CPL Conservation Applications (Total to date: 129) CPL1000067

Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Application

(------

Name and Contact

Course data and	Name and Co	ntact			
Spreadsheet	Project Identifie	er: CPL1000067	Street Address 1: 1380 W. Frontage Road		
	Project Name:	Restoring remnant prairies for local ecotype seed production	Street Address 2: City:	Hwy. 36 Stillwater	
	Organization Name:	Washington Conservation District	State: Zip Code:	MN 55082	
	Organization Website:	www.mnwcd.org	Zip Code.	55062	
	Organization Contact Person:	Amy L. Carolan			
	Contact Email:	ACarolan@mnwcd.org			
	Contact Phone:	651-275-1136			
	Location				
	Primary County	Washington			
	Nearest City:				
	Township:				
	Project Site Na	me: Remnant Prairies in Washir	gton County		
	Project Site Lar	nd Ownership: County and Local			
	Other Land Ow	· ·			
	Project Inform	•			
	Primary	Restoration			
	Primary Habitat Type: F	Prairie			
	Total Project 5 Acres:	58			
	Total Project 3 Sites:	3			
	Total Grant Amount \$ Requested:	6110695			
	Total Match Amount:	529350			
	Total Project Cost:	6140045			
	Project Completion 1 Date:	0/2012 (MM/YYYY)			
	(Project f Summary and V Outcomes: a	The purpose of this project is to restore, enh Native Plant Community Type; UPs13) in uture harvest and to protect grassland habit Washington Conservation District, South W und Denmark Township will restore 3.9 acr puffer remnants. The partners will also wor ocal seed producers to expand Washington	Washington Count at for Species in G Vashington Watersh es of prairie remna k with the Minnesc	y to both stimulate seed production for reatest Conservation Need. Together, the hed District, Washington County Parks, nt and reconstruct 54.5 acres of prairie to ota Crop Improvement Association and	

Attachments

- Project Site Information Form
- Project Budget and Match Description
- Partner Committment Letter
- Financial Documentation
- Conservation Easement
- Supplementary Attachment #1
- Supplementary Attachment #2

Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Project Site Information

Contact informat	ion							
Project name:	Restorin	g remnant prairies for						
		otype seed production						
Organization:	Washing District	gton Conservation						
Organization cont	J	on (Project Manager):	Amy L.	Carolan				
Project informati	on							
Project site:		CD-P86 - A						
Project site land r	manager			Legal :	T28	R21	S34	QSE
or easemen	-	MN DNR		Leguil	120		0.01	402
Private land owne				County:	Washingt	on		
	pplicable):	South Washington Wate	archad Die		washing	.011		
(11.4		South Washington Wate		ы.				
•	itat (choos	n one): 🔀 Enhancement se one): 🗌 Forest 🔀 Pr 📄 🔀 Acres 🗌 Miles	airie 🗌	Wetland	Fish, C	Game and	d Wildlife	Habitat
Ducie et cito.		Project Sit	te #2, if nee	ded.				
Project site:		СД-Р80 - В		1 1	Ton	Dat	60	0.15
Project site land r	-			Legal :	T27	R21	S3	QNE
or easemen		MN DNR						
Private land owne		County:			Washington			
(if a	pplicable):	South Washington Wate	ershed Dis	st.				
•	itat (choos	n one): 🔀 Enhancement se one): 🗌 Forest 🔀 Pr 📄 🔀 Acres 🗌 Miles	airie 🗌	Wetland	Fish, C	Game and	d Wildlife	Habitat
Project site:		Project Site #3, if needed. Use A	Additional P	oject Sites fo	rm if needed.			
Project site land r	manager			Legal :	T28	R21	S34	QSE
or easemen	t holder:	Washington County						•
Private land owne	er	County: Washington						
(if a	pplicable):	South Washington Wate	ershed Dis				I	
Activity (may choos Predominant Hab Activity quantity:	itat (choos		airie 🗌		Fish, C		d Wildlife	Habitat

Page 1

Project timeline:

Time frame	Goal	Time frame	Goal
Spring 2010 - Fall	Complete detailed site inventories	Spring 2010 - Fall	Implement seed harvesting
2010	for each of the three prairie	2012	program on the three prairie
	remnant restoration and prairie		remnants.
	buffer		
	reconstruction/enhancement sites.		
Spring 2010 - Fall	Develop site-specific management		
2010	plans for each of the three		
	proposed sites. Management plans		
	will be provided to the landowners		
	at the completion of this project.		
	The management plan for the		
	remnant located at CD - P86 will be		
	incorporated into the sites existing		
	management plan.		
Spring 2010 - Fall	Complete prairie remnant		
2012	restoration activities for the three		
	prairie remnants identified in this		
	application. Management		
	activities will work to stimulate		
	native seed production - shrub		
	removal, invasive species removal,		
	prescribed burning, and installation		
	of G1 material.		
Spring 2010 - Fall	Complete initial		
2010	reconstruction/enhancement work		
	of proposed prairie buffer areas -		
	activities include site prep, seeding,		
	and first year maintenance		
	practices.		
Spring 2011 - Fall	Complete maintenance activities on		
2012	newly seeded prairie buffer areas.		
Spring 2010 - Fall	Expand prairie remnant seed		
2010	harvesting program in Washington		
	County by working with local native		
	seed produces and the Minnesota		
	Crop Improvement Association to		
	build the supply of local genotype		
	seed in the County.		

Project description and benefits (box will expand as typed into, not to be longer than 2 pages):

The purpose of this project is to restore, enhance, and protect (buffer) three existing dry prairie remnants (DNR Native Plant Community Type: UPs13) located in Washington County to both increase viable seed production for future seed harvest and to create and protect grassland and savanna habitat for Species in Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN).

Less than one percent of Minnesota's native prairie remains in the state. Many of these prairie remnants are small and confined to sites such as bluff sides and areas of sand or gravel soils deemed unsuitable for farming. Due to their small size and fragmentation, these sites face a variety of threats including invasion by non-native species, overgrowth by woody species due to fire suppression, and are threatened due to the fact that they are below the size threshold established for previous conservation projects. Since the 1970s there have been widespread efforts to restore and reconstruct prairie grasslands. As practitioners learn more about the process of prairie reconstruction it has become apparent that the protection and restoration of native prairie remnants is essential for the preservation of genetic diversity (seeds), which has been adapted to fit the locality. In addition, the demand for local ecotype seed for use in reconstruction projects is increasing as many organizations are beginning to or will soon require its use. The proposed project will work to protect local genetic integrity and stimulate viable seed production from three prairie remnants located in Washington County (described below). Protection of these prairie remnants will be achieved through both restoration efforts and through the reconstruction of native prairie buffers. All proposed project sites are located on publicly owned land. All sites are open to the public for hiking, birding, botanizing, and other outdoor related activities.

The following three sites in Washington County each contain a small yet highly diverse prairie remnant UPs13): Washington County's St. Croix Bluffs Regional Park, 0.2 acres of dry prairie remnant; Denmark Township's O'Connor Site, 1.1 acres of dry prairie remnant; and South Washington Watershed District's CD-P86 (protected under three easements but referred to as one project site for the written portion of this application, shown as CD-P86 – A,B,C on project site information form), 2.6 acres of dry prairie remnant. CD-P86 is planned for eventual connection to an existing trail/corridor system. An extensive management plan was completed in 2002 for the CD-P86 site (management plan is directly connected to the three conservation easements held over the site), which draws information from the South Washington Watershed District (SWWD) Greenway Corridor Plan, Woodbury NRI, Cottage Grove NRI and an Environmental Assessment completed for the SWWD Greenway Corridor downstream of CD-P86. Restoration efforts completed at CD-P86 would help establish part of a wildlife corridor stretching form Lake Elmo Regional Park to the Mississippi River.

In order to restore and protect the native prairie remnants for both future seed production and habitat for SGCN, the following activities are planned:

Prairie Remnant Restoration:

1. Complete a thorough site assessment and develop a long-term management plan for each of the three prairie remnants. Management plans will be provided to each of the Land Managers at the completion of the project. The existing management plan for the CD-P86 site will be amended to include the species inventory and management plan for the 2.6-acre prairie remnant.

2. Selectively remove shrubs and trees (cedar, buckthorn) to release understory forbs and grasses.

3. Work to remove invasive or aggressive non-native species (leafy spurge, buckthorn, smooth brome).

4. Conduct prescribed burns within remnant areas to control non-native species and shrubs, enhance establishment of desriable species, and stimulate seed production.

Reconstruction of Buffers:

5. Create native prairie buffers around each of the remnants using a custom seed mix to ensure the genetic integrity of the remnant is not compromised. The following acreages will be converted to native prairie buffer to protect the remnant areas: SWWD's CD-P86, 27.4 acres of prairie buffer; St. Croix Bluffs County Park, 23.4 acres of prairie buffer; Denmark Township's O'Connor Site, 3.7 acres of prairie buffer.

Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program

6. Complete 2-years of management and maintenance activities following initial restoration and reconstruction efforts to ensure projects are successful.

Develop Harvest/Distribution Plan:

7. Work with the Minnesota Crop Improvement Association and local native seed producers to develop a plan for harvesting and production of local ecotype seed for Washington County.

All seed collection, plant propagation, and prairie reconstruction work will follow the recommendations and protocols set forth in the 2007 Minnesota DNR report "Optimizing Native Prairie Seed Harvest and Replanting on State-owned Lands". The draft 2009 document "Native Vegetation Guidelines" prepared by the Minnesota Board of Water and Soil Resources will also be consulted to guide restoration and reconstruction efforts.

In addition to preserving a seed source and building local genotype seed supply for future restoration projects, the proposed project also offers a variety of benefits to birds, wildlife, and other species. The Minnesota DNR's "Strategy for Tomorrow's Habitat for the Wild and Rare" outlines habitat loss and degradation as a major cause for the decline of many grassland/prairie dependent species including bobolink, dickcissel, and eastern meadowlark - all of which rely on diverse grasslands for activities like breeding, nesting, and feeding. The proposed project follows the report's recommendations for managing and restoring remnant prairies in a way that is beneficial to Species in Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN). Activities outlined in the report include the use of mowing, woody vegetation removal, prescribed fire, and the careful use of herbicides to restore native prairies. All of these activities are proposed in this grant application.

Upland game birds such as the pheasant also benefit from the protection and expansion of diverse native grasslands. Wildflower species attract a variety of insects, which are a necessary food source for young pheasants. Diverse grasslands also serve as excellent winter cover for upland game birds as they are known to hold up under heavy snowfalls and drop seed on the ground for winter-feeding.

Species listed by the Minnesota DNR above, all prairie remnants will be thoroughly inventoried prior to the implementation of our management plans. Should any listed species be identified during our inventory, they will be properly reported to the Minnesota DNR and management plans will be tailored to promote the species.

Please provide the answers to the following questions. Boxes will expand as typed into.

- 1. For lands acquired in fee title that will be turned over to a public agency for long-term management:
 - a. Provide a description of the work necessary to bring the land up to agency standards and an estimate of the associated cost.

Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program

b. What short- and long-term work is required to manage the land you acquire?

2. For enhancement/ restoration projects on public lands:

- a. Who is/will be the long-term manager for the project site?
 The property owners will be provided with a written long term management plan and will be responsible for the management of the site. The Washington Conservation District will be available to offer additional technical assitance if needed.
- b. What short- and long-term maintenance work is required to sustain the habitat work you will do?

The use of prescribed fire will be needed to maintain species diversity and prevent the invasion of woody species. Some additional weed/non-native species control will likely be needed in the future to maintain the work that will be completed through this project.

- c. Who will complete this maintenance work, and how will it be funded?
 Maintenance work will be completed by hired contractors, paid employees, or volunteers (when possible). Work will be funded out of the partners' annual budgets and may be supplemented with additional local grant dollars.
- d. Will the CPL funds supplant any existing funds?

No

As required by 2009 MN Session Law, Chapter 172 subd. 10 (8), "any agency or entity receiving an appropriation must, for any project funded in whole or in part with funds from the appropriation, give consideration to and **make timely written contact with the Minnesota Conservation Corps** for consideration of possible use of their services to contract for restoration and enhancement services". Contact MCC at <u>cplg@conservationcorps.org</u>, or email a copy of this form to the same

Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program

address. For more information on costs, crew capabilities, etc., visit MCC's website at http://www.conservationcorps.org/useacrew.html.

Signature:

I certify that I have read the Conservation Partners Legacy Grants Program **Request for Proposal**, **Program Manual** and other program documents, and have discussed this project with the appropriate public land manager, or private landowner and easement holder. I am authorized to apply for and manage these grant and match funds, and the project work by the organization or agency listed below. I have made timely written notification to MCC regarding my project.

Signature: Amy L. Carolan	Organization/ Agency: Wash. Cons. Dist.
Title: Natural Resource Specialist/Plant Ecologist	Date: 10/28/2009

Please save this document to your computer or electronic storage device and attach this document as specified on the online submittal form when ready to apply. Contact <u>CPL Grant Staff</u> with any questions.

Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Application Additional Project Sites Form

Project name:		g remnant prairies for]					
Organization:	Washing	type seed production ton Conservation	-					
Organization conta	District act perso	n (Project Manager):	Amy L. Caro	olan				
	- · - · - · - · -							
		Project S	ite #4, if needed					
Project site:		O'Connor's Prairie						
Project site land m	anager		Le	egal :	T27	R20	S8	QSE
or easement	holder:						_	
Private land owner			Cou	inty:	Washingt	on		
(if ap	plicable):	Denmark Township						
Activity (may choose Predominant Habi Activity quantity:		none): 🔀 Enhancemen e one): 🗌 Forest 🔀 Pr 📄 🔀 Acres 🗌 Miles	airie 🗌 We	tland	Fish, G	iame and V	Wildlife Ha	abitat
			te #5, if needed.					
Project site:		St. Croix Bluffs Park				Daa	6.00	
Project site land m			Le	egal :	T27	R20	S28	QNE
or easement Private land owner				inty:	Washingt	on		
	plicable):	Washington County	COL	incy.	Washingt	011		
	,							
Activity (may choose Predominant Habi Activity quantity:		none): 🔀 Enhancemen e one): 🗌 Forest 🔀 Pr 📄 🔀 Acres 🗌 Miles	airie 🗌 We	tland	Fish, G	iame and V	Wildlife Ha	abitat
		Project S	ite #6, if needed					
Project site:								
Project site land m	-	Γ	Le	egal :	Т	R	S	Q
or easement					-		-	
Private land owner	r plicable):		Coι	inty:				
(ii ap	plicable):							
Activity (may choose Predominant Habi Activity quantity:		e one): 🗌 Forest 🗌 Pr		tland			Wildlife Ha	abitat

CPL Project Application Review and Approval Form

REVIEW	ER INFORM	ATION						
Land manager/ easement holder name: Washington County/ Jane Harper								
Title:	Manager Land and Water Legacy Program		Date of meeting: 10-30-20		10-30-200)9		
Phone:	651430-6	011		Proposal II	I ID #:			1
Email:	Jane.Harper@co.washington.mn.us		n.us	(assig	. (assigned by agency, optional)			-
PROJECT	INFORMA	TION:						
Project N	lame:	Restoring and prote	cting remna	nt native	Organia	Organization: Washington Con		rvation
		prairie					District	
Contact	Person:	Amy Carolan						
Email:					Daytim	e Phone:	651.275.1136	

Please check the appropriate boxes:

I have read the application and discussed this proposed project with the above listed Organization Contact Person.

For work on easements, the private landowner has been contacted and has given support and approval for this project.

I have performed a Natural Heritage Database review and found:

this project to have no features within one mile.

this project to have features within one mile, but project is not likely to adversely affect those features. I have recommended the following minimization strategy:

Performed by Washington County, attached to application

this project is likely to adversely affect Natural Heritage features. I feel that this project is important and should be forwarded to DNR Ecological Resources staff for further review.

I do not have access to the Natural Features database and will forward this completed form to DNR within 3 business days to <u>CPL Staff</u> at <u>LSCPLGrants.DNR@dnr.state.mn.us</u> for review.

I have discussed what role my office will be expected to have in this project and find that the project, as described will require:

minimal or no involvement from my office for completion.

a commitment of involvement by staff that is reasonable and can be accomplished with current staffing levels and workload.

an amount of staff involvement that cannot be committed during the project time period with current staffing levels. Unless additional staffing can be committed from other offices, Divisions or appropriate partners, I feel this project cannot be completed within the project timeline to our desired standards.

CPL Project Application Review and Approval Form

I have discussed permits and applications that the applicant may be responsible for using the Working on DNR Lands and Working on Public Lands, or Working on Private Lands documents.

Upon final review of this project:

- ☐ I find this project to be consistent with sound conservation science. This work will benefit area fish, game and wildlife by restoring, enhancing or protecting forests, wetlands, prairies and habitat and is consistent with the management or stewardship plan for this land. (APPROVAL)
- I find that this project does not follow the management or stewardship plan for this land and does not fit within the long range goals for this land at this time on the local level. (DECLINE)

I find that this project should be sent up to a higher level within the agency for further review and decision. I have forwarded the Project Planning Form and this Review and Approval Form for further review to:

 Name:
 Phone:

By checking this box and typing my name below I certify that I have met with the above applicant and discussed the proposed project and have provided feedback to the applicant.

Name: Jane Harper

Comments:	

Name:	Phone:	
Title:	Email:	

Additional necessary):

Comments(including approval or denial, reasoning):

CPL Project Application Review and Approval Form

REVIEWI	ER INFORM	ATION					
Land manager/ easement holder name: Was				on County -	Peter Mott		
Title:	Park Mar	nager		Date of meeting:			
Phone:	651.430.4	328		Proposal ID #:			
Email:	peter.mott@co.washington.mn.us		(assig	(assigned by agency, optional)			
PROJECT	INFORMA	TION:			_		
Project N	Vame:	Restoring and Prote	cting Remna	ant Native	Organization:	Washington Conservation	tion
		Prairies for Local Eco	otype Seed F	Production		District	
Contact	Person:	Amy Carolan					
Email:		ACarolan@mnwcd.o	org		Daytime Phone:	651-275-1136 ext. 22	
					_		

Please check the appropriate boxes:

I have read the application and discussed this proposed project with the above listed Organization Contact Person.

For work on easements, the private landowner has been contacted and has given support and approval for this project.

I have performed a Natural Heritage Database review and found:

this project to have no features within one mile.

 \square this project to have features within one mile, but project is not likely to adversely affect those features. I have recommended the following minimization strategy:

Review completed by CPL staff, 10/30

this project is likely to adversely affect Natural Heritage features. I feel that this project is important and should be forwarded to DNR Ecological Resources staff for further review.

I do not have access to the Natural Features database and will forward this completed form to DNR within 3 business days to <u>CPL Staff</u> at <u>LSCPLGrants.DNR@dnr.state.mn.us</u> for review.

I have discussed what role my office will be expected to have in this project and find that the project, as described will require:

minimal or no involvement from my office for completion.

a commitment of involvement by staff that is reasonable and can be accomplished with current staffing levels and workload. an amount of staff involvement that cannot be committed during the project time period with current staffing levels. Unless additional staffing can be committed from other offices, Divisions or appropriate partners, I feel this project cannot be completed within the project timeline to our desired standards.

CPL Project Application Review and Approval Form

I have discussed permits and applications that the applicant may be responsible for using the Working on DNR Lands and Working on Public Lands, or Working on Private Lands documents.

Upon final review of this project:

- ☐ I find this project to be consistent with sound conservation science. This work will benefit area fish, game and wildlife by restoring, enhancing or protecting forests, wetlands, prairies and habitat and is consistent with the management or stewardship plan for this land. (APPROVAL)
- I find that this project does not follow the management or stewardship plan for this land and does not fit within the long range goals for this land at this time on the local level. (DECLINE)

I find that this project should be sent up to a higher level within the agency for further review and decision. I have forwarded the Project Planning Form and this Review and Approval Form for further review to:

 Name:
 Phone:

By checking this box and typing my name below I certify that I have met with the above applicant and discussed the proposed project and have provided feedback to the applicant.

Name: Peter Mott

Comments: There are 10 known occurrences of rare features within 1-mile of the St. Croix Bluffs Park Project Site. None are located directly within our project boundaries.

Washington County Parks does not have access to the NHIS database. The Washington Conservation District will be responsible for providing detailed information to the DNR.

Additional review (if necessary):

Name:	Phone:
Title:	Email:
Comment	ts(including approval or denial, reasoning):
Partners Le	egacy Grant Program

CPL Project Application Review and Approval Form

REVIEWE		IATION						
Land manager/ easement holder name: Denmar				ownship, Jar	mes Kel	ler		
Title:	Town Bo	ard Supervisor		Date of meeting: 10/13/20		10/13/20	09	
Phone:	651-604-3	651-604-3120		Proposal ID	posal ID #: CPL100006		67	
Email:	jkeller@gausman.com			(assigi	(assigned by agency, optional)			•
PROJECT	INFORMA	TION:						
Project N	ct Name: Restoring remnant prairies for lo ecotype seed production		ocal	Organ	ization:	Washington Conser District	vation	
Contact I	tact Person: Amy Carolan							
Email:	ACarolan@mnwcd.org			Daytir	ne Phone:	651-275-1136 ext. 2	22	

Please check the appropriate boxes:

I have read the application and discussed this proposed project with the above listed Organization Contact Person.

For work on easements, the private landowner has been contacted and has given support and approval for this project.

I have performed a Natural Heritage Database review and found:

this project to have no features within one mile.

this project to have features within one mile, but project is not likely to adversely affect those features. I have recommended the following minimization strategy:

this project is likely to adversely affect Natural Heritage features. I feel that this project is important and should be forwarded to DNR Ecological Resources staff for further review.

I do not have access to the Natural Features database and will forward this completed form to DNR within 3 business days to <u>CPL Staff</u> at <u>LSCPLGrants.DNR@dnr.state.mn.us</u> for review.

I have discussed what role my office will be expected to have in this project and find that the project, as described will require:

minimal or no involvement from my office for completion.

a commitment of involvement by staff that is reasonable and can be accomplished with current staffing levels and workload. an amount of staff involvement that cannot be committed during the project time period with current staffing levels. Unless additional staffing can be committed from other offices, Divisions or appropriate partners, I feel this project cannot be completed within the project timeline to our desired standards.

CPL Project Application Review and Approval Form

I have discussed permits and applications that the applicant may be responsible for using the Working on DNR Lands and Working on Public Lands, or Working on Private Lands documents.

Upon final review of this project:

- ☐ I find this project to be consistent with sound conservation science. This work will benefit area fish, game and wildlife by restoring, enhancing or protecting forests, wetlands, prairies and habitat and is consistent with the management or stewardship plan for this land. (APPROVAL)
- I find that this project does not follow the management or stewardship plan for this land and does not fit within the long range goals for this land at this time on the local level. (DECLINE)
- I find that this project should be sent up to a higher level within the agency for further review and decision. I have forwarded the Project Planning Form and this Review and Approval Form for further review to:
 Name: James Keller
 Phone: 651-604-3120

By checking this box and typing my name below I certify that I have met with the above applicant and discussed the proposed project and have provided feedback to the applicant.

Name: James A Keller

Comments: There are 4 known occurrences of rare features within 1-mile of the O'Connor Prairie Project Site. None are located directly within our project boundaries.

Denmark Township does not have access to the NHIS database. The Washington Conservation District will be responsible for providing detailed information to the DNR.

Additional review (if necessary):

Name:	Phone:
Title:	Email:
Comment	s(including approval or denial, reasoning):
artners I e	egacy Grant Program

CPL Project Application Review and Approval Form

REVIEW	ER INFORM	ATION						
Land ma	nager/ ease	ement holder name:	State of M	innesota (D	epartmer	nt of Natur	ral Resources)	
Title:	Metro Gre	eenways Administrato	or	Date of me	eeting:	g: 10/29/2009		
Phone:	651-259-5	835		Proposal I	D #:	MG SWWD CC1		
Email:	marybeth.block@state.mn.us		(assig	gned by age	ency, optiona	il)		
PROJECT	INFORMA	TION:			_			
Project N	Name:	Restoring and prote	cting remna	nt native	Organia	zation:	Washington Conser	vation
	prairies for local ecotype		otype seed p	roduction			District	
Contact Person: Amy L. Carolan								
Email:		ACarolan@mnwcd.o	org		Daytim	e Phone:	651.275.1136 ext. 2	22

Please check the appropriate boxes:

I have read the application and discussed this proposed project with the above listed Organization Contact Person.

For work on easements, the private landowner has been contacted and has given support and approval for this project.

I have performed a Natural Heritage Database review and found:

 \boxtimes this project to have no features within one mile.

this project to have features within one mile, but project is not likely to adversely affect those features. I have recommended the following minimization strategy:

this project is likely to adversely affect Natural Heritage features. I feel that this project is important and should be forwarded to DNR Ecological Resources staff for further review.

I do not have access to the Natural Features database and will forward this completed form to DNR within 3 business days to <u>CPL Staff</u> at <u>LSCPLGrants.DNR@dnr.state.mn.us</u> for review.

I have discussed what role my office will be expected to have in this project and find that the project, as described will require:

minimal or no involvement from my office for completion.

a commitment of involvement by staff that is reasonable and can be accomplished with current staffing levels and workload. an amount of staff involvement that cannot be committed during the project time period with current staffing levels. Unless additional staffing can be committed from other offices, Divisions or appropriate partners, I feel this project cannot be completed within the project timeline to our desired standards.

CPL Project Application Review and Approval Form

I have discussed permits and applications that the applicant may be responsible for using the Working on DNR Lands and Working on Public Lands, or Working on Private Lands documents.

Upon final review of this project:

- ☐ I find this project to be consistent with sound conservation science. This work will benefit area fish, game and wildlife by restoring, enhancing or protecting forests, wetlands, prairies and habitat and is consistent with the management or stewardship plan for this land. (APPROVAL)
- I find that this project does not follow the management or stewardship plan for this land and does not fit within the long range goals for this land at this time on the local level. (DECLINE)

I find that this project should be sent up to a higher level within the agency for further review and decision. I have forwarded the Project Planning Form and this Review and Approval Form for further review to:

 Name:
 Phone:

By checking this box and typing my name below I certify that I have met with the above applicant and discussed the proposed project and have provided feedback to the applicant.

Name: Marybeth Block

Comments: I discussed the project over the phone with the applicant, reviewed the Metro Greenways Conservation Easement, and the Resource Management Plan for the site.

Name:	Marybeth Block	Phone:	651-259-5835
Title:	Community Assistance Specialist	Email:	marybeth.block@state. mn.us

Additional necessary):

Comments(including approval or denial, reasoning):

Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Project Budget and Match Worksheet, Application Requirement

Project name:	Restoring remnant prairies for local ecotype seed production	
Organization:	Washington Conservation	
	District	
Organization contact person (Project Manager):		Amy L. Carolan

Please complete all sections and be as detailed as possible for all descriptions under the Details sections. Limit entries in large tables to numbers only, **round to the nearest dollar**. Do not edit table categories, only enter values or text into the table. The tables will adjust to accommodate additional text in each box. If all categories are not needed, please leave those fields blank.

BUDGET: amounts being requested **Note: FY refers to State Fiscal Year: July 1- June 30, with the year reflecting the year that June falls in. For example: if today were September 3rd, 2009 it would be FY2010; December 3rd 2010= FY2011; May 2012= FY2012

Budget Item	Fiscal Year 2010	Fiscal Year 2011	Fiscal Year 2012	Total
Personnel	\$11,300.00	\$3,800.00	\$3,800.00	\$18,900.00
Contracts	\$55,235.00	\$9,690.00	\$19,320.00	\$84,245.00
Grant Administration	\$1,800.00	\$1,800.00	\$1,800.00	\$5,400.00
Administration/	\$1,000.00			\$1,000.00
Environmental Compliance				
Fee Acquisition				
Easement Acquisition				
Easement Stewardship				
Equipment/Tools/Supplies	\$22,500.00	\$4,600.00	\$3,400.00	\$30,500.00
Travel				
Additional Budget items				
Total				\$140,045.00

DETAILS: detail the amounts listed in the above table.

Personnel Details:

Name	Title	Amount
Amy L. Carolan	Natural Resource Specialist/Plant Ecologist	\$7,500.00
Jyneen Thatcher	Natural Resource Specialist/Plant Ecologist	\$5,700.00
Peter Young	Engineering Specialist/Grant Administrator	\$5,700.00

Contract Details:

Contractor Name	Contracted Work	Amount
To be determined, based on bids and qualifications. Minnesota Conservation Corp (MCC) will be contacted regarding this work.	Site prep, seeding/installation work, shrub removal, maintenance activites: herbicide application and mowing, prescribed burning.	\$84,245.00

Grant Administration:

Administrative Activity	Description/ Amount	Amount
Grant reporting	Submit necessary information to DNR or other intersted parties, including work updates and final reports.	\$1,500.00
Board Meetings/Partner Coordination	Attend monthly board meetings as necessary to update and coordinate with project partners. Partners with monthly board meetings include Washington County Parks, South Washington Watershed District, Denmark Township.	\$1,000.00
Public Relations	Discuss tree/shrub-clearing activities with those with concerns, inform neighbors of proposed activities.	\$1,400.00
Contracts	Prepare bid documents, advertise for bids, and prepare contract documents for hired restoration firm to complete suggested work.	\$1,500.00

Administration/ Environmental Compliance

Activity	Description	Amount
Permitting	Obtain all required (local/state/federal) permits to complete this work (permit fees not included). Potential permits include prescribed burn permits and land disturbance permits.	\$1,000.00

Fee Acquisition/ Easement Acquisition/ Easement Stewardship Details:

Equipment/Tools/Supplies Details:

Item	Use	Amount
Local ecotype native	Prairie buffer plantings.	\$26,600.00
Seed		
Local ecotype native woody plant species	Restoration of oak savanna in selected areas.	\$3,400.00
Supplies not included under contracted work	Additional herbicide for follow-up spot treatment. Majority of herbicide costs are included in contracted work.	\$500.00

Travel Details:

Miles	Purpose	Amount

Additional Budget Items Details:

Personnel time will be spent on inventory of existing prairie remnants, development of restoration and management plans, coordination with the Minnesota Crop Improvement Association, and project site inspections during installation and maintenance activities.

MATCH: Required 10% of total project funds, to be fulfilled by end of Fiscal Year 2012. Use provided rate sheet to determine unit rate and total value of in-kind services to be used as matching funds if applicable.

Source	Description	Units	Unit Rate	Total Value
South Washington Watershed District	Cash match to be used towards contracted services at CD-P86	LS	20,000.00	20,000.00
Denmark Township	Cash match to be used towards contracted services at O'Connor Prairie Site	LS	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00
Washington County Parks	Provide paid personell to assist with prescribed burns, site maintenance activities at the St. Croix Bluffs Site.	LS	\$2,850.00	\$2,850.00
Denmark Township Residents	Volunteers will assist with required tree/shrub removal at the O'Connor Prairie Site	HRS	15.00	1,500.00

Matching description/ comments: if needed

Washington County Parks will authorize existing personnel to assist with project activities; costs not to exceed \$2,850.00.





Restoring remnant prairies for local ecotype seed production

Washington County, LSOHC Metropolitan Urbanizing Area Planning Section







CPL100-067 Restoring remnant prairies for local ecotype seed production

> Washington County, LSOHC Metropolitan Urbanizing Area Planning Section







CPL100-067 Restoring remnant prairies for local ecotype seed production

> Washington County, LSOHC Metropolitan Urbanizing Area Planning Section







CPL100-067 Restoring remnant prairies for local ecotype seed production

> Washington County, LSOHC Metropolitan Urbanizing Area Planning Section





1380 W FRONTAGE RD H I G H W A Y 36 STILLWATER, MN 55082

651-275-1136 [PHONE] 651-275-1254 [FAX] WWW.MNWCD.ORG

MEMORANDUM

TO: Minnesota Department of Natural Resources

- **FROM:** Washington Conservation District
- **DATE:** 11-03-2009
- RE: Lessard Application: Restoring and Protecting Remnant Native Prairies for Local Ecotype Seed Production – Natural Heritage, Rare Features Review

Per the requirements of the CPL Application, the Washington Conservation District has reviewed the information we have access to for Washington County regarding rare natural features within one mile of our proposed project sites. Should this project move forward, the WCD would ask that the DNR review and approve our findings.

The following information was obtained for our three project sites:

CD-P86:

There are 0 known occurrences of rare features within 1-mile of the CD-P86 site.

O'Connor Prairie:

There are 4 known occurrences of rare features within 1-mile of the O'Connor Prairie Project Site. None are located directly within our project boundaries. The following occur within 1-mile of the O'Connor Project Site:



More detailed location information can be provided if needed.

St. Croix Bluffs Park:

There are 10 known occurrences of rare features within 1-mile of the St. Croix Bluffs Project Site. None are located directly within our project boundaries. The following occur within 1-mile of the St. Croix Bluffs Project Site:



More detailed location information can be provided if needed.

Completion of the proposed project will not adversely affect the rare features listed above. The proposed project focuses on habitat improvement and none of the known occurrences are located within our proposed project boundaries. Should additional rare features be found during the completion of our project, this information will be properly submitted to the DNR.



Public Works Department Parks Division

Donald J. Theisen, P.E. Director/County Engineer

John D. Elholm, CPRP Parks Director

November 2, 2009

Amy L. Carolan Washington Conservation District 1380 W. Frontage Road, Hwy 36 Stillwater, Minnesota 55082

Restoring and Protecting Remnant Native Prairies for Local Ecotype Seed Production

Dear Ms. Carolan:

Washington County is excited to partner with the Washington Conservation District to protect remnant native prairies for local ecotype seed production. Washington County owns fee title to one of these remnant native prairies, and we're looking forward to utilizing these native seed sources to improve other Washington County prairies.

Maintenance and restoration of the County's prairies is part of our regular operating budget (non-state funds). Washington County has a trained prairie restoration team in place, and we are committed to utilizing them for this project. The estimated in-kind value of staff and equipment for this project is \$2,850.00, which is based on standard staff and equipment rates.

If you have any questions or comments related to this matter, please let me know. I can be reached at (651) 430-4303 or at john.elholm@co.washington.mn.us.

Sincerely

John Elholm Parks Director

c.

Don Theisen, Public Works Director Peter Mott, Parks Manager

> 11660 Myeron Road North, Stillwater, Minnesota 55082 Phone: 651-430-4300 • Fax: 651-430-4350 • TTY: 651-430-6246 www.co.washington.mn.us Equal Employment Opportunity / Affirmative Action



CONSERVATION PARTNERS LEGACY GRANT PROGRAM PARTNER COMMITMENT LETTER

What is the name of the project that you are contributing to, and who is the applicant?

Restoring and protecting remnant native prairies for local ecotype seed production; Washington Conservation District (WCD)

What is the name of your organization (private landowners use "Private Landowner")?

Denmark Township

When will you make the contribution?

The contribution will be made as needed.

What is the value of your contribution and how did you determine the value? Does the contribution have a non-state origin?

Denmark Township will make cash donations not to exceed \$5,000.00. Denmark Township residents will also provide 100 hours of volunteer labor for a total value of \$1,500.00 in-kind match. This contribution has a non-state origin.

If this is based on a fund-raising event or other future action, if that future action fails, will you still provide the contribution amount?

The contribution amount is secure and will be provided regardless of future action.

Kathleen Higgins, Denmark Township Chair

Date:

Phone (651) 436-1704 Fax (651) 436-1704 14008 90th Street S. Hastings, MN 55033 www.townclerk@denmarktownship.org

CONSERVATION PARTNERS LEGACY GRANT PROGRAM PARTNER COMMITMENT LETTER



What is the name of the project that you are contributing to, and who is the applicant?

Restoring and protecting remnant native prairies for local ecotype seed production; Washington Conservation District (WCD)

What is the name of your organization (private landowners use "Private Landowner")?

South Washington Watershed District

When will you make the contribution?

The contribution will be made as needed.

What is the value of your contribution and how did you determine the value? Does the contribution have a non-state origin?

The South Washington Watershed District will make cash contributions not to exceed \$20,000.00. This contribution has a non-state origin.

If this is based on a fund-raising event or other future action, if that future action fails, will you still provide the contribution amount?

The contribution amount is secure and will be provided regardless of future action.

Signature:

Printed Name, Title, and Affiliation: Matt Moore, Administrator, South Washington Watershed District

Date Signed:

10/23/2009

L:\swwd\GrantApps\Conservation Partners\Commitment Letter.docx

2302 Tower Dr • Woodbury, MN 55125 • 651-714-3729 • Fax 651-714-3721

Pass Sustett 1900 WARNER Red 1900 WARNER Red St PAul, MN. HITTH' 55106