, process water associated with the engin Text updated to read: "Mine supply water for th water tank to the portals to feed the undergrou mine water tank would be supplied from the free to the system, otherwise the mine water tank w water would be used for dust suppression and e
191	1212	Project description. The text states that the water from these pumps and sumps would be de-oiled and clarified. The section would benefit from a basic statement identifying the process for de-oiling, where it takes place, what equipment/process and to what degree, and what is the fate of the de- oiling byproduct? Action requested: Provide additional text to address item. If this is a complex procedure, providing high-level treatment is appropriate at this stage. A more expansive explanation can be provided in the detailed Project Description necessary for the EIS.	Project descriptions have been provided that TN EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upd Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ad project descriptions including process water flow
192	1225-1230	Question. The sediment pond accepts process wastewater, where the process wastewater pond is double-lined. Why is the sediment pond not similarly double-lined? Action requested: Address the question and modify text as appropriate.	The sediment pond would handle water dewate process water it would be diluted with mine infl
193	1228-1230	Guidance. It is noted that the proposed design will be subject to agencies' review and approval. No action requested.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to conti will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
194	1229	Clarification. What is the K Value spec for the low-permeability compacted liner? Action requested: Modify text to include K value specification. Text could read: "thick, low-permeability, compacted soil liner (K = XX) and would be sized"	Project descriptions have been provided that TM EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upd Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ac project descriptions including liners and cover se
195	1230	Clarification. The text should provide detail on how it would be done, frequency, and under what criteria would sediment pond be cleaned out and how would the removed sediment be managed? Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Project descriptions have been provided that TN EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upd Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ac project descriptions including operating details o
196	1231	Clarification. Are the ponds dugout into natural material, or are there constructed embankments? Action requested: Provide response and amend text as appropriate.	Project descriptions have been provided that TN EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upd Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ac project descriptions including design or construct

I from the sediment pond and feed the mine water n and the underground mine water that is pumped ine is classified as process water as it is a mix of mine ineered tailings backfill, and mine supply water.

the underground mine would flow from the mine ound mine-wide supply water distribution system. The resh/fire water tanks, when new water can be added would be fed by the sediment pond. Mine supply l equipment requirements like drill water"

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that will be provided in updated ow and water management.

tered from the mine. While this may contain some offlow and other water sources.

tinued engagement during the EIS development and

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that will be provided in updated systems.

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated s of water management features.

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated ruction details of water management features.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
197	1231	General Pond Comment (all lined ponds). Would synthetic pond liners include cover material? MPCA pond guidance recommends HDPE liners at least 100 mil for uncovered applications. Additionally, for exposed liner a dual - white on black - liner is recommended. Action requested: Conduct global document edit to address each instance of this item.	Project descriptions have been provided that TM EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upda Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ad project descriptions including design or construct
			Comment is noted.
198	1236	Design note. A rationale/modeling will need to be provided for the volume of the process pond (18.5 MG). No action requested. Future discussion item and information need.	Project descriptions have been provided that TN EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upda Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ad project descriptions including design or construct
199	1236-1241	Clarification. Regarding characterization of PMP, should be more specific than "probable maximum precipitation" when describing how the process water pond would be sized. Action requested: Modify text to address item.	Project descriptions have been provided that TM EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upda Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ad project descriptions including design or construct
			Comment is noted.
200	1236-1241	Design recommendation. Should consider greater than 100 yr-24 hr storm event for sizing pond. Should have the ability to pump water out of the pond to another area in the event the maximum free board is reached. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Project descriptions have been provided that TM EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upda Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ad project descriptions including design or construct
201	1239	Clarification. The process water pond would be designed with the appropriate freeboard to contain the probable maximum precipitation from direct precipitation for the process water pond "footprint." What is/would be the recurrence interval/event size for sizing? Action requested: Provide the answer and modify text to address the item.	Project descriptions have been provided that TM EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upda Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ad project descriptions including design or construct
202	1240	Need for footnote. The parameters used in calculating the PMP should be listed. Action requested: Add footnote to address the item.	The storm event considered was the 72-hour PM process water pond would be designed with app maximum precipitation from direct precipitation
203	1241-1245	Guidance. It is noted that the proposed design will be subject to agencies' review and approval. No action requested.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to contin will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
204	1244	Clarification. The text reads: "or engineer approved alternate geomembrane." MPCA notes that generally 40-mil HDPE is the minimum synthetic liner. Action requested: Consider this point and modify text as appropriate.	Project descriptions have been provided that TM EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upda Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ad project descriptions including liners and cover sy

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated ruction details of liners and cover systems.

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated ruction details of water management features.

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated ruction details of water management features.

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated ruction details of water management features.

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated uction details of water management features.

PMP event. Text was edited to read: "therefore the ppropriate freeboard to contain the 72-hour probable on for the process water pond footprint."

tinued engagement during the EIS development and

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that will be provided in updated systems.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
205			<ul> <li>See Lines 895-899. "The tailings dewatering plan</li> <li>Tailings thickener;</li> <li>Filter plant – which would produce filter cake;</li> <li>Filter cake storage and loadout building; and</li> <li>Backfill plant – which would produce engineered</li> </ul>
			Definition of tailings thickener has been edited in equipment that would be used to initially dewat produce a tailings filter cake."
206	1262	DNR note. The potential for the draining of entrained water from the tails would be classified as draindown. Where would that water report to? Action requested: Modify text to address item.	Draining of entrained water from the tailings wo stream would be referred to as draindown. The drain before reporting to the contact water ditch precipitation that would infiltrate through the ta- intercepted by the liner and collected by a network liner extending across the dry stack facility footp (i.e., natural drainage courses). A gravel blanket perimeter of the dry stack facility at the toe, hav both finger drains and blanket toe drain - would potential magnitude of draindown has not yet be scope of work, as discussed in Section 6.3.2. " The definition of draindown has been edited in t draining of entrained process water that would not the dry stack facility liner system."
207	1263	Information need. The need for additional study is cited. All such future study needs should be captured in the Future Scope section regarding potential for draining of entrained process water. This should include the proposed mixing of process water and precipitation at the DSF. Action requested: Ensure that Future Scope section(s) identify the item as an information need, including as part of the project's water and chemical balances.	This is information need is outlined in the Sectio pathways for how process water and/or contact considered and then quantified consistent with s could be considered are leakage from process w stack facility, flow from flooded mine workings in system failures, up-set conditions, storage overt
208	Section starting at 1267	Clarification. The text provides discussion of contact vs. non-contact areas, as well as a non-contact water diversion area. The layout of these areas all influence changes in surface hydrology and surface permeability. Additional detail will be necessary to inform the scoping process in offering the potential significance of impacts for the EIS. Providing supporting material, such as a map/figure identifying these areas, or a table giving area measurements, could be warranted. Action requested: Consider how to beef up the text, plus what additional supporting materials would be useful, to assist in better understanding the project and its potential impacts.	Project descriptions have been provided that TN EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upda Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ad project descriptions including details on water m water management features.

ant would consist of

⊇;

ered tailings backfill."

in the glossary to read: "tailings thickener: The ater tailings before being fed to the filter plant to

would mix with any infiltration and the combined e draindown would be collected by the above liner tch. See Lines 1361-1370. "The intercepted tailings – referred to as draindown – would be work of gravel finger drains constructed above the otprint in the same location as the under-liner drains et drain would also be constructed around the full aving a width of 160 ft (50 m). The over-liner drains ld discharge to the perimeter contact water ditch. The been quantified and would be addressed as a future

the glossary to read: "draindown: Draindown is any mix with infiltrating precipitation and be collected by

ion 6.3.2, specifically lines 4410-4415: "Potential ct water could be released to groundwater will be n surface water analyses. Anticipated pathways that water and contact water ponds, leakage from the dry in closure, unique project-related conditions (such as, rtopping, etc.) and dust deposition."

MM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the dated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated management and design or construction details of

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
209	1280-1281 1236-1241 1403-1404 1405-1407 1468-1471 1471-1473 1656-1659	Guidance. In the project description, it is noted that contact water ponds (plant site contact pond and tailing site management contact pond [lines 1280-1281, 1403-1404) and diversion dikes (tailing management site [lines 1468-1471]) are to be designed for the historical 100-year 24-hour storm event. Based on the project description, the noncontact water ditches in the tailing management are to be sized for the historical 10-year 24 hour storm event, while the process waste pond is to be sized to contain 'probable maximum precipitation' (lines 1471-1473, 1236-1241). The dry stack contact water pond is to be sized for the 100-year historical snow pack [lines 1405-1407]. In the analysis, the sensitivity of these ponds and dikes to overflow under future climatic normals, e.g., frequency and intensity of forecasted future extreme precipitation events, should be evaluated. Action requested: Ensure Future Scope of appropriate section(s) identify this item as an information need. See comments at Lines 4202-4204, 4207-4212.	Project descriptions have been provided that TM EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upd Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ac project descriptions including design or construct
210	1280-1281	Design recommendation. Consider sizing plant site contact water ponds to handle more than a 100- year, 24-hour storm event (to provide greater certainty). Part of the thinking is to address changing climate and winter melt, especially to avoid circumstances that could result in adverse impacts to adjacent public waters. Also, the proposed sizing criteria may not be adequate to accomplish a "no discharge" project goal. Additional rationale and long-term water balance will be required. Action requested: Ensure Future Scope of appropriate section(s) address the item. Modify text as appropriate. Future discussion item.	Project descriptions have been provided that TN EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upd Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ad project descriptions including design or constru- including ponds, dikes, and ditches.
211	1281-1285	Guidance. It is noted that the proposed design will be subject to agencies' review and approval. No action requested.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to conti will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
212	1284	Design note. The text refers to a secondary soil liner with conductivity 1x10-6 cm/s. Wastewater soil liners typically require conductivity to be an order of magnitude lower, or at 1x10-7 cm/s. Action requested: Consider the item and modify text as appropriate.	Project descriptions have been provided that TM EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upd Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ad project descriptions including design or construct

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated ruction details of water management features.

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that will be provided in updated ruction details of water management features,

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that will be provided in updated ruction details of liners and cover systems.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
213		Clarification. Please confirm that the contact water area does not include the concentrator or ore stock pile area? Action requested: Provide the confirmation and modify text to address the item. This needs to be clear as noted in comment for Line 1267.	Text has been edited in Plant Site Non-contact W at the plant site would include, the security gate plant site electrical substation, the ball storage H building, the reagent storage building, the coars connecting these facilities that are not directly i The concentrator and the coarse ore stockpile a precipitation or stormwater potentially coming Additionally, see Comment 71 as TMM is contir (including industrial stormwater), which could h contact areas around the plant site.
214		Design note. Water must be able to be pumped down within a reasonable timeframe to ensure sufficient space for extreme/multiple storm events. Action requested: Consider the design recommendation and modify text as appropriate. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. The storage capacity is based on a preliminary w balance modeling outlined in Section 6.3.1. Proj believes are adequate to scope analyses for the during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updat water management features.
215	11/4/-1/43	Design note. Why does the design opt for LLDPE rather than HDPE for this structure? Action requested: Answer the question and modify text as appropriate.	Project descriptions have been provided that TN EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upd Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ac project descriptions including liners and cover sy
216	1293 1355 Figure 3-14	Clarification. Liner is 80 ml in text (line 1293), 60 ml in text (line 1355) and in figure. Action requested: Rectify the differences in the text and figure.	80 mil is the liner proposed for the temporary ro proposed for the dry stack facility on line 1355 v Figure 3-14 does not contain liner information.
217	1293-1295	Design note. Great care will need to be taken when compacting material over a synthetic liner to ensure its integrity. Is any additional protection anticipated, such as geotextile? Action requested: Answer the question and modify text as appropriate.	Text has been edited to read: "The temporary romm) linear low-density polyethylene (LLDPE) or The LLDPE liner would be installed over 12 inche liner would be protected by 12 inches (300 mm) and compacted prior to any truck traffic being a

t Water Management to read: "The non-contact area atehouse, reclamation material stockpile 1 and 2, the e bunker, the concentrator, the concentrator services arse ore stockpile, and the areas surrounding and y involved in transport of ore or tailings by truck."

are both covered facilities which would prevent direct g in contact with ore or tailings.

tinuing to evaluate regulatory classification of water have an impact on the description of contact and non-

y water balance and will be updated based on water roject descriptions have been provided that TMM ne EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be updated be. Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline dated project descriptions including operating details of

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that will be provided in updated systems.

rock storage facility on line 1293. 60 mil is the liner which is consistent with Figures 3-19 and 3-20. Note

rock storage facility would be lined with an 80 mil (2.0 or engineer-approved alternate geomembrane liner. hes (300 mm) of compacted low permeability soil. The m) of sand which would be pushed into place by dozers g allowed over the liner. "

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
	_	Design recommendation. The proposed 10-year storm event capacity may not be sufficient.	Comment is noted.
218		Another consideration would be where would the water go if a larger event? In addition, the text does not identify the duration (intensity) of the event; shorter, higher intensity events are generally more important for collection systems. Also, the proposed sizing criteria may not be adequate to accomplish the "no discharge" project goal. No action requested. Future discussion item.	The storage capacity is based on a preliminary w balance modeling outlined in Section 6.3.1. Proje believes are adequate to scope analyses for the during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updat construction details of water management feature
219	1307	Clarification. The text identifies that plant site roads would be divided into two categories based on water managementinto contact (water) and non-contact (water) roads. Describe in more detail how this would be managed. For example, Figure 3-10 indicates a tire wash would be located at the Plant Site; is this the only tire wash? Another detail may be describing what project features are serviced by one or both categories. Action requested: Provide greater explanation on contact and non-contact roads.	Project descriptions have been provided that TM EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be update Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ac project descriptions including design or construct details on water definitions.
220	1308	Clarification. The text identifies that there would be three snow storage areas at the plant site. Describe in more detail how plant site snow-related runoff, both within and outside the designated snow storage areas, including where it would report to. Action requested: Provide greater explanation on snow-related runoff management.	Text has been edited to read: "Snowmelt would not plowed snow-related runoff would end up ir plowed in active areas there would be three des site would ensure snow-related runoff from sno contact water ponds."
221	1308-1311	Clarification. Add to the text how would snowmelt from the snow storage areas be collected? Action requested: Provide this detail to the discussion.	See Comment 220.
222	1309-1311	Clarification. Add to the text an explanation on why snow storage areas were designed to handle a snow water equivalent of 7.3 to 11.9 inches. Action requested: Supplement text with this detail.	Sizing of water management features and reque Comment 557.
223		Background information request. How many inches of snowfall per year have been accounted for in the three storage areas? Confirm storage is adequate within the projected snow water equivalent. Action requested: Provide a rationale for storage capacity against predicted annual snowfall.	Sizing of water management features and reque Comment 557.
224	1314	Clarification. According to the text describing the Tailings Management Site at Lines 826-828, this facility would stock suitable growth mediums stripped in preparation of the DSF footprint. Question: Would runoff from any of these materials be classified as contact water? Action requested: Edit text to address the answer to the question.	See Tailings Management Site Non-contact Wate management of water from the tailings manage
225		Future activity. More information on items 2 and 3 is needed before designating these as noncontact water. Runoff onto the liner may have contacted tailings and the areas of partial cover would need to be confirmed as noncontact water. Note that erosion of dry stack TSF is an ongoing concern within the industry. Action requested: Modify text if can address the item. Future discussion item.	Project descriptions have been provided that TM EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upda Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ac project descriptions including design or construct details on water definitions.

water balance and will be updated based on water oject descriptions have been provided that TMM ne EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be updated ne. Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline lated project descriptions including design or atures.

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated ruction details of water management features and

Ild also be managed as contact water. For snow that is o in the same location as if it were rain water. For snow lesignated snow storage areas. Grading of the plant now storage areas would flow into one of the plant site

uest for additional detail and analysis is covered in

uest for additional detail and analysis is covered in

ater Management lines 1509-1517 for a discussion on gement site reclamation material stockpile.

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated ruction details of water management features and

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
226		Project description. The text indicates contact water would be used for dust control. Question: Would contact water need to be treated before it can be used for dust control at the tailings management facility? If so, elaborate on what type of treatment might be needed, and any by- products that might be generated during the treatment process. Action requested: Modify text to address the issue.	At this time TMM is not proposing water treatm potential need for treatment.
227	1332	Clarification. Where is this contact water ditch? Where is the gravel blanket drain? How is contact water from the surrounding road diverted? Figure 3-13 lacks water management details. Action requested: Assessment of potential impacts would be aided by additional detailed maps of the three stages of tailings pile construction, where contact and non-contact water areas are clearly defined, ditching and berming is identified (as it may change with each stage?), and surface water flow patterns are clearer. Modify text as appropriate and create supporting figures.	Figure 3-13 has been revised to show the contact included to show phased dry stack facility constru- Project descriptions have been provided that TM EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upd Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ac project descriptions including design or construct
228	1344-1346	Clarification. The sentence includes two separate statements separated by a comma. Question: Are those two separate reasons for underdrains, which would have an "and" after the comma? Or, does limiting phreatic head prevent the uplift of the liner prior to tailings placement? Action requested: Provide clarification and edit text if warranted.	Text has been edited to read: "The purpose of the head in the foundation soils under the geomem tailings placement."
229		Information requirement. Potential magnitude of seepage needs to be addressed to inform environmental review. Action requested: Ensure Future Scope addresses the item in the appropriate location (s) in the document.	Section 6.3.2 identifies potential leakage from the scope.
230		Information need. The agencies agree that additional work is needed to address the potential magnitude and quality of seepage, as well as how it may affect the water and chemical balances. This all feeds into verification of "no discharge" goal for the project. Action requested: Ensure that Section 6.3 addresses the item. Future discussion item.	Section 6.3.1 identifies detailed water balance n
231	1355-1360	Guidance. It is noted that the proposed design will be subject to agencies' review and approval. No action requested.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to conti will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
232		Clarification. The text as offered is unclear on how the compacted tailings would be applied. Rephrase to ensure clarity that compacted tailings protecting the liner would be on top not below as foundation. Action requested: Revise text to clarify.	Text has been edited to read: "The liner would b compacted tailings on top of the liner which wo prior to any haul truck traffic being allowed over
233	1365	Clarification. DNR notes the location(s) of the gravel blanket drain is not clear on fig. 3-13. Action requested: Provide the feature on future versions of this and/or other figures as appropriate.	Text is accurate in describing the gravel blanket Project descriptions have been provided that TN EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upd Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ac project descriptions including design or construct

tment for dust control. Future analysis will inform the

act water ditch. Additionally Figure 3-21 has been struction.

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated ruction details of water management features.

f the under-liner drains would be to limit the phreatic mbrane liner to prevent uplift of the liner prior to

the dry stack facility as part of the planned future

modeling as part of the planned future scope.

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

d be protected by a minimum 1 ft (0.3 m) thick layer of vould be, pushed into place by dozers and compacted ver the liner. "

et drain.

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated ruction details on the dry stack facility.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
234	1362-1368	Clarification. It appears that finger drains, blanket drain, and water ditch are described out of sequence of construction. Action requested: If this is correct, edit text to appropriately sequence these parts of the project.	The purpose of this paragraph is to describe what facility. The sequence described in the text is: 1. draindown would be intercepted by the liner, 2. draindown would collect in the gravel finger of 3. draindown in finger drains and blanket toe dra ditch.
235	1368-1369	Concurrence. DNR and MPCA concur the magnitude of drain down quantities is needed to assess potential impacts to water quality. Action requested: Ensure Section 6.3 identifies this as an information need. Future discussion item.	Potential pathways for how process water and/o will be considered and then quantified is part of
236		Clarification. The text identifies contact water ditches are a component of the DSF. Question: What is the largest size storm event that the contact water ditch is designed to convey? Action requested: Amend text to include the storm event size.	Text has been edited to read: "The contact wate water pond. For significant portions of the perin excavated into bedrock. The contact water ditch 24-hour rainfall event."
237	1378-1384	Design recommendation. Consideration should be given to designing the contact water ditch with a capacity larger than a 100-yr, 24 hr storm. More detail will be necessary on the proposed design. Action requested: Modify text as appropriate to address the item. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. The capacity is based on a preliminary water bal modeling outlined in Section 6.3.1. Project descr adequate to scope analyses for the EIS. Project of development to satisfy the EIS scope. Text has b details that may be provided in updated project of water management features.
238	1380-1382	Clarification. Why was a low permeability soil and not some sort of liner chosen to line the contact water ditch? Is some seepage expected through the contact water ditch if a low permeability soil is used? The proposed compaction for the slopes and base are of interest. Action requested: Answer the questions and modify text as appropriate.	The preliminary design of the contact water ditc of a geomembrane liner to allow the ditches to a bedrock into which the ditches would be excava ditch. The contact water ditch is not expected to conjunction with the groundwater cutoff wall to contact water ditch and groundwater cutoff wal
239	1385-1393	Clarification. The text would benefit from more detail being provided on the design of groundwater cutoff wall/trench. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	At the end of the paragraph, text was added to a groundwater cutoff wall (which is inclusive of th as necessary depending on bedrock condition): ditch and includes more detail on the contact wa perimeter gravel road."
240		Clarification. Would water from the haul road, which would likely have tailings spills, be able to run off outside of the tailings facility because the wall is under it? This could adversely affect the water quality of surface run-off. Action requested: Address the item and modify text as determined appropriate. Ensure Future Scope of appropriate sections address the issue.	Additionally, see Comment 238 Text has been edited to read: "The groundwater contact water ditches beneath the perimeter ha contact water ditch. The perimeter haul road wo Additionally, please refer to Figure 3-20 for a cro grading arrows.

hat would happen to draindown within the dry stack

drains or gravel blanket drain, Irain would discharge to the perimeter contact water

I/or contact water could be released to groundwater of Section 6.3.2 planned future scope.

ter ditch would route the water to the closest contact imeter length, the contact water ditch would be ches would be sized for the peak flow from a 100-year,

balance and will be updated based on water balance scriptions have been provided that TMM believes are t descriptions are expected to be updated during EIS been added to Section 2.0 to outline additional ct descriptions including design or construction details

itches lines the ditch with low permeability soil instead o collect seepage from adjacent soil and upper vated, thus creating a hydraulic gradient towards the to have seepage from it and would work in to protect groundwater. Further analysis of the vall performance will occur during EIS development.

o reference Figure 3-20 which illustrates a typical the seepage cutoff trench and a grout curtain installed ): "Figure 3-20 shows a typical perimeter contact water water ditch, groundwater cutoff wall, and the

er cutoff wall would be on the outer edge of the haul road to encompass the dry stack facility and would be graded to drain to the contact water ditch." cross-section that depicts this along with proposed

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
241	1396	Clarification. What is "restrict" in terms of flow of contact water? Action requested: Address the item and modify text as determined appropriate.	As outlined in Section 6.3.2 planned future scope on groundwater systems. This modeling will qua water ditch and dry stack facility footprint. Estim development.
242	1399	Design consideration. Maintaining positive pressure to the exterior of the grout curtain (part of the seepage cutoff trench), so that water pressure confines contact and drawdown water in the TSF, should be considered. Action requested: Address as appropriate for current document. Future discussion item.	See Comment 238
243	1400-1409	Future information. Modeling should be provided to support the volumes of collection ponds. Action requested: Ensure the Future Scope of the appropriate section(s) addresses the item.	As outlined in Section 6.3.1 planned future scope process water flow, including water gains and los management, and rerouting of non-contact wate Updated project descriptions will be furnished d
244		Information need. As noted earlier, the temporary construction of contact ponds during the stages of the tailings facility is an area where more information is needed. Action requested: Provide additional detail in next data submittal.	Text has been edited to read: "Five tailings mana constructed, as shown on Figure 3 13, in addition installed to manage water during stage 1 and sta the full footprint. The interim contact water pon contact water ponds and are necessary to accom The interim contact water ponds would be locate as shown in Figure 3-21. The ponds are tempora their locations." In this context, temporary is meant to convey the Stage 2 of the dry stack facility and at the end of
245	1403-1405	Design recommendation. Consider sizing tailings management site contact water ponds to handle more than a 100-year, 24-hour storm event (may not be sufficient). Also, the proposed design criteria may not be adequate to accomplish the project's "no discharge" goal. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. The storage capacity is based on a preliminary w balance modeling outlined in Section 6.3.1. Proje believes are adequate to scope analyses for the during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in update construction details of water management featu
246	1405-1407	Background information request. What snow melt rate was used when determining snowpack size the contact water ponds should be able to handle? Was a rapid melt scenario considered? How does a 100-year snowpack compare to a 100-year, 24-hour storm event and why was it chosen? Action requested: Provide background information.	The storage capacity is based on a preliminary w balance modeling outlined in Section 6.3.1. Project believes are adequate to scope analyses for the during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in update construction details of water management feature

ope will include modeling to quantify Project influences uantify any flow of contact water out of the contact imates of this flow will be provided during EIS

ope will include water balance modeling to simulate losses and consumptive use, contact water ater flows. This will inform future design of the ponds. I during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope.

inagement site contact water ponds would be ion to two interim contact water ponds that would be stage 2 of the dry stack facility before the facility is at onds would be designed and function the same as the omplish the phased staging of the dry stack facility. ated in the allowance for water management features rary as tailings would eventually need to be stacked in

that the ponds are only present during Stage 1 and of the respective stages, are reclaimed.

water balance and will be updated based on water oject descriptions have been provided that TMM the EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be updated e. Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ated project descriptions including design or natures.

water balance and will be updated based on water oject descriptions have been provided that TMM e EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be updated e. Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ated project descriptions including design or tures.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
247	1407	Background information request. What is the water equivalent in the 100-year snowpack? Action requested: Provide background information.	Project descriptions have been provided that TM EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upda Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ad project descriptions including design or construct
248	1413-1419	Clarification. Cite existing figures as they align with the stages. Action requested: Amend text with figure citations.	See Comment 244.
249		Recommendation. Consistent with text there would be benefit with development of new figures with the various stages (i.e., stages for figure 3-13 or 3-14). This would include location of interim ponds, for example. Action requested: Comment submitted in figures.	See Comment 756.
250		Clarification request. Is two feet of cover soil above the geomembrane enough to protect from long term degradation? Action requested: Provide text identifying the purpose of the two feet of cover soil.	Project descriptions have been provided that TM EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upda Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ad project descriptions including design or construct
251	1423-1424	Clarification. Understanding the project would benefit from a more-detailed description of "hydraulic barrier." Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Text has edited to read: "The cover would consis hydraulic barrier. The type of hydraulic barrier w that would assess compatibility with infiltration Infiltration criteria would be determined based of permitting requirements."
252	1430	Clarification. The text provides discussion of contact vs. non-contact areas, as well as a non-contact water diversion area. The layout of these areas all influence changes in surface hydrology and surface permeability. Additional detail will be necessary to inform the scoping process in offering the potential significance of impacts for the EIS. Providing supporting material, such as a map/figure identifying these areas, or a table giving area measurements, could be warranted. Action requested: Consider how to beef up the text, plus what additional supporting materials would be useful, to assist in better understanding the project and its potential impacts.	The sizing of ditches, ponds and diversion areas engineering, impact assessment, public input, ar related topics. It is premature to offer specificity Updated project descriptions will be furnished d has been added to Section 2.0 to outline additio descriptions including details on water managen management features.
253	1432	Permit need. The diversions dikes and ponds may need dam safety permits. No action requested.	See Comment 727.
254	1467	Clarification. In concert with text at Lines 1452 and 1462, the non-contact ditches are not clear on Figure 3-13 (e.g., thickness correct?). Recommend add legend or label as needed. Action requested: Comment provided in the figures section.	See Comment 764.
255	1453	Future analytical need. Modeling/analysis needed for diversion dikes flow/control. Action requested: Ensure appropriate future scope section identifies this analytical need.	As outlined in Section 6.3.1 planned future scope on surface water systems. This modeling will qua compared to baseline conditions. This includes r routing.

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated ruction details of water management features.

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated ruction details of liners and cover systems.

sist of at least 2 ft (.6 m) of cover soil underlain by a r would be selected based on future design evaluations on design criteria and availability of cover soil materials. d on future tailings geochemistry test work results and

as will continue to evolve based upon further and agency engagement on a number of waterity described.

I during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. Text cional details that may be provided in updated project ement and design or construction details of water

ope will include modeling to quantify Project influences quantify Project impacts to surface water flows as s runoff from precipitation and melt, and streamflow

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
256	1458	Guidance. In typical usage a dike is a means to prevent flooding of an area. Similarly, in typical usage if water is being held back or stored, the structure is a "dam. Action requested: If the structure is a dike, then modify language to read: "These dikes would <u>not</u> result in ponding of non-contact water from adjacent surface flows." If this is not an accurate statement, then modify language in entire paragraph replacing the term "dike(s)" with "dam(s)" where the structures do result in ponding or similar action.	On an on-going basis the dike prevents flooding on the precipitation event, water may pool tem through non-contact water ditches.
257	1464-1467	Clarification request. Cite appropriate figure or develop figure with greater detail to illustrate water management. For example, does this refer to the non-contact "pond" adjacent to contact water pond 5 on figure 3-13? Action requested: Add citation and possibly provide visual that better illustrates water management.	See Comment 227.
258	1468-1473	Design recommendation. Consider sizing diversion dikes to handle more than a 100-year, 24-hour storm event (may not be sufficient). No action requested. Future discussion item.	Sizing of water management features and reque Comment 557.
259	1470-1471	Clarification request. Why are non-contact water ditches designed to convey the peak flow from only a 10-year, 24-hour storm event with no erosion? Action requested: Provide clarification.	This is an appropriate design for non-contact wa During operations the ditches can be re-armore
260	1471	Clarification. Unclear whether the "overflow weirs" are the same as the diversion dikes? This is the only use of the term overflow weir. Action requested: Modify text to clear up usage of the term.	Reference to overflow weirs was removed to sir non-contact water ditches would be designed to minimum freeboard of 1 ft (0.3 m)."
261	1477-1499	Regulatory guidance. Several water management activities appear to be classified as industrial stormwater. All areas that generate and may discharge industrial wastewater need permit coverage, and any discharges of industrial wastewater would require sampling. Note that industrial wastewater cannot be categorized together with upstream diversion water. For example, things like office buildings and parking lots would not be considered industrial wastewater, but maintenance areas, fuel storage, fueling areas, material handling, refuse sites, waste storage, plant yards, and buildings where industrial activities occur are considered industrial wastewater areas. The site drainage areas (with surface flow direction arrows) and the activities within those drainage areas need to be better defined and illustrated to determine areas where industrial wastewater is generated. Some areas that are now identified as non-contact water may need to be regulated as industrial wastewater. Action requested: Consider the regulatory guidance against how water is proposed to be classified at this time versus a more appropriate regulatory construct. Modify text as appropriate. Future discussion item.	See Comment 71.
262	1479	Clarification. The text indicates management flexibility needed to address extreme storm events. Explanation would be valuable in distinguishing extreme storm events versus typical precipitation years. Two approaches appear viable. One is to add term "extreme storm event" to glossary and define in a way that contrasts with typical precipitation years. Second is to provide text at this location, either a new sentence in the paragraph or a footnote (where footnote avoided with termed defined in glossary). Action requested: Consider how to clarify text regarding extreme storm events.	Definition has been added to glossary: "extreme weather events, specifically weather events at t

ng against the dry stack facility. Periodically, depending mporarily upgradient of the dike and be diverted

uest for additional detail and analysis is covered in

water ditches at this stage in project development. red as necessary.

simplify description. Text has been edited to read: "The to convey the 100-year, 24-hour storm event with a

me storm event: unexpected, severe, or unseasonal t the extremes of historical distribution"

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
263		Guidance. Additional information will be needed to conclusively determine how runoff from each of these features would be managed from a regulatory perspective (i.e., process/contact water vs industrial stormwater, etc.). No action requested. Future discussion item.	See Comment 71.
264	1489	Clarification. Based on the layout and discussion it seems like the area around the concentrator should be a contact water area. There would be concentrate stockpiled in the building, moved by heavy equipment, and loaded into containers. It seems likely the run-off around the building would pick up concentrate or its leachate with spillage and trucks exiting the building. With a public water near, any changes in run-off quality have the potential to be important. Action requested: Address the item and modify text as determined appropriate. Ensure that the issue is identified in the Future Scope of the appropriate section(s) in the document.	See Comment 71.
265	1490	Clarification. It is unclear what constitutes the "slopes of the working pad" provided in the text. Action requested: Modify text to clarify.	The plant site would be cleared of vegetation, fil working pad. To manage contact and non-conta- surrounding topography and the outer extent of existing topography. These slopes of the working medium and vegetated to control erosion.
266	1493-1496	Clarification request. The management of water from the non-contact area would seem to require some way to divert water at various points, potentially quickly in the case of 24 hour storms. Is that the intent? Is this applicable to the contact water area too? Action requested: If yes for either, add text to capture this aspect of water management.	Lines 1493-1496 describe the intent of water ma non-contact water. For contact water: Text was added to the Plant Site Contact Water ponds would be normally kept at a minimal leve pond. If the process water pond is at risk of exce based on freeboard requirements, excess water would be included in the tailings stream sent to Text was added to the Tailings Management Site upset conditions, excess process water at the ta tailings management site contact water pond 1.

, filled with compacted rock, and graded to create a stact water the working pad would be higher than the of the working pad would be sloped to tie in with the sing pad would likely be covered with suitable growth

management during storm events at the plant site for

er Management section that reads: "The contact water vel and water would be pumped to the process water ceeding a maximum operational volume threshold er would be directed to the process circuit where it to the tailings dewatering plant."

Site Contact Water Management section that reads: "In tailings dewatering plant could be routed to the 1."

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
267	1507	Clarification. It is necessary to know what constitutes a "portion of the tailings dewatering plant" to assess potential impacts. The layout of this area influences changes in surface hydrology and surface permeability. Additional detail will be necessary to inform the scoping process in offering the potential significance of impacts for the EIS. Providing supporting material, such as a map/figure identifying these areas, or a table giving area measurements, could be warranted. Action requested: Consider how to beef up the text, plus what additional supporting materials would be useful, to assist in better understanding the project and its potential impacts.	TMM anticipates adding industrial stormwater a consultation state agencies during the EIS develops stormwater is likely to better describe the storm to this, after the coordination between TMM and definition has not been agreed upon between T current description in the SEAW. Non-contact water management at the tailings of portion of the tailings dewatering plant would b for water management during extreme storm even the non-contact area at the tailings dewatering discharge controls. However, during typical prece area at the tailings dewatering plant would be re- collection system and used in the process." Com- plant is described in lines 1321-1325: "At the tail stormwater would flow to the south and into tail dry stack facility contact water management sys- liner and under-liner drains), contact water pont- ponds."
268	1518	General comment. Treating the undeveloped areas of the TSF as noncontact water requires consideration. Fugitive dust and precipitation runoff may impact undeveloped areas. Action requested: Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. Project descriptions have been provided that TN EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upd Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ad project descriptions including design or construct
269	1525	Clarification. The text ends the sentence at Lines 1525-1256 as "The footprint of dry stack facility stage 2" Should this read stage 3? As written, it says the water from the tailings at stage 2 would be considered non-contact water. Action requested: Review comment and modify text as appropriate.	Text edited to read: "The footprint of dry stack f water during operations when tailings are place

r as a defined type of water for the project in elopment and subsequent permitting. Industrial rmwater run-off and can provide some further clarity and the agencies occurs. As industrial stormwater TMM and state agencies, TMM is continuing with the

s dewatering plant is described in lines 1535-1542: "A d be managed as a non-contact area to allow flexibility events. During extreme storm events, stormwater on ng plant would be routed through appropriate recipitation years, stormwater from the non-contact e routed to and collected by the contact water ontact water management at the tailings dewatering tailings dewatering plant, surfaces would be graded so tailings management site contact water pond 1. The system would include a liner system (including overond, groundwater cutoff wall, and contact water

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated ruction details on the dry stack facility.

k facility stage 2 would be managed as non-contact ced on stage 3. "

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
270	1529-1530	Clarification request. How will runoff from the tailings stack be handled to prevent it from running onto exposed sections of the dry stack facility liner? Would it be easier to manage all sections of the exposed liner as contact areas and any areas that have yet to be constructed as non-contact areas? Action requested: Modify text to address the issue. Could be a topic of future discussion.	Text has been edited to read "Prior to developm would be installed over the entire footprint of the Tailings filter cake would be placed and compact with a portion of the liner remaining exposed un contact water, TMM would manage portions of areas. TMM would prevent runoff from the dry contact areas of the exposed liner by using a ter necessary to route contact water to a contact w the contact and non-contact areas of the exposed placement progresses eastward."
271	1530	Question. How would the eastern edge of stage 1 of the dry stack facility be separated from the stage 2 area during stage 2 construction and up to the point of tailings being deposited in stage 2? Action requested: Provide response. If part of the answer improves the understanding of the existing text, then modify text accordingly.	There would be a "temporary" contact water dia during stage 1. Additionally, there would be a "t side of stage 2 present only present during stage
272	1530	Clarification request. Explain in detail how portions of the exposed dry stack facility liner would be managed as non-contact water. Action requested: Provide the detail and modify text as requested, which may be substantial enough such that the section warrants reorganization into two sections (?).	See Comment 270
273	1534	Clarification. How would the water from the non-contact areas be managed? Action requested: Address item and modify text accordingly.	See Comment 270
274	1535	Design Consideration. Given the relative small area here compared to the actual tailings deposition area, it seems like it wouldn't be saving much by diverting some of the water of the dewatering plant area as non-contact during large storm events. Under the current design, if there are diversion ditches, isn't there the possibility they would be intercepted by contact water at times (thus becoming "contact surfaces/structures themselves)? Recognizing the practical challenge of separating and changing flow directions, and given the proximity of the filter plant to Birch Lake (within 1000 feet), information requirements are high to assess the potential for impacts to water and natural resources. Action requested: Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. Project descriptions have been provided that TN EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upd Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ac project descriptions including details on water n
275	1536-1542	Clarification. Provide greater detail regarding how the tailings dewatering plant manages contact and non-contact water. This detail not only improves the text but is necessary to determine how runoff from these features would be managed from a regulatory perspective (i.e., process/contact water versus industrial stormwater). Action requested: Modify text to address the item. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. Project descriptions have been provided that TN EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upd Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ad project descriptions including details on water r

oment of each stage of the dry stack facility, the liner f that stage, an area of approximately 120 to 160 acres. acted gradually from west to east across the lined area, until the stage is complete. To minimize the volume of of the exposed dry stack facility liner as non-contact ry stack (contact water) from flowing onto the noncemporary system of berms, piping, and pumps as water ditch. The temporary infrastructure separating osed liner would be periodically adjusted as tailings

ditch along the eastern side of stage 1 present only "temporary" contact water ditch along the eastern age 2.

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated r management.

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated r management.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
276	1544-1549	Clarification. More detailed information on the design of the cover system is needed. This information would be used, in part, to help determine whether MPCA Solid Waste or SDS permits or other agency approvals are required. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Project descriptions have been provided that TM EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upda Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ac project descriptions including design or construct
277	1555-1559	Clarification. What size storm event would the temporary non-contact water ditches be designed to convey and would they be lined? Action requested: Modify text to reflect the response to the question.	Text has been edited to read: "In these areas, a constructed near the toe of the dry stack facility shown on Figure 3-20. These temporary non-cor function as the other non-contact water ditches solids."
			See line 1470 for the description of the storm ev
278	1562	Clarification. Having two different drainage systems is hard to follow and understand in this text. Action requested: Assessment of potential impacts would be aided by additional detailed maps of the three stages of tailings pile construction, where contact and non-contact water areas are clearly defined, ditching and berming is identified (as it may change with each stage?), and surface water flow patterns are clearer. Modify text as appropriate and create supporting figures. See RGU Comment 227.	Based on Comment 227, Figure 3-21 has been in construction. Additionally, Figure 3-19 displays t reclamation which shows the non-contact water Project descriptions have been provided that TN EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upd Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ac project descriptions including details on reclama
279	1562	General comment. Text states: "and would drain to the surrounding environment" This level of detail does not allow for extrapolation of potential environmental effects. Timing of draining also requires understanding. Action requested: Supplement text as current design allows. Ensure Future Scope identifies this information need at the appropriate section(s). Future discussion item.	A preliminary dry stack facility closure concept h discharges are still being evaluated. Baseline data and impact assessments have bee scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR publ decision documents, TMM will review the requir the EIS. Additional data, as outlined in Section 6. affect stream routing and drainage patterns will EIS scope.
280	1565	RGU note. Preliminary review of the information suggests contributing watershed impacts to Keeley Creek, in terms of a new surface hydrology in operations and closure, will receive detailed analysis in the EIS. A focus area would be to evaluate the degree to which "downstream surface water receptors" may or may not receive run-off in the same amounts, and at the same rates, as the pre- project or No Action Alternative. Action requested: Ensure Section 6.3 identifies this item as a future information and analytical need for the EIS.	Watershed impacts from the Project to Keeley C Water Balance Model outlined in Section 6.3.1 (

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated fuction details of liners and cover systems.

a temporary non-contact water ditch would be ity inside and above the contact water ditches, as contact water ditches would have the same design and es and would drain to controls to remove suspended

#### event for non-contact water ditches.

included to show phased dry stack facility s the exterior slope prior to reclamation and after er ditch that is included in more detail in Figure 3-20.

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated mation.

t has been developed and the specific locations of

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to ablishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support 6.3.1, including how project water management could will be provided during EIS development to satisfy the

Creek will be part of the future work, specifically the . (lines 4219-4228).

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
281	1565-1568	Information need. As proposed the tailings area would be converted from forest to grassland. It should be noted this type of covertype conversion can change the quantity, quality, and rates of run- off. Action requested: Ensure Section 6.3 identifies this item as a future information and analytical need for the EIS.	As outlined in Section 6.3.1 future work will incl surface water, groundwater, including quantity, vegetation type will be captured by this modelir
282		Future information need. How the contact water ditches and ponds are reclaimed is important to understand to assess potential for impacts in the closure condition. For example, how would they be drawn down and where would any remaining water, and any possible contaminants, be managed? Action requested: Ensure that reclamation plans for these facilities, including water management, be identified as an information need in the Future Scope of the appropriate section(s). Modify text as appropriate to address the item.	Project descriptions have been provided that TN EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upd Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ac project descriptions including details on reclama
283	1585	General note. DNR will seek further information regarding construction stormwater management, including ponds, collection, treatment, and conveyance in order to support the EIS impact analysis. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to continue will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
284		Clarification. This sentence seems contrary to the claim that all contact water would remain on the project site. Either specify what is meant by "discharged" in the context of no contact water leaving the site, or explain otherwise. As has already been noted, the management of contact water during construction, operations, and closure is of high interest. Statements that discharges would be "in compliance with permits" does not negate the need to fully detail what may be planned. Parameters of interest around any such discharge include: source/where; volumes; predicted water quality; timing; and destination. Action requested: Provide qualifying text to the paragraph on this discharge. Ensure that Future Scope in the appropriate section(s) addresses the item.	Text corrected to indicate that "Construction sto compliance with permits."
285	1618	RGU note. Preliminary review of the information suggests contributing watershed impacts to wetlands, in terms of a new surface hydrology in operations and closure, will receive detailed analysis in the EIS. A focus area would be to evaluate the degree to which wetlands may or may not receive run-off in the same amounts, and at the same rates, as the pre-project or No Action Alternative. Action requested: Ensure Section 6.3 identifies this item as a future information and analytical need for the EIS.	Methods for modeling and monitoring indirect in work scope related to surface water and ground and 6.3.2 will inform the potential indirect impa
286		Information need. Detail will be necessary on drain down and seepage water quality and quantity after closure. Action requested: Ensure that Future Scope in the appropriate section(s) addresses the item.	As outlined in Section 6.3.2 future work will inclu groundwater systems. This modeling is for all Pr will include modeling drain down and seepage w during EIS development.
287	1619-1620	Information need. The possibility that vegetation changes due to normal successional processes, such as trees growing, could alter permeability is an information need for assessing potential impacts in closure. Action requested: Ensure that Future Scope in the appropriate section(s) identifies this as a future information need.	As outlined in Section 6.3.1 planned future scop on surface water systems. Analysis and modeling conditions, the mine operational period, and the

nclude modeling to quantify Project influences on ty, quality, and rates of run-off. The change in eling and will be provided during EIS development.

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated mation and closure.

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

stormwater would be discharged, as required, in

t impacts to wetlands will be refined as the future ndwater. The modeling results from the Section 6.3.1 pacts to wetlands.

nclude modeling to quantify Project influences on Project impacts including reclamation and closure and e water quality and quantity and will be provided

ope will include modeling to quantify Project influences ling of the hydrologic system will include baseline the reclamation / closure period.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
288		Clarification. The text states: "it would be routed to non-contact water ditches." Action requested: Would non-contact water ditches remain in closure? If yes, ensure consistent treatment of this proposed closure condition and modify text as appropriate.	Text edited at the end of Non-contact Water Div "The non-contact water ditches would discharge ditches through energy dissipation devices (e.g., water ditches would be maintained throughout integrated into drainage features at the tailings Project."
289	1619-1626	Clarification. The text indicates if planned water quality management efforts are no longer available, timing of this would be important. If treatment is indeed needed, then it would be necessary to know ahead of time, and at a minimum, include in cost estimates. In addition, appropriate water quality permitting would be required. Action requested: Provide explanation on possible treatment options and monitoring necessary to know if contingency actions should be triggered. Cost estimates will be a subject of future discussions.	It is premature to perform this analysis or know scope for water quality assessment which is on- is forthcoming during EIS development.
290		DNR note. Drain down seepage would be considered "contact water" even if it meets applicable water quality standards. No action requested. Future discussion needed in determining whether this would be classified as some type of discharge.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to conti will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
291	1622	RGU note: Expect future discussion on potentially available treatment technologies. No action required to determine treatment in the EIS.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to conti will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
292	1627	RGU note: The SEAW will not include this section. However, it is likely that some of the information presented is appropriate to include in the document itself. No action requested.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to continuity will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
293	1630	DNR notes an important consideration in the project design stems from the location of the deposit. No action requested.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to conti will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
294	1634-1635	Guidance. If a discharge of process water or contact water is a possibility, even on an infrequent or contingency basis, appropriate water quality permitting (potentially a NPDES/SDS permit) would need to be addressed. Action requested: Ensure Table 8-1 appropriately captures the possibility of permitting for this project feature. Modify text as appropriate. Future discussion item.	The table is complete as offered. TMM understa permit requirements may change. We recognize appropriate or necessary.
295	1638-1639	DNR notes stating "eliminating a potential source of ARD" remains to be validated by a fully- reviewed kinetic testing program. No action requested.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to continuation will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
296	1645	RGU note. Inconsistent to state "no permanent infrastructure" would remain and then list permanent infrastructure that would remain. A possible revision might read: "After Project closure the only permanent infrastructure that would remain would be the dry stack facility and some non- contact water management features." Action requested: Modify text to remove contradiction.	Text has been edited to read: "After Project clos remain would be the dry stack facility and some
297	1650-1686	Guidance. The project features listed in this section of text will have to undergo agency review and approval for each item's proposed design and performance of the various engineering controls. This will likely require additional information and discussion that could result in changes in the design to be approved. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to conti will be responsive to inquiries and requests.

Diversion Area Water Management section to read: rge to existing drainage ways or other diversions g., rip-rap, erosion control mats, etc.). Non-contact ut concurrent reclamation activities and would be gs management site during the closure stage of the

w if it is needed without first developing a complete n-going as well as a detailed impact assessment which

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

stands that as potential Project impacts are completed ize the RGU will make changes to the table as it deems

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

osure the only permanent infrastructure that would ne non-contact water management features."

tinued engagement during the EIS development and

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Met
298	1727-1729	Design consideration. Likely that consideration will be given to the proposed height of the dry stack relative to potential visibility and dust impacts. Action requested: Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to contin will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
299	11/56-1/5/	RGU note. The relationship of the current proposed action to any future activity remains to be determined. No action requested.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to contir will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
300	1 1775	Permit need. DNR notes a dam safety permit may be needed (not yet determined). Action requested: See comment provided in tables section.	See Comment 727.

tinued engagement during the EIS development and

tinued engagement during the EIS development and

# Twin Metals Minnesota EIS RGU's Review of Proposer's Initial Data Submittal Comment Tracking Table - Section 4.0 Land Use Provided to Twin Metals Minnesota on June 15, 2020 / Response provided from TMM to MDNR on July 24, 2020

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
301	1780	RGU note. Section 4.1.1 describes land use in the vicinity at a variety of scales (e.g., regional; ~ 10 miles; 25 miles). It may be beneficial to break land use into a broad regional category along with a well-defined Project area section (~ 10 miles). Action requested: Consider reorganizing section to provide a consistent geographic scale in describing land uses and features relevant to the project context.	Recreational uses are described in a wider scale to can Northern Minnesota. Text has been revised and added to Section 15.1 whe
302	1780	Existing recreation. Section 4.1.1 should note the Transmission Corridor would cross an existing Grant-In-Aid (GIA) snowmobile trail in the approximate location of NWNE sec 29, T61N, R11W. This trail is managed by the Ely Igloos snowmobile Club. Action requested: Modify the text to address the item. Text should be added indicating the project's compatibility with this recreation resource, including during construction, operations, reclamation, and closure. Identify measures incorporated into the proposed project to mitigate any potential incompatibility.	Text has been edited in Section 15.1 to read: "A Gran the footprint of the transmission corridor, is maintain the footprint of the transmission corridor in NWNE S Potential effects on recreation will be studied as out
303	1780	Existing recreation. Section 4.1.1 should identify that several recreational facilities are accessed by, or located on, the Spruce Road. The Spruce Road is within the project boundary. Facilities on the Spruce road include the South Kawishiwi River Water Access, Prospector Loop ATV Trail, Tomahawk Snowmobile Trail, and the Little Gabbro lake Water Access. The project should avoid ingress or egress impacts to Spruce Road. Action requested: Modify the text to address the item. Text should be added indicating the project's compatibility with access to the named recreational features. Identify measures incorporated into the proposed project to mitigate any potential incompatibility.	Lines 1827-1832 generally discuss the recreational us facilities are outside the Project area and no impacts or egress. Impacts to recreation will be assessed as Section 15. Section 15.1.
304	1780	Existing recreation. Section 4.1.1 should identify the US Forest Service operates the South Kawishiwi Campground, which is located at the intersection of Hwy 1 and the Kawishiwi River. The facilities include a campground, swimming beach, pavilion, and DNR administered public water access. The project should avoid ingress or egress impacts to these recreational facilities. Other possible impacts include light and noise effects. Action requested: Modify text to address the item. Text should be added indicating the project's compatibility with access to the named recreational features. Identify measures incorporated into the proposed project to mitigate any potential incompatibility. See Sections 10 and 12.	See Comment 311. Impacts to recreation will be assessed as part of Sect
305	1802	Addition. These categories are appropriate land uses to add to the list provided: Water oriented commercial businesses (e.g., resorts; houseboat rental; fish guiding; other); Lake shoreland residences. Action requested: Modify text.	Text has been edited to include additional bullets.

### letals Response

capture the large number of recreating opportunities in

where effects on recreation and future scope are addressed.

rant-in-Aid snowmobile trail, which currently runs through rained by the Ely Igloos Snowmobile Club. The trail crosses E Section 29, T61N, R11W."

utlined in Section 15.1.

uses within 25 miles of the Project area. These recreational ts are planned to Spruce Road which would impact ingress

5.1 and some text has been moved from Section 4 into

ection 15.1.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
306	1804	Clarification request. Review of Figure 4-4 appears to indicate both resorts and parks occur within 10 miles of the Project, which is analogous to the distance to Babbitt and Ely. Action requested: If accurate, then modify bullet text to read: "Recreation (resorts, parks).	This is covered in list with: "Hunting and fishing; Year-round recreation, including downhill skiing, sno biking, hiking, and golf; Recreational trails." Additionally bullets in text have businesses (e.g., resorts; houseboat rental; fish guidi
307	1805-1822	Clarification. This area is primarily forested and the main uses currently are for timber production and recreation. Recreation is a high use of the area, including on Birch Lake and connected waters. It is noted that mining and industrial uses of the area have not occurred, although there is a history of mineral exploration. Past mineral exploration has left little footprint on the land. Action requested: Modify text as appropriate to make characterization of land use better reflect the existing project area.	The text discuss previous land use in the Project area development. Mineral resource development contin drilling from TMM and Encampment Minerals as wel industrial mineral lease with the state of Minnesota
308	1806	Text clarification. The text reads the Project area has a history of both mineral "exploration" and "development." Depending on the defined boundary of "Project area," uncertain that "development" applies. Clearly however that "exploration" activity applies. Action requested: Modify text by dropping "development" unless rationale for inclusion is supported.	Mineral development is appropriate as Kasota Stone operating in the proposed footprint of the tailings m State of Minnesota.
309	1815	RGU note. The introduction could be read to imply the Project area exhibits commercial and industrial uses, which is not accurate. Action requested: Modify text to drop introductory qualifier for sentence to read: "The region is a destination for recreation."	In addition to Kasota Stone (see Comment 308) there near the Project area as well as commercial resorts a
310	1817	Clarification. DNR notes the Project area seems closer than 5 miles to BWCAW. Figure 1-1 shows the BWCAW 2 miles from the northeast corner of the Project Area. Figure 4-1 shows the BWCAW 3 miles from the northeast corner of the Project Area. Action requested: If this is correct, it may be more accurate to state as a range (e.g., 2 to 5 miles) across the various project features.	The BWCAW is approximately 5 miles away from the disturbance - the northeast end of the access road contract has been edited to read: "The Project lies within approximately five miles from the southwestern bord (BWCAW) at the nearest point to any areas of potential structures approximate of the southwestern bord (BWCAW) at the nearest point to any areas of potential structures approximately for the southwestern bord (BWCAW) at the nearest point to any areas of potential structures approximately for the southwestern bord (BWCAW) at the nearest point to any areas of potential structures approximately for the southwestern bord (BWCAW) at the nearest point to any areas of potential structures approximately for the southwestern bord (BWCAW) at the nearest point to any areas of potential structures approximately for the southwestern bord (BWCAW) at the nearest point to any areas of potential structures approximately for the southwestern bord (BWCAW) at the nearest point to any areas of potential structures approximately for the southwestern bord (BWCAW) at the nearest point to any areas of potential structures approximately for the southwestern bord (BWCAW) at the nearest point to any areas of potential structures approximately for the southwestern bord (BWCAW) at the sout
311	1833-1837	Information need. Assessing potential impacts to recreation resources requires a full description of the recreation management classifications of state and federal ownerships, including permitted uses and targeted experiences. As appropriate it may be relevant to identify SNF recreation classifications for the greater area around the project as a function of the extent of project impacts. There are areas of Semi-primitive Motorized Recreation both to the northeast and south of the project. The parts of the Project area within the SNR are classified as General Forest, which too specifies recreation settings and permissible activities. More broadly, the non-motorized recreation use that is present typically occurs on lakes, trails, portages, and low standard roads. This management type is along all the shore of Birch Lake and the South Kawishiwi and there are two back country campsites immediately adjacent to the project site; these should be acknowledged. There are also two USFS campgrounds; the South Kawishiwi Campground is immediately adjacent to the Project area according to Figure 12-1, while the Birch Lake Campground is located to the west-southwest of the DSF across Birch Lake. Action requested: Modify text to better account for recreation classifications and features in the project vicinity. Ensure that the Future Scope of the appropriate section(s) address the item as determined appropriate.	Recreation has been identified as an area of other poin in Section 15.1. Text has been edited in Section 15.1 to include addit "Within 1 mile (0.6 km) of the Project area there are • South Kawishiwi River Campground – northeast of • Birch Lake Campground – southwest of the Project In addition to the campgrounds, two backcountry can Project area on the eastern shore of Birch Lake – the Additionally, two USFS designated moderately develop Project area."

nowmobiling, off-highway vehicle (OHV) use, mountain

ve been edited to include: "Water oriented commercial iding; other);" in response to Comment 305.

rea and this correctly includes mineral resource tinues within and near the Project area with exploration well as mineral development in Kasota Stone's 120-acre ta (MLIN200002) within the footprint of the Project.

ne is an industrial mineral producer with a quarry currently management site with an industrial mineral lease with the

ere are timber harvest activities on Federal and State land and travel options near the Project. No change made.

ne nearest point to any areas of potential ground corridor.

hin the Bear Island State Forest boundary and is order of the Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness ential ground disturbance."

potential environmental effects and has been incorporated

ditional specifics on recreation in the immediate vicinity: re two campgrounds:

of Project and

ect on the west shore of Birch Lake.

camping sites are located within 1,000 ft (300 m) of the

nese campsites are accessible by any type of watercraft.

eloped trails, T5-1901a and T5-1904, are located within the

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
312		RGU note. EQB guidance states for RGUs to consider conservation lands as the following: "Conservation lands. Typical land uses that fall in this category include Wildlife Management Areas (WMA), Waterfowl production areas, Scientific and Natural Areas (SNA), wildlife refuges, conservation easements, and potentially other federal, state, and local programs designed to conserve natural resources;" EAW Guidelines (2013). Figure 4-3 identifies both a "Research Natural Area" and "Unique Biologic Area" under the Superior National Forest Plan Management Areas. Action requested: Modify text to align with EQB guidance.	Text has been edited to read: "Within 1.5 miles (2.5 Creek Research Natural Area and a Unique Biologica are no prime or unique farm lands, agricultural pres
313		RGU note. EQB's EAW Guidelines (2010) cautions RGUs that "the EAW should not include information that serves only to justify or promote the project but is otherwise irrelevant to the purpose of an EAW." The Scoping EAW will follow this guidance for Item 9 - Land Use. No action requested.	Comment is noted.
314		Clarification. There are residences on the west shore of Birch Lake that are very close (appears to be less than a mile) from the project and within Residential Recreational zoning classification. For the Inset Map on Figure 4-4, confirm that each "blue square" represents a private residences to ensure a <u>ll</u> private (residential) properties are identified. Action requested: Modify text to address residential properties on the west shore of Birch Lake across from the project. Comment provided in the figures section.	Correct. Each blue square represents a private resid Lake across from the project are addressed in Comr
315	1888	Clarification. Although detail provided on the land use plan, little text is devoted on any relevant ordinances. No mention here that much of the project is within the Shoreland management zone (within 1,000 ft. of a lake and 300 ft. of a stream), though it is partially shown on Fig. 4-3. Action requested: Consider comment and modify text as determined appropriate.	Shoreland zoning provisions and shoreland manage have been revised to include additional description full however they are cited and portions of the Proje identified.
316	1907	Clarification. Does the Lake County Plan end in 2013? The reference document listed at Lines 6842- 6843 was effective June 2017. Action requested: Confirm duration compared with project activities; modify text if warranted.	This document was effective June 23, 2017 and the Lake County Board of Commissioners in 2017. Howe from the text.
317		Clarification. Other potentially relevant land use plan goals, which will have to be assessed for project compatibility, include: General Goal 1: C5) Work with federal and state officials to retain resident hunting, trapping, and fishing rights on publicly owned lands and waters, and C6) Work with applicable entities to maintain public access to all public land and waters in Lake County. Under general Goal 2: Recreational/ Cultural, there is Goal D) Encourage preservation of historic sites, E) Work with State and Federal agencies to ensure residents' continued rights to hunt, fish, and trap and manage forest land within the County, and H) Support the multiple-use of public lands and recognize the importance of all recreational activities. Action requested: Amend the text as appropriate to address the item.	Text has been edited to read: "The plan provides the General Goal 1: Continue to develop Comprehensive traditions, and customs of County residents, utilizing General Goal 2: Assure a balance between developr
318	1909	Clarification. The "Land Use Goal: Support growth that is orderly and planned" is actually a part of a larger goal with multiple categorized goals. General Goal 2: Assure a balance between development and quality of life considerations. Land Use Goal: Support growth that is orderly and planned. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Text has been edited to read: "The plan provides sp Land Use Goal: Support growth that is orderly and p

2.5 km) of the Project area in the SNF there is the Keeley ical Area as shown on Figure 4-3. In the Project area there reserves, or conservation lands"

sidence. Residential properties on the west shore of Birch nment 776.

gement are discussed on lines 2134-2152. These sections on in response to other comments. Rules are not repeated in oject that would be required to meet these rules are

ne reference to 2013 is in the document approved by the wever, to avoid confusion "until 2013" has been removed

the general goals as follows:

sive Plan to guide decision-makers that considers the values, ring locally accepted comprehensive planning principles. opment and quality of life considerations."

specific land use goal as follows: I planned."

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
319	2049	Clarification. The management areas of the proposed project are identified in the SNF Plan are "Semi-primitive Non-motorized Recreation" and "General Forest" (https://www.fs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/fsm91_050602.pdf). This section should provide more information on the intent of the management areas as the basis of assessing the treatment of this topic in EIS scoping. Action requested: Modify text to address the item as determined appropriate.	To clarify, the Project area is within General Forest a areas. The Project area is outside any Semi-primitive Plan). Text has been edited to read: "The SNF is broken out conditions, objectives, standards and guidelines. Mo areas with portions near Birch Lake identified as Rec General Forest Management Areas General Forest Management areas "emphasize land goods, uses, and services" (USFS, 2004). These mana have buildings and structures to support resource m accommodated. Recreation Use in a Scenic Landscape "Recreation Use in a Scenic Landscape management areas may result in concern The management areas may have buildings and stru most special uses can be accommodated." In addition to these edits see Lines 2355-2374 for Pro-
320	2049	Clarification. The SNF Resource Management Plan relies on monitoring and evaluation to improve ongoing management and inform planning decisions. The 2017 Superior National Forest Monitoring and Evaluation Report allows the USFS to determine how well the desired conditions, goals, objectives and outcomes of the Forest Plan have been met. Potential applicability of the findings of this report should be considered. Action requested: Modify text to address the item as determined appropriate.	TMM has not incorporated these findings as they are Management Plan. Further considerations as to the Monitoring and Evaluation Report will be assessed b development of the EIS.
321	2072	Clarification. DNR notes that planning for the cited plan is underway, while the previous applicable subsection plan is out of date. Action requested: Modify sentence to read: "drafted with an anticipated completion in the near future. The state forest management units"	Text has been edited to read: "The Northern Superic the process of being drafted with an anticipated con on the MDNR website. drafted with an anticipated c
322	2077-2085	Clarification. The text identifies that Figure 4-3 identifies the Shoreland Zoning areas around a number of water features. The text would benefit from discussion of the "additional shoreland zoning requirements" to which the project may subject. Action requested: Add some detail to the text.	Text has been edited under the Shoreland Zoning Proshoreland of these water courses are required to be level elevation. Structures within shoreland zoning a design, height, and vegetative standards."
323	2079	Clarification. Activities on DNR administered state lands may require compliance with all applicable municipal, county and state laws, ordinances and regulations, and obtaining and paying for all leases, licenses, easements and permits as may be required by its use. Action requested: Modify text by breaking out state-administered lands from the joint sentence with federal lands. In new sentence use same language regarding state lands plus clarifying text.	Text has been edited to read: "Federal lands are not federal rules and regulations. State lands are not sub with all applicable municipal, county and state laws, all leases, licenses, easements and permits as may b

t and Recreation Use in Scenic Landscape management ve Non-motorized Recreation (see Figure MAS-6 in the SNF

but by management areas which are assigned desired Aost of the Project area is in General Forest management ecreation Use in a Scenic Landscape management areas.

nd and resource conditions that provide a wide variety of nagement areas are the most common in the SNF, may management objectives, and most special uses can be

nt area emphasizes land and resource conditions that es in natural-appearing surroundings" (USFS, 2004). entrated recreation and a high degree of user interaction. ructures to support resource management objectives and

Project impacts to the SNF Plan.

are not currently reflected in the SNF Land and Resource le applicability of the 2017 Superior National Forest I by the RGU as identified in Comment 335 during

rior Uplands Section Forest Resource Management Plan is in ompletion date of 2019 according to information available I completion in the near future."

Provisions to read: "Structures developed within the be setback 100 ft (30.5 m) from the ordinary high water g are subject to certain requirements including placement,

ot subject to local zoning controls but are governed by subject to local zoning controls but may require compliance rs, ordinances and regulations, and obtaining and paying for be required by its use."

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
324	2085	Clarification. At the appropriate location provide a bulleted listing of all project elements that occur within shoreland districts that is consistent with Figure 4-3. According to the figure, the Plant Site, DSF, and Transmission Corridor encroach within designated shoreland districts. Action requested: Review figure and ensure text and figure are consistent. RGU recognizes there may be a data layering issue that will be rectified in future submittals.	<ul> <li>Text edited to read: "Parts of the Project that are wit</li> <li>Tailings management site;</li> <li>Transmission corridor;</li> <li>Non-contact water diversion area;</li> <li>Ventilation raise sites;</li> <li>Plant site; and</li> <li>Water intake corridor."</li> </ul>
325	2133	Clarification. Shoreland zoning involves more than buildings meeting setback requirements. This section on shoreland zoning provisions should include text identifying the specific controls on shoreland alterations (Sec. 7.08), shoreland excavations (7.09), and road location (Sec 7-10) that are likely relevant to the proposed project. For example, greater detail will be necessary to assess the proposed amount of excavation and vegetation removal for impacts. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Text has been updated to state, "Additionally, shorel shoreland alteration, shoreland excavations, and roa of these provisions will be assessed by the RGU as ide
326	2134	Information request. What are the zoning requirements for Keeley Creek? Action requested: Modify text with zoning requirements for Keeley Creek.	Text has been edited to read: "of the ordinary high Creek, Denley Creek, Stony River, and Unnamed Stre the shoreland of Birch Lake are required to be set ba water level elevation or require vegetative screening Stream are watercourses with special shoreland class
327	2137	Addition. Need to list public waters Keeley Creek and Unnamed tributary to Bob Bay (Birch Lake) also. Action requested: Modify text to address item.	See Comment 326.
328	2138-2139	Clarification. Sec 7.05 Standards For Commercial, Industrial, Public, and Semipublic Uses states setback for non-water oriented commercial structures is greater than 100 ft. (or requires vegetative screening). Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Text has been updated to state, "Structures within th more than 100 ft (30.5 m) from the ordinary high wa
329	2143	RGU note. DNR will further develop the relevant information for this section. No action requested.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to continued responsive to inquiries and requests.
330	2144 - 2149	Clarification. Minn. Rules Chapter 6120 are the minimum standards developed by the state and the standards adopted by LGUs, who administer the rules. The state is the administrator only on state-owned land. Action requested: Clarify text to match DNR authority.	Text has been edited to read: The MDNR is responsite minimum standards for shoreland management for p these standards are implemented through local shor authority. However, on state lands the MDNR admin
331	2153	Clarification. If the Project type in St Louis County is classified as electrical lines and substation, and because the Project crosses RES-5 and FAM-1 zoning, then the substation component may require a performance standard permit. Such an approval would not be required for the electrical lines part of the project. Action requested: Confirm if indeed this is the project type for St. Louis County, and if yes, confirm whether such a permit is required. Modify text as appropriate. Add this approval to Table 8-1 if needed.	See lines 2410-2411. "The transmission corridor is lis crosses in St. Louis County (FAM, RES, and IND) but w would be located on private land within the Mineral Louis County and would not be subject to St. Louis Co
332	2293	Coordination. DNR will engage the Fond du Lac Band of Lake Superior Chippewa, and any other Tribes with usufructuary rights, on any tribal use of resources in the Project area and 1854 Ceded Territory. No action requested.	Comment is noted.

vithin shoreland zoning include portions of the.

eland zoning provisions also describe requirements for bad locations." Further considerations as to the applicability identified in Comment 335 during development of the EIS.

gh water level elevation of public watercourses (Keeley ream [Kittle Number H-001-092-015]). Structures within back more than 100 ft (30.5 m) from the ordinary high ng. Keeley Creek, Denley Creek, Stony River, and Unnamed assifications."

the shoreland of Birch Lake are required to be set back vater level elevation or require vegetative screening.

ed engagement during the EIS development and will be

sible for developing Minn. R., chapter 6120, which set the r public water basins and watercourses. On private lands oreland ordinances and administered by the local zoning inisters the shoreland rules directly."

listed as an acceptable use in all three zoning districts it would require local permitting." The electrical substation al Mining -City of Babbitt and on federal land within St. County zoning ordinance.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
333	2316	Text clarification. The SEAW item addresses compatibility with all the respective plans. Assigning the term "impact" to any project incompatibility with the respective land use plans is awkward. Action requested: Either drop the first two sentences found in Lines 2315-2317 and start the section to read: "The Project would be compatible;" or propose different language.	Text has been edited to remove the sentences.
334	2317	RGU note. DNR will assess the Project compatibility with planned land uses identified by Lake County, St. Louis County, the City of Babbitt, and the USFS. No action requested.	Comment is noted.
335	2318	Guidance. The RGU will assess the Project proposed resource extraction purpose for compatibility with planned land uses identified by Lake County, St. Louis County, the City of Babbitt, and the USFS. The Scoping EAW will identify any incompatibilities and propose the treatment of the issue in the EIS. No action requested.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to continued responsive to inquiries and requests.
336	2320	Guidance. The RGU will assess the Project for compatibility with the Lake County Comprehensive Plan and Land Use Ordinance. The Scoping EAW will identify any incompatibilities and propose the treatment of the issue in the EIS. No action requested.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to continued responsive to inquiries and requests.
337	2321	Clarification. Because some of the land would be removed from public use, this may be in conflict with goals of the comprehensive plan, in particular the Recreational/Cultural Goals of the Lake County Land Use ordinance. These elements in the comprehensive plan may be relevant to the project: <b>Recreational/Cultural Goal</b> - Support the establishment and maintenance of recreational facilities and systems: C) Encourage cultural partnerships. D) Encourage preservation of historic sites. E) Work with State and Federal agencies to ensure residents' continued rights to hunt, fish, and trap and manage forest land within the County. H) Support the multiple-use of public lands and recognize the importance of all recreational activities. No action requested. The RGU will assess compatibility of project with the county's land use ordinance.	Comment is noted.

ued engagement during the EIS development and will be

ued engagement during the EIS development and will be

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
338	2326	Clarification. There is a need to see if there are potential conflicts with the project proposed within a priority watershed: Kawishiwi. Action requested: Assess the item and modify text as determined appropriate.	The text has been edited to read: "This plan identifie Watershed. The Project area lies within the Kawishi Geological Survey (USGS) Hydrological Unit Code (H •Kawishiwi River, •Isabella River, •Stony River, •Birch Lake, and •portions of Fall Lake. From the Kawishiwi Watershed Protection Project In priority management areas are: •Enforce shoreland management regulations as pro actions to mitigate the impacts of past developmen •Proactively protect beneficial uses by taking positive Invasive Species. •Protect and improve water quality by reducing the and increase the number of Subsurface Treatment S •Protect and improve water quality and aquatic and Management Practices to stabilize and restore erod vegetation. •Continue to monitor water quality and evaluate wa •Coordinate education and outreach messages and agencies, county and local governments, lake associ The Project would be compatible with these priority
339	2328	Clarification. The text states "This plan identifies six high priority watersheds, none of which are included in the project area." The Lake County local water management plan identifies the Kawishiwi watershed as one of the six priority watersheds. Action requested: Confirm the project is not in the Kawishiwi River watershed. Figure 6-2 appears to place parts of the DSF, plants site, vents, and parts of the transmission corridor within the South Kawishiwi subwatershed. Modify text if necessary to reflect location in the Kawishiwi River watershed.	See Comment 338.
340	2355	RGU note. The potential significance and subsequent treatment in the EIS remains to be determined regarding the project's potential compatibility with planned land use as identified in available SNF Land and Resource Management Plan. Potential areas requiring consideration include non-motorized recreation and forestry. No action requested.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to continue responsive to inquiries and requests.
341	2385-2387	RGU note. The potential significance and subsequent treatment in the EIS remains to be determined regarding the project's potential compatibility with planned land use as identified in available comprehensive plans and other applicable plans for land use, water, or resources management by a local, regional, state, or federal agency. No action requested.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to continued responsive to inquiries and requests.

ifies six high priority watersheds, including the Kawishiwi hiwi Watershed which is made up of the following U.S. (HUC)-10 watersheds:

Implementation Plan (Wenck Associates, Inc., 2013) the

roperty develops and redevelops, and encourage voluntary ent.

tive actions to halt or minimize the spread of Aquatic

he number of noncompliant Subsurface Treatment Systems t Systems that are properly operated and maintained.

nd terrestrial habitat by implementing shoreland Best oding shoreline and establish native shoreline and emergent

ů –

water quality trends.

nd delivery methods with and between federal and state ociations and other groups.

ity management areas and their underlying objectives."

ued engagement during the EIS development and will be

ed engagement during the EIS development and will be

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
342	2384	RGU note. The potential significance and subsequent treatment in the EIS remains to be determined regarding the topic of land use. No action requested.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to continued responsive to inquiries and requests.
343	2390	Text clarification. The SEAW item addresses compatibility with all the respective plans. Assigning the term "impact" to any project incompatibility with the respective land use plans is awkward. Action requested: Either drop the first two sentences found in Lines 2389-2390 and start the section to read: "The Project would be compatible;" or propose different language.	Text has been edited to remove the sentences.
344	2391	Information request. It is stated that: "The Project would likely require conditional use permitting in Lake County and St. Louis County and would be compatible with the underlying zoning." Project aspects that may lead to the need for conditional zoning should be identified. This text should also note any need for a performance standard permit for the electrical substation. Action requested: Modify text to specify what likely requires conditional use permitting, and possible need for performance standard permit.	Conditional use permits are discussed for Lake Coun 2153-2190. See Comment 331 for performance stan
345	2395	RGU note. The treatment in the EIS remains to be determined regarding the project's potential compatibility with planned land use as identified in the zoning and management codes for Lake County. No action requested.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to continued responsive to inquiries and requests.
346	2398	Information need. Confirm that the ventilation access road is compatible with the zoning as proposed. Action requested: Modify text to include this project feature and compatibility with zoning.	Text has been edited to read: "The plant site, water i and transmission corridor are acceptable uses in the RR in Lake County but would require local permitting
347	2399	Clarification. Shoreland zoning involves more than buildings meeting setback requirements. For example the road to the water intake building may not meet setback. Greater detail will be necessary to assess the proposed amount of excavation and vegetation removal for impacts. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	See Comment 325.
348	2399-2402	Clarification. It appears that portions of the Transmission Corridor cross shoreland setbacks. If this is true, these locations within the shoreland setbacks should be identified. Action requested: Modify text to address issue.	Text states that "portions of the transmission corrido for Birch Lake, Keeley Creek, Denley Creek, and Ston Ordinances."
349	2405	Clarification. It appears that portions of the tailings management site fall within the shoreland setbacks. If this is true, these locations within the shoreland setbacks should be identified. Action requested: Modify text to address issue.	Text states that "Most of the tailings management si tailings management site would adhere to the shore Administrative Rules. The Project would be compatik
350	2407	RGU note. The treatment in the EIS remains to be determined regarding the project's potential compatibility with planned land use as identified in the zoning and management codes for Lake County. No action requested.	Same as Comment 345.
351	2407 - 2408	Clarification. Project needs to be consistent with LGU standards as the LGU may have stricter standards than the state. Identify where project is not compatible with LGU requirements for lands under state jurisdiction. Action requested: Modify text to address issue.	This is identified earlier in the text. See lines 2144-21
352	2417	Future action. DNR notes the amount of tree clearing for this project should be compatible with the intent (or actual ordinance) of Shoreland Zoning. In general, structures are not placed within the Shoreland Impact Zone. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted.

ued engagement during the EIS development and will be

unty on lines 2087-2132 and for St. Louis County on lines andard for the electrical substation.

ued engagement during the EIS development and will be

er intake corridor, ventilation raise sites and access road, the zoning districts with which they are associated (FR and ting)."

idor would be required to abide by setback requirements ony River, identified by Lake County Shoreland Zoning

t site would be outside of the shoreland boundary. The oreland setback requirements identified by Minnesota's atible with the statewide minimum shoreland standards."

-2152

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
353	2425	Number guidance. Action requested: Lead the value ".03%" with a zero to read: "0.03%." Assign this rule globally in the document.	Text has been edited to read: "The change in accessi the 1854 Treaty Territory."
354	2429	RGU note. The potential significance and subsequent treatment in the EIS remains to be determined regarding the topic of zoning impacts. No action requested.	Comment is noted.
355	7431	RGU note. DNR will identify any Project incompatibilities with applicable plans, zoning, or other land use measures before identifying treatment of the issue in the EIS. No action requested.	Comment is noted.

ssibility represents a 0.03% reduction in total acreage within

# Twin Metals Minnesota EIS RGU's Review of Proposer's Initial Data Submittal Comment Tracking Table - Section 5.0 Geology, Soils, Topography Provided to Twin Metals Minnesota on June 15, 2020 / Response provided from TMM to MDNR on July 24, 2020

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
356	2441	Text correction. The Duluth Complex is not referred to as a "geologic group." It is part of the Midcontinent Rift Intrusive Suite. In contrast, the North Shore Volcanic Group is a "geologic group." Action requested: Revise text accordingly.	Text has been edited to read: "The Project area i composed of igneous rocks associated with the N
357	2442	Clarification. Use of the term magmatic rocks is unusual and potentially confusing. The Duluth Complex is almost exclusively comprised of igneous rocks. Classic terminology distinguishes two types of igneous rocks: plutonic and volcanic; or intrusive and extrusive. Action requested: Revise text accordingly.	Text has been edited to read: "The Project area i composed of igneous rocks associated with the N
358	2460	Text correction. The Duluth Complex is not composed of magmas. Action requested: Revise text accordingly.	Text has been edited to read: "The Duluth Comp rocks related to the Midcontinent Rift System an Minnesota. "
359	2465-2469	Clarification. Is the SKI also bordered by the Bath Tub Intrusion? Action requested: Revise accordingly.	Text has been added to read: "A small portion of the Bath Tub intrusion near Babbitt."
360	2467-2468	Text wording. Probably best to use a consistent "direction to feature" sequence through the entire sentence. The sentence is otherwise unclear. Bullets may be easier to accomplish. Action requested: Reword for clarity.	Text has been edited to read: "The SKI is bordere • the Giant's Range Batholith (GRB) and Biwabik • the Anorthositic Series to the northeast, and • the Partridge River Intrusion to the southwest, • the Bald Eagle Intrusion to the southeast."
361	2485	Additional information. Discuss the potential for incorporation of Duluth Complex rock in glacial material (i.e., scouring of ice sheets including Duluth Complex outcrop during deposition). Action requested: Address the issue and incorporate into text as warranted.	Text has been edited to read: "The Rainy Lobe Ti and other rocks." Rainy Lobe contains a large variety of rocks from Duluth Complex. Given this unsure of what outlin the SEAW data submittal.
362	2574	Text edit. Action requested: Heading should be changed to "Unconsolidated Material Thickness."	Text has been edited to change the heading.
363	2582	Clarification. The use of Acid Rock Drainage (ARD) here is out of context. Typically ARD is reserved for natural occurrences where acid is released from weathering rocks. The term Acid Mine Drainage (AMD) is more appropriate here because the topic is anthropogenic influences that may impart the release of acid. See Rimstidt and Vaughan (2003) <i>Pyrite oxidation: A state-of-the-art assessment of the reaction mechanism, in</i> Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta vol. 67 no. 5 pp. 873-880, <i>Section 1. Introduction and references within that section.</i> Action requested: Consider point and revise text accordingly. If relying on the proposed literature, add to reference material.	The term is accurate as offered. TMM will contin guide and terminology adopted by the Internatic is widely accepted and understood. TMM unders in documents it publishes.

# letals Response

a is underlain by the Duluth Complex which is Midcontinent Rift System. "

a is underlain by the Duluth Complex which is Midcontinent Rift System. "

nplex is composed of mafic to felsic tholeiitic igneous and makes up much the bedrock of northeast

of the southwestern extent of the SKI is bordered by

ered by: bik Iron Formation to the northwest,

st,

Till is a brown, sandy till that contains basalt, gabbro,

m differing provenances not all necessarily from the tlining the potential for Duluth Complex rocks adds to

tinue to use it for documents it prepares. See GARD tional Network of Acid Prevention. TMM's use of ARD erstands that the MDNR will adopt language it prefers

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
364	2583	Clarification. ARD is not the correct term. Because the required EIS is related to a mining action, therefore the characterization work being performed would be to evaluate the potential for AMD, where AMD is not the result of natural oxidation. Most commonly AMD is from the excavation of earth materials taken from a geochemical stable environment and placed in a highly reactive environment. Action requested: Modify text. <u>Apply global fix to document UNLESS there is a circumstance where usage of the term ARD is appropriate as DNR is defining it</u> .	See Comment 363.
365	2584	Clarification. The text references "stages" in a series of chemical reactions that is somewhat unclear. The series of chemical reactions that constitute sulfide oxidation are acid generating. Action requested: Clarify text to better state what is expected.	Text has been edited to remove "and in stages" a series of chemical reactions" remains accurate.
366	2585-2587	Text correction. The reaction rate of sulfide oxidation does not depend on mineral content or climate. Action requested: Edit text.	The statement is accurate as offered. See GARD Network of Acid Prevention. TMM understands t documents it publishes.
367	2586	Clarification. Action requested: Delete the word "environmental."	Text has been edited to read: "The rate at which different factors such as mineral content and clir
368	2589-2600	Clarification. Paragraph needs to be rephrased to discuss chemical weathering or chemical weathering trends rather than weathering patterns. Action requested: Elaborate and revise text.	Weathering patterns has been revised to weather utilized in the GARD guide in Section 5.4.12 where testing methodology. Additional text has been added within this section intended to generate information on weathering information that can be used to estimate the por rates of readily soluble primary and secondary m derived from kinetic testing results. "
369	2601	Clarification. Sulfur content is an indirect measure of the controlling factor for ARD. The actual controlling factor is the proportion of exposed sulfide mineral surfaces relative to acid neutralizing mineral surface area. This concept needs to be incorporated into the text. Action requested: Add perspective to discussion.	This bullet and the following 2 bullets, were orig previous material characterization studies on no following the 3 bullets previously starting on line project-specific material characterization progra Minn. R., part 6132.1000. Therefore, TMM has e not project specific and TMM believes the discus address the comments specific to TMM's project
370	2603-2609	Clarification. This assertion of higher total sulfur content rocks being capable of maintaining a circumneutral leachate only occurs for a very specific sulfur content and bulk mineralogy. Action requested: Provide more clarity and revise to make this an accurate statement.	See Comment 369.

s" and the text "the process of oxidation occurs in a e.

RD guide and terminology adopted by the International ds that the MDNR will adopt language it prefers in

ch this reaction occurs can vary based on a number of climate."

thering rates. Weathering rates is the terminology hen they discuss predictions from laboratory kinetic

tion to further expand: "Kinetic testing are primarily ing rates of primary minerals (e.g., sulfides); potential for future net-acid conditions. Dissolution minerals present at the onset of testing can also be

riginally meant to summarize key points from the non-TMM Duluth Complex rocks. The paragraph ine 2611 is specific on how TMM has developed a gram in consultation with MDNR and in alignment with s elected to eliminate these three bullets as they are cussion in paragraphs following these 3 bullets better ect.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
371	2603-2609	Information need. Relying on a so-called lag time to acid generation to implement controls to avoid development of AMD requires additional investigations and analysis beyond what has been	See Comment 369.
		conducted to date. A complete plan will be needed prior to extraction of this type of rock. Action requested: Ensure Section 5.3 identifies this as an information need. Future discussion item.	TMM looks forward to future discussion on this
372	2603-2609	Clarification. The assertion that higher [should state "lower"] total sulfur content rocks being capable of maintaining a circumneutral leachate only occurs for a very specific sulfur content and bulk mineralogy. Action requested: Provide more clarity and revise to make this an accurate statement.	See Comment 369.
272	2603-2609	Information need. Additional supporting evidence that the specific sulfur content and bulk mineralogy of the material would result in maintaining a circumneutral leachate is needed. Action	See Comment 369.
373	2603-2609	requested: Further analysis will be needed of these rocks to determine if this is applicable to this project. Ensure Section 5.3 identifies this as an information need. Future discussion item.	TMM looks forward to future discussion on this
374	2610	Clarification. The text offers an oversimplified description of the control on metal leaching. Acid drainage would either not occur or the waste would be managed to avoid, thus the control on metal leaching is more about pH and substrates for sorption. Action requested: Modify text.	See Comment 369.
375	2612	Clarification. Because the material characterization program is not finished, using the term "developed" gives the impression of an approved set of activities even though it is later acknowledged to be "ongoing." Action requested: Revise text to read: "TMM is developing a Project-specific material characterization program"	Text has been edited to read: "Although a funda ML within Duluth Complex rocks exists, TMM is characterization program in consultation with M 6132.1000."
376	2615-2617	Clarification. DNR notes the tailing characterization work is only partly started; there is also no approved tailing kinetic testwork that could inform the ARD and ML of TMM pilot tailings. The current status of activity should be better reflected. Action requested: Modify first bullet to read: "Preliminary characterization of sulfide mineralization"	Text has been edited to read: "Preliminary chara ML potential of tailings, waste rock, developmer and GRB rock;"
377	2618-2619	Clarification. DNR notes DNR-LAM has not reviewed or been provided any documentation regarding utilization of characterization data to inform material management. The current status of the activity should be better reflected. Action requested: Modify second bullet to read: "Future utilization of characterization data"	Text has been edited to read: "Future utilization management; and"
378	2620-2621	Clarification. DNR notes DNR-LAM has not reviewed or been provided any documentation regarding incorporating characterization program data for understanding impacts to water quality. The current status of activity should be better reflected. Action requested: Modify third bullet to read: "Develop a plan for inclusion of data obtained"	Text has been edited to read: "Develop a plan fo characterization program into modeling to furth
379	2622	Clarification. It is unclear what constitutes "ARD analysis?" Is this supposed to be Acid Base Accounting for determining the acid generation potential? See also line 2634. Action requested: Modify text to provide a more precise description of what the "ARD analysis" being referenced is.	Text has been edited to read: "To date, TMM ha rock analysis), acid-base accounting, net acid ge on development rock, waste rock, and ore; and mineralogical and petrological, and preliminary

is item as it relates to the TMM Project.

is item as it relates to the TMM Project.

damental understanding of the potential for ARD and is developing a Project-specific material MDNR and in alignment with Minn. R., part

aracterization of sulfide mineralization and ARD and nent rock, and ore associated with the Duluth Complex

on of characterization data to further inform material

for inclusion of data obtained from the material rther understand potential impacts to water quality."

has conducted chemical analysis (elemental and whole generation, and mineralogical and petrological analyses ad chemical composition, acid base accounting, ry kinetic testing analyses on tailings"

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
380	2623-2625	Clarification. No definite chemical classification has been made as to what constitutes "development rock," which would be a management-based classification sub-category of waste rock. Therefore, discussion regarding the ARD potential of development rock is premature as it has not been defined. Action requested: Modify text to acknowledge uncertainty in any prediction of ARD potential for development rock until it is defined.	See Comment 381.
381	2623-2625	Clarification. At this time the statement is unsupportable and thus is false as offered. The existing rock characterization data is not well suited to make determinations of ARD potential. This is because the static tests performed are designed for carbonate bearing rocks that are importantly beyond the very modest amounts found in the Duluth Complex. Furthermore, the existing characterization indicates that about half of the ore is acid generating. Action requested: Eliminate the sentence or revise the text to provide a more accurate assessment based on the known limitations of the existing work to date.	Statement has been removed.
382	2623-2627	Clarification. Note that this is only based on static testing, not kinetic. Action requested: Modify text to specify that only static testing has occurred, not kinetic. Should occur early in the paragraph.	See Comment 381.
383	2630-2633	Clarification. Regarding the "planned future testing" program noted in the text, what is necessary to inform the EIS and permitting is subject to DNR approval. Starting the sentence as "Planned future testing" gives the impression of a fully-vetted and approved methodology that has not happened. It is also noted this has not been indicated in the current waste characterization program. Action requested: Eliminate the word "planned" and rather modify the text to treat this as a future information need. Ensure this is identified in Section 5.3.	Text has been edited to read: "Future material c rock, and ore will need to include continued stat additional mineralogical analysis with a specific as this is a lesser studied rock unit."
384	2642-2643	Clarification. The tailing kinetic data discussed was conducted outside of the waste characterization program that is being developed with the DNR. Based on the current understanding that the test duration was 20 weeks, this data will not be applicable to the long term evaluation required for tailings reactivity. Although the DNR has not received or reviewed the data, the DNR does not expect to rely on this information in assessing ARD potential of tailings. Action requested: Further discussion item.	The tailings kinetic data was conducted by a thir kinetic protocols. The data generated by the tes conjunction with other tailings testwork, to info performance.
385	2648	Reference request. Please provide Wood, 2019 reference on subsidence and crown pillar stability. Action requested: Provide this report to DNR upon receipt of these comments.	This reference has been removed. Text has beer TMM is continuing to update and refine geotech geotechnical data package during EIS developme incorporated into Section 5.3.2.
386	2668	Clarification. It is not clear how a comparison of modeled subsidence to heave of unconsolidated material is relevant. Action requested: Modify text to provide some additional context in what's offered. Is this to allow the reader a relative comparison from another more well-known phenomenon?	This is included to give the reader a relative com Project area.
387	2754-2756	RGU note. DNR will need to review available information regarding subsidence and crown pillar stability, and soils and topography, before identifying the treatment of the issue in the EIS. No action requested.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to continue will be responsive to inquiries and requests.

I characterization of the development rock, waste tatic testing to inform necessary kinetic testing and ic focus towards the GRB that comprises the footwall,

hird party lab and undertaken using standard ASTM est is valid (not preliminary) and may be used, in form water quality predictions and long term tailings

een added to clarify that this analysis is preliminary. echnical information which will be provided as part of a ment. This anticipated deliverable has been

omparison to a common phenomenon around the

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
388	2766	Information need. The work plan needs to include waste rock characterization. Action requested: Add the term "waste rock characterization" to the work plan list.	Text has been edited to read: "A work plan for th ore, and tailings including data quality objectives laboratory selection, and data management"
389	2771-2772	Clarification. The last bullets notes a "field testing" component. Is this referencing a field testing program that has already begun, or is this a future data collection effort? Action requested: Modify text to clarify the field testing reference. Future discussion item.	No field testing has occurred. The two reference scope) have been removed as discussions around Characterization Program have been centered an permitting and a need has not been determined testing deems it necessary for permitting.
390	7/73-7/74	Future action. If the current focus is to conduct more static testing, those plans have not been provided to the DNR. Action requested: Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to contin will be responsive to inquiries and requests.

r the characterization of waste rock, development rock, ves, testing methods, sample selection rationale,

nces to field testing (both within Section 5.3.1 future und field testing as part of the Mine Material d around if field testing is necessary to support ed yet. TMM remains open to field testing if future

tinued engagement during the EIS development and

# Twin Metals Minnesota EIS RGU's Review of Proposer's Initial Data Submittal Comment Tracking Table - Section 6.0 Water Resources Provided to Twin Metals Minnesota on June 15, 2020 / Response provided from TMM to MDNR on July 24, 2020

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
391	2780	Note. In general, this section lacks information on Keeley Creek that will be necessary to assess whether potentially significant issues require evaluation in the EIS. This will be considered over the development of the Scoping EAW and proposed EIS scope. Action requested: Consider where information regarding potential project impacts are lacking and ensure Section 6.3 identifies how the information will be provided for the EIS.	Stream morphology assessment was conducted quality sampling at location DMSW15 in the cree The need for supplemental data collection on su Section 6.3.1: "Although TMM has obtained and surface water hydrology, additional information surface water hydrologic system. Instrumented g flow regime in Keeley Creek upstream and down Additional data on Keeley Creek will be provided
392	2785	Guidance. Clear identification of impaired and high value surface waters (wetlands, streams, lakes) and analysis considering potential impacts would be beneficial. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to contin will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
393	2816	Clarification. The bulleted list of Project-specific watersheds should include the Stony Creek watershed and be depicted in Fig. 6-4. Action requested: Modify the text and figure to address the item or provide a rationale why this should not be the case.	These are Project-specific watersheds that were site, and underground mine area. No Project-spe transmission corridor as impacts expected in this and potential effects to surface water resources watershed level. Denley Creek and Stony River watersheds are ind
394	2826	Guidance. The naming convention for DNR Public Water 69-3P in the EIS will be Birch Lake. First usage in all EIS-related documents will be as follows: Birch Lake reservoir (Birch Lake); subsequent usage as follows: Birch Lake. Action requested: Global revision requested throughout in text, tables, and figures.	Per MDNR guidance, "Birch Lake reservoir" has b figures.
395	2832	Reference. The Water Management Plan needs to be referenced in the document for the Winton Hydroelectric Station. Action requested: Modify text to include the reference. Add reference to Section 17.	Desired reference is unclear from comment. Ple review.

# letals Response

ed in 2019 on three reaches along the creek and water reek has been conducted for seven years.

surface waters, including Keeley Creek is outlined in nd developed a substantial database with respect to on is needed to evaluate potential impacts to the d gaging stations will be installed to further define the wnstream of the tailings management site."

ed during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope.

tinued engagement during the EIS development and

re developed for the plant site, tailings management pecific watersheds were developed for the his area from construction activities, vehicular travel es are not anticipated to be perceptible at the

ncluded in Figure 6-2 and Table 6-1.

been changed to "Birch Lake" in text, tables, and

lease provide a copy of the reference for TMM to

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
396	2866-2871	Available data. The copper nickel study from the 1970s has a large amount of stream flow and water quality data that should be included, as appropriate, in future evaluations. Action requested: Assess utility of this dataset in relevant analyses. Consider noting in Section 6.3 any requirements for this data.	Comment is noted. TMM has incorporated data as part of the Regional Surface Water Quality ba relevant data in a during EIS development to sat
397	2878	Data availability. Is there data available for Keeley Creek? Action requested: Answer the question and modify the text as appropriate. Ensure Section 6.3 identifies this item as a potential information need for the EIS.	As indicated in Table 6-5 through Table 6-6, stre plans to collect Stream flow data for Keeley Cree
398	2893	Data availability. Surface water monitoring data, related to the Dunka Pit, is available through 2020 on the MPCA Wastewater Data Browser (beyond year 2013). Action requested: Update with new data; modify text as determined appropriate; add reference to Section 17.	The data provided for Dunka Pit is adequate for
399		Data requirement. A complete record of water quality data (i.e., individual sample results) will need to be made available (in addition to the summaries and averages, etc. provided here). No action requested. Expect a great deal of scrutiny on this topic. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM will submit necessary with the EIS scope.
400	2909; 2922	Terminology. The term "relatively impermeable bedrock" (used here and elsewhere in the document) should be used cautiously. The degree of GW interaction between the surficial materials and bedrock (including bedrock transition/weathering zones) will need to be thoroughly investigated before conclusions can be drawn. No action expected. Expect a great deal of scrutiny on this topic. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to contin will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
401	2923-2929	Information need. Detailed hydrographs and complete stream flow data will need to be made available to assess the current conditions and to design any subsequent data collection efforts. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM will submit necessary h development to satisfy the EIS scope.
402	2929	Clarification. Keeley Creek is mentioned here but not listed as stated in Table 6-5. Action requested: Comment provided in tables section.	A stream gage has not yet been installed in Keel Table 6-7, are North and South Nokomis Creeks. varies widely with stream size with the highest f lowest flows in North Nokomis Creek and South
403	2930-2948	Future discussion. Initial efforts at characterizing base flow using PART will need to be further discussed and evaluated. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to contin will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
404	2934	Clarification. The text should provide the time of year that the samples were taken because seasonal variability in flow can interact with project impacts resulting in differential impacts to aquatic habitat that should be considered in the analysis. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	The baseline PART analysis was run on data from contains 1,826 mean daily streamflow values. Baseline data and impact assessments have been scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR publ decision documents, TMM will review the requir the EIS. Modeling to the surface water system in outlined in Section 6.3.1 and will be provided du

ta from the Minnesota Regional Copper-Nickel Study baseline description and will evaluate and include satisfy EIS scope.

ream flow data is not available for Keely Creek. TMM reek, as described in Section 6.3.1.

or purposes of scoping for the TMM Project EIS.

water quality data during EIS development to satisfy

tinued engagement during the EIS development and

v hydrographs and stream flow data during EIS

ely Creek. Creeks with the lowest flows, as shown on ks. Text has been edited to read: "Magnitude of flow t flows measured in the South Kawishiwi River and the th Nokomis Creek."

tinued engagement during the EIS development and

om 2014-2018 and the time period for each station

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to ablishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support including differential impacts to aquatic habitat is during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
405	2938-2941	Clarification. Provide more detail on how it was determined that groundwater routed through unconsolidated deposits provides a significant portion of baseflow to area streams and rivers. Action requested: Modify text.	The PART analysis, described in the previous par makes up 85% to 90% of streamflow at the thre conceptual model is that baseflow is routed thre bedrock due to the impermeable nature of the has been edited to clarify.
406	2938	Data need. Local impacts on groundwater to Keeley Creek streamflow, not just to Birch Lake Reservoir, is a data need. Action requested: Ensure Section 6.3 addresses the item as a future data need. Modify text as current information allows to address the item.	Comment is noted. TMM considers this request development and plans to collect stream flow d for use in this evaluation.
407	2949	Data requirement. Need to collect and include continuous stream flow data at these sites. Action requested: Ensure Section 6.3 identifies this as a future data need. Modify text as determined appropriate. Line 2949.	Stream gages have been installed at DMSW3 (N from these gages will be provided during EIS de been installed in Denley Creek (DMSW16) becau would not alter the Denley Creek watershed. Continuous stream flow data need is captured i development to satisfy the EIS scope
408		Data need. Baseline Keeley Creek stream morphology is a data need. Action requested: Ensure that Section 6.3 addresses the item as a future data need. Modify text as current information allows to address the item.	
409	2994	Data source. Minnesota Power has extensive records on flows and water levels. This data should be accessed along with any information provided by the DNR LakeFinder dataset. Action requested: Procure relevant data from Minnesota Power as appropriate. Modify text as current information allows to address the item.	See Comment 401. Baseline data and impact assessments have bee scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR pub decision documents, TMM will review the requi the EIS. Additional data, as outlined in Section 6 provided during EIS development to satisfy the
410	2995	Reference. The text should reference the Winton Hydroelectric Station management plan. Action requested: Modify text to make the reference.	See Comment 395.
411	3042	Clarification. There are three Impaired Waters within 1 mile. Filson Creek is impaired for aquatic life- fish bioassessment. Both Keeley Creek and Filson Creek are listed with aluminum as the pollutant. EPA classification status of these waters is 4D. This information should be in this section. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	<ul> <li>Text has been updated with information from the "original system of the syste</li></ul>
412	3042-3044	Clarification. Additional information on the two impairments should be included, including status/results of any further assessment, stressor ID, or TMDL work, and similar. Action requested: Amend text to address the item.	Text has been updated with information from the waters are listed, along with their impairment, s

baragraph determined that groundwater baseflow ree stations that were assessed (Table 6-8). The hrough the unconsolidated materials above the e bedrock and topography of the bedrock surface. Text

est appropriate for consideration in the EIS data for Keeley Creek, as described in Section 6.3.1,

(N. Nokomis Creek) and SW29 (S. Nokomis Creek). Data development to satisfy the EIS scope. A gage has not cause, other than the transmission corridor, the project

l in Section 6.3.1 and will be provided during EIS

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to ublishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support 6.3.1, including hydrographs and water levels will be e EIS scope.

the draft 2020 impaired waters list: onsumption-mercury in fish tissue (No TMDL, EPA

atic life, aluminum stressor (No TMDL, EPA category

tic life, aluminum and copper stressors (No TMDL, EPA

UID 09030001-983) for aquatic life, aluminum stressor

the draft 2020 impaired waters list. Four impaired t, stressor, EPA category, and TMDL status.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
413	3045	Data need. A complete record of available WQ data will need to be made available for scoping and the EIS. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted.
414	3046	Clarification. It is unclear why the data summarized in Table 6-7 limited to only 2017 and 2018? MPCA understands potentially relevant water quality has occurred over a much longer period of time. If correct, no reason is given for the exclusion of earlier data. Action requested: Amend the text to address the item or explain the unavailability or innaplicability of other data.	Baseline data and impact assessments have bee scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR publ decision documents, TMM will review the requin the EIS. Additional data, as outlined in Section 6. provided during EIS development to satisfy the E
415	3059	Clarification. The actual concentrations of aluminum should be noted here for Keeley Creek and Filson Creek. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Refer to Table 6-9 through Table 6-10 for an ave Baseline data and impact assessments have bee scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR publ decision documents, TMM will review the requir the EIS. Additional data, as outlined in Section 6. provided during EIS development to satisfy the E
416	3059-3065	Clarification. Please provide the respective concentrations at each location, rather than the average. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Baseline data and impact assessments have been scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR publ decision documents, TMM will review the requir the EIS. Additional data, as outlined in Section 6. provided during EIS development to satisfy the E
417	3117-3129	Clarification. Text and Figure 6-8 only describe shallow and deep bedrock however Figure 6-11 depicts monitoring wells in very deep bedrock. Understanding of text would be improved if very deep bedrock was better described in text and a figure. Action requested: Modify text with additional explanation.	Only two bedrock hydrogeologic units have been legend on Figure 6-11 has been corrected to ind monitoring wells: Shallow Bedrock (B1) Monitor and Deep Bedrock (B4) Monitoring Wells
418	3131	Available data. PWI data needs to be looked at as a source of available data. Action requested: Access the PWI data and modify text accordingly.	The use of PWI is included in the SEAW data sub use of PWI data is captured in Section 6.3.3, as p
419	3135	Clarification. Provide description and evaluation of the historical data. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Baseline data and impact assessments have been scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR publ decision documents, TMM will review the requir the EIS. Additional data, as outlined in Section 6. provided during EIS development to satisfy the E
420	3143	Definition. Provide a definition for corehole. Action requested: Modify text. Add to glossary.	See Comment 16.
421		Note. The 74 coreholes for which hydrogeophysical testing have been completed are all located over the underground mining area; none are at the plant site or tailings site. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Text has been edited to read "TMM has conduct intervals in 74 coreholes located in the undergro
422	3171	Future data need. May need to add additional groundwater monitoring wells at the project boundary or outside of project area depending upon location of groundwater compliance points. Action requested: Ensure Section 6.3 identifies this item as a potential information need. Future discussion item.	Section 6.3.2 states that the groundwater supple wells. Locations for new monitor wells will be di monitoring well locations are beyond the scope

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to ablishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support 6.3.1, including relevant water quality will be e EIS scope.

verage aluminum value for Keeley Creek.

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to ablishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support 6.3.1, including relevant water quality will be e EIS scope.

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to ablishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support 6.3.1, including relevant water quality will be e EIS scope.

een defined: shallow bedrock and deep bedrock. The ndicate that there are three types of bedrock oring Wells; Shallow Bedrock (B2) Monitoring Wells,

Ibmittal in Tables 6-3, 6-4 and Figure 6-3. Additional part of the wetlands supplemental scope.

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to ablishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support 6.3.2, including relevant historical data will be e EIS scope.

acted corehole hydrogeophysical testing at over 400 ground mine area."

plemental scope includes installation of new monitor discussed with the agencies. Details on future be of an SEAW data submittal, so no change was made.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
423	3172	Data need. Well logs for the monitor wells and piezometers installed will need to be made available. No action requested. Future discussion item.	TMM looks forward to continued engagement d inquiries and requests.
424	3177-3209	Clarification. Very deep bedrock wells should be described in this section. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Deep bedrock wells (B4) are described on lines 3
425	3200	Clarification. Is there a B3 monitoring well category? If so, include, otherwise revise accordingly. Action requested: Answer the question and modify text to address the item.	There is no B3 monitoring well category.
426	3212	Note. Monitor wells are mostly all located at the underground mining area. Few, if any, are at the plant or tailings sites. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Text has been edited to read "Figure 6-11 shows near the underground mine area. Additional mo tailings management site as part of future scope
427	3219	Clarification. Were the surrounding wells measured also during each test? If so, please include this information. Action requested: Answer the question and modify text to address the item.	During the performance of the pumping tests, we the same well pad, although these wells were so the pumped well. Generally, water levels in the pumped well. No nearby wells were available for Typically, the nearest well located within the sar have served as an observation well, was located Considering the flow rates and the durations of the projected to result in drawdowns at such large d
428	3227	Data need. The details of the "standard aquifer test analysis" will need to be made available. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted.
429	3230	Clarification. DNR understands the 2019 data collection from well testing is complete. If yes, update text accordingly. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Baseline data and impact assessments have bee scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR publ decision documents, TMM will review the requin the EIS. Additional data, as outlined in Section 6 provided during EIS development to satisfy the E
430	3246-3253	Clarification. What are the "select constituents" and how were they selected? Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Groundwater quality sampling parameters are lis The objective of groundwater quality sampling is reflect environmental conditions and the parame baseline conditions and support impact analysis.
431	3246-3253	Future discussion. It is advisable that the selection of locations, parameters, frequency, and similar be done in consultation with the state. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to contin will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
432	3260-3262	Future discussion. It is advisable that these future monitoring activities for the plant and tailings be done in collaboration with the state. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to contin will be responsive to inquiries and requests.

during the EIS development and will be responsive to

3201-3206.

ws the monitor well locations. Most are located in or nonitoring wells will be installed at the plant site and pe."

water levels were monitored at other wells located in screened in different hydrogeologic units (HGUs) than ne other HGUs did not respond to pumping in the for monitoring in the same HGU as the pumped well. same hydrogeologic unit as the pumped well that could ed several hundreds to over 1,000 feet away. of the pumping tests, the effects of pumping were not

distances.

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to ablishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support 6.3.2, including aquifer testing and analysis will be e EIS scope.

listed on Table 6-26 through Table 6-28.

g is to obtain representative samples that accurately meters were selected to adequately characterize the sis.

tinued engagement during the EIS development and

tinued engagement during the EIS development and

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
433	3306	Clarification. Is there site-specific information on site ET rates? Action requested: If yes, modify text to address the item. If no, could potentially be a future information need to be identified in Future Scope.	Baseline data and impact assessments have bee scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR pub decision documents, TMM will review the requi the EIS. Additional data, as outlined in Section 6 specific evaporation conditions will be provided
434	3308	Clarification. Is there site-specific information on site recharge rates? Action requested: If yes, modify text to address the item. If no, could potentially be a future information need to be identified in Future Scope.	Baseline data and impact assessments have bee scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR pub decision documents, TMM will review the requi the EIS. Additional data, as outlined in Section 6 recharge rates will be provided during EIS devel
435	3359-3360	Clarification. Providing data or analysis will be of use given interest in fracture flow. Please provide further detail. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Baseline data and impact assessments have bee scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR pub decision documents, TMM will review the requi the EIS. Additional data, as outlined in Section 6 logging will be provided during EIS developmen
436	3360	Clarification. Below 300 feet the flow zone frequency is less. What is the flow zone frequency value below 300 feet? Further discussion needed regarding this analysis. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	See lines 3365-3377. "The average fracture flow fractures per 100 ft (30.6 m) of vertical thicknes 1219.2 m) bgs."
437	3395 Fig 6-12	Plot review. Data used to create this plot will need to be reviewed in detail. For example, are the few data points 2018-2019 representative to entire site? No action requested. Future discussion item.	TMM looks forward to continued engagement of inquiries and requests.
438		Clarification. General note for section that lacking in analysis of flow to Keeley Creek. Absent this data, impact assessment not possible. Action requested: Ensure Section 6.3 identifies this item as a data need. Modify text as current information allows to address the item.	See Comment 391.
439	3420-3432	Clarification. Available data looks to be focused only on the underground mine area. Will need additional data/evaluation for plant and tailings sites (including potential effects on Keeley Creek). Action requested: Modify text to ensure correct geography indicated. Plant and tailings site should be considered a future data need; ensure Section 6.3 identifies this as a future information need.	Text edited to read: "94 monitor wells and piezo near the underground mine area." Section 6.3.2 highlights the need for additional o new monitor wells for water level and water qua
440	3428-3430	Question. Why was it determined that 1419.5 ft was the hydraulic head? How does this elevation compare to long-term average lake and river elevations? Action requested: Provide a rationale for the hydraulic head value. Modify text to address the item as determined appropriate.	The Birch Lake water elevation used for creating the MDNR Lakefinder (the DNR official source for documented in note #5 on Figures 6-14, 6-15, an the dam by Minnesota Power between 2007 an 1417.2 and 1419.9 feet.
441	3453	Correction. Is "rand" supposed to be "range"? Action requested: Modify text with correction.	Text corrected. "rand" changed to "range"

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to ablishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support 6.3.1, including analysis and modeling of site ed during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope.

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to ublishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support 6.3.2, including analysis and modeling of site relopment to satisfy the EIS scope.

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to ublishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support 6.3.2, including aquifer testing and hydrophysical ent to satisfy the EIS scope.

w zone frequency is approximately 0.5 measurable ess in the depth range of 300 ft to 4,000 ft (91.4 to

during the EIS development and will be responsive to

zometers have been installed. Most are located in or

al data collection including aquifer test analysis and quality sampling.

ing the potentiometric surface figures was taken from for lake level readings statewide) site on 6/5/2019 as and 6-16. The elevation of Birch Lake, as measured at and 2019 varies seasonally between approximately

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
442	3460-3467	Future review. There will be a need to more fully evaluate and document potential groundwater- surface water interactions. Action requested: Ensure Section 6.3 identifies this item.	Section 6.3.1 describes surface water supplement analysis will better define the surface water bas surface water / groundwater interactions and re surface water system." Both the surface water a necessary to define this interaction and relation be provided during EIS development.
443		Clarification. Is there information about aluminum levels that could be added here? This would provide relevant context considering the known MPCA impairments. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	2018 average aluminum concentrations in grour 28. Baseline data and impact assessments have bee scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR publ decision documents, TMM will review the requir the EIS. Additional data, as outlined in Section 6 EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope.
444	3518-3524	Data need. MCPA will need to see the complete record of individual sample results. It is correct that 2019 data (and likely beyond) will need to be gathered and incorporated into the analysis. Action requested: Ensure Section 6.3 addresses the item. Future discussion topic.	Baseline data and impact assessments have bee scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR publ decision documents, TMM will review the requir the EIS. Additional data, as outlined in Section 6 during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope.
445		Clarification. The phrase "more dilute than" is not meaningful. Clarity could include: for all parameters? how much? implications? or similar. Action requested: Modify text to address the item; provide specificity to make less vague.	Text has been edited to remove sentence.
446	3551-3566	Clarification. Some of the values listed here are above secondary groundwater/drinking water standards. To the extent that this may be claimed as "natural background," additional data and documentation will be needed. Action requested: Ensure Section 6.3 identifies this item as an information need. Future discussion item.	Baseline data and impact assessments have bee scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR publ decision documents, TMM will review the requin the EIS. Additional data, as outlined in Section 6 during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope.
447	3595	Clarification. It should be noted that this statement pretty much refers to the one well. This is not the foundation for it to be offered as a definitive statement on overall conditions. Action requested: Amend the text to better characterize available data or provide a rationale for the assertion.	Text has been edited to read: "The cations / anio concentrated than surface water as would be ex mineralized BMZ, however the average TDS con- the concentration defined as a brine."
448	3595	Clarification. MPCA notes that some of the chloride and TDS values from B1 wells indicate that saline (to some extent) water is being encountered. Also important, the presence of "saline waters" could impact the chemical balance for the project. Action requested: Ensure that Section 6.3 addresses this issue. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. Baseline data and impact assessments have bee scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR publ decision documents, TMM will review the requir the EIS. Water modeling is outlined in Section 6. will simulate of contact and process water flows

ental scope "supplemental data acquisition and aseline environmental conditions, hydrologic regime, relationships, and potential Project impacts to the r and groundwater supplemental scopes will be onship. The results of these supplemental scopes will

undwater are provided in Table 6-26 through Table 6-

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to Iblishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support 6.3.1, including water quality will be provided during

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to ablishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support 6.3.2, including groundwater quality will be provided e.

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to ablishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support 6.3.2, including groundwater quality will be provided be.

nions in well MN-503B4 were significantly more expected in a monitor well screened within the oncentration was two orders of magnitude lower than

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to ablishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support 6.3.1 and will include a water balance model which ws.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
449	3605	General note. The Scoping EAW will require a summary discussion of the frequency, duration, location, depth, and parameters of existing wetland monitoring, and include how it is proposed in the future. The EIS will require robust baseline wetland hydrology, water quality, and vegetation data to serve as a comprehensive baseline with which to compare future data for possible direct and indirect effects on the quantity and quality of the water resources. Action requested: Ensure Section 6.3.3 identifies these as a future information need. Future discussion item.	Section 6.3.3 currently details the plans to estab for possible direct and indirect effects to wetlan
450	3605	General note. MPCA indicates the antidegradation portion of Section 401 requires an inventory of the existing uses and level of water quality necessary to protect existing uses (Minn. Rules part 7050.0250), and mitigation thereof. Existing uses are the highest existing on or after November 28, 1975. These are not necessarily current uses or quality. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to conti will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
451	3605	Regulatory guidance. MPCA indicates preservation credits might not be considered adequate mitigation for wetland losses. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to conti will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
452	3666	Clarification. In the Eggers and Reed 2015 publication, Wetland Plants and Plant Communities of Minnesota and Wisconsin, Wooded Swamps are referred to as Hardwood Swamps and Coniferous Swamps. Action requested: Verify that terminology/nomenclature is being used consistently in the text across references.	Edited Text, Table 6-29 and Table 6-31 through "Hardwood Swamp" verses "Hardwood Wetland
453	3699	Clarification. In the Eggers and Reed 2015 publication, Wetland Plants and Plant Communities of Minnesota and Wisconsin, Shrub Swamps are referred to as Shrub Carr and Alder Thicket. Action requested: Verify that terminology/nomenclature is being used consistently in the text across references.	Edited Text, Table 6-29 and Table 6-31 through <sup>-</sup> "Shrub-Carr" verses "Shrub Wetland"
454	3706	Future data need. Wetlands need to be documented in an area that is larger than the defined project area to be able to determine the potential for indirect wetland impacts. Increase area for delineation accordingly. Action requested: Ensure Section 6.3 identifies the item as a future information need. Modify text to address the item as determined appropriate. Future discussion item.	Section 6.3.3 indicates that wetland delineation direct and indirect impacts.
455	3783-3785	Question. Can an equally definitive statement be made for "contact water?" Action requested: Answer question and modify text as determined appropriate to address the item.	As stated in the Water Management Plan sectio of contact water. Future scope, described in Sec process water and contact water flows. Please r
456	3781-3786	Clarification. What is the source of domestic water and how would it be stored prior to off-site disposal? Issue of better understanding of the proposed water management. Action requested: Answer question and modify text to address the item as determined appropriate.	Text added in the project description (Line 813): services described in the mine services building identified. Preliminarily considerations include a Birch Lake. Potable water source has not been in water would include transporting water jugs to a

ablish baseline conditions and compare to future data ands

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

h Table 6-38, and Figures 6-19 and 6-20 to reflect and"

h Table 6-38, and Figures 6-19 and 6-20 to reflect

ons will occur, followed by an assessment of potential

tion, the project is designed not to require a discharge Section 6.3.1, will include detailed assessment of e refer to lines 307-308.

3): "The domestic water source required to provide the ng and concentrator services building has not been e a domestic water plant that would source water from n identified; preliminary considerations for potable to site."

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
457	3783-3788	Clarification. Water balance information needed regarding how does the cycle of reusing process water end at closure? For example, what if water would have to be released if the system was seasonally high (e.g., due to precipitation and/or snowmelt)? The section also does not address decommissioning contact water ponds (dewatering and restoration), with the potential for site contamination not being addressed. Potential impacts are possible to Keeley Creek and Birch Lake, in the form of changes in quality and quantity of surface water runoff. Action requested: Answer question and modify text to address the item as determined appropriate. Ensure Section 6.3 addresses the item as a future information need.	Comment is noted. Section 6.3.1 specifies that t balance model that will simulate process water of the plant site and tailings dewatering plant w features to control erosion, and stormwater qua
458		Clarification. From where does the domestic water come? Presume it should be accounted for in project losses? Action requested: Answer question and modify text to address the item as determined appropriate.	See Comment 456.
459	3794	Clarification. What constant rate value was used for this calculation? Action requested: Answer question and modify text to address the item as determined appropriate.	Calculation assumes 800 gpm as stated as the p pumping in Line 361. Please further refer to Line over estimates the required withdrawal as it wa The rate was additionally added to Line 3797 in
460	3796-3798	Clarification. Please better describe what appropriation volume/pump rate was used to determine the impact on Birch Lake's water level. Discuss if anticipated Birch Lake pumping rates would change with mine life and what volume of water would initially need to be pumped out of Birch Lake to fill the process water reservoir, etc. Were potential changes in water appropriation needs taken into account when determining impacts on water levels? Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	See Comment 459 for deatils on the appropriati appropriation requirements.
461	3804	Text addition. Add "for the project" after " water withdrawn" Action requested: Modify text.	Edited as requested.
462		Impact assessment. Information on the timing and rate of water withdrawal is necessary to project the potential for impacts. Action requested: Ensure Section 6.3 identifies this item as a data need. Modify text as appropriate to reflect current information.	Section 6.3.1 specifies that the future scope incl will simulate process water flow.
463		Question. Is there a potential for the appropriation to affect ice safety? This could be a form of recreation impact? Action requested: Answer the question and consider where any issue of ice safety should be presented.	Winter recreation is practiced on hundreds of la the proposed water intake point should not be insignificant in comparison to the total acres of Moreover, natural weather variation causing se significant impact to winter lake recreation year the presence of any thin ice.
464	3807	Question. Is the proposed withdrawal compatible with the rule curve for Minnesota Power? Action requested: Answer the question. Modify text as appropriate.	Preliminary calculations using an overestimated would result in <2 inches (5 cm) of water level d account for any inflows or dam operational wate of the Section 6.3.1 after the water balance has

t the future scope includes development of a water er flow. Text added to read: "Closure and reclamation would include use of surface water management juality, quantity, and rates."

project description as the instantaneous rate of ines 3798-3803 which further expands on how this was assumed across the full year for this calculation. in the text.

ation calculation and Comment 76 for details on future

ncludes development of a water balance model that

f lakes near the proposed Project. Ice safety issues at be presented in the EIS. Any potential loss in ice cover is of winter lake recreation available in the region. seasonal late ice cover or early ice out is a far more ear to year. Measures can be taken to warn people of

ed process requirement show that appropriating water I decrease to Birch Lake. This calculation does not ater management. Compatibility will be verified as part as been finalized.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
465		Clarification. "Seasonal" was not described in the paragraph above. How was that accounted for in order to include in this statement? Add detail as needed. Action requested: Modify text.	Text has been edited to read: "Based on this sim sufficient to supply the required make up water appropriations would be insignificant compared reservoir."
466	3812-3816	Clarification. Need to quantify how much watershed would be removed from affected stream(s) by construction of plant site and calculate the reduction of volume of water flowing to affected streams. Also, define or qualify "temporary impact" because a temporary but long-term impact may require mitigation. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	The reduction in volume of water flowing to affe be quantified as outlined in Section 6.3.1 and wi EIS scope.
467	3814	Clarification. Is an impact "temporary" if it is for the life of the project? In normal usage, many construction effects are characterized as "temporary." The temporal dimension of operational effects is typically characterized in terms of permanence or reversibility. Action requested: Consider more targeted use of the term "temporary;" modify text accordingly.	Definition of temporary has been added to the g limited period of time or a fixed duration and no reversed as a part of the Project, it has the chara In relation to impacts, temporary impacts may b correspond to phases of Project development su closure, however they are not permanent. If an reversibility. If a potential impact would be reve of being temporary. All temporary impacts have impact could be reversible but is not proposed a
468		Note. Project-related changes to topography and surface run-off patterns would be permanent not temporary. To the degree that some measure of function can be restored in reclamation, this remains to be seen. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Text has been edited to read: "During project clo would be re-established to the extent possible, i
469	3816	Clarification. Potential effects also include reduced Keeley Creek watershed resulting in permanent lower flow in the creek, and consequent changes in aquatic habitat (due to changes in stream geomorphology). Also the impacts would not just be under low flow conditions. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Section 6.3.1 specifies that the future scope will which includes Keeley Creek.
470	3818	Clarification. Paragraph describes additional losses to Birch Lake. Were these included in the <5% in section 6.2.1? Should be a total expected addition to annual variation if going to state <5% above. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Section 6.3.1 specifies that the future scope will quantity, which includes Birch Lake.
471		Clarification. Were Birch Lake water level impacts looked at based on reductions in flow to the Birch Lake from the plant site and the tailings storage facility? If so, please include. If not, it needs to be included. Action requested: Modify text as appropriate to address the item.	Section 6.3.1 specifies that the future scope will quantity, which includes Birch Lake.
472	3820-3823	Clarification. Need greater detail to use term "negligible effect." Action requested: DNR will need to verify potential change to verify characterization as "negligible effect." Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to continue will be responsive to inquiries and requests.

imple calculation, it appears that Birch Lake would be er for the Project and the impact of water ed with the managed water level fluctuation of the

ffected streams and the time period of the impacts will will be provided during EIS development to satisfy the

e glossary that reads: "temporary: lasting for only a not permanent. If a potential impact would be aracteristic of being temporary."

y be short- or long-term and may or may not such as construction, operations, and reclamation and an impact could be reversed, it has the characteristic of versed as a part of the Project, it has the characteristic ve the characteristic of reversibility, however an d as such.

closure and reclamation natural drainage patterns e, minimizing the potential for permanent impacts."

ill evaluate the potential impacts to surface waters,

vill evaluate the potential impacts to surface water

ill evaluate the potential impacts to surface water

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
473	3822	Clarification. Containment and rerouting of surface water may have an impact on the quantity of water and needs further consideration. It may also have impacts on the quality of water if there is reduced infiltration of run-off. Action requested: Ensure Section 6.3 identifies this as a future information need. Modify text as current information allows to address the issue.	Section 6.3.1 specifies that the future scope wil quantity and quality.
474	3823	Clarification. The last sentence ends with "not future considered." This is an awkward phrasing (that also occurs elsewhere). If the intent of this phrasing is the issue is not being proposed for further evaluation, then probably better stated by ending the sentence without the phrase with new sentence that might read: "Containment and rerouting of stormwater are expected to have a negligible effect on surface water quality. No future scope proposed on the issue" or similar. Action requested: Consider intent of usage and modify text accordingly. Do a global document search on the term and revise consistent with this revision.	Text has been edited to remove "not future con this issue" throughout the document.
475	3829-3833	Information need. It will need to be determined how much of the watershed would be removed by the construction of the dry stack facility and other features at the tailings management site, and also determine the impact on surface waters. Action requested: Ensure Section 6.3 identifies this item as a future information need. Modify text to add any detail known on the item at present. Future discussion item.	Section 6.3.1 specifies that the surface water su to surface water quantity and quality.
476	3835	Information need. Containment and rerouting of surface water would change local watersheds both during the project and upon reclamation. Local watershed maps of before, during, and after project would be useful in assessment. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to conti will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
477	3835	RGU note. The potential significance of the changes in local hydrology have not yet been determined. Information on changes to the Keeley Creek watershed, and the new non-contact water ditch watershed, is necessary to assess type, extent, and reversibility of impacts on aquatic habitat. No action requested. Additional work necessary in development of the treatment of the item in the Scoping EAW and draft scoping decision.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to conti will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
478	3835-3836	Clarification. Need greater detail to use term "negligible effect." Action requested: DNR will need to verify potential change to verify characterization as "negligible effect." Future discussion item.	Same as Comment 472.
479	3839	Clarification. Is an impact "temporary" if it is for the life of the project? In normal usage, many construction effects are characterized as "temporary." The temporal dimension of operational effects is typically characterized in terms of permanence or reversibility. Action requested: Consider more targeted use of the term "temporary;" modify text accordingly.	See Comment 467.
480	3842	Clarification. Potential effects also include reduced Keeley Creek watershed resulting in permanent lower flow in the creek, and consequent changes in aquatic habitat (due to changes in stream geomorphology). Also the impacts would not just be under low flow conditions. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	See Comment 404 and Comment 466.

will evaluate the potential impacts to surface water

considered" to "No future scope is proposed to address

supplemental scope will evaluate the potential impacts

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
481		Clarification. DNR has understood the term "textured" could be applied to describe the surface of the dry stack facility during progressive reclamation and closure. If this is correct, include discussion of the meaning and purpose of "texturing." Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	We have searched the data submittal text for "t found this term to describe the surface of the d
			A preliminary dry stack facility closure concept l discharges are still being evaluated.
482	3851	Clarification. The text states: "precipitation would be diverted back to the natural system" Where would water be diverted to? Locations should be specified in text. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Baseline data and impact assessments have bee scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR pub decision documents, TMM will review the requi the EIS. Additional data, as outlined in Section 6 affect stream routing and drainage patterns will EIS scope.
483	3851	Clarification. The language "natural surface water system" is too vague to assess impacts. Where this water goes is important and is insufficiently described. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	See Comment 482
484		Question. Why would it be that the cap "may" cause some additional loss via evapotranspiration? Presume that it would cause loss. Action requested: Answer question and amend text to address the item.	Edited to change the use of "cap" to "cover syst added text to specify evapotranspiration will oc
485	3854-3856	Clarification. Permanent impacts to stream routing and drainage patterns caused by the tailings basin need to be quantified and the statement, "The total volume of surface water contribution would remain largely unchanged," needs to be better explained. What watershed/water body is this based on? Action requested: Answer the question and modify text as appropriate to address the item.	Baseline data and impact assessments have bee scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR pub decision documents, TMM will review the requi the EIS. Additional data, as outlined in Section 6 affect stream routing and drainage patterns will EIS scope.
486	3854-3856	Clarification. Need to provide more detail on routing characteristics for non-contact water at TSF during different stages in TSF life cycle. Action requested: Modify text to respond to the item.	Section 6.3.1 specifies that the surface water su to surface water quantity and quality.
487		RGU note. Without data on watershed changes and analysis of impacts to stream flow, the assumption that impacts to surface water flow and stream channel effects would be minor cannot be supported at this time. No action requested. DNR will evaluate available information during the development of the Scoping EAW to determine the treatment in the EIS. Ensure that Section 6.3 adequately identifies this as a future information need.	Baseline data and impact assessments have been scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR pub decision documents, TMM will review the requi the EIS. Additional data, as outlined in Section 6 affect stream routing and drainage patterns will EIS scope.
488	3864	General comment for section. In the case in this section, more clarity and separation in the text between construction, operation, progressive reclamation, reclamation, and closure would make it easier to follow. Revise for a pattern to the discussion on these topics in the various sections. Action requested: Attempt to better separate the text by the stages of project activity.	Comment is noted. TMM declines to make the f the MDNR will re-format the data submittal.

#### **Aetals Response**

"textured", "texturing", and "texture" and have not dry stack during concurrent reclamation.

t has been developed and the specific locations of

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to ablishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support 6.3.1, including how project water management could will be provided during EIS development to satisfy the

rstem" consistent with the description in Section 3 and occur from the cover soil and vegetation.

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to ablishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support 6.3.1, including how project water management could will be provided during EIS development to satisfy the

supplemental scope will evaluate the potential impacts

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to ublishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support 6.3.1, including how project water management could vill be provided during EIS development to satisfy the

formatting change. It is TMM's understanding that

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
489	3868-3869	Clarification. Where would water be diverted to? Please provide locations. Based on topography, flow would likely be altered with potential consequences to Keeley Creek. Action requested: Answer the question, and modify text to provide locations any current information on potential impacts to Keeley Creek. Ensure Section 6.3 addresses the item as an information need.	Baseline data and impact assessments have bee scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR publ decision documents, TMM will review the requir the EIS. Additional data, as outlined in Section 6 affect stream routing and drainage patterns will EIS scope.
490	3885	Clarification. Add to the listing loss of wetland function and loss of aquatic habitat. Action requested: Modify text.	Sections 6.3 and 8.3 addresses the need to asses respectively.
491	3890-3891	Clarification. Need to quantify impacts to stream routing characteristics. Action requested: Modify text to provide the requested detail.	Baseline data and impact assessments have bee scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR publ decision documents, TMM will review the requir the EIS. Additional data, as outlined in Section 6 affect stream routing and drainage patterns will EIS scope.
492	3891	Clarification. The assertion is incorrect because routing characteristics would be permanently modified. Even the EAW states this in line 3933. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Text has been edited to read: "The total volume largely unchanged, however, routing characteris
493	3890-3892	Clarification. Need to quantify changes to volume of surface water entering waterways. Action requested: Modify text to provide the requested detail.	Baseline data and impact assessments have bee scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR publ decision documents, TMM will review the requir the EIS. Additional data, as outlined in Section 6 affect volume of surface water entering waterw satisfy the EIS scope.
494	3890-3892	Clarification. Is an impact "temporary" if it is for the life of the project? In normal usage, many construction effects are characterized as "temporary." The temporal dimension of operational effects is typically characterized in terms of permanence or reversibility. Action requested: Consider more targeted use of the term "temporary;" modify text accordingly.	See Comment 467.
495	3893	Clarification. The assertion is incorrect because this is a likely permanent indirect effect. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Text has been edited to read: "This change may surface water contribution to wetlands."
496	3894	RGU note. The information presented is not sufficient to conclude no changes to water quality. Also the changes to quantity and surface routing are not addressed. No action requested. DNR will assess the available information during development of the Scoping EAW to identify treatment of the item in the EIS.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to contin will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
497	3898-3908	Closure conditions. What is the plan with the features in this section at closure? Action requested: Modify text to provide requested detail.	Section 3.6.2 includes the details regarding recla and transmission corridor.
498	3910-3912	Note. DNR and MPCA agree that available information is insufficient to fully assess potential impacts and that future work is needed. Action requested: Ensure Section 6.3 addresses this item.	Section 6.3.1 includes the details regarding the presources.

#### **Netals Response**

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to ablishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support 6.3.1, including how project water management could will be provided during EIS development to satisfy the

sess wetland function and aquatic habitat losses,

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to ablishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support 6.3.1, including how project water management could will be provided during EIS development to satisfy the

ne of surface water entering waterways would remain ristics would be permanently modified. "

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to ablishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support 6.3.1, including how project water management could ways will be provided during EIS development to

ay also have a permanent indirect effect locally on

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

clamation of the access road, water intake corridor,

e plan to assess potential impacts to surface water

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
499	3910-3913	Future scope. Please provide how impacts to surface water will be assessed/modeled. Action requested: Ensure Section 6.3 identifies future work done to assess/model potential impacts to surface water resources.	Section 6.3.1 includes the details regarding the resources. Specific assessment and modeling mo under development. A detailed proposed appro resources will be provided during EIS developme
500	3911	Future scope. What is the plan to obtain this information? Include plans as future work. Action requested: Ensure Section 6.3 identifies future work done to assess/model potential impacts to surface water resources.	See Comment 499.
501	3914	Clarification. Timing of withdrawals and related water levels changes in Birch Lake needs to be better defined. Also ice safety concerns. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	See Comment 76 for details on future scope for details on ice safety.
502	3918-3920	Clarification. Define "temporary" impacts to Birch Lake; impacts may be temporary but long-term and require mitigation during operation. Action requested: Modify text to use a more targeted use of the term "temporary" as it may apply to impacts to Birch Lake.	See Comment 467.
503	3920	Clarification. In terms of the proposed location and site design for the DSF, DNR would expect there to be permanent re-routing of water with the permanent dry stack facility. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	The potential for permanent impacts related to site is addressed later in the same bullet list (lin
504	3921	Note. Information developed to date is insufficient to conclude that impacts to stream flow would be minimal. No action requested. DNR will determine potential treatment of the item in the EIS over the course of developing the Scoping EAW.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to conti will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
505	3924	Clarification. Need to add Birch Lake water levels. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Edited to include Birch Lake water levels
506	3925	Clarification. The text use of the phrase "the precipitation loss period" is not meaningful. This potential impact should be referred to as changes in surface run-off and routing, which is a permanent effect. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Text has been edited to read: "The net effect we be temporary and limited to the period of minir
507	3931	Clarification. The assertion that the combined effects would be "minimal" is not supported at this time. This is premature because the combined effects of loss and rerouting were not sufficiently evaluated to this point. In assessing the treatment in the EIS, both the temporary and permanent decreases in watershed size for Keeley Creek must be assessed. Action requested: Ensure Section 6.3 addresses this item as a future information need. Modify text as current information allow.	Baseline data and impact assessments have bee scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR pub decision documents, TMM will review the requi the EIS. Additional data, as outlined in Section 6 affect surface water flows and stream morpholo satisfy the EIS scope.
508	3941-3951	Clarification. This does not address changes in quantity of run-off. Also the loss of infiltration due to changes in topography and wetland changes is not evaluated. Shoreland management zoning is based on keeping vegetated surfaces, minimizing impervious surface, and reducing rate of run-off to reduce nutrient load to public waters. This was not considered in the discussion of potential changes to water quality. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Baseline data and impact assessments have bee scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR pub decision documents, TMM will review the requi the EIS. Additional data, as outlined in Section 6 affect surface water flows and surface water qu satisfy the EIS scope.
509	3966	Language check. Should "cone of depressurization" be "cone of depression"? Action requested: Confirm the usage and modify text as needed.	Cone of depressurization was intentional. Text of

#### **Netals Response**

e plan to assess potential impacts to surface water methods will be informed by scoping, therefore remain roach to modeling potential impacts to surface water ment. TMM looks forward to state input.

or proposed appropriation and Comment 463 for

to rerouting runoff around the tailings management ines 3927-3935).

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

would be expected to be minimal as the impact would ning operations"

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to ublishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support 6.3.1, including how project water management could plogy will be provided during EIS development to

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to ublishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support 6.3.1, including how project water management could quality will be provided during EIS development to

edited to make consistent throughout document.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
510	3967	Language check. Is "cone of depression" intended usage? Action requested: Confirm the usage and modify text as needed.	See Comment 509.
511	3971-3974	Note. Additional analysis will be necessary to verify the statement. Action requested: Ensure Section 6.3 addresses the item. Future discussion item.	Section 6.3.2 states that the future scope include closure. No change made.
512	3972	Language check. Should "cone of depressurization" be "cone of depression"? Action requested: Confirm the usage and modify text as needed.	See Comment 509.
513	3982-3983	Information need. Modeling will be required to assess effects on groundwater system. Action requested: Ensure Section 6.3 addresses the item as a future modeling need. Future discussion item.	Section 6.3.2 states that the future scope include operations and closure. No change made.
514	3994-3995	Clarification. The groundwater would also be expected to contact waste rock backfill. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Edited to include waste rock backfill in list of iter
515	3994-4004	Clarification. The text identifies the potential for groundwater quality impacts. This paragraph needs additional content on groundwater quality, movement, and what is/is not expected. Such information is needed to characterize the treatment of the issue in the EIS. Action requested: Modify the text to address the item. Ensure Section 6.3 addresses any future information need.	Baseline data and impact assessments have been scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR publ decision documents, TMM will review the requir the EIS. Additional data, as outlined in Section 6. affect groundwater flow and groundwater qualit the EIS scope.
516	3998-4001	Note. Additional analysis will be necessary to verify the statement. Action requested: Ensure Section 6.3 addresses the item. Future discussion item.	Section 6.3.2 states that the future scope include including potential impacts from the flooded min
517	4001	Question. The text identifies "exposed surfaces" as being a reason why changes to GW quality would not be expected. Aren't these "exposed surfaces" in part ore grade material in remaining in pillars or walls of stopes that one could infer might adversely affect water quality? Action requested: Answer question and modify text as determined appropriate.	TMM agrees that potential groundwater quality mine should be evaluated. A sentence was adde impacts to groundwater quality from the flooded has been edited to read "However, substantive of distances away from the mine due to the very lo
518		Clarification. Presume that depth to bedrock data would be collected to confirm assumptions in this section. Action requested: Provide response on collection of depth to bedrock data. Modify text to address the item. Ensure Section 6.3 identifies this as a future information need.	Map of unconsolidated material thickness is pro- Baseline data and impact assessments have been scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR publ decision documents, TMM will review the requir the EIS. Groundwater modeling as outlined in Se be provided during EIS development.
519	4009-4026	Future discussion. DNR notes further discussions needed on stream flow characteristics. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to contir will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
520	4017	RGU note. Absent any quantitative assessment, the potential for impacts, significance, and subsequent treatment in the EIS remains to be determined regarding the topic of groundwater recharge associated with the Plant Site contact water management. Action requested: Ensure the Section 6.3.2 addresses the item. Future discussion item.	Section 6.3.2 states that the future scope include operations and closure, including potential impa aquifer recharge."

udes modeling to evaluate groundwater conditions in

Ides modeling to evaluate groundwater conditions in

tems that groundwater would be expected to contact.

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to ablishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support 6.3.2, including how project water management could ality will be provided during EIS development to satisfy

udes modeling to evaluate groundwater quality, nine workings.

ty effects of exposed surfaces in the underground ded to note that future scope will evaluate potential ded underground mine (as stated in Section 6.3.2). Text e changes are not expected in groundwater quality at low hydraulic conductivity of the bedrock."

rovided in Figure 5-12.

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to ablishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support Section 6.3.2 will use depth to bedrock data and will

tinued engagement during the EIS development and

Ides modeling to evaluate groundwater conditions in pacts due to "changes in land-use which can impact

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
521	4017-4019	Clarification. The analysis will also need to quantify impacts due to changes in groundwater recharge. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Baseline data and impact assessments have been scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR publ decision documents, TMM will review the requir the EIS. Additional data, as outlined in Section 6. affect groundwater recharge will be provided du
522	4020-4022	Clarification. Define "temporary" impacts to groundwater recharge; impacts may be temporary but long-term and require mitigation during operation. Action requested: Modify text to use a more targeted use of the term "temporary" as it may apply to impacts to groundwater recharge.	See Comment 467.
523		Guidance. DNR will evaluate the projected impacts and provide a temporal characterization of impact. Foundation for minor, temporary effect not established. Additional analytical content necessary to support "minor, temporary effect." No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted.
524	4029-4049	Future discussion. Further discussions needed on stream flow characteristics. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to contin will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
525	4035-4037	Clarification. The SEAW will need to quantify impacts to the QUM and shallow bedrock, and the amount of change in groundwater recharge. Action requested: Provide additional detail to address the item.	Section 6.3.2 outlines the groundwater supplem define the groundwater baseline environmental groundwater interactions and relationships, and includes quantifying impacts to the QUM and sh groundwater recharge.
526	4044	Clarification. The text should address potential impacts to Keeley Creek. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Section 6.3 addresses the need to evaluate the p including Keeley Creek.
527	4044-4046	Information need. The effects to resources which interact with groundwater need to be quantified, especially permanent impacts. Action requested: Modify text to provide the requested detail.	Section 6.3.2 outlines the groundwater supplem groundwater system based on Project operation to underground mine operations and changes in
528	4047	Clarification. Data appears insufficient to conclude that 25 years of changed groundwater recharge would not impact streams and wetlands. Action requested: Modify text to address the item. Ensure Section 6.3 identifies the item as an information need.	Section 6.3 addresses the need to evaluate the p and wetland resources.
529	4052	Information need. What is the plan to obtain this information? Action requested: Ensure Section 6.3 includes information to address the item.	Section 6.3.2 presents the plan to gather additio
530	4055	Language check. Should "cone of depressurization" be "cone of depression?" Action requested: Confirm the usage and modify text as needed.	See Comment 509.
531	4055	Language check. Use "cone of depression." Action requested: Confirm the usage and modify text as needed.	See Comment 509.

## letals Response

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to ublishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support 6.3.2, including how project water management could during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope.

tinued engagement during the EIS development and

emental scope. The goal of this work is to "better tal conditions, hydrogeologic regime, surface water / nd Project impacts to the groundwater system." This shallow bedrock, and the amount of change in

potential for impacts to surface water resources,

emental scope. Modeling will assess changes to the ons, specifically changes to the baseline conditions due in land-use.

e potential for impacts to groundwater, surface water,

tional information on potential groundwater effects.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
532	4071	Question. The text identifies "exposed surfaces" as being a reason why changes to groundwater quality would not be expected. Aren't these "exposed surfaces" in part ore grade material in remaining in pillars or walls of stopes that one could infer might adversely affect water quality? Action requested: Answer question and modify text as determined appropriate.	TMM agrees that potential groundwater quality mine should be evaluated and Section 6.3.2 state to groundwater quality from the flooded underg the very low hydraulic conductivity of the bedroo expected to be limited to the immediate vicinity
533	4075	RGU note. It is premature to determine whether impacts to groundwater resources are not significant. More data and analysis is necessary. Action requested: Ensure Section 6.3 identifies this item as a future information need. DNR will use the information developed over the Scoping EAW to propose the treatment of the item in the EIS.	Section 6.3 addresses the need to evaluate the p
534	4083	Guidance. Consider adaptive management and BMP options to prevent direct and indirect impacts to wetlands, streams, and lakes. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to contin will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
535	4084	Clarification. Based on the text at Line 1019, the non-contact water diversion area, which is described as a series of diversion dikes and ditches to divert water, may cause direct and indirect wetland impacts. Wetlands in and around these areas need to be delineated and evaluated for potential impacts. Action requested: Ensure existing information and/or Section 6.3.3 identifies this as an information need.	Section 6.3.3 addresses the need to complete we evaluate the potential for direct and indirect imp
536	4096	Clarification. Understanding that a wetland delineation has not yet been conducted, indicating total direct wetland impacts of 155.9 acres provides a level of certainty not yet documented. Please phrase as an estimate based on NWI. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Edited text and Table 6-31 through Table 6-46 to on NWI data.
537	4098	Clarification. Impacts would be to local watersheds and percentage of loss should be related to the small watersheds for the local streams (Keeley Creek and Nokomis Creek). This is the scale at which impacts for comparison would be expected. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Edited to remove this sentence "As shown on Ta proportion of these wetlands within the Rainy Ri <0.03% reduction in watershed wetland acres." reference Rainy River-Headwaters Watershed.
538	4100	Inappropriate comparison. Stating that wetland "impacts are minimal relative to the proportion" is misleading. Providing proportional comparison of impacted wetlands to the greater Rainy River Headwaters is irrelevant since wetlands are protected by state and federal laws and the overall intent is no net loss. At best this may be an element of project cumulative effects. Action requested: Retain first two sentences. Eliminate third sentence.	Edited to remove this sentence "As shown on Ta proportion of these wetlands within the Rainy Ri <0.03% reduction in watershed wetland acres." reference Rainy River-Headwaters Watershed.
539	4105	Wetland impacts. The potential for the project, especially the dike systems, to fragment and impact wetland hydrology remains to be determined. Any changes to surface water direction and flow due to the project could impact wetlands. Action requested: Ensure Section 6.3.3 addresses the item. Future discussion item.	Section 6.3.3 notes that modeling and monitorin supplemental scopes related to surface water ar
540	4111-4112	Future discussion. How potential dust-related emissions could affect wetland resources requires consultation. No action requested. Future discussion item.	TMM looks forward to continued engagement d inquiries and requests.
541	4118	Clarification. If crushing underground is a project element that would reduce dust emissions, then may be appropriate to add to the list. Action requested: Modify text to address the item as warranted.	Edited bullet list to include underground crushin

### letals Response

ty effects of exposed surfaces in the underground rates that future scope will evaluate potential impacts erground mine. Text has been edited to read "Given rock, any groundwater quality impacts would be ity of the underground mine.

e potential for impacts to groundwater resources.

tinued engagement during the EIS development and

wetland delineation for the project as a whole and mpacts.

to reflect that the direct impacts are estimated based

Table 6-17, these impacts are minimal relative to the River Headwater watershed and would account for "Removed columns from Tables 6-16 and 6-17 that

Table 6-17, these impacts are minimal relative to the River Headwater watershed and would account for ." Removed columns from Tables 6-16 and 6-17 that

ring indirect impacts to wetlands will be refined as the and groundwater are completed.

during the EIS development and will be responsive to

ing activities.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
542	4119	Regulatory guidance. Type for type is important in water resources mitigation. The predominant wetland type listed is bog, which can be difficult to create or restore. The goal should be to replace bog with bog. If wetland/restoration is considered, note that preservation credits might not be considered adequate mitigation. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to conti will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
543	4126	Permit need. The 401 certification process will likely need to include an antidegradation assessment. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Comment is noted. Permitting-level analyses are they are also needed for the EIS. Because this w
544	4128	Available data. DNR notes the current wetland delineation is insufficient to assess potential impacts. Action requested: Ensure Section 6.3 identifies this item as a future information need.	Section 6.3.3 addresses the need for wetland de engagement during the EIS development and w
545	4131-4132	Clarification. Potential mitigation needs to be identified for consideration in Scoping the EIS. Action requested: Ensure Section 6.3 includes information to address the item.	TMM distinguishes between EPMs and mitigatic Project to reduce potential environmental impa assessed it is assumed EPMs are implemented. part of the TMM proposed Project identified by believes should be assessed.
546	4143-4146	Clarification. This list should include a separate bullet referencing the potential for change to wetland water quality. Action requested: Add a wetland water quality bullet.	Section 6.3.3 currently address the need to asse wetland water quality.
547	4153-4154	Guidance. The plan for the collection of addition surface water monitoring data should be developed in coordination with the state to ensure that the sampling includes all necessary elements. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to conti will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
548	4169	Clarification. Surface water <u>quantity</u> should be included in the listing here. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Edited to include water quantity
549	4182	Clarification. The bulleted item should read: "surface water flows and stream morphology of Keeley and Nokomis Creeks?" Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Edited to include Keeley and Nokomis Creeks
550	4184	Clarification. The bulleted item should read: "impacts to water quality in area streams, specifically Keeley and Nokomis Creeks, or Birch Lake, or the non-contact water ditch?" Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Edited to include Keeley and Nokomis Creeks
551	4194-4271	Guidance. This conceptual approach seems to be, in general, a reasonable one to work from. Given the complexity, the details should be developed in coordination with agencies' involvement and inputs. For example, an appropriate source and range of values inputted into the various models. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to conti will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
552	4199	Clarification. Please explain "grab samples" in the context of the flow regime of the creek. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Grab samples characterize a medium at a partic sample container immersion or by using a trans
553	4202	Clarification. All users of water, and Birch Lake level manipulation, should also be included in the modeling. Action requested: Modify text to add this to the description for the Water Balance Model.	Text has been edited to read: "The water baland simulation software GoldSim to combine and in uses."

## Metals Response

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

are not included in the SEAW data submittal unless was identified as a permit need, no change was made.

delineation. TMM looks forward to continued will be responsive to inquiries and requests.

tion. TMM has voluntarily adopted EPMs as part of the pacts. When potential impacts to the Project are d. Mitigations are additional measures that are not a by agencies and members of the public that the state

sess the potential direct and indirect impacts to

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

ticular point in space and time and are collected by nsfer device, such as a beaker or dipper.

nce model will be developed using the commercial integrate all Project, natural conditions, and existing

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
554	4202	Advisory. Would recommend creating future climate data set that incorporates climate change projections from International Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) or other sources to account for potential changes to precipitation and other climate variables due to climate change. Action requested: Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to contin will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
555	4202	Information need. This analysis needs to include how contact water would be kept onsite at start- up, and also how it would be disposed of at project end. Action requested: Ensure the Future Scope includes these elements.	See Comment 74 for details on contact water or disposal of contact water at Project end.
556	4202-4204 4207-4212	Guidance. The text reads: "Phase 2 – Water Balance Model. The combined hydrologic regimeof conditions at the site, both current and projected into the future." With a few scattered exceptions, the background science on climate is fairly well unanimous in concluding that earth climate is changing and will continue to change, at a global, continental and regional/local level, in response to climatic forcing of greenhouse gas accumulations in the atmosphere. With almost no dissent, the science supports a continued climatic warming, persisting for hundreds to thousands of years, with cascading effects on most other climatological descriptors or parameters, and at all scales. Given the now central place of this understanding in the present body of scientific knowledge, the project consultant should base its modeling of the surface and ground water impacts of the project on an assumed continuation of human-forcing of climate. Regional and local output from advanced global and regionally down-scaled climate models is readily available for a range of forcing scenarios and terminal forecast years or decades. The output from the CMIP5 models developed to support the 2013 IPCC scientific assessment and the 2017 US National Climate Assessment is available. The output from the CMIP6 models should base its modeling of muteorologically- or climatically-dependent environmental impacts on the most recent, readily available model output. Should the project consultant should clearly demonstrate, on the basis of 'credible science, why and how this is the case. In developing its assessment of meteorologically- or climatically-dependent environmental impacts of the project, the project consultant should clearly demonstrate, on the basis of 'credible science, why and how this is the case. In developing its assessment of meteorologically- or climatically-dependent environmental impacts of the project, the project consultant should use the 6.5 Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP), as the most likely global emissions trajectory	Thank you for the discussion regarding climate r line 101, the future scope of work identifies spec- identified would be conducted to obtain additio reasonably obtained. The future scope sections identify the following: •Specific questions that need to be answered by •Which permits (if any) the scope of work would •The approach for the study; •The study boundary under consideration; and •The specific deliverables. Some of the key components of this are to deve fundamental questions, appropriately designed, committed to developing a sound approach to fi the appropriate climate information is an impor platforms used must be fit for purpose. We enco questions may need to be answered and how to decision.

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

onsite at start-up and Comment 282 for details on

e models. As we identified in Section 2.0, beginning at pecific studies or data collection that we have tional data identified as lacking but able to be

ng: by the additional study; uld inform;

velop the scopes of work in a manner that answers the ed, and appropriately scaled to the questions. TMM is o future modeling and impact assessments. Choosing ortant component, but the data and modeling ncourage further discussion on what additional to best develop any missing data as part of the scoping

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
557	4207-4212	Guidance. The assumption of a changing, nonstationary climate should be used to evaluate impacts to surface water and groundwater quality and quantity, both of which may be sensitive to future changes in regional climate. The discussion in the Scoping EAW submittal of available data sources for surface water and ground water quantity and quality is exclusively limited to historical data, typically dating from the period 2007-2013/2014 (lines 2855-2903 [data, surface water], lines 3045-3103 [data, surface water quality], lines 3130-3278 [data, groundwater water], lines 3517-3595 [data, ground water quality]). Regarding facility design, based on the project description, contact water ponds (plant site contact pond and tailing site management contact pond [lines 1280-1281, 1403-1404) and diversion dikes (tailing management site [lines 1469-1471]) are to be designed for the historical 100-year 24-hour storm event. Likewise, the noncontact water ditches in the tailing management are to be sized for the historical 10-year 24 hour storm event, while the process waste pond are to be sized to contain 'probable maximum precipitation' (lines 1471-1473, 1236-1241). The dry stack contact water pond is to be sized for the 100-year historical snow pack [lines 1405-1407]. In the analysis, the sensitivity of these ponds and dykes to overflow under future climatic normals, e.g., frequency and intensity of forecasted future extreme precipitation events, should be evaluated. Action requested: Ensure the Future Scope in the appropriate section(s) identifies this item as an information need.	See Comment 556.
558	4202-4203 4207-4212 continued	Guidance. For consistency, to the degree that this is practical, the assumption of persistent human- forced climatic change as background condition for the project should extend to all other environmental modeling, including the modeling of impacts to terrestrial and air resources. Fundamental processes like ozone formation or mercury methylation are temperature-sensitive, hence depend on what is assumed about future climate. Action requested: Ensure Future Scope of appropriate section(s) identifies this item as an information need.	See Comment 556.
559	4202-4203 4207-4212 continued	Guidance. In addition to its assessment of meteorologically- or climatically-dependent environmental impacts of the project, the project consultant also should evaluate alternatives to the proposed facility design against the assumptions of a changing climate. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. The proposed Project would emit greenhouse ga cumulative potential effect. Analyzing alternativ outside the scope of an EIS.
560	4207	Clarification. What data set is the climate generation model using? Action requested: Modify text to address item.	See Comment 556.
561	4207	Clarification. Are there surface water models and groundwater models that are being used, which feed into the Goldsim model? Action requested: Modify text to address item.	The GoldSim model will use the results of other modeling software and procedures will be provi
562	4212-4215	Clarification. Will WGEN also be used to generate air temperature and solar radiation inputs in addition to precipitation? Action requested: Modify text to address item.	See Comment 556.
563	4212-4215	Clarification. Where will the climate inputs needed for WGEN be sourced from? Action requested: Modify text to address item.	See Comment 556.

gases. As such climate change is correctly scoped as a tives within an assessment of cumulative effects is

er surface water and groundwater models. Specifics on ovided during EIS development.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
564	4236	Note. The phrase "the project will not discharge any process water and is designed not to require a discharge of contact water" is used several times in the document and seems of curious wording. Why the distinction in wording between process water and contact water? Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Comment is noted. The phrase " the project v not to require a discharge of contact water " o project design. Detailed water balance modelin potential for process water or contact water dis development to satisfy EIS scope.
565	4236	Note. The concept of "no discharge" needs to be fully articulated and understood because it has direct bearing on what water quality permits may or may not be required, among other issues. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to conti will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
566	4239	Clarification. The assertion it is "unlikely" that the project would result in water quality effects is not supported at this time. Action requested: It is appropriate for Section 6.3 to address this item as a future information need.	Sectin 6.3 includes the assessment of potential i
567	4242	Question. Also how would contact water ponds be handled at closure in terms of potential for soil contamination, spillage, or other considerations? Action requested: Answer the question and modify the text as current information allows.	See Comment 116.
568	4251	Note. This "geochemical conceptual model" is an absolutely critical component of the state review of the project and forms a foundation for any water quality review conducted by the MPCA. MPCA and DNR will need to fully understand and approve how this model is developed in order to be able to proceed with assessments on the need for or requirements of MPCA permits. Provide more details as to the geochemical conceptual model. Action requested: Modify text to address item.	Baseline data and impact assessments have bee scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR publ decision documents, TMM will review the requi the EIS. Additional data, as outlined in Section 6 conceptual model will be provided during EIS de
569	4252-4253	Information need. While screening level calculations are good, a more thorough (sophisticated) dynamic systems model will need to be conducted (potentially including additional baseline data). Action requested: Modify text to address the item. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. The intent is to apply screen measurable impacts and if these are identified T the MDNR publishes the SEAW, and the draft an review the required analysis and the data needs
570	4272	Guidance. For water resources, expect supporting information to be supplied as GIS layers, raw data, interpretations, and discussions with appropriate QAQC at the appropriate time. No action requested.	Comment is noted.
571	4272-4276	Guidance. This conceptual approach seems to be, in general, a reasonable one to work from. Given the complexity the details should be developed in coordination with agencies' involvement and inputs. For example, an appropriate source and range of values inputted into the various models. No action requested. Future discussion item, including the 401 certification process will likely need to include an antidegradation assessment.	Comment is noted.
572	4289	Clarification. "Stream and lake" are specifically called out. Does this list include wetlands? Action requested: Answer the question and modify text as determined appropriate.	Phase 3 in Section 6.3.3 notes that modeling and refined as the future work scope related to surfa
573	4289	Clarification. Surface water flow and small scale stream watersheds should be characterized here too. Action requested: Modify text to add these to the list of bulleted items.	Surface water analysis and modeling as outlined associated with the Project area and would incluwatersheds if necessary to adequately establish

## **Aetals Response**

t will not discharge any process water and is designed " describes TMM's understanding at this stage of ing described in Section 6.3.1 will evaluate the lischarge, and results will be provided during EIS

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

I impacts to water quality.

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to ublishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support 6.3.1 and 6.3.2, including data on the geochemical development to satisfy the EIS scope.

ening level mixing calculations to identify any potential d TMM could use more sophisticated modeling. Once and final scoping decision documents, TMM will eds necessary to support the EIS.

nd monitoring indirect impacts to wetlands will be rface water and groundwater are completed.

ed in Section 6.3.1 will define the hydrologic regime clude surface water flow and small scale stream sh the baseline conditions.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
574		Clarification. The list should include bullet stating that one of the "questions to be answered" is to provide sufficient information to be able to complete a groundwater non-degradation analysis, which may be required for MPCA permitting. Action requested: Amend text to address the item.	See Comment 543.
575	4353-4367	Clarification. The list should add bullet asking what alternatives or mitigations are available to reduce potential impacts to groundwater quality? This would be information needed for a groundwater non-degradation analysis, if one is needed, as described in RGU Comment 566.	See Comment 543.
576	4369-4424	Guidance. This conceptual approach seems to be, in general, a reasonable one to work from. Given the complexity, the details should be developed in coordination with agencies' involvement and inputs. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to contin will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
577	4375-4377	Clarification. Presume monthly groundwater levels and "to be determined" water quality samples will be taken. Adjust parenthetic statements and rephrase for clarity. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Text has been edited to read: "generally month samples" Exceptions are wells with pressure transducers ( recharge extremely slowly (twice a year water q
578		Clarification. This list should specifically include a statement that additional monitoring wells will be needed in and around the plant and tailings sites, where existing data is absent or limited. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	TMM's hydrogeological dataset is more robust to several orders of magnitude larger than any proj collection continues and language is included in wells at selected locations to supplement the cu engaging the RGU on the topic of data adequacy
579		Figures. Please provide a figure that shows where additional monitoring wells will be installed. Action requested: Ensure Future Scope includes development of a new figure and provide in next data submittal.	During EIS development, TMM will provide upda
580	4382-4383	Information need. DNR will be requesting all well logs and collected data for each well (existing and new monitoring wells). No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to contin will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
581	4388	Clarification. This bullet should Include testing for submerged waste rock. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	This has been accounted for in Section 5. See line
		Clarification. Surface water will have a no-action alternative (see lines 4314-4315). Groundwater	Groundwater modeling will include a no-action a conditions model, run over the same time period alternative.
582	4392		Text has been edited to state "The numerical mo groundwater system based on Project operation (represented by a no-action alternative simulation changes in land-use which can impact aquifer re
583	4422-4424	Clarification. Are these reports different from the ones in 4426-4429? Action requested: Provide explanation and modify text if supported.	The deliverables noted in lines 4426 - 4429 are t

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

nthly groundwater levels, and quarterly water quality

rs (continuous water level monitoring), and wells that r quality sampling).

t than any mining project TMM is aware of and is roject the RGU has permitted. Nevertheless, data in Section 6.3.2 that TMM will "install new monitor current monitor well network." TMM looks forward to acy during EIS development.

dated documentation on the location of wells.

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

ines 2611-2621 and lines 2773-2778.

n alternative. The baseline (current groundwater) riod as the Project model, will represent the no-action

model will be capable of assessing changes to the ions, specifically changes to the baseline conditions ation) due to underground mine operations and recharge."

the same as noted in lines 4422-4424

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
584		Clarification. Proposed/monitoring for direct and indirect impacts to wetland and stream hydrology from ditching, and other watershed alterations, are unclear in the supporting text, including but not limited to potential flow (or lack thereof) of water from one water body to another. Action requested: Consider the point and modify text as determined appropriate.	A detailed impact assessment is necessary infor protocols can be established.
585		Guidance. Anticipate supplying information on wetland and stream avoidance, minimization, replacement, indirect effects (draw down, diversions, chemistry, flora and fauna, etc.), quality, and monitoring for the EIS analysis. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to conti will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
586		Future discussion. A larger area than the project area will need to be defined for wetland delineations in order to determine if indirect wetland impacts would occur. Likely an increased area requiring planning for delineation. No action requested. Future discussion item.	TMM notes that without an impact assessment beyond the Project area.
587	4438	Clarification. Presume the delineation work would also inform the 401 Certification process. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Text has been edited to read: "This work will als WCA, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Sec Certification."
588	4445-4447	Clarification. Include avoid and mitigate in addition to "reduce." Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Text has been edited to read: "Are there potent and can Project EPMs or reduction methods be significance of the impacts?"
589	4470	Correction. The Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region (Version 2.0) was published in January 2012, not 2011, as indicated in the text. Action requested: Make text correction.	Edited to correct the date of publication to 2012
590	4484-4485	Clarification. Further detail is needed regarding how wetlands may be grouped for functional assessment. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	The intent is to develop a more detailed work p specific studies or data collection that would be lacking but able to be reasonably obtained. The comprehensive work plans and these full work p however, TMM looks forward to continued enga- responsive to inquiries and requests.
591	4488	Guidance. Consider Floristic Quality Index monitoring for comprehensive wetland quality. Action requested: Consider the recommendation and modify bulleted list as warranted.	Comment is noted. TMM considers this request development and suggests that it be addressed the draft scoping decision document.
592	4513	Addition. Presume need to add "how" before "the relevant areal extent" or clarify meaning. Action requested: Add the term "how" to the text or identify alternative language or edit.	Text has been edited to read: "The methodolog resources are determined, how the relevant are determined,"

ormed by scoping before monitoring location and

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

nt there is no basis to expand the area for delineations

also inform permit applications, including Minnesota ection 404, and MPCA Section 401 Water Quality

ntial impacts to wetlands identified that are significant, be identified to avoid, minimize, or mitigate the

)12.

plan. Sections on the future scope of work identify be conducted to obtain additional data identified as ne future scope of work sections are not k plans will not be appended to the data submittal; ngagement during the EIS development and will be

est/comment appropriate for consideration in the EIS ed as part of the development of a future scope and/or

bgy will include a decision matrix for how effected areal extent is defined, how potential impacts are

# Twin Metals Minnesota EIS RGU's Review of Proposer's Initial Data Submittal Comment Tracking Table - Section 7.0 Contaminants, Hazardous Materials, Waste Provided to Twin Metals Minnesota on June 15, 2020 / Response provided from TMM to MDNR on July 24, 2020

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
593	4577	Clarification: Would demolition waste also be generated? If so, include in this list. If not, explain why not. Action requested: Modify text accordingly.	Text has been edited to read: "Solid industrial was waste, non-salvageable demolition debris, and o waste generated by the Project would be taken ownen available"
594	4594	Clarification. Are any detectors involving radioactive elements or mercury needed for the project? If so, include in discussion; could require consultation with MDH. Action requested: Modify text accordingly.	Instrumentation needs for the Project have not be detectors involving radioactive elements or mero need for a Hazardous Materials - Radioactive Ma Health. Any use and disposal of detectors involvi the appropriate state and federal regulatory requ
595	4667	Clarification. The methods of waste disposal discussed are primarily methods of containment, and compliance with RCRA requirements. Please add additional details/estimates on quantities and types of hazardous materials that are expected to be on site over the proposed 25 year mine life. Action requested: Provide requested detail.	Tables 7-1 and 7-2 outline estimates of Fuel Stor 3 has been added that outlines Approximate Em extrapolated for the 25 year mine life. Additiona to be part of the EIS development.

## letals Response

waste – tires, scrap metal, concrete, construction office waste (paper, utensils, etc.). Solid industrial n off-site to be treated by a third party and recycled

It been developed extensively enough to determine if ercury are needed. Table 3-8 identifies the potential Material License from the Minnesota Department of lving radioactive elements or mercury would follow equirements.

orage and Consumption and Process Reagents. Table 7mulsion Quantities. These annual estimates can be nal assessment of hazardous materials are anticipated Twin Metals Minnesota EIS

**RGU's Review of Proposer's Initial Data Submittal** 

**Comment Tracking Table - Section 8.0 Terrestrial & Aquatic Resources** 

Provided to Twin Metals Minnesota on June 15, 2020 / Response provided from TMM to MDNR on July 24, 2020

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
596	4743-4744	Guidance. The use of the Rare Species Guide (RSG) needs to be better explained with a supporting rationale. It is correct the RSG provides good quality information, but it by no means can be used as a stand-alone source for species information, especially when it relates to a species' habitat requirements. Action requested: Modify text to qualify limits of RSG, especially in terms of habitat requirements. Be prepared to utilize other information sources for species receiving attention over the course of the EIS.	Text has been edited to read: "The MDNR Rare S habitats and sensitive species for inclusion in the Species Guide are those commonly used by a spe species may use or be found in."
597	4887-4888	Guidance. The text indicates that approximately 650 acres associated with the Transmission Corridor have not been mapped within the DNR Native Plant Database. A plan should be provided to address this data deficiency. Action requested: Identify how similar-level information will be provided for these acres. One option is for this area to be surveyed and mapped as per DNR recommendations.	This work is identified in the Section 8.3 Future S Terrestrial vegetation baseline surveys.
598	4895-4902	Clarification. Use of the term "disturbed" needs to be better defined. This wording implies that disturbed is "bad." However, based on Table 8-5, much of these disturbed forests may be upwards of 50-60 years of age. Ecologically and in terms of habitat, in many of these cases they are aspen stands and could be quite large (DBH), thus offering quality habitat for forest interior species such as goshawks. For example, a more accurate description might be "mature early-successional forest undergoing transition from primary-to-secondary successional status" or similar. Action requested: Refine the definition of "disturbed" to tighten the meaning in an ecologically-sound manner.	The text does not equate disturbed is "bad". Spe raw candidate data that has been mapped by MI not certified for inclusion in the NPC database. N features not part of the NPC classification and ar definition these disturbed areas would not conta
599	4995	Information source. Data on fishing and angler catch is also available from the 2017 DNR Birch Lake Open Water Creel Survey Report. Action requested: Use the data as appropriate in characterizing the fishery resource of Birch Lake. Contact DNR EIS Project Managers for this report.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to contir will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
600	5005	Clarification. The text should note this species' greater destruction of submerged vegetation than native species, which negatively impacts fish habitat, particularly for sunfish. Action requested: Modify text.	Text has been edited to read: "The rusty crayfish greater destruction of submerged vegetation tha habitat, particularly for sunfish."
601	5012	Clarification. The <i>Notropis</i> species found should be listed by individual species. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	No data on the individual species is available for assessment. The assessment counted 5 total gen
602	5016	Clarification. Information on MPCA's listing of Keeley Creek as impaired should be here. Type of impairment, pollutant, and recommended action. Action requested: Modify text.	See Comment 412. Impairments are listed in the

## letals Response

e Species Guide was used to further refine the selected the analysis. The habitats described by the MDNR Rare species but are not inclusive of all the habitats that a

Scope - specifically lines 5533-5557. Phase 2 –

pecifically the text reads: "The MBS data files include MDNR's Ecological and Water Resources division but . Much of this candidate data shows disturbed are tracked for future NPC mapping purposes. By ntain NPC."

tinued engagement during the EIS development and

ish is of concern for disrupting ecosystems due to its than native species, which negatively impacts fish

or the genus notropis from the 2014 MPCA enus notropis with a length 75-89 mm.

ne Surface Water Quality Baseline section.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
603	5020	Reporting consistency. Fish species are listed for Keeley Creek and Unnamed Creek, but not for Stony River or Denley Creek. List the species in each case for consistency and information (could include in table form). Action requested: Provide sentence listing the eight fish species.	Text has been edited to read for Stony River: "N 2014 assessment: burbot, mottled sculpin, tadp rock bass, northern pike, and longnose dace." Fo fish species in the 2014 assessment: northern re shiner, common shiner, central mudminnow, wh dace, and brook stickleback."
604	5020	Clarification. The 8 species found should be listed out the same way it was done for Keeley Creek. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	See Comment 603.
605	5030	Clarification. The 11 species found in Denley Creek should be listed out the same way it was done for Keeley Creek. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	See Comment 603.
606	5031	Clarification. More detailed information regarding the invertebrates found should be included. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Text has been edited to read: "In addition, MPC, including: amphipods, balloon flies, beetles, blac epitheca, gastropods, hirudinea, large caddisflie midges, net-spinning caddisflies, northern caddi
607	5078	Clarification. Wild rice was not surveyed by DNR Fisheries after 1997, which means this resource was not mentioned in subsequent reports. Action requested: Add sentence to end of paragraph that reads: DNR Fisheries discontinued wild rice surveys after 1997.	Text has been edited to read: "Wild rice is specil 1975, and 1997. MDNR Fisheries discontinued w
608	5080	Clarification. Potential for wild rice in Unnamed Creek, Stony River, and Denley Creek not mentioned. Make reference for those waters in addition to Birch Lake and Keeley Creek. Action requested: Identify the status of wild rice in listed waters.	Given the Project design, no impacts to wild rice Denley Creek therefore no baseline information
609	5080	Information source. Keeley Creek data is available from the DNR Finland Area Fisheries Office. Action requested: Contact this office to arrange for inspection.	Comment is noted.
610	5082-5083	Clarification. Additional detail regarding wild rice investigation will be needed (i.e., "some documents did not contain" leads to the question of what was contained). Action requested: Modify text to provide more detail on the results of the document review of the DNR Tower Fisheries Office. If not done consider a technical support memorandum summarizing the results.	The full complement of wild rice data will be pro that are necessary to inform baseline conditions conclusions about the density and geographic ex
611	5100	Clarification: DNR notes that there are few areas where wild rice is extensive on Birch Lake due to the reservoir's morphology, thus the areas where wild rice is present are ecologically valuable. Rice is found mainly in less than ten shallow bays on the lake. Three areas are adjacent or nearly adjacent to the Project: north and south of the water pipeline and pumphouse, and the bay which the non-contact water ditch is to discharge to. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	See Comment 610.
612	5106	Clarification. The text should list the aquatic plants found in Birch Lake Reservoir. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Text has been edited to read: "In 2018, 31 water wild rice stands. Macrophyte species observed in Canadian waterweed, small floating mannagrass pickerelweed, long-leaf pondweed, broadleaf ar

## **Aetals Response**

"MPCA documented the following fish species in the dpole madtom, Johnny darter, central mudminnow, For Denley Creek: "MPCA documented the following redbelly dace, blacknose dace, creek chub, blacknose white sucker, pearl dace, fathead minnow, finescale

PCA documented a diverse invertebrate community lack flies, broad-winged damselflies, chiggers, darners, lies, long-horn caddis, mayflies, micro-caddisflies, Idisflies, oligochaeta, and orconectes."

cifically identified in the Lake Survey Reports for 1954, I wild rice surveys after 1997."

ice are expected in Unnamed Creek, Stony River, and on has been provided.

provided during EIS development. If there are data gaps ons, additional data can be sought. TMM will offer extent of wild rice at that time.

ter samples were collected from water bodies near d include, but are not limited to: common spikerush, ass, yellow pond-lily, American white waterlily, arrowhead, and floating bur-reed."

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
613	517/	Clarification. Text identifies habitat would be re-established on the tailings management site. Although disturbance-accustomed species may find some habitat value for the reclaimed areas, for the purposes of environmental review an active tailings should not be considered habitat as intended in SEAW Item 13. Action requested: Remove the reference to the tailings management facility for the sentence to read: "During the Project operation phase habitat would not be re- established on these sites."	Text has been edited to read: "During the Projec established on these sites."
614	5141	Clarification. The text asserts habitat effects "would be temporary." Although the intent of site reclamation into closure is to restore natural and other resource values, by definition the post-project habitat would not likely be the same as the pre-project condition, which is one way of viewing temporary. Removal of the term is a more factual statement. Action requested: Revise sentence to read: "Habitat impacts due to the Project would be of limited duration and at closure the habitats would be reclaimed to restore affected habitats" or similar.	Text has been edited to read: Habitat impacts d closure the habitats would be reclaimed to resto
615		Clarification. This sentence not relevant here. Remove as it is duplicative and not particularly accurate as not all areas of the project would be returned to like vegetation or habitat. Requested action: Remove sentence.	Text has been edited - sentence has been remov
616		Future discussion. DNR concurs that additional consideration will be necessary to assess potential impacts to rare natural communities; the topic will need further evaluation. Action requested: Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to conti will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
617	5185-5190	DNR notes this text lays the foundation for the Future Scope on the issue detailed in Section 8.3.1.	Comment is noted.
618	5209	Clarification. Stating that the project has a "temporary" nature is misleading. Project operations are expected for 25 years, and even with reclamation and closure, effects would last on the landscape long after mining operations cease. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	See Comment 467.
619	5210-5212	Clarification. The text offers a somewhat circular argument because the project area itself has land with restricted use and is proposed for development (with this action). Stating that surrounding lands are "use restricted" is less relevant because those lands could be proposed for development as well. Action requested: Remove last sentence from the paragraph. Expect DNR to provide technical input later in the SEAW process in characterizing the potential habitat fragmentation effects of the Project.	Text has been edited - sentence has been remov
620	5217-5220	Clarification. Absent specific detail on the reclamation plan, it is premature to claim potential negative effects to the landscape would be reversed. An example of the type of detail necessary to support the assertion would be what specific tree species plantings would be proposed, or other mitigation plans. No action requested. DNR will assess the potential treatment of the item in the EIS during development of the Scoping EAW.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to conti will be responsive to inquiries and requests.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
621	15778-5730	Clarification. The "magnitudes greater" characterization in the text should be described. How much different were these footprints? Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Text has been edited to read: "The size of the su impacts described in those reports are orders of ground disturbance. For example, the Barr (200 cover 118,315 acres along the Iron Range, inclue stockpiles and tailings basins, and 212 acres of f
622	5231-5238	Clarification. Natural impediments to what wildlife species? DNR notes that larger mammals, moose, wolves, bears, and similar would all utilize these bodies of water to travel. Rare bird species in the area would not be impeded by these water bodies. Action requested: Either better define what is meant by "wildlife corridor" as it is being used or modify the text to address the item.	Text has been changed to read: "The Project is i wildlife corridor. The Project area is bounded to present a physical or behavioral impediment to Lake during spring, summer, and fall months ma water and previous and current disturbances, in roads, intersect the Project area and influence t
623		Clarification. DNR notes wildlife corridors are not limited to terrestrial wildlife only. At a minimum the text should be modified to account for bird species, specifically waterfowl, and potential access to the several river/stream systems within the project area. Action requested: Modify text.	No text has been edited. As outlined in Section 8 data submittal. Section 8.2 discusses potential in the species considered in this designation.
624	5268-5271	Clarification. In this and in other places (e.g., line 5314), the implication is that the entire site would be reclaimed to a natural area, but the tailings facility is a permanent feature and thus would have permanent impacts. Phrasing of duration of impacts should take this into account. Action requested: Modify text.	Comment is noted. TMM considers this request, development and suggests that it be addressed the draft scoping decision document.
625	5356-5360	Note. The RGU notes it is premature to determine potential significance of this issue. No action requested. DNR will use information developed over the course of the Scoping EAW to propose how the issue will be addressed in the EIS.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to conti will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
626	5370	Analytical gap. This section identifies infrequent noises such as back up alarms could result in displacement. Section 12.2 does not specifically address back up alarms. Action requested: Comment provided in Section 12.	Text has been edited to read: "These sudden, in mobile equipment or material handling at the pl displace a variety of wildlife found in and around many of which could successfully relocate into a the impact of both sudden, infrequent impulse r the Project footprint by ensuring noise levels rea this level, impacts would be limited to sensitive management site and the potential significance reduced."
627	5387-5396	Clarification. What data sources were used for the habitat associations of the sensitive wildlife species? Only the rare species guide? Action requested: Answer the question and modify the text to address the item.	See line 4743-4751. "The MDNR Rare Species Guand sensitive species for inclusion in the analysis
628	5420	General comment. This section should address changes to baseflow, streamflow or water levels that may impact aquatic resources. Action requested: Address in Version 2.	Comment is noted. The modeling efforts necess or water levels are outlined as part of the surfac outlined in Sections 6.3.1 and 6.3.2.

#### **Netals Response**

surface features and the scale of their respective of magnitude greater than the Project's potential 009) report cited MDNR data that "mining features uding 36,962 acres of open mine pits, 78,620 acres of f facilities and infrastructure.""

s in an area that has physical limits in providing a to the north and the west by Birch Lake which could to terrestrial species of wildlife. Recreation use of Birch may deter species that would typically cross bodies of including existing forest roads and rural residential the movement of wildlife."

n 8.1, terrestrial species encompass bird species in the l impacts to terrestrial species and lists birds as one of

st/comment appropriate for consideration in the EIS and as part of the development of a future scope and/or

tinued engagement during the EIS development and

infrequent impulse noises such as back up alarms on plant site and tailings management site, could and the Project area, including mammals and birds adjacent habitats. The Project would aim to reduce e noises and steady or continuous to receptors outside remain below the NAC-1 nighttime limit of 50 dBA. At re receptors proximal to the plant site, tailings ce of the impacts of noise on wildlife would be

Guide was used to further refine the selected habitats sis"

ssary to characterize changes in baseflow, streamflow, ace water and groundwater supplemental scopes

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
629	5428	Clarification. Any impacts due to the access road being in the shore impact area should be identified. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	The access road is not within the Lake County Sł management area.
630	5434-5435	Clarification. Presume that transmission corridor access road that follows the transmission lines would require culverts/bridges. Action requested: Whether correct or not, revise text to address the issue.	Text has been edited in Section 3.6.2 Transmissi would include a two-track, unpaved maintenance would originate from an off-site electrical substa substation. The two-track maintenance road wo not require culverts or bridges. The two-track maintenance local roads and it is anticipated that it would not
631	5438	Clarification. The section limits consideration to potential construction effects only. Changes to the watershed of Keeley Creek would be expected to affect flow, both during the project and after reclamation. Impacts to aquatic habitat may resulf from any flow-related or other changes in channel geomorphology, and water quantity and quality. It is noted that flow changes alone can alter habitat suitability for aquatic species. Other considerations include changes in vegetation type, amount of impermeable surface present, and ditches created by the project, all of which may affect surface water quality and quantity. Nutrient and sediment run-off often is permanently increased due to land alteration and vegetation changes, which can result in decreased water clarity due to algal blooms (in some instances). Action requested: Modify text to address the item as determined appropriate.	Text has been edited to read: "The tailings mana design and EPMs to avoid impacts to Keeley Cre changes to groundwater or surface water flow t
632	54/1	Clarification. Impacts to aquatic habitat and biota are intrinsically connected. For example, reduced flows to a stream could cause the stream to become more shallow and wide. This would mean a loss of habitat for some fish species while possibly benefitting others, but resulting in an overall adverse impact on biota. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Comment is noted. The SEAW was prepared usi data. This has been identified as a future need -
633	5441	Additional impact. The possibility of potential impingement of small and larval fish by the water intake should be addressed. Action requested: Add text to address the item.	Lines 5467-5469 preliminarily identifies that imperpected to be insignificant but additional work and the draft and final scoping decision docume data needs necessary to support the EIS. Additions satisfy the EIS scope.
634	5458	Additional impact. If any wild rice bay receives water collected from a non-contact water ditch, then any potential impacts should be assessed. Action requested: Add text to address the item.	This has been identified as a future need - lines
635	5470	Note. DNR concurs that analysis of potential project impacts to surface water quantity and quality has applicability to aquatic resources and biota. No action requested.	Comment is noted.
636	5474-5476	RGU note. The potential significance and subsequent treatment in the EIS remains to be determined regarding the topic of aquatic resources. No action requested.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to conti will be responsive to inquiries and requests.

## Metals Response

Shoreland Zoning Ordinances or any shoreland

ssion Corridor to read: "The transmission corridor ance road and the power transmission line, which station and terminate at the plant site electrical would be accessed from existing local roads and would maintenance road would be accessed from existing not require culverts or bridges. "

anagement site would be sufficiently set back with creek related to surface disturbance. Consideration for v to Keeley Creek are included in Section 6.3."

using the best available data and did not use provisional d - lines 5470-5473.

mpacts associated with the water intake pipe are ork is necessary. Once the MDNR publishes the SEAW, nents, TMM will review the required analysis and the tional data will be furnished during EIS development to

s 5470-5473.

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Ma
637	5486-5487	Clarification. Describe the intent of collecting evidence of natural or human disturbances (also lines 5544-5547). Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	The purpose of this work would be to account f and wildlife. Text has been edited to read: "•Cr evidence of natural or anthropogenic disturban vegetation, and wildlife;"
638		Future discussion. DNR concurs that additional consideration will be necessary to assess potential impacts to rare natural communities; the topic will need further evaluation. Action requested: Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to cont will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
639	1 5523	Confirmation. Please identify if the intent is to develop a more detailed work plan for these efforts? Action requested: Provide response. As part of work plan development DNR will identify if it would be preferred for the agency reviewers for the sequential aspects were delivered upon completion (rather than as one report at the end). Future discussion item.	The intent is to develop a more detailed work p specific studies or data collection that would be lacking but able to be reasonably obtained. The comprehensive work plans and these full work however, TMM looks forward to continued eng responsive to inquiries and requests.
640		Information need. DNR will need more detail regarding these surveys (timing, number of locations, methodology) to ensure a robust and useful data set. Action requested: Modify text as information is now known to address the item. Future discussion item.	See Comment 639.
641	55/2-55/5	Data note. Although this is a source of information, typically this is not a rigorous survey but instead the documentation of incidental observations. No major conclusions on game bird populations can be made from this type of data. Action requested: Ensure that any use of this information is appropriately qualified in future data submissions.	Comment is noted. This will be considered in de surveys.
642	5576-5579	Clarification. For this bullet, what will the survey methodology be here? Observer based? Acoustic detectors? Action requested: Answer the question and modify text as appropriate.	See Comment 639.
643	5584	Clarification. Why are bats lumped in with reptiles and amphibians? Absent a specific reason, bats should be listed with the mammals. It is noted one possible reason is that bat-related work would occur coincident over the same three, week-long survey periods with the herps. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	See Comment 639.
644	5585-5586	Clarification. The text should identify when will these three weeklong periods occur? Action requested: Modify the text to address the item.	See Comment 639.
645	5587-5588	Clarification. When will these surveys occur? What conclusions will be made from the acoustic data? If a species is present acoustically within the project area, then will it be assumed this means that breeding (maternity colonies) is occurring within the project area? If not, how will breeding presence be determined (by mist netting/telemetry)? Who will be reviewing the calls files collected by the acoustic detectors? Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	See Comment 639.
646	5589-5590	Clarification. More details are needed in the survey methodology here. How will visual meander surveys be done? What time of the year and by who? Where and when will trapping occur? Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	See Comment 639.
647	5591-5592	Clarification. Will this be done using acoustic detectors or by trained staff? Action requested: Answer the question and modify the text to address the item.	See Comment 639.

## Metals Response

It for any previous disturbances to habitat, vegetation, Creating a plant community map and recording ances to document previous impacts to habitats,

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

k plan. Sections on the future scope of work identify be conducted to obtain additional data identified as he future scope of work sections are not rk plans will not be appended to the data submittal; ngagement during the EIS development and will be

developing future scope for terrestrial wildlife baseline

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
648		Data collection. The statistical validity of using only 10 camera traps to survey 1156 acres is questionable? This will likely result in the project area being insufficiently surveyed for any statistically valid results. What time of year will surveys be done? Timing will have to be different to target certain species (i.e., Canada lynx vs. black bears). More detail is necessary to support the proposed methodology. Action requested: Modify text to address the item. Future discussion item.	See Comment 639.
649	5600-5601	Clarification. More details are needed to describe the small mammal surveys. When will surveys occur, what trap types/sizes will be used, what habitats will be targeted, etc.? How does the methodology account for the fact that often rare small mammals are notoriously difficult to catch using live traps? Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	See Comment 639.
650		Question. What conclusions will be made from these surveys? It is important to note that lack of presence during surveys does not equal the ability to conclude a specific species does not occur within the project boundary. Action requested: Provide an answer to the question, which will be considered in the proposed EIS scope over development of the Scoping EAW. Future discussion item.	See Comment 639.
651		Clarification. Compare deliverable report described on lines 5605-5610 with that listed on lines 4504-4521. Are these separate reports or the same? Action requested: Provide clarification and modify text to provide clear distinction across the two items.	The Future Scope Section has been corrected to the results from the Wetlands Baseline work ou The work accomplished in the 8.3.1 will be comb outlined in 6.3.3 as this work will inform the bas vegetation, and wildlife.

to read: "The result of this work will be combined with outlined in Section 6.3.3 "

mbined with the first two volumes of the wetland work baseline and existing conditions of wetlands, habitats,

# Twin Metals Minnesota EIS RGU's Review of Proposer's Initial Data Submittal Comment Tracking Table - Section 9.0 Historical & Cultural Provided to Twin Metals Minnesota on June 15, 2020 / Response provided from TMM to MDNR on July 24, 2020

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
652		Addition. The summary also addresses Section 9.2.1 regarding archaeological sites (not in title or text of this section). Action requested: Incorporate as necessary findings of Section 9.2.1 into summary.	Section 9.2.4 has been edited to include referen
653	5/24-5/2/	Consistency. The section is not completely internally consistent. Lines 5706-5708, for example, state that there is a known site within the project area (and noted it would be avoided by construction) that conflicts with statement here. Action requested: Correct this inconsistency and check the entire section for other potential errors.	Text has been edited to read: "Archaeological sit have been identified during previous investigation features associated with the Project. As a result, Project that have been previously investigated.

## letals Response

ence to archaeological sites.

sites, historic properties, and cultural resources which tions all fall outside of the construction limits of any It, there are no anticipated impacts for areas of the I. "

# Twin Metals Minnesota EIS RGU's Review of Proposer's Initial Data Submittal Comment Tracking Table - Section 10.0 Visual Provided to Twin Metals Minnesota on June 15, 2020 / Response provided from TMM to MDNR on July 24, 2020

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
654	5820	Existing recreation. The text identifies "campgrounds" as one of many features as part of the Birch Lake viewshed. The South Kawishiwi Campground located at the intersection of Hwy 1 and the Kawishiwi River should be considered as a potentially affected resource due to project-related visual effects. Action requested: Modify text to address the item or provide a rationale why visual impacts are not expected.	From preliminary visual simulations there would Campground to the Project. Future work to infor related to plumes is outlined in Section 11.3.6. A part of Section 15.1.
655	5831	Clarification. To be more precise consider modifying the title to read: "Landscape Visual Simulation." Action requested: Modify title.	This section encompasses more than just a "Land project impacts to visual resources.
656	5884	Clarification. To be more precise consider modifying the title to read: "Direct Line of Site Viewshed Analysis." Action requested: Modify title.	The text notes that the viewshed analysis is a pre
657	5832	Affected resource. The potential for the South Kawishiwi Campground to be affected from infrastructure visibility, light visibility at night, and visibility of plumes should be assessed. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	See Comment 654.
658	5931	Clarification. The first paragraph calls the impact being addressed light "pollution." To be more precise consider modifying the title to read: "Light Pollution." Action requested: Modify text.	For consistency with Project nomenclature refer visibility.
659	5949	Bullet 4. Add "permanent" prior to "stockpile." Action requested: Modify text.	Consistent with Comment 63 - there are no temp
660	5982	Clarification. Would there be no light at the tailings facility or other access features at the end of project? Action requested: Modify text to match the answer.	Text has been edited in Section 10.2.4 to read: " post-closure maintenance and monitoring phase
661	5987-5989	Clarification. Was the view shed analysis conducted on the reclaimed tailings facility compared to the operational facility? If not, is it known that the viewshed is partially restored? Action requested: Future discussion item. In addition, modify text to read: "reverse impacts associated with construction <u>and operation</u> of the dry stack facility;"	The viewshed analysis represents the scale of the of operation. Viewshed analysis was not done fo
662	5987	Clarification. The text identifies "grading and revegetation" as the principle measures to partially reverse visual impacts. Describe the closure of the dry stack in greater detail to better support the assertion. Action requested: Modify text.	See lines 1424-1425 for discussion on revegetation sourced from the reclamation material stockpile 1568 for discussion on grading at the dry stack far facility would be graded to drain toward the perion would aim to create conditions where runoff rate downstream surface water receptors for pre-Pro- surface is fully revegetated and vegetation grown longer require suspended solids removal to meet

### letals Response

Id be no line of sight from the South Kawishiwi orm the assessment of potential visual impacts Additional effects to recreation will be assessed as

ndscape Visual Simulation" assessing all potential

oreliminary "direct line of sight" viewshed analysis.

erences to light pollution have been edited to light

nporary or permanent waste rock stockpiles.

"Lighting would be removed during reclamation and ses unless a future use is identified and approved."

he dry stack facility at full development after 25 years for the reclaimed dry stack facility.

ation at the dry stack facility. "Cover soil would be le and seeded to establish grasslands." See lines 1563facility. "The post-closure surface of the dry stack erimeter of the dry stack facility. Reclamation design ates and volumes are similar to runoff reaching roject site conditions. When the dry stack facility wth is dense and well established, runoff may no eet water quality standards."

Commer #	t Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
663	6005-6007	Future scope. Section 11.3 does not address plumes as noted in the text. Action requested: Modify text if potential plume visibility is not proposed for future study and provide the rationale for not doing so.	Section 11.3.6 added to clarify that potential visi has been added that reads: "The specific require and discussed with the RGU as part of the visual conducted to satisfy environmental review requi the potential for physical changes to the visual e visible plumes or fogging at selected receptors, a vistas."

## letals Response

visibility impacts of plumes is part of future scope. Text irements for a visual impact analysis will be negotiated ial impact analysis process. This process will be quirements. Associated tasks could include assessing I environment at surrounding receptors, assessment of s, and simulation of changes to particular scenic

# Twin Metals Minnesota EIS RGU's Review of Proposer's Initial Data Submittal Comment Tracking Table - Section 11.0 Air Provided to Twin Metals Minnesota on June 15, 2020 / Response provided from TMM to MDNR on July 24, 2020

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Met
664	General	Information request. Section 11.1 should identify all Federal and State rules that may be applicable to the proposed project. Action requested: Review the existing text to ensure all applicable regulations have been identified. Modify text for any omissions.	Text in Section 11.1.2 has been edited to identify
665	6022	Guidance. Follow the MPCA Air Dispersion Modeling Practices Manual guidelines for developing PM10 background concentrations based on ambient monitoring data. Action requested: Modify text to reflect item.	Comment is noted. This will be considered while
666	6025-6027	Future Action. The treatment of the two monitoring sites to represent "background" will require confirmation. DNR understands these sites were established as part of the required monitoring program for existing mining and processing operations. It will have to be determined whether impacts from this operation can be appropriately considered as background. No action requested. Future discussion item in consultation with MPCA.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to contin will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
667	6065	Guidance. All assumed control efficiencies will need to be reviewed in order for emission totals to be verified before conclusions can be drawn. Action requested: Modify text to address item.	Baseline data and impact assessments have been scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR publi decision documents, TMM will review the require the EIS. Additional data, as outlined in Section 11 including activities and equipment will be provide
668	6068	Guidance and information need. All emission sources will need to be considered. Additionally, a process flow diagram detailing emissions sources should be provided for the next data submittal. Action requested: Modify text to address item. Provide a figure for next data submittal.	Baseline data and impact assessments have beer scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR publi decision documents, TMM will review the require the EIS. Additional data, as outlined in Section 11 including activities and equipment will be provide
669	6069-6071	Clarification. The text correctly assumes that additional stationary sources identified as the project design is refined would need to be included as part of evaluation for potential significant effects. A possible way to better capture this might to simply read: "Table 11-2 would be updated to reflect any additional sources included in the Project design and used" Action requested: Modify text.	Text has been edited to read: "Table 11-2 throug additional sources included in the Project design in Section 11.3."
670	6074	DNR notes the Project defines drilling and blasting as emission sources. Emission sources must be qualified and quantified with drilling and blasting plan details. Action requested: Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to contin will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
671	6074	Guidance. Air dispersion modeling should consider the impact of particulate emissions generated from blasting during the development of the declines during the construction phase. Action requested: Modify text to reflect item.	Comment is noted. As outlined in Section 11.3.1, will be included in the emissions calculations. Thi development to satisfy the EIS scope.

## letals Response

ify potentially applicable Federal and State rules.

le developing the air future scope.

tinued engagement during the EIS development and

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to blishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support 11.3.1, including data on emissions calculations vided during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope.

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to blishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support 11.3.1, including data on emissions calculations vided during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope.

ugh Table 11-9 would be updated to reflect any gn and used in the additional modeling work discussed

tinued engagement during the EIS development and

.1, all Project operations (which includes construction) This additional data will be provided during EIS

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
672	6078-6082	Applicability review. The applicability of ventilation shafts as point sources for air quality emissions should be considered. Action requested: Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to conti will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
673		Clarification. Is it correct that above-ground crushing would be for 5 years during both construction and early operations? Action requested: If correct, modify text to add temporal dimension and account for both activities.	Text has been edited to more clearly reference to Section 3.6.2. Above-ground crushing of develops which is defined in Section 3.6.2 as a "30-month ground crushing of ore will occur during the first 3.6.2 as beginning "with the commissioning of the Operation of the crusher during the construction lower throughput.
674	6109-6110	Clarification. What happens to the <0.5' blasted rock? Action requested: Clarify and revise accordingly.	The ore stored at the temporary rock storage fa is the target from blasting. However, when look smaller than 0.5ft in diameter (as well as some p the following to clarify: "Ore stored at the temp 6 to 12 inches(10 to 30 cm) in diameter."
675	6125-6128; Table 11-3	Guidance. "In addition to gaseous criteria pollutants such as NO2, SO2 and CO, greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions are anticipated from mine heaters and underground blasting activities. Table 11-3 provides an estimate for preliminary GHGs for the project." For the project carbon footprint, all GHG emissions should be estimated from the following sources: Scope 1, direct emissions - stationary combustion sources, mobile combustion sources, stationary or area industrial process sources, permanent land-clearing [aboveground biomass carbon], and GHG emissions from stockpiled stored peats and soils; Scope 2, indirect emissions - emissions associated with purchased electricity. In estimating CO2 emissions from permanent land-clearing, emissions should be estimated for CO2 losses from removed and marketed or combusted woody biomass and lost sequestration potential from cleared acres. Mobile combustion sources would include all mobile above and below ground mining equipment plus aboveground trucks, front end-loaders, dozers and the like. In developing the project footprint, this should use projected actual hours of operation, rather than potential maximum hours of operation. Action requested: Modify text as appropriate in the GHG section. Modify text as appropriate in section 11.3.2. Future discussion item.	See Comment 556.

## Metals Response

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

e the construction and operation phases defined in lopment rock will occur during the construction phase oth period from Q3 Year -3 to Q4 Year -1." Aboverst two years of the operation phase defined in Section f the concentrator."

ion phase is expected to be more intermittent and at a

facility would primarily be 0.5 to 1 ft in diameter, this oking at a full PSD of these ore, there would be pieces e pieces larger than 1ft in diameter). Text changed to nporary rock storage facility would nominally between

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
676	6132-6134 and Table 11-3	Guidance. "Preliminary GHG emission calculations show carbon dioxide equivalent emissions would be 58,072 tons per year (tpy), which is well below the threshold for a major source of air emissions of 100,000 tpy in Minnesota." For PSD determination (Prevention of Significant Deterioration), a more limited carbon footprint should be developed than discussed above in comment 664. This should be similar to what is found in Table 11-3, but also include emissions associated with the above-ground biomass removed from the site during land-clearing, should that biomass be marketed as fuelwood. Under USEPA guidance, biogenic emitted to the atmosphere as a result of permanent forest clearance should be included in GHG emission totals in the determination of which facilities need or need not undergo a BACT (best available control technology) analysis. Emission totals used for PSD determinations normally do not include GHG emissions from mobile sources or biogenic area sources not related to permanent forest-clearing. They also do not include indirect GHG emissions associated with the generation of purchased electricity, and are calculated on a maximum potential-to-emit basis. The emission threshold for GHGs for a facility that otherwise must undergo a criteria pollutant-related BACT analysis is 75,000 short CO2-equivalent tons. Action requested: Modify text as appropriate in the GHG section. Modify text as appropriate in section 11.3.2. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to conti will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
677	6138-6144	Guidance. "The impact of GHG emissions would be further reviewed with respect to direct and indirect impacts from a regional and global perspective. Total GHG emissions from the project would be compared against GHG emissions emitted globally, nationally, and within Minnesota. GHG emissions from the Project could then be assessed against the overall contribution from each of these sectors as total emissions and as a percentage." In addition to these baseline metrics (used for comparative purposes), the assessment should compare the estimated average annual emissions of the facility (full facility carbon footprint, both direct and indirect sources, projected facility capacity factor) to the net incremental state-level GHG reduction found in the Minnesota Next Generation Energy Act for the proposed facility's initial year of operation. The Minnesota Next Generation Energy requires an GHG emission reduction from 148 to 122 million CO2-equivalent tons between 2015 and 2025 (or at an annual rate of -2.62 million CO2-equivalent tons) and from 122 to 35 million CO2-equivalent tons between 2015 and 2025 (or at an annual rate of Pollution Control Agency estimate of 2005 state-level baseline emissions. If the facility begins operation between 2020 and 2025, estimated total facility emissions should be compared to an incremental state-level reduction in the initial years of the facility's operation of -2.62 million CO2-equivalent tons, and, if it begins operation between 2025 and 2050, to an incremental state-level Modify text as appropriate in the GHG section. Modify text as appropriate in section 11.3.2. Future discussion item.	See Comment 556.

## Metals Response

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Mo
678	lines 6124- 6147	discount rates. In 2016, the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (MPUC) formally adopted a damage cost value for incremental GHG emissions from power generation using as a base estimates of the social cost of carbon from national analyses. Adjusted by GWP, the MPUC damage cost value can be used to estimate the stream of future damages from the emission of any greenhouse gas. These damage cost estimates (CO2 externality values) should be used in evaluating the incremental average annual and lifetime environmental impacts or damages resulting from the proposed project. The damage-cost estimate that presently is in use (calendar year 2020) by the MPUC in its proceedings is \$9.05 to \$42.46 per ton of emitted CO2, with a mid-point of \$25.76 per ton. Under MPUC order, this will rise to \$11.16 to \$51.47 per ton of emitted CO2 by 2030 (2015 dollars). As in the case of climate forecasts, it is permissible to opt out of the use of these values with a clear demonstration, based on the criteria given in Environmental Quality Board rules for nonavailability of information, that the MPUC-generated values do not adequately represent the stream of likely marginal damages from the next ton of emissions or that the modeling on which the those values	See Comment 556.
679	lines 6148- 6317	were generated was flawed or too uncertain for use. Action requested: Modify text as appropriate Guidance. For consistency, to the degree that this is practical, the assumption of persistent human- forced climatic change as background condition for the project should extend to all other environmental modeling, including the modeling of impacts to terrestrial and air resources. Fundamental processes like ozone formation or mercury methylation are temperature-sensitive, hence depend on what is assumed about future climate. Action requested: Modify text as appropriate in the GHG section. Modify text as appropriate in section 11.3.2. Future discussion item.	See Comment 556.
680	6162	Guidance. Air dispersion modeling should consider the impact of particulate emissions generated from underground blasting activities that may exhaust from the ventilation raises. Action requested: Modify text to address item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to cont will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
681	6165	Note. All emission factors used for blasting assumptions will need to be verified before conclusions can be drawn. No action requested.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to cont will be responsive to inquiries and requests.

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
682	6225	Guidance. The Federal Regional Haze rule 40 CFR §51.308, establishes a goal of attaining natural visibility conditions by the year 2064. Generally, States submit State Implementation Plans (SIP) to show progress toward attaining this goal every 10 years, although the originally scheduled 2018 SIP revision submittal deadline was extended to 2021. The next scheduled full SIP revision is due 2028, and every 10 years thereafter. In developing its long-term strategy for each 10-year SIP, the State must consider the anticipated net effect on visibility due to projected changes in point, area, and mobile emissions over the period. The State must include sources or groups of sources selected for consideration to evaluate the feasibility for controls. In developing the current SIP submittal (due 2021) for regional haze, Minnesota selected an emissions/distance threshold for sources to evaluate emissions controls. Using the criteria-if the proposed project existed today-Minnesota would require the proposed facility to evaluate the feasibility of emissions controls. The regional haze program requirements specify four factors to evaluate the feasibility of emissions controls. Cost of compliance, time necessary for compliance, the energy and non-air quality environmental impacts of compliance, and the remaining useful life of any potentially affected anthropogenic source of visibility impairment. Project proposers should address these four factors to evaluate potential controls as part of the project scope in an attempt to avoid the prospect of potential retrofits soon after. Project proposers should consult with the MPCA air quality team on carrying out this course of action. Action requested: Add to Sections 11.2.3 and 11.3.4 as warranted. Future discussion item.	Section 11.3.4 outlines the future work for Class this future work "will be negotiated and discuss analysis process."
683	6251	Clarification. This section needs additional content on vehicle emissions and "other aboveground mobile equipment," including identification of the categories of impacts possible from these sources. Action requested: Add the specified content. Ensure that Section 11.3 addresses any future information needs.	Text has been edited to include examples of "ot categories of impacts possible from vehicle tailp
684	6242-6246	Clarification. Should a potential increase in personal vehicle traffic in the area and busing of employees, and increased traffic in general, be included as project emission sources? May require consultation with MPCA. Action requested: Modify text as the issue is understood. Possible discussion item with MPCA.	Comment is noted. Emissions from mobile sources considered part of "project emission sources'" v kinds of emissions are however considered whe continued engagement during the EIS developm
685		Guidance. The potential to emit from all tailpipe source above and below ground needs additional supporting information prior to conclusions can be drawn for project impacts as well as modeled emission rates. Action requested: Ensure Section 11.3.1 identifies data needs as listed. Future discussion item.	Lines 6323-6324 in Section 11.3.1 acknowledge Additional data, as outlined in Section 11.3, incl provided during EIS development to satisfy the
686	6263	Question: Will there be odor and dust monitoring/modeling/data collection, etc.? The text does not identify any future actions. RGU will need to review available information regarding the potential for dust and odor effects before identifying the treatment of the issue in the EIS. Action requested: Future discussion item.	TMM is not proposing to perform odor data col quality. TMM looks forward to continued engag responsive to inquiries and requests.

ass I Air Quality Analysis. As stated in the data submittal ssed with the RGU as part of the air quality impact

other above ground equipment" and identify ilpipe emissions.

urces such as personal vehicles, busing, etc. are not " when evaluating stationary source permitting. These hen evaluating GHG impacts. TMM looks forward to oment and will be responsive to inquiries and requests.

ge the need to further refine emission calculations. Including emissions inventories and calculations will be the EIS scope.

ollection or modeling. For dust, see information on air agement during the EIS development and will be

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
687		<ul> <li>Guidance. For the proposed project and each project alternative, provide a cumulative Air Emission Risk Analysis (AERA) as described on MPCA's website for each phase of the project. Action requested: Ensure Section 11.3 addresses likely AERA needs. Future discussion item.</li> <li>The analyses shall include but are not limited to: <ul> <li>Mobile sources</li> <li>Piles on site</li> <li>Tanks &amp; refueling on site</li> <li>Blasting activities</li> <li>Pollutants in the MPCA Risk Assessment Screening Spreadsheet (RASS)</li> <li>Per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFAS)</li> <li>Mineral fibers</li> <li>Documentation of modeling and exposure assumptions</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Lines 6326-6327 in Section 11.3.1 outline that h the Minnesota AERA process. TMM looks forwa development and will be responsive to inquiries 11.3, including a cumulative AERA will be provid
688	6308-6310	Clarification. Some amount of fugitive emissions would continue into the closure period while reclamation was being completed. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Text has been edited to read: "Revegetation pra fugitive dust emissions during the reclamation a mitigated in the post-closure phase."
689	6314	Clarification. Engineering controls and fugitive dust management practices need to occur during construction and closure and not only during operations. Action requested: Modify text to address item.	Text has been edited to clarify fugitive dust man operation, and reclamation and closure phases "Engineering controls and fugitive dust manage construction, the operational life and reclamation
690		Future scope. The section does not address the potential visibility impacts of plumes originating from the two exhaust ventilation raises as identified in Section 10.3. Action requested: Modify text to address the item or provide a rationale for why no assessment is deemed necessary.	Section 11.3.6 added to clarify that potential vis
691	6338-6339	RGU note. The EIS may also review potential alternative methods to reduce the impacts. No action requested.	Comment is noted.
692	6340	Guidance. Consider land ownership and control when evaluating ambient air and receptor placement. The concept of ownership/control should be relative to the Permittee only. Any areas considered non ambient will need effective measures to preclude public access at the boundary of these areas. Action requested: Modify text to address item.	Lines 6343-6344 establish that refinement of th grid will be necessary. Additional data, as outlin boundary and or modification of the receptor gr the EIS scope.
693	6340-6353	Guidance. Modeling should follow guidance in the MPCA Air Dispersion Modeling Practices Manual guidelines related to Class I and Class II modeling. No action requested. Future work plans should reflect the cited guidance.	Comment is noted.

t human risk to air toxics will be fully evaluated using ward to continued engagement during the EIS ies and requests. Additional data, as outlined in Section wided during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope.

practices associated with reclamation would reduce n and closure phase. Fugitive dust emissions would be

nanagement practices will occur during construction, es of the Project. Text has been edited to read: gement practices would be employed throughout the ation and closure phases of the Project;"

visibility impacts of plumes is part of future scope.

the site boundary and or modification of the receptor lined in Section 11.3, including refinement of the site grid will be provided during EIS development to satisfy

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
694	6345	Guidance. The project should address baseline ambient visibility conditions in the Class I areas: Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness and Voyageurs National Park. Baseline ambient visibility conditions are determined from Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments (IMPROVE) network monitoring stations BOWA1 and VOYA2 located within Class I area boundaries. The MPCA calculates the baseline ambient visibility conditions from these monitors, which are based on the most recent 5-years of speciated particulate matter less than or equal to five microns in size. Project proposers should consult with the MPCA air quality team on obtaining and incorporating the data. Action requested: Modify text to incorporate this guidance into section. Future disucssion item.	Comment is noted. Section 11.3.4 identifies the need to conduct Cla review requirements. Text has been edited to in looks forward to continued engagement during inquiries and requests.
695	6354	General comment for section. Because a substantive presentation of neither potential air toxics nor cross-media impacts was included in the preliminary scoping document, no conclusions were made concerning the exclusion of any components of these analyses. Action requested: Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to continuity will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
696	6354	For the proposed project and each project alternative, provide a cross-media analysis for each phase of the project. Action requested: Ensure section 11.3.5 adequately addresses these points. Future discussion item. The analyses shall include but are not limited to: • Pollutants in these groups: metals, metalloids, dioxins, furans, PAHs, PFAS • Estimates of pollutant concentrations in relevant media due to deposition and gas-exchange • Mercury deposition • Pollutant bioaccumulation in fish and exposure via fish consumption • Exposure via soil • Exposure via garden and agricultural produce and food products, such as poultry, eggs, beef, and dairy • Exposure via drinking water • Documentation of modeling and exposure assumptions	TMM does not have information that justifies th however consideration for this analysis is outline Section 11.3, including cross-media analysis will EIS scope.
697	6279	Guidance. The proposed project may need to consider monitoring for non-asbestiform mineral fibers. Action requested: Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to conti will be responsive to inquiries and requests.

Class I area impact analysis to satisfy environmental include, "visibility impacts analysis of haze." TMM ng the EIS development and will be responsive to

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

this analysis as a potentially significant adverse effect, lined in Section 11.3.5. Additional data, as outlined in *i*II be provided during EIS development to satisfy the

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

# Twin Metals Minnesota EIS RGU's Review of Proposer's Initial Data Submittal Comment Tracking Table - Section 12.0 Noise Provided to Twin Metals Minnesota on June 15, 2020 / Response provided from TMM to MDNR on July 24, 2020

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
698	General	Guidance. MPCA notes abbreviated monitoring and modeling results were provided within Section 12. There is a placeholder Appendix for noise in the document, so the assumption is that the relevant studies will be provided, but it would be beneficial to have early review of those studies for more thorough examination before any conclusions are reached. Action requested: Future discussion item.	Baseline data and impact assessments have been scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR publ decision documents, TMM will review the requir the EIS. If additional data is required for assessin - data will be provided during EIS development t
699	6370-6372	Clarification. No explanation is provided regarding why the USFS was monitoring noise in this area; was it project related? If so, is there a reason that monitoring locations were so spread out? Perhaps these were the areas measured for noise in the federal mineral withdrawal EIS process? Action requested: Engage MPCA on why these particular locations were measured in the first place, for example, are these all sensitive receptors? Modify text as determined appropriate.	No change made. TMM received the this data fro between 2014 and 2016.
700	6380-6388	Clarification. Provide some explanation as to the inapplicability of the other 8 sites (out of 11) in defining ambient noise conditions at the Project area. Action requested: Supplement text.	The other eight sites were not inapplicable but a were chosen to represent seasonal variability an
701	6389-6399	Clarification. The averaging time for the values provided in Table 12-1 is unclear - do the columns represent averaged/aggregated hourly averages over the course of the monitoring seasons? In order to best (and most accurately) assess ambient noise conditions in comparison to the state noise standards, the form of the provided statistics need to match the forms in Minn. Rules part 7030.0040; L <sub>eq</sub> is not directly relatable to the hourly L <sub>10</sub> and L <sub>50</sub> standards. Further, the statistics need to reflect single hours of monitoring that are not averaged over a given season. Action requested: Address the item and modify text as appropriate.	Lines 6389-6399 identify that these measurements in accalculated from one-second measurements in accessingle hour averages were then used to calculate daytime and nighttime.

## letals Response

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to blishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support sing noise impacts - including monitoring and modeling t to satisfy the EIS scope.

from the USFS. The data provided was collected

as discussed in lines 6380-6388 these three sites and cover important noise-sensitive receptors.

nents reflect single hour averages of monitoring accordance with Minn. R. part 7030.0040. Those ate an Leq minimum, average, and maximum for both

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
702	6400-6404; Fig 12	Clarification. Several "Nearby Sensitive Receptors" were identified in figure 12-2, but it is unclear how exactly those receptors figured into the noise modeling efforts outlined in section 12.2.1. Each of the identified receptors fall under the NAC 1 (strictest) category. There may be a concern about noise at these receptors, particularly for those identified along the western shore of Birch Lake (receptors R01 through R12), as well as the campsites identified on the eastern edge of Birch Lake (R54 and R55). We would like to see the outcome of modeling on these receptors, particularly knowing how sound can carry over water (see comment 5, below). This may be less of an issue as the dry tailings area is filled and machinery moves further east and away from the lake. Additionally, the boundary of the project, as indicated on aerial maps, is drawn up to the southern shoreline of the South Kawishiwi River (the extent of the underground portion of the proposed mine). Currently, there are several residential receptors along that boundary, and it is unclear if there will be any sort of buyout of those properties or agreements about potential noise (or other) impacts. Lastly, there would be important noise concerns for individuals using the campgrounds indicated at R54 and R55, particularly during 24 hour operating scenarios. The availability of these spaces for use is under the authority of the USFS, but their proximity to both the plant and tailings areas would likely lead MPCA to recommend closing those campling locations, or at least providing signage to users that those sites (which seem to be water-access sites) may experience high levels of noise during the day and night. Action requested: Address the item and modify text as determined appropriate.	The results of initial modeling are discussed in S at all receptors identified fall below required nig Baseline data and impact assessments have bee scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR pub decision documents, TMM will review the requi the EIS. If additional data is required for assessir - data will be provided during EIS development t
703	6403	Clarification. The "camping to the north, west, and southwest," and the "resort" should be identified. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Text has been edited to read: "A total of 55 nea residences (single family homes or cabins) to th to the north (South Kawishiwi River Campgroun Birch Lake), and southwest (Birch Lake Campgro Co.) across South Kawishiwi River to the northw
704	6425	Analytical gap. At Line 5370 references this section for EPMs. Section 12.2 does not specifically address back up alarms. Action requested: Add text to address back up alarms. Cross-reference to RGU Comment 626.	Text has been edited in Section 8.2.1 to read: "T up alarms on mobile equipment or material har could displace a variety of wildlife found in and birds many of which could successfully relocate reduce the impact of both sudden, infrequent in outside the Project footprint by ensuring noise dBA. At this level, impacts would be limited to s management sit and the potential significance of reduced."
705	6448	Clarification. Aboveground crushing needs to be addressed for noise. Action requested: Add to list of bulleted items or provide explanation why not applicable.	This list of sources of noise is specific to the ope crushing will occur during this stage.

Section 12.2.2. This results indicate that noise levels nighttime L50 levels for NAC-1 designated areas.

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to ublishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support sing noise impacts - including monitoring and modeling at to satisfy the EIS scope.

earby sensitive receptors were identified including the north and to the west (across Birch Lake), camping und), west (two backcountry sites on the east shore of ground), and a resort (River Point Resort & Outfitting nwest) as shown on Figure 12-2."

"These sudden, infrequent impulse noises such as back andling at the plant site and tailings management site, ad around the Project area, including mammals and te into adjacent habitats. The Project would aim to t impulse noises and steady or continuous to receptors e levels remain below the NAC-1 nighttime limit of 50 o sensitive receptors proximal to the plant site, tailings e of the impacts of noise on wildlife would be

peration phase of the Project and no above ground

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
706	6469-6474 6475-6482	See above comment at Lines 6404-6400 for context. Clarification. Based on the information provided in lines 6469-6474 and section 12.2.2 (lines 6475-6482), it seems as though the modeling exercise only covered noise from mine operations, and excluded data collected regarding ambient (baseline or background) noise levels or modeled background noise. The MPCA interprets the noise standards in Minn. Rules Chapter 7030 as total standards, which would include noise from mine operations in addition to background/ambient noise. This interpretation is particularly relevant during summer months, when there are more people in the area recreating on the lake or surrounding the nearby residences. (USFS monitoring indicated higher noise levels during the summer months, presumably due to increased seasonal use of natural resources in the area.) MPCA would like to see modeling results that include background or ambient expected noise, expressed as hourly L <sub>10</sub> and L <sub>50</sub> values, for all sensitive receptors during all seasons. Action requested: Future discussion item. Recognizing the need to consult, modify text as appropriate to address the item.	Comment is noted. Baseline data and impact assessments have bee scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR publ decision documents, TMM will review the requir the EIS. If additional data is required for assessin - data will be provided during EIS development t
707	6475	Clarification. Section 12.2.2 should indicate whether project-related changes in noise levels would be perceptible from the current condition at the three sites? Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	42 dBA is similar to a quiet library and within the of minimum current ambient noise levels, it can or time of day. Similarly, it can get louder at all lo maximum one-hour levels reaching 50 to 60 dBA barely perceptible. Only at >5 dBA would you co perceptibility of Project impacts could vary base

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to ublishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support sing noise impacts - including monitoring and modeling at to satisfy the EIS scope.

the range of the current ambient noise levels. In terms an get very quiet (< 20 dBA) regardless of site, season, Il locations, day or night, winter or summer, with IBA. A change of 1-2 dBA would not be perceptible to consistently hear an audible difference. Therefore used site, season, or time of day.

# Twin Metals Minnesota EIS RGU's Review of Proposer's Initial Data Submittal Comment Tracking Table - Section 13.0 Transportation Provided to Twin Metals Minnesota on June 15, 2020 / Response provided from TMM to MDNR on July 24, 2020

Comment #	t Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
708	6544-6545	Clarification. Confirm that intent of sentence that there would be no growth expected without the project. Action requested: Confirm intent. RGU notes this will be a consideration in definition of conditions around no-build alternative.	Correct. Based on historical traffic volumes from applied to the existing AADT values as traffic pat to twenty years.
709	6623	Note: RGU will need to review available traffic-related information before identifying treatment of the issue in the EIS, including potential future scope. No action requested.	Comment is noted.

## letals Response

om MnDOT it has been assumed no growth should be patterns have been stable in this area over the past ten

# Twin Metals Minnesota EIS RGU's Review of Proposer's Initial Data Submittal Comment Tracking Table - Section 14.0 Cumulative Effects Provided to Twin Metals Minnesota on June 15, 2020 / Response provided from TMM to MDNR on July 24, 2020

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
710	6625-6749	Guidance. Minn. Rules part 4410.0200, subp. 11, defines cumulative impact to mean "the impact on the environment that results from incremental effects of the project in addition to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future projects regardless of what person undertakes the other projects. Cumulative impacts can result from individually minor but collectively significant projects taking place over a period of time." Working from that definition, it is necessary to evaluate the impacts of projected climate change on natural sources, the built environment and human health in the vicinity of the projected facility. This should be an evaluation of impacts of ongoing and impended climatic changes resulting from the historical accumulation of GHGs in the atmosphere from all global sources, as well as from the projected and the projected accumulation of GHGs in the atmosphere, again from al projected sources. The project consultant should discuss discrete impacts from climatic changes that are addressed in the scientific literature. Because this discussion relates to the impacts of total global accumulations of GHGs in the atmosphere, rather than projected incremental accumulations resulting from proposed project, this analysis is/would be distinct from the analysis discussed above in comments at Lines 6124-6147.	Text has been added in Sections 11.3.2 and Sect potential effects analysis will be focused on clim environment and human health primarily relate prepare the cumulative potential effects analysi Quality's August 1, 2016 memo titled "Final Guid Consideration of Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Environmental Policy Act Reviews".
711	6711	RGU note. Consideration will be given to existing dimension stone mining operations in defining potential existing and future projects whose impacts may intersect with the Project. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to contin will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
712	6729	Clarification. "Area" is not defined. Define the radius used in this context and revise for consistency. Note that "area" used to name human disturbances appears to be wide, whereas "area" used to analyze project impacts is often smaller. Action requested: Provide qualifying text in summary to match treatment of geographic scale in the earlier sections.	Text has been edited to read: "Within the vicinit many past human disturbances, which include:"

## Aetals Response

ection 14.2 to address this comment. The cumulative imate change impacts on natural sources, the built ted to resiliency to these projected impacts. TMM will ysis in the EIS guided by the Council on Environmental uidance for Federal Departments and Agencies on nd the Effects of Climate Change in National

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

nity of the Project area (~10 miles [16 km]) there are e:"

Twin Metals Minnesota EIS RGU's Review of Proposer's Initial Data Submittal Comment Tracking Table - Section 15.0 Other Environmental Effects

Provided to Twin Metals Minnesota on June 15, 2020 / Response provided from TMM to MDNR on July 24, 2020

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Met
713	6750	Correction. Table of contents includes two additional topics (recreation and wilderness). Action requested: Provide text on these topics or correct Table of Contents.	Text has been edited. Sections have been added.
714	6750	DNR note. The EIS scope will likely include the topics of reclamation cost estimates and financial assurance. Action requested: Add placeholders for these topics to the section.	TMM notes that providing reclamation and finan is premature. TMM supports recognition of these
715		Future data. What further studies are planned to document vibration affects? Are there theoretical estimates on the peak particle velocity and how it varies with depth? Action requested: Modify text to address. If a future information need, propose a future scope.	Comment is noted. Specific documentation planr typically disclosed in the scoping decision docum
716	6774	Future information. It is expected that a detailed drilling and blasting plan will provide the needed details to analyze vibration from underground blasting. Ensure that this information is planned to be provided. Action requested: Clarify and revise accordingly.	Lines 7205 - 7207 identify the need to assess vibr Baseline data and impact assessments have been scope analyses for the EIS. Once the MDNR publis decision documents, TMM will review the require the EIS. If additional data is required for assessing - data will be provided during EIS development to

## letals Response

ed.

ancial assurance cost estimates during EIS preparation ese permit requirements.

inned to disclose potential environmental effects are iment.

ibration impacts from underground blasting activities.

en provided that TMM believes are adequate to blishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support ing noise impacts - including monitoring and modeling to satisfy the EIS scope.

# Twin Metals Minnesota EIS RGU's Review of Proposer's Initial Data Submittal Comment Tracking Table - Tables Provided to Twin Metals Minnesota on June 15, 2020 / Response provided from TMM to MDNR on July 24, 2020

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
717	Table 3-1	DNR notes that project locations with section, township, and range information will be verified by agency staff. No action requested.	Comment is noted.
718	Table 3-3	Definition. Clarify "cassette" as listed as a count. Action requested: At a minimum provide a definition of cassette. More broadly, consider a generic description of all items listed. Some are obvious with others less so.	Cassette was originally indicated on the table to be accomplished with the utility cassette carrier.
719	Table 3-3	Clarification. Under abbreviations, are tons metric or not. Include in abbreviation list with detail. Alternatively, spell out as in table 3-5 and in other places. See also tpd and tpy. Action requested: Modify text.	Ton is described in the glossary "ton: A unit of m metric tons are used they are written as tonnes.
720	Table 3-3	Clarification. The fleet count as represented in the table is 67. What is the "extra" vehicle? Action requested: Determine if there is an inconsistency and modify accordingly.	The table incorrectly summed to 68. The table ha
721	Table 3-6	Table headings. What is the difference between industrial and commercial? Action requested: May make sense to provide a definition to go with the headings (down at the bottom of the table with abbreviations).	Commerical building areas are workplaces, office Industrial building areas are factory or warehous clarify table footer has been revised.
722	Table 3-6	Clarification. For the Commercial Building Area column, Row 1. "Inclusive of all buildings below" intends all or only those listed in plant site section? Action requested: If yes, to be more clear consider the Concentrator Building row as a sub-heading with a colon and no other text across the columns. Provide a footnote that indicating all buildings are attached.	Foonote added to table that reads: "3 Concentra dewatering area, concentrate storage and loado
723	Table 3-6	Question. Do building heights include any and all stacks? Action requested: Add notes accordingly.	Footnote has been added to table that reads: "B vertical stacks"
724	Table 3-7	Clarification. All area of the TMS would be grassland? Wouldn't there need to be some infrastructure (access road, ditching), even in a fully-reclaimed state in closure? Action requested: Modify text as appropriate with the response. For example, note that impervious surface is accounted for in the "before" condition.	Project descriptions have been provided that TM EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upda Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ad project descriptions including details on reclama
725	Table 3-7	Question. If the plant site can be converted to wooded/forest, then why not the transmission corridor? Action requested: Please revise with this consideration in mind.	See Comment 175. The future use of the power i corridor to maintained to prevent tall growing vellines.

### letals Response

to show that the functions of the powder trucks would er. To avoid confusion these have been removed.

measurement equivalent to 2,000 pounds." When s.

has been corrected.

ices, locker rooms, that support the operation. use buildings, where product is made or stored. To

rator is composed of grinding mill area, flotation and lout area, reagent makeup area, and air services area"

Building heights are inclusive of any associated

MM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the dated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated nation and closure.

r infrastructure could require the transmission vegetation from interfering with the overhead power

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
726	Table 3-7	Clarification. Subtracting the Project "after" from the Project area after results in a balance of 40.5 acres of impervious surface in the "after" condition. Footnote 2 states the values are based on "planned post-closure usage and reclamation types, outlined in the Project Reclamation Plan. Action requested: Provide some type of clarification in Footnote 2 tied to the closure discussions in Section 3. It appears this results from 43.6 acres of access road remaining after the project.	The 43.6 acres is based on the access road corrigolace. This is a simplification - the entire corrido future use/need is found for the road and it is a
727	Table 3-8	Guidance. A dam safety permit may be required. There are many structure that could meet the definition of a dam. Action requested: Add the potential need for a DNR dam safety permit to the table. Identify status as "if needed."	Table has been edited to include the potential n permit should not be required for the dry stack captured and retain water that may meet the de
728	Table 3-8	Request height and storage volume of all such structures, including water ponds, contact water ditch embankment, etc.	Project descriptions have been provided that TN EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upd Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ac project descriptions including design or construct
729	Table 3-8	Question. Why is the COE 404 Permit status listed as "to be applied for, if needed?" Has a jurisdictional determination been requested? Action requested: Provide clarification.	No jurisdictional determination has been made. determination.
730	table 3-8	Note. Any lease for use of state lands includes various provisions related to timber management, including requirements for timber damages. These are a provision of any lease that may be issued for the project. No action necessary.	Comment is noted.
731	Table 3-8	Clarification. Include Public Water Permits for new culverts or replacement culverts. Action requested: Modify text to read: Permit to Work in Public Waters (water intake and outfall; new culverts and replacement culverts).	Table has been edited to read: "Permit for Work culverts, and replacement culverts)"
732	Table 3-8	Clarification. Additional MPCA permits that should be added to this table include: "Individual NPDES/SDS or SDS permit" and "Solid Waste permit." It is likely that even without a point source discharge that at least an SDS permit will be needed for the project (ponds, treatment systems, etc. And, given the uniqueness of the DSF component to the project, MPCA will need to assess on a case-specific basis the potential need for a Solid Waste permit. The consideration of the need for these two permits will be coordinated to reduce duplicity of permits. Action requested: Modify the table to address the item.	See Comment 177 for details on NPDES/SDS per permitting.
733	Table 6-1	Clarification. Is "unknown" watershed the same as Unnamed Creek in text? Action requested: Modify text to clarify.	No change made. The watershed is the: MDNR N Unnamed Creek.
734	Table 6-1	Addition. Requested action: Add column with the total watershed size.	Total watershed size has been added to the tabl
735	table 6-4	Clarification. Define "government controlled stations." Action requested: Add text or table endnote with a listing of governmental units control the stations listed.	Footnote added to table: "Government controlle MDNR, USGS, or by both."
736	Table 6-5	Clarification. At Line 2929, Keeley Creek is mentioned here but not listed as stated in Table 6-5. Action requested: Modify Table 6-5 to address the item.	See Comment 402.

## **Aetals Response**

rridor being all impervious surface and leaving it in dor would not be impervious and it assumes that approved pursuant to Minn. R. 6132.

I need for a MDNR dam safety permit. A dam safety ock facility based on design however ponds used to definition of dam in Minn. R., chapter 6115.

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated ruction details of water management features.

le. Permit need would be pending any jurisdictional

ork in Public Waters (water intake, outfall, new

permitting and Comment 276 for details on Solid Waste

R Minor watershed #: 72131. It is not the same as

ıble.

olled stations are any station that is controlled by the

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
737	Table 6-6	Question. How was the Mean Daily Baseflow derived on this table? Action requested: Add footnote to identify the method used for this.	Method for deriving mean daily baseflow is desc
738	Table 6-6	Clarification. The table should list number of samples at each site. Action requested: Modify the table to address the item.	Table updated to add a footer indicating there v
739	Table 6-7	Note. Ensure that mercury is included in future analysis and modeling as appropriate. Action requested: Future discussion item.	Mercury is included in the analytical sampling o through Table 6-10 and Table 6-26 through Tabl
740	Table 6-7	Clarification. Waterbody names should be included with Site IDs in the table. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	Table has been modified to include waterbody a
741	Table 8-7	Clarification. Why are moose not included in this table? Action requested: Include moose or provide a rationale for not including moose in the table.	Moose are not identified in the Table due to the Specifically the screening used the Minnesota R that are within the Project area the moose is no does not fall within the Border Lakes Subsectior their biotics database, but some species like the does not have any records.
742	Table 8-7	Heading. The table relies on the DNR Rare Species Guide, specifically the section on habitat, as a source of information. A footnote should be provided stating that the habitats described by the rare species guide are those commonly used by a species, and by no means do they encompass all habitats utilized. Action requested: Add footnote to address the item.	Footnote added to table that reads: "The habita those commonly used by a species but are not in be found in"
743	Table 8-7	Footnote. The statement that the project is not expected to have an impact on northern bog lemmings is overreaching. The RSG states that large tracts of peatlands should be protected, but it states that they are found elsewhere including conifer forests, black spruce swamps, shrubswamps, or similar. This statement below the table should be removed and the column "potentially present in areas of potential ground disturbance" should be changed to an "X." Action requested: Modify text to address the item or provide explanation as to why not appropriate.	Table modified to address comment.
744	Table 8-7	Clarification. The habitat descriptor for Blanding's turtles is incomplete. The RSG includes 11 habitat links, where this is by no means all encompassing. Action requested: Modify text to address the item.	No change made. Only habitats that were identides described on lines 4743-4751 - in the Project Ar
745	Table 8-8	Clarification. The column "potentially present in areas of potential ground disturbance" for Blanding's turtle should be marked with an X. Action requested: Modify table to address the item. Ensure any potential project impacts are adequately identified in other section(s) as appropriate.	Table modified to address comment.
746	Tables 11-1 thru 11-5	Advisory. Generally, conclusions indicated in Tables 11-1 through 11-5 can't be drawn until information used to complete tables has been reviewed. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to conti will be responsive to inquiries and requests.

## Metals Response

escribed on lines 2930-2937.

e were 1,826 mean streamflow values for each station.

of surface and groundwater (as shown in Table 6-9 able 6-28).

and watercourse names.

he screening methodology used - see lines 4743-4751. Rare Species Guide. While the moose has habitats not listed because its range as defined by the MDNR on. The MDNR updates these range maps based on he moose are not defined because the biotics database

itats described by the MDNR Rare Species Guide are t inclusive of all the habitats that a species may use or

ntified as being present - using the methodology Area are listed.

ntinued engagement during the EIS development and

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Met
747	Table 11-2	Additional information. Preliminary project emission sources should clearly define drilling and blasting emissions for construction of raises and declines. Same table should also define those constructed features as emission sources once constructed. Action requested: Address issue.	See Comment 671. Lines 6323-6324 in Section 11.3.1 acknowledge t Additional data, as outlined in Section 11.3, inclu provided during EIS development to satisfy the E

## letals Response

e the need to further refine emission calculations. cluding emissions inventory and calculation will be e EIS scope.

# Twin Metals Minnesota EIS RGU's Review of Proposer's Initial Data Submittal Comment Tracking Table - Figures Provided to Twin Metals Minnesota on June 15, 2020 / Response provided from TMM to MDNR on July 24, 2020

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
748	Figure 1-1	Addition. The figure should include concentrate hauling to Duluth along the primary path. This can be added on the scale as offered. No need for an insert, just showing corridor leaving the site. Action requested: Edit figure.	Figure modified to show concentrate haulage to
749	Figure 1-1	Addition. Identify the source data for the "Mesabi Range Mining Features" layer. Can be done in Notes. Action requested: Edit figure.	Footnote added. "This layer was received in email from the MDN for: Mine Features (minefeatures.shp) Originator: Minnesota Department of Natural Re Abstract: The Range Mining Features data layer mining areas within the Mesabi Iron Range. Use Lands and Minerals
750	Figure 2-1	Discussion. Need to consider environmental setting boundary from Minn. Rules Chapter 6132. No action requested. Future discussion item.	Comment is noted. TMM looks forward to conti- will be responsive to inquiries and requests.
751	Figure 3-1	Clarification. Based on the text at Line 526, it would be useful and improve clarity for figure 3-1 to include a box labeled "tailings management site" surrounding the tailings dewatering, engineered tailings backfill, and the dry stack facility. Action requested: Modify figure to improve clarity.	The tailings management site would only include facility. The tailings dewatering plant would include tailings backfill - however it is not part of the tai the tailings management site through pipelines
752	Figure 3-1	Future figure development. Consider more diagrams/figures like these to assist with understanding, providing a more detailed focus on any given step. Action requested: Provide additional figures in next information submittal.	Please clarify the request
753	Figure 3-3	Addition. Include stormwater and non-contact water on this diagram or another. Action requested: Edit figure or add new figure.	Figure 3-3 has been revised. See Comment 71 fo
754	Figure 3-3	Recommendation. Spell out DSF for ease of understanding. Action requested: Provide full term.	Figure 3-3 has been revised.
755	Figure 3-3	Future figure development. A more in-depth water movement figure is needed. Action requested: Consult with DNR on what should be included in the next level of figure detail for the process water flow dynamic.	Project descriptions have been provided that TM EIS. Project descriptions are expected to be upda Text has been added to Section 2.0 to outline ad project descriptions including details on water m

## letals Response

to Duluth.

NR Division of Lands and Minerals. The metadata is

Resources (MN DNR) Division of Lands and Minerals. " er contains detailed information regarding disturbed lse Constraints: Credit given to MN DNR Division of

tinued engagement during the EIS development and

Ide the tailings dewatering plant and the dry stack clude the infrastructure to produce the engineered ailings management site as it would be pumped from the underground mine for permanent storage.

for information concerning water definitions.

TMM believes are adequate to scope analyses for the odated during EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope. additional details that may be provided in updated r management and water definitions.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
756		Clarification. Route from Site 2 to Site 3 is not indicated as a route for the project. Note that text states that forest road 1900 only used during construction. Would there not need to be access during operations? Action requested: Respond to the query. Modify figure as appropriate.	National Forest Road 1900 will also be used duri in the glossary: "An existing drill road would be u and 2. Ventilation raise site 3 would be accessed 1900. A portion of National Forest Road 1900 wo and line 418.
757	Figure 3-9	Question. Does the plant site layout extend out into the stream channel? No action requested unless explanation is available. Future discussion item.	Plant site extends approximately 30 feet past the limits.
758	Figure 3-13	Consultation. Further understanding is needed on the undisturbed footprints of the non-contact and contact water ponds (natural?). No action requested. Future discussion item.	See lines 1442-1476 for discussion on the Non-counsure what is specifically being asked but TMM EIS development and will be responsive to inquire
759	Figure 3-13	Clarification. On the east side, how are contact and non-contact waters kept separate? Appears to be a berm/dike. Would these meet the criteria of a dam? Action requested: Clarify and revise accordingly.	Contact and non-contact waters are separated b Comment 727.
760	Figure 3-13	Addition. What are the dark blue thick lines? Action requested: Define and add to legend.	Thick blue lines are ditches. Legend has been mo
761	Figure 3-13	Question. What is the shape of magenta (non-contact diversion area) on the east side (near pond 5)? Action requested: Respond to question.	The non-contact water diversion area is defined impacts that could result from non-contact wate Figure 3-13 are shown as the size pond that wou
762	Figure 3-13	Clarification. Based on the text at Lines 821-823, the tailings dewatering plant seems to be a series of buildings as in Figure 3-13. Consider labeling the figure to coincide with the text or alter definitions. Action requested: Modify the figure to provide the requested clarity.	Figure has been modified to include the footprin for additional description on the tailings dewate
763	Figure 3-13	Clarification. Fig. 3-13 does not identify all components of water management infrastructure such as the contact water ditch (as provided at Lines 880, 1099). It also shows a culvert from the dry stack facility to an area that does not have a contact water pond. On Fig 3-31, this culvert is shown between the label for "E-house Switchyard" and the label for "Emergency Pond." Action requested: Because this text specifically summarizes the content on Figure 3-13 (the correct reference), modify figure to address the item. Action requested: Modify figure to provide clarity.	Figure has been modified to show the extent of positioned to drain stormwater towards the con
764	Figure 3-13	Clarification. In concert with text at Lines 1452 and 1462, the non-contact ditches are not clear on Figure 3-13 (e.g., thickness correct?). Recommend add legend or label as needed. Action requested: Modify figure to provide clarity.	Legend has been modified to account for ditches non-contact water ditches. See lines 1442-1476. divert water around the tailings management sit section in Figure 3-20.
765	Figure 3-14	Clarification. Please elaborate on why stages 1-3 do not appear to incorporate benching construction? All other stockpiles are built in layers bottom to top. Is this not how construction is proposed for the dry stack? Action requested: Respond to question.	The dry stack facility does incorporate benching. lines 941-942. "The exterior side slopes of the dr at 46 ft (14 m) vertical intervals."

### letals Response

uring operations. Ventilation raise access is discussed e upgraded in order to access ventilation raise site 1 ed via the existing USFS road, National Forest Road would also be used to access the upgraded drill road,"

the stream. These are preliminary construction grading

-contact Water Diversion area. Based on comment IM looks forward to continued engagement during the uiries and requests.

by the diversion dikes. See lines 1453-1463. See

modified to add this feature.

ed to encompass all necessary infrastructure and ater management. The non-contact water ponds on ould form from a 100-year, 24-hour storm event.

rint of the tailings dewatering plant. See Lines 881-932 tering plant and associated infrastructure.

of the contact water ditches. Culverts in question are ontact water ditch.

nes. All ditches within the non-contact water area are 76. The non-contact diversion dikes intercept and site. The non-contact water ditch is shown as a cross-

ng. Benching is shown on Figures 3-14 and 3-19. See dry stack facility would have 16 ft (5 m) wide benches

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
766		Clarification. Please explain why the vegetation of the dry stack is not established until stage 2 (approximately year 16 of production)? Action requested: Respond to question.	This figure does not depict reclamation - i.e. the coloring/shading only depicts the different const facility would be concurrently reclaimed through slope and crest of the dry stack facility are const concurrently reclaimed with a cover. "
767	Figure 3-13 Figure 3-14	Recommendation. Consistent with text at 1413-1419, there would be benefit with development of new figures with the various stages (i.e., stages for figure 3-13 or 3-14). This would include location of interim ponds, for example. Action requested: Consider how this may be accomplished and apply if possible in next data submittal.	See Comment 244.
768	Figure 3-19 or Page 26 (933-1000)	Question. What is the proposed compact clean fill to be placed on the dry stack and where is it sourced? The text implies this is to be coarse tails. Peat is mentioned as an additive. Further detail is needed (e.g., ratio of peat and tails planned, determined by known parameters/research). Action requested: Add explanatory text to Notes.	See lines 1424 - 1425. During reclamation at the the reclamation material stockpile and seeded to would be composed of stockpile of material suit for reclamation
769	Figure 3-19	Clarification. At Line 85 it is unclear what is intended by use of the term "structural zone." Action requested: Explain what this represents with the facility and modify text to clarify. Consider how might be depicted (if relevant) on Figure 3-19.	See Comment 159.
770	Figure 3-20	Clarification. Detail 3 in 411 is referred to in diagram and does not seem to be in document. Clarify what would be included on that inset. Action requested: Respond to comment.	Please clarify the request
771	Figure 4-2	Addition. Label inset map with 1854 Treaty for clarity. Action requested: Modify inset.	Figure has been modified.
772	Figure 4-3	Additional informaton. Please supply surface and mineral ownership maps. Also indicate if "control" has been obtained or is pending. Action requested: Coordinate with DNR on supplying this information.	Please clarify the deliverable required for the sco engagement during the EIS development and wi
773	Figure 4-3	Clarification. It appears the pale gray grid represents sections under the Legal Land Survey. Why is the grid discontinuous? Action requested: Respond and modify figure as warranted.	The pale gray grid represents general ownership
774	Figure 4-3	Clarification. The difference between figures 4-3 and 4-4 is unclear? Private vs what type of land? Is Figure 4-3 more appropriately a land use figure than zoning? Action requested: Address and modify figure as warranted.	See lines 1880-1883. "A comprehensive map of l Figure 4-3. Figure 4-4 shows private parcels of la local land or water management plans. Addition which are associated with the South Kawishiwi A state or federal) land where local zoning would b
775	Figure 4-3	Recommendation. The project boundary should be moved out of the shoreland management area wherever possible. Structures and access roads should be kept out of the shoreland management area. Action requested: Revise as necessary. May be a future discussion item.	TMM acknowledges that the RGU may identify a decision document.

## letals Response

he coloring/shading does not depict reclamation. The nstruction stages. See lines 1007-1009. "The dry stack ughout the Project operation phase. As portions of the nstructed, the completed surfaces would be

he dry stack facility "Cover soil would be sourced from d to establish grasslands." The reclamation stockpiles uitable as a growth medium such as topsoil and peat

scoping process. TMM looks forward to continued will be responsive to inquiries and requests.

hip classes that were used to create the zoning legend.

of local zoning and management areas can be found on f land within Lake and St. Louis Counties subject to onally, Figure 4-4 identifies the nearest residences, *v*i Association." Figure 4-4 displays only private (no d be applicable.

alternatives and disclose them in the scoping

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
776	Figure 4-4	Clarification. Consistent with the text at Line 1883, there are residences on the west shore of Birch Lake that are very close (appears to be less than a mile) from the project and within Residential Recreational zoning classification. Action requested: Provide inset into Figure 4-4 that should include the tailings site and private lands across the lake (west shore) from the project.	The purpose of this map is to show all Private La properties and their zoning is outside the Projec maps: zoning for these properties is shown on F residences are shown in Figure 12-2 - Sensitive F
777	Figure 5-10	Query. The unconsolidated material depth seems to present a fair amount of detail for the wells depicted. Is there other data? How was the depth to bedrock determined? Action requested: Provide additional detail to figure and notes, as warranted.	Baseline data and impact assessments have bee scope analyses for the EIS. Once the RGU publisl decision documents, TMM will review the requin the EIS. Additional data will be furnished during
778	Figure 5-8	Recommendation. Separate the transmission corridor from the main mine area in order to enlarge the scale and improve readability of the information on the map. Several other maps, such as 5-9, 6- 19, and 6-20 would benefit from this as well. Action requested: Implement in the next data submittal.	To help with readability Figures 5-8, 5-10, 6-19, the information in the "Project area north" whic underground mine area, water intake corridor, road corridor Additionally, Figures 5-9, 5-11, 6-2 the information in the transmission corridor.
779	Figure 6-3	Question. What are the purple areas? Include in legend. Action requested: Modify figure.	These purple areas (e.g. directly northeast of Bir photo. The other lakes are PWI Basins and appe PWI watercourse represented by a polyline and
780	Figure 6-4	Clarification. Watershed names differ from figures 6-1 and 6-2. Confirm and revise if needed. Action requested: Confirm and modify as needed.	Figure 6-1 shows the USGS Hydrological Unit Co MDNR Watershed name.
781	Figure 6-6	Data Need. Streamflow should be monitoring on Keeley Creek in order to better determine watershed impacts from the tailings basin. Action requested: Ensure addressed in Section 5.3.	See Comments 391 and 397.
782	Figure 6-8	Addition. Provide a definition for corehole. Action requested: Add definition to the notes.	See Comment 16.
783	Figure 6-8	Question. Why is the B4 label in the BMZ? Action requested: Verify and revise if needed.	Deep Bedrock HGU – B4 monitor wells are discu inchstainless steel wells installed by setting a cer coring into the bedrock to the approximate bott [91.4 m to 670.6 m] depending on location) and (61 m) of screen)."
784	Figure 6-13	Clarification. This figure needs more explanation. Action requested: Provide notes to explain what the figure is showing.	Figure is explained in the text. See lines 3409-34
785	Figures 6- 14, 6-15 and 6-16	Future data need. Additional wells should be installed to confirm potentiometric surface within the project boundary. No action requested. Future discussion item.	See Comment 578.
786	Figure 6-19	Scale. This map needs to be zoomed in to show more details. At its current scale, smaller-sized wetlands/types are not clear. This impacts understanding potential project impacts to wetland plant and animal species. Action requested: Consider a higher resolution figure for the next data submittal.	See Comment 778.

#### **Netals Response**

Lands Zoning within the Project area. As these ect area they are not shown. The data is part of two Figure 4-3 - Zoning and Land Use Map and the e Receptors.

een provided that TMM believes are adequate to lishes the SEAW, and the draft and final scoping uired analysis and the data needs necessary to support ng EIS development to satisfy the EIS scope.

9, 6-21, 8-2, 8-4, and 8-6 have been modified to show nich includes the plant site, tailings management site, r, ventilation raise sites and access corridor, and access i-20, 6-22, 8-3, 8-5, and 8-7 have been added to show

Birch Lake reservoir) are waterbodies in the aerial pear as such, however, the South Kawishiwi River is and not a polygon so the aerial photo shows.

Code Watershed name and Figure 6-2 displays the

cussed starting on Line 3200. "B4 Wells – 2-inch or 5cemented surface casing into the bedrock and then ottom of the BMZ (approximately 300 ft to 2,200 ft nd isolating the well in the BMZ (approximately 200 ft

3418.

Comment #	Line # Table # Figure #	Comment	Twin Me
787	Figure 8-5	Clarification. What are the orange shaded areas on the map? Does this mean the polygon represents the habitat appropriate to the NHIS feature? Action requested: Provide response and include in legend as appropriate.	Added footnote. The orange polygon shows the This observation was made in 1940 without the documented.
788	Figure 8-5	Presentation. The locations of the NHIS species occurrences should be presented more clearly if possible. This way they can be more easily compared to the landcover types in the figures above 8-5. Also, species occurrences in the areas surrounding the project site should be included as well. Vertebrate animals are not stationary, and home ranges could very likely include areas both within and outside the proposed project area. Action requested: Modify figure to address the item.	NHIS species locations outside the Project area a mapping has been done in two ways. Outside th shown, but species are not identified by name. N however the location is obscured.
789	Figure 8-7	Addition. Indicate on map area over which survey was conducted. Presume it would depict areas that were surveyed but no rice found (or lower density than 1). Action requested: Modify figure.	Figure has been modified.
790	Figure 10-2	Question. Should the viewshed location in figure 10-1 (across river from dry stack) also be included on this figure? Action requested: Consider the question and modify as appropriate.	The visualization simulation point is shown in Fig side of Birch Lake reservoir. Figure 10-2 shows th analyses are distinct and should be kept separat
791	Figure 12-1	Clarification. River Point Resort is on the northern shore (near "s" in South Kawishiwi River; see also figure 2-2 R13, R14, and R15). Confirm location of "River Point" noise measurement location. Action requested: Verify.	Figure has been corrected.
792	Figure 12-2	Addition. Include all mine features on this map. Action requested: Modify figure.	Figure has been modified.
793	Figure 13-1	Requirement. Public Waters Work Permits will be required for any new or modifications of existing public waters crossings along the new Tomahawk Road. Include on figure and ensure discussed in text. Action requested: Modify figure.	The need for a Public Waters Work Permit for ex Tomahawk Road is unknown at this point and TM the EIS development and will be responsive to ir
794	Figure 13-1	Confirmation. Does this figure represents roads for all time periods, including construction, operations, and closure. Action requested: Provide confirmation.	The figure shows roads for all time periods.
795	New	A map showing prevailing wind speeds and directions, and peak wind speeds and direction, would be informative for reviewers. Action requested: Add a new figure.	Figure 11-1 has been added. Additional text has been added to Section 11.1 t 11-1. The wind rose shows prevailing wind direc (Station #94931), are generally from a northwes associated with northwesterly wind directions a (01-01-2012 through 12-31-2016) was 7.5 miles

## **Aetals Response**

he approximate location of the Eastern Heather Vole. The aid of GPS and represents the likely area that it was

a are included. Due to data license restrictions, the Project area locations of the sensitive species are e. Within the Project area species are identified

Figure 10-1 represented by the camera on the west s the different viewshed analysis locations. These rate.

existing public waters crossings along the new TMM looks forward to continued engagement during pinquiries and requests.

1 that reads: "A wind rose has been included in Figure rections, based on data from Hibbing, Minnesota resterly direction. Maximum wind speeds are s and the average wind speed for the period of record es per hour (3.37 meters per second)."