TOMORROW'S HABITAT
### FOR & THE
WILD & RARE

AN ACTION PLAN FOR MINNESOTA WILDLIFE

HARDWOOD HILLS
SUBSECTION PROFILE

MINNESOTA'S COMPREHENSIVE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION STRATEGY

SUBSECTION OVERVIEW

The Hardwood Hills Subsection runs through the heart of the Mississippi River flyway and central Minnesota. The Continental Divide splits this subsection; rivers to the north flow to Hudson Bay, and rivers to the south, to the Mississippi. The subsection contains numerous lakes, more than 400 greater than 160 acres and many smaller lakes. Wetlands, prairie potholes, and kettle lakes exist throughout the area. Before settlement by people of European descent, vegetation included maple-basswood forests interspersed with oak savanna, tallgrass prairie, and oak forest.

Currently much of this subsection is farmed. While many wetlands have been drained, many potholes remain and provide habitat for waterfowl and shorebirds. Important areas of forest and prairie exist throughout the subsection, but they are small and fragmented. About 15 percent of the subsection is forested. Other significant land uses are tourism and outdoor recreation, especially around lakes. Increased lakeshore development and wetland loss are conservation concerns in this subsection.

SPECIES IN GREATEST CONSERVATION NEED

85 Species in Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN) are known or predicted to occur within the Hardwood Hills. These SGCN include 28 species that are federal or state endangered, threatened, or of special concern. The table, SGCN by Taxonomic Group, displays by taxonomic group the number of SGCN that occur in the subsection, as well as the percentage of the total SGCN set represented by each taxon. For example, 6 mammal SGCN are known or predicted to occur in the Hardwood Hills, approximately 27% of all mammal SGCN in the state.

SGCN BY TAXONOMIC GROUP

Taxa	# of SGCN	Percentage of SGCN Set by Taxon	Examples of SGCN
Amphibians	1	16.7	Common mudpuppy
Birds	61	62.9	Veery
Fish	4	8.5	Least darter
Insects	5	8.9	Caddisfly (*O. ecornuta*)
Mammals	6	27.3	Least Weasel
Mollusks	4	10.3	Fluted-shell
Reptiles	3	17.6	Smooth green snake
Spiders	1	12.5	*None documented since 1990*

Quick facts

Acres: 3,496,869 (6.5% of state)

Ownership

Public	Private	Tribal
4.1%	95.3%	0.6%

Population density (people/sq. mi.)

Current	Change (2000-2010)
36.6	+3.6

Current Land Use/Land Cover

- Developed 0%
- Water 9%
- Forest 15%
- Wetland/Open 11%
- Pasture 21%
- Row crop 44%

HIGHLIGHTS

- This subsection is a fascinating, wetland-rich transition zone between prairies and forest, intermingled with hundreds of lakes.

- There is a mix of wildlife, including trumpeter swans, prairie chickens, sandhill cranes, western grebes, great egrets, great blue herons, Forster's terns, bald eagles, creek heelsplitters, and least darters.

- This is also a major migratory corridor for forest birds and waterfowl.

- Areas important for SGCN include Tamarac and Hamden Slough NWRs; numerous state WMAs and federal WPAs; and Lake Carlos, Glendalough, and Maplewood State Parks.

SPECIES SPOTLIGHT

Red-shouldered hawk *(Buteo lineatus)*

|	
Distribution	Widely distributed in hardwood forests from SE MN northward along the St. Croix valley and northwest to Becker County.
Abundance	First recorded in MN in 1935, this species is uncommon throughout its range, but may have higher numbers than originally estimated in 1988 (about 200 pairs) due to the difficulties associated with surveying this species.
Legal Status	State list-Special Concern
Comments	In the Twin Cities Metro area this species may nest in urban backyards and overwinter by eating suet at bird feeders.

Photo by Kathy Adams Clark

SGCN ELEMENT OCCURRENCES BY TOWNSHIP

This map depicts the number of validated records of species in greatest conservation need since 1990 per township and public land/conservancy land. It suggests relationships between known SGCN occurrences and conservation management lands. It also displays areas that have not been surveyed for rare animals by MCBS.

Sources: MN DNR Natural Heritage database, MN DNR County Biological Survey (MCBS), MN DNR Statewide Mussel Survey, MN DNR Fisheries Fish database. Areas with no MCBS animal surveys may have had mussel and fish surveys, as well as reports of other species occurrences recorded in the MN DNR Natural Heritage database.

SPECIES PROBLEM ANALYSIS

The species problem analysis provides information on the factors influencing the vulnerability or decline of SGCN that are known or predicted to occur in the subsection. The table lists the nine problems, or factors, used in the analysis, and the percentage of SGCN in the subsection for which each factor influences species vulnerability or decline. The results of the species problem analysis indicate that habitat loss and degradation in the subsection are the most significant challenges facing SGCN populations.

NOTE: The inverse of the percentages for each problem does not necessarily represent the percentage of SGCN for which the factor is not a problem, but instead may indicate that there is not sufficient information available to determine the level of influence the factor has on SGCN in the subsection.

Problem	Percentage of SGCN in the Subsection for Which This Is a Problem
Habitat Loss in MN	86
Habitat Degradation in MN	89
Habitat Loss/Degradation Outside of MN	39
Invasive Species and Competition	26
Pollution	31
Social Tolerance/Persecution/Exploitation	21
Disease	5
Food Source Limitations	4
Other	9

KEY HABITATS - For Species in Greatest Conservation Need

The CWCS identified key habitats for SGCN within the subsection using a combination of five analyses, labeled A-E below. The table depicts the five analyses, and under which analyses the key habitats qualified. To qualify as a key habitat for the subsection, the habitat had to meet the criteria used in at least one of the five analyses, as specified in the descriptions to the right of the table. The graphs below depict results from four (A-D) of the five analyses used in determining key habitats. Those habitats that meet the criteria are highlighted in **RED** in the graph for that analysis. Those habitats that do not meet the criteria are shaded in **GOLD**. Analysis E is not represented by a graph; the results of this analysis are presented as a list of key rivers/streams in Appendix I. For a more detailed explanation of the five analyses used, see Chapter 7, Methods and Analyses.

	ANALYSIS				
KEY HABITATS	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Forest-Upland Deciduous (Aspen-oak)			X		
Forest-Upland Deciduous (Hardwood)			X		
Shrub/Woodland-Upland (Oak savanna, Brush prairie)			X		
Prairie	X		X		
Wetland-Nonforest	X	X	*		
Grassland	X				
Lake-Shallow				X	
River-Headwater to Large					X

*The 1984 Anderson & Craig study indicates wetlands have declined by greater than 50% in this subsection, although the 1890s and 1990s landcover analysis indicates otherwise.

Description of Analyses

A: Terrestrial habitat use analysis - terrestrial habitats that represent more than 5% of 1890s or 1990s landcover and are modeled to have the most SGCN using them based on a z-test with $p<0.01$.

B: Specialist terrestrial habitat use analysis - terrestrial habitats that represent more than 5% of 1890s or 1990s landcover and have more than 15 species, 20% of which use 2 or fewer habitats (specialist species).

C: Terrestrial habitat change analysis - terrestrial habitats that represent more than 5% of the 1890s landcover and have declined by more than 50% in the 1990s landcover. For wetlands this change was based on an analysis done by Anderson & Craig in *Growing Energy Crops on Minnesota's Wetlands: The Land Use Perspective* (1984).

D: Aquatic habitat use analysis - lake or stream habitats that have the most SGCN use based on a z-test with $p<0.01$ of all subsections.

E: The Nature Conservancy/SGCN occurrence analysis - stream reaches identified in the Areas of Aquatic Biodiversity Significance in the four TNC Ecoregional Assessments and reaches with high SGCN occurrences (see Appendix I for list of stream reaches).

A/B – Terrestrial Habitat Use/Specialist Terrestrial Habitat Use

	Species #	Specialist %
Wetland- Non-forest	39	41
Prairie	27	11
Grassland	27	7
Shrub- Lowland	22	0
Shrub/Woodland- Upland (Oak savanna, Brush prairie)	20	5
Forest- Upland Deciduous (Hardwood)	17	12
Forest- Upland Deciduous (Aspen-oak)	15	0
Forest- Lowland Coniferous	13	0
Cropland	11	0

C – Terrestrial Habitat Change

|	1890s %	1990s %
Forest- Upland Deciduous (Aspen-oak)	23.0	4.0
Forest- Upland Deciduous (Hardwood)	26.1	10.0
Shrub/Woodland- Upland (Oak savanna, Brush prairie)	22.2	2.0
Prairie	6.5	0.0
Lowland Coniferous Forest/Shrubland	5.5	3.1
Wetland- Non-forest	4.9	5.8
Grassland		20.9
Cropland		44.2
Water	10.1	9.1

D – Aquatic Habitat Use

E – The Nature Conservancy/SGCN Occurrence

To reference the key rivers and streams for the subsection, see Appendix I.

DISTRIBUTION OF KEY HABITATS AND SPECIES RICHNESS BY TOWNSHIP

This map depicts key habitats and the number of species of SGCN per township based on the sources listed below. It suggests there is often a relationship between key habitats and species richness (i.e., the variety of species of SGCN in a township).

Sources:
Grassland Bird Conservation Areas (GBCA), 2002
Major River Centerline Traces in Minnesota, 1984
MCBS Native Plant Communities (NPC), 2005
MN DNR 24K Rivers and Streams, 2005
MN DNR County Biological Survey (MCBS), 2005
MN DNR Fish database, 2005
MN DNR Natural Heritage database, 2005
MN DNR Statewide Mussel Survey, 2005
MN GAP Landcover, 1993
Shallow Lakes in Minnesota, 2005
The Nature Conservancy Rivers and Streams combined dataset, 2005

For more information on how this map was constructed, please see the Subsection Profile Overview in Chapter 5.

SUBSECTION HABITAT PERCENTAGES AND HABITAT USE BY SGCN TAXA

This table presents information on the percentages for each habitat in the subsection (showing changes in coverage between the mid- to late 1800s and the 1990s), as well as habitat use by SGCN taxonomic group. Habitats are listed in ranked order for percent coverage within the subsection in the 1990s. Key habitats for the subsection (as identified on previous page) are listed in **BOLD**. SGCN habitat use is broken down by taxonomic group, with a total number of species for all taxonomic groups listed at the far right of the table.

HABITAT	Percentage of Subsection (1890s)	Percentage of Subsection (1990s)	Amphibians	Birds	Fish	Insects	Mammals	Mollusks	Reptiles	Spiders	Total Number of Species
Cropland	N/A	44.1		7			4				11
Grassland	N/A	20.9		18		1	6		2		27
Forest-Upland Deciduous (Hardwood)	26.1	10.0		14			3				17
Lake-Deep	N/A	6.9	1	2	3				1		7
Wetland-Nonforest	4.9	5.8		34			2		2	1	39
Forest-Upland Deciduous (Aspen-oak)	23.0	4.0		14			1				15
Forest-Lowland Coniferous	5.5	3.1		12						1	13
Lake-Shallow	N/A	2.2		14					2		16
Shrub/Woodland-Upland (Oak savanna, Brush prairie)	22.2	2.0		14			5		1		20
Developed	N/A	0.4		4			2				6
Forest-Lowland Deciduous	0.1	0.4		15			1				16
Forest-Upland Coniferous	1.6	0.2		13			3		1		17
Prairie	6.5	0.0		16		2	6		2	1	27
Shoreline-dunes-cliff/talus	N/A	N/A		10		1	1				12
Shrub-Lowland	N/A	N/A		18			3		1		22
River-Headwater to Large	N/A	N/A	1	2	3	2		4	2		14
River-Very Large	N/A	N/A	1		1			3	2		7

N/A: Insufficient data available to determine percent coverage within subsection. We have no data to indicate the existence of cropland, grassland, or developed land prior to settlement by people of European descent, although these land uses likely did occur at very low levels.
NOTE: 0.0 indicates less than 0.05 percent coverage.

Ten-Year Goals, Management Challenges, Strategies, and Priority Conservation Actions

Goal I: Stabilize and increase SGCN populations

Management Challenge 1 – There has been significant loss and degradation of SGCN habitat
Strategy I A – Identify key SGCN habitats and focus management efforts on them

Priority Conservation Actions to Maintain, Enhance, and Protect the Key Habitats

1. **Upland deciduous aspen-oak forest habitats**, actions include:
   a. Incorporate SGCN habitat concerns in forest management planning
   b. Provide technical assistance and protection opportunities to interested individuals and organizations
2. **Upland deciduous hardwood forest habitats**, actions include:
   a. Incorporate SGCN habitat concerns in forest management planning
   b. Provide technical assistance and protection opportunities to interested individuals and organizations
3. **Oak savanna-brush prairie habitats**, actions include:
   a. Manage invasive species
   b. Use prescribed fire and other practices to maintain savanna
   c. Encourage oak savanna-brush prairie restoration efforts
   d. Provide technical assistance and protection opportunities to interested individuals and organizations
4. **Native prairie habitats**, actions include:
   a. Manage invasive species
   b. Use prescribed fire and other practices to maintain prairie
   c. Manage grasslands adjacent to native prairie to enhance SGCN habitat
   d. Encourage prairie restoration efforts
   e. Provide technical assistance and protection opportunities to interested individuals and organizations
5. **Nonforested wetlands**, actions include:
   a. Enforce the Wetlands Conservation Act
   b. Manage habitats adjacent to wetlands to enhance SGCN values
   c. Provide technical assistance and protection opportunities to interested individuals and organizations
6. **High-quality grassland habitats**, actions include:
   a. Maintain high-quality grasslands
   b. Support the maintenance of pasture and grassland habitats valuable to SGCN
   c. Encourage when appropriate transformation of plowed fields into pasture/grasslands
   d. Provide technical assistance and protection opportunities to interested individuals and organizations
7. **Shallow lake habitats**, actions include:
   a. Maintain good water quality in shallow lakes
   b. Enhance near-shore terrestrial and aquatic habitats
   c. Provide technical assistance and protection opportunities to interested individuals and organizations
8. **Stream habitats**, actions include:
   a. Maintain good water quality, hydrology, geomorphology, and connectivity in priority stream reaches
   b. Maintain and enhance riparian areas along priority stream reaches
   c. Provide technical assistance and protection opportunities to interested individuals and organizations

Management Challenge 2 – Some SGCN populations require specific management actions
Strategy I B – Manage federal and state listed species effectively

Priority Conservation Actions for Specific SGCN

1. Implement existing federal recovery plans
2. Develop and implement additional recovery plans
3. Provide technical assistance to managers, officials, and interested individuals related to listed species
4. Enforce federal and state endangered species laws, as well as other wildlife laws and regulations

Strategy I C – Manage emerging issues affecting specific SGCN populations

Priority Conservation Actions for Specific SGCN

1. Work with partners to effectively address emerging issues affecting SGCN populations
2. Enforce federal and state wildlife laws and regulations

Goal II: Improve knowledge about SGCN

Management Challenge 1 – More information about SGCN and SGCN management is needed
Strategy II A – Survey SGCN populations and habitats
Priority Conservation Actions for Surveys
1. Survey SGCN populations within the subsection, actions include:
    a. Continue MCBS rare animal surveys
    b. Survey SGCN populations related to key habitats
    c. Survey wildlife taxa underrepresented by MCBS animal surveys
2. Survey SGCN habitats within the subsection, actions include:
    a. Assess the amount and quality of key habitats and map their locations

Strategy II B – Research populations, habitats, and human attitudes/activities
Priority Conservation Actions for Research
1. Research important aspects of species populations within the subsection, actions include:
    a. Better understand the life history and habitat requirements of important SGCN
2. Research important aspects of SGCN habitats within the subsection, actions include:
    a. Identify best management practices for maintaining and enhancing key habitats
    b. Identify important patterns and distributions of key habitats to better support SGCN populations
    c. Identify important functional components within key habitats to support specific SGCN
    d. Explore important, emerging SGCN habitat management issues
3. Research important aspects of people's understanding of SGCN within the subsection, actions include:
    a. Identify people's attitudes and values regarding SGCN
    b. Identify places and ways people can enjoy and appreciate SGCN

Strategy II C – Monitor long-term changes in SGCN populations and habitats
Priority Conservation Actions for Monitoring
1. Monitor long-term trends in SGCN populations, actions include:
    a. Continue existing population monitoring activities
    b. Develop additional monitoring activities for specific SGCN populations
2. Monitor long-term trends in SGCN habitats, actions include:
    a. Develop long-term monitoring activities for important SGCN habitats

Strategy II D – Create performance measures and maintain information systems
Priority Conservation Actions for Performance Measures and Information Systems
1. Create and use performance measures, actions include:
    a. Develop partner-specific performance measures within the subsection
    b. Develop project-specific performance measures for SWG-funded projects
    c. Actively incorporate monitoring and performance measure information to enhance adaptive management
2. Maintain and update information management systems

Goal III: Enhance people's appreciation and enjoyment of SGCN

Management Challenge 1 – Need for greater appreciation of SGCN by people
Strategy III A – Develop outreach and recreation actions
Priority Conservation Actions for Outreach and Recreation
1. Create new information and communicate with people to enhance their appreciation of SGCN
2. Create opportunities for people to appropriately enjoy SGCN-based recreation

How to use this subsection profile

Intended audience: Natural resource professionals and interested stakeholders

❋ Identify how the priority conservation actions and key habitats intersect and inform your current and future priorities.

❋ Using your additional insights and local knowledge, "step-down" the priority conservation actions into more detailed actions and practical on-ground tasks.

❋ Use it to understand species in greatest conservation need priorities and tell a story about the subsection (its history, biology, ecology, demography) to other natural resource professionals, managers, decision makers and land owners.

❋ Visit our website, or give us a call, and tell us how you're using it, how others are using it, and ideas that "step-down" the priority conservation actions.

Website:

www.dnr.state.mn.us/cwcs

For more information, please contact:

Emmett Mullin, Project Manager, MN DNR, phone: 651-259-5566, email: emmett.mullin@dnr.state.mn.us

Daren Carlson, Ecologist/GIS Analyst, MN DNR, phone: 651-259-5079, email: daren.carlson@dnr.state.mn.us

Brian Stenquist, Strategic Planner, MN DNR, phone: 651-259-5144, email: brian.stenquist@dnr.state.mn.us

How to cite this document:

Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, 2006. *Tomorrow's Habitat for the Wild and Rare: An Action Plan for Minnesota Wildlife*, Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy. Division of Ecological Services, Minnesota Department of Natural Resources.