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Introduction

2011 Game and Fish Fund Report


## Report Purpose

The Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (DNR) prepares an annual Game and Fish Fund report as directed by M.S. 97 A. 055 , subd. 4 and M.S. 97 A. 4742 , subd. 4. Additionally, the DNR is directed under M.S. 97A. 075 to biennially report on emergency deer feeding and wild cervid health management. The Game and Fish Fund Report includes this reporting requirement on page 57.

The DNR views the production of the annual Game and Fish Fund Report as much more than an exercise in meeting the statutory requirements. In preparing and distributing the report, the DNR has the opportunity to communicate with individuals, stakeholder groups, the Game and Fish Oversight Committees, legislators, and DNR staff. The annual report requires the DNR to be accountable to these audiences on its financial management and game and fish program outcomes. The report fosters discussion on the planning for future operations, setting priorities, articulating outcomes, and reviews of assumptions used in the financial forecast for the fund. In short, the report is a tool for the DNR to encourage and foster open communication about the management of the state's game and fish natural resources.

## Game and Fish Fund Overview

The title "Game and Fish Fund" refers to a series of game and fish accounts whose purposes are closely related. In addition to the Game and Fish Operations account, the report presents the purpose and status of dedicated stamp and surcharge accounts. The report also describes the purpose and activity in the Heritage Enhancement Account and the Lifetime Fish and Wildlife Trust Fund.

The fiscal year 2011 ending fund balance of $\$ 28.6$ million is divided between the accounts of the Game and Fish Fund as shown below. The projected, non-dedicated fund balance is expected to go negative in FY 2014.

Available Fund Balance of $\mathbf{\$ 2 8 . 6}$ Million At Close of FY 2011


Graph 1. Historical Receipts and Transfers-in


Graph 2. Historical Expenditures and Transfers-out


## Revenues

The DNR deposits an array of receipts to the fund, the majority relates directly to the sale of hunting and fishing licenses. Table 1 indicates revenues from receipts and transfers-in for the current and five previous fiscal years.

|  | Table 1 <br> Receipts and Transfers-in <br> Fiscal Years <br> (In Thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Hunting Licenses | \$ 22,224 | \$ 23,209 | \$23,225 | \$21,727 | \$20,840 | \$20,583 |
| Fishing Licenses | 20,958 | 21,307 | 20,121 | 21,969 | 22,483 | 20,967 |
| Sports Licenses (Hunting and Fishing) | 4,622 | 4,825 | 4,702 | 4,843 | 4,748 | 4,541 |
| Hunting and Angling Stamps | 2,475 | 2,574 | 2,533 | 2,424 | 2,407 | 2,472 |
| Small Game Surcharge | 1,778 | 1,939 | 1,892 | 1,879 | 1,826 | 1,787 |
| Lifetime Licenses | 748 | 644 | 660 | 569 | 598 | 901 |
| Commercial Licenses | 358 | 342 | 328 | 328 | 373 | 367 |
| Federal Grant Fisheries (Dingell-Johnson) | 10,751 | 10,701 | 14,806 | 15,553 | 15,001 | 12,387 |
| Federal Grant Wildlife (Pittman-Robertson) | 7,047 | 6,765 | 9,348 | 9,709 | 11,212 | 14,737 |
| Lottery In-lieu-of-sales Tax | 10,585 | 9,948 | 10,864 | 11,328 | 11,722 | 10,918 |
| License Issuing and Application Fees | 3,354 | 3,399 | 3,149 | 3,405 | 3,317 | 3,145 |
| Sale and Lease of Natural Resources | 579 | 649 | 927 | 701 | 1,820 | 758 |
| Investment Income | 1,223 | 1,555 | 1,260 | 790 | 262 | 151 |
| All Other Receipts | 796 | 848 | 863 | 1,000 | 958 | 785 |
| Transfer-in: Police State Aid | 1,069 | 1,033 | 1,041 | 886 | 885 | 816 |
| Total Receipts and Transfers-in | \$ 88,567 | \$ 89,737 | \$95,720 | \$97,111 | \$98,452 | \$95,315 |

Hunting license revenues continue to decline from the high in FY 2008, however, they remained relatively stable in FY 2011 from FY 2010. The majority of the decrease is attributable to reduced harvest goals resulting in fewer deer bonus tags issued to maintain big game herds within the State. Turkey license sales have been increasing, but pheasant and waterfowl stamp revenue continue to decline, and deer license sales are expected to remain constant.

Fishing revenue from license sales experienced a significant declined in FY 2011 as well which represent the lowest sales revenue in four years. Most of the decrease is likely attributed to the cold and wet spring. The conservation angling license sales continue to have only marginable dollar impact on revenues, as the majority of the licenses being sold are to anglers who typically do not purchase a license every year.

Sport Fish Restoration Act (Dingell-Johnson DJ) federal grant reimbursements decreased $\$ 2.6$ million and Wildlife Restoration Act (Pittman-Robertson PR) federal grant reimbursements increased $\$ 2.5$ million in 2011. Recent increases to PR are due to increased arms and ammunition sales since 2008, which is not expected to continue. The amount of DJ/PR reimbursement available to the DNR varies each year based on nation-wide sales of hunting and fishing apparel and equipment purchases. Funds are then allocated to each state based on a formula derived from the geographic size of the state and the number of fishing and hunting licenses sold.
M.S. 97A.055, Subd. 3(a) requires the commissioner of natural resources to make specific requests for receipt items in the game and fish fund as part of this report. Costs for fish and wildlife management and enforcement and related programs have continued to rise but there has not been a general fishing and hunting license fee increase since 2001. As a result, the outcomes deliverable by these programs are declining and fee adjustments are necessary to maintain and expand critical fish and wildlife habitat, population and enforcement activities. To address that need, DNR developed an initiative in 2011 to adjust fees. That initiative was included in the Governor's proposed biennial budget. Legislation that would have adjusted and restructured license fees were introduced in 2011 legislative session. This legislation was not acted on and the need for fee adjustments remains.

## Expenditures

Table 2
Game and Fish Fund
Expenditures by Account (In Thousands)

|  | Game and Fish Operations |  | Deer and Bear |  | Deer <br> Management |  | Waterfowl Habitat |  | Trout and Salmon |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fish Management................. | \$ | 27,312 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 887 |
| Wildlife Management. |  | 19,398 |  | 1,335 |  | 1,270 |  | 807 |  | - |
| License Center. |  | 4,542 |  | 44 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Ecological \& Water Resources. |  | 2,073 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Enforcement |  | 19,027 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Parks and Trails.................... |  | 2,694 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Forestry. |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Lands and Minerals................ |  | 1,377 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Operations Support................ |  | 960 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Statewide Indirect. |  | 794 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfers-out. |  | 691 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Agency Total | \$ | 78,868 | \$ | 1,379 | \$ | 1,270 | \$ | 807 | \$ | 887 |

Spending from the Game and Fish Fund is controlled by appropriations authorized by the legislature and signed by the Governor into law. Appropriations are typically established for a biennium; in this case, for fiscal years 2010 and 2011.

At the start of FY 2011 authorized spending from the Game and Fish Fund, including \$5,900,000 carry-forward from FY 2010 totaled $\$ 105,879,000$. Minnesota legislation allows for appropriated funds from the first year of a biennium to be expended in the second. Actual expenditures (not including transfers-out) in FY 2011 were \$98,879,000.

Revenue collections from Venison Donation and Invasive Species Surcharges resulted in a transfer-out of $\$ 254,000$ and $\$ 437,000$ respectively.

| Pheasant Habitat |  | Wild Rice |  | Wildlife Acquisition |  | Wild <br> Turkey |  | Heritage Enhancement |  | Walleye |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 4,068 | \$ | 150 | \$ | 32,417 |
|  | 1,081 |  | 63 |  | 2,327 |  | 217 |  | 4,067 |  | - |  | 30,565 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 4,586 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,606 |  | - |  | 3,679 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,278 |  | - |  | 20,305 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 2,694 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,502 |  | - |  | 1,502 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,377 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 960 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 794 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 691 |
| \$ | 1,081 | \$ | 63 | \$ | 2,327 | \$ | 217 | \$ | 12,521 | \$ | 150 | \$ | 99,570 |


| Table 3 <br> Expenditures and Transfers-out <br> Fiscal Years <br> (In Thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Fish Management | \$29,790 | \$32,078 | \$31,088 | \$34,659 | \$31,878 | \$32,417 |
| Wildlife Management | 23,862 | 27,855 | 27,061 | 31,712 | 28,558 | 30,565 |
| License Center | 3,643 | 4,191 | 3,918 | 4,141 | 4,140 | 4,586 |
| Ecological \& Water Resources | 3,018 | 4,125 | 3,379 | 3,982 | 3,685 | 3,679 |
| Enforcement | 17,921 | 19,588 | 19,577 | 19,675 | 19,924 | 20,305 |
| Parks and Trails | 1,312 | 2,709 | 1,263 | 3,085 | 1,632 | 2,694 |
| Forestry | 250 | 221 | 230 | 284 | 1,380 | 1,502 |
| Lands and Minerals | 843 | 1,007 | 919 | 1,173 | 1,681 | 1,377 |
| Operations Support | 2,641 | 2,837 | 957 | 1,078 | 803 | 960 |
| Statewide Indirect and Transfers | 835 | 673 | 834 | 1,033 | 1,803 | 1,485 |
| Agency Total Expenditures | \$84,115 | \$95,284 | \$89,226 | \$100,821 | \$95,484 | \$99,570 |

## Trends



* Balances exclude dedicated funds.
* Transfers-in and transfers-out are included in revenues and expenses, respectively.
* Projected expenses are based on current statutory appropriations, and projected revenues are based on current statutory fee rates.

Currently, expenditure projections for the Game and Fish Fund exceed revenues. Expenditure projections in the Game and Fish Fund statement are based on current law, including legislative appropriations, which can be higher than actual projected expenditures. Revenue projections are based on current license and fee rates and the projection of future activity. The longer term trends suggest flat sales in the future. Based on projected budgetary data, it is expected that the fund will continue to be solvent through FY 2013.

The projected, non-dedicated fund balance is expected to go negative in FY 2014. Currently the DNR spends about $\$ 3$ million more than it takes in from license sales, federal grant reimbursements and other DNR revenue generating activities. Several factors are contributing to the erosion of the fund balance:

- Although the total number of deer licenses sold is up, revenue generated to the non-dedicated account is down. In FY 2008 the DNR simplified the way deer licenses are sold, which resulted in an increase of total sales, but a decrease of non-dedicated revenue to the game and fish account. Additionally, future availability of bonus tags is expected to decrease as a result of lower herd strength.
- Since 2009, there is a significant increase in sales nationwide of hunting and angling equipment, and federal taxes on marine fuel, which resulted in increased apportionments to the States for fish and wildlife activities. Federal Grant reimbursements are not expected to continue at the current level.
- Costs which the DNR pays for services, fuel, insurance and maintenance of service have increased due to inflation, however, license fees have remained constant since 2001.
- Operating funds from sources other than the Game and Fish Fund continue to decline resulting in higher expenditures from the Game and Fish Fund non-dedicated account.
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Table 4
Game and Fish Fund Expenditures (In Thousands)

Game and Fish Fund Operations (230)
Dedicated Account (234 and 23B)
Heritage Enhancement Account (239)
Total Expenditures

| \$ | 27,312 |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | 1,037 |
|  | 4,068 |
| $\$$ | 32,417 |

The Division of Fish and Wildlife manages recreational and commercial fisheries and aquatic habitat on approximately 5,400 lakes and 16,000 miles of fishable streams and rivers. Anglers spend over 30 million person-days fishing and harvest about 30 million pounds of fish annually in Minnesota.

The six core functions of the fisheries management work are:

- Population management
- Habitat management
- Culture and Stocking
- Education and outreach
- Planning and coordination
- Division Support

Expenditure increases occurred in all major program areas based on priorities identified through operational planning and input from the Fisheries Roundtable and Citizen Oversight Committees. Special emphasis has been placed on habitat improvement and protection and fish stocking programs.

## Expenditure Outcomes

The following expenditures in FY 2011 related directly to projects were spread across all activities except Division Support: training, general administration, facilities, headquarters operations, fleet minimums, equipment, supplies, leave, and Departmental Shared Services.

Current fiscal year expenditures have been grouped into the six core functions. The dollar amounts include expenditures from the Game and Fish Fund that accounted for about $97 \%$ of fish management total expenditures (excluding those from special appropriations and revolving accounts). The program outcomes include accomplishments realized from all funding sources.

Table 5
Fisheries Activities Expenditures
(In Thousands)

| Core Function | Game \& Fish |  | Dedicated |  | Heritage |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Populations Management | \$ | 10,389 | \$ | 140 | \$ | 1,202 | \$ | 11,731 |
| Habitat Management |  | 3,710 |  | 166 |  | 720 |  | 4,596 |
| Culture and Stocking |  | 4,752 |  | 728 |  | 1,837 |  | 7,317 |
| Education and Outreach |  | 2,970 |  | 3 |  | 109 |  | 3,082 |
| Planning and Coordination |  | 3,935 |  | - |  | 3 |  | 3,938 |
| Division Support |  | 1,556 |  | - |  | 197 |  | 1,753 |
| Total Fisheries Activities Expenditures | \$ | 27,312 | \$ | 1,037 | \$ | 4,068 | \$ | 32,417 |

## 1. Population Management

Activities:

- Lake and stream surveys and assessments, large lake sampling program, creel surveys.
- Lake and stream database.
- Regulate recreational and commercial fisheries.
- Monitor private aquaculture and commercial harvest of fish and other aquatic animals.

| Table 6 <br> Population Management <br> Outcomes |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Activity | Number <br> Completed |
| Lake Surveys 778 <br> Stream Surveys 193 <br> Creel Surveys 15 <br> Research Projects 19 |  |


| Program | Table 7 <br> Management Expenditures <br> (In Thousands) |  |  |  | Heritage |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Game \& Fish |  | Dedicated |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake Surveys \& Assessments | \$ | 4,317 | \$ | 28 | \$ | 582 | \$ | 4,927 |
| Large Lake Assessments |  | 1,433 |  | 89 |  | 317 |  | 1,839 |
| Stream Surveys \& Assessments |  | 897 |  | 23 |  | 282 |  | 1,202 |
| Creel Surveys |  | 79 |  | - |  | 20 |  | 99 |
| Lake \& Stream Database |  | 559 |  | - |  | - |  | 559 |
| Private Aquaculture |  | 161 |  | - |  | - |  | 161 |
| Commercial Fishing Monitoring |  | 121 |  | - |  | - |  | 121 |
| Project Monitoring |  | 1,446 |  | - |  | 1 |  | 1,447 |
| Applications Research |  | 430 |  | - |  | - |  | 430 |
| Populations Research |  | 946 |  | - |  | - |  | 946 |
| Total Population Management Expenditures | \$ | 10,389 | \$ | 140 | \$ | 1,202 | \$ | 11,731 |

## 2. Habitat Management

Activities:

- Regulate removal of aquatic plants.
- Environmental review.
- Acquisition and management of aquatic management areas (AMA).
- Lake and stream habitat improvement, shore land habitat restoration, spawning areas, lake reclamation, aeration, watershed projects, fish barriers, fish removal.

| Habit <br> Activity | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \text { gement } \\ & \text { ies } \end{aligned}$ | Number of projects |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount Improved/Acquired |  |
| Shoreland Program | 11 acres/14,000 feet | 61 |
| Acquisition Non Cold-water Stream AMA's | 9 miles / 1,163 acres | 18 |
| Acquisition Cold-water Streams | 3 miles / 51 acres | 8 |
| Trout Streams Habitat Improvement | 213 miles | 45 |
| Warm-water Streams Habitat Improvement | 4 miles | 4 |
| Lake Reclamation |  | 1 |
| Research Habitat Projects |  | 10 |


| Program | Table 9 abitat Management Expenditures (In Thousands) |  |  |  | Heritage |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Game \& Fish |  | Dedicated |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aquatic Plant Management | \$ | 455 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 455 |
| Shoreland |  | 225 |  | - |  | 408 |  | 633 |
| Exotic Species Management |  | 72 |  | - |  | - |  | 72 |
| Environmental Review |  | 386 |  | - |  | - |  | 386 |
| Acquisition |  | 368 |  | 3 |  | 230 |  | 601 |
| Trout Stream Improvement |  | 336 |  | 162 |  | 7 |  | 505 |
| Warmwater Stream Improvement |  | 191 |  | - |  | 2 |  | 193 |
| Lake Improvement |  | 18 |  | - |  | 6 |  | 24 |
| Fish Barriers |  | 106 |  | 1 |  | 25 |  | 132 |
| Lake Reclamation |  | 15 |  | - |  | 1 |  | 16 |
| Lake Aeration |  | 109 |  | - |  | 40 |  | 149 |
| Coop \& Special Projects |  | 197 |  | - |  | - |  | 197 |
| Watershed Projects |  | 50 |  | - |  | 1 |  | 51 |
| Fish Removal |  | 17 |  | - |  | - |  | 17 |
| Habitat Research |  | 1,165 |  | - |  | - |  | 1,165 |
| Total Habitat Management Expenditures | \$ | 3,710 | \$ | 166 | \$ | 720 | \$ | 4,596 |

## 3. Culture and Stocking

## Activities:

- Propagate walleye, muskellunge, northern pike, trout, salmon, and other game fish species for stocking.
- Stock small lakes in the Twin Cities metropolitan area as part of the urban fishing (FiN) program.
- Maintain and improve state fish hatcheries and rearing ponds.
- Assess and improve health of fish in DNR hatcheries and rearing ponds, provide fish health monitoring services to private aquaculture facilities, and assess health of wild populations of fish and wildlife.

Fish Management

|  | Table 10 <br> Culture and Stocking <br> Outcomes |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Table 11 Culture and Stocking Expenditures
(In Thousands)

| Program | Game \& Fish |  | Dedicated |  | Heritage |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walleye | \$ | 1,868 | \$ | 148 | \$ | 1,453 | \$ | 3,469 |
| Muskellunge |  | 621 | - |  |  | 106 |  | 727 |
| Northern Pike |  | 89 |  | - |  | 20 |  | 109 |
| Catfish, Bass, Pan fish, Others |  | 135 |  | - |  | 68 |  | 203 |
| Trout \& Salmon |  | 1,852 |  | 562 |  | 170 |  | 2,584 |
| Kids Fishing Ponds |  | 187 |  | 18 |  | 20 |  | 225 |
| Total Culture and Stocking Expenditures | \$ | 4,752 | \$ | 728 | \$ | 1,837 | \$ | 7,317 |
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## 4. Education and Outreach

## Activities:

- Provide fisheries information in a variety of forums.
- Conduct aquatic education programs.
- Participate in state and county fairs and other resource-related events.
- Recruitment and retention of anglers.

Fish Management

## Table 12 <br> Education and Outreach Outcomes

Activity

| Activity |  | Number |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  | 455 |
| Program Participants |  | 36,562 |
| Volunteers Trained |  | 139 |



## 5. Planning and Coordination

Activities:

- Strategic, long range and operational planning.
- Coordination with the public, other units in the DNR, Indian bands, and other units of government.
- Individual lake and stream management planning.

| Table 14 Planning and Coordination Outcomes |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Activity | Number |
| Lake/Stream Management Plans | 376 |
| Fishing Tournament Permits | 397 |
| Fishing Piers/Shore Access | 1 |

Fish Management

Table 15
Planning and Coordination Expenditures
(In Thousands)

| Program | Game \& Fish |  | Heritage |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department/Agency Coordination |  | 2,698 |  | 1 | \$ | 2,699 |
| Treaty Coordination |  | 200 |  | - |  | 200 |
| Regional Planning |  | 2 |  | - |  | 2 |
| Operational Planning |  | 417 |  | - |  | 417 |
| Lake Management Plans |  | 383 |  | - |  | 383 |
| Stream Management Plans |  | 78 |  | - |  | 78 |
| Tournaments |  | 120 |  | - |  | 120 |
| Fishing Piers |  | 37 |  | 2 |  | 39 |
| Total Planning and Coordination Expenditures | \$ | 3,935 | \$ | 3 | \$ | 3,938 |

## 6. Division Support

Division support costs are those expenditures that are not specific to area operations, research, population, or habitat projects. The Division of Fish and Wildlife is reporting Division Support consistently between Fisheries Management and Wildlife Management sections. These expenditures include; general program administration, management costs, budget administration through the administrative unit, information systems, attorney general's office fees, and state-wide payments for worker's compensation and unemployment.

| Division Sup (In T <br> Program | e |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Game \& } \\ & \text { Fish } \end{aligned}$ |  | Heritage |  | Total |  |
| Division Admin | \$ | 138 | \$ | - | \$ | 138 |
| Workers Comp/Unemployement |  | 757 |  | 197 |  | 954 |
| Information Systems |  | 286 |  | - |  | 286 |
| Non- Project Admin |  | 375 |  | - |  | 375 |
| Total Division Support Expenditures | \$ | 1,556 | \$ | 197 | \$ | 1,753 |
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Table 17
Game and Fish Fund Expenditures (In Thousands)

Game and Fish Fund Operations (230)
\$19,398
Dedicated Accounts (231-238) 7,100
Heritage Enhancement Account (239)
Total Expenditures
\$30,565

The Division of Fish and Wildlife (FAW) protects and manages over 1,400 wildlife management areas (WMA) totaling over 1.3 million acres. Technical assistance is provided to other state agencies, public and private landowners and outdoor recreationists. More than 50 big game, small game, waterfowl, migratory bird, and furbearer species are managed through regulated harvest. These efforts combine to provide quality outdoor recreation opportunities for over 575,000 licensed hunters.

Wildlife expenditures from Game and Fish Funds in fiscal year 2011 accounted for $\$ 30,565,000$. Wildlife accomplishments are reported for all funding sources, as multiple funds support most activities. For this report, Game and Fish Fund expenditures were sorted into the following five core functions:

- Habitat Management
- Population Management
- Education and Outreach
- Planning and Coordination
- Division Support


## Expenditure Outcomes

The following expenditures in FY 2011 related directly to projects were spread across all activities except Division Support: training, general administration, facilities, headquarters operations, fleet minimums, equipment, supplies, leaves, and departmental shared services. Current fiscal year expenditures have been grouped into the five core functions. The program outcomes include accomplishments realized from all funding sources.

| Wildlife Core Function | Table 18 <br> Wildlife Management Expenditures (In Thousands) |  |  |  | Heritage |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Game \& Fish |  | Dedicated |  |  |  |  |  |
| Habitat Management | \$ | 7,588 | \$ | 8,749 | \$ | 3,595 | \$ | 19,932 |
| Population Management |  | 3,908 |  | 1,562 |  | 742 | \$ | 6,212 |
| Education \& Outreach |  | 1,005 |  | 10 |  | 459 | \$ | 1,474 |
| Planning \& Coordination |  | 1,919 |  | - |  | 87 | \$ | 2,006 |
| Division Support |  | 933 |  | 3 |  | 5 | \$ | 941 |
| Wildlife Total Expenditures | \$ | 15,353 | \$ | 10,324 | \$ | 4,888 | \$ | 30,565 |

## 1. Habitat Management

| Program |  | 19 <br> nt Exp sands) |  |  | Heritage |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Game \& Fish |  | Dedicated |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grassland Habitat | \$ | 1,538 | \$ | 1,712 | \$ | 363 | \$ | 3,613 |
| Forest Habitat |  | 335 |  | 1,236 |  | 128 | \$ | 1,699 |
| Private Land Habitat |  | 152 |  | 432 |  | 54 | \$ | 638 |
| Habitat Assessment |  | 1,037 |  | 137 |  | 144 | \$ | 1,318 |
| Wetland Habitat |  | 864 |  | 1,222 |  | 717 | \$ | 2,803 |
| Habitat Research |  | 176 |  | 106 |  | 30 | \$ | 312 |
| Land Acquisitions |  | 824 |  | 2,583 |  | 353 | \$ | 3,760 |
| Environmental Review |  | 413 |  | - |  | 4 | \$ | 417 |
| Technichal Guidance |  | 1,024 |  | 838 |  | 1,397 | \$ | 3,259 |
| Facility Management |  | 1,225 |  | 483 |  | 405 | \$ | 2,113 |
| Total Habitat Management Expenditures | \$ | 7,588 | \$ | 8,749 | \$ | 3,595 | \$ | 9,932 |

The Heritage Enhancement grants provide funding to local outdoors clubs for habitat improvement on WMAs. 1,202 acres of improvement was accomplished in FY 2011, with \$185,000 remaining to be spent in FY 2012.

## Grassland Habitat Management

Management of wildlife habitats in agricultural areas of Minnesota includes restoring and improving, grasslands, controlling noxious weeds, managing food plots and cooperative farming agreements, developing wintercover plantings, and improving roadside habitat.

- "Woody Cover Habitat in Grassland Systems on WMA/AMAs" guidance directive has been adopted by the Division.
- A total of 11,092 terrestrial invasive plant species sites have now been mapped just on WMAs.

Table 20
Grassland Habitat Expenditures and Outcomes (outcomes: all funding sources)

| Activity | Sites | Quantities | Expenditures (thousands) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Noxious Weed Control | 388 WMAs | 5,488 acres | \$ | 458 |
| Prairie/Grassland Management | 436 plantings | 26,833 acres |  | 1,557 |
| Food Plots | 258 food plots | 1,383 acres |  | 387 |
| Cooperative Farming Agreements | 679 agreements | 34,950 acres |  | 115 |
| Prairie/Grassland Burns | 296 burns | 17,694 acres |  | 1,009 |
| Woody Cover Development | 26 plantings | 84 acres |  | 87 |
| Total Grassland Habitat |  |  | \$ | 3,613 |

## Forest Habitat Management

Management of wildlife habitats in forested areas of Minnesota includes forest and open brushland management activities on WMAs, state forests, and other public lands. See the Technical Guidance Program section for additional details on forest planning efforts. Program expenses contributed to the following outcomes.

Habitat loss and degradation are identified as the primary challenge facing wildlife. Almost one-third of the state's 292 Species in Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN) inhabit forests. The management we undertake will maintain and enhance native forest communities supporting game and non-game wildlife populations.

Subsection Forest Resource Management Planning (SFRMP) are vegetation management plans. Since forest management greatly influences the type of forest habitat on the landscape, wildlife populations are affected by these plans. During the development of SFRMPs, wildlife staff is part of a planning team that sets forest goals, objectives, and strategies covering a range of forest resources (i.e. timber, wildlife habitat, watershed protection, biological diversity, etc.

## SFRMP Update

Implementation of SFRMP plan direction is occurring on approximately $98 \%$ of DNR's certified forestlands. The remaining $2 \%$ of DNR's certified forest lands are expected to be under SFRMP direction by the end of 2011.
Work continues on the following:

- Aspen Parklands SFMRP
- Agassiz Lowlands SFRMP
- Blufflands/Rochester Plateau SFRMP
- Mille Lacs Uplands SFRMP
- North 4 SFRMP (Littlefork-Vermilion, Nashwauk Uplands, St. Louis Moraines, Tamarack Lowlands subsections)
- The Border Lakes, North Shore, and Chippewa Plains-Pine Moraines/Outwash Plains SFRMPs
- Hardwood Hills SFRMP
- Anoka Sand Plains SFRMP

The Department has been awarded the Forest Stewardship Council and Sustainable Forestry Initiative third-party certification of 4.84 million acres of state-administered forestlands. An all-encompassing 5 year re-certification audit, to confirm compliance, was conducted in FY 2011.

Table 21
Forest Habitat Expenditures and Outcomes (outcomes: all funding sources)

| Activity | Sites | Quantities | Expenditures (thousands) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forest Opening Management | 472 sites | 790 acres | \$ | 225 |
| Forest Stand Improvement | 196 stands | 4,160 acres |  | 484 |
| Forest Stand Burns | 10 burns | 1,019 acres |  | 86 |
| Open/Brush Land Management | 81 sites | 2,368 acres |  | 274 |
| Open/Brush Land Burns | 22 burns | 5,265 acres |  | 630 |
| Total Forest Habitat |  |  | \$ | 1,699 |

## Private Land Habitat Management

The Private Lands Program (PLP) is to harness the interest of private landowners to conserve wildlife populations and habitats, to maximize the use of existing private lands programs, and to guide and assist private landowners through information/education efforts to become knowledgeable land stewards and wildlife conservationists. This program includes the actual costs of implementing habitat management practices on private land but does not include personnel (see Technical Guidance Program).

- Contributed $\$ 150,000$ for Farm Bill implementation partnership with Board of Water and Soil Resources (BWSR), local Soil and Water Conservation District's (SWCD), and Pheasants Forever to hire nearly 22 FTEs in 36 SWCDs to assist landowners in enrolling in various conservation programs.
- Working Lands Initiative (WLI) formalized 8 grants in FY11 to fund projects that contribute to the $40 \%$ grassland/20\% wetland cover goal for the target area.
- 620 private landowner contacts made, 440 were reached through outreach and education efforts including six presentations, nine workshops, seven fairs and Farm Fest. 615 contacts were made with natural resource professionals individually and in groups at four workshops and 12 presentations.


## Habitat Assessment

Resource assessment includes efforts to inventory, assess and map aquatic and terrestrial wildlife habitat and to create and maintain digital databases for information management. Expenditures included: management and GIS, wildlife resource assessment and wildlife lake assessments. 8,380 hours of Wildlife lake assessments were completed. Many of these surveys documented habitat improvements after management actions were implemented on lakes.

## Wetland Habitat Management

Management of wetland wildlife habitats involves the restoration of drained wetlands, maintenance of existing wetlands by replacing water control structures, managing water levels, maintaining dikes and structures, and the improvement of aquatic habitats by seeding desirable aquatic plants, installing fish barriers, and installing nesting structures. Participation in the North American Waterfowl Plan continues for the 34th year. Contributions are used for the management of extensive Canadian breeding waterfowl habitat.

- Fiscal year 2011 marked the tenth year of implementation of the DNR and Ducks Unlimited (DU) Cooperative Wild Rice Enhancement Program.
- The Shallow Lake Plan was completed.

Table 22
Wetland Habitat Expenditures and Outcomes (outcomes: all funding sources)

| Activity | Sites | Quantities | Expenditures (thousands) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wetlands Habitat Maintenance | 461 wetlands | 150,642 acres | \$ | 782 |
| Waterfowl Nesting Structures | 498 wetlands | 2,231 structures |  | 98 |
| Wetland Restoration | 18 wetlands | 219 acres |  | 93 |
| Wetland Water Control and Impoundment |  |  |  |  |
| Development | 34 wetlands | 18,540 acres |  | 1,491 |
| Wetland Enhancement | 64 wetlands | 2,862 acres |  | 193 |
| North American Waterfowl Plan | N/A | N/A |  | 146 |
| Total Wetland Habitat Expenditures |  |  | \$ | 2,803 |

## Research

The research program includes expenses associated with literature reviews and publication costs. Total Habitat Research expenditures for FY11 were \$270,000.

- Waterfowl and Wetlands Activities
- Completed a fourth field season on ring-necked duck breeding ecology.
- Completed a final year of a study of post-fledging ecology and refuge use of ring-necked ducks in north-central Minnesota. Results are currently being summarized.
- Began research on lesser scaup, snails, and trematodes. Invasive faucet snails can host a trematode that results in mortality for water birds, especially scaup and coots. Shallow lakes in six study areas were sampled for a wide variety of fish, invertebrate, vegetation, and water chemistry. Final study products should provide regionally specific management guidance for lake managers state-wide.
- A final report on a Working Lands Initiative funded study comparing the vegetative response of restored native grass with either a fall biomass harvest or a spring controlled burn treatment was completed. Additional work on using grazing to manage habitats and cattail management was begun.
- Forest Wildlife Activities
- Analyses of the data from a research project on population dynamics of moose in northeastern Minnesota continued. Two manuscripts were published, one is accepted for publication, and another is undergoing peer-review.
- Fieldwork on a project in northwestern Minnesota to determine habitat selection of male ruffed grouse at multiple spatial scales was completed and several manuscripts are in preparation.
- Fieldwork was continued on a study of survival and habitat use of fisher and pine marten. A total of 65 fisher and 128 marten have been captured and radio-collared as part of the pilot study. Both species are important furbearers on the southern edge of their distribution.
- Fieldwork on a project in northwestern Minnesota to determine the population dynamics of black bear on the edge of the forest has been completed. Results are currently undergoing analysis.
- Farmland Wildlife Activities
- Research focused on studying deer hunter attitudes and perceptions of newly proposed deer management strategies was completed.
- Fieldwork continued to assess the effectiveness of inter-seeding forbs into existing grasslands, including measuring changes in plant diversity and insect abundance.
- Pilot work on methods to control woody cover in grasslands was completed.
- Computer software to aid wildlife biologists conducting aerial surveys was completed.


## Land Acquisitions

Wildlife Management Areas (WMA) are part of Minnesota's outdoor recreation system and are established to protect those lands and waters that have a high potential for wildlife production, public hunting, trapping, fishing, wildlife watching and other compatible recreational uses.

- WMA acquisitions totaled 4,336 acres in 67 tracts and expended \$9,700,000 in fiscal year 2011 of which approximately $\$ 3,300,000$ was from the Game and Fish Fund.

| Table 23 <br> Wildlife Management Area Land Acquisition Summary Fiscal Years 2003-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal Year | Acres Donated | Acres Purchased | Total Acres | Cost | Average Cost/Acre |
| 2003 | 2,063 | 1,210 | 3,273 | 1,518,075 | 1,255 |
| 2004 | 1,726 | 2,390 | 4,116 | 2,946,873 | 1,233 |
| 2005 | 2,531 | 6,275 | 8,806 | 9,740,462 | 1,552 |
| 2006 | 1,051 | 3,693 | 4,744 | 7,093,103 | 1,921 |
| 2007 | 4,538 | 4,706 | 9,244 | 13,010,916 | 2,765 |
| 2008 | 2,042 | 4,440 | 6,482 | 12,094,090 | 2,724 |
| 2009 | 567 | 2,986 | 3,553 | 6,428,190 | 2,153 |
| 2010 | 1,682 | 3,772 | 5,454 | 12,409,474 | 3,290 |
| 2011 | 1,600 | 2,736 | 4,336 | 9,721,378 | 3,553 |
| TOTALS | 17,800 | 32,208 | 50,008 | \$74,962,561 |  |
| Avg. FY 03-11 | 1,978 | 3,579 | 5,556 | \$8,329,173 | \$2,327 |

## Technical Guidance

Although Minnesota's WMA system is one of the largest and best in the nation, most wildlife habitat exists on private and public land administered by other agencies or DNR Divisions. Providing technical guidance on effective wildlife population and habitat management principles and techniques to these other land administrators is essential for improvements to wildlife related resources throughout the state. This is especially significant for forest wildlife species.

- Wildlife lake technical guidance: 5,664 hours.
- Forest wildlife technical guidance: 14,487 hours.
- Interagency technical guidance including urban management for wildlife values: 10,514 hours.
- Private land technical guidance: 3,600 hours.
- Nuisance animal technical guidance: 3,092 hours.


## Facility Management

Management responsibility for over 1.2 million acres in 1,412 units of state WMA open to public outdoor recreation requires the development and maintenance of infrastructure such as roads and trails, accesses, parking lots, hunter blinds, wildlife observation structures, and the management of boundaries and information signs. Land and user protection involves sealing open wells and cisterns and cleaning up dumps and building sites on acquired lands.

- The Hunter Walking Trail web site has received over 155,000 hits and GPS downloads since inception (fall 2009).
- Over 300 public comments on WMA management and facilities were received on the web site by the end of FY11, or about 100 per year. These comments provide feedback and useful information for Area Wildlife Staff.
- Management Guidance Documents were completed for nearly 50 Wildlife Management Areas. These capture detailed information about WMAs, including goals, legal issues, management history, habitat and mapped facilities.

| Table 24 <br> Facility Management Outcomes and Expenditures (all funding sources) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Activity }}{}$ | Sites | Quantities | Expenditures (thousands) |  |
|  | 661 units | 2,201 facilities | \$ | 495 |
| Access Management | 424 units | 762 miles |  | 915 |
| Boundary Management | 286 WMAs | 765 miles |  | 538 |
| Site/Building Cleanup/Well Sealing | 62 WMAs | 94 sites |  | 165 |
| Total Facility Mgmt Expenditures |  |  | \$ | 2,113 |

## 2. Population Management

Population management includes surveys to determine the status of populations or harvest, hunting season management including special hunts for deer and geese, actions taken to manage disease outbreaks, capture and release of wild turkeys, Canada geese, and other species, managing nuisance animals, and distribution of resources to meet Indian treaty agreements. Key activities and accomplishments include the following:


## Big Game Activities

- Coordinated the deer, elk, moose, and bear hunts for 2011.
- The draft Moose Management and Research Plan was made available for public comments.
- Helped the wildlife health program coordinate bovine tuberculosis (TB) and chronic wasting disease (CWD) surveillance in northwest and southeast Minnesota.
- Completed the administrative rules for big game hunting and revised the hunting synopsis.
- Published 3 peer-reviewed papers focusing on incorporating public input into decision-making and modeling hunter choice of regulatory alternatives.
- Helped coordinate bovine TB and CWD surveillance and helped with alternative deer management check stations. Primary responsibilities were overseeing contracts, training, and preparing the staffing needs for $60+$ stations spread over 4 hunting weekends.
- Completed the administrative rules for big game hunting and revised the hunting synopsis.


## Wild Turkey Activities

- Continued work on wild turkey permit area consolidation process, with a goal of condensing the existing 77 permit areas into roughly 12 new larger permit areas. This will provide more opportunity for hunters and simplify administration.
- Fall wild turkey population survey analysis and report completed and posted on DNR web site.


## Waterfowl Activities

- Waterfowl breeding surveys conducted for ducks and for Canada geese.
- Waterfowl surveys completed several times on all 40 case study lakes during the migration season. Habitat surveys completed on approximately one-third of the lakes.
- Co-sponsored annual Waterfowl Symposium with Minnesota Waterfowl Association (MWA) , Ducks Unlimited (DU), Delta Waterfowl, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) in Bloomington.
- Engaged citizen waterfowl hunters in a discussion about Minnesota waterfowling and wetland and waterfowl management.


## Population Monitoring

The population monitoring program includes expenses associated with literature reviews and publication costs.

- Waterfowl and Wetlands Activities
- Ring-necked duck breeding population survey was continued for the seventh year. This year's survey estimated 22,700 ring-necked ducks in the core area of Minnesota's breeding range.
- The Minnesota May Waterfowl breeding ground population and habitat survey, which began in 1968, was completed.
- 4,356 Canada geese were banded in summer 2010 during our operational goose-banding program.
- Crews banded 1,356 ducks during summer drive-trapping and night-lighting efforts and 2,094 ducks during preseason rocket-netting operations. Collected avian influenza samples from several species of migratory waterfowl.
- Forest Wildlife Activities
- An aerial survey of moose numbers in northeastern Minnesota was completed in January 2011 that indicated moose numbers were lower than previous years.
- The annual ruffed grouse drumming count was conducted to provide an index to the status of this important game species.
- Counts of displaying sharp-tailed grouse and prairie chicken were conducted throughout the sharp-tail and prairie chicken ranges.
- Trends in furbearer species were monitored using a scent station survey and a winter track count.
- GIS/GPS support continues to be used for aerial surveys related to disease management, alternative deer management and other population work.
- Created a GIS model to calculate the deer winter severity index on a statewide basis. This model will be used starting in the winter of 2011. The model uses weather information from the State Climatology Office, which replace staff-collected data, thus freeing up staff to work on other activities.
- Grasslands Wildlife Activities
- Aerial surveys and distance-sampling surveys continue in southeastern and northwestern deer permit areas to scientifically recalibrate the farmland deer population model and evaluate alternative deer management regulations.
- Biological data were collected from hunter-harvested deer to develop an age structure profile of the Zone 3 deer populations to evaluate the antler-point restriction regulation.
- August roadside surveys were completed to monitor ring-necked pheasant abundance and other grassland wildlife species.


## - Wildlife Disease Activities

- Over 1,600 hunter-harvested deer were tested for bovine TB in northwestern Minnesota. No new cases of the disease were detected.
- Approximately 550 hunter-harvested deer were tested for CWD in SE Minnesota. One tested positive, which marked the first time CWD was discovered in wild deer in MN. Additional samples from nearly 1,200 deer were collected over winter, no additional cases detected.
- Hunter-harvested moose were screened for a variety of diseases and parasites as part of an ongoing effort to monitor the health status of the northeastern herd.
- Hunter-harvested elk were screened for a variety of disease and parasites as part of an ongoing effort to monitor the health status of the free-ranging northwestern herd.
- Wolves that were trapped through depredation complaints, hit by vehicles, or handled as part of ongoing research projects were screened for a variety of diseases and parasites as part of a new study on health and genetics of Minnesota's wolves.
- Waterfowl mortality events were investigated and screened for a variety of diseases and parasites.


## Venison Donation Program

The Legislature created a $\$ 5$ surcharge on all non-resident hunting licenses, a $\$ 1$ fee on antlerless permits, and an option for all individuals to donate $\$ 1, \$ 3$, or $\$ 5$. These receipts are appropriated to the commissioner for deer management, including grants for assisting with the cost of processing deer taken for population management purposes for venison donation programs.
3. Education and Outreach

| Program | ble 26 and Outre ousands) |  |  | Heritage |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Game \& Fish | Dedicated |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recruitment and Retention | \$ 2 | \$ |  | \$ | 274 | \$ | 276 |
| Public Information | 992 |  | 10 |  | 184 |  | 1,186 |
| State Fair Store | 11 |  | - |  | 1 | \$ | 12 |
| Total Education \& Outreach Expenditures | \$ 1,005 | \$ | 10 | \$ | 459 | \$ | 1,474 |

## - Mentor Program

- Coordination of 36 mentored 2-day youth turkey hunts with 368 applicants and 306 participants.
- Coordination of 15 special youth deer hunts within MN State Parks and areas not normally open to the public with 686 applicants and 400 participants.
- Conducted seventh annual early youth deer season with approximately 2,500 participants.
- Implemented fourth annual mentored youth upland bird hunts on private lands with 166 inexperienced youths and 52 rookie women hunters.
- Constructed 4 mentored youth waterfowl hunts for 46 youths including: safety, duck identification, regulations, habitat information, calling instructions and preparations needed from the field to the kitchen table.
- Designed Outdoor Advisor Training Program to develop a team of certified DNR mentors who will be matched with youths and adults who have limited outdoor experience.
- The Southeast Asian Program
- Coordinated, in cooperation with Capitol Sportsmen Chapter of MDHA, the Asian Heritage Outdoor Inc. organization and other Pan-Asian immigrant community groups, a series of firearms safety classes in the metropolitan area and certified 500 Southeast Asian youth.
- Provided updated information and education on outdoor recreation opportunities and assisted in scheduling activities for some 600 to 700 participants at state parks, state forests, and lakeshore recreation areas.
- Organized hunting issues groups in Southeast MN, and assisted private groups and government units to provide cultural and technical assistance including language translation, impacting up to 2000 Hmong hunters.
- Becoming an Outdoors Woman (BOW) Program hosted two women weekend workshops, four Becoming an Outdoors Family weekend workshops, one College Outdoor Skills day and 50 Beyond BOW skill development classes that served 1061 participants, a 43\% increase from FY10.
- Expanding the I Can Hunt concept to offer an Upland Bird Day for the first time in FY11, which had 78 participants. . Partners included Dakota Co. Gun Club, Vermillion WMA, DNR and Pheasants Forever
- Coordinated with the Safari Club - North Country Bow Hunter chapter to offer a new Archery series for FY11. The four events ran over the course of FY11 with a kick off of 58 women at their banquet in February to having 20 women hunt turkeys at Cedar Creek in May of 2011.
- The National Archery in Schools Program (NASP) currently has 720 teachers trained as instructors, and 138,000 students participated. Currently, 360 schools are enrolled in the program.
- The Archery in the Parks program was expanded to include the three remaining Regions of the State in 2011. Nineteen State Parks conducted 47 days of archery instruction to 4,133 youth \& adults who were visiting Minnesota State Parks during the summer of 2011. An additional 5000 youth and adults were provided an introduction to archery at the Minnesota State Fair.


## Graph 7




## 4. Planning and Coordination

Planning and coordination includes: 1) the management of USFWS Wildlife Restoration Act projects (PittmanRobertson), 2) implementation of an operational planning and the accomplishment reporting program called the Wildlife Management System, and 3) coordination at the section, division and department levels on policy, public participation, human dimensions, outreach, and program implementation.

| Plan <br> Program | Table 27 \& Coordination Thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Game \& Fish |  | Dedicated |  | Heritage |  | Total |  |
| Operational Planning | \$ | 866 | \$ | - | \$ | 51 | \$ | 917 |
| Departmental Coordination |  | 854 |  | - |  | 34 | \$ | 888 |
| Division Coordination |  | 2 |  | - |  | - | \$ | 2 |
| Research Administration |  | 155 |  | - |  | - | \$ | 155 |
| Public Coordination/Input |  | 42 |  | - |  | 2 | \$ | 44 |
| Total Planning \& Coordination Expenditures |  | 1,919 | \$ | - | \$ | 87 |  | 006 |

## 5. Division Support

Division support costs are those expenditures that are not specific to area operations, research, population, or habitat projects. The Division of Fish and Wildlife is reporting Division Support consistently between Fisheries Management and Wildlife Management sections. These expenditures include; general program administration, management costs, budget administration through the administrative unit, information systems, attorney general's office fees, and state-wide payments for worker's compensation and unemployment.

Table 28
Division Support
(In Thousands)

| Program |  <br> Fish |  | Dedicated |  | Heritage |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division Administration | \$ | 239 | \$ | - | \$ | 1 | \$ | 240 |
| Non-project Administration |  | 260 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 267 |
| Workers Compensation and Unemployment |  | 434 |  | - |  | - |  | 434 |
| Total Division Support Expenditures | \$ | 933 | \$ | 3 | \$ | 5 | \$ | 941 |



License Center

## 2011 Game and Fish Fund <br> Report



The License Center handles the distribution of licenses, stamps and permits required by hunters, anglers and commercial game and fish interests. About 1,550 sales agents sell licenses, stamps and permits using the Electronic Licensing System (ELS). In fiscal year 2011, sales agents handled approximately 99\% of all transactions. The remaining 1\%, including issuance of commercial and lifetime licenses, was sold at the License Center in the DNR's St. Paul central office.

The ELS has been operational for more than 11 years. A third-party vendor handles the day-to-day operation with additional support provided by the License Center. Monthly payments to the vendor cover the costs of terminal use, paper used to print licenses and permits, a 24 -hour technical support phone line, and use of the vendor's host computer. More than 2.5 million licenses, permits, stamps and license validation transactions were processed through ELS, generating more than $\$ 58,000,000$ in revenue for the year.

In fiscal year 2011, the License Center spent $\$ 4,542,000$ in Game and Fish Fund and $\$ 44,000$ in Game and Fish Fund dedicated accounts. $\$ 3,540,000$ was expended to operate ELS through its statutory appropriation under 97A.485, subdivision 7. License Center operating Game and Fish Fund (including fund 231) expenses of $\$ 1,046,000$ were made up of personnel, supply and expense items. The specific activities in the License Center that support the licensing of hunters, anglers and commercial interests include:

- Printing angling and commercial game and fish licenses, permits, stamps, lottery applications and winning lottery notifications.
- Distribution, packaging and shipping of over 2,500,000 copies of hunting and angling regulations, 170,000 lottery application worksheets/winner notices, and the printing and mailing of pictorial stamps to individual licensees. (Printed 65,000 stamps, mailed 32,000).
- Awards hunting permits through a lottery process where the demand for permits exceeds the allowable harvest.
- Publicizes special hunts as they are announced and coordinates the sale of permits. Wildlife management determines the need for special hunts. A special hunt may extend a particular season, add a new harvest season or increase the harvest of a species in a geographic location.
- Sales agents and the License Center provide an information line phone number for hunters, anglers and commercial licensees to call with questions and requests for information. In fiscal year 2011, this telephone help desk received over 44,000 calls from its sales agents, individuals and commercial interests.

In April 2010 the License Center successfully introduced an Interactive Voice Response (IVR) and an Internet harvest option for animal registrations. In fiscal year 2011, 46,700 hunters used the IVR system and 64,800 hunters used the Internet, which is half of the 223,000 total statewide registrations.

All lifetime licenses must be purchased through the License Center in St. Paul. In fiscal year 2011, the License Center issued 3086 new lifetime licenses, which is an increase of 950 licenses when compared to fiscal year 2010 sales. Hunters and anglers, who purchase a lifetime license, are required to validate the annual use of their lifetime license. The validation is a non-cash transaction done through a sales agent or the License Center in St. Paul. Lifetime licenses do not include applicable stamps, tags or additional harvest permits.

Trends in licenses are shown in table 30 and graphs 8,9 and 10. Future license sales are expected to be flat.

Table 30
License Certification Data for Federal Aid Apportionment (as reported to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service)

| License Year | Number of Certified Paid Hunters (1) | Total Hunting Licenses, Tags, Permits \& Stamps (2) | Number of Certified Paid Anglers (1) | Total Fishing Licenses, Tags, Permits \& Stamps (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 | 578,230 | 1,231,463 | 1,565,443 | 1,416,843 |
| 2000 | 580,338 | 1,247,482 | 1,565,708 | 1,446,898 |
| 2001 | 585,104 | 1,594,619 | 1,492,913 | 1,417,094 |
| **2002 | 562,602 | - | 1,487,076 | - |
| 2003 | 573,424 | 1,413,759 | 1,461,112 | 1,341,921 |
| 2004 | 571,581 | 1,397,641 | 1,467,677 | 1,381,787 |
| 2005 | 571,547 | 1,377,389 | 1,458,013 | 1,363,272 |
| 2006 | 578,244 | 1,456,775 | 1,478,193 | 1,411,415 |
| 2007 | 579,060 | 1,419,876 | 1,492,087 | 1,275,308 |
| 2008 | 581,828 | 1,471,568 | 1,481,758 | 1,269,926 |
| 2009 | 576,723 | 1,424,718 | 1,518,613 | 1,390,489 |
| *2010 | 579,752 |  | 1,492,529 |  |

(1) Data submitted to USFWS "Part I - Certification"
(2) Data submitted to USFWS "Part II - Summary of Hunting and Sport Fishing Licenses Issued"

* 2010 Total is an estimate. Final numbers will not be calculated/certified until 2012.
** Due to a change in reporting requirements, figures for LY 2002 were not certified.
Compiled by MN Federal Aid Coordinator



## Small Game License Types Include

- Resident Small Game
- Resident Youth Small Game
- Resident Senior Citizen Small Game
- Resident Disabled Vet Small Game
- Resident Individual Sports
- Resident Combination Sports
- Non-resident Small Game
- Non-resident Youth Small Game
- Lifetime Small Game Renewal
- Lifetime Sports Renewal



## Deer License Types Include

- Resident Firearm* - Disabled Vet Firearm*
- Resident Archery
- Resident Youth Firearm*
- Resident Youth Archery
- Resident Early Season
- Resident All Season
- Resident Multi-zone Buck
- Military Firearm*
- Disabled Vet Archery
- Non-resident Firearm*
- Non-resident Archery
- Non-resident Multi-zone Buck
- Non-resident Youth Firearm*
- Non-resident Youth Archery
- Lifetime Firearm Renewal*
- Military Archery
- Lifetime Archery Renewal
*Firearm includes Muzzleloader
The increase in 2009 deer license sales is largely due to the deer simplication where the all-season license was no longer available.



## Angling License Types Include

- 24 Hour (Resident and Non-resident)
- Resident Individual
- Resident Combination
- Resident Individual Conservation
- Resident Combination Conservation
- Resident Individual Sports
- Resident Combination Sports
- Non-resident Individual
- Non-resident Family Angling
- Non-resident 14 Day Husband \& Wife
- Non-resident 7 Day Angling
- Non-resident 72 Hour Angling
- Non-resident Youth Angling
- Lifetime Resident Angling Renewal
- Lifetime Resident Sportsman Renewal
- Lifetime Non-resident Renewal

FY 2008 was the first year (partial year) of the new 14-month angling license, and FY 2009 was the first complete year. The reduced numbers in FY 2008 and the higher sales numbers in FY 2009 is reflective of the shift in sales patterns caused by the new license period.



# Ecological and Water 

Resources

2011 Game
and Fish Fund
Report

Table 31
Game and Fish Fund Expenditures (In Thousands)

Game and Fish Fund Operations (230)
Heritage Enhancement Account (239)

| $\$$ | 2,073 <br> 1,606 |
| :---: | ---: |
| $\$$ | 3,679 |

## Expenditure Analysis

In fiscal year 2011, Ecological and Water Resources expended a total of \$3,679,000 of Game and Fish funding. Other significant revenue sources include the General Fund, Natural Resources Fund, Environmental Trust Fund, Legacy and Federal funds. Within the Game and Fish Fund there are two major funding sources that supported natural resource work in the Division of Ecological and Water Resources in fiscal year 2011:

- Game and Fish operating fund dollars support traditional game and fish activities in three key resource areas and a portion of the Division Support costs. The total appropriation to the Division has remained relatively constant for the past several years.
- Heritage Enhancement Funds were directed at three resource areas, and a portion of the Division Support costs.

In fiscal year 2011, Game and Fish operating funds were directed at 10 programs: aquatic plants, aquatic invertebrates, stream habitat protection, Mississippi River management, lake habitat protection, natural resource damage assessments, education and information delivery, environmental review, planning and coordination, and information systems.

The Heritage Enhancement funds were directed at 11 programs: natural heritage, nongame wildlife, native prairie stewardship, county biological survey, aquatic plants, stream habitat protection, lake habitat protection, education and information delivery, environmental review and wetlands, planning and coordination, and information systems. Table 37 presents a five-year summary of program expenditures in the Game and Fish and Heritage Enhancement funds.

Fiscal year 2011 expenditures are summarized by the four resource areas and for Division Support (Table 32). Division Support includes management costs, budget administration through the division's business unit, attorney general's fees not associated with a specific program, fleet charges for Division vehicles not directly tied to specific program outcomes, and state-wide payments for worker's compensation. Costs for department shared services ( $\$ 121,000$ in Game and Fish and $\$ 100,000$ in Heritage Enhancement) are spread across the programs. The reported expenditures are only from the Game and Fish Fund and Heritage Enhancement Account.

| Table 32 <br> Expenditures by Resource Area (In Thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Game \& Fish | Heritage |  | Total |  |
| Lakes \& Rivers | \$ 1,306 | \$ | 128 | \$ | 1,434 |
| Ecosystem Health | 20 |  | - |  | 20 |
| Integrated Conservation Information | 648 |  | 366 |  | 1,014 |
| Nongame \& Rare Resources | - |  | 1,034 |  | 1,034 |
| Division Support | 99 |  | 78 |  | 177 |
| Total Expenditures | \$ 2,073 | \$ | 1,606 | \$ | 3,679 |

## Description of Annual Outcomes

The following summarizes the principal activities, expenditures, and outcomes for each of the four resource areas and division support.

## 1. Lakes and Rivers

## Activities:

- Assess the diversity, distribution, and abundance of native aquatic plant communities.
- Assess aquatic invertebrate populations to support fisheries management and research needs.
- Protect and restore Minnesota's streams and rivers.
- Conduct monitoring and management programs for the Mississippi River and coordinate with other states on Mississippi River management.
- Protect and restore Minnesota's lakes, including oversight for lake aeration regulation.


## Outcomes:

A. Aquatic Plants

- Conducted 4 aquatic plant identification workshops for about 100 DNR staff involved in lake-habitat protection. Provided technical assistance related to aquatic plant identification and survey methodology to DNR Fish and Wildlife and Ecological and Water Resources staff, private consultants, and lake associations.
- Conducted vegetation survey on 17 lakes (approx. 15,900 acres) in Cass, Crow Wing, LeSueur, Meeker, Morrison, Sherburne, and Stearns counties and on three major areas (approx. 4,000 acres) in Mille Lacs Lake in Mille Lacs County.
- Mapped the remaining 100 acres of emergent and floating-leaf plant beds in the Whitefish Chain of Lakes (Crow Wing County).
- Completed vegetation survey reports for 10 lakes which are posted, by county, on DNR's website at http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/eco/pubs aquatics.html.


## B. Aquatic Invertebrates

- Analyzed invertebrate samples for fisheries management and research programs.
- Continued research to help Fisheries assess potential ecological impacts from invasive species populations in Mille Lacs Lake.
- Continued research to assess ecological impacts from invasive species populations in five lakes in the Alexandria area.
- Began study with Fisheries Research monitoring aquatic invertebrates in cisco lakes.


## C. Stream Habitat Protection

- Continued long-term monitoring of fish habitat associations on Ottertail and Yellow Medicine rivers, as well as surveys of the channel and fish community on Lawndale Creek in preparation for restoration of the ditched portion, and field sampling to document habitat associations of Minnesota fishes.
- Expanded collection of fish habitat association information to northern Minnesota streams, including the Knife River, French River, and St. Louis River.
- Continued project to establish interactive results for a GIS-based watershed assessment tool to provide resource managers with information on the resource health of Minnesota watersheds.
- Worked with a wide variety of partners on six stream protection and restoration projects.
- Christine and Hickson dam modifications designed, permits secured and rock purchased; construction will proceed when water levels allow.
D. Mississippi River Management
- Provided input on habitat needs for fish and aquatic plants for a multi-agency effort to develop models for the Mississippi River ecosystem under various water level management scenarios.
- Developed information and identified opportunities for managing the expansion of Asian carp species into Minnesota and restoring essential movements of native migratory fish within the present system of locks and dams.
- Provided coordination on developing biological indicators for water quality and habitat improvements in the Mississippi River from the Twin Cities to Lake Pepin.


## E. Lake Habitat Protection

- Issued 319 aeration permits; approximately 134,665 surface water acres were aerated. Of the permits issued, 202 were to prevent winterkill.
- Completed sensitive lakeshore reports for Leech Lake, Cass Co. (105,000 acres and 203 shoreline miles) and Pelican Lake, Crow Wing Co. (8,300 acres and 29 shoreline miles).
- Completed an online version of "Score Your Shore: a citizen shoreline description survey". Related information can be found at http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/scoreyourshore/index.html.
- Provided technical assistance to the public concerning aeration system types and regulatory requirements.



## 2. Ecosystem Health

## Activities:

- Assess damage to fish or wildlife associated with spill or kill events.


## Outcomes:

A. Natural Resource Damages

- Responded to 332 reports of spills and fish/wildlife kill incidents, including 106 petroleum releases, 73 waste water treatment or septic releases, 16 manure spills, and 135 spills/kills involving various products and diseases, 16 reports of dead fish and four reports of dead raptors due to encounters with power lines.



## 3. Integrated Conservation Information

## Activities:

- Provide public information and educational outreach.
- Review and comment on environmental documents including Environmental Assessment Worksheets (EAW), Environmental Impact Statements (EIS) and permits, and coordinate DNR involvement on development projects to reduce or mitigate for environmental impacts.
- Assess the quantity and quality of wetlands.
- Conduct fish and wildlife planning.
- Maintain a comprehensive information system for ecological data.


## Outcomes:

A. Education \& Information Delivery (outcomes also supported with other funding sources)

- Presented 32 Project WILD, Minnesota Project WILD Early Childhood Curriculum, and Growing Up WILD in Learning Design workshops to 693 teachers, an increase of $40 \%$ over last year's workshops.
- Completed "Taking Action Opportunities: Using Trail Cameras in the Classroom" curriculum and posted it on the Project WILD website; provided free learning kits for teachers to use in the classroom.


## B. Environmental Review (Game and Fish Operations funding; outcomes also supported with other funding sources)

- Reviewed 1,546 documents connected with 549 public and private development projects, including 12 residential developments, 163 transportation projects, 20 recreation and entertainment projects, 16 commercial or industrial developments, 85 utility or transmission lines (including 13 wind power projects) 110 communications towers, and 18 municipal wastewater treatment facilities and other major dischargers.
- Completed environmental review for the Lake Ogechie outlet project. Worked on EAWs for the Minnesota Falls dam removal project. Completed EAW need determinations for 10 proposed projects.
- Drafted a guidance document for prospective wind energy developers, and drafted avian/bat survey protocols for wind energy development.
C. Wetlands
- Acquired the last sample panel of aerial photographs for the second three-year sampling cycle of the comprehensive wetlands monitoring effort. This will allow full statistical analysis to quantify statewide wetland gain or loss between the first cycle (2006-2008) and the second cycle (2009-2011). Conducted statistical analysis of the data from the first three-year sampling cycle to establish baseline estimates of wetland acreage, by type.
- Report is available at: http://files.dnr.state.mn.us/eco/wetlands/wstmp report final 121410.pdf.
- Identified and digitized wetlands via photo-interpretation for all of the aerial photos acquired in FY10; conducted ground-truthing for a subsample of the photo-interpreted plots.
- Provided hydrodrologic expertise in support of moist soil management.


## D. Planning and Coordination

- Helped design and manage the 2011 DNR Roundtable Event for fisheries, wildlife, and ecological and water resource stakeholders.
- Helped the stream habitat program design and implement stakeholder participation processes.
- Helped promote and incorporate fish and wildlife resource management objectives in Division operational and strategic planning efforts.
E. Information Systems (outcomes also supported with other funding sources)
- Managed data for aquatic invertebrates, aquatic plant management, vegetation plots, and rare species locations.
- Implemented new aquatic invertebrate and vegetation plot databases.
- Improved data quality and data access by integrating individual databases.

| Integrated Conser <br> Program Activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Game \& Fish |  | Heritage |  | Total |  |
| Education \& Information Delivery | \$ | 46 | \$ | 2 | \$ | 48 |
| Environmental Review \& Wetlands |  | 541 |  | 263 |  | 804 |
| Planning \& Coordination |  | 17 |  | 8 |  | 25 |
| Information Systems |  | 44 |  | 93 |  | 137 |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 648 | \$ | 366 | \$ | 1,014 |

## 4. Nongame \& Rare Resources

## Activities:

- Provide location and biological data for rare species.
- Conduct nongame wildlife field projects.
- Provide assistance to private landowners related to habitat management.
- Provide assistance for habitat management on public lands.
- Collect data on rare plants, animals, and natural communities.


## Outcomes:

A. Natural Heritage Information System (outcomes also supported with other funding sources)

- Provided data administration and system support for aquatic invertebrates, rare and endangered species, colonial water birds, vegetation, plant community, and stream habitat databases.
B. Nongame Wildlife (outcomes also supported with other funding sources)
- Installed five vegetation buffer zone projects on lakeshores totaling 1,075 frontage feet, and rechecked 22 sites installed in prior years for additional planting or weed control needs.
- Collected native plant seeds and propagules from 92 species of native plants for use in the lake buffer zone plantings.
- Conducted lowland conifer surveys in NE Minnesota to document important songbird nesting habitats.
- Monitored movement and survival of over 50 Blanding's turtles in the Perch Creek watershed of southwestern Minnesota; conducted habitat assessments and provided technical guidance to conservation partners and rural landowners regarding conservation measures for Blanding's turtles.
- Provided partial funding for a University of Minnesota study of Golden-winged Warblers and a prairie bird monitoring project.
C. Native Prairie Stewardship (outcomes also supported with other funding sources)
- Implemented prairie enhancement projects involving 63 landowners.
- Completed 17 Terrestrial Invasive Baseline reports on priority prairie sites.
- Completed 101 prairie management projects on private lands including: woody encroachment removal on 306 acres ( 26 sites); prairie reconstruction and restoration on 49 acres ( 13 sites); invasive treatment (nonwoody) on 76 acres ( 37 sites); prescribed burn on 1,261 acres ( 25 sites).
D. County Biological Survey (some outcomes also supported with other funding sources)
- Made data available through DNR's public geographic information system site from field surveys in Becker, Carlton, Cottonwood, Dodge, Faribault, Freeborn, Lincoln, Lyon, Martin, Mower, Nobles, Pipestone, Steele, Waseca, and Watonwan counties.
- Conducted prairie assessments, including testing protocols for a patch-burn grazing assessment, and participated in a statewide prairie planning process resulting in Minnesota Prairie Conservation Plan 2010: a habitat plan for native prairie, grassland, and wetlands in the Prairie Region of Western Minnesota.
- Updated and improved bird distribution maps for Minnesota locations of 242 breeding birds based on observations by the County Biological Survey (http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/eco/mcbs/birdmaps.html).
- Prepared/revised species accounts for 23 amphibian species (http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/rsg/index.html) and made distribution maps for Minnesota's amphibians and reptiles available on the DNR website (http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/eco/mcbs/amphibian\&reptile maps.html).
- Participated in DNR's Forest Certification Surveillance Audits and developed a High Conservation Value Forests delivery tool to provide DNR managers improved access data about these forests.

| Table 36 <br> Non Game and Rare Resources Expenditures (In Thousands) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program Activity | Heritage |  |
| Natural Heritage Program | \$ | 7 |
| Nongame Wildlife |  | 129 |
| Native Prairie Stewardship |  | 219 |
| Minnesota County Biological Survey |  | 679 |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 1,034 |
| *No Game \& Fish account expenditures |  |  |

## 5. Division Support

## Activities:

- Provide managerial and business support for the Division.


## Outcomes:

## A. Headquarters Operations/Administration

- Includes administrative support, management and supervision.
B. Equipment
- Includes fleet charges for Division vehicles not directly tied to a specific program outcome.
C. Other division-wide costs
- Includes Attorney General's fees not associated with a specific program and statewide worker's compensation costs.

| Table 37 <br> Summary of Historical Expenditures <br> Last Five Fiscal Years (In Thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program Area | 2007 |  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  |
| 1. Lakes \& Rivers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aquatic Plants | \$ | 70 | \$ | 77 | \$ | 95 | \$ | 134 | \$ | 118 |
| Nongame Fish |  |  |  | 9 |  | 7 |  | - |  |  |
| Lake Assessments (aeration \& water |  | 21 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Aquatic Invertebrates |  | 33 |  | 33 |  | 37 |  | 38 |  | 66 |
| Stream Habitat Protection \& Miss. River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mgmt |  | 977 |  | 812 |  | 959 |  | 956 |  | 1,172 |
| Lake Habitat Protection |  | 158 |  | 105 |  | 133 |  | 36 |  | 78 |
| 2. Ecosystem Health |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fish Contaminants |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 4 |  |  |
| Pathology Laboratory |  | 404 |  | 342 |  | 295 |  | - |  |  |
| Natural Resource Damages |  | 34 |  | 27 |  | 30 |  | 34 |  | 20 |
| Terrestrial Invasive Species |  | 172 |  | - |  | 60 |  | - |  |  |
| 3. Integrated Conservation Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Education \& Information Delivery |  | 31 |  | 46 |  | 48 |  | 57 |  | 48 |
| Environmental Review \& Wetlands |  | 749 |  | 634 |  | 579 |  | 586 |  | 804 |
| Planning \& Coordination |  | 36 |  | 51 |  | 50 |  | 77 |  | 25 |
| Information Systems |  | 181 |  | 87 |  | 78 |  | 130 |  | 137 |
| 4. Nongame \& Rare Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Heritage |  | 8 |  | 12 |  | 18 |  | 1 |  | 7 |
| Nongame Wildlife |  | 217 |  | 162 |  | 215 |  | 267 |  | 129 |
| Native Prairie Stewardship |  | 257 |  | 121 |  | 393 |  | 138 |  | 219 |
| County Biological Survey |  | 569 |  | 570 |  | 617 |  | 608 |  | 679 |
| 5. Division Support |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Administration, Headquarters Operations, Equipment |  | 206 |  | 290 |  | 366 |  | 157 |  | 177 |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 4,125 | \$ | 3,379 | \$ | 3,982 | \$ | 3,223 | \$ | 3,679 |



# Enforcement 
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## Enforcement



The Division of Enforcement is responsible for ensuring public safety and compliance with state game and fish, recreational vehicle and natural resource commercial operation laws in order to protect Minnesota's natural resources.

Major responsibilities include law enforcement, public safety and education in:

- Hunting and fishing seasons, methods of taking animals and fish, bag and possession limits.
- Public safety, especially where it concerns alcohol use while hunting or operating recreational vehicles and watercraft.
- Commercial use of natural resources and products.
- The protection of the state's land, air, wetlands and water.
- Youth and adult safety training and hunter education classes.

| Table 39 <br> Program Activity Expenditures (In Thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Game \& Fish |  | Heritage |  | Total |  |
| Division Support | \$ | 2,149 | \$ | 134 | \$ | 2,283 |
| Fishing Regulation |  | 8,790 |  | 597 |  | 9,387 |
| Hunting Regulation |  | 7,192 |  | 488 |  | 7,680 |
| Safety Training |  | 694 |  | 25 |  | 719 |
| Commercial Activities / Special Investigations |  | 202 |  | 34 |  | 236 |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 19,027 | \$ | 1,278 | \$ | 20,305 |

## Expenditure Analysis:

Included in the total time expended on fishing and hunting regulation, safety training, commercial regulation and special investigations, is a pro-rated portion of costs associated with staffing and operational expenses, including vehicle fleet services, communications, equipment maintenance, officer training, technology costs, and administrative support costs.

## Division Support

The Division of Enforcement spent $\$ 2,283,000$ on division support, which includes retiree benefits and insurance, workers compensation costs, and administrative services (rental expenses, direct and indirect costs).

## Fishing Regulation (non-commercial)

The Division of Enforcement spent $\$ 9,387,000$ on angler license checks, enforcement of regulations including experimental and special regulation waters, shelter house regulation, protection of spawning fish populations, and public information/education service.

## Hunting Regulation

The Division of Enforcement spent $\$ 7,680,000$ in support of this activity, which includes hunting license checks, enforcement of regulations relating to big game, small game, migratory waterfowl, trapping, public information and education services, and assistance to wildlife with survey and census of animal populations.

## Safety Training

The Division of Enforcement spent $\$ 719,000$ in support of Youth Firearm Safety Program and Advanced Hunter Education Program. These programs certified 23,422 Minnesota youth and adults in programs that taught safe firearm handling, basic law information, game identification, hunter ethics, and hunter/landowner relations. Other education programs this year included Bow-hunter Education, Bear and Turkey clinics, involving 1,823 students.

## Commercial Activities/Special Investigations

The Division of Enforcement spent $\$ 236,000$ in support of commercial regulatory activities and special investigations. Special investigations are geared toward the identification and apprehension of individuals involved in large-scale poaching activities or commercializing fish and game for their own profit and benefit. Other activities include the regulation of the fur industry, commercial fishing, minnow harvest, shooting preserves, game farms and illegal sale of protected species. The Lake Superior Marine Unit continues to focus on commercial fishing regulations as well as sport fishing efforts.

## Dedicated Accounts - Heritage Enhancement

The Division of Enforcement spent \$1,278,000 from the Heritage Enhancement Account. This funding was used primarily for regional fleet operational expenses in support of game and fish enforcement efforts.

| Table 40 Outcomes by Activity |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Activity | FY2011 |
| Licensed Commercial Game \& Fish Operators | 2,560 |
| Firearm Safety / Advance Education Program Graduates | 23,422 |
| Bowhunter Education, Bear \& Turkey Clinics | 1,823 |
| Game \& Fish Law Violations Written | 4,275 |
| Game \& Fish Law Warnings Written | 6,050 |


| Table 41 <br> Historical Game and Fish Fund Expenditures Last Five Fiscal Years (In Thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  |
| Division Support | \$ | 1,737 | \$ | 2,528 | \$ | 2,309 | \$ | 2,515 | \$ | 2,283 |
| Fishing Regulation |  | 9,368 |  | 8,933 |  | 9,084 |  | 9,056 |  | 9,387 |
| Hunting Regulation |  | 7,664 |  | 7,310 |  | 7,432 |  | 7,409 |  | 7,680 |
| Safety Training |  | 668 |  | 622 |  | 703 |  | 712 |  | 719 |
| Commercial Activities/Special Investigations |  | 151 |  | 185 |  | 147 |  | 232 |  | 236 |
| Total Expenditures |  | 19,588 | \$ | 19,578 | \$ | 19,675 | \$ | 19,924 |  | 20,305 |

Table 42

## Enforcement Revenues

 (In Thousands)| Revenue Type | 2007 |  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Game \& Fish Fines | \$ | 172 | \$ | 172 | \$ | 162 | \$ | 170 | \$ | 154 |
| Game \& Fish Forfeits |  | 14 |  | 13 |  | 118 |  | 8 |  | 83 |
| DNR Restitution |  | 102 |  | 89 |  | 105 |  | 86 |  | 85 |
| Trespass Civil Citations |  | 5 |  | 6 |  | 4 |  | 4 |  | 4 |
| Firearm Safety Training |  | 202 |  | 201 |  | 206 |  | 204 |  | 194 |
| Adult Hunter Education |  | 12 |  | 15 |  | 11 |  | 12 |  | 8 |
| Court Surcharge |  | 160 |  | 146 |  | 135 |  | 140 |  | 134 |
| Total Revenues |  | \$667 |  | \$642 |  | \$741 |  | \$624 |  | \$662 |



Parks and Trails

## Water Recreation Program

2011 Game and Fish Fund Report

Parks \& Trails

## Table 43 Game and Fish Fund Expenditures (In Thousands)

Game and Fish Fund Operations (230)
Total Expenditures

| $\$$ | 2,694 |
| :---: | ---: |
| $\$$ | 2,694 |

The purpose of the Water Recreation Program is to provide the public with water-based recreational boating and fishing opportunities and services. These activities provide the public with access (M.S. 97A.141) to lakes, streams, river corridors and designated canoe and boating routes (M.S. 85.32) for boaters, anglers, paddlers and other users. Safe harbors (M.S. 86A20-24) on Lake Superior provide trailerable boat access and boat slips for larger boats in a protected harbor. Minnesota is second in the nation for the number of registered boats ( 814,000 in 2010) and first in the nation for the number of registered boats per capita.

Federal law requires that 15\% of the Dingell-Johnson Sport Fish Restoration (SFR) Program funds apportioned to the state each year be spent for acquisition, development, and renovation or improvement of motorboat access for recreational boating purposes. State law requires that federal reimbursement for boat access projects be deposited to the Game and Fish Fund. Authorization for the acquisition, development and maintenance of water access sites is provided for in M.S. 97A.141.

In FY 2011, the Game and Fish Fund provided 16\% of the water recreation program funding; 84\% came from other sources. The Water Recreation Program utilizes Game and Fish Funds to accelerate efforts to improve the quantity and quality of boating access throughout the state. These funds are used primarily for the purchase of land for new access sites or the expansion of existing sites, construction of new access sites, and rehabilitation of existing sites.

Additional expenses from this fund include site amenities and professional services. Site amenities are concrete boat ramp planks and maps. Professional services include contract expenses for archaeological work relating to the acquisition and development of public water access projects. Other professional services are for land acquisition and site development expenses paid to the Division of Lands \& Minerals and the Management Resources Bureau.

Game and Fish funds are used for the maintenance and operation of fishing piers. The funds for fishing piers are distributed throughout the state to provide much needed maintenance dollars for over 348 piers and shore fishing sites statewide. Many of the state's fishing piers are over 20 years old and are in need of major repairs or rehabilitation. Partnerships with local government units (LGU) allow the DNR to purchase supplies and the LGU to provide the labor for the repairs.

Land acquisition, development projects, site amenities and professional services funded in fiscal year 2011 are listed in Table 44. Land acquisition costs totaled $\$ 1,612,000$. Development projects totaled $\$ 557,000$. Site amenities and professional services totaled $\$ 389,000$ thousand. The fishing pier program totaled $\$ 136,000$.


| Table 45 <br> Parks and Trails Projects (In Thousands) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program | County |  | unt |
| Land Acquisition* |  |  |  |
| Upper Comorant Lake^ | Becker | \$ | 300 |
| Toad Lake | Becker |  | 113 |
| O'Brien Lake^ | Crow Wing |  | 90 |
| Moon Lake^ | Douglas |  | 151 |
| Detroit Lake^ | Douglas |  | 548 |
| Pequaywan Lake | St. Louis |  | 175 |
| Birch Lake^ | St. Louis |  | 35 |
| Sugar Lake^ | Wright |  | 200 |
| Total Land Acquisition |  | \$ | 1,612 |
| Development Projects* |  |  |  |
| Lake Bemidji - Cameron Park | Beltrami | \$ | 66 |
| Leech Lake - Sucker Bay | Cass |  | 2 |
| Gull Lake - Narrows | Cass |  | 82 |
| Round Lake | Crow Wing |  | 59 |
| White Sand Lake | Crow Wing |  | 99 |
| White Sand Lake | Crow Wing |  | 3 |
| Lake Osakis | Douglas |  | 34 |
| Mississippi River, River Park | Hennepin |  | 100 |
| North Turtle | Ottertail |  | 28 |
| Star Lake^ | Ottertail |  | 33 |
| Lake O'Dowd | Scott |  | 7 |
| Clear Water Lake | Wright |  | 44 |
| Total Development Projects |  | \$ | 557 |
| Site Amenities and Professional Services |  |  |  |
| Concrete Boat Ramp Planks ${ }^{\wedge}$ | Statewide | \$ | 77 |
| Printing of program Maps/Brochures | Statewide |  | 30 |
| Program Archaeology | Statewide |  | 96 |
| Lands and Minerals Division professional services | Statewide |  | 77 |
| Management Resources Bureau professional services | Statewide |  | 103 |
| Miscellaneous acquisition fees/charges | Statewide |  | 4 |
| Miscellaneous development expenses | Statewide |  | 2 |
| Total Site Amenities and Professional Services |  | \$ | 389 |
| Fishing Pier |  |  |  |
| Fishing Pier Program Maintenance | Statewide | \$ | 128 |
| Lake Orono Pier Rehab, City of Elk River | Sherburne |  | 4 |
| Fishing Pier Program Maps | Statewide |  | 4 |
| Total Fishing Pier |  | \$ | 136 |
| Total Program Expenditures |  | \$ | 2,694 |
| *Total projects costs for some land acquisition and development projects are not reflected in this table because some projects were paid over two fiscal years or partially funded from other funding sources. <br> ${ }^{\wedge}$ These expenditures were Sport Fish Restoration (SFR) Reimbursed |  |  |  |




## Forestry

## 2011 Game and Fish Fund Report

Table 46
Game and Fish Fund Expenditures
(In Thousands)

Heritage Enhancement (239)
Total Expenditures

| $\$$ | 1,502 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$$ | 1,502 |

The Division of Forestry administers approximately 4.2 million acres of forestlands. Annually, approximately 30,000 acres are harvested and regenerated by planting seedlings, direct seeding, and natural regeneration. The amount and location of harvesting actions and regeneration techniques are chosen to help create healthy and diverse forests to improve habitat for game and fish species and enhance overall biodiversity.

For FY11, the legislature appropriated $\$ 1,214,000$ to maintain and expand the Ecological Land Classification System, and to prevent the introduction and spread of invasive species on state forest land. The legislature also appropriated $\$ 250,000$ for the Ecological Land Classification System Program.

- Ecological Land Classification (ECS) program classifies and maps native plant communities (NPC), trains land managers within DNR and County forestry agencies, monitors long term effects of forest management activities, and develops new models to more efficiently manage forest lands. Total expenditures for ECS amounted to $\$ 1,102,000$.
- Invasive Species efforts address invasive species threats to forested native plant communities, including associated game and fish habitats. Invasive species of concern included exotic terrestrial plants as well as emerald ash borer and gypsy moth. Total expenditures related to invasive species issues amounted to $\$ 400,000$.

Forestry's ECS program and invasive species efforts provide important tools that improve the ability of resource managers to more effectively protect, enhance and restore important game and fish habitat, overall biodiversity, adapt to climate change, and sustain forest communities.

## 1. Ecological Classification System (ECS)

ECS is a scientific framework for managing natural resources. ECS tools (native plant community classifications and silvicultural strategies) help managers select appropriate treatments to optimize the forest's potential to produce timber, conserve or enhance wildlife habitat, and protect water and soil.

Activities:
Mapping Native Plant Community (NPCs). The NPC classification and mapping provides a common language for professional disciplines involved in using, restoring, or conserving natural resources. The hierarchical design enables use at all levels of management - from landscape-scale planning to a 20 acre stand. It provides a link between long-range goals and stand prescriptions.

## Outcomes:

- 689 stands totaling 14,088 acres were classified for NPCs.
- 130,000 acres of state forestlands were classified and mapped for NPCs.

Silvicultural Strategies (Interpretations). Silvicultural strategies provide DNR staff with science-based information to prepare a management prescription or plan. Items include how trees react to natural disturbance, how they compete with other trees and vegetation, natural regeneration strategies, shade tolerance, and stand growth stages. Resource managers are presented with a range of possible management options.

- After extensive review by field resource managers, a new condensed format is being developed to accommodate quick extraction of information suitable for a publishing on a website.


## Forestry

Case Studies. Case studies monitor the short- and longer-term response of forest vegetation to various silvicultural treatments. Through case studies, treatment effects on tree regeneration tree recruitment, groundlayer biodiversity, and growth of residual trees will be assessed.

## Outcomes:

- Four of the twelve established case studies have been remeasured.
- Seven adaptive forest management projects have adopted case study methods.

Training and Related Activities. Training, both formal training sessions and one-on-one meetings with field managers, is critical to introduce the NPC field guides, and for integrating silvicultural interpretations into daily management decisions.

## Outcomes:

- 21 formal training events were conducted with 462 attendees.
- 74 contact days between ECS staff and field personnel.


## 2. Invasive Species

Invasive Terrestrial Plant Species. In FY2011 DNR-Forestry focused on 1) integrating invasive species guidelines into forest management contracts, 2) inventorying roads within state forest boundaries, adjacent school trust lands, and all state administered gravel pits, 3) taking direct control actions against new and expanding infestations, and 4) a number of outreach efforts to inform staff and state land visitors of the impacts associated with invasive species and how to prevent their spread. Terrestrial invasive plants have the capacity to exclude native plants, impacting the quality and sustainability of native plant communities. The result can be a loss of important game and fish habitat and overall forest biodiversity.

## Outcomes:

- Completed roadside surveys for invasive species of all roads within state forest boundaries, except portions of the R.J. Dorer state forest where state-owned parcels are widely scattered.
- Surveyed school trust lands within key gaps adjacent to state forest lands.
- Implemented direct control actions on active gravel pits found to be infested, and installed gates to limit access by recreationists to some of the other gravel pits.
- Developed directive for Division of Forestry for mandatory inclusion of appropriate terrestrial invasive plant species guidelines into timber sale permits.
- Drafted a new circular letter (policy statement) for the Division of Forestry to clearly communicate expectations for field staff and site administrators with regard to invasive species prevention and management. Approval and implementation expected in FY12.
- Leveraged state Heritage funds to obtain a federal grant to inventory all state lands and key private parcels within the Kettle and St Croix Wild and Scenic River corridors. Control actions to address the infestations found are to be implemented in FY12.
- Put prevention ads in fishing, water fowl and hunting regulation booklets
- Purchased and distributed the brochure "Why Should I Care About Invasive Plants?" produced by the Midwest Invasive Plant Network.
- Initiated a project to develop a brand and marketing strategy (to be completed in FY12) similar to the Stop Aquatic Hitchhikers program aimed at breaking the link between recreational activities and the spread of terrestrial invasive species.
- Worked with the MN Department of Agriculture (MDA) to update the list of noxious weeds and alert key stakeholders.
- Worked with the MN Bureau of Water and Soil Resources (BWSR) to outline and implement the Cooperative Weed Management Program with funds for local weed management projects.

Forestry
Emerald Ash Borer. Emerald ash borer (EAB) has been found in Houston, Winona, Hennepin, and Ramsey Counties. There are in excess of 900 million ash trees in Minnesota. Because there is no demonstrated resistance to $E A B$ in the native ash tree species, Minnesota's ash resource and associated habitats are at risk. If EAB becomes established in central and northern Minnesota where the majority of black ash is found, entire forested habitats would be threatened by changes in the hydrology resulting from the loss of black ash trees. Firewood has been the major vector for the spread of EAB. In 2007, DNR prohibited firewood movement onto state lands through a Commissioner's Order. The reduction in firewood movement is critical to confining EAB to currently known locations.

## Outcomes:

- Developed a DNR EAB response plan based on incident command (ICS) principles.
- Initiated an effort to develop a multi-agency ICS response plan which involves all levels of tribal, state and local units of government.
- Developed a new Commissioner's Order directing the landed divisions to develop discipline specific ash management guidelines to address future impacts on forest sustainability presented by the emerald ash borer.
- With the UMN, developed and printed "Ash Management Guidelines for Private Forest Landowners" to help landowners manage their woods in the face of infestation by the emerald ash borer.
- Completed an ash utilization plan for the state.
- Updated the Commissioner's Order on firewood approved for use on DNR lands and outreach materials to educate firewood vendors and users in practices that limit the spread of forest pests.
- With MDA, outlined and initiated a system of inspection and reporting to monitor compliance to firewood labeling standards set forth by the MN Department of Commerce.
- Continued to train and expand the number of private citizen "First Detectors" to help detect the presence of EAB and other forest pests. The current total is about 450 citizens across the state.
- Updated and reprinted the "Minnesota Forest Pest First Detector Manual".
- With the MN Shade Tree Advisory Council, developed plans for a Community EAB summit to be held in FY12.



# Lands and Minerals 

## 2011 Game and Fish Fund <br> Report

# Table 47 <br> Game and Fish Fund Expenditures <br> (In Thousands) 

Game and Fish Fund Operations (230)
Total Expenditures

| $\$$ | 1,377 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$$ | 1,377 |

The Division of Lands and Minerals manages real estate transactions on approximately 5.7 million acres of state-administered land and manages about 12 million acres of state mineral rights. About 1.2 million acres of land are administered (for public use) by Wildlife, about 40,000 acres by Fisheries, and about 200,000 acres by Ecological \& Water Resources.

The division is responsible for land surveys and managing various real estate transactions on game and fish lands for the Division of Fish and Wildlife. Real estate transactions include acquisitions, sales, exchanges, easements, permits, licenses, and leases. The above activities are funded by the Game and Fish Fund appropriation, with the exception of acquisition services, which are billed directly to the Division of Fish and Wildlife through an internal account mechanism.

The department is also proceeding with the re-engineering of the Land Records System, which began in FY08. Beginning in FY09, the division received a separate Game and Fish Fund appropriation for the new land system. Of the total FY11 expenditures, $\$ 1,004,000$ were operational expenditures and $\$ 372,000$ were expenditures related to the re-engineering of the Land Records System. Of the operational expenditures, about $30 \%$ of the Game and Fish Fund operational appropriation expenditures were related to real estate transactions and about $70 \%$ were related to land surveys. The expenditures in this report are based on division cost-coding, which began in 2008, and state accounting system data.

## Land Survey

Land surveys conducted for management purposes on game and fish lands are charged to the division's Game and Fish Fund Appropriation. Fish and Wildlife staff set the priority for the land surveys through work orders, or requisitions, submitted to the land survey staff. The Division of Fish and Wildlife can change work priorities at any time and can track the progress of survey work on the DNR Intranet.

The following table provides information on land survey services provided for game and fish lands that were funded by the Game and Fish Fund. The survey section also completed 13 surveys related to land acquisition, which were billed to the Division of Fish and Wildlife through the Professional Services Account.

| Division | Table 48 Game and Fish Fund Land Surveys |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Program |  |  |
|  |  | County | Survey Name |
| Fisheries |  |  |  |
|  | AMA Aquatic Management Area |  |  |
|  |  | Washington | Browns Creek |
|  | FMA Fisheries Management Area |  |  |
|  |  | Isanti | North Brook |
| Wildlife | WMA Wildlife Management Area |  |  |
|  |  | Morrison | Sponsa |
|  |  | Morrison | Rice Area Sportsman |
|  |  | Morrison | Elk River |
|  |  | Kanabec | Joseph O'Brien |
|  |  | Hubbard | Rockwood |
|  |  | Marshall | Agder |
|  |  | Marshall | Moylan |
|  |  | Todd | Staples |
|  |  | Todd | Spohn |
|  |  | Brown | Wood Lake |
|  |  | Pennington | Oriniak |
|  |  | Renville | Cold Springs |

## Real Estate Contracts

As of September 2011, the department was administering 1,473 real estate contracts on game and fish lands (see table below). The majority of the contracts are Cooperative Farm Agreements (692), followed by easements (373) and utility licenses (233). In FY11, these contracts generated about $\$ 864,000$, which was deposited into the Game and Fish Fund. FY11 revenues from these contracts decreased by $98 \%$ from FY10 revenue as a result of a one-time easement executed on Game and fish land in FY10 valued at \$600,000.

| Contract Type | Table 49 neral Contracts and Revenue dlife Administered Lands |  | Revenue (thousands) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Contracts | Contract Acres |  |  |
| Agricultural Leases | 26 | 1,786 | \$ | 4 |
| Cooperative Farming Agreements | 692 | 35,397 |  | 100 |
| Easements | 373 | 1,502 |  | 12 |
| Gravel Leases | 6 | 94 |  | 6 |
| Minerals Leases | 27 | 7,172 |  | 25 |
| Miscellaneous Leases | 116 | 1,273 |  | 22 |
| Utility Licenses | 233 | 891 |  | 23 |
| Totals: | 1,473 | 48,115 | \$ | 192 |

## Land Records System

The Minnesota DNR remains engaged in a multi-year, multi-million dollar effort to modernize its Land Records System to improve performance in the management of its real estate portfolio. The records are vital to the management of fish and wildlife resources, parks and trails, forestry, scientific and natural areas - virtually every DNR program. The new system will be used to manage a myriad of real estate transactions, provide electronic work flow and document management, and provide detailed and up-to-date land information to decision-makers and the public.

The Game and Fish Fund is providing 26 percent of the project's $\$ 5,000,000$ cost, which has been prorated among various department funds. Development of the new system is within budget. It will become operational in several stages at different times during FY12, months later than its original summer of 2011 schedule.

The new system will support land acquisitions, sales, exchanges, conservation easement monitoring, granting easements, issuing and administering surface and mineral leases, and making payments in lieu of taxes to Minnesota counties, among other functions. In FY11, the contractor completed most of the system design work and much of the system development. DNR staff began testing parts of the working system. In addition to participating in system design and testing work and administering the system development contract, Land and Minerals staff continued preparing existing land records data for migration to the new information system. Early products of the new system include images of deeds and similar documents that are now accessible via the Intranet by all DNR offices.


# Operations Support and Indirect Costs 

2011 Game and Fish Fund<br>Report

Table 50
Game and Fish Fund Expenditures Regional Operations and Commissioners Office (In Thousands)

Game and Fish Fund Operations (230) Total Expenditures


## Operations Support

Operations Support includes Regional Operations and the Commissioner's Office.

## Regional Operations

Regional Operations is responsible for integrating DNR work at the regional level and for providing technical assistance, planning, and information and education services in the DNR's four regions. Regional Operations staff includes the regional director, planners, information officers, community coordinators and administrative support. Examples of activities and accomplishments funded in part with Game and Fish Fund dollars in fiscal year 2011 include:

## Northwest Region

- Continued work with the Bovine TB task force on deer sampling, zones, and communications outreach. This remains a critical issue with cattlemen, recreational landowners, and the County Boards.
- Continued work on Con Con lands related to ditch assessments, wetland credits and access route.
- Coordinated the efforts of the Flood Damage Reduction Working Group Project teams who work with the Watershed Districts in the Red River Valley to assure all water retention projects include natural resources elements and habitat gains as the project are built and monitored; examples include the North Ottawa impoundment and waterfowl/shallow lake designations.


## Northeast Region

- Assisted in the coordination of the Governor's Fishing Opener.
- Participated in Northern Counties Land Use Coordinating Board monthly meetings.
- Continued to collaborate with local and regional governments on a host of complex issues including the balance of public vs. private lands within a given county, and other controversial issues such as the rising water in the Canisteo Mine Pit.
- Worked with employees in Grand Marais to determine office space needs and solutions to a mold problem in their facility.
- Continued to work to improve riparian game and fish habitat by promoting shoreland best management practices through community outreach, as well as, continuation of a shoreland buffer incentive program.
- Coordinated DNR resource review of both DNR and external projects, and commented to the project proposers as a single voice on behalf of the DNR.
- Prepared news releases on a variety of fish and wildlife issues; communication planning for the Game and Fish Fund license fee restructuring.


## Central Region

- Helped finalize agreements and facilitated public relations aspects related to joint DNR/Mille Lacs Band of Ojibwe Lake Ogechie restoration project aimed at wild rice regeneration and waterfowl habitat.
- Led development of communications materials for fishing and hunting license fee increase.
- Coordinated negotiations and other aspects related to ongoing litigation over Rice Lake and Paul Hugo Farms WMA.
- Organized and led a number of outreach efforts related to getting youth and urban families out fishing, hunting, and participating in other outdoor recreational activities.
- Developed communications and outreach plans for Pelican Lake restoration project.
- Coordinated publicity related to fishing opener and promotion of metro angling opportunities.
- Represented DNR interests in natural resource damage assessments related 3M and TCAAP.
- Facilitated joint DNR/U of MN/Dakota County steering committee overseeing management of Vermillion Highlands Modified WMA.
- Developed workshops for local elected officials and citizens to provide a greater understanding of the St Croix Basin's unique wildlife and fishery and their stewardship role in protecting the water quality and health of the river ecosystem.
- Facilitated development of a joint Fish and Wildlife, Ecological and Water Resources and Forestry Operational Management Plan for the Sand Dunes State Forest (which includes the Uncas Dunes Scientific and Natural Area, the Ann Lake Campground-Day-Use Area and the Bob Dunn Horse Campground)


## Southern Region

- Managed the 17th year of DNR presence at FarmFest, the fifth year with our own tent. Increased hands-on activities for youth including archery and laser shoot; building wood duck and bluebird boxes; virtual safe ATV trail riding; native plants and invasive species; and camper teepee exhibits. Coordinated DNR participation in the High School Career Expo for South Central Minnesota.
- Facilitated and managed multi-disciplinary grant application to Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council (LSOHC) for the Minnesota River.
- Convened public hearings to designate two lakes as wildlife management lakes. Coordinated regional responses to Bear Lake and Minnesota River Green Corridor development: provided one point of contact and communication for disciplines and constituents and facilitated joint discipline meetings. Coordinated educational material development and outreach for Bear Lake Watershed initiative.
- Assisted with development and coordination of shallow lake designation; prairie restoration and protection; agricultural and natural resource interaction and Minnesota River corridor information/presentations.
- Communication planning and media outreach for the Conservation Partners Legacy grant program. Communication planning for the Game and Fish fund license fee re-structuring. News releases and radio PSAs on a variety of fish and wildlife issues.


## Commissioner's Office

This portion of the Operations Support Program provides support services such as agency management and leadership; developing legislative issues and processes; strategic and operational planning.

## Statewide Indirect Costs

Table 51
Game and Fish Fund Statewide Indirect Costs (In Thousands)

Game and Fish Fund Operations (230)
Total Expenditures

| $\$$ | 793 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$$ | 793 |

Minnesota Statutes 16A. 125 requires that each fund pay its share of statewide indirect costs. These are services provided by the Departments of Minnesota Management and Budget (MMB), Administration, and the Offices of Mediation Services, the Legislative Auditor and the State Auditor. Specific services include real estate management; resource recovery; materials management; central mail; communication/IT project development and management; budget systems and operations; payroll; accounting and financial reporting; treasury management; mediation; and program and financial audits. These expenditures represent less than $1 \%$ of Fund expenditures.

The Minnesota Management and Budget develops an annual plan that allocates service costs by agency and fund, and bills each state agency annually. DNR's Office of Management and Budget Services receives this bill for statewide indirect costs and pays a portion of it from the Game and Fish Operations account based on number of transactions processed. Statewide indirect costs are reviewed annually by Federal auditors.


## Dedicated Accounts

## 2011 Game and Fish Fund <br> Report

M.S. 97A.075, subd. 1(c) specifies that at least $\$ 1.00$ from the sale of each annual deer license and each annual bear license, and $\$ 1.00$ from each validated lifetime deer license be used for deer and bear management programs, including a computerized licensing system. The funds generated in this manner are deposited to a Deer/Bear Management Account in the Game and Fish Fund. An additional fifty cents from each deer license is appropriated for emergency deer feeding and wild cervid health management (D01 - see following section).

## Resources

Deer/Bear Management Account: At the beginning of the fiscal year the balance in this account was $\$ 1,092,000$. Additional receipts of $\$ 697,000$ were deposited during the year.

## Appropriation and Expenditures

The spending authority and actual expenditures for FY2011 are given below:

| Table 52 <br> Direct Appropriation Spending Authority (In Thousands) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Direct Appropriation (D00/640) | \$890 |
| Carry Forward from FY10 | \$173 |
| Gross FY11 Spending Authority | \$1,063 |
| Expenditures |  |
| Deer and Bear Management | \$684 |
| Computerized Licensing | \$44 |
| Total Expenditures | \$728 |
| Canceled to fund | \$335 |

Money in the account may only be used for deer and bear management programs, including a computerized licensing system. Examples of deer and bear management expenditures include census and surveys; data management; deer and bear hunting season management; animal management; urban deer projects; and related coordination, personnel and support costs. Examples of expenditures for computerized licensing include operating the electronic licensing system (ELS) and implementing the deer and bear lotteries.

## Resources

Emergency Deer Feeding and Wild Cervid Health: At the beginning of the fiscal year the balance in this account was $\$ 542,000$. Prior year's canceled encumbrances contributed $\$ 68,000$ to the available balance. Additional receipts of $\$ 343,000$ were deposited during the year.

Appropriation and Expenditures
The spending authority and actual expenditures for FY2011 are given below:

| Table 53 <br> Statutory <br> Spending Authority <br> (In Thousands) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Statutory Beginning Balance (D01) <br> Receipts <br> Gross FY11 Spending Authority <br> Expenditures | $\$ 611$ |
| Cervidae Health Management <br> Total Expenditures <br> Net Spending Authority Carried Forward to <br> FY12 | $\$ 954$ |

In 2002 and 2003, the Legislature broadened the allowable use of the emergency deer feeding appropriation to allow for wild cervid health management including management of chronic wasting disease (CWD). It also required a report on expenditures from this appropriation every two years.
\$651,000 was spent from this dedicated fund (see Table 54) for wild cervid health management in fiscal year 2011. An additional $\$ 467,000$ of Game and Fish Funds, General Funds, Beltrami, and federal wildlife health funds were expended for CWD and TB efforts for a total of $\$ 1,118,000$ from all funding sources (see Table 55 for a comparison by fund of expenditures).

Table 54
Effort to Manage and Monitor CWD and TB in White-Tailed Deer
(In Thousands)

| Category | Amount |
| :---: | :---: |
| Salaries | \$ 219 |
| Professional/Technical Services with Outside Vendors | 330 |
| Communications | 1 |
| Travel | 28 |
| Supplies | 14 |
| Equipment | 15 |
| Other Operating Costs | 44 |
| Total Wild Cervid Health Mgmt Fund (231 D01) Expenditures | \$ 651 |

## Chronic Wasting Disease Overview

In fall 2010, the DNR sampled 564 hunter-harvested white-tailed deer for chronic wasting disease (CWD) in southeastern Minnesota. This surveillance effort focused on a 20 -mile radius around a CWD-positive captive elk facility near Pine Island, discovered in 2009. One deer tested positive for CWD ( $0.2 \%$ apparent prevalence), marking the first detection of the disease in Minnesota's wild deer population. In response to this disease detection, DNR conducted a fixed-wing aerial deer survey in a 10-mile radius of the index case in late January 2011 and estimated 6,200 deer ( 19 deer $/ \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ ). A supplemental surveillance effort was conducted in FebruaryMarch 2011 and 752 adult deer samples were collected; all animals tested CWD-negative. To prevent further disease spread, DNR banned recreational feeding of deer in a 4-county area in southeastern Minnesota. DNR will continue to conduct CWD surveillance of hunter-harvested deer in fall 2011. Additional information related to these efforts can be found on the Minnesota DNR website (http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/mammals/deer/cwd/index.html).

| Table 55 <br> Effort to Manage and Monitor CWD and TB in White-Tailed Deer by DNR Funding Source (In Thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund | Appropriation Name | Amount |  | \% Spent |
| 100 | General Fund - Bovine Tuberculosis (100 D07) | \$ | 376 | 33.63\% |
| 230 | Game \& Fish Fund - Operations and Maintenance |  | 21 | 1.88\% |
| 231 | Emergency Deer Feeding/Wild Cervid Health Management Fund |  | 651 | 58.23\% |
| 300 | Federal Wildlife Health |  | 70 | 6.26\% |
|  | Total Expenditures, All Funding Sources | \$ | 1,118 | 100.00\% |

## Bovine Tuberculosis Overview

Bovine tuberculosis (bTB), first detected in northwestern Minnesota in 2005, has since been found in 12 cattle operations and 27 free-ranging white-tailed deer. Both deer and cattle have the same strain of bTB, which has been identified as one that is consistent with the disease found in cattle in the southwestern United States and Mexico. The Board of Animal Health (BAH) has been leading efforts to eradicate the disease in Minnesota's cattle, which have included the depopulation of all infected herds, a buy-out program that removed 6,200 cattle
from the affected area, and mandatory fencing of stored feeds on remaining farms. In response to the disease being detected in cattle, the DNR began surveillance efforts in free-ranging white-tailed deer within a 15-mile radius of the infected farms in fall 2005. To date, 27 deer have been found infected with bTB, and nearly all ( $n=$ 26) infected deer were sampled within a $164 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ area, called the bTB Core, which is centered in Skime, Minnesota, and encompasses 8 of the previously infected cattle farms. In total, 1,639 hunter-harvested deer were tested for bTB in northwest Minnesota during fall 2010, with no positive cases detected. This marks the first year that no new infected cases were detected in wild deer. An aerial survey estimated the population of the bTB Core to be $531 \pm 95$ deer in January 2011. The absence of new infected deer resulted in a suspension of targeted removal operations using ground sharpshooting over winter. A recreational feeding ban, instituted in November 2006 in a $4,000 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ region in northwestern MN to help reduce the risk of deer to deer transmission of the disease, remains in effect. Under a current agreement among the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), BAH, and DNR, hunter-harvested deer surveillance will continue to monitor infection in the local deer population, and any further aggressive management actions (e.g., sharpshooting deer in key locations) will be dependent on future surveillance results. See Minnesota DNR website for additional information on "Managing Bovine Tuberculosis in Minnesota's Wild Deer" (http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/hunting/deer/tb/index.html).

## Other Health-Related Research on Minnesota Cervids

## Hunter-harvested moose health assessment

DNR staff also sampled hunter-harvested moose for over 20 pathogens and parasites during fall 2010 in an effort to gain an understanding of the overall health of the population. This project represents the second phase of an assessment on the overall health of hunter-harvested moose in northeast Minnesota, which began in 2007. The purpose of this project is to: 1.) continue to screen hunter-harvested (and presumably healthy) moose from 20102012 for select disease agents to monitor changes in incidence or prevalence over time 2.) assess the clinical impacts of liver fluke (Fascioloides magna) infection on moose, and 3.) determine the frequency of histological lesions consistent with brainworm (Parelaphostrongylus tenuis) infection. Samples were collected from 130 moose in 2010. Moose ( $n=110$ ) were screened for West Nile virus, eastern equine encephalitis, malignant catarrhal fever, borreliosis (Borrelia burgdorferi), anaplasmosis (Anaplasma phagocytopila, formerly Ehrlichia phagocytophila) and 6 serovars of leptospirosis. There was evidence of exposure to West Nile Virus (29.1\%), malignant catarrhal fever (3.6\%), borreliosis (21.8\%), and leptospirosis (0.9-9.2\%). Whole livers and brains were collected and examined grossly and histologically for evidence of brainworm and liver flukes; both parasites were documented. Full serum chemistry profiles $(n=95)$ were used to determine if there is a correlation between liver fluke damage and serum liver enzymes. Whole blood samples ( $n=109$ ) were submitted for evaluation for tickborne illnesses; anaplasmosis and piroplasma infections were documented.

## Hunter-harvested elk health assessment

The goal of this project was to assess the health of free-ranging elk (Cervus elaphus) from northwestern Minnesota (NW MN) by screening animals for a variety of diseases and parasites. Results indicate which diseases the NW MN elk were exposed to, though not necessarily clinically ill. From the elk ( $n=86$ ) sampled from 2004 to 2009, our results indicated exposure to eastern equine encephalitis, West Nile Virus, malignant catarrhal fever, Neospora, anaplasmosis, borreliosis, bovine viral diarrhea virus 1 and 2, bovine herpes virus 1, Leptospira sp., and parainfluenza virus 3. A variety of fecal parasites were also identified (Coccidia, Strongyle-type ova, and Moniezia) on fecal examination. Lung and liver tissue were cultured for bacterial infection; Streptococcus sp. was isolated from the lung of one individual and no isolations were found in liver samples. All elk were negative for Mycobacterium paratuberculosis, blue tongue virus, epizootic hemorrhagic disease, brucellosis, chronic wasting, and bovine tuberculosis. Hepatic mineral levels were also evaluated. During 2010, 11 elk were removed under depredation permits and 9 elk were harvested by hunters. Biological samples were collected from these additional animals and analysis is pending.
M.S. 97A.075, subd. 1(b) specifies that at least $\$ 2.00$ from the sale of each annual deer license and $\$ 2.00$ from each validated lifetime deer license be used for deer habitat improvement or deer management programs. The funds generated in this manner are deposited to a Deer Habitat Improvement Account in the Game and Fish Fund.

## Resources

At the beginning of the fiscal year the available balance in this account was $\$ 593,000$. Additional receipts of $\$ 1,372,000$ were deposited during the year.

## Appropriation and Expenditures

The spending authority and actual expenditures for FY2011 are given below:

| Table 56 Spending Authority (In Thousands) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct Appropriation | \$ | 1,553 |
| Carry forward from FY10 | \$ | 172 |
| Gross FY11 Spending Authority | \$ | 1,725 |
| Expenditures |  |  |
| Habitat Improvement | \$ | 1,046 |
| Deer Management |  | 224 |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 1,270 |
| Canceled to fund | \$ | 455 |

By statute, money in the account may only be used for deer habitat improvement or deer management programs.
Specific examples of deer habitat improvement expenditures include habitat evaluation, data management, private and public land food plot development, forest habitat maintenance, prescribed burns, forest opening development and related coordination, personnel and support costs.

Examples of deer management expenditures include census and surveys, season management, animal management population research and evaluation activities, and related coordination, personnel and support costs.

## Waterfowl Habitat Improvement Account (233)

The creation of the Waterfowl Habitat Improvement Account and how money in the fund can be used is described in M.S. 97A.075, subd. 2. The annual fee for the migratory waterfowl stamp, currently $\$ 7.50$, is found in M.S. 97A. 475 , subd. 5.

## Resources

At the beginning of the fiscal year the available balance in this account was $\$ 373,000$. Prior year's canceled encumbrances contributed $\$ 9,000$ to the available balance. Ninety percent of the proceeds from the sale of migratory waterfowl stamps are deposited to the account, a total of $\$ 597,000$ during the fiscal year.

Appropriation and Expenditures
The spending authority and actual expenditures for FY2011 are given below:

| Table 57 Spending Authority (In Thousands) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct Appropriation | \$ | 700 |
| Roll forward from FY10 | \$ | 279 |
| Gross FY11 Spending Authority | \$ | 979 |
| Expenditures |  |  |
| Wetland Development | \$ | 554 |
| Waterfowl Management |  | 101 |
| Habitat Development, Restoration, Maintenance |  | 86 |
| Land Acquisition |  | 66 |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 807 |
| Canceled to fund | \$ | 172 |

By statute, money in the account may only be used for:

1. Development of wetlands and lakes in the state and designated waterfowl management lakes for maximum migratory waterfowl production including habitat evaluation, the construction of dikes, water control structures and impoundments, nest cover, rough fish barriers, acquisition of sites and facilities necessary for development and management of existing migratory waterfowl habitat and the designation of waters under section 97A.101; in addition to the expenditure items listed above, this category includes costs for related coordination and operational support.
2. Management of migratory waterfowl; examples of migratory waterfowl management expenditures include public information, census and surveys, special hunt management, and related coordination and operational support.
3. Development, restoration, maintenance, or preservation of migratory waterfowl habitat; examples of expenditures in this category include wetland maintenance, wetland restoration, food plot development, planting nesting cover, prescribed burns, and related coordination and operational support.
4. Acquisition of and access to structure sites.
5. Promotion of waterfowl habitat development and maintenance, including promotion and evaluation of government farm program benefits for waterfowl habitat.

The creation of the Trout and Salmon Management Account and how money in the fund can be used is described in M.S. 97A.075, subd. 3. The annual fee for the trout and salmon stamp, currently $\$ 10.00$, is found in M.S. 97A.475, subd. 10.

## Resources

At the beginning of the fiscal year the available balance in this account was $\$ 413,000$. Prior year's canceled encumbrances contributed $\$ 35,000$ to the available balance. Ninety percent of the proceeds from the sale of trout and salmon stamps are deposited to the account, a total of $\$ 842,000$ during the fiscal year. A majority of revenues from the trout and salmon stamp are collected from sales in March thru June, or the last quarter of the fiscal year. Because of this, the DNR has experienced cash flow issues in January and February. Therefore the DNR recommends an unappropriated fund balance of $\$ 75,000$ for cash flow purposes in the future.

## Appropriation and Expenditures

The spending authority and actual expenditures for FY2011 are given below:

| Table 58 Spending Authority (In Thousands) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct Appropriation | \$ | 830 |
| Rool forward from FY10 | \$ | 103 |
| Gross FY11 Spending Authority | \$ | 933 |
| Expenditures |  |  |
| Habitat Improvement | \$ | 166 |
| Fish culture and stocking |  | 581 |
| Population Assessments/Surveys |  | 140 |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 887 |
| Canceled to fund | \$ | 46 |

By statute, money in the account may only be used for:

1. The development, restoration, maintenance and preservation of trout streams and lakes; specific examples of habitat improvement expenditures include salaries of part-time stream improvement personnel, the purchase of rock and construction materials for stabilization of stream banks, installation of stream improvement structures, fleet costs for trucks and heavy equipment, fish barrier maintenance costs, and maintenance costs for completed habitat improvement projects;
2. Rearing of trout and salmon and stocking of trout and salmon in streams and lakes and Lake Superior; specific examples of culture and stocking expenditures include salaries for part-time hatchery personnel, upkeep and utility costs for hatchery buildings, fish food, fleet costs for hatchery vehicles, purchase and repair of fishing rearing equipment, supplies and chemicals for disease prevention and treatment, and contaminant monitoring;
3. Acquisition of easements and fee title along trout waters;
4. Identifying easement and fee title areas along trout waters; examples include posting signs on easement boundaries, using GPS to obtain fixed locations at each easement boundary, and producing maps that show trout stream easement locations; and
5. Research and special management projects on Lake Superior and the anadromous portions of its tributaries.

The creation of the Pheasant Habitat Improvement Account and how money can be used is described in M.S. 97A.075, subd. 4. The annual fee for the Pheasant Stamp, currently $\$ 7.50$, is found in M.S. 97A.475, subd. 5.

## Resources

At the beginning of the fiscal year the available balance in this account was $\$ 529,000$. Prior year's canceled encumbrances contributed $\$ 2,000$ to the available balance. Ninety percent of the proceeds from the sale of pheasant stamps are deposited to the account, a total of $\$ 700,000$ during the fiscal year.

## Appropriation and Expenditures

The spending authority and actual expenditures for FY 2011 are given below:

| Table 59 Spending Authority (In Thousands) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct Appropriation | \$ | 925 |
| Carry forward from FY10 | \$ | 257 |
| Gross FY11 Spending Authority | \$ | 1,182 |
| Expenditures |  |  |
| Habitat Development, Restoration, Maintenance | \$ | 785 |
| Promotion and Evaluation |  | 192 |
| Acquisition |  | 104 |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 1,081 |
| Canceled to Fund | \$ | 101 |

By statute, money in the account may only be used for:

1. Development, restoration and maintenance of suitable habitat for ring-necked pheasants on public and private land including the establishment of nesting cover, winter cover, and reliable food sources. examples include private land technical assistance, noxious weed control, food plot development, woody cover development, and grassland development.
2. Reimbursement of landowners for setting aside lands for pheasant habitat.
3. Reimbursement of expenditures to provide pheasant habitat on public and private land; examples include reimbursement for food plots, woody cover development, grassland development and wetland restoration projects.
4. The promotion of pheasant habitat development and maintenance, including promotion and evaluation of government farm program benefits for pheasant habitat; examples include public information for roadside and farmland programs and federal Conservation Reserve Program evaluation.
5. Acquisition of lands suitable for pheasant habitat management and public hunting.

## Money in the account may not be used for:

1. Costs unless they are directly related to a specific parcel of land under clause (1), (3), or (5) [referring to five clauses listed above] or to specific promotional or evaluative activities under clause (4).
2. Any personnel costs, except that prior to July 1, 2019, personnel may be hired to provide technical and promotional assistance for private landowners to implement conservation provisions of state and federal programs.

The establishment of the Wild Rice Management Account and the use of funds in the account are described in M.S. 84.0911.

Resources
At the beginning of the fiscal year the available balance in this account was $\$ 68,000$. Additional receipts of $\$ 43,000$ were deposited during the year.

Appropriation and Expenditures
This account has been established with statutory authority to spend all available receipts.

| Table 60 Spending Authority (In Thousands) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct Appropriation | \$ |  |
| Spending authority carried forward from 2010 |  | 68 |
| Receipts |  | 43 |
| Gross FY11 Spending Authority | \$ | 111 |
| Expenditures |  |  |
| Wetland Habitat Maintenance | \$ | 63 |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 63 |
| Net Spending Authority Carried Forward to FY12 | \$ | 48 |

By statute, money in the account may only be used for:

- Management of designated public waters to improve natural wild rice production.

The Wildlife Acquisition Account is established as an account in the Game and Fish Fund under M.S. 97A.071, subd 1. The small game surcharge is established under M.S. 97A.475, subd. 4 and is currently $\$ 6.50$.

## Resources

The beginning balance in this account was $\$ 843,000$ and prior year's canceled encumbrances contributed $\$ 250,000$ to the available balance. Additional receipts of $\$ 1,787,000$ were deposited during the fiscal year.

## Appropriation and Expenditures

The authority to spend and actual expenditures are given below. The appropriation is made "available until expended." The unexpended appropriation from prior fiscal years was available for expenditure in FY 2011.

| Table 61 <br> Spending Authority (In Thousands) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FY09 Appropriation (Avail Until Spent) | \$ | 660 |
| Direct Appropriation FY10 |  | 1,860 |
| Gross FY11 Spending Authority | \$ | 2,520 |
| Expenditures |  |  |
| Acquisition | \$ | 1,575 |
| Development including maintenance |  | 737 |
| North American Waterfowl Plan |  | 15 |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 2,327 |
| Net Spending Authority Carried Forward to FY12 | \$ | 193 |

## By statute, of the money available and annually appropriated:

1. At least $50 \%$ must be used for land costs; land cost is defined as the purchase price of land acquired by the commissioner.
2. The remainder may only be used for other land acquisition costs, development and maintenance of wildlife lands: examples of other land acquisition costs include acquisition-related fees, real estate taxes and assessments paid at the time of acquisition, salaries for acquisition coordination, and other acquisition-related personnel and support costs. Examples of development and maintenance include habitat and user facility development; enhancement and maintenance of farmland, forest, grassland and wetland habitats; development and maintenance of access sites; noxious weed control; prescribed burns; and the costs of fleet, supplies and salaries for full-time and seasonal wildlife personnel engaged in directly-related activities.
3. Activities described in M.S. 97A.071, subd 3: developing, preserving, restoring and maintaining waterfowl breeding grounds in Canada under agreement or contract with any nonprofit organization dedicated to the construction, maintenance, and repair of projects that are acceptable to the governmental agency having jurisdiction over the land and water affected by the projects. The commissioner may execute agreements and contracts if the commissioner determines that the use of the funds will benefit the migration of waterfowl into the state.

Establishment of the Wild Turkey Management Account and the use of funds in the account are described in M.S. 97A. 075 , subd. 5. $\$ 4.50$ from each adult turkey license sold goes to this account per M.S. 97A.075, subd.5.

## Resources

At the beginning of the fiscal year the available balance in this account was $\$ 397,000$. Ninety percent of the proceeds from the sale of wild turkey stamps are deposited to the account, a total of \$194,000 during the fiscal year. An additional $\$ 8,000$ was transferred in from the Game and Fish Operations Account (230).

## Appropriation and Expenditures

The spending authority and actual expenditures for FY2011 are given below:

| Table 62 <br> Spending Authority <br> (In Thousands) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct Appropriation | \$ | 184 |
| Transfer in from Game and Fish Fund (230) |  | 8 |
| Carry forward from FY10 |  | 82 |
| Gross FY11 Spending Authority | \$ | 274 |
| Expenditures |  |  |
| Habitat Development, Restoration, Maintenance | \$ | 153 |
| Acquisition |  | 19 |
| Re-imbursement for Habitat Improvement |  | - |
| Trapping and Translocation |  | - |
| Promotion, Surveys and Research |  | 45 |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 217 |
| Canceled to Fund | \$ | 57 |

By statute, money in the account may only be used for:

1. Development, restoration and maintenance of suitable habitat for wild turkeys on public and private land including forest stand improvement and establishment of nesting cover, winter roost area, and reliable food sources; examples include prairie and grassland management and forest stand improvements.
2. Acquisitions of, or easements on, critical wild turkey habitat; examples include land acquisition and related costs.
3. Reimbursement of expenditures to provide wild turkey habitat on public and private land; examples include food plots on private land.
4. Trapping and transplantation of wild turkeys; examples include wild turkey capture and release; and
5. Promotion of turkey habitat development and maintenance, population surveys and monitoring, and research.

## Money in the account may not be used for:

1. Costs unless they are directly related to a specific parcel of land under clause (1) to (3) [clauses listed above], a specific trap and transplant project under clause (4), or to specific promotional or evaluative activities under clause (5).
2. Any permanent personnel costs.

## Heritage Enhancement Account (239) <br> M.S. 297A.94, para (e)

Revenue in the Heritage Enhancement Account comes from the in-lieu-of-sales tax on the sale of lottery tickets. Of total in-lieu-of-sales tax receipts, $72.43 \%$ are currently deposited to accounts spent for environmental and natural resource purposes, $50 \%$ of which is directed to the Heritage Enhancement Account for spending on activities that improve, enhance or protect fish and wildlife resources, including conservation, restoration, and the enhancement of land, water and other natural resources.

The tables below show the history of receipts deposited and expenditures from the Heritage Enhancement Account for the last five fiscal years.

Table 63
Lottery Receipts in-Lieu-of Sales Tax Last Five Fiscal Years
(In Thousands)

|  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross Lottery Receipts in-lieu-of Sales Tax | \$ 27,470 | \$ 29,999 | \$ 31,281 | \$ 32,368 | \$ 30,148 |
| Percent distributed to environmental and natural resources accounts | 72.43\% | 72.43\% | 72.43\% | 72.43\% | 72.43\% |
| Subtotal | \$19,897 | \$21,728 | \$22,657 | \$23,444 | \$21,836 |
| Percent deposited to Heritage Enhancement Account | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% |
| Lottery in-lieu receipts to Heritage Enhancement Account | \$9,948 | \$10,864 | \$11,328 | \$11,722 | \$10,918 |

Table 64
Heritage Enhancement Account Expenditures
Last Five Fiscal Years
(In Thousands)

| Division | 2007 | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forestry | \$ 221 | \$ | 230 | \$ | 284 | \$ | 1,380 | \$ | 1,502 |
| Fisheries | 4,333 |  | 3,941 |  | 4,372 |  | 3,959 |  | 4,068 |
| Wildlife | 4,083 |  | 3,191 |  | 4,465 |  | 3,637 |  | 4,067 |
| Ecological \& Water Resources | 1,869 |  | 1,302 |  | 1,886 |  | 1,516 |  | 1,606 |
| Enforcement | 1,202 |  | 1,161 |  | 1,126 |  | 1,050 |  | 1,278 |
| Total Heritage Expenditures | \$ 11,708 | \$ | 9,825 |  | 2,133 |  | 11,542 |  | 12,521 |

## Lifetime Fish \& Wildlife Trust Fund (23A) <br> M.S. 97A.4742, Subd 1

The DNR deposits receipts from the sale of lifetime fishing, hunting, small game, and sports licenses to the Lifetime Fish and Wildlife Trust Fund. Interest and investment earnings on fund resources are also credited to this account. Each year the DNR transfers from the Lifetime Fish \& Wildlife Trust Fund the amount that otherwise would have been collected and deposited from the sale of annual hunting and angling licenses.

The Table 65 shows lifetime license sales by fiscal year and license category since lifetime licenses were first offered in fiscal year 2001.

M.S. 97A.4742, subdivision 2, states in part: "Money in the Lifetime Fish and Wildlife Trust Fund shall be invested by the State Board of Investment (SBI) to secure the maximum return consistent with the maintenance of the perpetuity of the fund. The income received and accruing from investments of the fund shall be deposited in the lifetime fish and wildlife trust fund". On June 30, 2011 the balance of the trust fund was $\$ 9,087,000$. SBI invests cash from the trust fund in stocks and bonds outside the state treasury.

Table 66

## Annual Activity in Lifetime License Trust Fund

 (In Thousands)|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2001 \text { thru } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ |  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  | All Years |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lifetime License Receipts | \$ | 4,785 | \$ | 869 | \$ | 859 | \$ | 915 | \$ | 1,216 | \$ | 8,644 |
| Less: Transfer to Game and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fish Operations Accounts |  | (630) |  | (209) |  | (290) |  | (317) |  | (316) |  | $(1,762)$ |
| Interest Income Credited to the |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trust Fund |  | 24 |  | 4 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 32 |
| Net Annual Increase to Trust |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund | \$ | 4,179 | \$ | 664 | \$ | 571 | \$ | 599 | \$ | 901 | \$ | 6,914 |

A lifetime license holder receives a current year license by validating the use of the lifetime license. Validation enables the DNR to know which license holders have activated the use of their lifetime license for the current hunting or angling season. A lifetime license holder can validate at a sales agent location or the License Center in St. Paul. License validation is a non-monetary transaction. During License year 2010 (Feb 2010 to April 2011) a total of 12,602 lifetime license holders validated the use of their license.

Table 67

## Annual Transfer from Lifetime License Trust Fund <br> Last Five Fiscal Years <br> (In Thousands)

Transfer to Game and Fish Operations (230)
Transfer to Deer / Bear Management (231)

| 2007 |  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 154 | \$ | 180 | \$ | 250 |
|  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |
|  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 6 |
|  | 19 |  | 22 |  | 30 |
| \$ | 178 | \$ | 209 | \$ | 290 |


| 2010 |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ \quad 274$ |  |
|  | 5 |
|  | 6 |
|  | 32 |
| $\$ \quad 317$ |  |


| 2011 |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ \quad 272$ |  |
|  | 4 |
|  | 6 |
|  | 34 |
| $\$ \quad 316$ |  |

## Walleye Stamp Account (23B)

M.S. 97A.475, subd. 10a

The Walleye Stamp was created during the 2008 Legislative session as a voluntary stamp in M.S. 97A.475, subd. 10a. the annual fee for the Walleye stamp is currently $\$ 5.00$. They were first available for purchase in March 2009. How the money in the fund can be used is described in M.S. 97A.075, subd. 6; money in the account may only be used for stocking walleye in waters of the state and related activities. Money in the account may not be used for costs unless they are directly related to a specific body of water, or for costs associated with supplies and equipment to implement walleye stocking activities.

## Resources

At the beginning of the fiscal year the available balance in this account was $\$ 147,000$. A total of $\$ 140,000$ revenue was collected during the fiscal year.

## Appropriation and Expenditures

The direct appropriation and actual expenditures are given below. Revenues $(\$ 140,000)$ fell short of projections $(\$ 285,000)$. The carry forward amount below is based on appropriation set at the projected revenue and not supported by actual cash accrual.



# Appendix A <br> Game and Fish Fund 

Fund Statement

2011 Game and Fish Fund Report



|  | FY2009 <br> Actual | $\begin{array}{r} \text { FY2010 } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | FY2011 Actual | FY2012 <br> Planning Est. | FY2013 <br> Planning Est. | FY2014 <br> Planning Est | FY2015 <br> Planning Est. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONSOLIDATED GAME \& FISH FUND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Balance Forward In |  | 31,355,632 | 28,516,369 | 32,030,783 | 28,609,119 | 18,307,133 | 10,813,281 | 5,410,199 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Prior Year Adjustment | 869,904 | 524,050 | 833,651 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
|  | Adjusted Balance Forward | 32,225,536 | 29,040,419 | 32,864,434 | 28,609,119 | 18,307,133 | 10,813,281 | 5,410,199 |
| Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Department Earnings | 58,454,828 | 58,909,247 | 56,089,684 | 54,748,608 | 55,818,608 | 55,818,608 | 55,818,608 |
|  | Federal Grant Fisheries (D-J) | 15,182,710 | 15,000,742 | 12,387,069 | 12,056,338 | 12,056,338 | 12,056,338 | 12,056,338 |
|  | Federal Grant Wildlife (P-R) | 10,079,617 | 11,211,913 | 14,737,222 | 10,809,859 | 10,809,859 | 10,809,859 | 10,809,859 |
|  | Federal Grants | 25,262,327 | 26,212,655 | 27,124,291 | 22,866,197 | 22,866,197 | 22,866,197 | 22,866,197 |
|  | Taxes | 11,328,390 | 11,745,599 | 10,916,119 | 11,682,476 | 12,721,000 | 12,721,000 | 12,721,000 |
|  | Investment Income | 789,860 | 261,924 | 155,447 | 130,340 | 141,950 | 232,720 | 761,000 |
|  | Fines \& Surcharges | 134,707 | 140,422 | 133,902 | 141,000 | 141,000 | 141,000 | 141,000 |
|  | All Other | 255,619 | 320,251 | 79,447 | 15,550 | 15,550 | 15,550 | 15,550 |
|  | Total Receipts | 96,225,731 | 97,590,099 | 94,498,890 | 89,584,171 | 91,704,305 | 91,795,075 | 92,323,355 |
| Transfer In: | General Fund (State Aid) | 885,759 | 884,814 | 816,177 | 846,000 | 846,000 | 846,000 | 846,000 |
| TOTAL RESOURCE | AVAILABLE | 129,337,026 | 127,515,332 | 128,179,501 | 119,039,290 | 110,857,438 | 103,454,356 | 98,579,554 |
| Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Land and Minerals | 1,173,379 | 1,681,442 | 1,377,091 | 1,402,000 | 1,402,000 | 1,402,000 | 1,402,000 |
|  | Forest Management | 283,568 | 1,379,990 | 1,502,200 | 1,264,000 | 1,264,000 | 264,000 | 264,000 |
|  | Parks and Trails Mgmt, Trails and Waterways | 3,085,288 | 1,631,601 | 2,694,155 | 2,194,000 | 2,194,000 | 2,194,000 | 2,194,000 |
|  | Fish \& Wildlife Mgmt, Fish Management | 34,038,534 | 31,877,946 | 32,416,879 | 33,259,000 | 33,259,000 | 33,259,000 | 33,259,000 |
|  | Fish \& Wildlife Mgmt, Licensing | 4,140,688 | 4,139,667 | 4,586,366 | 4,439,000 | 4,448,000 | 4,448,000 | 4,448,000 |
|  | Fish \& Wildlife Mgmt, Wildlife Management | 31,405,336 | 28,306,082 | 30,564,840 | 30,164,000 | 29,564,000 | 29,564,000 | 29,564,000 |
|  | Ecological Services | 3,981,891 | 3,223,511 | 3,679,051 | 4,699,000 | 4,699,000 | 3,699,000 | 3,699,000 |
|  | Enforcement | 19,674,712 | 19,924,357 | 20,305,690 | 20,694,000 | 20,597,000 | 20,597,000 | 20,597,000 |
|  | Operations Support | 1,078,245 | 802,946 | 959,820 | 941,000 | 941,000 | 941,000 | 941,000 |
|  | Statewide Indirect Costs | 1,030,112 | 716,562 | 792,861 | 793,000 | 793,000 | 793,000 | 793,000 |
|  | Subtotal Expenditures | 99,891,752 | 93,684,104 | 98,878,954 | 99,849,000 | 99,161,000 | 97,161,000 | 97,161,000 |
| Transfer Out: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Debt Service | 2,474 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Fund 2113 FMIA, Forestry certification (18J) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 258,157 | 258,157 | 258,157 | 258,157 |
|  | Fund 2112 Invasive Species Account (18M) | 619,998 | 461,790 | 437,057 | 365,000 | 365,000 | 365,000 | 365,000 |
|  | Fund 2000 Venison Donations (200) | 306,433 | 252,388 | 254,371 | 260,000 | 260,000 | 260,000 | 260,000 |
|  | Fund $100 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{T}$ Surcharge |  | $\underline{1,086,267}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
|  | Total Transfer Out | 928,905 | 1,800,445 | 691,428 | 883,157 | 883,157 | 883,157 | 883,157 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES AND TRANSFERS OUT |  | 100,820,657 | 95,484,549 | 99,570,381 | 100,732,157 | 100,044,157 | 98,044,157 | 98,044,157 |
| FUND BALANCE |  | 28,516,369 | 32,030,783 | 28,609,119 | 18,307,133 | 10,813,281 | $\underline{5,410,199}$ | 535,397 |
| Less Ded. Appr. \& Funds (Appr 701, 702, 043, G9T 208, Funds231-23A, 2212) |  | 14,371,121 | 14,954,695 | 13,254,705 | 11,592,486 | 10,608,766 | 12,105,986 | 13,606,486 |
| AVAILABLE FUND BALANCE |  | $\underline{\underline{14,145,248}}$ | $\underline{\underline{17,076,088}}$ | $\underline{\underline{15,354,414}}$ | $\underline{\underline{6,714,647}}$ | $\underline{\underline{204,515}}$ | $(\underline{6,695,787)}$ | $(13,071,089)$ |


|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { FY2009 } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2010 } \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2011 } \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | FY2012 <br> Planning Est. | FY2013 <br> Planning Est. | FY2014 <br> Planning Est. | FY2015 <br> Planning Est. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 230 Game and Fish (Operations) <br> Legal Citation: M.S. 97A.055, Subd. 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance Forward In | 19,363,943 | 16,184,437 | 18,152,880 | 16,513,659 | 7,747,817 | 757,515 | $(6,142,787)$ |
| Prior Year Adjustment | 595,828 | 256,002 | 453,589 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Adjusted Balance Forward | 19,959,771 | 16,440,439 | 18,606,469 | 16,513,659 | 7,747,817 | 757,515 | $(6,142,787)$ |
| Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departmental Earnings (DE) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 636002 Non-Ferrous Metallic Minerals | 8,800 | 12,440 | 23,680 | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 |
| 636005 Peat Royalties | 879 | 879 | 879 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| 636016 Timber Sales | 427,989 | 884,552 | 459,184 | 450,000 | 450,000 | 450,000 | 450,000 |
| 636017 Timber Sales Interest- Penalty | 92 | 132 | 134 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| 636018 Resource Mgmt Access Permits | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 636043 License Credit Card Serv Charge | $(10,058)$ | $(11,242)$ | $(17,845)$ | $(10,000)$ | $(10,000)$ | $(10,000)$ | $(10,000)$ |
| 636047 Easements on DNR Lands | 10,489 | 671,824 | 11,730 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| 636051 Water Cross Lic on DNR Land | 7,108 | 22,412 | 214 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 636052 Leasing of DNR Lands | 197,510 | 157,363 | 201,003 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 |
| 636054 Sale of Gravel, Sand, Salt | 0 | 0 | 123 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 636055 Land Earnings Sale of Crops | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 636056 Sale of Standing Timber | 7 | 511 | 162 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 636074 Application Fees | 210,217 | 198,531 | 182,312 | 187,000 | 187,000 | 187,000 | 187,000 |
| 636075 License Issuing Fee /ELS | 3,147,818 | 3,068,585 | 2,932,526 | 3,518,000 | 3,518,000 | 3,518,000 | 3,518,000 |
| 636075 License Issuing Fee /ELS from Lifetime License Sales | 25,029 | 27,552 | 26,978 | 27,000 | 27,000 | 27,000 | 27,000 |
| 636076 Game \& Fish Commercial License | 328,021 | 372,954 | 367,416 | 355,000 | 355,000 | 355,000 | 355,000 |
| 636078 Fishing Licenses | 21,401,677 | 21,900,295 | 20,416,190 | 19,219,000 | 20,319,000 | 20,319,000 | 20,319,000 |
| 636078 Fishing Licenses from Lifetime License Sales | 68,103 | 75,038 | 70,233 | 70,000 | 70,000 | 70,000 | 70,000 |
| 636079 Hunting Licenses | 18,492,588 | 17,891,186 | 17,848,732 | 17,588,200 | 17,588,200 | 17,588,200 | 17,588,200 |
| 636079 Hunting Licenses from Lifetime License Sales | 65,232 | 72,860 | 68,476 | 68,000 | 68,000 | 68,000 | 68,000 |
| 636081 Sports Licenses | 4,751,397 | 4,648,857 | 4,434,940 | 4,394,000 | 4,394,000 | 4,394,000 | 4,394,000 |
| 636081 Sports Licenses from Lifetime License Sales | 91,832 | 99,108 | 106,054 | 106,000 | 106,000 | 106,000 | 106,000 |
| 636085 DNR Sale of Fish/Eggs | 8,309 | 15,106 | 14,320 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| 636088 Game \& Fish Federal D-J (Fisheries) | 15,182,710 | 15,000,742 | 12,387,069 | 12,056,338 | 12,056,338 | 12,056,338 | 12,056,338 |
| 636089 Game \& Fish Federal P-R (Wildlife) | 10,079,617 | 11,211,913 | 14,737,222 | 10,809,859 | 10,809,859 | 10,809,859 | 10,809,859 |
| 636090 Game and Fish Misc | 13,746 | 25,299 | 19,399 | 9,000 | 9,000 | 9,000 | 9,000 |

636091 Turkey Stamp
636093 Adult Hunter Education
636094 Firearm Safety Training
636098 Fines Game \& Fish
636099 Fine Game \& Fish Surcharge
636103 Forfeits Game \& Fish
636104 DNR Restitution
636105 Pelting Fees
636107 Trespass Civil citations
636116 Deposit Clearance
636119 Late Charges on Leasing DNR Land
636122 Aeration System Permit
636127 Hunting Surcharge
636128 Fishing Surcharge
636129 Fishing Contests Permit
636134 Land Crossing Lic on DNR Land
Investment Earnings Subtotal Departmental Earnings

Investment Earning
512001 ITC Interest Earnings
Other Revenue (OR)
512801 Settlements
513118 General K/T Surcharge (G9T)
553090 All Other Reimbursements - External
553091 Credit Agreement Rebate
512606 All Other Reimbursements - Internal
555002 Agency Indirect Cost Non General Fund (Fed Indirect)
512832 Refunds of Prior Year Expenditures
512607 Agency Indirect Cost Reimb (Regional Indirect Cost Plan) 512833 Cash Overage

Subtotal Other Revenue

| $\begin{array}{r} \text { FY2009 } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2010 } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { FY2011 } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | FY2012 <br> Planning Est. | FY2013 <br> Planning Est. | FY2014 <br> Planning Est. | FY2015 <br> Planning Est. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11,450 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10,748 | 11,630 | 8,046 | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 |
| 205,651 | 204,336 | 193,959 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 |
| 162,314 | 169,575 | 154,272 | 165,000 | 165,000 | 165,000 | 165,000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 118,010 | 7,427 | 82,843 | 40,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| 105,461 | 85,586 | 85,160 | 95,000 | 95,000 | 95,000 | 95,000 |
| 540 | 632 | 58 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| 3,703 | 4,149 | 3,587 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 156 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21,500 | 22,250 | 23,500 | 22,000 | 22,000 | 22,000 | 22,000 |
| 304,348 | 252,388 | 254,371 | 249,900 | 249,900 | 249,900 | 249,900 |
| 435,228 | 461,790 | 437,057 | 441,500 | 441,500 | 441,500 | 441,500 |
| 63,825 | 46,060 | 43,330 | 40,758 | 40,758 | 40,758 | 40,758 |
| $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | 23,272 | $\underline{15,000}$ | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| 75,951,910 | 77,612,892 | 75,600,747 | 70,372,705 | 71,442,705 | 71,442,705 | 71,442,705 |
| 787,458 | 260,592 | 154,261 | 129,460 | 141,000 | 231,000 | 756,000 |
| 0 | 22,750 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 134,707 | 140,422 | 133,902 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 611 | 2,546 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| 4,496 | 4,938 | 4,259 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| 2,216 | 87,275 | 454 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| 243,743 | 178,922 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1,475 | 14,494 | 70,280 | 5,500 | 5,500 | 5,500 | 5,500 |
| 0 | 5,311 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 337 | 75 | (192) | $\underline{50}$ | $\underline{50}$ | $\underline{50}$ | $\underline{50}$ |
| 386,973 | 454,799 | 211,249 | 13,150 | 13,150 | 13,150 | 13,150 |
| 77,126,341 | 78,328,282 | 75,966,256 | 70,515,315 | 71,596,855 | 71,686,855 | 72,211,855 |
| 885,759 | 884,814 | 816,177 | 846,000 | 846,000 | 846,000 | 846,000 |
| 885,759 | 884,814 | 816,177 | 846,000 | 846,000 | 846,000 | 846,000 |
| 97,971,871 | 95,653,535 | 95,388,902 | 87,874,974 | 80,190,672 | 73,290,370 | 66,915,068 |


| Land and Minerals | 1,168,287 | 1,063,664 | 1,004,578 | 1,076,000 | 1,076,000 | 1,076,000 | 1,076,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lands Records Management System | 5,091 | 617,777 | 372,513 | 326,000 | 326,000 | 326,000 | 326,000 |
| Parks \& Trails, Trails and Waterways Water Access | 2,882,564 | 1,631,601 | 2,694,155 | 2,194,000 | 2,194,000 | 2,194,000 | 2,194,000 |
| Fishing Piers | 202,724 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fish \& Wildlife Mgmt, Fish Management | 28,260,750 | 26,583,939 | 26,844,120 | 27,777,000 | 27,777,000 | 27,777,000 | 27,777,000 |
| Master Angler Program (F10) | 0 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| Aquaculture Best Management (F11) | 70,000 | 104,126 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Walleye Stocking (F13) | 128,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1837 Treaty Population Survey (F03) | 253,269 | 240,772 | 271,627 | 288,000 | 288,000 | 288,000 | 288,000 |
| F\&W Fishing Contest Permits (F05) | 1,881 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Game and Fish fund Oversight (F09) | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 |
| Let's Go Fishing (F15) | 0 | 150,000 | 150,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fish \& Wildlife Mgmt, Wildlife Management | 20,719,461 | 18,855,112 | 19,171,912 | 20,038,000 | 19,438,000 | 19,438,000 | 19,438,000 |
| Game and Fish fund Oversight (D09) | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 |
| Roadside Habitat (D11) | 185,077 | 140,062 | 159,941 | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 |
| Grey Wolf Mgmt (D13) | 0 | 6,000 | 20,823 | 120,000 | 120,000 | 120,000 | 120,000 |
| Fish \& Wildlife Mgmt, Licensing (640) | 1,133,291 | 848,142 | 1,161,306 | 1,141,000 | 1,141,000 | 1,141,000 | 1,141,000 |
| License Center / ELS (043) | 2,996,940 | 3,258,769 | 3,380,734 | 3,114,000 | 3,123,000 | 3,123,000 | 3,123,000 |
| Ecological and Water Resources Mgmt | 2,054,462 | 1,707,417 | 2,073,214 | 2,063,000 | 2,063,000 | 2,063,000 | 2,063,000 |
| Project Wild (E31) | 41,495 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enforcement | 18,345,193 | 18,636,483 | 18,748,063 | 18,765,000 | 18,662,000 | 18,662,000 | 18,662,000 |
| Safety Training \& Hunter Education Fees ( $701,702,703)$ | 203,687 | 237,839 | 155,033 | 350,000 | 350,000 | 350,000 | 350,000 |
| Peace Officer Training (appr 704) | 0 | 0 | 124,115 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ops Support | 392,745 | 390,249 | 596,734 | 428,000 | 428,000 | 428,000 | 428,000 |
| Ops Support: Regional Operations | 685,500 | 412,697 | 363,087 | 513,000 | 513,000 | 513,000 | 513,000 |
| Wild Rice Study | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Statewide Indirect Costs | 1,030,112 | 716,562 | 792,861 | 793,000 | 793,000 | 793,000 | 793,000 |
| Subtotal Expenditures | 80,850,529 | 75,692,210 | 78,175,815 | 79,236,000 | 78,542,000 | 78,542,000 | 78,542,000 |
| Transfers Out: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund 2113, Forestry certification (18J) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 258,157 | 258,157 | 258,157 | 258,157 |
| Fund 2113 Invasive Species Account (18M) | 619,998 | 461,790 | 437,057 | 365,000 | 365,000 | 365,000 | 365,000 |
| Fund 2000 Venison Donation Surcharge (200) | 306,433 | 252,388 | 254,371 | 260,000 | 260,000 | 260,000 | 260,000 |
| Fund 2208 Wild Turkey Management Account (238) | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 |
| Fund 400 Debt Service | 2,474 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fund 100 K/T Surcharge | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{1,086,267}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Total Transfers out: | 936,905 | 1,808,445 | 699,428 | 891,157 | 891,157 | 891,157 | 891,157 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES AND TRANSFERS OUT | 81,787,434 | 77,500,655 | 78,875,243 | 80,127,157 | 79,433,157 | 79,433,157 | 79,433,157 |
| FUND BALANCE | 16,184,437 | 18,152,880 | 16,513,659 | 7,747,817 | 757,515 | (6,142,787) | (12,518,089) |
| Less Dedicated Appropriations: R29 043, 701, 702; G9T 208, G64 208 | 2,039,189 | 1,076,792 | 1,159,245 | 1,033,170 | 553,000 | 553,000 | 553,000 |
| FUND BALANCE Less Dedicated Appropriations | $\underline{\underline{14,145,248}}$ | $\underline{\underline{17,076,088}}$ | $\underline{\underline{15,354,414}}$ | $\underline{\underline{6,714,647}}$ | $\underline{\underline{204,515}}$ | $(\underline{\underline{6,695,787}})$ | (13,071,089) |


|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2009 } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2010 } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2011 } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | FY2012 <br> Planning Est. | FY2013 <br> Planning Est. | FY2014 <br> Planning Est. | FY2015 <br> Planning Est. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2201 Deer and Bear Management Account/Computerized Licensing (231) Legal Citation: M.S. 97A.075, Subd. 1 ( c ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FUND 2201 without Appropriation R296213 (D01) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance Forward In | 1,109,411 | 1,327,378 | 1,092,157 | 1,060,971 | 861,071 | 661,171 | 461,271 |
| Prior Year Adjustments | 41,476 | (211,802) | $\underline{5}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Adjusted Balance Forward | 1,150,887 | 1,115,577 | 1,092,162 | 1,060,971 | 861,071 | 661,171 | 461,271 |
| Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 636079 Hunting License | 770,959 | 690,706 | 694,383 | 688,000 | 688,000 | 688,000 | 688,000 |
| 636079 Hunting License from Lifetime License Sales | 2,087 | 2,320 | 2,198 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| 553091 Credit Agreement Rebate | 200 | 158 | 235 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 512932 Refunds of Prior Year Expd | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{807}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Total Receipts | 773,246 | 693,991 | 696,816 | 690,100 | 690,100 | 690,100 | 690,100 |
| TOTAL RESOURCES AVAILABLE | 1,924,133 | 1,809,567 | 1,788,978 | 1,751,071 | 1,551,171 | 1,351,271 | 1,151,371 |
| Expenditures Fish \& Wildlife Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wildlife Management | 586,299 | 684,655 | 683,681 | 706,000 | 706,000 | 706,000 | 706,000 |
| License Center | 10,456 | 32,756 | 44,326 | 184,000 | 184,000 | 184,000 | 184,000 |
| Total Expenditures | 596,755 | 717,411 | 728,007 | 890,000 | 890,000 | 890,000 | 890,000 |
| FUND BALANCE without Appropriation R296213 (D01) | 1,327,378 | 1,092,157 | $\underline{1,060,971}$ | 861,071 | 661,171 | 461,271 | 261,371 |
| APPROPRIATION R296213 (D01) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Emergency Deer Feeding and Wild Cervidae Health Management Account |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance Forward In | 751,809 | 426,835 | 542,134 | 302,107 | 307,107 | 312,107 | 317,107 |
| Prior Year Adjustments | $\underline{0}$ | 217,962 | 68,449 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Adjusted Balance Forward | 751,809 | 644,797 | 610,583 | 302,107 | 307,107 | 312,107 | 317,107 |
| Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 636079 Hunting License | 379,636 | 342,214 | 340,765 | 348,000 | 348,000 | 348,000 | 348,000 |
| 636079 Hunting License from Lifetime License Sales | 2,087 | 2,320 | 2,198 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| 512932 Refunds of Prior Year Expd | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Total Receipts | 381,723 | 344,534 | 342,963 | 350,000 | 350,000 | 350,000 | 350,000 |
| TOTAL RESOURCES AVAILABLE | 1,133,532 | 989,331 | 953,546 | 652,107 | 657,107 | 662,107 | 667,107 |
| Expenditures Fish \& Wildlife Management <br> Wildlife Management | 706,696 | 447,197 | 651,439 | 345,000 | 345,000 | 345,000 | 345,000 |
| APPROPRIATION R296213 (D01) BALANCE | 426,835 | 542,134 | 302,107 | 307,107 | 312,107 | 317,107 | 322,107 |
| FUND BALANCE: Including Appropriation R296213 (D01) | $\underline{\underline{1,754,213}}$ | $\underline{\underline{1,634,291}}$ | $\underline{\underline{1,363,079}}$ | $\underline{\underline{1,168,179}}$ | $\underline{\underline{973,279}}$ | $\underline{\underline{778,379}}$ | $\underline{\underline{583,479}}$ |
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|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2009 } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | FY2010 <br> Actual | FY2011 Actual | FY2012 <br> Planning Est. | FY2013 <br> Planning Est. | FY2014 <br> Planning Est. | FY2015 <br> Planning Est. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2202 Deer Habitat Improvement Account (232) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legal Citation: M.S. 97A.075, Subd. 1 ( b) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance Forward In | 422,170 | 595,553 | 593,401 | 695,248 | 670,348 | 645,448 | 620,548 |
| Prior Year Adjustments | 7,352 | 865 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Adjusted Balance Forward | 429,522 | 596,417 | 593,401 | 695,248 | 670,348 | 645,448 | 620,548 |
| Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 636079 Hunting License | 1,521,325 | 1,371,949 | 1,365,994 | 1,369,000 | 1,369,000 | 1,369,000 | 1,369,000 |
| 636079 Hunting License from Lifetime License Sales | 5,564 | 6,186 | 5,862 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 |
| 553091 Credit Agreement Rebate | 227 | 165 | 112 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 512832 Refunds of Prior Year Expd | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Total Receipts | 1,527,116 | 1,378,300 | 1,371,968 | 1,375,100 | 1,375,100 | 1,375,100 | 1,375,100 |
| TOTAL RESOURCES AVAILABLE | 1,956,638 | 1,974,717 | 1,965,369 | 2,070,348 | 2,045,448 | 2,020,548 | 1,995,648 |
| Expenditures Fish \& Wildlife Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wildlife Management | 1,361,086 | $\underline{1,381,317}$ | 1,270,121 | 1,400,000 | 1,400,000 | 1,400,000 | 1,400,000 |
| FUND BALANCE | $\underline{\underline{595,553}}$ | $\underline{\underline{593,401}}$ | $\underline{\underline{695,248}}$ | $\underline{\underline{670,348}}$ | $\underline{\underline{645,448}}$ | $\underline{\underline{620,548}}$ | $\underline{\underline{595,648}}$ |
| 2203 Waterfowl Habitat Improvement Account (233) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legal Citation: M.S. 97A.075, Subd. 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance Forward In | 295,481 | 191,365 | 372,878 | 172,641 | 172,711 | 172,781 | 172,851 |
| Prior Year Adjustments | 14,098 | 15,271 | $\underline{8,897}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Adjusted Balance Forward | 309,579 | 206,636 | 381,775 | 172,641 | 172,711 | 172,781 | 172,851 |
| Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 636082 Waterfowl Stamp | 651,314 | 616,550 | 597,230 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 |
| 553901 Credit Agreement Rebate | 45 | 65 | 82 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 512832 Refunds of Prior Year Expd | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Total Receipts | 651,360 | 616,615 | 597,312 | 600,070 | 600,070 | 600,070 | 600,070 |
| TOTAL RESOURCES AVAILABLE | 960,939 | 823,251 | 979,087 | 772,711 | 772,781 | 772,851 | 772,921 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Expenditures } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Fish \& Wildlife Management } \\ \text { Wildlife Management }\end{array}\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 769,574 | 450,373 | 806,446 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 |
| FUND BALANCE | $\underline{\underline{191,365}}$ | $\underline{\underline{372,878}}$ | $\underline{\underline{172,641}}$ | $\underline{\underline{172,711}}$ | $\underline{\underline{172,781}}$ | $\underline{\underline{172,851}}$ | $\underline{\underline{172,921}}$ |
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Department of Natural Resources
Game and Fish Fund Statement
November Forecast 2011

|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { FY2009 } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2010 } \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2011 } \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | FY2012 <br> Planning Est. | FY2013 <br> Planning Est. | FY2014 <br> Planning Est. | FY2015 <br> Planning Est. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2206 Wild Rice Management Account (236) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legal Citation: M.S. 84.0911, Subd. 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance Forward In |  | 42,950 | 48,143 | 68,119 | 47,453 | 47,453 | 47,453 | 47,453 |
| Prior Year Adjustments |  | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
|  | Adjusted Balance Forward | 42,950 | 48,143 | 68,119 | 47,453 | 47,453 | 47,453 | 47,453 |
| Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 636086 Wild Rice License |  | 39,193 | 53,976 | 42,622 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 |
| TOTAL RESOURCES AVAILABLE |  | 82,143 | 102,119 | 110,741 | 92,453 | 92,453 | 92,453 | 92,453 |
| Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 34,000 | 34,000 | 63,288 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 |
| FUND BALANCE |  | 48,143 | $\underline{68,119}$ | 47,453 | 47,453 | 47,453 | 47,453 | 47,453 |


| 2207 Wildlife Acquisition Account (237) <br> Legal Citation: M.S. 97A.071, Subd. 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance Forward In | 359,336 | 859,928 | 843,349 | 553,162 | 503,202 | 453,242 | 403,282 |
| Prior Year Adjustments | $\underline{0}$ | 3,659 | 250,000 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Adjusted Balance Forward | 359,336 | 863,587 | 1,093,349 | 553,162 | 503,202 | 453,242 | 403,282 |
| Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 636080 Wildlife Acquisition Surcharge | 1,849,832 | 1,794,420 | 1,753,517 | 1,716,000 | 1,716,000 | 1,716,000 | 1,716,000 |
| 636080 Wildlife Acq Surcharge from Lifetime License Sales | 29,588 | 31,974 | 33,566 | 34,000 | 34,000 | 34,000 | 34,000 |
| 553091 Credit Agreement Rebate | 35 | 40 | 26 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| 512832 Refunds of Prior Year Expd | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Total Receipts | 1,879,455 | 1,826,434 | 1,787,109 | 1,750,040 | 1,750,040 | 1,750,040 | 1,750,040 |
| TOTAL RESOURCES AVAILABLE | 2,238,791 | 2,690,021 | 2,880,458 | 2,303,202 | 2,253,242 | 2,203,282 | 2,153,322 |
| Expenditures Fish \& Wildlife Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wildlife Management | 1,378,864 | 1,846,672 | 2,327,295 | 1,800,000 | 1,800,000 | 1,800,000 | 1,800,000 |
| FUND BALANCE | $\underline{\underline{859,928}}$ | $\underline{\underline{843,349}}$ | $\underline{\underline{553,162}}$ | $\underline{\underline{503,202}}$ | $\underline{\underline{453,242}}$ | $\underline{\underline{403,282}}$ | $\underline{\underline{353,322}}$ |


|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { FY2009 } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2010 } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { FY2011 } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | FY2012 <br> Planning Est. | FY2013 <br> Planning Est. | FY2014 <br> Planning Est. | FY2015 <br> Planning Est. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2208 Wild Turkey Management Account (238) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legal Citation: M.S. 97A.075, Subd. 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance Forward In | 245,056 | 290,441 | 397,198 | 381,447 | 317,567 | 253,687 | 189,807 |
| Prior Year Adjustments | 5,800 | 392 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Adjusted Balance Forward | 250,856 | 290,833 | 397,198 | 381,447 | 317,567 | 253,687 | 189,807 |
| Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 636079 Hunting Licenses | 183,137 | 207,927 | 193,541 | 190,000 | 190,000 | 190,000 | 190,000 |
| 636091 Turkey Stamp | 13,839 | 43 | 14 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 553091 Credit Agreement Rebate | 9 | 16 | 23 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| 512832 Refunds of Prior Year Expd | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Total Receipts |  |  | 193,577 | 190,120 | 190,120 | 190,120 | 190,120 |
| Transfer In From Fund 230 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 |
| TOTAL RESOURCES AVAILABLE | 455,841 | 506,819 | 598,775 | 579,567 | 515,687 | 451,807 | 387,927 |
| $\begin{array}{cc}\text { Expenditures } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Fish \& Wildlife Management } \\ \text { Wildlife Management }\end{array}\end{array}$ | 165,400 | 109,621 | 217,328 | 262,000 | 262,000 | 262,000 | 262,000 |
| FUND BALANCE | $\underline{\underline{290,441}}$ | $\underline{\underline{397,198}}$ | $\underline{\underline{381,447}}$ | $\underline{\underline{317,567}}$ | $\underline{\underline{253,687}}$ | $\underline{\underline{189,807}}$ | $\underline{\underline{125,927}}$ |
| 2209 Heritage Enhancement Account (239) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legal Citation: M.S. 297A. 94 (e) (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance Forward In | 3,029,766 | 2,421,583 | 2,866,885 | 1,279,311 | $(547,414)$ | $(1,341,614)$ | $(135,814)$ |
| Prior Year Adjustments | 193,096 | 237,371 | 16,250 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Adjusted Balance Forward | 3,222,862 | 2,658,955 | 2,883,135 | 1,279,311 | $(547,414)$ | $(1,341,614)$ | $(135,814)$ |
| Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 510152 Heritage Enhancement | 11,328,390 | 11,745,599 | 10,916,119 | 11,682,476 | 12,721,000 | 12,721,000 | 12,721,000 |
| 553091 Credit Agreement Rebate | 1,327 | 1,304 | 1,224 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| 512832 Refunds of Prior Year Expd | 1,323 | 873 | 161 | 800 | 800 | 800 | 800 |
| 512607 Agency Indirect Cost Reimb (Regional Indirect Cost Plan) | $\underline{0}$ | 2,061 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Total Receipts | 11,331,040 | 11,749,837 | 10,917,503 | 11,684,276 | 12,722,800 | 12,722,800 | 12,722,800 |
| TOTAL RESOURCES AVAILABLE | 14,553,902 | 14,408,792 | 13,800,639 | 12,963,586 | 12,175,386 | 11,381,186 | 12,586,986 |
| Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forest Management | 283,568 | 262,982 | 489,299 | 264,000 | 264,000 | 264,000 | 264,000 |
| Ecological Classification System Program (appr 302) | 0 | 1,117,008 | 1,012,901 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 0 | 0 |
| Fish \& Wildlife Mgmt, Fish Management | 4,372,214 | 3,959,021 | 4,068,272 | 4,164,000 | 4,164,000 | 4,164,000 | 4,164,000 |
| Fish \& Wildlife Mgmt, Wildlife Management | 2,720,525 | 2,487,633 | 2,827,477 | 2,828,000 | 2,828,000 | 2,828,000 | 2,828,000 |
| Prairie Wetlands (appr D10) | 1,744,246 | 1,149,132 | 1,239,065 | 1,175,000 | 1,175,000 | 1,175,000 | 1,175,000 |
| Ecological Services | 1,885,934 | 1,516,095 | 1,605,837 | 2,636,000 | 2,636,000 | 1,636,000 | 1,636,000 |
| Enforcement | 1,125,832 | $\underline{1,050,035}$ | 1,278,479 | 1,444,000 | 1,450,000 | 1,450,000 | 1,450,000 |
| Total Expenditures | 12,132,318 | 11,541,906 | 12,521,328 | 13,511,000 | 13,517,000 | 11,517,000 | 11,517,000 |
| FUND BALANCE | $\underline{\underline{2,421,583}}$ | $\underline{\underline{\text { 2,866,885 }}}$ | $\underline{\underline{1,279,311}}$ | $(547,414)$ | $(\underline{\underline{1,341,614}})$ | $(\underline{\underline{135,814}})$ | $\underline{\underline{1,069,986}}$ |


|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2009 } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2010 } \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { FY2011 } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | FY2012 <br> Planning Est. | FY2013 <br> Planning Est. | FY2014 <br> Planning Est. | FY2015 <br> Planning Est. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2210 Lifetime Fish and Wildlife Trust Fund (23A) Legal Citation: M.S. 97A. 4742 Subd 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance Forward In | 4,843,116 | 5,414,665 | 6,013,200 | 6,914,466 | 7,536,836 | 8,159,246 | 8,782,146 |
| Prior Year Adjustments | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Adjusted Balance Forward | 4,843,116 | 5,414,665 | 6,013,200 | 6,914,466 | 7,536,836 | 8,159,246 | 8,782,146 |
| Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 636078 Fishing License (Lifetime) | 213,290 | 224,453 | 289,208 | 235,600 | 235,600 | 235,600 | 235,600 |
| 636079 Hunting License (Lifetime) | 274,127 | 277,375 | 351,978 | 276,500 | 276,500 | 276,500 | 276,500 |
| 636081 Sportsman License (Lifetime) | 371,320 | 413,222 | 575,050 | 424,800 | 424,800 | 424,800 | 424,800 |
| 512001 ITC Interest Earnings | 2,402 | 931 | 660 | 470 | 510 | 1,000 | 3,000 |
| Total Receipts | 861,139 | 915,981 | 1,216,896 | 937,370 | 937,410 | 937,900 | 939,900 |
| TOTAL RESOURCES AVAILABLE | 5,704,255 | 6,330,646 | 7,230,097 | 7,851,836 | 8,474,246 | 9,097,146 | 9,722,046 |
| Transfer out to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund 230, RSRC 5421, License Issuing Fee - 636075 | 25,029 | 27,552 | 26,978 | 27,000 | 27,000 | 27,000 | 27,000 |
| Fund 230, RSRC 5424, Fishing Licenses fee - 636078 | 68,103 | 75,038 | 70,233 | 70,000 | 70,000 | 70,000 | 70,000 |
| Fund 230, RSRC 5425, Hunting Licenses - 636079 | 65,232 | 72,860 | 68,476 | 68,000 | 68,000 | 68,000 | 68,000 |
| Fund 230, RSRC 5427, Sports Licenses - 636081 | 91,832 | 99,108 | 106,054 | 106,000 | 106,000 | 106,000 | 106,000 |
| Fund 230, RSRC 5475, Fishing Lic Surcharge - 636128 | 68 | 88 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fund 231, RSRC 5425, Hunting License - 636079 | 4,173 | 4,640 | 4,397 | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| Fund 232, RSRC 5425, Hunting License - 636079 | 5,564 | 6,186 | 5,862 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 |
| Fund 237, RSRC 5426, Wildlife Acq Surcharge - 636080 | 29,588 | 31,974 | 33,566 | 34,000 | 34,000 | 34,000 | 34,000 |
| Transfer Out: Game and Fish Fund (Operations) | 289,591 | 317,446 | 315,631 | 315,000 | 315,000 | 315,000 | 315,000 |
| FUND BALANCE | 5,414,665 | $\underline{6,013,200}$ | $\underline{\underline{6,914,466}}$ | $\underline{\underline{7,536,836}}$ | 8,159,246 | $\underline{8,782,146}$ | $\underline{\underline{9,407,046}}$ |
| 2211 Walleye Stamp Account (23B) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance Forward In | 0 | 32,529 | 146,890 | 136,456 | 166,966 | 197,506 | 228,326 |
| Prior Year Adjustments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 663130 Walleye Stamp | 32,529 | 146,722 | 139,426 | 130,100 | 130,100 | 130,100 | 130,100 |
| 512001 ITC Interest Earnings | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{401}$ | 526 | $\underline{410}$ | 440 | 720 | $\underline{2,000}$ |
| Total Receipts | 32,529 | 147,123 | 139,952 | 130,510 | 130,540 | 130,820 | 132,100 |
| TOTAL RESOURCES AVAILABLE | 32,529 | 179,652 | 286,842 | 266,966 | 297,506 | 328,326 | 360,426 |
| Expenditures Fish \& Wildlife Mgmt, Fish Management | 0 | 32,762 | 150,386 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 |
| FUND BALANCE | 32,529 | $\underline{146,890}$ | $\underline{136,456}$ | $\underline{166,966}$ | 197,506 | 228,326 | $\underline{\underline{260,426}}$ |

Department of Natural Resources
Game and Fish Fund Statemen
November Forecast 2011

|  |  | FY2009 Actual | FY2010 Actual | FY2011 Actual | FY2012 <br> Planning Est. | FY2013 <br> Planning Est. | FY2014 <br> Planning Est. | FY2015 <br> Planning Est. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2212 Peace Officer Training Account Legal Citation: M.S. 97A. 052 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance Forward In |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,000 | 12,000 | 18,000 |
| Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 513118 General K/T Surcharge |  | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{141,000}$ | $\underline{141,000}$ | $\underline{141,000}$ | $\underline{141,000}$ |
|  | Total Receipts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 141,000 | 141,000 | 141,000 | 141,000 |
| TOTAL RESOURCES AVAILABLE |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 141,000 | 147,000 | 153,000 | 159,000 |
| Expenditures Enforcement |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135,000 | 135,000 | 135,000 | 135,000 |
| Transfer In From Fund 230 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FUND BALANCE |  | $\underline{\underline{0}}$ | $\underline{\underline{0}}$ | $\underline{\underline{0}}$ | $\underline{\underline{6,000}}$ | $\underline{\underline{12,000}}$ | $\underline{\underline{18,000}}$ | $\underline{\underline{24,000}}$ |




# Appendix B <br> Game and Fish Fund <br> Allocation to Hunting and Fishing Activities 

2011 Game and Fish Fund<br>Report



| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY08 |  | FY09 |  | FY10 |  | FY11 |  | FY12 |  | FY13 |  | FY14 |  | FY15 |  |
|  | Actual Expenditures |  | Actual Expenditures |  | Actual Expenditures |  | Actual Expenditures |  | Estimated Expenditures |  | Estimated Expenditures |  | Estimated Expenditures |  | Estimated Expenditures |  |
| DNR Unit | Hunting | Fishing | Hunting | Fishing | Hunting | Fishing | Hunting | Fishing | Hunting | Fishing | Hunting | Fishing | Hunting | Fishing | Hunting | Fishing |
| Fisheries | - | 26,392,955 | - | 28,758,900 |  | 27,124,837 | - | 27,311,747 | - | 28,120,000 |  | 28,120,000 | - | 28,120,000 |  | 28,120,000 |
| Wildlife | 18,419,919 |  | 20,949,538 | - | 19,046,174 | - | 19,397,676 |  | 20,353,000 | - | 19,753,000 | - | 19,753,000 |  | 19,753,000 |  |
| License Center | 417,188 | 327,790 | 634,643 | 498,648 | 474,960 | 373,182 | 650,331 | 510,975 | 638,960 | 502,040 | 638,960 | 502,040 | 638,960 | 502,040 | 638,960 | 502,040 |
| Ecological Services | 540,757 | 1,536,511 | 545,622 | 1,550,335 | 444,477 | 1,262,940 | 539,702 | 1,533,512 | 537,043 | 1,525,957 | 537,043 | 1,525,957 | 537,043 | 1,525,957 | 537,043 | 1,525,957 |
| Enforcement | 8,187,406 | 10,006,830 | 8,255,337 | 10,089,856 | 8,386,417 | 10,250,066 | 8,436,628 | 10,311,435 | 8,444,250 | 10,320,750 | 8,397,900 | 10,264,100 | 8,397,900 | 10,264,100 | 8,397,900 | 10,264,100 |
| Parks,Trails and Waterwa | - | 1,262,570 |  | 3,085,288 |  | 1,631,601 | - | 2,694,155 |  | 2,194,000 |  | 2,194,000 | - | 2,194,000 |  | 2,194,000 |
| Lands and Minerals | 808,625 | 110,267 | 1,032,572 | 140,806 | 1,479,668 | 201,773 | 1,211,840 | 165,251 | 1,233,760 | 168,240 | 1,233,760 | 168,240 | 1,233,760 | 168,240 | 1,233,760 | 168,240 |
| Operations Support | 399,279 | 557,772 | 448,442 | 629,803 | 338,916 | 464,030 | 398,920 | 561,080 | 396,631 | 544,369 | 392,140 | 548,860 | 392,140 | 548,860 | 392,140 | 548,860 |
| Statewide Indirect | 346,784 | 484,441 | 428,423 | 601,689 | 302,454 | 414,108 | 329,525 | 463,475 | 334,249 | 458,751 | 330,464 | 462,536 | 330,464 | 462,536 | 330,464 | 462,536 |
| Total | 29,119,958 | \$ 40,679,136 | 32,294,577 | \$ 45,355,325 | 30,473,065 | 41,722,538 | 30,964,622 | 43,551,630 | 31,937,893 | 43,834,107 | 31,283,267 | 43,785,733 | 31,283,267 | 43,785,733 | 31,283,267 | 43,785,733 |


| Biennial Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY08-09 |  |  | FY10-11 |  |  | FY12-13 |  |  | FY14-15 |  |  |
| Hunting | \$ | 61,414,535 | 41.7\% | \$ | 61,437,687 | 41.9\% | \$ | 63,221,160 | 41.9\% | \$ | 62,566,533 | 41.7\% |
| Fishing | \$ | 86,034,461 | 58.3\% | \$ | 85,274,168 | 58.1\% | \$ | 87,619,840 | 58.1\% | \$ | 87,571,467 | 58.3\% |

Lands and minerals FY02: Survey Crew was added from Operations Support.
ELS Statutory expenditures taken out due to unknown fishing hunting allocations.
*** All dedicated accounts and Heritage Enhancement dollars not included
$* * * *$ License Center moved to Division of Fish and Wildlife in FY05. Fund statement reports License Center expenditures in Operations Support FY00-04.
${ }^{* * * * *}$ Departmental Operations Support funding change in FY06. See Game and Fish Fund Report for detailed program breakdown.


Assumptions
Total Biennial receipts reported on this report equals "Total Receipts (GFF Statement) + Police State Aid Transfer - ELS Statutory Account Revenue
Sports Licenses-Split according to \% of hunting and fishing to total
Commercial Licenses-Actual Deposits to Fish \& Wildlife-Estimate is split 64/36 (Average of 5 past 5 years)
Leases-Split 5\% to Fisheries/ $95 \%$ to Wildlife
Fines Split $60 \%$ to Fisheries $/ 40 \%$ to Wildlife
Miscellaneous Split $50 \%$ to Fisheries/50\% to Wildlife
Investment Split According to Overall Pre Investment Revenue Split


