

Appendix G

Human Health Risk Assessment Information

CONTENTS

TABLES:

G.1 – Locations and Exposure Scenarios Evaluated in the HHRA

G.2 – Exposure Assumptions Summary

G.3 – Summary of Results – Existing Permitted Facility

G.4 – Summary of Results – Proposed Project Alone

G.5 – Summary of Results – Post Project Total Facility

See also Figure 4.7.8.1 for a map of Receptor Locations.

**TABLE Appendix G.1 - LOCATIONS AND EXPOSURE SCENARIOS EVALUATED IN THE HHRA
Exposure Scenario Analyzed at Each Location**

| Basic Exposure Scenario | Resident | Resident | Farmer | Why was receptor located here? | Notes |
|---|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| Fish Consumption scenario assessed | Recreational | High | Recreational | | |
| Location (Receptor) # | | | | | |
| 3 | X | | | Potential Future Land Use | |
| 4 | X | | | Potential Future Land Use | |
| 6 | X | | | Current Nursing Home Location (Hibbing) | |
| 7 | X | | | Potential Future Land Use | |
| 10 | X | | | Current Day Care Location (Hibbing) | |
| 11 | X | | | Potential Future Land Use | |
| 12 | X | | | Current School Location (Keewatin) | |
| 13 | X | | | Potential Future Land Use | |
| 14 | X | | | Potential Future Land Use | |
| 15 | X | | | Potential Future Land Use | |
| 18 | X | | | Potential Future Land Use | |
| 1 | | X | | Potential Future Land Use | Assumed to Fish at Horsehead Lake |
| 8 | | X | | Potential Future Land Use | Assumed to Fish at Kelly Lake |
| 17 | | X | | Current School Location (Nashwauk), Assumed to Fish at O'Brien Lake | |
| 21 | | X | | Potential Future Land Use | Assumed to Fish at Swan Lake |
| 22 | | X | | Current Residential Location | Assumed to Fish at Coons Lake |
| 2 | | | X | Potential Future Land Use | |
| 5 | | | X | Potential Future Land Use | |
| 9 | | | X | Potential Future Land Use | |
| 16 | | | X | Potential Future Land Use | |
| 19 | | | X | Potential Future Land Use | |
| 20 | | | X | Potential Future Land Use | |

No 'Farmer' 'High' fish consumption pathway is analyzed because the combination of consumption assumptions in that case would result in an excessive calorie diet

TABLE Appendix G.2 – EXPOSURE ASSUMPTIONS SUMMARY^{1, 2,3}

| Residential Parameter (Adult) | Adult MEI (Maximum Exposed Individual) | Adult MCTE (Maximum Central Tendency) |
|--|---|--|
| Body Weight | 71.8 pounds | 71.8 pounds |
| Exposure Duration (years at the location) | 70 years | 12 years |
| Exposure Frequency (days per year) | 365 days per year | 226 days per year |
| Exposure Time (hours per day) | 24 hours per day | 1.5 hours per day (outside) |
| Inhalation Rate | 0.83 cubic meters per hour (m3/hr) | 0.63 m3/hr |
| Eggs - % exposed | 100 percent (%) | 100% |
| Vegetables - % exposed | 100% | 25% |
| Chicken - % exposed | 100% | 100% |
| Soil - % exposed | 100% | 100% |
| Farmer Parameter (Adult) | Adult MEI | Adult MCTE |
| Body Weight | 71.8 pounds | 71.8 pounds |
| Exposure Duration (years at the location) | 70 years | 12 years |
| Exposure Frequency (days per year) | 365 days per year | 226 days per year |
| Exposure Time (hours per day) | 24 hours per day | 1.5 hours per day (outside) |
| Inhalation Rate | 0.83 m3/hr | 0.63 m3/hr |
| Eggs - % exposed | 100% | 25% |
| Vegetables - % exposed | 100% | 25% |
| Chicken - % exposed | 100% | 25% |
| Soil - % exposed | 100% | 100% |
| Beef - % exposed | 100% | 25% |
| Pork - % exposed | 100% | 25% |
| Fish - % exposed | 100% | 25% |
| Fish Consumption – - Recreational Level | 0.4 pounds/week | 0.4 pounds/week |
| - High Level | 3 pounds/week | 3 pounds/week |
| Milk - % exposed | 100% | 25% |

¹% exposed means the % exposed to emissions from the assessed scenario (current facility, proposed project, etc.). If a food item is not listed the exposure is -0-. % exposed is equal to the % of the listed food item assumed to be home grown.

²See report for a full list of detailed exposure assumptions. Some exposures included in the analysis are not included above.

³See Chapter 4.7.8 for information on MCTE and MEI.

The following tables present quantitative results of the various HHSRA analyses. Data has been rounded to 1 significant digit in most cases. Results for values at or above guidelines are listed to 2 significant digits. See Sections 4.7.8 and 5.14.1 for discussion of these data.

Results in the following tables are presented by location/receptor #. See Figure 4.7.8.1 for a map of these locations. See also Tables G.1 and G.2 for information on the type of receptor and exposure scenarios analyzed.

Table Appendix G.3 - SUMMARY OF RESULTS – EXISTING PERMITTED FACILITY

| Location (Receptor) # | MEI Exposure Cancer Risk | MCTE Exposure Cancer Risk | Risk Driver Information at Maximums – Cancer | MEI Exposure Non-Cancer Hazard Index | MCTE Exposure Non-Cancer Hazard Index | Lake (for high level fish consumption) |
|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| FISHER - Residential exposure with/high level fish consumption | | | | | | |
| 8 | 1.8 E-5 | 0.03 E-5 | Dioxins/Furans; PAHs; Arsenic Fish Pathway | 0.1 | 0.04 | Kelly |
| 21 | 0.8 E-5 | 0.009 E-5 | | 0.05 | 0.004 | Swan |
| 1 | 0.5 E-5 | 0.009 E-5 | | 0.1 | 0.01 | Horsehead |
| 17 | 0.3 E-5 | 0.004 E-5 | | 0.08 | 0.004 | O-Brien |
| 22 | 0.01 E-5 | 0.003 E-5 | | 0.02 | 0.005 | Coons |
| FARMER – Farmer exposure with/recreational level fish consumption | | | | | | |
| 9 | 2.5 E-5 Overall Maximum MEI | 0.07 E-5 Overall Maximum MCTE | Dioxins/Furans; Arsenic; Milk pathway | 0.2 | 0.06 | |
| 16 | 1.5 E-5 | 0.05 E-5 | | 0.2 | 0.05 | |
| 2 | 0.95 E-5 | 0.03 E-5 | | 0.1 | 0.03 | |
| 19 | 0.5 E-5 | 0.02 E-5 | | 0.07 | 0.02 | |
| 5 | 0.4 E-5 | 0.01 E-5 | | 0.06 | 0.01 | |
| 20 | 0.2 E-5 | 0.005 E-5 | | 0.03 | 0.003 | |

Table Appendix G.3 - SUMMARY OF RESULTS – EXISTING PERMITTED FACILITY (continued)

| Location (Receptor) # | MEI Exposure Cancer Risk | MCTE Exposure Cancer Risk | Risk Driver Information at Maximums – Cancer | MEI Exposure Non-Cancer Hazard Index | MCTE Exposure Non-Cancer Hazard Index | Lake (for high level fish consumption) |
|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| RESIDENT – Residential exposure with/recreational level fish consumption | | | | | | |
| 13 | 1.4 E-5 | 0.06 E-5 | Arsenic; Dioxins/Furans Produce pathway | 0.2 Overall Maximum MEI | 0.1 | |
| 14 | 1.0 E-5 | 0.04 E-5 | | 0.2 | 0.2 Overall Maximum MCTE | |
| 15 | 0.9 E-5 | 0.04 E-5 | | 0.2 | 0.09 | |
| 4 | 0.5 E-5 | 0.01 E-5 | | 0.1 | 0.08 | |
| 7 | 0.4 E-5 | 0.01 E-5 | | 0.1 | 0.06 | |
| 12 | 0.4 E-5 | 0.01 E-5 | | 0.08 | 0.04 | |
| 3 | 0.4 E-5 | 0.01 E-5 | | 0.07 | 0.03 | |
| 10 | 0.4 E-5 | 0.007 E-5 | | 0.04 | 0.01 | |
| 18 | 0.3 E-5 | 0.009 E-5 | | 0.05 | 0.02 | |
| 6 | 0.3 E-5 | 0.006 E-5 | | 0.04 | 0.009 | |
| 11 | 0.2 E-5 | 0.004 E-5 | | 0.03 | 0.009 | |
| <i>Guide-line*</i> | <i>1 E-5</i> | <i>1 E-5</i> | <i>NA</i> | <i>1</i> | <i>1</i> | <i>NA</i> |

*Guidelines:
1 E-5 = 1 in 100,000 – for carcinogens
Hazard Index (HI) of 1.0 for non-carcinogens

Data Source: Appendix B: February 2009
HHSRA
See Chapter 4.7.8 for information on MCTE and
MEI Exposure Scenarios MEI=Maximally
Exposed Individual; MCTE=Maximum
Central Tendency Exposure

Note - Results listed represent the worst case for the exposure scenario assessed at that receptor; the lake used for high level fishers is noted.

Table Appendix G.4 - SUMMARY OF RESULTS – PROPOSED PROJECT ALONE

| Location (Receptor) # | MEI Exposure Cancer Risk | MCTE Exposure Cancer Risk | Risk Driver Information at Maximums – Cancer | MEI Exposure Non-Cancer Hazard Index | MCTE Exposure Non-Cancer Hazard Index | Lake Assessed for High level fish consumption |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| FISHER – Residential Exposure with/high level fish consumption | | | | | | |
| 8 | 0.3 E-5 | 0.003 E-5 | | 0.5 MMREM | 0.02 | Kelly |
| 21 | 0.1 E-5 | 0.002 E-5 | | 0.2 MMREM | 0.002 | Swan |
| 1 | 0.09 E-5 | 0.0009 E-5 | | 0.1 MMREM | 0.0009 | Horsehead |
| 17 | 0.06 E-5 | 0.0006 E-5 | | 0.08 MMREM | 0.002 | O-Brien |
| 22 | 0.02 E-5 | 0.0002 E-5 | | 0.08 MMREM | 0.002 | Coons |
| FARMER – Farmer exposure with/recreational level fish consumption | | | | | | |
| 9 | 0.4 E-5 Overall Maximum MEI | 0.01 E-5 Overall Maximum MCTE | Dioxins/Furans; Arsenic; Milk pathway | 0.09 | 0.03 | |
| 2 | 0.2 E-5 | 0.004 E-5 | | 0.05 | 0.01 | |
| 5 | 0.08 E-5 | 0.002 E-5 | | 0.03 | 0.005 | |
| 16 | 0.2 E-5 | 0.007 E-5 | | 0.07 | 0.02 | |
| 19 | 0.08 E-5 | 0.002 E-5 | | 0.04 | 0.007 | |
| 20 | 0.03 E-5 | 0.0007 E-5 | | 0.02 | 0.002 | |

Table Appendix G.4 - SUMMARY OF RESULTS – PROPOSED PROJECT ALONE (continued)

| Location (Receptor) # | MEI Cancer Risk | MCTE Cancer Risk | Risk Driver Information at Maximums – Cancer | MEI Non-Cancer Hazard Index | MCTE Non-Cancer Hazard Index | Lake Assessed for High level fish consumption |
|---|-----------------|------------------|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| RESIDENT – Residential exposure with/recreational level fish consumption | | | | | | |
| 14 | 0.2 E-5 | 0.005 E-5 | | 0.1 | 0.02 | |
| 4 | 0.1 E-5 | 0.002 E-5 | | 0.07 | 0.04 Overall Maximum MCTE | |
| 13 | 0.09 E-5 | 0.002 E-5 | | 0.07 | 0.03 | |
| 7 | 0.08 E-5 | 0.002 E-5 | | 0.06 | 0.03 | |
| 15 | 0.07 E-5 | 0.002 E-5 | | 0.05 | 0.02 | |
| 10 | 0.06 E-5 | 0.001 E-5 | | 0.03 | 0.006 | |
| 12 | 0.06 E-5 | 0.001 E-5 | | 0.04 | 0.02 | |
| 3 | 0.05 E-5 | 0.001 E-5 | | 0.03 | 0.01 | |
| 6 | 0.05 E-5 | 0.0009 E-5 | | 0.02 | 0.004 | |
| 18 | 0.04 E-5 | 0.0008 E-5 | | 0.03 | 0.009 | |
| 11 | 0.03 E-5 | 0.0005 E-5 | | 0.02 | 0.004 | |
| <i>Guideline*</i> | <i>1 E-5</i> | <i>1 E-5</i> | <i>NA</i> | <i>1</i> | <i>1</i> | <i>NA</i> |

*Guidelines:
1 E-5 = 1 in 100,000 – for carcinogens
Hazard Index (HI) of 1.0 for non-carcinogens

Data Source: Appendix B: February 2009
HHSRA
See Chapter 4.7.8 for information on MCTE and MEI Exposure Scenarios MEI=Maximally Exposed Individual; MCTE=Maximum Central Tendency Exposure

Note - Results listed represent the worst case for the exposure scenario assessed at that receptor; the lake used for high level fishers is noted.

Table Appendix G.5 - SUMMARY OF RESULTS – POST PROJECT TOTAL FACILITY

| Location (Receptor) # | MEI Cancer Risk | MCTE Cancer Risk | Risk Driver Information at Maximums – Cancer | MEINon-Cancer Hazard Index | MCTENon-Cancer Hazard Index | Lake Assessed for High Level fish consumption |
|--|---|---|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| FISHER – Residential exposure with/high level fish consumption | | | | | | |
| 8 | 2.1 E-5 | 0.03 E-5 | Dioxins/Furans; PAHs; Arsenic Fish Pathway | 0.5 MMREM | 0.05 | Kelly |
| 21 | 0.9 E-5 | 0.01 E-5 | | 0.2 MMREM | 0.006 | Swan |
| 1 | 0.6 E-5 | 0.01 E-5 | | 0.1 MMREM | 0.02 | Horsehead |
| 17 | 0.4 E-5 | 0.005 E-5 | | 0.08 MMREM | 0.007 | O’Brien |
| 22 | 0.02 E-5 | 0.003 E-5 | | 0.08 MMREM | 0.007 | Coons |
| FARMER - Farmer exposure with/recreational level fish consumption | | | | | | |
| 9 | 3.0 E-5 Overall Maximum for maximum exposure | 0.09 E-5 Overall Maximum for typical exposure | Dioxins/Furans; Arsenic; Milk pathway | 0.3 | 0.09 | |
| 16 | 1.8 E-5 | 0.06 E-5 | | 0.2 | 0.07 | |
| 2 | 1.1 E-5 | 0.04 E-5 | | 0.2 | 0.04 | |
| 19 | 0.6 E-5 | 0.02 E-5 | | 0.1 | 0.02 | |
| 5 | 0.5 E-5 | 0.02 E-5 | | 0.09 | 0.02 | |
| 20 | 0.2 E-5 | 0.005 E-5 | | 0.04 | 0.004 | |

Table Appendix G.5 - SUMMARY OF RESULTS – POST PROJECT TOTAL FACILITY (continued)

| Location (Receptor) # | MEI Cancer Risk | MCTE Cancer Risk | Risk Driver Information at Maximums – Cancer | MEI Non-Cancer Hazard Index | MCTE Non-Cancer Hazard Index | Notes |
|---|-----------------|------------------|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| RESIDENT - Residential exposure with/recreational level fish consumption | | | | | | |
| 13 | 1.5 E-5 | 0.06 E-5 | Arsenic; Dioxins/Furans Inhalation pathway | 0.3 | 0.2 | |
| 14 | 1.2 E-5 | 0.04 E-5 | | 0.3 Overall Maximum MEI | 0.2 Overall Maximum MCTE | |
| 15 | 0.99 E-5 | 0.04 E-5 | | 0.2 | 0.1 | |
| 4 | 0.6 E-5 | 0.02 E-5 | | 0.2 | 0.1 | |
| 7 | 0.5 E-5 | 0.01 E-5 | | 0.2 | 0.09 | |
| 12 | 0.5 E-5 | 0.01 E-5 | | 0.1 | 0.06 | |
| 3 | 0.4 E-5 | 0.01 E-5 | | 0.1 | 0.05 | |
| 10 | 0.4 E-5 | 0.008 E-5 | | 0.07 | 0.02 | |
| 6 | 0.4 E-5 | 0.007 E-5 | | 0.06 | 0.01 | |
| 18 | 0.3 E-5 | 0.009 E-5 | | 0.08 | 0.03 | |
| 11 | 0.2 E-5 | 0.005 E-5 | | 0.05 | 0.01 | |
| <i>Guide-line*</i> | <i>1 E-5</i> | <i>1 E-5</i> | <i>NA</i> | <i>1</i> | <i>1</i> | <i>NA</i> |

*Guidelines:
 1 E-5 = 1 in 100,000 – for carcinogens
 Hazard Index (HI) of 1.0 for non-carcinogens

Data Source: Appendix B: February 2009 HHSRA
 See Chapter 4.7.8 for information on MCTE and MEI Exposure Scenarios
 MEI=Maximally Exposed Individual;
 MCTE=Maximum Central Tendency Exposure

Note - Results listed represent the worst case for the exposure scenario assessed at that receptor; the lake used for high level fishers is noted.