Minnesota Department of Natural Resources

Division of Ecological & Water Resources

MNDNR PERMITTING AND REPORTING SYSTEM

MPARS MNDNR PERMITTING AND REPORTING SYSTEM
www.mndnr.gov/mpars

REVISION 04132015 **APP ID** 6450

Public Waters/Dam Permit Application

Reference Number: 2016-1380

Date Submitted to DNR: July 19, 2016 at 2:35 PM

Application Reference Name: Poly Met Mining, Inc.

DNR Lead Hydrologist: **Kirstin Stutzman**
Area: Eveleth
Email: kirstin.stutzman@state.mn.us
Phone: 218-302-3246

DNR Region: Northeast Region 2
Address: MN DNR EWR
525 Lake Avenue Suite #415
Duluth, MN 55802

Parties (*Individuals and Organizations associated with the permit application*)

Poly Met Mining, Inc. - Landowner or Government Unit

Address: 6500 Co Rd 666, PO Box 475, Hoyt Lakes, MN 55750
Phone: 218-471-2150

Christie Kearney - Contact (*representing Poly Met Mining, Inc.*) (*submitted application*)

Address: 6500 County Road 666, Hoyt Lakes, MN 55750
Phone: 218-471-2163
Email: ckearney@polymetmining.com

Proposed Activity

Dam Safety - Construction

Location and Water Resources

Site Name: Flotation Tailings Basin
(Dam Safety - Construction)
Counties: St. Louis
Watersheds: St. Louis River
PLS: T59N-R14W-S10 NWNW
UTM: X:566533 Y:5273864
Water Resources: Unknown: Cell 1E, Dug Pit/Holding Pond

NOTICE: THIS IS NOT A PERMIT. All information provided on this application form is considered to be public information in accordance with the Minnesota Data Practices Act (Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 13).

Public Waters/Dam Overview

1	Please assign a reference/project name to this application.	Poly Met Mining, Inc.
2	What is the main type of work you are proposing to do?	Work in or near a lake, wetland, or river/stream (e.g., excavate, place fill, install a structure in a waterbody, modify a dam)
3	When is the anticipated start date for the project?	08/01/2017
4	When is the anticipated bid date for the project, if applicable? (optional)	06/01/2017
5	When is the expected completion date for the entire project?	08/01/2039
6	Briefly describe the overall project purpose and need.	The NorthMet Project is a copper-nickel-platinum group elements mine and associated processing facilities. This dam safety permit application is for construction of the Flotation Tailings Basin to store Flotation Tailings associated with the 20 years of ore processing.
7	Has any portion of the proposed work in wetlands or water areas already started?	No
8	Is this a transportation project sponsored by a government unit?	No
9	Will the project require any dewatering (the deliberate removal of water through the use of a pump, ditch, etc. to lower water levels to allow work to be accomplished)?	Yes
10	Will the removed water remain within its original source at all times (e.g., only pumped over the side of a coffer dam and never pumped off site to a holding pond)?	No
11	Has an Environmental Assessment Worksheet (EAW) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) been completed for the project, or will it be required?	Yes
12	Has the project gone through a Natural Heritage (endangered species) review?	Yes

Activity Detail

Activity: Dam Safety - Construction

How many different sites will have dam/weir construction work (i.e., the number of individual stream/river, ditch, lake, pond, pit, and/or wetland crossings or impact areas)? 1

Site Name: Flotation Tailings Basin

1	Provide the name for the owner of the dam.	Poly Met Mining, Inc.
2	Provide the mailing address for the owner of the dam.	PO Box 475, Hoyt Lakes, MN 55750
3	Provide the phone number for the owner of the dam.	(218) 471-2150
4	Provide the email address for the owner of the dam.	ckearney@polymetmining.com
5	Provide the name for the entity that maintains and/or operates the dam.	Poly Met Mining, Inc.
6	Provide the phone number for the entity that maintains and/or operates the dam.	(218) 471-2150
7	Provide the email address for the entity that maintains and/or operates the dam.	ckearney@polymetmining.com
8	Provide the name of the professional engineer.	Thomas Radue

Activity Detail (*Continued*)

9	Provide the company name for the professional engineer.	Barr Engineering Company
10	Provide the mailing address for the professional engineer.	4300 MarketPointe Drive, Suite 200, Minneapolis, MN 55435
11	Provide the phone number for the professional engineer.	(952) 832-2871
12	Provide the email address for the professional engineer.	tradue@barr.com
13	What is the primary purpose of the dam?	Water supply
14	Indicate the type of the dam.	Other
15	If Other, please specify:	mine tailings, earthen materials (granular filter, rock), concrete
16	What is the estimated cost of the project in whole dollars?	$20,486,000.00
17	What is the watershed area in square miles? (include one decimal point)	2.2 square miles
18	What is the elevation datum for the dam?	NAVD 1988
19	What is the elevation at the top of the dam in feet? (include one decimal point)	1,732 feet
20	What is the elevation at the principal spillway inlet/runout in feet? (include one decimal point)	1,729 feet
21	What is the elevation at the natural streambed at the downstream toe in feet? (include one decimal point)	1,520 feet
22	What is the reservoir area at the top of the dam in acres? (whole number only)	1,362 acres
23	What is the reservoir area at the principal spillway inlet/runout in acres? (whole number only)	1,352 acres
24	What is the storage volume at the top of the dam in acre-feet? (whole number only)	145,738 acre-feet
25	What is the storage volume at the principal spillway inlet/runout in acre-feet? (whole number only)	141,665 acre-feet
26	Do you have the financial capabilities to maintain and repair the dam?	Yes
27	Do you have all impoundment flowage easements to the top of the dam?	Yes
28	Do you have all access rights and easements to construct and maintain the dam?	Yes
29	Select the resource(s) below that describes the type of water bodies that could be impacted at this site.	dug pit/holding pond
30	Counties	St. Louis
31	Watersheds	St. Louis River
32	PLS	T59N-R14W-S10 NWNW
33	UTMXY	X:566533 Y:5273864
34	Water resources	Unknown: Cell 1E, Dug Pit/Holding Pond

Acknowledgment (*By the party who submitted the permit application*)

Acknowledgement (*Continued*)

☑ **I attest that:**

·I own or control (by lease, license, or other permission) the land that I propose to alter, AND

·There are no easements or other restrictions on the land that would prohibit the proposed activities from being authorized under a permit, AND

·I possess the authority to undertake the work described, or I am acting as a duly authorized agent, AND

·The information submitted and the statements made concerning this application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

PRINTED: 07/19/2016 at 2:35 PM

NorthMet Dam Safety Permit Application

Flotation Tailings Basin

Prepared for
Poly Met Mining, Inc.

POLYMET
MINING

May 2017

4300 MarketPointe Drive
Minneapolis, MN 55435
952.832.2600
www.barr.com

NorthMet Dam Safety Permit Application: Flotation Tailings Basin

May 15, 2017

Contents

1.0 Introduction 1
1.1 Project Description 1
1.2 Tailings Management 1
1.3 Definitions and Terms 3
1.4 Dam Safety Permit Application Structure 3
2.0 Permit Application Requirements 5
3.0 Permit Application Supporting Content 6
3.1 General Permit Application 6
3.2 Preliminary Design Report 6
3.2.1 Preliminary Cost Estimate 6
3.2.2 Ancillary Features 6
3.3 Final Design Report 7
3.3.1 Borrow and Aggregate Locations and Volumes 7
3.3.2 Detailed Cost Estimate 8
3.4 Plans and Specifications 9
3.5 Classification of Dams and Dam Break Analysis 9
3.6 Permit Standards 10
4.0 References 11

List of Tables

Table 2-1 Dam Safety Permit Application Correlation Table (Large Table 1) Format Summary 5
Table 3-1 Flotation Tailings Basin Ancillary Features 7
Table 3-2 Borrow and Aggregate Locations and Volumes 8

List of Large Tables

Large Table 1 Flotation Tailings Basin Dam Safety Permit Requirements: Correlation Table
Large Table 2 Construction Phase - Construction Cost Estimate

List of Figures

Figure 1 Flotation Tailings Basin Development Timeline 3

List of Large Figures

Large Figure 1 Tailings Basin Location
Large Figure 2 Tailings Basin Area Existing Infrastructure

List of Appendices

Appendix A Flotation Tailings Management Plan
Appendix B Geotechnical Data Package Volume 1 - Flotation Tailings Basin
Appendix C Permit Application Support Drawings – Flotation Tailings Basin Seepage Containment and Stream Augmentation Systems

Certifications

I hereby certify that this plan, specification, or report was prepared by me or under my direct supervision and that I am a duly Licensed Professional Engineer under the laws of the state of Minnesota.



Thomas J. Radue

PE #: 20951

05/15/2017

Date

1.0 Introduction

This document constitutes an application for a Dam Safety Permit in the state of Minnesota for the NorthMet Project Flotation Tailings Basin (FTB). It provides the information required by Minnesota Rules, part 6115.0410 and the Minnesota Permitting and Reporting System (MPARS).

1.1 Project Description

Poly Met Mining, Inc. (PolyMet) is proposing to develop the NorthMet Project (Project) copper-nickel-platinum group elements mine and associated processing facilities. The Project is described in Section 1.1 of the NorthMet Mining Project and Land Exchange Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) (Reference (1)). The Project is located south of the city of Babbitt and north of the city of Hoyt Lakes in St. Louis County, Minnesota, as shown on Large Figure 1. Existing infrastructure in the vicinity of the FTB is shown on Large Figure 2.

1.2 Tailings Management

The Project will generate approximately 11.27 million short tons (approximately 10,000,000 in-place cubic yards) of Flotation Tailings annually throughout 20 years of ore processing. The Flotation Tailings will be a slurry of fine sand, silt, and clay size particles, with approximately 60% of particles smaller than 0.075 mm. The slurry will be pumped from the Beneficiation Plant to the FTB. Flotation Tailings management is described in the Flotation Tailings Management Plan (Appendix A).

The FTB will be constructed on Cells 1E and 2E of the existing former LTV Steel Mining Company (LTVSMC) tailings basin. The Flotation Tailings will settle out within the FTB and decanted water will be pumped back to the Beneficiation Plant for reuse as process water. The slurry discharge will be configured such that the discharge point can periodically be adjusted (vertically and horizontally) to distribute tailings uniformly around the FTB. Seepage will be collected by the FTB seepage capture systems, consisting of the FTB Seepage Containment System and the FTB South Seepage Management System. The FTB will be constructed in increments (stages) over the 20 years of facility operation. The FTB development timeline is shown on Figure 1. This permit application covers all planned increments of FTB construction. For further description of FTB staging, see Section 2.2.2 of the Flotation Tailings Management Plan (Appendix A).

The FTB dams have been designed to achieve necessary factors of safety (Appendix B). A dam break analysis was completed to understand the potential consequences in the unlikely event of a failure of the FTB dam (Appendix A). Results are incorporated in the Contingency Action Plan (Attachment F of Appendix A) so emergency responders can plan for a worst-case scenario and be prepared to take necessary actions should a dam break ever occur.

Tailings would be placed on top of part of the unlined existing LTVSMC Tailings Basin. For the first 7 years of operation, tailings would be placed on top of Cell 2E (currently approximately 1,595 feet above mean sea level [amsl]) or until it reached the same height as the existing Cell 1E (approximately 1,660 feet amsl). After that, tailings would go on top of both Cells 1E and 2E (forming a single cell) up to the same height of Cell 2W (approximately 1,735 feet amsl).

The future perimeter dams of the Tailings Basin would be raised in an upstream construction method using compacted LTVSMC bulk tailings that consist primarily of coarse tailings with limited amounts of LTVSMC fines and slimes mixed in. This material would be sourced from the existing LTVSMC Tailings Basin of Cell 2W, from the southeast dam of Cell 1E, and from the south dam of Cell 2E. Upon exhaustion of LTVSMC tailings available for dam construction, off-site borrow from DNR-approved sources would be utilized. To increase geotechnical stability, a rock buttress would be constructed around the northern dam of Cell 2E and southern dam of Cell 1E of the existing LTVSMC Tailings Basin. Rock buttress material would be from DNR-approved sources. Material from former LTVSMC Area 5 would be a likely source for the rock buttress and fill material, but other sources could also be considered.

During LTVSMC operations, fly ash, dredging spoil, and coal pile cleanup material were placed in a solid waste storage site (Coal Ash Landfill) upgradient and to the east of Cell 1E. The location of this landfill would be inundated by tailings in approximately year 7 of Tailings Basin operation. Therefore, the contents would be analyzed and relocated to the Hydrometallurgical Residue Facility (HRF), or other approved facility, prior to that time.

A bentonite-amended oxygen barrier layer (at a depth of 30 inches from the surface of the dams) on exterior sides of dams will be added as part of construction. The design also includes a midslope setback, and construction of buttresses along the northern toe of existing LTVSMC Tailings Basin Cell 2E and southern toe of Cell 1E using material from former LTVSMC Area 5.

The Flotation Tailings will be deposited in slurry form through a system of pumps and moveable pipelines. Tailings will be deposited over discharge beaches or underwater in the Tailings Basin pond using movable diffusers. The small and fairly uniform grind size of the tailings will allow for a fairly consistent particle-size distribution, minimizing segregation of coarse and fine portions. Tailings beaches will exist along the northern and northeastern dams of Cell 2E and the southern and eastern dams of Cell 1E, where the natural landscape is higher, thus bounding the material.

The tailings will settle out of the slurry and the decanted water will be allowed to pond and will be collected using a barge pump-back system that will pump the water back for use at the Beneficiation Plant. The barge system will consist of a primary pump barge in Cell 1E, an auxiliary pump barge in Cell 2E, piping from the primary pump barge to the Beneficiation Plant, and piping from the auxiliary pump barge to Cell 1E. The auxiliary pump barge will not be needed once the cells combine to form one cell. The return water pipelines will be moved as dams are raised (up to the maximum of 1,732 feet amsl), to keep the pipeline at or near the top of the dam. The return water pipes will be fitted with a relief drain valve to allow for water to be drained back to ponds in case of shutdown during winter operations to avoid damage to the pipes from freezing or suction. Pumps will also be fitted with deicing mechanisms to avoid freezing. FTB stability modeling and the rationale for the design are discussed in Geotechnical Data Package (Appendix B).



Figure 1 Flotation Tailings Basin Development Timeline

1.3 Definitions and Terms

In this document, the Flotation Tailings Basin (FTB) refers to the proposed NorthMet Flotation Tailings impoundment placed atop Cells 1E and 2E of the former LTVSMC tailings basin, and the Tailings Basin is the existing LTVSMC tailings basin as well as the combined LTVSMC tailings basin and the FTB. Coarse tailings are LTVSMC coarse tailings, and Flotation Tailings are the NorthMet bulk flotation tailings.

1.4 Dam Safety Permit Application Structure

The content requirements for this FTB Dam Safety Permit Application are summarized in Large Table 1, which is described further in Section 2.0. Portions of the required FTB Dam Safety Permit Application documentation and information was prepared to support the Project EIS and Permit to Mine (PTM) process, has undergone extensive DNR review and peer review, and is therefore already in the DNR files and the public record. So as not to re-produce existing information, the approach for this application is to

communicate where required application information can be found; requirements that are already met in other documentation are referenced in Large Table 1, as further described in Section 2.0. Any components not previously developed are presented in the subsequent sections of this application, or in the documents referenced in Large Table 1.

2.0 Permit Application Requirements

The requirements for Dam Safety Permit applications in Minnesota are laid out in Minnesota Rules, part 6115.0410 and the Minnesota Permitting and Reporting System (MPARS) application. Large Table 1 summarizes each requirement, provides the regulatory citation, and identifies the document which contains the information. Table 2-1 describes the configuration of Large Table 1.

Table 2-1 Dam Safety Permit Application Correlation Table (Large Table 1) Format Summary

Section	Citation	Requirement	Document	Section and Page Number
Large Table 1 is divided into the 5 primary permit application content sections required by Minnesota Rules, part 6115.0410: • General Permit Application • Preliminary Design Report • Final Design Report • Plans and Specifications • Permit Standards	Provides the citation for the Minnesota Rules in which the permit application content requirement is stated.	Provides a synopsis of required permit application content.	Identifies the document currently on file with the DNR and in the public record in which the required permit application content is presented.	Identifies the section and page number of the document in which the required permit application content is presented.

Note: This FTB Dam Safety Permit Application consists of the content provided within this written document, and in the sections and on the pages of the documents referenced in Large Table 1.

The MPARS application has been submitted on-line at www.dnr.state.mn.us/mpars as part of this Dam Safety Permit Application.

3.0 Permit Application Supporting Content

The sections and pages of the documents referenced in Large Table 1 in aggregate constitute the majority of the FTB Dam Safety Permit Application. Additional application content not previously submitted to the DNR is presented in the following subsections.

3.1 General Permit Application

The items required under the General Permit Application have been previously submitted to the DNR and are referenced in Large Table 1.

3.2 Preliminary Design Report

Most items required under the Preliminary Design Report have been previously submitted to the DNR and are referenced in Large Table 1. The following subsections present additional application information not previously submitted to the DNR.

3.2.1 Preliminary Cost Estimate

Per Minnesota Rules, part 6115.0520, DNR Dam Inspection Fees paid by PolyMet will be based on estimated costs of dam construction. At the end of each stage of construction, and in any case at intervals not exceeding five years in duration, PolyMet will file an affidavit of actual costs for each stage of construction. In cases where actual construction costs exceed estimates, PolyMet will pay the associated Inspection Fee difference. The initial Inspection Fee shall be based on the estimated initial year cost of dam construction, provided as Large Table 2.

Portions of the FTB construction costs unrelated to tailings containment and dam stability are anticipated to be excluded from the computation of Dam Inspection Fees and are noted as such on Large Table 2 and/or excluded from Large Table 2 in their entirety (e.g., FTB Seepage Containment and Stream Augmentation Systems).

3.2.2 Ancillary Features

Some features that will be co-located with the Tailings Basin do not serve a tailings containment function and therefore are ancillary to overall dam safety permitting. Ancillary features and their general function and location are summarized in Table 3-1. Ancillary features are shown on the Permit Application Support Drawings provided with the Flotation Tailings Management Plan (Appendix A). In addition, Appendix C provides information on the FTB Seepage Containment and Stream Augmentation Systems. The FTB Seepage Containment and Stream Augmentation Systems are co-located with the Tailings Basin and therefore the corresponding Permit Application Support Drawings are provided for DNR reference. However, these systems serve a water quality management function rather than a tailings containment function and therefore are ancillary to overall dam safety permitting.

Table 3-1 Flotation Tailings Basin Ancillary Features

Item	Location	Function
Emergency Overflow Channel	Interface of Cell 2E North Dam with East Slope – All Stages of Dam Construction	Provide Emergency Overflow Capability During Operations-Phase Development of Flotation Tailings Basin
Drainage Swale	Cell 2E East Dam	Eliminate Ponding of Surface Water at Toe of Slope at Cell 2E East Dam
Closure Overflow Channel	North End of Cell 2E North Dam	Provide Emergency Overflow Capability After Flotation Tailings Basin Closure
Access Roads	East Side of Cell 1E	Provide Vehicle Access on East Perimeter of Tailings Basin Cell 1E and 2E
Tailings Discharge Pipeline	Location is Variable – Typically Perimeter of Cells 1E and 2E	Transport of Flotation Tailings to Discharge on Perimeter of Cells 1E and 2E and for Tailings Transport to Diffuser Raft
Diffuser Raft	Cells 1E and 2E	For Discharge of Flotation Tailings in Central Area of Cells 1E and 2E
Transfer Pump Raft and Pipeline	Cell 2E	Transfer of Pond Water from Cell 2E into Cell 1E
Return Water Raft and Pipeline	Cell 1E	Transfer of Pond Water from Cell 1E to Concentrator
FTB Seepage Containment and Stream Augmentation Systems	Cell 1E, Cell 2E, Cell 2W	FTB Seepage Containment and Stream Augmentation

Note: Items noted in the table are shown in the Permit Application Support Drawings provided in Attachment A of Appendix A or Appendix C to this Permit Application.

3.3 Final Design Report

Most items required under the Final Design Report are provided as appendices to this permit application or have been previously submitted to the DNR and are referenced in Large Table 1. The following subsections present additional application information not previously submitted to the DNR.

3.3.1 Borrow and Aggregate Locations and Volumes

The borrow materials and aggregates required for FTB construction and closure are listed in Table 3-2, including estimated quantities and planned borrow locations.

Table 3-2 Borrow and Aggregate Locations and Volumes

Borrow Type and Use	Estimated Quantity	When Used	Planned Borrow Locations
LTVSMC Coarse Tailings – Flotation Tailings Basin Dams	16,550,000 Cubic Yards	FTB Construction	Tailings Basin Cell 2E and Cell 2W Dam Crests
Import Borrow – Flotation Tailings Basin Dams	2,500,000 Cubic Yards	FTB Construction	Area 5 waste rock stockpiles and PolyMet Discretion
Rock – Flotation Tailings Basin Buttresses	3,560,000 Cubic Yards	FTB Construction	Area 5 waste rock stockpiles, Drainage Swale, and PolyMet Discretion
Bentonite – Flotation Tailings Basin Cover	135,000 Tons	FTB Closure	Import by Rail
Riprap – Erosion Control Features	209,000 Tons	FTB Construction and Closure	Area 5 waste rock stockpiles and PolyMet Discretion
Granular Filter Material – Erosion Control Features	70,000 Cubic Yards	FTB Construction and Closure	PolyMet Discretion
1" Minus Rock – Roadway Surfacing	2,600 Cubic Yards	FTB Construction	PolyMet Discretion

Note: Material quantities listed above are approximate based on designs presented in the Permit Application Support Drawings (Attachment A of Appendix A). Planned borrow locations are for cost estimate purposes; borrow locations will be refined based on additional data collected prior to construction.

3.3.2 Detailed Cost Estimate

A detailed cost estimate for the initial year of FTB construction (called the Construction Phase in PolyMet's planning schedule) is provided as Large Table 2. The cost estimate provides:

- a listing of each of the construction materials required for the initial year of FTB construction
- the unit of measure and quantity for each construction material
- an estimate of unit price for each construction material, based on evaluation of unit pricing provided by independent construction contractors
- the cost estimate extension
- comments providing additional context for the cost estimates

The detailed estimate shall serve as the basis for Mine Year 1 Inspection Fees due from PolyMet to the DNR. Estimates will subsequently be replaced by actual costs incurred at the time of construction. Some material types are included in Large Table 2 with quantities of zero for the initial year of construction because they will be used in future years of FTB construction. Quantities for these materials will be added at the time of the applicable cost estimate update. The FTB Seepage Containment and Stream Augmentation Systems shown in the Permit Application Support Drawings (Appendix C) are ancillary features for water quality control; they are not a part of the containment of the Flotation Tailings, and the costs of these features are therefore excluded from the cost estimates provided herein.

3.4 Plans and Specifications

As previously noted, FTB Permit Application Support Drawings are provided with the Flotation Tailings Management Plan (Appendix A). Construction specifications for FTB construction are also provided in Attachment G of Appendix A. The construction specifications have been prepared absent a final decision by PolyMet on project implementation approach (e.g., Design-Bid-Build, Engineer-Procure-Construct). Construction specifications will be updated as deemed appropriate by PolyMet once project permits are received and a project implementation approach selected.

3.5 Classification of Dams and Dam Break Analysis

Per Minnesota Rules, part 6115.0340, all existing and proposed dams shall be classified by the commissioner into one of three hazard classes – those dams where failure, misoperation, or other occurrences or conditions would probably result in:

Class I: any loss of life or serious hazard, or damage to health, main highways, high-value industrial or commercial properties, major public utilities, or serious direct or indirect, economic loss to the public;

Class II: possible health hazard or probable loss of high-value property, damage to secondary highways, railroads or other public utilities, or limited direct or indirect economic loss to the public other than that described in Class III; and

Class III: property losses restricted mainly to rural buildings and local county and township roads which are an essential part of the rural transportation system serving the area involved.

The FTB dams have been designed to achieve necessary factors of safety (Geotechnical Data Package – Volume 1, (Appendix B), so a dam break is unlikely. A dam break analysis was completed to understand the potential extent of flood inundation between the FTB and the Embarrass River in the unlikely event of a failure at the dam. Results are presented in Attachment H of Appendix A, and incorporated in the Contingency Action Plan (Appendix F of Appendix A).

The FTB dams can be categorized as Class I or Class II dams. Per Minnesota Rules, part 6115.0490, Class I dam owners must prepare and file for approval a contingency plan for notifying any persons whose lives, property, or health may be endangered by failure, misoperation, or other circumstances or occurrence affecting the dam, identifying most practical and expeditious means for warning considering the time factor involved based on the proximity of the dam to affected parties. If there is no feasible or practical means to provide for adequate evacuation warning in sufficient time if a catastrophe occurs the owner is responsible for notifying affected downstream property owners of that fact.

The Contingency Action plan defines responsibilities, specifies procedures for identifying and responding to unexpected and potentially hazardous conditions threatening the integrity and performance of the FTB, and provides information for emergency responders to plan for a worst-case scenario and be prepared to take necessary actions should a dam break ever occur.

3.6 Permit Standards

The items required under Permit Standards have been previously submitted to the DNR and are referenced in Large Table 1.

4.0 References

1. **Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and United States Forest Service.** Final Environmental Impact Statement: NorthMet Mining Project and Land Exchange. November 2015.

2. **Barr Enginerring Co.** NPDES/SDS Permit Application Volume V – Tailings Basin and Beneficiation Plant for Poly Met Mining Inc. estimated submittal July 2016.

3. **Poly Met Mining Inc.** NorthMet Project Wetland Data Package (v11). April 2015.

4. —. NorthMet Project Water Management Plan - Plant (v6). May 2017.

5. —. NorthMet Project Water Modeling Data Package Volume 2 - Plant Site (v11). March 2015.

6. —. NorthMet Project Waste Characterization Data Package (v12). February 2015.

7. —. NorthMet Project Air Quality Management Plan - Plant (v7). December 2014.

8. **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers; U.S. Forest Service; Minnesota Department of Natural Resources.** NorthMet Environmental Impact Statement Co-lead Agencies' Consideration of a West Pit Backfill Alternative Interagency Memorandum (for Poly Met Mining Inc.). April 11, 2013.

9. **Barr Engineering Co.** Permit to Mine Application. Prepared for Poly Met Mining, Inc. NorthMet Project. June 2017.

Large Tables

Large Table 1 Flotation Tailings Basin Dam Safety Permit Requirements: Correlation Table

Subsection of Minnesota Rules, Part 6115.0410[1]	Rule Citation[2]	Rule Requirement[3]	Source Document[4]	Section and Page Number in Source Document[5]
General Permit Application	6115.0410 (2)(B)	Purpose of proposed dam construction.	Dam Safety Permit Application	Section 1.2, page 1
	MPARS Application	Project purpose and need.	Final Environmental Impact Statement (Reference (1))	Section 1.3, pages 11-12 of Section 1
	6115.0410 (2)(C)	Location, type, size, and height of the dam.	Flotation Tailings Management Plan (Appendix A)	Section 2.2, pages 6-9
	6115.0410 (2)(D)	Storage capacity of impoundment.	Flotation Tailings Management Plan (Appendix A)	Large Table 1
	MPARS Application	Project description, including a description of all project elements that effect aquatic resources (wetlands, streams, etc.).	Final Environmental Impact Statement (Reference (1))	Sections 3.0 through 6.0
		Plans and cross-section or profile drawings showing the location, character, and dimensions of all proposed activities and aquatic resource impacts.	NPDES Permit Application Volume V – Tailings Basin and Beneficiation Plant Support Drawings (Reference (2))	Appendix A
			Wetland Data Package (Reference (3))	Large Figure 9 and Large Figure 25
		Description of direct or indirect impacts to aquatic resources.	Water Management Plan - Plant (Reference (4))	Section 2.5, page 22
			Wetland Data Package (Reference (3))	Sections 5.1.5 and 5.2.2, pages 21, and 48-56
Preliminary Design Report	6115.0410 (3)(A)	A general statement setting forth the effect on the environment.	Final Environmental Impact Statement (Reference (1))	Section 4.0, page 1 of Section 4
	6115.0410 (3)(B)	Maps showing the location of county, township, and section lines; the outline of the impoundments; the location of state, county, and township roads; the locations of utilities, e.g., pipelines, transmission, telegraph, and telephone lines; the topography; and other structure or facilities including dwellings affected by the proposed dam.	Dam Safety Permit Application	Large Figure 1, Large Figure 2
			Flotation Tailings Management Plan (Appendix A)	Attachment H (Dam Break Analysis) pages 7-8 and 11-13
	6115.0410 (3)(C)	A report of surface conditions, i.e., geology, topography.	Water Modeling Data Package; Plant Site (Reference (5))	Section 4.3, pages 14-16
	6115.0410 (3)(D)	Typical cross-sections of the dam accurately showing elevations, proposed impoundment levels, and top width.	Flotation Tailings Management Plan (Appendix A)	Attachment A
	6115.0410 (3)(E)	Logs of borings in the foundation and in the borrow areas.	Geotechnical Data Package Volume 1 (Appendix B)	Attachments D, F, H, and J
		Results of seismic and resistivity subsurface investigations, when they are readily available.	Reports are not readily available, therefore this requirements is not applicable	
	6115.0410 (3)(F)	Preliminary design assumptions, operational aspects, tentative conclusions, and references. The design assumptions shall pertain to such hydrologic features as drainage area, rainfall data, runoff, inflow, area-capacity-elevation data, and flood routing, in addition to structural, geologic, and geotechnical assumptions.	Water Modeling Data Package Volume 2 (Reference (5))	Section 4.0
			Geotechnical Data Package Volume 1 (Appendix B)	Sections 6.0 through 8.0
			Flotation Tailings Management Plan (Appendix A)	Section 2.5 and Attachment C and Attachment H
	6115.0410 (3)(G)	A preliminary cost estimate.	Dam Safety Permit Application	Large Table 2
	6115.0410 (3)(H)	Future plans on ultimate project size including dams and impoundments.	Flotation Tailings Management Plan (Appendix A)	Large Table 1
	6115.0410 (3)(I)	A general description of all other activities and elements related to and part of the total dam project, such as operational plans and details of smaller dams, dikes, diversions, reclaim water facilities, and other facility and utility lines including pipelines, roads, and railroads. The report shall identify each element or activity of the total dam project which would require a permit under the provisions of parts 6115.0150 to 6115.0260.	Dam Safety Permit Application	Table 3-1

Subsection of Minnesota Rules, Part 6115.0410[1]	Rule Citation[2]	Rule Requirement[3]	Source Document[4]	Section and Page Number in Source Document[5]
Final Design Report	6115.0410 (6)(A)	General description of the project, such as its service life, production rates, required storage and area(s).	Dam Safety Permit Application, and Flotation Tailings Management Plan (Appendix A)	Dam Safety Permit Application, and Flotation Tailings Management Plan Section 1.0, pages 2-4
		Geological considerations such as physiography, topography, geology, seismicity, and groundwater conditions.	Geotechnical Data Package Volume 1 (Appendix B)	Section 3.3 (seismicity), pages 9-10
			Water Modeling Data Package; Plant Site (Reference (5))	Section 4.3 (other requirements), pages 14-29
		Hydrologic Studies such as:		
		physical features, climatology	Water Modeling Data Package; Plant Site (Reference (5))	Sections 4.1 and 4.2, pages 13-14
		design storm and design flood characteristics, flood routing	Flotation Tailings Management Plan (Appendix A)	Section 3.3, page 20, and pages 1-8 of Attachment H
		water-material balance	Water Modeling Data Package; Plant Site (Reference (5))	Section 6.1.5, pages 141-145
		free-board requirements	Flotation Tailings Management Plan (Appendix A)	Section 4.2, pages 22-24
		dam-break flood	Flotation Tailings Management Plan (Appendix A)	Attachment H (Dam Break Analysis) pages 1-8
		geotechnical information, such as rock-soil sampling and logging, geophysical investigations, field and lab testing, instrumentation data	Geotechnical Data Package Volume 1 (Appendix B)	Attachment D (Historical Geotechnical Reports), Attachment E (2007 Geotechnical Investigation Laboratory Test Results), Attachment F (2014 Geotechnical Investigation Report), Attachment H (2007 Geotechnical Investigation CPTu Sounding Logs and Dissipation Test Results), Attachment I (2007 Geotechnical Investigations Dilatometer Test Results), Attachment J (2007 Geotechnical Investigation SPT Boring Logs)
		considerations of construction materials and their properties, such as quantities required, borrow and aggregate locations and volumes, field and lab work and investigations, concrete, waste materials generation and placement techniques	Flotation Tailings Management Plan (Appendix A)	Large Table 1 (Dam material volumes) Section 2.2.4 (Construction materials description), pages 8-10
			Flotation Tailings Management Plan (Appendix A)	Table 3-2 (Borrow and Aggregate Locations and Volumes), and Attachment G (Construction Specifications)
			Geotechnical Data Package Volume 1 (Appendix B)	Section 5, pages 31-58; and Attachments C-F, M, and N (Construction material properties)
		investigation of the stored waste materials such as generation, transportation, mechanical/chemical/special testing, disposal practice	Flotation Tailings Management Plan (Appendix A)	Section 2.3, page 10-11; Section 4.0 page 21-27; Section 5.0 page 28-30
			Waste Characterization Data Package (Reference (6))	Section 5.0, pages 31-41
	6115.0410 (6)(B)	Seepage analysis	Geotechnical Data Package Volume 1 (Appendix B)	Section 7.2, pages 95-101
		Stability, deformation, and settlement analysis.	Geotechnical Data Package Volume 1 (Appendix B)	Section 7.3, pages 102-115
		Design details of facilities, such as dam, foundation, impoundment, abutments, spillways or decant facilities, diversions, outlet works, and instrumentation.	Dam Safety Permit Application – FTB Permit Application Support Drawings	Attachment A
			Flotation Tailings Management Plan (Appendix A)	Section 5.0 (Instrumentation), pages 28-30
		Operational aspects, such as impoundment operating criteria, initial filling criteria, responsibility and coordination, emergency procedures, and warning systems.	Flotation Tailings Management Plan (Appendix A)	Section 4.0 (Operational Plan), pages 21-27
			Flotation Tailings Management Plan (Appendix A)	Attachment F (Contingency Action Plan)
	6115.0410 (6)(B) 6115.0410 (6)(B)	Air, water, and solid pollution controls, sedimentation, and erosion controls.	Air Quality Management Plan – Plant (Reference (7))	Attachment A (Fugitive Emissions Control Plan)
			Flotation Tailings Management Plan (Appendix A)	Sections 5.0 and 7.0 (Erosion), pages 28-31, and 37-40
			NPDES Permit Application (Reference (2))	Application Volume V Sections 2.4 and 3 (Water and Sedimentation), pages 26-30, and 31-38
		Operational and post-operational maintenance and abandonment considerations.	Flotation Tailings Management Plan (Appendix A)	Sections 4.3 pages 24-25, and Section 7 pages 38-41
		Surveillance and inspection programs.	Flotation Tailings Management Plan (Appendix A)	Section 5.0, pages 28-34
	6115.0410 (6)(C)	Detailed cost estimate.	Dam Safety Permit Application	Large Table 2

Subsection of Minnesota Rules, Part 6115.0410[1]	Rule Citation[2]	Rule Requirement[3]	Source Document[4]	Section and Page Number in Source Document[5]
Plans and Specifications	6115.0410 (7)(A-C)	Plans	Flotation Tailings Management Plan (Appendix A)	Attachment A
		General Provisions	Flotation Tailings Management Plan (Appendix A)	Attachment G
		Technical Specifications	Flotation Tailings Management Plan (Appendix A)	Attachment G
Permit Standards	6115.0410 (8)(B)	Lack of other suitable feasible and practical alternative sites and that the dam will benefit the population or socioeconomic base of the area involved.	Final Environmental Impact Statement (Reference (1)) NorthMet Environmental Impact Statement Co-lead Agencies' Consideration of a West Pit Backfill Alternative Interagency Memorandum (Reference (8))	Section 3.2.3, pages 139-155
	6115.0410 (8)(C)	The need in terms of quantifiable benefits.	Final Environmental Impact Statement (Reference (1))	Section 1.3.2.1, page 11
	6115.0410 (8)(D)	The stability of the dam, foundation, abutments, and impoundment under all conditions of construction and operation, including consideration of liquefaction, shear, or seepage failure, overturning, sliding, overstressing and excessive deformation, under all loading conditions including earthquake. This determination must be based on current, prudent engineering practice, and the degree of conservatism employed must depend on hazards.	Geotechnical Data Package Volume 1 (Appendix B)	Section 7.3, pages 102-115
	6115.0410 (8)(E)	Discharge and/or storage capacity capable of handling the design flood based on current, prudent engineering practice and the hazard classification.	Flotation Tailings Management Plan (Appendix A)	Section 3.3, page 20 and Attachment H
	None. Information requested by DNR on 10/31/13	Financial assurance.	Permit to Mine Application (Reference (9))	Sections 16

(1) Section – Denotes the primary sub-sections of Minnesota Rules, part 6115.0410 for Dam Safety Permitting.
(2) Rule Citation – Denotes the sub-section of the Rule that the Permit Application content addresses.
(3) Rule Requirement – Provides a summary statement of the content requirement of each sub-section of the Rule.
(4) Document – Lists the document containing the content/information required by the cited Rule.
(5) Section and Page Number – Lists the document's section and page number on which the content/information required by the Rule is located.

Large Table 2 Construction Phase - Construction Cost Estimate

Item	Description	Unit	Construction Phase Quantity	Contractor Estimate	Cost Extension[2]	Comments
1	Mobilization and Demobilization	LS	1	$700,000.00	$700,000	Project Setup, Equipment Mob. and Demob., Routine Project Admin., Health and Safety, Etc.
2	Preliminary Activities[1]				*$0*	See Below
2.a.	Environmental Protection Measures[1]	LS	1	$70,400.00	*$70,000*	None
2.b.	Temporary Dewatering and Pumping[1]	LS	1	$80,000.00	*$80,000*	None
3	Clearing and Grubbing	Acre	0	$2,000.00	$0	None
4	Subgrade Improvement	Acre	70	$600.00	$42,000	Rough grade and compact existing tailings dam surface. Include removal of surface vegetation.
5	Peat Removal	CY	0	$2.60	$0	Excavate and dispose of peat for buttress construction. Dewatering incidental to excavation.
6	Rock Excavation (Drainage Swale)	CY	0	$9.60	$0	Excavate and dispose of soil and rock for drainage swale east of Cell 1E.
7	LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Common Excavation and Stockpile	CY	0	$2.40	$0	Excavation, transport and stockpile LTVSMC Coarse Tailings from dam between Cells 1E and 2E to NE corner of Cell 2W.
8	LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Borrow	CY	2,475,900	$2.60	$6,437,000	Excavation, transport, placement, and compaction.
9	Import Borrow	CY	0	$6.40	$0	Procurement, transport, placement, and compaction.
10	Buttress Construction	CY	0	$3.20	$0	Transport, placement, and compaction – from Area 5 waste rock stockpile to buttress (30" minus rock).
11	Slope Erosion Control - Topsoil, Seed, Mulch and Fertilizer	Acre	37	$1,280.00	$47,000	Shallow rooted grasses, one application fertilizer, and spray applied mulch. Include 4" topsoil.
12	Exterior Dike Bentonite Augmentation	Acre	37	$28,800.00	$1,066,000	Remove 30" tailings cover layer, mix 18" layer of soil with bentonite, compact augmented layer, replace 30" tailings cover layer and compact. Use 3% bentonite by weight for mixture.
13	Construct Dike Access Road[1]	LF	0	$7.20	*$0*	None
14	Relocate Coal Ash Landfill[1]	CY	0		*$0*	Move to area specified by PolyMet.
15	Pumping and Piping				*$0*	See Below
15.a.	Furnish and Install Floating Pump Station[1]	LS	1	$880,000.00	*$880,000*	Including pumps, raft, floating walkway access and all necessary fittings up to and including flexible hose.
15.b.	Furnish and Install 6-inch Dia. DR17 HDPE Pipe[1]	LF	3,000	$22.40	*$67,000*	Pipe from pump on floating pump station to discharge point in Cell 1E pond.
15.c.	Furnish and Install 16-inch Dia. Rubber Lined Pipe[1]	LF	4,500	$41.60	*$187,000*	Pipe for tailings discharge from pond edge to discharge barge/raft incl. pipe support pontoon and pipe.
15.d.	Furnish Tailings Discharge Pipe Barge/Raft and Diffuser[1]	LS	0		$0	Procurement by Contractor. Installation will be completed by PolyMet.
16	Random Riprap	CY	1,000	$17.60	$18,000	Area 5 rock crushed to MnDOT Class II riprap specs. Include geotextile fabric.
17	Furnish and Install Concrete Precast Channel	LF	1,600	$340.00	$544,000	EOF Channel installation. Include grading and access road with 8 inches of 1" minus rock as road surface.
18	Remove and Reinstall Precast Channel	LF	0	$40.00	$0	EOF Channel installation. Include grading and access road with 8 inches of 1" minus rock as road surface.
19	Site Restoration	AC	196	$1,600.00	$314,000	Seed, fertilize, and mulch disturbed areas including stockpiles.
20	Granular Filter Material	CY	400	$14.40	$6,000	MnDOT 3601-2 granular filter material.
21	Allowance for Haul Road Construction - Area 5 to Basin[1]	LS	1	$520,000.00	*$520,000*	None
				Cost Estimate Total – Flotation Tailings Basin: Project Construction Phase	$10,978,000	
				Portion of Cost Estimate Total Subject to DNR Dam Inspection Fees – Flotation Tailings Basin: Project Construction Phase	**$9,174,000**	

(1) Items anticipated to be excluded from computation of DNR Dam Inspection Fees and therefore excluded from Construction Cost Estimate Total subject to DNR Dam Inspection Fees.
(2) Cost Extensions rounded to nearest $1,000.

Large Figures

PLANT SITE

CELL 2E

CELL 2W

CELL 1E

PROCESSING PLANT AREA

AREA 1 SHOPS

ADMINISTRATION BUILDING

DUNKA ROAD AND UTILITY CORRIDOR

RAILROAD CONNECTION CORRIDOR

Unnamed Creek

Spring Mine Creek

Second Creek

Wetlegs Creek

Spring Mine Lake

TAILINGS POND

SUPERIOR NATIONAL

ERIE MINING COMPANY

362

358

666

PROJECT LOCATION

St. Louis County

Hoyt Lakes

Duluth

Lake Superior

MINNESOTA

Minneapolis

St. Paul

[1] These are provisional representations of PWI watercourses found on the current paper regulatory maps. Due to previous disturbance, data sources may show watercourses that no longer exist.

[2] The NHD is a feature-based database that interconnects and uniquely identifies the stream segments or reaches that make up the nation's surface water drainage system. NHD features are created from DNR 24K Streams and 1:24,000 USGS quadrangle maps. Due to previous disturbance, data sources may show watercourses that no longer exist.

- EIS Project Areas
- Existing Tailings Basin
- Tailings Ponds
- Area 5 Stockpile Footprints
- Public Waters Inventory (PWI) Watercourses[1]
- National Hydrography Dataset (NHD) Rivers & Streams[2]

N

0 1,500 3,000 6,000

Feet

BARR

TAILINGS BASIN LOCATION
NorthMet Project
Poly Met Mining, Inc.

Large Figure 1
Dam Safety Permit Application

Barr Footer: ArcGIS 10.4.1, 2017-05-10 14:13 File: I:\Client\PolyMet_Mining\Work_Orders\Permitting\Dam_Safety\Maps\FTB\Large_Figure 1 Project Location.mxd User: MRQ



[1] These are provisional representations of PWI watercourses found on the current paper regulatory maps. Due to previous disturbance, data sources may show watercourses that no longer exist.
[2] The NHD is a feature-based database that interconnects and uniquely identifies the stream segments or reaches that make up the nation's surface water drainage system. NHD features are created from DNR 24K Streams and 1:24,000 USGS quadrangle maps. Due to previous disturbance, data sources may show watercourses that no longer exist.

- EIS Project Areas
- Existing Tailings Basin
- Tailings Ponds
- Area 5 Stockpile Footprints
- Solid Waste Facilities - Approximate Boundaries
- Existing Private Railroad
- Dunka Road
- Colby Lake Pipeline

Existing Pipelines

- Tailings Water Management (Suface)
- Tailings Water Management (Underground)
- Inter-Pit Pipeline for Shop Water Supply (Underground)
- Natural Gas Line (Underground)
- Power Lines In Use
- Power Lines Not In Use
- Public Waters Inventory (PWI) Watercourses[1]
- National Hydrography Dataset (NHD) Rivers & Streams[2]

0 1,000 2,000 4,000
Feet

BARR

TAILINGS BASIN AREA EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE
NorthMet Project
Poly Met Mining, Inc.

Large Figure 2
Dam Safety Permit Application

Barr Footer: ArcGIS 10.4, 2017-05-15 10:40 File: I:\Client\PolyMet_Mining\Work_Orders\Permitting\Dam_SafetyMaps\FTB\Large Figure 2 Existing Infrastructure.mxd User: arm2

Appendices

Appendix A

Flotation Tailings Management Plan

NorthMet Project

Flotation Tailings Management Plan

Version 7

Issue Date: May 15, 2017

This document was prepared for Poly Met Mining, Inc.
by Barr Engineering Co.

Certifications

I hereby certify that this report was prepared by me or under my direct supervision and that I am a duly Licensed Professional Engineer under the laws of the state of Minnesota.

Thomas J. Radue

Thomas J. Radue, P.E.
PE #20951
Senior Geotechnical Engineer

05/15/2017
Date

Table of Contents

Acronyms, Abbreviations and Units 1
1.0 Introduction 2
1.1 Outline 3
1.2 Existing Conditions 3
2.0 FTB Design 5
2.1 Flotation Tailings Characterization 5
2.1.1 Geochemical Characterization 5
2.1.2 Geotechnical Characterization 6
2.2 FTB Dams 6
2.2.1 Dam Design Basis 6
2.2.2 FTB Staging and Sequencing 7
2.2.3 FTB Freeboard Requirements 7
2.2.4 Dam Construction 8
2.3 Flotation Tailings Transport and Deposition 10
2.4 Seepage Capture Systems 12
2.5 Stormwater Management and Emergency Overflow Provisions 12
3.0 Dam Design Outcomes 14
3.1 Stability of FTB Dams 14
3.2 Flotation Tailings Deposition Testing 19
3.3 Dam Break Analysis 20
4.0 Operational Plan 21
4.1 Beneficiation Plant 22
4.2 Transport and Deposition Plan 22
4.3 General Maintenance 24
4.4 Water Level Control 25
5.0 Monitoring 28
5.1 Material Properties Verification 28
5.2 Geotechnical Instrumentation 28
5.2.1 Piezometers 28
5.2.2 Inclinometers 28
5.2.3 Survey Monitoring Hubs 28

5.2.4 Semi Continuous Data Collection 29
5.3 Monitoring of Other Systems 29
5.3.1 Flotation Tailings Transport System 29
5.3.2 Pond Level and Beach Length 29
5.3.3 Flotation Tailings Deposition 29
5.3.4 Return Water System 30
5.4 Geotechnical Modeling 30
5.5 Weekly/Daily Dam Safety Inspections 30
5.6 Semi-Annual Dam Safety Inspections 31
5.7 Inspections After Unusual Events/Observations 32
5.8 Dam Safety Review 34
5.9 Contingency Action Plan 34
6.0 Reporting and Adaptive Management 35
6.1 Annual Reporting 35
6.2 Annual Comparison to Slope Stability Models and Model Refinements 36
6.3 Adaptive Management 36
6.4 Contingency Mitigation 37
7.0 FTB Reclamation and Postclosure Maintenance 38
7.1 Incremental Reclamation 38
7.2 Final Reclamation 38
7.3 Structure Removals 40
7.4 Overflow Structures 41
7.5 Postclosure 41
7.6 Reclamation Estimates 42
7.6.1 Construction Phase (end of construction/development) 42
7.6.2 Mine Year 1 (end of first year of operations) 42
Revision History 43
References 44
List of Tables 45
List of Large Tables 45
List of Figures 45
List of Attachments 46

Acronyms, Abbreviations and Units

Acronym	Stands For
AMSL	Above mean sea level
CAP	Contingency Action Plan
DSI	Dam Safety Inspections
DSR	Dam Safety Reviews
ESSA	Effective Stress Stability Analysis
fps	feet per second
FTB	Flotation Tailings Basin
LTVSMC	LTV Steel Mining Company
DNR	Minnesota Department of Natural Resources
MPCA	Minnesota Pollution Control Agency
PMP	Probable Maximum Precipitation
SAFL	St. Anthony Falls Laboratory
USSA	Undrained Strength Stability Analysis
WWTS	Waste Water Treatment System

1.0 Introduction

This document describes the Flotation Tailings Management Plan for the Poly Met Mining Inc. (PolyMet) NorthMet Project (Project). The Project is described in the Final Environmental Impact Statement (Reference (1)).The Project will produce Flotation Tailings throughout 20 years of ore processing. Flotation Tailings will be deposited in the Flotation Tailings Basin, which will be placed on Cells 1E and 2E of the existing former LTV Steel Mining Company (LTVSMC) tailings basin.

In this document, the Flotation Tailings Basin (FTB) refers to the newly constructed NorthMet Flotation Tailings impoundment, and the Tailings Basin is the existing LTVSMC tailings basin as well as the combined LTVSMC tailings basin and the FTB. Coarse tailings are LTVSMC coarse tailings, fine tailings are LTVSMC fine tailings, slimes are LTVSMC slimes, and Flotation Tailings are the NorthMet bulk flotation tailings.

The Project will generate approximately 11.27 million short tons of Flotation Tailings annually (approximately 10,000,000 in-place cubic yards annually). Stage-volume calculations demonstrate that Cells 1E and 2E have sufficient capacity available to store tailings for over 20 years of operation. Tailings deposition will begin in Cell 2E. After approximately seven years the Cell 2E elevation will reach the elevation of Cell 1E and the two cells will merge. From Mine Year 7 through the remainder of operations, tailings will be deposited in the merged cell, Cell 1/2E. Over the 20 years of operation Cells 1E and 2E will receive tailings until their elevation approximately matches the existing elevation of Cell 2W. The layout and design of the FTB are shown in the FTB Permit Application Support Drawings FTB-001 to FTB-024 in Attachment A. Template Construction Specifications are provided in Attachment G. Construction Specifications will be updated and detail added as needed prior each major construction event at the FTB.

Personnel who will be responsible for FTB management are:

- *Operations Contact* - Beneficiation Division Manager or designee – Responsible for overall FTB design, planning, operations, maintenance, and monitoring.

- *Design Engineer* (an independent consultant retained specially for dam safety expertise and a Minnesota-registered engineer) – Responsible for performance monitoring data analysis and interpretation, dam safety inspection and reporting assistance, tailings dam planning and design assistance, and permitting assistance.

1.1 Outline

The outline of this document is:

Section 1.0 Introduction and description of existing conditions at the Tailings Basin.

Section 2.0 Description of FTB design including tailings geochemical characterization, dam design and construction, Flotation Tailings transport, return water system and stormwater management. Note that surface and groundwater seepage capture systems are described in Sections 2.1.3 and 2.1.4 respectively of Reference (2).

Section 3.0 Description of outcomes of dam stability, dam break analysis, and tailings deposition modeling.

Section 4.0 Description of operational plans including Flotation Tailings transport and deposition, return water system, pond water level control, and general maintenance.

Section 5.0 Description of monitoring program and Dam Safety Inspections.

Section 6.0 Description of reporting requirements including compliance to plan and waste characterization update, and description of adaptive management practices.

Section 7.0 Description of the reclamation plan for the FTB.

This document is intended to evolve through the environmental review, permitting [Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) State Disposal System, Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (DNR) Dam Safety, and DNR Permit to Mine], operating, reclamation, and postclosure maintenance phases of the project. It will be reviewed and updated as necessary in conjunction with changes that occur in facility operating and maintenance methods or requirements. A Revision History is included at the end of the document.

1.2 Existing Conditions

The Tailings Basin was previously used by LTVSMC (and its predecessor Erie Mining Company) for disposal of taconite tailings. The facility is unlined and was constructed in stages beginning in the 1950's. Taconite tailings were deposited from 1957 to January of 2001, when the Tailings Basin was shut down. It has been inactive since then except for reclamation activities consistent with a DNR approved reclamation plan. The Tailings Basin is configured as a combination of three adjacent cells identified as Cell 1E, Cell 2E, and Cell 2W (Drawing FTB-003). Cells 1E and 2E of the Tailing Basin will be used for disposal of

Flotation Tailings. Additional details on existing conditions are in Section 3 of Appendix B of this application.

The existing cells and dams do not have a core or cutoff other than the fine tailings and slimes that deposited upstream (on the pond side) of the coarse tailings dams. While in operation, LTVSMC used ditches, pumps, and pipelines at select locations to capture toe-of-slope seepage water and return it to the pond. When LTVSMC shut down, the ponds started to dry up and the toe-of-slope seepage flow reduced. Many of the seeps are no longer flowing and the pumps are no longer active. The seep located on the south side of Cell 1E (SD026) remains active and its discharge is being collected and pumped back into Cell 1E as part of a consent decree between Cliffs Erie and the MPCA. A seep located near the northwest corner of Cell 2W (SD004) also remains active and its discharge is being collected and pumped to a surface water discharge location (SD006) which has its discharge collected and pumped back into Cell 1E. Piezometers and weirs were established in the Tailings Basin area during operation to monitor piezometric conditions and seepage flows. Most of this instrumentation remains and can be used for annual dam safety evaluations. The piezometers provide information on piezometric heads (groundwater levels) within the Tailings Basin area. The weirs are in seepage collection ditches around the Tailings Basin perimeter and can be monitored for flow rate and water quality. However, flow in the seepage collection ditches is now negligible to non-existent so data from these weirs is no longer routinely collected. Inclinometers are located around the Tailings Basin to monitor movement within the slopes. Some individual seeps are also monitored for flow rate and water quality.

There is no water ponded in Cell 2W and current groundwater elevations in Cell 2W are below the Tailings Basin surface. Ponds of water remain in Cells 1E and 2E. As part of the Consent Decree, some tailings basin seepage is being captured and pumped into Cell 1E. From Cell 1E portions of the water are discharged to nearby Pit 2W via permitted discharge, and other portions of the water are treated and discharged to Second Creek in accordance with facility permit requirements.

2.0 FTB Design

The FTB will contain Flotation Tailings from the flotation process at the Beneficiation Plant. Treated water from the Mine Site will also be pumped to the FTB, enabling the FTB to serve as the primary source of process water at the Plant Site.

2.1 Flotation Tailings Characterization

Flotation Tailings were produced during the pilot-plant processing of Project ore samples. The pilot-plant Flotation Tailings samples are representative of the tailings expected from the beneficiation plant. Samples of the Flotation Tailings were collected for laboratory testing to determine geochemical and geotechnical parameters for use in water quality estimates, FTB planning, slope stability analyses, and staged-construction evaluations. The current ore processing plan utilizes a SAG mill (semi-autogenous grinding) that will not significantly change the characteristics of the tailings relative to those derived from the pilot-plant processing.

Once operations start, Flotation Tailings samples will periodically be collected and analyzed to confirm that data used in water quality estimates and FTB design remain consistent with full-scale operations. Consistent with the Observational Method used at all DNR-permitted tailings basins, tailings characteristics and dam performance monitoring data will routinely be collected and reviewed, with dam design modified as needed based on operational experience (Section 6.0).

2.1.1 Geochemical Characterization

Flotation Tailings samples were collected from pilot-tests run in 2005, 2006, 2008, and 2009. Results from the 2005 pilot-test represented the beneficiation process flowsheet used in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The 2006 pilot-test included flotation process optimization tests, and additional tailings samples were collected for environmental purposes (e.g., waste characterization, air quality, water balance, etc.). The 2008 pilot-test represented a refinement that increased the amount of regrinding in the flotation area. The 2009 pilot-test represented a refinement that increased the amount of regrinding in the flotation area and had a cleaner flotation process for the scavenger flotation step. Waste characterization testing has been conducted on a total of thirty-three tailings samples from the three pilot-plant runs. Various samples have been under kinetic testing for 310 to 570 weeks and are ongoing. A detailed analysis of the geochemical properties of the Flotation Tailings and geochemical aspects of water quality modeling are provided in the Waste Characterization Data Package (Reference (4)).

Estimates of water quality in the FTB Ponds, seepage, and at surface water and potential groundwater compliance points are provided in Section 3 of the Water Management Plan – Plant Site (Reference (2)).

2.1.2 Geotechnical Characterization

The geotechnical aspects of the FTB design are detailed in Appendix B of this application.

2.2 FTB Dams

The design of the FTB is based on a number of factors including State of Minnesota Rule requirements (Section 2 of Appendix B of this application), FTB capacity requirements, in-basin hydrology, seepage water quality, and FTB operating plans. The following paragraphs provide an overview of the FTB design requirements and overall design plans.

2.2.1 Dam Design Basis

Based on a review of historical data, a geotechnical evaluation, a study of the Flotation Tailings properties, and an evaluation of stability, it was determined that it is feasible to construct the FTB within Cells 1E and 2E of the Tailings Basin. Geotechnical design of the FTB dams is based on the field and laboratory testing done to date, and is described in Appendix B of this application. The conclusion of the geotechnical evaluation is that the proposed dams can be constructed on the Tailings Basin and necessary factors of safety for slope stability can be achieved and maintained (Section 7 of Appendix B of this application).

The FTB is designed as a closed system not allowing for release of untreated water through overflow or outlet structures during routine operations. The precipitation that falls within the FTB perimeter will be contained by freeboard. Overflow will be prevented by pumping excess pond water to the Waste Water Treatment System (WWTS) as needed. The operation of the WWTS is described in Reference (5). Overflow structures, included in the design as a matter of standard engineering practice, are described in Sections 2.5 and 7.4.

The FTB design process evaluated different dam construction and tailing-disposal methods. The selected construction method is to construct the dams by the upstream method using existing LTVSMC coarse tailings to form the exterior shell. Of the methods evaluated, this method uses the least amount of dam construction material. However, it requires that the deposited Flotation Tailings drain easily, are of suitable strength as a foundation for subsequent dam raises, and are sufficiently permeable to minimize increased phreatic water levels within the dams. The Flotation Tailings will have a small and fairly uniform grind size such that when deposited a fairly consistent particle size distribution will be achieved thereby minimizing segregation of coarse and fine portions (Section 3.2). The Flotation Tailings have nearly 70% fines (material passing the No. 200 sieve). The characteristics of the Flotation Tailings are reported in Appendix B of this application.

The dam design can be modified, if necessary, based on results of performance and stability monitoring and consistent with the Observational Method procedures for dam performance monitoring (Section 6.3). The Observational Method employs sequences of data gathering, detailed calculations and performance predictions, additional data gathering and observations, and design modifications as needed to maintain required operating conditions

at the Tailings Basin. First, the engineer uses available information to prepare an initial concept and design that will predict the behavior of the basin. As the stages of construction progress, the engineer monitors and tests the site to obtain more detailed information. The predicted behavior is compared with the measured behavior, enabling the engineer to revise the original predictions. Repeating this process leads to successive refinements in tailings basin dam design and construction. Tailing basin dams are typically built in stages, thus the Observational Method to design is well suited for minimizing risk. The FTB monitoring program is described in Section 5.0.

2.2.2 FTB Staging and Sequencing

Construction of the FTB dams will occur in increments over its 20-year operating life. Drawings FTB-004 through FTB-014 depict the sequential development of the FTB. Large Table 1 shows the staged construction design elevations along with the constructed dam material volumes and FTB capacity. In Large Table 1 and in Attachment A drawings, the FTB dams are shown as being constructed in 20-foot increments. This is for ease of presentation and analysis. Dams may be constructed in smaller increments, always maintaining sufficient Flotation Tailings deposition capacity and freeboard. However, overall dam dimensions will be retained, as the specified dimensions will be needed to maintain dam slope stability safety factors.

The existing Coal Ash Landfill located in the southeastern portion of Cell 1E is a factor in FTB staging and sequencing. The contents of the Coal Ash Landfill will be removed prior to Mine Year 7, when deposition of Flotation Tailings in Cell 1E will begin. The contents of the Coal Ash Landfill will be disposed of in the Hydrometallurgical Residue Facility (HRF) if the materials meet all of the required physical and chemical criteria for placement in that facility. If the criteria are not met, the contents of the Coal Ash Landfill will be transported off-site to a suitable disposal facility. The landfill relocation will be completed prior to Mine Year 7, when deposition of Flotation Tailings in Cell 1E will begin. Drawing FTB-003 shows the location of the Coal Ash Landfill.

2.2.3 FTB Freeboard Requirements

FTB design determined the freeboard required for the pond to safely accommodate precipitation events without overtopping the dams. A hydrology study was conducted to determine the water level (pond) bounce in the cell ponds during the Probable Maximum Precipitation (PMP), 1/3 PMP, and 2/3 PMP events (Attachment C). The bounce in the cells is dependent on the length of exposed beach at the time of the precipitation event. The bounce ranges from 1.5’ to 17.5’ when considering 1/3 PMP, 2/3 PMP and full PMP occurring on beach lengths of 625 feet and 1,250 feet. The elevation difference between the maximum pond bounce and planned dam elevation yields freeboard in the range of 5.25’ (for full PMP) to 26.5’ (for 1/3 PMP) on the basis of the assumed starting water level elevations. From the assumed starting water level elevations, the freeboard remaining after pond bounce is large enough that wave run-up has not been added to the pond bounce computations. Water

level will be managed so that minimum freeboard is not exceeded, as described in Section 4.2.

2.2.4 Dam Construction

The dam will be constructed in eight lifts, with an approximate final crest elevation of 1732 feet above Mean Sea Level (AMSL). Each lift of the FTB dam will consist of a 200-foot wide base with 4.5H:1V slopes on the outside of the FTB and 2H:1V slopes on the inside. The dam will be constructed of individual lifts 20 feet high (although each lift may be subdivided into several smaller lifts), with the exception of the last lift, Lift 8, which will be only 10 feet high. Each lift will have a 60-foot bench from the outside edge of the previous lift to the toe of the new lift, with the exception of Lift 5, which requires an offset of 260 feet (Drawings FTB-009, FTB-010, FTB-012, and FTB-014). A layer of LTVSMC coarse tailings will be placed to provide a construction base and underdrain layer beneath Lift 1 and Lift 5.

Based on the difference in the starting Flotation Tailings elevation from current elevation of 1570'to final elevation 1724' in Mine Year 20, the average annual rate of rise is approximately 7.7 feet. Although the dams have been modeled for slope stability at a 20-foot lift height (as shown in the Attachment A Drawings), the actual rate of rise of the dams will be matched to the need to maintain Flotation Tailings containment capacity and by the need to maintain sufficient freeboard. As a result, the rate of dam rise will range from 12 to 13 feet per year early in the life of the FTB, to 4 to 5 feet per year as development of the FTB transitions from Cell 2E to Cell 1/2E (Drawing FTB-009).

The FTB dams will be constructed using LTVSMC coarse tailings. Approximately 18,000,000 cubic yards of construction material will be required to construct the dams to the crest elevations required to store Flotation Tailings for 20 years of operation using the upstream method. Stage-volume calculations demonstrate that the volume of LTVSMC coarse tailings readily available can meet this demand: there are roughly 20,000,000 cubic yards of LTVSMC coarse tailings available. The location of the LTVSMC coarse tailings borrow material is presented in Drawing FTB-003. The tailings borrow material will be mechanically placed and compacted to specifications. During construction of FTB dams, the exterior face of the dams will be amended with a bentonite layer (Section 7.1). The bentonite layer will limit oxygen infiltration into the Flotation Tailings. The amendment will also reduce rainwater infiltration into the dams, which has a small benefit in terms of an increased slope stability safety factor. Additional information on FTB construction methods and preliminary specifications can be found in Attachment G.

Two design features are incorporated to maintain slope stability factors of safety for the FTB dams: (1) buttresses to support existing Tailings Basin dams, and (2) internal shear walls within the existing LTVSMC slimes and fine tailings previously deposited in Cell 2E.

The design includes two buttresses, the north buttress and the south buttress. Construction of the north buttress, at the toe of the existing Cell 2E dam, will begin after filling of Lift 1 (approximately after Mine Year 1). Buttress construction may need to be initiated sooner to

accommodate FTB Containment System construction. Final construction of the buttress is required before the Lift 2 dam is in service (approximately in Mine Year 3). The second buttress will be constructed at the south end of Cell 1E near the railroad fill. Construction of the south buttress is required for Lift 5 (first lift at Cell 1E).

Table 2-1 shows the staged construction and proposed heights for buttress construction along with the estimated quantity of material needed. The proposed buttresses will be constructed with DNR approved material from former LTVSMC waste rock stockpiles located in Area 5 (east of the Plant Site, as shown on Figure 3.2-1 of Reference (1)), or other former LTVSMC waste rock stockpiles. Depending on Area 5 material gradation, some mixing may be required to achieve a material gradation suitable for placement in contact with the existing LTVSMC coarse tailings dams. Drawings FTB-004 to FTB-014 show dam layouts and cross-sections including the sizes of the buttresses.

Table 2-1 Flotation Tailings Basin Buttress Development

Mine Year	Dam Lift	Average Flotation Tailings Elevation (FT)	Dam Crest Elevation (FT)	Buttress Height – Cell 2E North Dam (West Segment) (FT)[1] [2]	Buttress Height – Cell 2E North Dam (East Segment) (FT)[1] [2]	Buttress Height – Cell 1E South Dam (FT)	Approximate Buttresses Total Material (CY)
Cell 2E (incl. North Buttress)							
--	Lift 1	1570.0	1602	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
1		1585.0					0
2	Lift 2	1597.0	1622	29.0	19.0	0.0	1,060,000
3		1609.0		41.0	27.0		1,494,000
4	Lift 3	1622.0	1642	53.0	35.0	0.0	1,928,000
5		1633.0		64.0	42.0		2,362,000
6	Lift 4	1646.0	1662	76.0	50.0	0.0	2,796,000
7		1658.0		88.0	58.0		3,230,000

Mine Year	Dam Lift	Average Flotation Tailings Elevation (FT)	Dam Crest Elevation (FT)	Buttress Height – Cell 2E North Dam (West Segment) (FT)(1) (2)	Buttress Height – Cell 2E North Dam (East Segment) (FT)(1) (2)	Buttress Height – Cell 1E South Dam (FT)	Approximate Buttresses Total Material (CY)
Cell 1/2E (merged 1E and 2E; adding South Buttress)							
7	Lift 5	1658.0	1682	88.0	58.0	20.0	3,560,000
8		1666.0					
9		1674.0					
10		1678.5					
11	Lift 6	1683.5	1702	88.0	58.0	20.0	3,560,000
12		1688.5					
13		1693.0					
14		1697.5					
15	Lift 7	1702.5	1722	88.0	58.0	20.0	3,560,000
16		1707.0					
17		1712.0					
18		1716.5					
19	Lift 8	1720.0	1732	88.0	58.0	20.0	3,560,000
20		1724.0					3,560,000

(1) Mine Year for initiation of Cell 2E North Dam Buttress will be adjusted if beneficial for integration of buttress construction and seepage containment construction activities.
(2) Buttress heights shown are total height typical at cross-section location noted. Buttress height elsewhere varies as ground surface elevation varies.

2.3 Flotation Tailings Transport and Deposition

Flotation Tailings will be pumped to the FTB in slurry form through a system of pumps and pipes. The slurry will have in the range of 28 to 32% solids by weight. Tailings will move through pipes from Scavenger Flotation Sump Pumps to the Booster Pumphouse to the discharge point. Portable booster pumphouses can be added as needed. Ultimately, the design of this system must be integrated with FTB dam design to define discharge locations and

system head. Pipeline alignment and diffuser details are presented in Drawings FTB-019 through FTB-023. The pumping system design will determine pipe diameter and Booster Pump locations.

Movable pipelines will facilitate effective placement of tailings. The system can be configured to deposit tailings by gravity flow over beaches or subaqueously in the pond. Tailings deposition in the pond will be from the Tailings Disposal Diffuser Raft through a submerged diffuser. Drawing FTB-023 shows plans for the diffuser raft system. The diffuser is designed to spread slurry across the bottom of the ponds without mixing with the pond water. The diffuser provides a decreased slurry discharge velocity and reduced turbulence at the point of discharge to minimize particle size segregation during deposition.

Spigot points will be chosen to uniformly distribute and effectively deposit the Flotation Tailings in all parts of the cell, minimize pond volumes, and minimize active beach areas while maintaining adequate beach for slope stability of the dams. The minimum beach width to maintain dam stability is 625 feet. Roughly 30% of the tailings will be deposited on the beaches and 70% will be deposited subaqueously in the FTB Pond. This percentage split will vary over time as the proper balance is maintained between beach deposition to build foundation for future dam raises, and subaqueous deposition to fully utilize tailings disposal capacity in the pond area of the FTB. Spigot points will also be adjusted as needed to accommodate winter conditions (Section 4.2).

For approximately the first seven years of operation, the Flotation Tailings will be spigotted into Cell 2E. Drawing FTB-019 shows the preliminary tailings transport pipe alignment for Cell 2E. The spigot location will be established as necessary to uniformly deposit tailings along the dams and within the cell to facilitate tailings disposal and develop the tailings beaches. Once the tailings in Cell 2E reach Elevation 1658 AMSL, which is approximately the same elevation as Cell 1E, then Cells 1E and 2E will be merged to form a single cell (Cell 1/2E). Drawing FTB-020 shows the preliminary discharge pipe alignment for Cell 1/2E. After Mine Year 7, tailings will be placed alternately along the dams in Cells 1E and 2E.

A Return Water System will be constructed to recycle water from the FTB for use as process water (Drawings FTB-019, FTB-020, and FTB-022). The Return Water System consists of a Return Water Barge in Cell 1E, the associated piping from the Return Water Barge to the Beneficiation Plant, the Transfer Pump Raft in Cell 2E, and the associated piping for water transfer from the Transfer Pump Raft into Cell 1E. The Transfer Pump Raft and associated piping will not be needed once the cells merge to form Cell 1/2E. The Return Water Barge will remain at its location in Cell 1E, which will ultimately become the merged Cell 1/2E. As the dams are raised, the process-water return pipeline will be moved to maintain the pipeline at or near the surface of the dam. Return water pipes will be fitted with a relief drain valve to allow for water to be drained back to ponds in case of shutdown during winter operations to avoid any damage to the pipes due to freezing or suction. Pumps will be fitted with deicing mechanisms to avoid freezing.

2.4 Seepage Capture Systems

The FTB design includes seepage capture systems (the FTB Containment System and the FTB South Seepage Management System) as described in Sections 2.1.3 and 2.1.4 of Reference (2).

2.5 Stormwater Management and Emergency Overflow Provisions

The tributary area to the FTB is well understood and relatively small. The tributary area is bounded by the system of FTB dams, the high ground area to the east of Cell 2E, the high ground area to the southeast of Cell 1E, and the perimeter dams of Cell 2W. Stormwater falling on Cell 2W infiltrates and is collected by the FTB Containment System.

Precipitation falling inside the FTB dams will flow to the FTB Pond and form part of the make-up water for the Beneficiation Plant. Precipitation falling on the dams will mainly infiltrate through the tailings material that forms the dams and/or runoff as clean surface water runoff. Based on past experience at the facility, stormwater runoff is not expected to cause significant erosion of the dams. Vegetation will be established on dams during construction to minimize erosion and fugitive dust. Areas where erosion of the dams does occur will be corrected and re-vegetated. If areas of excess or repetitive erosion emerge, more robust erosion control measures such as riprap, channels, and/or outfall structures can be designed for those locations

As Flotation Tailings are deposited in Cell 1E and the perimeter dams are raised, current stormwater runoff from lands east of Cell 1E will be blocked. A drainage swale has been designed as part of FTB development to redirect these waters around the FTB as shown in Drawings FTB-004, FTB-011, and FTB-012. This drainage swale will be constructed in Pre-Operation Construction Phase.

FTB overflow will be prevented by pumping any excess FTB pond water to the WWTS (Section 4.2 of Reference (5)). The WWTS will be maintained operable through reclamation and postclosure maintenance until it is demonstrated that water in the FTP Pond is stormwater and that it complies with applicable standards (i.e., pond water could be allowed to overflow) or DNR releases PolyMet from mechanical water treatment requirements under the Permit to Mine (Section 6.5 of Reference (5)) (i.e., non-mechanical treatment can successfully treat excess FTB pond water). Operation of the WWTS during postclosure maintenance is discussed in Section 4.2 of Reference (5).

An emergency overflow for the FTB during operations is provided for protection of the dams in the rare event that freeboard within the FTB is not sufficient to contain all stormwater. Such instances have the potential to occur in the event of a PMP rainfall event or some fraction thereof. However, as described in Section 2.2.3, PMP rainfall events are rare and such an event has a low likelihood of being experienced during the life of the basin. None-the-less, it is standard practice in dam design to accommodate overflows in a manner that

protects the integrity of the dams. The location of the operations-phase emergency overflow is presented on Drawing FTB-008 and the incremental development of the operations-phase emergency overflow is presented on Drawings FTB-015 through FTB-018.

During reclamation, the FTB Closure Overflow (Drawing FTB-024) will be constructed (Section 2.5). It is expected that this structure will be modified to serve as a stormwater overflow or non-mechanical treatment system discharge as discussed above. Because there is a net positive water balance in the region, it is anticipated that in postclosure maintenance there will be occasional overflow (stormwater or non-mechanical treatment discharge) via the Closure Overflow outlet to the adjacent wetlands if operation of the WWTS is discontinued.

3.0 Dam Design Outcomes

3.1 Stability of FTB Dams

The design of the FTB dams is based on seepage and slope stability analyses of:

- the Tailings Basin
- FTB dams at maximum height
- FTB dams during construction
- FTB dams subject to various potential liquefaction triggering events
- a flow liquefaction worst case scenario
- FTB dams during postclosure maintenance

Data used in these analyses, the methods used for seepage and stability modeling, the approach for selection of material strength design parameters, and modeling outcomes for the design cross-sections F, G, and N, located through the west-central and east segments of the North Dam of Cell 2E and the west-central segment of the Cell 1E South Dam, respectively, are presented in Appendix B of this application. In summary, development of the proposed FTB design involved an iterative approach whereby various combinations of slope angle, lift height, bench width, high permeability underdrains (foundation layers), and buttresses were modeled to determine the preliminary FTB dam design.

Of particular importance for FTB dam design is the stability of the dam under undrained conditions. The stability models evaluated a range of potential operating conditions. Circular and wedge failure conditions were analyzed. The results of the analysis indicate that for undrained conditions the wedge failure condition presents the critical $USSA_{yield}$ failure surface.

For the effective shear strength analysis (ESSA) case the stability models again analyzed circular and wedge failure conditions. The results of the analysis indicate that the circular failure condition presents the critical ESSA failure surface.

The proposed dam at Cross-Section F has been configured to have a factor of safety equal to or greater than 1.3 for $USSA_{yield}$ conditions and equal to or greater than 1.5 for ESSA conditions. The FTB dams have also been designed to have an overall factor of safety equal to or greater than 1.1 against liquefaction triggering and equal to or greater than 1.1 for the worst-case fully liquefied ($USSA_{liq}$) baseline case (at end of operations). Except for the normal bench requirements, the design does not require an initial setback from the crest of the existing dam. To achieve stability required for the $USSA_{yield}$ condition, a toe-of-dam

buttress, underdrain, and mid-slope setback were all incorporated into the dam design; all common design features used for modifying dam stability.

Factor of safety values for Cross-Sections F, G, and N are presented in Appendix B of this application for the ultimate height of the dam (Mine Year 20) and summarized in Table 3-1. The factor of safety for the critical failure surface for Cross-Section F, identified by the wedge and circular failure analysis, is greater than the minimum factor of safety required by the DNR. Factor of safety values for the ESSA and $USSA_{yield}$ conditions are both well above the recommended minimums.

The stability analysis also considered the effects of the Probable Maximum Precipitation (PMP) event. The seepage modeling utilized PMP conditions, Lift 8 dam height, and a pre-PMP beach width of 625 feet, whereby the pond level bounced 4 feet in elevation and remained high long enough for steady-state conditions to apply. Slope stability safety factors for the ESSA and $USSA_{yield}$ strength conditions for normal pool elevation and PMP conditions are compared in Table 3-1.

Table 3-1 Modeled Factors of Safety for Proposed Final Lift Conditions with and without PMP (Cross-Sections F, G, and N)

Case	Slip Surface	$USSA_{yield}$			ESSA		
Required Factor of Safety		**≥ 1.3**			**≥ 1.5**		
Cross-Sections Analyzed		**F**	**G**	**N**	**F**	**G**	**N**
Lift 8 at Normal Pool	Circular	1.98	2.42	2.02	3.76	3.30	4.60
	Wedge	1.84	1.86	2.00	3.72	3.29	4.58
Lift 8 w/PMP Event	Circular	1.97	2.43	1.92	3.76	3.29	4.38
	Wedge	1.82	1.86	1.91	3.67	3.29	4.34

FTB dam design includes analysis of the stability of the dam during construction and operation when undrained conditions may develop. Modeling of several of the interim lifts of Cross-Sections F, G, and N was performed for both drained and undrained conditions to assess the change in slope stability over time. Table 3-2 presents the results for Lifts 2, 4, and 6 for ESSA and $USSA_{yield}$ conditions.

Table 3-2 Modeled Factors of Safety for Interim Lifts (Cross-Sections F, G, and N)

Case	Pond Elevation (feet AMSL)	Slip Surface	$USSA_{yield}$			ESSA		
Required Factor of Safety			≥ 1.3			≥ 1.5		
Cross-Sections Analyzed			F	G	N	F	G	N
Lift 2	1620	Circular	2.60	3.26	N/A	3.82	3.30	N/A
		Wedge	2.26	2.29	N/A	3.72	3.30	N/A
Lift 4	1660	Circular	2.22	2.85	N/A	3.75	3.30	N/A
		Wedge	1.96	1.98	N/A	3.72	3.29	N/A
Lift 6	1700	Circular	2.05	2.53	2.21	3.76	3.29	4.48
		Wedge	1.97	1.96	1.88	3.73	3.29	4.43

The factors of safety reported for Lifts 2, 4, and 6 in Table 3-2 are similar to those computed for the final lift conditions (Table 3-1). This is because the interim lift models assume that a portion or the entirety of the buttress has been constructed.

Stability against static and seismic liquefaction triggering was evaluated. Liquefaction was not triggered statically in any of the five cases specified by the work plan, as detailed in Section 7.2.4 of Appendix B of this application and summarized in Table 3-3. Factors of safety for all scenarios are above the required value of 1.1. Results of the seismic liquefaction screening evaluation (Section 6.5.3 of Appendix B of this application) indicate that seismic triggering will not occur.

Table 3-3 Modeled Factors of Safety for Liquefaction Triggering Analyses (Cross-Section F)

Liquefaction Triggering Scenario	Slope Stability $FOS_{overall}$	Slope Stability Average $FOS_{triggering}$ for Liquefaction Susceptible Slices
Required Factor of Safety	**≥ 1.1**	**≥ 1.1**
Baseline	2.13	2.13
Rapid Loading - fast construction of Lift 1	1.78	1.90
Erosion - Local erosion/pipe scour	1.07	--
Plugged Drain Lift 1	1.91	1.91
Plugged Drain Lift 8	2.12	2.12

(1) Simplified analysis approach used in Geotechnical Data Package – Vol. 1 – Ver. 8; detailed analysis approach yields FOS >1.10 (nearly 2.0).

Stability analysis for a worst-case flow liquefaction event based on an unknown trigger was also evaluated. The DNR has requested that the safety factor for this condition be equal to or greater than 1.1. The results for this worst-case condition show that estimated slope stability safety factors are equal to or above the required value (Table 3-4). The modeled value for Cross-Section F is equal to 1.1 because the dams are configured on the basis of this worst-case scenario. Other slope stability conditions are much more likely; hence the dams typically have a relatively high safety factor in comparison to safety factor requirements.

Table 3-4 Modeled Factors of Safety for Worst-Case Flow Liquefaction ($USSA_{liq}$) Conditions (Cross-Section F)

Case	Slip Surface	Slope Stability $FOS_{overall}$		
Required Factor of Safety		**≥ 1.1**		
Cross-Sections Analyzed		**Section F**	**Section G**	**Section N**
All Saturated Contractive Materials Liquefied to $USSA_{liq}$	Circular	1.26	1.36	1.16
	Wedge	1.10	1.10	1.16

Stability analysis of the FTB during postclosure maintenance conditions shows that estimated slope stability safety factors are well above the required values (Table 3-5).

Table 3-5 Modeled Factors of Safety for Long-Term (ESSA) Closure Conditions (Cross-Section F)

Case		Section F $FOS_{Overall}$
	Required Factor of Safety	**≥ 1.5**
Postclosure Maintenance Conditions	End-of-Operations	3.72
	20 years after end of operations	3.86
	200 years after end of operations	3.86
	2,000 years after end of operations–	3.87

Worst-case flow liquefaction was also evaluated for conditions at 20, 200, and 2,000 years after the end of operations. The results of the fully liquefied long-term scenarios are detailed in Section 7.3 of Appendix B of this application, and summarized in Table 3-6.

Table 3-6 Modeled Factors of Safety for Long-Term Fully Liquefied ($USSA_{liq}$) Closure Conditions (Cross-Section F)

Case		Section F $FOS_{overall}$
	Required Factor of Safety	**≥ 1.10**
Long-Term Fully Liquefied Conditions	End-of-Operations	1.10
	20 years after end of operations	1.35
	200 years after end of operations	1.45
	2,000 years after end of operations	1.53

As additional data are gathered in future design- and operations-phase geotechnical investigations and material testing programs, the design strength and hydraulic conductivity parameters used in modeling may be altered to reflect the additional information. As most values selected for these seepage and slope stability analyses were chosen to be reasonably conservative, it is possible that future evaluation of the FTB may lead to an increase in factor of safety values and/or design optimization, reducing the need for buttresses, underdrains, and/or offsets.

3.2 Flotation Tailings Deposition Testing

The University of Minnesota's St. Anthony Falls Laboratory (SAFL) was contracted to perform a series of physical laboratory model studies related to Flotation Tailings delta formation (Attachment B). PolyMet supplied Flotation Tailings produced during the pilot-plant processing of Project ore samples for use in the SAFL models. These studies provided information to the FTB dam design process on likely depositional processes, beach slope, and grain size sorting within the FTB.

Phase I of the project involved 1D flume experiments, at field scales, to evaluate the potential for debris flow behavior and channelization and to develop an initial beach slope estimation matrix. Phase II of the project produced a laboratory scale delta in SAFL's delta basin. The delta experiments were designed such that the laboratory scale delta would have a similar degree of channelization as the field scale delta. Phase II also looked at the range of fines (<74 micron) retention in experiments designed to generate tailings deposits that yield the lower limit of fines concentration within the tailings deposit as a means of better understanding likely saturation conditions of the deposited tailings.

Phase I experiments indicated that the delta will be produced from fluvial-braid processes and channelized rather than from debris flow-type behavior. Phase I also indicated that, within the expected range of slurry discharges, the beach slope will likely range from 0.5% and 2%.

Phase II of the project focused on 2D basin experiments to evaluate grain size sorting, heterogeneity, and hydraulic conductivity in the deposit. A 5 meter by 5 meter by 0.4 meter deep research basin located at SAFL was used for the tests. The degree of fines retention within the delta was measured from surface scrape samples taken from the beach at various times during basin operation. Scrape samples were analyzed for coarse/fine fraction by washing the samples through a 74 micron sieve. The degree of channel-lens formation in the deposit was investigated on two cross-sectional freeze slices taken from the deposit. The Phase II results showed clear visual indication of sorting. Grain size sorting was present in the form of downstream fining (particle size segregation toward smaller grain size along the flow) and coarse/fine lenses (internal structures formed by filling abandoned flow channels with sediment). However, the results of Phase II demonstrate that the field scale deltas will likely exhibit significant heterogeneity related to channelization and that the field delta should have a minimum of 30% (by weight) fines retention throughout. Hydraulic conductivity measurements made on the laboratory deposits showed a decrease in conductivity with distance from the slurry source, suggesting that water transport through the delta will likely be greater at the upstream end than at the downstream end.

The degree of water retention in the field scale deposit could not be estimated conclusively. The estimated deposit thickness and the Soil-Water Characteristic Curve for the tailings (Reference (2)) suggests that suction (the tailings ability to wick water) will not be great enough to keep the deposit saturated; however, internal structures such as lenses, grain size

discontinuities, or micropore structure developed from natural deposition may increase the suction pressure of the material and decrease hydraulic conductivity.

3.3 Dam Break Analysis

The FTB dams have been designed to achieve necessary factors of safety (Section 3.1), so a dam break is unlikely. The purpose of dam break analysis is to provide information to facilitate effective response in the unlikely event that a dam break occurred. A dam break analysis consists of hydraulic and hydrologic modeling to determine the area potentially impacted by a hypothetical dam break coinciding with a 72-hour PMP event. A dam break analysis was performed for the FTB and results are presented in Attachment H. Results of the dam break analysis are used in the Contingency Action Plan (Section 5.9 of Attachment F).

The scenario selected for the FTB dam break analysis was a piping-initiated dam failure on the North Dam of Cell 2E. Hydraulic modeling considered the runoff from a 72-hour PMP storm event, with and without a dam break to evaluate the potential flood impacts downstream of the assumed dam break location. The complexity of dam break analysis requires many simplifications, so modeling inputs are chosen to be conservative by setting each parameter in the range that could cause more severe impacts.

The analysis shows that, relative to flood elevations predicted to result from a 72-hour PMP storm event, a dam break increases flood elevations approximately 15 feet at the upstream end of Trimble Creek (near the FTB) and approximately 9 feet at the downstream end of Trimble Creek (at the Embarrass River). Average flood flow velocities range from 10 feet-per-second (fps) to 25 fps in the main channel, but are reduced to 2 fps to 10 fps along the overbanks.

Figure 3 of Attachment H shows the estimated inundation areas and breakout paths along Trimble Creek for the 72-hour PMP event, with and without a Cell 2E dam break. There are 34 homes that could be affected by an FTB dam break. Due to the conservative assumptions made about the percentage of Flotation Tailings that would be released from the FTB, the assumed lack of Flotation Tailings deposition downstream of the FTB as the simulated flood progresses, and the flow properties of the flood wave (modeled as equal to water), it is likely that many of these homes would actually be outside of the lateral and/or vertical extent of the inundation area were more realistic modeling feasible.

The time to first arrival of flood flows at the nearest residence would be on the order of 60 minutes or greater (Figure 3 of Attachment H). Time to peak flows is greater as shown on Figure 3.

While the complexity of dam break analysis requires that conservative modeling assumptions be made for simplicity, the model outcomes remain a useful guide for understanding the areas potentially impacted in the unlikely event of a dam break as modeled for the north side of Cell 2E.

4.0 Operational Plan

Flotation Tailings deposition will commence when the Beneficiation Plant begins operation in Mine Year 1. Figure 4-1 shows the overall timeline for FTB construction and operation. The following paragraphs describe the FTB operation.



Figure 4-1 FTB Construction and Operation Timeline

4.1 Beneficiation Plant

The Beneficiation Plant has been designed to minimize the sulfur content of the Flotation Tailings by maximizing the recovery of sulfide minerals (which contain the metals of economic interest and sulfur) from the ground ore stream and directing the recovered minerals to the nickel and copper concentrate streams rather than the Flotation Tailings stream. All spillage will be returned to the process stream from which it spilled.

The chemistry of process streams will be continuously monitored by automated online analyzers. These analyzers cannot directly measure sulfur but sulfur content is related to the measurable content of metals. Automated samplers will collect a daily composite sample from various process streams, including the Flotation Tailings stream. This composite will be analyzed in a lab for metals and sulfur. The lab analysis will be used to calibrate the online analyzers.

The performance of the flotation circuit can be controlled by the amount of reagents added at various stages of the flotation process. Process control procedures will be developed and implemented. These procedures will include control of sulfur content of Flotation Tailings as an objective.

4.2 Transport and Deposition Plan

Flotation Tailings spigot points will be moved as needed to minimize grain size segregation and effectively place the tailings in all parts of the cell. When the deposited tailings have reached the desired elevation the dam will be raised using the LTVSMC coarse tailings. LTVSMC tailings beaches will underlie the FTB dam along the northern and northeastern dams of Cell 2E and the southern and eastern dams of Cell 1E. The underlying layer of LTVSMC coarse tailings will have a suitable strength as a foundation for FTB dam raises, and have a sufficient hydraulic conductivity to minimize the increase in phreatic water levels within the dams.

The area of exposed beach will depend on the water level in the cell and the slope of the Flotation Tailings on the beaches. Water level will be managed so that minimum freeboard is not exceeded and the beaches are as narrow as possible to minimize dust liftoff while maintaining the desired separation between pond edge and dam crest to maintain slope stability. Fugitive dust control measures (mulching/crimping, temporary seeding, chemical dust suppressants) will be applied to inactive beaches in accordance with requirements in the Fugitive Emissions Control Plan (Attachment A of Reference (6)).

The transport and deposition plan has been designed to coordinate expected water and tailings inputs with dam raises such that the FTB maintains the water levels that are desired for water quality, and the beach lengths that are desired for dam stability. Stability of the FTB dams is based on maintenance of a 625-foot setback between the inside crest of the dam and the edge of the pond within the FTB. However, as presented in Section 3.1, the FTB dam

slope stability factors of safety are adequate even under elevated pond conditions. As shown on Drawing FTB-017, the emergency overflow channel is designed to provide 3.0 feet of freeboard to dam crest in an emergency overflow situation. Additional freeboard will be maintained during operations so the basin has capacity to temporarily store water accumulated from major precipitation events and to accommodate routine seasonal and operational pond level variations without discharging from the emergency overflow channel. This will be accomplished by maintaining pond level below the elevation of the emergency overflow inlet and maintaining the specified beach length. Table 4-1 provides a summary of preliminary pond elevation targets for routine operating conditions. Pond elevation targets will be confirmed as the design of the FTB is finalized during future permitting activities.

Table 4-1 FTB Pond Elevation and Beach Length Targets

Dam Lift	Final Dam Crest Elevation (ft)	Emergency Overflow Inlet Elevation (ft)	Pond Elevation Target at 625' Beach Length (ft) – Preliminary (rounded to nearest 1.0 ft)	Beach Length (ft)
Lift 1	1,602	1,599	1,593	625
Lift 2	1,622	1,619	1,613	625
Lift 3	1,642	1,639	1,633	625
Lift 4	1,662	1,659	1,653	625
Lift 5	1,682	1,679	1,673	625
Lift 6	1,702	1,699	1,693	625
Lift 7	1,722	1,719	1,713	625
Lift 8	1,732	1,729	1,723	625

Note: Pond elevation at 625' beach length based on beach slope of 1.0%. Beach slope to be confirmed during initial FTB operations and elevations adjusted accordingly.

Water level bounce in the FTB as the result of large rain events or rapid snowmelt will generally be less than the PMP bounce, leaving ample freeboard in the basin, as shown on Table 4-2. If increased water levels shorten beaches to less than the desired length, efforts will be made to reduce water levels as soon as possible in order to restore beach length. Options to reduce the FTB pond water level include routing a larger portion of the water from the FTB seepage capture systems to the WWTS, and reducing make-up water input to the system by drawing a larger portion of the Beneficiation Plant process water from the FTB Pond. Analyses to be performed during detailed design for permitting will be used to establish the time-frame within which water drawdown must occur in order that the phreatic surface within the FTB dams remain within limits of the design. Further, the proposed operations-phase emergency overflow channel will provide a means by which overtopping of the dams will be prevented in the event of rapid pond bounce in response to occurrence of the PMP or some large fraction thereof.

Table 4-2 Estimated Water Level Bounce and Available Post-Bounce Freeboard for Pond Initiating at Elevation Target

	1/3 PMP		2/3 PMP		PMP	
Dam Lift	**Bounce (ft)**	**Post-Bounce Freeboard (ft)**	**Bounce (ft)**	**Post-Bounce Freeboard (ft)**	**Bounce (ft)**	**Post-Bounce Freeboard (ft)**
Lift 1 (Cell 2 E – Mine Year 1)	2.25	7.00	4.25	5.00	6.00	3.25
Lift 4 (Cell 2 E – Mine Year 7)	1.50	7.75	3.25	6.00	4.75	4.50
Lift 4/5 (Cell 1 E – Mine Years 1 through 7)	4.75	4.50	8.00	3.00	10.75	3.00
Lift 8 (Cell 1/2E -Mine Year 20)	1.50	7.75	2.75	6.50	4.00	5.25

Note: Pond bounce and post-bounce freeboard estimates based on 625' beach length and pond at target elevation at initiation of partial or full PMP rainfall event. Emergency overflow to be designed to maintain minimum freeboard to dam crest of 3.0 feet.

During winter operation, Flotation Tailings deposition will remain essentially unchanged. The tailings from the Beneficiation Plant will be moving and discharge water will be approximately 72° F, a high enough temperature to prevent freezing within the pipeline while in operation. As with other tailings basins with spigotted discharges, the warm water at the discharge point melts snow and ice as the water and tailings follow a braided and meandering channel pattern to their ultimate point of deposition. As such, there is not anticipated to be preferential deposition of fine tailings on beaches during winter operations. If frigid conditions (e.g., -30° F) were to occur and tailings deposition issues were to develop, tailings could be directly deposited in the pond via the barge and tremie diffuser system. Because some winter pond freeze-up could occur, making barge and tremie diffuser operations more difficult, bubbler systems may be required to maintain open pond areas in targeted operating areas during extended stretches of frigid conditions. If pumps are shut off, pipes should drain automatically by gravity flow into the FTB, but air release valves or temporary pipe joint separation may be required to facilitate complete drainage.

4.3 General Maintenance

Typical maintenance of the FTB may include:

- snow removal from the dam crest to allow access during winter months
- reconstruction of eroded dam crest, slope or toe

- seeding and mulching to facilitate the growth of vegetation and control of fugitive dust in accordance with requirements in the Fugitive Emissions Control Plan (Attachment A of Reference (6))
- grading of dam crest and replacement of surface material
- maintenance of Return Water System pump and piping
- repair of Flotation Tailings and Return Water pipelines and valves
- repair and/or replacement of damaged instrumentation and monitoring devices

The majority of the non-mechanical maintenance work at the FTB will be carried out on an as-required basis, rather than on a scheduled basis because it is driven by weather events rather than hours of operation. Mechanical components will be incorporated into a planned inspection and maintenance program.

4.4 Water Level Control

The FTB consists of Cells 1E and 2E through Mine Year 7, and combined Cell 1/2E thereafter. Water level within the cells is affected by the inputs and outputs to the cells. The primary inputs and outputs are listed in Table 4-3and can generally be characterized as:

- Steady – during routine plant operations the flow rate is relatively constant.
- Variable – during routine plant operations the flow rate is variable; flow rate may be independent of plant operations.
- Controllable – the flow rate is controllable; operations personal have the capability to maintain, increase, or decrease the flow.
- Uncontrollable – the flow rate is uncontrollable; operations personal have little or no capability to maintain, increase, or decrease the flow.

Operations personnel will track the majority of the inputs and outputs, resulting in a database that allows estimation of the pond level and any proactive actions that may be required to manage pond level within the constraints of facility operating permits. For example, operations personnel will be able to estimate the affect that several inches of precipitation will have on pond level and can adjust the inputs and outputs over which they have control in order to maintain desired pond level.

Table 4-3 Tailings Basin Ponds – Primary Inputs and Outputs

Input	Steady	Variable	Controllable	Uncontrollable
Flotation Tailings	X		X	
Raw Water Makeup		X	X	
Precipitation		X		X
Recovered Seepage	X			X
Output	**Steady**	**Variable**	**Controllable**	**Uncontrollable**
Storage in Tailings Deposit		X		X
Water Return to Plant	X		X	
Storage in Basin Ponds		X	X	
Treated Water Discharge		X	X	
Seepage from Basin	X			X
Evaporation		X		X

As noted in Table 4-3, there are a number of inputs and outputs that are controllable and, because they are also variable, are useful as primary pond level control mechanisms. In addition to gathering data and maintaining a data base on each of the inputs and outputs, automated pond level monitoring is anticipated as a means to routinely/systematically track water levels and to plot water level trends. An ancillary benefit will be to establish alarms at trigger levels that signify a trend above desired water levels and a drop below preferred water levels.

Target water levels will be established and routinely reset based on factors such as:

- Dam safety criteria for beach length and freeboard
- Process water objectives for water clarity
- Process water objectives for water storage and availability

In the event that water levels are trending upward, then raw water makeup can be reduced, water withdrawal and treatment can be increased, tailings/water spigotting into the basin (and corresponding operations) can temporarily be discontinued, or some combination of these actions can be taken. For example, estimated process water discharge rate into the basin is 60 acre-feet per day. Termination of this discharge into the basin while maintaining other

outputs from the basin would have a fairly rapid and significant effect on basin water level. Water Treatment System capacity, at 1,900 gpm and greater, can also be used to affect the basin water level (on the order of 10-acre feet per day). In the most extreme case of elevated water levels, reliance would be made on the basin emergency overflow channel to control water level and to prevent overtopping of the tailings basin dams.

5.0 Monitoring

The monitoring program will support proper long-term construction and performance of the FTB. Monitoring activities include construction material sampling, geotechnical instrumentation installation, data collection and review, geotechnical investigations, and systematic dam safety inspections. As FTB operations proceed, the monitoring program will be updated to be current with the ongoing incremental development of the FTB.

5.1 Material Properties Verification

Upon plant start-up, samples of NorthMet flotation tailings, LTVSMC coarse tailings borrow, and buttress material will be collected and tested to verify the strength characteristics used in the geotechnical modeling (Appendix B of this application). Material properties sampling and testing will be on-going during the first year of operation, with bi-yearly sampling after that, to identify trends in variability of the construction materials. Alterations to the dam design may be appropriate if the materials are found to be stronger or weaker than those obtained during previous explorations.

5.2 Geotechnical Instrumentation

Geotechnical monitoring will provide data for dam safety analysis and inspection, and contribute to the overall understanding of the Plant Site water balance. Existing and proposed geotechnical instrumentation will link the observed FTB performance with the seepage, stability, and deformation modeling. Instrumentation is summarized below and described in detail in the Dam Stability Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan (Attachment D).

5.2.1 Piezometers

Existing and proposed piezometers will monitor phreatic surface within the dams. The location of the phreatic surface has a significant impact on slope stability. Piezometer measurements will periodically be compared to phreatic surface location estimated by slope stability and seepage modeling to confirm that the location of the phreatic surface is within acceptable limits. Piezometer readings will be taken twice per year at a minimum, plotted against time, and sent to the *Design Engineer* for review.

5.2.2 Inclinometers

Inclinometers will be installed to monitor the movement of the FTB dams. Actual movement as monitored by the inclinometers will be compared to movement estimated by deformation modeling of the FTB dams. Manual inclinometer readings will be taken twice per year at a minimum, plotted against time, and sent to the *Design Engineer* for review and analysis.

5.2.3 Survey Monitoring Hubs

Survey monitoring hubs will be established to facilitate the monitoring of horizontal and vertical deformation of the FTB dams. The survey monitoring hubs will be surveyed twice

per year (minimum). The readings will be recorded on a standard form, plotted against time, and sent to the *Design Engineer* for review and analysis.

5.2.4 Semi Continuous Data Collection

In addition to manual measurement, vibrating wire technology may be utilized to obtain semi-continuous measurements of pore water pressure and slope inclination. Further details can be found in the Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan (Attachment D).

5.3 Monitoring of Other Systems

The procedures for monitoring the chemistry (including sulfur) of Flotation Tailings delivered to the FTB are described in Section 4.1. The following additional monitoring procedures are required for the FTB and associated systems.

5.3.1 Flotation Tailings Transport System

The pipes that carry Flotation Tailings from the Beneficiation Plant to the FTB and associated pipeline connections will be inspected to confirm that the components are in good condition at all times and leaks do not occur. Inspections will be performed on a regular basis (Section 5.5) and if damaged or worn out components are observed or if leaks are detected, the affected parts will be repaired or replaced.

The amounts of tailings and water delivered to the FTB will be recorded (manually or via automated systems) daily.

5.3.2 Pond Level and Beach Length

The pond water levels will be recorded daily (manually or via automated systems) to confirm that water containment within the FTB dams provides sufficient freeboard. Pond level data will then be used to confirm beach length. Insufficient freeboard and beach length will immediately be brought to the attention of the *Operations Contact*. The size of the surface water pond will be monitored for general agreement with the projected operational limits and requirements.

5.3.3 Flotation Tailings Deposition

Stability of the FTB dams is based on maintenance of a 625-foot setback between the inside crest of dam and the edge of the pond within the FTB. As noted in Section 5.3.2, while desired slope stability factors of safety are maintained even if pond bounce causes shorter beaches, maintenance of specified beach length provides an indicator that adequate freeboard exists to manage pond bounce from severe precipitation events. Following commencement of Flotation Tailings deposition, monitoring to confirm maintenance of the required setback will occur on a daily basis, and more frequently in the event of prolonged and/or intense rainfall events that have the potential to cause rapid rise in pond elevation. In addition to daily or more frequent setback observations, the FTB dams and Flotation Tailings deposition profile will be monitored within the first year of operations and then at least once every other year

via bathymetric surveying. Further, strength of the deposited Flotation Tailings will be confirmed within the first year of operations and then at least once every other year using cone penetration test (CPT) soundings or standard penetration test (SPT) borings. The updated profiles and Flotation Tailings strength data will be part of the Dam Safety Review and used to update design models and deposition practices as required to maintain FTB stability.

5.3.4 Return Water System

The components of the return water system including pipes, connections, sumps, pumps, barges, rafts, etc. will be inspected on a regular basis (Section 5.5) to confirm that the components are in good condition at all times. Damaged or worn out components will be repaired or replaced.

The amount of water returned to the Beneficiation Plant will be recorded (manually or via automated systems) daily.

5.4 Geotechnical Modeling

Geotechnical explorations (Section 5.1) will provide information on material strengths and seepage conditions as the FTB is developed. Additional modeling will be performed in conjunction with geotechnical exploration updates to verify stability of the proposed dam under the actual conditions observed. Alterations to the construction sequence and/or ultimate dam design will be made as needed in response to the outcomes of these future evaluations.

Similar investigations and modeling analyses will be performed on an on-going basis throughout the construction of the dam to allow for immediate corrections to the design to maintain stability. It is recommended that these investigations take place on a bi-yearly basis. The reoccurrence of the investigations may be re-evaluated after eight years as the rate of dam construction decreases thereafter.

5.5 Weekly/Daily Dam Safety Inspections

Routine dam inspection activities will occur on an ongoing basis and will supplement the more detailed Dam Safety Inspections (DSIs). The purpose of weekly/daily dam inspection is to observe the conditions and performance of the FTB dams and associated facilities so that any changes to dam conditions or performance can be identified and any potentially hazardous conditions can be promptly addressed. The *Operations Contact* will confirm that dam inspections are conducted per the guidance provided in this document.

Dam inspection will primarily involve routine and event driven observations of the dam and associated facilities. When documenting dam inspections, a standard form (Attachment E) will be used. Digital images will be taken from a reference location for conditions that may vary with time (e.g., increased seepage or deformation of the dam structure, or progressive

erosion). A GPS-linked camera shall be used for other photos so that photo location and viewing direction are automatically recorded with each photo taken.

Observations of suspected irregularities of the dam structure will be immediately reported to the *Operations Contact* and *Design Engineer*. Additional reporting will be performed as required by facility permits.

Observations to be made during the daily dam inspections will include:

- piping (tailings transport, return water, seepage capture) system integrity
- possible evidence of subsidence or sinkholes in the dams
- confirmation that no physical damage has occurred to the FTB as a result of factors such as weather, vandalism, or malfunction of components

Observations to be made during the weekly inspections will include:

- the daily observations listed above
- evidence of dam structure deformation (e.g., slope bulging or crest settlement)
- evidence of unexpected seepage
- uncontrolled overland runoff and erosion
- possible evidence of piping/subsurface erosion downstream of the dam
- any/other unusual conditions in the dam area

Dam inspections will occur at least weekly and the *Design Engineer* will accompany the *Operations Contact* during a formal dam inspection at a minimum of twice per year. All dam inspection reports will be reviewed by the *Operations Contact*, circulated to management personnel as appropriate, and filed.

5.6 Semi-Annual Dam Safety Inspections

The purpose of a Dam Safety Inspection (DSI) is to evaluate, on a regular basis, the current and past performance of the FTB dams and to observe potential deficiencies in their condition, performance, and/or operation. DSIs will consist of detailed observations made by the *Design Engineer* and an evaluation of information on dam performance, operating and other relevant conditions obtained from routine monitoring.

The *Design Engineer* conducting the DSI must be qualified to conduct dam safety evaluations and be familiar with the designs and other site-specific conditions and

requirements pertaining to the dam. It is the responsibility of the *Operations Contact* to confirm that a qualified and experienced *Design Engineer* is retained.

DSIs are initially to be conducted on a semi-annual basis. The *Operations Contact* will accompany the *Design Engineer* for all or part of the DSI. The DSI frequency should be reviewed at the time of each annual Dam Safety Review. A non-routine DSI may be required as a follow up to the reporting of an unusual event or observation.

Each DSI will incorporate a routine review, in addition to direct evaluation of dam safety, of the following:

- the operations and maintenance manual
- the availability at the site of all documents pertaining to dam safety
- change in relevant regulatory requirements since the last DSI

The *Design Engineer* carrying out the DSI will issue a report within six weeks following the DSI. The report will include conclusions and any necessary recommendations in clear and explicit statements. The *Operations Contact* will review each DSI report. The *Operations Contact* will be responsible for preparing and executing an appropriate action plan to confirm that all recommendations made in a DSI report are followed. Copies of the reports will be available at the office of the *Operations Contact* and in the office of the *Design Engineer*.

5.7 Inspections After Unusual Events/Observations

Unusual events/observations must be immediately brought to the attention of the *Operations Contact* who will document the event/observation and immediate action taken, initiate a special inspection and, if necessary, contact the *Design Engineer*. Examples of unusual events/observations that would require attention with respect to dam safety are listed in Table 5-1.

Table 5-1 FTB Unusual Events/Observations That Warrant a Non-Routine Inspection

Event/Observation	Possible Immediate Action
Extreme runoff event.	Inspect the slopes and the crest of the dam looking for areas of concentrated runoff or erosion. Make a note of saturated ground or soft ground conditions at dam slopes and toes. Examine dam slopes for indications of localized slumping/instability. Inspect tailings/reclaim pipeline route. Check water levels in the pond relative to critical levels and continue monitoring until the pond inflows subside. Initiate findings review by Design Engineer.
Rupture of pipeline at dam structure.	Stop the pump. Check for dam erosion. Initiate findings review by Design Engineer.
Significant, relatively rapid erosion (any cause) of dam slope or sudden seepage break-out at dam slope or downstream of dam in form of continuous seepage or boils.	Take actions to divert or eliminate the flow that is causing erosion. In consultation with Design Engineer, place free-draining and filtering buttress material at seep or boil locations. Reduce pond levels to reduce seepage rate until seepage is further evaluated and a solution identified and implemented. Measure size of erosion and/or estimate seepage area and flow Rate. Consult with Design Engineer.
Deformation at dam crest, slope, or toe area, including development of a continually saturated area at ground surface.	Examine dam slopes for indications of localized slumping/instability. Consult with Design Engineer. Review existing monitoring data and install additional instrumentation and collect additional data if required in consultation with Design Engineer. Review seepage and stability modeling and variance from expected modeling outcomes. Implement follow-up actions as recommended by Design Engineer.
Significant change in the piezometer level/levels.	Check piezometer readings again to confirm findings. Initiate findings review by Design Engineer.
Other events/observations.	Consult Operations Contact

5.8 Dam Safety Review

Routine Dam Safety Reviews (DSRs) at the FTB will be carried out every five years after initial operation. This scheduling requirement should be confirmed or revised at the time of each DSI. The principal objective of a DSR is to ascertain that a dam has an adequate margin of safety, based on the current Dam Safety Permit, current engineering practice, and updated operations and design input data. A DSR may also be carried out to address a specific problem. The team conducting a DSR will be qualified (qualified geotechnical engineer registered in the State of Minnesota) to conduct dam safety evaluations and be familiar with the designs and other site-specific conditions and requirements pertaining to operations of the FTB.

A detailed scope of work for each DSR will be defined by the leader of the DSR team prior to conducting the DSR, and be consistent with engineering practice at the time it is conducted. Each DSR will incorporate, in addition to direct evaluation of dam safety, a detailed review of the following:

1. the adequacy of past DSI practice, the DSI recommendations, and their implementation

2. the Operations, Maintenance and Inspection Plan

3. timing for the next regular DSR

Each DSR report will include conclusions and, if necessary, recommendations pertaining to the safety of the dam. As in the case of DSI reports, an action plan will be prepared by the *Operations Contact* to address the DSR recommendations. A copy of each report will be available at the office of the *Operations Contact*.

5.9 Contingency Action Plan

A Contingency Action Plan (CAP) has been prepared (Attachment F) to provide initial guidance to on-site personnel and emergency responders in the case of unplanned occurrences at the FTB. The CAP identifies and specifies initial actions in response to a variety of occurrences representing differing levels of severity and complexity. In most cases initial responses will be followed up with review by the *Design Engineer* to confirm that initial responses are adequate and to identify any further actions that may be required. In severe situations time of response is of the essence and the *Design Engineer* should be notified immediately of the conditions on site so that additional recommendations can be identified and established immediately.

6.0 Reporting and Adaptive Management

6.1 Annual Reporting

The DNR Dam Safety Permit and Permit to Mine will require that annual reports be submitted. The content requirements for those reports will be defined in those permits. The following paragraphs list what is anticipated to be required.

An Annual Dam Safety Report will be submitted to the DNR. It is anticipated to include:

- a summary and analysis of geotechnical monitoring
- a summary of construction completed and associated costs
- a photographic record of FTB conditions
- a summary of DSIs and DSRs conducted during the year
- a summary of all routine inspections that occurred during the past year
- a summary of all unusual events/observations that occurred during the past year
- identification of any planned changes in operations that could impact dam stability

An Annual Permit to Mine Report will be submitted to the DNR. Tailings basin-specific content is anticipated to include:

- sulfur content of Flotation Tailings as a daily average
- the total tons of Flotation Tailings placed in the FTB from the start of operations through the past year and remaining planned capacity
- a map showing where Flotation Tailings were placed and where vegetation was established for dust control or reclamation during the past year
- a map showing where Flotation Tailings are planned to be placed and where vegetation is planned to be established for dust control or reclamation during the coming year
- identification of any planned changes in operations that could impact reclamation
- an update of the Flotation Tailings waste characterization program

Planned changes in operations that could impact reclamation will be reviewed with the DNR Division of Lands and Minerals and DNR Dam Safety.

6.2 Annual Comparison to Slope Stability Models and Model Refinements

Annual reports will include comparison of actual dam stability performance to the performance estimated by the Project dam slope stability modeling for the conditions existing at the time of the report. This comparison will entail:

- summary and review of instrumentation monitoring data, including but not limited to inclinometers and piezometers
- updates to seepage model inputs based on monitoring data review
- updates to slope stability models based on seepage model updates and updates to account for changes in dam geometry since the prior year review
- review and updates to material strength parameters to incorporate geotechnical data gathered, if any, since the prior year review

The Project dam safety modeling developed in Geotechnical Data Package Volume 1 (Appendix B of this application) includes all time periods of the Project. If the annual comparison of the model shows differences that can be logically explained as being caused by modeling assumptions (i.e., material strength parameters) that have been demonstrated to be incorrect, the model will be refined.

The adjusted model will be used to update the Project dam safety estimates. If the update indicates that outcomes will not be acceptable, adaptive management will be initiated as described in Section 6.3.

6.3 Adaptive Management

Adaptive management practices for the FTB are founded on the Observational Method, which provides for FTB design or operation to be modified, if needed, based on operational experience. Tailings characteristics and dam performance monitoring data will routinely be collected and reviewed, and stability models will be updated as new information becomes available. If updated stability models project that the planned or constructed FTB dams may not meet required factors of safety, adaptive management steps will include some or all of the following:

1. Initiate any field or laboratory studies that may be necessary to update material strength parameters.
2. Update stability modeling using as-built dimensions and on-site observations. If factors of safety in updated model do not meet requirements proceed to Step 3

3. Conduct stability modeling to estimate the effects of potential operational changes such as adjusting tailings deposition procedures to modify beach width or modifying the pond elevation to modify phreatic surface conditions within the dam.
4. If operational changes (such as change to slurry density, change to dam lift timing, modified pond operations) can achieve the required factors of safety, implement that change and include it in the updated Flotation Tailings Management Plan.
5. If stability modeling indicates that operational changes cannot achieve the required factors of safety, implement contingency mitigation (Section 6.4) that will restore required factors of safety, and include that contingency mitigation in the updated Flotation Tailings Management Plan.
6. Monitor and model to estimate dam stability effects with new or adjusted engineering control. If issue persists begin Step 1 again.

Adaptive management does not apply to unexpected and potentially hazardous conditions threatening the integrity and performance of the FTB, which are addressed in Attachment F.

6.4 Contingency Mitigation

If monitoring or the refined model estimates show that with operational changes the FTB dams may not meet required factors of safety, mitigations are available. Contingency mitigation does not apply to unexpected and potentially hazardous conditions threatening the integrity and performance of the FTB, which are addressed in the CAP (Attachment F). In general, stability can be modified by:

- modifying buttressing to modify resisting force at the toe of the FTB
- including free-draining underdrain layers or drains to reduce the phreatic surface in the FTB dams
- adjusting the overall slope angle of future lifts to modify driving force at the toe
- adjusting bench widths of future dam lifts
- adjusting future dam lift offsets, and/or
- adjusting future dam lift heights and/or rate of construction

The mitigation measures listed above can be implemented individually or in combinations as needed to achieve the required mitigation outcomes.

7.0 FTB Reclamation and Postclosure Maintenance

Reclamation of the FTB will include measures to control fugitive dust, reduce infiltration of oxygen and water, and manage water flows. Upon completion of ore processing operations (after 20 years of operation), the FTB will be closed in accordance with Minnesota Rules, part 6132.3200. Dam stability will be periodically evaluated after closure by a qualified geotechnical engineer at a frequency and for the duration required by the facility Dam Safety Permit. It is anticipated that the frequency and intensity of these evaluations will decrease over time as vegetation becomes fully established and as it is confirmed that any areas prone to erosion have been fully and permanently remediated.

7.1 Incremental Reclamation

As dams are constructed, exterior slopes will be stabilized and vegetated in accordance with requirements in the Fugitive Emissions Control Plan (Attachment A of Reference (6)). During construction of FTB dams, the exterior face of the dams will be amended with a bentonite layer to limit oxygen infiltration into the Flotation Tailings as indicated on Drawing FTB-024. The bentonite amendment will entail addition of granulated bentonite (approximately 3% by dry weight) to an 18-inch thick layer of the dam construction material, overlain by an additional 30-inch layer of dam construction material. The exterior dam faces will be permanently vegetated by a qualified reclamation contractor according to Minnesota Rules, part 6132.2700 and requirements of the Reclamation Seeding Plan. Template construction specifications are provided as Attachment G. Inactive interior beach areas will be temporarily vegetated as necessary for fugitive dust control.

7.2 Final Reclamation

The FTB final reclamation plan includes strategies to avoid, minimize, and mitigate environmental impacts, including the following measures:

- Upland areas will be mulched and planted with permanent vegetation to control dust in accordance with requirements in the Fugitive Emissions Control Plan (Attachment A of Reference (6)). Vegetation types will be selected to limit root penetration to within the top 24-inches of the Flotation Tailings in order to minimize the potential for root penetration into the underlying bentonite-amended Flotation Tailings layer planned for 30-inches below the Flotation Tailings surface. Fertilizer may be used but care will be taken to minimize carry-over into pond areas, which would encourage algae growth.

- Interior portions will be graded to provide a gently sloping surface that effectively routes stormwater runoff to the interior of the FTB, accommodates future differential settlement of the underlying Flotation Tailings, and maximizes ponding of water in the reclaimed FTB Pond.

- Exposed beach areas will be amended with bentonite to limit oxygen infiltration into the Flotation Tailings. Granulated bentonite (approximately 3% by dry weight) will be added to an 18-inch thick layer of Flotation Tailings, overlain by an additional 30-inch layer of Flotation Tailings (see Drawing FTB-024 and Attachment G). The bentonite will be injected via agricultural equipment such as that commonly used for below-grade manure injection. This will entail pneumatic injection of bentonite through hollow tines of a rake pulled through the tailings, at the desired depth and at the desired rate of bentonite injection. If access proves difficult and/or to facilitate further mixing of the bentonite and tailings, the upper layer of tailings will be peeled back and the equipment produced by Amazone of Germany and distributed by AMS Incorporated of Ogden, IL; that produced by Residue Solutions of Queensland, Australia (i.e., their MudMaster equipment), or similar equipment will be utilized to facilitate bentonite application and thorough mixing. The cover layer of tailings will then be replaced and vegetated in accordance with requirements of the Reclamation Seeding Plan. If necessary, purpose-built equipment will be developed to combine bentonite deployment and mixing, and the adequacy of the approach will be validated during a field test and Quality Assurance/Quality Control testing just prior reclamation.

- The pond bottom will be amended with bentonite as described in Section 5.0 of Reference (5). The bentonite-amended pond bottom will reduce the percolation from the FTB Pond, thereby maintaining a permanent pond that will provide an oxygen barrier above the Flotation Tailings to reduce oxidation and resultant production of chemical constituents. It will also reduce the amount of water collected by the FTB seepage capture systems.

Long-term performance of the bentonite amended dams, beaches and pond bottom can be detrimentally impacted by differential settlement and erosion of the overlying soils. As part of initial postclosure maintenance, annual inspections will include identification of any detrimental effects from differential settlement and erosion. Areas where differential settlement is occurring may require retreatment with bentonite to remediate affected areas. As with liner systems, the bentonite is likely to be an effective barrier to root penetration. However, depth of root penetration will be evaluated once vegetation becomes well established to confirm that most roots are shallower than the depth of the bentonite amendment and/or are spreading laterally rather than vertically once the bentonite amended zone is encountered. Areas where erosion is occurring and exposing the bentonite amended layer will be remediated with additional erosion control measures and/or regrading as needed to prevent further erosion. If erosion does occur into or through the bentonite amended zone, the appropriate segments of the eroded area will be backfilled with a soil-bentonite mix, covered and revegetated.

The 30-inch layer of tailings above the bentonite amended tailings will reduce impacts of evaporation during extended dry periods. Given the depth of the bentonite layer and with the

low bentonite content (3% by weight), the potential for desiccation cracking of the bentonite amended tailings should be limited. Because historically on an annual basis precipitation is greater than evapotranspiration at the location of the FTB, sufficient moisture will typically be available to maintain desired pond levels and further limit the potential for desiccation cracking of the bentonite amended tailings.

The overall objectives of bentonite amendment of the FTB dams, beaches, and pond bottom are to:

- limit oxygen infiltration through the FTB dams into the Flotation Tailings
- limit oxygen infiltration through FTB beaches into the Flotation Tailings
- limit oxygen infiltration through the FTB pond area by reducing the average areal percolation through the FTB pond bottom, thereby maintaining a permanent pond that will limit oxygen infiltration into the Flotation Tailings (and also reduce the amount of water collected by the FTB seepage capture systems and requiring treatment)

Template for Pilot/Field-Testing of Bentonite Amendment of Tailings (Attachment I) describes the process by which the bentonite amendment of tailings methods and performance will be evaluated.

Establishment of dense vegetative cover and root mass is among the most effective methods to minimize erosion, so the quality and density of the vegetation will be periodically reviewed after final reclamation construction is complete. Areas where vegetation is not becoming well established will receive additional seeding and/or fertilizer and other amendments in accordance with requirements of the Reclamation Seeding Plan until the vegetation has become well established and self-sustaining.

7.3 Structure Removals

During reclamation of the FTB the following will be removed:

- Tailings Transport Pipeline, Booster Pumphouses and Tailings Disposal Diffuser Raft
- Water Transfer Pipeline and Transfer Pump Raft
- Return Water Barge
- Return Water Pipeline
- 13.8Kv and 4.16Kv power lines
- power substations

In general, removal will be prior to bentonite amendment of the FTB beach and pond areas. However, if components are needed to implement the bentonite amendment, those components will be removed after the bentonite amendment is complete.

Structures needed to pump pond water to the WWTS to prevent overflow will remain in place as long as needed (Section 2.5).

7.4 Overflow Structures

See Section 2.5 for discussion of Overflow Structures for operations, reclamation, and postclosure maintenance.

7.5 Postclosure

Once reclamation is complete, a postclosure period will begin. Postclosure activities for the FTB include dam safety monitoring and FTB maintenance.

Dam safety monitoring tasks described in Section 5.2 (Geotechnical Instrumentation), Section 5.6 (Semi-Annual Dam Safety Inspections), and Section 5.8 (Dam Safety Review) will be continued until the DNR provides adjustment or release from those activities. An Annual Dam Safety Report will be submitted to the DNR. It is anticipated to include a summary and analysis of geotechnical monitoring and a summary of DSIs and DSRs conducted during the year.

Long-Term FTB maintenance tasks will include:

- annual inspection of vegetation on the exterior dam faces and interior beaches, with erosion repaired and vegetation reseeded in accordance with requirements of the Reclamation Seeding Plan as needed until released from these activities by the DNR
- snow removal from the dam crest to allow access during winter months
- reconstruction of eroded dam crest, slope or toe
- mulching for fugitive dust control in accordance with requirements in the Fugitive Emissions Control Plan (Attachment A of Reference (6))
- repair and/or replacement of damaged instrumentation and monitoring devices

Consistent with requirements of Minnesota Rule, part 6115.0390 Termination of Operations and Perpetual Maintenance, the FTB dams and appurtenances will be perpetually maintained so as to maintain their integrity.

7.6 Reclamation Estimates

The following sections provide an overview of the reclamation plan for the Construction Phase and Mine Year 1. For more specific details on reclamation and the associated cost estimates, see the Reclamation Plan and Reclamation Estimates that will be part of the Permit to Mine application.

7.6.1 Construction Phase (end of construction/development)

If closure were to occur at the end of the Construction Phase the activities described in Sections 7.2 to 7.5 would be implemented. No Flotation Tailings will have been deposited in the FTB.

This plan is used to develop the Construction Phase Contingency Reclamation Estimate that will be the basis for financial assurance required by Minnesota Rules, part 6132.1200 required before a Permit to Mine can be granted.

7.6.2 Mine Year 1 (end of first year of operations)

If closure were to occur at the end of Mine Year 1, the activities described in Sections 7.2 to 7.5 would be implemented.

Approximately 11 million tons of Flotation Tailings will have been deposited in the FTB, a portion of Lift 1 will have been constructed, and the dam crest will be at elevation 1590 ($\pm$ 5) feet. The Transfer Pump Raft and Tailings Disposal Diffuser Raft will be operational. The FTB area requiring bentonite amendment will be approximately 445 acres; consisting of approximately two-thirds to three-quarters of the exposed beaches, with the remainder consisting of pond area. Exterior slope areas will have previously been reclaimed as part of dam construction.

This plan is used to develop the Mine Year 1 Contingency Reclamation Estimate that will be the basis for financial assurance required by Minnesota Rules, part 6132.1200 the first or second calendar year (depending on construction progress) after the issuance of the Permit to Mine. Financial assurance will be required before operations can begin. This plan and estimate will be updated annually to include contingency reclamation for the site conditions representative of the end of the upcoming year of operation.

Revision History

Date	Version	Description
10/31/2011	1	Initial release
12/7/2012	2	Includes Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan and Template Construction Specifications Updates Permit Application Support Drawings Eliminates wetland establishment in FTB Pond during reclamation
04/12/2013	3	Incorporates edits in response to DNR review and comment on Version 2. Adds results of additional stability modeling required by Geotechnical Work Plan Version 3.
11/21/2014	4	Incorporates edits to update slope stability analysis results and to incorporate edits in response to unresolved DNR review comments on Version 3.
03/03/2015	5	Incorporate edits in response to DNR review and comment on Version 4.
07/11/2016	6	Version 6 adds signed PE certification and updated instrumentation and monitoring plan, and inclusion of FTB Dam Failure Notification Flowchart within FTB Contingency Action Plan in response to DNR comment on Version 5.
05/15/2017	7	Version 7 updates Cell 2E buttress information and construction schedule, updates slope stability factor of safety values resulting from buttress modification, adds Attachment I, and provides additional information on tailings basin pond level control.

References

1. **Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and U.S. Forest Service.** Final Environmental Impact Statement: NorthMet Mining Project and Land Exchange. November 2015.

2. **Poly Met Mining Inc.** NorthMet Project Water Management Plan - Plant (v6). May 2017.

3. —. NorthMet Project Geotechnical Data Package Vol 1 - Flotation Tailings Basin (v8). May 2017.

4. —. NorthMet Project Waste Characterization Data Package (v12). February 2015.

5. —. NorthMet Project Adaptive Water Management Plan (v11). May 2017.

6. —. NorthMet Project Air Quality Management Plan - Plant (v7). December 2014.

List of Tables

Table 2-1 Flotation Tailings Basin Buttress Development 9
Table 3-1 Modeled Factors of Safety for Proposed Final Lift Conditions with and without PMP (Cross-Sections F, G, and N)..... 15
Table 3-2 Modeled Factors of Safety for Interim Lifts (Cross-Sections F, G, and N) . 16
Table 3-3 Modeled Factors of Safety for Liquefaction Triggering Analyses (Cross-Section F)..... 17
Table 3-4 Modeled Factors of Safety for Worst-Case Flow Liquefaction ($USSA_{liq}$) Conditions (Cross-Section F)..... 17
Table 3-5 Modeled Factors of Safety for Long-Term (ESSA) Closure Conditions (Cross-Section F) 18
Table 3-6 Modeled Factors of Safety for Long-Term Fully Liquefied ($USSA_{liq}$) Closure Conditions (Cross-Section F)..... 18
Table 4-1 FTB Pond Elevation and Beach Length Targets..... 23
Table 4-2 Estimated Water Level Bounce and Available Post-Bounce Freeboard for Pond Initiating at Elevation Target 24
Table 4-3 Tailings Basin Ponds – Primary Inputs and Outputs..... 26
Table 5-1 FTB Unusual Events/Observations That Warrant a Non-Routine Inspection..... 33

List of Large Tables

Large Table 1 Flotation Tailings Basin Stage Development Summary

List of Figures

Figure 4-1 FTB Construction and Operation Timeline 21

List of Attachments

Attachment A	NorthMet Project Flotation Tailings Basin Permit Application Support Drawings
Attachment B	Saint Anthony Falls Tailings Deposition Modeling Report (previously submitted)
Attachment C	Hydrologic Study of Flotation Tailings Basin
Attachment D	Dam Stability Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan
Attachment E	Dam Safety Inspection Form
Attachment F	Contingency Action Plan
Attachment G	Template Construction Specifications
Attachment H	Flotation Tailings Basin Dam Break Analysis
Attachment I	Template for Pilot-Testing of Bentonite Amendment of Tailings

Large Tables

Large Table 1 Flotation Tailings Basin Stage Development Summary

Mine Year	Dam Lift	Average FTB Elevation (FT)	Increased FTB Elevation (FT)	Dam Crest Elevation (FT)	Approximate FTB Area at Crest (acres)	Dam Material (CY)	Cumulative Dam Material (CY)	FTB Capacity (CY)	Cumulative FTB Capacity (CY)
Cell 2E									
--	Lift 1	1570.0	0.0	1602	533	2,480,000	2,480,000	21,600,000	21,600,000
1		1585.0	15.0				2,480,000		21,600,000
2	Lift 2	1597.0	12.0	1622	536	1,700,000	4,180,000	16,500,000	38,100,000
3		1609.0	12.0				4,180,000		38,100,000
4	Lift 3	1622.0	13.0	1642	537	1,660,000	5,840,000	16,550,000	54,650,000
5		1633.0	11.0				5,840,000		54,650,000
6	Lift 4	1646.0	13.0	1662	545	1,600,000	7,440,000	16,800,000	71,450,000
7		1658.0	12.0				7,440,000		71,450,000

Mine Year	Dam Lift	Average FTB Elevation (FT)	Increased FTB Elevation (FT)	Dam Crest Elevation (FT)	Approximate FTB Area at Crest (acres)	Dam Material (CY)	Cumulative Dam Material (CY)	FTB Capacity (CY)	Cumulative FTB Capacity (CY)
Cell 1/2E (merged 1E and 2E)									
7	Lift 5	1658.0	0.0	1682	1,363	2,420,000	9,860,000	29,590,000	101,040,000
8		1666.0	8.0				9,860,000		101,040,000
9		1674.0	8.0				9,860,000		101,040,000
10		1678.5	4.5				9,860,000		101,040,000
11	Lift 6	1683.5	5.0	1702	1,372	2,990,000	12,846,000	42,045,000	143,075,000
12		1688.5	5.0				12,846,000		143,075,000
13		1693.0	4.5				12,846,000		143,075,000
14		1697.5	4.5				12,846,000		143,075,000
15	Lift 7	1702.5	5.0	1722	1,361	3,570,000	16,411,000	42,564,000	185,639,000
16		1707.0	4.5				16,411,000		185,639,000
17		1712.0	5.0				16,411,000		185,639,000
18		1716.5	4.5				16,411,000		185,639,000
19	Lift 8	1720.0	3.5	1732	1,342	1,720,000	18,126,000	21,600,000	207,239,000
20		1724.0	4.0				18,126,000		207,239,000

Attachments

Attachment A

NorthMet Project Flotation Tailings Basin Permit Application Support Drawings

POLY MET MINING, INC. NORTHMET PROJECT
PERMIT APPLICATION SUPPORT DRAWINGS
FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA



SITE MAP

0 2000 4000
SCALE IN FEET



LOCATION MAP
NOT TO SCALE

VICINITY MAP
NOT TO SCALE

VER NO	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	10/14/11	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 1 – ATTACHMENT A
2	12/07/12	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 2 – ATTACHMENT A
3	04/12/13	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 3 – ATTACHMENT A
4	11/25/14	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 4 – ATTACHMENT A
5	03/03/15	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 5 – ATTACHMENT A
6	05/20/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
7	05/12/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	7	5/12/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION.

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE
SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue
DATE 5/12/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CAD
CHECKED: TJR
BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0C29
SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN
LOCATION MAP AND SITE MAP

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. FTB-001
REV A

CADD USER: Cristian A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT-02-CS-001.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/12/2017 8:37 AM

INCHES 1 2

GENERAL LEGEND

- EXISTING CONTOUR – MAJOR (1000)
- EXISTING CONTOUR – MINOR
- PROPOSED CONTOUR – MAJOR (1000)
- PROPOSED CONTOUR – MINOR
- EXISTING POWER POLE
- EXISTING RAILROAD
- EXISTING ROAD
- EXISTING TRAIL
- EXISTING STRUCTURES
- TREE LINE
- WETLAND BOUNDARY
- EXISTING CULVERT
- EXISTING PIPELINE (P)
- OVERHEAD ELECTRIC (OE)
- PROPOSED DAMS
- PROPOSED DEWATERING PIPE (DW)
- PROPOSED DISCHARGE PIPELINE (D)
- PROPOSED RETURN PIPELINE (R)
- PROPOSED CULVERT (NON–MINE WATER)
- PROPOSED BORROW AREA
- PROPOSED ACCESS ROADS
- BUTTRESS

ABBREVIATIONS

APPROX.	–	APPROXIMATE
CMP	–	CORRUGATED METAL PIPE
CPEP	–	CORRUGATED POLYETHYLENE PIPE
CY	–	CUBIC YARD
DR	–	DIMENSION RATIO
DWG	–	DRAWING
EL.	–	ELEVATION
F	–	DIAMETER
FTB	–	FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN
GCL	–	GEOSYNTHETIC CLAY LINER
HDPE	–	HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE
HRF	–	HYDROMETALLURGICAL RESIDUE FACILITY
LDPE	–	LOW DENSITY POLYETHYLENE
LF	–	LINEAR FEET
LTVSMC	–	LTV STEEL MINING COMPANY
MCY	–	MILLION CUBIC YARDS
mil	–	ONE THOUSANDTH OF AN INCH
MIN	–	MINIMUM
MSL	–	MEAN SEA LEVEL
NTS	–	NOT TO SCALE
SCH.	–	SCHEDULE
SDR	–	STANDARD DIMENSION RATIO
TYP.	–	TYPICAL

SHEET INDEX

SHEET NO. TITLE

GENERAL DRAWINGS

FTB–001 LOCATION MAP AND SITE MAP
FTB–002 LEGEND AND SHEET INDEX
FTB–003 EXISTING CONDITIONS
FTB–004 LAYOUT MINE YEAR 20
FTB–005 LAYOUT MINE YEAR 1
FTB–006 LAYOUT MINE YEAR 5
FTB–007 LAYOUT MINE YEAR 7
FTB–008 NORTH DAM – MINE YEAR 20 LAYOUT
FTB–009 NORTH DAM – TYPICAL CROSS SECTION
FTB–010 NORTH DAM – STAGED CONSTRUCTION
FTB–011 EAST AND WEST DAMS – MINE YEAR 20 LAYOUT
FTB–012 EAST AND WEST DAMS – TYPICAL CROSS SECTIONS AND DRAINAGE SWALE
FTB–013 SOUTH DAM – MINE YEAR 20 LAYOUT
FTB–014 SOUTH DAM – TYPICAL CROSS SECTION
FTB–015 EMERGENCY OVERFLOW CHANNEL – LAYOUT
FTB–016 EMERGENCY OVERFLOW CHANNEL – SECTIONS
FTB–017 EMERGENCY OVERFLOW CHANNEL – DETAILS
FTB–018 EMERGENCY OVERFLOW CHANNEL – SEQUENCING
FTB–019 PIPING LAYOUT CELL 2E
FTB–020 PIPING LAYOUT CELL 1/2E
FTB–021 DETAILS
FTB–022 TRANSFER PUMP RAFT
FTB–023 TAILINGS DISPOSAL DIFFUSER RAFT
FTB–024 CLOSURE PLAN

DRAWING NUMBERING



NOTES

1. COORDINATE SYSTEM IS MINNESOTA STATE PLANE NORTH ZONE, NAD83.
2. ELEVATIONS ARE MEAN SEA LEVEL (MSL), NAVD88.
3. EXISTING TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS WAS PREPARED BY AEROMETRIC, INC. FROM LIDAR DATA COLLECTED ON MARCH 17, 2010.
4. EXISTING TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION WAS UPDATED FOR AREAS SOUTH EAST OF COAL ASH LANDFILL AND EAST OF OUTCROP BETWEEN CELLS 1E AND 2E USING CONTOURS FROM DATA COLLECTED IN 1999.
5. FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN DESIGN WAS BASED ON CONTOURS FROM DATA COLLECTED IN 1999. PROPOSED DAM LAYOUTS MAY NOT EXACTLY MATCH THE EXISTING TOPOGRAPHY FROM 2010 LIDAR.

VER NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	10/14/11	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 1 – ATTACHMENT A
2	12/07/12	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 2 – ATTACHMENT A
3	04/12/13	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 3 – ATTACHMENT A
4	11/25/14	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 4 – ATTACHMENT A
5	03/03/15	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 5 – ATTACHMENT A
6	05/20/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
7	05/12/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	7	5/12/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–
NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION		

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE
SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue
DATE 5/12/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CAD
CHECKED: TJR
BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69–0C29
SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN
LEGEND AND SHEET INDEX

POLYMET MINING

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1–800–632–2277

DWG. NO. FTB–002
REV A

CADD USER: Cristian A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMF-02-CS-002.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/15/2017 3:03 PM
INCHES 1 2



NOTES:

1. CONTOURS DO NOT REFLECT BORROW REMOVAL.
2. THE GENERAL BORROW SEQUENCING WILL BE:
   - AREAS THAT WILL BE INUNDATED BY OPERATIONS
   - AREAS NEAREST THE POINT OF USE
   - REMAINING BORROW AREAS
3. COAL ASH LANDFILL TO BE RELOCATED TO HYDROMET RESIDUE FACILITY OR ALTERNATE PERMITTED FACILITY PRIOR TO TAILINGS DEPOSITION IN CELL 1E.

1 PLAN: EXISTING CONDITIONS

0 800 1600
SCALE IN FEET

VER NO	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	10/14/11	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 1 – ATTACHMENT A
2	12/07/12	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 2 – ATTACHMENT A
3	04/12/13	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 3 – ATTACHMENT A
4	11/25/14	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 4 – ATTACHMENT A
5	03/03/15	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 5 – ATTACHMENT A
6	05/20/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
7	05/12/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	7	5/12/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–
NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION		

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE
SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue
DATE 5/12/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CAD
CHECKED: TJR
BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0C29
SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN EXISTING CONDITIONS

POLYMET MINING

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. FTB-003
REV A

CADD USER: Cristian A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT-02-CS-003.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/12/2017 10:04 AM

INCHES 1 2

1 -- PLAN: FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN LAYOUT MINE YEAR 20

0 800 1600
SCALE IN FEET

VER NO	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	10/14/11	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 1 – ATTACHMENT A
2	12/07/12	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 2 – ATTACHMENT A
3	04/12/13	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 3 – ATTACHMENT A
4	11/25/14	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 4 – ATTACHMENT A
5	03/03/15	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 5 – ATTACHMENT A
6	05/20/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
7	05/12/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	7	5/12/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE
SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue
DATE 5/12/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CAD
CHECKED: TJR
BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0C29
SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN
LAYOUT MINE YEAR 20

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. FTB-004
REV A

CADD USER: Cristion A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT-02-CS-004.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/12/2017 8:45 AM

INCHES 1 2



1 PLAN: FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN LAYOUT MINE YEAR 1

0 800 1600
SCALE IN FEET

NOTES:

1. SEE SHEET FTB–015 FOR OPERATIONS–PHASE EMERGENCY OVERFLOW CHANNEL.

2. CONSTRUCT NORTH BUTTRESS FOLLOWING THE SCHEDULE AND ELEVATIONS SPECIFIED IN THE FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN.

VER NO	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	10/14/11	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 1 – ATTACHMENT A
2	12/07/12	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 2 – ATTACHMENT A
3	04/12/13	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 3 – ATTACHMENT A
4	11/25/14	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 4 – ATTACHMENT A
5	03/03/15	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 5 – ATTACHMENT A
6	05/20/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
7	05/12/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	7	5/12/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–
NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION		

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE
SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue
DATE 5/12/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CAD
CHECKED: TJR
BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69–0C29
SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN LAYOUT MINE YEAR 1

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1–800–632–2277

DWG. NO. FTB–005
REV A

CADD USER: Cristian A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT–02–CS–005.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/12/2017 8:50 AM

INCHES 1 2



1 PLAN: FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN LAYOUT MINE YEAR 5

0 800 1600
SCALE IN FEET

NOTES:

1. SEE SHEET FTB–015 FOR OPERATIONS–PHASE EMERGENCY OVERFLOW CHANNEL.

VER NO	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	10/14/11	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 1 – ATTACHMENT A
2	12/07/12	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 2 – ATTACHMENT A
3	04/12/13	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 3 – ATTACHMENT A
4	11/25/14	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 4 – ATTACHMENT A
5	03/03/15	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 5 – ATTACHMENT A
6	05/20/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
7	05/12/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	7	5/12/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–
NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION		

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE
SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue
DATE 5/12/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CAD
CHECKED: TJR
BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69–0C29
SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN
LAYOUT MINE YEAR 5

POLYMET MINING

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1–800–632–2277

DWG. NO. FTB–006
REV A

CADD USER: Cristian A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT-02-CS-006.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/12/2017 8:55 AM

INCHES 1 2



NOTES:

1. LAST YEAR BEFORE COMBINING CELLS 2E AND 1E FOR TAILINGS.
2. SEE SHEET FTB–015 FOR OPERATIONS–PHASE EMERGENCY OVERFLOW CHANNEL.

1 PLAN: FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN LAYOUT MINE YEAR 7

0 800 1600
SCALE IN FEET

N

VER NO	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	10/14/11	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 1 – ATTACHMENT A
2	12/07/12	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 2 – ATTACHMENT A
3	04/12/13	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 3 – ATTACHMENT A
4	11/25/14	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 4 – ATTACHMENT A
5	03/03/15	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 5 – ATTACHMENT A
6	05/20/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
7	05/12/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	7	5/12/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE
SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue
DATE 5/12/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CAD
CHECKED: TJR
BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69–0C29
SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN LAYOUT MINE YEAR 7

POLYMET MINING

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1–800–632–2277

DWG. NO. FTB–007
REV A

CADD USER: Cristian A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT–02–CS–007.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/12/2017 8:59 AM

INCHES 1 2



NOTES:

1. DAM ACCESS ROAD LOCATION IS APPROXIMATE. FIELD LOCATE TO PROVIDE PREFERRED SLOPE AND DRAINAGE.
2. EXTEND ACCESS ROAD TO AREA 5 STOCKPILES AND TO PLANT (NOT SHOWN).
3. PEAT TO BE REMOVED FROM BUTTRESS FOUNDATION AREA UNDER THE DIRECTION OF A GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER.

1 -- PLAN: NORTH DAM LAYOUT

0 300 600
SCALE IN FEET

VER NO	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	10/14/11	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 1 – ATTACHMENT A
2	12/07/12	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 2 – ATTACHMENT A
3	04/12/13	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 3 – ATTACHMENT A
4	11/25/14	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 4 – ATTACHMENT A
5	03/03/15	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 5 – ATTACHMENT A
6	05/20/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
7	05/12/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	7	5/12/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE
SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue
DATE 5/12/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CAD
CHECKED: TJR
BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0C29
SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN
NORTH DAM
MINE YEAR 20 LAYOUT

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1–800–632–2277

DWG. NO. FTB–008
REV A

CADD USER: Cristion A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT-02-CS-008.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/12/2017 9:03 AM

INCHES 1 2



1 – ELEVATION: NORTH DAM TYPICAL CROSS SECTION

0 100 200
HORZ. SCALE IN FEET

NOTES:

1. DAMS AND BUTTRESS DIMENSIONS MAY CHANGE WITH EVALUATION OF FUTURE DAM STABILITY PERFORMANCE DATA.

VER NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	10/14/11	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 1 – ATTACHMENT A
2	12/07/12	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 2 – ATTACHMENT A
3	04/12/13	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 3 – ATTACHMENT A
4	11/25/14	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 4 – ATTACHMENT A
5	03/03/15	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 5 – ATTACHMENT A
6	05/20/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
7	05/12/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	7	5/12/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE
SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue
DATE 5/12/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CAD
CHECKED: TJR
BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0C29
SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN
NORTH DAM
TYPICAL CROSS SECTION

POLYMET MINING

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. FTB-009
REV A

CADD USER: Cristion A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT-02-CS-009.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/12/2017 9:06 AM

INCHES 1 2



1 — ELEVATION: TYPICAL CROSS SECTION 1 – MINE YEAR 1

0 200 400
HORZ. SCALE IN FEET



2 — ELEVATION: TYPICAL CROSS SECTION 1 – MINE YEAR 5

0 200 400
HORZ. SCALE IN FEET



3 — ELEVATION: TYPICAL CROSS SECTION 1 – MINE YEAR 7

0 200 400
HORZ. SCALE IN FEET



4 — ELEVATION: TYPICAL CROSS SECTION 1 – MINE YEAR 20

0 200 400
HORZ. SCALE IN FEET

NOTE:

1. DAM AND BUTTRESS DIMENSIONS MAY CHANGE WITH EVALUATION OF FUTURE DAM STABILITY PERFORMANCE DATA.

2. PLACE BENTONITE AMENDED SOIL COVER ON OUTSIDE FACE OF NEW DAMS.

3. CONSTRUCT NORTH BUTTRESS FOLLOWING THE SCHEDULE AND ELEVATIONS TO BE SPECIFIED AT TIME OF CONSTRUCTION.

VER NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	10/14/11	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 1 – ATTACHMENT A
2	12/07/12	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 2 – ATTACHMENT A
3	04/12/13	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 3 – ATTACHMENT A
4	11/25/14	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 4 – ATTACHMENT A
5	03/03/15	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 5 – ATTACHMENT A
6	05/20/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
7	05/12/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	7	5/12/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE
SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue
DATE 5/12/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CAD
CHECKED: TJR
BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0C29
SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN
NORTH DAM
STAGED CONSTRUCTION

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. FTB-010
REV A

CADD USER: Cristion A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT-02-CS-010.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/12/2017 9:09 AM

INCHES 1 2

1/--- PLAN: EAST AND WEST DAM LAYOUTS

0 300 600

SCALE IN FEET

VER NO	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	10/14/11	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 1 – ATTACHMENT A
2	12/07/12	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 2 – ATTACHMENT A
3	04/12/13	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 3 – ATTACHMENT A
4	11/25/14	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 4 – ATTACHMENT A
5	03/03/15	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 5 – ATTACHMENT A
6	05/20/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
7	05/12/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	7	5/12/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE

SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue

DATE 5/12/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CAD

CHECKED: TJR

BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0C29

SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN EAST AND WEST DAMS MINE YEAR 20 LAYOUT

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. FTB-011

REV A

CADD USER: Cristian A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMF-02-CS-011.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/12/2017 9:13 AM

INCHES 1 2



1 / -- PLAN: DRAINAGE SWALE LAYOUT

0 300 600

SCALE IN FEET



2 / 011 ELEVATION: WEST DAM TYPICAL CROSS SECTION

0 100 200

HORZ. SCALE IN FEET



3 / 011 ELEVATION: EAST DAM TYPICAL CROSS SECTION

0 100 200

HORZ. SCALE IN FEET

NOTES:

1.CLOSURE OVERFLOW IS FOR EMERGENCY OVERFLOW ONLY UNTIL POND WATER QUALITY MEETS DISCHARGE WATER QUALITY REQUIREMENTS.

2. DAM DIMENSIONS MAY CHANGE WITH EVALUATION OF FUTURE DAM STABILITY PERFORMANCE DATA.

VER NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	10/14/11	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 1 – ATTACHMENT A
2	12/07/12	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 2 – ATTACHMENT A
3	04/12/13	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 3 – ATTACHMENT A
4	11/25/14	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 4 – ATTACHMENT A
5	03/03/15	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 5 – ATTACHMENT A
6	05/20/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
7	05/12/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	7	5/12/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE

SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue

DATE 5/12/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CAD

CHECKED: TJR

BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0C29

SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN EAST AND WEST DAMS TYPICAL CROSS SECTIONS AND DRAINAGE SWALE

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. FTB-012

REV A

CADD USER: Cristian A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT-02-CS-012.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/12/2017 9:18 AM

INCHES 1 2



1 PLAN: SOUTH DAM LAYOUT

0 300 600

SCALE IN FEET

VER NO	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	10/14/11	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 1 – ATTACHMENT A
2	12/07/12	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 2 – ATTACHMENT A
3	04/12/13	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 3 – ATTACHMENT A
4	11/25/14	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 4 – ATTACHMENT A
5	03/03/15	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 5 – ATTACHMENT A
6	05/20/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
7	05/12/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	7	5/12/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE

SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue

DATE 5/12/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CAD

CHECKED: TJR

BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0C29

SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN SOUTH DAM YEAR 20 LAYOUT

POLYMET MINING

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. FTB-013

REV A

CADD USER: Cristian A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT-02-CS-013.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/12/2017 9:22 AM

INCHES 1 2



1 ELEVATION: SOUTH DAM TYPICAL CROSS SECTION

0 100 200

HORZ. SCALE IN FEET

NOTES:

1. DAM DIMENSIONS MAY CHANGE WITH EVALUATION OF FUTURE PERFORMANCE DATA.

2. HIGH TENSION POWER LINES SHOWN FOR REFERENCE. TOWER FOUNDATIONS ARE LOCATED OUTSIDE OF THE AREA COVERED BY THE BUTTRESS.

VER NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	10/14/11	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 1 – ATTACHMENT A
2	12/07/12	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 2 – ATTACHMENT A
3	04/12/13	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 3 – ATTACHMENT A
4	11/25/14	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 4 – ATTACHMENT A
5	03/03/15	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 5 – ATTACHMENT A
6	05/20/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
7	05/12/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	7	5/12/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE

SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue

DATE 5/12/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CAD

CHECKED: TJR

BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0C29

SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN SOUTH DAM TYPICAL CROSS SECTIONS

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. FTB-014

REV A

CADD USER: Cristion A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT-02-CS-014.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/12/2017 9:24 AM

INCHES 1 2



1 – PLAN: EMERGENCY OVERFLOW CHANNEL (OPERATIONS–PHASE)

0 120 240

SCALE IN FEET



2 – PROFILE: EMERGENCY OVERFLOW CHANNEL (OPERATIONS–PHASE)

0 120 240

HORIZONTAL SCALE IN FEET

0 60 120

VERTICAL SCALE IN FEET

VER NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	10/14/11	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 1 – ATTACHMENT A
2	12/07/12	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 2 – ATTACHMENT A
3	04/12/13	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 3 – ATTACHMENT A
4	11/25/14	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 4 – ATTACHMENT A
5	03/03/15	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 5 – ATTACHMENT A
6	05/20/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
7	05/12/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	7	5/12/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE

SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue

DATE 5/12/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CAD

CHECKED: TJR

BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0C29

SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN EMERGENCY OVERFLOW CHANNEL LAYOUT

POLYMET MINING

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1–800–632–2277

DWG. NO. FTB–015

REV A

CADD USER: Cristion A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT–02–CS–015.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/12/2017 9:28 AM

INCHES 1 2







































1 SECTIONS: EMERGENCY OVERFLOW CHANNEL

0 20 40
SCALE IN FEET

VER NO	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	10/14/11	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 1 – ATTACHMENT A
2	12/07/12	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 2 – ATTACHMENT A
3	04/12/13	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 3 – ATTACHMENT A
4	11/25/14	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 4 – ATTACHMENT A
5	03/03/15	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 5 – ATTACHMENT A
6	05/20/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
7	05/12/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	7	5/12/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE
SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue
DATE 5/12/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CAD
CHECKED: TJR
BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0C29
SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN EMERGENCY OVERFLOW CHANNEL SECTIONS

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. FTB-016
REV A

CADD USER: Cristian A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT-02-CS-016.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/12/2017 9:30 AM
INCHES 1 2



1 / 015 **SECTION: TYPICAL EMERGENCY OVERFLOW CHANNEL INLET**
NTS

NOTE:
PROTECT SOILS AT CHANNEL INLET WITH NEEDLE–PUNCHED NON–WOVEN HEAVY DUTY GEOTEXTILE AND RIPRAP. FIELD FIT (NOT SHOWN ON DRAWINGS).



2 / 015 **SECTION: EMERGENCY OVERFLOW CHANNEL**
NTS

NOTE:
INCREASE EMERGENCY OVERFLOW CHANNEL DEPTH TO 4' WHEN CHANNEL SLOPE IS 0%



3 / – **DETAIL: EMERGENCY OVERFLOW CHANNEL INLET**
NTS



4 / 015 **SECTION: EMERGENCY OVERFLOW CHANNEL END SECTION – STA. 28+10 – 30+10**
NTS



5 / 015 **SECTION: RIPRAP OVERFLOW CHANNEL ENERGY DISSIPATOR – STA. 30+10 – 34+60**
NTS

VER NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	10/14/11	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 1 – ATTACHMENT A
2	12/07/12	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 2 – ATTACHMENT A
3	04/12/13	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 3 – ATTACHMENT A
4	11/25/14	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 4 – ATTACHMENT A
5	03/03/15	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 5 – ATTACHMENT A
6	05/20/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
7	05/12/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	7	5/12/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–
NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION		

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE
SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue
DATE 5/12/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CAD
CHECKED: TJR
BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69–0C29
SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN EMERGENCY OVERFLOW CHANNEL DETAILS

POLYMET MINING

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1–800–632–2277

DWG. NO. FTB–017
REV A

CADD USER: Cristian A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMF–02–CS–017.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/12/2017 9:32 AM
INCHES 1 2



1 PLAN: EMERGENCY OVERFLOW CHANNEL – LIFT 1



2 PLAN: EMERGENCY OVERFLOW CHANNEL – LIFT 2



3 PLAN: EMERGENCY OVERFLOW CHANNEL – LIFT 3



4 PLAN: EMERGENCY OVERFLOW CHANNEL – LIFT 4



5 PLAN: EMERGENCY OVERFLOW CHANNEL – LIFT 5



6 PLAN: EMERGENCY OVERFLOW CHANNEL – LIFT 6



7 PLAN: EMERGENCY OVERFLOW CHANNEL – LIFT 7



8 PLAN: EMERGENCY OVERFLOW CHANNEL – LIFT 8

NOTES:

1. EMERGENCY OVERFLOW CHANNEL PLAN VIEW NOT SHOWN, SEE SHEET FTB-015 FOR REFERENCE.

0 300 600
SCALE IN FEET

VER NO	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	10/14/11	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 1 – ATTACHMENT A
2	12/07/12	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 2 – ATTACHMENT A
3	04/12/13	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 3 – ATTACHMENT A
4	11/25/14	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 4 – ATTACHMENT A
5	03/03/15	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 5 – ATTACHMENT A
6	05/20/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
7	05/12/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	7	5/12/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–
NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION		

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE
SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue
DATE 5/12/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CAD
CHECKED: TJR
BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0C29
SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN EMERGENCY OVERFLOW CHANNEL SEQUENCING

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. FTB-018
REV A

CADD USER: Cristian A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT-02-CS-018.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/12/2017 9:37 AM

INCHES 1 2



1 / – PLAN: PIPING LAYOUT CELL 2E

0 800 1600

SCALE IN FEET



2 / – DETAIL: TAILINGS DISCHARGE ALONG DAM

NTS

NOTES:

1. CONTOURS DO NOT REFLECT BORROW REMOVAL.
2. PIPELINE LOCATIONS ARE PRELIMINARY.

VER NO	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	10/14/11	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 1 – ATTACHMENT A
2	12/07/12	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 2 – ATTACHMENT A
3	04/12/13	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 3 – ATTACHMENT A
4	11/25/14	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 4 – ATTACHMENT A
5	03/03/15	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 5 – ATTACHMENT A
6	05/20/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
7	05/12/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	7	5/12/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE

SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue

DATE 5/12/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CAD

CHECKED: TJR

BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69–0C29

SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN PIPING LAYOUT CELL 2E

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1–800–632–2277

DWG. NO. FTB–019

REV A

CADD USER: Cristian A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT-02-CS-019.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/12/2017 9:42 AM

INCHES 1 2



1/– PLAN: PIPING LAYOUT CELL 1/2E

0 800 1600

SCALE IN FEET



2/– DETAIL: TAILINGS DISCHARGE ALONG DAM

NTS

NOTES:

1. PIPELINE LOCATIONS ARE PRELIMINARY.

VER NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	10/14/11	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 1 – ATTACHMENT A
2	12/07/12	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 2 – ATTACHMENT A
3	04/12/13	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 3 – ATTACHMENT A
4	11/25/14	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 4 – ATTACHMENT A
5	03/03/15	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 5 – ATTACHMENT A
6	05/20/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
7	05/12/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	7	5/12/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE

SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue

DATE 5/12/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CAD

CHECKED: TJR

BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69–0C29

SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN
PIPING LAYOUT CELL 1/2E

POLYMET MINING

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1–800–632–2277

DWG. NO. FTB–020

REV A

CADD USER: Cristion A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT–02–CS–020.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/12/2017 9:46 AM

INCHES 1 2



1 / 019 SECTION: TYPICAL PIPE LAYOUT
NTS



3 / 011 SECTION: CLOSURE EMERGENCY OVERFLOW
0 5 10
SCALE IN FEET



2 / 011,013,020 SECTION: DAM ACCESS ROAD
5 10
SCALE IN FEET



4 / 020 SECTION: TYPICAL RETURN PIPE LAYOUT
NTS

NOTES:

1. EMERGENCY OVERFLOW SIZING AND CONFIGURATION IS PRELIMINARY.
2. PIPELINE INFORMATION IS PRELIMINARY.
3. CONSTRUCT CLOSURE EMERGENCY OVERFLOW AT CLOSURE. OPERATIONS–PHASE EMERGENCY OVERFLOW TO BE MAINTAINED OR REMOVED AT OWNER'S DETERMINATION.
4. ALL PIPE SIZES SHOWN ARE PRELIMINARY.

VER NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	10/14/11	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 1 – ATTACHMENT A
2	12/07/12	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 2 – ATTACHMENT A
3	04/12/13	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 3 – ATTACHMENT A
4	11/25/14	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 4 – ATTACHMENT A
5	03/03/15	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 5 – ATTACHMENT A
6	05/20/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
7	05/12/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	7	5/12/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE
SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue
DATE 5/12/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CAD
CHECKED: TJR
BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0C29
SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN DETAILS

POLYMET MINING

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. FTB-021
REV A

CADD USER: Cristian A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMF-02-CS-021.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/12/2017 9:48 AM

INCHES 1 2



1/– ELEVATION: TRANSFER PUMP RAFT

0 2 4 6 8

SCALE IN FEET



2/– PLAN: TRANSFER PUMP RAFT

0 2 4

SCALE IN FEET

NOTES:

1. ALL PIPE SIZES SHOWN ARE PRELIMINARY.

VER NO	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	10/14/11	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 1 – ATTACHMENT A
2	12/07/12	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 2 – ATTACHMENT A
3	04/12/13	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 3 – ATTACHMENT A
4	11/25/14	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 4 – ATTACHMENT A
5	03/03/15	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 5 – ATTACHMENT A
6	05/20/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
7	05/12/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	7	5/12/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE

SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue

DATE 5/12/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CAD

CHECKED: TJR

BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0C29

SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN TRANSFER PUMP RAFT

POLYMET MINING

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. FTB-022

REV A

CADD USER: Cristian A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMF-02-CS-022.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/12/2017 11:14 AM

INCHES 1 2



1 PLAN: TAILINGS DISPOSAL DIFFUSER RAFT
NTS



3 ELEVATION: PIPE OPEN END OPTION
NTS



2 ELEVATION: TAILINGS DISPOSAL DIFFUSER RAFT
NTS

NOTES:

1. ALL PIPE SIZES SHOWN ARE PRELIMINARY.

VER NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	10/14/11	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 1 – ATTACHMENT A
2	12/07/12	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 2 – ATTACHMENT A
3	04/12/13	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 3 – ATTACHMENT A
4	11/25/14	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 4 – ATTACHMENT A
5	03/03/15	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 5 – ATTACHMENT A
6	05/20/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
7	05/12/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	7	5/12/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–
NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION		

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE
SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue
DATE 5/12/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CAD
CHECKED: TJR
BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0C29
SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN
TAILINGS DISPOSAL DIFFUSER RAFT

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. FTB-023
REV A

CADD USER: Cristian A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMF-02-CS-023.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/12/2017 9:51 AM
INCHES 1 2



1/– PLAN: PROPOSED CLOSURE PLAN

0 800 1600

SCALE IN FEET



2/– SECTION: CELL 2E TAILINGS BASIN CLOSURE TYPICAL SECTION

0 200 400

HORIZONTAL SCALE IN FEET



3/– SECTION: SCHEMATIC TAILINGS BASIN CLOSURE SURFACE

NTS



4/– DETAIL: BENTONITE AMENDED BEACH COVER

NTS



5/– DETAIL: BENTONITE AMENDED POND BOTTOM

NTS



6/– DETAIL: DAM SLOPE BENTONITE AMENDED COVER

NTS

VER NO	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	10/14/11	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 1 – ATTACHMENT A
2	12/07/12	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 2 – ATTACHMENT A
3	04/12/13	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 3 – ATTACHMENT A
4	11/25/14	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 4 – ATTACHMENT A
5	03/03/15	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 5 – ATTACHMENT A
6	05/20/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
7	05/12/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	7	5/12/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE

SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue

DATE 5/12/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CAD

CHECKED: TJR

BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0C29

SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN CLOSURE PLAN

POLYMET MINING

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1–800–632–2277

DWG. NO. FTB–024

REV A

CADD USER: Cristian A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT–02–CS–024.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/12/2017 9:56 AM

INCHES 1 2

Attachment B

Saint Anthony Falls Tailings Deposition Modeling Report (previously submitted)

resourceful. naturally.
engineering and environmental consultants

BARR

May 5, 2011

Stuart Arkley
Department of Natural Resources
500 Lafayette Road
PO Box 25
St. Paul, MN 55155-4025

Jennifer Engstrom
Department of Natural Resources
500 Lafayette Road
PO Box 45
St. Paul, MN 55155-4045

Re: PolyMet Tailings Basin Delta Formation Study – St. Anthony Falls Laboratory

Dear Stuart and Jennifer:

On behalf of PolyMet Mining Inc, Barr is providing the attached documents which are being used in conjunction with the design and water quality impacts modeling of the NorthMet project Flotation Tailings Basin. The attached documents consist of:

- Physical Model Study of Fine-Grained Tailings Delta Formation Under Various Inflow Conditions: Project Report, Prepared by Saint Anthony Falls Laboratory (SAFL) – University of Minnesota, March 2011.
- Practical Interpretation of the SAFL Report, Barr Engineering Company Memorandum, May 2011.

The Executive Summary of the SAFL report provides a brief overview of their study objectives and findings. The SAFL work was undertaken to accomplish the following:

- Using lab-scale experiments, estimate the degree of flow channelization and particle size sorting that can be expected on the full-scale Flotation Tailings Basin, with an emphasis on estimating the minimum percent fines (percent smaller than 74 microns) that can be expected to be retained within the exposed beach of the tailings basin.
- Using lab scale experiments, estimate the range in beach slope that can be expected on the full-scale Flotation Tailings Basin.
- As a secondary objective, evaluate the hydraulic conductivity of the deposited tailings.

The Practical Interpretation of the SAFL Report Memorandum provides a summary of Barr's interpretation of the March 2011 SAFL report and the recommended approach to application of the SAFL data to the modeling of the water quality impacts from the Flotation Tailings Basin.

While the SAFL study was carefully planned to thoroughly assess the physical properties of the tailings in SAFL lab-scale experiments, there was no plan to collect samples for chemical analyses as part of the experiment. However, during the conduct of the experiment, it was recognized that it might be informative to assess sulfur content in the tailings after the experiment was completed. As an afterthought, SAFL was informally requested to collect a few samples from the concluded experiment. The samples were collected, sent to PolyMet and subsequently forwarded to ALS-Chemex for analysis. Since this work was not part of SAFL's scope of work, a summary of this work and data will be provided under separate cover from PolyMet.

Barr recommends that if there are questions as to how the SAFL results and Barr's interpretation of those results are utilized in the upcoming project modeling, that the those questions be addressed through the Modeling Phase Agency Technical Teams.

Please note that the SAFL report and interpretation memo provided with this transmittal will ultimately be incorporated into the Geotechnical Data Package.

Best regards,

Thomas J. Radue

Tom Radue
Barr Engineering Company

Encl: Ref. This Letter, First Paragraph for List of Enclosure

Physical Model Study of Fine-Grained Tailings Delta Formation under Various Inflow Conditions: Project Report

Project Report Number 551

Prepared by

St. Anthony Falls Laboratory
University of Minnesota

For

Barr Engineering Company

March 2011

Project General Information

Title:
Physical Model Study of Fine-Grained Tailings Delta Formation under Various Inflow Conditions

Principal Investigator:
Jeffrey Marr

Project Team:
Jeffrey Marr (PI), Chris Paola (Co-PI), Craig Taylor, Sara Johnson, Aaron Ketchmark, & Anne Haws

Institution:
Regents of the University of Minnesota

Sponsor:
Tom Radue
Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th Street
Minneapolis, MN 55435-4803
Direct Dial: 952-832-2871
E-mail: tradue@barr.com

Contact Information:
Jeffrey DG Marr, MS, PE
Associate Director of Applied Research
St. Anthony Falls Laboratory
University of Minnesota
2 Third Ave, SE
Minneapolis, MN 55414
USA

Office: 612.624.4427
Cell: 612.716.7309
Fax: 612.624.4398
E-mail: jmarr@umn.edu
Web: www.safl.umn.edu

The University of Minnesota is committed to the policy that all persons shall have equal access to its programs, facilities, and employment without regard to race, religion, color, sex, national origin, handicap, age or veteran status.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary 5

1.0 Introduction 6

2.0 Tailings Supply Information 8

3.0 Phase I – 1D Flume Experiments 10

3.1 Phase I Experimental Design 10

3.1.1 Design Theory 10

3.1.2 Phase I Apparatus 11

3.1.3 Phase I Experimental Procedure 12

3.1.4 Phase I Sampling Plan 12

3.2 Phase I Results 13

3.2.1 Qualitative Observations 13

3.2.2 Slope Measurements 14

3.2.3 Sediment Measurements 16

3.3 Phase I Experiment Conclusions 19

4.0 Phase II – 2D Basin Experiments 20

4.1 Scaling Approach 20

4.2 Experimental Setup 22

4.3 Experimental Procedure 23

4.4 Phase II Data Collection 24

5.0 Phase II – Results 29

5.1 Pool and Scrape Sample Particle Size Analysis 29

5.1.1 Sieve Analysis of Scrape Samples 29

5.1.2 Horiba® Laser Diffraction Analysis of Pool Samples and Bottomset Scrape Samples 38

5.2 Hydraulic Conductivity 40

5.3 Porosity 42

5.4 Stratigraphy 42

5.4.1 Suction Cores 42

5.4.2 Freeze Slices 47

5.5 Topographic Scans 52

6.0 Phase II – Discussion 57

6.1 Phase II Results 57

6.2 Delta Drainage 62

6.2.1 Low Permeability 62
6.2.2 Suspended Capillary Water 62
6.2.3 Influence of Fluvial Deposition 64
6.2.4 Field Delta Drainage 64
7.0 Conclusions 65
8.0 References 66
Appendix A – Feed Material Grain Size Distribution 67
Appendix B – Scrape Sample Grain Size Analysis 68
Appendix C – Comparison of Grain Size Distribution by Volume (Horiba® Laser Diffraction) and by Weight (Sieve and Hydrometer Tests from SET Labs) 75
Appendix D – Videos 76

Executive Summary

The University of Minnesota's St. Anthony Falls Laboratory (SAFL) was contracted by Barr Engineering Co. to perform a series of physical laboratory models related to tailings delta formation as part of an Environmental Impact Study for the development of new copper/nickel mine in northern Minnesota. Phase I of the project involved 1D flume experiments, at field scales, to evaluate the potential for debris flow behavior and channelization as well as to develop an initial beach slope prediction matrix. In Phase II of the project, two laboratory scale deltas were grown in SAFL's delta basin. The delta experiments were designed such that the laboratory scale delta would have a similar degree of channelization as the field scale delta. The Phase II experiments also looked at the range of fines (<74 micron) retention in the deposit with focus on generated deposits that represent lower limit of fines concentration in the final surface of the deposit.

Prototype tailings were used in the Phase I study and were provided by Polymet. Phase I experiments indicated that debris flow-type behavior will not be the transport and depositional mechanism rather the delta be constructed from fluvial-braide processes and channelized. Phase I also indicated that, within the expected range of slurry discharges, the beach slope will likely range between 0.5% and 2%.

Phase II of the project focused on 2D basin experiments to evaluate grain size sorting, heterogeneity, and hydraulic conductivity in the deposit. An 5 m by 5 m by 0.4 m deep research basin located at SAFL-UMN was used for the tests. The degree of fines retention within the delta was measured from surface scrape samples taken from the beach at various times during basin operation. Scrape samples were analyzed for coarse/fine fraction by washing the samples through a 74 micron sieve. The degree of channel-lens formation in the deposit was investigated on two cross-sectional freeze slices taken from the Run 2 deposit. The Phase II results showed clear visual indication of sorting. Grain size sorting was present in the form of downstream fining (particle size segregation toward smaller grain size along the flow) and coarse/fine lenses (internal structures formed by filling abandoned flow channels with sediment). The results of Phase II suggest that the field scale delta will likely exhibit significant heterogeneity related to channelization. The field delta should have a minimum of 30% (by weight) fines retention throughout. Hydraulic conductivity measurements made on the laboratory deposits showed a decrease in conductivity with distance from the slurry source, suggesting that the groundwater transport through the delta will likely be greater at the upstream end than at the downstream end.

Prediction of the degree of water retention in the field scale deposit cannot be done conclusively. The estimated deposit thickness and the Soil-Water Characteristic Curve (SWCC) for the tailings suggests that suction (the tailings ability to wick water) will not be great enough to keep the deposit saturated; however, internal structures such as lenses, grainsize discontinuities, or micro-pore structure developed from natural deposition may increase the suction pressure of the material and decrease permeability.

1.0 Introduction

The University of Minnesota's St. Anthony Falls Laboratory (SAFL) was contracted by Barr Engineering Co. (BARR) to perform a series of physical laboratory models related to tailings delta formation as part of an Environmental Impact Study for the development of a new copper/nickel mine in northern Minnesota. At the site, a tailings basin would be created to collect sediment from process water effluent. The tailings production would be approximately 32,000 tons/day and would discharge to the tailings basin as a 31.5% solids (by weight) slurry via a pressurized pipe. Multiple input points would be designed around the perimeter of the basin giving operators control on feed-points.

It is not feasible to reproduce, in the same experiment, both the local flow and sediment-transport conditions and a fully developed channel network. Accordingly, our strategy was to run two sets of experiments: one to study field-scale flow and sediment dynamics in a relatively narrow, long flume (Phase I); and the second to study channelization and its effect on deposit heterogeneity at a substantially reduced scale in an open basin (Phase II).

Phase I of the project involved 1D flume experiments, at field scales, to evaluate the potential for debris flow behavior and channelization as well as to develop an initial beach slope prediction matrix. These experiments were conducted in a 6-inch wide glass-walled flume with a metered slurry input at the proximal end and a pooled tailbox at the distal end.

Phase II of the project focused on 2D basin experiments to evaluate grain size sorting, heterogeneity, and hydraulic conductivity of the deposit. The goals of the 2D experiments were to 1) determine the expected lower limit of fines concentration in the deposit, 2) determine the degree of grain size segregation both vertically and horizontally within the deposit, and 3) evaluate the potential range of hydraulic conductivity throughout the model delta.

Phase II was conducted in a rectangular research basin located at SAFL. The research basin is designed for studying deltas and tailings ponds and is referred to as DeltaBasin2. Figure 1 is a schematic of the delta basin. The delta basin had inlet controls, pool level controls, overhead camera, and a topographic scanning system. The data collected for Phase II included grain coarse/fine fraction, hydraulic conductivity, topography, aerial images, freeze slices, and lens grain size distributions. The experimental facility, setup, and data collection is discussed in more detail in the sections below.



Figure 1 – Schematic of the delta basin. Top is plan view of the basin. Bottom is section view.

2.0 Tailings Supply Information

At the field site, the tailings would be delivered to the basin in the form of a high concentration slurry. Under this design scenario, the slurry is expected to deposit sediment to create a sloping subaerial deposit termed the “beach”. The planned slurry flow rate is 31.1 cfs with a solids fraction of 31.5% by weight (Table 1). The slurry would be delivered to the tailings basin at several locations, each location forming a radial fan with a spatial scale on the order of hundreds of feet. Laboratory testing focused on a single feed point.

The standard definition for the division between clay and silt size particles and between silt and sand size particles is 0.005 mm and 0.075 mm. The tailings material used in this study was prototype material provided by Polymet and was composed of fine sand to clay-sized particles, with a D_{50} (median grain size) of 60 microns (See Appendix A for Soil Engineering Testing, Inc. grain size distribution). By weight, the material averages around 41% (between 35 and 48%) of the tailings sediments were greater than 74 microns (also used as the division for “fine- “ or “coarse-grained” material). Laser diffraction analysis of the tailings indicate that, although the material had many clay-sized particles, it did not contain much mineral clay.

It should be noted that PolyMet provided two grind types (grain size distributions) for their tailings however the difference between the two was minor. The grain sizes reported here are for the final grind. The preliminary grind was similar with 32% of the sediment greater than 74 microns by weight. Due to a limited supply of tailings from the pilot plant, the first run and 1D flume testing was conducted with the preliminary grind tailings supply, and the second run was conducted with the final grind tailings supply. It is believed that the two grinds are similar enough that they will produce similar delta characteristics.

Table 1 – Slurry Source Information

Item	Qty	Unit
Solids Production*	1452	tons/hour
Tailings Production (wt)	34848	tons/day
Tailings Production (wt)	806.7	lb/sec
Liquor Flow*	3161	tons/hour
Liquor Flow	75864	tons/day
Liquor Flow	1756.1	lb/sec
Slurry Flow*	4614	tons/hour
Slurry Flow	110736	tons/day
Slurry Flow	2563.3	lb/sec
Solids Fraction by wt in slurry*	31.5	% wt
Specific Gravity of Solids*	3	
Specific Weight of Solids	187.2	lb/ft^3
Specific Gravity of Slurry*	1.322	
Specific Weight of Slurry	82.5	lb/ft^3
Tailings (Solids) Production (volume)	4.3	ft^3/sec
Water Flow Rate (volume)	28.1	ft^3/sec
Volumetric Flow Rate for Slurry	31.1	ft^3/sec
Volumetric Flow Rate for Slurry*	13947	gal/min
Solids Fraction by Volume	13.90%	% vol

* Value provided by Barr Engineering.

3.0 Phase I – 1D Flume Experiments

3.1 Phase I Experimental Design

3.1.1 Design Theory

The 1D flume experiment was run approximately at field scale. The sediment and water flows in the flume were adjusted to model different locations on the tailings beach. When Phase I was set up, we had no way of knowing the general nature of the flow regime to be expected on the beach, i.e. channelized fluvial versus some form of mass or debris flow. One of the main goals of Phase I was to determine this. For design purposes, we assumed that the tailings beach would have sheet flow (i.e. no flow channelization or braiding) over a 180° fan. On a radial beach, the water flow spreads out as it flows away from the inlet. Although the total water discharge across the delta does not change with radial position, the unit discharge (flow per unit width) decreases as the flow spreads. The relationship between radial position and unit discharge is given by equations 1 and 2 (Figure 2). Once the unit discharge has been determined for any given radius, the required equivalent flume water discharge can be determined by multiplying by the flume width (6 inches). Results from these calculations are included in Table 2.

$$L(r) = \pi * r \qquad (1)$$

$$q(r) = \frac{Q_w}{L(r)} \qquad (2)$$

Where: Q_w = Water discharge at inlet

r = Radius

L = Arc length of the delta

q = Unit discharge of water



Figure 2 – Diagram of 180° delta fan.

Table 2 – Radial Position and Model Discharge

r (ft)	L (ft)	qw (cfs/ft)	Model (cfs)	Model (GPM)
50	157	0.198	0.0990	44.40
100	314	0.099	0.0495	22.20
150	471	0.066	0.0330	14.80
200	628	0.049	0.0247	11.10
250	785	0.040	0.0198	8.90
300	942	0.033	0.0165	7.40
350	1100	0.028	0.0141	6.30
400	1257	0.025	0.0124	5.60
450	1414	0.022	0.0110	4.90
500	1571	0.020	0.0099	4.40
550	1728	0.018	0.0090	4.00
600	1885	0.016	0.0082	3.70
650	2042	0.015	0.0076	3.40
700	2199	0.014	0.0071	3.20
750	2356	0.013	0.0066	3.00
800	2513	0.012	0.0062	2.80

Like the water discharge, the sediment discharge also decreases with distance from the inlet. This is due not only to spreading of the flow across delta but more importantly to sediment being deposited over the length of the delta. In other words, the sediment unit discharge and concentration decrease with increasing radial position.

Phase I experiments focused on observing the character of the flow and quantifying slopes of the delta for various water discharge and sediment concentrations using prototype tailing provided by PolyMet. The experiments provided a clear picture of the flow regime to be expected and an understanding of the range of possible slopes and sediment concentrations as functions of radial position for the tailing beach being modeled.

3.1.2 Phase I Apparatus

The testing setup for the 1D study consisted of a mixing tank, a six-inch flume, and a tail box. The mixing tank was a 220-gallon stainless steel cylindrical tank with a conical bottom. A 0.25 hp Lightin© tank mixer with a seven-inch propeller was used to keep the solids fraction of the slurry in suspension. The outlet of the mixing tank was 8.5 feet above the inlet of the flume. Slurry was conveyed from the mixing tank to the flume inlet via 24 feet of 2-inch pvc pipe. There were ball valves at each end of the pipe and one gate valve at the downstream end to control the flow rate. The flow rate was measured using an inline Seametrics© EX-81 Electromagnetic Flow Sensor. The flume was 6 inches wide by 22 feet long with no slope and glass walls. Figure 3 is an image of the upstream half of the flume. After this picture was taken a diffuser grate was added two feet downstream of the inlet. The tail box was design to capture all of the effluent from the flume. The tail box had 400 gallons of storage below its outlet. Effluent

water was stored in the tail box until all fine material dropped out of suspension, at which point excess water was siphoned off and the remaining solids were removed.



Figure 3 – Image of flume inlet.

The electromagnetic flow meter measurement was compared with that derived using a sharp crested weir placed at the downstream end of the empty flume. The flow sensor reported a discharge of 0.30 gallons/sec. The weir was 2 7/8 inches tall by 6.0 inches wide. The flow depth over the weir was 1.0 inches. Using a sharp crested weir equation, this yields a flow rate of 0.301 gallons/sec.

3.1.3 Phase I Experimental Procedure

Given the argument above that sediment concentration is expected to decrease down the beach, runs were conducted for a high and low solids fraction and slurry flow rates ranging from 5 to 60 GPM. Each run continued until the delta slope for that concentration and discharge was at equilibrium. One batch of slurry mix contained enough slurry for several runs. Runs were conducted in series starting with a high discharge and reducing for each consecutive run. The reducing discharge resulted in steeper slopes for each consecutive run, meaning that all runs were aggradational. This allowed the deltas from consecutive runs to be built on top of each other.

3.1.4 Phase I Sampling Plan

Sample locations and types are depicted in Figure 4. During the runs, grab samples were taken at the inlet and outlet of the flume. Siphon samples were also taken from the flow over the delta. Grab and siphon samples were measured for solids fraction. The upstream grab samples were used only to confirm influent solids fraction. After each run the deposit profile was measured using a point gauge. Shallow (0.4 in) scrape samples were taken from the bed. These samples were sieved to determine the grain size distribution and coarse fraction. Mini-core samples were also taken from the top 0.8 in of the bed. These samples were taken with a known volume and used to measure the porosity of the bed.



Figure 4 – Sample locations

3.2 Phase I Results

3.2.1 Qualitative Observations

Of the 16 flume runs conducted, none exhibited debris ("mud") flow behavior. Tailings were transported by the moving water as bedload and suspended load as is typical in fluvial systems. Surface flow showed a tendency to channelize and braid, even in the relatively narrow flume. Figure 5 shows cross-flow and asymmetric bedforms within the flume. These observations indicate strongly that the field scale tailings beach will also operate in a fluvial, braided and channelized regime and addresses the first two objectives of Phase I (evaluate the potential for debris flow behavior and channelization) by ruling out concerns of more complicated non-Newtonian rheologies (i.e. mud-like behaviors) and flow.



Figure 5 – Flume picture looking upstream

Nearly all runs also exhibited upstream migrating antidunes. Antidunes occur when the flow is near critical and are present in other tailings basins. They mix the upper layer of the deposit and have the potential to resuspend fine particles. A video of an upstream migrating antidune is included in Appendix D.

3.2.2 Slope Measurements

Final bed surface slopes were recorded after each test. Recall that each test provides a slope associated with a different position on the beach and is not by itself an actual beach profile. The run results have been binned into two categories - low and high solids fractions. Figures 6 and 7 show the bed profiles based on solids fraction. In both categories the slope decreased with increasing flow rates. The large spikes in the profiles are due to antidunes. The profiles for the higher discharge tests have more small spikes. These are due to the cross-flow (depicted in Figure 5) forming alternating dunes within the flume deposit. Figure 8 summarizes the slope results for Phase I showing deposit slopes for different discharges and solids fractions. Except very near the inlet, the beach slope should range between 0.5% and 2%.



Figure 6 – Bed profiles for the low solids fraction runs



Figure 7 – Bed profiles for the high solids fraction runs



Figure 8 – Slope vs Discharge plots for high and low solids fractions.

3.2.3 Sediment Measurements

Downstream grab samples were taken from several of the runs. These samples were analyzed for solids fraction (Table 3). Samples taken at the downstream end of the flume consisted of suspended load only.

Table 3 – Downstream Solids Fraction

Q (GPM)	Feed Solids Fraction	Down Stream Solids Fraction
6.1	19.00%	6.30%
6.1	19.00%	7.00%
7.5	6.50%	2.70%
7.5	6.50%	3.50%
14.3	11.50%	2.90%
14.3	11.50%	3.00%
19.6	26.00%	4.30%
19.6	26.00%	6.10%

Porosity was measured at the end of four runs (Figure 9) using mini-core samples. A thin-walled, sharp-edged mini-corer was first inserted 0.8 in into the deposit. The deposit was then excavated away from around the mini-core and a blade was slid under the base of the mini-core to remove a

known volume of saturated deposit. The samples were then weighed saturated and dry to determine water weight. The water weight was then used to determine void volume and porosity. There does not appear to be a strong trend in porosity. The variability in porosity is likely driven by the localized flow phenomena occurring at the end of the test such as bedforms. The measured values for these samples were similar to the values measured for the 2D experiments (§5.3).



Figure 9 – 1D Experiment Porosity Measurements

Surface grain size was measured at various locations of the bed surface using surface scrape sampling method. The method involved sliding a blade 0.4 in below the surface to remove a 1.6 in square surface sample. Grain size distributions were measured for the 1D experiment scrape samples (Figure 10) by sieving. A comparison of the scrape samples to the fine tail of the bulk material showed that the deposit lost material in the 10 to 100 micron size range. This is the size range that remained in suspension and was transported out of the flume. Table 4 provides the coarse and fine fractions (above and below 74 microns) for the Figure 10 data. The coarse fraction is the total weight of sample retained on the 74 micron or larger sieve. The fine fraction is the total weight of sample passing the 74 micron sieve.



Figure 10 – 1D Experiment Scrape Sample Grain Size Distribution

Table 4 – 1D Experiment Scrape Sample Coarse and Fine Fractions (by Wt)

Discharge (GPM)	Solids Fraction	Distance from outlet	Coarse Fraction (%)	Fine Fraction (%)
62.8	40.1%	0 cm	76.3%	23.7%
62.8	40.1%	60 cm	82.5%	17.5%
62.8	40.1%	120 cm	80.0%	20.0%
42.8	22.9%	300 cm	71.8%	28.2%
42.8	22.9%	500 cm	75.8%	24.2%
5.7	12.7%	220 cm	57.3%	42.7%
6.1	19.0%	70 cm	62.9%	37.1%
7.5	6.5%	0 cm	70.6%	29.4%

3.3 Phase I Experiment Conclusions

Phase I experiments provided the opportunity to observe the flow characteristics of the prototype material under conditions of sediment concentration and unit discharge similar to those expected in the field. The tests indicate that the beach will not exhibit mass-flow (mud like) behavior and that the full 2D beach will be channelized, with a braided network. Bedforms and channelization will likely play a large role in the variability of fines retention, porosity, and permeability. We expect this would be true over the length of a field-scale beach as well and that the channelization will create heterogeneity that will affect the bulk permeability and conductivity of the deposit. Within the expected range of discharges and solids fractions the delta beach will have a slope between 0.5% and 2%. At high concentration near the inlet to the basin, local slopes could reach 4-6%.

The tests show that a fraction of fine material is transported beyond and not retained in the deposit. In the region of higher unit discharge near the inlet, the deposit contains a lower fraction of fine material than the near the shoreline of fan where lower unit discharge make it easier for finer grains to be deposited; however, the test data suggest that even at high discharges 15-30% (by wt) of the deposit is comprised of material sizes <74 micron.

4.0 Phase II – 2D Basin Experiments

Phase II of the project focused on a two dimensional physical model of a single delta using prototype tailing to observe processes and to evaluate grain size sorting and hydraulic conductivity of the deposit. The goals of the 2D experiments were to 1) determine the expected lower limit of fines concentration in the deposit, 2) determine the degree of grain size segregation both vertically and horizontally within the deposit and 3) evaluate the potential range of hydraulic conductivity throughout the model delta.

Phase II experiments were performed as "scaled" experiments using the approach described below. Experiments were conducted in a specially designed "Delta Basin" at SAFL, which provided access to several precision data acquisition tools.

4.1 Scaling Approach

Phase I experiments used field-scale unit discharges and actual tailings material to investigate flow and transport processes and deposit characteristics. Given the conclusion from Phase I that the flow would be channelized on the beach top, in Phase II the goal was to employ a reduced-scale modeling approach to investigate the formation and behavior of channels on the tailings beach without lateral constraints. Again, the prototype tailings material was used.

It is obviously not feasible to conduct full scale experiments on large deltas and therefore these experiments were done at substantially reduced scale. SAFL has over 15 years of experience in physical experiments of deltaic systems and this experience was applied in this project. The scaling methods used in projects of this type differ from traditional hydraulic physical models where near-exact geometric scaling and dynamic scaling of flow is possible. The scaling approach adopted for this project sought to provide similarity in Froude number (Froude scaling), general sediment-transport regime, and the ratio between normal flow depth to radial width of the delta. This ratio is defined as the aspect ratio, A. It has been shown that the aspect ratio is a predictor of channel morphology such as braiding, meandering or straight (Parker, 1976). This work also showed that for the low aspect ratio/high slope regime expected on the tailings beach, the degree of braiding is relatively insensitive to the exact value of A as long as A is sufficiently high (a few hundred or more). To determine input parameters for the laboratory delta, we made estimates of the aspect ratio for the field scale beach and used these aspect ratios to help set discharge and concentration parameters for the lab experiments.

For the experimental design, the delta is idealized with normal, sheet flow over a 90° opening angle. This assumption means that the flow width is equal to the arc length of the delta at any radial position, yielding for the aspect ratio.

$$A = \frac{H}{L(r)} \qquad (3)$$

Where: A = Aspect ratio

H = Flow depth

L = Arc length at a given radial position (r)

r = radial position

The first step for determining the field aspect ratio is to determine the estimated flow depth in the field. Water unit discharge along the delta is described by:

$$q_w = \frac{2Q_w}{\pi r} \quad (4)$$

Where: q_w = Water unit discharge

Q_w = Total water discharge

Next the boundary shear stress can be derived from the normal flow assumption (Eq. 5) and by fluid drag (Eq. 6). A continuity equation relating unit discharge and flow velocity is also needed (Eq. 7).

$$\tau_b = \rho g H S \quad (5)$$

$$\tau_b = \rho U^2 C_f \quad (6)$$

$$q_w = UH \quad (7)$$

Where: τ_b = Boundary shear stress
ρ = Density of water
g = Acceleration of gravity
S = Bed slope
U = Average flow velocity
C_f = Coefficient of drag

Combining equations 5, 6 and 7 yields an expression for the flow depth (Eq. 8) which can be used to estimate the flow depth in the field. Equation 3 can then be used to determine the aspect ratio in the field.

$$H = \left(\frac{q_w^2 C_f}{gS}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \quad (8)$$

Table 5 shows the predicted flow depths and aspect ratios for the field. The same methods can be used to determine the aspect ratio for the experimental case. The aspect ratio of the experimental delta's shoreline is then used to estimate the equivalent radial position of the field scale beach. Run 1 had a water discharge of 4.90×10^{-4} m^3/s (1.73×10^{-2} cfs) and a sediment discharge of 7.35×10^{-5} m^3/s (2.60×10^{-3} cfs), which resulted in an aspect ratio of 1500 at the shoreline (r ~ 1.5 m, 5 ft). This translates to a radial position of about 40 m (130 ft) on the field delta. Run 2 had a water discharge of 5.18×10^{-4} m^3/s (1.83×10^{-2} cfs) and a sediment discharge of 7.77×10^{-5} m^3/s (2.74×10^{-3} cfs) which yields an aspect ratio of 3700 at the shoreline (r~2.5m, 8.2ft). This translates to a radial position of about 70 m (230ft) on the field delta.

Table 5 – Aspect ratios for the field delta with a water discharge of 0.80 m^3/s (28.1 cfs) and a sediment discharge of 0.12 m^3/s (4.3 cfs).

r (m)	L (m)	H (m)	A
5	3.9	0.108	36
10	7.9	0.062	126
15	11.8	0.045	262
20	15.7	0.036	440
25	19.6	0.030	657
30	23.6	0.026	912
35	27.5	0.023	1204
40	31.4	0.021	1531
45	35.3	0.019	1892
50	39.3	0.017	2287
55	43.2	0.016	2715
60	47.1	0.015	3176
65	51.1	0.014	3668
70	55	0.013	4192
75	58.9	0.012	4746
80	62.8	0.012	5330
85	66.8	0.011	5945
90	70.7	0.011	6589
95	74.6	0.010	7263
100	78.5	0.0099	7965
105	82.5	0.0095	8696
110	86.4	0.0091	9456
115	90.3	0.0088	10244
120	94.2	0.0085	11059
125	98.2	0.0082	11902
130	102.1	0.0080	12773
135	106	0.0078	13671
140	110	0.0075	14596
145	113.9	0.0073	15547
150	117.8	0.0071	16526

4.2 Experimental Setup

The facility used in Phase II was an existing delta basin at SAFL (Figure 1). The delta basin is square, 5 m (16.4ft) on a side, and 40 cm (1.3ft) deep. Water and sediment were fed into one corner of the basin at a constant rate for each experiment. Prototype tailings were used as the sediment for the tests and were provided by the sponsor. Dry tailings material was fed using an auger-style sediment feeder and feeder discharge was calibrated using a capture and weigh technique.

City water was used for all experiments and the water feed rate was controlled by a gate valve and a rotameter flow meter. The water and sediment were allowed to mix in a funnel before discharging into the basin. The pool elevation in the model tailings basin was set by a computer-controlled siphon and weir that were adjusted at one-minute intervals and provided precise control of the water surface elevation throughout each experiment.

Prior to beginning the tests a drainage layer was placed in the basin that was composed of fine to medium sand. The depth of the drainage layer was 3 cm (1in) and it extended radially out from the source for 3m (10ft). This layer is typically installed in all SAFL experimental deltas to promote dewatering of the deposit post-run and to shorten drying time required before the deposit can be sectioned.

The basin was equipped with a data collection carriage including a laser scanner that can measure topography accurate to 0.5 mm vertically. The carriage was used to map surface topography throughout the testing. A digital SLR camera, mounted above the basin, was calibrated for optical distortion and used to collected time-lapse images of the delta formation and surface processes.

4.3 Experimental Procedure

Two deltas were constructed in Phase II (Run 1 and Run 2). Each delta was constructed in three phases. The first phase (growth phase) modeled the initial formation of a delta into a sediment free basin with a stationary pool elevation of 30 mm (1.2in) (Figure 11). During this phase the delta grew out to a radius of approximately 1.5 meters (5ft). The second phase (building phase) involved slowly raising the pool elevation such that the shoreline position was constant (Figure 12). This continued until the delta thickness increased by a total of 10 cm (4in). The third phase involved a slow decrease in pool elevation in order to promote delivery of tailing to the shoreline position and to minimize deposition on the fan surface except for the coarsest material (Figure 13).The third phase (falling phase) of each experiment was designed to generate the coarsest possible deposit, with the strongest lateral segregation of material possible. The goal was to understand how coarse and permeable the delta deposit could be for a "worst case" transport scenario. Analysis of the third phase deposit provides a reference case for permeability and other characteristics of a deposit created under the most extreme conditions of sorting and coarse-sediment retention.



Figure 11- Delta growth with constant pool elevation



Figure 12 – Delta growth with fixed shoreline by balanced pool rise



Figure 13 – Delta growth with falling pool elevation

Using the scaling approach described above, for Run 1 the water discharge was set at 0.49 liters/second (0.017 cfs). Sediment concentration was set equal to prototype design conditions giving a sediment supply rate of 0.074 liters/second (0.0026 cfs). For Run 2 the water discharge was set at 0.51 liters/second (0.018cfs) and sediment discharge at 0.078 liters/second (0.0028cfs).

4.4 Phase II Data Collection

Topographic Scans

A three axis data carriage was used to scan the surface of the subaerial deposit at the end of each pool control phase (growth, building and falling). Data are collected with a laser-based distance meter accurate to 0.5mm vertically. Scans were done on a 2mm x 2mm horizontal grid. Data were post processed and are presented later in the report.

Pool and Scrape Samples

Forty-five scrape samples were taken along 5 radial lines. Scrape samples covered approximately 10 cm^2 (1.5 in^2) of the surface and 1 cm (0.4 in) of depth (Figure 14). Three scrape samples were

taken from the bottom set (bottom of the pool downstream of delta) of the delta deposit for grain size analysis.



Figure 14 – Scrape sample locations

Digital Photographs

A digital SLR camera mounted above the basin and connected to a computer allowed continuous time-lapse documentation of the delta surface. Images were collected at a rate of 3 per minute. Images were post processed to correct for distortion.

Porosity Mini-Cores

At the end of each run, 6 mini-cores (2 cm, 0.75in deep) were taken to determine the porosity of the surface layer. A thin-walled, sharp-edged mini-core was first inserted 2 cm (0.75in) into the deposit. The deposit was then excavated away from around the mini-core and a blade was slid under the base of the mini-core to remove a known volume of saturated deposit. The samples were then weighed saturated and dry to determine water weight. The water weight was then used to determine void volume and porosity. Of these 6 mini-cores, three were taken at the upstream end of the delta – one each in the main channel, an old channel, and out of the channel. The other three were taken in the same locations at the downstream end of the deposit.

Freeze Slices

To record deposit structure, a 20-inch wide steel wedge with a vertical face on the upstream edge was inserted into the saturated deposit (Figure 15). The wedge was filled with dry ice and methanol. The two substances react to rapidly freeze the surrounding one-half inch of sediment to the wedge. When the wedge is removed, the frozen sediment is removed with it. The wedge is then filled with room temperature water. This delaminates the freeze slice from the working face of the wedge. At this stage the working face of the frozen sediment slice has residual ice buildup due to contact with the freeze core. To remove imperfections due to ice buildup, the working face is heated with a heat gun. This leaves one-eighth inch of slurry on top of three-eighths inch frozen sediment slice. The slurry is removed with a blade and the surface is brushed with a feather duster to remove any displaced particles from the blade. The remaining frozen slice of sediment preserves the delta stratigraphy and is ready to be photographed. For Run 2 two freeze slices were taken transverse to flow at 55 cm (1.8ft) and 150 cm (5ft) downstream of the feed point. These slices were photographed and subsampled for grain size analysis.



Figure 15a – Freeze slice apparatus.



Figure 15b – Freeze Slice apparatus

Hydraulic conductivity samples

For Run 1 twenty full-depth piston cores were also taken along 3 radial lines within the beach. The hydraulic conductivity was tested on the cores using a rigid-walled, falling-head analysis. The benefit of this method is that the core cylinders can be inserted directly into the test apparatus without transferring the samples. Additionally, the percentage of fines in these samples exceeded the limits for the traditional constant head tests, and the hydraulic conductivities also exceed the limits for the traditional flexible-walled permeameter tests.

The test equations (Eq. 9 & 10) for the rigid-walled, falling head analysis are the same as for the flexible-walled permeameter.

$$k = \frac{aL}{At} \ln\left(\frac{h_1}{h_2}\right) \qquad (9)$$

$$\kappa = k \frac{\mu}{\rho g} \qquad (10)$$

Where:
- k = Hydraulic conductivity
- κ = Permeability
- a = Standpipe cross-sectional area
- A = Soil sample cross-sectional area
- L = Soil sample length
- h_1 = Water head at start of the test
- h_2 = Water head at end of the test
- t = Total test time
- μ = Water viscosity
- ρ = Water density
- g = Acceleration of gravity

Other sediment sampling

During operation, samples of the pool water were taken for grain size analysis. The grain size analysis was performed using Horiba® laser diffraction. Laser diffraction was chosen in cases where sample volumes were too small for sieving or hydrometer tests.

5.0 Phase II – Results

The Run 1 delta had a 2 m (6.5ft) radius and was approximately 20 cm (8in) thick. The radius of the Run 2 delta was 2.5 m (8.2ft) and approximately 15 cm (6in) thick. 20-second timelapse videos of the evolution of each of the runs are provided in Appendix D. The data acquired from Runs 1 and 2 are described below.

5.1 Pool and Scrape Sample Particle Size Analysis

5.1.1 Sieve Analysis of Scrape Samples

5.1.1.1 Run 1 Scrape Samples

The bulk material from Run 1 (preliminary grind) had a coarse fraction of about 41%. The coarse fraction is defined as the fraction of material by weight retained on a 74 micron sieve. 82% of the scrape samples taken from the beach had a coarse fraction of greater than 41%. The higher coarse fraction indicates that a portion of the fines bypassed the beach deposit and were flushed into the pool.

Figure 16a is an aerial photo of the Run 1 delta at the end of the growth phase. Figure 16b is the coarse fraction results from the scrape samples taken at that time across each radial sampling line and at various radii across the basin. The key indicates the location of the radial line relative to the left wall of the basin (looking downstream) and listed in radians and equivalent to 22.5, 33.75, 45, 56.25, and 67.5 degrees, respectively (Figure 14). Appendix B contains tabular results of the grain size analyses from all the scrape samples. Note in Figure 16b that the coarse fraction peaks at a radius of 55 cm. Figure 16a shows that the coarse peak at 55 cm is the same location as the flow transition from sheet flow to channelized flow. The general downward trend after the 55 cm radius confirms that the relative quantity of fines in the deposit increases with distance from the source. The variability in coarse fraction observed for the five radial transects are likely due to the chaotic nature of the braided system which means the delta surface includes a range of geomorphic features such as in-channel, channel bank, and floodplain. Similar evidence for local grain segregation was observed in other data: light and dark lenses observed in the suction cores and freeze slices taken from the final deposit(s) (See §5.4).



Figure 16a – Run 1 aerial photo of at the end of the growth phase.



Figure 16b – Run 1 coarse fraction plot at the end of the growth phase.

Figure 16c is an aerial photo taken during Run 1 at the end of the falling phase (i.e. the final deposit), and Figure 16d is the results of the scrape samples taken at that time. Recall that during this falling phase the pool level was slowly lowered with the intent of promoting bypass of fine and preferential deposition of coarse material. In Run 1, a distinct single channel formed in the deposit at approximately the position of radial line at $\theta = 67.5°$ and this channel remained for most of the phase. All other radial lines for scrape samples were located in overbank settings. The data in Figure 16 may help to distinguish grain size distribution typical of in-channel versus overbank settings. The upper limit of the coarse fraction is reflected in the 5 scrape samples along the radial line at $\theta = 67.5°$that were taken from the main channel and range from 70-75%. The other samples taken from the floodplain range from 40-60% coarse material. These samples also show a slight downward trend indicative of downstream fining. Figure 16e compares the scrape results from each phase of Run 1. In general all samples were coarser than the input mixture indicating some loss of fine material. An upper limit is observed however as no deposit was coarser than 75% coarse sediments- even within an active channel.

After Run 1 was complete, the basin was slowly drained over a 25-day period of time. Three scrape samples were then taken from the bottomset, the subaqueous deposit on the sea floor less than 10cm (4in) beyond the delta toe. When sieved, 100% of each of these samples was less than 74 microns in diameter. These samples were saved for laser diffraction grain size analysis (§ 5.1.2). These results are expected since the bottomset is submerged by the pool, and the bottomset material is deposited via settling.



Figure 16c – Run 1 aerial photo of at the end of the falling phase.



Figure 16d – Run 1 coarse fraction plot at the end of the falling phase.



Figure 16e – Run 1 coarse fraction plot for all phases.

5.1.1.2 Run 2 Scrape Samples

The bulk material from Run 2 (final grind) also has a coarse fraction of about 41%. The higher coarse fractions found in 68% of the scrape samples indicate that a portion of the fines bypassed the beach deposit and were flushed into the pool. Figures 17a and 17b are the overhead photo and scrape sample results from the end of the growth phase. Like Run 1, there is a general downward trend in the coarse fraction indicative of downstream fining. The $\theta = 33.75°$ radial line sample does jump at the downstream end of the beach. This sample location was partially submerged by the pool which causes settling of coarse material.



Figure 17a – Run 2 aerial photo of at the end of the growth phase.



Figure 17b – Run 2 coarse fraction plot at the end of the growth phase.

Figure 17c is the overhead photo taken at the end of the building phase. The coarse fraction plot for the building phase is shown in Figure 17c. The results are similar to previously shown data where there is general fining of the deposit down slope and a variability in coarse fraction that ranges from 40% to 65%. The variability in the coarse fraction is largely due to the influence of bedforms and the braided channel morphology on the deposit. The image in Figure 17c highlights the chaotic nature of the fan surface with multiple braided channels and anti-dune bedforms (highlighted).



Figure 17c – Run 2 aerial photo of at the end of the building phase.



Figure 17d – Run 2 coarse fraction plot at the end of the building phase.

Figures 17e and 17f are the aerial photo and coarse fraction plot for the end of the falling phase. The photo highlights the anti-dune formations prevalent in the main channel. Figure 17g shows that the falling phase is generally the coarsest phase of Run 2, and the results from Figure 17f should be considered the worst case scenario for fines retention.



Figure 17e – Run 2 aerial photo of at the end of the falling phase.



Figure 17f – Run 2 coarse fraction plot at the end of the falling phase.



Figure 17g – Run 2 coarse fraction plot for all phases.

5.1.2 Horiba® Laser Diffraction Analysis of Pool Samples and Bottomset Scrape Samples

Water samples were taken from the pool during the run from two locations within the basin. The percent solids and sediment concentration of each of these samples is provided in Table 6. Figure 18 contains the grain size distribution for the pool samples plotted with the fine fraction of the bulk feed material. Grain size of these fine samples was performed using laser diffraction. All pool samples contain more fine material than the fine fraction of the bulk feed material. The pool sample that was taken near the shoreline where overland flow was entering the pool has the distribution that is most similar to the fine fraction of the bulk feed material. Samples collected away from the shoreline have finer distributions. The results suggest that the tailings can settle out of the water column. The estimated hydraulic residence time for water leaving the shoreline position to the basin outlet is about 85 minutes for the experiments reported here. The hydraulic residence time is the average amount of time needed to replace all of the water in the basin. It is equal to the volume of water in the basin divided by the flow rate of water entering the basin. This means that the sediment in the pool samples near the outlet have been in suspension for about 85 minutes. In other words, 85 minutes after entering the basin over 99.8% of delivered sediment has been deposited. Hydraulic residence time is actually an upper estimate of the transit time of sediment from the shoreline to basin outlet due to the presence of "short circuiting", the occurrence of preferential, faster flow paths in the water body.

Table 6 – Solids fractions measured in pool samples

Run	Sample	Sample Location	% Solids	Concentration (kg/m^3)
1	1	At basin outlet	0.12%	1.2
1	2	At basin outlet	0.17%	1.7
2	2	Away from outlet	0.06%	0.6
2	4	Near shoreline	1.62%	15.9
2	6	At basin outlet	0.16%	1.6



Figure 18 – Grain size distribution from pool sample.

Three bottomset scrape samples were taken from each final deposit. The grain size distributions provided in Figure 19 show that the bottomset particles fall within the fine fraction. The Run 1 bottomset scrape samples were taken within 10 cm (4in) of the toe of the deposit. The Run 2 bottomset scrape samples were taken about 30 cm (1ft) from the deposit toe. The coarser range of bottomset particles for Run 1 may be attributed to more settling near the toe. Figure 19 shows

that the Run 2 bottomset has fewer 1-74 micron size particles than the fine fraction of the bulk material. These missing particles were most likely trapped within the delta deposit.



Figure 19 – Bottomset Scrape Sample Grain Size Distributions Measured Using Horiba® Laser Diffraction

5.2 Hydraulic Conductivity

Table 7 lists the results from the hydraulic conductivity tests. The data show that the hydraulic conductivity throughout the Run 1 deposit was quite low, although the values from various cores range over nearly one order of magnitude from 1.7×10^{-6} to 1.3×10^{-5} m/s. It is important to note that light and dark lenses were observed within the cores (§ 5.4.1) and that these lenses represent bodies of distinct grain size or fines content. The hydraulic conductivity test performed on the cores forces the vertically flowing water to pass through all elements of the deposit stratigraphy. Fine lenses are limiting layers which reduce the measured hydraulic conductivity. In the unconfined delta it is possible that the groundwater will simply flow around the fine lenses, depending on how the lenses are connected spatially. This would result in higher groundwater transport rates than are reflected by the hydraulic conductivity test. Section 5.4.2 has discussion of the connectivity of the fine and coarse lenses. Subject to this caveat about the possibility of bypassing the fine lenses, the trends of the measurements are descriptive of the sorting properties within the delta. The results, plotted in Figure 20, clearly show that vertical hydraulic conductivity changes with position in the delta. The primary factors influencing permeability are the D_{50} grain size and the degree of sorting (Beard and Weyl 1973). The decreasing trend shown

in Figure 20 is likely a result of the increasing fraction of fines with radial position (i.e. downstream fining). This is the expected trend in depositional fans and thus would be predicted for the field case as well.

Table 7 – Run 1 results of falling head hydraulic conductivity tests

Sample #	r (cm)	L (cm)	h_1 (cm)	h_2 (cm)	t (sec)	k (m/sec)	k (cm/sec)	k (ft/min)	κ^* (Darcy)
1	30	27	230	159.5	6723	1.0E-05	1.0E-03	2.1E-03	1.08
2	60	23.4	225.6	167.9	5269	9.3E-06	9.3E-04	1.8E-03	0.96
3	90	21.8	227.6	138	8093	9.6E-06	9.6E-04	1.9E-03	0.49
4	120	21.3	228.2	142.3	12360	5.8E-06	5.8E-04	1.1E-03	0.99
5	150	19.7	210.1	161.9	9850	3.7E-06	3.7E-04	7.3E-04	1.35
6	180	19.3	226.8	191.8	8393	2.7E-06	2.7E-04	5.4E-04	0.48
7	210	17.5	229.4	169.7	7956	4.7E-06	4.7E-04	9.3E-04	1.23
8	30	24.5	228.7	138.6	6695	1.3E-05	1.3E-03	2.6E-03	0.36
9	60	23.5	226.3	146.5	8400	8.6E-06	8.6E-04	1.7E-03	0.28
10	90	23	227	170.2	9047	5.2E-06	5.2E-04	1.0E-03	0.89
11	120	21	222.9	158.7	8892	5.7E-06	5.7E-04	1.1E-03	0.18
12	150	19.8	227.1	166.5	8887	4.9E-06	4.9E-04	9.7E-04	0.54
13	180	17.8	229.9	202.8	9110	1.7E-06	1.7E-04	3.4E-04	0.60
14	210	19	221.6	175.7	6817	4.6E-06	4.6E-04	9.0E-04	0.46
15	30	24.6	226.2	149.6	6071	1.2E-05	1.2E-03	2.3E-03	0.51
16	60	23.3	225.5	145.9	11128	6.5E-06	6.5E-04	1.3E-03	0.59
17	90	22.2	226.7	147.3	9779	6.9E-06	6.9E-04	1.4E-03	0.28
18	120	20.2	227.3	154.4	12428	4.5E-06	4.5E-04	8.8E-04	0.67
19	150	19.6	229.2	184.7	11241	2.7E-06	2.7E-04	5.3E-04	0.72
20	180	18	227.1	193.2	5958	3.5E-06	3.5E-04	6.8E-04	0.38

* Note: κ is permeability, 1 Darcy = 9.869×10^{-13} m² = 1.062×10^{-11} ft²



Figure 20 – Run 1 hydraulic conductivity variation with radial position along delta.

5.3 Porosity

The porosity of the Run 1 delta was measured using two methods. The first was mini-cores that measure porosity in the top 2 cm (0.75in) of the final delta surface. The second method is the bulk porosity measured from the full depth piston cores.

Table 8 lists the results from the surface porosity measurements. There is some variability at the different locations; however, there is no indication of spatial trends. Table 9 lists the bulk porosity from the Run 1 core samples.

Table 8 – Run 1 surface porosity taken via mini-cores

Sample Location	Porosity
Upstream in Main Channel	0.42
Upstream in Secondary Channel	0.37
Upstream out of Channel	0.37
Downstream in Main Channel	0.35
Downstream in Secondary Channel	0.42
Downstream out of Channel	0.42

Table 9 – Run 1 bulk porosity taken from suction cores.

Core #	r (cm)	Porosity
3	90	0.43
4	120	0.43
12	150	0.43

5.4 Stratigraphy

5.4.1 Suction Cores

After the Run 1 piston cores were tested for hydraulic conductivity, the four samples were extruded from the cylinder and split open for imaging. Figures 21a – 21d show images of the split cores. These images show light and dark bands within the deposit. Different color bands are associated with different grain sizes or sorting properties.



Figure 21a – Grain image from piston core 01 (r = 30cm)

Figure 21b – Grain image from piston core 03 (r = 90cm)

Figure 21c – Grain image from piston core 04 (r = 120cm)

Figure 21d – Grain image from piston core 12 (r = 150cm)

5.4.2 Freeze Slices

As noted in Section 5.4.1 light and dark lenses were observed within the suction cores. The freeze slices, taken from the final deposit of Run 2, were intended to determine the lateral extent of the lenses and how interconnected they are. Figure 22a shows the freeze slice locations, and Figures 22b and c are images of frozen sediment slices from Run 2 taken at r = 55cm (1.8ft) and r = 150cm (5ft), respectively. Figure 22b shows that at the upstream end of the delta the dark lenses are large and often connected over the length of the deposit. The crack in the Figure 22b slice is due to the thawing process. It was not present within the deposit. In Figure 22c, at the downstream end of the delta, the dark lenses are more likely to be completely encased in fines.



Figure 22a – Freeze slice locations.



Figure 22b – Run 2 freeze slice taken at r = 55cm. Looking upstream.

Figure 22c – Run 2 freeze slice taken at r = 150cm. Looking upstream.

5.4.2.1 Freeze Slice Grain Size Distributions

The freeze slices were subsampled to determine the grain size distributions from the light and dark lenses. The sampling locations are given in Figures 23a and 23b. The samples were analyzed for grain size distribution using Horiba® laser diffraction. The results provided in Figure 24 show that there is quite a bit of variability in the 10 to 74 um grain sizes. Figures 25a and 25b have the coarse fractions (above 74 microns) labeled directly on the freeze slice images. Note the darker lenses tend to have higher coarse fractions than the lighter lenses, though the trend seem to be less consistent at 150cm The coarse fraction observed in the freeze sliced are within the range of coarse fractions observed in the scrape samples (Fig. 17g).



Figure 23a – Subsample Locations for the Freeze Slice at r = 55cm (The sample number are indicated)



Figure 23b – Subsample Locations for the Freeze Slice at r = 150cm (The sample number are indicated)



Figure 24 – Grain Size Distributions from Freeze Slice Subsamples



Figure 25a – Coarse Fraction (above 74um) from the Freeze Slice at r = 55cm



Figure 25b – Coarse Fraction (above 74um) from the Freeze Slice at r = 150cm

5.4.2.2 Freeze Slice Permeability

Although it is not possible to directly measure the permeability at each of the sampling points within the freeze slices, the permeability can be estimated from the grain size distribution. Beard and Weyl (1973) studied how the grain size distribution of artificially-mixed, wet-packed sand influences permeability. They found that permeability increases with increasing D_{50} (mean grain size) and decreases with an increasing sorting coefficient (D_{75}/D_{25}). D_{25}, D_{50}, and D_{75} are the grain diameters corresponding to 25, 50, and 75 percent finer than in Figure 24. The relationship between the sorting coefficient and permeability is stronger than the relationship between grain size and permeability. In other words, a coarser material is more conductive, but adding a small amount of fines fouls the coarse matrix and reduces flow. The matrix of grain size, sorting coefficients, and permeability developed by Beard and Weyl can be approximated using a power law equation. Because different materials are used, the permeability from Beard and Weyl's matrix may not predict the actual permeability of the tailings deposit; however, the range and spatial distribution of the subsample permeabilities should give a good relative indication about which zones of the freeze slice are more likely to allow or impede water flow.

Figures 26a and 26b provide the Beard and Weyl estimate of permeability (in mDarcy) for the different lenses of the freeze slices. The average Beard and Weyl permeability in the upstream freeze slice is higher than in the downstream freeze slice. This result is consistent with the rigid wall hydraulic conductivity measurements from Run 1 (Figure 20). At the downstream end the Beard and Weyl permeability is similar throughout the slice; however, at the upstream end the Beard and Weyl permeability is typically greater for the darker lenses. This result is consistent with the findings from Figure 25. We also note that the highest estimated permeabilities would control the bulk permeability for cases where the coarse (dark) depositional units are connected so as to provide an unbroken flow path through the deposit.



Figure 26a – Beard and Weyl permeability (in mDarcy) for the Freeze Slice at r = 55cm



Figure 26b – Beard and Weyl permeability (in mDarcy) for the Freeze Slice at r = 150cm

5.5 Topographic Scans

The field delta geometry was evaluated as part of the one-dimensional experiments. The reduced slurry discharge required to preserve the aspect ratio resulted in a model delta geometry that does not directly translate to the field delta. For Run 1, the beach slope was on the order of 4%. Figure 27(a-g) shows topographic maps of the Run 1 and 2 deltas at the end of each phase. The figures provide further documentation of the variability of the surface topography and chaotic nature of the deposition process. It is interesting to note the shape of the shoreline and how it deviates

from an ideal cone-shape. Also, studying the distal portion of the deltas in all images shows distinct depositional lobes that appear to weave together as the distal fan is constructed. These are the processes that create variability in the grain size, coarse fraction, and visual variability that was observed in these tests.



Figure 27a – Run 1 topography at the end of the growth phase



Figure 27b – Run 1 topography during the building phase



Figure 27c – Run 1 topography at the end of the building phase



Figure 27d – Run 1 topography at the end of the falling phase



Figure 27e – Run 2 topography at the end of the growth phase



Figure 27f – Run 2 topography at the end of the building phase



Figure 27g – Run 2 topography at the end of the falling phase

6.0 Phase II – Discussion

6.1 Phase II Results

The goals of the 2D experiments were to 1) determine the expected lower limit of fines concentration in the deposit, 2) determine the degree of grain size segregation both vertically and horizontally within the deposit and 3) evaluate the potential range of hydraulic conductivity throughout the model delta.

The lower limit of fines concentration and the degree of grain size segregation are both functions of fines retention within the deposit. There are several factors that likely influenced fine particle retention within the deposit. One such factor is that the grain size distribution and concentration of the tailings was conducive to fines retention. The range of particle sizes was sufficient and the concentration was high enough that in a shallow flow, such as the flow seen on a delta fan, the larger particles interact with the smaller particles strongly, and both are deposited together. This was observed in the generally high fines contents measured in the scrape samples and in the freeze cores.

The data consistently showed a downstream (nearer the shoreline) trend of increasing fines fraction within the deposit. This observation is likely representative of what would be observed in the field. The coarsest material will deposit in the proximal region of the delta and distally, where the energy of the flow is distributed across a larger fan surface and slopes are milder, fine material will be preferentially deposited. This process is depicted in the mild downward trend seen in Figures 16b, 16b, and 16d. Variability of downstream fining is likely due to the chaotic nature of deltaic transport and deposition of sediment. Braiding, avulsion (see step three of delta evolution below), and bar and bedform movement act to mix surface layers both vertically and horizontally, even as local channel processes create spatially distinct grain size zones. The effect of these processes can be seen in all of the aerial photos and their influence on fines retention is evident in the spikes seen in Figure 16d. Throughout the evolution of the delta, channels with flowing water and sediment sweep across the surface. This process likely accounts for the variability of the coarse fraction data seen in Figures 16e and 16g. This process also accounts for the light and dark lenses seen in Figures 26a and b. The larger, more pronounced lenses in the r = 55 cm freeze slice (Figure 26a) are due to narrower, deeper, and more active channels at the upstream end of the delta. At the downstream end much more of the delta is covered with unchannelized sheet flow. This results in the thinner, wider, and less distinct lenses seen in the r = 150 cm freeze slice (Figure 26b). Figures 28 show these channel characteristics and a sequence of channel evolution.



Figure 28a – Channel Evolution Step 1

As more sediment is delivered downstream, the downstream sheet flow zone grows a lobe and the channel cut begins to fill in.



Figure 28b – Channel Evolution Step 2

Once the downstream deposit below the downstream lobe becomes too thick the downstream sheet flow splits into smaller channels, delivering sediment to the lower downstream areas. The upstream channel continues to fill in.



Figure 28c – Channel Evolution Step 3

Once the upstream channel fills in with sediment it avulses to a new location, cutting a new channel and abandoning the previous downstream lobe.



Figure 28d – Channel Evolution Step 4

Finally a new lobe forms at the downstream end of the new channel and the avulsion process start over again. This process has been observed over a range of scales and settings (Sheets and Hoyal 2009).

With these fines retention processes in mind, remember that the falling phase of the runs were designed to maximize channelization on the surface of the deposit. Maximum channelization results in minimum fines retention. This leads to the conclusion that the delta surface at the end of the falling phase should contain the absolute minimum fines that can be expected anywhere within the delta. This conclusion is supported by Figure 16g. From this conclusion one can expect a minimum of 30% fines retention everywhere within the delta.

The final deposit does show some grain size sorting characteristics. The upstream end of the delta spends more time under deep, narrow channels that generate thicker and more pronounced coarse lenses while the downstream end of the delta spends more time under shallower wider channels that generate thinner, more uniform lenses. In general, the results suggest that the field scale delta will have more pronounced coarse lenses at the upstream end of the fan with an

overall trend of downstream fining. Even these coarse lenses, however, should retain a fines fraction of ~30% or more.

At the upstream end the thickness and interconnected nature of the dark/coarse lenses indicate that infiltrating groundwater will likely bypass the light/fine lenses; consequently, groundwater transport will likely be greater at the upstream end of the delta than at the downstream end. This means that the hydraulic conductivity measurements taken in Section 5.2 can only be used as a lower limit of the effective hydraulic conductivity.

The laboratory experiment was designed to capture the main processes and channelization expected in the tailings pond delta. In other words, the laboratory delta is expected to grow and maintain its surface by the same mechanisms as the field scale delta. As such, the minimum fines retention and degree of grain size sorting seen in the experiment should be similar to that in the field scale delta. The field scale delta should also exhibit spatially variable hydraulic conductivity comparable to that seen in the laboratory scale delta.

6.2 Delta Drainage

Many experimental deltas have been built in the SAFL delta basins. In most cases the delta basin is drained at the end of the experiment and the delta is dry enough to be sliced in about a week. Six weeks after the completion of Run 1 the delta deposit was still near saturation. The following questions need to be addressed: 1) why did the laboratory scale delta retain water, and 2) will the field scale delta also retain water at near saturation? There are several possible explanations for this behavior which will be discussed in the following sections.

6.2.1 Low Permeability

Firstly, considering the primary difference between these laboratory scale deltas and previous delta experiments, the tailings used in this study had much higher fines content than previous experiments. In previous experiments the sediment was hand mixed. For both physical and safety reasons in previous experiments coal was used in place of the finest fraction of the sediment. The lower density of coal allows it to transport at a rate similar to the prototype (actual) fine material; however, the larger particle sizes of coal increase the permeability of the deposit. The inclusion of the “real” fine particles in the deposit greatly reduce the permeability of the deposit and thereby increase the drainage time; however, the decreased permeability alone is not sufficient to describe the prolonged water retention within the delta deposit.

6.2.2 Suspended Capillary Water

In order for water to be trapped in the delta deposit via capillary suction, the material must be unsaturated. In addition, soil suction and percent saturation are inversely related (see the SWCC provided by Daniel B. Stephens & Associates (DBS&A) Laboratory). The inclusion of fine material instead of coarser coal increases the soil suction pressures over previous experiments. The deposit was fully saturated at the end of the experimental run. The moment the delta began to drain the deposit became unsaturated. Figure 29 is a diagram of the system being investigated and the corresponding pressures.



Figure 29 – Diagram of water column and associated pressures.

Where: P_{S1} = suction pressure of the deposit layer

P_{S2} = suction pressure of drainage layer

P_W = water pressure at bottom of the deposit

P_{ent} = atmospheric entrance pressure

The fine deposit layer has an upward suction pressure inversely related to the percent saturation. The coarse drainage layer has a much lower downward suction pressure. The water pressure balance for trapping water in the fine layer above a coarse layer is given by equation 11.

$$P_{S2} + P_W > P_{S1} \qquad (11)$$

The drainage layer suction pressure and the weight of the water act to drain water from the delta, while the deposit suction pressure acts to retain water within the delta. The suction pressure of the drainage layer is much lower than the suction pressure of the deposit and can be neglected. As such, if the water pressure due to the height of the deposit is equal to the suction pressure of the deposit, the water in the deposit will be retained. The water pressured generated by 15 cm (6in) of water is 1.47 kPa or 0.0174 bar. Based on the SWCC provided by DBS&A for the tailings material, the suction pressure of the deposit is equal to 0.0174 bar when the percent

saturation is equal to 85-98%. In conclusion, suspended capillary water itself is sufficient to explain the water retention within the laboratory delta deposit.

6.2.3 Influence of Fluvial Deposition

The SWCC generated by DBS&A was created by testing artificially sorted and mixed tailings samples. It is entirely possible that the SWCC will be different for a fluvial deposit created from the same material. A flowing system may orient the particles differently or change the packing characteristics. This is a common problem in the construction industry. When mechanically placing construction fill it can be challenging to achieve compactions as high as field conditions and impossible to match them. The opposite is also true in laboratory settings; it can difficult to achieve loose compaction conditions or match fluvial deposition conditions.

Under fluvial deposition, angular fine particles may orient themselves and interlock in such a way that nearly all of the void space is composed of "micropores." Micropores are pore spaces so small that water contained within them will not drain by gravity and is only removed by suction and evaporation. The influence of fluvial deposition in itself is sufficient to explain the water retention found in the laboratory delta deposit.

6.2.4 Field Delta Drainage

The lack of drainage in the laboratory scale can be satisfactorily explained by suspended capillary water and/or fluvial deposition processes. Unfortunately the qualitative observations made of the laboratory scale delta drainage are insufficient to definitively say which process is responsible the water retention. It is most likely a combination of the processes.

In the field scale delta the thickness of the deposit means that suspended capillary water will not be able to retain water at near the saturation point as in the experiments, because the column pressure will be higher. On the other hand, if the fluvial deposition mechanism does actually generate the majority of void space as micropores, then the field scale delta could also retain a high percentage of water.

7.0 Conclusions

Physical experiments were conducted to explore the transport and depositional characteristics of prototype tailings material. The experiments were conducted in two phases; the first phase used 1D flume experiments under field-scale conditions and Phase II involved 2D scaled experiments to investigate channelization and its consequences. The experimental findings are summarized here:

- Field scale slopes are anticipated to range from 0.5 to 2%. The 1% slope used for field scale design is reasonable.

- The delta will operate in a fluvial braided channelized regime characterized by multiple channels, rapid channel migration, and dynamic bar and bedform processes.

- The deposit will likely experience both vertical and horizontal sorting of grains. The coarsest portion of the deposit will be the proximal region of the delta and the distal region will have higher fines content.

- The multi-channel fluvial processes will create a deposit that has buried channels in the subsurface. The hydraulic interconnectedness of these channels has not been explored quantitatively in this study but visual observation suggests that channels will be connected vertically and laterally, especially in the upstream part of the deposit.

- Even under the most extreme plausible transport conditions, it was difficult to generate a deposit with less than 30% fines content in the deposit. This suggests that, even though channelization generally moves fine material offshore, bypassing the subaerial delta, a significant fraction of the fines in the tailings material is co-deposited with coarser material. These levels of trapped fines will significantly reduce conductivity relative to a well sorted deposit of the same median size.

- Hydraulic conductivities measured on piston cores taken from the laboratory deposits are relatively low due to conductivity in cores being limited by the presence of fine layers in the cores. Because 3D groundwater flow in the field may exploit connected high-conductivity pathways through the deposit, the values measured with cores represent the low end of conductivities expected for field conditions.

- The degree of water retention in the field scale deposit was inconclusive. The estimated deposit thickness and the SWCC for the tailings suggests that suction will not be great enough to keep the deposit saturated; however, internal structures such as lenses, discontinuities, or micro-pores associated with the natural deposition of the deposit may increase the suction pressure of the material.

There are several design alternatives that could potentially increase fines retention. Reducing the water content of the slurry such that the delta/beach behaves as a debris (“mud”) flow would be expected to enhance fines retention although no tests were done on this transport mode in this

study. The delta could be operated such that there are periods of receding shoreline produced by raising the pool level. Switching between multiple spigot locations could also be timed to achieve a similar effect. These alternatives focus only on increasing fines retention. There may be other physical or operational considerations that make them unfeasible.

8.0 References

Beard, D. C., and Weyl, P. K., 1973, Influence of texture on porosity and permeability of unconsolidated sand: AAPG Bulletin, v. 57, p. 349-369.

Hoyal, D. C. J. D., and B. A. Sheets (2009), Morphodynamic evolution of experimental cohesive deltas, J. Geophys. Res., vol 114, doi:10.1029/2007JF000882.

Parker, G., 1976, On the causes and characteristic scales of meandering and braiding in rivers, J.Fluid Mechanics, vol 76, part 3, pp 457-480.

Appendix A – Feed Material Grain Size Distribution

Appendix B – Scrape Sample Grain Size Analysis

Run #	Phase	Sample #	θ	r (cm)	% > 74μ	% < 74μ	Coarse/Fine Fraction
1	End of Growth	1	$\pi/8$	25	0.63	0.37	1.67
1	End of Growth	2	$\pi/8$	40	0.55	0.45	1.23
1	End of Growth	3	$\pi/8$	55	0.66	0.34	1.91
1	End of Growth	4	$\pi/8$	70	0.72	0.28	2.62
1	End of Growth	5	$\pi/8$	85	0.73	0.27	2.76
1	End of Growth	6	$\pi/8$	100	0.70	0.30	2.29
1	End of Growth	7	$\pi/8$	115	0.68	0.32	2.08
1	End of Growth	8	$\pi/8$	130	0.63	0.37	1.68
1	End of Growth	9	$\pi/8$	145	0.56	0.44	1.27
1	End of Growth	10	$3\pi/16$	25	0.53	0.47	1.11
1	End of Growth	11	$3\pi/16$	40	0.59	0.41	1.46
1	End of Growth	12	$3\pi/16$	55	0.74	0.26	2.91
1	End of Growth	13	$3\pi/16$	70	0.69	0.31	2.23
1	End of Growth	14	$3\pi/16$	85	0.58	0.42	1.41
1	End of Growth	15	$3\pi/16$	100	0.59	0.41	1.45
1	End of Growth	16	$3\pi/16$	115	0.65	0.35	1.82
1	End of Growth	17	$3\pi/16$	130	0.64	0.36	1.77
1	End of Growth	19	$\pi/4$	25	0.39	0.61	0.64
1	End of Growth	20	$\pi/4$	40	0.54	0.46	1.17
1	End of Growth	21	$\pi/4$	55	0.58	0.42	1.40
1	End of Growth	22	$\pi/4$	70	0.58	0.42	1.38
1	End of Growth	23	$\pi/4$	85	0.22	0.78	0.28
1	End of Growth	24	$\pi/4$	100	0.44	0.56	0.78
1	End of Growth	25	$\pi/4$	115	0.51	0.49	1.04
1	End of Growth	26	$\pi/4$	130	0.54	0.46	1.15
1	End of Growth	28	$5\pi/16$	25	0.46	0.54	0.85
1	End of Growth	30	$5\pi/16$	55	0.71	0.29	2.41
1	End of Growth	31	$5\pi/16$	70	0.62	0.38	1.62
1	End of Growth	32	$5\pi/16$	85	0.57	0.43	1.35
1	End of Growth	33	$5\pi/16$	100	0.52	0.48	1.10
1	End of Growth	34	$5\pi/16$	115	0.53	0.47	1.11

Run #	Phase	Sample #	θ	r (cm)	% > 74μ	% < 74μ	Coarse/Fine Fraction
1	End of Growth	35	$5\pi/16$	130	0.54	0.46	1.17
1	End of Growth	36	$5\pi/16$	145	0.40	0.60	0.66
1	End of Growth	37	$3\pi/8$	25	0.36	0.64	0.57
1	End of Growth	38	$3\pi/8$	40	0.55	0.45	1.20
1	End of Growth	39	$3\pi/8$	55	0.56	0.44	1.28
1	End of Growth	40	$3\pi/8$	70	0.68	0.32	2.12
1	End of Growth	41	$3\pi/8$	85	0.63	0.37	1.72
1	End of Growth	42	$3\pi/8$	100	0.57	0.43	1.32
1	End of Growth	43	$3\pi/8$	115	0.53	0.47	1.13
1	End of Growth	44	$3\pi/8$	130	0.51	0.49	1.03
1	End of Growth	45	$3\pi/8$	145	0.47	0.53	0.87
1	End of Falling	1	$\pi/8$	25	0.45	0.55	0.81
1	End of Falling	2	$\pi/8$	40	0.47	0.53	0.89
1	End of Falling	3	$\pi/8$	55	0.62	0.38	1.60
1	End of Falling	4	$\pi/8$	70	0.41	0.59	0.69
1	End of Falling	5	$\pi/8$	85	0.38	0.62	0.60
1	End of Falling	6	$\pi/8$	100	0.37	0.63	0.59
1	End of Falling	7	$\pi/8$	115	0.40	0.60	0.67
1	End of Falling	8	$\pi/8$	130	0.33	0.67	0.50
1	End of Falling	9	$\pi/8$	145	0.60	0.40	1.50
1	End of Falling	10	$3\pi/16$	25	0.54	0.46	1.16
1	End of Falling	11	$3\pi/16$	40	0.49	0.51	0.95
1	End of Falling	12	$3\pi/16$	55	0.59	0.41	1.42
1	End of Falling	13	$3\pi/16$	70	0.31	0.69	0.44
1	End of Falling	14	$3\pi/16$	85	0.55	0.45	1.21
1	End of Falling	15	$3\pi/16$	100	0.47	0.53	0.88
1	End of Falling	16	$3\pi/16$	115	0.41	0.59	0.70
1	End of Falling	17	$3\pi/16$	130	0.38	0.62	0.62
1	End of Falling	18	$3\pi/16$	145	0.46	0.54	0.85
1	End of Falling	19	$\pi/4$	25	0.46	0.54	0.85
1	End of Falling	20	$\pi/4$	40	0.47	0.53	0.90
1	End of Falling	21	$\pi/4$	55	0.49	0.51	0.95
1	End of Falling	22	$\pi/4$	70	0.48	0.52	0.94

Run #	Phase	Sample #	θ	r (cm)	% > 74μ	% < 74μ	Coarse/Fine Fraction
1	End of Falling	23	$\pi/4$	85	0.45	0.55	0.82
1	End of Falling	24	$\pi/4$	100	0.47	0.53	0.87
1	End of Falling	25	$\pi/4$	115	0.46	0.54	0.86
1	End of Falling	26	$\pi/4$	130	0.48	0.52	0.92
1	End of Falling	27	$\pi/4$	145	0.39	0.61	0.64
1	End of Falling	28	$5\pi/16$	25	0.60	0.40	1.52
1	End of Falling	29	$5\pi/16$	40	0.61	0.39	1.57
1	End of Falling	30	$5\pi/16$	55	0.52	0.48	1.09
1	End of Falling	31	$5\pi/16$	70	0.39	0.61	0.65
1	End of Falling	32	$5\pi/16$	85	0.00	1.00	0.00
1	End of Falling	33	$5\pi/16$	100	0.00	1.00	0.00
1	End of Falling	34	$5\pi/16$	115	0.47	0.53	0.90
1	End of Falling	35	$5\pi/16$	130	0.47	0.53	0.89
1	End of Falling	36	$5\pi/16$	145	0.36	0.64	0.57
1	End of Falling	37	$3\pi/8$	25	0.72	0.28	2.63
1	End of Falling	38	$3\pi/8$	40	0.71	0.29	2.49
1	End of Falling	39	$3\pi/8$	55	0.59	0.41	1.46
1	End of Falling	40	$3\pi/8$	70	0.55	0.45	1.22
1	End of Falling	41	$3\pi/8$	85	0.72	0.28	2.51
1	End of Falling	42	$3\pi/8$	100	0.69	0.31	2.19
1	End of Falling	43	$3\pi/8$	115	0.72	0.28	2.57
1	End of Falling	44	$3\pi/8$	130	0.45	0.55	0.82
1	End of Falling	45	$3\pi/8$	145	0.56	0.44	1.27
2	End of Growth	1	$\pi/8$	25	0.55	0.45	1.23
2	End of Growth	2	$\pi/8$	40	0.66	0.34	1.95
2	End of Growth	3	$\pi/8$	55	0.70	0.30	2.31
2	End of Growth	4	$\pi/8$	70	0.53	0.47	1.12
2	End of Growth	5	$\pi/8$	85	0.52	0.48	1.09
2	End of Growth	6	$\pi/8$	100	0.46	0.54	0.85
2	End of Growth	7	$\pi/8$	115	0.50	0.50	0.99
2	End of Growth	8	$\pi/8$	130	0.44	0.56	0.79
2	End of Growth	9	$\pi/8$	145	0.28	0.72	0.39
2	End of Growth	10	$3\pi/16$	25	0.64	0.36	1.78

Run #	Phase	Sample #	θ	r (cm)	% > 74μ	% < 74μ	Coarse/Fine Fraction
2	End of Growth	11	3π/16	40	0.54	0.46	1.17
2	End of Growth	12	3π/16	55	0.58	0.42	1.36
2	End of Growth	13	3π/16	70	0.36	0.64	0.57
2	End of Growth	14	3π/16	85	0.37	0.63	0.59
2	End of Growth	15	3π/16	100	0.29	0.71	0.40
2	End of Growth	16	3π/16	115	0.27	0.73	0.36
2	End of Growth	17	3π/16	130	0.27	0.73	0.36
2	End of Growth	18	3π/16	145	0.57	0.43	1.33
2	End of Growth	19	π/4	25	0.57	0.43	1.33
2	End of Growth	20	π/4	40	0.53	0.47	1.15
2	End of Growth	21	π/4	55	0.46	0.54	0.85
2	End of Growth	22	π/4	70	0.44	0.56	0.77
2	End of Growth	23	π/4	85	0.31	0.69	0.44
2	End of Growth	24	π/4	100	0.30	0.70	0.43
2	End of Growth	25	π/4	115	0.42	0.58	0.71
2	End of Growth	26	π/4	130	0.25	0.75	0.34
2	End of Growth	28	5π/16	25	0.51	0.49	1.04
2	End of Growth	29	5π/16	40	0.44	0.56	0.78
2	End of Growth	30	5π/16	55	0.37	0.63	0.59
2	End of Growth	31	5π/16	70	0.36	0.64	0.55
2	End of Growth	32	5π/16	85	0.40	0.60	0.68
2	End of Growth	33	5π/16	100	0.33	0.67	0.48
2	End of Growth	34	5π/16	115	0.37	0.63	0.59
2	End of Growth	35	5π/16	130	0.16	0.84	0.19
2	End of Growth	36	5π/16	145	0.28	0.72	0.39
2	End of Growth	37	3π/8	25	0.39	0.61	0.63
2	End of Growth	38	3π/8	40	0.43	0.57	0.76
2	End of Growth	39	3π/8	55	0.49	0.51	0.95
2	End of Growth	40	3π/8	70	0.48	0.52	0.91
2	End of Growth	41	3π/8	85	0.52	0.48	1.10
2	End of Growth	42	3π/8	100	0.50	0.50	1.01
2	End of Growth	43	3π/8	115	0.49	0.51	0.97
2	End of Growth	44	3π/8	130	0.41	0.59	0.69

Run #	Phase	Sample #	θ	r (cm)	% > 74μ	% < 74μ	Coarse/Fine Fraction
2	End of Growth	45	$3\pi/8$	145	0.24	0.76	0.32
2	End of Building	1	$\pi/8$	25	0.65	0.35	1.82
2	End of Building	2	$\pi/8$	40	0.51	0.49	1.05
2	End of Building	3	$\pi/8$	55	0.54	0.46	1.19
2	End of Building	4	$\pi/8$	70	0.58	0.42	1.36
2	End of Building	5	$\pi/8$	85	0.46	0.54	0.86
2	End of Building	6	$\pi/8$	100	0.46	0.54	0.85
2	End of Building	7	$\pi/8$	115	0.48	0.52	0.92
2	End of Building	8	$\pi/8$	130	0.33	0.67	0.50
2	End of Building	9	$\pi/8$	145	0.40	0.60	0.67
2	End of Building	10	$3\pi/16$	25	0.45	0.55	0.82
2	End of Building	11	$3\pi/16$	40	0.40	0.60	0.67
2	End of Building	12	$3\pi/16$	55	0.51	0.49	1.06
2	End of Building	13	$3\pi/16$	70	0.36	0.64	0.56
2	End of Building	14	$3\pi/16$	85	0.35	0.65	0.54
2	End of Building	15	$3\pi/16$	100	0.39	0.61	0.63
2	End of Building	16	$3\pi/16$	115	0.38	0.62	0.62
2	End of Building	17	$3\pi/16$	130	0.40	0.60	0.65
2	End of Building	18	$3\pi/16$	145	0.47	0.53	0.88
2	End of Building	19	$\pi/4$	25	0.43	0.57	0.76
2	End of Building	20	$\pi/4$	40	0.42	0.58	0.72
2	End of Building	21	$\pi/4$	55	0.40	0.60	0.66
2	End of Building	22	$\pi/4$	70	0.41	0.59	0.70
2	End of Building	23	$\pi/4$	85	0.64	0.36	1.74
2	End of Building	24	$\pi/4$	100	0.45	0.55	0.81
2	End of Building	25	$\pi/4$	115	0.44	0.56	0.78
2	End of Building	26	$\pi/4$	130	0.16	0.84	0.19
2	End of Building	27	$\pi/4$	145	0.46	0.54	0.86
2	End of Building	28	$5\pi/16$	25	0.66	0.34	1.92
2	End of Building	29	$5\pi/16$	40	0.65	0.35	1.88
2	End of Building	30	$5\pi/16$	55	0.54	0.46	1.20
2	End of Building	31	$5\pi/16$	70	0.40	0.60	0.66
2	End of Building	32	$5\pi/16$	85	0.46	0.54	0.84

Run #	Phase	Sample #	θ	r (cm)	% > 74μ	% < 74μ	Coarse/Fine Fraction
2	End of Building	33	$5\pi/16$	100	0.37	0.63	0.59
2	End of Building	34	$5\pi/16$	115	0.43	0.57	0.77
2	End of Building	35	$5\pi/16$	130	0.49	0.51	0.96
2	End of Building	36	$5\pi/16$	145	0.49	0.51	0.95
2	End of Building	37	$3\pi/8$	25	0.61	0.39	1.53
2	End of Building	38	$3\pi/8$	40	0.54	0.46	1.18
2	End of Building	39	$3\pi/8$	55	0.46	0.54	0.85
2	End of Building	40	$3\pi/8$	70	0.54	0.46	1.15
2	End of Building	44	$3\pi/8$	130	0.42	0.58	0.73
2	End of Building	45	$3\pi/8$	145	0.33	0.67	0.50
2	End of Falling	1	$\pi/8$	25	0.67	0.33	2.01
2	End of Falling	2	$\pi/8$	40	0.60	0.40	1.48
2	End of Falling	3	$\pi/8$	55	0.69	0.31	2.25
2	End of Falling	4	$\pi/8$	70	0.63	0.37	1.72
2	End of Falling	5	$\pi/8$	85	0.58	0.42	1.37
2	End of Falling	6	$\pi/8$	100	0.49	0.51	0.96
2	End of Falling	7	$\pi/8$	115	0.51	0.49	1.06
2	End of Falling	8	$\pi/8$	130	0.49	0.51	0.94
2	End of Falling	9	$\pi/8$	145	0.65	0.35	1.83
2	End of Falling	10	$3\pi/16$	25	0.68	0.32	2.17
2	End of Falling	11	$3\pi/16$	40	0.49	0.51	0.98
2	End of Falling	12	$3\pi/16$	55	0.46	0.54	0.86
2	End of Falling	13	$3\pi/16$	70	0.46	0.54	0.86
2	End of Falling	14	$3\pi/16$	85	0.42	0.58	0.71
2	End of Falling	15	$3\pi/16$	100	0.43	0.57	0.76
2	End of Falling	16	$3\pi/16$	115	0.42	0.58	0.72
2	End of Falling	17	$3\pi/16$	130	0.45	0.55	0.81
2	End of Falling	18	$3\pi/16$	145	0.44	0.56	0.77
2	End of Falling	19	$\pi/4$	25	0.58	0.42	1.37
2	End of Falling	20	$\pi/4$	40	0.43	0.57	0.76
2	End of Falling	21	$\pi/4$	55	0.52	0.48	1.06
2	End of Falling	22	$\pi/4$	70	0.39	0.61	0.63
2	End of Falling	23	$\pi/4$	85	0.42	0.58	0.73

Run #	Phase	Sample #	θ	r (cm)	% > 74μ	% < 74μ	Coarse/Fine Fraction
2	End of Falling	24	$\pi/4$	100	0.53	0.47	1.12
2	End of Falling	25	$\pi/4$	115	0.43	0.57	0.77
2	End of Falling	26	$\pi/4$	130	0.37	0.63	0.60
2	End of Falling	27	$\pi/4$	145	0.38	0.62	0.61
2	End of Falling	28	$5\pi/16$	25	0.53	0.47	1.13
2	End of Falling	29	$5\pi/16$	40	0.49	0.51	0.97
2	End of Falling	30	$5\pi/16$	55	0.58	0.42	1.40
2	End of Falling	31	$5\pi/16$	70	0.50	0.50	1.01
2	End of Falling	32	$5\pi/16$	85	0.46	0.54	0.85
2	End of Falling	33	$5\pi/16$	100	0.47	0.53	0.88
2	End of Falling	34	$5\pi/16$	115	0.41	0.59	0.70
2	End of Falling	35	$5\pi/16$	130	0.55	0.45	1.23
2	End of Falling	36	$5\pi/16$	145	0.58	0.42	1.36
2	End of Falling	37	$3\pi/8$	25	0.61	0.39	1.59
2	End of Falling	38	$3\pi/8$	40	0.58	0.42	1.39
2	End of Falling	39	$3\pi/8$	55	0.65	0.35	1.83
2	End of Falling	40	$3\pi/8$	70	0.41	0.59	0.70
2	End of Falling	41	$3\pi/8$	85	0.39	0.61	0.65
2	End of Falling	42	$3\pi/8$	100	0.30	0.70	0.44
2	End of Falling	43	$3\pi/8$	115	0.51	0.49	1.04
2	End of Falling	44	$3\pi/8$	130	0.59	0.41	1.44
2	End of Falling	45	$3\pi/8$	145	0.31	0.69	0.45

Appendix C – Comparison of Grain Size Distribution by Volume (Horiba® Laser Diffraction) and by Weight (Sieve and Hydrometer Tests from SET Labs)



The percent finer by weight can be estimated using the following equation:

$$\%Finer_W = \%Finer_V \cdot F \cdot [A \cdot ln(C \cdot Dia + E) + B] + G$$

Where: $\%Finer_W$ = Percent finer by weight

$\%Finer_V$ = Percnet Finer by volume

Dia = Particle diameter (um)

A = 0.214826

B = -0.21006

C = 0.83509

E = 4.470231

F = 1.006547

G = 0.574739

Appendix D – Videos

See supplemental CD or attached video files for Phase I video and Phase II 20-second time laps aerial videos of each run.

resourceful. naturally.
engineering and environmental consultants

BARR

Technical Memorandum

To: Stuart Arkley and Jennifer Engstrom
From: Cory Anderson and Miguel Wong
Subject: Practical Interpretation of the SAFL Report
Date: May 5, 2011
Project: 23690862.00 042 002

Background

During the summer of 2010, a question was posed concerning the segregation of tailings during delta formation and deposition in the proposed NorthMet flotation tailings basin. For modeling purposes, it is important to know whether the delta that is formed can be treated as one "bulk" zone of tailings or needs to be further refined into multiple zones of different grain size fractions. The University of Minnesota's St. Anthony Falls Laboratory (SAFL) was charged to perform experiments to quantify the potential for segregation in the tailings delta, and to determine some of the important hydraulic properties of the tailings that are deposited. SAFL performed two phases of experiments. Phase I was a flume experiment, designed to use field-scale flow conditions to evaluate the potential for debris flow versus channelized or sheet flow as the delta is formed. Phase II was a 2D lab scale experiment designed to answer the questions about segregation and hydraulic properties.

Interpretation and Summary

The Phase I experiment clearly showed that the behavior of the delta is one of a fluvial system characterized by channelized or sheet flow. In other words, debris flow will not occur. The solids were transported throughout the delta as bedload and suspended load. The tendency of the tailings slurry discharge was to channelize and form braids, with bars and bedforms that developed in the active channels. Therefore, the deposition patterns are characteristic of those observed in other tailings basins and deltaic systems in general, where the combined fluvial processes of erosion, transport and deposition at the channel and larger scales (not at the individual grain size scale) determine the configuration and characteristics of the delta.

The Phase II experiment was scaled down from field to laboratory conditions to provide similarity in Froude number (i.e., the ratio of inertial to gravity forces), general sediment-transport regime, and the aspect ratio. Extensive field and laboratory research has shown that the aspect ratio (here defined as normal flow depth to radial width of the delta) is a simple but very robust predictor of channel morphology in deltaic systems. As described in the report and due to the method of scaling (aspect ratio), the laboratory delta is expected to grow and maintain its surface by the same mechanisms as the field scale delta. The fines retention and the degree of grain size sorting seen in the experiment should be similar to that in the field scale delta.

Because one of the objectives of the Phase II experiment was to determine the *potential* for segregation of coarse and fine tailings in the delta, conditions were created to maximize segregation. High flows were used which would tend to transport more material to the pond area; during the falling phase of the Phase II experiment, the pool elevation was slowly decreased to promote delivery of tailings to the shoreline position and minimize deposition except for the coarsest material. Despite the attempt to maximize segregation, one of the major and firm conclusions from the Phase II experiment is that there will be a minimum of 30% (by mass) fines (passing mesh #200; particle sizes smaller that 74 micron) in the delta. Even under the most extreme plausible transport conditions, it was difficult to generate a deposit with less than 30% fines content.

It is important to note that the individual samples taken from the delta for analysis are just that; individual samples at specific locations. These results are useful for estimating the approximate degree of sorting in the delta and characterizing the tailings at any one location. However, they are not necessarily appropriate (as individual samples) for characterizing the tailings delta as a whole.

Barr used the SAFL report, supplemented with information from field-scale tailings basins, to reach important conclusions regarding data inputs that are necessary for the water quality modeling in GoldSim.

- Due to naturally developing slopes in the Phase I experiment, the 1% slope used for the field-scale design, and therefore the water quality modeling, is reasonable.
- It can be assumed that the tailings delta (portion of the tailings deposited above the water pond) is one zone. Although downstream fining is evident in the experiments, and it has been documented in field examples, the expected range of variation for the fines (i.e., passing mesh #200) fraction for NorthMet tailings (more than 50% is passing mesh #200) does not justify modeling two or more zones.

- Of the tailings discharged aerially to form the NorthMet delta, the tailings delta would contain 100% of the coarse (i.e., above mesh #200) fraction.
- In any given year, the NorthMet tailings delta would on average have a fines fraction characterized by P5 = 30%, P50 = 35%, and P95 = 40% throughout the entire delta area. A normal distribution with mean and standard deviation of 0.3500 and 0.0304 respectively will be used to describe the uncertainty in the percent fines in the delta as they are formed.
- The average porosity of the tailings throughout the NorthMet delta is primarily a function of fluvial mixing rather than grain size distributions and is characterized by P5 = 0.38, P50 = 0.41, and P95 = 0.45. A triangular distribution with lower, mode, and upper values of 0.3668, 0.4012, and 0.4685 respectively will be used to describe the uncertainty in the NorthMet delta porosity.
- The average porosity of the tailings under the proposed pond is primarily a function of turbidity current and settling and is characterized by P5 = 0.43, P50 = 0.52, and P95 = 0.56. A triangular distribution with lower, mode, and upper values of 0.4049, 0.5602, and 0.5696 respectively will be used to describe the uncertainty in the tailings porosity under the pond.
- The annual average solid fraction of the slurry discharged from the plant will be a mixture of coarse tailings and fine tailings. This coarse tailings fraction (by mass) in the mixture will be characterized by P5 = 0.38, P50 = 0.41, and P95 = 0.44. A normal distribution with mean and standard deviation of 0.4100 and 0.0182 respectively will be used to describe the uncertainty in the percent coarse in the feed material.

The distributions described in the bulleted conclusions above were not developed using statistical analysis of the tabulated data in the SAFL report for various reasons. First, the method proposed to model the tailings delta requires describing them on the whole rather than at any given location. Therefore, the spatially averaged condition is more appropriate than the potential range of values at any location in the delta. For example, in one location, the porosity might be 0.44. But in another location, the porosity could be 0.38. Examining a roughly 100 acre beach as a whole would see extreme (high and low) independent values balance out and the mean value prevail (spatially averaged). Second, the bulleted conclusions above were drawn using a combination of NorthMet specific experimental data and knowledge of operational tailings basins. It is Barr's intention to have discussions with the Lead Agencies (via the Modeling Phase Agency Technical Teams) concerning these distributions and to come to agreement on the values used to describe them.

Practical Use in the Water Quality Model

The proposed water quality model describes the condition (hydrologically, hydraulically, and chemically) of the Flotation Tailings Basin in any given year. Therefore, inputs to the model are required on an

annual basis. The distributions proposed for specific areas of the model (delta, pond, etc.), which again are spatially averaged since they are describing areas on the whole, are selected from the probability distribution annually in the model. The distributions above have additional important implications on the modeling because they will be used directly to determine other important model parameters on an annual basis.

- The percent fines in the tailings delta, the porosity in the delta and under the pond, and the percent coarse tailings in the feed material are all used to determine the average annual split of the process plant discharge between delta and subaqueous delivery. This is necessary to ensure proper basin development (i.e., the rate of depth increase in the delta and under the pond is equal).
- The percent fines and the porosity in the tailings delta are used to determine the average annual Van Genuchten (VG) parameters necessary for unsaturated flow in porous media. The VG parameters are not independently generated because the relationships are clearly evident (i.e., increased percent fines results in decreased hydraulic conductivity). These relationships should be maintained in the modeling.
- The Van Genuchten parameters, along with annually variable flow inputs (climate, plant discharge flows, etc.), are used to determine the average annual or steady-state saturation of the tailings delta.
- The average annual saturation of the tailings delta determines the depth at which oxygen can penetrate the tailings due to diffusion which in turn determines the volume of tailings oxidized and pollutant loading in any given year.

Clearly, the distributions outlined in this memo affect many aspects of the water quality model. Therefore, it is important that consensus is reached between PolyMet, their consultants, and the Lead Agencies. The distributions above were created using results from the SAFL report along with professional judgment and knowledge from other operational tailings basins. It is most important that the distributions agreed upon are appropriate for the field-scale Flotation Tailings Basin, and that they properly address the required inputs to the model (spatial/temporal averages versus instants in time or specific locations).

Attachment C

Hydrologic Study of Flotation Tailings Basin

resourceful. naturally.
engineering and environmental consultants

BARR

Memorandum

To: PolyMet
From: Rita Weaver, Barr Engineering
Subject: FTP Pond Bounce Analysis for Freeboard Determination
Date: September 15, 2011
Project: 23/69-0862

As part of the final design requirements for Cell 2E and Cell 1E of the FTB, it was necessary to conduct a hydrology study to determine the bounce in the cells during the Probable Maximum Precipitation (PMP), 1/3 PMP, and 2/3 PMP events. Because the starting water surface elevation and dam heights change over the course of 20 years, Barr evaluated the bounce in the following scenarios:

1st year layout in Cell 2E, which is the lowest dam height for Cell 2E.

7th year layout in Cell 2E, which is the highest dam height for Cell 2E before Cells 2E and 1E combine.

1st year layout in Cell 1E, which will represent the cell layout through year 7.

- 20 year layout in Cell 1/2 E, which is the highest and final dam height.

The following section describes the methodology of calculating the volume of runoff from the cells' watersheds and the bounce in each cell.

Hydrology Calculations

The volume of precipitation at the cells was estimated using methods outlined in the Hydrometeorological Report 51, Probable Maximum Precipitation Estimates, United States East of the 105th Meridian, and Hydrometeorological Report 52, Application of Probable Maximum Precipitation Estimates – United States East of the 105th Meridian (HMR51 and HMR52).

The depth of rainfall for a PMP event is presented in the HMR 51 for watershed sizes of 10 square miles to 20,000 square miles. Because the watersheds of Cell 1E, Cell 2E and Cell 1/2E varied from one to three square miles, Barr extrapolated the given rainfall depths from drainage areas of 10, 200, and 1,000 square miles down to the drainage areas of the cells. The following figure shows the distribution of the rainfall depths to the drainage area on a log scale. Depths for the 10, 200, and 1,000 square mile drainage areas are taken from HMR 51. Depths for the 1 square-mile drainage area have been extrapolated. The maximum PMP depth occurred during the 72-hour storm, so 38" was used for a one square-mile watershed.



This exercise was repeated to determine the PMP depth for the 2 square-mile and 3 square-mile drainage areas and the maximum depths were 36" for a two square-mile watershed and 35" for a three square-mile watershed. The depths for the 1/3 PMP and 2/3 PMP events are 1/3 of the PMP and 2/3 of the PMP respectively.

Using the precipitation depth and the area of the watershed, Barr estimated the volume of rainfall that would be stored in each cell. The following table summarizes the rainfall depths and runoff volumes for each scenario.

Precipitation Depth and Runoff Volume for each Scenario

		1/3 PMP		2/3 PMP		PMP	
Watershed	Watershed Area (sq-mi)	precip depth (in)	runoff volume (ac-ft)	precip depth (in)	runoff volume (ac-ft)	precip depth (in)	runoff volume (ac-ft)
Cell 2 E – Mine Year 1	1.1	12.7	757	25.3	1513	38.0	2270
Cell 2 E – Mine Year 7	1.0	12.7	672	25.3	1338	38.0	2010
Cell 1 E – Mine Year 1 through Mine Year 7	2.8	11.7	1729	23.3	3457	35.0	5186
Cell 1/2 E at 20 years	2.4	12.0	1523	24.0	3046	36.0	4570

Bounce Calculations

The starting water surface elevation is unknown and will vary over time, so Barr determined a range of acceptable starting water surface elevations. By defining a beach length of 625' and then 1250', we were able to calculate the range of available storage volumes for each scenario. The shorter beach length results in the smallest bounce, but the highest peak water surface elevation in the cell. The longer beach length results in a lower peak water surface elevation, but shows the maximum bounce in the cell.

We compared the runoff volume to the available storage volume to determine the bounce in each cell. The following two tables show the estimated bounce and peak water surface elevation for each storm event and each scenario using the 625' or 1250' beach length.

Bounce and Peak Water Surface Elevation, assuming a beach width of 625'

			1/3 PMP		2/3 PMP		PMP	
Watershed	Assumed Starting WSE	Max Dam Elev.	Bounce	Peak Elev.	Bounce	Peak Elev.	Bounce	Peak Elev.
Cell 2 E – Mine Year 1	1578.75	1602	2.25	1581.00	4.25	1583.00	6.00	1584.75
Cell 2 E – Mine Year 7	1651.75	1662	1.50	1653.25	3.25	1655.00	4.75	1656.50
Cell 1 E – Mine Year 1 through Mine Year 7	1650	1672(1)	4.75	1654.75	8.00	1658.00	10.75	1660.75
Cell 1/2E at 20 years	1722.75	1732	1.50	1724.25	2.75	1725.50	4.00	1726.75

(1) Maximum dam elevation for the Cell 1E north dam is approximate.

Bounce and Peak Water Surface Elevation, assuming a beach width of 1250'

			1/3 PMP		2/3 PMP		PMP	
Watershed	Assumed Starting WSE	Max Dam Elev.	Bounce	Peak Elev.	Bounce	Peak Elev.	Bounce	Peak Elev.
Cell 2 E – Mine Year 1	1572.5	1602	3.00	1575.50	6.00	1578.50	8.00	1580.50
Cell 2 E – Mine Year 7	1645.5	1662	2.00	1647.50	4.25	1649.75	6.00	1651.50
Cell 1 E – Mine Year 1 through Mine Year 7(1)	1640	1672(2)	9.00	1649.00	14.00	1654.00	17.50	1657.50
Cell 1/2E at 20 years	1716.5	1732	1.80	1718.30	3.50	1720.00	5.00	1721.50

(1) Used bathymetry to calculate volume; not average beach slope.
(2) Maximum dam elevation for the Cell 1E north dam is approximate.

The estimated bounce in the cells ranges from 1.5’ to 17.5’, and the estimated distance between the pond surface and the maximum dam elevation ranges from 5.25’ to 26.5’.

Attachment D

Dam Stability Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan

Tailings Basin Geotechnical Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan

Cell 2E

Prepared for
Poly Met Mining, Inc.

Version 3

May 15, 2017

4300 MarketPointe Drive, Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435-4803
Phone: 952.832.2600
Fax: 952.832.2601

Tailings Basin Geotechnical Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan
Cell 2E

Contents

1.0 Introduction 1
2.0 Stability Monitoring 3
2.1 Instrumentation System 4
2.1.1 Instruments Condition Review 4
2.1.1.1 Inclinometers 5
2.1.1.2 Piezometers 6
2.1.2 Existing Instruments to Be Used 7
2.1.3 New Instruments to be Installed 7
2.2 Instrument Details 7
2.2.1 Piezometers 7
2.2.2 Inclinometers 8
2.2.3 Survey 8
2.3 Data Collection & Analysis 8
2.4 Related Monitoring Activities 9
2.4.1 Construction Monitoring and Quality Control 9
2.4.2 Pond Level Monitoring 10
2.4.3 Tailings Deposition Monitoring 10
3.0 Observational Method 12
3.1 Data Updates 12
3.2 Instrumentation Thresholds 12
3.3 Response to Instrumentation Threshold Incursion 14
4.0 Reporting and Plan Updates 15
Revision History 16
References 17

List of Tables

Table 1 Inclinometer Condition Review 5
Table 2 Piezometer Condition Review 6
Table 3 Instrumentation Summary and Thresholds (Sample Table) 14

List of Large Tables

Large Table 1 Visual Warning Signs
Large Table 2 Instrument Warning Signs

List of Large Figures

Large Figure 1 Cell 2E Location
Large Figure 2 Instrumentation Locations
Large Figure 3 Cell 2E Instrumentation Network
Large Figure 4 Existing & Proposed Instrumentation for Cross-Section H
Large Figure 5 Existing & Proposed Instrumentation for Cross-Section J
Large Figure 6 Existing & Proposed Instrumentation for Cross-Section F
Large Figure 7 Existing & Proposed Instrumentation for Cross-Section G
Large Figure 8 Existing & Proposed Instrumentation for Cross-Section D
Large Figure 9 Existing & Proposed Instrumentation for Cross-Section I
Large Figure 10 Existing & Proposed Instrumentation for Cross-Section K
Large Figure 11 Geotechnical Instrumentation Plan Details

List of Exhibits

Exhibit A Technical Specifications – Fully Grouted Vibrating Wire Piezometers
Exhibit B Technical Specifications – Standpipe Piezometers
Exhibit C Technical Specifications – Inclinometer Installations

Certifications

I hereby certify that this plan, specification, or report was prepared by me or under my direct supervision and that I am a duly Licensed Professional Engineer under the laws of the state of Minnesota.



Thomas J. Radue

PE #: 20951

Senior Geotechnical Engineer

05/15/2017

Date

1.0 Introduction

This Tailings Basin Geotechnical Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan (Plan) describes the plan for geotechnical instrumentation and monitoring of Cell 2E, the first cell of the Tailings Basin that will be reactivated for disposal of Flotation Tailings for Poly Met Mining, Inc.'s (PolyMet's) NorthMet Project (Project). In this document, the Flotation Tailings Basin (FTB) refers to the proposed NorthMet Flotation Tailings impoundment placed atop Cells 1E and 2E of the former LTV Steel Mining Company (LTVSMC) tailings basin, and the Tailings Basin is the combined LTVSMC tailings basin and the FTB. The purpose of this Plan is to guide monitoring of the Cell 2E dams to maintain safe operation of the Tailings Basin. Cell 2E is located in the northeast portion of the Tailings Basin as shown on Large Figure 1. The design and operation of the FTB dams are described in this Flotation Tailings Management Plan.

Stability monitoring will be required throughout construction, operations, and after closure to verify that FTB dam design constraints are met. Stability monitoring will include existing and proposed piezometers to monitor the piezometric surface in the FTB dams, and inclinometers and survey monuments to monitor dam movement. The instrumentation will be located within the perimeter dams of Cell 2E (the north dam of Cell 2E, the dam between Cell 2E and 1E, the dam between Cell 2E and 2W), and in a section of the north face of the dam connecting Cell 2E and 2W. The monitoring system will be expanded as tailings deposition transitions from Cell 2E to Cell 1E/2E, and data will periodically be gathered and analyzed from other existing monitoring points as necessary to confirm overall Tailings Basin dam stability. Large Figure 2 shows the locations of existing instruments.

This Plan for Cell 2E includes:

- Stability monitoring plans, including instrument locations, instrument descriptions, and data collection and analysis plans
- Planned monitoring activities that provide information related to dam stability, including construction monitoring, pond level monitoring, and tailings deposition monitoring
- Reporting
- Exhibit A – Technical Specifications – Fully Grouted Vibrating Wire Piezometers
- Exhibit B – Technical Specifications – Standpipe Piezometers
- Exhibit C – Technical Specifications – Inclinometer Installations

This Plan provides detail on Cell 2E instrumentation upgrades and monitoring to be performed prior to development of the FTB. This Plan will be updated once construction and tailings deposition begins. The Plan will also be reviewed on a periodic basis and updated as needed due to dam raises, when the FTB expands from Cell 2E to combined Cells 1E/2E, as instrumentation becomes worn or obsolete, and as otherwise needed to maintain sufficient geotechnical instrumentation and monitoring of the Tailings Basin dams.

This Plan focuses on Cell 2E, the only cell that will receive tailings for deposition through Mine Year 7, with dam raises occurring to maintain required tailings capacity and freeboard. Concurrent with the specified Cell 2E monitoring, monitoring instrumentation planned to remain in dam sections for Cells 1E and 2W will continue to be monitored and periodically improved or replaced as needed. Prior the point in time where Cells 2E and 1E merge and dam raises on the combined Cell 1E/2E begin, a comprehensive update of this Plan will occur to identify instrumentation upgrades and additions required to monitor the combined cells.

2.0 Stability Monitoring

Stability monitoring of Cell 2E will be carried out during all phases of the Project: construction, operations, and closure. Prior to and during construction, piezometers will be installed to monitor pore water pressures within the dam and foundation, and inclinometers and survey monuments will be installed to monitor for deformation of the dams. This instrumentation and data will add to that already in place. A comprehensive monitoring database will be developed to save and track piezometer, inclinometer, and survey data for the dam throughout the 20-year period of construction and operation. Monitoring of the dam will continue upon Tailings Basin closure until the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources allows reduction or discontinuance.

Selection of locations to be monitored is based on a variety of factors:

- To monitor dam performance at dam cross-section locations believed to be more susceptible to movement than other cross-section locations, due to factors such as cross-section specific foundation conditions, tailings layering and strength characteristics, and/or seepage conditions
- To provide piezometric and inclinometer data along dam cross-sections to facilitate seepage and stability analysis
- To monitor dam cross-sections that may be irregular in geometry or subject to unique loading conditions
- To compare current and future dam performance to historic performance when historic data exists

Stability monitoring, in conjunction with future geotechnical explorations, will provide data for Dam Safety Inspections (Section 5 this Flotation Tailings Management Plan). It will also be used to validate and refine the slope stability models (Appendix B of this Dam Safety Permit). As described in this plan new instrumentation will be installed prior to restarting the Tailings Basin. Additional geotechnical data and baseline instrument readings will be gathered concurrent with and following the instrument installations. Following the second year of operation, a geotechnical exploration will be performed to verify that the Flotation Tailings have been deposited in accordance with the initial slope seepage and stability modeling. Similar explorations and modeling analyses will be performed on a periodic basis throughout the construction of the FTB dams to allow for immediate corrections to the design, if needed, to maintain stability.

Instrumentation and monitoring for Cell 2E dams will consist of:

- **Vibrating Wire and Standpipe Piezometers** – to monitor the piezometric surface in the dams and foundations and the increases in pore water pressure resulting from the dam construction and tailings deposition
- **Inclinometers** – to monitor dam movement during and after construction of the dam

- **Survey Monuments** – to monitor dam movement
- **Construction Monitoring** – to monitor material type and compaction and target grade elevations
- **Pond Level Monitoring** – to monitor pond elevation, pond size, and beach length
- **Tailings Deposition Monitoring** – to monitor pond setbacks, tailings deposition profile, and material strength

Personnel who will be responsible for Cell 2E dam management are:

- *PolyMet Operations Contact* – Beneficiation Division Manager or designee – Responsible for overall Cell 2E design, planning, operations, maintenance, and monitoring.
- *Design Engineer* (a Minnesota-registered professional engineer retained as an independent consultant specifically for dam safety expertise) – Responsible for geotechnical explorations and data gathering, performance monitoring data review and interpretation, dam safety inspection and reporting assistance, tailings dam planning and design assistance, and permitting assistance.

2.1 Instrumentation System

The stability monitoring program for Cell 2E will use a combination of existing and new instruments to monitor the piezometric surface in the dams, increases in pore water pressure, and dam movement. Instruments in the monitoring network will be evaluated for maintenance and/or replacement as part of reporting procedures discussed in Section 4.0. Instruments may be added to or removed from this network as necessary in conjunction with changes to the Project. Not all existing instruments will be part of the ongoing monitoring plan: some will be decommissioned. Large Figure 2 identifies the existing instruments. Table 1 and Table 2 describe the current condition of the instrumentation (based on review in 2016), and recommend instrumentation for continued use or for decommissioning. Large Figure 3 shows existing and proposed instruments to be used in the Cell 2E monitoring network.

2.1.1 Instruments Condition Review

An instrumentation conditions review is completed annually in conjunction with instrumentation data review. As described in the following sections a number of instruments are recommended for decommissioning, and in some cases for replacement. This includes a number of inclinometers as identified in Table 1, all pneumatic piezometers, and one pneumatic piezometer/inclinometer. The inclinometers are being decommissioned because valid data cannot be collected due to inclinometer casing abnormalities. Pneumatic piezometers will be reviewed concurrent with new instrument installations and if those that require maintenance (Table 2) cannot be returned to full functionality, they may also be replaced at that time. Decommissioning of these instruments will occur concurrent with or in advance of Cell 2E construction activities.

2.1.1.1 Inclinometers

The basin has been inactive since January of 2001. Monitoring of inclinometers has continued since then, but the inclinometers have not been routinely maintained or replaced because their usefulness tends to diminish with time (the risk of dam movement at the inactive Tailings Basin and the relative value of the inclinometer data diminishes with time). Prior to reactivation of the basin new inclinometers will be installed as described later in this plan. Of the existing inclinometers, four are fully functional, four are suspect, and three are recommended for decommissioning, as listed in Table 1. The inclinometers are being decommissioned because valid data cannot be collected. Decommissioning of these instruments will occur concurrent with or in advance of Cell 2E construction activities.

Table 1 Inclinometer Condition Review

Instrument	Cross-Section Location	Status	Comments
DH96-10	E	Functional	Continue to collect and review data
DH96-12	E	Suspect – collect to evaluate for changing conditions, but flag for replacement	Apparent settlement-based casing errors (DPE) which may worsen with time
DH96-18	A	Functional	Continue to collect and review data
DH96-19	A	Decommission – replace prior to basin restart	Probe cannot reach the bottom of the casing, data are not meaningful
DH96-28	H	Functional	Continue to collect and review data
DH96-32	H	Decommission – replace prior to basin restart	Casing abnormalities, data are not meaningful
DH96-37	J	Suspect – collect to evaluate for changing conditions for now, but consider for replacement	Apparent settlement-based casing errors (DPE) which may worsen with time
DH96-46	F	Suspect – collect to evaluate for changing conditions, but flag for replacement	Casing abnormalities, lack of confidence in data
DH96-47	F	Decommission – replace prior to basin restart	Casing abnormalities, data are not meaningful
DH99-1	H	Suspect – collect to evaluate for changing conditions, but flag for replacement	Casing abnormalities, lack of confidence in data
2E_2016_INC_K2	K	Functional	New installation, continue to collect and review data

2.1.1.2 Piezometers

Multiple types of piezometers exist at the site, including standpipe piezometers, vibrating wire (VW) piezometers, and pneumatic piezometers. Due to age and lack of maintenance and issues with failing or stuck diaphragms, all pneumatic piezometers are recommended for decommissioning. New vibrating wire piezometers in the existing Cell 1E/2E splitter dam at Cross-Section K are collecting baseline data and have no apparent issues. The condition of standpipe piezometers are described in Table 2. These instruments will be decommissioned concurrent with or in advance of Cell 2E construction activities.

Table 2 Piezometer Condition Review

Instrument	Cross-Section Location	Status	Comments
A-1	A	Functional	Continue to collect and review data
A-3	A	Functional	Continue to collect and review data
A-9	A	Functional	Continue to collect and review data
B-2	B	Functional	Continue to collect and review data
P1B1-99	B	Functional	Continue to collect and review data
P1B-99	B	Functional	Appears to be dry now, continue to collect and review data
D-1	D	Functional, but recommend maintenance	Continue to collect and review data; redevelop and slug test piezometer to assess quality of data and condition of piezometer
D-4	D	Functional, but recommend maintenance	Continue to collect and review data; redevelop and slug test piezometer to assess quality of data and condition of piezometer
E-5	E	Requires maintenance	Blockage at 20 feet – recommend flushing and using downhole camera to review
F-2	F	Functional, but recommend maintenance	Continue to collect and review data; redevelop and slug test piezometer to assess quality of data and condition of piezometer
G-2	G	Functional, but recommend maintenance	Continue to collect and review data; redevelop and slug test piezometer to assess quality of data and condition of piezometer
P2HA-99	H	Functional, but recommend maintenance	Continue to collect and review data; redevelop and slug test piezometer to assess quality of data and condition of piezometer
P2HB-99	H	Functional	Continue to collect and review data
P1H-99	H	Functional	Continue to collect and review data
P3H1-99	H	Functional	Appears to be dry now, continue to collect and review data
P2H1-99	H	Functional	Appears to be dry now, continue to collect and review data

Instrument	Cross-Section Location	Status	Comments
P1H1-99	H	Functional	Continue to collect and review data
P3H-99	H	Functional	Appears to be dry now, continue to collect and review data
K-1	K	Functional	Continue to collect and review data
K-2	K	Functional	Continue to collect and review data
K-3	K	Functional	Continue to collect and review data

2.1.2 Existing Instruments to Be Used

Table 1 and Table 2 list the existing instruments, their current level of functionality, and their intended use in the future. Existing instruments to be used in the Cell 2E monitoring network include standpipe piezometers, and vibrating wire piezometers as identified on Large Figure 3 and shown in detail on Large Figure 4 through Large Figure 10.

2.1.3 New Instruments to be Installed

Proposed new instruments consist of 72 nested vibrating wire (VW) piezometers and 12 inclinometers as identified on Large Figure 3 and shown in detail on Large Figure 4 through Large Figure 10. The new instruments will be located in the Cell 2E perimeter dams that correspond to six cross-section locations (H, J, F, G, D and I) shown on Large Figure 3. Installation will occur prior to FTB dam construction and deposition of Flotation Tailings in Cell 2E.

Future instrument installations will occur with alternating lifts of dam construction and will be evaluated as part of future stability monitoring plan updates. The overall philosophy for instrumentation placement and objectives for monitoring is summarized in Section 0 and described further in Section 3.0.

2.2 Instrument Details

The following sections provide instrumentation details for the Cell 2E monitoring network. Monitoring locations will be reviewed annually and modified as needed throughout the life of Cell 2E. Monitoring points that become non-functional or that no longer warrant monitoring based on the annual evaluation will be properly decommissioned. However, it will be preferable to consistently monitor the same points throughout the life of the FTB; supplemented with additional instrument installations as dam height increases.

2.2.1 Piezometers

Nested VW piezometers will be installed along the typical cross-sections modeled as a part of the dam design process and at other nearby locations as necessary for ongoing performance monitoring of the dams. The piezometers will be placed to allow for monitoring of pore water pressures within the dam profile. Each piezometer nest will include three piezometers. The bottom piezometer at each location will be installed in the native till below the dam (referred to as piezometer "c" in the nest) to understand pore

water pressure within the relatively permeable foundation. The middle piezometer will generally be placed at an elevation of 10 feet above the native material and tailings interface to monitor conditions along typical modeled slope failure surfaces (referred to as piezometer "b" in the nest). The top piezometer will generally be placed in the existing slimes and fine tailings below the existing LTVSMC coarse tailings (referred to as piezometer "a" in the nest), where pressures are often elevated due to the fine nature of those existing slimes and fine tailings. Having nested piezometers allows for an understanding of whether upward or downward flow (or both) are occurring at given location.

For Cross-Sections H, J, F, and G on Large Figure 3, the piezometer nests will be installed at four locations along the dam profile, as shown on Large Figure 4 through Large Figure 7. For Cross-Sections D and I on Large Figure 3, the piezometer nests will be installed at the crest of the existing dams as shown on Large Figure 8 and Large Figure 9. Piezometers at Cross-Section K shown on Large Figure 10 have been installed at the crest, mid-slope of the north-facing slope, and at the north toe of the dam. Monitoring zones for these piezometers are preliminary and will be confirmed in the field at the time of installation.

VW piezometers will be installed per requirements of the Technical Specifications (Exhibit A) and Installation Diagram (Large Figure 11). If standpipe piezometers are installed at a future date, installation will generally follow the configurations shown on Large Figure 11 and follow specifications in Exhibit B.

2.2.2 Inclinometers

Standard inclinometers were previously installed along a number of alignments in all Tailings Basin cells. However, according to recent dam safety inspections (Reference (1)) those inclinometers have been compromised and will be decommissioned. New inclinometers will be installed at Cross-Sections H, J, F, G, D, and I to monitor for deformation. The inclinometers will be positioned such that they intersect the anticipated surface of greatest deflection; the model-estimated surface yielding the lowest slope stability safety factor. An inclinometer is already installed at Cross-Section K. Inclinometers may be combined with select proposed piezometer boreholes if deemed appropriate during geotechnical installations. Typical installation details are included on Large Figure 11 and technical specifications are provided in Exhibit C.

2.2.3 Survey

A full topographic survey of Cell 2E will be performed at least annually; with localized topographic surveys performed more frequently during dam construction activities, generally on the order of monthly or quarterly. At least once during the year, survey measurements will be taken of 21 survey monuments along Cross-Sections H, J, F, G, D, I, and K, checking for any sign of horizontal or vertical movement. The survey monuments are identified on Large Figure 3 and shown in detail on Large Figure 4 through 10. A reference datum will be selected such that benchmarks are on solid ground well beyond the footprint of the dam. Survey frequency will decrease in the future after Cell 2E closure.

2.3 Data Collection & Analysis

Piezometer, inclinometer, and survey readings will be taken quarterly, at a minimum, to detect any potential instability. Readings will be collected more frequently during construction activities to guide any real-time adjustment of the construction rate needed to maintain stability. Frequency will be dependent

on the rate of construction and will be determined at the time the construction schedule is determined. A Project data network will collect data continuously from VW piezometers (and VW inclinometers if subsequently installed). The continuous readings will be recorded using a datalogger and are recommended to be downloaded monthly or at an increased frequency if the need arises.

Preconstruction data analysis will generally be performed annually with the dam safety review, or more frequently if conditions are observed to be changing (i.e., high pond levels, etc.). Further evaluations of data will be performed on a monthly to quarterly basis during the first stage of construction. These analyses will include field measurements of standpipe piezometers and inclinometers to verify readings obtained by the monitoring system. The frequency of ongoing analyses will be re-evaluated as construction and operation progress.

Ongoing monitoring will be performed by the Design Engineer or authorized representative to allow for real-time modification of construction, monitoring, and operation means and methods as required to maintain dam safety.

Each dam raise will require data collection and analysis. The piezometric surface of water flowing through the Tailings Basin and FTB dams must be controlled to maintain the phreatic surface at or below the surface determined in SEEP/W modeling (Appendix B of this Dam Safety Permit). Prior to each FTB dam raise, the allowable water level elevations in piezometers and the movements predicted to occur in inclinometers will be established. Elevation data consisting of the dam surface elevation, instrument depth, allowable water level, and measured water level will be collected, and observed elevations will be compared to allowable elevations. Threshold values will be established as described in Section 3.2. Variation between observed piezometric water levels and allowable piezometric water levels (toward or beyond threshold values) will require review and consultation with the Design Engineer to determine what actions, if any, are required to reduce water levels. Threshold vertical and lateral movement for inclinometers and survey markers will be established for each monitoring location.

2.4 Related Monitoring Activities

Ongoing monitoring and periodic updates to data utilized in seepage and stability modeling will be part of the overall stability monitoring activities. Additional detail on these related monitoring activities can be found in other facility documents including this Flotation Tailings Management Plan. Results of these monitoring activities will be included in the annual reporting and the dam safety inspections.

2.4.1 Construction Monitoring and Quality Control

Construction activity associated with Cell 2E includes construction of buttressing for the north dam, and upstream dam construction of eight individual lifts, the first starting during the first year of operation. Construction monitoring and construction quality control requirements are outlined in the construction specifications and therefore are not repeated herein. Further, construction quality control testing and monitoring requirements will be outlined in detail prior to each construction event as a means by which the personnel assigned by PolyMet to be responsible for tailings basin construction can readily track and

confirm that the necessary construction monitoring and quality control is being implemented. Construction monitoring and quality control activities will fall into three broad categories:

- *Quality Control Surveying* – to collect data on elevations, grades, slopes, and material thickness. Survey data includes finished elevations and dam features. Specific locations for survey points include the dams, bentonite-amended cover, buttresses, and tailings discharge and return water pipelines as described in the construction specifications (Attachment G of Flotation Tailings Management Plan).

- *Soil & Material Testing* – to include in-field and in-laboratory material testing by an independent geotechnical exploration contractor and soils testing laboratory. Field and laboratory tests are outlined in the construction specifications and include sieve analysis, soil compaction tests, and other tests that may be required (Attachment G of Flotation Tailings Management Plan), in addition to construction event specific geotechnical explorations that may be specified. Testing of materials used for dam construction will occur for each phase of construction to identify trends in variability of the construction materials.

- *Instrumentation Monitoring* – to include non-routine instrument-specific (i.e., inclinometers, piezometers, survey markers) monitoring and reporting through the course of specific construction events.

Information collected as part of construction will be included in annual reporting.

2.4.2 Pond Level Monitoring

Pond water levels will be routinely monitored and managed to maintain sufficient freeboard between the pond water level and the top of the Cell 2E dams. Pond water levels will be recorded daily (manually or via automated systems.) A data trend toward insufficient freeboard will immediately be brought to the attention of the *Operations Contact*. Pond water level records will be included in annual reporting.

2.4.3 Tailings Deposition Monitoring

Tailings deposition in Cell 2E will be monitored through a variety of activities including:

- *Setback Observations* – observations of the beach width to maintain the specified setback between the inside crest of the dam and the edge of the pond provides an indicator of adequate freeboard to manage the pond during severe precipitation events. Observations will occur daily and become more frequent with prolonged and/or intense rainfall events (Section 5.3.3 of this Flotation Tailings Management Plan).

- *Bathymetric Survey* – a bathymetric survey of the dams and Flotation Tailings will monitor the deposition profile of tailings and allow for updates to deposition practices as necessary. The survey will occur during the first year of operations and then once every other year (Section 5.3.3 of this Flotation Tailings Management Plan).

- *Material Strength Investigations* – cone penetration test (CPT) soundings, vane shear tests (VST) and/or standard penetration test (SPT) borings will confirm the strength of deposited Flotation Tailings in Cell 2E. The investigation will occur within the first year of operations and then at least once every other year (Section 5.3.3 of this Flotation Tailings Management Plan).

3.0 Observational Method

The observational method employs sequences of data gathering, detailed calculations and performance predictions, additional data gathering and observations, and design modifications as needed to maintain required operating conditions at the Tailings Basin. First, the engineer uses available information to prepare an initial concept and design that will predict the behavior of the basin. As the stages of construction progress, the engineer monitors and tests the site to obtain more detailed information. Information from this instrumentation and monitoring program is an example of this stage in the Observational Method. The predicted behavior is now compared with the measured behavior, enabling the engineer to revise the original predictions. Repeating this process leads to successive refinements in tailings basin dam design and construction. Tailing basin dams are typically built in stages, thus the observational method to design is well suited for minimizing risk.

3.1 Data Updates

Part of the Observational Method entails additional data gathering, followed by data interpretation and then design review and adjustment as needed in response to the new data. Data types that will typically be updated through the course of Tailings Basin development include:

- Geotechnical Data – from future instrumentation installation activities, geotechnical explorations and in-laboratory material testing
- Instrumentation Data – piezometric data and deformation data from inclinometers and survey monuments
- Observations and Monitoring Data – from pond level data, from construction observations, from topographic surveys, and from systematic and periodic site review

The gathered data will, when appropriate and necessary, be used to adjust material strength, basin geometry, and/or basin operating criteria.

3.2 Instrumentation Thresholds

A threshold value for instrumentation is a reading that indicates a significant departure from the expected range of readings based on design modeling and prompts an action such as increased surveillance or an emergency action. A threshold value is set in consideration of the values used in the analysis or design, and is influenced by the historical data and predictions of future performance. Threshold values must be established based on the specific circumstances of the site. They may be used to identify unusual readings, readings outside the limits of the instrument's historic range, and/or readings that, in the judgement of the responsible engineer, need evaluation. Both magnitude and rate of change in values may need to be established. Threshold values for various instruments (e.g., piezometers, inclinometers, and survey monuments) will be established following completion of instrumentation installation and baseline monitoring (prior to initiating operations at the Tailings Basin) and revised throughout the project life, based on the following list of factors.

- Historical data: Historic data, considering seasonal fluctuations, variations due to historical construction activities and considering magnitude and rate of changes.

- Sensitivity analysis: For selected critical sections, sensitivity analysis will be performed to identify threshold values such as pore pressures, stresses and deformation that trigger a failure in the model (limit equilibrium method). Combinations of selected instruments (e.g., piezometers or inclinometers) will be utilized in sensitivity analyses to trigger a failure in the model. Advanced numerical analysis (e.g., FLAC) will be considered, if warranted, to set threshold criteria related to deformation, considering progressive failures and excessive deformations that the limit equilibrium method is unable to accommodate.

- Trend analysis: The established threshold values may be modified and updated based on overall trends. Data will follow trends, such as decreasing or increasing with time or depth, seasonal fluctuation, direct variation with basin water level, direct variation with temperature, or a combination of such trends. Data inconsistent with established trends will be investigated and verified.

- Failure mode: The threshold values will be associated with potential failure mode, such as piezometer readings associated with stress increase and strength decrease sufficient to induce slope instability. Inclinometers or survey monuments will be associated with displacement or deformation of the dam that may or may not be directly associated with eminent failure. The threshold values will be established based on the potential failure modes.

- Visual monitoring: The instrumentation threshold values will be established along with the visual monitoring program.

- Revision: The established threshold values (magnitude and rate of changes) will be regularly calibrated in response to ongoing data acquisition and review.

Table 3 is an example of the expected and threshold data values that will be established for each inclinometer and each piezometer as a means to compare predicted data values with measured values. The table will be fully populated once the new instrumentation is installed, baseline data is established, and corresponding geotechnical models updated to produce the table data.

Table 3 Instrumentation Summary and Thresholds (Sample Table)

Monitoring Device	Purpose	Name and Location	Monitoring Frequency	Normal Data Range
Vibrating Wire Piezometers	Measurement of Pore Water Pressure (Hydraulic Head)	XX-XX-X1	Continuous	Pore water pressure between XX and YY
		XX-XX-X2		Pore water pressure between XX and YY
		XX-XX-X3		Pore water pressure between XX and YY
ShapeAccelArray (SAA) Inclinometers	Measure Horizontal Slope Movement	YY-YY-Y1	Continuous	Distance vs Time (TBD)
		YY-YY-Y2		Distance vs Time (TBD)
		YY-YY-Y3		Distance vs Time (TBD)
Survey Monuments (Alignment Hubs)	Measure Horizontal and Vertical Slope Movement	AA-AA-A1	As Specified During Construction Events Monthly During Routine Operations	Distance vs Time (TBD)
		AA-AA-A2		Distance vs Time (TBD)
		AA-AA-A3		Distance vs Time (TBD)

3.3 Response to Instrumentation Threshold Incursion

Once an instrument reading falls above or below the established threshold value, an action is required. The range of action levels will depend on degree of departure of the data, number of instruments that show similar indications, severity of the situation and potential failure modes. Examples of situations that may require action include:

- A minor departure from the historical record (possibly in order to simply receive an alert from the person reading the instrument thus verifying that measurements are being monitored)
- A major departure from the historical record (possibly indication of a developing failure mode)
- A departure from historical reaction to changes in other instruments
- Levels indicating the approach of potential instability or other forms of failure such as piping

Once threshold values are established following instrument installation and baseline monitoring, this Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan will be updated to document instrumentation names, locations, and monitoring frequencies.

Recommended response actions to instrumentation threshold incursion are summarized in Large Table 1 and Large Table 2.

4.0 Reporting and Plan Updates

Annual reports will present a compilation and evaluation of the information collected from instrumentation and monitoring described in this Plan, and may include the following:

- Evaluation of survey data
- Evaluation of monthly data downloaded from VW piezometers (and SAA or automated inclinometers if subsequently installed)
- Evaluation of the adequacy of the existing instrumentation and determination of the need for any changes (new installations, maintenance, or instrument decommissioning)
- Updates to cross-sections documenting construction activities associated with dam lifts
- QAQC of the monitoring data to identify potential errors and trends that may warrant instrument maintenance and/or replacement

In cases where updates to seepage and slope stability models are warranted based on data from instrumentation and monitoring varying from what is expected, any resulting recommendations for modifications to dam design and/or Tailings Basin operations or monitoring will be included in the annual report.

This Plan will be updated periodically based on changes to facility operations, instrumentation, and construction activity. These updates are expected to occur:

- Prior to initial construction
- Prior to each subsequent dam raise

Revision History

Date	Version	Description
December 7, 2012	1	Initial release as Attachment D to Version 2 of the Flotation Tailings Management Plan
April 12, 2013	1	Attachment D to Version 3 of the Flotation Tailings Management Plan
November 21, 2014	1	Attachment D to Version 4 of the Flotation Tailings Management Plan
March 3, 2015	1	Attachment D to Version 5 of the Flotation Tailings Management Plan
July 11, 2016	2	Attachment D to Version 6 of the Flotation Tailings Management Plan
May 15, 2017	3	Attachment D to Version 7 of the Flotation Tailings Management Plan

References

1. **Barr Engineering Co.** 2013-2014 Tailings Basin Dam Safety Inspection Report. Prepared for Cliffs Erie, LLC. February 2015.

Large Tables

Large Table 1 Visual Warning Signs

Visual Warning Sign and Typical Location	Corresponding Change in Instrumentation Values (depending on location of movement relative to instrumentation)	Potential/Actual Consequences and Notification Procedures	Required Action
Signs of slowly forming erosion at toe and/or exterior face of slope.	No change in instrumentation values expected.	Potential dam instability and/or eventual dam failure if erosion continues. **Level 1 and Level 2 (see Table Notes)**	1) Discuss findings with the Design Engineer. 2) Be prepared to carry out one or more responses such as: a. Resolve source of erosion. b. Repair erosion area. c. Re-establish vegetation (modify design if recommended by Design Engineer). d. Re-inspect area on weekly basis until area is fully restored.
Soft toe condition or increased seepage at downstream slope or dam toe.	Potential increase in piezometric levels.	Internal erosion or slope slumping and eventual dam failure. **Level 1 and Level 2**	1) Discuss the findings with the Design Engineer. 2) Commission a field investigation program if so recommended. 3) Be prepared to carry out one or more responses including: Modification of basin pond operating procedures. Placement of graded overburden/buttress. Installation of drain system. Other design modifications if recommended by Design Engineer.
Cracks developing at dam crest or in slope.	Potential increase in piezometric levels. Potential slope deformation at inclinometers. Potential deflection in alignment monuments.	Deformation of dam structure that may lead to eventual dam failure. **Level 2; potential Level 3**	1) Increase frequency of dam walk-overs to daily until the problem is understood and addressed. 2) Seek advice from the Design Engineer. 3) Monitor crack development for increase in size, spacing, etc. 4) Commission a field investigation if so recommended. 5) Be prepared to carry out one or more responses including: a. Modification of pond and/or basin operating procedures. b. Placement of graded overburden/buttress. c. Temporary cessation of operations. d. Reduction in pond elevation (planned or emergency).
High turbidity in dam seepage flow.	Potential increase in piezometric levels.	Internal erosion and eventual dam failure. **Level 2; potential Level 3**	1) Increase frequency of dam walk-overs to daily until the problem is understood and addressed. 2) Seek advice from the Design Engineer. 3) Take water samples for suspended solids determination if recommended by Design Engineer. 4) Commission a field investigation if so recommended. 5) Be prepared to carry out one or more responses including: a. Modification of pond operating procedures. b. Placement of graded overburden/buttress. c. Installation of drain system. d. Reduction in pond elevation (pumping and/or cessation of tailing discharge).
Pond level close to or approaching overflow level; loss of freeboard.	Potential increase in piezometric levels.	Pond water discharge to environment via emergency overflow. **Level 1**	1) Confirm functionality of emergency overflow channel. 2) Immediately undertake actions to reduce the pond level (increased pumping to WWTP as necessary). 3) Temporarily discontinue seepage recovery. 4) Temporarily terminate tailings discharge to pond. 5) Consult with Design Engineer to identify other actions as needed.

Visual Warning Sign and Typical Location	Corresponding Change in Instrumentation Values (depending on location of movement relative to instrumentation)	Potential/Actual Consequences and Notification Procedures	Required Action
Any other change in seepage conditions.	Potential increase in piezometric levels.	Dam stability safety margin affected. **Level 2; potential Level 3**	1) Seek advice from the Design Engineer. 2) Initiate other responses as may be required (temporarily discontinue seepage recovery). 3) Reduction in pond elevation (pumping and/or cessation of tailing discharge).
Slumping, sliding or bulging of a dam slope or adjacent ground.	Potential increase in piezometric levels. Potential slope deformation at inclinometers. Potential deflection in alignment monuments.	Catastrophic dam breach resulting in release of water or water and liquefied tailings. **Level 2; potential Level 3**	As above (blue shaded box) and: 1) Construct stabilizing berm per direction of the Design Engineer. 2) Initiate geotechnical evaluation per direction of the Design Engineer.
Boils observed downstream of dam.	Potential increase in piezometric levels.	An internal erosion failure possible, with potential breach of the dam. **Level 2; potential Level 3**	As above (blue shaded box) and: 1) Place granular filter buttress over the boils, if approved by the Design Engineer. 2) Initiate geotechnical evaluation per direction of the Design Engineer.
Water vortex within the pool.	No change in instrumentation values expected.	An internal erosion failure in progress, with potential breach of the dam. **Level 2; potential Level 3**	As above (blue shaded box) and: 1) Check downstream of the dam area for increased and/or turbid seepage discharge. 2) Place granular filter buttress against any such areas, if approved by the Design Engineer. 3) Initiate geotechnical evaluation per direction of the design engineer.
Severe flood/intense rainstorm or rapid snowmelt resulting in extreme pond level.	Potential increase in piezometric levels.	Overtopping of dam and resulting erosion and over-steepening of the downstream slope, leading to dam failure. **Level 3**	1) Initiate chain of communications and ensure safety of people. 2) Confirm functionality of emergency overflow channel. 3) Stop discharge into the pond. 4) Lower pond by any practical means approved by the Design Engineer.

Notes for Notification Procedures:

Level 1 – Condition that does not warrant emergency response but requires prompt investigation and resolution.

Level 2 – Potential emergency if condition is sustained or allowed to progress; requires response plan.

Level 3 – Imminent or actual failure requiring partial or complete evacuation, emergency communications and response actions.

Large Table 2 Instrumentation Warning Signs

Instrument Type and Typical Location	Instrumentation Warning Sign	Corresponding Visual Changes (dependent on magnitude of movement)	Potential/Actual Consequences and Notification Procedures	Required Action
Piezometer (single or nested) – Located on Perimeter Dams/Slopes and on Cell Splitter Dams/Slope (ref. Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan for Piezometer Names and Locations)	Gradual or Sudden Increase in Water Level in One or More Piezometers, Above Threshold Action Levels (ref. Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan for Piezometer Reading Values – Predicted and Threshold)	1) Soft toe condition or increased seepage at downstream slope or dam toe. 2) Elevated pond level in basin. 3) Increased turbidity in seepage flows. 4) Boils observed downstream of dam.	1) Excessive seepage through dam and potential for dam breach. 2) An internal erosion failure possible, with potential breach of the dam. 3) Catastrophic dam breach resulting in release of water or water and liquefied tailings. **Level 1, 2 or 3 (situation dependent)**	1) Check the reading again; confirm instrumentation functionality. 2) Intensify reading frequency to daily. 3) Seek advice from the Design Engineer. 4) Commission a field investigation if so recommended. 5) Be prepared to carry out one or more responses including: a. Check downstream of the dam area for increased and/or turbid seepage discharge. b. Place granular filter buttress against any such areas, if approved by the Design Engineer. c. Initiate geotechnical evaluation per direction of the design engineer. d. Modify pond and/or basin operating procedures. e. Temporary cease operations/stop discharge into the pond. f. Lower pond by any practical means approved by the Design Engineer.
Inclinometer – Located on Perimeter Dams/Slopes and on Cell Splitter Dams/Slopes (ref. Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan for Inclinometer Names and Locations)	Gradual or Sudden Movement in Horizontal Direction in One or More Inclinometers (ref. Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan for Inclinometer Reading Values – Predicted and Threshold	1) Cracks developing at dam crest or in slope. 2) Slumping, sliding or bulging of a dam slope or adjacent ground.	1) Deformation of dam structure that may lead to eventual dam failure. 2) Catastrophic dam breach resulting in release of water or water and liquefied tailings. **Level 1, 2 or 3 (situation dependent)**	As above (blue shaded box).
Survey Monument – Located on Crest of Perimeter Dams and on Crest of Cell Splitter Dams	Gradual or Sudden Movement in Horizontal and/or Vertical Direction in One or More Survey Monuments	1) Cracks developing at dam crest or in slope. 2) Slumping, sliding or bulging of a dam slope or adjacent ground.	1) Deformation of dam structure that may lead to eventual dam failure. 2) Catastrophic dam breach resulting in release of water or water and liquefied tailings. **Level 1, 2 or 3 (situation dependent)**	As above (blue shaded box).

Notes for Notification Procedures:

Level 1 – Condition that does not warrant emergency response but requires prompt investigation and resolution.

Level 2 – Potential emergency if condition is sustained or allowed to progress; requires response plan.

Level 3 – Imminent or actual failure requiring partial or complete evacuation, emergency communications and response actions.

Large Figures

Project Areas
Tailings Ponds
Public Waters Inventory (PWI) Watercourses[1]
National Hydrography Dataset (NHD) Rivers & Streams[2]
Existing Private Railroad

[1]These are provisional representations of PWI watercourses found on the current paper regulatory maps.
[2]The NHD is a feature-based database that interconnects and uniquely identifies the stream segments or reaches that make up the nation's surface water drainage system. NHD features are created from MnDNR 24K Streams and 1:24,000 USGS quadrangle maps.
Note: Due to previous disturbance, both data sources may show watercourses that no longer exist.

0 750 1,500 3,000
Feet

BARR

CELL 2E LOCATION
MAP NorthMet Project
Poly Met Mining Inc.

Large Figure 1
Tailings Basin Geotechnical
Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan

Barr Footer: ArcGIS 10.3, 2015-07-21 13:11 File: I:\Client\PolyMet_Mining\Work_Orders\Permitting\Dam_SafetyMaps\FTB\Plan\Large Figure 1 Location Map of Cell 2E.mxd User: arm2

VW Piezometer	Inclinometers	Standpipe Piezometer	Pneumatic Piezometers
2E_2016_PZ_K1top	DH96-10	A-1	DH96-10
2E_2016_PZ_K1bottom	DH96-12	A-3	DH96-11
2E_2016_PZ_K2top	DH96-18	A-9	DH96-28A
2E_2016_PZ_K2middle	DH96-19	B-2	DH96-28
2E_2016_PZ_K2bottom	DH96-28	D-1	DH96-30
2E_2016_PZ_K2bedrock	DH96-32	D-4	DH96-32A
2E_2016_PZ_K3middle	DH96-37	E-5	DH96-37A
2E_2016_PZ_K3bottom	DH96-46	F-2	DH96-37A
	DH96-47	P2HA-99	PN1J-99
	DH99-1	P2HB-99	PH1F-99
		P1H-99	
		P3H1-99	
		P2H1-99	
		P1H1-99	
		P3H-99	
		P1B199	
		P1B99	
		G-2	

- Inclinometers
- Pneumatic Piezometers and Inclinometers
- Pneumatic Piezometers
- Standpipe Piezometers
- Vibrating Wire Piezometers

0 1,500 3,000
Feet

BARR

INSTRUMENTATION LOCATIONS
NorthMet Project
Poly Met Mining Inc.

Large Figure 2
Tailings Basin Geotechnical
Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan

Barr Footer: ArcGIS 10.4.1, 2017-03-10,15:12 File: I:\Client\PolyMet_Mining\Work_Orders\Mine_Engineering_Assistance\Maps\Reports\Dam_Safety_Inspections\2016\Large_Figure 2 Instrumentation Locations.mxd User: MRO



Cross Section Locations

Proposed Locations
- Survey Monument
- VW Inclinometer
- Nested VW Piezometer

Existing Locations
- Inclinometer
- Inclinometer with Nested VW Piezometer
- Nested VW Piezometer

- Pneumatic Piezometer
- Pneumatic Piezometer & Inclinometer
- Standpipe Piezometer
- VW Piezometer

0 750 1,500
Feet

BARR

CELL 2E
INSTRUMENTATION NETWORK
NorthMet Project
Poly Met Mining Inc.

Large Figure 3
Tailings Basin Geotechnical
Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan

Barr Footer: ArcGIS 10.4.1, 2017-03-31, 16:34, File: I:\Client\PolyMet_Mining\Work_Orders\PermittingDam_Safety\Maps\EIS\Plan\Large Figure 3 Cell 2E Instrumentation Network.mxd User: MRO

LEGEND:

- STANDPIPE PIEZOMETER LOCATION
- INCLINOMETER LOCATION
- PROPOSED VW PIEZOMETER
- PROPOSED INCLINOMETER
- PROPOSED SURVEY MONUMENT

NOTE:

INSTRUMENT LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE AND WILL BE CONFIRMED IN THE FIELD.



1 — PLAN: CROSS-SECTION H

0 100 200

SCALE IN FEET



1 — SECTION: CROSS-SECTION H

0 50 100

SCALE IN FEET

LEGEND:

- COARSE TAILINGS
- FINE TAILINGS
- SLIMES
- NATIVE TILL
- PEAT

NOTE:

STRAGRAPHY AND INSTRUMENT DEPTH IS APPROXIMATE AND WILL BE UPDATED AS PART OF FUTURE GEOTECHNICAL INSTRUMENT INSTALLATIONS.

EXISTING INSTRUMENTATION

NAME	INSTRUMENTATION	LOCATION	CELL
P2HB-99	Standpipe Piezometer	Toe	2W
P2HA-99	Standpipe Piezometer	Toe	2W
P1H-99	Standpipe Piezometer	Embankment	2W
DH96-28	Pneumatic Piezometer (D)	Toe	2W
DH96-28A	Pneumatic Piezometer (D)	Toe	2W
DH96-32	Pneumatic Piezometer & Inclinometer (D)	Crest	2W
DH96-30	Pneumatic Piezometer (D)	Embankment	2W
DH96-32A	Pneumatic Piezometer (D)	Crest	2W
DH99-1/I1H-99	Inclinometer (D)	Toe	2W

NOTE:

(D) INDICATES INSTRUMENT THAT WILL BE DECOMMISSIONED

PROPOSED INSTRUMENTATION

NAME	INSTRUMENTATION	LOCATION	CELL
2E_2016_pz_H4c	Nested VW Piezometer	Interior	2W
2E_2016_pz_H4b	Nested VW Piezometer	Interior	2W
2E_2016_pz_H4a	Nested VW Piezometer	Interior	2W
2E_2016_pz_H3c	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	2W
2E_2016_pz_H3b	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	2W
2E_2016_pz_H3a	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	2W
2E_2016_pz_H2c	Nested VW Piezometer	Embankment	2W
2E_2016_pz_H2b	Nested VW Piezometer	Embankment	2W
2E_2016_pz_H2a	Nested VW Piezometer	Embankment	2W
2E_2016_pz_H1c	Nested VW Piezometer	Toe	2W
2E_2016_pz_H1b	Nested VW Piezometer	Toe	2W
2E_2016_pz_H1a	Nested VW Piezometer	Toe	2W
2E_2016_in_H2	Inclinometer	Crest	2W
2E_2016_in_H1	Inclinometer	Embankment	2W
2E_2016_sm_H3	Survey Monument	Interior	2W
2E_2016_sm_H2	Survey Monument	Crest	2W
2E_2016_sm_H1	Survey Monument	Embankment	2W

VER NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	7-11-16	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 6 – ATTACHMENT D
2	3-31-17	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 7 – ATTACHMENT D

ISSUE STATUS	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	2	3-31-17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE

SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue

DATE 3-31-17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CMB2

CHECKED: SJW

BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0862

SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN GEOTECHNICAL INSTRUMENTATION PLAN SECTION H

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING COMPANY
4700 WEST 77TH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. LARGE FIGURE 4

REV .

BAR M:\AutoCAD 2011\AutoCAD 2011 Support\enu\Template\Barr_2011_Template.dwt Plot at 1 10/05/2010 14:03:50

INCHES 1 2



1 PLAN: CROSS-SECTION J
0 100 200
SCALE IN FEET



LEGEND:

- COARSE TAILINGS
- FINE TAILINGS
- SLIMES
- NATIVE TILL
- PEAT

1 SECTION: CROSS-SECTION J
0 50 100
SCALE IN FEET

NOTE:

STRAGRAPHY AND INSTRUMENT DEPTH IS APPROXIMATE AND WILL BE UPDATED AS PART OF FUTURE GEOTECHNICAL INSTRUMENT INSTALLATIONS.

LEGEND:

- STANDPIPE PIEZOMETER LOCATION
- INCLINOMETER LOCATION
- PROPOSED VW PIEZOMETER
- PROPOSED INCLINOMETER
- PROPOSED SURVEY MONUMENT

NOTE:

INSTRUMENT LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE AND WILL BE CONFIRMED IN THE FIELD.

EXISTING INSTRUMENTATION

NAME	INSTRUMENTATION	LOCATION	CELL
DH96-39	Pneumatic Piezometer	Tailings/Crest	2E
DH96-37	Pneumatic Piezometer (D)	Embankment	2E
DH96-37A	Pneumatic Piezometer (D)	Embankment	2E
PN1J-99/DH96-40	Pneumatic Piezometer (D)	Tailings/Crest	2E

NOTE:

(D) INDICATES INSTRUMENT THAT WILL BE DECOMMISSIONED

PROPOSED INSTRUMENTATION

NAME	INSTRUMENTATION	LOCATION	CELL
2E_2016_pz_J4c	Nested VW Piezometer	Interior	2E
2E_2016_pz_J4b	Nested VW Piezometer	Interior	2E
2E_2016_pz_J4a	Nested VW Piezometer	Interior	2E
2E_2016_pz_J3c	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	2E
2E_2016_pz_J3b	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	2E
2E_2016_pz_J3a	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	2E
2E_2016_pz_J2c	Nested VW Piezometer	Embankment	2E
2E_2016_pz_J2b	Nested VW Piezometer	Embankment	2E
2E_2016_pz_J2a	Nested VW Piezometer	Embankment	2E
2E_2016_pz_J1c	Nested VW Piezometer	Toe	2E
2E_2016_pz_J1b	Nested VW Piezometer	Toe	2E
2E_2016_pz_J1a	Nested VW Piezometer	Toe	2E
2E_2016_in_J2	Inclinometer	Crest	2E
2E_2016_in_J1	Inclinometer	Embankment	2E
2E_2016_sm_J3	Survey Monument	Interior	2E
2E_2016_sm_J2	Survey Monument	Crest	2E
2E_2016_sm_J1	Survey Monument	Embankment	2E

VER NO	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	7-11-16	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 6 – ATTACHMENT D
2	3-31-17	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 7 – ATTACHMENT D

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	2	3-31-17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–
NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION		

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE
SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue
DATE 3-31-17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CMB2
CHECKED: SJW
BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0862
SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN GEOTECHNICAL INSTRUMENTATION PLAN SECTION J

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING COMPANY
4700 WEST 77TH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. LARGE FIGURE 5
REV .

CADD USER: Andrew T. Dillon FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\POLYMET GEOTECH SECTIONS CMB2.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:1 PLOT DATE: 3/31/2017 2:59 PM
BAR M:\AutoCAD 2011\AutoCAD 2011 Support\enu\Template\Barr_2011_Template.dwt Plot at 1 10/05/2010 14:03:50
INCHES 1 2



1 PLAN: CROSS-SECTION F

0 100 200

SCALE IN FEET

NOTE:

INSTRUMENT LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE AND WILL BE CONFIRMED IN THE FIELD.

LEGEND:

- ⊕ STANDPIPE PIEZOMETER LOCATION
- △ INCLINOMETER LOCATION
- ● PROPOSED VW PIEZOMETER
- ○ PROPOSED INCLINOMETER
- ▲ PROPOSED SURVEY MONUMENT



LEGEND:

- COARSE TAILINGS
- FINE TAILINGS
- SLIMES
- NATIVE TILL
- PEAT

1 SECTION: CROSS-SECTION F

0 50 100

SCALE IN FEET

NOTE:

STRAGRAPHY AND INSTRUMENT DEPTH IS APPROXIMATE AND WILL BE UPDATED AS PART OF FUTURE GEOTECHNICAL INSTRUMENT INSTALLATIONS.

EXISTING INSTRUMENTATION

NAME	INSTRUMENTATION	LOCATION	CELL
F-2	Standpipe Piezometer	Crest	2E
DH96-49/PN1F-99	Standpipe Piezometer	Tailings	2E
DH96-46	Inclinometer (D)	Embankment	2E
DH96-47	Inclinometer (D)	Tailings	2E

NOTE:

(D) INDICATES INSTRUMENT THAT WILL BE DECOMMISSIONED

PROPOSED INSTRUMENTATION

NAME	INSTRUMENTATION	LOCATION	CELL
2E_2016_pz_F4c	Nested VW Piezometer	Interior	2E
2E_2016_pZ_F4b	Nested VW Piezometer	Interior	2E
2E_2016_pz_F4a	Nested VW Piezometer	Interior	2E
2E_2016_pz_F3c	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	2E
2E_2016_pz_F3b	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	2E
2E_2016_pz_F3a	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	2E
2E_2016_pz_F2c	Nested VW Piezometer	Embankment	2E
2E_2016_pz_F2b	Nested VW Piezometer	Embankment	2E
2E_2016_pz_F2a	Nested VW Piezometer	Embankment	2E
2E_2016_pz_F1c	Nested VW Piezometer	Toe	2E
2E_2016_pz_F1b	Nested VW Piezometer	Toe	2E
2E_2016_pz_F1a	Nested VW Piezometer	Toe	2E
2E_2016_in_F2	Inclinometer	Crest	2E
2E_2016_in_F1	Inclinometer	Embankment	2E
2E_2016_sm_F3	Survey Monument	Interior	2E
2E_2016_sm_F2	Survey Monument	Crest	2E
2E_2016_sm_F1	Survey Monument	Embankment	2E

VER NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	7-11-16	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 6 – ATTACHMENT D
2	3-31-17	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 7 – ATTACHMENT D

ISSUE STATUS	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	2	3-31-17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–
NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION		

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE

SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue

DATE 3-31-17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CMB2

CHECKED: SJW

BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0862

SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN GEOTECHNICAL INSTRUMENTATION PLAN SECTION F

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING COMPANY
4700 WEST 77TH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. LARGE FIGURE 6

REV .

CADD USER: Andrew T. Dillon FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\POLYMET GEOTECH SECTIONS CMB2.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:1 PLOT DATE: 3/31/2017 3:01 PM
BAR M:\AutoCAD 2011\AutoCAD 2011 Support\enu\Template\Barr_2011_Template.dwt Plot at 1 10/05/2010 14:03:50

INCHES 1 2



1 PLAN: CROSS-SECTION G

0 100 200

SCALE IN FEET



LEGEND:

- COARSE TAILINGS
- FINE TAILINGS
- SLIMES
- NATIVE TILL
- PEAT

1 SECTION: CROSS-SECTION G

0 50 100

SCALE IN FEET

NOTE:

STRAGRAPHY AND INSTRUMENT DEPTH IS APPROXIMATE AND WILL BE UPDATED AS PART OF FUTURE GEOTECHNICAL INSTRUMENT INSTALLATIONS.

NOTE:

INSTRUMENT LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE AND WILL BE CONFIRMED IN THE FIELD.

LEGEND:

- ⊕ STANDPIPE PIEZOMETER LOCATION
- △ INCLINOMETER LOCATION
- ● PROPOSED VW PIEZOMETER
- ○ PROPOSED INCLINOMETER
- ▲ PROPOSED SURVEY MONUMENT

EXISTING INSTRUMENTATION

NAME	INSTRUMENTATION	LOCATION	CELL
G-2	Standpipe Piezometer	Tailings	2E
G-3	Standpipe Piezometer	Crest	2E

NOTE:
LOCATION FOR INSTRUMENTS B14-76, 07-07B, G-1, 07-07C AND 14-07 ARE APPROXIMATE.

PROPOSED INSTRUMENTATION

NAME	INSTRUMENTATION	LOCATION	CELL
2E_2016_pz_G4c	Nested VW Piezometer	Interior	2E
2E_2016_pz_G4b	Nested VW Piezometer	Interior	2E
2E_2016_pz_G4a	Nested VW Piezometer	Interior	2E
2E_2016_pz_G3c	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	2E
2E_2016_pz_G3b	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	2E
2E_2016_pz_G3a	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	2E
2E_2016_pz_G2c	Nested VW Piezometer	Embankment	2E
2E_2016_pz_G2b	Nested VW Piezometer	Embankment	2E
2E_2016_pz_G2a	Nested VW Piezometer	Embankment	2E
2E_2016_pz_G1c	Nested VW Piezometer	Toe	2E
2E_2016_pz_G1b	Nested VW Piezometer	Toe	2E
2E_2016_pz_G1a	Nested VW Piezometer	Toe	2E
2E_2016_in_G2	Inclinometer	Crest	2E
2E_2016_in_G1	Inclinometer	Embankment	2E
2E_2016_sm_G3	Survey Monument	Interior	2E
2E_2016_sm_G2	Survey Monument	Crest	2E
2E_2016_sm_G1	Survey Monument	Embankment	2E

VER NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	7-11-16	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 6 – ATTACHMENT D
2	3-31-17	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 7 – ATTACHMENT D

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	2	3-31-17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE

SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue

DATE 3-31-17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CMB2

CHECKED: SJW

BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0862

SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN GEOTECHNICAL INSTRUMENTATION PLAN SECTION G

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING COMPANY
4700 WEST 77TH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. LARGE FIGURE 7

REV .

CADD USER: Andrew T. Dillon FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\POLYMET GEOTECH SECTIONS CMB2.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:1 PLOT DATE: 3/31/2017
BAR M:\AutoCAD 2011\AutoCAD 2011 Support\enu\Template\Barr_2011_Template.dwt Plot at 1 10/05/2010 14:03:50
3/31/2017 3:03 PM

INCHES 1 2



1 PLAN: CROSS-SECTION D

0 100 200

SCALE IN FEET

NOTE:

INSTRUMENT LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE AND WILL BE CONFIRMED IN THE FIELD.

LEGEND:

- ⊕ STANDPIPE PIEZOMETER LOCATION
- ⏢ INCLINOMETER LOCATION
- ● PROPOSED VW PIEZOMETER
- ○ PROPOSED INCLINOMETER
- ▲ PROPOSED SURVEY MONUMENT



LEGEND:

- COARSE TAILINGS
- FINE TAILINGS
- SLIMES
- NATIVE TILL
- PEAT

1 SECTION: CROSS-SECTION D

0 50 100

SCALE IN FEET

NOTE:

STRAGRAPHY AND INSTRUMENT DEPTH IS APPROXIMATE AND WILL BE UPDATED AS PART OF FUTURE GEOTECHNICAL INSTRUMENT INSTALLATIONS.

EXISTING INSTRUMENTATION

NAME	INSTRUMENTATION	LOCATION	CELL
D-1	Standpipe Piezometer	Toe of 2E	1E
D-4	Standpipe Piezometer	Tailings	1E

PROPOSED INSTRUMENTATION

NAME	INSTRUMENTATION	LOCATION	CELL
2E_2016_pz_D4c	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	1E
2E_2016_pz_D4b	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	1E
2E_2016_pz_D4a	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	1E
2E_2016_pz_D3c	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	1E
2E_2016_pz_D3b	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	1E
2E_2016_pz_D3a	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	1E
2E_2016_pz_D2c	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	1E
2E_2016_pz_D2b	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	1E
2E_2016_pz_D2a	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	1E
2E_2016_pz_D1c	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	1E
2E_2016_pz_D1b	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	1E
2E_2016_pz_D1a	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	1E
2E_2016_in_D2	Inclinometer	Crest	1E
2E_2016_in_D1	Inclinometer	Embankment	1E
2E_2016_sm_D3	Survey Monument	Interior	1E
2E_2016_sm_D2	Survey Monument	Crest	1E
2E_2016_sm_D1	Survey Monument	Embankment	1E

VER NO	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	7-11-16	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 6 – ATTACHMENT D
2	3-31-17	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 7 – ATTACHMENT D

ISSUE STATUS	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	2	3-31-17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–
NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION		

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE

SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue

DATE 3-31-17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CMB2

CHECKED: SJW

BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0862

SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN GEOTECHNICAL INSTRUMENTATION PLAN SECTION D

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING COMPANY
4700 WEST 77TH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. LARGE FIGURE 8

REV .

CADD USER: Andrew T. Dillon FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\POLYMET GEOTECH SECTIONS CMB2.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:1 PLOT DATE: 3/31/2017 3:06 PM
BAR M:\AutoCAD 2011\AutoCAD 2011 Support\enu\Template\Barr_2011_Template.dwt Plot at 1 10/05/2010 14:03:50

INCHES 1 2

LEGEND:

- ⊕ STANDPIPE PIEZOMETER LOCATION
- ⏢ INCLINOMETER LOCATION
- ● PROPOSED VW PIEZOMETER
- ○ PROPOSED INCLINOMETER
- ▲ PROPOSED SURVEY MONUMENT

NOTE:

INSTRUMENT LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE AND WILL BE CONFIRMED IN THE FIELD.



1 PLAN: CROSS–SECTION I

0 100 200
SCALE IN FEET

PROPOSED INSTRUMENTATION

NAME	INSTRUMENTATION	LOCATION	CELL
2E_2016_pz_I4c	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	2E
2E_2016_pz_I4b	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	2E
2E_2016_pz_I4a	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	2E
2E_2016_pz_I3c	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	2E
2E_2016_pz_I3b	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	2E
2E_2016_pz_I3a	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	2E
2E_2016_pz_I2c	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	2E
2E_2016_pz_I2b	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	2E
2E_2016_pz_I2a	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	2E
2E_2016_pz_I1c	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	2E
2E_2016_pz_I1b	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	2E
2E_2016_pz_I1a	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	2E
2E_2016_in_I2	Inclinometer	Crest	2E
2E_2016_in_I1	Inclinometer	Embankment	2E
2E_2016_sm_I3	Survey Monument	Interior	2E
2E_2016_sm_I2	Survey Monument	Crest	2E
2E_2016_sm_I1	Survey Monument	Embankment	2E

I I'



1 SECTION: CROSS–SECTION I

0 50 100
SCALE IN FEET

LEGEND:

- COARSE TAILINGS
- FINE TAILINGS
- SLIMES
- NATIVE TILL
- PEAT

NOTE:

STRAGRAPHY AND INSTRUMENT DEPTH IS APPROXIMATE AND WILL BE UPDATED AS PART OF FUTURE GEOTECHNICAL INSTRUMENT INSTALLATIONS.

VER NO	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	7–11–16	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 6 – ATTACHMENT D
2	3–31–17	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 7 – ATTACHMENT D

ISSUE STATUS	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	2	3–31–17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–
NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION		

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE

SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue

DATE 3–31–17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CMB2

CHECKED: SJW

BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69–0862

SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN GEOTECHNICAL INSTRUMENTATION PLAN SECTION I

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING COMPANY
4700 WEST 77TH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1–800–632–2277

DWG. NO. LARGE FIGURE 9

REV .

CAD USER: Andrew T. Dillon FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\POLYMET_GEOTECH_SECTIONS_CMB2.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:1 PLOT DATE: 3/31/2017 3:11 PM
BAR M:\AutoCAD 2011\AutoCAD 2011 Support\enu\Template\Barr_2011_Template.dwt Plot at 1 10/05/2010 14:03:50
INCHES 1 2



LEGEND:

- VW PIEZOMETER
- INCLINOMETER WITH NESTED VW PIEZOMETER
- SEEP LOCATION

1 PLAN: CROSS-SECTION K

0 100 200
SCALE IN FEET



2 SECTION: CROSS-SECTION K

0 50 100
SCALE IN FEET

EXISTING INSTRUMENTATION

NAME	INSTRUMENTATION	LOCATION	CELL
2E_2016_PZ_K1 top	Nested VW Piezometer	Perimeter Dam Toe	2E
2E_2016_PZ_K1 bottom	Nested VW Piezometer	Perimeter Dam Toe	2E
2E_2016_PZ-INC_K2 top	Inclinometer with Nested VW Piezometer	Mid-Slope	2E
2E_2016_PZ-INC_K2 middle	Inclinometer with Nested VW Piezometer	Mid-Slope	2E
2E_2016_PZ-INC_K2 bottom	Inclinometer with Nested VW Piezometer	Mid-Slope	2E
2E_2016_PZ_K2 bedrock	VW Piezometer	Mid-Slope	2E
2E_2016_PZ_K3 top	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	2E
2E_2016_PZ_K3 middle	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	2E
2E_2016_PZ_K3 bottom	Nested VW Piezometer	Crest	2E

NOTES:

1. GROUND SURFACE FROM 2016 SURVEY.
2. STRATIGRAPHY IS APPROXIMATE AND FOR CONCEPTUAL PURPOSES ONLY.

VER NO	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	7-11-16	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 6 – ATTACHMENT D
2	3-31-17	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 7 – ATTACHMENT D

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	2	3-31-17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE
SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue
DATE 3-31-17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CMB2
CHECKED: SJW
BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0862
SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN GEOTECHNICAL INSTRUMENTATION PLAN SECTION K

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING COMPANY
4700 WEST 77TH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. LARGE FIGURE 10
REV .

CADD USER: Andrew T. Dillon FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\POLYMET GEOTECH SECTIONS_LARGE FIGURE 10.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:1 PLOT DATE: 3/31/2017 2:55 PM
INCHES 1 2



1/– DETAIL: TYPICAL (VW) VIBRATING WIRE PIEZOMETER INSTALLATION
N.T.S.



2/– DETAIL: TYPICAL INCLINOMETER INSTALLATION
N.T.S.



3/– DETAIL: TYPICAL VIBRATING WIRE PIEZOMETER TIP
N.T.S.

VER NO	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	7–11–16	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 6 – ATTACHMENT D
2	3–31–17	FLOTATION TAILINGS MANAGEMENT PLAN – VERSION 7 – ATTACHMENT D

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	2	3–31–17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE

SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue

DATE 3–31–17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: CMB2

CHECKED: SJW

BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69–0862

SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN GEOTECHNICAL INSTRUMENTATION PLAN DETAILS

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING COMPANY
4700 WEST 77TH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1–800–632–2277

DWG. NO. LARGE FIGURE 11

REV .

CADD USER: Andrew T. Dillon FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690229.10\POLYMET GEOTECH SECTIONS CMB2.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:1 PLOT DATE: 3/31/2017 3:13 PM
BAR M:\AutoCAD 2011\AutoCAD 2011 Support\enu\Template\Barr_2011_Template.dwt Plot at 1 10/05/2010 14:03:50

Exhibits

Exhibit A

Technical Specifications – Fully Grouted Vibrating Wire Piezometers

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS
FULLY GROUTED VIBRATING WIRE PIEZOMETERS

1.0 SCOPE

The work covered under this section of the Specifications consists of furnishing all labor, materials, equipment, and performing all operations necessary to construct and install vibrating wire piezometers using the fully grouted method. The piezometer tips will be provided by the OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE. The proposed piezometer locations are shown on Large Figures 4 through 9 of the Tailings Basin Geotechnical Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan (Plan). Minor adjustments to the proposed locations and elevations may be made in the field by the OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.

2.0 RERENCE STANDARDS

2.1 ASTM D-1586 Standard Test Method for Standard Penetration (SPT) and Split-Barrel Sampling of Soils

2.2 ASTM C-150 Specifications for Portland Cement

In case of conflict between these Technical Specifications and the above standards, the Technical Specifications will prevail.

3.0 SUBMITTALS

3.1 Concrete sand product sheet.

3.2 Sand pack gradation product sheet.

3.3 Bentonite product sheet.

3.4 Portland cement product sheet.

3.5 Drilling fluid addition product sheet.

4.0 MATERIALS

4.1 Drilling Fluid

Drilling fluid for boreholes used for vibrating wire piezometers shall be drilling mud, potable water (defined as water which is safe for human consumption in that it is free from impurities in amounts sufficient to cause disease or harmful physiological effects) and bentonite. Other additives may not be added to maintain a stable borehole.

4.2 Portland Cement

Portland Cement (Type I) shall meet the requirements of ASTM C-150.

PERMITTING

4.3 Bentonite

Bentonite shall be finely ground, premium-grade bentonite, equal to Quick Gel manufactured by NL Baroid Industries, Inc. of Houston, Texas. The bentonite shall be free from lumps and objectionable material that would prevent easy mixing into a smooth fluid, free from lumps of unmixed bentonite.

4.4 Vibrating Wire Piezometer Tip and Cable

The vibrating wire piezometer shall be a 250 psi tip that meets the specifications of the Slope Indicator Company's Model 52611040 vibrating wire piezometer or approved equal. The cable shall be marked at the factory, at the end where the readout gate is connected, with the following minimum details: length, serial number, and pressure range. These will be supplied by the OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.

4.5 Grout for Backfill of Vibrating Wire Piezometers

The grout for backfilling the boreholes of the vibrating wire piezometers shall consist of a mixture of Portland Cement (one bag approximately 94 pounds) to 29 gallons of water to approximately 30 pounds of bentonite as needed. Portland Cement and bentonite shall be weighed and amounts recorded for each batch used for backfill. Water and cement shall be mixed first. The bentonite shall be added slowly under high agitation to make grout creamy, yet pumpable. This yields a cement-water-bentonite ratio by weight of 1:2.5:0.3.

INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR shall provide a scale to weigh out the proportions of this mix, accurate to the nearest pound. Water proportion shall be measured by 5-gallon bucket that is marked at 1-gallon increments. The OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE shall approve the grout mix before it is placed in the borehole.

4.6 Concrete Grout for Protective Casing

Concrete grout for piezometer protective casing installation shall consist of 1 part potable water, 2 parts Portland cement, and 2 parts clean sand.

4.7 Protective Casings

Protective casings shall be embedded into the ground surface and extended over the protruding portions of the piezometer casings. The tops of the protective casings shall extend no more than 4 feet above the ground surface and should be embedded a minimum of 2 feet below ground. The protective casings shall consist of Schedule 40 steel with caps and be at least 12 inches in diameter.

PERMITTING

4.8 Grout Pipe (Disposable)

The disposable grout pipe shall have a large enough diameter to facilitate grout injection into bottom of borehole while fitting inside hollow-stem auger casing. The disposable grout pipe shall be PVC.

5.0 PERFORMANCE

The INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR shall practice good piezometer/monitoring well construction procedures that conform with ASTM or other procedures in these specifications. If, in the opinion of OWNER'S CLIENT or OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE, the INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR'S procedure is inadequate to construct a useable piezometer, the INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR shall change procedures to meet the requirements of these specifications. The piezometers shall be constructed in the borings specified by OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE at the time of drilling.

5.1 Piezometer/Monitoring Well Locations

The general locations of the required piezometers are identified on the previously referenced Figures. OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE will stake the locations of the borings in the field for INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR.

5.2 Vibrating Wire Piezometer Construction

Each boring shall be advanced to the design depth with a 6¼- inch, minimum inside diameter, hollow-stem auger. Each piezometer shall be assembled and installed so that the tip is at the design depth. The porous piezometer filter tip shall be properly saturated before installation into the borehole and set with the tip up and taped to the disposable grout pipe and/or inclinometer casing as appropriate at each borehole location. Final position of the VW piezometer shall be determined in the field by OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.

Once the VW tip has been saturated, the INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR shall assemble the tip, disposable pipe, and cables in a way to prevent the tip from becoming desaturated. If, due to complications during installation, the tip does become desaturated the tip shall be resaturated.

Each VW piezometer tip shall be calibrated by the OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE prior to installation.

Grout for backfilling the vibrating wire piezometers shall be placed in the borehole by pumping under pressure through the disposable grout pipe (tremie pipe). The hollow-stem auger shall be withdrawn as necessary during the grouting process. The grout pipe shall permanently remain in the boring.

Grout for backfilling the vibrating wire piezometers shall be mixed to a smooth and thick cream-like consistency, to where it is as heavy as it is feasible to pump. The

PERMITTING

INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR shall be responsible for supplying a pump that is capable of pumping a heavy slurry mix as previously described.

5.3 Piezometer Protection

The protective casing shall be installed to an approximate depth of 2 feet in the borehole. The exact depth shall be adjusted so that the top of the casing is even with the top of the capped riser pipe. The annulus between the protective casing and the borehole wall shall be filled with concrete grout from the ground surface to a depth of 5 feet. The grout surface outside the casing shall be sloped away from the casing. The annulus between the riser pipe and the protective casing shall be filled with grout to a level no more than 12 inches below the top of riser pipe. Well protection shall only be installed at locations designated by OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.

5.4 Care and Maintenance of Piezometers

During the course of drilling, the INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR shall be responsible for the care and maintenance of the piezometers and shall maintain the site in such a condition and protect the piezometers in such a manner that no undesirable materials are spilled, dripped, or introduced into the borehole by any means.

5.5 Borehole Abandonment

If for any reason a borehole or piezometer cannot be completed, the INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR shall contact OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE for permission to abandon it. The INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR shall not abandon any borehole without being directed to do so by the OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE. Borehole abandonment includes removing all casing, and/or tools from the borehole, sealing the borehole as nearly as possible for its full length with tremied cement grout and restoring the site. If the INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR abandons a borehole without being directed to do so by OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE, no payment for work performed on that borehole or piezometer shall be made.

6.0 MEASUREMENT AND PAYMENT

Payment for all materials, equipment, supplies, and labor necessary to perform the work requested under the terms of this Contract will be made according to EXHIBIT D, SCHEDULE OF UNIT PRICES, included with the Contract Documents. All functions not specifically covered by a pay item shall be considered incidental to the work performed. Payment shall be made only for those items ordered or approved by OWNER'S REPRESENTITIVE and meeting the contract requirements.

6.1 Install Vibrating Wire Piezometers

Payment for vibrating wire piezometer installation will be measured per foot of grout placed. Payment will be on a unit price basis and will constitute full compensation for

PERMITTING

all labor, equipment, and grout required for vibrating wire piezometer installation, and all other items and operations required for piezometer construction. Borehole advancement for piezometer installation is not included in payment for piezometer installation but will be paid as described under Borehole Advancement by Hollow-stem Auger in the situations where a piezometer is not installed in an SPT boring.

6.2 Furnish and Install Grout Tube

Grout tube payment shall be measured per foot of tube installed. Payment shall be on a unit price and will constitute full compensation for all labor, equipment, and materials required for grout tube installation.

6.3 Backfilling Boreholes – Fully Grouted Boreholes

Grouting fully grouted boreholes used for vibrating wire piezometers will be measured for payment by the foot of borehole grouted. Payment will be by the unit price per foot and will constitute full payment for all materials, labor, and equipment required to seal the borehole, and regrade the area. No payment will be made for work performed to abandon a boring or for an equivalent replacement boring when abandonment is necessary because of some fault of the INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR'S personnel, equipment, procedure, materials, or for boreholes abandoned without specific direction by OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE to do so. Work performed and accepted by OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE prior to abandonment will be counted for payment.

PERMITTING

Exhibit B

Technical Specifications – Standpipe Piezometers

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS
STANDPIPE PIEZOMETERS

1.0 SCOPE

The work covered under this section of the Specifications consists of furnishing all labor, materials, equipment, and performing all operations necessary to construct and install all porous stone tip standpipe piezometers and perform well development as required within. The proposed piezometer locations are shown on Large Figures 4 through 9 of the Tailings Basin Geotechnical Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan (Plan). Minor adjustments to the proposed locations and elevations may be made in the field by the OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.

2.0 REFERENCE STANDARDS

2.1 ASTM D-5092 Standard Practice for Design and Installation of Ground Water Monitoring Wells

2.2 ASTM C-150 Specifications for Portland Cement

In case of conflict between these Technical Specifications and the above standards, the Technical Specifications will prevail.

3.0 SUBMITTALS

3.1 Concrete sand product sheet.

3.2 Porous stone tip manufacturer's certificates.

3.3 Sand pack gradation product sheet.

3.4 Bentonite product sheet.

3.5 Portland cement product sheet.

3.6 Drilling fluid addition product sheet.

4.0 MATERIALS

4.1 Drilling Fluid

Drilling fluid for boreholes used for porous stone tip standpipe piezometers shall be potable water, which is defined as water which is safe for human consumption in that it is free from impurities in amounts sufficient to cause disease or harmful physiological effects. No additives shall be added to water used for the borings to be used as standpipe piezometers.

Drilling fluid for boreholes used for open pipe piezometers shall use a biodegradable additive such as Revert, an organic polymer manufactured by Johnson Screens of St. Paul, Minnesota or approved equal. Bentonite will not be allowed.

PERMITTING

If Revert is used, prior to installation of the piezometer; the INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR shall flush the borehole with "clean" mud to remove any clay or loose material that has been mixed with the mud while advancing the borehole. The clean mud should be as thin as possible, so that placement of backfill material is not obstructed, but that it does not sacrifice the stability of the borehole. After installation of the piezometer, the piezometer shall be developed to clear any filter cake from the borehole wall alongside the piezometer. To develop, the INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR shall create inward flow by removing water from the standpipe, allowing formation water to flow inward and break down the filter cake. Piezometer development shall be continued until a sediment-free piezometer is obtained. After the piezometer is developed, ten well volumes of water shall be removed from the piezometer.

4.2 Porous Stone Standpipe Piezometer Tip

The piezometer tip shall consist of a 12-inch porous stone that meets the specifications of the Slope Indicator Company's Standpipe Piezometer Tips, Model 51405102 or approved equal. The piezometer tip shall be joined to the casing by water tight couplings.

4.3 Sand Pack

The sand pack shall consist of a clean, durable, uniformly graded natural sand meeting the specifications of the #30 sand produced by Red Flint Sand and Gravel, Eau Claire, Wisconsin.

4.4 Standpipe Riser Pipe and Fittings

The riser pipe shall be 2-inch inner diameter, schedule 40, PVC pipe. Fittings shall be flush male and female threads and of the same material as the riser pipe as well as water tight. A vented end cap shall be supplied for the top of the riser pipe.

4.5 Standpipe Tip to Riser Coupler

The open pipe piezometer porous tip shall be joined to the riser pipe by a coupler that meets the specifications of the Slope Indicator Company's Pipe Adaptors or approved equal. The piezometer tip shall be joined to the casing by water tight couplings.

4.6 Portland Cement

Portland Cement (Type I) shall meet the requirements of ASTM C-150.

4.7 Neat Cement Grout

The neat cement grout shall consist of a mixture of one bag (94 pounds) Portland cement (Type I) to not more than 6 gallons of potable water. Bentonite up to 5 percent by weight of cement may be added. No other admixtures shall be allowed.

PERMITTING

4.8 Bentonite Pellets

Bentonite pellets shall be organic-free, high-swelling, 100 percent pure bentonite compressed into 3/8-inch-diameter pellets equal to NL Baroid Industries of Houston. The pellets shall be kept dry and transported to the site in such a way as to minimize abrasion. The pellets should be coated so as to minimize bridging during placement.

4.9 Concrete Grout for Protective Casing

The concrete grout shall consist of 1 part potable water, 2 parts Portland cement, and 2 parts clean sand.

4.10 Stick-up Protective Casings

Protective steel casings shall be embedded into the ground surface and extended over the protruding portions of the piezometer casings. The tops of the protective casings shall extend no more than 3 feet above the ground surface and should be embedded a minimum of 4 feet below ground. The protective casings shall consist of Schedule 40 steel and be at least 12 inches in diameter. The protective casings shall have a locking cover. The exposed portion of the casing shall be painted with a compatible metal corrosion-resistant primer and a red finish coat prior to delivery on-site. The protective casing cap shall be a painted overlapping steel cap of the same quality as the casing and finished with a hasp for attachment to the protective casing. Protective casings will only be installed at locations as directed by the OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.

4.11 Protective Casing Locks

The OWNER/OWNER's REPRESENTATIVE will provide locks for protective casings.

4.12 Protective Posts

Protective posts shall be 4-inch-diameter, schedule 40, 8 feet in length. Posts shall be filled with concrete. The exposed portion of the posts shall be painted with a compatible metal corrosion-resistant primer and red finish coat prior to delivery on site. Protective posts will only be installed at locations as directed by the OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.

5.0 PERFORMANCE

The INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR shall practice good piezometer construction procedure that conform with ASTM or other procedures in these specifications. If, in the opinion of OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE, the INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR'S procedure is inadequate to construct a useable piezometer, the INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR shall change procedures to meet the requirements of these specifications. The piezometers shall be constructed in the borings specified on the previously referenced Figures.

PERMITTING

5.1 Piezometer Locations

The general locations of the required piezometers are identified in the previously referenced Figures. The location will be staked for the INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR by the OWNER'S REPRESENTIVE.

5.2 Boring Advancement

The INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR shall employ hollow-stem auger or the approved drilling techniques at all piezometer locations to the required depth of penetration or to depths at which hollow-stem auger advancement ceases to be feasible. The hollow-stem auger shall be equipped with a retractable bottom plug, advanced with the lead auger, and removed prior to each sampling attempt. Auger with 6¼-inch inner diameter shall be used.

If rotary drilling methods are used beyond the ceased advancement of the hollow-stem auger, a minimum 5½-inch diameter hole shall be drilled with a noncoring type roller, fishtail, or other suitable bit.

5.3 Standpipe Piezometer Construction

The boring shall be advanced to the design depth with 6¼-inch minimum inside diameter, hollow-stem auger. Bentonite drilling mud shall not be used during boring advance. The piezometer tips and the riser pipe shall be assembled and installed so that the screen is at the design depth and the riser pipe extends 2 to 3 feet above the ground surface. The sand pack shall be installed, as the auger or casing is pulled back, in a manner that shall minimize segregation and ensure the sand pack fills, as nearly as practical, the annular space between the well screen and the borehole wall to a depth of 2 feet above the screen.

A bentonite pellet seal shall be placed above the sand pack to a depth of 4 feet above the top of the piezometer tip. The pellets shall be allowed to swell a minimum of ½ hour under a head of water prior to continuing the installation. Neat cement grout shall be placed above the seal to the ground surface by pumping under pressure through a tremie pipe. After 6 inches of grout have been placed in the borehole, the discharge point of the tremie pipe shall be maintained at 3 inches or more below the grout surface. The hollow-stem auger shall be withdrawn as necessary during the grouting process. Concrete full strength grout should be placed to within 5 feet of the ground surface. The annular space between the riser pipe and the borehole wall above the cement grout shall be filled with concrete. The concrete surface at ground level shall be sloped away from the riser pipe.

5.4 Piezometer Alignment and Clearance

Piezometers shall be sufficiently plumb, straight, and free from restrictions to allow a measuring device ¾ inch in diameter and 12 inches long to pass freely through the full

PERMITTING

length of the piezometer. The INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR shall prove the alignment and clearance are adequate prior to acceptance by OWNER'S REPRESENTIVE.

5.5 Piezometer Protection

The protective casing shall be installed to an approximate depth of 4 feet in the borehole. The exact depth shall be adjusted so that the top of the casing is even with the top of the capped riser pipe. The annulus between the protective casing and the borehole wall shall be filled with concrete grout from the ground surface to a depth of 5 feet. The grout surface outside the casing shall be sloped away from the casing. The annulus between the riser pipe and the protective casing shall be filled with grout to a level no more than 12 inches below the top of riser pipe. Piezometer protection shall only be installed at locations designated by the OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.

5.6 Protective Posts

If requested by the OWNER's REPRESENTATIVE, protective posts painted red shall be placed 2 feet from the protective casing in a manner as to protect the piezometer from incoming traffic. The posts shall be set 2 feet into the ground in 12-inch-diameter boreholes. The annulus between the boreholes and the posts and the inside of the posts shall be filled with concrete. Protective posts shall only be installed at locations designated by the OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.

5.7 Care and Maintenance of Piezometers

During the course of drilling, the INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR shall be responsible for the care and maintenance of the piezometers and shall maintain the site in such a condition and protect the piezometers in such a manner that no undesirable materials are spilled, dripped, or introduced into the borehole by any means.

5.8 Borehole Abandonment

If for any reason a borehole or piezometer cannot be completed, the INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR shall contact OWNER'S REPRESENTIVE for permission to abandon it. The INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR shall not abandon any borehole without being directed to do so. Borehole abandonment includes removing all screens, casing, and/or tools from the borehole, sealing the borehole as nearly as possible for its full length with tremied cement grout, and restoring the site. If the INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR abandons a borehole without being directed to do so by OWNER, no payment for work performed on that borehole or piezometer shall be made.

6.0 MEASUREMENT AND PAYMENT

Payment for all materials, equipment, supplies, and labor necessary to perform the work requested under the terms of this Contract will be made according to EXHIBIT D, SCHEDULE OF UNIT

PERMITTING

PRICES, included with the Contract Documents. All functions not specifically covered by a pay item shall be considered incidental to the work performed. Payment shall be made only for those items ordered or approved by OWNER and meeting the contract requirements.

6.1 Furnish and Install Porous Tip for Standpipe Piezometers

Standpipe piezometer tip payment will be measured per porous tip installed. No more than one tip shall be installed at each piezometer location. Payment shall be on a unit price basis and shall constitute full compensation for all labor, equipment, and materials required for piezometer installation and development including but not limited to the porous tip, casing adapters, development, and all other items and operations required for tip construction.

6.2 Furnish and Install Casing for Standpipe Piezometers

Standpipe piezometer payment shall be measured per foot of casing installed. Payment shall be on a unit price basis and shall constitute full compensation for all labor, equipment, and materials including sand pack, bentonite, and grout required for standpipe piezometer installation and development including but not limited to the casing adapters, development, and all other items and operations required for tip construction. Borehole advancement for piezometer installation is not included in payment for piezometer installation and development but shall be paid as described under Borehole Advancement by Hollow-stem Auger.

6.3 Setup on a Soil Boring – Piezometer

Payment for setting up on an additional boring at the direction of the OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE for the purpose of installing a piezometer with hollow-stem auger or water rotary methods will be measured by the boring. Payment will be at the unit price per boring and will constitute full compensation for all labor, equipment, and materials required to move the drill rig and other equipment between borings, to arrange for utility clearance at the boring location, to establish the necessary work zones, and to set up at a boring location in preparation for drilling.

6.4 Borehole Advancement

Borehole advancement by 6¼-inch hollow-stem auger will be measured for payment to the nearest foot from the ground surface to the bottom of the auger. Payment will be by the unit price per foot and will constitute full compensation for all labor, equipment, and materials required to set and remove the auger. Borehole advancement and payment shall include SPT sampling.

PERMITTING

6.5 Furnish and Install Stick-up Protective Covers

Payment will be made for each casing installation, including the cost of the casing themselves, the concrete, and all labor and materials required to assemble and install the casing.

6.6 Furnish and Install Protective Posts

Payment will be made for each post installation, including the cost of the posts themselves, the concrete, and all labor and materials required to assemble and install the posts.

PERMITTING

Exhibit C

Technical Specifications – Inclinometer Installations

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS
INCLINOMETER INSTALLATION

1.0 SCOPE

The work covered under this section of the Technical Specifications consists of furnishing all labor, materials, equipment, and performing all operations necessary to install inclinometers. The location of inclinometer installations are shown on Large Figures 4 through 9 of the Tailings Basin Geotechnical Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan (Plan). Minor adjustments to the proposed locations and elevations may be made in the field by the OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.

2.0 REFERENCE STANDARDS

2.1 ASTM C150 Specifications for Portland Cement

2.2 ASTM D-6230 Standard Test Method for Monitoring Ground Movement Using Probe-Type Inclinometers

In case of conflict between these Technical Specifications and the above standards, the Technical Specifications will prevail.

3.0 SUBMITTALS

3.1 Concrete sand product sheet

3.2 Portland cement product sheet.

3.3 Bentonite product data sheet.

3.4 Inclinometer casing data sheets.

3.5 Drilling fluid addition product sheet.

4.0 MATERIALS

4.1 Drilling Fluid

Drilling fluid shall be drilling mud, a combination of potable water and bentonite. Potable water is defined as water that is safe for human consumption in that it is free from impurities in amounts sufficient to cause disease or harmful physiological effects. Other additives may not be added to maintain a stable hole.

4.2 Portland Cement

Portland Cement (Type I) shall meet the requirements of ASTM C-150.

PERMITTING

4.3 Bentonite

Bentonite shall be finely ground, premium-grade bentonite, equal to Quick Gel manufactured by NL Baroid Industries, Inc. of Houston, Texas or approved equal. The bentonite shall be free from lumps and objectionable material that would prevent easy mixing into a smooth fluid of unmixed bentonite.

4.4 Inclinometer Casing

Inclinometer casings shall have an outside diameter of 3.34 inches (85 mm) and be constructed of ABS plastic with a load rating of 1,400 pounds. An example of an acceptable product casing is manufactured by Slope Indicator Company (CPI large diameter casing) or as approved by the OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE. Approval of material is required prior to ordering materials.

4.5 Inclinometer Protection

Inclinometer protection shall consist of a steel casing that shall be embedded into the ground surface and extended over the protruding portions of the inclinometer casing. The tops of the protective casing shall extend no more than 3 feet above the ground surface and should be embedded a minimum of 4 feet below ground. The protective casing shall consist of Schedule 40 steel and be at least 8 inches in diameter. The protective casing shall have a locking cover. The exposed portion of the casing shall be painted with a compatible metal corrosion-resistant primer and a red finish coat prior to delivery on-site. The protective casing cap shall be a painted overlapping steel cap of the same quality as the casing and finished with a hasp for attachment to the protective casing.

4.6 Inclinometer Cement-Bentonite Grout Backfill

The grout for backfilling the inclinometer boreholes shall consist of a mixture of Portland Cement (one bag approximately 94 pounds) to 29 gallons of water to a minimum 30 pounds of Quick Gel bentonite as needed. Water and cement shall be mixed first. The bentonite shall be added slowly under high agitation to make grout creamy, yet pumpable. This yields a cement-water-bentonite ratio by weight of 1:2.5:0.3. Modifications to the cement-bentonite grout mix design including increased water and bentonite or cement should be anticipated in the field in order to accurately represent similar strength as the in-situ soil and prevent segregation of cement. These modifications shall be approved by the OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.

4.7 Inclinometer Casing Buoyancy – Anchors

The INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR shall utilize one of the following options for overcoming inclinometer casing buoyancy, "floating," while placing cement-bentonite grout:

PERMITTING

- Casing anchors, such as Durham Geo Slope Indicator Casing Anchors, sized to the appropriate casing diameter, or approved equal.
- Temporary suspension of a steel pipe or drill rods inside the casing.
- Weight pre-attached to the bottom of the casing.
- Grouting the borehole, with casing installed, in stages.

The INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR shall not apply force to the top of the inclinometer casing to overcome buoyancy.

Barite or any substance considered a contaminant by the EPA is not allowed to be used as a weighted solution inside the inclinometer casing.

4.8 Inclinometer Grout Valves

Grout valves (with or without casing anchors) used to provide a means of cement-bentonite grouting the inclinometer casing in narrow annulus space situations shall consist of Durham Geo Slope Indicator Casing Valves, sized to the appropriate casing diameter, or approved equal.

4.9 Protective Casing Locks

Locks for protective casings will be provided by OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.

4.10 Protective Posts

Protective posts shall be 12-inch diameter, schedule 40, 8 feet in length. Posts shall be filled with concrete. The exposed portion of the posts shall be painted with a compatible metal corrosion-resistant primer and red finish coat prior to delivery on site. Protective posts will only be installed at locations as directed by the OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.

4.11 Concrete Grout for Protective Casings

The concrete grout for protective casings shall consist of Portland cement (three bags approximately 94 pounds) to 30 gallons of water. This yields a cement-water ratio by weight of 1:1.1.

5.0 PERFORMANCE

The INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR shall practice good drilling procedure that conforms with ASTM or other procedures specified in these Contract Documents. If, in the opinion of OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE, the INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR'S procedure is inadequate to obtain samples or install the inclinometer correctly, the INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR shall change procedures to meet the requirements of these specifications. The inclinometer shall be constructed in the borings specified in the previously referenced Figures.

PERMITTING

5.1 Inclinometer Location

The locations of the required inclinometers are shown on the previously referenced Figures. The locations will be staked/identified for the INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR by OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.

5.2 Boring Advancement

The INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR shall employ hollow-stem auger techniques at the inclinometer locations to depths at which hollow-stem auger advancement ceases to be feasible. The hollow-stem auger shall be equipped with a retractable bottom plug, advanced with the lead auger, and removed prior to each sampling attempt. The diameter of the hollow-stem auger shall be sufficient to accommodate split-barrel samplers, tremie tube for grouting, and inclinometer casings.

In the case that a boring started with hollow-stem auger cannot be completed by the hollow-stem auger method due to heaving sands, extremely hard drilling conditions, cobbles or boulders, bedrock or other conditions that make auger advancement unfeasible, the INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR shall notify the OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE and shall extend the boring by mud-rotary methods in the same borehole, leaving the auger in place as a temporary casing until the boring has been completed.

5.3 Inclinometer Installation

The inclinometer shall be installed in the borehole and grouted in place with a minimum of 2 feet within bedrock or as directed by the OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE. A casing anchor and/or grout plug shall be installed on the tip of casing as directed by the OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE. The inclinometer shall be constructed such that no more than 3 feet and no less than 2 feet stick up above the ground surface. Inclinometer grout shall be placed from the base of the inclinometer to the ground surface by pumping under pressure through a tremie pipe/pipe attached to the grout valve gasket at the tip of the inclinometer casing. After 6 inches of grout have been placed in the borehole, the discharge point of the tremie pipe shall be maintained at 3 inches or more below the grout surface. The hollow-stem auger borehole casing shall be withdrawn as necessary during the grouting process. Augers shall not be spun upon removal from the ground.

The inclinometer shall be installed so that the difference in alignment of any section is no greater than 3 percent of the depth to that part. If the inclinometer is not installed to meet this tolerance, the INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR shall abandon the location and install a new inclinometer at a location identified by the OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE at no additional cost to the OWNER. The verification of verticality shall be made after the grout has set and two datasets are collected.

PERMITTING

After installation, the casing groove spiral shall not exceed 1 degree per 10 feet of length; the orientation of the grooves at the top of the casing shall be within 10 degrees of the planned orientation (A-0 grooves in the downhill direction perpendicular to the slope).

5.4 Inclinometer Protection

The protective casing shall be installed to an approximate depth of 4 feet in the borehole. The exact depth shall be adjusted so that the top of the casing is even with the top of the inclinometer casing. The annulus between the protective casing and the borehole wall shall be filled with concrete grout from the ground surface to a depth of 5 feet. The concrete grout surface outside the casing shall be sloped away from the casing. The annulus between the inclinometer casing and the protective casing shall be filled with concrete grout to a level no more than 12 inches below the top of inclinometer casing.

5.4 Protective Posts

If requested by the OWNER's REPRESENTATIVE, protective posts painted red shall be placed 2 feet from the protective casing in a manner as to protect the inclinometer from incoming traffic. The posts shall be set 2 feet into the ground in 12-inch-diameter boreholes. The annulus between the boreholes and the posts and the inside of the posts shall be filled with concrete. Protective posts shall only be installed at locations designated by the OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.

5.5 Borehole Abandonment

If for any reason a borehole or inclinometer cannot be completed, the INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR shall contact OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE for permission to abandon it. The INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR shall not abandon any borehole without being directed to do so by the OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE. Borehole abandonment includes removing all casing, and/or tools from the borehole, sealing the borehole as nearly as possible for its full length with tremied cement grout and restoring the site. If the INVESTIGATION CONTRACTOR abandons a borehole without being directed to do so by OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE, no payment for work performed on that borehole or piezometer shall be made.

6.0 MEASUREMENT AND PAYMENT

Payment for all materials, equipment, supplies, and labor necessary to perform the work requested under the terms of this Contract will be made according to EXHIBIT D, SCHEDULE OF UNIT PRICES, included with the Contract Documents. All functions not specifically covered by a pay item will be considered incidental to the work performed. Payment will be made only for those

PERMITTING

items ordered or approved by OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE and meeting the contract requirements.

6.1 Furnish and Install Inclinometer Casing

Inclinometer casing payment shall be measured per foot of casing installed. Payment shall be on a unit price basis and shall constitute full compensation for all labor, equipment, and materials including grout required for inclinometer installation, and all other items and operations required for inclinometer installation. Borehole advancement with soil sampling for inclinometer installation is not included in payment for inclinometer installation but shall be paid as described under Borehole Advancement and Sampling in Section.

6.2 Furnish and Install Protective Casing for Inclinometers

Payment will be made for each casing installation, including the cost of the casing themselves, the concrete, and all labor and materials required to assemble and install the casing.

6.3 Furnish and Install Protective Posts

Payment will be made for each post installation, including the cost of the posts themselves, the concrete, and all labor and materials required to assemble and install the posts.

6.4 Furnish and Install Casing Anchors

Payment will be made for each casing anchor installation, including the cost of the anchors, and all labor and materials required to assemble and install the anchors.

6.5 Furnish and Install Grout Valves

Payment will be made for each grout valve installation, including the cost of the grout valves themselves, the concrete, and all labor and materials required to assemble and install the grout valves.

PERMITTING

Attachment E

Dam Safety Inspection Form

Facility Inspected: **Inspection Date:**
Inspection By: **Weather Conditions:**

Area Inspected:

General Information

Current Freeboard:

Inlet Type: Pipe with Slurry Discharge **Outlet Type/Level Control:** Floating Discharge

Observed Features	Yes	No	Comments
1.0 (visible part of) Upstream Slope			
1.1 Erosion protection			
1.2 Evidence of erosion			
1.3 Evidence of horizontal or lateral movement			
1.4 Evidence of sloughing			
1.5 Evidence of cracking			
1.6 Mark of high pond level			
1.7 Residue adjacent dam			
1.8 Vegetation condition			
1.9 Slope visually uniform			
1.10 Other unusual conditions			
1.11 Evidence of repairs			
2.0 Crest			
2.1 Breach / wash-out			
2.2 Evidence of horizontal or lateral movement			
2.3 Evidence of settlement			
2.4 Evidence of cracking			
2.5 Shoulder erosion			
2.6 Reduced width			
2.7 Other unusual conditions			
2.8 Evidence of repairs			

Observed Features	Yes	No	Comments
3.0 Downstream Slope			
3.1 Erosion protection			
3.2 Evidence of erosion			
3.3 Evidence of horizontal or lateral movement			
3.4 Evidence of sloughing			
3.5 Evidence of cracking			
3.6 Evidence of seepage			
3.7 Seepage (if any) clear			
3.8 Vegetation condition			
3.9 Slope visually uniform			
3.10 Other unusual conditions			
3.11 Evidence of repairs			
4.0 Downstream Toe			
4.1 Toe drain exists			
4.2 Toe drain working well			
4.3 Toe ditch exits			
4.4 Flow in toe ditch			
4.5 Evidence of seepage			
4.6 Seepage (if any) clear			
4.9 Soft toe condition			
4.10 Evidence of sloughing			
4.11 Evidence of boils			
4.12 Pond at toe of slope			
4.13 Vegetation			
4.14 Evidence of repairs			
4.15 Other unusual conditions			

Observed Features	Yes	No	Comments
5.0 General			
5.1 Embedded/buried structures			
5.2 Pipelines at this dam			
5.3 Crest accessible by truck			
5.4 Depressions or sinkholes in Residue surface			
5.5 Any unusual conditions			

Notes:

Sketches (if any) Saved At:

Photos Taken: Yes ___ No ___

Photos Saved At:

Attachment F

Contingency Action Plan

NorthMet Project

Contingency Action Plan for the Flotation Tailings Basin

Version 5

Issue Date: May 15, 2017

Table of Contents

1.0 Contingency Action Plan Summary 1

1.1 Purpose 1

1.2 Notification Flowchart 1

1.3 Site Description 2

1.4 Observational Method 2

1.5 Supporting Documentation 3

1.6 Outline 4

2.0 Unusual, Hazardous and/or Emergency Conditions Warning Signs and Response Actions 5

2.1 Visual Warning Signs 6

2.2 Monitoring Instrument Warning Signs 6

3.0 Contacts 7

4.0 Notification Procedures 9

4.1 Internal Notification Procedures 9

4.2 External Notification Procedures 9

5.0 Emergency Mobilization Procedures 11

6.0 Emergency Evacuation Procedures 13

Revision History 14

List of Tables 15

List of Figures 15

List of Large Figures 15

List of Large Tables 15

1.0 Contingency Action Plan Summary

1.1 Purpose

The purpose of the Flotation Tailings Basin (FTB) Contingency Action Plan (CAP) is to:

- identify potential basin failure modes that could occur during construction events and during routine operations; conditions that if left undetected and unresolved could instigate instability of basin dams
- proactively identify contingency plans (i.e., operation change, design change if needed) for each potential failure mode, if observed
- identify instrumentation and monitoring that confirms acceptability of construction and operating activities, and proactively alerts construction, operations, and management personnel to basin conditions that if left unresolved could initiate a potential failure mode
- define responsibilities and provide procedures for responding to unexpected and potentially hazardous conditions threatening the integrity and performance of the FTB

This document will evolve throughout the permitting, operating, reclamation, and postclosure maintenance phases of the NorthMet Project (Project). It will be reviewed and updated as necessary in conjunction with changes that occur in facility operating and maintenance methods or requirements. Each revision will be provided to the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) dam safety permitting personnel for informational purposes such that they remain fully informed as plan updates are incorporated. Any plan updates that may affect permit conditions will be discussed with dam safety permitting personnel. A Revision History is included at the end of the document.

This CAP is intended to be a stand-alone guide to initial response to emergency conditions that could potentially develop at the FTB. As with any emergency condition, ongoing real-time decision-making will be required once the situation is assessed. Poly Met Mining, Inc. (PolyMet) will establish and maintain a project-wide emergency action plan (EAP) that should be referenced in the event of other potential conditions such as severe weather (i.e., tornado) or fire that are not a part of this plan and which do not constitute a significant or ongoing threat to the FTB.

1.2 Notification Flowchart

The Notification Flowchart (Large Figure 1) summarizes the sequence of actions required during a situation involving threat of dam failure. Contact lists are provided in Section 3.0. Notification procedures for other hazardous situations are described in Section 0.

1.3 Site Description

The FTB is a tailings basin located on the PolyMet Plant Site. The Plant Site is located south of the Embarrass River in St. Louis County. The area between the FTB and the Embarrass River is sparsely populated forest.

Personnel responsible for FTB management are:

- *Operations Contact* - Beneficiation Division Manager or designee – Responsible for overall FTB design, planning, operations, maintenance, and monitoring. The plant site will be staffed full-time during operations and alternate contacts shall be designated to support the Beneficiation Division Manager in CAP implementation.

- *Design Engineer* (an independent consultant retained specially for dam safety expertise and a registered engineer) – Responsible for performance monitoring data analysis and interpretation, dam safety inspection and reporting assistance, tailings dam planning and design assistance, and permitting assistance.

1.4 Observational Method

The Observational Method as stated by Peck (1969) in his Rankine Lecture is the method by which the integrity of the Tailings Basin dams will be monitored and basin operations and/or design adjusted as needed in response to observations. The steps in the Observational Method and their status as of the writing of this version of the Contingency Action Plan are summarized in Table 1-1.

Table 1-1 Observational Method

Activity	Summary	Status	Related Reference Documents
1. Geotechnical Exploration	Geotechnical exploration sufficient to establish at least the general nature, pattern and properties of the deposits, but not necessarily in detail.	Complete	Geotechnical Data Package – Volume 1 (Appendix B of the Dam Safety Permit Application-FTB)
2. Initial Design	Establishment of the design based on a working hypothesis of behavior anticipated under the most probable conditions.	Complete	See Geotechnical Data Package – Volume 1 (Appendix B of the Dam Safety Permit Application-FTB)

Activity	Summary	Status	Related Reference Documents
3. Select Instrument Values to Observe	Selection of instrument values to observe as construction and operations proceed and calculation of the anticipated values on the basis of the working hypothesis. Values to observe will be quantified after installation and baseline monitoring of the new instrumentation listed in the Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan.(1)(Attachment D of this management plan)	Partially Complete; framework for values to be observed are reported herein and in the Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan.(Attachment D of this management plan)	Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan (Attachment D of this management plan)
4. Calculate Instrument Values to Observe	Calculation of instrument values to observe under the most unfavorable conditions.	To be quantified after installation and baseline monitoring of the new instrumentation listed in the Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan.1(Attachment D of this management plan)	Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan (Attachment D of this management plan)
5. Pre-Selection of Course of Action in Response to Observed Instrumentation Values	Selection in advance of a course of action or modification of design for every foreseeable significant deviation of the observational findings from those predicted on the basis of the working hypothesis.	Complete – see subsequent sections of this Contingency Action Plan.	NA
6. Measurement of Values to be Monitored and Evaluation of Actual Conditions	Measurement of values to be monitored and evaluation of actual conditions.	To be initiated following baseline monitoring and initiation of operations.	NA
7. Modification of Design to Suit Actual Conditions	Modification of design to suit actual conditions.	To be implemented as needed during operations.	NA

1) Instrument installation to occur after permitting, prior to initiation of operations.

1.5 Supporting Documentation

Geotechnical Data Package – Volume 1 (Appendix B of the Dam Safety Permit Application-FTB) presents the findings from site geotechnical explorations and the associated in-field

and in-laboratory test data, and the seepage and slope stability model outcomes for the most probable geotechnical slope stability conditions and the unfavorable slope conditions evaluated to date.

Design of the FTB as guided by findings presented in the Geotechnical Data Package is presented in this Flotation Tailings Management Plan which provides a full description of the FTB.

The Flotation Tailings Basin Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan (Attachment D of this management plan) presents the plan for instrumentation installation to be completed after permitting but prior to initiation of basin operations. Following instrumentation installation, baseline instrument monitoring data will be gathered and, in conjunction with the additional geotechnical data gathered during instrument installation; seepage and slope stability models will be updated and typical instrument values at each instrument location will be established for normal and high pond conditions. Threshold values will be documented and the initial actions to be taken in response to data trends toward threshold values will be reviewed and updated as needed (Figure 1-1).



Figure 1-1 Instrumentation Timeline

The details of the instrumentation and monitoring (instrument types, locations, threshold values) will be retained within the Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan, with periodic updates to that plan as needed as instrumentation is installed and/or replaced, and as construction and operations of the FTB proceeds.

1.6 Outline

The outline of this document is:

Section 1.0 Contingency Action Plan Summary.

Section 2.0 Warning signs of unusual, hazardous, or emergency conditions associated with construction and operation of the FTB, and response actions.

Section 3.0 Internal and external emergency notification procedures.

Section 5.0 Emergency Mobilization Procedures.

Section 6.0 Emergency Evacuation Procedures.

2.0 Unusual, Hazardous and/or Emergency Conditions Warning Signs and Response Actions

Unusual, hazardous, and/or emergency conditions warning signs may be visually evident during routine or special tailings basin inspections, and/or may be evidenced by changed monitoring values in piezometers, inclinometers, and/or survey monuments. Some unusual conditions may not warrant an emergency response, but require prompt investigation and resolution. Events which may cause unusual, hazardous, and/or emergency conditions may include (but are not limited to):

- Natural weather events, which could impact pond levels or cause erosion, including:
    - high precipitation event
    - significant snowmelt in combination with high precipitation event
- Operational disruptions, which could cause erosion or impact the phreatic surface within the dam, including:
    - an unrepaired pipe break or
    - prolonged pump stoppage
- Construction changes, which could impact the phreatic surface of the dam or create excess pore water pressures within the dam, including:
    - increase in the rate of construction
    - over steepening of dam slopes

Unusual conditions will typically involve an investigation, intensified monitoring, inspecting and/or testing, and defining and implementing possible corrective measures. Some conditions represent a potential emergency if sustained or allowed to progress. In such cases it will be necessary to discuss and define a response plan, at the site, under the direction of the *Operations Contact*, and then to implement the plan. The first actions in the event of any emergency condition are:

- initiate the appropriate chain of communications
- check that all persons who could possibly be affected are safe
- immediately undertake the appropriate response actions

Sections 3, 4 and 5 describe actions to be initiated if an emergency situation occurs. The following sections list potential visual and monitoring instrument warning signs.

2.1 Visual Warning Signs

Large Table 1 provides a listing of visual warning signs and initial response actions for unusual, hazardous, and/or emergency conditions that could develop at the Tailings Basin. It is important to note that each condition is unique and that seemingly harmless conditions could quickly progress into something more serious if timely and appropriate action is not taken. To detect visual warning signs, daily and weekly inspections, semi-annual inspections, and inspections after unusual events/observations will be carried out as specified in this Flotation Tailings Management Plan.

2.2 Monitoring Instrument Warning Signs

Large Table 2 provides a listing of monitoring instrument warning signs and initial response actions for unusual, hazardous, and/or emergency conditions that could develop at the Tailings Basin. As with visual warning signs, it is important to note that each monitoring instrument warning sign condition is unique and that seemingly harmless conditions could quickly progress into something more serious if timely and appropriate action is not taken. Instrumentation data collection will in many cases be automated, allowing for real-time notification of data that is approaching pre-defined threshold values. Instruments that are not automated (e.g., alignment hubs, some inclinometers and some piezometers) will be read at the specified frequency. Further detail is provided in the Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan (Attachment D of this management plan).

3.0 Contacts

Emergency contacts are summarized in Tables 3-1 through 3-3. These tables will be updated prior to initiation of basin operations and on a routine basis as company personnel and responsibilities change.

Table 3-1 NorthMet Tailings Basin Structural Integrity Emergency Contact List

Emergency Contact	Name	Mobile	Office
Mining Manager (as alternate to General Manager)	Jim Tieberg	218-248-0952	218-471-2165
Operations Contact (Manager of Operations and Development)	Dave Hughes	TBD	218-471-2158
PolyMet Mining Environmental Compliance Manager	Kevin Pylka	218-750-2054	218-471-2162
Environmental Site Director	Christie Kearney	218-461-7746	218-471-2163
Director of Environmental Permitting and Compliance	Jennifer Saran	651-600-5457	651-389-4108
Design Engineer	Tom Radue	952-240-4051	952-832-2600
Emergency Health and Safety			
Fire/Ambulance/Police – Dependent on Incident Severity	N/A	911	911
Hospital – Grand Itasca Clinic and Hospital	General Number	N/A	218-326-3401
Government Agencies			
Minnesota Duty Officer			800-422-0798
National Response Center			800-424-8802
US EPA Region V			312-353-2318
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (24 hrs)			612-296-8100 or 612-296-6300
Minnesota Emergency Response Commission			612-643-3000

Table 3-2 City of Hoyt Lakes Emergency Contact List

Title	Name	Phone	Email
Police Chief	Tim Soular	218-225-2000	police@eastrangepd.com
Sergeant	Heather Krueger	218-225-2000	police@eastrangepd.com
911 Emergency Communications	Emergency Non-Emergency	911 218-742-9825	N/A
Residents and/or businesses in affected inundation area will be added to this list			

Table 3-3 St Louis County Emergency Contact List

Title	**Name**	**Phone**	**Email**
Sheriff	Ross Litman	218-726-2340	County_Sheriff@stlouiscountymn.gov
Undersheriff	Dave Philips	218-726-2340	County_Sheriff@stlouiscountymn.gov
911 Emergency Communications	Emergency Non-Emergency	911 218-727-8770	County_Sheriff@stlouiscountymn.gov
Mine Inspector	Steve Manninen	218-742-9840	manninen@stlouiscountymn.gov

PolyMet will work with local emergency agency personnel to establish and confirm the list of residences who may need to be contacted in case of some emergencies at the FTB, the means to be used for contact, and the assignment of responsibility for maintenance of the contact list.

4.0 Notification Procedures

The top priority in case of imminent or actual dam failure is to warn and evacuate people in downstream areas. Large Figure 1 presents the notification procedures for an emergency involving threat of dam failure. Attachment A describes responsible persons and their responsibilities for notification, emergency operations and repairs, and post-emergency action. Section 6.0 describes emergency evacuation procedures.

Emergency notification procedures vary depending on the condition/s existent that prompt the notification and can be divided into three levels:

Level 1 – Condition that does not warrant emergency response but requires prompt investigation and resolution.

Level 2 – Potential emergency if condition is sustained or allowed to progress; requires response plan.

Level 3 – Imminent or actual failure requiring partial or complete evacuation, emergency communications and response actions.

Level 1, Level 2, and Level 3 conditions that could occur at the Tailings Basin are listed in Large Table 1 and Large Table 2.

4.1 Internal Notification Procedures

The notification procedures for Level 1 and Level 2 conditions are:

- the person first noticing a Level 1 or Level 2 condition will notify the *Operations Contact* and initiate responses and intensified monitoring
- the *Operations Contact* will notify the *Design Engineer* as appropriate

The notification procedure for Level 3 conditions are:

- the person first noticing a Level 3 condition will notify the General Manager, the *Operations Contact* and initiate responses immediately, and
- The *Operations Contact* will notify the *Design Engineer*.

4.2 External Notification Procedures

No external notification is required for Level 1 or 2 conditions. The notification procedure for a Level 3 condition is as follows:

- If the condition presents the threat of dam failure, the notification procedures shown in Large Figure 1 and the evacuation procedures presented in Section 6.0 will be implemented

- Once Level 3 actions are implemented, but in no case longer than 4 hours after the occurrence, the *Operations Contact* will notify the responsible regulatory personnel at the DNR and/or Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (as appropriate to permit coverage and compliance requirements)

- Notification will occur first via telephone, with follow-up E-mail or other written correspondence to document initial and any follow-up telephone conversations

In the event of an emergency situation resulting from actual or potentially imminent dam failure, the *Operations Contact* will also initiate evacuation procedures as described in Section 6.0.

Copies of this FTB Contingency Action Plan and the plant-wide Emergency Action Plan shall be kept in the office of the *Operations Contact*.

5.0 Emergency Mobilization Procedures

All those involved in response, after first having communicated with the appropriate parties, should consider two types of actions as first steps in the response, with respect to the protection of human life and health, environment and property:

- What can be done to prevent the situation from worsening?
- What can be done to reduce the consequences of the impending or actual failure?

Any such action must be presented to the *Operations Contact* who will decide on its implementation in consultation with the *Design Engineer*. Most obvious mobilization requirements associated with Level 2 and Level 3 conditions are detailed in Table 5-1.

Table 5-1 FTB Mobilization Plan for Level 2 or 3 Situations

Component Failure	Level 2 Condition	Level 3 Condition
Failure of a dam (during construction and/or routine operations).	Planning for mobilization of earthmoving equipment, pumps and pipelines, as well as lowering of the pond level may be necessary, after all communications are carried out.	Immediate mobilization of earthmoving equipment, pumps, pipelines, power generator(s) available at site locations, and lights, will most likely be necessary. Immediate lowering of the pond level will typically be necessary.
Failure of a pump station.	After the repair work is initiated, plan for mobilization of pumping equipment if the timing for repairs would affect the pumping needs.	Immediate mobilization of pumping equipment and, if required, the availability of a power generator may be necessary.
Failure of a pipeline.	Initiate pipe or pipe section replacement.	Initiate chain of communications after initiating pipe or pipe section replacement.
Localized power failure.	Identify systems affected. Prepare for cessation of tailings deposition if power outage exceeds 24 hours.	Identify systems affected. Cease tailings deposition if power outage exceeds 24 hours.
Regional power failure.	No action required. FTB operations cease in absence of power.	No action required. FTB operations cease in absence of power.

In conjunction with Level 2 and Level 3 Conditions it will be the responsibility of the *Operations Contact* to compile a list of the specific equipment needs, size/type, source (company, name, contact information), and availability to respond to component failure. The list shall be populated prior to the initiation of basin operations and be reviewed and updated on an annual basis thereafter. This is so that a timely response can be made in the event that emergency mobilization is required. For emergency response equipment that does not have local 24-hour 7-day-per-week availability, provisions shall be made for permanent on-site stationing of the equipment. Primary emergency response equipment will typically consist of

on-site earthwork equipment, mobile pumping systems and supplementary piping and power supply, and mobile/emergency lighting carts with power supply.

6.0 Emergency Evacuation Procedures

During operations, personnel will be on-site 24 hours a day, 7 days per week. Personnel will therefore be able to review conditions and monitor for changing conditions. Additionally, monitoring instrumentation is planned to be automated by a remote monitoring system, which includes thresholds and automated alarms data trends toward or falls outside of pre-established thresholds.

In the event of a failure of the FTB dam, residences located between the FTB and the Partridge River could be flooded. The Dam Break Analysis (Attachment H of this Management Plan) presents an inundation map and describes approximate floodwave travel times. The Dam Break Analysis indicates that there would be adequate time to provide emergency warning. There is some chance that a problem may not be identified, recognized, or responded to in a timely manner. Therefore, any early warning signs will be treated with the highest level of priority. If evacuation notices are given, it will be understood that the notice is at minimum due to a prudent level of caution and those potentially affected will be instructed to evacuate without delay.

A list of residences and businesses having the potential to be impacted by a dam break will be assembles and attached to this CAP prior to the start of FTB operations. As noted previously, PolyMet will work with local emergency agency personnel to establish and confirm the list of residences who may need to be contacted, and the means to be used for contact.

Revision History

Date	Version	Description
10/31/2011	1	Initial release
12/07/2012	2	Dam Break Analysis results incorporated by reference. FTB Dam Failure Notification Flowchart placeholder added. Outline expanded for development during DNR Dam Safety permitting.
04/12/2013	3	Revisions made to address DNR comments that not all situations are Emergencies. Hence, renamed document Contingency Action Plan and expanded on contingency actions to be implemented in response to various potential on-site conditions.
07/11/2016	4	Revisions made to submit for permitting and to include Notification Flowchart (Large Figure 1).
05/15/2017	5	Revisions made to add detail to Observational Method and Construction Phase warning signs and response actions.

List of Tables

Table 1-1 Observational Method 2
Table 3-1 NorthMet Tailings Basin Structural Integrity Emergency Contact List 7
Table 3-2 City of Hoyt Lakes Emergency Contact List 8
Table 3-3 St Louis County Emergency Contact List 8
Table 5-1 FTB Mobilization Plan for Level 2 or 3 Situations 11

List of Figures

Figure 1-1 Instrumentation Timeline 4

List of Large Figures

Large Figure 1 FTB Dam Failure Notification Flowchart

List of Large Tables

Large Table 1 Visual Warning Signs
Large Table 2 Instrumentation Warning Signs

Large Tables

Large Table 1 Visual Warning Signs

Visual Warning Sign and Typical Location	Corresponding Change in Instrumentation Values (depending on location of movement relative to instrumentation)	Potential/Actual Consequences and Notification Procedures	Required Action
Signs of slowly forming erosion at toe and/or exterior face of slope.	No change in instrumentation values expected.	Potential dam instability and/or eventual dam failure if erosion continues. **Level 1 and Level 2 (see Table Notes)**	1) Discuss findings with the Design Engineer. 2) Be prepared to carry out one or more responses such as: a. Resolve source of erosion. b. Repair erosion area. c. Re-establish vegetation (modify design if recommended by Design Engineer). d. Re-inspect area on weekly basis until area is fully restored.
Soft toe condition or increased seepage at downstream slope or dam toe.	Potential increase in piezometric levels.	Internal erosion or slope slumping and eventual dam failure. **Level 1 and Level 2**	1) Discuss the findings with the Design Engineer. 2) Commission a field investigation program if so recommended. 3) Be prepared to carry out one or more responses including: a. Modification of basin pond operating procedures. b. Placement of graded overburden/buttress. c. Installation of drain system. d. Other design modifications if recommended by Design Engineer.
Cracks developing at dam crest or in slope.	Potential increase in piezometric levels. Potential slope deformation at inclinometers. Potential deflection in alignment monuments.	Deformation of dam structure that may lead to eventual dam failure. **Level 2; potential Level 3**	1) Increase frequency of dam walk-overs to daily until the problem is understood and addressed. 2) Seek advice from the Design Engineer. 3) Monitor crack development for increase in size, spacing, etc. 4) Commission a field investigation if so recommended. 5) Be prepared to carry out one or more responses including: a. Modification of pond and/or basin operating procedures. b. Placement of graded overburden/buttress. c. Temporary cessation of operations. d. Reduction in pond elevation (planned or emergency).
High turbidity in dam seepage flow.	Potential increase in piezometric levels.	Internal erosion and eventual dam failure. **Level 2; potential Level 3**	1) Increase frequency of dam walk-overs to daily until the problem is understood and addressed. 2) Seek advice from the Design Engineer. 3) Take water samples for suspended solids determination if recommended by Design Engineer. 4) Commission a field investigation if so recommended. 5) Be prepared to carry out one or more responses including: a. Modification of pond operating procedures. b. Placement of graded overburden/buttress. c. Installation of drain system. d. Reduction in pond elevation (pumping and/or cessation of tailing discharge).
Pond level close to or approaching overflow level; loss of freeboard.	Potential increase in piezometric levels.	Pond water discharge to environment via emergency overflow. **Level 1**	1) Confirm functionality of emergency overflow channel. 2) Immediately undertake actions to reduce the pond level (increased pumping to WWTP as necessary). 3) Temporarily discontinue seepage recovery. 4) Temporarily terminate tailings discharge to pond. 5) Consult with Design Engineer to identify other actions as needed.

Visual Warning Sign and Typical Location	Corresponding Change in Instrumentation Values (depending on location of movement relative to instrumentation)	Potential/Actual Consequences and Notification Procedures	Required Action
Any other change in seepage conditions.	Potential increase in piezometric levels.	Dam stability safety margin affected. **Level 2; potential Level 3**	1) Seek advice from the Design Engineer. 2) Initiate other responses as may be required (temporarily discontinue seepage recovery). 3) Reduction in pond elevation (pumping and/or cessation of tailing discharge).
Slumping, sliding or bulging of a dam slope or adjacent ground.	Potential increase in piezometric levels. Potential slope deformation at inclinometers. Potential deflection in alignment monuments.	Catastrophic dam breach resulting in release of water or water and liquefied tailings. **Level 2; potential Level 3**	As above (blue shaded box) and: 1) Construct stabilizing berm per direction of the Design Engineer. 2) Initiate geotechnical evaluation per direction of the Design Engineer.
Boils observed downstream of dam.	Potential increase in piezometric levels.	An internal erosion failure possible, with potential breach of the dam. **Level 2; potential Level 3**	As above (blue shaded box) and: 1) Place granular filter buttress over the boils, if approved by the Design Engineer. 2) Initiate geotechnical evaluation per direction of the Design Engineer.
Water vortex within the pool and/or sinkhole on the tailings beach.	No change in instrumentation values expected.	An internal erosion failure in progress, with potential breach of the dam. **Level 2; potential Level 3**	As above (blue shaded box) and: 1) Check downstream of the dam area for increased and/or turbid seepage discharge. 2) Place granular filter buttress against any such areas, if approved by the Design Engineer. 3) Initiate geotechnical evaluation per direction of the design engineer.
Severe flood/intense rainstorm or rapid snowmelt resulting in extreme pond level.	Potential increase in piezometric levels.	Overtopping of dam and resulting erosion and over-steepening of the downstream slope, leading to dam failure. **Level 3**	1) Initiate chain of communications and ensure safety of people. 2) Confirm functionality of emergency overflow channel. 3) Stop discharge into the pond. 4) Lower pond by any practical means approved by the Design Engineer.

Notes for Notification Procedures:

Level 1 – Condition that does not warrant emergency response but requires prompt investigation and resolution.

Level 2 – Potential emergency if condition is sustained or allowed to progress; requires response plan.

Level 3 – Imminent or actual failure requiring partial or complete evacuation, emergency communications and response actions.

Large Table 2 Instrumentation Warning Signs

Instrument Type and Typical Location	Instrumentation Warning Sign	Corresponding Visual Changes (dependent on magnitude of movement)	Potential/Actual Consequences and Notification Procedures	Required Action
Piezometer (single or nested) – Located on Perimeter Dams/Slopes and on Cell Splitter Dams/Slope (ref. Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan for Piezometer Names and Locations)	Gradual or Sudden Increase in Water Level in One or More Piezometers, Above Threshold Action Levels (ref. Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan for Piezometer Reading Values – Predicted and Threshold)	1) Soft toe condition or increased seepage at downstream slope or dam toe. 2) Elevated pond level in basin. 3) Increased turbidity in seepage flows. 4) Boils observed downstream of dam.	1) Excessive seepage through dam and potential for dam breach. 2) An internal erosion failure possible, with potential breach of the dam. 3) Catastrophic dam breach resulting in release of water or water and liquefied tailings. **Level 1, 2 or 3 (situation dependent)**	1) Check the reading again; confirm instrumentation functionality. 2) Intensify reading frequency to daily. 3) Seek advice from the Design Engineer. 4) Commission a field investigation if so recommended. 5) Be prepared to carry out one or more responses including: a. Check downstream of the dam area for increased and/or turbid seepage discharge. b. Place granular filter buttress against any such areas, if approved by the Design Engineer. c. Initiate geotechnical evaluation per direction of the design engineer. d. Modify pond and/or basin operating procedures. e. Temporary cease operations/stop discharge into the pond. f. Lower pond by any practical means approved by the Design Engineer.
Inclinometer – Located on Perimeter Dams/Slopes and on Cell Splitter Dams/Slopes (ref. Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan for Inclinometer Names and Locations)	Gradual or Sudden Movement in Horizontal Direction in One or More Inclinometers (ref. Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan for Inclinometer Reading Values – Predicted and Threshold)	1) Cracks developing at dam crest or in slope. 2) Slumping, sliding or bulging of a dam slope or adjacent ground.	1) Deformation of dam structure that may lead to eventual dam failure. 2) Catastrophic dam breach resulting in release of water or water and liquefied tailings. **Level 1, 2 or 3 (situation dependent)**	As above (blue shaded box).
Survey Monument – Located on Crest of Perimeter Dams and on Crest of Cell Splitter Dams	Gradual or Sudden Movement in Horizontal and/or Vertical Direction in One or More Survey Monuments	1) Cracks developing at dam crest or in slope. 2) Slumping, sliding or bulging of a dam slope or adjacent ground.	1) Deformation of dam structure that may lead to eventual dam failure. 2) Catastrophic dam breach resulting in release of water or water and liquefied tailings. **Level 1, 2 or 3 (situation dependent)**	As above (blue shaded box).

Notes for Notification Procedures:

Level 1 – Condition that does not warrant emergency response but requires prompt investigation and resolution.

Level 2 – Potential emergency if condition is sustained or allowed to progress; requires response plan.

Level 3 – Imminent or actual failure requiring partial or complete evacuation, emergency communications and response actions.

Large Figures



Large Figure 1 Notification Procedures for an Emergency Involving Threat of FTB Dam Failure

Attachment G

Template Construction Specifications

Technical Specifications for Permitting
NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin

Polymet Mining Corporation
NorthMet
Hoyt Lakes, MN

Table of Contents

Division 1 General Requirements

Section	01010	Summary of Work
	01200	Meetings
	01300	Submittals
	01400	Quality Control
	01510	Temporary Utilities
	01560	Stormwater Erosion Prevention Sediment and Dust Control

Division 2 Site Work

Section	02220	Excavating, Backfilling, and Compacting
	02240	Dewatering and Diversion
	02271	Riprap
	02610	Pipes and Fittings
	03100	Bentonite-Amended Tailings

PERMITTING

SECTION 01010

SUMMARY OF WORK

PART 1 GENERAL

1.01 SPECIFICATIONS

A. The format of these Specifications is based upon the CSI MASTERFORMAT; however differences in format and subject matter location do exist. These Specifications are written in imperative and streamlined form. This imperative language is directed to the Contractor, unless specifically noted otherwise. It is solely the Contractor's responsibility to thoroughly read and understand these Specifications and request written clarification of those portions which are unclear.

B. Division of the Work as made in these Specifications is for the purpose of specifying and describing work which is to be completed. There has been no attempt to make a classification according to trade or agreements which may exist between Contractor, Subcontractors, or trade unions or other organizations. Such division and classification of the Work shall be solely the Contractor's responsibility.

1.02 EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS AND USES

A. The Project Site is located at Poly Met Mining Inc.'s NorthMet Project near Hoyt Lakes, Minnesota.

B. The Flotation Tailings Basin (FTB) is located northeast of the processing plant area and immediately east of, and adjacent to, Cell 2W.

1.03 WORK COVERED BY SPECIFICATIONS

A. The overall scope of the Work which is more fully described in these Specifications includes, but is not necessarily limited to, furnishing all labor, tools, equipment, and materials necessary to:

1. Mobilize and demobilize labor, equipment, materials, and temporary facilities.
2. Obtain any necessary permits prior to performing the Work to the extent that such permits have not previously and/or are not planned to be obtained by Owner.
3. Site preparation of all dam construction areas and borrow areas (including surface preparation and stripping topsoil).
4. Protect all existing instrumentation and Project Site features, which include but are not limited to existing above ground pipelines, underground pipelines, pipeline discharge locations, electric utilities, inclinometers, piezometers, monitoring wells, and survey benchmarks.
5. Excavate LTVSMC Coarse Tailings from areas shown on the Drawings, then place and compact LTVSMC Coarse Tailings to create proposed dams and access roads.
6. Excavate, transport and place off-site borrow materials required for dam construction.
7. Place Bentonite amended cover on exterior of all proposed dams and final beaches within the Flotation Tailings Basin.
8. Construct North and South Buttresses as shown on the Drawings.
9. Construct Tailings Discharge and Return Water Pipelines as shown on the Drawings.
10. Construct Emergency Overflow as shown on the Drawings.

PERMITTING

11. Construct Drainage Swale as shown on the Drawings.
12. Fabricate and Install Diffuser Raft and Transfer Pump Raft.
13. Refurbish and return Return Water Barge to service.
14. Perform permanent site restoration of all areas disturbed by the Work.
15. Submit construction documentation as specified.

B. It is the intent of these Specifications to cover all aspects of the Project except items that may specifically be excluded as described herein. Should there be some item or items not shown on the Drawings or not described in these Specifications which are required for the Work, those items and the furnishing of all labor, materials, and equipment shall be considered incidental to the Work and no additional compensation will be provided.

C. The Work includes the furnishing of all labor, equipment, tools, machinery, materials, and other items required for the construction of a complete Project as specified and shown on the Drawings. Equipment furnished shall be in safe operating condition and of adequate size, capacity, and condition for the performance of the Work. Contractor shall obtain all measurements necessary for the Work and shall be responsible for establishing all dimensions, levels, and layout of the Work.

D. Contractor shall be solely responsible for the coordination of its activities with regard to the Project and the Owner, and for the coordination and its Subcontractor's activities with regard to the Project and the Owner.

E. Contractor shall utilize material sources designated by Owner and shall develop necessary access roads to transport material sources to the Project Site.

F. Contractor shall provide soil testing as required in Section 02220.

1.04 WORK BY OWNER OR OTHERS

A. Owner will provide bench-mark and site coordinate information necessary for construction of the Work. Once provided, it is Contractor's responsibility to protect the bench-marks. Contractor shall request benchmark and site coordinate information from Owner a minimum of five days prior to the time when such information is needed.

B. Owner will provide electrical service (voltage phase and KVA TBD) and connection to the Contractor's trailer.

C. Others will construct the FTB Seepage Containment and Stream Augmentation Systems. Such systems are separate from/not integral to FTB construction and operations and their construction is excluded from this Scope of Work. It shall be the Contractor's responsibility to integrate their Work and activities with that of others working on or adjacent to the FTB.

1.05 OWNER FURNISHED PRODUCTS

A. Owner will provide borrow sources for the construction of dams.

1.06 CONTRACTOR USE OF PREMISES

A. Definition of Project Site: The Project Site is defined as the area within the construction limits shown on Drawings to be provided to Contractor by Owner prior construction, plus a nearby material and equipment storage and staging area, the location of which will be designated by Owner. Contractor shall limit operations, including material and equipment storage, to within

PERMITTING

those boundaries. Any disturbance outside the construction limits shall be fully restored at Contractor's expense in accordance with Laws and Regulations. Contractor shall obtain pre-approval of Owner at all locations where Contractor uses land not included in the construction limits.

B. Hours of Operation: Working hours shall be set by Contractor, subject to approval by Owner.

C. Protection and Repair of Existing Facilities and Utilities: Contractor shall perform operations carefully and in such a manner as to protect existing facilities and utilities. Obstructions not shown on the Drawings may exist and shall be exposed by Contractor without damage. Contractor shall be responsible for damage to existing facilities and utilities resulting from Contractor's operations, and shall repair or replace damaged items to Owner's satisfaction. Groundwater monitoring wells shall be protected during construction unless directed otherwise by Owner.

D. Unfavorable Construction Conditions: When unfavorable weather, soil, drainage, or other unsuitable construction conditions exist, Contractor shall confine operations to work which will not be adversely affected by such conditions. No portion of the Work shall be constructed under conditions which would adversely affect the quality of the Work or the safety of workers, unless special means or precautions are taken to perform the Work in a proper, safe and satisfactory manner.

E. Survey Markers: Contractor shall conduct operations so as to preserve bench-marks, survey reference points, and stakes existing or established by Owner for the construction. Contractor will be charged the expense of repairing or replacing survey markers and shall be responsible for mistakes or lost time resulting from damage or destruction of survey markers due to Contractor's operations.

F. Environmental Protection: Contractor shall conduct operations so as to fully comply with all state required and project-specific environmental protection requirements including but not limited to surface water runoff control and water quality protection, fugitive dust emissions control and air quality protection, groundwater quality protection, and noise abatement.

PART 2 PRODUCTS [NOT USED]

PART 3 EXECUTION [NOT USED]

END OF SECTION 01010

PERMITTING

SECTION 01200

MEETINGS

PART 1 GENERAL

1.01 PRECONSTRUCTION CONFERENCE

A. After Owner and Contractor have executed the Agreement, Owner will schedule a preconstruction conference at Project Site that shall be attended by Owner, Contractor, Engineer, Owner's On-site Representative, and others as appropriate. The meeting will be scheduled within twenty-eight (28) calendar days following formal agreement to Contract. The purpose of the meeting will be to ensure that all parties understand their responsibilities and the procedures that will be used to assure efficient completion of the Work.

B. Agenda items may include:

1. Distribution of Plans and Specifications.
2. Designation of responsible personnel for all parties, lines of communication, and lines of authority.
3. Scope of work and the anticipated schedule of operations.
4. Critical work sequencing.
5. Submittal and field test reporting procedures.
6. Record documents and reporting.
7. Project Site safety and security procedures.
8. List of major subcontractors.
9. Procedures for processing change orders.
10. Use of premises including equipment and material storage.
11. Major equipment deliveries.
12. Housekeeping procedures.
13. Other items for consideration during construction activities.

1.02 PROGRESS MEETINGS

A. Weekly progress meetings will be scheduled by the Owner’s On-Site Representative at a regular time mutually agreeable to by the Owner, Contractor, and Owner’s On-Site Representative. The Contractor shall attend these meetings and shall coordinate and require the attendance of subcontractors whose work may be in progress at the time or whose presence may be required for any purpose. Scheduling of required attendees shall meet with the approval of the Owner's On-Site Representative.

B. Following each meeting, the Owner’s On-Site Representative will prepare and distribute to Owner and Contractor copies of the minutes of the meeting. These will include a brief summary of the progress of the Work since the previous meeting.

C. The weekly meeting agenda will include at least the following:

1. Administrative/Purchasing issues.
2. Technical/Construction issues.
3. Design issues.
4. Schedule/Progress issues.
5. Project Site safety issues.
6. Status review of required submittals.

PERMITTING

1.03 UNSCHEDULED MEETINGS

A. The Contractor shall attend other unscheduled meetings which may be reasonably requested by Owner's On-Site Representative or Owner to discuss unanticipated changes in the Work or conditions at the Project Site and which must be resolved before progression of work.

1.04 BASIS FOR COMPENSATION

A. The Contractor's cost for work under this Section shall be included in the Bid Price and no additional compensation will be provided.

PART 2 PRODUCTS [NOT USED]

PART 3 EXECUTION [NOT USED]

END OF SECTION 01200

PERMITTING

SECTION 01300

SUBMITTALS

PART 1 GENERAL

1.01 GENERAL PROCEDURES

A. This Section stipulates the requirements for transmission of submittals from Contractor to Owner's On-Site Representative and actions taken by Owner's On-Site Representative regarding submittals.

B. Submittals shall be identified with the project name, name of submittal, and Specification Section for which the submittal is required.

C. Owner's On-Site Representative will accept submittals only from Contractor. Submittals from subcontractors, vendors, suppliers, or others will be returned without review or action.

D. Owner's On-Site Representative will accept only those submittals required by the Specifications. Unsolicited submittals will be returned without review or action.

E. All engineering data, regardless of origin, shall be stamped with the approval of the Contractor. The Contractor's stamp of approval will be a representation to the Owner and Owner's On-Site Representative that the Contractor has assumed full responsibility for determining and verifying all quantities, dimensions, field construction criteria, materials, catalog numbers, and similar data, and that he has reviewed or coordinated each submittal with the requirements of the Specifications.

F. All engineering data shall be identified by use of the nomenclature established by the Plans and Specifications. Equipment drawings shall have the equipment name and number clearly displayed. Material drawings shall have the structure name and structure number (when applicable) clearly displayed.

1.02 CORRESPONDENCE

A. Correspondence forwarding engineering data shall be addressed to the Owner and Owner's On-site Representative as follows.

To the Owner:
Poly Met Mining Inc.
NorthMet Project
P.O. Box 475; County Road 666
Hoyt Lakes, MN 55750-0475
Attention: **Mr. Jim Tieberg**

To the Owner's On-site Representative:
c/o _____
Poly Met Mining Inc.
NorthMet Project
P.O. Box 475; County Road 666
Hoyt Lakes, MN 55750-0475
_____

Copies to the Owner:
Poly Met Mining Inc.
Attention: **_TBD_____**
_____

Copies to the Project Engineer:
TBD

B. A letter of transmittal shall accompany all submittals of engineering data and shall include a list of the data included in the transmittal. Lists shall include manufacturer's drawing numbers

PERMITTING

identified with the corresponding project equipment or structure nomenclature as applicable. The letter shall be identified by the project name.

1.03 PROGRESS SCHEDULE

A. Submit an estimated progress schedule and a finalized progress schedule in accordance with the requirements of the General Conditions.

B. Update the schedule on a weekly basis for presentation, discussion, and distribution at the weekly progress meeting.

1.04 SCHEDULE OF VALUES AND PROGRESS PAYMENT SCHEDULE

A. Submit a schedule of values for the Work. The schedule shall be broken out as follows for each Bid Price item and each Unit Adjustment Price item on the Bid Form:

1. Item description.
2. Unit of measure upon which the item is based.
3. Contractor's estimated quantity (number of units upon which the total price for the item is based: for Unit Adjustment Price items, enter 0 for quantity).
4. Total unit price, including materials, equipment, labor, overhead, and profit (for Unit Adjustment Prices, shall be same unit price as on the Bid Form).
5. Extension (total price for the item, calculated by multiplying the number of units by the total unit price).

B. Submit a schedule of anticipated progress payment requests with the schedule of values. The proposed progress payment schedule shall be based on monthly or target-percentage invoicing for Work completed, and shall be closely coordinated with the schedule of values. Resubmit a revised schedule of anticipated progress payment requests whenever the progress schedule is updated or revised. Update the payment schedule each time an actual payment request varies more than 10 percent from the schedule. The progress payment schedule shall take into consideration retainage if applicable.

C. The schedule of values and anticipated progress payment schedule shall be subject to review and approval by Owner. If, in the opinion of Owner, the schedules do not contain sufficient detail or appear to be unbalanced, the Owner may require Contractor to revise and resubmit the schedules and/or provide documentation to justify Contractor's distribution. Contractor shall correct such deficiencies and resubmit the schedules.

1.05 REVIEW OF SUBMITTALS

A. The Owner's On-Site Representative's review of engineering data will cover only general conformity of the data to the Specifications, external connections, and interfaces with equipment and materials furnished under separate specifications. The Owner's On-Site Representative's review does not indicate a thorough review of all dimensions, quantities, and details of the equipment, material, device, or item indicated or the accuracy of the information or documentation submitted; nor shall review or approval by the Owner's On-Site Representative be construed as relieving the Contractor from any and all responsibility for errors or deviations from the requirements of drawings and specifications.

1.06 SUBMITTAL FOR INFORMATION OR DOCUMENTATION

A. Submit 2 copies to Owner's On-Site Representative and 2 copies to Owner.

PERMITTING

B. Unless otherwise specified, submittal shall be made at least 1 day before the subject of the submittal is to be incorporated into the Work.

C. Submittal is for the purpose of formal verification that the subject of the submittal conforms to the requirements of the Specifications, for formal documentation of the Work, or both.

D. No action is required by Owner or Owner's On-Site Representative. Owner's On-Site Representative will generally notify Contractor if deficiencies are identified; however Contractor is solely responsible for ensuring that the subject of the submittal conforms to the requirements of the Specifications.

1.07 SUBMITTAL FOR REVIEW

A. Submit 2 copies to the Owner's On-Site Representative.

B. Unless otherwise specified, submittal shall be made at least 10 days before the subject of the submittal is to be incorporated into the Work. Owner's On-Site Representative will respond within 5 days from receipt of submittal.

C. Submittal is for the purpose of providing opportunity to Owner's On-Site Representative for review and comment on the subject of the submittal.

D. Owner's On-Site Representative will respond to the submittal either with a list of comments or indicating no comments.

E. If Owner's On-Site Representative's comments indicate a deficiency with respect to the requirement of the Specifications, Contractor shall amend the submittal and resubmit. Owner's On-Site Representative will again respond to the resubmittal.

F. If Owner's On-Site Representative's comments are in regards to an issue which is based on Contractor's discretion, Contractor shall furnish additional information, provide justification, and otherwise cooperate in addressing and resolving Owner's On-Site Representative's comments.

G. Contractor shall remain solely responsible for ensuring that the subject of the submittal conforms to the requirements of the Specifications.

1.08 RECORD DOCUMENTS

A. Submit record documents prior to Substantial Completion.

B. Record documents shall accurately reflect the as-constructed condition.

1.09 WARRANTY AND GUARANTEE CERTIFICATES

A. Submit warranty and guarantee certificates prior to Substantial Completion.

B. Warrantee and guarantee certificates shall be signed by Contractor, Installer, Manufacturer, and others as required by the Specifications.

PERMITTING

1.10 BASIS FOR COMPENSATION

A. The Contractor's cost for work under this Section shall be included in the Bid Price and no additional compensation will be provided.

PART 2 PRODUCTS [NOT USED]

PART 3 EXECUTION [NOT USED]

END OF SECTION 01300

PERMITTING

SECTION 01400

QUALITY CONTROL

PART 1 GENERAL

1.01 FIELD QUALITY CONTROL

A. Complete construction quality control for the Work as described in these Specifications, unless specified as the responsibility of the Owner.

B. Retain an independent registered land surveyor licensed in the State of Minnesota for performing quality control on line and grade of the Work. The quality control survey data shall be available for review at all times by Owner and Owner's On-Site Representative.

C. Retain an independent soil and material testing firm(s) for performing the quality control testing. The quality control data shall be available for review at all times by Owner and Owner's On-Site Representative.

D. All quality control test results will be used by Owner to demonstrate compliance with Project permit requirements. Tests shall be performed and samples shall be collected at random locations such that the test results may be considered representative. Testing shall be performed or samples collected at specific locations determined by Owner's On-Site Representative, if requested.

E. Owner's On-Site Representative shall have full authority to direct testing activities of Contractor-retained independent soil and material testing firm (s) including, but not limited to: selecting locations and materials for testing, reviewing all raw and final test data, and conducting audits of testing company field and in-laboratory testing procedures and equipment. In cases where testing firm personnel violate Project Site safety procedures or otherwise appear to lack the competence required to fully perform the required testing, Owner's On-Site Representative with concurrence of Owner shall also have the authority to dismiss testing firm personnel from the Project Site.

1.02 SUBMITTALS

A. Submit for approval name(s) and qualifications of Contractor's independent registered land surveyor and Contractor's independent soil and material testing firm(s).

B. Submit for information on a daily basis, the following information:

1. Survey data for each day that survey work is performed.
2. Soil compaction data for each day that soil compaction data is collected.
3. Other soil and material test data daily as it is available.

C. Submit for documentation a tabulation of all results of survey work performed. This submittal shall be made prior to substantial completion. The tabulation shall be signed by the registered land surveyor. The tabulation shall contain the following information for each survey location:

1. A unique identification number.
2. Coordinates.

PERMITTING

3. Elevation of the finished surface of each material (e.g. top of LTVSMC Coarse Tailings for dam and access road construction; top of bentonite amended cover on exterior of dams and interior beaches; top of Tailings Discharge and Return Water Pipelines; existing surface and finished surface for stockpiled construction materials; other as needed).

D. Submit for documentation the results of all soil compaction and other material testing performed. Test results shall be compiled in a report-format and submitted prior to substantial completion of Work.

E. Submit for approval supplier information for bentonite to be used for bentonite augmentation of tailings. Submittal shall include:

1. Supplier's business name and address.
2. Source location of bentonite.
3. Bentonite packaging and delivery methods.
4. Bentonite handling and storage methods upon receipt on site.

1.03 SURVEY VERIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

A. Contractor's independent registered land surveyor shall verify that elevations, grades, slopes, and material thickness constructed by Contractor are within the tolerances specified in Section 02220. Material thickness shall be determined from the elevation difference between shots taken at the same coordinate location. On slopes, the surveyed vertical thickness shall be adjusted by calculating the thickness perpendicular to the slope for presentation in the submittals. The surveying work shall include determining elevations at specific locations on a matrix of survey points as described below.

1. Dams: For elevation, grade, and material thickness verification, survey shots shall be taken on the top of LTVSMC Coarse Tailings and top of Bentonite Amended Cover. The toe, midpoint, and top of each dam shall be surveyed at 100-foot intervals along the dam alignment.
2. Bentonite Amended Cover: For elevation, grade, and material thickness verification, survey shots shall be taken on the top of each layer (i.e. top of LTVSMC/Flotation Tailings, top of bentonite-tailings mix layer, and top of cover layer) of the Bentonite Amended Cover at 100' grid spacing.
3. Buttresses: For elevation and grade verification, survey shots shall be taken of the final grade of each buttress. The toe, midpoint, and top of each buttress shall be surveyed at 100-foot intervals along the buttress alignment.
4. Tailings Discharge and Return Water Pipelines: For elevation and grade verification, survey shots shall be taken on the top-of-pipe elevations at a maximum of 50-foot intervals (lineal) in the areas where pipe has little or no significant change in elevation, and at changes in grade. Coordinate the location of these shots with Owner or Owner's On-Site Representative.

1.04 CONTRACTOR TESTING RESPONSIBILITIES

A. Retain an independent testing laboratory(s).

B. Perform all the testing requirements described in these Technical Specifications unless noted as the responsibility of the Owner.

PERMITTING

1.05 OWNER TESTING RESPONSIBILITIES

A. Contractor shall provide material samples, and/or coordinate with and provide access to work areas for Owner's On-Site Representative and Owner's independent testing firms for sampling and/or testing.

B. Work failing to meet Specifications shall be repaired at Contractor's expense. Owner will perform additional testing after repairs are completed. The expense of retesting may be charged to Contractor. Contractor may ask to review results of Owner's testing during construction.

1.06 PRESENTATION OF DATA

A. All survey and compaction test data shall be summarized and submitted to Owner or Owner's On-Site Representative on a daily basis. Failure to submit data on a daily basis shall be cause for Owner to suspend Contractor's operations until submittals are made current. Contractor shall not be entitled to additional compensation for any suspension of operations ordered by Owner due to Contractor's failure to submit data on a daily basis.

B. Survey data shall be summarized in a tabular format listing each survey point by unique identification number, coordinate, elevation, difference from previous elevation (material thickness), and required material thickness as appropriate. Required material thickness is measured perpendicular to the slope. Material thickness based upon survey shots at the same coordinate location shall be corrected to the perpendicular-to-slope thickness.

C. Compaction test data shall be summarized in a tabular format listing each compaction test by unique identification number, horizontal coordinate, elevation (within 0.5 foot vertical of actual location), reference proctor, in-place moisture content, dry density, percent compaction, and compaction specification requirement.

1.07 BASIS FOR COMPENSATION

A. The Contractor's cost for work under this Section shall be included in the Bid Price and no additional compensation will be provided.

PART 2 PRODUCTS [NOT USED]

PART 3 EXECUTION [NOT USED]

END OF SECTION 01400

PERMITTING

SECTION 01510

TEMPORARY UTILITIES

PART 1 GENERAL

1.01 TEMPORARY UTILITIES

A. Water: Potable water is not available at the Project Site. Make all arrangements necessary to provide water for potable consumption. Water used for construction purposes need not be potable but must meet all applicable surface water quality criteria. Non-potable water will be available from an on-site location designated by Owner. The costs of furnishing potable and other water and water usage shall be included in the Bid Price and no additional compensation will be provided.

B. Sanitary Facilities: Contractor shall provide sanitary facilities for use by Contractor's employees, subcontractors, suppliers, Owner's On-Site Representative, Owner and all other persons to be working on the Project Site. Sanitary facilities shall, as a minimum, comply with the requirements of applicable Laws and Regulations for temporary sanitary facilities and shall be emptied and sanitized at the frequency needed to be maintained in a clean and useable condition. Sanitary facilities shall be maintained until Substantial Completion unless earlier removal is approved by Owner or Owner's On-Site Representative. The cost of sanitary facilities shall be included in the Bid Price and no additional compensation will be provided.

C. Electricity: Furnish portable electric power generators necessary for construction of the Work. Should Contractor need electric power service for Contractor's purposes, it shall be the Contractor's responsibility to arrange for and pay for such service. The cost shall be included in the Bid Price and no additional compensation will be provided. Owner will provide access to electric service connection at the location of the Contractor's office location, and provide electric service to the Office Trailer furnished for Owner's On-Site Representative's use.

D. Telephone and Fax: Phone service is not readily available at the Project Site. Cellular phone service may not be available throughout the entire Project Site. Make arrangements for the Contractor's phone and fax service during the Project. The cost of Contractor's telephone service, fax service, and usage, shall be included in the Bid Price and no additional compensation will be provided.

E. Fire Protection: Make all arrangements necessary to ensure that the Project Site and the Work have adequate fire protection services throughout the duration of the Work. Any special fees or charges imposed by governmental units or other organization to provide such services shall be paid by Contractor. The cost of fire protection shall be included in the Bid Price and no additional compensation will be provided.

1.02 OFFICE TRAILER

A. Contractor shall furnish office trailer space for use by Owner and Owner's On-Site Representative. The space for Owner's On-Site Representative shall have a minimum of 120 square feet of floor area, and at minimum be equipped with a desk, a table, and two chairs. The space shall be furnished with electrical service, operable lighting, heat, and air conditioning. This office space may be located in a trailer with other facilities but must be accessible to Owner and Owner's On-Site Representative at all times and must be secured by a separation wall and lockable door. Owner will provide 240 volt, 110 AMP service to the trailer and will make and disconnect electrical service as requested by the Contractor.

PERMITTING

1.03 BASIS FOR COMPENSATION

A. The Contractor's cost for work under this Section shall be included in the Bid Price and no additional compensation will be provided.

PART 2 PRODUCTS [NOT USED]

PART 3 EXECUTION [NOT USED]

END OF SECTION 01510

PERMITTING

SECTION 01560
STORM WATER EROSION PREVENTION AND SEDIMENT AND DUST CONTROL

PART 1 GENERAL

1.01 DESCRIPTION

A. This section covers construction of all stormwater erosion prevention and sediment controls as needed to conduct the Work in accordance with the Technical Specifications, Drawings, Agreement, and in compliance with local, county, state, federal and other jurisdictional rules and regulations.

B. This work consists of: 1) managing storm water runoff and project related water discharges in order to minimize sediment pollution during construction and over the life of the contract and 2) managing the discharges as set forth in any applicable regulatory agency permit. The work includes furnishing, installing, maintaining and utilizing storm water best management practices and any work specified in conjunction therewith as well as removing temporary sediment control devices when no longer necessary.

C. Control dust generation on access roads to the Project Site and within construction limits. Comply with requirements of project-specific Air Quality Management Plans/Fugitive Emissions Control Plans.

1.02 BASIS FOR COMPENSATION

A. The Contractor's cost for work under this Section shall be included in the Bid Price and no additional compensation will be provided.

1.03 REFERENCES

A. Protecting Water Quality in Urban Areas, MPCA 2000.

B. Stormwater Management for Construction Activities, EPA 1992.

C. Developing Pollution Prevention Plans and Best Management Practices, EPA 1992.

D. Erosion Control Handbook, Mn/DOT 2006.

E. Minnesota Stormwater Manual, Version 2, January 2008.

F. Stormwater and Wetlands: Planning Evaluation Guidelines, MPCA 1997.

G. Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) – NorthMet Project Plant Site, Barr 2016.

PART 2 PRODUCTS

2.01 MATERIALS

A. Water used for dust control may be obtained from an on-site location designated by Owner.

PERMITTING

B. Acceptable temporary erosion control devices include, but are not necessarily limited to, silt fence, straw and hay bales, mulch, geotextiles, and vegetative cover.

2.02 EQUIPMENT

A. Water tank trucks equipped with water cannon capable of delivering water through either front or rear-mounted nozzles. Tank trucks shall be of sufficient size and mobility and carry a sufficient quantity of water to control dust generated by Contractor's activities.

PART 3 EXECUTION

3.01 STORM WATER SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL

A. The Owner is responsible for obtaining the MPCA General Stormwater Construction Permit (MNR 100001) for authorization to discharge storm water associated with the project construction activity under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program and providing a copy of the permit to the Contractor prior to beginning construction activities at the Project site. The Contractor will be required to co-sign the MPCA Stormwater Permit Application and is jointly responsible for compliance with Parts II.B, Part II.C, and Part IV of the MPCA Stormwater Construction Permit (MNR 100001).

B. The Owner is responsible for preparing the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) required under the General Stormwater Construction Permit (MNR 100001) and providing a copy of the SWPPP to the Contractor prior to beginning construction activities at the Project Site.

C. The Owner is responsible for coordinating and obtaining any City, Town, or County permits.

D. The Contractor is responsible for conducting all construction activities in full compliance with the applicable requirements of the MPCA General Stormwater Construction Permit (MNR 100001), the SWPPP and any additional requirements that may be contained in any City, Town or County permits. The Owner will provide the Contractor with copies of all relevant permits and the SWPPP prior to the start of construction activities.

E. The Contractor is responsible for compliance with all requirements specified in Section 3.01 D until construction is complete, and the Project Site has undergone final stabilization. Once the Owner is satisfied that these conditions have been met, the Owner will prepare and submit the Notice of Termination (NOT) to the MPCA.

F. Install erosion control devices and materials at locations as directed by Owner or Owner's On-Site Representative where soil erosion at the Project Site may occur due to Contractor's activities.

G. Install temporary erosion control devices during the progress of the work and maintain them until permanent erosion control (turf establishment, aggregate surfacing, etc.) has been established.

PERMITTING

H. Strictly follow all additional requirements of Owner's SWPPP (to be provided by Owner under separate cover).

3.02 EROSION PREVENTION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

A. The Contractor has responsibility for charge and care of the Project and shall take necessary precautions against injury or damage to the Project by action of the elements. In addition, the Contractor shall take necessary precautions to prevent off site damage resulting from work conducted on the Project or Project related storm water runoff.

B. The Contractor is responsible for preventing or minimizing sediment loss from the Project by directing storm water runoff to constructed ponds and sediment traps as well as installing temporary sediment control devices in drainage locations where runoff can leave the Project limits and/or enter into environmentally sensitive areas. The Contractor shall schedule, construct and/or install temporary sediment control and storm water management measures as required by the Contract and as stated in the permits required for the Project.

C. The Contractor shall install temporary storm water management and sediment control devices in conformity with the details, typical sections, and elevation controls shown in the Drawings. The actual installation location of temporary storm water management and sediment control devices may be adjusted from that indicated in the Plan to better accommodate the actual field conditions and increase the effectiveness of a device.

D. Sediment control measures must be installed down gradient prior to or in conjunction with soil disturbing activities. The Contractor shall schedule, install and maintain temporary sediment control measures as an ongoing effort on a site-by-site basis over the life of the Contract. The Contractor is responsible for minimizing the potential for sedimentation after temporary sediment control devices have been installed by implementing a good quality erosion control program and staging construction as needed.

E. The Contractor shall schedule and phase construction in critical resource areas to the best of his ability in order to minimize the potential of sediment entering into a critical resource. Critical resources include but are not limited to, protected wetlands, surface waters, trout streams, Special Waters, impaired waters, rivers, and endangered species habitat. Measures to minimize sediment potential include practices such as hand clearing and grubbing, limited bare soil exposure time, and immediate final establishment of vegetation.

3.03 FUGITIVE DUST EMMISSIONS CONTROL

A. The Owner is responsible for obtaining air quality permits and preparing and complying with a Fugitive Dust Emissions Control Plan.

B. The Contractor is responsible for complying with the Fugitive Dust Emissions Control Plan. A copy of the Plan will be provided by the Owner.

C. Apply water to roads used by Contractor's equipment as directed by Owner or Owner's On-Site Representative to control dust generated by wind or by Contractor's vehicle traffic.

D. Apply water to ground surfaces within the construction limits as directed by Owner or Owner's On-Site Representative to control dust generated by Contractor's activities at the Project Site.

PERMITTING

E. Strictly follow all additional requirements of Owner's Fugitive Emissions Control Plan (to be provided by Owner under separate cover).

END OF SECTION 01560

PERMITTING

SECTION 02220

EXCAVATING, BACKFILLING, AND COMPACTING

PART 1 GENERAL

1.01 SECTION INCLUDES

A. All work included in this Section shall be performed in accordance with the following paragraphs, the General Requirements set forth in Division 1 of these Specifications, and the provisions of the other Contract Documents.

B. Work covered by this section includes furnishing all supervision, labor, materials, and equipment required to complete all earthwork at the Project Site to grade and lines shown on the Drawings including, but not limited to:

1. Material source development to obtain construction materials.
2. Surface preparation of existing ground for dam construction.
3. Placement and compaction of LTVSMC Coarse Tailings fill for dam construction.
4. Placement and compaction of Bentonite Amended Cover on exterior slopes and tops of dams of the Flotation Tailings Basin (FTB).
5. Placement and compaction of Bentonite Amended Cover on interior beaches of the FTB (at final reclamation only).
6. Placement of rock fill for buttress construction.
7. Placement of Rip-Rap at specified locations.
8. Placement of erosion protection material.
9. Controlling dust within work areas.

1.02 BASIS FOR COMPENSATION

A. Work included under this Section of these Specifications shall be included under the Bid Price.

1.03 SUBMITTALS

A. Submit soil testing and survey data as specified in Section 01400 – Quality Control.

B. LTVSMC Coarse Tailings and Granulated Bentonite Mixing and Placement Plan for Flotation Tailings Basin Dams. Placement Plan content to include but not be limited to:

1. LTVSMC Coarse Tailings and Granulated Bentonite Mixing Equipment, Procedures and QAQC to ensure uniform distribution of granulated bentonite with LTVSMC Coarse Tailings.
2. Pre-placement moisture conditioning plans (if any).
3. Material transport, spreading and compaction plan including equipment types and sequencing.

C. Flotation Tailings and Granulated Bentonite Mixing and Placement Plan for Flotation Tailings Basin Beaches (at final reclamation only at time requested by Owner).

D. Fugitive Dust Emissions Control Plan.

PERMITTING

E. Granulated Bentonite manufacturer and supplier information and product specifications.

1.04 REFERENCES

A. American Society for Testing and Materials, Current Edition, hereafter referred to as ASTM.

B. Minnesota Department of Transportation Standard Specifications for Construction; 2014 Edition.

1.05 SEQUENCING AND SCHEDULING

A. Owner will evaluate results of the independent registered land surveyor's grade, slope, and material thickness verifications, collect material samples, and conduct field testing of materials throughout the duration of the Project, as described in Section 01400 of these Specifications. Do not proceed with subsequent operations until Owner or Owner's On-Site Representative has been notified, has been given opportunity to test or review the Contractor's test data, and has informed the Contractor of any test results that have been gathered.

B. The required completion date for the Work as described in these Contract Documents is specified elsewhere in these Contract Documents.

1.06 JOB CONDITIONS

A. It shall be solely the Contractor's responsibility to review available tests and reports, conduct additional tests, and otherwise determine to its own satisfaction the location and nature of all surface and subsurface features and the soil and water conditions that may be encountered. Owner's information on Project Site conditions may be reviewed at Owner's offices as scheduled with Owner.

B. Use of explosives will be permitted only at the times and locations approved by Owner.

C. Contractor shall be solely responsible for determining the means and methods for meeting the excavation and compaction requirements unless otherwise specified herein, except that compaction by flooding or puddling or other means that involve saturation or over-wetting the soil will not be permitted.

D. Provide all shoring, bracing, sheet piling, trench boxes, tie backs, and other measures required to perform all Work in accordance with Laws and Regulations. Specifically, all excavations shall conform to the requirements of OSHA set forth in 29 CFR 1926, Subpart P (Occupational Safety and Health Standards-Excavations).

E. Perform all work and maintain all equipment and personnel training in accordance with applicable provisions of Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) Code of Federal Regulations – 30 CFR.

F. Comply with all Owner site-specific training and safety requirements (to be provided at time of Bidding).

PERMITTING

1.07 QUALITY CONTROL

A. Contract a qualified soils testing firm, subject to approval by Owner, to conduct all sampling and testing of LTVSMC Coarse Tailings and other soil materials, as specified in these Specifications. The testing laboratory shall perform appropriate tests including sieve analysis, standard Proctor moisture-density testing an in-place moisture-density testing, and other tests as needed and/or specified.

B. Provide testing firm safe access to the Work and materials to be tested, in accordance with the following minimum provisions:

1. All fill material used shall be assessed on a regular basis by testing firm and Owner or Owner's On-Site Representative. Owner or Owner's On-Site Representative will reject all material which does not conform to the material specifications herein as required for each fill zone. Rejected material placed shall be removed at Contractor's expense.
2. Particle size samples shall be taken of fill materials at least twice for each material source and at least once for every 20,000 cubic yards of material placed.

C. Construction Testing: The following testing shall be conducted during construction:

1. Perform Standard Proctor moisture-density relationship analyses according to ASTM D 698 for at least two samples for each borrow source location.
2. Conduct soil classification according to ASTM D 2487 for at least two samples for each borrow source location.
3. Perform in-place moisture-density testing of LTVSMC Coarse Tailings according to ASTM D-2922 (nuclear densometer) at least once every lift at a minimum frequency of approximately 500 feet of dam length, and at least once a day when compaction activities are being performed.
4. Report whether each in-place moisture-density test passed or failed. If any test fails, report what actions were taken to correct material compaction, and what additional tests will be submitted to demonstrate acceptable (passed) compaction.
5. Only passing tests will be considered in the count of material tests taken, as specified above.

PART 2 PRODUCTS

2.01 GENERAL

A. All fill materials shall be free of wood, organic soils, large boulders, topsoil, snow, ice, and other unsuitable materials detrimental to performance of the dam.

2.02 MATERIALS AND MATERIAL SOURCES

A. LTVSMC Coarse Tailings: Materials conforming to the specifications for Dam Fill and Access Roads are located within designated Owner-supplied material sources. The general location of material sources are indicated on the Drawings as 'Borrow Area 1' through 'Borrow Area 4'. All materials used must be approved by Owner or Owner's On-Site Representative. If unsuitable Owner supplied materials are encountered, Contractor shall notify Owner and Owner will direct Contractor to alternate material source sites. The material for use as the dam fill shall consist of inorganic soil classified as a SM or SP as defined by the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) from the proposed LTVSMC Coarse Tailings borrow areas (1 through 4).

PERMITTING

B. Granulated Bentonite: A granulated Bentonite manufactured and supplied by Wyo-Ben Inc. American Colloid Company, Bentonite Performance Minerals LLC, or other Owner approved Bentonite product manufacturer and supplier.

C. Rip-Rap: Rip-Rap materials used shall be in accordance with Section 3601 of Minnesota Department of Transportation's 2014 Edition of Standard Specifications for Construction.

D. Area 5 Waste Rock: Area 5 Waste Rock shall be obtained from an on-site location designated by Owner. Materials shall be well graded to ensure no large voids are present after placement.

PART 3 EXECUTION

3.01 GENERAL

A. Locate and protect overhead and underground utilities, unless indicated otherwise on the Drawings.

B. Provide temporary controls such as diversions and dewatering equipment to prevent surface runoff from entering excavations and to remove ponded water from excavations. Maintain excavations in a dry and stable condition at all times.

C. Examine the area prior to and while performing earthwork. If unsatisfactory conditions occur during the Work do not proceed with the Work until satisfactory conditions have been established.

D. Determine the location and nature of all surface and subsurface obstacles, and the soil and water conditions that will be encountered during construction.

E. Institute and maintain, as directed by Owner, adequate dust control measures such as sprinkling, for all work areas, haul routes, and parking areas.

3.02 PREPARATION

A. Make arrangements to locate all existing utilities and underground facilities in the areas of the Work. Provide adequate means of protection for utilities and underground facilities that are to remain in place during earthwork operations.

B. Protect structures, fences, utilities, groundwater quality wells, piezometers, inclinometers, survey benchmarks, and other facilities from damage caused by settlement, lateral movement, undermining, washout and other hazards created by earthwork operations.

C. Control surface water sufficiently to permit placement of materials in dry conditions.

3.03 EXCAVATION

A. Construct excavations in accordance with applicable Laws and Regulations.

B. Excavate LTVSMC Coarse Tailings to the lines, elevations, slopes, and dimensions shown on the Drawings, or as necessary to complete the Work shown on the Drawings.

PERMITTING

C. Materials excavated for construction that are unsuitable for reuse in the project shall be neatly stockpiled as described in Subpart 3.07.

3.04 MATERIAL PLACEMENT AND COMPACTION

A. Placement of fill materials will be performed over the existing ground as shown on the Drawings. Contractor shall keep Owner or Owner's On-Site Representative informed of its operations so that proper inspection and testing can be implemented. No fill material shall be placed on frozen subgrade unless approved by Owner or Owner's On-Site Representative.

B. Finish all areas to the lines and grades shown on the Drawings within the tolerances provided in this Specification and as approved by Owner or Owner's On-Site Representative. All finish grading shall be accomplished using normal mechanical construction equipment. The final constructed dam tops shall be covered and finished with materials shown on the Drawings.

C. Compact the placed Dam Fill and Access Road materials as shown on the Drawings. All fill shall be compacted in approximately horizontal lifts. Compact each layer to required density for each area classification.

D. Remove and replace fill that is too wet to permit compaction as specified.

E. Compact the material around structures with hand-compaction equipment which is designed for the compaction of backfill. Heavy equipment shall not be utilized for compaction within three (3) feet of structures, or a greater distance if necessitated by equipment or Project Site conditions.

F. Place and compact fill as specified on the Drawings to an in-place density as measured by ASTM D 698 (Standard Proctor) or ASTM D 1556 (Modified Proctor) as specified.

1. Dam and Access Road Fill: Uniformly compact the full depth of each lift with a vibratory compactor. Lifts shall not exceed 15 inches in loose thickness prior to compaction. Compact each lift to at least 95% of Standard Proctor maximum dry density. Moisture content shall be uniform throughout each lift and maintained throughout placement and compaction work.
2. Bentonite Amended Cover on FTB Dams: Bentonite Amended Cover construction on the exterior slopes and tops of the FTB dams shall be performed in accordance with the Owner-approved Placement Plan. At minimum the amended cover shall be placed to the final thickness specified, within the specified tolerances, and be uniformly compacted to the full depth of each lift. Lifts shall not exceed 12 inches in loose thickness prior to compaction. Compact each lift to at least 95% of Standard Proctor maximum dry density. Moisture content shall be uniform throughout each lift and maintained throughout placement and compaction work.
3. Bentonite Amended FTB Beach Area: Bentonite Amended FTB interior beach construction shall be performed in accordance with the Owner-approved Placement Plan. Flotation tailings and bentonite shall be mixed after bentonite injection such that the resulting bentonite-tailings mix contains a uniform bentonite content of 3 percent by weight. Compact the bentonite amended tailings to at least 90% of Standard Proctor maximum dry density or as otherwise approved by Engineer. Moisture content shall be uniform throughout each lift and maintained throughout placement and compaction work.

PERMITTING

G. Place Waste Rock for buttress construction in uniform 24” lifts to the elevations shown on the Drawings.

H. Place Rip-Rap according to Section 2511.3 of Minnesota Department of Transportation’s Standard Construction Specifications, 2014 Edition, and as shown on the Drawings.

3.05 PROJECT SITE GRADING

A. Grade intermediate slopes to minimize erosion potential. Maintain temporary erosion controls as necessary to minimize erosion. Maintain strict compliance with Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPP).

B. Smooth-grade finished ground on exterior slopes of berms, along access roads, and other areas disturbed by Contractor’s activities, to uniform levels or slopes between points where elevations are shown, or between such points and existing ground.

3.06 ACCESS ROAD CONSTRUCTION

A. Determine location of temporary access roads and ramps to each construction area, subject to approval by Owner.

B. Construct permanent access roads and ramps at the locations shown on the Drawings or as directed by Owner.

C. Access roads and ramps shall be crowned or sloped to promote surface water runoff.

3.07 DISPOSAL OF EXCAVATED SOIL

A. All excavated materials not incorporated into the construction shall be stockpiled in a location designated by Owner. All stockpiles left in place by Contractor shall be left in a neat condition and be appropriately graded so as to provide proper drainage, and appropriately vegetated or contained to prevent off-site sediment transport.

3.08 TOLERANCES

A. Construct the excavation and backfill work within the dimensional tolerances given below. Alignment, elevation and thickness tolerances are acceptable deviations from the elevations and material thicknesses shown on the Drawings. No compensation will be made for additional work or materials required by Contractor as a result of construction beyond specified elevations, thicknesses, or grades.

B. Alignment Tolerances – Horizontal Control:

1. Dam Centerline: +/- 0.2 foot.

2. Crest of Slope:
   a. Interior crest of slope: +/- 1.0 foot.
   b. Exterior crest of slope: +/- 1.0 foot at any location, +/- 0.5 foot average.

3. Toe of Slope:
   a. Interior toe slope: +/- 1.0 foot.

PERMITTING

b. Exterior toe slope: +/- 1.0 foot at any location, +/- 0.5 foot average.

C. Elevation Tolerances – Vertical Control:

1. Crest of Dams: + 0.2 foot, -0.0 foot.

D. Thickness Tolerances (Bentonite Amended Cover on FTB dams and beaches)

1. Bentonite-Tailings Mix: -0.0 foot, +0.2 foot

E. Thickness Tolerances (Rip-Rap)

1. Rip-Rap: -0.0 foot, +0.5 foot

F. Granulated Bentonite Distribution within LTVSMC Coarse Tailings – Uniformly distributed to achieve average percent by weight specified at sampling and testing interval specified.

G. Hydraulic Conductivity – Geometric mean hydraulic conductivity of bentonite-amended LTVSMC tailings tests on exterior face and crest of dams shall be equal to or less than 1×10^{-6} cm/sec.

3.09 DEBRIS MANAGEMENT

A. Manage debris resulting from the Work or encountered on Project Site in accordance with applicable Laws and Regulations. Debris may include abandoned electrical cable, abandoned well materials, abandoned piping, old power poles, miscellaneous refuse, or other man-made objects.

END OF SECTION 02220

PERMITTING

SECTION 02240

DEWATERING AND DIVERSION

PART 1 GENERAL

1.01 DESCRIPTION

A. All work included in this Section shall be done in accordance with the following paragraphs as well as the general requirements as outlined in Division 1 of these Specifications.

B. The work covered by this section of the Specifications consists of furnishing all labor, equipment, and materials, and performing all operations necessary for dewatering the Project Site during construction.

1.02 REFERENCES

A. Protecting Water Quality in Urban Areas, MPCA 2000.

B. Stormwater Management for Construction Activities, EPA 1992.

C. Developing Pollution Prevention Plans and Best Management Practices, EPA 1992.

D. Erosion Control Handbook, Mn/DOT 2006.

E. Minnesota Stormwater Manual, Version 2, January 2008.

F. Stormwater and Wetlands: Planning Evaluation Guidelines, MPCA 1997.

G. Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) – NorthMet Project Plant Site, Barr 2016.

1.03 BASIS FOR COMPENSATION

A. Work included under this Section of these Specifications shall be included under the Bid Price.

PART 2 PRODUCTS

2.01 PUMPS

A. Supply and maintain pumps capable of pumping water from excavation areas to permitted discharge locations in the event of heavy rains or runoff so work will not be significantly delayed and water will not saturate the soils.

PERMITTING

PART 3 EXECUTION

3.01 GENERAL

A. Furnish and operate temporary controls such as diversions and dewatering equipment to prevent surface water and groundwater from entering and ponding in excavations and to allow construction under dry conditions.

B. Contractor shall be aware that flows will vary in proportion to recent rainfall events, and with rapid and heavy rains, ponded water may accumulate. Contractor shall be responsible for and take measures to protect his personnel, equipment, and supplies from such an event.

C. Discharge water from construction de-watering to an area designated by Owner. Identify conditions requiring water discharge and propose discharge points to Owner. Provide necessary measures to prevent erosion or transportation of sediments at the discharge locations. Remove and dispose of transported sediment.

END OF SECTION 02240

PERMITTING

SECTION 02271

RIP RAP

PART 1 GENERAL

1.01 SECTION INCLUDES

A. Work included in this section includes providing the rip-rap and associated materials as shown on the Drawings and specified herein, including ditch check construction as may be required for erosion control but not shown on Drawings.

1.02 BASIS FOR COMPENSATION

A. Compensation for all Work included under this Section shall be included in the Bid Price.

1.03 SUBMITTALS

A. Location of source and type of rip-rap material.

B. Test results.

C. Submit in accordance with Section 01300.

1.04 REFERENCES

A. Minnesota Department of Transportation Standard Specifications for Construction; 2016 Edition.

B. Latest edition of the following American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) standards:

1. ASTM D 5519- Standard Test Methods for Particle Size Analysis of Natural and Man-Made Riprap Materials.

1.05 QUALITY CONTROL

A. Contractor is responsible for completion of construction quality control as described below, except where specified as the responsibility of the Owner.

1. Rip-rap Soundness: 1 per source.
2. Particle Size Analysis (ASTM D 5519): 1 per source.

PART 2 PRODUCTS

2.01 MATERIALS

A. Rip-rap shall meet the requirements of MnDOT Construction Standard Specification 2511.2.

B. Filter Material shall meet the requirements of MnDOT Construction Standard Specification 2511.3.

PERMITTING

PART 3 EXECUTION

3.01 PREPARATION

A. Grade and dress areas on which rip-rap is to be placed to lines and grades shown on Drawings or as required by Owner's On-Site Representative.

B. Place filter material under rip-rap and cover completely. No filter material shall be exposed along edges or under rip-rap. Place rip-rap so filter material is not damaged.

3.02 INSTALLATION

A. Place rip-rap in areas as shown on Drawings.

B. Place rip-rap for ditch checks as needed for permit compliance and as specified herein.

END OF SECTION 02271

PERMITTING

SECTION 02610

PIPES AND FITTINGS

PART 1 GENERAL

1.01 SECTION INCLUDES

A. Furnishing and installing 18” steel Tailings Discharge Pipelines.

B. Furnishing and installing 6” HDPE Return Water Pipelines.

1.02 BASIS FOR COMPENSATION

A. Work included under this Section of these Specifications shall be included under the Bid Price.

1.03 REFERENCES

A. American Society for Testing and Materials, current edition, hereafter referred to as ASTM.

1. ASTM A-53 Standard Specification for Pipe, Steel, Black and Hot-Dipped, Zinc-Coated, Welded and Seamless.
2. ASTM A-234 Standard Specification for Piping Fittings of Wrought Carbon Steel and Alloy Steel for Moderate and High Temperature Service.
3. ASTM A-307 Standard Specification for Carbon Steel Bolts and Studs, 60,000 PSI Tensile Strength.

B. American National Standards Institute, current edition, hereafter referred to as ANSI.

1. ANSI/ASME B18.2.1 Square, Hex, Heavy Hex, and Askew Head Bolts and Hex, Heavy Hex, Hex Flange, Lobed Head, and Lag Screws (Inch Series).
2. ANSI/ASME B1.1 Unified Inch Screw Threads (UN and UNR Thread Form).
3. ANSI/ASME A-13.1 Standards for Pipe Identification.
4. ANSI/ASME B31 Standards of Pressure Piping.

PART 2 PRODUCTS

2.01 STEEL PIPE AND FITTINGS

A. Pipe

1. Less than 150 psi: ASTM A-53, Grade B, seamless or ERW carbon steel, standard weight, black, plain ends, plain end mechanical coupling.
2. 150 to 275 psi: Spiral butt-weld pipe, 3/16” wall thickness, 150# forged steel flat face slip on flanges, exterior coal tar epoxy coating, Naylor pipe or equal.
3. Greater than 275 psi: Spiral butt-weld pipe, 3/8” wall thickness, 300# forged steel flat face slip on flanges, exterior coal tar epoxy coating, Naylor pipe or equal.

PERMITTING

B. Fittings

1. 3 Inch and Larger: Standard weight, carbon steel, bevel ends, ASTM A-234, Grade WPB, 90-degree elbows—long radius.
2. Branches 2-1/2 inches and larger—nozzle weld.

C. Bolting

1. For Flanges: Hex head machine bolts, ASTM A-307, Grade B, nuts to be hexagon, heavy series carbon steel, ANSI B18.2 with coarse thread ANSI B1.1, Class 2.

D. Valves

1. Stop Valve, 3 Inch and Larger: Knife gate valve, flanged ends, replaceable gum rubber sleeves, handwheel, air or hydraulic actuator. Clarkson KGA or equal.

E. Lining

1. All steel Tailings Discharge pipe: Gum rubber, 40 Durometer, 1/4 inch inside with 1/8 inch on face of flanges.

2.02 HIGH-DENSITY POLYETHYLENE PIPE AND FITTINGS

A. HDPE pipe shall be manufactured from materials meeting the requirement of ASTM D 1248 for Type III, Grade P34, Category 5, Class C, and have a PPE rating of PE3408. The pipe produced from this material shall have the dimensions and wall thickness as set forth in ASTM F 714 for the size and Standard Dimension Ratio (SDR) shown on the Drawings.

B. HDPE pipe shall be marked at maximum 5 foot intervals with the manufacturer's name or trademark, nominal size and SDR, cell classification, ASTM D 1248, and extrusion date, period of manufacture, or lot number.

C. Polyethylene pipe fittings shall be manufactured from resin having the same classification and properties as the pipe resin, and shall be supplied by the pipe manufacturer. Molded fittings shall be used instead of fabricated fittings, if available. All fittings, bends, and couplings for the HDPE piping shall meet the requirements of this pipe specification and shall have an SDR at or lower than the pipe it is being connected to as shown on the Drawings.

D. Electrofusion fittings (if needed) shall be Central Plastics PE3408 Black 3 Pin 150 Class, or approved equal. Electrofusion fittings shall be sized and installed in accordance with manufacturer recommendations for coupling HDPE pipe of the size and class shown on the Drawings.

E. Valves:

1. Flanged
2. Non-rising stem
3. Grade E bronze components
4. Nitrile rubber O-rings and gaskets

PERMITTING

F. Flanges:

1. Bolts and Nuts for pipe flanges shall be carbon steel conforming to the requirements of ASTM A307, Grade B. Bolts shall have hex heads to conform to ANSI B18.2.1. Hex nuts shall conform to ANSI B18.2.2. Bolt and nut threads shall conform to ANSI B1.1. Plain washers shall conform to ANSI B18.22.1.
2. Slip-on metal flanges shall be 150-lb. stainless steel and furnished with full-face rubber gaskets.
3. Flange adapter and slip-on flanges shall be drilled to ANSI 16.1/16.47/16.5 Class 125/150 bolt circles and AWWA C-207 class D (type).

PART 3 EXECUTION

3.01 INSTALLATION

A. Provide all materials required to furnish and install the products as specified. Damaged products will not be allowed for installation.

B. Install piping sized and in locations as shown on the Drawings. Install piping such that it is neat in appearance, convenient to operate, properly supported, and provides for proper expansion and drainage.

C. Pipe sizes shown on the Drawings are nominal pipe sizes, not outside diameters.

D. The right is reserved to authorize minor route changes to avoid conflict with other trades or existing obstructions at no additional cost to the Owner.

E. Protection and Cleaning

1. Treat all steel pipes for complete removal of oil and mill scale. Check for the complete removal of such scale and oil before fabrication and installation.
2. Particular care must be exercised to prevent loose welding metal, welding rods, dirt, and miscellaneous scrap from getting into the piping systems.
3. After installation and before final connection to complete the piping systems, hammer each piping section to remove any remaining scale. Flush pipe clean with water until clear of all foreign material. Make temporary connections as required to thoroughly clean pipes.
4. All equipment and accessories shall be cleaned out after all lines have been flushed out.

3.02 HDPE JOINTS AND FUSION

A. General Steps for butt-fusion joints:

1. Surfaces of fusion tools, pipe, and fittings shall be free of contaminants prior to use. Pipe ends shall be trimmed as necessary prior to joining.
2. Heat both pipe ends simultaneously at specified temperature for specified time.
3. Remove heater and press melted surfaces together to form joint.
4. Maintain uniform pressure until solidified. Prevent rough handling (testing, stress movements, pulling, or laying) until fully cooled to ambient material temperatures.

PERMITTING

B. General steps for electrofusion:

1. Surfaces of fusion tools, pipe, and fittings shall be free of contaminants prior to use. Pipe ends shall be trimmed as necessary prior to joining.

2. Follow manufacturer's recommendations for electrofusion techniques.

3. Fusion Unit Operators
   a. Each operator of fusion units shall demonstrate to Owner's or Owner's On-Site Representative's satisfaction that operator is qualified to perform consistently correct fusion joints acceptable to Owner. Contractor shall replace without additional cost to Owner any fusion unit operator to which Owner or Owner's On-Site Representative has reasonable objection based on the operator's failure to perform consistently correct fusion joints as recommended by pipe manufacturer or the provisions of this Section.

4. Internal fusion bead removal
   a. The internal fusion bead from each butt weld shall be removed from the return water pipelines by using the Bead Trimmer II or approved equal. This equipment is manufactured by R&L manufacturing and distributed by:

      Crookston Welding
      Highway 75 South
      Crookston, MN 56716
      Phone: (218) 281-6911
      Fax: (218) 281-7255

   b. Quality control shall be by inspecting the external and extracted internal fusion bead. The internal bead shall also have a smooth root cut of the wall area; this may include wall mass that has been misaligned during fusion process. However any wall mass that is removed should not exceed $1/10^{th}$ of the wall thickness of the pipe.

3.03 IDENTIFICATION

A. Label all new pipe in accordance with ANSI A-13.1 standard, or as directed by Owner's Representative.

B. Mark pipes at 50-foot maximum intervals on long straight runs, near 90-degree elbows, near either side of wall penetrations and on each branch.

C. Identify pipes using 2-inch high vinyl marker tape with pressure sensitive adhesive back.

3.04 STEEL PIPE HYDROSTATIC TESTING AND ACCEPTANCE

A. After completion of Work, thoroughly test, to the satisfaction of the Owner's Representative, all the Work installed hereunder. Test all closed piping systems before the system is placed in operation.

B. Perform hydrostatic pressure testing in accordance with ASME B31.

C. Provide temporary equipment for testing, including pump and gages. Test piping systems before insulation is installed wherever feasible and remove control devices before testing. Test each

PERMITTING

natural section of each piping system independently but do not use piping system valve to isolate sections where test pressure exceeds valve pressure rating. All piping blanked off or piping components removed in order to perform the test shall be reinstalled at no extra cost. Fill each section with water and pressurize for indicated pressure and time. Heated water or ethylene glycol solution must be used when hydrotesting outdoor piping during freezing weather.

1. Required test period is two hours.
2. Test each piping system at 150 percent of operating pressure indicated, but not less than 25 psi test pressure.
3. While holding the test pressure, visually inspect all joints for leaks. Maintain test pressure until released by the Owner's Representative. Test fails if leakage is observed or if pressure drop exceeds 5 percent of test pressure.

D. Promptly repair piping system sections that fail required piping test by disassembly and reinstallation, using new materials to extent required to overcome leakage. Do not use chemicals, stop-leak compounds, mastics, or other temporary repair methods.

E. Drain test water from piping systems after testing and repair work has been completed.

F. Maintain records for each piping installation tested. Records shall include date, system being tested, test fluid, test pressure, and Owner's Representative's approval. Submit test records to Owner.

3.05 HDPE PRESSURE TESTING

A. HDPE pipelines shall be tested using water as the pressure medium. Testing shall be done in sections not to exceed 700 feet in length. A final pressure test shall be conducted after the pipes have been installed.

B. The Contractor shall fill the pipelines with water to a pressure of 160 psi for SDR 11 HDPE and 200 psi for SDR 9 HDPE pipe. The contractor shall maintain this pressure in the pipe for a period of one hour.

3.06 FIELD QUALITY CONTROL

A. Pipe and pipe installations will be subject to rejection for any of the following reasons:

1. Failure to conform to specifications, particularly compaction under and around pipe.
2. Fractures or cracks passing through pipe wall.
3. Chips or fractures on interior of pipes.
4. Cracks which, in the opinion of Owner or Owner's On-Site Representative, may impair strength, durability, or serviceability of pipe.
5. Defects indicating improper proportioning, mixing, or molding.
6. Damaged ends where such damage would prevent making a satisfactory joint.

END OF SECTION 02610

PERMITTING

SECTION 03100

BENTONITE-AMENDED TAILINGS

PART 1 GENERAL

1.01 SECTION INCLUDES

A. All work included in this Section shall be performed in accordance with the following paragraphs, the General Requirements set forth in Division 1 of these Specifications, and the provisions of the other Contract Documents.

B. Work covered by this section includes furnishing all supervision, labor, materials, and equipment required to complete the work summarized below including, but not limited to:

1. Complete bentonite/tailings mixing for the Work described in these Specifications, unless specified as the responsibility of the Owner.

2. Retain an independent soil and material testing firm(s) for performing the quality control testing on samples to be sent for laboratory testing. The quality control data shall be available for review at all times by Owner and Owner's On-Site Representative.

3. All mixing quality control test results will be used by Owner to confirm compliance with Specifications. Tests shall be performed and samples shall be collected at random locations within the designated material source, mixing zones, and placement areas such that the test results may be considered representative. Testing shall be performed or samples collected at specific locations determined by Owner's On-Site Representative, if requested.

4. Owner's On-Site Representative shall have full authority to direct testing activities of Contractor-retained independent soil and material testing firm(s) including but not limited to: selecting locations and materials for testing, reviewing all raw and final test data, conducting audits of testing company field and in-laboratory testing procedures and equipment.

5. In cases where testing firm personnel violate Project Site safety procedures or otherwise appear to lack the competence required to fully perform the required testing, Owner's On-Site Representative with concurrence of Owner shall also have the authority to dismiss testing firm personnel from the Project Site.

6. Conduct a Pilot Test of the bentonite/tailings mixing method, quality control testing, and placement and compaction procedure. The Pilot Test shall be conducted prior to initial bentonite tailings/mixing activities. The Pilot Test shall be agreed to by the Owner's on-site representative, and conducted by the Contractor at the commencement of the project.

PERMITTING

7. Pilot Testing shall be repeated prior use of a new tailings borrow source and/or in the event that an alternate approved brand/source of bentonite is used in place of the brand/source initially tested.

1.02 BASIS FOR COMPENSATION

A. Work included under this Section of these Specifications shall be included under the Bid Price.

1.03 SUBMITTALS

A. Submit for information on a daily basis to the Owner's on-site representative, the results of all observation documentation and testing of the tailings borrow, the bentonite material, and the bentonite-tailings mixture. Results shall be documented in the Bentonite/Tailings QAQC Template.

PART 2 PRODUCTS

2.01 COARSE TAILINGS BORROW

A. Coarse Tailings Borrow shall meet the requirements of Section 02220 Excavating, Backfilling, Compacting.

2.02 BENTONITE

A. Bentonite supplied as a soil sealant shall be high-swelling sodium montmorillonite clay referred to as Wyoming Bentonite or Sodium Bentonite. The bentonite shall be supplied in granulated form and be manufactured by Wyo-Ben, Inc., American Colloid Company, Bentonite Performance Minerals LLC, or an equal approved by Engineer prior to bid.

B. High swelling is defined as the ability of 2 grams of bentonite, when mechanically reduced to a minus 100 mesh, to swell in water to an apparent volume of 16 cubic centimeters or more when added a little at a time to 100 cubic centimeters of distilled water contained in a graduated cylinder.

C. The colloid content of the bentonite shall exceed 70% and is measured by evaporating and weighing the suspended portion from a 2% distilled water solution after 24 hours of sedimentation.

D. Dry fineness of the bentonite product shall be: 97% minimum passing 8 mesh.

PERMITTING

PART 3 EXECUTION

3.01 BENTONITE DELIVERY AND STORAGE

A. Bentonite shall be delivered dry, in bulk, by truck or rail, in bulk or in super sacks. Upon delivery, the dry bentonite shall be incorporated directly into the construction, or transferred by either pneumatic or mechanical conveyance systems into dry storage facilities established for the express purpose of temporary bentonite storage.

3.02 MIXING QUALITY CONTROL

A. Pre-Mixing Activities

1. Prior to bentonite/tailings mixing activities:

    a. the Contractor shall conduct a Pilot Test to determine the optimal method for mixing, testing, and placement of the bentonite/tailings mixture on the dams,

    b. the source of tailings borrow shall be identified and associated tailings samples tested as outlined in this specification,

    c. the Bentonite source shall be identified and documented per this specification,

    d. the Contractor shall locate the following zones as agreed to by the Owner's on-site representative and confirmed during the Pilot Test:

        1. *Pre-Mixing Zone* – Location of tailings borrow designated as the tailings source for testing and mixing. The pre-mix zone shall be located and marked prior to testing.

        2. *Mixing Zone* –The location for mixing tailings and bentonite to achieve a uniform bentonite-tailings mixture. Testing of the pre-mixed and mixed material shall occur in this zone along with repeated mixing and testing as needed.

        3. *Post-Mixing Zone* –The location for placement and compaction of the bentonite/tailings mixture on the dam.

B. Mixing Activities

1. Within the designated Pre-Mix Zone, the Contractor shall peel off the upper 2.5 feet or less of tailings at the surface to expose underlying tailings borrow. The tailings borrow shall be excavated and staged in the Mixing Zone for testing. Representative samples of the staged tailings borrow shall be tested for moisture content. The quantity of staged

PERMITTING

material to be tested will be determined during the Pilot Test, but in all cases shall be sufficient to fully evaluate production-scale equipment types and mixing and compaction procedures.

2. Based on the dry weight of the staged tailings, a quantity of bentonite shall be determined to achieve 3% bentonite by dry weight.

3. Within the Mixing Zone, the calculated quantity of bentonite shall be mixed with the tested tailings. Mixing shall occur until the bentonite is uniformly distributed with the tailings. Visual observations shall be made by the Contractor to ensure thorough mixing. Actual mixing procedures will be determined during the Pilot Test.

C. Post-Mixing Activities

1. Following the addition of bentonite and thorough mixing, a second set of representative field tests shall be made from the tailings/bentonite mixture. The Pilot Test will determine the appropriate tests and specifications prior to placement.

2. Bentonite/tailings mixtures that meet standards determined during the Pilot Test shall be mechanically placed and compacted as an 18-inch thick bentonite-amended cover on the FTB dams according to placement specifications in SECTION 02220. Additional testing of the compacted mixture will be determined during the Pilot Test.

D. Field Testing

1. Field tests of the tailings moisture content shall be made on site by the Contractor in conformance with ASTM D 2216, the standard test method for testing water content of a material. Additional tests will be determined during the Pilot Test.

2. Field tests shall be performed in an on-site testing area furnished with sufficient equipment.

E. Laboratory Testing

1. An independent laboratory shall be retained to verify field tests. Samples for laboratory testing shall be taken from the tailings/bentonite mixture placed and compacted on the dam. In-laboratory material test requirements will be determined during the Pilot Test but may include moisture content, hydraulic conductivity, sieve analysis, or others. In-laboratory material test results shall be available within 5 days from testing to track quality of the bentonite-tailings mixture.

PERMITTING

3.03 DOCUMENTATION

A. Test Results (field or laboratory) - The Contractor shall document in the Bentonite/Tailings QAQC Template all testing information including: the date, time, location coordinates (northing, easting, elevation), and the test results. Test result documentation shall be made for tests in each zone, placed material and compacted material. Actual documentation and tracking methods will be determined during the Pilot Test.

B. Bentonite Material - The Contractor shall document in the Bentonite/Tailings QAQC Template, the name of the bentonite supplier, source of the bentonite, quantity used in each testing and mixing location, and test results associated with usage of bentonite. Additional documentation includes bentonite deliveries (date, time and quantity.)

C. Tailings Borrow – The Contractor shall document in the Bentonite/Tailings QAQC Template, the tailings borrow location, volume excavated, and general observations and characteristics of the tailings.

D. Bentonite Amended Tailings on Dams – The Contractor shall document in the Bentonite/Tailings QAQC Template, the location, volume, and general observations/characteristics of bentonite amended tailings placed on the dams.

E. Notification- any significant changes in the test results, the bentonite material and/or the tailings borrow that would affect the bentonite-amendment for the dams shall be documented and immediately be brought to the attention of the Owner's On-Site Representative.

F. Frequency- testing shall be frequent early on during the start of mixing activities and will gradually decrease once a sufficient level of data is obtained. The Pilot Test will determine the frequency of visual observations and testing frequency, which shall include a minimum of three field tests of moisture content and sieve analysis per day during active mixing activities. Testing frequency will be confirmed and adjusted as necessary during the Pilot Test.

G. Documentation shall occur with each occurrence of tailings excavation, mixing, and placement; field tests, laboratory tests of pre-mixed or mixed material; and deliveries of bentonite.

3.04 AIR QUALITY

A. Contractor shall be responsible for bentonite handling and bentonite-amendment of tailings activities in a manner so as not to allow visible wind transport of bentonite outside the immediate zone of bentonite/tailings mixing activities.

B. In cases when visible air quality impacts are occurring, Contractor shall temporarily cease or otherwise adjust construction activities until such time that suitable air quality can be achieved.

PERMITTING

C. Owner's On-Site Representative shall have authority to request temporary stoppage of bentonite application activities until such time activities can resume without air quality impact, at no additional cost to Owner.

END OF SECTION 03100

PERMITTING

Construction QAQC Template -- Bentonite-Amended Tailings (Draft 01 07-27-2015)

Pre-Mixing Zone (Tailings Borrow)						
Source Location	Coordinates			Approximate Dimensions of Excavated Material (ft)		
	Northing	Easting	Elev.	Length	Width	Depth
Source Characteristics	Grain Size	Grading (Poorly Graded/Well Graded)	Moisture Characteristics (Dry/Moist/Wet)	Impurities (vegetation, other) and Other Observations		
Source Volume	Material Excavated for Mixing (cubic yards)					
Source In-Field Testing	Moisture Content					
	Sample Location	Moist Specimen Mass (g)	Oven Dry Specimen Mass (g)	Mass of Water = (Moist Specimen - Oven Dry Specimen)	Mass of Water/Mass of Solid = Water Content	Other Observations
Source In-Laboratory Testing	Sample Location	Laboratory Test1	Laboratory Test 2	Laboratory Test 3	Other Observations	
Bentonite Material						
Granular Bentonite	Supplier	Source	Quantity Delivered	Delivery Date	Comments	
Tailings Bentonite Mix						
Post-Mixing Location	Coordinates			Other Observations		
	Northing	Easting	Elev.			
Mix Quantity	Tailings Quantity	Bentonite Quantity	Bentonite % Weight	Other Observations		
Mix Characteristics	Well Mixed	Poorly Mixed	Mixing Equipment and Method			
Mix In-Field Testing	Moisture Content					
	Sample Location	Moist Specimen Mass (g)	Oven Dry Specimen Mass (g)	Mass of Water = (Moist Specimen - Oven Dry Specimen)	Mass of Water/Mass of Solid = Water Content	Other Observations
Additional Mixing	Additional Tailings Quantity	Additional Bentonite Quantity	Comments			
Mix In-Laboratory Testing	Sample Location	Laboratory Test1	Laboratory Test 2	Laboratory Test 3	Other Observations	

Note: This template is subject to change based on Pilot Testing of the bentonite-amended tailings construction process.

DRAFT

Attachment H

Flotation Tailings Basin Dam Break Analysis

resourceful. naturally.
engineering and environmental consultants

BARR

Technical Memorandum

To: Poly Met Mining Inc. (PolyMet)
From: Rita Weaver, Barr Engineering Company
Subject: FTB Dam Break Analysis
Date: December 4, 2012
Project: 23/69-0862

Background

Barr conducted a dam break analysis for the north dam of the Flotation Tailings Basin (FTB) to provide information for the FTB Emergency Action Plan (EAP). The FTB dams have been designed to achieve necessary factors of safety (Geotechnical Data Package – Volume 1, [Reference (1)]), so a dam break is unlikely. The dam break analysis was completed to understand the potential extent of flood inundation between the FTB and the Embarrass River in the unlikely event of a failure at the dam. Results are incorporated in the EAP so emergency responders can plan for a worst-case scenario and be prepared to take all necessary actions should a dam break ever occur.

The FTB is located south of the Embarrass River in St. Louis County. The Trimble Creek watershed, shown in Figure 1, is the focus of the dam break analysis. Trimble Creek runs into the Embarrass River approximately 4 miles north of the FTB. The area between the FTB and the Embarrass River is sparsely populated forest.

A dam break analysis (also commonly referred to as dam breach analysis or dam failure analysis) uses a hydrologic model to determine the amount of runoff from a specified storm event and a hydraulic model to determine the route of the storm runoff and the dam break floodwave. Often the hydraulic model is run first without a dam break (only storm runoff flow is modeled) and then with a simulated dam break to determine the extent of additional inundation resulting from a break in the dam. The hydraulic model estimates the extent of flood inundation, the inundation depth, and the arrival time of a floodwave from a dam break. This memorandum describes the hydrologic and hydraulic modeling, documents the dam break assumptions, and presents a summary of the dam break model results.

Hydrologic Modeling

The HEC-HMS computer model, developed by the Hydrologic Engineering Center of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers was used to develop the hydrologic model. The HEC-HMS model uses the following mass conservation balance equation to compute the outflow volume from each subwatershed:

Outflow Volume = Runoff ± Storage – Infiltration – Evaporation + Baseflow

Evaporation and Baseflow were considered negligible compared to the volume of flow from a large storm event. Storage for the FTB was calculated based on topographic data. Runoff and infiltration were computed using the Soil Conservation Service (SCS) dimensionless unit hydrograph method. Input parameters include sub-watershed area, lagtime (which helps define how fast runoff leaves a watershed), initial abstraction (which accounts for surface storage in depressions, puddles, etc.), SCS curve number, and percent imperviousness. Each of these input parameters are described in further detail in the following paragraphs.

Subwatershed divides were delineated in the ArcMap geographic information system (GIS) using the US Geologic Survey (USGS) quadrangle maps. The subwatersheds downstream of the FTB were subdivided in order to compute a more accurate flow hydrograph in Trimble Creek during the Probable Maximum Precipitation (PMP) event. Figure 1 shows the location of the subwatershed divides.

The time of concentration, used in calculating the lagtime, was estimated using reach lengths, slopes, and velocities in each subwatershed. Charts from the Hydrology Guide for Minnesota (Reference (2)) and the channel geometry were used to estimate runoff velocity. Lagtime was then computed as 0.6 multiplied by the time of concentration, as suggested by the SCS.

The SCS curve number is based on soil type and the land cover type. Soil type was taken from the Soil Survey Geographic Database (SSURGO) provided by the Natural Resource Conservation Service, and land cover type was determined from aerial photos. Composite curve numbers calculated for each subwatershed ranged from 72 to 74. Initial abstraction was calculated using an empirical relationship developed by the SCS, which is based on a watershed's curve number.

The hydrologic model computed runoff from the 72-hour Probable Maximum Precipitation (PMP) storm event. This event was chosen for the analysis because it results in the most significant downstream inundation, allowing estimation of worst-case flooding in Trimble Creek. The total amount of precipitation for the 72-hour PMP event was assumed to be 32.2-inches for the 10-square mile watershed, based on the Hydrometeorological Report number 51(HMR 51), *Probable Maximum Precipitation Estimates, United States East of the 105th Meridian*. This precipitation was distributed according to the Huff's Fourth Quartile distribution, as described in the *Rainfall Frequency Atlas of the Midwest*. The 4th quartile distribution was chosen because it more closely represents a distribution for storm events longer than 24-hours.

Storm runoff from the Trimble Creek watersheds was routed downstream using the Muskingham-Cunge method of channel routing in HEC-HMS. This method uses the channel length, shape, slope and Manning's n for the channel and overbanks to route the watershed downstream. Channel length, slope, and an assumed channel shape were taken from GIS. Manning's n was determined by reviewing the aerial photos of the flow paths. Manning's n was assumed to be 0.04 for the main flow path and 0.1 for the overbanks. The runoff hydrograph for Trimble Creek had a calculated peak flow rate of approximately 6,000 cfs. This hydrograph was entered into the hydraulics model to evaluate the effect of flooding on Trimble Creek during the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF).

Storm runoff to the FTB was calculated using the same method as the Trimble Creek watersheds, however the volume of runoff from the storm event was not routed downstream. Since the FTB was designed to hold runoff from the 72-hour PMP event, it was assumed that the total runoff volume from the FTB direct watershed was added to the open water in the FTB and there was no discharge downstream.

Hydraulic Modeling

The hydraulics model HEC-RAS, also developed by the Hydrologic Engineering Center of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, was used to route the Trimble Creek runoff hydrograph from the FTB to the Embarrass River. HEC-RAS calculated the maximum water surface profile along Trimble Creek for the 72-hour Probable Maximum Flood (PMF; produced by the PMP). A 'without-failure' profile was created to show the extent of flooding during the PMF with no dam break. This profile is used to compare the

flooding from the storm event with and without a dam break. For the without-failure analysis, no outflow was assumed from the FTB because the 72-hour PMP volume would be contained by the FTB.

Trimble Creek were modeled as part of this study. A total of 41 cross-sections (not including interpolated cross-sections) were used to define the geometry of the creek. Road crossings were not modeled because they would likely be inundated with or without a FTB dam failure, and have a high probability of being washed out during the PMP event.

Cross-section geometry was defined using the USGS 30-meter digital elevation models (DEMs, approximate accuracy is 10-feet). The location of cross-sections was chosen based on the location of homes and the point where the topographic data showed a defined conveyance area. Normal depth was used as the downstream boundary condition for the model because there would be no backwater effect from the Embarrass River. Manning's n values were kept consistent with the hydrologic model.

Initial runs showed that the floodwave from a dam break would cause flooding outside of the main flow channel along Trimble Creek so "breakout" paths were defined to carry flow that would not be contained in the Trimble Creek floodplain. Natural overflow geometry taken from the DEM was used to define the natural overflow to the breakout paths, and an additional 24 cross-sections were used to define the breakout paths. Flows to each breakout path were calculated by the model. Figure 2 shows the locations of the breakout paths and the location of the cross-sections included in the HEC-RAS model.

Dam Break Analysis Methodology

The topography at the proposed FTB will be formed by perimeter dams up to 200 feet high, with side slopes of approximately 4.5H:1V, and 30-foot wide benches every 20 feet vertically. The tailings basin perimeter dams consist of coarse tailings from taconite processing operations. The dam break analysis focused on the north side of the FTB, because this is the section of the dam where a break would result in the shortest warning time for potentially affected downstream properties. A breach was not considered to the east or south of the FTB because a large portion of the perimeter ties into natural ground and/or no homes are within the respective downstream flow path.

At closure, the FTB will cover approximately 1,400 acres. The final dam crest elevation will be 1732 ft. The FTB will have approximately 10 feet of freeboard and will contain flotation tailings to a depth of

approximately 160 feet.

The FTB is designed as a closed system, not allowing for release of water through overflow or outlet structures during operations; however a constant discharge from the FTB was assumed to aid with model stability. All precipitation that falls within the FTB perimeter will be contained by freeboard, including the precipitation from the 72-hour PMP event. The flow into the FTB from plant operations was assumed to be negligible compared to the runoff from the storm event so it was not included in this analysis.

Piping was selected as the cause of the dam break for this study. Piping is the process whereby seepage through the dam is of sufficient velocity to initiate erosion and downstream transport of soils from the structure of the dam. Failure resulting from overtopping the dam was not considered because the dam is designed to not be overtopped even with the volume of the 72-hour PMP event.

Dam break parameters are based on recommendations by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) and the Bureau of Reclamation as well as a review of the *Breaching Parameters for Earth and Rockfill Dams* (Reference (3)). The recommendations for breach parameters in Reference (3) were developed by creating empirical relationships between five breaching parameters (breach depth, breach location top width, average breach width, peak outflow rate, and failure time) and five dam and reservoir variables (dam height, reservoir shape, dam type, failure mode and dam erodibility) recorded for historical dam failures. This reference was considered for our analysis because the study's evaluation of breach parameters considered dams of heights and volumes comparable to the FTB dams. Also, this method estimated failure parameters for recorded large dam failures more accurately than other potential methods. Table 1 provides a summary of breach parameters recommended by federal agencies and the breach parameters used for this evaluation. The extensive regression and empirical equations developed in Reference (3) are not summarized here, but can be found in that document.

Table 1 Dam Break Analysis Breach Parameters

Parameter	FERC Suggested Breach Parameters	Bureau of Reclamation's Suggested Breach Parameters	Breach Parameters Used for this Study
Average Width of Breach (BR)	HD* ≤ BR ≤ 5HD	$3H_w$*	2.24HD
Horizontal Component of Breach Side Slope (Z)	0.25 ≤ Z ≤ 1	N/A	0.64H:1V
Time to Failure (hours)	0.1 ≤ TFM ≤ 1	0.011BR	3

*(HD = Height of dam, Hw = Height of water, Z = Horizontal Component of Side Slope (ZH:1V), TFM = Time to Failure (in hours), BR = Breach Width (feet)

The average breach width was assumed to be 2.24 times the height of the dam, and the breach side slopes were assumed to be 0.64H:1V. These were calculated based on the methods of Reference (3), and fall within the Bureau of Reclamation agency recommendations.

Time to failure is a sensitive parameter for dam failure analysis, and all three methods were used to calculate the time to failure and were then compared. Time to failure represents the time from onset of piping to completion of the dam break. Time to failure suggested by the Bureau of Reclamation is approximately three hours. Time to failure calculated using the methods and equations in Reference (3) is closer to four hours (note FERC's recommendation is less than an hour). The Bureau of Reclamation's recommendation was selected because it is more conservative than the time to failure computed following the methods of Reference (3). FERC's recommendation of a failure time of less than one hour seemed unrealistic based on the size of the dam and final breach configuration.

The depth of breach (from dam crest to bottom of breach) was calculated at 134 feet using the empirical equation included in Reference (3). This breach depth is nearly the entire final 160-foot depth of floatation tailings.

The most significant unknown breach parameter for a tailings basin dam is how much of the tailings would be suspended and carried downstream in the event of a dam breach. Studies have shown that in many cases only 30 percent of the volume in the basin is carried downstream, however basin dam breaks

have been recorded where up to 80 percent of the volume was carried downstream. The volume of tailings released is dependent on how the basin is constructed and operated. Additional unique attributes of tailings basin dam breaks are the rate of sediment deposition downstream of the basin (i.e., how quickly do the tailings flowing from the basin redeposit outside of the basin) and flow properties of the liquefied tailings compared to water. These variables will affect the floodwave volume, flow rate, and travel time.

The complexity of dam break analysis requires many simplifications, so modeling inputs were chosen to be conservative by setting each parameter in the range that could cause more severe impacts. Assumptions include:

- One hundred percent of the Flotation Tailings above the bottom breach elevation will leave the FTB. This assumption maximizes flood volume.
- None of the Flotation Tailings will be re-deposited immediately downstream of the dam breach. This assumption maximizes flood volume.
- The dam break will occur simultaneously with the peak flow from the 72-hour PMP event in the Trimble Creek watershed. This assumption maximizes the inundation area.
- The floodwave will act as water instead of liquefied Flotation Tailings. This assumption minimizes the travel time of the flood wave.
- Structures are at the ground surface elevation shown on the 30 meter DEM, rather than elevated several feet on foundations. This assumption maximizes the number of structures affected.

These conservative assumptions likely result in over estimation of inundation area, flood depth, and number of structures affected and underestimate floodwave travel time Extensive additional analysis would be necessary to realistically estimate the percentage of flotation tailings left in the FTB, to evaluate flotation tailings deposition after the breach and to better understand flow properties of the liquefied flotation tailings. Such analysis is not warranted given the objective of this dam break analysis, which is to serve as an aid in development of the facility Emergency Action Plan. In other words, in the unlikely event of a dam break at the FTB, response actions developed on the basis of this dam break analysis are

expected to be conservative. The actual extent of inundation and risk to residents and infrastructure can reasonably be anticipated to be lower than suggested by this analysis.

Dam Break Analysis Results

This study shows that a dam break could increase flood elevations approximately 15 feet at the upstream end of Trimble Creek (near the FTB) and approximately 9 feet at the downstream end of Trimble Creek (at the Embarrass River). Average flow velocities range from 10 feet-per-second (fps) to 25 fps in the main channel, but are reduced to 2 fps to 10 fps along the overbanks. Note again that these velocities are based on use of physical properties of water in the model; actual flow velocities for more viscous liquefied flotation tailings may be lower than these values.

Figure 3 shows the estimated inundation areas along Trimble Creek for the 72-hour PMP event, and the estimated inundation area along the creek and overflow paths for dam breach floodwave. The breach inundation area does not include flows from the 72-hour PMP event, because modeling found that storm runoff in the Trimble Creek watershed contributed only 1% of the total flow during a dam break event. The time to peak elevation is also noted on Figure 3 at several locations along the downstream flow paths to show estimated travel times of the floodwave.

This conservative dam break analysis indicates that there are 34 properties along Trimble Creek or the breakout paths that could potentially be affected by a FTB dam break. One of these properties would be inundated in the event of a 72-hour PMP event without a dam break. Because of the conservative assumptions made for this dam break analysis, it is likely that many of these homes would remain outside of the actual area of inundation.

References

1. **Poly Met Mining Inc.** NorthMet Project Geotechnical Data Package Vol 1 - Flotation Tailings Basin (v3). November 2012.

2. **Soil Conservation Service (SCS).** Hydrology Guide for Minnesota. St. Paul, Minnesota : s.n., 1977.

3. **Xu, Y. and Zhang, L.** Breaching Parameters for Earth and Rockfill Dams. *J. Geotech Geoenviron. Eng.* 2009, Vol. 135, 12, pp. 1957-1970.

Figures



Figure 1

TRIMBLE CREEK WATERSHEDS
FTB Dam Breach Analysis
NorthMet Project
PolyMet Mining Inc.
Hoyt Lakes, Minnesota

Barr Footer: ArcGIS 10.0, 2011-09-26 09:13 File: I:\Client\PolyMet_Mining\Work_Orders\Tailings_Basin_Dam_Break_Analysis\Maps\Reports\Dam_Break_Analysis\Fig01 Watersheds.mxd User: RAR2

Embarrass River

Saint Louis County

Trimble Creek

360 COUNTY

318 COUNTY

358 COUNTY

318 COUNTY

796 COUNTY

615 COUNTY

774 COUNTY

Oveflow to Breakout Path Two

Oveflow to Breakout Path One

Legend

- Trimble Creek
- Breakout Path 1
- Breakout Path 2
- Trimble Creek Cross-Sections
- Breakout Path 1 Cross-Sections
- Breakout Path 2 Cross-Sections
- Rivers/Streams
- Floatation Tailings Basin

N

Feet

2,000 0 2,000

Figure 2

CROSS-SECTION LOCATIONS
FTB Dam Breach Analysis
NorthMet Project
PolyMet Mining Inc.
Hoyt Lakes, Minnesota

Barr Footer: ArcGIS 10.0, 2011-09-26 09:15 File: I:\Client\PolyMet_Mining\Work_Orders\Tailings_Basin_Dam_Break_Analysis\Maps\Reports\Dam_Break_Analysis\Fig02 FlowPaths.mxd User: RAR2

Saint Louis County

Embarrass River

Trimble Creek

774

796

318

360

358

615

318

Travel Time to Salo Road -
Beginning of Flood Wave: 105 minutes
Peak of Flood Wave: 185 minutes

Travel Time to Salo Road -
Beginning of Flood Wave: 90 minutes
Peak of Flood Wave: 190 minutes

Travel Time to Salo Road -
Beginning of Flood Wave: 90 minutes
Peak of Flood Wave: 190 minutes

Travel Time to Breakout Path 1 -
Beginning of Flood Wave: 55 minutes
Peak of Flood Wave: 185 minutes

Travel Time to old Railroad Bed -
Beginning of Flood Wave: 65 minutes
Peak of Flood Wave: 170 minutes

At Breach Location -
Peak of Flood Wave: 150 minutes

Travel Time is calculated from the start of the breach formation.
Total time of breach formation is three hours.
Inundation extents are based on very conservative dam breach parameters in order to show the maximum potential inundation area. Actual inundation area is likely to be less significant.

Potentially Inundated Structures
PMF Inundation Area
Breach Inundation Area
Floatation Tailings Basin
Channelized Flow

N

Feet
2,000 0 2,000

Figure 3

INUNDATION EXTENTS
FTB Dam Breach Analysis
NorthMet Project
PolyMet Mining Inc.
Hoyt Lakes, Minnesota

Barr Footer: ArcGIS 10.0, 2011-09-26 09:12 File: I:\Client\PolyMet MiningWork Orders\Tailings Basin Dam Break Analysis\Maps\Reports\Dam Break Analysis\Fig03 Inundation Areas.mxd User: RAR2

Attachment I

Template for Pilot-Testing of Bentonite Amendment of Tailings

Template for Pilot/Field-Testing of Bentonite Amendment of Tailings

Prepared for
PolyMet Mining, Inc.

April 2017

4300 MarketPointe Drive, Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
952.832.2600
www.barr.com

Template for Pilot/Field-Testing of Bentonite Amendment of Tailings

April 2017

Contents

1.0 Introduction/Background 1

2.0 Pilot/Field-Test Objectives 2

3.0 Pilot/Field-Test – Preliminary Concepts 4

3.1 Dams Pilot/Field-Test 4

3.2 Beaches Pilot/Field-Test 9

3.3 Pond Bottom Field-Test 10

4.0 Testing Schedule 15

4.1 Dams Pilot/Field-Test 15

4.2 Beaches Pilot/Field-Test 15

4.3 Pond Bottom Field-Test 15

5.0 References 16

List of Figures

Figure 3-1 Probable Locations of Dam and Beach Pilot/Field-Test Areas....4
Figure 3-2 Frequency Domain (FD) Probes: a) Capacitance (plates embedded in a silicon board)....6
Figure 3-3 Amplitude Domain Reflectometry (ADR) Probe....7
Figure 3-4 Tensiometer....7
Figure 3-5 Bentonite Broadcasting....11
Figure 3-6 Bentonite Injection....11
Figure 3-7 Geosynthetic Clay Liner....12
Figure 3-8 Schematic of Laboratory Column and Ceramic Plate to Assess Performance of Bentonite Amendment....13

1.0 Introduction/Background

PolyMet submitted a draft NorthMet Dam Safety Permit Application - Flotation Tailings Basin to the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources for review and comment (Reference (1)). The permit application referenced the NorthMet Project Flotation Tailings Management Plan (Reference (2)) which states that during construction the exterior face of Flotation Tailings Basin (FTB) dams will be amended with a bentonite layer (see Section 7.1). The objective of the bentonite layer is to limit oxygen infiltration into the Flotation Tailings. Bentonite amendment will also reduce rainwater infiltration into the dams, which has a small benefit in terms of an increased slope stability safety factor. Reference (2) also states that exposed beach areas on the interior of the basin will be amended with bentonite to limit oxygen infiltration into the Flotation Tailings. Finally, Reference (2) also states that the pond bottom will be amended with bentonite as described in the NorthMet Project Adaptive Water Management Plan (Reference (3)). The bentonite-amended pond bottom will reduce the percolation from the FTB Pond, thereby maintaining a permanent pond that will provide an oxygen barrier and reduce oxidation and resultant production of chemical constituents in the underlying tailings. It will also reduce the amount of water collected by the FTB Seepage Containment System and the South Seepage Management System that will require treatment.

In summary, the design, operation, and closure of the FTB will include three separate but related applications of bentonite amendment:

- During construction of FTB dams, the exterior face of the dams will be amended with a bentonite layer
- In closure, exposed beach areas on the interior of the basin will be amended with a bentonite layer
- In closure, the pond bottom will be amended with bentonite

Attachment G - Template Construction Specifications - Section 03100 of Reference (2) requires that pilot/field-testing of bentonite amendment of soils (tailings) be performed, and this requirement is anticipated to be a condition of the Dam Safety Permit required for the FTB. This document is a template of the proposed pilot/field-test program.

2.0 Pilot/Field-Test Objectives

The objectives of bentonite amendment of the FTB dams, beaches, and pond bottom are to:

- limit oxygen infiltration through the FTB dams into the Flotation Tailings
- limit oxygen infiltration through FTB beaches into the Flotation Tailings
- limit oxygen infiltration through the FTB pond area by reducing the average areal percolation through the FTB pond bottom, thereby maintaining a permanent pond that will limit oxygen infiltration into the Flotation Tailings (and also reduce the amount of water collected by the FTB seepage capture systems and requiring treatment)

The performance of bentonite amendment of FTB dams and beaches to limit oxygen infiltration into the Flotation Tailings will be a function of the success with which a continuous areal (horizontal) zone of saturation can be established and maintained. The current plan calls for establishment of such a zone by constructing an 18-inch thick layer of bentonite-amended tailings covered by 30-inches of revegetated tailings. The 30-inch cover on the dams will consist of LTV Steel Mining Company (LTVSMC) Coarse Tailings and the 30-inch cover on the beaches will consist of Flotation Tailings. The bentonite layer will consist of 3% granulated bentonite mixed with LTVSMC Coarse Tailings or Flotation Tailings for the dams and beaches, respectively.

Therefore the **primary objectives of the dams and beaches pilot/field-test** will be to:

1. assess and demonstrate systematic and repeatable means and methods of earthwork construction to consistently create (i.e., mix bentonite and tailings) and place the bentonite layer in conformance to engineering specifications
2. assess the consistency/variability in the maintenance of a continuous areal zone of saturation within the 18-inch thick layer of compacted bentonite-amended tailings throughout the pilot/field-test[1]
3. observe the potential for other factors to inhibit, interfere or degrade the sustained maintenance of a continuous areal zone of saturation within an 18-inch thick layer of bentonite-amended tailings related to:
    a. desiccation cracking of the bentonite-amended tailings layer during dry weather
    b. post-construction differential settlement and/or erosion of overlying tailings
    c. deep plant root penetration below the 30-inch cover layer

[1] This does not mean to imply 18-inches of saturation, rather that there will be a continuous zone of saturation within the bentonite-amended tailings layer. The vertical dimension of the saturated zone will be determined in the pilot/field test.

d. freeze-thaw degradation of the bentonite-amended tailings layer

e. pond water chemistry along the beaches of the FTB

f. ice scour damage along the shoreline of the FTB Pond

g. other factors that could adversely affect the maintenance of saturation within a bentonite-amended tailings layer

The performance of bentonite amendment of the FTB pond bottom to reduce percolation from the pond and thus maintain a permanent pond will be a function of inducing a permanent positive water balance for the pond by reducing the areal average hydraulic conductivity of the pond bottom relative to pre-closure conditions. Unlike the bentonite amendment of the FTB dams and beaches, bentonite amendment of the pond bottom can be easily repeated if necessary through a series of approximations to reduce the average areal hydraulic conductivity of the pond bottom to sustain a permanent pond.

Therefore, the **primary objectives of the pond bottom pilot/field-test** will be to:

1. demonstrate systematic and repeatable means and methods of introducing bentonite to the pond bottom in a relatively uniform manner, which may include:
    a. broadcasting of granular or pelletized bentonite from a GPS route controlled barge
    b. injection/mixing of bentonite with pond bottom from a GPS route controlled barge
    c. placement of geosynthetic clay liner over the pond bottom from a GPS route controlled barge
2. assess the performance of bentonite introduction in achieving a positive pond water balance

It is important to note that to achieve a positive pond water balance, bentonite amendment of the pond bottom may not be required at all. The hydraulic conductivity of the deposited flotation tailings without bentonite amendment may be as low as needed to maintain a positive pond water balance.

3.0 Pilot/Field-Test – Preliminary Concepts

3.1 Dams Pilot/Field-Test

Pilot/field-testing of bentonite amendment of dams will be performed on Cell 2W to demonstrate systematic and repeatable means and methods of earthwork construction to consistently create and place the bentonite layer in conformance to engineering specification (see Dams and Beaches Objective 1 above). The materials used in the pilot/field-test will be representative of LTVSMC Coarse Tailings borrow and the bentonite that will be used in Cell 1E/2E dam construction. The means and methods for construction of test section dams will be consistent with Construction Specifications (Attachment A and Attachment G of Reference (2)). The location of the test section dams will be along the north interior crest of Cell 2W to provide a southern exposure aspect to the test section while also providing good accessibility (Figure 3-1). A southern exposure aspect should provide an increased stress related to solar radiation effects such as elevated temperatures, evapotranspiration and soil moisture deficit. Each of these effects will tend to be adverse to the maintenance of a zone of saturation within the 18-inch thick layer of bentonite-amended tailings.



Figure 3-1 Probable Locations of Dam and Beach Pilot/Field-Test Areas

Numerous methods are available to assess the consistency/variability in the maintenance of a continuous areal zone of saturation within the 18-inch thick layer of bentonite-amended tailings throughout the pilot/field-test (see Dams and Beaches Objective 2 above and Reference (4), Reference (5), Reference (6)). These methods include a variety of choices for volumetric, tensiometric, gravimetric and redox indicator soil water monitoring. Each method offers certain advantages and drawbacks for application in the pilot/field-test. Important considerations for this pilot/field-test include:

- reading range that includes a saturated state
- acceptable accuracy to support test plan objectives (e.g., +/- 1% or 1 cbar)
- durability and permanence of installation (i.e., minimal need to revisit/disturb the test section)
- minimal maintenance requirements (i.e., minimal need to revisit/disturb the test section)
- minimally affected by solutes/salinity
- minimal sensitivity to soil temperature
- compatibility with clay soils
- easy and stable soil-specific calibration
- easy monitoring at multiple soil depths

It will also be desirable if the monitoring method will accommodate data logging capability and provide ease of installation.

Several methods appear to be compatible with the conditions/constraints of the pilot/field-test and will largely accommodate the considerations listed above (exceptions are noted):

- Frequency domain (FD) probes such as (Figure 3-2):
    - capacitance plates embedded in a silicon board
    - capacitance rods

  Careful installation of FD probes is necessary to avoid air pockets and the devices easily accommodate multiple sampling depths; temperature influence will need to be evaluated.

- Amplitude domain reflectometry (ADR) probe (Figure 3-3):
  The device will easily accommodate multiple sampling depths; soil-specific calibration is necessary to maintain accuracy and careful installation is required to avoid air pockets.

- Tensiometer (Figure 3-4):
  Custom modification is necessary to accommodate data logging, device may require ongoing

maintenance, will require seasonal maintenance and/or replacement, and device is more fragile than other devices described above.

- Mild Steel Rods as described in Reference (5):
  Lack of available oxygen in saturated soils prevents rust of mild steel rods that have been driven into the soil; saturated soil will yield rust-free rod below depth of saturation. An indirect sampling method that is cheap and easy to install, compatible with assessment of gradients along transects, gives strong measure of depth of saturation, low cost allows intensive grid sampling to assess the consistency of saturation over an area; oxygen may infiltrate along the rod/soil contact.

- Gravimetric as described in Reference (6):
  An established and standardized method of measuring soil saturation by collection of soil samples, determining wet weight, dry weight, determining moisture content by difference and comparing to an established saturated baseline moisture content. Drawbacks include: physical sampling (extraction of soil at depth) must be repeated at each sampling event, sampling will cause disturbance of soils and vegetation.



(Reference (4))

Figure 3-2 Frequency Domain (FD) Probes: a) Capacitance (plates embedded in a silicon board)



(Reference (4))

Figure 3-3 Amplitude Domain Reflectometry (ADR) Probe



(Reference (4))

Figure 3-4 Tensiometer

The number of sample locations and frequency/timing of monitoring events (or continuous monitoring) will reflect the combination of soil moisture monitoring methods incorporated into the pilot/field-test. A minimum of three replicates of each type of monitoring will be implemented at each of three depths of monitoring (3-inches, 9 inches and 15 inches) into the 18-inch bentonite-amended zone. Frequency of monitoring will vary by method, likely monthly during the growing season (April 1 and October 1). Gravimetric sampling will likely be limited to three events per growing season (to minimize test area disturbance) and yet allow a means to calibrate/confirm monitoring results from other methods.

Monitoring to observe the potential for other factors to inhibit, interfere with, or degrade the construction and sustained maintenance of a continuous areal zone of saturation (see Dams and Beaches Objective 3 above) in an 18-inch thick layer of bentonite-amended tailings in tailings dams will be accomplished through the use of the "observe and investigate" method and/or designed mini-experiments as follows:

- Observe and Investigate – The following factors that could inhibit, interfere with, or degrade the zone of saturation in FTB dams can be easily observed in the dam test sections and potentially in the existing LTVSMC dams during routine dam inspection activities:
    - post construction erosion of overlying tailings
    - deep plant root penetration of 30-inch cover layer

If post construction erosion is observed, methods of investigation (e.g., excavation, measurement, and photo documentation) can be used to deduce potential engineering/design or operational modifications to avoid and minimize the adverse effects of this factor. If in the collection of gravimetric soil moisture samples (see above), plant roots are observed below a 20 -inch depth in the cover soils, samples of such soil can be retained and sieved to quantify the prevalence of root mass with depth to 30 -inches. Depending on the findings, future sampling and/or investigation can be designed and implemented to assess the significance of root penetration of the bentonite-amended layer; e.g., as part of post-test section demolition.

- Mini-Experiments – The following factors that could inhibit, interfere with, or degrade the zone of saturation in FTB dams would be best assessed through designed experiments with comparison controls (exclusion of the factor):
    - desiccation cracking of the bentonite-amended layer during dry weather
    - freeze-thaw degradation of the bentonite-amended layer

Such experiments could be implemented in the field with small test microcosms designed to isolate and maximize the effect of the factor and accommodate ready observation. For example, wood frame structures could be built/used to evaluate desiccation cracking or freeze-thaw degradation of the bentonite-amended layer through use of observation panels (i.e., windows) in the side of the wood structure. Soil core samples could also be collected and examined. Irrigation and heating can be used to exclude the stress of dry weather and freezing on the control microcosms.

3.2 Beaches Pilot/Field-Test

Pilot/field-testing of bentonite amendment of beaches will be performed along select portions of the south side crest of the Cell 1E/2E splitter dam (Figure 3-1) to demonstrate systematic and repeatable means and methods of earthwork construction to consistently create and place the bentonite layer in conformance to engineering specifications (see Dams and Beaches Objective 1 above and Attachment A and Attachment G of Reference (2)). A limited volume of Flotation Tailings will be spigoted along the south side crest of the Cell 1E/2E splitter dam for use as a pilot/field-test area prior to the merging of Cells 1E and 2E. The materials used in the pilot/field-test will be representative of Flotation Tailings and the bentonite that will be used in construction of the bentonite amendment layer on Cell 1E/2E beaches. Test sections of beach will be constructed in the spigoted tailings using the means and methods for construction consistent with Construction Specifications (Attachment A and Attachment G of Reference (2)). Construction of the test section will be on the south side crest of the Cell 1E/2E splitter dam to provide a southern exposure aspect to the test section. A southern exposure aspect should provide an increased stress related to solar radiation effects such as elevated temperatures, evapotranspiration and soil moisture deficit. Each of these effects will tend to be adverse to the maintenance of a zone of saturation within the 18-inch thick layer of bentonite-amended tailings.

Methods for monitoring consistency/variability in the maintenance of a continuous areal zone of saturation within the 18-inch thick layer of bentonite-amended tailings in the test section beaches (see Dams and Beaches Objective 2 above) will be similar to the methods described for monitoring test section dams (see above). However, because the monitoring of dam test sections will take place prior to the monitoring of the beach test sections, there will be an opportunity to apply "lessons learned" to improve monitoring outcomes and efficiencies for the beach test section.

Monitoring to observe the potential for other factors to inhibit, interfere or degrade the sustained maintenance of a continuous areal zone of saturation (see Dams and Beaches Objective 3 above) within an 18-inch thick layer of bentonite-amended tailings in FTB beaches will be accomplished through the use of the "observe and investigate" method and/or designed mini-experiments as follows:

- Observe and Investigate – The following factors that could inhibit, interfere with, or degrade the zone of saturation in FTB beaches can be easily observed in the beach test sections and potentially in the existing LTVSMC beaches during routine FTB inspection activities:
    - post construction differential settlement
    - deep plant root penetration of 30-inch cover layer
    - ice scour damage along the shoreline of the FTB Pond

If post construction differential settlement or ice scour damage along the shoreline of the FTB Pond is observed, methods of investigation (e.g., excavation, measurement, and photo documentation) can be used to deduce potential engineering/design or operational modifications to avoid and minimize the adverse effects of the factors. If in the collection of gravimetric soil moisture samples (see above), plant roots are observed below a 20 -inch depth in the cover soils, samples of such soil can be retained and

sieved to quantify the prevalence of root mass with depth to 30 -inches. Depending on the findings, future sampling and/or investigation can be designed and implemented to assess the significance of root penetration of the bentonite-amended layer; e.g., as part of post-test section demolition.

- Mini-Experiments – The following factors that could inhibit, interfere or degrade the zone of saturation in FTB beaches would be best assessed through designed experiments with comparison controls (exclusion of the factor):
    - desiccation cracking of the bentonite-amended layer during dry weather
    - freeze-thaw degradation of the bentonite-amended layer
    - pond water chemistry along the beaches of the FTB

Such experiments could be implemented in the field with small test microcosms designed to isolate and maximize the effect of the factor and accommodate ready observation. These experiments could be designed in a manner similar to that described for evaluation of potential adverse factors effecting FTB dams. It is entirely likely that results of the experiments to assess desiccation cracking and freeze-thaw degradation of the bentonite-amended layer for the FTB dams will be equally applicable to the FTB beaches. The effects of pond water chemistry on the zone of saturation could be assessed in field microcosms that allowed the introduction of pond water to stress the bentonite layer. The control (comparison) microcosms will exclude introduction of pond water and substitute collected rain water.

3.3 Pond Bottom Field-Test

As described in the Adaptive Water Management Plan (Reference (3)), a field-testing and demonstration program of bentonite amendment of the pond bottom will be performed (see Pond Bottom Objective 1 above). The program will be conducted to evaluate the efficacy of the proposed method of bentonite amendment and to select a method that is effective, efficient, and economical. By this test method the hydraulic conductivity of the bentonite-amended Flotation Tailings can first be estimated in the laboratory and necessary bentonite application rates can then be confirmed in the field. The combined hydraulic conductivity and bentonite layer thickness will be specified to achieve performance requirements. Then, as part of the initial FTB Pond reclamation work in combined Cell 1E/2E, the selected construction contractor will be required to demonstrate the means and methods for bentonite application to the pond bottom that will yield the desired uniformity of bentonite application as dictated by pre-application laboratory test results.

As described previously, methods of introducing bentonite to the pond bottom in a relatively uniform manner has been proposed along with two alternate methods of introduction (Figure 3-5 through Figure 3-7):

- broadcasting of bentonite from a GPS route controlled barge (proposed)
- injection/mixing of bentonite with pond bottom from a GPS route controlled barge

- placement of geosynthetic clay liner over the pond bottom from a GPS route controlled barge



Figure 3-5 Bentonite Broadcasting



Figure 3-6 Bentonite Injection



Figure 3-7 Geosynthetic Clay Liner

With the proposed method, bentonite will subsequently settle to the pond bottom where it will hydrate, swell, and due to its inherently low hydraulic conductivity, reduce percolation from the pond bottom. In the second method of bentonite application, the amendment will be mixed with the pond bottom where it will also hydrate, swell, and due to its inherently low hydraulic conductivity, reduce percolation from the pond bottom. Bentonite placed as a geosynthetic clay liner over the pond bottom would similarly hydrate, swell and reduce the percolation from the pond bottom.

All of these methods of bentonite amendment can be simulated in the laboratory using replicated clear PVC columns (Figure 3-8) filled with Flotation Tailings, and FTB pond water. This will allow an evaluation of the performance of varying rates and uniformity of introduction of bentonite amendment via broadcasting and mixing. In addition, air pressure can be applied to the tops of the columns to simulate the hydraulic head of varying pond depths on bentonite amendment performance. The performance metric for evaluation of bentonite amendment would be measured reduction in pond bottom percolation.



Figure 3-8 Schematic of Laboratory Column and Ceramic Plate to Assess Performance of Bentonite Amendment

Using these lab methods, the volume of water collected from the bottom of the columns over a specified time can be used to calculate the hydraulic conductivity of various methods of bentonite introduction as well as modification of the rates and uniformity of bentonite amendment as compared to control columns (w/o bentonite amendment). Finally, at the conclusion of the simulation, the columns can be disassembled and samples of the bentonite-amended layer can be collected for measurement of bentonite layer thickness.

The combined hydraulic conductivity and bentonite layer thickness will be specified (most likely in pounds per acre) to achieve the performance requirement of sustaining a stable pond level consistent with Reference (2). These specifications will then be used by the contractor to perform a field demonstration of the means and methods necessary to achieve the specifications.

During closure, indirect monitoring methods will be used to confirm the efficacy of the introduction of bentonite into the pond bottom to reduce the percolation from the FTB Pond. Indirect monitoring will include monitoring of the pond level using a continuous recording instrument to confirm achievement of a positive pond water balance (see pond bottom Objective 2 above). If pond level monitoring indicates that an inadequate reduction of pond bottom percolation has been accomplished, bentonite amendment of the pond bottom can be easily repeated if necessary through a series of approximations to reduce the average areal hydraulic conductivity of the pond bottom to sustain a positive pond water balance.

Monitoring to observe the potential for other factors to inhibit, interfere with, or degrade the sustained maintenance of a continuous areal zone of saturation within the bentonite-amended pond bottom tailings will be accomplished through the use of the "observe and investigate" method as follows:

- Observe and Investigate – Factors that could inhibit, interfere with, or degrade bentonite amendment of pond bottom include:
    - post construction differential settlement and/or erosion of shallow pond areas
    - ice scour damage along the shoreline of the FTB Pond

Inspection of the pond bottom could include observation from the pond shoreline or through use of an underwater camera attached to a shallow draft watercraft or attached to a remotely operated underwater drone. If post construction differential settlement, erosion of pond shallows or scour damage along the underwater shoreline of the FTB Pond is observed, methods of investigation (e.g., excavation, measurement, and photo documentation) can be used to deduce potential engineering/design or operational modifications to avoid and minimize the adverse effects of the factors.

4.0 Testing Schedule

Pilot/field testing of bentonite amendment on dams, beaches and pond bottoms will be performed upon receipt of the necessary permits and initiation of the project. The schedule for performing each type of bentonite pilot/field test is described below. Construction Specifications Section 03100 will be updated as necessary with completion of each Pilot/Field-Test.

4.1 Dams Pilot/Field-Test

Pilot/field-testing of bentonite amendment on dams (as described above) will begin in an area on Cell 2W after permits are issued; and before or concurrent with construction of the first lift of the Cell 2E dam, which will begin to be constructed upon receipt of the necessary permits and initiation of the project. The available pilot/field-test time window will be on the order of two years to accomplish the test section construction and initial monitoring prior to construction of the second FTB dam lift. However, the test section will be maintained for three additional years to evaluate longer term environmental effects on test section performance.

4.2 Beaches Pilot/Field-Test

Pilot/field-testing of bentonite amendment of beaches cannot occur until a section of Flotation Tailings beach can be established on the south crest of the Cell1E/2E splitter dam (tentatively during year-3 of FTB operations). This will provide sufficient time for PolyMet operations personnel to establish and fine-tune their basin operation activities. Once the test zone is established, the available pilot/field-test window to accomplish the pilot/field-test objectives prior the merging of Cells 1E/2E will be about four years.

4.3 Pond Bottom Field-Test

Field demonstration of the bentonite amendment of the pond bottom (as described above) will be focused on demonstrating a systematic and repeatable means and method of introducing bentonite to the pond bottom in a relatively uniform manner. This demonstration will necessarily be delayed until FTB Pond closure so as not to interfere with tailings basin operations during the life of the project, and so that the demonstration can be performed by the contractor selected for the bentonite amendment activities at closure.

Testing of bentonite amendment can be simulated in the laboratory as soon as an adequate volume of representative tailings samples from the FTB pond bottom can be collected from the FTB, possibly in Mine-Year 2.

5.0 References

1. **Barr Engineering Co.** NorthMet Dam Safety Permit Application - Flotation Tailings Basin. May 2017.

2. **Poly Met Mining, Inc.** NorthMet Project Flotation Tailings Management Plan (v7). May 2017.

3. **Poly Met Mining Inc.** NorthMet Project Adaptive Water Management Plan (v11). May 2017.

4. **Muñoz-Carpena, Rafael, Shukla, Sanjay and Morgan, Kelly.** Field Devices for Monitoring Soil Water Content (SR-IWM-2). s.l. : Southern Regional Water Program.

5. *Soil Saturation Determination for Wetland Mitigation Monitoring Using "Rusty Rods" - A Case Study.* **Gibb, Dorothy M. and Coffman, Gretchen C.** [ed.] Kathryn J. Hatcher. Athens : s.n., 1993. Proceedings of the 1993 Georgia Water Resources Conference. pp. 349-351.

6. **Johnson, A. I.** Methods of Measuring Soil Moisture in the Field. *(Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 1619-U).* 1962.

Appendix B

Geotechnical Data Package Volume 1 - Flotation Tailings Basin

NorthMet Project

Geotechnical Data Package
Volume 1 – Flotation Tailings Basin

Version 8

Issue Date: May 15, 2017

This document was prepared for Poly Met Mining Inc. by
Barr Engineering Co.

Certification

I hereby certify that this report was prepared by me or under my direct supervision and that I am a duly Licensed Professional Engineer under the laws of the state of Minnesota.

Thomas J. Radue

Thomas J. Radue, P.E.
MN PE #: 20951
Senior Geotechnical Engineer

05/15/2017
Date

Table of Contents

1.0 Introduction 3
1.1 Scope 4
1.2 Data Package Participants 4
1.3 Outline 5
2.0 Regulatory Basis 6
3.0 Existing Facilities and Site Conditions 7
3.1 Tailings Basin Layout 7
3.2 Tailings Basin Development 8
3.3 Local Seismicity and Ground Motion 9
3.4 Hydrogeology 10
4.0 Available Geotechnical Information 11
4.1 History of Tailings Basin Geotechnical Investigations and Stability Analyses 11
4.2 2007 Geotechnical Investigation 12
4.3 2014 Geotechnical Investigation 12
4.4 Future Geotechnical Exploration and Material Testing 14
4.5 Field Testing Analysis Methods 15
4.5.1 Cone Penetrometer Tests 15
4.5.2 Dissipation Tests 16
4.5.3 Shear Wave Velocity Tests 17
4.5.4 Dilatometer Tests 18
4.5.5 Standard Penetration Tests (SPT) 19
4.5.6 Flight Auger Borings 21
4.5.7 Field Vane Shear Tests (FVST) 21
4.6 In-Laboratory Material Testing Methods 22
4.6.1 General Material Characterization Tests 22
4.6.2 Permeability Tests 24
4.6.3 Triaxial Compression and Direct Shear Tests 25
4.7 Tailings Mineralogy 25
4.7.1 Mineralogical Composition 25
4.7.2 Particle Morphology 26
4.7.3 Long-term Weathering of Flotation Tailings 28
4.8 Overview of Stratigraphy and Material Types 29

5.0 Physical Properties of Materials 31
5.1 Material Strength Parameter Selection Approach 31
5.1.1 Contractive-Dilative Behavior and Liquefation 32
5.2 LTVSMC Tailings 32
5.2.1 General Geotechnical Properties of LTVSMC Tailings 33
5.2.2 Permeability of LTVSMC Tailings 34
5.2.2.1 Permeability of LTVSMC Coarse Tailings 35
5.2.2.2 Permeability of LTVSMC Fine Tailings 36
5.2.2.3 Permeability of LTVSMC Slimes 37
5.2.2.4 Permeability of LTVSMC Interior Fine Tailings/Slimes 38
5.2.2.5 Permeability of LTVSMC Bulk Tailings 39
5.2.3 Shear Strength of LTVSMC Tailings 41
5.3 Flotation Tailings 42
5.3.1 General Geotechnical Properties of Flotation Tailings 43
5.3.2 Permeability of Flotation Tailings 43
5.3.3 Shear Strength of Flotation Tailings 45
5.3.4 Flotation Tailings Filter Criteria 46
5.4 Native Soils 46
5.4.1 General Geotechnical Properties of Native Soils 46
5.4.2 Permeability of Native Soils 47
5.4.2.1 Glacial Till 48
5.4.2.2 Peat 50
5.4.2.3 Rock Starter Dam 51
5.4.3 Shear Strength of Native Soils 51
5.5 Bedrock 53
5.5.1 Permeability of Bedrock 53
5.5.2 Shear Strength of Bedrock 53
5.6 Buttress 54
5.6.1 Permeability of Buttress 54
5.6.2 Shear Strength of Buttress 54
5.7 Seismic Deformation Properties 54
5.8 Volumetric Water Content Functions 56
5.9 Effects of Stringers on Modeling Parameters 57
5.10 Summary of Material Shear Strength Parameters 58

6.0 Engineering Models to Assess Dam Safety 59
6.1 Geotechnical Modeling Work Plan 59
6.2 Seepage Analysis 61
6.2.1 Hydraulic Boundary Conditions 61
6.2.2 Groundwater Modeling 63
6.3 Stability Analysis and FTB Design 63
6.3.1 Stability Analysis Method 64
6.3.2 FTB Design 66
6.3.2.1 Cross-Section F Underdrain 67
6.3.2.2 Buttress Design 68
6.3.2.3 Mid-slope Set-back 69
6.3.3 Veneer Stability 70
6.4 Liquefaction Triggering Analyses 71
6.4.1 Evaluating Liquefaction Triggering 73
6.4.2 Static Liquefaction Triggering Analysis 74
6.4.2.1 General Procedure 74
6.4.2.2 Static Liquefaction Triggering Scenarios 75
6.4.2.3 Determining Factor of Safety (FOS) Against Triggering 76
6.4.2.4 Determining Post-Loading Stability 77
6.4.2.5 Local Erosion Analysis 78
6.4.3 Seismic Liquefaction Triggering Analysis 78
6.4.3.1 Site-Specific Seismic Hazard 79
6.4.3.2 Seismic Liquefaction Screening Evaluation 81
6.4.3.3 Screening Results 82
6.5 Fully Liquefied Analysis 83
6.6 Sensitivity Analysis 83
6.6.1 Range and Distribution of Shear Strength Values 85
6.6.2 Segment Length Along Critical Slip Surface 87
6.6.3 Monte Carlo Analysis 87
6.6.4 Likelihood of Occurrence of an Unknown Trigger 88
6.7 Postclosure Stability Inputs 88
6.8 FTB Containment System Effects on Slope Stability 91
7.0 Results of Seepage and Stability Modeling 93
7.1 Stratigraphy 94

7.2 Seepage Modeling 95
7.2.1 Historical Seepage Analyses 95
7.2.2 Seepage Verification 96
7.2.2.1 Cross-Section F Seepage Verification 96
7.2.2.2 Cross-Section G Seepage Model Verification 98
7.2.2.3 Cross-Section N Seepage Model Verification 99
7.2.3 Seepage Analysis Results 100
7.2.3.1 Cross-Section F Seepage Analysis Results 101
7.2.3.2 Cross-Section G Seepage Analysis Results 101
7.2.3.3 Cross-Section N Seepage Analysis Results 101
7.3 Slope Stability Analysis Results 102
7.3.1 Existing Conditions Results 102
7.3.2 Interim Dam Heights Results 103
7.3.3 Maximum Dam Height Results 105
7.3.3.1 Slope Stability for Normal Pool Conditions 105
7.3.3.2 Slope Stability for PMP Pool Conditions 106
7.3.4 Static Liquefaction Triggering Results 107
7.3.4.1 Baseline Case 108
7.3.4.2 Rapid Load 108
7.3.4.3 Erosion 108
7.3.4.4 Plugged Drain, Lift 1 109
7.3.4.5 Plugged Drain, Lift 8 109
7.3.5 Seismic Liquefaction Triggering Results 110
7.3.6 Fully Liquefied Worst-Case Results 110
7.3.7 Postclosure Stability Results 112
7.3.7.1 Drained Conditions (ESSA) Long-Term Scenarios 112
7.3.7.2 Fully Liquefied ($USSA_{liq}$) Long-Term Scenarios 113
7.3.8 Sensitivity Analysis Results 113
7.3.8.1 Analysis 1 -Yield Strength Sensitivity Analysis Results 113
7.3.8.2 Analysis 2 - Liquefied Strength Sensitivity Analysis Results 114
8.0 Summary of Stability Modeling Results 116
9.0 Operation and Maintenance Requirements 119
10.0 Future Analysis 121
Revision History 122
References 123

List of Tables 127
List of Figures 128
List of Large Tables 128
List of Large Figures 129
List of Attachments 130

Acronyms

Acronym	Stands For
AET	American Engineering Testing, Inc.
AMSL	above mean sea level
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
CAP	Contingency Action Plan
CPT	cone penetration text
CPTu	piezocone penetrometer test
CU	consolidated-undrained
DMT	dilatometer test
DSHA	Deterministic Seismic Hazard Analysis
DV	design values
ESSA	Effective Stress Stability Analysis
FOS	factor of safety
FSFS	fully specified failure surface
FTB	Flotation Tailings Basin
FVST	field vane shear tests
G_S	specific gravity
k_o	Coefficient of lateral earth pressure at rest
ksf	kips per square foot
LL	Liquid Limit
LTVSMC	LTV Steel Mining Company
MC	moisture content
M_{DMT}	constrained modulus
DNR	Minnesota Department of Natural Resources
MSF	Magnitude Scaling Factor
Mw	Moment Magnitude Scale
NCEER	National Center for Earthquake Engineering Research
NSF	National Science Foundation
OCR	overconsolidation ratio
PI	Plasticity Index
PL	Plastic Limit
PGA	Peak Ground Acceleration
PMP	probable maximum precipitation
PSHA	probabilistic seismic hazard analysis
PVC	polyvinyl chloride
RLB	realistic lower bound
RQD	Rock Quality Designation

RUB	realistic upper bound
SAFL	St. Anthony Falls Laboratory
SEM	scanning electron microscope
SLOPE/W	Geo-Slope International Ltd. Slope Stability Analysis Software
SPT	standard penetration tests
USCS	Unified Soil Classification System
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
USSA	Undrained Strength Stability Analysis
USSR	undrained shear strength ratios

1.0 Introduction

The proposed NorthMet Project (Project) will produce Flotation Tailings throughout 20 years of ore processing. Flotation Tailings will be deposited in the Flotation Tailings Basin (FTB), which will be placed on Cells 1E and 2E of the existing former LTV Steel Mining Company (LTVSMC) tailings basin.

In this Geotechnical Data Package-Volume 1 – Version 8 (Data Package), the FTB is the proposed NorthMet Flotation Tailings impoundment, and the Tailings Basin is the existing LTVSMC tailings basin as well as the combined LTVSMC tailings basin and the FTB. Coarse tailings are LTVSMC coarse tailings, fine tailings are LTVSMC fine tailings, slimes are LTVSMC slimes, and Flotation Tailings are the NorthMet bulk flotation tailings.

Geotechnical modeling and design of the FTB has progressed through seven (7) previous versions of Geotechnical Data Package – Volume 1 (Data Package). Updated versions of the Data Package have been prepared:

- as new subsurface exploration and in-laboratory material testing has been performed
- as new NorthMet Geotechnical Modeling Work Plans have been agreed to with the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (DNR)
- in response to workshops held with the DNR to discuss development of the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement content regarding the FTB
- as PolyMet has made design revisions to the FTB

The geotechnical modeling and design also reflect agreements between PolyMet and the DNR, reached through development of the NorthMet Geotechnical Modeling Work Plan Version 3 (Attachment A).

The approved Geotechnical Modeling Work Plan has been applied to Cross-Section F (north side of Cell 2E, Figure B-2 in Attachment B), Cross-Section G (north side of Cell 2E, Figure B-3 in Attachment B) and Cross-Section N (south side of Cell 1E, Figure B-4 in Attachment B). FTB design is based on existing conditions along these cross-sections and material strength design parameters presented in Attachment C.

This Geotechnical Data Package – Vol. 1 – Version 8 presents the current (as of the writing of Version 8) geotechnical exploration and material testing data and associated geotechnical analysis. Version 8 supersedes and replaces all prior versions of Geotechnical Data Package – Vol. 1, as it incorporates any new data, analysis approaches, Work Plan requirements, or other factors affecting the content and analysis outcomes presented in this version of the Data Package that may not be contained in or current in prior versions of the Data Package.

1.1 Scope

This Data Package presents the geotechnical data and analyses to support the FTB design as referenced in the Flotation Tailings Management Plan (Reference (1)). The Data Package contains background information, historical data, modeling methods, and analysis of the proposed design. The information has been developed for use in preparing the Environmental Impact Statement for the Project and to support future phases of the project.

1.2 Data Package Participants

The analyses presented in this Data Package are those analyses deemed critical by PolyMet's geotechnical engineering team, as affected by discussions with the DNR and the DNR's subcontracted geotechnical engineering team. The members of PolyMet's geotechnical engineering team through this Version 8 Geotechnical Data Package – Vol. 1 – Version 8 generally include:

- Barr Engineering Co. – multiple geotechnical engineering personnel, including but not limited to registered professional engineers with Bachelor of Science in Geological Engineering, Master of Science in Geotechnical Engineering, and/or Ph.D. in Geotechnical Engineering, with individual experience levels from less than one decade to greater than three decades – Minneapolis, MN

- Scott M. Olson, Ph.D., P.E. – Consulting Geotechnical Engineer and Associate Professor, University of Illinois – Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL

- Richard R. Davidson, P.E. – Senior Principal Geotechnical Engineer, AECOM (formerly URS Corporation) – Denver, CO

1.3 Outline

The outline of this Data Package is as follows:

Section 2.0	Discussion of regulatory basis for design of dams
Section 3.0	Discussion of existing facilities and site conditions
Section 4.0	Discussion of available geotechnical data and testing methods
Section 5.0	Description of physical properties of materials (existing tailings, future tailings, and native soils) for modeling proposed conditions of facilities
Section 6.0	Description of modeling performed to assess dam stability
Section 7.0	Results of modeling performed to assess dam stability
Section 8.0	Summary of stability modeling results
Section 9.0	Operation and maintenance requirements
Section 10.0	Future analysis

As agreed by PolyMet and the DNR, this Data Package is intended to evolve through the environmental review, permitting, operating, reclamation and postclosure maintenance phases of the project. A Revision History is included at the end of the document.

2.0 Regulatory Basis

The FTB dams must be constructed in accordance with applicable requirements of Minnesota Rules, parts 6115.0300 through 6115.0520 – Dams. Portions of the rules are applied universally, while applicability of some rule requirements is dependent on the hazard classification of the dams. The following rule excerpt aids in establishing the hazard classification of the FTB dams:

> 6115.0340 CLASSIFICATION OF DAMS
>
> All existing and proposed dams shall be classified by the DNR Commissioner into the following three hazard classes: those dams where failure, mis-operation, or other occurrences or conditions would probably result in:
>
> A. **Class I**: any loss of life or serious hazard, or damage to health, main highways, high-value industrial or commercial properties, major public utilities, or serious direct or indirect, economic loss to the public;
>
> B. **Class II**: possible health hazard or probable loss of high-value property, damage to secondary highways, railroads or other public utilities, or limited direct or indirect economic loss to the public other than that described in Class III; and
>
> C. **Class III**: property losses restricted mainly to rural buildings and local county and township roads which are an essential part of the rural transportation system serving the area involved.
>
> Any dam whose failure, mis-operation, or other occurrences or conditions would result only in damages to the owner and would not otherwise affect public health, safety, and welfare as described in Classes I, II, and III, shall not be subject to this hazard classification. A dam which is not classified as a hazard Class I, II, or III dam, and those which are not included in the definition of dam in part 6115.0230, subpart 5, definition of dam, shall be subject to applicable provisions of parts 6115.0200 to 6115.0260, and shall not be subject to these dam safety rules. Changes in development in the vicinity of the dam may result in future reclassification.

There is a large, sparsely populated land area to the north of the FTB (the nearest resident is separated from the FTB by a buffer zone of roughly 4,000 feet). PolyMet property and infrastructure are located immediately south, west, and east of the basin. The DNR Commissioner has established the hazard classification for the existing LTVSMC tailings basin dams as Class II – Significant Hazard. The classification of the Tailings Basin dams may change through future phases of the project. The classification partially defines FTB dam permitting, inspection and reporting requirements, notwithstanding requirements of other rules, such as the Permit to Mine. In particular, the stability of the dams must be evaluated, including consideration of liquefaction, shear failure, seepage failure, and overturning, sliding, overstressing, and excessive deformation. The FTB dams have been evaluated for those geotechnical conditions that are relevant as defined by the DNR-approved NorthMet Geotechnical Modeling Work Plan (Attachment A). This document presents the analysis and results.

3.0 Existing Facilities and Site Conditions

This section describes the existing Tailings Basin, reviews the seismic history of the area, and references site hydrogeology. In this Data Package, "upstream" refers to upstream of the dam (i.e., within the basin) and "downstream" refers to downstream of the dam (i.e., near the toe or below the dam). This differs from references that may relate upstream and downstream to the tailings deposition flow direction, where "upstream" indicates the crest of the dam and downstream refers to the interior of the basin.

3.1 Tailings Basin Layout

The Tailings Basin was configured as a combination of three adjacent cells, identified as Cell 1E, Cell 2E, and Cell 2W. The proposed FTB will be constructed above existing Cells 1E and 2E (Figure B-1 of Attachment B). Flotation Tailings will be deposited upstream of the dams over the Tailings Basin. Details regarding deposition timing are provided in Reference (1).

The geometry at the existing Tailings Basin is formed by perimeter dams up to 200 feet high (in Cell 2W) with side slopes of approximately 3.5H:1V, and 30-foot wide benches every 40 feet vertically (Drawings – Reference (1)). Including the benches, Cell 2W dams were constructed at an approximate overall slope of 4H:1V. Interior dams separate the Tailings Basin into the three cells, as noted previously. The perimeter and interior dams consist of coarse tailings materials from previous taconite processing operations. Shallow gradient beaches extend from the perimeter and interior dams into the center of each cell. The existing cells and the dams do not have a core or cutoff other than the fine tailings or slimes that were deposited upstream of the coarse tailings perimeter dams.

Cell 2E will initially be used for deposition of Flotation Tailings. Cell 2E is located east of Cell 2W and north of Cell 1E. It is the lowest of the three cells and covers approximately 620 acres. The average Cell 2E dam height is currently about 95 feet above the surrounding ground; approximately 1,575 feet above mean sea level (AMSL). Cell 2E includes approximately 17,700 linear feet of perimeter dams, including the north and part of the east perimeters. Undisturbed natural high ground forms a portion of the east perimeter. The west perimeter is formed by an interior dam separating Cell 2E from Cell 2W. The south perimeter is formed by an interior dam separating Cell 2E from Cell 1E.

Cell 1E will be used for deposition of Flotation Tailings beginning in approximately Mine Year 7. Cell 1E is east of Cell 2W and south of Cell 2E. Cell 1E currently covers approximately 980 acres with an average dam height of about 125 feet above the surrounding ground. It includes approximately 22,500 linear feet of perimeter dams, including portions of the south and east sides of the cell. Undisturbed natural high ground forms a portion of the perimeter on the southeast corner. The west edge is formed by an interior dam between Cells 2W and 1E. The north edge is formed by an interior dam between Cells 2E and 1E.

Cells 1E and 2E are bounded on the west by Cell 2W. An interior dam comprising the eastern edge of Cell 2W separates Cell 2W from Cells 1E and 2E. Cell 2W is the largest and highest of the three cells, covering approximately 1,450 acres in surface area with an average dam height of 200 feet above the surrounding ground. Cell 2W, which has previously been constructed to approximately the elevation proposed for Cells 1E and 2E, is not proposed for storing Flotation Tailings.

3.2 Tailings Basin Development

The existing north perimeter dam in Cell 2E is of particular interest because it includes the section previously identified as critical for stability modeling due to the layer of peat on which portions of Cell 2E was constructed. The critical section is marked as Cross-Section F (Section F) on Figure B-1 of Attachment B. Cell 2E's north perimeter dam is constructed of a rock, sand, and gravel starter dam underlain by a layer of peat, overlying a deposit of glacial till. Subsequent dam lifts were constructed using the upstream method with hydraulic filling. Tailings were discharged upstream of the crest to alternate portions of the tailing basin by means of portable spigotting systems. The coarsest tailings settled out nearest the point of discharge, providing a zone of coarse tailings surrounding the rock starter dam and along the face of the dam. These coarse materials were periodically pushed up with a dozer on the dam crest to progressively raise the perimeter dams. Finer tailings and slimes settled out at greater distances from the point of discharge and are generally located near the center of the cells, though they are also less often located nearer the downstream toe in certain areas where spigot discharge did not occur for extended periods of time. The fine tailings and slimes layers are of variable thicknesses and lateral extent due to changing tailings deposition points and durations. Large Figure 1 identifies the grain size classifications of the LTVSMC coarse tailings, fine tailings, and slimes. Similar methods were used to construct and fill Cell 1E, which will eventually be combined with Cell 2E for Flotation Tailings deposition.

In summary, the geometry of the existing tailings basin dams consists of a shell of LTVSMC coarse tailings above the rock, sand, and gravel starter dams, with intermingling fingers of LTVSMC fine tailings and slimes. The shell material, coarse tailings, and inclusions of fine tailings and slimes are incorporated into the stability analysis presented herein. The interior of the cells consists primarily of variable layers of LTVSMC fine tailings and slimes. A relatively thin layer of peat underlies several hundred feet of the north perimeter of Cell 2E and extends north beyond the toe of the dam into a nearby wetland.

Because new dams will be constructed on LTVSMC tailings, the geotechnical characteristics of the Tailings Basin have been investigated. Future perimeter dams will be constructed of mechanically-placed and compacted LTVSMC coarse tailings borrow, and Flotation Tailings will be spigotted into the basin as described in Reference (1). Future dams are not proposed to be constructed of spigotted tailings.

3.3 Local Seismicity and Ground Motion

Northern Minnesota is not an active seismic zone. Historically, Minnesota has one of the lowest rates of earthquake occurrence in the United States. Only 20 small to moderate quakes have been documented in Minnesota since 1860.

Table 3-1 tabulates historical earthquakes in the State of Minnesota as documented by the Minnesota Geological Survey (Reference (2)). The table provides the location of the earthquake epicenter, date, approximate area impacted, maximum intensity, and earthquake magnitude. The maximum intensity measures the strength of shaking produced by the earthquake at a certain location. It is determined from effects on people, human structures, and the natural environment. The intensity is measured on a scale of one (I) through twelve (XII), with one being the least intense and twelve signifying total damage. The magnitude of an earthquake measures the energy released at the source determined by seismographs. The magnitude is measured on a scale ranging from less than 2.0 (Micro quakes) to greater than 9.0 (Great quakes), signifying increased damage with increasing magnitude. The earthquakes listed in Table 3-1 are associated with minor reactivation of ancient faults in response to stress changes. As noted below, only 9 out of the 20 earthquakes were recorded, while moment magnitudes were estimated for the remaining 11 based on Modified Mercalli intensities derived from felt reports.

Table 3-1 Historic Seismicity of Minnesota

Epicenter (nearest town)	Date (mo/day/yr)	Latitude	Longitude	Felt Area (square miles)	Maximum Intensity	Moment Magnitude Scale (*MMS* or M_W)
1 Long Prairie	1860-61	46.10	94.90	—	VI-VII	5.0
2 New Prague	12/16/1860	44.60	93.50	—	VI	4.7
3 St. Vincent	12/28/1880	49.00	97.20	—	II-IV	3.6
4 New Ulm	2/5-2/12/1881	44.30	94.50	v.local	VI	3.0-4.0
5 Red Lake	2/6/1917	47.90	95.00	—	V	3.8
6 Staples	9/3/1917	46.34	94.63	18,530	VI-VII	4.3
7 Bowstring	12/23/1928	47.50	93.80	—	IV	3.8
8 Detroit Lakes	1/28/1939	46.90	96.00	3,090	IV	3-3.9
9 Alexandria	2/15/1950	46.10	95.20	1,160	V	3.6
10 Pipestone(1)	9/28/1964	44.00	96.40	—	—	3.4
11 Morris(1)	7/9/1975	45.50	96.10	31,660	VI	4.6-4.8
12 Milaca(1)	3/5/1979	45.85	93.75	—	—	1.0
13 Evergreen(1)	4/16/1979	46.78	95.55	—	—	3.1

Epicenter (nearest town)	Date (mo/day/yr)	Latitude	Longitude	Felt Area (square miles)	Maximum Intensity	Moment Magnitude Scale (*MMS* or M_w)
14 Rush City(1)	5/14/1979	45.72	92.90	—	—	0.1
15 Nisswa(1)	7/26/1979	46.50	94.33	v.local	III	1.0
16 Cottage Grove	4/24/1981	44.84	92.93	v.local	III-IV	3.6
17 Walker	9/27/1982	47.10	97.60	v.local	II	2.0
18 Dumont(1)	6/4/1993	45.67	96.29	26,830	V-VI	4.1
19 Granite Falls(1)	2/9/1994	44.86	95.56	4,480	V	3.1
20 Alexandria(1)	4/29/2011	45.88	94.47	—	—	2.5

(1) Asterisks denote earthquakes that were recorded instrumentally. All others and their associated magnitudes are based solely on intensity data from felt reports.

According to the data in Table 3-1, the strongest documented earthquakes were associated with the 1960 Long Prairie earthquake (M_w = 5.0) and the 1975 Morris earthquake (M_w = 4.6-4.8). Near their epicenters, these earthquakes caused objects to fall, cracked masonry, and damaged chimneys. A more recent, though less dramatic event was the 1993 Dumont earthquake (M_w = 4.1). This earthquake impacted an area of approximately 27,000 square miles with associated intensity of V-VI near the epicenter (Reference (2)). The most recent earthquake occurred in Alexandria in April, 2011. This minor earthquake (M_w = 2.5) resulted in no damage or injury, and went largely unnoticed as most residents were sleeping at the time.

In summary, current knowledge indicates that a severe earthquake is unlikely in Minnesota. Weak to moderate earthquakes do occasionally occur, though the threat to the proposed FTB from seismic events is very small, as described in Section 6.4.3.

3.4 Hydrogeology

Studies have been performed to investigate the hydrogeology of the site and those results will not be reproduced again in this document, though active communication has occurred between Project geotechnical engineers and hydrogeologists to share data and results and maintain consistency between analyses, as appropriate. A separate report has been prepared to discuss the FTB hydrogeology (Reference (3)).

4.0 Available Geotechnical Information

Available geotechnical information for the FTB includes data gathered during the 2007 and 2014 geotechnical investigations as well as historical data. Available historical geotechnical data and reports are provided as Attachment D. The cumulative site data are described in these subsections. Results separated by material type are presented in Section 5.0. The approaches used to select material strength parameters for slope stability analyses using field and laboratory test results are detailed in Attachment C and summarized in Section 5.0.

4.1 History of Tailings Basin Geotechnical Investigations and Stability Analyses

The geotechnical parameters used for slope stability analysis and design of the Tailings Basin have varied throughout historical evaluations as more geotechnical test results have become available. A map presenting all known geotechnical test locations is provided in Figure B-1 of Attachment B. A summary table of the historically-reported properties used for previous analyses is also included as Table B-1 in Attachment B.

The earliest available stability analysis was conducted for Erie Mining Company (predecessor to LTVSMC) in 1977 by Ebasco Services, Inc. Ebasco presented a limited set of drained and undrained shear strength data for bulk tailings and slimes (Reference (4)). Ebasco used the term bulk tailings to refer to existing LTVSMC combined fine and coarse tailings. In 1978, Ebasco presented updated geotechnical design parameters, separating the bulk tailings into coarse and fine portions and including strength parameters for the native peat and till (Reference (5)). This Data Package uses the term ‘bulk tailings’ differently than the Ebasco reports. For the geotechnical analysis described herein, the phrase ‘LTVSMC bulk tailings’ is used to describe a mixture of tailings that will be used in future dam construction. LTVSMC bulk tailings will predominately consist of LTVSMC coarse tailings, but may have occasional inclusions of LTVSMC fine tailings and a small amount of slimes recovered incidentally during coarse tailings excavation.

In 1986, additional investigations were conducted by Katsoulis for the DNR (Reference (6)). Ebasco reported supplementary geotechnical investigations, engineering parameters, and stability analyses in 1978 (Reference (5)). A liquefaction analysis and additional triaxial testing on the slimes were conducted by Barr in 1994 (Reference (7)).

Sitka Corporation conducted a large multi-phase geotechnical assessment and analysis of the Tailings Basin stability between 1995 and 1997 (Reference (8), Reference (9), Reference (10)). This included a review of existing data, a geotechnical exploration program with field and laboratory testing, and analysis of the stability of the Tailings Basin. In 2000, Barr performed further seepage and stability analyses on existing dams located in Cells 2W and 2E, which included additional strength and permeability testing (Reference (11)).

A preliminary geotechnical site exploration was conducted in 2005 to obtain updated information on the stratigraphy of the tailings in the central portion of Cell 2W and the southern portion of

Cell 1E. Since closure in 2001, the basin has undergone changes due to non-use, natural dewatering, and tailings consolidation. Natural dewatering made access possible to portions of Cells 2E and 2W which had been under water during basin operation. With larger portions of the basin dewatered (including all of Cell 2W), access was possible with tracked and rubber-tired vehicles. The intent of the 2005 exploration was to provide more data for interpretation of stratigraphy at select cross-sections around the dams, to obtain preliminary design information for future lined hydrometallurgical residue cell design in Cell 2W, and to evaluate the piezometric conditions in Cells 1E and 2E.

4.2 2007 Geotechnical Investigation

A geotechnical field investigation was performed in the fall of 2007 and included examination of the central and southern portions of Cell 2W, the northern portion of Cell 2E, and the eastern and southern dams of Cell 1E. Field work included rotary wash borings with standard penetration tests (SPT), piezocone penetrometer test (CPTu) soundings, CPTu dissipation testing, dilatometer tests (DMT), field vane shear tests (FVST), and shear wave velocity tests. Laboratory testing was performed on bulk, undisturbed, and disturbed tailings and soil samples to determine index properties, permeability, and strength parameters. The resulting data were used to develop more comprehensive dam cross-sections for seepage and stability analysis, while further determining the impact on the tailings' geotechnical properties of any consolidation and dewatering that had occurred since 2001.

The 2007 geotechnical tests were performed in a particular order to allow for collection of targeted samples and performance of specific tests. In particular, the results of the CPTu and DMT testing were utilized to identify zones of slimes and fine tailings suitable for attempting undisturbed sampling and/or field vane shear testing.

Several bulk samples of LTVSMC tailings were collected from shallow test pits throughout Cell 2W to obtain information on typical in-situ conditions at shallow depths. Bulk samples were obtained from Cell 2W due to ease of access (no ponded water in Cell 2W at the time that sampling was performed) and the assumption that tailings material types in Cell 2W are the same as material types in Cells 1E and 2E. Test pit samples were submitted for laboratory testing of index properties, hydraulic conductivity, and shear strength.

All 2007 laboratory testing of materials outlined above and described hereafter was conducted by Soils Engineering Testing, Inc. of Bloomington, Minnesota. Results of all tests performed during and subsequent to the 2007 geotechnical investigation are provided in Attachment E.

4.3 2014 Geotechnical Investigation

The most recent geotechnical field investigation, which commenced in the winter of 2013/2014, had two objectives:

- provide additional detail on conditions along the Tailings Basin toes, to support design of the FTB Containment System

- provide additional detail on stratigraphy in Tailings Basin Cells 1E and 2E, to support stability modeling and FTB design

Results of the 2014 Geotechnical Investigation are presented in Attachment F. The FTB Containment System will be installed approximately 200 feet from the toe of Tailings Basin Cell 2W and Cell 2E dams. The potential effects of the FTB Containment System on the FTB stability are presented in Attachment G and discussed briefly in Section 6.8.

The 2014 FTB Containment System Investigation was conducted along the northern and western edges of the Tailings Basin. It consisted of two separate field studies: the first study included Rotasonic borings and installation of standpipe piezometers. The second field study included SPT, collection of undisturbed samples in surficial deposits, rock coring, packer testing in bedrock, and in-laboratory testing of materials. Field study results along the FTB Containment System alignment are summarized below and detailed in Attachment F:

- The surficial deposits along the northern and western toe of the Tailings Basin vary in thickness by test location but are generalized as follows, from the top down:
    - Peat; 0 to 20 feet thick,
    - Tailings in isolated areas; 0 to 17 feet thick,
    - Silty sand; 0 to 6 feet thick, fine to coarse grained, with various amounts of clay, and
    - Glacial Till; 5 to 36.5 feet thick. Cobbles and boulders were interspersed in the till, varying in size from <1 foot to approximately 4 feet in diameter.
- Depth to bedrock ranges from 2 to 47 feet with an average depth of approximately 20 feet. Bedrock was competent, with a near surface fracture zone.
- Groundwater levels were at or just below the ground surface.
- Hydraulic conductivity of the glacial till ranged from $1.5x10^{-3}$ ft/s ($4.6x10^{-2}$ cm/s) to $1.7x10^{-6}$ ft/s ($5.2x10^{-5}$ cm/s) with a geometric mean of $5.1x10^{-5}$ ft/s ($1.5x10^{-3}$ cm/s).
- Hydraulic conductivity of the upper portion of the bedrock ranged from effectively zero (the borehole produced no water) to $2.4x10^{-5}$ ft/s ($7.3x10^{-4}$ cm/s), with a geometric mean (excluding the zero inflow locations) of 1.9×10^{-6} ft/s (5.8×10^{-5} cm/s)

These results support the following findings:

- Soils suitable for installation of a seepage cutoff wall exist along the proposed system alignment.

- At isolated locations (e.g., B-14-44 and B-14-65) deep pockets of tailings and peat may need to be excavated prior to construction. Stability will be maintained by simultaneous placement of engineered fill upon tailings removal.

- When selecting construction methods, the containment system construction contractor will need to consider the presence of cobbles and boulders in the till.

The Cell 1E/ 2E investigation included cone penetration test (CPT) soundings. Field study results within the existing Tailings Basin are summarized below, and detailed in Attachment F:

- There has been little to no strength increase of the tailings in Cell 1E and 2E since 2007.

- Additional stratigraphic information confirmed existing information and filled data gaps.

- The phreatic surface in Cell 2E has decreased approximately 5 feet since 2007. In Cell 1E the phreatic surface has increased approximately 25 feet since 2007 due to pumping of water from surface seep collection systems into this basin.

Results of the 2014 geotechnical investigation were used to update the cross-section models with depth to bedrock, bedrock seepage parameters, phreatic surface location within the dams, and permeability of the glacial till, all of which have been updated since Version 4 of this Data Package. The CPT results were used to update stratigraphy along Cross-Sections F, G, and N as well as provide approximate phreatic surface in Cells 1E and 2E in order to perform model verifications, confirming that appropriate hydraulic conductivity values are being used for seepage and stability modeling.

4.4 Future Geotechnical Exploration and Material Testing

Future geotechnical exploration and material testing will be carried out at the Tailings Basin for a variety of reasons, typically including:

- During installation of performance monitoring systems including piezometers for monitoring of phreatic surface within the basin dams, and during installation of inclinometers for monitoring horizontal movement of the basin dams

- As precursor to major construction events such as significant dam raises

- As periodic confirmation of consistency of modeling parameters with in-field operating conditions

The data obtained from in-field material testing performed during geotechnical explorations and obtained from in-laboratory material testing (that frequently accompanies geotechnical explorations) will be added to the extensive geotechnical database for the Tailings Basin. The geotechnical database will be updated as new geotechnical data is obtained. In the event that new

data affects material strength parameters utilized to date, then designs will be confirmed and adjustments made as needed.

4.5 Field Testing Analysis Methods

4.5.1 Cone Penetrometer Tests

CPTu was performed in all cells of the Tailings Basin in 2007 and in cells 1E and 2E in 2014. 2014 CPTu data were used to confirm cross-section stratigraphy and phreatic surface location. Since 2014 strength results were similar to those from 2007, only the results from the 2007 CPT investigation were used to determine material strengths and establish contractive/dilative behavior of the LTVSMC coarse tailings, LTVSMC fine tailings, and LTVSMC slimes. A total of 37 soundings were performed in 2007, including shallow refusals and offsets. Six soundings were pushed in Cell 1E, 19 soundings in Cell 2E, and 12 soundings in Cell 2W to approximate depths ranging from 40 to 160 feet. All 2007 CPTu soundings were conducted by American Engineering Testing, Inc. (AET) of Duluth, Minnesota. The CPTu testing was performed with a 20-ton truck-mounted rig with an enclosed work space. Testing was performed in general accordance with ASTM D5778, though one significant change was made during one phase of testing. For the standard CPTu sounding, a cylindrical cone is pushed vertically into the ground at a constant rate of penetration of 20 millimeters per second (0.79 inches per second). During penetration, measurements are made of the cone tip resistance (q_c), the side friction of the cylindrical shaft (f_s) immediately above the tip, and porewater pressure generated by penetration (u_2). However, at two locations during the 2007 investigation, the rate of advancement was increased to over 130 millimeters per second (5.1 inches per second). This test method is described later in this section.

The cones used in the investigation have a 15 cm^2 (2.3 in^2) projected cone surface area and a 60-degree apex angle. The friction sleeve area of the cones is 225 cm^2 (34.9 in^2). The fluid used to saturate the filter was glycerin. AET provided Barr with complete records of tip resistance, sleeve friction, porewater pressure, and friction ratio for each CPTu sounding, along with results of dissipation tests (Attachment H). CPTu data were also available from field investigations performed by ConeTec in 2005 in Cell 2W and in 1996 across the Tailings Basin.

Based on Barr's experience at this site and with other tailings deposits in Northern Minnesota, penetration-induced porewater pressure often dissipates quickly in fine tailings due to stratification (inclusion of coarser tailings) and layering in the tailings deposit. This experience includes staged construction over taconite tailings, wherein the porewater pressure dissipates fairly quickly, as the tailings typically classify as silts or sands. This is also reflected in CPT work and dissipation testing (discussed more in Section 4.5.2), where t_{50} values of less than 10 minutes are often observed, with a significant number of t_{50} values below 3 minutes (the significance of t_{50} is also explained further in Section 4.5.2). Many researchers have found that true undrained response is difficult to measure in intermediate silty materials such as tailings (Reference (12), Reference (13), and Reference (14)). Therefore, the undrained strength typically cannot be measured directly in these materials. However, available empirical correlations

between SPT blow count or CPTu tip resistance and shear strength implicitly incorporate the prevailing drainage conditions during penetration. As a result, these correlations can be utilized to assess shear strength without an explicit knowledge of the drainage conditions during a particular in-situ test.

As noted earlier, accelerated advancement of the piezocone penetrometer (on the order of 130 mm/s, in excess of ASTM standards) was performed at sounding locations 07-03 and 07-09 (with, for example, the fast push at 07-03 denoted as 07-03F in Attachment H). These fast pushes were performed in an attempt to measure the true undrained response of the fine tailings. To most effectively ensure measurement of an undrained response in the fine tailings, the cone should have been pushed at a rate of at least 170 mm/s (Reference (14)). However, limitations with the CPT rig precluded advancement rates beyond 130 mm/s.

Relatively minimal difference was observed between the data from the fast and standard cone advancement rates. This shows that the rate of advancement was either not high enough to induce undrained behavior, the material was not fully saturated, or the material behaves similarly at both push rates. For this site, the results from the current fast push soundings can be combined with the standard push rate data to estimate drained shear strengths. Fast cone advancement should be re-visited during operations when materials are resaturated.

The CPTu data interpretation was performed using an in-house program designed by Barr. The in-house program has been cross-checked with CPTINT version 5.2 (commercially-available software) for quality assurance and has been deemed comparable. The program uses the soil behavior type classification system from CPTu data proposed in Reference (15).

Published relationships relate CPTu parameters to soil behavior type, unit weight (for fine-grained soils) or relative density (for coarse-grained soils), over-consolidation ratio, strength, deformation moduli, and contractive/dilative behavior (Reference (13), Reference (16)). The CPTu data were used in this evaluation for determining stratigraphy, strength parameters, and behavior of the soils. The raw CPTu profiles are in Attachment H. The data were divided by material type, as determined through CPTu soil behavior relationships and SPT boring logs, and used along with other test data to estimate soil shear strength.

4.5.2 Dissipation Tests

Dissipation tests were conducted at various depths in nearly all 2007 and 2014 CPTu soundings. The dissipation tests measure the penetration-induced porewater pressure decay over time until the porewater pressure measurements equilibrate to the in-situ porewater pressure. The equilibrium porewater pressure distribution obtained from CPTu dissipation tests were used to determine the porewater pressure distribution at each test location for the 2007 investigation (Attachment H) and 2014 investigation (Attachment F).

The 2007 CPTu dissipation tests were used to calculate the hydraulic conductivity values for LTVSMC fine tailings and LTVSMC slimes. While it is difficult to estimate porewater pressure conditions when a deposit is partially saturated, dissipation testing provides a method to verify

equilibrium conditions within a given soil layer and is the only in-situ permeability testing that was performed at the Tailings Basin. To evaluate the soil permeability from 2007 CPTu dissipation test data, the evaluation of the decay of the penetration-induced porewater pressure is plotted against time. The pressure at which half of the penetration-induced porewater pressure has dissipated is known as the u_{50}, as described by the following equation:

$$u_{50} = \frac{(u_i - u_0)}{2}$$ **Equation 4-1**

Where:

u_o = final, equilibrated porewater pressure
u_i = peak penetration-induced porewater pressure

The time relating to the u_{50} value is termed t_{50}. Published relationships exist to correlate the t_{50} in seconds to permeability. The average correlation of t_{50} in seconds to permeability in centimeters per second used in these analyses was obtained from Reference (17), and is:

$$k = 1.0 \times 10^{-6} \times {t_{50}}^{-1.0666}$$ **Equation 4-2**

This method was used to evaluate the in-situ permeability of the LTVSMC tailings (Section 5.2.2). The t_{50} values for all dissipation tests performed within saturated zones are plotted against depth as Large Figure 2.

The dissipation test typically provides a better characterization of the horizontal permeability than the vertical permeability if a material is anisotropic or if there is significant horizontal layering. Differences between laboratory data and dissipation test estimates may be due to anisotropy or layering or other in-situ variations.

Pore pressure dissipation tests performed in 2014 were used to provide the approximate phreatic surface within Cells 1E and 2E, which was then applied to the existing conditions seepage models to verify that the porewater pressures in the models match the field results. The 2014 verification models are discussed in greater detail in Section 7.2.2.

4.5.3 Shear Wave Velocity Tests

The cone used in the 2007 CPTu investigation was equipped with geophones that measure the arrival time of shear waves generated at the ground surface. Shear wave testing was performed at each CPTu location; and arrival times were measured at depth intervals of approximately 10 feet to determine the interval shear wave velocity. The results of shear wave testing are in Attachment H.

Compression wave testing was performed at select locations; arrival times were measured at depth intervals of approximately 10 feet to determine the interval compression wave velocity. However, compression wave data are compromised below the groundwater table; therefore,

compression wave results were poor due to the high variability of the water conditions at the site, and were therefore not utilized in this report. Compression wave data would have been used to better define the Poisson's ratio of the tailings (the ratio of transverse deformation to axial deformation). Because the data collected were of poor quality, Poisson's ratio was estimated for deformation analyses. A Poisson's ratio of 0.5 indicates perfect incompressibility, while a Poisson's ratio closer to zero indicates very little lateral expansion occurs when the material is compressed. The Poisson's ratio values utilized ranged from 0.33 to 0.40 which, based on a literature review, generally fall within the published range of 0.30 to 0.40 for tailings (Reference (18)).

The shear wave velocity of a soil provides the small-strain (maximum) shear modulus (G_o or G_{max}) of a soil (Reference (17)) as follows:

$$G_o = \rho V_s^2 \qquad \textbf{Equation 4-3}$$

Where:

ρ = mass density = γ / g
γ = unit weight
g = gravitational force
V_s = shear wave velocity

The small-strain shear modulus is approximately the maximum shear wave modulus that can be measured and is likely to occur in the field under small strain. In addition, the shear wave velocity itself has been related to contractive-dilative behavior of soils. The shear wave velocities (and hence the small-strain shear moduli) varied greatly over the site, particularly in different material types. The small-strain shear wave velocities varied from 37 ft/s in LTVSMC slimes to 117 ft/s in LTVSMC coarse tailings, while the subsequent G_o values varied from 470 kips per square foot (ksf) to 5,891 ksf, respectively.

4.5.4 Dilatometer Tests

Traditionally, soil compressibility parameters are obtained by performing a soil boring, taking an undisturbed Shelby tube sample, and performing a consolidation test on the undisturbed sample in the laboratory. The DMT has the advantage of providing quasi-continuous, in-situ soil compressibility information (constrained modulus of deformation) as part of the field investigation while minimizing the effects of sample disturbance on the results of the test as typically found in laboratory testing. DMT was conducted by Barr personnel in conjunction with the 2007 CPTu work and was performed in general accordance with ASTM D6635. The DMT was pushed within approximately 10 feet of the 2007 CPTu soundings.

The Marchetti, or flat-plate, dilatometer consists of a 95-millimeter (3.74-inch) wide stainless steel blade, 15 millimeters (0.59 inches) thick, with a thin, flat, expandable steel membrane (60 millimeters, or 2.36 inches, in diameter) on the side. Performing a test involves pushing the dilatometer blade vertically into the ground to the desired test depth while measuring the thrust

required for penetration, then using gas pressure to expand the circular steel membrane against the soil. The test operator obtains three readings: (1) the A-pressure required to initiate movement of the membrane against the soil; (2) the B-pressure required to move the center of the membrane one millimeter (0.039 inches) into the soil; and (3) the C-pressure during deflation of the membrane, which is related to the in-situ porewater pressure in sands and penetration-induced porewater pressure in clays. The operator then pushes the blade to the next depth and repeats the test.

DMT-correlated parameters generally include the measured material index (I_d)), dilatometer modulus (E_d), horizontal stress index (K_d), constrained modulus of soil compressibility (M_{DMT}), and undrained shear strength (s_u). The constrained modulus (M_{DMT}) is determined from the test results and can be used to estimate settlement. M_{DMT} values varied greatly at the site, ranging from 4 ksf in LTVSMC slimes to 3,074 ksf in LTVSMC coarse tailings (Attachment I).

The DMT results were primarily used for preliminary settlement estimates of Cell 2W for the initially proposed Hydrometallurgical Residue Facility; the location of which has since moved off and to the south of Cell 2W. As construction of the FTB will be fairly continuous, any settlement that occurs in the existing and future tailings during the 20-year construction phase will occur during the continual dam raising process. For this evaluation, therefore, the dilatometer values were only utilized to verify weak or loose zones relating to fine tailings and slimes identified with other testing methods.

4.5.5 Standard Penetration Tests (SPT)

SPT borings were drilled by AET in July and August of 2007 near the CPTu soundings (Attachment J). A total of 27 SPT borings were performed (6 in Cell 1E, 11 in Cell 2E, and 10 in Cell 2W) to depths ranging from approximately 50 to 215 feet. More recently, SPT borings were drilled by Braun Intertec in the spring of 2014 to confirm subsurface conditions along the proposed FTB Containment System to be located approximately 200 feet from the toe of the existing basin along the western and northern sides of Cell 2W and Cell 2E (Attachment F). A total of 12 SPT borings were conducted, terminating at bedrock which, per 2014 geotechnical explorations, ranged in depth from approximately 2 to 47 feet.

The borings were advanced using 4-1/4 inch inside-diameter and 6-5/8 inch inside-diameter hollow-stem augers, as well as 5 inch and 3-7/8 inch mud-rotary drilling techniques. Drilling was generally initiated with hollow-stem augers and completed with mud-rotary drilling to minimize sample disturbance. After drilling was complete, all boreholes were abandoned following Minnesota Department of Health guidelines.

In addition to standard 2-inch outer-diameter split spoon sampling performed during SPT, undisturbed or partially disturbed soil samples were obtained at multiple locations and depths. Sampling methods included direct push and sampling with 3-inch outside-diameter thin-walled tubes (in accordance with ASTM D1587), use of an Osterberg hydraulic sampler, and use of a mechanical piston sampler and denison sampler. During Osterberg sampling, the hydraulic sampler is lowered to the bottom of the hole at the depth at which the sample of undisturbed soft

soil is to be collected. Once the sampler has been placed at the bottom of the hole, hydraulic pressure is applied to the ram, which advances the thin-wall tube into the soft soil. Because the head of the sampler is designed to prevent air or water pockets from developing above the sample, and either pushing soft soil ahead of it or allowing the sample to drop from the tube on recovery, Osterberg sample recovery is often better in soft soils such as the slimes. The mechanical piston sampler is similar in design to the Osterberg sampler, with the exception that a mechanical rod is used to position the head of the sampler. At this site, samples of tailings, glacial till, and peat were collected using the direct push, Osterberg, and mechanical samplers.

In the 2007 investigation glacial till and LTVSMC coarse tailings samples were collected using a 2-1/2-inch outside-diameter California modified split-spoon sampler with brass ring liners. Due to the density and coarse-grained nature of the glacial till, a 3-inch outside-diameter Pitcher-barrel sampler was used to sample dense gravelly till located below the existing tailings dams. The Pitcher-barrel sampler and Denison sampler both utilize a rotating carbide cutting head which follows immediately behind the cutting edge of the thin-wall tube to cut an undisturbed sample, which is collected in a 36-inch long tube. Denison samples were attempted several times during the 2014 investigation in the glacial till but due to difficult sampling conditions and high gravel content, no samples were obtained. For this reason, all laboratory tests performed on till material were on disturbed or remolded samples.

The direct push thin-wall, Osterberg hydraulic thin-wall, California split-spoon, and Pitcher-barrel sampling techniques were used with various levels of success to obtain undisturbed samples of the materials encountered across the site at various depths. Success was determined both by the amount of material that could be collected and by the behavior of the samples in the laboratory during testing, as described in Section 4.6. The till was sampled best with the Pitcher sampler, though the ability to collect a sample in till was dependent on whether a cobble was encountered. The fine and coarse tailings were sampled with most success using the Osterberg samplers. Direct push thin-walls were sufficient to gather samples of the slimes from within the basin as well as undisturbed tailings and peat samples from along the toe of the basin.

The peat below the existing dam, where the sampling occurred, has been subjected to consolidation and therefore is quite thin. Thin soil layers such as the peat layer are difficult to successfully target for sampling (easily missed during sampling). Attempts were made to sample thin peat layers but were unsuccessful.

The 2007 SPT drilling and sampling verified that LTVSMC coarse tailings are the dominant material in the shell of the Tailings Basin. Some borings confirm that upstream construction methods were used in the past, such that portions of the slope include zones of finer tailings. The central portion of the basin is made up of varying layers of LTVSMC coarse tailings, fine tailings, and slimes. In general, however, the uppermost layer of tailings comprising the beach at the Tailings Basin at the 2007 drilling locations is dominated by LTVSMC coarse tailings of variable thickness. While there is a desiccated layer at the ground surface, this layer will become resaturated during operations so it is not modeled as a separate layer.

The 2014 SPT drilling and sampling resulted in a limited number of thin-wall samples that were collected in the peat and tailings deposits 200 feet from the toe of the existing Cell 2W and 2E basins. Five of the nine thin-wall sample attempts were successful and resulted in acceptable sample recovery for testing. Tests performed on undisturbed peat samples included moisture content (MC), organic content, Atterberg Limits, and dry density. A total of five laboratory consolidated-undrained (CU) triaxial compression tests were performed providing the drained and undrained shear strength of the peat. Hydraulic conductivity testing was performed on undisturbed samples of peat in general accordance with the falling head method (ASTM D5084). The laboratory testing results are presented in Attachment F and described in Section 5.0.

4.5.6 Flight Auger Borings

Flight auger borings were drilled by AET in general accordance with ASTM D1452 near the western, northern, and eastern crests of the dams around Cell 2W to approximately 30 feet below ground surface. The borings were advanced using 6-inch-diameter solid-stem flight augers. The stratigraphy provided by these borings was used to estimate volumes of LTVSMC coarse tailings available around the crest of Cell 2W for use as construction borrow material. Samples collected while performing the flight auger borings were also used as bulk samples for further testing of available borrow material.

Field classification of the LTVSMC tailings involved separating the material visually by gradation into coarse tailings, fine tailings, and slimes. The conventions used for this classification were initially set forth by Ebasco Inc. in 1977, then refined in 1978 to include a gradation range for the three classifications used in studies by Sitka from 1996-1998 and this study. The grain size ranges for each classification are provided in Large Figure 1.

4.5.7 Field Vane Shear Tests (FVST)

Three field investigations to obtain FVST data were performed; one in 1977 by Ebasco Services, one in 1999 by Barr, and one in 2007 by AET under Barr's supervision. The FVST field data is provided in Attachment H. In-situ FVST were performed in general accordance with ASTM D2753, however for the 2007 geotechnical investigation the FVST method was modified as a means to measure undrained shear strength. The rotational shear rate was increased from the standard 0.1 degrees per second to rates that ranged from 2.6 to over 58 degrees per second. The rotational rate was increased in an attempt to measure undrained shear strength by allowing only minimal pore pressure dissipation during vane rotation within the non-cohesive, higher permeability tailings. The tests were typically continued through yield shear strength, such that remolded shear strength was recorded. Results of the 1977 Ebasco and 1999 Barr FVST tests suggest that those tests may not have measured undrained conditions. For more details on the 2007 FVST procedures see Section 2.5.3 of Attachment C.

FVST used in the analysis was performed in LTVSMC interior fine tailings/slimes and LTVSMC slimes within Cells 1E and 2E (depths and locations are provided in Attachment C). The field vane results were used to estimate in-situ undrained yield (i.e., peak) and remolded (i.e., large displacement) shear strengths for the fine tailings and slimes. The field vane shear

testing was performed using a RocTest model M-1000 mechanical plotting vane shear torque-head device. The vane was rotated using a gear reduction driven by an electric motor. The vane sizes used were in general accordance with ASTM D2753 and were selected for each test based on the penetration resistance and the type of material encountered during CPTu or SPT testing. Vane-specific calibrations were used to determine yield and residual strengths in the tailings. Analysis and use of this data for estimation of shear strength parameters are described in Attachment C.

4.6 In-Laboratory Material Testing Methods

In-laboratory material testing was conducted on samples collected during SPT and on samples obtained from test pits.

SPT undisturbed samples, obtained via either direct push or piston sampling methods in 3-inch thin-wall tubes (Section 4.5.5), were tested to obtain information on in-situ conditions at various depths. Ideally all the samples would be undisturbed, but due to the soft nature of the deposit, this was not always the case. Disturbed split-spoon soil samples were also tested, primarily to determine soil type and stratigraphy.

Tailings samples from test pits were reconstituted in the laboratory to a range of MCs and dry densities. In general, laboratory samples were reconstituted at very loose to loose densities when simulating hydraulically-placed tailings or were compacted to reflect likely conditions following construction when simulating the LTVSMC bulk tailings proposed for use in dam construction.

4.6.1 General Material Characterization Tests

General geotechnical testing includes both index property testing, which describe the physical characteristics of a soil, and state property testing, which provides existing and past conditions to which a soil has been subjected.

Index properties are unique to a given soil, and they include gradation, percent fines (amount of material passing the #200 sieve or 0.075 mm), Atterberg limits, and specific gravity (G_S). Corresponding American Society for Testing Materials (ASTM) Test Methods are:

- Sieve and hydrometer analysis in accordance with ASTM C136 and ASTM D422, “Standard Test Method for Particle-Size Analysis of Soils”
- Atterberg Limit determinations in accordance with ASTM D4318, “Standard Test Methods for Liquid Limit, Plastic Limit, and Plasticity Index of Soils”
- Specific gravity tests in accordance with ASTM D854, “Standard Test Methods for Specific Gravity of Soil Solids by Water Pycnometer”

The gradation and Atterberg limits help determine the classification of the soil with respect to the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). The classifications of tested samples for each of the various existing materials at the site are described in Table 4-1.

Table 4-1 USCS Material Classification

Field Classification	USCS
LTVSMC Coarse Tailings	SM, SP-SM
LTVSMC Fine Tailings	SM, ML
LTVSMC Slimes	ML, ML/CL, CL
Till	SM with gravel
Peat	PT/OH, OH

The Atterberg limits assess the behavior of a fine-grained soil over a range of water contents. The results are useful when characterizing the behavior of fine-grained materials to assess whether they are clays or silts. Atterberg limits are provided in terms of the MC of the soil. The MC at the point of transition from semisolid to plastic state is the Plastic Limit (PL) and from plastic to liquid state is the Liquid Limit (LL).

The *Gs* is directly impacted by the mineralogy of the soil and describes the unit weight of the solids in the soil as a ratio to the unit weight of water.

Additional common soil properties, such as MC and dry density, are dependent on the state of the material, particularly when assessed relative to other similar soils. Corresponding ASTM Test Methods are:

- MC tests in accordance with ASTM D2216, “Standard Test Method for Laboratory Determination of Water (Moisture) Content of Soil and Rock by Mass”
- ASTM D7263-09 Standard Test Methods for Laboratory Determination of Density (Unit Weight) of Soil Specimens

Samples obtained from test pits and collected during SPT were submitted for general material characterization tests. Undisturbed samples (obtained via either direct push or piston sampling methods in 3-inch thin-wall tubes as discussed in Section 4.5.5) were tested for:

- Atterberg Limits
- Hydrometer and Sieve Analysis for Grain Size
- Specific Gravity

- Moisture Content

Disturbed split-spoon soil samples were used to determine soil type and stratigraphy based on the USCS in the field and on samples analyzed in the laboratory.

4.6.2 Permeability Tests

The main parameter associated with seepage analysis is the saturated hydraulic conductivity of the tailings and foundation materials. In geotechnical practice, the term permeability is often used to describe hydraulic conductivity. The term permeability will be used in the remainder of this text.

Two laboratory test methods were used to measure permeability. The constant-head rigid-wall method is typically employed for coarse-grained soils, while the falling-head flexible-wall test is more suitable for fine-grained soils. For both tests, a hydraulic gradient is established in the soil causing water to flow through the sample. Either the change in head (flexible-wall test) or the volume of water added to maintain the head (rigid-wall test) is monitored against time and used to compute the vertical saturated permeability of the soil. Corresponding ASTM Test Methods are:

- Permeability of cohesionless soils in accordance with ASTM D2434, "Standard Test Method for Permeability of Granular Soils (Constant Head)"

- Permeability of cohesive or fine-grained soils in accordance with ASTM D5084, "Standard Test Methods for Measurement of Hydraulic Conductivity of Saturated Porous Materials Using a Flexible Wall Permeameter"

Grain size estimation can also be used to estimate the permeability of soils. With grain size data, Hazen's equation (typically applied to granular material) roughly estimates the permeability of a soil based on the particle diameter at which 10% of the sample is smaller:

$$k = cD_{10}{}^{2} \qquad \textbf{Equation 4-4}$$

where:

k = hydraulic conductivity (permeability), cm/sec
c = unitless constant (taken equal to 1.0; [Reference (18)])
D_{10} = diameter at which 10% of the sample by weight is smaller, mm

Samples obtained from test pits and collected during SPT were submitted for permeability testing. Test pit samples were tested for constant head permeability (coarse tailings and bulk tailings mixes). SPT undisturbed samples were tested for falling head permeability (fine tailings and slimes), and constant head permeability (coarse tailings and bulk tailings mixes).

4.6.3 Triaxial Compression and Direct Shear Tests

The shear strength was assessed in the laboratory using triaxial compression and direct shear tests. Consolidation and moisture-density relationships of materials were assessed using in-laboratory material testing. Triaxial compression tests, consolidation tests, and Proctor tests were performed per the following ASTM Test Methods:

- Triaxial compressive strength in accordance with ASTM D2850, "Standard Test Method for Unconsolidated-Undrained Triaxial Compression Test on Cohesive Soils"
- Consolidation test in accordance with ASTM D2435, "Standard Test Methods for One Dimensional Consolidation of Soil Using Incremental Loading"
- Standard Proctor Density determinations in accordance with ASTM D698, "Standard Test Methods for Laboratory Compaction Characteristics of Soil Using Standard Effort (12,400 ft-lbf/ft^3 (600 kN-m/m^3))"

Triaxial shear tests (isotropically-consolidated undrained or drained) were performed on test pit samples and undisturbed thin-wall samples from SPT boreholes. One-dimensional consolidation tests were performed on undisturbed thin-wall samples from SPT boreholes. Attachment C provides additional detail on triaxial testing methods.

4.7 Tailings Mineralogy

Tailings particle shape (morphology), which can be influenced by mineralogy, is a factor in estimating material strength. Existing data regarding mineralogy and shape of the LTVSMC tailings were reviewed and additional testing was performed on both LTVSMC tailings and Flotation Tailings.

Scanning electron microscope (SEM) photographs of the LTVSMC tailings were supplied in both the 1996 Tailings Dam Investigations Report (Reference (19)) and 2000 LTVSMC Tailings Dam Field Exploration and Analyses Report (Figure 1 of Reference (11)). Neither report documents the mineralogy associated with the SEM images, but there are notations of "kaolinite-size platy particles with sharp angles" (Reference (19)) or "platy-shaped particles" with and without "significant amount of edge-face interaction" present (Reference (11)).

4.7.1 Mineralogical Composition

The mineralogy of the Flotation Tailings and the LTVSMC tailings was characterized using petrographic analysis or X-ray diffraction, respectively (Reference (20)). Results are summarized in Table 4-2. Mineralogical composition is markedly different between the two types of tailings and appears to reflect the ore mineralogy. The Flotation Tailings are comprised predominantly of plagioclase with lesser amounts of olivine and pyroxenes (consistent with a Duluth Complex source); while the LTVSMC tailings are quartz-rich with lesser amounts of carbonate minerals and iron oxides (consistent with Biwabik Iron Formation source).

Table 4-2 Mineralogical Composition of Flotation Tailings (determined by petrographic analysis) and LTVSMC Tailings (by X-ray diffraction)

Flotation Tailings	LTVSMC Tailings
Plagioclase (50-80%)	Quartz (58-79%)
Olivine (10-15%)	Pyrite (0-0.4%)
Clinopyroxene (4-5%)	Calcite (0.1-1%)
Orthopyroxene (0-2%)	Ankerite (2-8%)
Biotite (1%)	Siderite (2-8%)
Chlorite (0.25-1.5%)	Hematite (1-3%)
Serpentine (0-0.25%)	Magnetite (1-4%)
Sericite/Muscovite (0.25-2%)	Biotite (1-11%)
Illmenite (0.5-1%)	Ferriphyllite (2-6%)
Pyrite (rare)	Albite low (0-5%)
Pyrrhotite (0.25-0.50%)	
Chalcopyrite (rare)	
Sphalerite (rare)	
Galena (0-0.05%)	

Results summarized from SRK ((Reference (20))

4.7.2 Particle Morphology

The Flotation Tailings could potentially be more tabular than the LTVSMC tailings because they contain plagioclase, which generally has a tabular crystal habit. However, it may also be the case that, because of potential cumulate growth restriction of crystals during formation and/or the milling/flotation process itself, the individual Flotation Tailings may be rather equant, and not reflective of plagioclase's tabular habit. To resolve this question, a side-by-side comparison of the morphology of the two types of tailings was performed. Morphologies exhibited by particles in the Flotation Tailings and LTVSMC tailings were evaluated using data collected by a SEM at the University of Minnesota Duluth's Research Instrumentation Laboratory (Attachment K).

Three types of tailings were used: (1) Flotation Tailings produced from a pilot plant for the Project, (2) slimes from LTVSMC, and (3) fine tailings from LTVSMC. The fine tailings and slimes from LTVSMC were combined at a 1:1 ratio to create one representative sample with a similar size distribution to the flotation tailings. Images of the tailings were collected by a SEM and energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy was used for chemical analysis of selected particles. Samples were prepared for SEM analysis following the Bern et al. (2009) procedure wherein each sample was suspended in isopropanol at a concentration of approximately 10mg/ml and briefly placed in an ultrasonic bath to thoroughly mix the sample. A 10 µL drop of the resulting

suspension was placed on a 0.2 µm pore size polycarbonate membrane filter affixed to an aluminum SEM sample stub. The drop was allowed to dry and the resulting particulate dispersion was coated with a conductive carbon film approximately 20 nm thick in order to make the sample electrically conductive (Attachment K).

The above sample preparation method results in individual particles distributed on the filter, with some variation in particle density across the filter to be expected. Scanning electron images were collected at magnifications ranging from 100X to 2000X.

Particles in both the Flotation Tailings and the LTVSMC tailings exhibit a wide range of morphologies. Qualitatively comparing the Flotation Tailings in Figure 4-1 and the LTVSMC tailings in Figure 4-2, both types of tailings appear to be dominated by equant to subequant particles. Additional images can be found in Attachment K.



(Attachment K)

Figure 4-1 SEM Images of Flotation Tailings at 100x and 500x Magnification



(Attachment K)

Figure 4-2 SEM Images of LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes at 100x and 500x Magnification

In the Flotation Tailings, the particles are smaller than the original plagioclase crystal in the ore. Plagioclase crystals in the waste rock and lean ore have been observed to range in size from 100 µm to over 2,000 µm (Attachment K), while Flotation Tailings particles appear to range in size from approximately 0.5 µm to 100 µm in the SEM images. Plagioclase has characteristic perfect cleavage on the (001) plane, good cleavage on the (010) plane, poor cleavage on the (110) planes, and displays an uneven fracture surface on all other planes (Attachment K). Both cleavage and fracture surfaces can be observed in the SEM images of Flotation Tailings.

Therefore, plagioclase particle morphology in the Flotation Tailings reflects forms created during crystal breakage, not crystal growth. It appears that liberation of the minerals during milling and flotation sufficiently crushed the individual crystals, such that they no longer retain a tabular crystal habit.

4.7.3 Long-term Weathering of Flotation Tailings

The Flotation Tailings are expected to slowly weather, as described in Attachment L. The dominant mineral in the Flotation Tailings is plagioclase (50% to 80% by volume), making up the bulk of the tailings. Microprobe work shows that composition of the plagioclase in the NorthMet Deposit is labradorite. As described in Attachment L, labradorite is expected to weather at a relatively slow rate under conditions at the earth's surface and is susceptible to the primary agent of chemical weathering that takes place at the surface of the mineral: water, oxygen, and carbonic acid. The weathering results in the formation of new stable minerals. Published weathering rates for plagioclase indicate that labradorite is estimated to weather at a maximum rate of 0.1% by mass in 20 years, 0.9% by mass in 200 years, and 9.1% by mass in 2,000 years. These published rates were assumed for weathering rates of the Flotation Tailings. However, in the FTB the kinetics are such that the dissolution rate will likely be even slower, because the cover will limit exposure of plagioclase to fresh solvent (rainwater). For the very small amount of dissolution that does occur, some of the material could leave the basin in seepage, but more typically will build up on other plagioclase surfaces with time.

The weathering will result in the formation of secondary minerals that could increase or decrease tailings deposit strength in the long-term. The slow weathering of primary silicate minerals (for example, plagioclase) is expected to produce a relatively minor amount of clay and other secondary products in the basin and dissolved weathering products that will be flushed out. In addition, the weathering of ferrous iron-containing minerals, including iron sulfide minerals, will produce iron oxide/oxyhydroxide coatings and cement. The tailings are projected to weather at a very slow rate.

A discussion on how the long-term weathering was applied to the slope stability models and the long-term weathering strength values are presented in Section 6.7.

4.8 Overview of Stratigraphy and Material Types

Data from geotechnical investigations were used to group materials into units for definition of stratigraphy and determination of material properties. Ten material types have been defined at the Project Site for the geotechnical analysis:

- LTVSMC coarse tailings – existing material typically located in the shell of the Tailings Basin, comprised of larger particles of tailings that settled out closer to the dam crest during hydraulic deposition, the outer/upper zone of which was reworked to form subsequent lifts for the LTVSMC dams.

- LTVSMC slimes – existing material typically located toward the center of the Tailings Basin, comprised of finer tailings particles.

- LTVSMC fine tailings – existing material typically located upstream of the slimes, comprised of mid-size particles that commonly settled out in between the slimes and coarse tailings.

- Interior LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes – existing material, referring to tailings zones within the central portion of the Tailings Basin where fine tailings and slimes are so thoroughly interbedded they cannot be individually distinguished.

- LTMSMC fine tailings/slimes – material category used only in stability modeling to represent the overall mass of fine tailings and slimes.

- Till – existing native material comprising the thick consolidated foundation layer for the existing Tailings Basin.

- Peat – a discontinuous layer of existing native material overlying the native till.

- Rock dam/buttress – existing material representing the rock starter dam under the initial lift of the Tailings Basin, and imported material used as a future material for the proposed buttress at the toe of the dam.

- LTVSMC bulk tailings – future material to be comprised of borrowed LTVSMC coarse tailings (which may have occasional inclusions of finer tailings) used to construct the FTB dams.

- Flotation Tailings – future material to be impounded in the FTB upstream of the LTVSMC tailings dams.

- Granitic Bedrock – native rock underlying the native till and peat. The upper 10 feet of bedrock was modeled as fractured and bedrock below 10 feet was considered to be impermeable (Reference (21)).

The general stratigraphy along Cross-Sections F, G, and N (as discussed in greater detail in Section 7.1) is based on the field data and presented in detailed cross-sections on Figures B-2, B-3, and B-4, respectively, in Attachment B.

5.0 Physical Properties of Materials

The FTB design involves modeling the seepage conditions and slope stability anticipated as a result of the proposed FTB construction, operation, and closure. The analyses require inputs of hydraulic and strength parameters of all material zones incorporated into the seepage and stability models. Material strength characterization, including descriptions of how results of field and laboratory tests were used to select material strength parameters, is presented in Attachment C. Results of both field and laboratory testing are presented for each of the following material types:

- LTVSMC tailings (including coarse tailings, fine tailings, slimes, interior fine tailings/slimes, and bulk tailings)
- Flotation Tailings
- Native soils

5.1 Material Strength Parameter Selection Approach

The method used to select design parameters is based on Barr's experience and peer review discussions with Mr. Richard Davidson and Dr. Scott Olson, as described in Attachment C. The methodology for selection of design material strength parameters developed in consultation with Dr. Olson is detailed in Attachment M. This method provides a systematic approach that is not reliant on statistical analysis of data that are often difficult to fit to typical data distributions (i.e., normal distribution, log normal distribution, generalized extreme distribution, triangular distribution, and possibly others). For complete details, see Attachment C, but generally, design values (DV) were selected based on the following:

- Both laboratory data and field data are included in the analysis.
- 33rd percentile drained and yield undrained shear strength is used for the Effective Stress Stability Analysis (ESSA) and Yield Undrained Strength Stability Analysis ($USSA_{yield}$), respectively (i.e., on cumulative data plots, 33% of the data yields lower strengths and 67% of the data yields higher strengths than the selected design value).
- For drained and undrained yield shear strengths, the design value was determined by averaging the individual 33rd percentile value from various types of field tests, then adding the average of the 33rd percentile laboratory test results and finding the overall average.
- Material liquefied strength analyses include only the laboratory and field test results for samples that presented contractive or quasi-steady state behavior during shear. Results for samples which dilated during shear (strain-hardening behavior) are not included in

material liquefied strength analyses. The effect of this approach is that the shear strength calculation discounts stronger materials that are present in the tailings.

- For undrained liquefied shear strengths, the design value was determined by averaging the individual 50th percentile value from various types of field tests, then adding the average of the 50th percentile laboratory test results and finding the overall average. Dr. Olson recommended the use of average liquefied shear strength (rather than 33rd percentile) due to the conservative nature of the sample set being tested (i.e., LTVSMC slimes and fine tailings and Flotation Tailings samples with higher strengths are not included).

- Engineering judgment was required to select an appropriate percentile value of strength (i.e., 33rd percentile, average), to weight the values appropriately that are used to assess strengths (e.g., combining averages of field and laboratory data), and to select final material strength parameter DV for liquefied shear strength.

The field and laboratory testing results used for material strength design value selection are presented in Attachment C and summarized in Section 5.2.3 for the LTVSMC tailings, Section 5.3.3 for the Flotation Tailings, and Section 5.4.3 for the native materials (the till, peat, and rock starter dam). Bedrock strength is summarized in Section 5.5.2.

5.1.1 Contractive-Dilative Behavior and Liquefation

Liquefaction refers to post-yield undrained behavior of saturated, contractive silts and sands. The potential for LTVSMC coarse tailings, fine tailings, slimes, fine tailings/slimes, and NorthMet Flotation Tailings to liquefy was evaluated using laboratory and field data available at the time of the analysis in 2013 (Attachment C).

Reference (22) presents a relationship to assess the tendency for relatively clean sands to contract or dilate, based on corrected SPT blow counts (or CPT tip resistance) and effective vertical stress. Olson (Reference (16)) updated the relationship to account for variable compressibility for tailings. Contractive-dilative behavior is further discussed in Attachment C (with additional references cited), which also describes how this behavior has been identified with laboratory testing, SPT blow counts, and CPTu tip resistance. The laboratory data included some triaxial tests that displayed contractive behavior and quasi-steady state behavior. SPT and CPTu data were analyzed for contractive-dilative behavior, and only contractive data points were used to evaluate liquefied shear strength. Remolded strength was also determined from field vane shear testing.

5.2 LTVSMC Tailings

This section presents the results of field and laboratory tests available for analysis through 2013 on LTVSMC tailings. It describes general geotechnical properties, permeability, and shear strength of five types of LTVSMC tailings: coarse tailings, fine tailings, slimes, interior fine tailings/slimes, and LTVSMC bulk tailings.

Geotechnical modeling of the FTB uses material properties of the LTVSMC tailings in several contexts. First, LTVSMC tailings comprise the foundation for the new FTB dams. Second, portions of the proposed dams for the FTB will be constructed using LTVSMC bulk tailings (selectively LTVSMC coarse tailings, with incidental inclusions of fine tailings and slimes).

The following discussion to describe the LTVSMC tailings is subdivided into three sections:

(1) The results of laboratory testing for general geotechnical properties of the tailings;

(2) A discussion of permeability values utilized in past evaluations and data analyzed for this geotechnical evaluation, providing the DV selected for seepage modeling; and

(3) A description of shear strength parameters utilized in past evaluations and a summary of the DV selected for stability modeling.

This subsection organization is also applied to the discussion of subsequent material types. Detailed information on the analysis of data for shear strength determination is provided in Attachment C.

5.2.1 General Geotechnical Properties of LTVSMC Tailings

Multiple in-laboratory material tests were conducted on LTVSMC tailings samples to determine material index properties and strength parameters (Section 4.6). Key test results include the percent passing the #200 sieve (P_{200}, also known as the percent fines), the dry unit weight (γ_{dry}), the MC, the PL, the LL, and the G_s. Laboratory test results are provided in Attachment E. The maximum, minimum, and average values of the key test results are provided in Table 5-1 for LTVSMC coarse tailings, Table 5-2 for LTVSMC fine tailings, and Table 5-3 for LTVSMC slimes. These tables also include the standard deviation and the number of tests analyzed.

Table 5-1 Summary of Index Properties of LTVSMC Coarse Tailings

Parameter	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Standard Deviation	No. of Tests
P_{200}	3.0	19.0	13.0	3.8	38
γ_{dry} (pcf)	104.2	125.0	116.1	5.1	11
MC (%)	2.2	17.5	7.1	3.2	42
PL	--	--	NP	--	1
LL	--	--	NP	--	1
G_S	2.69	2.93	2.80	0.12	3

NP = non-plastic response

Table 5-2 Summary of Index Properties of LTVSMC Fine Tailings

Parameter	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Standard Deviation	No. of Tests
P_{200}	13.2	95.7	66.1	22.1	56
γ_{dry} (pcf)	76.2	111.4	98.5	9.0	15
MC (%)	3.6	34.7	17.8	8.3	78
PL	16.7	22.0	19.5	2.2	11
LL	17.5	29.4	23.4	4.0	11
G_S	2.62	3.03	2.94	0.08	21

Table 5-3 Summary of Index Properties of LTVSMC Slimes

Parameter	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Standard Deviation	No. of Tests
P_{200}	90.4	99.9	97.7	2.5	56
γ_{dry} (pcf)	77.9	111.5	91.6	8.1	40
MC (%)	11.0	58.2	32.6	6.9	81
PL	16.5	27.6	21	2.6	63
LL	18.6	37.9	26.9	4.2	63
G_S	2.93	2.99	3.00	0.02	8

5.2.2 Permeability of LTVSMC Tailings

The permeability values used for analysis and design of the Tailings Basin have varied throughout historical evaluations as more test results have become available (see Table B-1 of Attachment B for values from individual historic reports). Table 5-4 summarizes the LTVSMC tailings permeabilities used by previous investigators for seepage analysis. The data were compiled through a review of reports discussing the stability of the Tailings Basin.

Table 5-4 LTVSMC Saturated Permeabilities Used by Previous Investigators

Material	Historical	Barr Engineering Co.
	Permeability (cm/s)	Permeability (cm/s)
LTVSMC Coarse Tailings	5.00×10^{-5} to 1.00×10^{-2}	5.00×10^{-5} to 2.44×10^{-3}
LTVSMC Fine Tailings	1.00×10^{-5} to 5.00×10^{-4}	1.00×10^{-5} to 2.00×10^{-4}
LTVSMC Slimes	2.75×10^{-7} to 5.00×10^{-5}	2.75×10^{-7} to 9.60×10^{-7}

In Table 5-4, the historical permeability value for coarse tailings was estimated from grain size distribution (Hazen's method), and the historical permeability values for fine tailings and slimes were estimated by previous investigators. In fact, many previous studies (pre-2000) used monitoring data from piezometers to create a phreatic surface for stability analyses to calculate pressure heads, rather than incorporating permeability into the seepage models to estimate the seepage conditions for stability analysis.

The following sections present the laboratory and field permeability test results for each type of LTVSMC tailings, and describe how the model input parameters for permeability were chosen for the current geotechnical evaluation.

5.2.2.1 Permeability of LTVSMC Coarse Tailings

No evidence of previous permeability testing in support of historic LTVSMC coarse tailings design parameters was uncovered in the review of available published data (Attachment D). The permeability of the LTVSMC coarse tailings was evaluated by Barr in the laboratory using remolded tailings samples, due to the inherent difficulty in obtaining an undisturbed sample of granular material. The coarse-grained nature of these tailings also generally results in rapid dissipation of CPT penetration-induced porewater pressure and makes interpretation of the in-situ permeability difficult. Therefore, CPT test data was not used for determination of LTVSMC coarse tailings permeability.

LTVSMC coarse tailings permeability used in the current modeling is based on six reconstituted laboratory specimens created from bulk samples obtained from test pits. The specimens were reconstituted to dry unit weights ranging from approximately 104 to 125 pcf and tested using the constant-head rigid-wall permeability test method. Standard Proctor testing indicated that the maximum dry unit weight of the LTVSMC coarse tailings is 124.7 pcf. The maximum dry density, which occurred at an optimum MC of 11.7%, was rounded to 125 pcf. Portions of the existing LTVSMC coarse tailings were compacted in the field by rubber-tired dozers in thin lifts, so some dry densities are likely above 124.7 pcf. It was assumed that the LTVSMC coarse tailings around the perimeter may exhibit a unit weight greater than the Standard Proctor maximum dry density because the shell of the dam has and will continue to undergo compaction and consolidation. The relative density, indicating in-situ density for granular soil, for LTVSMC

coarse tailings (as approximated from CPTu testing) varied from 70% to 100%, with the majority of the data plotting above the 80% line (as presented in Attachment N). The permeability tests were therefore performed on samples with densities ranging from 84% to 95% of the standard Proctor maximum dry density. Table 5-5 shows the range in coarse tailings permeability values interpreted from the test results.

Table 5-5 Range of Saturated Permeability of LTVSMC Coarse Tailings

	k (cm/sec)	k (ft/day)	k (ft/sec)
Minimum	1.62×10^{-3}	4.61	5.33×10^{-5}
Maximum	3.51×10^{-3}	9.94	1.15×10^{-4}
Standard Deviation	8.41×10^{-4}	2.38	2.76×10^{-5}
Geometric Mean(1)	2.44×10^{-3}	6.91	8.00×10^{-5}

(1) The geometric mean was selected as the design value for seepage modeling.

The geometric mean is used as the seepage analysis input permeability for the LTVSMC coarse tailings for this evaluation. The geometric mean is used rather than the arithmetic average because parameters that vary over several orders of magnitude, such as permeability, are typically plotted on a log-scale. The geometric mean is computed as the average of the natural log of the permeability values. Using the log-scale and the geometric mean helps to reduce bias caused by wide variation in values.

These permeability values were compared to estimations using Hazen's equation (Reference (23)), as described in Section 4.6.2.

Based on the LTVSMC coarse tailings grain size D_{10} range of 0.20 – 0.027mm (Large Figure 1), the permeability range is estimated to be 4.0×10^{-2} cm/sec (1.31×10^{-3} ft/sec) to 7.29×10^{-4} cm/sec (2.39×10^{-5} ft/sec); a range which encompasses the values obtained by laboratory testing.

5.2.2.2 Permeability of LTVSMC Fine Tailings

Determination of the permeability of LTVSMC fine tailings has been constrained by several circumstances. First, no evidence of historical permeability testing in support of previous LTVSMC fine tailings design parameters was uncovered while reviewing available published data (Attachment D). Second, during the geotechnical explorations, the LTVSMC fine tailings were tested for permeability by in-situ dissipation testing performed during CPTu soundings. However, similar to the LTVSMC coarse tailings, the interpretation of the dissipation testing was found to be difficult at the locations tested. The relative coarseness of the fine tailings inhibits the ability to measure porewater pressure dissipation because the fine tailings are fairly permeable and any penetration-induced porewater pressure dissipates fairly quickly. Also, field investigations were unable to obtain representative undisturbed samples of the LTVSMC fine tailings (based on the Ebasco grain size distribution classifications, Large Figure 1).

Upon review of all of the materials encountered on the site, the average P_{200} grain size distributions of the LTVSMC fine tailings and Flotation Tailings were found to be similar, as can be seen by comparing Table 5-2 with Table 5-11. The measured hydraulic conductivity of the Flotation Tailings (Section 5.3.2) was therefore used as the basis for the hydraulic conductivity of the LTVSMC fine tailings. The measured grain size distribution of the LTVSMC fine tailings shown in Table 5-2 depicts these materials slightly differently than the text of Ebasco's historical reports on LTVSMC fine tailings, which indicate a range of gradations which may include "up to 95% fines" (as reported in Attachment D). While the maximum P_{200} observed from tests on LTVSMC fine tailings was 95.7%, the average P_{200} of the samples tested was 66.1%. However, the LTVSMC fine tailings samples collected as part of the supporting geotechnical investigations and tested for grain size distributions were typically on the coarser end of the range if they classified as fine tailings. Because a limited amount of fine tailings sample was collected, this material has been identified as a material that should be targeted for future testing and whose parameters may need to be updated in future analyses.

Permeability of the fine tailings was set at 2.00×10^{-5} cm/sec (6.56×10^{-7} ft/sec) which was used for proposed conditions seepage analyses. This value is near the lower bound of the Flotation Tailings data (Large Figure 3), where the relationship between stress imposed by overburden and permeability becomes increasingly asymptotic toward 1.00×10^{-5} cm/sec as depth increases. This value was obtained from a sample tested at a confining pressure related to approximately 80 feet of overburden. While the LTVSMC fine tailings will be under a greater overburden pressure than this at final dam height, the flow is primarily horizontal within the LTVSMC tailings, owing to the bedding that occurs during hydraulic deposition. Unlike vertical flow tested in the laboratory in a small confined soil cylinder, horizontal flow can find and follow more permeable pathways, and therefore the lower bound from the permeability testing of Flotation Tailings is considered appropriate for use in the current seepage modeling of the LTVSMC fine tailings. While anisotropy could be incorporated in an effort to account for increased horizontal flow, because of the complexity of the deposit, an isotropic permeability was used for the tailings.

5.2.2.3 Permeability of LTVSMC Slimes

The LTVSMC slimes are generally located within the interior portion of the Tailings Basin or in isolated areas under the existing dams. Permeability of the slimes was measured by two methods: 1) in-situ dissipation testing performed during CPTs; and 2) laboratory permeability testing on undisturbed samples. Over 40 in-situ dissipation tests were performed at various locations and depths within Cells 1E and 2E. The time necessary for dissipation of 50% of the peak penetration-induced porewater pressure, t_{50}, was determined, as described in Section 4.5.2. Published correlation charts for piezocone analyses were used to obtain the estimated horizontal permeability values (Reference (17)). The dissipation (k_h) and laboratory data (k_v) are plotted and presented as Large Figure 4.

Falling-head flexible-wall laboratory permeability testing of 13 undisturbed samples obtained from thin-wall (Shelby) tubes from six boring locations showed permeability values within the same range as those determined from dissipation testing. However, the laboratory values are

skewed slightly lower than the field data, possibly due to potential anisotropy (the variability between horizontal permeability, as measured by dissipation testing, and vertical permeability, as measured in the laboratory). As shown in Large Figure 4, the data indicate that there is little to no sensitivity to effective overburden pressures, as the data show significant scatter with no discernible trends in either the in-situ or laboratory testing.

The geometric mean of the saturated permeability is 9.63×10^{-7} cm/sec (3.16×10^{-8} ft/sec), as presented in Table 5-6 and in Large Figure 4. This value is closer to the lower bound, but within the range used by Barr in January and March, 2000, for which laboratory testing was used to determine the permeability. This geometric mean was considered mildly conservative, as flow through the LTVSMC slimes is likely more horizontal than vertical. In horizontal flow, water will tend to follow the paths of least resistance (e.g., will seek out more permeable "fingers" of fine tailings or less clayey layers of slimes).

Table 5-6 Range of Saturated Permeability of LTVSMC Slimes

	k (cm/sec)	k (ft/day)	k (ft/sec)
Minimum	3.76×10^{-7}	1.07×10^{-3}	1.23×10^{-8}
Maximum	3.61×10^{-6}	1.02×10^{-2}	1.18×10^{-7}
Standard Deviation	9.38×10^{-7}	2.66×10^{-3}	3.08×10^{-8}
Geometric Mean	9.63×10^{-7}	2.73×10^{-3}	3.16×10^{-8}

While anisotropy could be incorporated in an effort to account for increased horizontal flow, because of the complexity of the deposit, an isotropic permeability was used for the tailings. To better reflect the available data and to increase conservatism in the geotechnical seepage model because the model represents three-dimensional conditions in a two-dimensional section, the geometric mean of 9.63×10^{-7} cm/sec (3.16×10^{-8} ft/sec) is used in the proposed conditions (future construction) modeling. Conservatism from a geotechnical standpoint is increased by using a less permeable material as it confines flow and leads to increased porewater pressure.

5.2.2.4 Permeability of LTVSMC Interior Fine Tailings/Slimes

To simplify the seepage model, the material in the interior portion of the basin where fine tailings and slimes are finely interbedded is treated as a single unit and assigned a single permeability. The interior fine tailings/slimes region is separated from the region with individual fine tailings layers and slimes layers based on CPT analyses indicating few if any coarse tailings in the interior fine tailings/slimes region relative to the fine tailings and slimes regions. This unit, used in seepage modeling, is referred to as LTVSMC interior fine tailings/slimes.

This simplification allows a reduction in the number of elements within the model and better accounts for uncertainty regarding the continuity of layers within the central portion of the section. Furthermore, this approach was recommended by Dr. Peter Robertson in his review

comments on Version 1 of this report; comments that were provided to Barr by the DNR. Earlier modeling had included relatively thin LTVSMC fine tailings and slimes layers throughout the entirety of the Tailings Basin. However, there is uncertainty regarding the stratigraphy of these thin layers. Very little boring data is available toward the center of the Tailings Basin, due to the presence of ponded water in the cells. Data were limited to two test locations that were over 500 feet apart. The simplified unit better represents this uncertainty.

The LTVSMC interior fine tailings/slimes region was modeled with a saturated permeability of 3.05×10^{-6} cm/s (1.00×10^{-7} ft/s). This value is the geometric mean of all the permeability test data for the LTVSMC fine tailings and slimes (provided in Sections 5.2.2.2 and 5.2.2.3). This value was assumed appropriate based on the stratigraphy shown on Figure B-2 and Figure B-3 in Attachment B, which indicates that the interior in Cell 2E is a mixture of both fine tailings and slimes. Analysis of the cross-sectional area represented by each material type along Cross-Section F indicates slightly more slimes exist than fine tailings, but amounts are similar. A limited sensitivity analysis was performed and in comparison to assigning a higher permeability (to simulate that stringers of fine tailings would dominate the response of this combined region), the selected permeability above the native soils limits vertical seepage and encourages more horizontal flow to the dam face. This is likely a conservative approach that produces a higher phreatic surface in seepage models.

5.2.2.5 Permeability of LTVSMC Bulk Tailings

The LTVSMC bulk tailings are taken as a conservative (finer grain size) representation of the coarse tailings to be excavated for use in construction of the shell along the downstream slope of the FTB dams. While the goal is to excavate only coarse tailings for use in FTB dam construction, it is impractical to assume that only coarse tailings will be excavated for construction. In reality, the excavated tailings will be mostly LTVSMC coarse tailings with some inclusions of LTVSMC fine tailings and a small amount of slimes. To investigate the effects of the inclusion of slimes and fine tailings within the coarse tailings, four mixtures of tailings with various proportions of coarse tailings, fine tailings, and slimes were prepared from samples obtained during test pitting in the Tailings Basin. For conservatism, the blends focused on slightly finer blends than the predominantly coarse tailings material that will be utilized for FTB construction. The four mixes are described in Table 5-7.

Table 5-7 LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Blends

	Blending Ratio					
Blend	**LTVSMC Coarse Tailings**	**LTVSMC Fine Tailings**	**LTVSMC Slimes**	**P_{200}(1) (% by weight)**	**USCS**	**Field Classification**
1	5 parts	4 parts	1 part	23.9	SM	Fine tailings
2	15 parts	4 parts	1 part	15.5	SM, SP-SM	Coarse tailings
3	5 parts	8 parts	2 parts	27.8	SM	Fine tailings
4	5 parts	16 parts	4 parts	43.2	SM	Fine tailings

(1) The fines content of each blend was obtained by grain size analysis, data in

Each of the mixtures was tested for permeability using the constant head, rigid wall method (ASTM D5856) with the resulting range of values shown in Table 5-8. The geometric mean value of 8.02 x 10^{-5} cm/sec (2.63 x 10^{-6} ft/sec) was used for design and is similar to the hydraulic conductivity of Blend 2 (7.0 x 10^{-5} cm/sec); the blend assumed to be most representative of what will be obtained when the LTVSMC coarse tailings are targeted for excavation and use in construction.

Table 5-8 Range of Saturated Permeability of LTVSMC Bulk Mixtures

	k (cm/sec)	**k (ft/day)**	**k (ft/sec)**
Minimum	6.61 x 10^{-5}	0.19	2.17 x 10^{-6}
Maximum	1.01 x 10^{-4}	0.29	3.33 x 10^{-6}
Standard Deviation	1.61 x 10^{-4}	0.46	5.27 x 10^{-6}
Geometric Mean	8.02 x 10^{-5}	0.23	2.63 x 10^{-6}

Note that the LTVSMC fine tailings were assumed to be more permeable than the LTVSMC bulk tailings due largely to differences in deposition. The fine tailings were hydraulically deposited and subjected to consolidation only from self-weight and pressure from subsequent overlying material. The LTVSMC bulk tailings will be compacted as a construction material, resulting in lower void ratios than would be expected in the LTVSMC fine tailings. Further, as stated previously, the LTVSMC bulk tailings may have occasional inclusions of fine tailings and slimes. For these reasons and based on engineering judgment, a lower hydraulic conductivity was assigned to the LTVSMC bulk tailings than to the fine tailings.

5.2.3 Shear Strength of LTVSMC Tailings

Both the undrained and drained conditions were examined for this design. The undrained case relates to short-term conditions, typically immediately after construction, where excess porewater pressures exist in the tailings. The drained case relates to long-term conditions, where no excess porewater pressure exists in the tailings. Evaluation of the drained and undrained yield shear strengths of the LTVSMC tailings was performed during this design and included, as appropriate, data from laboratory triaxial testing with pore pressure measurements, SPT, CPTu, and field vane shear testing (Attachment C).

The LTVSMC tailings shear strength parameters previously used for analysis and design of the Tailings Basin have varied throughout historical evaluations as more test results have become available and as basin configuration and drainage conditions have changed. A summary of the previously calculated strength parameters used in stability analyses are presented in Table 5-9 (see Table B-1 of Attachment B for values from individual historic reports). The table includes the high and low values previously assigned to the various tailings, as well as both ESSA and USSA parameters. Strengths have been characterized with an effective friction angle (ϕ') and cohesion (c') for ESSA conditions. For USSA conditions, the LTVSMC slimes and fine tailings have, at various times, been characterized with either Mohr-Coulomb parameters (undrained friction angle, ϕ_{cu}, and undrained cohesion, c_u) or undrained shear strength ratios (USSR). The USSR is defined as the ratio of the undrained shear strength, s_u, divided by the effective overburden stress, σ'_v. Previously assigned liquefied strength values are included in Table 5-9, where appropriate.

Table 5-9 LTVSMC Shear Strength Parameters Previously Used (1977 to 2000)

Material	Unit Weight, γ (pcf)	Drained (ESSA)		Undrained (USSA)			
		Mohr-Coulomb		USSR		Mohr-Coulomb	
				s_u/σ'_{vo}			
		c' (psf)	ϕ' (deg)	Yield	Liquefied	c_u (psf)	ϕ_{cu} (deg)
LTVSMC Coarse Tailings	125 - 130	-	35 - 41	-	-	-	38 - 40
LTVSMC Fine Tailings	120 - 130	-	27 - 40	0.25	0.10	-	36 - 40
LTVSMC Slimes	100 - 130	-	28 - 43	0.22 - 0.25	0.10 - 0.22	-	31

Further analysis was performed which included data collected in the 2007 geotechnical investigation and review of available and applicable historical data. The testing results, data analysis, and rationale for selected shear strength parameters are discussed in detail in Attachment C and are summarized in Table 5-10.

For seepage and stability modeling, the term 'fine tailings/slimes' has two connotations. For seepage modeling, the interior fine tailings/slimes unit has a given permeability, and the remaining fine tailings or slimes are modeled as separate layers with their own permeabilities. For stability modeling, the fine tailings/slimes unit encompasses the individual fine tailings and slimes layers from the seepage model. This fine tailings/slimes unit and the interior fine tailings/slimes unit are assigned the same liquefied strength value.

Table 5-10 LTVSMC Tailings Shear Strength Parameters

Material	Drained	Undrained	Liquefied
LTVSMC Coarse Tailings	$\phi' = 38.5°$	N/A	N/A
LTVSMC Fine Tailings	$\phi' = 33.0°$	$USSR_{yield} = 0.35$	-
LTVSMC Slimes	$\phi' = 33.0°$	$USSR_{yield} = 0.22$	-
LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes	$\phi' = 33.0°$	$USSR_{yield} = 0.24$	$USSR_{liq} = 0.10$
LTVSMC Bulk Tailings	$\phi' = 38.5°$	N/A	N/A

It is important to note that significantly more data were used for determination of shear strengths of the LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes than for the determination of shear strength for the fine tailings or slimes individually. This was because there were SPT and CPT logs in the Tailings Basin with significant zones of intermittent and interbedded layers of fine tailings and slimes, where it was not feasible to filter data for only slimes or only fine tailings. Data from these regions were used in addition to the individual data sets for the combined fine tailings/slimes shear strength determination. The resulting fine tailings/slimes shear strength parameters are within the range of values established for the fine tailings and slimes individually.

5.3 Flotation Tailings

This section presents the results of field and laboratory tests on Flotation Tailings. It describes their general geotechnical properties, permeability and shear strength. Geotechnical modeling of the FTB uses material properties of the Flotation Tailings for seepage and stability analysis of future conditions.

Flotation Tailings were produced during the pilot-plant processing of a bulk sample (approximately 43 tons) of ore at the SGS Lakefield facility in Lakefield, Ontario, Canada. Samples were collected for laboratory testing to determine geotechnical parameters. The pilot plant's bulk tailings are expected to be similar to the material that will be produced from the commercial plant. Mineralogy and shape of the Flotation Tailings is described in Section 4.7, with a comparison to LTVSMC tailings.

Two different grinds have been tested from the pilot plant. The first grind was obtained for laboratory testing in 2005 and the second grind was tested in 2009 and reported on in 2010. The second grind is slightly finer than the 2005 grind. However the grinds are relatively similar and the differences between the two are very likely within the anticipated range of gradations that could be expected from the plant. Therefore, testing has been performed on both samples and the data has been combined for determining properties of the Flotation Tailings. All in-laboratory 2007 test results are provided in Attachment E.

5.3.1 General Geotechnical Properties of Flotation Tailings

Several tests were conducted on samples of pilot plant Flotation Tailings in the laboratory to determine the materials' index properties. The results of the index testing are summarized in Table 5-11. These samples were often left sitting for some period of time or shipped dry; hence dry unit weight and MC were not tested. Two Atterberg Limits tests had previously indicated that the material was non-plastic and a test on the cycloned fines-only portion indicated that the finest material has a Plasticity Index (PI) of 4.3, which is slightly plastic behavior. Recent Atterberg Limits testing was performed on a sample of Flotation Tailings reporting a PI of 1.1, indicating very little cohesion. This is also supported by the triaxial test strength results that generally report zero cohesion.

Table 5-11 Summary of Index Properties of Flotation Tailings

Parameter	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Standard Deviation	No. of Tests
P_{200}	52.00	68.2	60.3	6.07	8
PL	16.4	16.4	16.4	-	1
LL	17.5	17.5	17.5	-	1
G_S	2.97	3.03	3.00	0.02	6

5.3.2 Permeability of Flotation Tailings

The in-situ permeability of the Flotation Tailings will depend on depositional conditions. St. Anthony Falls Laboratory (SAFL) conducted a physical model study (Attachment B of Reference (1)) which shows that, while there is some segregation of Flotation Tailings particles by grain size associated with hydraulic deposition, some fine particles are captured within the

tailings matrix even close to the deposition point. Further, for the Project the deposition points will include multiple spigot locations around the basin perimeter as is common at other tailings basin facilities, but will also include deposition in interior portions of the basin (Reference (1)). Deposition of tailings within the interior of the FTB differs from the more routine perimeter spigotting of tailings. Tailings are more typically deposited only by perimeter spigotting and, if of a different gradation than Flotation Tailings, might show more pronounced segregation by particle size during hydraulic deposition. Based on the proposed method of deposition (Reference (1)), the Flotation Tailings are expected to undergo less hydraulic segregation than the LTVSMC tailings spigotted from the basin perimeter only. While some segregation will occur during subaerial flow from the spigots, significant amounts of fines will be captured within the soil matrix. Therefore, the Flotation Tailings were treated as a single material, rather than defining parameters for coarser and finer portions of the tailings.

The vertical permeability of the Flotation Tailings was determined from falling-head, flexible-wall laboratory permeability testing. Six specimens were reconstituted to dry densities ranging from 89.3 to 100.7 pcf and tested at confining stresses of 0.25 to 7.0 tsf. The results of the laboratory testing for permeability of the Flotation Tailings are shown in Table 5-12.

Table 5-12 Range of Permeability for the Flotation Tailings

	k (cm/sec)	k (ft/day)	k (ft/sec)
Minimum	1.98×10^{-5}	0.06	6.50×10^{-7}
Maximum	4.82×10^{-4}	1.37	1.58×10^{-5}
Standard Deviation	2.13×10^{-4}	0.60	6.98×10^{-6}
Geometric Mean	1.16×10^{-4}	0.33	3.81×10^{-6}

Plotting the permeability against consolidation stress reveals a strong correlation (Large Figure 3). The permeability becomes relatively constant for effective confining pressure greater than or equal to approximately 2 tsf. Accordingly, three representative values of permeability were selected for use in modeling: 6.23×10^{-6} ft/sec (1.90×10^{-4} cm/sec) for Flotation Tailings under less than 0.45 tsf effective overburden stress (equivalent to approximately 10 feet of soil with a unit weight of 90 pcf); 1.84×10^{-6} ft/sec (5.61×10^{-5} cm/sec) for tailings under 1.35 tsf effective overburden stress (equivalent to approximately 30 feet of overburden); and 6.56×10^{-7} ft/sec (2.00×10^{-5} cm/sec) for tailings under 2.29 tsf effective overburden stress (equivalent to approximately 50 feet of overburden). Beyond this stress range, the permeability appears to not vary significantly with increasing confinement.

It was observed that the average Flotation Tailings permeability is greater than the maximum permeability testing results on LTVSMC bulk tailings (consisting predominantly of coarse tailings). However, these are two entirely different materials. The LTVSMC bulk tailings are comprised of existing material that will be blended and compacted to a higher density during

construction. Conversely, the Flotation Tailings will be hydraulically deposited and therefore will not be subjected to compaction beyond self-weight consolidation. The void ratios reported in the laboratory were also significantly different between the two materials. Additionally, only a small number of tests were performed on both of these materials, as they do not currently exist at the Project. Additional permeability testing is recommended for both during future explorations to verify selected parameters.

Flotation Tailings permeability values were applied to different portions of tailings within the models, such that the uppermost layer in any model used the highest permeability, the underlying layer used the middle permeability, and any layers below that used the lowest permeability. The three effective overburden pressures were selected to represent the average overburden pressure within a lift (e.g., the depth at the center of the respective layer).

The established permeabilities are for Flotation Tailings at initial and operating conditions, but these values were also used for long-term, post-closure modeling. The percent of mass weathered will still be relatively small, even at 2,000 years (Section 4.7.3). Long-term, plagioclase dissolution could cause the Flotation Tailings' permeability to be fractionally higher or lower, though this effect might occur over thousands to tens of thousands of years.

5.3.3 Shear Strength of Flotation Tailings

The shear strength of the Flotation Tailings was evaluated through testing on bulk samples as described in Attachment C. In brief; triaxial tests were performed on several samples of pilot plant Flotation Tailings (similar gradation to LTVSMC fine tailings). The data collected through triaxial testing was processed and used in selection of shear strength parameters. Similar to the LTVSMC tailings triaxial testing, the Flotation Tailings triaxial sample preparation and test methods have been varied (wet, moist, or dry sample preparation on reconstituted bulk samples; slow and fast saturation) in an attempt to replicate the anticipated in-situ behavior of the tailings.

Isotropically-consolidated undrained triaxial testing was performed on Flotation Tailings, as well as on undersized and oversized samples resulting from a 2005 study of the 2005 sample from the pilot plant. The oversize and undersize portions were mechanically sieved from the Flotation Tailings to create samples for testing. During triaxial testing, both the flotation tailings oversized (similar gradation to LTVSMC coarse tailings) and undersized (similar gradation to LTVSMC slimes) samples exhibited dilative behavior. While a majority of the samples exhibited quasi-steady state behavior, one triaxial series performed on the Flotation Tailings exhibited contractive behavior, and was the only triaxial test conducted for the Project to behave as such. Sample preparation for these loose materials is very challenging and this behavior (only one contractive sample) could be the result of many aspects of sample preparation and consolidation prior to shear strength testing.

For the current evaluation, it has been conservatively assumed that all Flotation Tailings are contractive and therefore strength estimates are conservative (as discussed in greater detail in Attachment C). The strength estimates are conservative because it is possible that some portion

of the deposit will not be contractive and thus would not liquefy, and would mobilize higher strengths.

The Flotation Tailings were characterized by an approximate drained friction angle of 33.0 degrees. For undrained shear strength, the Flotation Tailings were assigned a $USSR_{yield}$ value of 0.26 and a $USSR_{liq}$ value of 0.12.

5.3.4 Flotation Tailings Filter Criteria

Filter criteria for the Flotation Tailings was evaluated to determine the performance of LTVSMC bulk tailings in preventing piping. As previously stated, a variety of LTVSMC bulk tailings blends were prepared and grain size analyses were performed on the blends (provided in Attachment C). Based on filter criteria suggested in Reference (24), it was determined the D15 (or the sieve diameter at which 15% of the protective material by weight will pass) of the LTVSMC bulk tailings must be greater than 0.056 mm and less than 0.48 mm, as presented in Large Figure 5. Of the blends tested and discussed in Section 5.2.2.5, a material like LTVSMC Blend 2 (15 parts coarse tailings to 4 parts fine tailings to 1 part slimes) or coarser will satisfy this requirement. This matches well with the LTVSMC material borrow plan which will focus on preferentially borrowing the LTVSMC coarse tailings as determined by visual evaluation of grain size and material MC. Zones of fine tailings and slimes, if encountered, will be preferentially excluded. A construction specification will be provided for filter material and the contractor will be required to place material that meets the specification.

5.4 Native Soils

This section presents the results of field and laboratory tests on native soils. The native soils include glacial till and peat, as well as the rock starter dam. It describes the native soils' general geotechnical properties, permeability and shear strength. Geotechnical modeling of the FTB uses material properties of the native soils for seepage model verification and proposed conditions modeling.

5.4.1 General Geotechnical Properties of Native Soils

Multiple historical and more recent 2007 and 2014 tests were conducted on native soil samples in the laboratory (as described in Attachment C and Attachment F) to determine the materials' index properties, which describe the physical characteristics of the material. The maximum, minimum, and average values are provided in Table 5-13 for the glacial till. The maximum, minimum, and average index property values for peat are provided in Table 5-14. These tables also include the standard deviation and the number of tests analyzed. The tabulated results presented below are for test results available in 2013 and do not include the results from the 2014 geotechnical investigation. Instead, the 2014 results, presented in Attachment F, were only used to validate previously selected values.

Table 5-13 Summary of Index Properties of Glacial Till

Parameter	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Standard Deviation	No. of Tests
P_{200}	0.6	60.8	25	15.7	16
γ_{dry} (pcf)	107.8	129	119.1	10.7	3
MC (%)	6	29.8	12.4	8.1	15
PL	10	23	14	5.2	7
LL	11	36	17.7	9.6	7
G_S	-	-	2.66	-	1

Table 5-14 Summary of Index Properties of Peat

Parameter	Minimum	Maximum	Average	Standard Deviation	No. of Tests
P_{200}	73.1	79	76.1	4.2	2
γ_{dry} (pcf)	13.5	69.4	26.9	15.0	11
MC (%)	49.1	407.7	194.4	89.4	11
PL	32.8	273	153	170	2
LL	66.5	407	237	241	2
G_S	1.73	2.48	2.1	0.5	2

The index properties of the peat collected during the 2014 investigation represent undisturbed virgin peat, while some of the values presented in Table 5-14 are results for compressed peat obtained from beneath the basin, explaining why the laboratory results on 2014 peat reported higher MCs, PL, and LL compared to the values presented in Table 5-14. Five peat samples were tested in 2014; having an average MC of 512% and a dry unit weight of 11 pcf and saturated unit weight of 67 pcf. PL values ranged from 198 to 536 and LLs ranged from 411 to 612, generally much higher than the values in Table 5-14.

5.4.2 Permeability of Native Soils

The permeability values previously used for analysis and design of the Tailings Basin have varied throughout historical evaluations as more test results have become available (see Table B-1 of Attachment B for values from individual historic reports). The FTB drainage is impacted by the permeability of the foundation materials. Table 5-15 summarizes the

permeabilities for native soils used by previous investigators for seepage analysis. The data were compiled through a review of reports discussing the stability of the Tailings Basin.

Table 5-15 Native Soils Permeabilities Postulated by Previous Investigators

Material	Historical	Barr Engineering Co.
	Permeability (cm/s)	Permeability (cm/s)
Virgin Peat	1.00×10^{-2} to 1.00×10^{-7}	1.01×10^{-3} to 1.0×10^{-7}
Compressed Peat		
Till	5.00×10^{-3} to 4.30×10^{-4}	4.3×10^{-7} to 5.03×10^{-3}

Based on the historical data review, these values appeared to be estimates based on grain size distribution for granular soil and/or experience of previous investigators. The following sections describe the updated design parameters and how they were developed through the testing program.

5.4.2.1 Glacial Till

Prior to 2007, to better evaluate the seepage characteristics of the foundation till, a sampling program was implemented to retrieve till samples on which laboratory testing could be performed. Although the sampling program used Pitcher-barrel sampling methods, which uses a cutting head and retractable thin-wall sampling tube for relatively undisturbed sampling, and has been successfully used on many other sites in the region with similar till materials, a sufficient number of samples could not be obtained due to the nature of the formation. The till contained not only varying amounts of clay and sand, but also cobbles and boulders that could not be penetrated, even with the cutting teeth of the sampling device. An alternate method, falling-head field permeability testing in standpipe piezometers, was then employed to estimate the permeability of the formation.

A total of four in-situ falling-head tests were performed in standpipe piezometers (locations 07-01, 07-07C, 07-10 and 07-13) installed in August 2007 along the north perimeter dam of Cell 2E. The in-situ falling-head tests consisted of preparing a standpipe piezometer by flushing it of all soils and then flooding it with a volume of water. The water was allowed to flow from the piezometer into the till and the depth to water in the piezometer was recorded over a measured period of time until equilibrium was reached. The range of values obtained from the testing program is reported in Table 5-16.

Table 5-16 Range of Permeability of Glacial Till from Falling-Head Tests

	k (cm/sec)	k (ft/day)	k (ft/sec)
Minimum	3.57×10^{-4}	1.01	1.17×10^{-5}
Maximum	7.32×10^{-4}	2.07	2.40×10^{-5}
Standard Deviation	1.90×10^{-4}	0.54	6.24×10^{-6}
Geometric Mean	5.03×10^{-4}	1.43	1.65×10^{-5}

More recently, in the spring of 2014, ten standpipe piezometers were installed 200 feet from the toe of Cells 1W and 2E along the proposed alignment of the FTB Containment System, and screened in the glacial till. Slug tests were performed in the ten piezometers as well as in two wells installed previously in 2008. Details of the results and analysis are provided in Attachment F.

Three slug tests—each with slug-in and slug-out—were performed sequentially in all ten piezometers and in the two wells. A slug test consists of rapid displacement of the static water level in a piezometer or well by adding or removing a slug. The slugs used to perform these tests consisted of a solid piece of circular polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipe that was 1-inch in diameter. A 5-foot and 2.5-foot long PVC slug was used to complete three sets of tests (slug-in and slug-out for each test) in each piezometer. The first and third test was performed with the 5-foot slug and the second test was performed with the 2.5-foot slug to confirm repeatability. A slug test in which the displacement is initiated by rapidly lowering a slug below the water level is referred to as a slug-in or falling-head test; a slug-out or rising-head test is one in which the slug is rapidly removed. The resulting water-level recovery to static, pre-test conditions, was monitored using a data-logging pressure transducer (InSitu – LevelTroll 700). Test results ranged from 1.5×10^{-3} ft/s (4.6×10^{-2} cm/s) to 1.7×10^{-6} ft/s (5.2×10^{-5} cm/s) with a geometric mean permeability of 5.1×10^{-5} ft/s (1.55×10^{-3} cm/s), which was chosen as the representative permeability of the glacial till for the seepage analyses.

This permeability value is higher than the 2007 test result; however, it appears to support previously performed water balance studies which indicated that not enough water was leaving the model to account for observed declines in pond level within the Tailings Basin. The non-homogeneous nature of the till, with variable layers of clay, sand, and gravel, likely cause more variation in the permeability of the till layer than what was measured in a limited number of discrete tests. Concurrent to discussions with hydrogeologists working on the Project, a sensitivity analysis was conducted with the existing conditions model to assess which material had the greatest impact on flux out of the system, and it was determined that the permeability of the till had the greatest impact, as discussed in Section 7.2. Based on the findings of this sensitivity analysis and verification model results simulating 2014 tailings basin conditions, the 2014 geometric mean permeability value of 5.1×10^{-5} ft/s (1.55×10^{-3} cm/s) appears to be a good representation of the glacial till permeability. The geometric mean hydraulic conductivity value was calculated based on all the piezometers that had screens installed in glacial till. Out of the six

output plots generated from the slug tests performed at each piezometer location, the two data outputs that were considered to have the least amount of noise and that would provide the widest range in permeability were selected for analysis. Therefore, 12 piezometer locations, for a total of 24 permeability results, were used to calculate the geometric mean permeability value of the glacial till.

5.4.2.2 Peat

Organic matter consisting of peat exists in the tailing basin area and immediately north of the toe of the existing north perimeter dam of Cell 2E. Additionally, a significant portion of the western half of the foundation for Cell 2E consists of peat deposits covered by years of tailings deposition. In areas outside the toe of the Tailings Basin, natural or "virgin" peat, relatively unaltered by the construction of the Tailings Basin, still exists.

Permeability of the peat below the Tailings Basin was evaluated using two methods to determine two different permeabilities. The vertical permeability was determined from falling-head, flexible-wall permeability tests performed on four relatively undisturbed peat samples tested at confining stresses ranging from 1.5 to 6.0 tsf, while the horizontal permeability was measured using in-situ pore pressure dissipation testing during CPTu. The difference in permeability between the horizontal and vertical directions is attributed to the way in which peat is formed and varies highly with confining pressure, with horizontal to vertical permeability ratios as high as 15 reported at less than 1.9 tsf (180 kPa) confining pressure (Reference (25)). The confining pressures at the Project site are significantly higher, however.

The permeability of the unaltered peat, or virgin peat, which is located north of the dam, was tested on two samples collected during the 2014 investigation, yielding vertical permeability values of 2.13×10^{-6} cm/s (7.0×10^{-8} ft/s) and 1.07×10^{-6} cm/s (3.5×10^{-8} ft/s). Peat permeabilities ranging from 1×10^{-2} to 1×10^{-4} cm/sec (3.28×10^{-4} to 3.28×10^{-6} ft/s) were previously recommended by Sitka (Reference (8)). A permeability of 1.0×10^{-3} cm/s (3.3×10^{-5} ft/s) was selected for the virgin peat using isotropic permeability (Reference (25)), as the peat at the toe of the dam are surficial deposits and have little to no confinement.

The range in measured permeability for the peat material below the Tailings Basin, referred to as compressed peat, is shown in Table 5-17. The seepage modeling indicates that flow within the peat layer is much more horizontal such that the geometric mean horizontal permeability of 3.60×10^{-6} cm/s (1.18×10^{-7} ft/s) was used for the compressed peat. In SEEP/W, anisotropic flow can be entered as a ratio of ky to kx, with the saturated permeability value entered for kx. Two anisotropic ratio values were used; 0.067 (representing the upper bound of data referenced in Reference (25)), and 0.0077 (the ratio of the measured geometric means of k_v to k_h in Table 5-17) – to assess the impact of anisotropy on the model. The model with the very low ky/kx ratio essentially establishes the peat as the most impermeable layer in the model for vertical flow, creating a cutoff between the till and the tailings. This case is obviously not accurate, as the ponds have dropped and more water has left the Tailings Basin than has been

observed flowing out of seeps. Therefore, the peat below the dams was modeled with a ky/kx ratio of 0.067.

Table 5-17 Range of Permeability for Compressed Peat Material

Vertical Permeability Values	k (cm/sec)	k (ft/day)	k (ft/sec)
Minimum	1.27×10^{-8}	3.60×10^{-5}	4.17×10^{-10}
Maximum	1.17×10^{-7}	3.31×10^{-4}	3.83×10^{-9}
Standard Deviation	4.97×10^{-8}	1.41×10^{-4}	1.63×10^{-9}
Geometric Mean	2.78×10^{-8}	7.88×10^{-5}	9.12×10^{-10}
Horizontal Permeability Values	**k (cm/sec)**	**k (ft/day)**	**k (ft/sec)**
Minimum	1.76×10^{-6}	4.99×10^{-3}	5.77×10^{-8}
Maximum	7.35×10^{-6}	2.08×10^{-2}	2.41×10^{-7}
Standard Deviation	3.96×10^{-6}	1.12×10^{-2}	1.30×10^{-7}
Geometric Mean	3.60×10^{-6}	1.02×10^{-2}	1.18×10^{-7}

5.4.2.3 Rock Starter Dam

On the north side of Cell 2E, a rock starter dam constructed over the peat deposit was utilized to facilitate initial dam construction. Due to the size of the material, samples of the rock could not be obtained in any manner that would allow permeability testing. Therefore, the permeability of the rock starter dam was based on the published grain size distribution (Large Figure 6, Reference (4)) and estimated using Hazen's equation.

The resulting permeability was found to range from 0.034 to 2.865 cm/sec (1.3×10^{-3} to 9.4×10^{-3} ft/sec), based on the historic range of grain size distribution, with D_{10} ranging from approximately 0.2 to 2 mm and within the acceptable range for use of the Hazen equation (Reference (24)). Based on the seepage model sensitivity analysis (described in more detail in Section 7.2), a value of 1.52 cm/sec (5.0×10^{-2} ft/sec) was chosen for the design.

5.4.3 Shear Strength of Native Soils

The shear strength of the native materials at the Tailings Basin have been explored and analyzed multiple times for various analyses of the LTVSMC site completed since the late 1960s. The shear strength parameters previously used for analysis and design of the Tailings Basin have varied throughout historical evaluations as more test results have become available. A summary

of the previously calculated strength parameters used in stability analyses are presented in Table 5-18 (see Table B-1 of Attachment B for values from individual historic reports). The table includes the high and low values previously assigned to the various soils, as well as both ESSA and USSA parameters. For USSA conditions, the peat has, at various times, been characterized with either Mohr-Coulomb parameters or USSR values.

Table 5-18 Native Soils Shear Strength Parameters Previously Used (1977 to 2000)

Material	Saturated Unit Weight, γ_{sat} (pcf)	Drained (ESSA)		Undrained (USSA)		
				Strength Ratio	Mohr-Coulomb	
		c' (psf)	ϕ' (deg)	$USSR_{yield}$	c_u (psf)	ϕ_{cu} (deg)
Till	100 - 132	-	40 - 45	-	-	40
Peat	67 - 100	0 - 3,800	7-47	0.3	1,000	20

DV for the current evaluation are presented in Table 5-19. A discussion of the test data analysis and selected values are provided in Attachment C. In-laboratory material tests performed on samples collected during the 2014 investigation were used to validate the strength values for the glacial till and peat. Three remolded direct shear tests were performed on samples of glacial till, resulting in friction angles ranging from approximately 38 to 47 degrees, with a 33rd percentile value of 43 degrees; above the selected design value of 37 degrees for glacial till. Five laboratory CU triaxial compression tests were performed on undisturbed samples of peat collected during the 2014 geotechnical investigation. The undrained shear strength tests resulted in a 33rd percentile value of 0.27; above the selected design value of 0.23 for virgin and compressed peat. Drained strength values from the tests resulted in a drained cohesion of 637 psf and a drained friction angle of 30 degrees, indicating that the shear/normal function (drained friction angle design value of 27 degrees) for peat is an acceptable and conservative value.

Table 5-19 Native Soils Shear Strength Parameters

Material	Saturated Unit Weight, γ_{sat} (pcf)	Drained (ESSA)		Undrained (USSA)		
		c'	ϕ'	c_u	ϕ_{cu}	Yield Undrained Shear Strength Ratio, USSR
		psf	deg	psf	deg	
Till	135	-	36.5	-	36.5	-
Compressed Peat	85	Shear/Normal Function (1)		-	-	0.23
Virgin Peat	70					0.23

(1) Refer to Attachment C for Shear/Normal Function values, φ' = ~27°

5.5 Bedrock

The bedrock on site, evaluated along the alignment of the FTB Containment System, consists of granitic rock encountered at depths ranging from approximately 2 to 47 feet during the spring 2014 geotechnical investigation (Attachment F). Occasionally a zone of weathered bedrock was encountered above competent bedrock, ranging in thickness from one to nine feet. Rock cores were collected to confirm depth to bedrock and provide qualitative information used to validate appropriate shear strength values of the bedrock (Reference (21)).

5.5.1 Permeability of Bedrock

Packer tests were performed in five of the bedrock borehole locations at various depths across the site and at various elevations at each location, providing approximate bedrock permeability. The bedrock cores obtained during the investigation reported horizontal fractures, vertical fractures, and fractures ranging in slope from 45 to 65 degrees from the horizon. The goal of the packer tests were to perform repetitive tests that would yield reliable information on where and at what rate water flows through the rock to help evaluate a method for controlling subsurface seepage. Packer testing readings were performed by Barr personnel in accordance with guidelines provided in USBR 7310-89 (Reference (26)). Depending on test location, a single or double packer was used. All packer tests were performed at pressure increments of 15, 30, and 45 psi for 1-minute intervals. Observations of flow were made every minute until three consecutive, consistent readings were taken, representing steady-state flow. The pressure was then increased for three equal increments, followed by two decreasing pressures.

A summary of the packer test results is provided in Attachment F. The results are based on the value resulting from the first three pressure increments as these values are most likely to represent in-situ conditions. The prevalence of fractures often decreased with increasing core depth and as such the overall bedrock hydraulic conductivity will also likely decrease with depth. Therefore the seepage model uses two bedrock zones; an upper 10-foot zone of Fractured Bedrock with $K = 2.36 \times 10^{-5}$ ft/sec (7.2×10^{-4} cm/sec), and underlying Bedrock with $K = 6.3 \times 10^{-7}$ ft/sec (1.9×10^{-5} cm/sec). Per the site-specific geotechnical rock coring investigation and the data reported in Reference (21), the frequency of fractures in the bedrock is high in the upper 10-foot zone, with fracture frequency declining but fractures still present with greater depth. On this basis, and to achieve a conservative but not overly conservative seepage model calibration (modeled head higher than measured head), the upper 10-foot zone of bedrock was assigned the high hydraulic conductivity from packer tests and the underlying bedrock was assigned the geometric mean hydraulic conductivity from packer tests.

5.5.2 Shear Strength of Bedrock

Rock cores from the 2014 geotechnical evaluation provided qualitative information, including Rock Quality Designation (RQD) values and fracture characteristics. RQD, given as a percentage, is defined as the sum of the length of core pieces greater than 10 cm in length divided by the total length of the core run, multiplied by 100. The RQD values obtained during the evaluation indicate that bedrock is of poor to good quality at shallow depths, and is of good

to excellent quality below a depth of about 40 feet. Results from the geotechnical evaluation showed that fractures were most prevalent in the upper 5 to 10 feet of bedrock. For this reason a 10-foot fractured bedrock zone was modeled, with a unit weight of 140 pcf and drained friction angle of 45 degrees. The more competent bedrock below the more highly fractured zone was modeled as impenetrable. As part of the slip surface wedge method, the slope stability analyses also evaluated the fractured bedrock zone as "impenetrable" to evaluate the possible scenario that could result in a lower factor of safety (FOS) value where the failure surface is forced to truncate along the interface of the till and fractured bedrock. This methodology is discussed further in Section 6.3.1.

5.6 Buttress

Based on the understanding of likely construction materials (Area 5 waste rock), the buttress material was assumed to be similar to the rock starter dam: free-draining cohesionless rock or compacted soil.

5.6.1 Permeability of Buttress

Based on the permeability of the rock starter dam, a value of 1.52 cm/sec (5.0×10^{-2} ft/sec) was chosen for the design. At the time of borrow source selection for the buttress, the selected material should be confirmed to have a permeability equal to or greater than this design value.

5.6.2 Shear Strength of Buttress

Based on the gradation of the rock starter dam (Large Figure 6, Reference (4)), the buttress material was assumed to have a unit weight of 140 pcf and a friction angle of 40 degrees. At the time of borrow source selection for the buttress, the selected material should be confirmed to have a unit weight of at least 140 pcf and a minimum friction angle of 40 degrees.

5.7 Seismic Deformation Properties

Seismic deformation properties utilized in seismic models are summarized in Table 5-20. The shear modulus reduction functions were estimated in the computer program based on soil consistency, maximum depth, overconsolidation ratio (OCR), void ratio (e), PI, and at-rest earth pressure coefficient (k_o). The functions are included in Large Figure 7.

Table 5-20 Summary of Seismic Modeling Parameters

Material	Saturated Unit Weight, γ_{sat} (pcf)	Poisson's Ratio	Minimum Damping Ratio	Maximum Damping Ratio	k_o	Shear Modulus Reduction Function
Glacial Till	135	0.334	0.02	0.30	1	Low Plastic
Compressed Peat	85	0.334	0.02	0.25	0.45	Peat
Virgin Peat	70	0.4	0.02	0.25	0.45	Peat
Rock Dam	140	0.3	0.02	0.35	0.7	NP
LTVSMC Coarse Tailings	135	0.3	0.02	0.35	0.6	NP
LTVSMC Fine Tailings	125	0.334	0.02	0.30	0.45	NP
LTVSMC Slimes	120	0.4	0.02	0.26	0.45	Low Plastic
LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes	130	0.4	0.02	0.28	0.45	Low Plastic
LTVSMC Bulk Tailings	135	0.3	0.02	0.33	0.7	NP
Flotation Tailings	125	0.4	0.02	0.30	0.45	NP

NP = Non-Plastic

Shear modulus reduction functions were estimated in GeoStudio 2007, based on mean principle effective confining stress, cyclic shear strains, and PI. The relationship used in GeoStudio for estimating the shear modulus reduction ratios was developed by Ishibashi and Zhang in 1993 (Reference (27)). The input data used to aid in establishment of the shear modulus reduction ratios is presented in Table 5-21.

Table 5-21 Shear Modulus Reduction Function Data

Material	ko	Void Ratio	PI	OCR	Soil Consistency	Poisson's Ratio
LTVSMC Coarse Tailings	0.60	-	-	-	Medium Dense Sand	0.300
LTVSMC Fine Tailings	0.45	-	-	-	Loose Sand	0.334
LTVSMC Slimes	0.45	1.20	8	1	-	0.400
LTVSMC Bulk Tailings	0.70	-	-	-	Dense Sand	0.300
LTVSMC FT/slimes	0.45	-	-	-	Loose Sand	0.400
LTVSMC FT/slimes (Interior)		-	-	-		
Glacial Till	1.00	0.30	1	4	-	0.334
Compressed Peat	0.45	1.7	40	1	-	0.334

Material	ko	Void Ratio	PI	OCR	Soil Consistency	Poisson's Ratio
Virgin Peat	0.45	1.7	40	1	-	0.400
Rock Starter Dam	0.70	-	-	-	Dense Gravel	0.300
Flotation Tailings - 0.45 tsf	0.30	-	-	-	Loose Sand	0.400
Flotation Tailings - 1.35 tsf		-	-	-		
Flotation Tailings - 2.29 tsf		-	-	-		

5.8 Volumetric Water Content Functions

In addition to a saturated hydraulic conductivity, the volumetric water content and hydraulic conductivity functions were also input in seepage models within the GeoStudio program based on material gradation, Atterberg limits, and saturated water contents. These functions are presented in Large Figure 8 and Large Figure 9. Permeability and volumetric water content functions were estimated in GeoStudio 2012 for unsaturated flow modeling. The permeability function is estimated using the Van Genuchten and Fredlund and Xing methods and the volumetric water content functions are based largely on grain size, using a Modified Kovacs method (Reference (28)). The input data used in establishment of the unsaturated flow functions is presented in Table 5-22.

Table 5-22 Unsaturated Flow Functions Data

Material	Coefficient of Vertical Compressibility, M_v	Saturated WC	D_{10} (mm)	D_{60} (mm)	Liquid Limit (%)
	1/psf	ft^3/ft^3			
LTVSMC Coarse Tailings	8.84×10^{-7}	0.412	0.0500	0.700	0.0
LTVSMC Fine Tailings	4.80×10^{-7}	0.493	0.0045	0.030	25.0
LTVSMC Slimes	4.80×10^{-7}	0.500	0.0013	0.016	30.0
LTVSMC Bulk Tailings	7.43×10^{-7}	0.440	0.0300	0.250	5.0
LTVSMC FT/slimes	2.25×10^{-6}	0.496	0.0024	0.022	25.0
LTVSMC FT/slimes (Interior)					25.0
Glacial Till	4.49×10^{-6}	0.400	0.0300	0.700	18.0
Compressed Peat	9.60×10^{-6}	0.900	0.0150	0.200	100(1)
Virgin Peat	9.60×10^{-6}		0.0150	0.200	100(1)

Material	Coefficient of Vertical Compressibility, M_v	Saturated WC	D_{10} (mm)	D_{60} (mm)	Liquid Limit (%)
	1/psf	ft^3/ft^3			
Rock Starter Dam	4.80×10^{-7}	0.300	-	-	-
Flotation Tailings - 0.45 tsf	1.50×10^{-6}	0.400	0.0060	0.070	0
Flotation Tailings - 1.35 tsf					
Flotation Tailings - 2.29 tsf					
Fractured Bedrock	4.80×10^{-7}	0.200	na		
Bedrock	4.80×10^{-7}	0.200	na		

(1) Maximum value that can be applied as a SEEP/W input parameter

5.9 Effects of Stringers on Modeling Parameters

Within the LTVSMC tailings deposit there are stringers of alternate tailings types (i.e., intermittent and discontinuous zones of finer or coarser tailings of differing strength and/or permeability), as described in Section 3.2. The effects of stringers in the LTVSMC tailings is taken into account in the approach used for selection of modeling parameters, developed in consultation with Dr. Olson (Section 5.1 and Attachment C). The appropriate approach hinges on the extent, composition and continuity of stringers within the deposit as subsequently described. Several types of evidence support the conclusion that heterogeneity within the deposits is localized, so widespread and continuous stringers of the weakest material (slimes) are unlikely and isotropic parameters are appropriate. Furthermore, introducing anisotropy in liquefied shear strengths in slope stability analysis is not standard practice.

Experimental evidence of tailings deposition patterns is provided by a physical model study of tailing deposition by SAFL (Attachment B of Reference (1)). This study used Flotation Tailings, but it is possible that the LTVSMC tailings deposited in a similar manner. Results show complex heterogeneity within the tailings deposits (i.e., fluvial braided channelized regime, multiple channels, and rapid channel migration). The SAFL study documented that grain size range generally decreased toward the center of the pool, as would be expected, but noted that "the larger particles interacted with the smaller particles strongly, and both are deposited together" (page 57 of Attachment B of Reference (1)). Results of this study suggest that the areal extent of stringers is likely limited, and that even the finer-grained component of the tailings do not deposit without the presence of higher strength materials.

Field data from five CPT soundings along Cross-Section F indicate that the in-situ materials would be expected to be stronger than their DV selected using the method outlined in Section 5.1. These results reflect the presence of stringers of coarser material within LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes, which would help to limit the liquefied response and contribute to overall strength. The stringers are generally more dilative than the finer tailings. The presence of

stringers, if any are continuous, would provide drainage paths through the finer tailings. Introducing stringers in the strength model without including the effect on drainage would not be appropriate.

The method used to select DV discounts the contribution of these coarser stringers and hence slope stability models utilizing the recommended $USSR_{liq}$ values are likely to be conservative. See Attachment C for additional details on how material strength parameters are selected, including consideration of the effects of stringers.

5.10 Summary of Material Shear Strength Parameters

The selected drained and undrained strength inputs for the various materials used in the FTB design are summarized in Table 5-23. The strength values were reviewed by Dr. Olson (whose comments are provided in Attachment M) and were presented in Geotechnical Workshops. A full summary of strength, seepage, and unit weights used for modeling is provided in Large Table 1.

Table 5-23 Summary of Shear Strength Parameters

Material	Shear Strength Parameters		
	Drained (ESSA)	Undrained (USSA)	Liquefied
LTVSMC Coarse Tailings	$\phi' = 38.5^o$		-
LTVSMC Fine Tailings	$\phi' = 33.0^o$	$USSR_{yield} = 0.25$	-
LTVSMC Slimes	$\phi' = 33.0^o$	$USSR_{yield} = 0.22$	-
LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes	$\phi' = 33.0^o$	$USSR_{yield} = 0.24$	$USSR_{liq} = 0.10$
LTVSMC Bulk Tailings	$\phi' = 38.5^o$		-
Flotation Tailings	$\phi' = 33.0^o$	$USSR_{yield} = 0.26$	$USSR_{liq} = 0.12$
Peat	$\phi' = 27.0^o$	$USSR_{yield} = 0.23$	-
Glacial Till	$\phi' = 36.5^o$		-
Rock Starter Dam	$\phi' = 40^o$		-
Fractured Bedrock	$\phi' = 45^o$		-
Bedrock	Impenetrable		

6.0 Engineering Models to Assess Dam Safety

Dam safety analysis and design requirements are based on Minnesota Rules (summarized in Section 2.0) and on the NorthMet Geotechnical Modeling Work Plan (Attachment A). The Work Plan requires analysis of Cross-Section F, located on the north side of Cell 2E, Cross-Section G, located on the northeast side of Cell 2E, and Cross-Section N, a section through the south perimeter dam of Cell 1E. Cross-Section F, Cross-Section G, and Cross-Section N analysis methods and outcomes are reported in Sections 6.0, and 7.0, respectively.

All three cross-sections were analyzed in a sequential manner consisting of development of the dam cross-section stratigraphy for analysis, application of the material strength and permeability characteristics, modeling of seepage conditions at the dam cross-section, followed by performance of stability analyses.

6.1 Geotechnical Modeling Work Plan

The stability analyses are consistent with the requirements of Version 3 of the NorthMet Geotechnical Modeling Work Plan (Attachment A) required by the DNR Division of Ecological and Water Resources, Dam Safety Unit. The following steps were used to develop the FTB design:

1. Gather existing conditions data (i.e., basin topography, stratigraphy, soil and tailings strength and hydraulic characteristics, and other data as needed to support geotechnical modeling and FTB design).

2. Develop FTB slope cross-sections (i.e., geometry and stratigraphy for existing and planned conditions) for seepage and stability modeling.

3. Develop seepage and stability models of the FTB using Geo-Slope International, Inc. modeling software.

4. Using available geotechnical data, establish design data for use in ESSA and USSA. Also utilize established criteria (Olson and Stark – 2003 “Yield Strength Ratio and Liquefaction Analysis Slopes and Embankments” as updated by Olson 2009) to determine which materials behave in a contractive manner and could transition from non-liquefied strengths to liquefied (steady state) strengths.

5. Utilize design data to design slopes to achieve the following:

    a. ESSA – FOS > 1.5 for effective shear strength conditions using drained parameters for:

        i. Existing conditions

        ii. Normal operating conditions at incremental lifts and ultimate height

b. Undrained Strength Stability Analysis ($USSA_{yield}$) – FOS > 1.3 for yield undrained shear strength conditions for non-statically liquefiable soils (i.e., end of construction case per dam raise) for:

   i. Normal operating conditions at incremental lifts and ultimate height

   ii. Veneer stability computing infinite slope FOS

c. Liquefaction Triggering and Post-Liquefaction Analysis ($USSA_{liq}$) – FOS > 1.1 for post-triggering slope stability considering liquefied shear strengths (computed from design liquefied strength ratios) applied to segments of materials in the triggering stability analysis with $FOS_{triggering}$ < 1.1; design drained strengths applied to materials above the capillary zone; and yield undrained shear strength (computed from design yield strength ratios) for all other materials.

   i. From the February 2013 workshop, analyze the following credible triggering scenarios:

      1. Baseline – Lift 8

      2. Elevated Phreatic Surface (i.e., drain ineffective) – Lift 8

      3. High Construction Rate of Loading – Lift 1

      4. Local Erosion/Scour of Slope (pipe break) – Lift 8

      5. Elevated Phreatic Surface (drain ineffective) w/High Pond – Lift 1

      6. Long-Term Case (20, 200, and 2,000 years after closure)

d. Lift 8 Baseline Conditions assuming Unknown Triggering Mechanism – FOS ≥ 1.1 for post-triggering slope stability applying design liquefied shear strengths to all LTVSMC fine tailings and slimes and all Flotation Tailings below top of capillary zone.

e. Seismic Liquefaction (i.e., induced by seismic event)

   i. Perform a screening analysis for triggering of liquefaction based on Boulanger and Idriss (Reference (12)). If the FOS against triggering is greater than or equal to 1.2 for a seismic event with a 2,475-year return period, no additional analyses are required.

   ii. If the FOS against triggering is less than 1.2 for a seismic event with a 2,475-year return period, perform further seismic triggering analyses as described in the Work Plan.

6. Report final design and operating requirements necessary to maintain required slope stability safety factors and deformation requirements for the critical slope cross-section.

6.2 Seepage Analysis

The main objective of the seepage analysis is to develop a comprehensive understanding of the groundwater conditions within the Tailings Basin and FTB and assess how the groundwater conditions relate to stability of the basin dams. Groundwater porewater pressure plays a major role in the stability and construction sequence of the dam. A special emphasis was placed on calibrating the seepage model (LTVSMC end-of-operations conditions) and verifying 2014 basin condition seepage model results to observed field conditions. Subsequently, simulations were made to estimate groundwater conditions for dam elevations representing later stages of FTB development.

The seepage simulations presented in this Data Package modeled groundwater flow under steady-state conditions. The seepage analysis was conducted using SEEP/W, part of the GeoStudio 2012 Version 8.30 software package, a computer modeling program developed by GEO-SLOPE International Ltd. SEEP/W uses the finite-element analysis technique to model the water movement and porewater pressure distribution within porous materials such as tailings. This method was chosen because comprehensive formulation allows evaluation of highly complex seepage problems. It can analyze saturated and unsaturated flow, steady-state and transient conditions, and a variety of boundary conditions.

SEEP/W generates an output file containing the calculated pressure head at all nodes in the finite element mesh. Product integration of GEO-SLOPE programs allows stability or deformation models to incorporate the SEEP/W results into the slope stability program for computation of effective stresses. Therefore, it allows a more realistic evaluation of the seepage impact on future stability than simply adding a phreatic surface. SEEP/W results were used to evaluate stability under steady-state conditions of the dams.

The porewater pressures at each node of the finite element mesh were computed in SEEP/W based on the section geometry and the permeabilities assigned to each region. The permeabilities used in these analyses are presented in Large Table 1. As noted in Section 5.0, unsaturated material properties were assigned for seepage modeling, though suction was not taken into account during stability modeling.

6.2.1 Hydraulic Boundary Conditions

Plant Site water balance modeling results (Section 6.0 of Reference (3)) were used to define conditions in the tailings basin seepage models. In brief, hydrologic models were utilized to estimate infiltration due to precipitation and due to placement of tailings in the FTB.

- Infiltration through dams and beaches due to precipitation was computed as the remainder of Precipitation minus Evapotranspiration and Runoff. The hydrologic model calculates evapotranspiration and runoff based on soil moisture characteristics and

hydraulic conductivity parameters of the Tailings Basin dams and beaches, including consideration of bentonite amendments. Calculations assume average annual precipitation at the Plant Site and no surface storage of precipitation in depressions.

- Infiltration on beaches due to spigotting of tailings was computed based on the overall length of dam along which tailings discharge will occur, the estimated discharge time at each spigot location, the length of beach between discharge point and FTB Pond, and the active flow area from end of spigot to edge of pond.

The total infiltration was then added as a unit flux to the surface of the seepage model along the different regions (bentonite-amended dam soils, non-bentonite-amended dam soils, and beach infiltration) as the respective average annual values in feet per second. The unit flux conditions are also set as potential seepage faces.

The finite element mesh of the seepage model was created to conform as closely as possible to the above conditions. An example of Cross-Section F is shown in Large Figure 10. These same boundary conditions were applied to Cross-Section G and Cross-Section N. Triangular iso-parametric elements were used to build the mesh in accordance with the geometry of the dam. Boundary conditions were defined by setting the following:

- A unit flux of 8 inches per year (2.0×10^{-8} ft/s) across the existing dam and the LTVSMC dams and the beach to represent infiltration from precipitation. Infiltration values from precipitation were based on rates reported in Reference (3).

- A unit flux of 6.0 inches per year (1.59×10^{-8} ft/s) across the proposed FTB dams exterior slopes, which will be amended with bentonite to reduce infiltration as each lift is constructed, to represent infiltration from precipitation. Infiltration values from precipitation were based on rates reported in Reference (3).

- A unit flux of 6.5 inches per year (1.72×10^{-8} ft/s) below the FTB Pond in closure. The bottom of the FTB Pond will be amended with bentonite during reclamation to reduce infiltration long-term. Infiltration values through the bentonite amended pond bottom were based on rates reported in Reference (3).

- A unit flux of 115 inches per year (3.04×10^{-7} ft/s) was applied to the Flotation Tailings to represent infiltration due to precipitation plus hydraulic deposition of the tailings. Infiltration values from precipitation and spigotting were based on rates reported in Reference (3).

- The wetlands at the toe of the dam were modeled with groundwater at the ground surface because groundwater is relatively shallow in this area.

- The tailings basin pond was modeled with its outermost edge located 625 feet beyond the inside crest of the dam (i.e., beach length). This is the same pond edge location used in the water balance and geochemical analyses. The pond is modeled as a constant total

head. This total head elevation changes for each lift. For Lift 8, the total head boundary condition was 1722.8 feet AMSL.

- For the probable maximum precipitation (PMP) event at Lift 8, the pond was modeled at 1726.8 feet AMSL, or a bounce of 4 feet, which temporarily shrinks the beach length to approximately 150 feet. A discussion of the total head value for the PMP can be found in Reference (1).

- Seepage modeling assumes the bedrock acts as a no-flow boundary.

6.2.2 Groundwater Modeling

A three-dimensional groundwater model of the Tailings Basin area was developed using MODFLOW, which is reported in the NorthMet Project Water Modeling Package Volume 2 (Reference (3)). The groundwater model utilized similar data as the geotechnical seepage model and it was calibrated to 2002-2013 conditions. Seepage parameters determined from the MODFLOW calibration were considered during development of geotechnical modeling parameters. However, the groundwater model encompasses a relatively large area in plan view, including large areas outside the Tailings Basin footprint, and is three-dimensional and, as such, the groundwater model is inclusive of and is calibrated to a greater number of piezometers than is possible for the two-dimensional SEEP/W models. The geotechnical seepage modeling focuses on more discrete layers in a two-dimensional section, which must be calibrated to the piezometers or water level data located very near and/or intersected by the SEEP/W models. Because the geotechnical seepage model and the groundwater model were designed to examine different aspects of seepage and groundwater flow, there are differences in the seepage input parameters between the two models. The differences in inputs and setup reflect the different goals of the modeling – overall water balance and groundwater flow for MODFLOW versus cross-section specific piezometric head and seepage for the SEEP/W models.

6.3 Stability Analysis and FTB Design

The slope stability of the existing and proposed FTB dams was analyzed for three strength conditions – the drained condition (through an ESSA), the yield undrained condition (through USSA) and, for specified scenarios, post-liquefaction undrained conditions. Schematics of Cross-Section F (which also apply to Cross-Section G and Cross-Section N) were created, identifying the different materials used in the various stability models, and are presented as Large Figure 11 for ESSA conditions, Large Figure 12 for USSA conditions, and Large Figure 13 for liquefied conditions.

The drained condition generally applies to long-term, steady-state hydraulic conditions. Under the drained condition no excess porewater pressure exists in the tailings basin dams and, in many scenarios and material types, the drained condition represents the most common and stable condition. The undrained condition typically applies to short-term conditions, for example during or immediately after dam construction (if such construction occurs rapidly) or immediately after

filling of the lift with tailings if filling occurs rapidly, representing a case where excess porewater pressure produced in response to the rapid loading has not had time to dissipate. As the dams will be constructed and tailings will be placed slowly and in thin layers, the undrained condition may but will not always apply to the period immediately after filling a lift. The post-triggering case refers to post-yield or steady-state strength conditions for contractive materials when loading occurs in an undrained manner, shearing the material beyond its yield strength to its steady-state strength (Reference (29)).

Each strength condition has unique strength parameters (Attachment C) and specific minimum required slope stability factors of safety, as detailed in the Work Plan (Attachment A). The FTB was designed to achieve the required slope stability safety factors:

- for ESSA modeled using drained strengths – a minimum FOS of 1.5
- for USSA modeled using yield undrained strengths – a minimum FOS of 1.3
- for USSA modeled using fully liquefied strengths or where liquefied strengths are applied to zones where liquefaction is triggered – a minimum FOS of 1.1

The proposed FTB design was developed iteratively, by modeling various combinations of slope angles, lift heights, bench widths, and buttress zones to determine potential configurations that achieve at least the minimum required factors of safety. From these potential configurations, the proposed FTB design was selected as the configuration that best meets Project objectives.

6.3.1 Stability Analysis Method

FTB stability analyses modeled the north perimeter dam of FTB Cell 2E (Cross-Section F and Cross-Section G) and south perimeter dam of FTB Cell 1E (Cross-Section N) using a limit equilibrium approach. In the limit equilibrium approach, the soil is assumed to be at the state of limiting equilibrium and a FOS is computed. Spencer's method was used to calculate the FOS. This method is considered an adequate limit equilibrium method because it provides a FOS based on both force and moment equilibrium, while other methods only take into account force or moment.

The use of analysis criteria in stability modeling is required to achieve realistic factors of safety. The following criteria were applied to these stability analyses:

- A minimum failure surface thickness (depth) of 20 feet. This precludes low FOS results due to shallow surface slumping, which does not place the dam at risk and can be controlled by operation and maintenance procedures. This primarily affects the existing slopes of coarse tailings where acceptable performance with regard to stability has been observed historically.
- For the worst-case model, the contractive saturated (soils below the top of the capillary zone, which is taken as the zone starting 10 feet above the phreatic surface) LTVSMC

fine tailings/slimes and the Flotation Tailings are assumed to liquefy, whether or not liquefaction is shown to trigger in these materials. This is considered a conservative approach to the stability modeling.

SLOPE/W, part of the GeoStudio 2012 Version 8.30 software package, uses limit equilibrium theory to compute the FOS of earth and rock slopes. It is capable of applying a variety of methods to compute the FOS of a slope while analyzing complex geometry, stratigraphy, and loading conditions. The slope stability analyses were conducted using both the grid and radius and entry and exit slip surface search method in SLOPE/W. Both methods will result in a similar FOS value, although the search approach is unique for each with the advantage of the entry and exit method providing a way to visually show the extents and/or range of trial slip surfaces. In the grid and radius technique, the grid of the center of slip circles (or center of blocks) and radii (or ends of blocks) are established by the user, and the computer program then searches for the circle or block yielding the lowest FOS. The entry and exit search method allows the location of the trial slip surfaces along the ground surface to be chosen manually with a selected number of entry and exit points. This method was used to search for location-specific failure surfaces; for example, slip surfaces located in just the buttress, and slip surfaces above the extents of the buttress.

In SLOPE/W, the critical failure surface can be circular, pseudo-wedge (wedge), or user-specified. To account for possible wedge failures, the native materials below the FTB (which includes fractured bedrock; fractured bedrock and till; and fractured bedrock, till, and peat) were evaluated as possibly impenetrable (or significantly stronger than the overlying tailings). Applying impenetrable strengths to each of these layers forces the circular failure surface to truncate along these layers and create a wedge failure, forcing the program to identify failures that progress along possible weak soil layers that might not otherwise have been evaluated. If the stability result with the lowest factor of safety occurred when impenetrable properties were applied to the peat layer, then a weaker fine tailings / slimes material overlying the peat was determined to be the critical path for that analysis. This analysis approach identifies failures that may progress along relatively weak soil layers.

For the analyses performed in this study, the critical circular and pseudo-wedge slip surfaces were further evaluated using the optimization technique to confirm that the lowest FOS was identified. This uses the solution of the circular and pseudo-wedge slip surface and iteratively modifies the surface (for circular, usually idealized into multiple linear segments; hence a non-circular surface) to seek out the surface with the lowest FOS value. Optimization can sometimes generate unrealistic or kinematically inadmissible failure surfaces; therefore, engineering judgment is used to determine whether the optimized slip surface is realistic and, in cases where it is deemed unreasonable, the result for the circular slip surface (without optimization) is reported (Reference (30)). Criteria for slip surface acceptance evaluated the base angle of each slice along the slip surface. To be kinematically acceptable, for a given soil layer the base angle of each slip surface slice, from the entry slice or exit slice within the soil layer to the slip surface low point, must be smaller than the base angle of the preceding slice. Base angle should monotonically decrease as a function of distance when the obtained failure surface is admissible.

If there are changes in base angle direction when the slip surface is within the same material of the slope, then the surface is not valid and the movement cannot be realized at the limiting state (Reference (31)).

SLOPE/W reports the critical failure surface as the slip surface with the lowest computed FOS. At times, and despite the minimum depth criterion applied to the slip surface discussed above, this critical failure surface may relate only to a relatively small or shallow slip in the buttress material. If SLOPE/W reported a slip surface only through the buttress material as the critical failure, this value was reported in a note on the results tables in Section 7.3. However, to provide a more global (and therefore truly more critical) outcome, the slip surface corresponding to a failure through the dam materials was also presented and is considered more representative of the overall stability of the FTB.

As previously mentioned, the porewater pressures produced by SEEP/W during seepage analysis are incorporated into SLOPE/W to compute effective stress, resulting in a more accurate calculation of FOS than traditional limit equilibrium software, which uses a phreatic line to simulate groundwater. As a result, this approach incorporates the calculation of seepage forces when computing the FOS.

The critical slip surface method of analysis for liquefaction triggering scenarios, along with a description of the various scenarios evaluated, are presented in Section 6.4. Liquefaction triggering was analyzed per the requirements of the Geotechnical Modeling Work Plan and results are reported in Section 7.3.4.

6.3.2 FTB Design

The primary objective of the FTB geotechnical design is to provide safe permanent storage of Flotation Tailings with efficient and effective recovery of process water for reuse in the Beneficiation Plant. In addition, the geotechnical design must provide adequate storage for the volume of tailings produced over the proposed 20-year operating life of the project, accommodate the planned wet cover system, and meet project regulatory requirements (Section 2.0).

The proposed FTB incorporates construction of new dams over the existing LTVSMC Cells 1E and 2E. The dams will be constructed using routine earthwork techniques consisting of borrowing nearby LTVSMC coarse tailings (with incidental inclusion of fine tailings and slimes), and placing the tailings in lifts with compaction to specified density to yield the desired dam lift height, geometry and strength.

The proposed FTB design was developed by iteratively modeling various combinations of slope angles, lift heights, bench widths, and buttresses to determine potential configurations that achieve at least the minimum required factors of safety. The design is flexible and can be updated during construction or retrofitted. Stability can be modified by: (1) adding or reducing buttressing to modify resisting force at the toe of the FTB; (2) including free-draining underdrain layers or horizontal drains to reduce the phreatic surface in the FTB; (3) adjusting the overall

slope angle to modify driving force at the toe; or (4) some combination of the preceding items. As part of design these items were amended, individually and together, until slope geometry producing adequate factors of safety was achieved. Based on requirements for the site, the most appropriate configuration for the Project was determined from these preliminary configurations for Cross-Sections F, G, and N.

To achieve the stability required for the liquefied undrained condition along Cross-Section F, an underdrain, a toe-of-dam buttress, and a mid-slope setback were incorporated into the preliminary design. Cross-Section G required a toe-of-dam buttress, and a mid-slope setback. For Cross-Section F and G, a conservative assumption was made not to account for the active groundwater collection system along the toe of Cell 2E. In the event of a power outage (no pumping) and a triggering event, the dam will be stable. The effect of the FTB Seepage Containment System is discussed in Section 6.8 and results are presented in Attachment G. At Cross-Section N a toe-of-dam buttress was the only feature incorporated into the preliminary design. The slope designs are intended to provide options for additional mitigation features, were they to become necessary due to changes in operations and/or materials, and can be updated during initial construction and/or subsequently retrofitted (buttress addition, drainage feature addition, slope geometry modifications) if necessary. Current estimates of buttress material quantity are reported in Reference (1).

An ultimate dam crest elevation of 1732 feet AMSL was selected on the basis of tailings storage capacity requirements. Construction assumes eight lifts, the first seven being 20 feet high with the final lift 10 feet in height for a total height of 150 feet. Each lift has exterior slopes of 4.5H:1V. The exterior face of each lift will be amended with bentonite to reduce infiltration. There is a 60-foot bench between lifts and there is a 625-foot beach extending from the interior crest of dam to the edge of the FTB Pond.

6.3.2.1 Cross-Section F Underdrain

To achieve the required stability along Cross-Section F, an underdrain, comprised of higher permeability material, will be placed between the existing LTVSMC tailings and the first lift of the FTB dam. Between Lifts 4 and 5 there is a 200-foot offset (yielding a 260-foot total bench) to position the driving force from the upper lifts farther upstream from the existing toe. The downstream toe is reinforced by the addition of a buttress to crest elevation of approximately 1574 to 1575 feet AMSL. This design results in an overall dam slope of approximately 8 degrees (7H:1V) and an FTB dam slope of approximately 6.6 degrees (8.6H:1V). The proposed dam geometry with dimensions is presented in Large Figure 14.

The underdrain will provide a path for porewater pressure release if the phreatic surface is higher than expected. The presence of the coarse underdrain also provides additional stability by creating a stronger layer farther inside the basin than would normally be created by hydraulic deposition of tailings.

The performance of the underdrain (in this case a high permeability foundation layer or mat below the Flotation Tailings – Large Figure 14) was evaluated through modeling. The length and thickness of the mat were varied to determine how much coarse material will be required to sufficiently lower the phreatic surface. The material was modeled both as gravel, with a unit weight of 140 pcf and a friction angle of 40 degrees, and as LTVSMC coarse tailings. The LTVSMC coarse tailings and the coarser bulk tailings blend both provide acceptable filtration for the Flotation Tailings, as discussed in Section 5.3.4. The LTVSMC coarse and bulk tailings were tested at unit weights intended to simulate in-situ density (Sections 5.2.2.1 and 5.2.2.5) and thereby long-term drain performance. Both the coarse and bulk tailings are permeable enough to significantly lower the phreatic surface and either material could be used, provided adequate filtration is included. Further analysis and design of the underdrain will be performed as part of final design.

Results show the underdrain will need to be 250 feet long (farther upstream of the initial raise of the FTB, over the Tailings Basin, and measured perpendicular to the axis of the slope) and 4 feet thick to adequately maintain a lowered phreatic surface within the new dam. As part of monitoring instrument installation new data may be collected and additional modeling may be conducted to ascertain if this underdrain needs to be continuous along the length of the dam (as currently assumed), or if narrow segments of underdrain material will be just as effective. It will be necessary to construct the underdrain at the start of operations in places where the layer is needed but does not already exist via the presence of LTVSMC coarse tailings at the surface of the Tailings Basin. Test trenching and/or auger borings will be performed prior to bidding for construction and will be used to confirm underdrain requirements. If underdrain design is modified then the underdrain location and configuration will be updated with future drawing updates (Reference (1)) to provide details of the design modification.

Modeling also evaluated the effect of the underdrain on seepage flow in the dam. In the seepage and stability modeling, the seepage in the underdrain flows into the LTVSMC coarse tailings shell in the existing dam, then out through the toe of the dam. Cell 2W serves as an analog to demonstrate that seepage-induced internal erosion with incremental pond raises will not be problematic. This potential failure was evaluated and is discussed in Section 7.2.3.1. Further, the toe of the Cell 2E dam is proposed to be embedded in a rock buttress, providing further resistance to erosion. Any seepage due to underdrain flow could be collected with perforated piping and conveyed back into the basin or downslope (Section 6.6 of Reference (32)) to prevent saturation of the coarse tailings shell. However, current modeling does not indicate such seepage is likely, so collection features are not incorporated into this design.

6.3.2.2 Buttress Design

The proposed buttress at the toe of the existing dam for Cells 1E and 2E was designed to provide a counterweight to the driving forces modeled in worst-case liquefaction scenarios (Section 7.3.6). The thickness and width of the buttress were altered to assess whether a reasonable amount of rock could be used to sufficiently increase the FOS. The material was modeled as gravel with a unit weight of 140 pcf and a friction angle of 40 degrees. Buttress

material will likely consist of available waste rock from a nearby stockpile. The modeled buttress at Cross-Section F has an approximate top elevation of 1574 to 1575 feet AMSL. Due to a higher ground surface elevation, at Cross-Section G the buttress has an approximate top elevation of 1559 feet AMSL. Each buttress has an approximate width (dimension perpendicular to the face of the dam) of 215 feet.

The model also assumes that any peat that exists at the toe of the north dam of Cell 2E below the proposed location of the buttress will be removed prior to construction, allowing the buttress to key into the stronger underlying glacial till. This also helps the buttress essentially act as a large toe drain, relieving pressures within the downstream slope of the embankment.

The blanket buttress at the toe of the existing dam for Cross-Section N has a proposed top elevation of 1665 feet and an approximate length of 390 feet. Although called a buttress at Cross-Section N, construction here will consist of filling an existing low area rather than constructing a zone of increased embankment thickness.

Future analysis incorporating new field data collected during pre-construction investigations may include optimization of the size of the underdrain relative to the size of the buttress or assess the impact of varying the underdrain length, size, and spacing (continuous or strips). A material source will be identified, including performing laboratory testing to verify that the material properties are consistent with assumptions made to set modeling parameters. Once the source is finalized, a filter analysis will be performed to determine if a filter layer or layers are needed between the buttress and the embankment dam. However, review of current modeling outcomes which did not include filter layers between dam and buttress material indicate low exist gradients at the toe of slope and, provided appropriate gradation of the buttress material is achieved, the need for stand-alone filter layers or toe drains is not anticipated.

6.3.2.3 Mid-slope Set-back

For Cross-Section F and Cross-Section G, a bench of 260 feet was designed between Lift 4 and Lift 5; in effect, the 60-foot bench plus a 200-foot offset. This mid-slope setback flattens the overall slope angle and pushes the driving forces due to the higher lifts farther from the toe of the Tailings Basin. The setback bench surface between Lifts 4 and 5 was modeled as covered with LTVSMC bulk tailings. This layer provides a construction base for Lift 5, while also supplying additional shear strength along the ground surface to help prevent shear surfaces from daylighting through the setback. This mid-slope setback also provides flexibility for potential future modifications, if needed, such as room to construct an additional upper buttress overlying the mid-slope setback to prevent failures from daylighting through the setback as the dam reaches its ultimate height, should the strength and permeability properties of the operational Flotation Tailings differ from the pilot plant sample. While adding mass to a slope may induce a decrease in slope stability safety factor for some failure surfaces, those safety factors remain at acceptable levels while safety factors for other failure surfaces simultaneously increase. If future modifications are required over the mid-slope setback, the bentonite-amended layer will be removed and then reconstructed once primary slope construction is complete.

6.3.3 Veneer Stability

Stability of the bentonite-amended layer of soil along the slope of each lift was evaluated with an infinite slope stability analysis. This type of analysis applies when failures are expected to be very shallow and parallel to the slope and is often used when assessing stability over a liner or when a weak plane (i.e., the bentonite-amended tailings layer) exists parallel to the slope which could promote sloughing. The evaluation was performed with and without seepage along the slope (Reference (18)).

The infinite slope stability FOS without seepage is determined as:

$$FOS = \frac{c'}{\gamma H cos^2 \beta tan\beta} + \frac{tan\phi'}{tan\beta}$$ **Equation 6-1**

Where:

γ = moist unit weight, pcf
H = slope height, feet
β = slope angle, degrees
ϕ' = drained friction angle, degrees
c' = drained cohesion, psf

The infinite slope stability FOS with seepage, where groundwater level is assumed to coincide with the ground surface, is determined as:

$$FOS = \frac{c'}{\gamma_{sat} H \cos^2\beta \tan\beta} + \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma_{sat}} \frac{\tan\phi'}{\tan\beta}$$ **Equation 6-2**

Where:

γ' = buoyant unit weight, pcf
γ_{sat} = saturated unit weight, pcf

Modeling used a 20-foot slope height and 12.5-degree slope angle. A literature review was performed to estimate the shear strength of bentonite-amended sandy soils (References (33), Reference (34), Reference (35), and Reference (36)). Based on 3% bentonite addition, the effective friction angle was found via the literature search to range from 36 to 47 degrees with drained cohesion ranging from 130 to 430 psf. Average moist unit weight was 132 pcf and average saturated unit weight was 137 pcf. Samples of flotation tailings mixed with 3% bentonite were subsequently tested, with a resulting effective friction angle of 40 degrees and effective cohesion of 40 to 80 psf, and an undrained friction angle ranging from 29 to 33 degrees and undrained cohesion ranging from 400 to 1,200 psf. Test results are provided in Attachment O. Unit weights of the bentonite-amended flotation tailings were somewhat higher than for the sandy soils reported in the literature. On the basis of reported literature values and project-

specific test data, an effective friction angle of 30 degrees was used in the infinite slope analysis with drained cohesion of 100 psf. The cohesion value of 100 psf is of the same order of magnitude as the effective cohesion from tests in the lab, but less than the undrained cohesion value found from testing of the compacted soil-bentonite mixes. This affords the opportunity to place and compact the tailings-bentonite mix at a density required to achieve the desired hydraulic conductivity, while easily achieving the modeled cohesion. Vegetation root penetration and reinforcement is assumed to be insignificant. With no seepage, the infinite slope stability safety factor is 2.78. Assuming seepage occurs; the FOS is reduced to 1.59. As noted, a minimum FOS of 1.5 is recommended for design of drained conditions.

The bentonite-amended soil layer will be located below a vegetated soil surface for which erosion is anticipated to be no less and no greater than for other vegetated slopes at the facility. The bentonite-amended zone will be located approximately 3 feet below the surface; below the primary root zone of the vegetated surface. Deep rooted plants are not proposed for use in reclamation of the flotation tailings basin dams but may be beneficial to minimization of erosion potential on dam side slopes. Therefore, on the exterior face of the dams the bentonite-amended zone may be located deeper if necessary to avoid the root zone of the specific vegetation types anticipated to be utilized and to self-establish on site. Placement of the bentonite-amended zone at greater depth would also reduce the potential for freeze-thaw impacts on the bentonite-amended layer. Preliminary geometry of the bentonite amended cover is provided on Drawing FTB-024 of the Flotation Tailings Basin Permit Application Support Drawings contained in Attachment A of Reference (1). Final geometry will be adjusted once final vegetation types and corresponding root zone depths are considered. This type of bentonite layer is atypical in a tailings basin dam and a limited data search has not identified articles describing its use at other facilities. However, clay barrier layers have very frequently been used for various landfill cover systems, worldwide for many dozens of years, as a means of reducing rainwater infiltration.

As with all slopes at the facility, those that include the bentonite-amended tailings layer will require periodic inspection (Reference (1)) to identify any areas where erosion has occurred, thereby requiring repair. If erosion does occur, the eroded area will require regrading and revegetation. The frequency of any required erosion repair will likely diminish over time as the vegetative cover layer becomes well established. The cohesion provided by addition of the bentonite to the bentonite-amended soil layer should be beneficial in limiting the depth of erosion if it does occur, such that overall stability due to surface erosion will not affect overall slope stability.

6.4 Liquefaction Triggering Analyses

Liquefaction can potentially be triggered statically or seismically. Static versus seismic liquefaction represent very different scenarios from a stability standpoint. A static triggering event (for example, creating excess porewater pressures by constructing too quickly or due to erosion locally causing a steeper slope angle) is likely to be limited to a small area, impacting soils only around the event. A seismic triggering event (earthquake) occurs globally and instantly impacts all soils. Global static liquefaction could also be induced by high porewater pressures

associated with a large storm event or if the entire slope was unintentionally steepened during construction.

The potential for LTVSMC fine tailings and slimes and the Flotation Tailings to liquefy in response to triggering events is due to the fact that some of these materials are hydraulically deposited and come to equilibrium under very loose to loose conditions. This very loose to loose condition can result in contractive behavior during undrained shearing. Figure 6-1 illustrates the behavior of saturated, contractive tailings during undrained loading. The yield strength, $s_{u(yield)}$, is defined as the peak strength available during undrained loading (Reference (24)). Steady-state (liquefied) undrained strength can be triggered by either static or dynamic loads, by additional strain, or under static shear stress that is larger than the liquefied shear strength, $s_{u(liq)}$.



Figure 6-1 Undrained Response of Saturated Contractive Sandy Soil

Point A or Point A' on Figure 6-1 represents the prevailing stress and strain conditions in a soil element (such as the tailings). The static shear stress on the soil element at Point A or Point A' is greater than the soil's liquefied strength (Point C). The conditions that lead to the stress state represented by Point A or Point A' could have been caused by drained, partially drained, or completely undrained loading conditions during dam construction.

Stress path A-B-C considers the change in stress and strain of an element of soil within a saturated layer underlying a dam during construction which triggers static liquefaction. During placement of the next dam lift or other stress inducing loading, the stress and strain conditions in the soil element moves from Point A to Point B. This step assumes that the drainage boundaries and permeability of that element of soil result in a temporary undrained condition in the element. Point B is located on the yield strength envelope; therefore it represents the maximum shear resistance that the soil element can mobilize under undrained monotonic loading conditions. When the shear stress in the soil element exceeds Point B (the yield shear strength), the structure of the soil yields and collapses, and liquefaction is triggered. The element then moves from Point B to Point C, the liquefied strength. Note that the liquefied strength is low but is not zero.

Stress-strain and stress path A-D-C again considers the change in stress and strain of a soil element starting at Point A in Figure 6-1. Referring to this stress path, in a deformation-induced (undrained creep) failure, the static shear strain increases due to dam construction under undrained conditions, causing a drop in effective stress, shown by the element moving horizontally from Point A to Point D. This stress path also represents a constant shear stress (or constant-*q*) path that develops as a result of a rising water table. While this stress path can be drained as the effective stress approaches the yield strength envelope, as noted by Sasitharan et al. (Reference (37)), who first thoroughly documented this stress path, there is a slight increase in porewater pressure immediately prior to yield (or collapse). This suggests that the small load increment (or decrement) immediately before collapse was partially drained or undrained. Furthermore, Reference (37) indicated that the collapse process was essentially undrained. At Point D, which is located on the yield strength envelope, liquefaction is triggered and the soil element moves from Point D to Point C, the liquefied shear strength.

For seismically induced flow failures, consider a soil element with stress and strain conditions represented by Point A' in Figure 6-1. The element is then subjected to seismic or dynamic loading. If the duration and intensity of the seismic/dynamic load is sufficient to cause porewater pressure increases large enough to shift the element from Point A' to Point E, which is located on the yield strength envelope, liquefaction is triggered and the element moves to Point C, the liquefied shear strength.

Liquefaction triggering analyses were conducted for the FTB design along Cross-Section F for the triggers identified in the Work Plan (Attachment A), as described in the subsequent sections. Additionally, an unknown trigger was evaluated for all cross-sections by performing a stability analysis assuming all saturated, contractive tailings would liquefy.

6.4.1 Evaluating Liquefaction Triggering

Both static and seismic liquefaction triggers were evaluated for Cross-Section F. Static triggering was based on limit equilibrium stresses from integrated SEEP/W and SLOPE/W analyses. Seismic triggering included a triggering screening of site-specific soil and tailings data, with an ensuing dynamic modeling analysis required if the screening indicated seismic liquefaction would be triggered.

The basic steps of the liquefaction triggering analyses for both static and seismic liquefaction, consistent with Olson and Stark's methodology (Reference (22)) prescribed by the work plan, are described below:

1. Perform a strength reduction analysis to determine the critical failure surface using limit equilibrium theory by incrementally reducing liquefied undrained shear strength values simultaneously with consistent percent reductions for the contractive undrained materials until the factor of safety equals 1.0. The triggering screening – see Attachment C – indicated that portions of the LTVSMC fine tailings and slimes layers tested were found to be contractive and subject to liquefaction and the future Flotation Tailings are assumed to deposit in a contractive state.

2. Analyze a $USSA_{yield}$ model with the identified critical failure surface input as a fully specified failure surface (FSFS) found in Step 1.

3. Use resulting stresses from the $USSA_{yield}$ model with the FSFS to assess liquefaction triggering in each slice of the failure surface. For seismic triggering, these stresses are evaluated against an increase of driving forces and for static triggering, the stresses are evaluated against either an increase in driving forces or a reduction of shear strength, depending on the static triggering mechanism.

Static liquefaction triggering scenarios and detailed analysis procedures are described in Section 6.4.2. Seismic liquefaction screening and triggering analyses are described in Section 6.4.3.

6.4.2 Static Liquefaction Triggering Analysis

The static triggering analysis used the results of the SEEP/W models, the critical failure surface identified in the SLOPE/W model for the strength reduction analysis, and the computed slice stresses to assess for liquefaction due to a load change. The method is based on procedures outlined by Olson and Stark (Reference (16), Reference (22), and Reference (38)). With their procedures, the steady-state (or liquefied) strength may be presented as a ratio by normalizing the strength to the effective overburden pressure ($USSR_{liq} = s_{u(liq)} / \sigma'_v$).

6.4.2.1 General Procedure

The Olson and Stark (Reference (22), Reference (38)) procedures can generally be summarized in the following steps:

Step 1 – Perform a limit equilibrium analysis (SLOPE/W) to determine $\tau_{driving}$ and σ'_v for each slice along the FSFS.

Step 2 – Calculate the average static shear stress ratio $\tau_{driving} / \sigma'_{v, ave}$ for each slice using the limit equilibrium results.

Step 3 – Estimate the average seismic shear stress $\tau_{seismic,ave}$ either using published relationships (such as in Reference (31)) or using a deformation site response analysis model.

Step 4 – Compute $s_{u(yield)} / \sigma'_v$ using corrected mean CPT and SPT penetration resistance.

Step 5 – Determine the values of $s_{u(yield)}$ and $\tau_{driving}$ along the base of each slice.

Step 6 – Calculate the FOS against liquefaction triggering as:

$$FOS_{triggering} = \frac{s_{u(yield)}}{\tau_{driving} + \tau_{seismic,ave} + \tau_{other}}$$ **Equation 6-3**

Note: τ_{other} relates to external driving stresses, such as surcharges, that would not be included within the static driving shear stress. This τ_{other} accounts for the induced change in stress due to changing conditions of the dam section, i.e., the specific triggers identified in the following section, and is determined with a limit equilibrium analysis (SLOPE/W model).

Because only static liquefaction triggering is being evaluated, there is no average seismic shear stress (Step 3, $\tau_{seismic,ave}$) in these analyses. An example triggering computation for one slice along the slip surface is provided in Attachment P to show Olson's liquefaction analysis as outlined in Steps 1 through 6 above. In static events, liquefaction is triggered by application of additional stress beyond the yield undrained shear strength (following points A-D-C in Figure 6-1).

6.4.2.2 Static Liquefaction Triggering Scenarios

Static liquefaction analyses were performed with results from SLOPE/W models. Liquefaction is triggered statically when stresses are increased rapidly (or effective stress is decreased rapidly) such that the aggregate driving shear stress exceeds the yield undrained shear strength of saturated, contractive material. Per the Work Plan (Attachment A), analyses were performed to evaluate six potential static triggering events, including:

1. Baseline – Lift 8
2. High Construction Rate of Loading – Lift 1
3. Local Erosion/Scour of Slope (pipe break) – Lift 8
4. Elevated Phreatic Surface (drain ineffective) w/High Pond – Lift 1
5. Elevated Phreatic Surface (i.e., drain ineffective) – Lift 8
6. Long-Term Case (20, 200, and 2,000 years after closure)

More detail of these cases is provided in Large Table 2. Cases 2 through 6 were performed as full triggering analyses and results are provided in Section 7.3.4. For the Baseline – Lift 8 case, the strength is locked in along the fully specified failure surface for the stress conditions due to Lift 7 and immediately before placing Lift 8. Therefore cohesion equals zero at the surface and no strength increase is attributed to placement of Lift 8. The long-term case was treated as an unknown triggering event because post-closure triggering mechanisms cannot be accurately projected. Long-term cases were analyzed with fully liquefied long-term conditions, and results are presented in Section 7.3.7.

As shown in Large Table 2, the procedure for each triggering scenario varied slightly with regards to determination of the FSFS from pre-loading slope stability models and the FOS against triggering ($FOS_{triggering}$) determined from the post-loading slope stability outputs. The analysis is detailed further in the following section and specific procedural variations for each scenario are discussed in Section 7.3.4 with their individual results for liquefaction triggering.

6.4.2.3 Determining Factor of Safety (FOS) Against Triggering

To evaluate static liquefaction triggering, results from pre-loading slope stability models are compared to results from post-loading slope stability models after there has been a load change applied (when the scenario pertains to a sudden change in conditions), as described below:

I. A slope stability model is prepared with fully liquefied strength parameters for contractive, saturated soils (soils below the top of the capillary zone, which is assumed as the zone 10 feet above the phreatic surface) to determine the FSFS. The model used to determine the FSFS for each case is described in Large Table 2, as it varies by the triggering mechanism and may use pre- or post-loading geometry. All liquefied strength ratios are incrementally altered until the overall slope stability factor of safety ($FOS_{overall}$) is approximately 1.0. The corresponding computed failure surface identified by the search routine of SLOPE/W is then traced and set as the FSFS for use in subsequent models.

   Note that for certain triggering scenarios, such as Baseline and the plugged drain cases, there are no pre- and post-loading models, as the act of plugging the drain would occur over a significant amount of time and there would be no immediate change in conditions to analyze.

II. A pre-loading model with $USSA_{yield}$ strengths and the FSFS (determined in Step I) is then run to compute the pre-loading slope stability $FOS_{overall}$. From this USSA model, the mobilized shear stress and shear strength are exported from SLOPE/W as a function of distance along the FSFS or as a function of the x-coordinate (if the pre- and post-loading failure surfaces are different lengths, due to differing model geometry). The porewater pressure along the FSFS is also plotted to determine where saturated material exists. Saturated materials are defined by porewater pressures equaling or exceeding hydrostatic water pressure.

   In cases where undrained shear strength (s_u) values need to be locked in for the immediate change analysis (i.e., where s_u values do not have time to change in response to a rapid change in conditions such as rapid loading or unloading), a profile of the undrained shear strength is plotted along the pre-loading FSFS, as described further subsequently. This method sets the strength of the soil layers without the benefit of consolidation occurring, which would lead to an unrealistic increase in strength from a load application. The shear strength from the pre-loading model is used to assess the $FOS_{triggering}$.

III. Depending on the triggering mechanism, the shear strength may need to be locked in along the FSFS for the post-loading model. This needs to occur if the triggering event would occur rapidly enough that effective stresses would not be redistributed (i.e., pond bounce associated with the PMP or rapid construction). To lock in the s_u, the pre-loading shear strength profile (from Step II) is subdivided into "sections", as needed, to estimate representative shear strengths for input into the post-loading model. This is an iterative process, where these "sections" are incorporated into a version of the pre-loading model, and the s_u values are revised as needed to achieve the same slope stability $FOS_{overall}$ as the pre-loading model without the locked-in strength sections.

IV. When needed, a separate slope stability model is then created to model post-loading conditions (i.e., rapid load from subsequent dam raise, erosion, etc.). The FSFS is used to evaluate overall slope stability $FOS_{overall}$ and for direct comparison of stresses to the pre-loading model for $FOS_{triggering}$ computation of each slice. Depending on the loading mechanism, the FSFS may need to be extended up past the pre-loading ground surface (like the rapid construction case). If required based on the triggering mechanism, the post-loading model will need to include the "sections" along the FSFS to lock the s_u to the pre-loading strength values.

V. From the post-loading model, the shear strength and/or mobilized shear stress are exported from SLOPE/W as a function of distance along the failure surface or as a function of the x-coordinate (if the pre- and post-loading failure surfaces are different lengths, when model geometry differs).

VI. The $FOS_{triggering}$ is then computed as defined in Large Table 2. The pre-loading shear strength is divided by post-loading mobilized shear stress to compute $FOS_{triggering}$. Although the failure surface is fully specified, the slices may differ between the pre- and post-loading cases (especially if the pre- and post-loading slope geometry differs) and linear interpolation was required at times to compute the $FOS_{triggering}$ at all points along the FSFS.

VII. If the $FOS_{triggering}$ is below 1.1 for a given slice within the saturated zone of liquefiable materials, the region at the base of that slice is reassigned the appropriate liquefied strength ratio in SLOPE/W and a post-liquefaction slope stability $FOS_{overall}$ is computed, which must also be equal to or greater than 1.1.

6.4.2.4 Determining Post-Loading Stability

Segments or slices where the computed $FOS_{triggering} \geq 1.1$ are unlikely to liquefy, and if all segments have a $FOS_{triggering} > 1.1$, a post-loading stability analysis is not necessary (Reference (38)). Olson and Stark recommend that slices with a $FOS_{triggering} < 1.1$ have their strength values reduced to the liquefied shear strength ratio during a post-triggering analysis for the same failure surfaces. Therefore, segments of the failure surface with $FOS_{triggering} < 1.1$ were reassigned their liquefied shear strength (Reference (38)). The post-loading model was

reanalyzed with the liquefied shear strengths updated for triggered slices to determine a new $FOS_{overall}$. This procedure accounts for potential deformation-induced liquefaction and progressive failure of the structure. The minimum allowable overall $FOS_{overall}$ of 1.1 was set in the Work Plan (Appendix A) as the safety factor performance criteria for the triggering analysis outcomes.

To determine the $FOS_{overall}$, yield undrained shear strength ratios ($USSR_{yield}$) are applied to materials not prone to liquefaction, but expected to behave in an undrained manner (i.e., peat). Drained strengths are applied to materials above the capillary zone and any materials that are expected to behave in a drained manner (i.e., LTVSMC coarse tailings). The capillary zone is defined as a 10-foot layer above the modeled phreatic surface.

If liquefaction is triggered in a slice of Flotation Tailings or LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes within or below the capillary zone, the strength at the base of that slice is reassigned the corresponding $USSR_{liq}$ value in SLOPE/W and the model is re-analyzed for post-loading $FOS_{overall}$ using the reassigned strength parameters.

6.4.2.5 Local Erosion Analysis

To better align with what is being done for long-term analysis, the local erosion/scour of slope analysis (triggering Case 3 – Section 6.4.2.2) was evaluated for the fully liquefied case (as discussed in Section 6.5), rather than reviewing the triggering steps outlined above. This is considered a conservative analysis of this event, but also more appropriate than strictly following the triggering steps because the length of time and the spatial area over which erosion occurs impacts the shear strength of the material below the erosion. Rather than making assumptions about the resulting shear strength in the dam, the fully liquefied case was analyzed to consider the worst-case scenario for the assumed erosion event.

In this instance, 76 CY of material (per linear foot of dam) above the proposed buttress was assumed to be removed by an erosion event. The eroded material includes existing LTVSMC coarse tailings as well as a portion of the planned LTVSMC bulk tailings comprising the Lift 1 dam. In accordance with other fully liquefied analyses, the liquefiable materials (saturated LTVSMC fine tailings and slimes, as well as those in the capillary zone) were assumed to liquefy and were assigned the post-liquefaction shear strength ($USSR_{liq}$). The post-liquefaction FOS was then analyzed and was assumed to be acceptable if the resulting value remained above 1.05. This is a more conservative approach than the triggering analysis, which (from past analyses) indicates only a portion of the saturated, contractive material liquefies. Previous versions of this Geotechnical Data Package presented the erosion triggering case, yielding a FOS of 1.99, with only a small portion of the tailings along the critical slip surface being found to liquefy on the basis of the approved analysis approach.

6.4.3 Seismic Liquefaction Triggering Analysis

The potential for seismic triggering of liquefaction is assessed in two steps. The first step is to determine whether the potential for seismic triggering exists. This evaluation is performed using

site-specific data including the anticipated seismic events (the potential driver for liquefaction) and in-situ soil data (the soils' resistance to liquefaction). The screening analysis is based on procedures laid out by Boulanger and Idriss (Reference (12)) and a summary report from the 1996 NCEER and 1998 NCEER/NSF Workshop (Reference (39)) that discusses the evaluation of liquefaction resistance of soils using data from in-situ testing, such as SPT and CPT.

If this screening procedure indicates that the design seismic event at the Project site could trigger liquefaction, then an analysis using a geomechanical model such as QUAKE/W would be used as part of further evaluations of stability.

6.4.3.1 Site-Specific Seismic Hazard

A site-specific probabilistic seismic hazard analysis (PSHA) was prepared for the Project site (Attachment Q). A PSHA is a quantitative estimate of the hazards for ground-shaking at the site analyzed probabilistically to consider uncertainties in earthquake location, size, and frequency of occurrence. The PSHA was used to develop acceleration-time histories for dynamic stability analyses for the FTB. This site-specific analysis assesses the potential local and regional seismic sources that could affect the site, models their attenuation to the site, and provides an estimate of seismic impact at the site.

Seismicity at the site is likely to be governed by one of two conditions: (1) nearfield events, which are low magnitude earthquakes with epicenters in the Midwest (like those discussed in Section 3.3), and (2) farfield events, which are higher magnitude earthquakes caused by the New Madrid Seismic Zone. The New Madrid Seismic Zone contains the nearest active fault and is approximately 760 miles south of the site. The zone is named after New Madrid, Missouri, which is close to the northern boundary of the seismic zone.

U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) data was used to evaluate potential earthquake frequency and ground acceleration at the Project site (Reference (40)). Table 6-1 summarizes the ground motions for earthquakes with 50-year probability of exceedance of 10%, 5% and 2%. There is a 2% probability that the Peak Ground Acceleration (PGA) at the site will exceed 0.024g in 50 years. This corresponds to a 0.0004 probability of exceedance per year, or a return period of 2,475 years, which means that there is a 63.2% likelihood that this earthquake will occur in a period of 2,475 years.

Table 6-1 Summary of USGS Seismic Risk Calculation

Probability of Exceedance			
Per Annum	0.0021	0.0010	0.0004
In 50 years	10%	5%	2%
Return Period [years]	475	975	2,475
Peak Ground Acceleration [g]	0.006	0.012	0.024

The results of the PSHA include 3 earthquake records, based on a 2% probability of exceedance in 50 years, related to nearfield sources, farfield sources, and a record that aggregates these two sources. The acceleration records determined from the PSHA for the nearfield, farfield, and combined events are applied to Cross-Section F in QUAKE/W. The accelerations can be tracked throughout the duration of the shaking and along the slip surface. The input acceleration records are provided and discussed in detail in Attachment Q. The PHSA results are summarized in Table 6-2.

Table 6-2 Summary of PSHA Results

			Seismic Source		
		Unit of Measure	Nearfield Earthquake	Farfield Earthquake	Combined Event (Simultaneous Nearfield and Farfield Earthquakes)
2,475-Year Return Period	Spectral Acceleration	g	0.055	0.016	0.061
	Peak Period	Seconds	0.1	2.0	0.1
975-Year Return Period	Spectral Acceleration	g	0.025	0.006	0.030
	Peak Period	Seconds	0.2	1.0	0.1
475-Year Return Period	Spectral Acceleration	g	0.013	0.000	0.017
	Peak Period	seconds	0.2	N/A	0.2
Hazard Probability		N/A	0.00052	8.13×10^{-5}	0.00062
Mean Distance		Miles	100	763	200
Mean Magnitude		M	5.62	7.73	5.92

A Deterministic Seismic Hazard Analysis (DSHA) was not performed as part of this project. The DSHA has a number of drawbacks as follows: (1) it provides no indication of the likelihood of exceeding an estimated ground motion; (2) the spectrum obtained from a DSHA is not a uniform

hazard response spectrum; (3) a DSHA forces the engineer to assume that all future earthquakes which occur within the "area source" are located at the minimum distance between the site and the "area source", which in some cases is an overly conservative assumption; and (4) a DSHA does not consider the uncertainty associated with the location of earthquakes on an area source or on a fault (Reference (41)). In contrast, a PSHA considers all the uncertainties in ground motion estimation, and thus was used in this analysis.

Considerations for seismic stability are incorporated into the FTB dam design via the fully liquefied evaluation where an unknown trigger induces liquefaction.

6.4.3.2 Seismic Liquefaction Screening Evaluation

Evaluation of the potential for seismic liquefaction requires estimation of the cyclic shear stresses and the soil's ability to resist liquefaction. The analysis used the estimation method determined in workshops jointly held by the National Center for Earthquake Engineering Research (NCEER) and National Science Foundation (NSF) (Reference (39)). This evaluation used the 2,475-year return period event from the PSHA and CPT data to determine a FOS against liquefaction triggering. Several parameters were computed.

The CSR is the cyclic stress ratio, which represents the seismic demand on a soil layer. The CSR is computed as:

$$CSR = 0.65 * \frac{a_{max}}{g} * \frac{\sigma_{vo}}{\sigma'_{vo}} * r_d$$ **Equation 6-4**

Where:

a_{max} = peak horizontal ground acceleration at the bedrock surface due to the design earthquake (2,475-year return period)
g = acceleration due to gravity
r_d = stress reduction coefficient, which accounts for flexibility of the soil profile
0.65 = reduction factor from Reference (39) to produce a *CSR* representative of the most significant cycles over the full loading duration

In Reference (39), the depth reduction factor (rd) is a shear stress reduction coefficient (or shear mass participation factor), computed as a function of depth (z) in meters by:

$$r_d = \frac{1.000 - 0.4113z^{0.5} + 0.04052\,z + 0.001753\,z^{1.5}}{1.000 - 0.4177z^{0.5} + 0.05792z - 0.006205z^{1.5} + 0.001210z^2}$$ **Equation 6-5**

The CRR is the cyclic resistance ratio, indicating the capacity of the soil to resist liquefaction. The CRR is computed using the normalized clean-sand cone penetration resistance ($q_{c1N,CS}$) from CPT data as:

$$If\ (q_{c1N})_{cs} < 50,\ \ CRR = 0.833 * \frac{(q_{c1N})_{cs}}{1000} + 0.05$$ **Equation 6-6**

$$If\ 50 < (q_{c1N})_{cs} < 160,\ \ CRR = 93 * (\frac{(q_{c1N})_{cs}}{1000})^3 + 0.08$$ **Equation 6-7**

In 1982, Seed and Idriss (Reference (42)) analyzed multiple level-ground sites where seismically induced liquefaction did or did not occur. From these analyses, relationships were proposed to identify when materials would or would not liquefy. However, because all of the earthquakes involved different magnitudes (i.e., differences in duration of shaking and frequency content), it is necessary to adjust the earthquake demand (i.e., $\tau_{seismic}$) for earthquake magnitudes higher or lower than 7.5. This adjustment is accomplished using a Magnitude Scaling Factor (MSF). Since then, multiple scaling factors have been proposed. Based on the results of the NCEER/NSF workshops, Reference (39) recommends the following MSF relationship:

$$MSF = \left(\frac{M_w}{7.5}\right)^{-2.56}$$ **Equation 6-8**

Reference (12) and Reference (39) suggest that when the FOS against liquefaction triggering is less than 1.0, triggering will occur. The FOS against triggering is determined as:

$$FOS_{triggering} = \frac{CRR_{7.5}}{CSR_{7.5}} * MSF * K_\sigma$$ **Equation 6-9**

Where:

K_σ = a correction factor to extrapolate the simplified procedure to larger overburden pressure conditions.

6.4.3.3 Screening Results

The factors of safety obtained at each CPT point for the test locations along Cross-Section F were plotted versus depth to determine if any points are susceptible to triggering based on the design earthquake presented in the PSHA. The design event corresponds to a 2,475-year return period with a probability of exceedance of 2% in 50 years (M_w = 5.92, a_{max} = 0.026g). The lowest FOS against triggering computed for all fifteen CPT locations along Cross-Section F was 1.3, triggered in CPT14-20 at a depth of approximately 3 feet in coarse tailings. All other CPT locations along Cross-Section F reported factors of safety greater than 2.5. The applied seismic event was then scaled up to determine what event would trigger liquefaction in contractive materials. It was determined that an earthquake with M_w = 5.0 and a_{max} = 0.2g would be required to trigger liquefaction. For the location of the tailings basin this event corresponds to a 100-million-year return period.

Large Figure 15 shows an example of the triggering potential at CPT location 07-06 located along Cross-Section F. The CPT tip resistance is plotted showing the material separation profile. The first triggering plot shows that no CPT data points trigger based on the design event. The second triggering plot shows that liquefaction will be triggered when an earthquake with greater PGA (and a much longer return period) is applied. Additional figures of the remaining fourteen CPT locations along Cross-Section F are provided in Attachment R.

Results indicate that the seismic design event would not trigger liquefaction in any FTB materials. Therefore, the secondary seismic liquefaction triggering analysis using QUAKE/W is not needed and Sections G and N, which are more stable than Section F, are not analyzed.

6.5 Fully Liquefied Analysis

The worst-case scenario for flow liquefaction was modeled by assigning all contractive, saturated soils below the top of the capillary zone their liquefied strengths and then completing the overall slope stability analysis. This analysis simulates an unknown liquefaction trigger.

A 10-foot offset above the phreatic surface was established as a capillary zone. All materials within and below the capillary zone with potential to liquefy were assigned $USSR_{liq}$ DV and all materials above the phreatic surface offset were assigned drained DV. Any other saturated materials not expected to liquefy (i.e., peat) were assigned the $USSR_{yield}$ design value.

6.6 Sensitivity Analysis

Stability modeling uses the material strength DV for each of the materials that make up the Tailings Basin layers (detailed in Attachment C) to identify critical slip surfaces and calculate factors of safety (a deterministic approach). However, in geologic and geo-engineered systems, the properties of a material may vary from location to location due to variation in mineralogical composition, deposition conditions, stress history, as well as physical and mechanical decomposition (Reference (43); Reference (44); Reference (45)). Along the critical slip surface, multiple material types are present (Section 7.1), and shear strengths within each material type (Section 5.0) can vary vertically and horizontally.

The purpose of the sensitivity analyses is to quantify the uncertainty in the deterministically modeled FOS due to uncertainty and variability in the input material strength DV. Two sensitivity analyses were performed to evaluate the effect of variability in materials' strengths on calculated factors of safety:

- Analysis 1 assessed how variations in the yield undrained shear strength values ($USSR_{yield}$) could affect the FOS under normal operating conditions.
- Analysis 2 assessed how variations in the liquefied shear strength ($USSR_{liq}$) could affect the FOS in the case of the occurrence of an unknown liquefaction triggering event.

An overview of the two sensitivity analyses is presented in Table 6-3, showing the FTB configuration, loading condition, material strength, and layers for which the material strengths were varied. Sensitivity analysis was conducted in accordance with the methods in the approved NorthMet Geotechnical Modeling Work Plan – Supplement dated 08/30/2013 (Attachment A).

Table 6-3 Sensitivity Analyses Overview

	Sensitivity Analysis 1	Sensitivity Analysis 2
FTB Configuration	Maximum height, Lift 8	Maximum height, Lift 8
Loading Condition	Normal operating conditions	Unknown triggering event
Material Strength Parameter	Yield undrained shear strength ratio ($USSR_{yield}$)	Liquefied undrained shear strength ratio ($USSR_{liq}$)
Variable Material Strength Inputs	• LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes • NorthMet flotation tailings • Peat	• LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes • NorthMet flotation tailings

Sensitivity analyses were performed using the probabilistic analysis function in SLOPE/W, part of the GeoStudio 2012 software package. This function uses the Monte Carlo method to randomly select and apply material strengths, from the user-specified range of material strengths, to the slope stability model to quantify the uncertainty in the computed slope stability FOS. In the Monte Carlo simulation, the entire modeled system is simulated 20,000 times. In each individual simulation, all variable inputs (in this case material shear strength) are randomly sampled from user-defined probability distributions, and assigned to segments along the critical slip surface. The slope stability factors of safety are computed and the thousands of results (from the thousands of simulations) are then assembled into a cumulative probability distribution of the model outcome. The cumulative probability distribution represents the uncertainty in the computed FOS resulting from the uncertainty and variability in the model input parameters (the material strengths). The probabilistic analysis function of SLOPE/W requires three inputs:

- the probability distribution of the material strength values, from which values will be randomly sampled (Section 6.6.1)
- the geometry of the critical slip surface:
    - Sensitivity Analysis 1 evaluated the critical slip surface for maximum dam height with normal pool conditions (Section 7.3.3.1).
    - Sensitivity Analysis 2 evaluated the critical slip surface for the fully liquefied worst-case (Section 7.3.6).
- a specified segment distance along the critical slip surface, which dictates where the Monte Carlo algorithm will apply the strength values randomly selected from the appropriate probability distribution (Section 6.6.2)

Results of the sensitivity analyses are described in Section 7.3.8.

6.6.1 Range and Distribution of Shear Strength Values

Sensitivity analysis requires assumptions about the range and the distribution function of the shear strength values, which together determine the probability distribution of the values. The range in values for the yield and liquefied undrained shear strengths was determined by setting realistic lower bound values (RLB) and realistic upper bound (RUB) values that account for most to all of the field and laboratory strengths measured for each material as presented in Attachment C and discussed in Geotechnical Workshops.

The strength data are assumed to be normally distributed; a reasonable assumption for the data sets. Baecher and Christian (Reference (43)) documented that USSR for fine-grained soils can be either normally or log-normally distributed. The laboratory- and field vane-measured liquefied shear strength ratios for the slimes were approximately normally distributed. While the CPT and SPT penetration resistances in the slimes are log-normally distributed, the liquefied shear strength ratios estimated via empirical correlations are being treated as a single estimate of strength, as discussed during the previous Geotechnical Workshops and described in this Data Package. This process of averaging the thousands of individual CPT readings (or dozens of SPT blow counts) to obtain a single estimate of strength is consistent with current practice for defined soil formation units, soil friction angles, etc. Therefore, normal variability distributions are assumed to best reflect the data.

Having established the RLB and the RUB, and determined that it is reasonable to assume that the values are normally distributed, a probability distribution was calculated for the undrained shear strengths of each of the materials included in the analysis by using the "Three-Sigma Rule" (Reference (44)). The Three-Sigma Rule relies on the fact that 99.73% of all values of a normally distributed parameter fall within plus or minus three standard deviations (σ) of the mean. The spread between the RLB and RUB is therefore divided by six to calculate the standard deviation. The range and distribution of the shear strength values for Sensitivity Analysis 1 and 2 are summarized in Table 6-4 and Table 6-5, respectively. The maximum value is set as the design value plus 3σ, and except as noted in Table 6-5, the minimum value is set as the design value minus 3σ. Note that the minimum and maximum values encompass the RLB and RUB values. Further, for this analysis the minimum and maximum values are computed in reference to the DV rather than to the mean of the RLB and RUB. This typically produced a conservative estimate of the minimum value.

Table 6-4 Range of Yield Undrained Shear Strength Ratio ($USSR_{yield}$) Values for Sensitivity Analysis 1

Material	Yield Undrained Shear Strength Ratio, $USSR_{yield}$					
	Min value (DV - 3σ)	RLB	DV(1)	StDev (σ)	RUB	Max value (DV + 3σ)
LTVSMC FT/Slimes	0.17	0.18	0.24	0.023	0.32	0.31
Flotation Tailings	0.21	0.21	0.26	0.017	0.31	0.31
Peat	0.11	0.15	0.23	0.042	0.40	0.36

(1) Design value for yield strengths based on 33rd percentile values
StDev = standard deviation, calculated based on the Three-Sigma Rule
±3 St Dev accounts for 99.73% of the data set
RUB = realistic upper bound
RLB = realistic lower bound

Table 6-5 Range of Liquefied Undrained Shear Strength Ratio ($USSR_{liq}$) Values for Sensitivity Analysis 2

Material	Liquefied Undrained Shear Strength Ratio, $USSR_{liq}$					
	Truncated / Min value DV - 3σ	RLB	DV1	StDev (σ)	RUB	Max value (DV + 3σ)
LTVSMC FT/Slimes2	0.04	0.04	0.10	0.030	0.22	0.19
Flotation Tailings	0.05	0.05	0.12	0.024	0.19	0.19

(1) Design value for liquefied strengths based on average values
(2) $USSR_{liq}$ based on Section F average LTVSMC Slimes strength

The probability distribution was then generated within SLOPE/W, using inputs of design value, standard deviation, and the maximum and minimum values for each material. The shear strength probability distributions for each material are presented in Figures 1 through Figures 3 of Attachment S for the yield strengths and Figures 4 and 5 of Attachment S for the liquefied strengths. As shown on Figure 4 of Attachment S, the lower end of the probability distribution for LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes is truncated at a $USSR_{liq}$ value of 0.04, a conservative lower-bound value (Reference (45)). This is equal to the RLB value as shown in Table 6-5, and was selected because it is the lowest value estimated by the empirical relationships and is supported as the lowest back-calculated strength ratio in research supporting the empirical relationships. This value is also the suggested minimum strength to use in preliminary design when no data is available (Reference (45)). Truncating the function at this value eliminates unreasonably low $USSR_{liq}$ values. Upper tails were not truncated, but were assigned maximum values of the DV+3σ.

The minimum and maximum ±3 standard deviation values used for Sensitivity Analysis 1 are plotted with the measured $USSR_{yield}$ values in the following figures:

- LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes (Figure 1 of Attachment S),

- NorthMet flotation tailings (Figure 2 of Attachment S), and
- Peat (Figure 3 of Attachment S).

The minimum and maximum ±3 standard deviation values used for Sensitivity Analysis 2 are plotted with the measured $USSR_{liq}$ values in the following figures:

- LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes (Figure 4 of Attachment S) and
- NorthMet flotation tailings (Figure 5 of Attachment S).

6.6.2 Segment Length Along Critical Slip Surface

The GeoStudio sensitivity analysis program allows material strengths to be varied within segments along the critical slip surface. The segment length should be based on the thickness of the various units intersected by the critical slip surface and their material properties. When stratigraphy is complex, and the length of the critical slip surface within different materials varies, then the segment length should represent the system overall as well as possible, with particular consideration of the length of the critical slip surface as it passes through the weaker layers in the system.

For this analysis the segment length is based on the lengths of the critical slip surface as it passes through layers of LTVSMC slimes, which range from 28 to 234 feet. A summary of the segment lengths in each contractive material is provided in Table 1 of Attachment S. The upper end of this range was selected as the segment length for the sensitivity analysis (rather than an average or minimum value) for two reasons. First, this length is also representative of the expected length of the critical slip surface as it passes through zones of Flotation Tailings (as explained in a note on Table 1 of Attachment S), so it is appropriate to the overall characteristics of the system. Second, use of shorter segment lengths can have the effect of pushing results toward the mean, and might not as fully capture the potential effects of uncertainty and variability. For these reasons, the segment length was set at 234 feet, the maximum length of the critical slip surface through LTVSMC slimes.

6.6.3 Monte Carlo Analysis

The probabilistic analysis in SLOPE/W, part of the GeoStudio 2012 software package, runs the stability model thousands of times. Each run uses a Monte Carlo algorithm to sample from the material strength probability distribution. The number of required Monte Carlo runs is dependent on the desired level of confidence and number of variables. Theoretically, the more runs, the more accurate the solution will be; however the solution is no longer sensitive to the number of runs after a few thousand have been completed. The number of Monte Carlo runs appropriate for the analysis was based on the minimum number of runs that resulted in the maximum, stable probability value (Reference (46)). The liquefied probabilistic analysis was performed for 20,000 runs. This is considered to be appropriate because >5,000 runs is the suggested industry standard (Reference (47)).

Probabilistic analyses were run two ways:

- Simultaneously and randomly varying all variable material strength parameters – these results quantify the uncertainty in the Factor of Safety for the system as a whole.

- Sequentially varying only one variable material strength parameter and holding all others constant at their DV – these results indicate which material most influences the calculated Factor of Safety.

Results, presented as probability distributions, are described in Section 7.3.8.

6.6.4 Likelihood of Occurrence of an Unknown Trigger

The design objective for the FTB is a configuration that produces an acceptably low probability of undesirable performance; an acceptably low P(Failure). The P(Failure) is based on the combined probability that the FOS will be less than 1.0 [P(FOS<1.0)] and the likelihood that a triggering event will occur [P(Occurrence)]. The relationship between the probability of failure, the probability that the FOS is less than 1.0, and the probability of occurrence can be described by the following:

$$P(Failure) = P(FOS < 1.0) * P(Occurrence)$$

The probability of undesirable performance cannot be quantified without knowing P(Occurrence) of the "unknown trigger" discussed in the Work Plan. P(Occurrence) is difficult to define because the trigger to induce liquefaction is, by definition, unknown. It can be assumed that this unknown trigger is less likely to occur than many known triggers, such as seismic events, etc. Known triggers, including piping, overtopping from a PMP event, or earthquakes, have been back-calculated from case histories to have a P(Occurrence) of around $1x10^{-4}$ to $1x10^{-6}$ or less, assuming modern dam construction and design techniques are employed such as those proposed for the project (Reference (48)). These likelihoods of occurrence are often incorporated into event tree failure analyses to evaluate the combined P(Failure) from various triggers and intervention steps. The probability of an unknown trigger may be greater than that of a seismic event causing liquefaction of the tailings P(seismic triggering) ~ $1x10^{-8}$ but is likely less than that for a piping failure at P(piping) ~ $1x10^{-4}$ because properly designed and constructed filters will be used and intervention is possible on the onset of seepage.

So, while the probability of occurrence is unknown, and Sensitivity Analysis results (presented in Section 7.3.8) cannot be used to quantify the probability of failure, it is reasonable to assume that the calculated P(FOS< 1.0) would be divided by something on the order of 1,000,000 or more. So, for example if there was a 1% probability that the Factor of Safety is less than 1.0, there would be at most a 0.000001% probability of undesirable performance or failure.

6.7 Postclosure Stability Inputs

The stability analysis used the SEEP/W input parameters provided in Large Table 1 to determine long-term seepage conditions. The infiltration rate applied to the postclosure stability analysis

(with bentonite amendments in place) was 6 inches per year (1.59 x 10^{-8} ft/s) on all dams of the FTB and the flotation tailings beach extending from the final dam lift to the start of the normal pond edge. An infiltration rate of 6.5 inches per year (1.72 x 10^{-8} ft/s) was applied to the bottom of the pond under normal pool conditions.

The SLOPE/W input parameters for the Flotation Tailings and LTVSMC fine tailings and slimes were amended to reflect weathering and secondary compression to compute the postclosure FOS. The long-term strength of the Flotation Tailings and LTVSMC fine tailings and slimes was assumed to change based on (1) dewatering of the basin after bentonite amendment is completed in the pond area, (2) weathering of the tailings, and (3) secondary compression of the tailings.

1. The FTB will dewater after operations cease. While a pond will remain as part of the closure plan, the pond bottom will receive bentonite amendment, minimizing the water seepage into the underlying tailings. The seepage models for long-term scenarios show that the phreatic surface lowers and effective stresses increase in the Flotation Tailings, which will increase the stability of the FTB. The drop in the phreatic surface in the FTB also allows for more material to behave in a drained manner, thereby mobilizing higher drained strength which increases stability.

2. The Flotation Tailings may undergo some weathering (Section 4.7.3). The strength could increase or decrease in the long-term. While some of the Flotation Tailings mass may be lost due to weathering, some cementation of particles could also occur. Weathering was taken into account by assuming a reduction in strength equal to the average of the estimated range of original mass weathered, as summarized in Table 6-6. The plagioclase in the Flotation Tailings is likely to be the most susceptible to weathering. Estimates for strength reduction based on weathering of the Flotation Tailings have also been applied to the LTVSMC fine tailings and slimes. As indicated by estimates in Table 6-6, the tailings are projected to weather at a very slow rate.

Table 6-6 Assumed Strength Reduction of Tailings Due to Long-term Weathering

Years after End of Operations	Percent of Original Mass Weathered	Assumed Strength Reduction
20	0 - 0.1	0.1%
200	0.1 - 0.9	0.5%
2,000	1.4 - 9.1	5.3%

3. Secondary compression is the process by which there is slight, continuing re-arrangement and improved interlocking of soil particles over time under constant effective stress (after essentially all excess porewater pressure has dissipated), causing a slow, continued decrease in void ratio. This decrease in void ratio results in an increase in shear strength, regardless of whether the material is saturated or unsaturated, and applies to drained,

yield undrained, and liquefied shear strengths. Secondary compression is taken into account by using the consolidation data from laboratory testing and increasing the strength in relation to the anticipated continued change in void ratio over time (Reference (49)).

Based on weathering and secondary compression, the estimated long-term liquefied strengths are described in Table 6-7. The strength of the Flotation Tailings and LTVSMC fine tailings and slimes were amended because, as discussed in Section 4.7.3 and Attachment L, smaller particles are much more susceptible to weathering, relative to their mass, because their specific surface area is significantly larger than for coarse particles. These materials represent the finest materials at the FTB. Additionally, these materials will only be subjected to self-weight consolidation, whereas most other materials will be or have been compacted, either by natural processes (like the glacial till) or mechanically (existing coarse tailings by truck traffic and the bulk tailings during dam construction).

Table 6-7 Estimated Long-term Liquefied Strengths

Material	Long-term $USSR_{liq}$ Strengths							
	Design Value (0 years)	20 years		200 years		2,000 years		
Flotation Tailings	0.12	Weathering effects -0.0001 Secondary compression effects 0.0094	0.129	Weathering effects -0.0006 Secondary compression effects 0.0282	0.148	Weathering effects -0.0064 Secondary compression effects 0.0601	0.174
LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes	0.1	Weathering effects -0.0001 Secondary compression effects 0.0078	0.108	Weathering effects -0.0005 Secondary compression effects 0.0145	0.114	Weathering effects -0.0053 Secondary compression effects 0.0215	0.116

The drained and undrained strengths of the Flotation Tailings and LTVSMC fine tailings and slimes were also amended using the same approach as laid out for the liquefied strengths in Table 6-7. The long-term drained strengths are summarized in Table 6-8. Only drained strengths were computed, as the long-term scenario assumes a significant amount of time has passed and excess pore-water pressures at the end of operations have dissipated.

Table 6-8 Long-term ESSA Strengths

Material	ESSA – Friction Angle, ϕ' (degrees)			
	0 years	20 years	200 years	2,000 years
LTVSMC Fine Tailings, Slimes, and FT/Slimes	33	34.1	34.9	35.2
Flotation Tailings	33	34.2	36.5	39.8

6.8 FTB Containment System Effects on Slope Stability

Additional slope stability analyses were performed to determine the potential effects of the FTB Seepage Containment System on the factors of safety for the proposed FTB design. The purpose of the containment system is to capture water that seeps from the FTB so that it can be treated. The FTB Seepage Containment System will be installed along the northern and western sides of the FTB before the first lift of the FTB north dam is constructed; therefore, safety factors calculated in the containment system stability analysis represent FTB dam stability during and after construction of the FTB Seepage Containment System.

Three models were developed for the FTB Seepage Containment System stability analysis for Cross-Section F and Cross-Section G; the existing conditions configuration under drained conditions, and the future dam configuration under drained and liquefied conditions. The FTB Seepage Containment System stability analysis was performed based on the stratigraphy of the native soils, the existing tailings basin and the planned FTB dams along Cross-Section F and Cross-Section G, taken as also representative for modeling potential slope stability impacts along the north and west side of Cell 2W. For $USSA_{yield}$ and ESSA analyses, Cross-Section G sometimes yields factors of safety lower than determined at Cross-Section F; however, results for these cases are significantly above the target design factors of safety. The $USSA_{liq}$ analysis at Cross-Section F yields the lowest factor of safety and controls the design. The same boundary conditions and seepage and strength parameters were used for the FTB Seepage Containment System stability analysis as used in other stability models presented in this Data Package. Two operating conditions were modeled:

- the containment system operating with active extraction of water from the collection system

- the containment system temporarily inactive (e.g., such as in the event of an abnormally long power outage), simulated with the water table at ground surface from the toe of the dam to the containment system cutoff wall

Greater detail on the slope stability analyses performed to determine the effects of the FTB Seepage Containment System on the slope stability and modeling results is provided in Attachment G.

7.0 Results of Seepage and Stability Modeling

Seepage and stability modeling was conducted on the proposed design FTB along critical Cross-Section F for Cell 2E, Cross-Section G for Cell 2E, and Cross-Section N for Cell 1E. Development of the proposed design for all cross-sections involved an iterative approach, whereby various combinations of slope angles, lift heights, bench widths, and buttresses were modeled to determine configurations resulting in adequate slope stability safety factors. Based on requirements for the site, the most appropriate configuration for the Project was determined from these preliminary configurations, as described in Section 6.3.2.

The proposed FTB dams have been configured to have safety factors equal to or greater than 1.5 for drained (ESSA) conditions, equal to or greater than 1.3 for undrained ($USSA_{yield}$) conditions, and equal to or greater than 1.1 for liquefied ($USSA_{liq}$) conditions. The seepage and stability modeling were performed using the FTB design that meets these factors of safety as described in Reference (1).The results of the stability modeling for worst-case fully liquefied material strength conditions control the design of the FTB. The designs for the FTB at Mine Year 20 along Cross-Sections F, G, and N are summarized in Table 7-1.

Table 7-1 Summary of FTB Design for Cross-Sections F, G, and N

Cross-Section	F	G	N
Lifts	Lifts 1-7, 20 feet high; Lift 8, 10 feet high	Lifts 1-7, 20 feet high; Lift 8, 10 feet high	Lifts 5-7, 20 feet high; Lift 8, 10 feet high
Bench width	60 feet	60 feet	60 feet
Interbench slopes	4.5H:1V	4.5H:1V	4.5H:1V
Setback distance between lifts 4 & 5	260 feet	260 feet	N/A
Buttress	Elevation = 1575 feet Height = 88 feet Top width = 215 feet	1558 feet Height = 56 feet Top width = 215 feet	1665 feet Height = 10 - 22 feet Top width = 390 feet
Underdrain	250 ft long, 4 ft high	N/A	N/A

The proposed dam geometry for Cross-Section F with dimensions is presented in Large Figure 14. The proposed dam geometry for Cross-Section G with dimensions is presented in Large Figure 16. The proposed dam geometry for Cross-Section N with dimensions is presented in Large Figure 17.

Seepage and stability modeling was conducted using the permeability and design shear strength parameters detailed in Attachment C and summarized in Section 5.0. As additional data are gathered in future operations-phase geotechnical investigations and material testing programs,

the design strength and permeability parameters may be altered to reflect the outcomes of the additional investigations and material testing. As most DV selected for these seepage and slope stability analyses were chosen to be reasonably conservative, it is possible that future evaluation of the FTB may lead to an increase in FOS values and/or design optimization, reducing the need for buttresses, underdrains, and/or offsets.

7.1 Stratigraphy

Stratigraphy for the three cross-sections was initially determined using historical boring and CPTu logs and data from the 2005 and 2007 CPT investigations. The previously identified soil layers were confirmed and new layers identified based on CPTu logs from the 2014 CPT investigation. Therefore, stratigraphy for Cross-Sections F, G and N were updated to also reflect the most-recent CPT data collected in 2014. For Cross-Section N, historical reports were reviewed to determine the location of the starter dam, confirm alternating layers of fine tailings and slimes, and confirm the construction material of the existing railroad embankment. A material to represent the railroad embankment was used in modeling Cross-Section N and was referred to as rail grade, having a unit weight of 140 pcf and a friction angle of 45 degrees. All field data and stratigraphy information have been plotted in section view for Cross-Sections F, G and N (Figures B-2, B-3, and B-4 of Attachment B).

Multiple material types were identified from the boring and CPTu logs, including till, peat, LTVSMC coarse tailings, fine tailings, and slimes. Material types were generally consistent across the three cross-sections. At the locations of all three sections, the shell consists primarily of LTVSMC coarse tailings and the interior of the basin consists of intermittent and interbedded layers of fine tailings and slimes. A layer of peat, of varying thickness and continuity, was encountered above the till along all sections. Along Cross-Section F, the layer of peat generally varies from 2 to 10 feet thick at tested locations, although a 20-foot peat layer was encountered at the toe of the initial dam. Along Cross-Section G the peat layer varied from 1 to 8.5 feet thick. Peat was encountered at three locations along Cross-Section N, ranging from 1 to 4 feet in thickness.

Depth to bedrock below the tailings basin for all three sections was based on data from top of bedrock contour maps prepared by Barr using historical maps and bedrock depths confirmed from wells and borings in the area. The 2014 geotechnical investigation performed 200 feet from the toe of Cell 2E provided depths to bedrock along Cross-Sections F and G which was used to aid in approximation of bedrock elevations for modeling. The bedrock at the toe of Section F, confirmed during the 2014 geotechnical investigation, starts at an average elevation of 1456 feet AMSL, 30-feet below the top of the till. Bedrock along Cross-Section G was modeled as 25 feet below the top of the till at an elevation of 1474 feet AMSL at the toe and increases in elevation towards the center of the basin. No borings have been extended to bedrock along Cross-Section N, therefore depth to bedrock was assumed as 20 feet below the top of the till and increases in elevation towards the center of the basin.

Modeling used the unique stratigraphy of each cross-section along with consistent material types, physical properties, and D as presented in Section 5.0. Layers of materials thinner than 1 foot were not included in the cross-sections. Cross-section F is considered the most critical due to the 20-foot peat layer at the toe of the initial dam and the presence of LTVSMC fine tailings and slimes closer to the toe of the dam.

7.2 Seepage Modeling

7.2.1 Historical Seepage Analyses

Prior to submittal of Version 3 of the Data Package, seepage calibration and sensitivity modeling was conducted for Cross-Section F. Calibration and sensitivity analyses was conducted with a 2001 end-of-operations seepage model and were attempted concurrently, though it was understood that certain materials affected the flux or phreatic surface differently.

The sensitivity analysis was performed to assess which materials had the greatest impact on the phreatic surface and flux out of the Tailings Basin system. It was determined through this analysis that the permeability of the till had the greatest impact on the flux, followed by the permeability of the LTVSMC fine tailings and slimes. The phreatic surface was found to be more dependent on the permeability of the tailings (particularly the finer tailings, which do limit seepage), the rock starter dam, and the peat.

The calibration analysis was performed as an attempt to align the model with measured water levels at the Tailings Basin. The total heads generated by the SEEP/W model could only be compared to two measured piezometric heads along Cross-Section F, and of these, one piezometer was installed in 1999, leaving a small dataset for calibration during operations. The calibration analysis resulted in a somewhat large variation in measured to estimated heads. The total heads in the model were lower at the toe of the basin and much higher within the basin than field measurements suggested. This provided conservatism from a stability standpoint, but did not provide confidence in either the piezometer data or the initial permeability values. For this reason, seepage verification models were performed for Version 5 of the Data Package, as described in Section 7.2.2.

The calibration model was reviewed by GEO-SLOPE prior to submittal of Version 3 of the Data Package. GEO-SLOPE staff recommended altering methods slightly to improve efficiency in seepage model convergence. Because of the complexity of the model, both with regards to geometry and boundary conditions, per recommendations from GEO-SLOPE, a single transient analysis was run out until convergence was obtained for a steady state solution. Transient analyses allow the user to input an initial suggested water table in the first transient run which then serves as a starting point for seepage conversion to a steady state. The amount of transient computation time over which models were allowed to solve was varied to assess at what point a steady state solution is achieved and all convergence criteria are met. Also per the recommendation of GEO-SLOPE, to reduce system memory requirements and model run time, data from interim time steps are no longer saved and convergence tolerances were modified.

Specifically, when using the Head Vector Norm solver, the model tolerance was changed from 0.01 to 0.05 (computations come to a halt when the change in the Vector Norm from one elevation to the next is less than the specified tolerance) and the conductivity was allowed to change more quickly over a larger range (in orders of magnitude, the default is a rate of change of 1.02, with a max change of 0.1 and a minimum change of 0.0001 – this was modified to a rate of change of 1.1, with a max change of 1 and a minimum change of 0.0001). The result of the model changes is a more efficient seepage model that significantly improves computational speed.

7.2.2 Seepage Verification

The 2014 geotechnical CPT and SPT investigation provided an additional set of data that allowed an evaluation of the design value permeabilities and provided field readings to compare with model heads. Version 5 of the Geotechnical Data Package includes seepage verification models for Cross-Sections F, G, and N that represent the 2014 existing basin conditions.

7.2.2.1 Cross-Section F Seepage Verification

In the 2014 seepage verification model, which replaces in its entirety the 2001 end-of-operations seepage model, total heads generated by the model were matched as closely as possible to the measured piezometric heads (at nodes representing the screened intervals of the piezometers) recorded in the field and the 2014 phreatic surface based on the CPT-estimated water levels using pore pressure dissipation tests performed during the 2014 geotechnical investigation (Attachment F). Constant head conditions were not set within the dam section. Boundary conditions (i.e., constant head, unit flux, or seepage face) were assigned only to nodes on the surface of the seepage model.

The phreatic surface in the seepage model representing existing conditions was verified with data obtained for Cell 2E from CPTu dissipation tests performed during the 2014 geotechnical investigation (Attachment F) and using data from the two permanent standpipe piezometers along Cross-Section F. The geometry of the facility in 2014 was based on 2011 LIDAR data and measurements in the facility pond recorded in July of 2014.

Due to the complex stratigraphy of the Tailings Basin, the field hydrologic conditions can be difficult to match precisely in a model. Sensitivity and verification analysis of the SEEP/W model is difficult because the model was simplified; the model cannot take into account every tailings layer that may be impacting flow in the basin. However, a reasonable approach was taken, such as neglecting coarser stringers and utilizing larger zones of only fine tailings or slimes instead. This is reasonable from a geotechnical seepage modeling standpoint, as it is likely to increase the phreatic surface within the dam. This approach also works for the stability (SLOPE/W) models, where larger zones were modeled only as the finer tailings or slimes with lower strength parameters, rather than attempting to take into account the presence of any coarser stringers with higher shear strengths.

Total heads estimated in the SEEP/W verification model using the design permeability values for Cross-Section F to represent 2014 existing conditions were compared with those measured in the field at two instrumentation locations and six CPT locations to check the accuracy of the seepage analysis models. The pond elevation of 1555 feet, measured in July 2014, was applied as a boundary condition. A unit flux of 8 inches per year (2.0×10^{-8} ft/s) was applied to the existing dam slopes to represent infiltration from precipitation. Potential seepage face nodes were applied to the nodes at the toe of the basin. Measured heads in the model compared to the field values are shown in Table 7-2. Also provided is the difference between the measured and estimated head values where a positive (+) difference value indicates that the phreatic surface in the model is above the phreatic surface measured in the field and a negative (-) value indicates that the phreatic surface in the model is below the phreatic surface measured in the field.

Table 7-2 Comparison of Measured and Estimated Total Heads (Cross-Section F)

Piezometers and CPTs	Measured Head in the Field (ft)	Estimated Head from SEEP/W Model (ft)	Difference (ft)
CPT14-04	1476.4	1487.6	+11.2
CPT14-20	1493.0	1514.4	+21.4
F-2	1510.0	1513.4	+3.4
CPT14-05	1515.5	1522.3	+6.8
PN1F-99	1511.3	1519.0	+7.7
CPT14-22	1519.0	1528.3	+9.3
CPT14-06	1526.3	1528.3	+2.0
CPT14-17	1530.0	1527.3	-2.7

The head near the toe and mid-slope of the existing basin measured 11.2 feet and 21.4 feet higher in the model than the field measurements at locations CPT14-04 and CPT14-20, respectively. However, the model total head for piezometer F-2 (1513.4 feet), at approximately the same location as CPT14-20, was only 3.4 feet higher than the field measurement (1510 feet, Large Figure 18) matching relatively well with the model head. The water levels in the model are generally consistent with field measurements under the tailings beach with a head difference of +6.8 feet at CPT14-05 and +7.7 feet at PN1F-99. This is considered a reasonable outcome because the modeled phreatic surface in the weaker material is higher than measured, which provides some conservatism from a stability modeling standpoint. At CPT locations within the basin, heads measured in the model are just above and below the heads in the field, having a range of +2.0 to -2.7 for CPT14-06 and CPT14-17, respectively.

The measured head for piezometers F-2 and PN1F-99 (see model outputs in Attachment T for locations and Attachment D for installation logs (Reference (19); Reference (50)) along Cross-Section F were not constrained by piezometer elevations. The piezometer data along

Cross-Section F is plotted as Large Figure 18. Annual averages are plotted for 1997 through 2001, when operations at LTVSMC ceased. Averages between installation of the second piezometer in 1999 and end of operations in 2001 did not vary significantly. Since 2001, the current pond levels in Cell 2E are lower than during past LTVSMC operations and the existing standpipe piezometric heads along Cross-Section F have fallen in the range of 4 to 10 feet.

The installation log's ground surface elevation for Piezometer F-2 is 1545.5 feet with the bottom of piezometer at approximately -40 feet (approx. elevation 1505.5 feet). The phreatic surface elevation at piezometer F-2 in the model is at elevation 1513.4 feet, above the tip elevation of F-2. Piezometer PN1F-99 installation log's ground elevation is 1547.0 feet with bottom of piezometer at approximately -60 feet (approx. elevation 1487.0 feet), placing the tip below the model head of 1519.0 feet.

While the modeled versus measured head difference for CPT14-04 and CPT14-20 is 11.2 feet and 21.4 feet, respectively, divergence is positive (estimated is higher than measured), so is conservative for seepage and stability modeling. As the remaining piezometer and CPT location heads match field measurements, design permeability values appear to be appropriate parameters that accurately represent current conditions. An Instrumentation and Monitoring Plan will be implemented for the basin (Reference (1)) in the future. The plan will require installation of additional piezometers to monitor the dam raises. Data from these piezometers will be used throughout FTB operations to evaluate seepage model results.

7.2.2.2 Cross-Section G Seepage Model Verification

For Cross-Section G, total heads in the 2014 existing condition seepage verification model, using the design permeability values, were compared with those measured in the field at one instrumentation location (G-2, see model outputs in Attachment T for locations and Attachment D for installation logs; Ebasco, 1990 and Sitka, 1996) and three CPT locations to check the accuracy of the seepage model. The total heads generated by the model could only be compared to one measured piezometric head along Cross-Section G, at piezometer G-2, as piezometer G-3 is no longer in operation. The piezometer data along Cross-Section G is plotted as Large Figure 19. Annual averages are plotted for 1997 through 2001, when operations at LTVSMC ceased. Relative to 2001, the pond level in Cell 2E is lower than during past LTVSMC operations and the existing standpipe piezometric head at G-2 has fallen approximately 2 feet.

The phreatic surface in the seepage model representing existing conditions was verified with data obtained for Cell 2E from CPTu dissipation tests performed along Cross-Section G during the 2014 geotechnical investigation (Attachment F). The geometry of Cross-Section G was based on 2011 LIDAR data. The pond elevation of 1555 feet, measured in July 2014, was applied as a boundary condition to the model. A unit flux of 8 inches per year (2.0×10^{-8} ft/s) was applied to the existing dam slopes to represent infiltration from precipitation. Potential seepage face nodes were applied to the nodes at the toe of the basin.

Measured heads in the model as compared to the field values are shown in Table 7-3. Also provided is the difference between the measured and estimated head values where a positive (+) difference value indicates that the phreatic surface in the model is above the phreatic surface measured in the field and a negative (-) value indicates that the phreatic surface in the model is below the phreatic surface measured in the field. The head near the mid-slope of the existing basin along Cross-Section G measured 1 foot higher in the model than the field measurements at locations CPT14-07. The water levels in the model are generally consistent with field measurements under the tailings beach with a head difference of +4.1 feet at piezometer G-2 and +4.4 feet at CPT14-08. This is considered a reasonable outcome because the modeled phreatic surface in the weaker material is higher than measured, which provides some conservatism from a stability modeling standpoint. At CPT locations within the basin, CPT14-09 resulted in a model head just below the field measured head.

The measured head for piezometer G-2 was not constrained by piezometer tip elevation. The installation log's ground surface elevation for Piezometer G-2 is 1550 feet with the bottom of piezometer at approximately -50 feet (approx. elevation 1500 feet). The phreatic surface elevation at piezometer G-2 in the model is at elevation 1515.4 feet, above the tip elevation of G-2.

The differences in modeled head compared to field measurements are small for the three CPT locations and one piezometer location. The divergence is generally positive (estimated is higher than measured), so is conservative. As the modeled heads match well with field measurements, design permeability values appear to be appropriate parameters that accurately represent current conditions along Cross-Section G.

Table 7-3 Comparison of Measured and Estimated Total Heads (Cross-Section G)

Piezometer	Measured Head in the Field (ft)	Estimated Head from the Model (ft)	Difference (ft)
CPT14-07	1502.0	1503.0	+1.0
G-2	1511.3	1515.4	+4.1
CPT14-08	1517.4	1521.8	+4.4
CPT14-09	1536.0	1535.3	-0.7

7.2.2.3 Cross-Section N Seepage Model Verification

For Cross-Section N, the total heads generated by the seepage model (at nodes representing the screened intervals of the piezometers) were matched as closely as possible to the estimated 2014 phreatic surface based on pore pressure dissipation results, as no piezometers have been installed along Cross-Section N. Total heads estimated in the SEEP/W verification model using the design permeability values for Cross-Section N existing conditions were compared with those measured in the field at three CPT locations to check the accuracy of the seepage analysis models. The

measured heads in the model compared to the field values are shown in Table 7-4. Also provided is the difference between the measured and estimated head values where a positive (+) difference value indicates that the phreatic surface in the model is above the phreatic surface measured in the field and a negative (-) value indicates that the phreatic surface in the model is below the phreatic surface measured in the field.

The modeled head at the CPT14-19 location, measured at the toe of the existing basin, was 17 feet higher than the field measurement. The mid-slope model head was 11.1 feet higher than the measured head at CPT14-15. The phreatic surface below the existing basin remained high within the more permeable coarse tailings and dropped in elevation starting at the toe of the basin into less permeable fine tailings and slimes, dropping the head significantly before entering the railroad embankment. This is considered a reasonable outcome because the phreatic surface in the weaker material is higher, which provides some conservatism from a stability modeling standpoint. Total head in the model within Cell 1E at CPT14-14 location was 5.4 feet higher than the measured head in the field placing the phreatic surface in a thick region of coarse tailings. The difference in modeled head compared to field measurements for the three CPT locations along Cross-Section N were positive and are therefore conservative.

Table 7-4 Comparison of Measured and Estimated Total Heads (Cross-Section N)

Piezometer	Measured Head in the Field (ft)	Estimated Head from the Model (ft)	Difference (ft)
CPT14-19	1611.0	1628.0	+17.0
CPT14-15	1632.5	1643.6	+11.1
CPT14-14	1640.2	1645.6	+5.4

7.2.3 Seepage Analysis Results

For each cross-section, seepage analyses were performed for each stage or lift of development and the ultimate dam height. Selected SEEP/W output figures are presented in Attachment T for the existing conditions and selected lifts for the proposed design at Cross-Sections F, G, and N. The outputs show the estimated phreatic surface with total head contours for each lift. The resulting porewater pressure distributions and phreatic surfaces were imported into SLOPE/W for the stability modeling. The phreatic surface and the porewater pressures at each node of the finite element mesh were computed in SEEP/W based on the section geometry and the permeabilities assigned to each region.

Exit gradients at the toe of the dam sections were also reviewed. Based on the assumed parameters for the buttress, this region essentially acts as a large toe drain, leading to hydraulic gradients near zero at the toe of the buttress. Additional analysis regarding the need for a filter or filters between the buttress and the existing dam will be performed once a buttress material source is selected.

7.2.3.1 Cross-Section F Seepage Analysis Results

The seepage modeling results for Cross-Section F indicates that seepage from the pond initially travels in a primarily vertical direction, flowing down through the Flotation Tailings. At the location of Cross-Section F, an underdrain layer will be installed upstream of the first FTB lift, following the slope of the existing LTVSMC tailings into the basin. The underdrain layer will not daylight along the dam face or act as a "pipe" which could funnel seepage out to the face of the dam, but rather will reduce high pressure heads within the Flotation Tailings. The underdrain will provide a route for high porewater pressures to dissipate easily into the coarser LTVSMC tailings (or drainage piping if included in final design). The underdrain will help pull flow down and then out through the LTVSMC coarse tailings shell, pulling the phreatic surface back from the slope face.

Modeling results show that inclusion of the underdrain layer at Cross-Section F does not increase the potential for surface seeps, as flux out of the basin is concentrated around the toe of the existing basin with or without the underdrain layer. Modeling indicates that the global phreatic surface within the Tailings Basin is not overly sensitive to the presence of the underdrain layer, as the presence of the underdrain layer primarily influences the phreatic surface within the Flotation Tailings.

7.2.3.2 Cross-Section G Seepage Analysis Results

The seepage modeling results for Cross-Section G indicates that seepage from the pond initially travels in a primarily vertical direction, flowing down through the Flotation Tailings. As the water percolates down towards the LTVSMC FT/Slimes region, the flow tends to become less vertical, with the water traveling into the freely draining LTVSMC coarse tailings. Unlike Cross-Section F, no underdrain layer is required along Cross-Section G because there is a thick layer of LTVSMC coarse tailings upstream of the first FTB lift that will provide a route for high porewater pressures to dissipate, and help direct flow down and then out through the LTVSMC coarse tailings shell, pulling the phreatic surface back from the slope face. The till is also a relatively permeable material, which aids in pulling water down and out of the dam.

7.2.3.3 Cross-Section N Seepage Analysis Results

Cross-Section N seepage modeling results show that seepage from the pond initially travels in a primarily vertical direction, flowing down through the Flotation Tailings. At all cross-sections, the flotation tailings were assigned a higher permeability near the crest of the FTB and a decreasing permeability with depth. The Flotation Tailings located above the existing basin have the same permeability as the LTVSMC Fine Tailings. LTVSMC slimes are the least permeable material in the dam, and therefore have a significant impact on the phreatic surface location. As the water percolates down into the lower Flotation Tailings region, the flow tends to become less vertical, with the water traveling towards the freely draining existing basin consisting of LTVSMC coarse tailings. The existing basin acts as an underdrain layer which funnels the

seepage through the existing basin, through the rock buttress at the toe of the basin, and down to the upstream face of the railroad embankment.

7.3 Slope Stability Analysis Results

The design of the FTB dams is based on slope stability analyses of:

- The existing Tailings Basin in year 2014 (Section 7.3.1)
- FTB dams during construction (Section 7.3.2)
- FTB dams at maximum height (Section 7.3.3)
- FTB dams subject to static liquefaction triggering events (Section F only; Section 7.3.4)
- FTB dams subject to seismic liquefaction triggering events (Section F only; Section 7.3.5)
- The fully liquefied worst-case scenario (Section 7.3.6)
- Long-term conditions (Section F only; Section 7.3.7)
- Sensitivity analyses (Section F only; Section 7.3.8)

The following subsections describe the model results for each component of the stability analysis, and document the slope stability safety factors computed for the proposed design. Stability analysis results include estimated safety factors calculated using the methods described in Section 6.3.1; the circular method and wedge method.

For Cross-Sections F and G, the failure surface yielding the lowest FOS value for most ESSA conditions consisted of minor, localized sloughing failures occurring in the buttress. The Cross-Section N failure surfaces yielding the lowest FOS values for some ESSA and USSA conditions consisted of minor, localized sloughing failures occurring on the downstream face of the dam. These slough failures, which are cosmetic rather than structural and easily repaired, were reported in the notes below the tables of stability analysis results, whereas global failures impacting the existing or proposed lifts were reported within the tables of results as the lowest factors of safety that intersect the overall slope of the dam.

7.3.1 Existing Conditions Results

Stratigraphy, water levels from the 2014 CPTu investigation, and the calibrated SEEP/W model were used to model stability of existing slopes. Because there has been no new loading at the site since operations stopped in 2001, the drained condition was deemed appropriate and an ESSA model was used. The estimated factors of safety for the 2014 current conditions model at Cross-Sections F, G, and N are presented in Table 7-5.

For Cross-Section F, the wedge slip surface with impenetrable fractured bedrock resulted in the lowest FOS value. For Cross-Section G, the wedge slip surface runs along the interface of the peat and impenetrable till layer, and for Cross-Section N, the wedge slip surface along impenetrable till resulted in the lowest FOS value. All safety factors are above the target safety factor of 1.5 for ESSA conditions. The SLOPE/W outputs for these analyses are provided in Attachment U.

Table 7-5 Modeled Factors of Safety for 2014 Existing Conditions

Case	Slip Surface	Drained (ESSA)		
		Section F	Section G	Section N
2014 Existing Conditions Verification Model	Circular	1.88	2.37	3.11
	Wedge	1.83	2.21	3.14
Target Factor of Safety Value:		**1.5**	**1.5**	**1.5**

7.3.2 Interim Dam Heights Results

Of particular importance for the FTB tailings dam design is the stability of the dam during construction and operation when undrained conditions may develop. Slope stability was modelled for drained and undrained conditions for Lifts 2, 4, and 6. Only Lift 6 was modeled for Cross-Section N as Cell 1E elevation is higher than Cell 2E and depositing of tailings into this cell would not occur until Lift 5.

Interim lift modeling assumed the following configurations:

- beach length of 625 feet
- buttresses fully constructed (as described in Section 6.3.2.2)
- dam crest elevation:
    - Lift 2 – 1622 ft
    - Lift 4 – 1662 ft
    - Lift 6 – 1702 ft

For modeling purposes, the maximum tailings elevation must also be specified. Sufficient freeboard will be maintained at all times during interim lifts to accommodate a PMP

precipitation event, so the maximum tailings elevation will be below the dam crest, but the exact relationship between the dam crest elevation and the maximum tailings elevation will depend on operational factors. As a simplifying and conservative assumption, the system was modeled with the maximum tailings elevation set equal to the dam crest (no freeboard). This assumption results in calculated Factors of Safety that are lower than would be calculated if the model configuration included freeboard, and is therefore conservative.

The slope stability model results for Lifts 2, 4, and 6, for ESSA and $USSA_{yield}$ conditions are shown in Table 7-6. The critical failure surface (the surface yielding the lowest slope stability FOS) for all of the ESSA models was identified as a minor, localized sloughing failure of the buttress material. If a buttress-slough was the critical failure surface, then, in order to present the estimated global FOS, the reported value provided in Table 7-6 was replaced by the slip surface with the lowest FOS that intersects the dam material. The value for the buttress-slough failure is reported as a note to the table, though the outputs provided in Attachment U reflect the values reported in the table.

Factors of safety for all ESSA and $USSA_{yield}$ conditions exceed the recommended minimum values, even for the buttress-slough values provided as notes. As expected, the ESSA condition for the proposed design produces higher safety factors than existing conditions due to the addition of the buttress. Also as expected, the ESSA condition resulted in higher factors of safety than the $USSA_{yield}$ conditions. The SLOPE/W outputs for these analyses are provided in Attachment U.

Table 7-6 Modeled Factors of Safety for Interim Lifts

Case	Slip Surface	Section F		Section G		Section N	
		$USSA_{yield}$	ESSA	$USSA_{yield}$	ESSA	$USSA_{yield}$	ESSA
Lift 2	Circular	2.60 (1)	3.82 (2)	3.26 (9)	3.30 (10)	--	--
	Wedge	2.26 (1)	3.72 (3)	2.29	3.30 (11)	--	--
Lift 4	Circular	2.22	3.75 (4)	2.85 (8)	3.30 (11)	--	--
	Wedge	1.96	3.72 (5)	1.95	3.29 (10)	--	--
Lift 6	Circular	2.05	3.76 (6)	2.53 (9)	3.29 (11)	2.21	4.48
	Wedge	1.97	3.73 (7)	1.95	3.29 (11)	1.88	4.43
Target Factor of Safety Value		**1.3**	**1.5**	**1.3**	**1.5**	**1.3**	**1.5**

(1) Buttress slough FS = 2.25
(2) Buttress slough FS = 2.33
(3) Buttress slough FS = 2.36
(4) Buttress slough FS = 2.35
(5) Buttress slough FS = 2.40
(6) Buttress slough FS = 2.29
(7) Buttress slough FS = 2.30
(8) Buttress slough FS = 2.31
(9) Buttress slough FS = 2.32
(10) Buttress slough FS = 2.39
(11) Buttress slough FS = 2.38

7.3.3 Maximum Dam Height Results

The stability of the FTB dams at maximum height is increased by the use of the buttresses and the mid-slope setback. These additional design features move the driving forces and the pond farther upstream. The following sections present the results for normal pool and PMP conditions once all 8 lifts have been constructed. The SLOPE/W outputs for these analyses are provided in Attachment U.

7.3.3.1 Slope Stability for Normal Pool Conditions

Slope stability at the maximum FTB dam height with the pool at normal condition (elevation 1722.8 feet) was modeled for both drained and undrained conditions. The resulting factors of safety are summarized in Table 7-7. Again, where appropriate, the ESSA results relate to global failures, with localized buttress-slough failures reported as notes. Factors of safety for all ESSA and $USSA_{yield}$ strength conditions are above the DNR recommended minimum values.

Table 7-7 Modeled Factors of Safety for Maximum Dam Height with Normal Pool Conditions

Case	Slip Surface	Section F		Section G		Section N	
		$USSA_{yield}$	ESSA	$USSA_{yield}$	ESSA	$USSA_{yield}$	ESSA
Lift 8 w/Normal Pool	Circular	1.98	3.76 (1)	2.42	3.30 (3)	2.02	4.60
	Wedge	1.84	3.72 (2)	1.85	3.29 (4)	2.00	4.58
Target Factor of Safety Value		**1.3**	**1.5**	**1.3**	**1.5**	**1.3**	**1.5**

(1) Buttress slough FS = 2.33 (3) Buttress slough FS = 2.37
(2) Buttress slough FS = 2.36 (4) Buttress slough FS = 2.38

For Cross-Section F, the critical failure surface for Lift 8 ESSA conditions is the wedge failure with a slip surface running along the interface of the till and impenetrable fractured bedrock. For the $USSA_{yield}$ conditions, the critical wedge failure surface resulted in the lowest FOS with a slip surface running along the interface of the peat and impenetrable till layer.

For Cross-Section G, the critical failure surface for Lift 8 ESSA conditions is the wedge failure with a slip surface running along the interface of the till and impenetrable fractured bedrock. For the $USSA_{yield}$ conditions, the critical failure surface resulted in the lowest FOS with a slip surface running along the interface of the peat and impenetrable till layer.

For Cross-Section N, the critical failure surface for Lift 8 resulting in the lowest FOS is the wedge failure for both $USSA_{yield}$ and ESSA conditions where the failure surfaces entered through Lift 8 and exited at the toe of the existing dam through the blanket buttress.

7.3.3.2 Slope Stability for PMP Pool Conditions

Slope stability at the maximum dam height was also analyzed for the PMP event. The seepage modeling conservatively assumed that PMP conditions, with the pond level elevated by 4 feet to an elevation of 1726.8 feet (therefore temporarily shrinking the beach length from 625 feet to approximately 150 feet). The model assumes the PMP pond remained high long enough for steady-state seepage conditions to apply. Stability model outputs with the PMP conditions are provided in Attachment U, where it can be observed that the 4-foot pond bounce has a relatively small effect on the phreatic surface within the dam and hence a small effect on slope stability. The computed factors of safety for ESSA and $USSA_{yield}$ strength parameters for Lift 8 PMP conditions are listed in Table 7-8. Again, where appropriate, the ESSA results relate to global failures, with localized buttress-slough failures reported as notes. All factors of safety exceed the minimum factors of safety required by the DNR.

Table 7-8 Modeled Factors of Safety for Maximum Dam Height with PMP Conditions

Case	Slip Surface	Section F		Section G		Section N	
		$USSA_{yield}$	ESSA	$USSA_{yield}$	ESSA	$USSA_{yield}$	ESSA
Lift 8 w/PMP Event	Circular	1.97	3.76 (1)	2.43 (3)	3.29 (4)	1.92	4.38
	Wedge	1.82	3.67 (2)	1.86	3.29 (4)	1.91	4.34
Target Factor of Safety Value		**1.3**	**1.5**	**1.3**	**1.5**	**1.3**	**1.5**

(1) Buttress-slough FS = 2.27 (3) Buttress-slough FS = 2.34
(2) Buttress-slough FS = 2.26 (4) Buttress-slough FS = 2.38

For Cross-Section F, the critical failure surface for the PMP ESSA condition is a wedge failure resulting in a slip surface running along the interface of till and impenetrable fractured bedrock. The $USSA_{yield}$ wedge failure resulted in a slip surface along the interface of the peat and impenetrable till.

For Cross-Section G the critical failure surface is identified by a circular failure for ESSA that enters in the existing coarse tailings dam just above the buttress and exits through the virgin peat. For the $USSA_{yield}$ conditions, the critical failure surface is identified by the wedge failure resulting in a slip surface running along the interface of peat and impenetrable till.

For Cross-Section N, the critical failure surface for Lift 8 under PMP conditions having the lowest FOS is the wedge failure for both $USSA_{yield}$ and ESSA conditions where the failure surfaces entered through Lift 8 and exited at the toe of the existing dam through the blanket buttress.

7.3.4 Static Liquefaction Triggering Results

Static liquefaction triggering was evaluated for Cross-Section F using SLOPE/W, as described in Section 6.4.2. Spreadsheets and modeling outputs are provided in Attachment P. Using the results of the $USSA_{yield}$ stability analyses, the critical slip surface was analyzed for static liquefaction triggering for five loading scenarios specified in the work plan (Large Table 2). Results are presented in Table 7-9. Results of the sixth liquefaction triggering case specified in the Work Plan, long-term conditions, are presented in Section 7.3.7.

Liquefaction was triggered for portions of the critical failure surface in only one of the five credible scenarios; the case of fast construction of Lift 1 (Rapid Load). Following the procedure described in Section 6.4.2, the slices where liquefaction was triggered were reassigned liquefied shear strengths and the same critical failure surface was re-analyzed. The post-loading FOS value is reported as the $FOS_{overall}$ for the Rapid Load scenario in Table 7-9.

The $FOS_{triggering}$ represents the average of values computed for slices with the base in saturated, contractive (i.e., liquefaction susceptible) tailings. The $FOS_{overall}$ relates to $USSA_{yield}$ slope

stability (which requires a minimum FOS of 1.3) for all cases (because no liquefaction was triggered) other than the Rapid Load case. The resulting factors of safety for the liquefaction triggering scenarios are all above the required minimum post-liquefaction value of 1.1.

Table 7-9 Results of Liquefaction Triggering Analyses

Liquefaction Triggering Scenario	Slope Stability $FOS_{overall}$	Average $FOS_{triggering}$ for Liquefaction Susceptible Slices
Baseline	2.13	2.13
Rapid Loading - fast construction of Lift 1	1.78	1.90
Erosion – local erosion/pipe scour (1)	1.07	--
Plugged Drain, Lift 1	1.91	1.91
Plugged Drain, Lift 8	2.12	2.12

(1) Simplified analysis approach used in Geotechnical Data Package – Vol. 1 – Ver. 8; detailed analysis approach yields FOS >1.10.

7.3.4.1 Baseline Case

The Baseline triggering analysis was based on a single model, as noted in Large Table 2, because no immediate change in conditions was being analyzed. Rather, this allowed a review of each slice to evaluate whether the yield shear strength would be exceeded. Liquefaction was not triggered in any slice in the Baseline model.

7.3.4.2 Rapid Load

The Rapid Load case assumes that Lift 1 is constructed so rapidly that excess porewater pressures are generated causing a decrease in effective stress, which could trigger static liquefaction. The strength profile along the FSFS was locked-in based on the pre-construction model. The Lift 1 dam was then added in one instantaneous time step, which resulted in liquefaction being triggered in slices along the FSFS. The post-liquefaction $FOS_{overall}$ FOS value is below the average $FOS_{triggering}$ value, which is computed based only on the slices with bases in saturated Flotation Tailings or LTVSMC fine tailings and slimes. As shown in Table 7-9, both the $FOS_{overall}$ and the average $FOS_{triggering}$ factors of safety are above the target safety factor of 1.1 for liquefied conditions.

7.3.4.3 Erosion

The Erosion case assumes that a portion (76 cubic yards) of the LTVSMC coarse tailings and proposed compacted LTVSMC bulk tailings above the proposed buttress erodes. In prior

versions of this data package, previously completed and reported triggering analysis for rapid erosion of the LTVSMC coarse tailings have yielded FOSoverall of nearly 2.0 (well above a target minimum FOSoverall of 1.1 for triggering analyses). Triggering of liquefaction by erosion would likely be very time-dependent, with triggering more likely to be caused by a rapid erosion event. Rather than assuming erosion rates and because some erosion has a reasonable probability of occurring, the fully liquefied case (representing the worst-case scenario) was assumed for this iteration of the erosion case for a more conservative analysis. In accordance with other fully liquefied analyses (as described in Section 7.3.6), the liquefiable materials (saturated LTVSMC fine tailings and slimes and NorthMet flotation tailings, as well as those contractive materials in the capillary zone) were assumed to liquefy and were assigned the post-liquefaction shear strength ($USSR_{liq}$) for the erosion case. Because this represents a more conservative analysis than the triggering analysis approach, the post-liquefaction FOS for this case was analyzed and was taken to be acceptable if the resulting value remained above 1.05. The analysis of local erosion resulted in a FOS of 1.07 as shown in Table 7-9. Although dam erosion, if it occurs during operations, would be routinely and proactively be repaired per the Flotation Tailings Management Plan (Reference (1), this modeling indicates that a sizeable erosion event could occur while assuming full liquefaction, and the design still maintains a FOS of nearly 1.1.

7.3.4.4 Plugged Drain, Lift 1

The Plugged Drain at Lift 1 case assumes that after Lift 1 is filled, finer particles plug the underdrain layer and the underdrain becomes ineffective over time. The plugged underdrain was modeled with the same permeability as the lowest permeability Flotation Tailings (6.56×10^{-7} ft/sec or 2.00×10^{-5} cm/sec) and the phreatic surface was then computed. The phreatic surface was not greatly changed by plugging of the drain, as the pond is much closer to the Lift 1 and LTVSMC dams, allowing for seepage to flow above the plugged drain into the LTVSMC bulk tailings and then into the underlying coarse tailings. Liquefaction was not triggered in any slice in the Plugged Drain, Lift 1 model and factors of safety for both the $FOS_{overall}$ and the average $FOS_{triggering}$ were above the target safety factor of 1.1 for liquefied conditions (Table 7-9).

7.3.4.5 Plugged Drain, Lift 8

The Plugged Drain at Lift 8 case assumes that finer particles plug the underdrain layer and it becomes ineffective over time. The plugged underdrain was modeled with the same permeability as the lowest permeability Flotation Tailings (6.56×10^{-7} ft/sec or 2.00×10^{-5} cm/sec) and the phreatic surface was then computed. A small increase in the phreatic surface was only noted close to the underdrain, which therefore did not have a significant impact on the slope stability and the results were identical to the Baseline case. As stratigraphy was updated based on CPTu data from the 2014 CPT investigation, a layer of coarse tailings approximately 5 feet thick was determined to be located underneath the proposed underdrain layer, which was not modeled in versions prior to this submittal. Therefore, plugging the underdrain layer had only a small impact on the phreatic surface as the existing coarse tailings layer beneath the drain continued to provide a route for porewater pressures to dissipate, helping to pull flow down and then out through the LTVSMC coarse tailings shell.

Liquefaction was not triggered in any slice in the Plugged Drain, Lift 8 model. As shown in Table 7-9, both the $FOS_{overall}$ and the average $FOS_{triggering}$ values are above the target safety factor of 1.1 for liquefied conditions.

7.3.5 Seismic Liquefaction Triggering Results

Results of the seismic liquefaction screening evaluations for Cross-Sections F, G, and N (Section 6.4.3.3) indicate that seismic triggering will not occur (Attachment R). As the seismic design event (2,475-year return period) would not trigger liquefaction in any FTB materials, per the Work Plan (Attachment A), no additional seismic triggering analyses were necessary.

Calculations were also performed to determine the potential for seismic deformation. Swaisgood performed an extensive review of case studies of embankment dam behavior during seismic events to assess if there is a trend of seismic deformation that can be used for predictive purposes (Reference (51)). Swaisgood determined relationships between the estimated percent of crest settlement (based on the total dam height), the PGA experienced, and the earthquake magnitude (Figure 2 of Reference (51)). The relationships presented on this figure range from 0.01% to 12% crest settlement, with these deformations for seismic events with M_w = 5 to 9 and a_{max} = 0.1g to 1g. The smallest event is approximately an order of magnitude larger than the Project's design event. Using the design event parameters and the stated relationship, a crest settlement of 0.01% or 0.024 feet is computed. This amount of settlement is considered minimal and will not affect the stability or pond containment capability of the dam.

7.3.6 Fully Liquefied Worst-Case Results

The fully liquefied worst-case represents conditions at the end of operations with normal pool, when the pond bottom has not yet received bentonite amendment, the spigots are still discharging to the beaches, and the Flotation Tailings have not aged (combined effects of weathering and secondary compression), under the assumption that all saturated contractive (i.e., liquefaction susceptible) materials are reduced to their liquefied strength values. This is a hypothetical case where an unknown trigger occurs. This configuration generates the steady-state phreatic surface under normal pool conditions with the lowest $USSR_{liq}$ values.

No buttress-slough failures were identified as the critical failure surface; only global failures were reported in the modeling and provided in Table 7-10 for Cross-Sections F, G, and N. Contours of the failure surfaces were analyzed to verify that no additional critical slip surfaces exist for the model and the safety map was reviewed to verify that similar $FOS_{overall}$ values do not apply to other slip surfaces (such as a smaller surface that exits or enters through the mid-slope setback). The SLOPE/W outputs for these analyses are provided in Attachment U.

Table 7-10 Modeled Factors of Safety for Worst-Case Flow Liquefaction Conditions

Case	Slip Surface	$FOS_{overall}$		
		Section F	Section G	Section N
Lift 8 - All Saturated Contractive Materials Liquefied to $USSR_{liq}$	Circular	1.26	1.36	1.16
	Wedge	1.10	1.10	1.16

Published required factors of safety for flow liquefaction generally range from 1.0 to 1.1 as recommended by the Natural Resources Conservation Service (as cited in Reference (52)) for seismic loading conditions, as well as the United States Department of Agriculture (Reference (53). The Federal Emergency Management Agency (Reference (54)), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (as cited in Reference (52)), and the Federal Register and D'Appolonia Consulting Engineers (as cited in Reference (55)) suggest that the FOS for liquefied cases be above 1.0. The Work Plan (Attachment A) requires a FOS ≥ 1.1 for this worst-case scenario. All slope stability FOS results for the flow liquefaction worst-case model are equal to or greater than 1.1.

The fully liquefied baseline case (end of operations Mine Year 20) results in a model with $FOS_{overall}$ = 1.10 for Cross-Sections F. This is the lowest $FOS_{overall}$ computed in all stability analyses. Achieving a $FOS_{overall} \geq 1.1$ for the Cross-Section F fully liquefied Baseline case requires a buttress at the toe of the basin. As discussed in Section 6.3.2, the buttress has an ultimate crest elevation of 1574 feet. The long-term analyses of fully liquefied Cross-Section F scenarios (Section 7.3.7.2) show that the FOS will increase over time during reclamation and postclosure maintenance.

For Section G, the critical circular failure surface resulted in a FOS along a slip surface that enters through lift 8 and exits through the mid-slope set-back. The critical wedge failure surface occurred along the interface of the LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes and impenetrable peat. Achieving a $FOS_{overall} \geq 1.1$ for Cross-Section G requires a buttress at the toe of the basin. The required buttress dimensions are discussed in Section 6.3.2.2. The geometry of these features may be optimized during final design.

Section N fully liquefied conditions resulted in an acceptable FOS value for the circular and wedge failure with a slip surface that enters through the bench of Lift 6 and exits through the blanket buttress. Achieving a $FOS_{overall} \geq 1.1$ for Cross-Section N requires a blanket buttress at the toe of the basin. The required buttress dimensions are presented in Table 7-1.

PolyMet, through the course of future operations monitoring and geotechnical instrumentation installation and material testing, will routinely be reviewing and potentially refining geotechnical modeling parameters. PolyMet is committed to the Observational Approach for basin

development. Therefore, incremental changes in dam and buttress design may be implemented throughout the operation of the FTB. .

7.3.7 Postclosure Stability Results

The FTB is designed to provide storage for Flotation Tailings produced during a 20-year operating period. After the FTB has been filled to its maximum height and all 8 lifts constructed, the dam will be prepared for reclamation by amending the 625-foot beach of Flotation Tailings and the bottom of the pond with bentonite. The postclosure FTB will be effectively covered with a bentonite-amended surface on the exterior face of the dam lifts, the Flotation Tailings beach, and the pond bottom to limit seepage into the FTB.

7.3.7.1 Drained Conditions (ESSA) Long-Term Scenarios

The slope stability of the postclosure FTB was analyzed along Cross-Section F for drained conditions (ESSA) at 20, 200, and 2,000 years beyond end-of-operations to evaluate the change if FOS after closure. The FOS results of the stability models for FTB postclosure conditions at 20, 200, and 2,000 years are provided in Table 7-11. The postclosure slope stability safety factors are well above the target value, as dewatering and strength gain (assumed to occur due to secondary compression) increases stability after operations end. Again, where appropriate, the ESSA conditions relate to global failures, with localized buttress-slough failures reported as notes. All critical failure surfaces meet the minimum factors of safety required by the DNR. The Slope/W outputs for these analyses are provided in Attachment U. FOS increases can be expected at the other cross-sections analyzed as well; they are subject to the same mechanisms that produce strength gains at Cross-Section F.

Table 7-11 Modeled Factors of Safety for Postclosure Conditions (Cross-Section F)

Case		Section F
Postclosure Drained (ESSA) Conditions	End-of-Operations	3.72 (1)
	20 years after end of operations	3.89 (2)
	200 years after end of operations	3.86 (3)
	2,000 years after end of operations	3.87 (4)
Target Factor of Safety Value		**1.5**

(1) Buttress-slough FS = 2.33
(2) Buttress-slough FS = 2.37
(3) Buttress-slough FS = 2.34
(4) Buttress-slough FS = 2.39

It should be noted that, in addition to the difficulty in estimating weathering and material strength for long-term analysis, modeling complications also arise when attempting to estimate conditions many years after operations. Seepage conditions must be modeled over very large time steps. Estimation of long-term conditions should therefore be viewed as an assessment of

potential conditions based on currently available information. Monitoring and testing will be used throughout operations to continue to update long-term analyses and the closure design will be confirmed at the end of operations.

7.3.7.2 Fully Liquefied ($USSA_{liq}$) Long-Term Scenarios

Because Cross-Section F is the critical section based on the critical design case (fully liquefied), it is expected that this analysis would yield the lowest factors of safety for fully liquefied long-term, post-closure conditions. The long-term fully liquefied analysis evaluated conditions at 20, 200, and 2,000 years after the end of operations. The fully liquefied condition was selected to analyze the long-term scenarios as it best represents an unknown triggering event. The results of the fully liquefied long-term scenarios are summarized in Table 7-12. The SLOPE/W outputs for these analyses are provided in Attachment U.

Table 7-12 Modeled Factors of Safety for Fully Liquefied Long-Term Conditions (Cross-Section F)

Case		Section F
Long-Term Fully Liquefied Conditions	End-of-Operations	1.10
	20 years after end of operations	1.32
	200 years after end of operations	1.68
	2,000 years after end of operations	1.74
Target Factor of Safety Value		**1.10**

The long-term models indicate that fully liquefied FOS will continue to increase over time. The estimated aggregate effects of dewatering, weathering, and secondary compression result in a decrease in material susceptibility to liquefaction, as well as an increase in liquefied strengths and effective stress in material that remains susceptible to liquefaction; thereby increasing slope stability. FOS increases can be expected at the other cross-sections analyzed as well; they are subject to the same mechanisms that produce strength gains at Cross-Section F.

7.3.8 Sensitivity Analysis Results

7.3.8.1 Analysis 1 -Yield Strength Sensitivity Analysis Results

Analysis 1 assessed how statistical variations in the yield undrained shear strengths ($USSR_{yield}$) affect the FOS under normal operating conditions. Probabilistic material strength parameters were assigned to the LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes, NorthMet flotation tailings, and peat for this analysis.

Using the yield shear strength probability distribution assigned to key materials, the sensitivity analysis (performed as described in Section 6.6) yielded a cumulative distribution function for the FOS (Figure 7 of Attachment S). The cumulative distribution function indicates that there is a 0% probability that the FOS will be less than 1.0. There is also a 0% probability that the FOS will be below 1.3, which is the minimum FOS value for USSA models using yield undrained strengths.

The sensitivity analysis included an analysis that sequentially varied the values of one material shear strength while holding the others at their DV. The results of this analysis are shown in Figure 8 of Attachment S, and illustrate that the calculated FOS is most sensitive to variations in the $USSR_{yield}$ value for the compressed peat.

7.3.8.2 Analysis 2 - Liquefied Strength Sensitivity Analysis Results

Analysis 2 assessed how statistical variations in the liquefied shear strength ($USSR_{liq}$) affect the post-liquefaction FOS for an unknown triggering event. Probabilistic material strength parameters were assigned to the LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes and NorthMet flotation tailings for this analysis.

Using the liquefied shear strength probability distribution applied to key materials, the sensitivity analysis (performed as described in Section 6.6) yielded a cumulative distribution function for the post-liquefaction FOS. The cumulative distribution function (Figure 9 of Attachment S) indicates that there is about a 1.94% probability that the post-liquefaction FOS value will be less than 1.0 in the unlikely event that liquefaction is triggered by an unknown event.

The sensitivity analysis included an analysis that sequentially varied the values of one material shear strength while holding the other at its design value. The results of this analysis are shown in Figure 10 of Attachment S, and illustrate that the calculated FOS is most sensitive to variations in the $USSR_{liq}$ value for the LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes.

It is important to understand that the probability (or likelihood) associated with a computed post-liquefaction FOS being less than 1.0 is not the same as the probability of failure of the FTB. The probability of failure of the FTB involves a series of events, each with an associated probability (or likelihood) of occurrence. In this case, the series of events involves an unknown event triggering liquefaction followed by a post-liquefaction FOS falling below one. As discussed previously, the combined probability of an unknown triggering event occurring and the FOS being less than 1.0 is very low. The probability (or likelihood) of failure of the FTB (for this case) can be estimated as the product of the likelihood of occurrence of each event (unknown trigger and post-liquefaction FOS<1.0), keeping in mind that an unknown triggering event is no more likely to occur than the known triggering events described and modeled in previous sections of this report. The results of the known triggering events show that the dams are stable under those conditions and that a fully liquefied failure does not occur. Additionally, Section 7.3.7 indicates that the strengths of the fine tailings and slimes will increase over time as a result of several mechanisms, thereby decreasing the

likelihood of an unknown triggering event causing liquefaction and increasing the post-liquefaction FOS. Therefore, the probability that the FOS value will be less than 1.0 as a result of an unknown triggering event will decrease over time.

8.0 Summary of Stability Modeling Results

The stability modeling determined that the design meets required factors of safety for all expected conditions:

- Existing condition (before the FTB is constructed)
- Interim conditions (while the FTB is under construction), with normal operating conditions
- Maximum height, with normal operating conditions
- Maximum height, with normal postclosure conditions

The modeling then determined that the design meets required factors of safety for a series of possible but increasingly less likely conditions:

- Maximum height, with a plugged drain, a rapid load, or erosion
- Maximum height, with an unknown triggering event causing all contractive materials to liquefy
- Maximum height, with a seismic event

To assess how these results might be affected by uncertainty and variability in the soil strength values, a sensitivity analysis was conducted. Sensitivity analysis results show the following:

- Cumulative probability that the FOS is less than the required value when the dam is at maximum height, with normal operating conditions, is 0%.
- Cumulative probability that the FOS is less than the required value when the dam is at maximum height, with an unknown triggering event causing all contractive materials to liquefy, is less than 5%.
- The probability of dam failure is unknown, because the likelihood of an unknown triggering event occurring is, by definition, unknown, however it would likely be many orders of magnitude smaller than the probability that the factory of safety is less than the required value.

A summary of slope stability safety factors computed for each component of the stability analysis, as required by the Work Plan, is provided in Table 8-1. The lowest FOS for each case is presented, whether determined by the circular method or wedge method. The design of the FTB is based on the slope stability results meeting or exceeding factors of safety of 1.5 for drained (ESSA) conditions, 1.3 for undrained ($USSA_{yield}$) conditions, and 1.1 for liquefied ($USSA_{liq}$) conditions.

Table 8-1 Summary of Stability Modeling Results

Cross-Section Location	Cross-Section F			Cross-Section G			Cross-Section N		
Case	**USSA yield**	**ESSA**	**USSA liq**	**USSA yield**	**ESSA**	**USSA liq**	**USSA yield**	**ESSA**	**USSA liq**
Target Factor of Safety	**1.3**	**1.5**	**1.1**	**1.3**	**1.5**	**1.1**	**1.3**	**1.5**	**1.1**
Design Scenarios – Steady State Seepage									
Existing Conditions	--	1.83	--	--	2.21	--	--	3.11	--
Interim Lift 2	2.26	3.72	--	2.29	3.30	--	--	--	--
Interim Lift 4	1.96	3.72	--	1.95	3.29	--	--	--	--
Interim Lift 6	1.97	3.73	--	1.95	3.29	--	1.88	4.43	--
Lift 8 w/Normal Pool	1.84	3.72	--	1.86	3.29	--	2.00	4.58	--
Lift 8 w/PMP Event	1.82	3.67	--	1.85	3.29	--	1.91	4.34	--
Long-Term Stability – Steady State Seepage									
End of Operations	--	3.72	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
20 Years after Closure	--	3.89	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
200 Years after Closure	--	3.86	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
2,000 Years after Closure	--	3.87	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Cross-Section F Liquefaction Triggering Analysis									
Baseline	2.13	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Plugged Drain	2.12	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Lift 1 Rapid Loading	--	--	1.78	--	--	--	--	--	--
Erosion	1.07	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Lift 1 Plugged Drain	1.91	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Fully Liquefied with Unknown Trigger									

Cross-Section Location	Cross-Section F			Cross-Section G			Cross-Section N		
Case	**USSA yield**	**ESSA**	**USSA liq**	**USSA yield**	**ESSA**	**USSA liq**	**USSA yield**	**ESSA**	**USSA liq**
Target Factor of Safety	**1.3**	**1.5**	**1.1**	**1.3**	**1.5**	**1.1**	**1.3**	**1.5**	**1.1**
Operations	--	--	1.10	--	--	1.10	--	--	1.16
20 Years after Closure	--	--	1.32	--	--	--	--	--	--
200 Years after Closure	--	--	1.68	--	--	--	--	--	--
2,000 Years after Closure	--	--	1.74	--	--	--	--	--	--

9.0 Operation and Maintenance Requirements

Information on FTB management and facility inspection and maintenance required to maintain specified slope stability safety factors, consistent with industry practice, is presented in Reference (1).

The average angle of the existing Tailings Basin slopes is approximately 14 degrees (4H:1V), though isolated areas of the slopes do contain small, localized areas with steeper slope angles up to 25 degrees. It is recommended that routine maintenance be performed to maintain typical slope angles in the LTVSMC dams at 14 degrees. The LTSMC Tailings Basin is already in place, which represents a large portion of the FTB, and similar to other existing tailings basins, there is no practicable approach to tailings basin modification that would allow this existing basin to be left unmonitored or unmaintained forever. However, the proposed addition of the rock buttress will reduce maintenance requirements on the north side of Cell 2E.

During construction, the LTVSMC coarse tailings will be placed and compacted for each lift, and will be amended with bentonite. These lifts will also be regularly inspected and maintained to control erosion. Individual lift slopes for the FTB are proposed at 4.5H:1V (12.5 degrees). The average overall angle of the proposed FTB dams is approximately 6.6 degrees (8.6H:1V) and routine maintenance will be performed to maintain these slopes.

Prior the initiation of the Project, a comprehensive review of existing conditions will be conducted to identify any areas recommended for slope angle modification. Slope angle modification, if required, will be accomplished by adding material to the slope toe and/or cutting back the slope crest. Location-specific conditions will determine the most appropriate slope angle modification approach if modifications are required. The Contingency Action Plan for the Flotation Tailings Basin (Attachment F of Reference (1)) outlines mitigations for over-steepened side slopes.

The Contingency Action Plan (CAP) further includes other visual warning signs, including expected instrumentation indicators, as well as potential or actual consequences, planned notification procedures, and required actions. The CAP defines several adverse conditions and events that may lead to dam instability (i.e., static liquefaction triggering and seismic liquefaction triggering).

As the dams are constructed and operation of the basin begins, monitoring data and additional testing will become available allowing for periodic updates of the models in accordance with the observational approach. This observational approach to performance monitoring and analysis update is standard for large earthen structures that are developed incrementally over long periods of time. Additional geotechnical investigations will routinely be performed during operations. These investigations will include testing of the LTVSMC tailings and Flotation Tailings.

The observational approach requires planning for potential mitigation in case future data show that design assumptions were violated. Where model updates show that adjustments to the design are needed to maintain desired slope stability safety factors, approaches typically used for

modifying stability of dams are applicable to the FTB and will be utilized (as described in Section 6.3.2). These include but are not limited to: modification of bench widths between lifts of dam, modification of lift offsets, modification of lift heights, and modification of slope angles. Other modifications could include additional measures like buttresses, underdrains, mid-slope setbacks, and modification of materials used for dam construction to achieve higher strengths.

10.0 Future Analysis

Because of the potential stability issues associated with liquefaction of upstream tailings dams, it was deemed prudent at this time to retain the buttress and mid-slope setback in the design of Cross-Section F and Cross-Section G, each serving to increase long-term stability of the FTB. Future analyses of all cross-sections may be used to evaluate how the buttress geometry could be optimized while still maintaining a triggering-analysis $FOS_{Flow} > 1.1$.

The locations for future investigations will particularly focus in areas where re-saturation is associated with Flotation Tailings deposition and where testing has been performed in the past to allow for comparison of past conditions to conditions at the time of future testing. Future investigations will also aim to target materials identified by the sensitivity analysis (i.e., LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes, Flotation Tailings, and Peat) and evaluate the strength of the bentonite-amended material. Additionally, further work will be done to verify the properties of the LTVSMC Bulk Tailings and the buttress material as they are obtained prior to construction. The investigations may include a combination of the following:

- SPT drilling
- CPTu
- Rapid CPTu (with an advancement rate over 170 mm/s)
- Dissipation testing
- DMT
- Field vane shear testing
- Laboratory testing, including index properties, permeability, and strength testing

Data gathering will occur in conjunction with installation of new monitoring equipment (i.e., inclinometers, piezometers) and at other times as may be recommended by the basin engineers. The data gathered will be compiled with the existing information and the updated data will be used to re-analyze the design sections. When appropriate, the design may be optimized using the updated data, provided that the FOS remains above the minimum values set forth in Section 6.1.

Revision History

Date	Version	Description
9/23/2011	1	Initial release
8/3/2012	2	Updated shear strength parameters and stability analyses along with text clarifications based on comments from and discussions with DNR, Knight Piésold, USACE, and ERM
11/21/2012	3	Updated text and attachments and incorporated slope stability factor of safety results (Section 6) based on strength parameters identified in Attachment C and based on comments from DNR, Knight Piésold, USACE, and ERM.
4/12/2013	4	Updated text and attachments, restructured to create Section 4 on available geotechnical data, and incorporated stability analysis and results in Section 6 and 7 based on strength parameters and triggering scenarios identified in Attachment C, workshops, and comments received from DNR, Knight Piésold, USACE, and ERM.
12/30/2014	5	Updated text and attachments, performed a sensitivity and probability analysis on USSA and liquefied strengths as required by the supplement to the work plan (Attachment A), and incorporated stability analyses and results for Cross-Section G (north side of Cell 2E) and Cross-Section N (south side of Cell 1E).
2/26/2015	6	Revised to address agency comments on Version 5. Version 6 also limits the additional review by agencies.
7/11/2016	7	Updated to include signed PE certification
5/15/2017	8	Updated to modify Cell 2E north dam buttress configuration, to remove Cement Deep Soil Mix zone, and to align report with modifications to the Flotation Tailings Management Plan.

References

1. **Poly Met Mining, Inc.** NorthMet Project Flotation Tailings Management Plan (v7). May 2017.

2. **Chandler, Val W.** Minnesota at a Glance - Earthquakes in Minnesota. s.l. : Minnesota Geological Survey, 1994.

3. **Poly Met Mining Inc.** NorthMet Project Water Modeling Data Package Volume 2 - Plant Site (v11). March 2015.

4. **Ebasco Services Inc.** Erie Mining Company Tailing Dam Investigation and Analysis Engineering Report. 1977.

5. —. Erie Mining Company Tailing Dam Investigations and Analysis Engineering Report, Supplement No. 1. 1978.

6. **Katsoulis, M.** Hydromechanical Adequacy of the Erie Tailings Dams with Post-Operational Permanent Pools. 1986.

7. **Barr Engineering Co.** Response to Dam Stability Issues. 1994.

8. **Sitka Corporation.** Geotechnical Assessment of Tailings Impoundment Phase I. 1995.

9. —. Phase II Geotechnical Assessment of Tailings Impoundment. 1995.

10. —. Phase 3 Geotechnical Assessment of Tailings Basin [for LTV Steel Mining Company]. February 1997.

11. **Barr Engineering Co.** LTVSMC Tailings Dam Field Exploration and Analyses. 2000.

12. **Boulanger, R.M. and I.M. Idriss.** Evaluating the potential for liquefaction or cyclic failure of silts and clays. s.l. : University of California - Davis, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Center for Geotechnical Modeling, 2004. Vols. Report no. UCD/CGM-04/01.

13. **Robertson, P.K. and R.G. Campanella.** Interpretation of Cone Penentration Tests - Part I: Sand, and Part II: Clay. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal.* 1983, Vol. 20, 4, pp. 718-733, 734-745.

14. *Evaluation of CPT Response under Fast Penetration Rate in Silty Soils.* **Contreras, I. and A.T. Grosser.** Minneapolis : University of Minnesota, 2009. 57th Annual Geotechnical Engineering Conference. pp. 107-120.

15. *Use of Piezometer Cone Data.* **Robertson, P.K., R.G. Campanella, D. Gillespie, J. Greig.** [ed.] S P Clemence. Blacksburg : ASCE, 1986. Specialty Conference: Use of In Situ Tests in Geotechnical Engineering. pp. 1263-1280. Geotechnical Special Publication No. 6.

16. *Strength Ratio Approach for Liquefaction Analysis of Tailings Dams.* **Olson, Scott M.** [ed.] J. F. & K. H. Kwong Labuz. Minneapolis : University of Minnesota, 2009. Proceedings of the UMN 57th Annual Geotechnical Engineering Conference. pp. 37-46.

17. **Lunne, T, P.K. Robertson, J.J.M. Powell.** *Cone Penetration Testing in Geotechnical Practice.* New York : Routledge, 1997.

18. **Das, Braja M.** Principles of Geotechnical Engineering. 5 [Text book]. Pacific Grove, California : Brooks/Cole, 2002.

19. **Sitka Corporation.** Data Report for 1996 Tailings Dam Investigations. 1996.

20. **SRK Consulting.** RS54/RS46 Waste Water Modeling – Tailings - NorthMet Project - DRAFT. 2007.

21. **Barr Engineering Co.** Hydrogeology of Fractured Bedrock in the Vicinity of the NorthMet Project. December 2014.

22. **Olson, Scott M and Timothy D Stark.** Yield Strength Ratio and Liquefaction Analysis of Slopes and Embankments. August 2003, Vol. 129, 8, pp. 727-737.

23. **Das, Braja M.** *Fundamentals of Geotechnical Engineering.* Pacific Grove : Brooks/Cole, 1999.

24. **Terzaghi, Karl, Robert Peck and G. Mesri.** *Soil Mechanics in Engineering Practice.* New York : John Wiley & Sons, 1996.

25. **Ajlouni, M.A.** Geotechnical Properties of Peat and Related Engineering Problems - PhD Thesis. s.l. : University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 2000.

26. **United States Bureau of Reclamation.** Procedure for Constant Head Hydraulic Conductivity Tests in Single Drill Holes. *USBR 7310-89.* 1989.

27. **Ishibashi, I and Zhang, X.** Unified dynamic shear moduli and damping ratios of sand and clay. *Soils. Found.* 1993, 33, pp. 182-191.

28. **GEO-SLOPE International Ltd.** Seepage Modeling with SEEP/W 2007, An Engineering Methodology. 4th 2010.

29. *Empirical Methods in Liquefaction Evaluation.* **Castro, Gonzalo.** Mexico City : Primer Ciclo de Conferencias Internacionales , November 1995.

30. **GEO-SLOPE, International Ltd.** Stability Modeling with SLOPE/W: An Engineering Methodology. Calgary, Alberta, Canada : s.n., May 2014.

31. **Tan, Ding.** *Thesis paper: Seismic slope safety - determination of critical slip surface using acceptability criteria.* s.l. : Imperial College - University of London, November 2006.

32. **Poly Met Mining Inc.** NorthMet Project Water Management Plan - Plant (v6). May 2017.

33. **Chalermyanont, Tanit and Surapon Arrykul.** Compacted Sand-Bentonite Mixtures for Hydraulic Conductivity Liners. 2005, Vol. 27, 2.

34. **Chen, Yueru.** An Experimental Investigation of the Behavior of Compacted Clay/Sand - Master's Thesis. Newark, DE : University of Delaware, 2010.

35. **Gueddouda, M. K., Md. Lamara, Nabil Aboubaker, Said Taibi.** Hydraulic Conductivity and Shear Strength of Dune Sand-Bentonite Mixtures. 2008, Vol. 13, H.

36. **Ada, Mahir.** Performance Assessment of Compacted Bentonite/Sand Mixtures Utilized as Isolation Material in Underground Waste Disposal Respositories. July 2007.

37. **Sasitharan, S and al., et.** Collapse Behavior of Sand. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal.* 1993, Vol. 30, pp. 569-577.

38. **Olson, Scott M and Timothy D Stark.** Use of Laboratory Data to Confirm Yield and Liquefaction Strength Ratio Concepts. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal.* November 2003, Vol. 40, pp. 1164-1184.

39. **Youd, T. IM Idriss, RD Andrus, I Arango, Gonzalo Castro, JT Christian, R Dobry, WD Liam Finn, LF Harder, Jr., ME Hynes, KIshihara, JP Koester, SSC Liao, WF Marcuson III, GR Martin, JK Mitchell, Y Moriwaki, MS Power, PK Robertson, RB Seed, and KH Stokoe II.** Liquefaction Resistance of Soils: Summary Report from 1996 NCEER and 1998 NCEER/NSF Worskhops on Evaluation of Liquefaction Resistance of Soils. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering.* October 2001, Vol. 127, 10, pp. 817-833.

40. **United States Geological Survey.** Hazard Mapping Images Data, 2008 Hazard Map (PGA, 2% in 50 years). *Earthquake Hazards Program.* [Online] 2012. http://earthquake.usgs.gov/hazards/products/graphic2pct50.pdf.

41. **Malhotra, Praveen K.** Earthquake-Induced Ground Motions. *ASCE Course Notes.* Minneapolis : American Society of Civil Engineers, 2011.

42. **Seed, H.B. and I.M. Idriss.** Ground Motions and Soil Liquefaction Durign Earthquakes. Oakland : Earthquake Engineering Research Institute, 1982.

43. *Reliability and Statistics in Geotechnical Engineering.* **Baecher, G. B. and Christian, J. T.** West Sussex, England : John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2003. ISBN 0-471-49833-5.

44. *Factors of Safety and Reliability in Geotechnical Engineering.* **Duncan, J. M.** 4, s.l. : ASCE Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, 2000, Vol. 126.

45. **U.S. Department of Labor: Mine Safety and Health Administration.** Engineering and Design Manual Coal Refuse Disposal Facilities. s.l. : D'Appolonia Engineering, May 2009.

46. *Probabilistic slope stability analysis for practice.* **El-Ramly, H., Morgenstern, N. R. and Cruden, D. M.** s.l. : Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 2002, Vol. 39.

47. **The British Dam Society; Henry Hewlett.** Long-term Benefits and Performance of Dams. Great Britain : MPG Books, 2004. 0727732684.

48. *The Status fo Methods for Estimation of the Probabiltiy of Failure of Dams for Use in Quantitative Risk Assessment.* **Fell, R., et al.** Beijing, China : International Commission on Large Dams, 20th Congress, 2000. Q76-R.

49. *Fourth Law of Soil Mechanics: The Law of Compressibility.* **Mesri, G.** Mexico City : s.n., 1987. Proceedings of the International Symposiumon Geotechnical Engineering of Soft Soils. Vol. 2, pp. 179-187.

50. **EBASCO.** LTV Steel 2-E Tailing Basin: Site Investigation and Stability Analysis. Hoyt Lake, Minnesota : s.n., June, 1990.

51. *Embankment Dam Deformation Caused by Earthquakes.* **Swaisgood, J.R.** Christchurch, New Zealand : s.n., 2003. 2003 Pacific Conference on Earthquake Engineering. Vol. Paper 014.

52. **United States Society on Dams.** Strength of Materials for Embankment Dams. February 2007.

53. **United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service Engineering Division.** Earth Dams and Reservoirs: Technical Release 60. October 1990.

54. **Federal Emergency Management Agency.** Federal Guidelines for Dam Safety - Earthquake Analyses and Design of Dams. s.l. : FEMA, 2005.

55. **Huang, Y.H.** Stability Analysis of Earth Slopes. [Text Book]. New York, New York : Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, 1983.

List of Tables

Table 3-1 Historic Seismicity of Minnesota....9
Table 4-1 USCS Material Classification....23
Table 4-2 Mineralogical Composition of Flotation Tailings (determined by petrographic analysis) and LTVSMC Tailings (by X-ray diffraction)....26
Table 5-1 Summary of Index Properties of LTVSMC Coarse Tailings....33
Table 5-2 Summary of Index Properties of LTVSMC Fine Tailings....34
Table 5-3 Summary of Index Properties of LTVSMC Slimes....34
Table 5-4 LTVSMC Saturated Permeabilities Used by Previous Investigators....35
Table 5-5 Range of Saturated Permeability of LTVSMC Coarse Tailings....36
Table 5-6 Range of Saturated Permeability of LTVSMC Slimes....38
Table 5-7 LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Blends....40
Table 5-8 Range of Saturated Permeability of LTVSMC Bulk Mixtures....40
Table 5-9 LTVSMC Shear Strength Parameters Previously Used (1977 to 2000)....41
Table 5-10 LTVSMC Tailings Shear Strength Parameters....42
Table 5-11 Summary of Index Properties of Flotation Tailings....43
Table 5-12 Range of Permeability for the Flotation Tailings....44
Table 5-13 Summary of Index Properties of Glacial Till....47
Table 5-14 Summary of Index Properties of Peat....47
Table 5-15 Native Soils Permeabilities Postulated by Previous Investigators....48
Table 5-16 Range of Permeability of Glacial Till from Falling-Head Tests....49
Table 5-17 Range of Permeability for Compressed Peat Material....51
Table 5-18 Native Soils Shear Strength Parameters Previously Used (1977 to 2000)....52
Table 5-19 Native Soils Shear Strength Parameters....52
Table 5-20 Summary of Seismic Modeling Parameters....55
Table 5-21 Shear Modulus Reduction Function Data....55
Table 5-22 Unsaturated Flow Functions Data....56
Table 5-23 Summary of Shear Strength Parameters....58
Table 6-1 Summary of USGS Seismic Risk Calculation....80
Table 6-2 Summary of PSHA Results....80
Table 6-3 Sensitivity Analyses Overview....84
Table 6-4 Range of Yield Undrained Shear Strength Ratio ($USSR_{yield}$) Values for Sensitivity Analysis 1....86
Table 6-5 Range of Liquefied Undrained Shear Strength Ratio ($USSR_{liq}$) Values for Sensitivity Analysis 2....86
Table 6-6 Assumed Strength Reduction of Tailings Due to Long-term Weathering....89
Table 6-7 Estimated Long-term Liquefied Strengths....90

Table 6-8 Long-term ESSA Strengths....91
Table 7-1 Summary of FTB Design for Cross-Sections F, G, and N 93
Table 7-2 Comparison of Measured and Estimated Total Heads (Cross-Section F) 97
Table 7-3 Comparison of Measured and Estimated Total Heads (Cross-Section G)....99
Table 7-4 Comparison of Measured and Estimated Total Heads (Cross-Section N)....100
Table 7-5 Modeled Factors of Safety for 2014 Existing Conditions 103
Table 7-6 Modeled Factors of Safety for Interim Lifts 105
Table 7-7 Modeled Factors of Safety for Maximum Dam Height with Normal Pool Conditions 106
Table 7-8 Modeled Factors of Safety for Maximum Dam Height with PMP Conditions 107
Table 7-9 Results of Liquefaction Triggering Analyses 108
Table 7-10 Modeled Factors of Safety for Worst-Case Flow Liquefaction Conditions ..111
Table 7-11 Modeled Factors of Safety for Postclosure Conditions (Cross-Section F)....112
Table 7-12 Modeled Factors of Safety for Fully Liquefied Long-Term Conditions (Cross-Section F) 113
Table 8-1 Summary of Stability Modeling Results....117

List of Figures

Figure 4-1 SEM Images of Flotation Tailings at 100x and 500x Magnification....27
Figure 4-2 SEM Images of LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes at 100x and 500x Magnification....28
Figure 6-1 Undrained Response of Saturated Contractive Sandy Soil....72

List of Large Tables

Large Table 1 Summary of Seepage and Stability Modeling Parameters
Large Table 2 Static Liquefaction Triggering Scenarios

List of Large Figures

Large Figure 1 LTVSMC Tailings Classifications (Ebasco 1978)
Large Figure 2 Summary of Dissipation Testing Results
Large Figure 3 NorthMet Bulk Tailings 2005 Permeability Test Results
Large Figure 4 LTVSMC Slimes 2007 Permeability Test Results
Large Figure 5 Filtration Criteria for NorthMet Bulk Tailings
Large Figure 6 Rock Starter Dam Gradation (Erie Mining Company)
Large Figure 7 Shear Modulus Functions for Seismic/Deformation Modeling
Large Figure 8 Volumetric Water Content Functions for Seepage Modeling
Large Figure 9 Permeability Functions for Seepage Modeling
Large Figure 10 Cross-Section F with Finite Element Mesh – Proposed Conditions Lift 8 (GeoStudio)
Large Figure 11 Cross-Section F Schematic of ESSA Conditions
Large Figure 12 Cross-Section F Schematic of USSA Conditions
Large Figure 13 Cross-Section F Schematic of Liquefied Conditions
Large Figure 14 Cross-Section F Proposed FTB Design Mine Year 20
Large Figure 15 Sounding 07-06 Triggering Potential
Large Figure 16 Cross-Section G Proposed FTB Design Mine Year 20
Large Figure 17 Cross-Section N Proposed FTB Design Mine Year 20
Large Figure 18 Piezometer Data for Cross-Section F
Large Figure 19 Piezometer Data for Cross-Section G

List of Attachments

Attachment A	DNR Geotechnical Work Plan
Attachment B	Oversized Figures and Maps
Attachment C	Material Strength Characterization
Attachment D	Historical Geotechnical Reports
Attachment E	2007 Geotechnical Investigation Laboratory Test Results
Attachment F	2014 Geotechnical Investigation Report
Attachment G	FTB Containment System Slope Stability Impacts
Attachment H	2007 Geotechnical Investigation CPTu Sounding Logs and Dissipation Test Results
Attachment I	2007 Geotechnical Investigation Dilatometer Test Results
Attachment J	2007 Geotechnical Investigation SPT Boring Logs
Attachment K	Tailings Mineralogy and Shape Memorandum
Attachment L	NorthMet Tailings Weathering Memorandum
Attachment M	Material Strength Characterization Review by Scott Olson
Attachment N	Processed CPT Results
Attachment O	FTB Bentonite Amended Coarse Tailings Test Data
Attachment P	Static Liquefaction Triggering Plots
Attachment Q	NorthMet Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Assessment (PSHA)
Attachment R	Seismic Liquefaction Triggering Plots
Attachment S	Sensitivity Analysis Plots
Attachment T	SEEP/W Output Figures
Attachment U	SLOPE/W Output Figures

Large Tables

Large Table 1 Summary of Seepage and Stability Modeling Parameters

Material	Saturated Permeability		Saturated Unit Weight, γ_{sat}	ESSA		USSA			
				Cohesion	Friction	Cohesion	Friction	$USSR_{yield}$	$USSR_{liq}$
				c'	ϕ'	c_u	ϕ_{cu}	$s_{u(yield)}/\sigma'_v$	$s_{u(liq)}/\sigma'_v$
	cm/s	ft/s	pcf	psf	deg	psf	deg	--	--
LTVSMC Coarse Tailings	2.44×10^{-3}	8.00×10^{-5}	135	0	38.5	0	38.5	-	-
LTVSMC Fine Tailings	2.00×10^{-5}	6.56×10^{-7}	130	0	33.0	-	-	0.25	0.1
LTVSMC Slimes	9.60×10^{-7}	3.16×10^{-8}	120	0	33.0	-	-	0.22	0.1
LTVSMC FT/Slimes & Interior FT/Slimes	3.05×10^{-6}	1.00×10^{-7}	125	0	33.0	-	-	0.24	0.1
LTVSMC Bulk Tailings	8.02×10^{-5}	2.63×10^{-6}	130	0	38.5	0	38.5	-	-
Glacial Till	1.55×10^{-3}	5.10×10^{-5}	135	0	36.5	0	36.5	-	-
Virgin Peat	1.01×10^{-3}	3.30×10^{-5}	70	Shear/normal function[2]		-	-	0.23	-
Compressed Peat[1]	3.60×10^{-6}	1.18×10^{-7}	85						-
Rock Starter Dam	1.52	5.00×10^{-2}	140	0	40.0	0	40.0	-	-
Flotation Tailings[3] – 0.45 tsf	1.90×10^{-4}	6.23×10^{-6}	125	0	33.0	-	-	0.26	0.12
Flotation Tailings[3] – 1.35 tsf	5.61×10^{-5}	1.84×10^{-6}							
Flotation Tailings[3] – 2.29 tsf	2.00×10^{-5}	6.56×10^{-7}							
Fractured Bedrock	7.19×10^{-4}	2.36×10^{-5}	140	0	45.0	-	-	-	-

Material	Saturated Permeability		Saturated Unit Weight, γ_{sat}	ESSA		USSA			
				Cohesion	Friction	Cohesion	Friction	$USSR_{yield}$	$USSR_{liq}$
				c'	ϕ'	c_u	ϕ_{cu}	$s_{u(yield)}/\sigma'_v$	$s_{u(liq)}/\sigma'_v$
	cm/s	ft/s	pcf	psf	deg	psf	deg	--	--
Bedrock	1.92×10^{-5}	6.30×10^{-7}	Impenetrable						
Rail Grade	1.52	5.00×10^{-2}	140	0	45	0	45	-	-

(1) Permeability of the peat below the dam was altered for anisotropy, applying a ratio of ky/kx = 0.067.
(2) Drained strength of the peat was included as a shear/normal function, as detailed in Attachment C, with $\phi' \approx 27$ degrees.
(3) Permeability of the Flotation Tailings was varied based on effective overburden pressure, as detailed in Section 5.3.2.

Large Table 2 Static Liquefaction Triggering Scenarios

Case	Strength for Triggering Analysis		Lift	Comments	Determination of Fully Specified Failure Surface	$FOS_{triggering}$
	$USSR_{yield}$	Lock in s_u				
Baseline	X		8	--	Baseline reduced strength analysis (same model)	$\frac{\text{Shear strength}_{Baseline}}{\text{Shear mobilized}_{Baseline}}$
Plugged Lift 8 - final lift + ineffective drain	X		8	Assumes CT drain is plugged & normal pond	Plugged_{L8} reduced strength analysis (same model)	$\frac{\text{Shear strength}_{Plugged\ L8}}{\text{Shear mobilized}_{Plugged\ L8}}$
Rapid Loading - fast construction of Lift 1		X	0/1	15' of dam loaded with s_u-values from pre-lift conditions	Lift 0 reduced strength analysis (pre-loading)	$\frac{\text{Shear strength}_{L0}}{\text{Shear mobilized}_{L1}}$
Erosion - Local erosion/pipe scour		X	8	Evaluated erosion (continued to remove failing regions until FOS>1)	Erosion reduced strength analysis (post-loading)	$\frac{\text{Shear strength}_{Baseline}}{\text{Shear mobilized}_{Erosion}}$
Plugged Lift 1 - 1st lift + ineffective drain	X		1	Assumes CT drain is plugged	Plugged L1 reduced strength analysis (same model)	$\frac{\text{Shear strength}_{Plugged\ L1}}{\text{Shear mobilized}_{Plugged\ L1}}$
Long-term - 20 years	X		8	Assumes some strength alteration based on weathering and secondary compression	Not applicable (fully liquefied analysis)	Not applicable (fully liquefied analysis)
Long-term - 200 years	X		8			
Long-term - 2,000 years	X		8			

Large Figures



Large Figure 1. LTVSMC Tailings Grain Size Classifications (Ebasco, 1978)



Large Figure 2. Summary of Dissipation Testing Results
2007 NorthMet Geotechnical Investigation

P:\Mpls\23 MN\69\2369862\WorkFiles\Geotechnical Investigations\2011 data & analysis\basin properties\Dissipation Testing t50.xlsx



Large Figure 3. NorthMet Bulk Tailings 2005 Permeability Test Results

P:\Mpls\23 MN\69\2369862\WorkFiles\Geotechnical Investigations\2008 analysis\PolyMet tailings\PolyMet Permeability vs Eff Confining Pres.xls



Large Figure 4. LTVSMC Slimes 2007 Permeability Test Results

(Dissipation test k_h based on Figure 5.42 in Lunne, Robertson, and Powell)



Large Figure 5. Filtration Criteria for NorthMet Bulk Flotation Tailings

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION



COARSE TAILING (BASE - B)
D15 0.13MM
D50 0.4MM
D85 1.2MM

STARTER DIKE FILL (FILTER - F)
D15 0.9MM
D50 3MM
D85 12MM

FILTER DESIGN CRITERIA:

$\frac{D15F}{D85B} < 5$ $\quad \frac{0.9MM}{1.2MM} = 0.75$ OK

$\frac{D50F}{D50B} < 25$ $\quad \frac{3MM}{0.4MM} = 7.5$ OK

$4 < \frac{D15F}{D15B} < 20$ $\quad \frac{0.9MM}{0.13MM} = 6.9$ OK

ERIE MINING COMPANY

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION
SUMMARY PLOT
COARSE TAILING &
ROCKFILL STARTER DIKE

FIGURE 3

Figure 12. Rock Starter Dam Gradation



Large Figure 7. Shear Modulus Functions

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin Design



Large Figure 8. Volumetric Water Content Functions for Seepage Modeling



Large Figure 9. Permeability Functions for Seepage Modeling



Large Figure 10. Cross-Section F with Finite Element Mesh - Proposed Conditions Lift 8 (GeoStudio)



Large Figure 11. Cross-Section F Schematic of ESSA Conditions



Large Figure 12. Cross-Section F Schematic of USSA Conditions

* Only saturated material beneath the phreatic surface and 10-foot capillary zone will liquefy.
Material above the phreatic surface and capillary zone was modeled with drained strengths.



Large Figure 13. Cross-Section F Schematic of Liquefied Conditions



Large Figure 14. Cross-Section F Proposed FTB Design Mine Year 20

Large Figure 15

Sounding 07-06 Triggering Potential

Based on CPT Data (Boulanger and Idriss, 2004)





Large Figure 16. Cross-Section G Proposed FTB Design Mine Year 20



Large Figure 17. Cross-Section N Proposed FTB Design Mine Year 20



Large Figure 18. Piezometer Data for Cross-Section F

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin Design



Large Figure 19. Piezometer Data for Cross-Section G

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin Design

Attachments

Attachment A

DNR Geotechnical Work Plan

NorthMet Geotechnical Modeling Work Plan
Version 3 4/11/2013

This document is the Work Plan for geotechnical modeling of the NorthMet Project as requested by the Geotechnical Stability Impact Assessment Planning Summary Memo, NorthMet Project EIS, dated May 18, 2011. The findings from the geotechnical modeling will be incorporated into a 3-Volume Geotechnical Data Package – and summarized and referenced as needed. NorthMet Project Geotechnical Data Package Volumes 1 through 3 will consist of:

- Volume 1 – Flotation Tailings Basin
- Volume 2 – Hydrometallurgical Residue Facility
- Volume 3 – Stockpiles

Project:

The project that will be evaluated is the project described in the Co-lead Agency Draft Alternative Summary as amended 03/04/11. This Work Plan will be reviewed and amended as necessary in response to project changes in the event such changes require substantive changes to previously analyzed facility designs.

Background:

The NorthMet Project includes two material disposal facilities that include dams, consisting of the Flotation Tailings Basin for final deposition of flotation tailings, and the Hydrometallurgical Residue Facility for final deposition of the hydrometallurgical residue. The Flotation Tailings Basin and Hydrometallurgical Residue Facility are designed using an iterative process whereby facility capacity requirements and geotechnical requirements are utilized to determine the facility geometry and overall sizing requirements to contain the tailings and residue expected to be generated through the life of the project. A third type of material disposal facility, which does not require dams but does entail foundation and slope construction, is the waste rock stockpiles at the Mine Site (a.k.a. Stockpiles).

An important input parameter to the facility designs are the slope stability Factors of Safety. Applicable slope stability Factors of Safety are selected and then the facilities (Flotation Tailings Basin and Hydrometallurgical Residue Facility) are configured to achieve these Factors of Safety as computed by modeling performed during facility design. In the case of Stockpiles, MDNR-mandated design requirements have been developed that result in acceptable Factors of Safety.

The slope stability analysis methods that are used to compute slope stability Factors of Safety are not required universally. In other words, some types of analysis are appropriate to some facility configurations while not applicable to other configurations. For example, undrained strength stability analysis (USSA) for slope stability is appropriate for the upstream construction approach planned for the Flotation Tailings Basin. It is not necessary for the Hydrometallurgical Residue Facility which will utilize downstream construction with a liner system. Within this context the Geotechnical Modeling Work Plans for the Flotation Tailings Basin, Hydrometallurgical Residue Facility, and Stockpiles are outlined below.

Flotation Tailings Basin Geotechnical Model for SDEIS, FEIS and Permitting:

The objective of the Flotation Tailings Basin Geotechnical Modeling for the SDEIS, FEIS and Permitting is to demonstrate the ability of the Critical Cross-Section (i.e., Cross-Section F; that cross-section anticipated to yield the lowest slope stability Factors of Safety as indicated in the Preliminary Geotechnical Evaluation – March 2009) to comply with the required global slope stability Factors of Safety. The information content of the November 21, 2012 Geotechnical Data Package – Volume 1 – Version 3, Flotation Tailings Basin (which now supersedes and entirely replaces the Preliminary Geotechnical Evaluation – March 2009) will be updated and formatted to accommodate the Co-lead Agency Comments and to incorporate updated slope stability analysis for scenarios derived from the February 25 and 26, 2013 Geotechnical Workshop (February Workshop) with the Co-lead Agency geotechnical team.. This will be Geotechnical Data Package – Volume 1 – Version 4, Flotation Tailings Basin. The following is a step-by-step summary of the planned Flotation Tailings Basin geotechnical modeling process. Descriptions of previously completed process steps, outcomes of which are reported in Geotechnical Data Package – Volume 1 – Version 3, are preserved below to maintain Work Plan continuity. Work Plan updates derived specifically from the February Workshop are noted as such.

The following paragraphs describe the work that will be included in Geotechnical Data Package – Volume 1 – Version 4, Flotation Tailings Basin which is expected to provide information for the SDEIS.

1. Gather existing conditions data (i.e. basin topography, stratigraphy, soil and tailings strength and hydraulic characteristics), and other data as needed to support geotechnical modeling and Flotation Tailings Basin design. Note – this data has previously been compiled and presented in the Preliminary Geotechnical Evaluation – March 2009. This information will be incorporated into the Geotechnical Data Package – Volume 1, which will present the analyses outlined in this Work Plan. Results of in-laboratory testing of liquefied shear strength of NorthMet flotation tailings, completed subsequent the March 2009 evaluation, will be incorporated into the work prescribed in this Geotechnical Modeling Work Plan.

2. Develop Flotation Tailings Basin slope cross-sections (i.e., geometry and stratigraphy for existing and planned conditions) for the Flotation Tailings Basin for seepage and stability modeling. Models will utilize surveyed cross-sections of the existing basin and proposed cross-sections of future dam raises; existing models will be reconfigured as needed to accommodate the modeling approach outlined in this Work Plan. This information will then be incorporated into the Geotechnical Data Package – Volume 1.

3. Develop seepage and stability models of the Flotation Tailings Basin using Geo-Slope International, Inc. modeling software (i.e., SLOPE/W, SEEP/W, SIGMA/W and QUAKE/W as necessary).

4. Using geotechnical data from Step 1, establish design data for use in Effective Stress Stability Analysis and Undrained Strength Stability Analysis. Also utilize established criteria (Olson and Stark – 2003 “Yield Strength Ratio and Liquefaction Analysis of

Slopes and Embankments" as updated by Olson 2009) to determine which materials behave in a contractive manner and could transition from non-liquefied strengths to liquefied (steady state) strengths.

Produce graphical representations of each strength data set and basis for selection of design parameters. Plots should include the number of data used to develop each plot.

5. Utilize design data to design slopes to achieve the following:

    a. Effective Stress Stability Analysis (ESSA) – Factor of Safety $\geq$ 1.5 for conditions using drained (i.e., effective-stress based) shear strength parameters. Analyze the following effective stress stability scenarios:

        i. Existing conditions.
        ii. Normal operating condition at incremental lift heights up to maximum dam height for normal pool elevation with steady-state seepage conditions and including reduced infiltration rates for bentonite amended exterior face of new dams.

    b. Undrained Strength Stability Analysis (USSA) – Factor of Safety $\geq$ 1.3 for conditions using undrained yield shear strengths for materials that are expected to behave in an undrained manner (i.e., end of construction case per dam raise). Analyze the following undrained strength stability scenarios:

        i. Normal operating condition at incremental lift heights up to maximum dam height for normal pool elevation and including reduced infiltration rates for bentonite amended exterior face of new dams.
        ii. Veneer stability to evaluate the stability of the bentonite amended exterior face of new dams. Veneer stability will be evaluated by computing the infinite slope Factor of Safety (using the no-seepage formulation where tailings seepage is not emerging on the slope, and the parallel-seepage formulation where tailings seepage is emerging on the slope), with the soil friction angle chosen as a conservative value based on literature review. Laboratory direct shear testing will be performed to measure a friction angle for site-specific bentonite amended tailings and the Factor of Safety will then be recomputed. Slope design will be adjusted as needed to achieve Factor of a Safety $\geq$ 1.3 for veneer stability.

    c. Liquefaction Triggering and Post-Triggering Analysis – Factor of Safety $\geq$ 1.1 for post-triggering slope stability considering liquefied shear strengths (computed from design liquefied strength ratios) applied to segments of materials in the triggering stability analysis with $FS_{triggering} < 1.1$; design drained strengths applied to materials above the capillary zone; and yield shear strength (computed from design yield strength ratios) for all other materials. From the February 2013 workshop, analyze the following credible triggering scenarios:

        i. Baseline – Lift 8

- Realistic phreatic surface from seepage analysis including capillarity.
- Normal pool steady-state seepage.
- Capillarity – 10' above computed steady-state phreatic line.
- Liquefied shear strengths applied below top of capillary zone to materials triggered to liquefy (i.e., design liquefied shear strength utilized for flotation tailings and LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes in materials that are triggered to liquefy).

ii. Elevated Phreatic Surface (i.e., drain ineffective) – Lift 8

- Permeability of plugged drain set to permeability of flotation tailings.
- Normal pool steady-state seepage.
- Capillarity – 10' above computed steady-state phreatic line.
- Liquefied shear strengths applied below top of capillary zone to materials triggered to liquefy (i.e., design liquefied shear strength utilized for flotation tailings and LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes in materials that are triggered to liquefy).
- Consideration of baseline effective vertical stresses (prior to rise in phreatic surface).

iii. High Construction Rate of Loading – Lift 1

- 15' of construction fill placed rapidly.
- Baseline phreatic surface including capillarity.
- Normal pool steady-state seepage.
- Capillarity – 10' above computed steady-state phreatic line.
- Liquefied shear strengths applied below top of capillary zone to materials triggered to liquefy (design liquefied shear strength utilized for flotation tailings and LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes in materials that are triggered to liquefy).
- Consideration of baseline effective vertical stresses (prior to new fill placement).

iv. Local Erosion/Scour of Slope (pipe break) – Lift 8

- Incrementally remove material above buttress (retrogressive).
- Baseline phreatic surface including capillarity.
- Normal pool steady-state seepage.
- Capillarity – 10' above computed steady-state phreatic line.
- Liquefied shear strengths applied below top of capillary zone to materials triggered to liquefy (design liquefied shear strength utilized for flotation tailings and LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes in materials that are triggered to liquefy).

- Consideration of baseline effective vertical stresses (prior to erosion).

v. Elevated Phreatic Surface (drain ineffective) w/High Pond – Lift 1

- Elevated Pond (drain ineffective).
- Permeability of plugged drain set to permeability of flotation tailings.
- Steady-state seepage with elevated pond set at overflow elevation.
- Capillarity – 10' above computed steady state phreatic line.
- Liquefied shear strengths applied below top of capillary zone to materials triggered to liquefy (design liquefied shear strength utilized for flotation tailings and LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes in materials that are triggered to liquefy).
- Consideration of initial effective vertical stresses (prior to placement of 1st lift).

vi. Long-Term Case (20, 200, and 2000 years after closure)

- Final geometry including surface erosion of material above buttress.
- Impoundment phreatic surface drained down (as determined by analysis) reflecting bentonite cover.
- Surcharge load from surficial pond.
- Pond set at overflow elevation.
- Liquefied shear strengths applied to materials triggered to liquefy (design liquefied shear strength utilized for flotation tailings and LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes in materials that are triggered to liquefy).
- Design liquefied shear strength with aging factors included for decomposition and secondary compression.

d. Lift 8 Baseline Conditions assuming Unknown Triggering Mechanism – Factor of Safety ≥ 1.1 for post-triggering slope stability applying design liquefied shear strengths to all LTVSMC fine tailings and slimes and all Flotation Tailings below top of capillary zone.

i. Lift 8
ii. Realistic phreatic surface from seepage analysis including capillarity.
iii. Normal pool steady-state seepage.
iv. Capillarity – 10' above computed steady-state phreatic line.
v. Design liquefied shear strengths applied below top of capillary zone to all LTVSMC fine tailings and slimes and all Flotation Tailings.

e. Seismic Liquefaction (i.e., induced by seismic event).

i. Perform a screening analysis for triggering of liquefaction based on Boulanger and Idriss (2004). If the factor of safety against triggering is less than 1.2 for a seismic event with a 2475-year return period, perform further seismic triggering analyses as described below.
ii. Develop material damping coefficients for LTVSMC and NorthMet tailings.
iii. Use Geo-Slope software to compute initial stresses and steady-state pore-water pressure distribution.
iv. Apply earthquake loads via appropriate geomechanical models (such as QUAKE/W, FLAC, Plaxis, or others; earthquake loads to be obtained from probabilistic seismic hazard analysis [PSHA]) and compare results to a SLOPE/W yield undrained model (or other appropriate model) to identify the elements within the model that liquefy as a result of the seismic loading.
v. Use published triggering relationships and model results to determine segments along the slip surface where liquefaction will be triggered (Olson & Stark, 2003, Yield Strength Ratios and Liquefaction Analysis of Slopes and Embankments).
vi. Perform slope stability analysis in SLOPE/W or other appropriate geomechanical model (using liquefied shear strengths applied to elements shown to liquefy) to compute FS for the entire cross section.

- If FS > 1.2 no further action is needed.
- If FS < 1.0 modify or redesign cross section.
- If FS >1.0 and < 1.2, perform deformation modeling in SIGMA/W or other suitable geomechanical model to predict the magnitude of deformation. If the level of deformation is acceptable to Dam Safety, no further action is needed. If the level of deformation is unacceptable to Dam Safety, modify or redesign cross section.

6. Reporting:

Volume 1 – Version 4 will present the background/supporting information and results of the Flotation Tailings Basin geotechnical analyses described in this Work Plan. It will contain the pertinent content previously presented in the Preliminary Geotechnical Evaluation – March 2009 and Geotechnical Data Packages – Volume 1 – Versions 1 through 3. However, analysis methods and results will supersede contents of the previously published Geotechnical Evaluation and Data Packages. Included in Volume 1 – Version 4 (and/or the Flotation Tailings Management Plan) will be descriptions and drawings depicting existing conditions and what will be built, results of geotechnical analyses for operating and post-closure conditions, and presentation of all model input parameters and model outputs. Where model input parameters are derived from multiple data points, the approach utilized for input parameter selection will be described. Included will be a description of how stability is anticipated to vary over time following Flotation Tailings Basin closure. Include design and operating requirements necessary to maintain required slope stability Factors of Safety for the critical slope cross-section (assumed to be Cross-Section F for SDEIS modeling). This detail shall be included in Volume 1 – Version 4 and/or the Flotation Tailings Management Plan.

The following paragraphs describe the work that will be included in a future Geotechnical Data Package – Volume 1 – Version 5, Flotation Tailings Basin, which is expected to provide information for the FEIS and Dam Safety permitting.

1. After MDNR publication of the SDEIS and prior to Final EIS (FEIS) publication and Permitting, execute a supplement to this Work Plan to include:

    a. For normal operation conditions with maximum lift height perform a sensitivity analysis using the USSA slope stability model with yield undrained shear strength values. The Flotation Tailings Basin designer's engineering judgment shall be used to establish a range for these data inputs and the basis for the range shall be described. Evaluate the impact of data variability on computed slope stability Factors of Safety for the purpose of focusing operational-phase data gathering on the most critical stability model data inputs.

    b. Prepare and execute a second Sensitivity Analysis the intent of which is to evaluate the variation in Factor of Safety (and the probability of FS < 1.0) for an unknown triggering case, using the ESSA and yield USSR strengths utilized for the current Work Plan, but with $USSR_{(Liq)}$ varied within the range identified during liquefied strength design parameter evaluation.

2. Following MDNR Dam Safety review and approval of Critical Cross-Section modeling process/procedures and outcomes, proceed with modeling cross-sections G (north side of Cell 2E) and N (south side of Cell 1E) for final Flotation Tailings Basin design (for input to FEIS or Permitting as determined by MDNR).

Hydrometallurgical Residue Facility Geotechnical Models for SDEIS, FEIS and Permitting:

The objective of the Hydrometallurgical Residue Facility Geotechnical Modeling for the SDEIS, FEIS and Permitting is to:

- demonstrate the ability of the most sensitive slope cross-section to comply with the required slope stability Factors of Safety for global stability,
- demonstrate the ability of the composite liner system to comply with infinite slope stability Factor of Safety requirements, and to
- demonstrate the capability of the composite liner system to withstand the strain anticipated due to differential settlement that may occur in the facility foundation materials.

The following is a step-by-step summary of the planned Hydrometallurgical Residue Facility geotechnical modeling process.

1. Gather existing conditions data (i.e. facility foundation material stratigraphy and strength data, hydrogeologic data and other data as needed to support geotechnical modeling of the Hydrometallurgical Residue Facility). Note – portions of this data have previously been compiled and presented in the Preliminary Geotechnical Evaluation – March 2009. This information will be incorporated into the Geotechnical Data Package Volume 2 and will be supplemented with additional facility location-specific data. Data on existing baseline water sources at the site, including surface discharges from the surrounding highlands, will be gathered for consideration during hydrometallurgical residue facility design. The facility will be designed to accommodate any such surface discharges and hence these discharges will not impact geotechnical modeling of the hydrometallurgical residue facility.

2. Gather additional residue strength and hydraulic conductivity data and/or representative published data for use in facility design. This information will be incorporated into the Geotechnical Data Package Volume 2 to the extent needed to facilitate the modeling outlined herein.

3. Develop residue facility layout and slope cross-sections (i.e., geometry and stratigraphy for existing and planned conditions) for proposed residue facility stability and deformation modeling. Note – seepage through the residue facility embankments will be inhibited by the composite liner system and seepage modeling will be an unnecessary component of this analysis.

4. Develop global and infinite slope stability models and deformation models of the facility using Geo-Slope International, Inc. modeling software (i.e., SLOPE/W, SEEP/W and SIGMA/W as necessary). Model the following:

    a. Deformation of hydromet residue facility foundation and liner system.

b. Infinite slope stability of hydromet residue facility liner system (if necessary/applicable).

c. Global stability of hydromet residue facility embankments.

Model maximum residue facility dam height with minimum and maximum pond elevation, and post closure – cover effective with minimum pond elevation. Model for effective shear stress conditions. Modeling for undrained shear strength conditions will not be necessary due to lined facility design with imported and mechanically placed dam fill and lack of seepage through the dam.

5. Configure geotechnical data for model input. Model input parameters will be based on data collected for and presented in the Preliminary Geotechnical Evaluation – March 2009. For materials to be imported for construction, engineering judgment will be used to select conservative shear strength parameters for input to the slope stability analysis and liner deformation analysis.

6. Use SLOPE/W to calculate the Factor of Safety for the following conditions:

   a. Effective Stress Stability Analysis (ESSA) – Factor of Safety $\geq$ 1.5

   b. Slope failures on external face and internal face of residue facility embankments.

7. Perform infinite slope stability analysis to confirm that load from residue deposition will be transferred to facility foundation soils and will not induce excess strain in facility liner materials.

8. Perform deformation modeling to predict magnitude of deformation and resulting strain in the facility liner system for comparison to allowable strain in liner system. Allowable strains are material-specific and will be determined from manufacturers specifications for the materials selected for the facility liner.

9. Report final basin design and operating requirements necessary to maintain required slope stability Factor of Safety and deformation requirements.

10. Reporting – the Geotechnical Data Package Volume 2 will present the background/supporting information and results of the Hydrometallurgical Residue Facility geotechnical analyses described in this Work Plan. Included will be descriptions and drawings depicting existing conditions and what will be built, results of geotechnical analyses for operating and post-closure conditions, and presentation of all model input parameters and model outputs. Where model input parameters are derived from multiple data points, the approach utilized for input parameter selection will be described. Included will be a description of how stability is anticipated to vary over time.

Stockpile Geotechnical Models for SDEIS, FEIS and Permitting:

The objective of the Stockpile Geotechnical Modeling for the SDEIS, FEIS and Permitting is to comply with Mn Rule 6132.2400 (stockpile slopes will be as required by 6132.2400 Subp. 2. B. and stockpile foundations will be as required by 6132.2400 Subp. 2. A. (1)). These are design requirements that have been established to insure acceptable slope stability Factors of Safety for global stability and acceptable foundation stability, the latter of which relates to the capability of the geomembrane liner system to withstand the strain anticipated due to differential settlement that may occur in the stockpile foundation materials.

The following is a step-by-step summary of the planned Stockpile geotechnical modeling process.

1. Gather existing conditions data (i.e. facility foundation material stratigraphy and strength data and other data as needed to support foundation design). Existing site information will be utilized for analysis performed in support of the SDEIS and FEIS, with additional data gathered and designs updated as needed for final design in conjunction with permitting. Existing information will be incorporated into the Geotechnical Data Package Volume 3.

2. Configure stockpile slopes to meet or exceed minimum dimensional requirements established by Mn Rule 6132.2400.

3. Perform stockpile subgrade settlement analysis to predict magnitude of deformation and resulting strain in the stockpile liners for comparison to allowable strain in the liner system. Allowable strains are material-specific and will be determined from manufacturers specifications for the materials selected for the stockpile liners.

4. Report final stockpile design and operating requirements necessary to maintain required slope stability Factors of Safety and liner performance requirements.

5. Reporting – the Geotechnical Data Package Volume 3 will present the background/supporting information and results of the Stockpile geotechnical analyses described in this Work Plan. Included will be descriptions and drawings depicting existing conditions and what will be built, results of geotechnical analyses for operating and post-closure conditions, and presentation of all model input parameters and model outputs. Where model input parameters are derived from multiple data points, the approach utilized for input parameter selection will be described. Included will be a description of how stability is anticipated to vary over time.

Attachment B

Oversized Figures and Maps

Table B-1
PolyMet Tailings Basin
23/69-862-022A

Summary of seepage and strength parameters used in previous analyses

Reference	Soil	Unit Wt	Drained		Undrained				Perm	Perm
		pcf	c (psf)	ϕ (deg)	c (psf)	ϕ (deg)	s_u ratio	s_u residual	cm/sec	ft/sec
Historical Stats										
	CT - high	130	0	41	0	40	0	0	1.00E-02	3.28E-04
	CT - low	125	0	35	0	38	0	0	5.00E-05	1.64E-06
	FT - high	130	0	40	0	40	0.25	0.1	5.00E-04	1.64E-05
	FT - low	120	0	27	0	36	0.25	0.1	1.00E-05	1.64E-07
	Slimes - high	130	0	43	0	34	0.25	0.1	5.00E-05	1.64E-06
	Slimes - low	100	0	28	0	23	0.22	0.1	2.75E-07	9.02E-09
	Peat - high	100	3800	47	1000	20	0.3	0	1.00E-07	3.28E-07
	Peat - low	67	0	7	1000	20	0.3	0	1.00E-07	3.28E-09
	Till - high	132	0	45	0	40	0	0	5.00E-03	1.64E-04
	Till - low	100	0	40	0	40	0	0	4.30E-04	1.41E-08
Barr 2011 Models	LTVSMC Coarse Tailings	135	0	38	0	38	—	--	2.44E-03	8.00E-05
	LTVSMC Fine Tailings	130	0	34	--	--	0.3	0.095	2.00E-04	6.56E-06
	LTVSMC Slimes	120	0	20	--	--	0.155	0.095	9.60E-07	3.15E-08
	LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes	125	0	24	--	--	0.2	0.095	3.05E-06	1.00E-07
	LTVSMC bulk tailings	130	0	33	0	33	--	--	8.02E-05	2.63E-06
	NorthMet Flotation Tailings - 0.45 tsf								1.90E-04	6.23E-06
	NorthMet Flotation Tailings - 1.35 tsf	125	0	19	--	--	0.18	0.1	5.61E-05	1.84E-06
	NorthMet Flotation Tailings - 2.29 tsf								2.00E-05	6.56E-07
	Virgin Peat	70	500	30	550	17	--	--	1.01E-03	3.30E-05
	Compressed Peat	85	500	30	550	17	--	--	3.60E-06	1.18E-07
	Glacial Till	135	0	30	0	30	--	--	5.03E-03	1.65E-04
	Rock Starter Dam	140	0	19	0	19	--	--	1.52	5.00E-02
Barr Letter	PolyMet bulk tailings							0.1	7.2e-5 to 4.8e-4	
Barr 2005 Models	LTV Coarse Tailings	125	0	41				--	5.00E-05	1.64E-06
	LTV Fine Tailings	125	0	28			0.25	0.1	1.00E-05	3.28E-07
	LTV Slimes	112	0	28			0.25	0.1	2.75E-07	9.02E-09
	Peat	--		--				--	1.00E-07	3.28E-09
	Till	--		--			--		4.30E-04	1.41E-05
	PolyMet CT	125	0	30					3.66E-02	1.20E-03
	PolyMet FT	125	0				0.28		6.83E-04	2.24E-05
Barr 2000 Models	Coarse Tailings	130		35						1.64E-06
	Fine Tailings	130		27						3.28E-07
	Slimes	100		--			0.25			9.02E-09
	Peat	100	2000	7			0.30			3.28E-09
	Till	100		45						1.41E-08
Sitka, 1997	CT	130	0	40	0	40	-		1.00E-03	3.28E-05
	FT	130	0	40	0	40	-		1.00E-04	3.28E-06
	Slimes	125	0	38	-	-	0.22		1.00E-05	3.28E-07
	Peat	90	0	40	-	-	0.3		1e-2 to 1e-7	
	Till	130	0	40	0	40	-		1e-2 to 1e-4	
Post-liquefaction										
Unsaturated	*CT*	*130*			-	*40*	-			
	FT	*130*			-	*40*	-			
	Slimes	*125*			-	-	*0.22*			
Saturated	$0<(N_1)_{60}<5$	*130*			*210*	-	-			
	$5<(N_1)_{60}<10$	*130*			*380*	-	-			
	$10<(N_1)_{60}<15$	*130*			*720*	-	-			
	Peat	*90*			-	-	*0.3*			
	Till	*130*			-	*40*	-			
Sitka, 1995	CT	130	0	38	0	38	-			
	FT	130	0	36	0	36	-			
	Slimes	130	0	34	-	-	0.2 to 0.5			
	Peat	75	500	27	-	-	0.2 to 0.6			
	Till	130	0	40	0	40	-			
Barr, 1994	CT	130	0	38	0	38				
	FT	120	0	36	0	36				
	Slimes	128.5	0	34	0	23				
	Peat	75	500	27	1000	20				
	Till	132	0	40	0	40				
Ebasco, 1990	CT	130	0	38						
	FT	120	0	36						
	Slimes	115	0	36						
		116	0	36.5						
	Peat	67	0	32						
			200	21						
			600	47						
			2000	12.5						
			3800	7						
	Till	132	0	40						
	Crushed rock	135	0	42						
Ebasco, 1978	CT	130	0	40	0	40.0			5.00E-03	1.64E-04
	FT	130	0	38	0	38			5.00E-04	1.64E-05
	Slimes	130	0	34	0	30.0			5.00E-05	1.64E-06
	Peat	75	500	27	1000	20			-	
	Till	130	0	40	0	40.0			5.00E-03	1.64E-04
Ebasco, 1977	CT & FT	130	0	40	0	40				
	Slimes	130	0	34	0	30				
			0	43	0	34				



[1]The final extent of the Mining Area boundary will be determined by applicable legal descriptions and surveys.
[2] Geotechnical investigation locations are from the Geotechnical Data Package - Vol. 1.
[3]These are provisional representations of PWI watercourses found on the current paper regulatory maps. Due to previous disturbance, data sources may show watercourses that no longer exist.
[4]The NHD is a feature-based database that interconnects and uniquely identifies the stream segments or reaches that make up the nation's surface water drainage system. NHD features are created from DNR 24K Streams and 1:24,000 USGS quadrangle maps. Due to previous disturbance, data sources may show watercourses that no longer exist.
Imagery Source: 2016 St. Louis County Pictometry

- Mining Area[1]
- Cross Section Alignment

Geotechnical Investigation Locations[2]
- 2016 Locations
- 2014 Rotasonic Location
- 2014 Rotasonic Location with a Piezometer
- 2014 Boring Locations
- 2014 Boring Locations with Packer

Historic Test Locations[2]
- Other/Unknown
- CPT Location
- SPT Location

- Public Waters Inventory (PWI) Watercourses[3]
- National Hydrography Dataset (NHD) Rivers & Streams[4]
- Wetlands

0 1,500 3,000
Feet

PLANT SITE GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION LOCATIONS
NorthMet Project
Poly Met Mining, Inc.

Figure B-1
Permit to Mine Application

Barr Footer: ArcGIS 10.4.1, 2017-05-08 14:08 File: I:\Client\PolyMet_Mining\Work_Orders\Permitting\018_Permit_to_Mine\Maps\Reports\Application\Figure_10-1_Plant_Site_Geotechnical_Investigation_Locations.mxd User: MRQ

Section F



REVISION DESCRIPTION	BARR	POLYMET MINING CORPORATION HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA	Figure B-2 Existing Conditions with Field and Laboratory Testing	BARR PROJECT NO. 23149—002 CLIENT PROJECT NO.

Section G



NO.	BY	CHK	APP'D	DATE	REVISION DESCRIPTION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

SIGNATURE _____

PRINTED NAME _____

DATE _____ REG. NO. _____

CONSTRUCTION

RELEASED TO FOR

A 1 B 1 C 1 D 1 E 1 F 1 G 1

DATE RELEASED

BARR

Project Offices:
BARR ENGINEERING CO.
3120 14TH AVENUE EAST
HIBBING, MINNESOTA 55746
Phone: (218) 262-3267
Fax: (218) 262-1480
www.barr.com

Work	08/31/2011
Issued	
Revised	
Revised	
Approved	

JOB DIVISION

DRAWING NO.

POLYMET MINING CORPORATION
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

Figure 1

Cross–Section G – Proposed Conditions with Field and Laboratory Testing

BARR PROJECT NO.
23/69–862
CLIENT PROJECT NO.

DWG. NO. | REV. NO.

Section N



NO.	BY	CHK	APP'D	DATE	REVISION DESCRIPTION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

SIGNATURE _____

PRINTED NAME _____

DATE _____ REG. NO. _____

LAYOUT: JOB CONSTRUCTION

RELEASED TO FOR

A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K

DATE RELEASED

BARR

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
3120 14TH AVENUE EAST
HIBBING, MN 55746
Phone: (218) 262-3440
Fax: (218) 262-3445
www.barr.com

Project Manager
Drawn
Checked
Designed
Approved

JOB NUMBER
NONE 23/69-862

POLYMET MINING CORPORATION
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

Figure 18
Cross–Section N – Proposed Conditions with Field and Laboratory Testing

BARR PROJECT NO.
23/69–862
CLIENT PROJECT NO.
DWG. NO. REV. NO.

USE, AND/OR DISCLOSURE OF THIS DOCUMENT, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, FOR ANY PURPOSE WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF BARR ENGINEERING CO. IS PROHIBITED. ANY UNAUTHORIZED USE, AND/OR DISCLOSURE SHALL BE AT THE USER'S SOLE RISK AND WITHOUT LIABILITY TO BARR ENGINEERING CO.

Attachment C

Material Strength Characterization

(last updated during preparation of Geotechnical Data Package – Volume 1 – Version 4; retained without further edits for Geotechnical Data Package – Volume 1 – Versions 5 through 8)

NorthMet Project

Geotechnical Data Package – Volume 1 – Version 4
Attachment C – Material Strength Characterization

April 12, 2013

Table of Contents

1.0 Introduction 1
2.0 Data Analysis Methodology 3
2.1 Overview of Drained, Undrained and Liquefied Conditions 3
2.1.1 Drained Conditions 3
2.1.2 Undrained Conditions 3
2.1.3 Liquefied Conditions 4
2.2 Available Geotechnical Data 5
2.3 Geotechnical Data Screening 7
2.4 Laboratory Data Analysis 7
2.4.1 Direct Shear 7
2.4.2 Triaxial Shear Test 7
2.5 Field Data Analysis 8
2.5.1 Standard Penetration Test 8
2.5.1.1 Drained Shear Strength 9
2.5.1.2 Undrained Shear Strength 9
2.5.1.3 Liquefied Shear Strength 10
2.5.1.4 SPT Data Reporting 10
2.5.2 Cone Penetration Test 10
2.5.2.1 Drained Shear Strength 11
2.5.2.2 Undrained Shear Strength 11
2.5.2.3 Liquefied Shear Strength 11
2.5.2.4 CPT Data Reporting 12
2.5.3 Field Vane Shear Test 12
2.6 Design Strengths 17
3.0 Drained Shear Strength Parameters 19
3.1 LTVSMC Coarse Tailings 19
3.1.1 Laboratory Data 19
3.1.2 Field Data 19
3.1.3 Design Value 19
3.2 LTVSMC Fine Tailings 20
3.2.1 Laboratory Data 20
3.2.2 Field Data 20

3.2.3 Design Value 20
3.3 LTVSMC Slimes 21
3.3.1 Laboratory Data 21
3.3.2 Field Data 21
3.3.3 Design Value 21
3.4 LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes 22
3.4.1 Laboratory Data 22
3.4.2 Field Data 22
3.4.3 Design Value 22
3.5 LTVSMC Bulk Tailings 23
3.5.1 Laboratory Data 24
3.5.2 Field Data 24
3.5.3 Design Value 24
3.6 Flotation Tailings 25
3.6.1 Laboratory Data 25
3.6.2 Design Value 25
3.7 Peat 26
3.7.1 Laboratory Data 26
3.7.2 Design Value 26
3.8 Glacial Till 27
3.8.1 Laboratory Data 27
3.8.2 Field Data 27
3.8.3 Design Value 27
3.9 Summary of Design Values for Drained Shear Strength 27
4.0 Undrained Yield Shear Strength Parameters 29
4.1 LTVSMC Fine Tailings 29
4.1.1 Laboratory Data 29
4.1.2 Field Data 29
4.2 LTVSMC Slimes 30
4.2.1 Laboratory Data 30
4.2.2 Field Data 30
4.2.3 Design Value 31

4.3 LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes 31
4.3.1 Field Data 31
4.3.2 Design Value 32
4.4 Flotation Tailings 32
4.4.1 Laboratory Data 32
4.4.2 Design Value 32
4.5 Peat 33
4.5.1 Laboratory Data 33
4.5.2 Design Value 33
4.6 Summary of Design Values for Undrained Shear Strength 34
5.0 Liquefied Shear Strength Parameters 35
5.1 Material Behavior Evaluation 35
5.1.1 LTVSMC Coarse Tailings 37
5.1.2 LTVSMC Fine Tailings and Slimes 38
5.1.3 Flotation Tailings 38
5.2 Liquefied Strength Evaluation 38
5.2.1 LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes 39
5.2.1.1 Laboratory Data 39
5.2.1.2 Field Data 39
5.2.1.3 Design Value 40
5.2.2 Flotation Tailings 41
5.3 Summary of Design Values for Liquefied Shear Strength 42
6.0 Summary of Material Strength Properties 43
References 44
List of Tables 47
List of Figures 48
List of Exhibits 48

1.0 Introduction

This document presents material strength data, analyses, and resulting design parameters used as inputs for stability modeling of the Flotation Tailings Basin (FTB) for the Poly Met Mining Inc. (PolyMet) NorthMet Project (Project). The design strength parameters are selected using available field and laboratory data, which includes data collected in the most recent geotechnical investigation, and where available, applicable historical data. Design strength values were determined for peat, glacial till, LTVSMC coarse tailings, LTVSMC fine tailings, LTVSMC slimes, LTVSMC bulk tailings and Flotation Tailings. These strength values were then used as inputs to the overall assessment of slope stability of FTB Cross-Section F. Results of the Cross-Section F slope stability analysis are presented in Geotechnical Data Package – Volume 1 – Version 4.

The approach used to select design parameters has evolved over the four versions of this document. A brief summary of this evolution is presented in Table 1-1. The current approach retains the basic analysis methods for drained and undrained strengths used in Geotechnical Data Package – Volume 1 – Version 3 (Version 3), but updates the approach for selecting the design parameters for liquefied strengths.

Liquefied strength analyses have been updated for Geotechnical Data Package – Volume 1 – Version 4 (Reference (1)), based on guidance from Mr. Richard Davidson and Dr. Scott Olson. The updated methods reflect agreements reached at the geotechnical workshop held on February 25-26, 2013 attended by the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR), Knight Peisold, Environmental Resources Management (ERM), PolyMet, Barr Engineering Co. (Barr), Mr. Richard Davidson, and Dr. Scott Olson (Reference (2)). Mr. Davidson is a consultant employed by URS. Dr. Olson is a recognized expert in the fields of static and seismic slope stability and liquefaction engineering and has published over 85 peer-reviewed journal articles and conference papers on related topics. Some professional judgment is still required to account for potential data gaps, but the guidance provided by Dr. Olson and Mr. Davidson serves as a basis for the analysis and material strength design values presented in Version 4.

Mr. Davidson independently reviewed the methodology used in Version 3, and provided guidance on selection and analysis of data to determine liquefied strengths. Mr. Davidson suggested that CPT data be used to identify LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes zones (consistent with the approach previously used by Barr). Barr used the characteristic signatures of LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes within each CPT sounding to identify the depths and thicknesses of LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes zones at each sounding location.

Where liquefied strengths ($USSR_{liq}$) are required in the stability analysis, Mr. Davidson and Dr. Olson recommended use of the average liquefied shear strengths. This recommendation is based on the conservative nature of the material strength data on which the corresponding stability analysis is based (the lowest material strength condition anticipated; the liquefied strength) and based on the factor of safety requirements set in the Work Plan (Attachment A

of Reference (1)). Liquefied strength values, based on field data and laboratory testing, were then assigned to these zones in the model. The procedure and results are presented in Section 5.0.

This version of Attachment C includes changes due to data screening to remove outliers and confirm inclusion of only contractive test results for estimation of liquefied strengths; incorporation of professional judgment in selection of design parameters in lieu of a strictly statistical approach; and updates to analytical methods in response to input provided by Dr. Olson and Mr. Davidson. These updates result in some changes in test-counts, data-counts and shear strengths from previous versions of Attachment C.

Table 1-1 Summary of Previous Attachment C Versions

Version	Date	Description
1		Documented data used to select material strengths
2	August 2012	Attachment C (formerly Attachment E in Version 2) included: 1) significant addition of detail describing material design parameter selection; 2) use of statistical approach for design parameter selection
3	November 2012	Incorporated guidance of Dr. Scott Olson on: 1) weighting of field and laboratory data in selection of design strengths; 2) use of 33% value for design parameters

(1) For more detail on earlier versions, see Section 1 of Attachment C of Geotechnical Data Package – Volume 1 – Version 3

In this document coarse tailings are LTVSMC coarse tailings, fine tailings are LTVSMC fine tailings, slimes are LTVSMC slimes, and Flotation Tailings are the NorthMet bulk flotation tailings. The Tailings Basin is the existing former LTVSMC tailings basin and the Flotation Tailings Basin (FTB), refers to the Tailings Basin with the Flotation Tailings impounded atop it.

The outline of this document is:

Section 2.0 Discussion of the data analysis performed for the various materials.

Section 3.0 Discussion of the drained shear strength (ϕ', c') for each material.

Section 4.0 Discussion of the undrained shear strength ($USSR_{yield}$, ϕ_{cu}, c_u) for each material.

Section 5.0 Discussion of the liquefied shear strength ($USSR_{liq}$) for contractive materials.

Section 0 Summary of selected design values for all material properties.

2.0 Data Analysis Methodology

To document the data and methods used in material strength analyses, this section:

- gives an overview of drained, undrained and liquefied conditions
- presents the available geotechnical data
- describes the screening procedures to select which data to include in material strength calculations
- details the analytical methods used to interpret each type of laboratory and field data
- documents the approach used to integrate laboratory and field results into a design value for use in stability modeling

2.1 Overview of Drained, Undrained and Liquefied Conditions

2.1.1 Drained Conditions

If shear stress is applied to a soil at such a rate and/or the drainage conditions are such that excess pore water pressure is zero when failure occurs, failure is said to occur under drained conditions, or the drained shear strength of the soil has been mobilized. This case is typically applied to long-term, steady-state seepage conditions, when any excess pore water pressures generated due to loading have dissipated. The drained condition also applies to granular materials for short-term conditions. When such materials have a high enough permeability, any excess pore water pressure is nearly immediately dissipated. The drained strength is most often described in terms of a failure envelope. The failure envelope may be linear, using the Mohr-Coulomb model to provide a drained friction angle (ϕ') or it may be represented as a non-linear failure envelope.

2.1.2 Undrained Conditions

If shear stress is applied to a soil quickly and/or if the drainage conditions are such that no shear-induced pore water pressure can dissipate when failure occurs, failure is said to occur in an undrained condition, or the undrained shear strength of the sample has been mobilized. The undrained shear strength is typically applied to short-term conditions for saturated soils, for example during or immediately after construction when construction proceeds at a fast enough rate that excess pore water pressure develops. Failure in undrained conditions may also occur for permeable, granular soils during seismic events or other events where shearing occurs so quickly that shear-induced excess pore water pressures cannot dissipate. It has been observed in soft soils that the undrained yield strength is often a function of consolidation stress. When the undrained yield strength increases linearly with pressure, the Undrained Shear Strength Ratio ($USSR_{yield}$) is generally preferred to model the material strength. The $USSR_{yield}$ is defined as the ratio of the undrained shear strength, $s_{u(yield)}$, divided by the effective overburden stress, σ'_{vo}.

2.1.3 Liquefied Conditions

It is anticipated that most of the time, loading or change in loading within the LTVSMC tailings and Flotation Tailings at the FTB will be slow enough for the LTVSMC tailings and Flotation Tailings to be sheared under drained conditions. However, there are circumstances in the field during which rapid changes in load and/or local stress may occur, that can lead to undrained loading. As a result, liquefaction potential needs to be evaluated. Liquefaction has been observed in saturated mine tailings, which are hydraulically deposited and often exhibit contractive response (Reference (3)). Therefore the state of the LTVSMC tailings and Flotation Tailings and their potential to liquefy should be analyzed.

The state of a soil dictates how a soil will respond to undrained loading. If the soil is in a compacted or dense state, it will exhibit dilative behavior and the particles will have to roll over each other thereby increasing the volume of the soil mass when sheared. If drainage is not permitted, negative porewater pressures will develop. A contractive soil is in a loose state, and when loaded and sheared, the particles will compress and become more compacted, decreasing the volume of the soil mass. If drainage is not permitted, positive porewater pressures will develop. Flow liquefaction can only be triggered in contractive soils.

To assess whether the soil at a given test location will behave in a contractive or dilative manner, the method advocated by Fear and Robertson (Reference (4)) was utilized. Olson and Stark (Reference (5)) converted the shear wave velocity-based contractive-dilative boundaries (Reference (4)) to boundaries based on overburden stress-normalized Standard Penetration Tests (SPT) blow count and Cone Penetration Test (CPT) tip resistance. In this method, as subsequently described, SPT and CPTu data are analyzed to determine whether the soil will behave in a contractive or dilative manner when sheared in undrained conditions.

When testing a typical loose soil in triaxial compression under undrained conditions, as shown in Figure 2-1, the stress-strain curve reaches a peak stress known as the yield point. Quasi-steady state (QSS) behavior occurs when soils exhibit a limited strain-softening response followed by strain-hardening (Reference (5)), also shown in Figure 2-1. This behavior is considered a temporary condition, where the sample moves from contractive to dilative behavior (Reference (6)). The initial peak observed relates to the yield shear strength or peak shear strength despite the strain-hardening observed with quasi-steady state behavior. Bobei et al. (Reference (7)) refer to anything following the initial peak as post-peak behavior. According to Robertson et al. (Reference (8)), the quasi-steady state is associated with limited strain-softening because the sample reaches peak strength and then strain softens to a QSS or minimum strength during which a certain amount of strain occurs. However, the sample then strain hardens to its ultimate state (although this commonly occurs at strains larger than can be achieved in conventional laboratory tests). For selection of material strength parameters for use in FTB stability analysis, any material strength tests that exhibited an unintended result (e.g., strain-hardening rather than anticipated strain-softening or flow response) were not used.



Figure 2-1 Steady state and quasi-steady state behavior (Reference (7))

The liquefied condition is a special case within the undrained condition where a contractive soil is sheared beyond the yield strength to a minimum shear stress known as the liquefied strength. The liquefied shear strength is the shear strength mobilized at large deformation by a saturated contractive soil following the triggering of a strain-softening response. The terms "steady state" (SS) or "residual" are also used to describe this case. This strength reduction can be induced in the laboratory with either cyclic triaxial (followed by monotonic loading) or undrained monotonic triaxial testing. However, preparing a contractive specimen is challenging for some soils. Many triaxial tests must be conducted to obtain one that is contractive.

The liquefied strength has also been correlated to various field data. The liquefied shear strength is presented herein either in terms of undrained shear strength or when appropriate as a function of overburden ($USSR_{liq}$). The $USSR_{liq}$ is defined as the ratio of the liquefied undrained shear strength, $s_{u(liq)}$, divided by the effective overburden stress, σ'_{vo}.

2.2 Available Geotechnical Data

Multiple testing programs have been performed throughout the history of the Tailings Basin. For details see Sections 4.1 and 4.2 of the Geotechnical Data Package – Volume 1 Version 4. The geotechnical data available for material strength analyses are summarized in Table 2-1.

Table 2-1 Data Analyzed from Geotechnical Investigations

Geotechnical Investigation Program	Laboratory Testing		Field Testing		
	DS(1)	TX(1)	SPT(1)	CPT(1)	FVST(1)
1968 Soil Engineering Services, Inc.			X		
1970 Ebasco Services		X			
1976 Braun Engineering	X				
1977 Ebasco Services	X	X			X
1978 Ebasco Services		X			
1979 Braun Engineering	X	X			
1980 Ebasco Services	X	X			
1986 MNDNR		X			
1990 Ebasco Services	X	X	X		
1994 SET#1840		X			
1996 Sitka Corp.		X	X		
1997 Sitka Corp.	X	X			
1999 Barr SPT Investigation			X		X
2000 SET#3697		X			
2005 ConeTec Investigation				X	
2005 SET#5435		X			
2007 AET Investigation			X	X	X
2007 SET#6250		X			
2007 SET#6251		X			
2008 SET#6428		X			
2008 SET#6449		X			
2009 SET#6867		X			

(1) DS = Direct Shear Test, TX = Triaxial Test, SPT = Standard Penetration Test, CPT = Cone Penetration Test, FVST = Field Vane Shear Test

2.3 Geotechnical Data Screening

Data selection depends on the type of strength being evaluated: drained, undrained, or liquefied. For drained shear strengths, results of field and laboratory tests on both dilative and contractive specimens were used.

For undrained strength estimates, results of field and laboratory tests were used. Undrained shear strengths were calculated using mostly data from contractive specimens. Because it is quite difficult to prepare all laboratory specimens to be contractive, some percentage of tests inevitably will be dilative, but some material strengths can be derived from these tests.

Liquefied strength estimates include only the laboratory test results for samples that contracted or exhibited quasi-steady state behavior during shear. Field testing (e.g., CPT and SPT) results were used to determine the location and depths of potentially contractive layers, and data (CPT, SPT and FVST) from those layers was then also used in liquefied strength calculations. Only the contractive data from SPT and CPT samples and residual strengths from FVST were used in determination of material liquefied strengths.

2.4 Laboratory Data Analysis

This section addresses the evaluation and interpretation of material strength data collected through laboratory testing. Laboratory strength testing that has been performed includes direct shear and triaxial testing.

2.4.1 Direct Shear

For direct shear test results, the ultimate stress measured in the test was used to determine the drained strength of the material. The results for the direct shear tests were plotted as shear stress versus normal effective stress to provide a drained friction angle for each appropriate material type. The drained shear strengths from direct shear tests were also plotted with triaxial test results, when possible.

2.4.2 Triaxial Shear Test

Triaxial shear testing includes isotropically-consolidated undrained (CIU) testing and consolidated-drained (CD) testing. CD triaxial testing is performed under drained conditions. The test is run at a slow enough shearing rate so that no excess pore water pressure is generated during the test. CIU triaxial testing is performed under undrained conditions. Pore water pressure must be monitored throughout the test. The pore water pressure, strain, and stress measured throughout the test can be processed to provide both drained and undrained strengths of materials. If the test is sheared to sufficient displacement and the specimen exhibits contractive behavior, the liquefied shear strength may be determined as well.

The pore water pressure was monitored throughout newer CIU tests, such that those data could be processed to determine the drained, undrained, and liquefied shear strength values.

Older CIU tests from historical investigations may not have had all pore water pressure data provided and therefore older CIU data were not always used to derive strength values.

Some tested specimens were undisturbed, while others were reconstituted in the laboratory. The reconstituted specimens in particular were used to determine the undrained shear strength parameters, especially for the liquefied strength case. Large strain failure criterion were used for determination of drained strength. This was selected to ensure that the drained strength was being determined along the failure plane identified in p-q space. The maximum deviatoric stress condition was used as the failure criterion to determine the failure envelope for undrained conditions. The shear stress at the initial yield point was used for samples exhibiting contractive QSS behavior. The minimum shear stress following the initial yield point for QSS samples or the residual stress for contractive samples was used to determine the liquefied shear strength.

The drained strength was determined from the CD triaxial tests and the applicable CIU triaxial tests. The results for these tests were processed and plotted as the shear stress versus the normal effective stress to provide a drained friction angle for each material type tested.

Undrained shear strength was determined from CIU tests. The results were plotted as the undrained shear strength versus the effective consolidation stress to provide an undrained shear strength ratio ($USSR_{yield}$) or a failure envelope, if appropriate.

Liquefied undrained shear strength ($USSR_{liq}$) was calculated from the tests that sheared sufficiently past the yield point and exhibiting steady state (SS) or quasi-steady state behavior. Results were plotted as the undrained shear strength versus the effective normal stress to provide a liquefied undrained shear strength ratio ($USSR_{liq}$).

2.5 Field Data Analysis

This section addresses the evaluation and interpretation of different data collected through field testing. Field strength testing performed includes SPT, CPT, and Field Vane Shear Tests (FVST).

The field data in combination with laboratory data (as described in Sections 1.0 and 2.6), were used to estimate drained, undrained, and liquefied strengths. In-situ SPT and CPT strength correlations are independent of drainage conditions during penetration. When determining the liquefied strengths, correlations were used to filter out data for materials that are expected to exhibit a dilative response during shearing, as described in Section 2.1.3.

2.5.1 Standard Penetration Test

The SPT data were compiled, corrected using industry standard procedures, and correlated to shear strengths, as appropriate.

The concept showing the relationship between "standard" blow counts and soil properties was introduced by Skempton (Reference (9)). Blow counts obtained in the field are typically corrected based on overburden pressure and energy. For liquefaction potential evaluation, the raw SPT blow counts (N) must be corrected to $(N_1)_{60}$-values. A number of site-specific factors are taken into account to improve repeatability. This is represented in the following equation:

$$N_{60} = E_m C_B C_S C_R N / 0.60$$

Where:

E_m = hammer efficiency
C_B = borehole diameter correction
C_S = sample barrel correction
C_R = rod length correction
N = raw SPT N-value recorded in the field, blows per foot

A correction was lacking in situations where samples were taken near the bottom of uniform soil deposits, thus exhibiting higher blow counts due to stiffer material below. The overburden correction was then termed $(N_1)_{60}$ and N_{60} is corrected using vertical effective stress, using the following equation:

$$(N_1)_{60} = N_{60} \text{ SQRT}(2000 \text{ psf} / \sigma_v')$$

2.5.1.1 Drained Shear Strength

Schmertmann's (Reference (10)) drained friction angle is calculated from N_{60} values and effective overburden stress. This calculation applies to non-plastic or coarse-grained materials as:

$$\phi' = \tan^{-1}(N_{60}/(12.2+20.3*\sigma'_{vo}))^{0.34}$$

2.5.1.2 Undrained Shear Strength

Olson and Stark's yield strength ratio analysis (Reference (11)) is a procedure that chiefly applies to non-plastic and low-plasticity materials. The undrained shear strength ratio was calculated for $(N_1)_{60}$ less than and equal to 12 blows per foot (BPF) as:

$$USSR_{yield} = 0.205 + 0.0075[(N_1)_{60}]$$

SPT tests with $(N_1)_{60}$ greater than 12 BPF generally are dilative. These soils were filtered out and not assigned an $USSR_{yield}$ value. This equation provides a lower-bound of 0.205 and an upper-bound of 0.295.

2.5.1.3 Liquefied Shear Strength

Fear and Robertson (Reference (4)) presented a relationship to assess the tendency for clean Ottawa sand to contract or dilate, based on overburden stress-normalized shear wave velocity and effective vertical stress. Olson and Stark (Reference (5)) converted this relationship to SPT and CPT-based contractive-dilative boundaries, and found that the converted Ottawa sand boundaries enveloped available liquefaction flow failure case histories. This relationship has been updated to account for the compressibility of the soil (Reference (12)).

With SPT data, corrected blow counts ($(N_1)_{60}$) are plotted against overburden pressure with the updated boundary from Olson (Reference (12)) dividing contractive and dilative behavior. Data points plotting below or to the left of the boundary are considered contractive and those values plotted above or to the right of the boundary are considered dilative.

Olson and Stark's liquefied strength ratio analysis (Reference (13)) applies to contractive soils. For the contractive points plotting below or to the left of the converted Fear and Robertson (Reference (4)) boundary as amended by Olson (Reference (12)) for medium compressible soils, the liquefied undrained shear strength ratio was calculated for $(N_1)_{60} \leq 12$ BPF as:

$$USSR_{liq} = 0.03 + 0.0075[(N_1)_{60}]$$

This equation provides a lower-bound of 0.03 and an upper-bound of 0.12. SPT tests with $(N_1)_{60}$ greater than 12 BPF generally are dilative. These soils were filtered out and not assigned an $USSR_{liq}$ value.

2.5.1.4 SPT Data Reporting

For the drained case, the data are generally presented as a friction angle.. For the undrained case, the data are plotted as the *USSR* value (yield or liquefied) versus effective overburden stress.

2.5.2 Cone Penetration Test

Cone Penetration Testing with pore water pressure measurement (CPTu) was performed in the Tailings Basin in 1996, 2005, and 2007. Zones of materials were identified by visual observations made during SPT sampling and logging and by relating measured CPT tip and sleeve resistance to density and soil behavior and analyzing them against the corresponding soil boring data. Data from zones where the material type was verified by visual observation were isolated to determine the shear strength envelopes for different material types.

The field cone penetration resistance measured at the tip is q_c for fine-grained soils, which may also be converted to a total cone resistance, q_t, by:

$$q_t = q_c + (1 - a)u$$

Where:

a = unequal end area ratio of the cone
u = pore water pressure measured between the tip and the friction sleeve

The total cone resistance is corrected to a standard effective overburden pressure of one atmosphere (p_a, typically 1 tsf) by:

$$q_{t1} = q_t \left(\frac{p_a}{\sigma'_{vo}}\right)^{0.5}$$

2.5.2.1 Drained Shear Strength

Robertson and Campanella (Reference (14)) proposed an empirical relationship to evaluate the drained shear strength of uncemented sands based on tip resistance. This method presents boundaries for drained friction angle values (ϕ') ranging from 28 to 48 degrees on a plot of measured tip resistance (q_c) against vertical effective stress (σ'_{vo}). The method applies to granular normally-consolidated soils only.

2.5.2.2 Undrained Shear Strength

The CPT data were analyzed to estimate an undrained shear strength ratio ($USSR_{yield}$). Undrained response was somewhat difficult to verify with the 2005 and 2007 data, as the Tailings Basin had been undergoing natural drainage and desaturation since operations ceased in 2001 and perched water conditions appear to have existed in some shallower, finer layers when the most recent investigations were performed.

$USSR_{yield}$ was determined using Olson's Method, developed by Olson and Stark (Reference (5)), which uses the corrected cone penetration tip resistance (q_{c1}) for q_{c1} values less than 6.5 MPa. Olson (Reference (12)) recommends that q_{c1} should be replaced by q_{t1} where pore pressure develops within the materials during penetration (Reference (5)). The $USSR_{yield}$ is calculated as:

$$USSR_{yield} = \frac{S_u}{\sigma'_{vo}} = 0.205 + 0.0143(q_{t1})$$

2.5.2.3 Liquefied Shear Strength

The liquefied strength calculation for each material type uses only data from points that exhibited contractive behavior. With CPT data, the corrected tip resistance (q_{c1}) is plotted against overburden pressure, with a boundary converted from the Fear and Robertson

correlation (Reference (4)) dividing contractive and dilative behavior. Olson (Reference (12)) developed an approach to incorporate soil compressibility into the CPT-based contractive-dilative boundary. For the Tailings Basin materials, the medium soil compressibility boundary was used (Reference (12)); values plotting below the boundary are contractive and those values plotted above the boundary are dilative.

The liquefied undrained shear strength ratio ($USSR_{liq}$) was determined by analyzing the CPT data using a correlation initially developed by Olson and Stark (Reference (11)) and herein being referred to as the Olson Method. The relationship was developed based on back analysis of data from case histories of failed slopes comprised of sands, silty sands, and tailings. Olson (Reference (12)) has updated the correlation such that it utilizes the corrected tip resistance, q_{t1}, rather than q_{c1} as was originally proposed by Olson and Stark (Reference (11)). The Olson method filters out data from materials that should not be characterized with a $USSR_{liq}$, specifying that the calculation should include only data from soils that are classified as contractive using the Olson contractive/dilative screening criteria (Reference (12)) which corresponds to a tip resistance of about 6.5 MPa for many sites. The $USSR_{liq}$ is calculated as:

$$USSR_{liq} = \frac{s_{u(liq)}}{\sigma'_{vo}} = 0.03 + 0.0143(q_{t1}) \pm 0.03$$

2.5.2.4 CPT Data Reporting

For the drained friction angle, measured tip resistance (q_c) was plotted against vertical effective stress (σ'_{vo}) and strength values were assigned based on Robertson and Campanella's boundaries (Reference (14)).

Similar to SPT data, the CPT data processed with both methods for undrained shear strength were plotted as the *USSR* values versus depth. Because of the nearly continuous data recording, however, thousands of data points (an average every two centimeters) were analyzed and these plots can become difficult to read. Cumulative normalized frequency plots and plots of the undrained shear strength versus the overburden pressure were prepared to further clarify natural variations.

2.5.3 Field Vane Shear Test

Three field investigations to obtain FVST data were performed; one in 1977 by Ebasco Services (Reference (15)), one in 1999 by Barr (Reference (16)), and one in 2007 by AET under Barr's supervision, provided in Attachment E of Reference (1).

For the 2007 investigation, FVST was conducted adjacent to locations where stratigraphy was determined on a near continuous basis using CPT. Stratigraphy was confirmed at a number of these locations using SPT and laboratory testing. Zones of interest for FVST were identified using the CPT logs; focusing on zones where low tip resistances and positive pore

pressure response were reported during advancement of the cone, indicating loose or soft conditions. Once zones of interest were defined in the CPT logs, an adjacent borehole (approximately 10 feet away) was advanced and FVST was conducted at the depths of interest. Availability of CPT and SPT data supports the interpretation of FVST results.

The testing program addressed possible mechanical compaction of sediments during original dam construction by (1) sampling at distances typically hundreds of feet from perimeter dams, and (2) testing only the layers of LTVSMC fine tailings and slimes; materials which were least subject to compaction. The testing locations from the 2007 investigation are located in Cells 1E and 2E. Six of the eight locations were tested within the basin at 07-02, 07-03, 07-06, 07-08, 07-09 and 07-10. One of the tests was performed below the crest of the basin dam at 07-15, and one of the test locations was near the toe of the basin at 07-07C. The intent of the FVST was to test zones with low tip resistance indicating weaker layers within the basin. Based on the SPT logs the materials tested using the vane shear apparatus are fine tailings and slimes. Due to their position inside the perimeter of the dams, fine tailings and slimes were not intentionally compacted during Tailings Basin development.

In-situ FVST were performed in general accordance with ASTM D2753, however for the 2007 geotechnical investigation the FVST method was modified as a means to measure undrained shear strength. Results of the 1977 Ebasco (Reference (15)) and 1999 Barr (Reference (16)) FVST tests suggest that those tests may not have measured undrained conditions. This conclusion is based on the time factor (T_v) calculated for each field vane test performed. T_v values of less than approximately 0.04 indicate undrained conditions, and the T_v values calculated for the earlier FVST tests were in the range of 0.0487 to 0.3574.

Time factor (T_v) values were calculated using the rate of vane rotation recorded during acquisition of the raw field data, the diameter of the vane, and c_v, the average coefficient of vertical consolidation determined from laboratory consolidation data, using the following relationship (Reference (17)):

$$T_v = \frac{c_v t_f}{d^2}$$

where:

T_v = dimensionless time factor ($\leq$ 0.02 to 0.04 for undrained conditions)
t_f = time to failure in seconds, calculated from vane rotation rate
d = vane diameter

To increase the likelihood of inducing undrained conditions, the 2007 investigation increased the rotational shear rate to minimize pore water pressure dissipation. The modified FVST method involved increasing the rate of shear from the standard rate of approximately 0.1 degrees/sec to rates that ranged from 2.6 to over 58 degrees per second. FVST performed following ASTM D2753 “Standard Test Method for Field Vane Shear Test in Cohesive Soil

typically rotate a hand crank at a specified rate to shear the soils around the vane location (). Normal rates of shear for the vane apparatus are on the order of 0.1 degrees/sec or two to five minutes to failure which is consistent with test procedures designed for clays soils. For this project the FVST equipment was modified to obtain the highest rate of shear possible, within the confines of the equipment capabilities. The equipment was modified by removing the hand crank handle on the drive unit and mounting a motor to the shearing device. At each location, the rotational shear rate was increased from the standard 0.1 degrees per second to a location-specific rate calculated to induce undrained conditions.

The rotational rate needed to induce undrained conditions was calculated based on results of CPT dissipation testing and laboratory consolidation testing. Dissipation test results were used to calculate the time to 50% consolidation, t_{50} (Section 4.3.2 and Large Figure 2 of Reference (1)). Values of t_{50}, in the range of about 8.4 to 170 seconds (0.14 to 2.83 minutes) were calculated for the FVST locations. Laboratory consolidation test data indicated that the coefficient of consolidation is in the range of 540 to 30,000 cm^2/second. Coefficient of consolidation and t_{50} values were interpreted using guidelines from Blight (Reference (17)) and Morris and Williams (Reference (18)) to evaluate the pore pressure dissipation and the time to failure to achieve undrained behavior. The estimated time to failure value for each location determined the appropriate FVST rotational rate.

Tests were typically continued through yield response so residual strength was recorded. A summary table of all FVST data is provided as Table 2-2. Table 2-2 includes FVST data gathered for Barr by AET in 2007 using the modified testing method, and data gathered in previous field investigations ((Reference (15)) and (Reference (16)). Only the 2007 data is used for the material strength analysis, as the high time factor values associated with the data from the previous investigations indicate that the tests were not performed in undrained conditions.

Table 2-2 Summary Table of Available Field Vane Shear Test Data

Location	Depth (ft.)	Material	Yield s_u (psf)	Remolded s_u (psf)	Rate (deg/sec)	Average T_v
07-10	17.7	fine tailings	1200	427	52.6	0.0006
07-10	26.8	fine tailings	1310	409	51.6	0.0006
07-10	39.6	fine tailings	1620	360	44.1	0.0012
07-02 (1)	61.7	fine tailings/slimes	1390	--	3.1	0.0164
07-08 (1)	67.5	fine tailings/slimes	2380	950	54.4	0.0009
07-03 (1)	24.8	slimes	1050	152	3.1	0.0105
07-03 (1)	25.2	slimes	670	140	40.3	0.0006
07-03 (1)	35.1	slimes	540	160	3.1	0.0062

Location	Depth (ft.)	Material	Yield s_u (psf)	Remolded s_u (psf)	Rate (deg/sec)	Average T_v
07-06 (1)	23.5	slimes	110	90	3.0	0.0031
07-06 (1)	24.5	slimes	500	120	44.0	0.0003
07-06 (1)	31.6	slimes	340	160	3.1	0.0074
07-06 (1)	32.6	slimes	1140	345	12.3	0.0025
07-07C (1)	50.7	slimes	1720	708	27.9	0.0019
07-09 (1)	30	slimes	950	240	47.8	0.0006
07-09 (1)	30.8	slimes	1050	420	49.6	0.0006
07-09 (1)	36.3	slimes	1010	540	48.3	0.0006
07-15 (1)	37.2	slimes	1120	254	58.1	0.0009
07-15 (1)	37.9	slimes	940		2.6	0.0121
07-15 (1)	38.6	slimes	1120		3.1	0.0093
07-15	36.2	slimes	810		-	-
07-07C	52.2	fine tailings	3940		50.5	0.0016
07-08	66.8	fine tailings/slimes	3940		41.9	0.0016
07-07C	51.4	slimes	2160		45.4	0.0016
07-12	43	fine tailings	1490	692	44.5	0.0009
Ebasco A-5	107.5	slimes	2250	900	0.1	0.1527
Ebasco A-5	109	slimes	5600		0.1	0.3574
Ebasco A-5	119.5	slimes	2300	1400	0.1	0.1462
Ebasco A-5	121	slimes	2900	2000	0.1	0.1949
Ebasco A-8	50	slimes	1100	400	0.1	0.0487
Ebasco A-8	51.5	slimes	1400	900	0.1	0.0650
Ebasco A-8	55	slimes	3400	1400	0.1	0.0975
Ebasco A-8	56.5	slimes	2400	2200	0.1	0.0650
Ebasco A-8	60	slimes	2700	1500	0.1	0.1300
Ebasco A-8	61.5	slimes	2600	1900	0.1	0.0585
Ebasco A-8	65	slimes	1200	800	0.1	0.0812
Ebasco A-8	66.5	slimes	3900		0.1	0.2425
Ebasco A-8	70	slimes	1200	900	0.1	0.0650
Ebasco A-8	71.5	slimes	1950	1550	0.1	0.0650
Ebasco A-8	75	slimes	2200	1800	0.1	0.1455

Location	Depth (ft.)	Material	Yield s_u (psf)	Remolded s_u (psf)	Rate (deg/sec)	Average T_v
Ebasco A-8	76.5	slimes	3600	3000	0.1	0.0812
Ebasco A-8	80	slimes	2700	1600	0.1	0.0975
Ebasco A-8	81.5	-				-
Ebasco A-8	90	slimes	2800	1900	0.1	0.0812
Ebasco A-8	91.5	slimes	3700	2400	0.1	0.0650
Ebasco A-8	95	slimes	1950	1200	0.1	0.0975
Ebasco A-8	96	slimes	3400	2500	0.1	0.0812
Ebasco A-8	100	slimes	2200	1300	0.1	0.1218
Ebasco A-8	101	slimes	3500	2200	0.1	0.0812
I1-99	89.5	slimes	330	-	0.1	-
P1H-99	75	slimes	700	-	0.1	-
P2H-99	65.9	slimes	1700	550	0.1	-
PH1-99	89	slimes	2387	2011	0.1	-

(1) Denotes field vane test results that were used for strength analysis

The increased strain rate associated with the faster rotational rates has been shown to not adversely affect FVST results for the types of materials present at the site. Numerous studies show that material strengths are not strain-rate dependent for non-plastic, coarser grained soils. (e.g., Novasad 1964 (Reference (19)); Schimming et.al. 1966 (Reference (20)); Scarlett and Todd 1969 (Reference); Savage 1982 (Reference (21)); Hungr and Morgenstern 1984 (Reference (22)); Lemos 1986 (Reference (23)); Vaid and Negussy 1988 (Reference (24); Sassa 1984, 1985, 2000 (References (25) (26) (27)); Fukuoka 1991 (Reference (28)); Tika et.al. 1996 (Reference (29); Infante-Sednao 1998 (Reference (30)); Sandrekarimi and Olson 2009 (Reference (31)). In contrast, plastic soils have shown increases in peak shear resistance of about 5 to 15% for every order of magnitude increase in strain rate (Lefebrve et.al.(Reference (32); Terzaghi et.al. (Reference (33)). Idriss and Boulanger (Reference (34)) suggest that soils with a Plasticity Index (PI) <7 generally exhibit sand-like shear behavior, so would not be strain-rate dependent. The PI of the LTVSMC tailings is generally about 2 to 7, so most of the LTVSMC tailings should exhibit sand-like behavior and show no strain-rate dependent strength increase. The strength values calculated using the data from the 2007 FVST tests conducted using increased rotation rates should therefore accurately represent the strengths of the materials tested.

The 2007 FVST results were used to estimate the in-situ undrained yield and remolded or liquefied shear strength ratios for LTVSMC fine tailings and slimes. Results from tests on

material classified as LTVSMC fine tailings (third column in Table 2-2) were used with other test data described herein to determine the LTVSMC fine tailings strengths and results from tests on material classified as LTVSMC slimes was used with other test data described herein to determine the strength of LTVSMC slimes. To determine the combined LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes strength, results from tests on all material identified as LTVSMC slimes, LTVSMC fine tailings, and LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes were used. To determine undrained and liquefied undrained shear strength ratios from the field vane tests, the yield and residual strengths were divided by the effective overburden pressure (determined assuming a saturated unit weight specific to each material and assumed water depths based on CPT data). To provide corresponding undrained shear strength ratios (*USSR*) the results were plotted as the undrained shear strengths versus the effective vertical stresses.

2.6 Design Strengths

The drained, undrained yield, and liquefied shear strengths determined by each of the laboratory and field testing methods were integrated to determine design strengths for each material type.

The method used to select design parameters is based on Barr's experience and guidance from Mr. Richard Davidson and Dr. Scott Olson, as described in Section 1.0. The consistent methodology for selection of design material strength parameters developed in consultation with Dr. Olson is detailed in Attachment D. This technique for selection of material strength parameters provides a systematic approach that is not reliant on statistical analysis of data sets that often are difficult to fit to typical data distributions (i.e., normal distribution, log normal distribution, generalized extreme distribution, and possibly others). Design values were selected as follows:

- Both laboratory data and field data are included in the analysis.

- Material liquefied strength analyses include only the laboratory and field test results for samples that contracted or presented quasi-steady state behavior during shear. These samples, which exhibit strain-softening behavior, are a subset of the full sample set. Results for samples that dilated during shear (strain-hardening behavior) are not included in material liquefied strength analyses. The effect of this approach is that the calculation is conservative because it discounts stronger materials that are present in the tailings.

- Laboratory testing, particularly of man-made materials, is included because it has long provided reliable estimates of shear strength. While laboratory depositional techniques often cannot mimic natural (geomorphic) depositional conditions, they can reasonably reproduce artificial deposition procedures. Laboratory methods of reconstituting specimens can be tailored to mimic artificial deposition procedures used in the field such as deposition from a spigot. Furthermore, the mode of shear and stress conditions can be carefully-controlled in the laboratory and tailored to mimic particular failure mechanisms. Careful sample preparations and controlled testing conditions can measure

yield and liquefied strengths when contractive specimens are obtained. However the method of loose sample preparation to replicate contractive conditions is very difficult (in Barr's experience usually only one of eight tests are successful). Third, and most importantly, laboratory testing can be performed on site-specific materials.

- In-situ SPT and CPT testing, which use empirical correlations, is used and is important on two levels. First, in-situ penetration resistance testing provides a measure of the actual soil state (i.e., whether a soil will contract or dilate during shear). Furthermore, it provides an estimate of shear strength based on field experience (i.e., strengths back-calculated from failures in the field).

- For the FVST test locations, the material behavior was evaluated through the use of CPT-based assessments of contractive/dilative behavior. FVST then provides a direct measure of in-situ material shear strength and were used to estimate the in-situ undrained yield and remolded shear strength ratios for LTVSMC slimes.

- 33rd percentile drained and yield undrained shear strength is used for the Effective Stress Stability Analysis (ESSA) and Yield Undrained Shear Strength Analysis (USSAyield) (i.e., on cumulative data plots 33% of the data yields lower strengths and 67% of the data yields higher strengths than the selected design value).

- For drained and undrained yield shear strengths, the design value was determined by averaging the individual 33rd percentile values of any field tests, then adding the average of the 33rd percentile laboratory test results and finding the overall average. The 33rd percentile and average values were calculated using Excel.

- The liquefied shear strengths were determined from the average of all contractive test data. Dr. Olson recommended the use of average liquefied shear strength due to the conservative nature of the sample set being tested (i.e., samples with higher strengths are not included) and the material type (LTVSMC slimes and Flotation Tailings).

- Engineering judgment was required to select an appropriate percentile value of strength (i.e., 33rd percentile), and to weight the values appropriately that are used to assess strengths (e.g., averaging field and laboratory data).

3.0 Drained Shear Strength Parameters

Drained shear strength is typically used in Effective Stress Stability Analysis (ESSA), which generally relates to long-term conditions. Drained shear strength properties are also referred to as effective stress parameters. Drained strength parameters were determined for all modeled materials. Figures related to the development of drained shear strength parameters are provided in Exhibit A.

3.1 LTVSMC Coarse Tailings

As the LTVSMC coarse tailings have a relatively high permeability which allows for rapid dissipation of excess pore water pressure and because the dams will be raised slowly over time, the drained response was assumed to be applicable for the LTVSMC coarse tailings for both short-term and long-term conditions. Additionally the coarse tailings that generally comprise the shell of the perimeter dams have been subjected to greater compaction than typical hydraulically placed tailings due to construction traffic and placement methodology and should not be susceptible to strength loss associated with liquefaction.

3.1.1 Laboratory Data

Triaxial tests were performed by Ebasco Services in 1977 and by Barr in 2008. Direct shear testing was performed in 1976 by Braun Engineering Testing. Effective friction angles determined from triaxial and direct shear testing were plotted together to determine the drained shear strength. The results are presented in Figure A-1 in Exhibit A in terms of the failure envelope. Values range from about 28 to 47 degrees. The 33rd percentile value of the laboratory data for LTVSMC coarse tailings is 36.5 degrees.

3.1.2 Field Data

Field data for the LTVSMC coarse tailings included SPT and CPT results. Data from CPT performed in 1996, 2005, and 2007 were analyzed. Data from SPT performed in 1990, 1996, 1999, and 2007 were analyzed. The resulting drained friction angles are plotted separately on Figures A-2 and A-3 for SPT and CPT, respectively. The SPT data ranges from about 26 to 50 degrees with a 33rd percentile value of 37.9 degrees. Any values higher than 50 degrees were removed from the SPT data set to prevent skewing the analysis. The CPT data generally range from about 39 to greater than 46 degrees, with a few outliers below 39 degrees, and with a 33rd percentile value of 43.0 degrees (based on the Robertson & Campanella analysis procedure described in (Reference (35)).

3.1.3 Design Value

Table 3-1 summarizes the drained shear strength testing of the LTVSMC coarse tailings, and presents the selected design value.

Table 3-1 LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Tests for Drained Shear Strength

Tests	SPT	CPT	Triaxial	Direct Shear
Number of Tests	15 borings	9 soundings	8	12
33rd Percentile ϕ'	37.9°	43.0°	36.5°	
Average ϕ'	40.5°		36.5°	
Design Value ϕ' (1)	**38.5°**			

(1) Design value is reported to nearest 0.5 degrees.

3.2 LTVSMC Fine Tailings

Based on historical definitions, the LTVSMC fine tailings can contain between 25% and 95% passing the No. 200 sieve. Because of this fines content, they have a lower permeability than the LTVSMC coarse tailings and are expected to develop excess pore water pressures during shear. As such, the fine tailings have been defined with drained strength parameters for long-term modeling and undrained strength parameters for short-term and liquefied conditions.

3.2.1 Laboratory Data

Triaxial testing was performed in 2007 and 1997 on thin-wall samples of LTVSMC fine tailings. A limited number of tests were performed as it has been difficult to identify and collect representative samples of fine tailings in the field due to inter-bedding of fine tailings and slimes.

Effective friction angles determined from isotropically consolidated undrained (CIU) triaxial compression tests and consolidated drained (CD) tests were analyzed to determine the drained shear strength. The results are presented in Figure A-4. Values range from about 32 to 40 degrees, with the 33rd percentile value of 33.0 degrees.

3.2.2 Field Data

Field data analysis methods for drained strength only apply to coarse-grained soils. Schmertmann's method for SPT analysis only applies to coarse-grained soils (Reference (10)), and Robertson and Campanella's method for CPT analysis only applies to coarse-grained soils (Reference (14)). As a result, field data are not included in analysis of the drained strength of the LTVSMC fine tailings.

3.2.3 Design Value

Table 3-2 summarizes the drained friction angle testing of the LTVSMC fine tailings and presents the selected design value.

Table 3-2 LTVSMC Fine Tailings Tests for Drained Strength

Tests	Triaxial
Number of Tests	6
33rd Percentile ϕ'	33.0°
Design Value ϕ'	**33.0°**

3.3 LTVSMC Slimes

The LTVSMC slimes have a minimum of 95% particles passing the No. 200 sieve, but because they are tailings with clay-size particles without clay mineralogy, the slimes have low plasticity. Similarly, the permeability of the slimes is not as low as would be expected for a naturally occurring soil with a comparable gradation. The slimes were characterized with a drained strength for long-term conditions and undrained strengths for short-term and liquefied conditions.

3.3.1 Laboratory Data

The LTVSMC slimes were evaluated in the laboratory with isotropically-consolidated, undrained (CIU) and consolidated-drained (CD) triaxial testing. While CIU triaxial testing has been performed extensively on LTVSMC slimes since 1986 (a total of 68 tests), only 14 of the available triaxial tests exhibited contractive behavior, with nine of those developing quasi-steady state (QSS) behavior. Nineteen direct shear tests were also performed by Ebasco in 1977 (Reference (15)) on the slimes.

Effective friction angles determined from triaxial and direct shear testing were plotted together to determine the drained shear strength. The results are presented in Figure A-5. Values range from about 25 to 43 degrees, with a 33rd percentile value of 34.3 degrees.

3.3.2 Field Data

Field data analysis methods for drained strength only apply to coarse-grained soils. Schmertmann's method for SPT analysis only applies to coarse-grained soils (Reference (10)), and Robertson and Campanella's method for CPT analysis only applies to coarse-grained soils (Reference (14)). As a result, field data are not included in analysis of the drained strength of the LTVSMC slimes.

3.3.3 Design Value

Table 3-3 summarizes the drained shear strength testing and the derivation of the design value for the LTVSMC slimes. Based on the material and typical behavior, a design value of

33 degrees was chosen as an appropriate representation of the drained strength for LTVSMC slimes.

Table 3-3 LTVSMC Slimes Tests for Drained Strength

Tests	Triaxial
Number of Tests	33
33rd Percentile ϕ'	34.3°
Design Value ϕ' (1)	**33.0°**

(1) Design value based on engineering judgment.

3.4 LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes

Previously the LTVSMC fine tailings and slimes had been combined only in the interior of the Tailings Basin to simplify the slope stability model. The LTVSMC fine tailings and LTVSMC slimes were analyzed together for the entire basin, hereafter called LTVSMC fine tailing/slimes. After reviewing the available CPT data for the site, Robertson suggested that fine tailings and slimes should be treated as the same material for stability analysis purposes (Reference (36)). Furthermore, some areas of slimes can be distinguished from fine tailings but due to the highly inter-bedded layering in the fine tailings and slimes, for stability analysis purposes these regions have been combined into one fine tailings/slimes region.

3.4.1 Laboratory Data

The laboratory data from LTVSMC slimes and fine tailings were combined in order to determine an effective friction angle for LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes. The triaxial and direct shear test results from fine tailings and slimes were plotted together to determine the drained shear strength. The results are presented in Figure A-6. Values range from about 25 to 43 degrees, with a 33rd percentile value of 34.1 degrees.

3.4.2 Field Data

Field data analysis methods for drained strength only apply to coarse-grained soils. Schmertmann's method for SPT analysis only applies to coarse-grained soils (Reference (10)), and Robertson and Campanella's method for CPT analysis only applies to coarse-grained soils (Reference (14)). As a result, field data are not included in analysis of the drained strength of the LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes.

3.4.3 Design Value

Table 3-4 summarizes the drained friction angle testing of LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes, and presents the selected design value. Based on the material and typical behavior, a design value

of 33 degrees was chosen as an appropriate representation of the drained strength for LTVSMC slimes.

Table 3-4 LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes Tests for Drained Strength

Tests	Triaxial
Number of Tests	39
33rd Percentile ϕ'	34.1°
Design Value ϕ' (1)	**33.0°**

(1) Design value based on engineering judgment.

3.5 LTVSMC Bulk Tailings

Future FTB dam lifts will be constructed with LTVSMC coarse tailings, with the potential for occasional inclusions of some LTVSMC fine tailings and slimes (hence the name bulk LTVSMC tailings is used). While LTVSMC coarse tailings will be preferentially borrowed, some mixing of LTVSMC fine tailings and slimes may occur during excavation, transport, and placement of the dam building materials. To evaluate the sensitivity of bulk tailings strength to various blend ratios (ratio of coarse tailings to fine tailings and slimes), four tailings mixtures were prepared from bulk samples obtained during test pitting in the Tailings Basin and the blending ratios and fines content are presented in Table 3-5.

Table 3-5 LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Blends

	Blending Ratio					
Blend	**LTVSMC Coarse Tailings**	**LTVSMC Fine Tailings**	**LTVSMC Slimes**	**Fines Content (% by wt.)**	**USCS**	**Field Classification**
1	5 parts	4 parts	1 part	23.9	SM	Fine tailings
2	15 parts	4 parts	1 part	15.5	SP-SM	Coarse tailings
3	5 parts	8 parts	2 parts	27.8	SM	Fine tailings
4	5 parts	16 parts	4 parts	43.2	SM	Fine tailings

Because the LTVSMC bulk tailings will be comprised primarily of LTVSMC coarse tailings, it is expected that they will be relatively free-draining and excess pore water pressures will dissipate quickly. During lift construction, the bulk tailings will be well-compacted, which means they will exhibit a dilative behavior when loaded. Therefore the bulk tailings were only characterized for drained strength conditions. The expected blend is Blend 2 or better

(preferential borrowing of LTVSMC coarse tailings will be performed to achieve Blend 2 or a blend with even greater coarse tailings content). Blend 2 conforms with the filter criteria and matches well with the selected design value.

3.5.1 Laboratory Data

The shear strength of the LTVSMC bulk tailings was evaluated through CIU triaxial testing. Each of the four blends was tested. Eleven of the tests displayed dilative behavior and one test displayed quasi-steady state behavior. The results of the triaxial tests were analyzed for the drained friction angle by plotting the shear strength versus the confining pressure using the peak values from all triaxial tests. As shown on Figure A-7, the LTVSMC bulk tailings were characterized with a drained friction angle ranging from about 36.7 to 39.4 degrees. The 33rd percentile value is 38.3 degrees. Data from tests on all four blends were analyzed together (rather than averages from each 3-point series per blend type); however, the anticipated LTVSMC bulk tailings material is best represented by Blend 2 which has a friction angle of 38.5 degrees. All blends were analyzed to better understand how the finer material can impact the shear strength and to add a degree of conservatism for a material that has not yet been created in the field.

3.5.2 Field Data

While the LTVSMC bulk tailings do not exist in the field, LTVSMC coarse and fine tailings have been tested with CPT and SPT. Based on these field tests, the LTVSMC bulk tailings were previously assumed to have a minimum drained friction angle of 33.0 degrees based on the value determined for fine tailings (Table 3-2). The LTVSMC coarse tailings, which were not subjected to rigorous compaction methods performed during construction but were compacted by construction vehicle traffic, exhibited an average drained friction angle of approximately 38.5 degrees from field testing (Table 3-1). Because the LTVSMC bulk tailings will be subjected to mechanical compaction and comprised primarily of LTVSMC coarse tailings, as anticipated the LTVSMC bulk tailings warrant a shear strength similar to LTVSMC coarse tailings.

3.5.3 Design Value

Table 3-6 summarizes the drained friction angle testing of the LTVSMC bulk tailings, and presents the selected design value.

Table 3-6 LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Tests for Drained Strength

Tests	Triaxial
Number of Tests	12
33rd Percentile ϕ'	38.3°
Design Value ϕ' (1)	**38.5°**

(1) Design value is reported to nearest 0.5 degrees.

3.6 Flotation Tailings

The Flotation Tailings were generated in a pilot plant from processing of roughly 43 tons of ore. The Flotation Tailings have a similar gradation to the LTVSMC fine tailings. Therefore, the Flotation Tailings are defined with drained strength parameters for long-term modeling and undrained strength parameters for short-term and seismic modeling. No field data are available for the Flotation Tailings.

3.6.1 Laboratory Data

Only six of the 19 triaxial CIU tests performed on Flotation Tailings in 2005 and 2008 exhibited contractive behavior The remainder of the tests exhibited dilative behavior or behavior similar to quasi-steady state (QSS), though without a clear initial yield point. Of the 19 tests, 15 were used to determine the drained friction angle based on maximum deviator stress failure criterion. Results from the remaining four tests appear to represent high and low (outlier) values. Shear strength values were plotted together for the triaxial results to evaluate the difference in strength with each triaxial response. The test results ranged from about 19.5 to 47 degrees, as shown in Figure A-8. The 33rd percentile value is 35.7 degrees. However due to the limited amount of triaxial data, a design value of 33 degrees was chosen as an appropriate representation of the drained strength for Flotation Tailings based on the influence from triaxial test values performed under low effective normal stresses.

3.6.2 Design Value

Table 3-7 summarizes the drained friction angle testing for Flotation Tailings and presents the selected design value.

Table 3-7 Flotation Tailings Tests for Drained Strength

Tests	Triaxial
Number of Tests	15
33rd Percentile ϕ'	35.7 °
Design Value ϕ' (1)	**33.0°**

(1) Design value based on engineering judgment.

3.7 Peat

A portion of the Tailings Basin was founded on wetlands. Extensive field and laboratory testing has been conducted during previous investigations. Past testing included CPT, FVST, direct shear, and triaxial testing. However, much of the past testing did not include detailed data for particular tests. Only summary results were reported in many of the historical investigation reports.

3.7.1 Laboratory Data

Several triaxial tests were performed on peat samples in 1979, 1980, 1990, and 1996. Direct shear testing was performed in 1979, 1980 and 1990 on peat samples. The direct shear results were plotted on Figure A-9 in terms of the failure envelope. Values of effective normal stress and shear stress associated with the drained shear/normal function are tabulated on Figure A-9. The selection of the drained Peat strength is consistent with the 33rd percentile approach; one-third of the data are below the design value and two-thirds of the data are above the design value.

3.7.2 Design Value

Table 3-8 summarizes the drained friction angle testing for peat and presents the selected design value.

Table 3-8 Peat Tests for Drained Strength

Tests	Direct Shear
Number of Tests	10
Design Values ϕ', c'	**Shear/Normal Function**[1] representing a drained friction of ~27 degrees in the linear portion of the data range

3.8 Glacial Till

The glacial till comprises the foundation for the majority of the Tailings Basin, except where peat is present. In the critical design section, Cross-Section F, the glacial till exists below the peat. In general, in-situ testing or sampling of the glacial till has been very difficult, as the till is highly over-consolidated and often contains gravel, cobbles, and boulders. Therefore, limited data are available. Most samples collected of the glacial till are classified as silty sand with gravel, though varying amounts of clay, cobbles, and boulders are present.

3.8.1 Laboratory Data

Only one CIU triaxial test has been performed on the glacial till for this site, which exhibits a drained friction angle of 35.0 degrees.

3.8.2 Field Data

SPT data collected during drilling were analyzed for glacial till to determine the drained friction angle. There is a limited data set, as many borings terminated within the LTVSMC tailings or at the till interface. In the borings that penetrated the glacial till, depth to till ranged from 23 to 146 feet and the till had N_{60}-value of blow counts ranging from 14 to 68 blows per foot. As shown on Figure A-10, the 33rd percentile drained friction angle was calculated as 37.6 degrees, with results ranging from 35.7 to 51.6 degrees.

3.8.3 Design Value

Table 3-9 summarizes the drained friction angle analysis for glacial till, and presents the selected design value used to characterize the till for both drained and undrained slope stability models.

Table 3-9 Glacial Till Tests for Drained Strength

Tests	SPT	Triaxial
Number of Tests	14 borings	1
33rd Percentile ϕ'	37.6°	35.0°
Design Value ϕ' (1)	**36.5°**	

(1) Design value is reported to the nearest 0.5 degrees.

3.9 Summary of Design Values for Drained Shear Strength

Table 3-10 summarizes the drained friction angle design values.

Table 3-10 Drained Design Values

Material	Design Values
LTVSMC Coarse Tailings ϕ'	38.5°
LTVSMC Fine Tailings ϕ'	33.0°
LTVSMC Slimes ϕ'	33.0°
LTVSMC Slimes/Fine Tailings ϕ'	33.0°
LTVSMC Bulk Tailings ϕ'	38.5°
Flotation Tailings ϕ'	33.0°
Peat ϕ', c'	Shear/Normal Function (~27°)
Glacial Till ϕ'	36.5°

4.0 Undrained Yield Shear Strength Parameters

The undrained shear strength is often used to represent short-term conditions, typically immediately after construction where excess pore water pressures exist in fine-grained soils. Undrained shear strength parameters were determined for the LTVSMC fine tailings, LTVSMC slimes, LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes, Flotation Tailings, and peat. Undrained parameters were not adopted for the LTVSMC coarse tailings, LTVSMC bulk tailings, or the glacial till, as these materials are understood to behave in a drained matter under typical loading conditions. Figures related to the development of undrained shear strength parameters are provided in Exhibit B.

4.1 LTVSMC Fine Tailings

The LTVSMC fine tailings can be characterized by undrained shear strength ratio for short-term and seismic modeling.

4.1.1 Laboratory Data

Insufficient laboratory data was available for use in the analysis. Of the six triaxial CIU tests performed since 1986 on LTVSMC fine tailings, only three samples exhibited a quasi-steady state behavior where the stress-strain curve showed a peak. The results from the 1997 testing were not used to determine the undrained shear strength ratio of LTVSMC fine tailings, because they exhibited dilative behavior. Only second-hand data results were available and no raw data was provided in historical reports.

4.1.2 Field Data

Field vane tests have been performed in the LTVSMC fine tailings. Only soundings from 2007 were used when analyzing the FVST design purposes, as they were the only CPT tests available with companion soil borings to verify the LTVSMC fine tailings classifications. Two field investigations to obtain FVST data were performed; one in 1977 by EBASCO (these test data were disregarded because it was determined that testing may have been conducted under drained conditions), and one in 2007 by AET under Barr's supervision (where vane shear tests were continued through yield response so residual strength was recorded). The field data from EBASCO is provided in Attachment D of Reference (1) and AET field data is provided in Attachment F of Reference (1). The undrained shear strength ratio from in-situ vane shear testing had $USSR_{yield}$ values ranging from 0.52 to 0.74. Due to the high $USSR_{yield}$ values, the FVST strength was not used in the design strength calculation of LTVSMC fine tailings.

During geotechnical investigations, CPT and SPT have been performed in the LTVSMC fine tailings. The computed $USSR_{yield}$ values ranged from approximately 0.21 to 0.30 for CPT data and from 0.22 to 0.29 for SPT data (defined by the bounds of Olson and Stark's (Reference (11)) equation, see Section 2.5.1.2). 33rd percentile values are 0.24 and 0.25 from CPT and SPT data respectively, as shown on Figures B-1 and B-2.

Table 4-1 summarizes the undrained strength analysis of the LTVSMC fine tailings, and presents the selected design value.

Table 4-1 LTVSMC Fine Tailings Tests for Undrained Strength

Tests	SPT	CPT
Number of tests	13 borings	7 soundings
33rd Percentile	0.25	0.24
Design Value *USSR*$_{yield}$	0.25	

SPT and CPT data were weighted equally in determining the LTVSMC fine tailings design value. Due to the nature of CPT testing, data is collected approximately every two centimeters during penetration and provides an indication of the trend in strength through a formation. The CPT and SPT data are correlated to strength via an empirical correlation proposed in Olson and Stark (Reference (11)).

4.2 LTVSMC Slimes

4.2.1 Laboratory Data

A total of 68 triaxial CIU tests have been performed since 1986 on LTVSMC slimes. Fourteen of the tests displayed contractive behavior, nine of which developed a quasi-steady state stress-strain curve. The $USSR_{yield}$ values ranged from approximately 0.16 to 0.33. The slimes were found to have a 33rd percentile $USSR_{yield}$ value of 0.20. Figure B-3 presents strength envelope plot of the triaxial data.

4.2.2 Field Data

CPT and SPT data were collected during investigations performed in 1996, 2005, and 2007 to characterize the LTVSMC slimes. Slimes located at depths between 0 and 130 feet were tested. The computed $USSR_{yield}$ values ranged from approximately 0.21 to 0.30 for CPT data, and from 0.21 to 0.29 for SPT values data (defined by the bounds of Olson and Stark's (Reference (5), Reference (11) equation, see Section 2.5.1.2). A strength envelope plot of the $USSR_{yield}$ results from CPT data is presented in Figure B-4 displaying the 33rd percentile value of 0.22. A strength envelope plot of the $USSR_{yield}$ results from SPT data is presented in Figure B-5 displaying the 33rd percentile value of 0.22.

In-situ vane shear testing was performed at various depths in borings historically. The FVST directly measures the in-situ strength for the LTVSMC tailings at discrete locations covering a zone about 10 cm in height. However, after determining which tests were likely performed in undrained conditions, the data was limited to 14 tests from 2007. The $USSR_{yield}$ data range from 0.06 to 0.47 (Figure B-6). The 33rd percentile value is an $USSR_{yield}$ of 0.26.

4.2.3 Design Value

A summary of the triaxial tests, FVST, SPT, and CPT tests analyzed to determine yield shear strength for the LTVSMC slimes is provided in Table 4-2.

Table 4-2 LTVSMC Slimes Tests for Undrained Strength

Tests	SPT	CPT	FVST	Triaxial
Number of Tests	14 borings	16 soundings	14	14
33rd Percentile	0.22	0.22	0.26	0.20
Combined 33rd Percentile $USSR_{yield}$	0.23			0.20
Design Value $USSR_{yield}$ (1)	**0.22**			

(1) Design value is reported to nearest 0.01.

4.3 LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes

The LTVSMC fine tailings and slimes were also analyzed as a combined data set (LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes) using results of CPT, SPT, and FVST tests, using the approach described in Section 2.6.

4.3.1 Field Data

The undrained shear strength ratio of the LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes was estimated by analyzing CPT data from 1996, 2005, and 2007 using the method described in Section 2.0. Combining the two types of tailings allowed for incorporation of additional field data beyond the individual data sets for fine tailings and slimes. Test results from areas where the fine tailings and slimes were interlayered such that it was not possible to differentiate distinct zones of one material or the other were excluded from the individual data sets, but could be included here. These results are particularly relevant to the interior portion of the Tailings Basin.

Olson's method provided $USSR_{yield}$ values ranging from approximately 0.21 to 0.30. The data resulted in a 33rd percentile value of 0.22 as shown on Figure B-7.

The undrained shear strength ratio ($USSR_{yield}$) based on SPT data for fine tailings and slimes ranged from approximately 0.205 to 0.295 as shown in Figure B-8 with a 33rd percentile value of 0.23.

There were 52 FVST performed on LTVSMC fine tailings and slimes in previous investigations; however, only 16 tests were analyzed after eliminating tests not considered to have been performed under undrained conditions. The $USSR_{yield}$ values for LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes ranged from 0.06 to 0.47 as shown on Figure B-9, with a 33rd percentile $USSR_{yield}$ value of 0.26.

4.3.2 Design Value

Table 4-3 summarizes the $USSR_{yield}$ testing of LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes, and presents the selected design value.

Table 4-3 LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes Tests for Undrained Strength

Tests	CPT (Olson's Method) contractive points only	SPT	FVST
Number of Tests	71 soundings	13 borings	16
33rd Percentile $USSR_{yield}$	0.22	0.23	0.26
Design Value $USSR_{yield}$ (1)	**0.24**		

(1) Design value is rounded to the nearest 0.01.

4.4 Flotation Tailings

The Flotation Tailings triaxial tests are used to determine undrained shear strength of the material that will be produced at the plant during operations. Yield strength values were plotted together for the contractive and quasi-steady state (QSS) triaxial results to evaluate the difference in strength.

4.4.1 Laboratory Data

A total of 16 triaxial CIU tests have been performed since 2005 on Flotation Tailings. All of the yield strength values were plotted for the contractive and quasi-steady state triaxial results. While the dilative test results were omitted from this analysis, 14 test results remained for the strength analysis – six of which exhibited quasi-steady state behavior and five of which behaved in a contractive manner. For the triaxial undrained shear strength analysis of all tests, the Flotation Tailings were found to have a 33rd percentile $USSR_{yield}$ value of 0.26, with values varying between 0.21 and 0.36, as presented on Figure B-10.

4.4.2 Design Value

Table 4-4 summarizes the undrained strength testing of the Flotation Tailings, and presents the selected design value.

Table 4-4 Flotation Tailings Tests for Undrained Strength

Tests	Triaxial
Number of Tests	14
33rd Percentile $USSR_{yield}$	0.26
Design Value $USSR_{yield}$	**0.26**

As mentioned previously, the Flotation Tailings have a similar gradation to the LTVSMC fine tailings and the new design value is in line with the LTVSMC fine tailings, slimes and combined fine tailings/slimes design values.

4.5 Peat

4.5.1 Laboratory Data

Triaxial testing on peat was performed in 1979, 1980, 1990, and 1996. The undrained shear strength ratio ranged from approximately 0.10 to 0.56 with a 33rd percentile value of 0.23, as shown on Figure B-11.

4.5.2 Design Value

On the basis of the laboratory data, a design value of 0.23 undrained shear strength ratio was selected. The selection of the undrained peat strength is consistent with the 33rd percentile approach used throughout for undrained shear strength determination; one-third of the data are below the design value and two-thirds of the data are above the design value. Therefore, the lower strength test data was taken into account when selecting the design value of peat. The undrained shear strength ratio is summarized in Table 4-5 along with the selected design value.

Table 4-5 Peat Tests for Undrained Strength

Tests	Triaxial
Number of Tests	14
33rd Percentile $USSR_{yield}$	0.23
Design Value $USSR_{yield}$	**0.23**

4.6 Summary of Design Values for Undrained Shear Strength

Table 4-6 summarizes the undrained design values of the materials, selected based on the 33rd percentile values.

Table 4-6 Summary of Undrained Design Values

Material	Design Values
LTVSMC Fine Tailings $USSR_{yield}$	0.25
LTVSMC Slimes $USSR_{yield}$	0.22
LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes $USSR_{yield}$	0.24
Flotation Tailings $USSR_{yield}$	0.26
Peat $USSR_{yield}$	0.23

5.0 Liquefied Shear Strength Parameters

Liquefied shear strengths are mobilized only if liquefaction is triggered by static loading, dynamic loading, or a deformation event. Regardless of the triggering mechanism, flow failure can occur if the static shear stress exceeds the net shearing resistance, including the liquefied shear strength.

Only contractive materials are susceptible to liquefaction; dilative materials are not. Dilative materials in the proposed FTB design, including glacial till, peat, and LTVSMC coarse tailings placed with compactive effort (such as those in the dam shell), are not considered subject to liquefaction so they were not evaluated for liquefied shear strength. While analyses show that some of the LTVSMC fine tailings or slimes can be dilative, it is conservative to assume that they will behave in a contractive manner. Contractive behavior is generally exhibited by loose, fine grained, hydraulically deposited sediments such as the LTVSMC tailings and the future Flotation Tailings.

Liquefied shear strength parameters were determined using a two-step analysis. The first step was material behavior evaluation. The material behavior evaluation used in-situ and laboratory data to identify zones of contractive materials and zones of dilative materials. The second step was to calculate the liquefied shear strength, including only test results from contractive zones. Figures related to the development of liquefied shear strength parameters are provided in Exhibit C and Exhibit D.

5.1 Material Behavior Evaluation

The material behavior evaluation used data from in-situ testing and laboratory testing to (1) characterize the stratigraphy at each boring location; (2) determine which materials are susceptible to liquefaction; and, (3) identify dilative layers within generally contractive zones so that those results could be excluded from liquefied shear strength calculations.

Field testing included CPT, SPT and FVST. CPT soundings were used to develop an understanding of stratigraphy in the Tailings Basin, to measure material properties to assess the potential for liquefaction and to estimate liquefied strength. The CPT soundings collected nearly continuous data streams, measuring tip resistance (q_t) sleeve friction (f_s), and pore water pressure (u_2) over the entire depth of the sounding.

Soil borings were conducted adjacent to the CPT sounding locations, performing SPT and gathering relatively undisturbed thin-wall samples. The SPT drilling and thin-wall sampling corroborated the CPT stratigraphic information and provided physical samples to evaluate material properties. Samples were characterized in the field and sent to the laboratory for index properties tests such as grain-size distributions and Atterberg Limits. Triaxial compression strength tests were also performed.

FVST testing targeted contractive zones. It was performed from behind the drill rig, at depths

where the CPT testing showed low tip resistances, characteristic of contractive materials. Additional details on the field and laboratory sampling programs is provided in Section 4.0 of Geotechnical Data Package Volume 1 Version 4.

Characteristic signature plots were created to identify the stratigraphic layers at each boring location and show which materials were targeted for FVST and laboratory testing. For each boring location, the characteristic signature plot combines CPT tip resistance, SPT corrected blow counts (N-values), boring log information, field vane testing results, and some index properties available from laboratory testing. Figure C-1 shows the characteristic signature plot for the 07-06 boring/sounding which is located within the interior of the basin along the existing dam at Cross-Section F. Similar plots created for other CPT/SPT test locations along Cross-Section F are provided in Exhibit D1.

Figure C-1 shows that at location 07-06 there is an approximately 10-foot-thick layer of slimes (q_t<10 tsf) present between depths of approximately 22 and 32 feet. This characteristic signature plot also shows that the FVST and index property testing was conducted on material from this slimes layer. Zones of LTVSMC fine tailings and slimes are identified by their CPT tip resistances. Apparent on Figure C-1, fine tailings (q_t. from 50 to 100 tsf) are distinguishable from slimes (q_t less than 10 tsf), with interbedded zones exhibiting a wider range (q_t from about 10 to 100 tsf). The plot shows a thin layer of peat at the bottom of the boring above the native glacial till. The peat exhibited tip resistance similar to fine tailings, but higher pore water pressure (u_2), and sleeve friction (f_s). Finally, the native glacial till had high SPT N-values and high CPT tip resistance and indicated a dense or hard layer where the probe was terminated to prevent damage to the equipment.

CPT behavior plots were created to gather further detail on stratigraphy and assess which materials are susceptible to liquefaction. For each boring location, the CPT behavior plot combines CPT tip resistance (q_t) sleeve friction (f_s) dynamic pore water pressure (u_2), and normalized pore pressure difference. Figure C-2 shows the CPT behavior plot for the 07-06 sounding. Similar plots created for other CPT locations along Cross-Section F are provided in Exhibit D2.

The dynamic pore pressure, included on the CPT behavior plots, represents the pore pressure as the cone is advanced through the tailings. Dissipation tests are presented as purple dots on Figure C-2. They indicate an “equilibrium” water level reading at the probe depth. At some locations the dissipation tests show water levels above or below assumed hydrostatic conditions. Figure C-2 shows the variability of the dynamic pore pressure at this location, and how in certain zones the pore pressures exceed the hydrostatic conditions. These zones correspond to depths where low tip resistances were measured; they are generally identified as slimes. Zones where minimal pore pressure response is observed correspond to depths where higher tip resistances were observed, consistent with fine tailings.

The normalized pore excess pressure difference, also shown on the CPT behavior plots (e.g., Figure C-2), aids identification of contractive and dilative layers. The normalized excess

pore pressure difference is the difference between the dynamic pore pressure developed during cone advancement and the estimated hydrostatic conditions interpreted from the dissipation tests normalized by dividing by the effective overburden stress (σ'_{vo}). Where the normalized pore pressure difference is positive, which is the result of dynamic pore pressure response above hydrostatic conditions, that material has a potential for contractive behavior and is susceptible to liquefaction. Where the normalized pore pressure difference is negative, dynamic pore water pressure is below the existing groundwater conditions, that soil is considered potentially dilative and will not liquefy. Figure C-2 shows that the normalized pore pressure difference is positive in the slimes and negative in LTVSMC fine tailings layers. Fine tailings zones with thin inter-bedded slimes layers show positive normalized pore pressures (e.g., Figure C-2 zone from approximately 50- to 60-foot depth). Overall, analysis of the CPT behavior plot at location 07-06 indicates that about 86% of saturated fine tailings and slimes points are potentially contractive and susceptible to liquefaction, and about 14% of the fine tailings and slimes points are potentially dilative and not susceptible to liquefaction.

The CPT behavior plots are one way to determine which materials are susceptible to liquefaction; another way is to plot CPT tip resistance relative to the medium compressibility boundary as developed by Fear and Robertson (Reference (4)) and updated by Olson (Reference (12)) for medium compressibility materials. CPT tip resistance plots show corrected tip resistance (q_{c1}) versus calculated pre-failure effective stress. Points that plot to the left of the medium compressibility boundary are potentially contractive, and points that plot to the right are potentially dilative. Figure C-3 shows the CPT tip resistance plot for CPT location 07-06. This method of analysis indicates that 94% of the fine tailings and slimes at location 07-06 are potentially contractive (100% of the slimes and 90% of the fine tailings). Comparing the two methods of analysis, we see that the CPT tip resistance plot produces a higher estimate of the amount of material susceptible to liquefaction, compared to the analysis based on normalized pore pressure difference. The CPT tip resistance plots for each CPT sounding along Cross-Section F are provided in Exhibit D3.

The material behavior evaluation used the characteristic signature plots (Exhibit D1) and the CPT behavior plots (Exhibit D2) to establish the stratigraphy and assign each data point to a material category: LTVSMC coarse tailings, LTVSME fine tailings, or LTVSMC slimes. Then, for each material type, a CPT tip resistance plot and a SPT corrected N-values plot were created using data available CPT and SPT data. These CPT and SPT plots for each material type were used to: (1) establish which material types are contractive and susceptible to liquefaction; and (2) identify tests that may have evaluated more dilative layers within generally contractive zones so that those results could be excluded from liquefied shear strength calculations.

5.1.1 LTVSMC Coarse Tailings

The vast majority of the LTVSMC coarse tailings display dilative behavior, as shown on Figure C-4. This is reasonable as the higher permeability of the coarse tailings facilitates

drainage and the coarse tailings have been subjected to some amount of compaction during dam construction from rubber-tired dozers. It is probable that any points plotting to the left or below the medium compressibility boundary represent thin layers of finer tailings inter-bedded within the coarse tailings zone. Because these small, variable zones are surrounded by free-draining material, they are also much less prone to liquefaction. Therefore, the LTVSMC coarse tailings are considered dilative and not susceptible to liquefaction. They were not assigned a liquefied strength.

5.1.2 LTVSMC Fine Tailings and Slimes

Some of the LTVSMC fine tailings exhibit contractive behavior while some exhibit dilative behavior. Contractive data points represent 52% of all the CPT fine tailings data (Figure C-5) and 26% of all the SPT fine tailings data (Figure C-6). The percentage of contractive points on the CPT plot may be higher because CPT tip resistance is influenced by inter-bedded slimes layers above and below the cone tip as the cone is advanced. The result is that CPT tests may over represent the volume of fine tailings that are contractive. This effect has been documented by Lunne (Reference (37)) and other literature for cases where thin, interbedded layers exist. The conclusion of the material behavior evaluation is that the fine tailings are potentially contractive and susceptible to liquefaction.

The majority of the slimes are contractive in nature. Contractive data points represent 71% of all the CPT slimes data (Figure C-7) and 67% of the SPT slimes data (Figure C-8).

For the fully liquefied modeling and the liquefaction triggering analyses the individual layers of LTVSMC fine tailings, slimes, and inter-bedded fine tailings and slimes are modeled as a single unit, referred to as LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes. This approach is used because it is conservative to assume all of the materials will be reduced to the liquefied strength with the understanding that there is data showing that some materials are dilative. Therefore a single liquefied strength value for fine tailings/slimes was calculated, using only the contractive data from the fine tailings and the slimes.

5.1.3 Flotation Tailings

Flotation Tailings will be hydraulically deposited and are expected to behave in a contractive manner. Therefore, a liquefied shear strength was determined for the Flotation Tailings.

5.2 Liquefied Strength Evaluation

Liquefied strength values were calculated for LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes, and for Flotation Tailings, using the method described in Section 2.6.

A single design liquefied strength value was chosen for the combined LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes because they are modeled as a single unit for the fully liquefied modeling and the liquefaction triggering analyses. The LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes unit includes all layers of LTVSMC fine tailings, slimes, and inter-bedded fine tailings and slimes. This

approach is consistent with the material behavior evaluation which showed that within the Tailings Basin there are deposits where fine tailings and slimes are so interbedded that they cannot practically be distinguished as separate layers. Because the LTVSMC fine tailings and the LTVSMC slimes were individually determined to behave in generally a contractive manner, the significantly interbedded intervals and the unit combined for modeling purposes are also expected to exhibit contractive behavior.

The liquefied strength calculations use only contractive data points for each material type. This approach is used because it is conservative to assume all of the materials will be reduced to the liquefied strength with the understanding that there is data showing that some materials are dilative. Excluding the dilative data from liquefied strength calculations may have the effect of underestimating the true strength of the material. In fact, stringers of coarse material will help to redistribute excess pore-water pressures and limit the liquefied response. This is a conservative approach for the LTVSMC fine tailings, portions of which display dilative behavior. The contribution of these materials to the overall strength is being ignored and hence, slope stability models utilizing the design $USSR_{liq}$ values are likely to be conservative.

5.2.1 LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes

The liquefied strength design value for LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes is based on the laboratory and field tests of LTVSMC fine tailings, slimes, and interbedded zones where results showed contractive behavior.

5.2.1.1 Laboratory Data

Triaxial testing was conducted on relatively undisturbed thin-wall field samples of slimes and on samples remolded from representative materials using the moist-tamping or slurry methods to achieve very low initial densities and then consolidated to stresses expected within the FTB. Liquefied strength calculations used only the triaxial test results from samples that exhibited contractive or quasi-steady state behavior. Figure C-9 presents the results of the triaxial testing program. The inset figure shows the stress path for one sample of the test program. The stress paths for all of the samples used in the analysis are shown on Figure C-10.

The results of the testing presented on Figure C-9 show that for the nine samples where quasi-steady state and contractive behavior was observed, the liquefied strength ratio ranges from about 0.05 to 0.22 with an average $USSR_{liq}$ of about 0.15. Further triaxial testing on remolded slimes samples is ongoing.

5.2.1.2 Field Data

Field data collected in 2005 and 2007 have been used to evaluate the LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes. Field data inputs to the calculation of liquefied strength ratios included CPT,

SPT, and FVST results. The strengths are based only on the test results of LTVSMC fine tailings, slimes, and interbedded zones where results showed contractive behavior.

Slimes CPT results which showed contractive behavior on the plot of corrected CPT tip resistance (Figure C-7) were used as inputs. The raw CPT data for contractive slimes samples was processed using the Olson and Stark (Reference (5)) average correlation. Figure C-11, representing all contractive data, shows the slimes liquefied strength ratio ($USSR_{liq}$) ranges from about 0.03 to 0.12 with an average of about 0.06. This is slightly higher than the value of 0.04 based on just CPT data along Cross-Section F.

Determining which fine tailings CPT data to include in liquefied strength calculations is less straightforward, and requires engineering judgment. The decision on which fine tailings data to include in liquefied strength calculations was made on the basis of their liquefied strength ratio, using the CPT data from location CPT 07-06 as a guide. Figure C-12 shows that at CPT location 07-06, the contractive fine tailings not influenced by the thin layers of interbedded slimes exhibit liquefied strength ratios from about 0.09 to 0.13 A liquefied strength ratio of 0.13 represents the upper bound strength for the LTVSMC fine tailings and approaches the boundary where the strength correlations are limited by a maximum corrected tip resistance of 6.5 MPa. Based on this analysis, contractive LTVSMC fine tailings CPT data exhibiting liquefied strength ranging from about 0.09 to 0.13 were included in the calculation of the liquefied strength of LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes. The representative average CPT correlation value for the LTVSMC fine tailings is interpreted as approximately 0.115.

SPT results which showed contractive behavior on the plot of corrected blow counts (Figure C-6) were used as inputs. The raw SPT data for contractive materials were analyzed using the SPT-based correlation presented in Olson and Stark (Reference (5)). Figure C-13, representing all contractive data, shows that the liquefied strength ratio ($USSR_{liq}$) based on SPT testing ranges from about 0.03 to 0.08 with an average of about 0.05. This is equal to the value of 0.05 based on just SPT data along Cross-Section F.

FVST results from 2007 which showed contractive behavior are presented in Figure C-14. The plot shows direct measurements of the remolded strength. These measurements were normalized with respect to the effective overburden stress and result in liquefied strength ratios ($USSR_{liq}$) ranging from 0.05 to 0.19 with an average of about 0.095.

5.2.1.3 Design Value

Determining the design value for liquefied strength of the LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes requires integrating and interpreting the results obtained from the various laboratory and field tests. To illustrate the procedure used to assign a design value, a series of figures are provided showing how the data from the various types of tests are brought together to create an understanding of reasonable upper and lower bounds for liquefied undrained shear strength, and how a design value is selected between these bounds.

Figures C-15 through C-20 illustrate the liquefied shear strength analysis along Cross-Section F. Figure C-15 presents the liquefied strength from correlations of the CPT data in the slimes. The resulting liquefied strength ratio ranged from about 0.03 to 0.11. This range varies from what was reported previously in Figure C-11 and the maximum value is slightly less because it represents only the data collected along Cross-Section F. Figure C-16 presents the SPT data correlation plotted along with the CPT. The data shows relative agreement between both methods of analyses. The SPT data has an average liquefied strength ratio of about 0.05 and ranges from about 0.03 to 0.10.

The strength values from FVST for all tests performed in slimes are presented with the CPT and SPT correlations on Figure C-17. The average remolded or *USSRliq* of FVST values is 0.095, with values ranging from 0.05 to 0.19.

Figure C-18 combines the results of the triaxial testing program for the slimes with the CPT, SPT and FVST results, and Figure C-19 adds lines showing reasonable upper and lower bounds of the strength envelope expected for the LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes based on all four types of tests. An upper-bound liquefied strength ratio of 0.22 corresponds to the triaxial quasi-steady state samples. This is appropriate because it represents the highest strength ratio observed for materials that are still considered contractive and susceptible to liquefaction. A lower-bound liquefied strength ratio of about 0.045 falls along the strength envelope consisting of CPT and SPT data when also considering the FVST data.

Finally, Figure C-20 adds results of LTVSMC fine tailings/slimes residual FVST results to the slimes data. The combined plot shows the variability in the materials while reducing the clutter from the data points.

Selection of a design value combines the evidence from all testing methods with engineering judgment. Figure C-21 presents the overall results of the analysis, plotting the average liquefied undrained shear strength correlation for each of the various types of laboratory and field tests, and showing their relation to the chosen Design Value $USSR_{liq}$ of 0.10. Flotation Tailings

5.2.2 Flotation Tailings

Triaxial tests were used to determine an $USSR_{liq}$ for the Flotation Tailings and the results are plotted as stress paths on Figure C-22. Seven samples were tested as part of the initial program. Of the seven samples tested, five samples were contractive and two exhibited quasi-steady state behavior. The results of the triaxial testing of Flotation Tailings are shown on Figure C-23. The average $USSR_{liq}$ of all the triaxial tests (five contractive and two quasi-steady state tests) is 0.12 as shown on Figure C-23. Additional testing on remolded samples is ongoing for use in further stages of the project. Table 5-1 presents a summary of the liquefied strength ratio for the Flotation Tailings.

Table 5-1 Liquefied Strength of Flotation Tailings

Tests	Triaxial
Number of Tests	7
Average $USSR_{liq}$	0.12
Design Value $USSR_{liq}$	**0.12**

5.3 Summary of Design Values for Liquefied Shear Strength

Table 5-2 summarizes the selected design values for use in slope stability analysis requiring use of liquefied strengths. Derivation of these values was described in the preceding sections.

Table 5-2 Summary of Liquefied Strength Design Values

Material	Design Values
LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes $USSR_{liq}$	0.10
Flotation Tailings $USSR_{liq}$	0.12

6.0 Summary of Material Strength Properties

This document presents a summary of the available strength data for the FTB and explains the derivation of the drained, undrained, and liquefied shear strength parameters selected for the various materials included in the slope stability models. The design values for the drained, undrained, and liquefied shear strength parameters are summarized in Table 6-1. Future site exploration and material testing programs may result in updated design values, as described in Section 5 of Reference (38).

Table 6-1 Summary of Design Values

Material	Shear Strength		
	Drained (ESSA)	Undrained (USSA)	Liquefied
LTVSMC Coarse Tailings	$\phi' = 38.5^o$	-	-
LTVSMC Fine Tailings	$\phi' = 33.0^o$	$USSR_{yield} = 0.25$	-
LTVSMC Slimes	$\phi' = 33.0^o$	$USSR_{yield} = 0.22$	-
LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes	$\phi' = 33.0^o$	$USSR_{yield} = 0.24$	$USSR_{liq} = 0.10$
LTVSMC Bulk Tailings	$\phi' = 38.5^o$	-	-
Flotation Tailings	$\phi' = 33.0^o$	$USSR_{yield} = 0.26$	$USSR_{liq} = 0.12$
Peat	$\phi' = 27.0^o$	$USSR_{yield} = 0.23$	-
Glacial Till	$\phi' = 36.5^o$	-	-

References

1. **Poly Met Mining Inc.** NorthMet Project Geotechnical Data Package Vol 1 - Flotation Tailings Basin (v4). April 2013.

2. **Barr Engineering Company.** Technical Memorandum: NorthMet Geotechnical Data Package - Volume 1 (Flotation Tailings Basin) - Version 4 Modeling Outcomes Summary. April 2, 2013.

3. *Dynamic properties of cohesive soil in foundation of an embankment dam in Kansas.* **Castro, G., Walberg, F. C. and Perlea, V.** Montreal : 20th Congress of Large Dams, 2003.

4. *Estimating the Undrained Strength of Sand: A Theoretical Framework.* **Fear, Catherine E. and Robertson, P K.** 4, 1995, Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Vol. 32, pp. 859-870.

5. *Yield Strength Ratio and Liquefaction Analysis of Slopes and Embankments.* **Olson, Scott M and Stark, Timothy D.** 8, s.l. : ASCE, August 2003, Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, Vol. 129, pp. 727-737.

6. **Jefferies, Mike and Ken Been.** Soil Liquefaction. [Text book]. New York, New York : Taylor & Francis Group, 2006. pp. 62-63.

7. **Bobei, D.C., S.R. Lo, D. Wanataowski, C.T. Gnanendran, and M.M. Rahman.** Modified State Parameter for Characterizing Static Liquefaction of Sand with Fines. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal.* 2009, Vol. 46, pp. 281-295.

8. **Robertson, P.K., et al.** The CANLEX Project: Summary and Conclusions. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal.* 2000, Vol. 37, pp. 563-591.

9. **Skempton, A.W.** Standard Penetration Test Procedures and the Effects in Sands of Overburden Pressure, Relative Density, Particle Size, Aging and Overconsolidation. *Geotechnique.* 1986, Vol. 6, 3, pp. 425–447.

10. *Measurement of In-Situ Shear Strength, Keynote Lecture, Proceedings of the Conference on In-situ Measurement of Soil Properties.* **Schmertmann, J. H.** s.l. : Vol. II, American Society of Civil Engineers, June 1-4, 1975.

11. *Use of Laboratory Data to Confirm Yield and Liquefaction Strength Ratio Concepts.* **Olson, Scott M and Stark, Timothy D.** November 2003, Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Vol. 40, pp. 1164-1184.

12. *Strength Ratio Approach for Liquefaction Analysis of Tailings Dams.* **Olson, Scott M.** [ed.] J. F. & K. H. Kwong Labuz. Minneapolis : University of Minnesota, 2009. Proceedings of the UMN 57th Annual Geotechnical Engineering Conference. pp. 37-46.

13. **Olson, Scott M., Timothy D. Stark.** Liquefied strength ratio from liquefaction flow failure case histories. *Canadian Gotechnical Journal.* May 9, 2002, Vol. 39, pp. 629-647.

14. *Interpretation of Cone Penentration Tests - Part I: Sand, and Part II: Clay.* **Robertson, P K and Campanella, R G.** 4, 1983, Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Vol. 20, pp. 718-733, 734-745.

15. **Ebasco Services Inc.** *Erie Mining Company Tailing Dam Investigation and Analysis Engineering Report.* 1977.

16. **Barr Engineering Co.** *LTVSMC Tailings Dam Field Exploration and Analyses.* 2000.

17. **Blight, G.E.** A Note on Field Vane Testing of Silty Soils. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal.* 1968, Vol. 5, 3, pp. 142-149.

18. **Morris, P.H. and Williams, D.J.** A revision of Blight's model of field van testing. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal.* 2000, Vol. 37.

19. **Novosad, J.** Studies on Granular Materials. II. Apparatus for Measuring the Dynamic Angle of Internal and External Friction of Granular Materials. *Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun.* 1964, Vol. 29, pp. 2697-2701.

20. **Schimming, B., et. al.** Study of dynamic and static failure envelopes. *Journal of Soil Mechanics Foundations Division.* 1996, Vol. 92, pp. 105-124.

21. **Savage, S.B. and M. Sayed.** Stresses Developed by Dry Cohesionless Granular Materials. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics.* 1984, Vol. 142, pp. 391-430.

22. **Hungr, O and N.R. Morgenstern.** Experiments in high velocity open channel flow of granulat materials. *Geotechnique.* 1984, Vol. 34, pp. 405-413.

23. **Lemos, L.J.L.** The effect of rate on residual strength of soil. *PhD dissertation.* s.l. : Imperial College London (University of London), 1986.

24. **Vaid, Y. P., D. Negussy.** *Advanced Triaxial Testing of Soil and Rock - Chapter: Preparation of Reconstituted Sand Specimen.* [ed.] R.C. Chaney, M.L. Silver R.T. Baltimore : American Society for Testing and Materials, 1988.

25. **Sassa, K.** Mechanism of flows in granular soils. *GeoEng 2000.* 2000, Vol. 1. Invited Papers, pp. 1671-1702.

26. *The mechanism of debris flows.* **Sassa, K.** San Francisco, CA : s.n., 1985. 11th International Conference on Soild Mechanics and Foundation Engineering. Vol. 3, pp. 1173-1176.

27. *The mechanism starting liquefied landslides and debris flow.* **Sassa, K.** Toronto, Canada : s.n., 1984. 4th International Symposium on Landslide. Vol. 2, pp. 349-354.

28. **Fukuoka, H.** Variation of the friction angle of granular material in the high-speed high-stress ring shear apparatus – Influence of re-orientation, alignment and crushing of grains during shear. *Bulletin of the Disaster Prevention Research Institute.* 1991.

29. **Tika, T.E., P. Vaughan, and L.J. Lemos.** Fast Shearing of pre-existing shear zones in soil. *Geotechnique.* 1996, Vol. 46, 2, pp. 197-233.

30. **Infante-Sedano, J. A.** Constant Volume Ring Shear Tests. *M.Sc. thesis.* Ottawa : University of Ottawa, 1998.

31. **Sadrekarimi, A. and S.M. Olson.** A new ring shear device to measure the large displacement shearing behavior of sands. *Geotechnical Testing Journal.* 2009, Vol. 32, 3.

32. **Lefebvre, G., et al., et al.** Report of the testing committee. *Committee of Specialists on Sensitive Clays on the NBR Complex.* Montreal, Annexe I : SEBJ : s.n., 1983.

33. **Terzaghi, Karl, Robert Peck and G. Mesri.** *Soil Mechanics in Engineering Practice.* New York : John Wiley & Sons, 1996.

34. **Idriss, I.M. and R.W. Boulanger.** *Soil-liquefaction during earthquakes.* MNO-12. s.l. : Earthquake Engineering Research Institute, 1984.

35. *Use of Piezometer Cone Data.* **Robertson, P K, R.G. Campanella, D. Gillespie, J. Greig.** [ed.] S P Clemence. Blacksburg : ASCE, 1986. Specialty Conference: Use of In Situ Tests in Geotechnical Engineering. pp. 1263-1280. Geotechnical Special Publication No. 6.

36. **Robertson, P.K.** Correspondence from Dr. Robertson dated December 2, 2011 to Jeff Coffin Knight Piésold and Co. detailing his Geotechnical Review for NorthMet Mining. 2011.

37. **Lunne, T, Robertson, P K and Powell, J J. M.** *Cone Penetration Testing in Geotechnical Practice.* New York : Routledge, 1997.

38. **Poly Met Mining Inc.** NorthMet Project Flotation Tailings Management Plan (v3). April 2013.

List of Tables

Table 1-1 Summary of Previous Attachment C Versions 2
Table 2-1 Data Analyzed from Geotechnical Investigations 6
Table 2-2 Summary Table of Available Field Vane Shear Test Data 14
Table 3-1 LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Tests for Drained Shear Strength 20
Table 3-2 LTVSMC Fine Tailings Tests for Drained Strength 21
Table 3-3 LTVSMC Slimes Tests for Drained Strength 22
Table 3-4 LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes Tests for Drained Strength 23
Table 3-5 LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Blends 23
Table 3-6 LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Tests for Drained Strength 25
Table 3-7 Flotation Tailings Tests for Drained Strength 26
Table 3-8 Peat Tests for Drained Strength 26
Table 3-9 Glacial Till Tests for Drained Strength 27
Table 3-10 Drained Design Values 28
Table 4-1 LTVSMC Fine Tailings Tests for Undrained Strength 30
Table 4-2 LTVSMC Slimes Tests for Undrained Strength 31
Table 4-3 LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes Tests for Undrained Strength 32
Table 4-4 Flotation Tailings Tests for Undrained Strength 33
Table 4-5 Peat Tests for Undrained Strength 33
Table 4-6 Summary of Undrained Design Values 34
Table 5-1 Liquefied Strength of Flotation Tailings 42
Table 5-2 Summary of Liquefied Strength Design Values 42
Table 6-1 Summary of Design Values 43

List of Figures

Figure 2-1 Steady state and quasi-steady state behavior (Reference (7)) 5

List of Exhibits

Exhibit A Figures of Drained Strength Tests
Exhibit B Figures of Undrained Strength Tests
Exhibit C Figures of Liquefied Tests
Exhibit D Figures of Section F CPT Tests

Exhibits

Exhibit A

Figures of Drained Strength Tests

FIGURE A-1
LTVSMC Coarse Tailings
Triaxial and Direct Shear Drained Shear Strength Envelope



FIGURE A-2

LTVSMC Coarse Tailings

SPT Correlated Drained Shear Strength Envelope

(Schmertmann, 1975, for Granular Soil)



Figure A-3
LTVSMC Coarse Tailings
CPT Correlated Friction Angle Relationship
(Robertson and Campanella, 1983)



FIGURE A-4
LTVSMC Fine Tailings
Triaxial Drained Shear Strength Envelope



FIGURE A-5
LTVSMC Slimes
Triaxial and Direct Shear Drained Shear Strength Envelope



FIGURE A-6
LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes
Triaxial and Direct Shear Drained Shear Strength Envelope



FIGURE A-7
LTVSMC Bulk Tailings
Triaxial Drained Shear Strength Envelope



FIGURE A-8
NorthMet Flotation Tailings
Triaxial Drained Shear Strength Envelope



FIGURE A-9

Compressed and Virgin Peat

Direct Shear Drained Shear Strength Envelope



FIGURE A-10

Glacial Till

SPT Correlated Drained Shear Strength Envelope

(Schmertmann, 1975, for Granular Soil)



Exhibit B

Figures of Undrained Strength Tests

FIGURE B-1
LTVSMC Fine Tailings
CPT Correlated Undrained Shear Strength Envelope
(Olson and Stark, 2003)



FIGURE B-2
LTVSMC Fine Tailings
SPT Correlated Undrained Shear Strength Envelope
(Olson and Stark, 2003)



FIGURE B-3
LTVSMC Slimes
Triaxial Undrained Shear Strength Envelope



FIGURE B-4
LTVSMC Slimes
CPT Correlated Undrained Shear Strength Envelope
(Olson and Stark, 2003)



FIGURE B-5
LTVSMC Slimes
SPT Correlated Undrained Shear Strength Envelope
(Olson and Stark, 2003)



FIGURE B-6
LTVSMC Slimes
FVST Peak Undrained Shear Strength Envelope



FIGURE B-7
LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes
CPT Correlated Undrained Shear Strength
(Olson and Stark, 2003)



FIGURE B-8
LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes
SPT Correlated Undrained Shear Strength Envelope
(Olson and Stark, 2003)



FIGURE B-9

LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes

FVST Peak Undrained Shear Strength Envelope



FIGURE B-10
NorthMet Flotation Tailings
Triaxial Undrained Shear Strength Envelope



FIGURE B-11

Compressed and Virgin Peat

Triaxial Undrained Shear Strength Envelope



Exhibit C

Figures of Liquefied Tests

FIGURE C-1
Section F_07-06 Characterization Signature
NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



FIGURE C-2
CPT 07-06 Behavior Plot



FIGURE C-3
CPT 07-06 LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes
Contractive/Dilative Behavior (Olson, 2009)



FIGURE C-4

LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Contractive/Dilative Behavior

Based on CPT Data (Olson, 2009)



FIGURE C-5
LTVSMC Fine Tailings Contractive/Dilative Behavior
Based on CPT Data (Olson, 2009)



FIGURE C-6

LTVSMC Fine Tailings Contractive/Dilative Behavior

Based on SPT Data (Olson, 2009)



FIGURE C-7
LTVSMC Slimes Contractive/Dilative Behavior
Based on CPT Data (Olson, 2009)



FIGURE C-8

LTVSMC Slimes Contractive/Dilative Behavior

Based on SPT Data (Olson, 2009)



FIGURE C-9
LTVSMC Slimes along Section F
Triaxial Liquefied Undrained Shear Strength Envelope



FIGURE C-10
Stress Path for LTVSMC Slimes



FIGURE C-11
LTVSMC Slimes
CPT Correlated Liquefied Undrained Shear Strength Envelope
(Olson and Stark, 2003)



FIGURE C-12

CPT 07-06 Fine Tailings Strength Ratio



FIGURE C-13
LTVSMC Slimes
SPT Correlated Liquefied Undrained Shear Strength Envelope
(Olson and Stark, 2003)



FIGURE C-14
LTVSMC Slimes
2007 FVST Residual Undrained Shear Strength Envelope



FIGURE C-15
LTVSMC Slimes along Section F
Liquefied Undrained Shear Strength
CPT Tests



FIGURE C-16

LTVSMC Slimes along Section F

Liquefied Undrained Shear Strength

CPT and SPT Tests



FIGURE C-17
LTVSMC Slimes along Section F
Liquefied Undrained Shear Strength
CPT, SPT, and FVST Tests



FIGURE C-18

LTVSMC Slimes along Section F

Residual or Liquefied Undrained Shear Strength

CPT, SPT, FVST, and Triaxial Tests



FIGURE C-19

LTVSMC Slimes along Section F

Liquefied Undrained Shear Strength

Upper and Lower Bounds of CPT, SPT, FVST, and Triaxial Tests



FIGURE C-20

LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes along Section F

Liquefied Undrained Shear Strength

CPT, SPT, FVST, and Triaxial Tests



FIGURE C-21
LTVSMC Slimes along Section F
Liquefied Undrained Shear Strength
Design Value with Test Averages



FIGURE C-22
Stress Path for FlotationTailings



P:\Mpls\23 MN\69\2369862\WorkFiles\Geotechnical Investigations\2013 data & analysis\URS Triaxial Data\Triax_Polymet_Quasi-steadystate.xlsm

4/4/2013

FIGURE C-23
NorthMet Flotation Tailings
Triaxial Liquefied Undrained Shear Strength Envelope



Exhibit D

Figures of Section F CPT Tests

D1: Characterization Signature Plots

D2: CPT Behavior Plots

D3: Contractive/Dilative Plots

Section F_07-04B Characterization Signature

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



P:\Mpls\23 MN\69\2369862\WorkFiles\WO 022A Tailings Basin Permitting\2013 v4 Data Package Vol 1\Att C - Material Strength Characterization\Att C - V4_CPT\Section F\CPT_07-04B.xlsm

Section F_07-05 Characterization Signature

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



P:\Mpls\23 MN\69\2369862\WorkFiles\WO 022A Tailings Basin Permitting\2013 v4 Data Package Vol 1\Att C - Material Strength Characterization\Att C - V4_CPT\Section F\CPT_07-05.xlsm

Section F_DH96-49 Characterization Signature

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



P:\Mpls\23 MN\69\2369862\WorkFiles\WO 022A Tailings Basin Permitting\2013 v4 Data Package Vol 1\Att C - Material Strength Characterization\Att C - V4_CPT\Section F\CPT_DH96-49.xlsm

CPT 07-04B Behavior Plot

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



CPT 07-05 Behavior Plot

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



CPT 07-27 Behavior Plot

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



CPT DH96-46 Behavior Plot

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



CPT DH96-48 Behavior Plot

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



CPT DH96-49 Behavior Plot

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



CPT 07-04B LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes

Contractive/Dilative Behavior (Olson, 2009)

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



CPT 07-05 LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes

Contractive/Dilative Behavior (Olson, 2009)

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



CPT 07-27 LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes

Contractive/Dilative Behavior (Olson, 2009)

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



CPT DH96-46 LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes

Contractive/Dilative Behavior (Olson, 2009)

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



CPT DH96-48 LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes

Contractive/Dilative Behavior (Olson, 2009)

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin




CPT DH96-49 LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes
Contractive/Dilative Behavior (Olson, 2009)
NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Corrected CPT Tip Resistance, q_{c1} [MPa]
0.0
2.5
5.0
7.5
10.0
12.5
15.0
17.5
20.0
Pre-Failure Effective Vertical Stress, σ'_{vo} [kPa]
0
50
100
150
200
250
300
350
400
450
500
Fine Tailings
Slimes
FT/Slimes
Olson (2009)
Olson (2009) medium compressibility
CONTRACTIVE
DILATIVE
100% of FT, 100% of Slimes, and 100% FT/Slimes are potentially contractive

Attachment D

Historical Geotechnical Reports

(previously posted electronically – not posted with report, CDs available upon request)

Attachment E

2007 Geotechnical Investigation Laboratory Test Results

Moisture Density Curve ASTM: D698, Method B

Project: **Polynet #23/69-862** Date: **5/25/05**

Client: **Barr Engineering Company** Job No. **5333**

Boring No. **SB-05-09** Sample: Depth(ft): **8.5-12.5** Location:

Soil Type: **Silty Sand w/gravel, brown (SM)**

As Received W.C. (%): **7.9** LL: **NP** PL: **NP** PI: **NP** Specific Gravity: **2.76** *Assumed

	◆	△	◆	△
Maximum Dry Density (pcf):	**134.7**	**137.0**	Opt. Water Content (%):	**7.2**	**6.7**



9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **5333**

Project:	Polynet #23/69-862	Test Date:	5/15/05
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	5/24/05

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
*	SB-05-01		4-5	Bags	Organic Clay, Organic Clay w/sand, some lenses & laminations of Silty Sand, Lean Clay & Fat Clay (OH)
●	SB-05-01		6-8	Bags	Peat w/pieces of wood (PT/OH)
◇					



Other Tests	*	●	◇
Liquid Limit	66.5	406.9	
Plastic Limit	32.8	273.0	
Plasticity Index	33.7	133.9	
Water Content	49.1	407.7	
Dry Density (pcf)	69.4	13.5	
Specific Gravity	2.48	1.73	
Porosity			
Organic Content			
pH			
Shrinkage Limit	24.7		
Penetrometer			
Qu (psf)			

(* = assumed)

Percent Passing	*	●	◇
Mass (g)	1028.0	580.0	
2"			
1.5"			
1"			
3/4"			
3/8"		100.0	
#4	100.0	94.9	
#10	100.0	94.6	
#20	99.7	89.4	
#40	98.5	83.9	
#100	86.7	75.8	
#200	79.0	73.1	

	*	●	◇
D_{60}			
D_{30}			
D_{10}			
C_U			
C_C			

Remarks:

* SB-05-01 @6-8: Pieces of wood retained on all sieves.

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **5333**

Project:	Polynet #23/69-862	Test Date:	5/15/05
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	5/24/05

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
*	SB-05-04		2-7.5	Bags	Silty Clay w/sand and an occasional piece of gravel, brown & some gray (CL-ML)
●	SB-05-04		8.5-15.5	Bags	Silty sand w/a little gravel, gray (SM)
◇					



Other Tests	*	●	◇
Liquid Limit	25.6	11.1	
Plastic Limit	20.0	10.0	
Plasticity Index	5.6	1.1	
Water Content	22.0	6.0	
Dry Density (pcf)	107.8		
Specific Gravity	2.78	2.76	
Porosity			
Organic Content			
pH			
Shrinkage Limit	17.8	12.4	
Penetrometer			
Qu (psf)			

(* = assumed)

Percent Passing	*	●	◇
Mass (g)	5178.0	4568.0	
2"		100.0	
1.5"	100.0	96.8	
1"	99.2	91.9	
3/4"	98.1	88.6	
3/8"	98.1	82.4	
#4	98.0	77.9	
#10	97.5	71.0	
#20	96.4	62.6	
#40	95.0	55.2	
#100	89.2	41.1	
#200	75.5	32.7	

	*	●	◇
D_{60}			
D_{30}			
D_{10}			
C_U			
C_C			

Remarks:

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Permeability Test Data

Project: Polynet - #23/69-862 Date: 6/8/2005

Reported To: Barr Engineering Company Job No.: 5333-A

Boring No.:	SB-05-04	SB-05-04	SB-05-09	SB-05-10			
Depth (ft):	2.0-7.5	8.5-15.5	8.5-12.5	1.0-4.0			
Sample Type:	Bags	Bags	Bags	Bags			
Soil Type:	Silty Clay w/Sand & an occasional piece of gravel, brown & some gray (CL-ML)	Silty Sand w/a Little Gravel, Gray (SM)	Silty Sand w/Gravel, Brown (SM)	Silty Sand w/a Little Gravel (SM/SC-SM)			
Atterberg Limits							
LL	25.6	11.1	NP	15.0			
PL	20.0	10.0	NP	12.2			
PI	5.6	1.1	NP	2.8			
Moisture Density Standard Proctor							
Opt. Water Content	13.5	7.1	7.2	9.4			
Max Dry Den. (pcf)	119.1	136.8	134.7	131.4			
Permeability Test							
Before Test Conditions: Test Wall	Flexible	Flexible	Flexible	Flexible			
Porosity:	0.325	0.228	0.237	0.251			
Ht. (in):	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00			
Dia. (in):	2.85	2.85	2.85	2.85			
Dry Density (pcf):	112.9	129.2	127.7	125.3			
Water Content:	16.1%	9.6%	9.6%	12.0%			
Test Type:	Falling	Falling	Falling	Falling			
Max Head (ft):	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9			
Confining press. (Effective-psi):	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0			
Trial No.:	10-14	8-12	12-16	10-14			
Water Temp °C:	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0			
% Compaction	94.8%	94.5%	94.8%	95.4%			
% Saturation (After Test)	95.6%						
Coefficient of Permeability							
K @ 20 °C (cm/sec)	**8.7×10^{-8}**	**6.0×10^{-7}**	**1.5×10^{-6}**	**1.5×10^{-7}**			
K @ 20 °C (ft/min)	**1.7×10^{-7}**	**5.6×10^{-6}**	**2.9×10^{-6}**	**3.0×10^{-7}**			
Notes:							

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite 107 SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Moisture Density Curve ASTM: D698, Method B

Project: **Polynet #23/69-862** Date: **5/25/05**

Client: **Barr Engineering Company** Job No. **5333**

Boring No. **SB-05-04** Sample: Depth(ft): **2.0-7.5** Location:

Soil Type: **Silty Clay w/sand & an occasional piece of gravel, brown & some gray (CL-ML)**

As Received W.C. (%): **22.0** LL: **25.6** PL: **20.0** PI: **5.6** Specific Gravity: **2.78** *Assumed

Maximum Dry Density (pcf): **119.1** Opt. Water Content (%): **13.5**



9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Moisture Density Curve ASTM: D698, Method B

Project: **Polynet #23/69-862** Date: **5/25/05**

Client: **Barr Engineering Company** Job No. **5333**

Boring No. **SB-05-04** Sample: Depth(ft): **8.5-15.5** Location:

Soil Type: **Silty Sand w/a little gravel, gray (SM)**

As Received W.C. (%): **6.0** LL: **11.1** PL: **10.0** PI: **1.1** Specific Gravity: **2.76** *Assumed

	◆	△	◆	△
Maximum Dry Density (pcf):	**136.8**	**141.7**	Opt. Water Content (%):	**7.1**	**5.8**



9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Moisture Density Curve ASTM: D698, Method B

Project: **Polynet #23/69-862** Date: **5/25/05**

Client: **Barr Engineering Company** Job No. **5333**

Boring No. **SB-05-10** Sample: Depth(ft): **1-4** Location:

Soil Type: **Silty Sand w/a few layers of Silty Clay and a little gravel (SM/SC-SM)**

As Received W.C. (%): **11.6** LL: **15.0** PL: **12.2** PI: **2.8** Specific Gravity: **2.76** *Assumed

	◆	△	◆	△
Maximum Dry Density (pcf):	**131.4**	**133.8**	Opt. Water Content (%):	**9.4**	**8.6**



9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **5435**
Date: **10/10/05**

Project: **Polymet Tailings Characterization - # 23/69-862**
Boring #: Sample #: **Pail 1 & 2** Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Tailings, (Sandy Silt (ML))**









Failure Criterion:	Max. Stress Ratio				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	24.0 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.00 (tsf)				
Test Date: 9/28/05	Liquid Limit: NP				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit: NP				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.00236	Plasticity Index: NP				
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.053	Spec. Gravity (Actual): 3.00				
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	2.00	2.00	2.88	2.88	1.42
Height (in)	4.52	4.52	6.51	6.51	3.51
Water Content (%)	12.1	10.7	10.5	10.5	7.4
Dry Density (pcf)	86.7	87.6	87.8	90.7	76.2
Void Ratio	1.16	1.14	1.13	1.07	1.46
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.98	1.99	2.86	2.81	1.34
Height (in)	4.45	4.40	6.24	6.25	2.92
Water Content (%)	36.6	35.8	34.0	29.5	27.5
Dry Density (pcf)	89.3	90.3	92.7	99.3	102.6
Void Ratio	1.10	1.07	1.02	0.89	0.83
Back Pressure (tsf)	4.32	4.32	4.32	4.32	4.32
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.25	0.50	1.00	4.00	10.00
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.24	0.31	0.53	1.84	4.66
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.13	0.08	0.15	0.44	3.90
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	0.15	0.08	0.19	0.58	4.06
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.24	0.48	0.97	3.80	7.06
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	18.0	29.6	11.2	16.0	17.1

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimens trimmed to given sizes; Saturated w/a very low head & then applied a slow build-up of backpressure until "B" was 1.0, over a period of 5-8 days; Consolidated incremently from 0.072 to 10.0 tsf; [A] to 0.25 tsf, [B] to 0.50 tsf, [C] to 1.0 tsf, [D] to 4.0 tsf, [E] to 10.0 tsf; Recorded volume change; Ran a permeability test before shear; Stressed to given strains at constant rate of 0.00236"/min..





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 22.1 ° a = 0.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	24.0 °	c'=	0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	9.0 °	c=	0.00 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **5435**
Date: **10/10/05**

Project: **Polymet Tailings Characterization - # 23/69-862**
Boring #: Sample #: **Pail 1 & 2** Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Tailings, (Sandy Silt (ML))**









Failure Criterion:	Max. Pore Pressure				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	23.9 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.00 (tsf)				
Test Date: 9/28/05	Liquid Limit: NP				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit: NP				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.00236	Plasticity Index: NP				
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.053	Spec. Gravity (Actual): 3.00				
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	2.00	2.00	2.88	2.88	1.42
Height (in)	4.52	4.52	6.51	6.51	3.51
Water Content (%)	12.1	10.7	10.5	10.5	7.4
Dry Density (pcf)	86.7	87.6	87.8	90.7	76.2
Void Ratio	1.16	1.14	1.13	1.07	1.46
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.98	1.99	2.86	2.81	1.34
Height (in)	4.45	4.40	6.24	6.25	2.92
Water Content (%)	36.6	35.8	34.0	29.5	27.5
Dry Density (pcf)	89.3	90.3	92.7	99.3	102.6
Void Ratio	1.10	1.07	1.02	0.89	0.83
Back Pressure (tsf)	4.32	4.32	4.32	4.32	4.32
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.25	0.50	1.00	4.00	10.00
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.24	0.31	0.53	1.84	4.66
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.13	0.08	0.15	0.44	3.90
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	0.15	0.08	0.19	0.53	3.99
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.24	0.48	0.97	3.80	7.06
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	18.0	29.6	11.2	19.2	13.7

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimens trimmed to given sizes; Saturated w/a very low head & then applied a slow build-up of backpressure until "B" was 1.0, over a period of 5-8 days; Consolidated incremently from 0.072 to 10.0 tsf; [A] to 0.25 tsf, [B] to 0.50 tsf, [C] to 1.0 tsf, [D] to 4.0 tsf, [E] to 10.0 tsf; Recorded volume change; Ran a permeability test before shear; Stressed to given strains at constant rate of 0.00236"/min..





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 22.1 ° a = 0.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	23.9 °	c'=	0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	8.9 °	c=	0.00 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107
SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.
Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **5435**
Date: **10/10/05**

Project: **Polymet Tailings Characterization - # 23/69-862**
Boring #: Sample #: **Pail 1 & 2** Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Tailings, (Sandy Silt (ML))**

















Failure Criterion:	Max. Deviator Stress				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	17.4 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.09 (tsf)				
Test Date: 9/28/05	Liquid Limit: NP				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit: NP				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.00236	Plasticity Index: NP				
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.053	Spec. Gravity (Actual): 3.00				
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	2.00	2.00	2.88	2.88	1.42
Height (in)	4.52	4.52	6.51	6.51	3.51
Water Content (%)	12.1	10.7	10.5	10.5	7.4
Dry Density (pcf)	86.7	87.6	87.8	90.7	76.2
Void Ratio	1.16	1.14	1.13	1.07	1.46
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.98	1.99	2.86	2.81	1.34
Height (in)	4.45	4.40	6.24	6.25	2.92
Water Content (%)	36.6	35.8	34.0	29.5	27.5
Dry Density (pcf)	89.3	90.3	92.7	99.3	102.6
Void Ratio	1.10	1.07	1.02	0.89	0.83
Back Pressure (tsf)	4.32	4.32	4.32	4.32	4.32
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.25	0.50	1.00	4.00	10.00
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.24	0.31	0.53	1.84	4.66
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.13	0.08	0.15	0.44	3.90
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	0.24	0.31	0.53	1.84	4.66
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.24	0.48	0.97	3.80	7.06
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	1.8	0.5	0.5	1.3	2.1

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimens trimmed to given sizes; Saturated w/a very low head & then applied a slow build-up of backpressure until "B" was 1.0, over a period of 5-8 days; Consolidated incremently from 0.072 to 10.0 tsf; [A] to 0.25 tsf, [B] to 0.50 tsf, [C] to 1.0 tsf, [D] to 4.0 tsf, [E] to 10.0 tsf; Recorded volume change; Ran a permeability test before shear; Stressed to given strains at constant rate of 0.00236"/min..





Rupture Envelope at Failure	
α = 16.7 °	a = 0.1 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	17.4 °	c'=	0.09 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	10.7 °	c=	0.03 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **5435**
Date: **10/10/05**

Project: **Polymet Tailings Characterization - # 23/69-862**
Boring #: Sample #: **Pail 1 & 2** Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Tailings, (Sandy Silt (ML))**









Failure Criterion: **Given Strain of: 15%**
Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =** **24.0 °**
Apparent Cohesion, **c' =** **0.00 (tsf)**

Test Date:	9/28/05	Liquid Limit:	NP
Test Type:	CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:	NP
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.00236	Plasticity Index:	NP
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.053	Spec. Gravity (Actual):	3.00

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	2.00	2.00	2.88	2.88	1.42
Height (in)	4.52	4.52	6.51	6.51	3.51
Water Content (%)	12.1	10.7	10.5	10.5	7.4
Dry Density (pcf)	86.7	87.6	87.8	90.7	76.2
Void Ratio	1.16	1.14	1.13	1.07	1.46
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.98	1.99	2.86	2.81	1.34
Height (in)	4.45	4.40	6.24	6.25	2.92
Water Content (%)	36.6	35.8	34.0	29.5	27.5
Dry Density (pcf)	89.3	90.3	92.7	99.3	102.6
Void Ratio	1.10	1.07	1.02	0.89	0.83
Back Pressure (tsf)	4.32	4.32	4.32	4.32	4.32
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.25	0.50	1.00	4.00	10.00
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.24	0.31	0.53	1.84	4.66
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.13	0.08	0.15	0.44	3.90
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	0.16	0.08	0.18	0.61	3.99
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.24	0.48	0.97	3.80	7.06
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimens trimmed to given sizes; Saturated w/a very low head & then applied a slow build-up of backpressure until "B" was 1.0, over a period of 5-8 days; Consolidated incremently from 0.072 to 10.0 tsf; [A] to 0.25 tsf, [B] to 0.50 tsf, [C] to 1.0 tsf, [D] to 4.0 tsf, [E] to 10.0 tsf; Recorded volume change; Ran a permeability test before shear; Stressed to given strains at constant rate of 0.00236"/min..





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 22.1 ° a = 0.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	24.0 °	c'=	0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	8.9 °	c=	0.00 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107
SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.
Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **5435**

Project:	Polymet Tailings Characterization	Test Date:	8/11/05
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	8/16/05

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
*	Parcel 3	1-Pail		Bulk	Tailings (Sandy Silt (ML))
●	Parcel 3	2-Pail		Bulk	Tailings (Sandy Silt (ML))
◇					



Other Tests	*	●	◇
Liquid Limit	*	*	
Plastic Limit	*	*	
Plasticity Index	N.P.	N.P.	
Water Content	24.0	23.8	
Dry Density (pcf)			
Specific Gravity	3.00	3.00	
Porosity			
Organic Content			
pH			
Shrinkage Limit			
Penetrometer			
Qu (psf)			

(* = assumed)

Percent Passing	*	●	◇
Mass (g)	889.3	793.3	
2"			
1.5"			
1"			
3/4"			
3/8"			
#4	100.0	100.0	
#10	100.0	100.0	
#20	100.0	100.0	
#40	100.0	100.0	
#100	90.4	90.8	
#200	66.7	68.2	

	*	●	◇
D_{60}			
D_{30}			
D_{10}			
C_U			
C_C			

Remarks:

* Atterberg Limits Attempted

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Hydrometer Data Table

Job No. : **5435**

Project:	Polymet Tailings Characterization	Test Date:	8/11/05
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	8/16/05

Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
Parcel 3	1-Pail		Bulk	Tailings (Sandy Silt (ML))
Parcel 3	2-Pail		Bulk	Tailings (Sandy Silt (ML))

1- Pail	
Dia. (mm)	% Pass
0.0310	32.9
0.0212	18.6
0.0129	7.0
0.0092	4.4
0.0066	2.4
0.0032	1.6
0.0014	0.5

2- Pail	
Dia. (mm)	% Pass
0.0303	34.6
0.0212	17.5
0.0128	9.0
0.0092	5.3
0.0065	4.1
0.0032	2.1
0.0014	0.4

Permeability Test Data

Project: Polymet Tailings Characterization Date: 10/31/2005

Reported To: Barr Engineering Company Job No.: 5435

Boring No.:							
Sample No.:	Pail 2	Pail 2	Pail 1	Pail 1	Pail 1	Pail 1	
Confining Pressure	0.25 tsf	0.5 tsf	1.0 tsf	2.0 tsf	4.0 tsf	7.0 tsf	
Location:							
Sample Type:	Bulk	Bulk	Bulk	Bulk	Bulk	Bulk	
Soil Type:	Tailings (Sandy Silt (ML))	Tailings (Sandy Silt (ML))	Tailings (Sandy Silt (ML))	Tailings (Sandy Silt (ML))	Tailings (Sandy Silt (ML))	Tailings (Sandy Silt (ML))	
Atterberg Limits							
LL	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	
PL	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	
PI	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	
Permeability Test							
Void Ratio:	1.10	1.07	1.02	0.96	0.89	0.86	
Ht. (in):	4.45	4.40	6.24	2.79	6.25	2.72	
Dia. (in):	1.98	1.99	2.86	2.72	2.81	2.68	
Dry Density (pcf):	89.3	90.3	92.7	95.3	99.3	100.7	
Water Content:	36.6%	35.8%	34.0%	32.1%	29.5%	28.6%	
Confining press. (Effective-tsf):	0.3	0.5	1.0	2.0	4.0	7.0	
Trial No.:	4-7	4-8	4-8	4-8	1-5	1-5	
Water Temp ℃:	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	
Coefficient of Permeability							
K @ 20 ℃ (cm/sec)	**4.8×10^{-4}**	**4.7×10^{-4}**	**2.0×10^{-4}**	**6.2×10^{-5}**	**4.4×10^{-5}**	**2.0×10^{-5}**	
K @ 20 ℃ (ft/min)	**9.5×10^{-4}**	**9.2×10^{-4}**	**4.0×10^{-4}**	**1.2×10^{-6}**	**8.7×10^{-5}**	**3.9×10^{-5}**	
Notes:	The 2 ton and 7 Ton confining pressures were performed independent of triaxial samples.						

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite 107 SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **5435**
Date: **10/3/05**

Project: **Polymet Tailings Characterization - # 23/69-862**
Boring #: Sample #: **2-Pail** Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Tailings, (Sandy Silt (ML))**







◆

■

△

+

X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Deviator Stress				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	26.9 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.00 (tsf)				
Test Date: 9/29/05	Liquid Limit: NP				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit: NP				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.00177	Plasticity Index: NP				
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.055	Spec. Gravity (Actual): 3.00				
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.42				
Height (in)	3.51				
Water Content (%)	7.4				
Dry Density (pcf)	76.2				
Void Ratio	1.46				
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.34				
Height (in)	3.21				
Water Content (%)	33.5				
Dry Density (pcf)	93.4				
Void Ratio	1.01				
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.76				
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	2.00				
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	1.53				
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.86				
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	1.53				
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	1.81				
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0				
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	0.9				

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimens trimmed to given sizes; Saturated w/a very low head & then applied a slow build-up of backpressure until "B" was 1.0, over a period of 7-10 days; Consolidated incremently from 0.072 to 10.0 tsf; [A] to 2.0 tsf, [B] to 10.0 tsf; Recorded volume change; Ran a permeability test before shear; Stressed to given strains at constant rate of 0.00177"/min..





Rupture Envelope at Failure			
α = 24.4 °	a = 0.0 (tsf)		

----------	Effective ϕ': 26.9 °	c'=	0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ': 16.1 °	c=	0.00 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **5435**
Date: **10/3/05**

Project: **Polymet Tailings Characterization - # 23/69-862**
Boring #: Sample #: **2-Pail** Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Tailings, (Sandy Silt (ML))**







◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Pore Pressure				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	43.7 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.00 (tsf)				
Test Date: 9/29/05	Liquid Limit: NP				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit: NP				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.00177	Plasticity Index: NP				
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.055	Spec. Gravity (Actual): 3.00				
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.42				
Height (in)	3.51				
Water Content (%)	7.4				
Dry Density (pcf)	76.2				
Void Ratio	1.46				
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.34				
Height (in)	3.21				
Water Content (%)	33.5				
Dry Density (pcf)	93.4				
Void Ratio	1.01				
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.76				
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	2.00				
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	1.53				
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.86				
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	0.86				
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	1.81				
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0				
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	29.3				

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimens trimmed to given sizes; Saturated w/a very low head & then applied a slow build-up of backpressure until "B" was 1.0, over a period of 7-10 days; Consolidated incremently from 0.072 to 10.0 tsf; [A] to 2.0 tsf, [B] to 10.0 tsf; Recorded volume change; Ran a permeability test before shear; Stressed to given strains at constant rate of 0.00177"/min..





Rupture Envelope at Failure	
α = 34.6 °	a = 0.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ': 43.7 °	c'=	0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ': 10.2 °	c=	0.00 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **5435**
Date: **10/3/05**

Project: **Polymet Tailings Characterization - # 23/69-862**
Boring #: Sample #: **2-Pail** Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Tailings, (Sandy Silt (ML))**







◆

■

△

+

X

Failure Criterion: **Given Strain of: 15%**

Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =** **44.5 °**

Apparent Cohesion, **c' =** **0.00 (tsf)**

Test Date:	9/29/05		Liquid Limit:		NP
Test Type:	CU w/pp		Plastic Limit:		NP
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.00177		Plasticity Index:		NP
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.055		Spec. Gravity (Actual):		3.00

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.42				
Height (in)	3.51				
Water Content (%)	7.4				
Dry Density (pcf)	76.2				
Void Ratio	1.46				
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.34				
Height (in)	3.21				
Water Content (%)	33.5				
Dry Density (pcf)	93.4				
Void Ratio	1.01				
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.76				
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	2.00				
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	1.53				
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.86				
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	1.10				
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	1.81				
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0				
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	15.0				

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimens trimmed to given sizes; Saturated w/a very low head & then applied a slow build-up of backpressure until "B" was 1.0, over a period of 7-10 days; Consolidated incremently from 0.072 to 10.0 tsf; [A] to 2.0 tsf, [B] to 10.0 tsf; Recorded volume change; Ran a permeability test before shear; Stressed to given strains at constant rate of 0.00177"/min..





Rupture Envelope at Failure	
α = 35.0 °	a = 0.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ': 44.5 °	c'=	0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ': 12.5 °	c=	0.00 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **5435**
Date: **10/3/05**

Project: **Polymet Tailings Characterization - # 23/69-862**
Boring #: Sample #: **2-Pail** Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Tailings, (Sandy Silt (ML))**








◆


■

△

+

X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Deviator Stress				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	26.9 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.00 (tsf)				
Test Date: 9/29/05	Liquid Limit: NP				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit: NP				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.00177	Plasticity Index: NP				
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.055	Spec. Gravity (Actual): 3.00				
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.42				
Height (in)	3.51				
Water Content (%)	7.4				
Dry Density (pcf)	76.2				
Void Ratio	1.46				
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.34				
Height (in)	3.21				
Water Content (%)	33.5				
Dry Density (pcf)	93.4				
Void Ratio	1.01				
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.76				
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	2.00				
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	1.53				
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.86				
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	1.53				
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	1.81				
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0				
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	0.9				

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimens trimmed to given sizes; Saturated w/a very low head & then applied a slow build-up of backpressure until "B" was 1.0, over a period of 7-10 days; Consolidated incremently from 0.072 to 10.0 tsf; [A] to 2.0 tsf, [B] to 10.0 tsf; Recorded volume change; Ran a permeability test before shear; Stressed to given strains at constant rate of 0.00177"/min..





Rupture Envelope at Failure	
α = 24.4 °	a = 0.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ': 26.9 °	c'=	0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ': 16.1 °	c=	0.00 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

PolyMet Triaxial Testing Data

Job No. 5434 **Date: 11-6-04** **PolyMet Tailings Characterization** **Client: Barr Engineering Company**

Note: Percent Strains will not match across rows, due to different sample sizes, and collapse of some specimens before 30% strain.

0.25 tsf			0.50 tsf			1.00 tsf			2.00 tsf			4.00 tsf			10.00 tsf		
Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.22	0.11	0.15	0.23	0.30	0.14	0.16	0.35	0.18	0.31	0.83	0.13	0.16	0.52	0.17	0.34	1.65	0.43
0.45	0.15	0.16	0.45	0.31	0.20	0.32	0.51	0.31	0.62	1.18	0.73	0.32	1.34	0.70	0.68	3.16	1.09
0.67	0.19	0.16	0.68	0.30	0.24	0.48	0.53	0.47	0.93	1.53	1.08	0.48	1.45	1.13	1.03	4.06	2.30
0.90	0.21	0.17	0.91	0.29	0.27	0.64	0.52	0.57	1.25	1.48	1.27	0.64	1.55	1.56	1.37	4.47	3.25
1.12	0.22	0.18	1.14	0.27	0.30	0.80	0.50	0.64	1.56	1.38	1.42	0.80	1.67	1.95	1.71	4.65	4.08
1.35	0.23	0.18	1.36	0.26	0.34	0.96	0.49	0.68	1.87	1.34	1.48	0.96	1.76	2.28	2.05	4.66	4.68
1.57	0.24	0.19	1.59	0.25	0.35	1.12	0.46	0.73	2.18	1.31	1.54	1.12	1.82	2.53	2.40	4.60	5.18
1.80	0.24	0.19	1.82	0.24	0.36	1.28	0.44	0.76	2.49	1.28	1.58	1.28	1.84	2.68	2.74	4.51	5.59
2.02	0.24	0.19	2.05	0.23	0.38	1.44	0.43	0.78	2.80	1.25	1.61	1.44	1.79	2.77	3.08	4.42	5.76
2.25	0.23	0.20	2.27	0.22	0.39	1.60	0.41	0.80	3.43	1.22	1.65	1.60	1.75	2.86	3.42	4.31	6.11
2.69	0.22	0.20	2.73	0.21	0.40	1.92	0.38	0.83	4.05	1.19	1.67	1.92	1.66	2.98	4.11	4.19	6.39
3.14	0.21	0.20	3.18	0.19	0.41	2.24	0.36	0.85	4.67	1.17	1.70	2.24	1.57	3.09	4.79	4.03	6.56
3.59	0.21	0.21	3.64	0.18	0.41	2.56	0.34	0.86	5.30	1.15	1.72	2.56	1.48	3.21	5.48	3.91	6.69
4.04	0.20	0.21	4.09	0.17	0.42	2.88	0.32	0.88	5.92	1.14	1.73	2.88	1.44	3.27	6.16	3.86	6.82
4.49	0.20	0.21	4.55	0.16	0.43	3.21	0.30	0.89	6.54	1.14	1.74	3.20	1.37	3.33	6.85	3.82	6.86
4.94	0.20	0.22	5.00	0.15	0.43	3.53	0.29	0.90	7.17	1.14	1.74	3.52	1.32	3.37	7.53	3.81	6.88
5.39	0.19	0.22	5.46	0.14	0.44	3.85	0.28	0.91	7.79	1.13	1.75	3.84	1.27	3.40	8.22	3.79	6.90
5.84	0.19	0.22	5.91	0.13	0.44	4.17	0.27	0.92	8.41	1.13	1.75	4.16	1.23	3.42	8.90	3.81	6.92
6.29	0.19	0.22	6.37	0.13	0.44	4.49	0.26	0.92	9.04	1.12	1.76	4.48	1.19	3.45	9.59	3.82	6.94
6.74	0.18	0.22	6.82	0.12	0.45	4.81	0.25	0.93	9.66	1.12	1.76	4.80	1.15	3.47	10.27	3.82	6.96
7.19	0.18	0.22	7.27	0.12	0.45	5.13	0.25	0.93	10.28	1.11	1.76	5.12	1.11	3.52	10.96	3.82	6.98
7.64	0.18	0.23	7.73	0.12	0.45	5.45	0.24	0.93	10.91	1.12	1.76	5.44	1.08	3.54	11.64	3.84	7.00
8.08	0.18	0.23	8.18	0.11	0.45	5.77	0.24	0.94	11.53	1.12	1.76	5.76	1.05	3.55	12.33	3.87	7.02
8.53	0.18	0.23	8.64	0.11	0.46	6.09	0.23	0.94	12.15	1.12	1.76	6.08	1.01	3.56	13.01	3.90	7.04
8.98	0.17	0.23	9.09	0.10	0.46	6.41	0.23	0.95	13.71	1.10	1.76	6.40	0.98	3.57	13.70	3.99	7.06
10.11	0.17	0.23	10.23	0.09	0.46	7.21	0.22	0.95	15.27	1.09	1.77	7.20	0.93	3.60	15.41	4.03	7.04
11.23	0.17	0.23	11.37	0.09	0.46	8.01	0.21	0.95	16.83	1.07	1.77	8.00	0.87	3.62	17.12	4.06	7.02
12.35	0.16	0.24	12.50	0.09	0.47	8.81	0.21	0.96	18.38	1.06	1.77	8.80	0.82	3.65	18.84	4.09	6.96
13.47	0.16	0.24	13.64	0.08	0.47	9.62	0.20	0.96	19.94	1.04	1.77	9.60	0.77	3.67	20.55	4.12	6.90
14.60	0.16	0.24	14.78	0.08	0.47	10.42	0.20	0.96	21.50	1.01	1.78	10.40	0.74	3.69	22.26	4.15	6.89
15.72	0.15	0.24	15.91	0.08	0.47	11.22	0.19	0.97	23.06	0.98	1.79	11.20	0.70	3.71	23.97	4.14	6.88
16.84	0.15	0.24	17.05	0.08	0.47	12.02	0.19	0.97	24.62	0.95	1.79	12.00	0.68	3.74	25.68	4.17	6.89
17.97	0.15	0.24	18.19	0.08	0.47	12.82	0.19	0.96	26.17	0.92	1.80	12.80	0.65	3.76	27.40	4.19	6.91
20.21	0.14	0.24	20.46	0.08	0.48	14.42	0.18	0.96	27.73	0.89	1.81	14.40	0.61	3.77	30.82	4.04	6.82
22.46	0.14	0.24	22.73	0.08	0.48	16.03	0.18	0.96	29.29	0.86	1.81	16.00	0.58	3.79	34.25	3.90	6.82
24.70	0.13	0.24	25.01	0.08	0.48	19.23	0.17	0.96				19.20	0.53	3.80			
26.95	0.13	0.24	27.28	0.08	0.48	22.44	0.16	0.96				22.40	0.50	3.78			
			29.55	0.08	0.48	25.64	0.15	0.96				25.60	0.46	3.78			
						28.85	0.15	0.96				28.80	0.44	3.77			

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **5613**

Project:	Polymet Permeability Testing	Test Date:	3/19/06
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	3/19/06

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
*		Coarse		Bulk	Tailings - Silty Sand, Fine Grained (SM)
●		Fine		Bulk	Tailings - Silt (ML)
◇					



Other Tests

	*	●	◇
Liquid Limit			
Plastic Limit			
Plasticity Index			
Water Content			
Dry Density (pcf)			
Specific Gravity	2.97*	2.97*	
Porosity			
Organic Content			
pH			
Shrinkage Limit			
Penetrometer			
Qu (psf)			

(* = assumed)

Percent Passing

	*	●	◇
Mass (g)	106.5	83.2	
2"			
1.5"			
1"			
3/4"			
3/8"			
#4	100.0	100.0	
#10	100.0	100.0	
#20	100.0	100.0	
#40	100.0	100.0	
#100	76.1	99.5	
#200	26.8	99.4	

	*	●	◇
D_{60}			
D_{30}			
D_{10}			
C_U			
C_C			

Remarks:

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **5613**

Project:	Polymet Permeability Testing	Test Date:	3/19/06
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	3/19/06

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
Spec 1		Coarse		Bulk	Tailings - Silty Sand, Fine Grained (SM)
Spec 2		Fine		Bulk	Tailings - Silt (ML)
Spec 3					

Hydrometer Data

Specimen 1		Specimen 2		Specimen 3	
Sieve	% Passing	Sieve	% Passing	Sieve	% Passing
2"		2"	50.190435	2"	
1.5"	5.826846687	1.5"	36.690435	1.5"	
1"	4.94582259	1"	31.065435	1"	
3/4"	4.064798494	3/4"	23.190435	3/4"	
3/8"	3.183774398	3/8"	17.002935	3/8"	
#4	2.126545482	#4	11.377935	#4	
#10	0.452599699	#10	0.915435	#10	
#20	0	#20	0	#20	
#40	0	#40	0	#40	
#100	0	#100	0	#100	
#200	0	#200	0	#200	

Remarks

Specimen 1	Specimen 2	Specimen 3

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **5613**

Project:	Polymet Permeability Testing	Test Date:	3/19/06
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	3/19/06

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
Spec 1		Coarse		Bulk	Tailings - Silty Sand, Fine Grained (SM)
Spec 2		Fine		Bulk	Tailings - Silt (ML)
Spec 3					

Hydrometer Data

Specimen 1		Specimen 2		Specimen 3	
Diameter (mm)	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing
0.0348	7.15	0.0263	50.19		
0.0222	5.83	0.0186	36.69		
0.0158	4.95	0.0153	31.07		
0.0130	4.06	0.0117	23.19		
0.0097	3.18	0.0086	17.00		
0.0066	2.13	0.0063	11.38		
0.0014	0.45	0.0014	0.92		

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Permeability Test Data

Project: Polymet Permeability Testing Date: 3/23/2006

Reported To: Barr Engineering Company Job No.: 5613

Confining Pressure	0.25	0.5	1.0	2.0	4.0	10.0	
Sample No.:	Coarse Portion	Coarse Portion	Coarse Portion	Coarse Portion	Coarse Portion	Coarse Portion	
Depth (ft)							
Location:							
Sample Type:	Bulk	Bulk	Bulk	Bulk	Bulk	Bulk	
Soil Type:	Tailings - Silty Sand, Fine Grained (SM)	Tailings - Silty Sand, Fine Grained (SM)	Tailings - Silty Sand, Fine Grained (SM)	Tailings - Silty Sand, Fine Grained (SM)	Tailings - Silty Sand, Fine Grained (SM)	Tailings - Silty Sand, Fine Grained (SM)	
Atterberg Limits							
LL							
PL							
PI							
Permeability Test							
Before Test Conditions: Saturation %:							
Porosity:	0.52	0.50	0.49	0.47	0.45	0.44	
Ht. (in):	2.84	2.78	2.76	2.74	2.71	2.65	
Dia. (in):	2.77	2.73	2.71	2.69	2.65	2.64	
Dry Density (pcf):	88.6	93.2	95.2	97.4	101.2	104.8	
Water Content:	8.4%						
Test Type:	Constant	Constant	Constant	Constant	Constant	Constant	
Max Head (ft):	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Confining press. (Effective-tsf):	0.25	0.5	1.0	2.0	4.0	10.0	
Trial No.:	6-10	6-10	6-10	6-10	6-10	6-10	
Water Temp ℃:	20.4	20.4	20.4	20.4	20.4	20.4	
% Compaction							
% Saturation (After Test)							
Coefficient of Permeability							
K @ 20 ℃ (cm/sec)	**1.7×10^{-3}**	**1.5×10^{-3}**	**1.4×10^{-3}**	**1.2×10^{-3}**	**9.0×10^{-4}**	**6.1×10^{-4}**	
K @ 20 ℃ (ft/min)	**3.4×10^{-3}**	**2.9×10^{-3}**	**2.8×10^{-3}**	**2.3×10^{-3}**	**1.8×10^{-3}**	**1.2×10^{-3}**	
Notes:	Incremental confining pressures applied until at least end of primary consolidation. Filtered tap water of 200mL + thru specimens before testing and 50mL+ before each staged permeability test.						

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite 107 SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Permeability Test Data

Project: Polymet Permeability Testing Date: 3/23/2006

Reported To: Barr Engineering Company Job No.: 5613

Confining Pressure	0.25	0.5	1.0	2.0	4.0	10.0	
Sample No.:	Fine Portion	Fine Portion	Fine Portion	Fine Portion	Fine Portion	Fine Portion	
Depth (ft)							
Location:							
Sample Type:	Bulk	Bulk	Bulk	Bulk	Bulk	Bulk	
Soil Type:	Tailings - Silt (ML)	Tailings - Silt (ML)	Tailings - Silt (ML)	Tailings - Silt (ML)	Tailings - Silt (ML)	Tailings - Silt (ML)	
Atterberg Limits							
LL							
PL							
PI							
Permeability Test							
Before Test Conditions: Saturation %:							
Porosity:	0.54	0.53	0.51	0.50	0.49	0.46	
Ht. (in):	2.89	2.86	2.82	2.80	2.78	2.73	
Dia. (in):	2.72	2.69	2.66	2.64	2.62	2.59	
Dry Density (pcf):	85.1	88.0	91.2	93.2	95.3	99.9	
Water Content:	8.1%						
Test Type:	Constant	Constant	Constant	Constant	Constant	Constant	
Max Head (ft):	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	
Confining press. (Effective-tsf):	0.25	0.5	1.0	2.0	4.0	10.0	
Trial No.:	6-10	6-10	6-10	6-10	6-10	6-10	
Water Temp ℃:	20.4	20.4	20.4	20.4	20.4	20.4	
% Compaction							
% Saturation (After Test)							
Coefficient of Permeability							
K @ 20 ℃ (cm/sec)	**4.5×10^{-5}**	**3.0×10^{-5}**	**2.2×10^{-5}**	**1.7×10^{-5}**	**1.1×10^{-5}**	**9.4×10^{-6}**	
K @ 20 ℃ (ft/min)	**8.9×10^{-5}**	**5.9×10^{-5}**	**4.4×10^{-5}**	**3.4×10^{-5}**	**2.1×10^{-5}**	**1.8×10^{-5}**	
Notes:	Incremental confining pressures applied until at least end of primary consolidation. Filtered tap water of 100mL + thru specimens before testing and 25mL+ before each staged permeability test.						

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite 107 SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **5890-B**

Project:	Polymet	Test Date:	7/10/07
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	7/12/07

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
*		PP-10060		Bulk	Sandy Silt (ML)
●		PP-10061		Bulk	Sandy Silt (ML)
◇		PP-10062		Bulk	Sandy Silt (ML)



Other Tests	*	●	◇
Liquid Limit			
Plastic Limit			
Plasticity Index			
Water Content			
Dry Density (pcf)			
Specific Gravity	2.98	3.03	3.00
Porosity			
Organic Content			
pH			
Shrinkage Limit			
Penetrometer			
Qu (psf)			

(* = assumed)

Percent Passing	*	●	◇
Mass (g)	731.8	742.6	781.4
2"			
1.5"			
1"			
3/4"			
3/8"			
#4	100.0	100.0	100.0
#10	100.0	100.0	100.0
#20	100.0	100.0	99.9
#40	99.6	99.6	99.5
#100	88.2	84.5	85.6
#200	65.4	52.0	58.8

	*	●	◇
D_{60}			
D_{30}			
D_{10}			
C_U			
C_C			

Remarks:

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **5890**

Project:	Polymet #23/69-862-016-020	Test Date:	11/6/06
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	11/13/06

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
*	Test Pit	CT-A		Bag	Coarse Tailings, Silty Sand (SM/SP-SM)
●	Test Pit	FT-C		Bag	Fine Tailings, Silt with Sand (ML)
◇					



Other Tests

	*	●	◇
Liquid Limit	NP	NP	
Plastic Limit	NP	NP	
Plasticity Index	NP	NP	
Water Content	5.1	14.6	
Dry Density (pcf)			
Specific Gravity	3.00*	3.00*	
Porosity			
Organic Content			
pH			
Shrinkage Limit			
Penetrometer			
Qu (psf)			

(* = assumed)

Percent Passing

	*	●	◇
Mass (g)	6176.4	4409.3	
2"			
1.5"			
1"			
3/4"	100.0		
3/8"	99.9		
#4	99.3	100.0	
#10	91.1	100.0	
#20	68.7	100.0	
#40	47.0	100.0	
#100	20.4	99.2	
#200	12.1	84.4	

	*	●	◇
D_{60}			
D_{30}			
D_{10}			
C_U			
C_C			

Remarks:

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **5890**

Project:	Polymet #23/69-862-016-020	Test Date:	11/6/06
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	11/13/06

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
Spec 1	Test Pit	CT-A		Bag	Coarse Tailings, Silty Sand (SM/SP-SM)
Spec 2	Test Pit	FT-C		Bag	Fine Tailings, Silt with Sand (ML)
Spec 3					

Hydrometer Data

Specimen 1		Specimen 2		Specimen 3	
Diameter (mm)	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing
0.0345	7.3	0.0296	39.9		
0.0221	5.8	0.0200	28.5		
0.0129	3.9	0.0121	19.7		
0.0092	3.2	0.0088	14.7		
0.0065	2.4	0.0063	10.9		
0.0032	1.7	0.0032	5.9		
0.0014	0.1	0.0014	0.9		

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **5890**

Project:	Polymet #23/69-862-016-020	Test Date:	11/6/06
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	11/13/06

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
*	Test Pit	Silt - E		Bag	Silt (ML)
●	Test Pit	Slime - H		Bag	Slimes, Silt (ML)
◇					



Other Tests

	*	●	◇
Liquid Limit	NP	30.5	
Plastic Limit	NP	25.7	
Plasticity Index	NP	4.8	
Water Content	25.1	39.0	
Dry Density (pcf)			
Specific Gravity	3.00*	3.00*	
Porosity			
Organic Content			
pH			
Shrinkage Limit			
Penetrometer			
Qu (psf)			

(* = assumed)

Percent Passing

	*	●	◇
Mass (g)	1741.0	5714.9	
2"			
1.5"			
1"			
3/4"			
3/8"			
#4	100.0	100.0	
#10	100.0	100.0	
#20	100.0	100.0	
#40	100.0	100.0	
#100	100.0	99.9	
#200	99.9	99.9	

	*	●	◇
D_{60}			
D_{30}			
D_{10}			
C_U			
C_C			

Remarks:

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **5890**

Project:	Polymet #23/69-862-016-020	Test Date:	11/6/06
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	11/13/06

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
Spec 1	Test Pit	Silt - E		Bag	Silt (ML)
Spec 2	Test Pit	Slime - H		Bag	Slimes, Silt (ML)
Spec 3					

Hydrometer Data

Specimen 1		Specimen 2		Specimen 3	
Diameter (mm)	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing
0.0231	68.5	0.0231	92.8		
0.0168	53.8	0.0153	86.1		
0.0112	34.1	0.0095	76.1		
0.0083	24.8	0.0072	64.4		
0.0061	18.1	0.0054	54.4		
0.0031	10.7	0.0029	33.6		
0.0013	3.7	0.0013	14.1		

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/16/07**

Project: **Polymet - #23/69-862-015-028**
Boring #: **07-07C** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **52.2-55.2 (Mid-Bot)**
Soil Type: **Silty Sand w/a Little Gravel (SM)**







◆

■

△

+

X

Failure Criterion: **Max. Stress Ratio**

Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =** °

Apparent Cohesion, **c' =** (tsf)

Test Date:	10/4/07		Liquid Limit:		NP
Test Type:	CU w/pp		Plastic Limit:		NP
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.0039		Plasticity Index:		NP
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.066		Spec. Gravity (Actual):		2.70

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	2.86				
Height (in)	6.02				
Water Content (%)	7.6				
Dry Density (pcf)	120.5				
Void Ratio	0.40				
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	2.83				
Height (in)	5.95				
Water Content (%)	12.9				
Dry Density (pcf)	125.1				
Void Ratio	0.35				
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.81				
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	2.25				
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	14.29				
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	13.71				
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	7.66				
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.91				
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0				
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	4.4				

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Radial drainage strips applied to trimmed specimen; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure			
α =	°	a =	(tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	°	c'=	(tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	°	c=	(tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/16/07**

Project: **Polymet - #23/69-862-015-028**
Boring #: **07-07C** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **52.2-55.2 (Mid-Bot)**
Soil Type: **Silty Sand w/a Little Gravel (SM)**







◆

■

△

+

X

Failure Criterion: **Max. Pore Pressure**

Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =** °

Apparent Cohesion, **c' =** (tsf)

Test Date:	10/4/07		Liquid Limit:		NP
Test Type:	CU w/pp		Plastic Limit:		NP
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.0039		Plasticity Index:		NP
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.066		Spec. Gravity (Actual):		2.70

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	2.86				
Height (in)	6.02				
Water Content (%)	7.6				
Dry Density (pcf)	120.5				
Void Ratio	0.40				
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	2.83				
Height (in)	5.95				
Water Content (%)	12.9				
Dry Density (pcf)	125.1				
Void Ratio	0.35				
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.81				
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	2.25				
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	14.29				
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	13.71				
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	2.39				
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.91				
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0				
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	0.8				

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Radial drainage strips applied to trimmed specimen; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.



Rupture Envelope at Failure			
α =	°	a =	(tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	°	c'=	(tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	°	c=	(tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/16/07**

Project: **Polymet - #23/69-862-015-028**
Boring #: **07-07C** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **52.2-55.2 (Mid-Bot)**
Soil Type: **Silty Sand w/a Little Gravel (SM)**







◆

■

△

+

X

Failure Criterion: **Max. Deviator Stress**

Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =** °

Apparent Cohesion, **c' =** (tsf)

Test Date:	10/4/07	Liquid Limit:	NP		
Test Type:	CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:	NP		
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.0039	Plasticity Index:	NP		
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.066	Spec. Gravity (Actual):	2.70		

	A	B	C	D	E
Before Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	2.86				
Height (in)	6.02				
Water Content (%)	7.6				
Dry Density (pcf)	120.5				
Void Ratio	0.40				
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	2.83				
Height (in)	5.95				
Water Content (%)	12.9				
Dry Density (pcf)	125.1				
Void Ratio	0.35				
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.81				
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	2.25				
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	14.29				
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	13.71				
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	14.29				
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.91				
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0				
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	23.5				

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Radial drainage strips applied to trimmed specimen; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = ° a = (tsf)

---------- Effective ϕ': ° c'= (tsf)
_____ Total ϕ': ° c= (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/16/07**

Project: **Polymet - #23/69-862-015-028**
Boring #: **07-07C** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **52.2-55.2 (Mid-Bot)**
Soil Type: **Silty Sand w/a Little Gravel (SM)**







◆

■

△

+

X

Failure Criterion: **Given Strain of: 15%**

Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =** °

Apparent Cohesion, **c' =** (tsf)

Test Date:	10/4/07		Liquid Limit:		NP	
Test Type:	CU w/pp		Plastic Limit:		NP	
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.0039		Plasticity Index:		NP	
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.066		Spec. Gravity (Actual):		2.70	

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	2.86				
Height (in)	6.02				
Water Content (%)	7.6				
Dry Density (pcf)	120.5				
Void Ratio	0.40				
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	2.83				
Height (in)	5.95				
Water Content (%)	12.9				
Dry Density (pcf)	125.1				
Void Ratio	0.35				
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.81				
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	2.25				
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	14.29				
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	13.71				
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	13.47				
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.91				
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0				
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	15.0				

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Radial drainage strips applied to trimmed specimen; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure			
α =	°	a =	(tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	°	c'=	(tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	°	c=	(tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Job No.: 6250

Project: Polymet - #23/69-862-015-028 Test Type: CU w/pp

Boring No.: 07-07C, Depth (ft.): 52.2-55.2 (Mid-Bot)

Sample 1			Sample 2			Sample 3		
Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)
0.00	0.00	0.00						
0.17	0.94	0.44						
0.34	1.44	0.72						
0.50	1.80	0.85						
0.67	2.10	0.90						
0.84	2.39	0.91						
1.01	2.66	0.90						
1.18	2.94	0.87						
1.34	3.22	0.83						
1.51	3.51	0.79						
1.68	3.79	0.74						
2.02	4.34	0.63						
2.35	4.88	0.50						
2.69	5.39	0.36						
3.02	5.88	0.23						
3.36	6.31	0.07						
3.70	6.81	-0.07						
4.03	7.25	-0.22						
4.37	7.66	-0.36						
4.70	8.06	-0.50						
5.04	8.45	-0.64						
5.38	8.79	-0.76						
5.71	9.12	-0.89						
6.05	9.42	-1.01						
6.38	9.67	-1.12						
6.72	9.94	-1.22						
7.56	10.52	-1.45						
8.40	11.05	-1.66						
9.24	11.54	-1.85						
10.08	11.95	-2.00						
10.92	12.31	-2.14						
11.76	12.62	-2.26						
12.60	12.94	-2.37						
13.44	13.22	-2.47						
14.28	13.47	-2.56						
15.12	13.65	-2.64						
16.80	14.18	-2.78						
20.16	14.27	-2.92						
23.52	14.29	-3.04						
27.14	13.71	-3.05						

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **6250**

Project:	Polymet #23/69-862-022B	Test Date:	10/11/07
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	10/17/07

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
*	07-07C		52.2-55.2	3T	Silty Sand w/a little gravel (SM)
●	07-09		36.5-38.5	3T	Slimes: Silt (ML)
◇	07-09		27.5-29.5	3T	Slimes: Silt (ML)



Other Tests

	*	●	◇
Liquid Limit	NP	28.0	21.3
Plastic Limit	NP	22.6	16.5
Plasticity Index	NP	5.4	4.8
Water Content			
Dry Density (pcf)			
Specific Gravity	2.70	2.96	2.96
Porosity			
Organic Content			
pH			
Shrinkage Limit			
Penetrometer			
Qu (psf)			

(* = assumed)

Percent Passing

	*	●	◇
Mass (g)	2397.0	736.0	374.0
2"			
1.5"	100.0		
1"	98.6		
3/4"	98.2		
3/8"	96.3		
#4	89.5	100.0	100.0
#10	78.3	100.0	100.0
#20	72.6	100.0	100.0
#40	66.3	100.0	100.0
#100	51.6	99.9	99.9
#200	40.2	99.5	97.5

	*	●	◇
D_{60}			
D_{30}			
D_{10}			
C_U			
C_C			

Remarks:

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **6250**

Project:	Polymet #23/69-862-022B	Test Date:	10/11/07
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	10/17/07

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
Spec 1	07-07C		52.2-55.2	3T	Silty Sand w/a little gravel (SM)
Spec 2	07-09		36.5-38.5	3T	Slimes: Silt (ML)
Spec 3	07-09		27.5-29.5	3T	Slimes: Silt (ML)

Hydrometer Data

Specimen 1		Specimen 2		Specimen 3	
Diameter (mm)	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing
0.028	23.3	0.021	87.2	0.027	63.4
0.019	18.8	0.015	78.6	0.018	52.4
0.012	13.9	0.009	65.1	0.011	41.4
0.008	11.3	0.007	55.2	0.008	33.5
0.006	9.3	0.005	46.0	0.006	26.5
0.003	5.6	0.003	29.4	0.003	16.8
0.001	2.7	0.001	14.5	0.001	8.1

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Consolidation Report (Ko Triaxial Test)

Project: Polymet
Client: Barr Engineering Company
Job: **6250**
Date: 9/17/2007

Boring: 07-4B Sample: Depth: 56.7-57.2
Soil Classification: Peat (PT)



9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, MN 55420-3436

Consolidation Report (Ko Triaxial Test)

Project: Polymet
Client: Barr Engineering Company
Job: **6250**
Date: 9/18/2007

Boring: 07-05 Sample: Depth: 98.5-99
Soil Classification: Peat (PT)



9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, MN 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	3.1165	4.541	4.5431	4.4708	0.070148	0.072244	1.0299
2	0.012433	0	0	3.1165	4.541	4.5431	4.4714	0.069563	0.071659	1.0301
3	0.054167	0	-0.00021283	3.1165	4.5415	4.5437	4.4714	0.070113	0.072245	1.0304
4	0.10007	0	-0.00021283	3.1165	4.5415	4.5437	4.4714	0.070113	0.072245	1.0304
5	0.25028	0	-0.0006385	3.1165	4.5446	4.5437	4.4714	0.073212	0.072245	0.98679
6	0.50127	0.008965	0.0027668	3.1165	4.5669	4.5443	4.4726	0.094286	0.07166	0.76002
7	1.003	0.010758	0.011493	3.1165	4.568	4.5454	4.472	0.095972	0.073416	0.76497
8	2.0007	0.016137	0.015962	3.1165	4.5654	4.546	4.4714	0.094008	0.074586	0.7934
9	4.0041	0.018827	0.017452	3.1165	4.5691	4.5466	4.4714	0.097658	0.075172	0.76974
10	6.0035	0.02062	0.020645	3.1165	4.5702	4.5478	4.4714	0.098759	0.076342	0.77302
11	8.0027	0.021516	0.02107	3.1165	4.5707	4.5483	4.4714	0.099309	0.076928	0.77463
12	10.002	0.021516	0.021496	3.1165	4.5682	4.5489	4.4714	0.09676	0.077513	0.80108
13	12.001	0.022413	0.021709	3.1165	4.5713	4.5489	4.4714	0.099859	0.077513	0.77622
14	14.001	0.021516	0.02107	3.1165	4.5687	4.5495	4.4714	0.097311	0.078098	0.80257
15	16	0.021516	0.021709	3.1165	4.5698	4.5507	4.4714	0.098411	0.079269	0.80549
16	18.003	0.021516	0.021283	3.1165	4.5704	4.5513	4.4714	0.098962	0.079855	0.80692
17	20.003	0.021516	0.021709	3.1165	4.5709	4.5519	4.4714	0.099512	0.08044	0.80834
18	22.002	0.021516	0.021709	3.1165	4.5715	4.5524	4.4714	0.10006	0.081025	0.80975
19	24.001	0.021516	0.021922	3.1165	4.5684	4.5524	4.4714	0.096963	0.081025	0.83563
20	24.528	0.021516	0.02107	3.1165	4.5715	4.5524	4.4714	0.10006	0.081025	0.80975

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	3.1165	4.5684	4.5524	4.4714	0.096963	0.081025	0.83563
2	0.012483	0	0	3.1165	4.5715	4.5524	4.4714	0.10006	0.081025	0.80975
3	0.050717	0	0	3.1165	4.5684	4.5524	4.4714	0.096963	0.081025	0.83563
4	0.10078	0	0	3.1165	4.5715	4.5524	4.4714	0.10006	0.081025	0.80975
5	0.25112	0	-0.00021283	3.1165	4.5746	4.5524	4.4714	0.10316	0.081025	0.78542
6	0.50197	0.012551	0.0034053	3.1165	4.5963	4.5524	4.4732	0.1231	0.07927	0.64395
7	1.0037	0.048411	0.040864	3.1165	4.6255	4.5571	4.4749	0.15054	0.082198	0.54602
8	2.002	0.20889	0.20155	3.1165	4.6941	4.5642	4.4767	0.21737	0.087468	0.40238
9	4.0017	0.32095	0.32095	3.1165	4.7171	4.5688	4.4726	0.24447	0.096246	0.3937
10	6.0014	0.34067	0.34032	3.1165	4.7154	4.5671	4.472	0.2434	0.095075	0.39061
11	8.0011	0.34695	0.34819	3.1165	4.7163	4.5647	4.4714	0.24488	0.093318	0.38107
12	10.001	0.35053	0.35054	3.1165	4.7189	4.5642	4.4714	0.24743	0.092733	0.37478
13	12.001	0.35322	0.35309	3.1165	4.7189	4.5642	4.4714	0.24743	0.092733	0.37478
14	14.001	0.3577	0.35692	3.1165	4.7152	4.5636	4.4714	0.24378	0.092147	0.37799
15	16.001	0.36308	0.36267	3.1165	4.7205	4.5659	4.472	0.2485	0.093904	0.37788
16	16.494	0.36308	0.36373	3.1165	4.7194	4.5647	4.4714	0.24798	0.093318	0.37631

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 3

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	3.1165	4.7163	4.5647	4.4714	0.24488	0.093318	0.38107
2	0.012433	0	0	3.1165	4.72	4.5653	4.4714	0.24853	0.093904	0.37783
3	0.050483	0	0	3.1165	4.7163	4.5647	4.4714	0.24488	0.093318	0.38107
4	0.10055	0	0	3.1165	4.7163	4.5647	4.4714	0.24488	0.093318	0.38107
5	0.25078	0.0044825	0.00085133	3.1165	4.7251	4.5642	4.4726	0.25246	0.091563	0.36268
6	0.50165	0.018827	0.01128	3.1165	4.7416	4.5653	4.4743	0.2673	0.090978	0.34036
7	1.0034	0.091443	0.082792	3.1165	4.7727	4.5753	4.4732	0.29952	0.1021	0.34087
8	2.0011	0.25192	0.25072	3.1165	4.8457	4.587	4.4732	0.37251	0.11381	0.30551
9	4.0003	0.58452	0.64595	3.1165	4.9642	4.6174	4.4738	0.49042	0.14366	0.29293
10	6.0037	0.65534	0.73661	3.1165	4.9669	4.6204	4.4726	0.49434	0.14776	0.2989
11	8.0031	0.69031	0.77344	3.1165	4.9669	4.6204	4.472	0.49493	0.14834	0.29973
12	10.002	0.70555	0.79812	3.1165	4.9675	4.6209	4.472	0.49548	0.14893	0.30058
13	12.002	0.73244	0.81643	3.1165	4.9675	4.6209	4.472	0.49548	0.14893	0.30058
14	14.001	0.74768	0.83133	3.1165	4.9675	4.6209	4.472	0.49548	0.14893	0.30058
15	16.004	0.76203	0.84346	3.1165	4.968	4.6215	4.472	0.49603	0.14951	0.30142
16	18.003	0.7692	0.8541	3.1165	4.968	4.6215	4.472	0.49603	0.14951	0.30142
17	20.003	0.77368	0.86368	3.1165	4.9675	4.6209	4.4714	0.49607	0.14951	0.3014
18	22.002	0.77816	0.8724	3.1165	4.9675	4.6209	4.4714	0.49607	0.14951	0.3014
19	24.001	0.78713	0.87964	3.1165	4.968	4.6215	4.4714	0.49662	0.1501	0.30224
20	26	0.7952	0.88624	3.1165	4.968	4.6215	4.4714	0.49662	0.1501	0.30224
21	28.004	0.80506	0.89262	3.1165	4.9675	4.6209	4.4714	0.49607	0.14951	0.3014
22	28.075	0.80506	0.89283	3.1165	4.9711	4.6215	4.4714	0.49971	0.1501	0.30037

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 4

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	3.1165	4.9675	4.6209	4.4714	0.49607	0.14951	0.3014
2	0.012483	0	0	3.1165	4.9717	4.6221	4.4714	0.50026	0.15068	0.30121
3	0.05065	0	0.00021283	3.1165	4.9675	4.6209	4.4714	0.49607	0.14951	0.3014
4	0.10072	0	0.00021283	3.1165	4.968	4.6215	4.4726	0.49545	0.14893	0.3006
5	0.25093	0.0026895	0.0034053	3.1165	4.9764	4.6239	4.4714	0.50502	0.15244	0.30185
6	0.5018	0.021516	0.020645	3.1165	4.9932	4.6285	4.4738	0.51947	0.15478	0.29796
7	1.0035	0.069927	0.08492	3.1165	5.0321	4.6403	4.4732	0.55896	0.16708	0.29891
8	2.0013	0.20889	0.23369	3.1165	5.0968	4.6596	4.4761	0.62068	0.18347	0.29559
9	4.0005	0.48949	0.59231	3.1165	5.2445	4.7046	4.4738	0.77077	0.23088	0.29955
10	6.0039	0.79878	0.96584	3.1165	5.3777	4.7474	4.4726	0.90509	0.27478	0.3036
11	8.0031	1.0355	1.2557	3.1165	5.4642	4.7702	4.4743	0.98989	0.29586	0.29888
12	10.002	1.0991	1.3455	3.1165	5.4653	4.7714	4.4726	0.99274	0.29878	0.30097
13	12.002	1.1395	1.3962	3.1165	5.4648	4.7708	4.4732	0.99161	0.29761	0.30013
14	14.001	1.1646	1.4332	3.1165	5.4648	4.7708	4.4726	0.99219	0.2982	0.30055
15	16.004	1.1986	1.4624	3.1165	5.4648	4.7708	4.472	0.99278	0.29878	0.30096
16	18.003	1.2148	1.4871	3.1165	5.4648	4.7708	4.472	0.99278	0.29878	0.30096
17	20.003	1.2219	1.5088	3.1165	5.4648	4.7708	4.472	0.99278	0.29878	0.30096
18	22.002	1.2434	1.5279	3.1165	5.4653	4.7714	4.4726	0.99274	0.29878	0.30097
19	24.001	1.2614	1.5456	3.1165	5.4653	4.7714	4.472	0.99333	0.29937	0.30138
20	26	1.2757	1.5609	3.1165	5.4653	4.7714	4.4726	0.99274	0.29878	0.30097
21	28.004	1.2874	1.5748	3.1165	5.4653	4.7714	4.4714	0.99391	0.29995	0.30179
22	30.003	1.3026	1.5875	3.1165	5.4653	4.7714	4.472	0.99333	0.29937	0.30138
23	32.002	1.3116	1.6001	3.1165	5.4653	4.7714	4.472	0.99333	0.29937	0.30138
24	34.001	1.325	1.6114	3.1165	5.4653	4.7714	4.472	0.99333	0.29937	0.30138
25	36.001	1.3304	1.6218	3.1165	5.4684	4.7714	4.4714	0.99701	0.29995	0.30085
26	38.001	1.3385	1.6318	3.1165	5.4653	4.7714	4.4714	0.99391	0.29995	0.30179
27	40.001	1.3465	1.6414	3.1165	5.4653	4.7714	4.4714	0.99391	0.29995	0.30179
28	41.48	1.3528	1.6482	3.1165	5.4659	4.772	4.472	0.99388	0.29996	0.3018

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 5

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	3.1165	5.469	4.772	4.472	0.99698	0.29996	0.30087
2	0.012483	0	0.00021283	3.1165	5.4653	4.7714	4.4714	0.99391	0.29995	0.30179
3	0.05065	0	0.00042567	3.1165	5.4659	4.772	4.472	0.99388	0.29996	0.3018
4	0.10072	0.0008965	0.00085133	3.1165	5.469	4.772	4.472	0.99698	0.29996	0.30087
5	0.25093	0.005379	0.0044695	3.1165	5.4816	4.7755	4.4726	1.009	0.30288	0.30018
6	0.5018	0.021516	0.017878	3.1165	5.4933	4.7813	4.4743	1.0189	0.30698	0.30128
7	1.0035	0.061859	0.058103	3.1165	5.5294	4.7901	4.4732	1.0563	0.31693	0.30005
8	2.0013	0.14523	0.16218	3.1165	5.6005	4.8129	4.4726	1.1279	0.34035	0.30175
9	4.0005	0.36039	0.40928	3.1165	5.7435	4.8563	4.4755	1.268	0.38074	0.30028
10	6.0039	0.59797	0.68554	3.1165	5.8828	4.899	4.472	1.4108	0.42698	0.30265
11	8.0031	0.78175	0.9188	3.1165	5.9548	4.9195	4.4732	1.4816	0.4463	0.30123
12	10.002	0.85616	1.0063	3.1165	5.9579	4.9195	4.4726	1.4853	0.44688	0.30087
13	12.002	0.91085	1.0599	3.1165	5.9584	4.9201	4.4732	1.4853	0.44688	0.30088
14	14.001	0.93416	1.1016	3.1165	5.959	4.9206	4.4726	1.4864	0.44806	0.30144
15	16	0.96374	1.1363	3.1165	5.9595	4.9212	4.4708	1.4887	0.4504	0.30254
16	17.916	0.98705	1.1642	3.1165	5.9595	4.9212	4.4726	1.4869	0.44864	0.30172

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 6

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	3.1165	5.9595	4.9212	4.4726	1.4869	0.44864	0.30172
2	0.012417	0	0.00021283	3.1165	5.959	4.9206	4.472	1.487	0.44864	0.30171
3	0.050483	0	0.00042567	3.1165	5.959	4.9206	4.472	1.487	0.44864	0.30171
4	0.10055	0.0008965	0.0010642	3.1165	5.959	4.9206	4.472	1.487	0.44864	0.30171
5	0.25077	0.007172	0.0053208	3.1165	5.9716	4.9242	4.4726	1.499	0.45157	0.30125
6	0.50163	0.01793	0.017027	3.1165	5.9858	4.9294	4.4738	1.5121	0.45567	0.30135
7	1.0034	0.060066	0.052995	3.1165	6.0169	4.9394	4.4726	1.5443	0.46679	0.30227
8	2.0011	0.13627	0.14515	3.1165	6.0916	4.9628	4.4755	1.6161	0.48728	0.30152
9	4.0007	0.34605	0.37012	3.1165	6.2366	5.0049	4.4726	1.764	0.53235	0.30179
10	6	0.57286	0.61977	3.1165	6.3779	5.0465	4.4779	1.9001	0.56864	0.29928
11	8.0034	0.80596	0.88262	3.1165	6.5142	5.0892	4.4761	2.0381	0.61313	0.30084
12	10.003	1.0453	1.1523	3.1165	6.6535	5.132	4.4761	2.1774	0.65586	0.30121
13	12.002	1.2856	1.4228	3.1165	6.8013	5.177	4.4784	2.3228	0.6986	0.30075
14	14.002	1.5384	1.6939	3.1165	6.9415	5.2174	4.4726	2.4689	0.74484	0.30168
15	16.001	1.6765	1.8638	3.1165	6.953	5.2198	4.4755	2.4775	0.74426	0.30041
16	18.004	1.7589	1.9664	3.1165	6.9505	5.2204	4.4726	2.4779	0.74777	0.30178
17	20.003	1.8262	2.0426	3.1165	6.9494	5.2192	4.4732	2.4762	0.74601	0.30127
18	22.003	1.8656	2.1036	3.1165	6.9479	5.2209	4.4732	2.4747	0.74777	0.30216
19	24.002	1.9221	2.1554	3.1165	6.9499	5.2198	4.472	2.4779	0.74777	0.30177
20	26.001	1.949	2.2007	3.1165	6.9499	5.2198	4.472	2.4779	0.74777	0.30177
21	26.235	1.9562	2.2056	3.1165	6.9505	5.2204	4.4726	2.4779	0.74777	0.30178

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 7

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	3.1165	6.9499	5.2198	4.4732	2.4767	0.7466	0.30144
2	0.012533	0.0008965	0.00042567	3.1165	6.9505	5.2204	4.472	2.4785	0.74835	0.30194
3	0.050633	0	0.00085133	3.1165	6.9468	5.2198	4.4732	2.4736	0.7466	0.30182
4	0.10072	0.001793	0.0017027	3.1165	6.9505	5.2204	4.4732	2.4773	0.74718	0.30161
5	0.25093	0.0098615	0.0061722	3.1165	6.9558	5.2227	4.4726	2.4832	0.75011	0.30208
6	0.5018	0.025102	0.017027	3.1165	6.9748	5.2297	4.4732	2.5016	0.75655	0.30243
7	1.0035	0.05379	0.044056	3.1165	7.0126	5.2403	4.4738	2.5388	0.7665	0.30191
8	2.0008	0.12013	0.11301	3.1165	7.0829	5.259	4.4749	2.6079	0.78406	0.30064
9	4.0042	0.29047	0.28711	3.1165	7.2213	5.3041	4.4743	2.747	0.82972	0.30205
10	6.0034	0.48142	0.48526	3.1165	7.3621	5.345	4.4726	2.8895	0.87245	0.30194
11	8.0028	0.68403	0.69894	3.1165	7.504	5.3872	4.4743	3.0297	0.91284	0.3013
12	10.002	0.90547	0.92348	3.1165	7.6481	5.4317	4.4743	3.1738	0.95733	0.30164
13	12.002	1.1323	1.1542	3.1165	7.7928	5.4768	4.4743	3.3184	1.0024	0.30207
14	14.001	1.3546	1.3892	3.1165	7.9321	5.5195	4.4738	3.4584	1.0457	0.30238
15	16.001	1.5097	1.5622	3.1165	7.9389	5.5201	4.4749	3.4639	1.0451	0.30172
16	18	1.6056	1.6848	3.1165	7.9414	5.5195	4.472	3.4694	1.0475	0.30192
17	20.004	1.7025	1.7827	3.1165	7.9425	5.5207	4.4738	3.4688	1.0469	0.30181
18	22.003	1.7796	1.864	3.1165	7.9389	5.5201	4.4726	3.4663	1.0475	0.30219
19	24.002	1.8468	1.9349	3.1165	7.9431	5.5212	4.4743	3.4687	1.0469	0.30181
20	26.003	1.8907	1.9964	3.1165	7.942	5.5201	4.4749	3.467	1.0451	0.30145
21	28.003	1.9409	2.0515	3.1165	7.9425	5.5207	4.4738	3.4688	1.0469	0.30181
22	30.003	1.9974	2.1017	3.1165	7.9425	5.5207	4.4732	3.4693	1.0475	0.30192
23	32.004	2.0252	2.1475	3.1165	7.9425	5.5207	4.4732	3.4693	1.0475	0.30192
24	34.004	2.0754	2.1901	3.1165	7.9425	5.5207	4.4738	3.4688	1.0469	0.30181
25	36.004	2.1122	2.2299	3.1165	7.9425	5.5207	4.4714	3.4711	1.0492	0.30228
26	38.004	2.1435	2.2665	3.1165	7.9425	5.5207	4.4732	3.4693	1.0475	0.30192
27	40.004	2.1749	2.3014	3.1165	7.9425	5.5207	4.4743	3.4682	1.0463	0.30169
28	42.003	2.2018	2.3344	3.1165	7.9431	5.5212	4.472	3.4711	1.0492	0.30228
29	44.003	2.2323	2.3659	3.1165	7.9431	5.5212	4.4708	3.4722	1.0504	0.30252
30	46.003	2.2708	2.3954	3.1165	7.9425	5.5207	4.4732	3.4693	1.0475	0.30192
31	48.003	2.2941	2.4235	3.1165	7.9425	5.5207	4.4732	3.4693	1.0475	0.30192
32	50.002	2.313	2.4506	3.1165	7.9431	5.5212	4.4738	3.4693	1.0475	0.30193
33	52.001	2.3435	2.477	3.1165	7.9431	5.5212	4.472	3.4711	1.0492	0.30228
34	54.001	2.3623	2.5025	3.1165	7.9431	5.5212	4.4738	3.4693	1.0475	0.30193
35	56.001	2.3892	2.5268	3.1165	7.9425	5.5207	4.4726	3.4699	1.0481	0.30204
36	58	2.4089	2.55	3.1165	7.9425	5.5207	4.472	3.4705	1.0486	0.30216
37	60.004	2.4286	2.5721	3.1165	7.9425	5.5207	4.472	3.4705	1.0486	0.30216
38	62.003	2.4546	2.5938	3.1165	7.9431	5.5212	4.4732	3.4699	1.0481	0.30204
39	64.002	2.4726	2.6144	3.1165	7.9431	5.5212	4.4708	3.4722	1.0504	0.30252
40	66.002	2.4905	2.634	3.1165	7.9431	5.5212	4.4732	3.4699	1.0481	0.30204
41	68.001	2.503	2.6528	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.4732	3.4668	1.0481	0.30231
42	70	2.5389	2.6719	3.1165	7.9431	5.5212	4.4714	3.4716	1.0498	0.3024
43	72.003	2.5532	2.6906	3.1165	7.9431	5.5212	4.4732	3.4699	1.0481	0.30204
44	74.003	2.5604	2.7083	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.472	3.468	1.0492	0.30255
45	76.002	2.5837	2.7258	3.1165	7.9431	5.5212	4.4732	3.4699	1.0481	0.30204
46	78.001	2.5909	2.7419	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.4726	3.4674	1.0486	0.30243
47	80	2.6052	2.7583	3.1165	7.9431	5.5212	4.4714	3.4716	1.0498	0.3024
48	82.004	2.6205	2.7745	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.4703	3.4697	1.051	0.3029
49	84.003	2.6357	2.79	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.4708	3.4691	1.0504	0.30279
50	86.003	2.651	2.8051	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.472	3.468	1.0492	0.30255
51	88.002	2.6671	2.8198	3.1165	7.9431	5.5212	4.4732	3.4699	1.0481	0.30204
52	90.001	2.6859	2.8347	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.472	3.468	1.0492	0.30255
53	92.001	2.6967	2.8492	3.1165	7.9405	5.5218	4.472	3.4685	1.0498	0.30267
54	94.004	2.7119	2.8632	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.4726	3.4674	1.0486	0.30243
55	96.003	2.7272	2.8769	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.4703	3.4697	1.051	0.3029
56	98.003	2.7424	2.8903	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.472	3.468	1.0492	0.30255
57	100	2.7496	2.9035	3.1165	7.9405	5.5218	4.4726	3.4679	1.0492	0.30255
58	102	2.7621	2.9169	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.4708	3.4691	1.0504	0.30279
59	104	2.7729	2.9294	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.4703	3.4697	1.051	0.3029
60	106	2.7827	2.9416	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.472	3.468	1.0492	0.30255
61	108	2.7917	2.9539	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.472	3.468	1.0492	0.30255
62	110	2.8007	2.966	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.4732	3.4668	1.0481	0.30231
63	112	2.8132	2.9775	3.1165	7.9436	5.5218	4.4726	3.471	1.0492	0.30228
64	114	2.8338	2.9895	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.4714	3.4685	1.0498	0.30267
65	116	2.8446	3.0007	3.1165	7.9411	5.5224	4.4726	3.4685	1.0498	0.30267
66	118	2.8589	3.0118	3.1165	7.9405	5.5218	4.4726	3.4679	1.0492	0.30255
67	120	2.867	3.0233	3.1165	7.9431	5.5212	4.4726	3.4705	1.0486	0.30216
68	122	2.8751	3.0344	3.1165	7.9405	5.5218	4.472	3.4685	1.0498	0.30267
69	124	2.8823	3.045	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.4703	3.4697	1.051	0.3029
70	126	2.8948	3.0554	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.472	3.468	1.0492	0.30255
71	128	2.9074	3.0659	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.4708	3.4691	1.0504	0.30279
72	130	2.9199	3.0763	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.4714	3.4685	1.0498	0.30267
73	132	2.9298	3.0865	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.4679	3.4721	1.0533	0.30337
74	134	2.9486	3.0963	3.1165	7.9405	5.5218	4.4703	3.4703	1.0516	0.30302
75	136	2.9558	3.1061	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.4714	3.4685	1.0498	0.30267
76	138	2.9576	3.1157	3.1165	7.9436	5.5218	4.4726	3.471	1.0492	0.30228
77	140	2.9611	3.1257	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.4703	3.4697	1.051	0.3029
78	142	2.9692	3.1348	3.1165	7.9405	5.5218	4.4726	3.4679	1.0492	0.30255
79	144	2.9809	3.144	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.4714	3.4685	1.0498	0.30267
80	146	2.9889	3.1533	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.4697	3.4703	1.0516	0.30302
81	148	3.0015	3.1623	3.1165	7.9405	5.5218	4.4732	3.4673	1.0486	0.30244
82	150	3.0185	3.1712	3.1165	7.9436	5.5218	4.472	3.4716	1.0498	0.3024
83	152	3.0284	3.1806	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.4714	3.4685	1.0498	0.30267
84	154	3.0338	3.1891	3.1165	7.9405	5.5218	4.4726	3.4679	1.0492	0.30255
85	156	3.0409	3.1978	3.1165	7.9431	5.5212	4.4708	3.4722	1.0504	0.30252
86	158	3.0481	3.2065	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.4708	3.4691	1.0504	0.30279
87	160	3.0544	3.2151	3.1165	7.9436	5.5218	4.4726	3.471	1.0492	0.30228
88	162	3.0633	3.2234	3.1165	7.9411	5.5224	4.4714	3.4696	1.051	0.30291
89	164	3.0705	3.2317	3.1165	7.9405	5.5218	4.4726	3.4679	1.0492	0.30255
90	166	3.0795	3.2393	3.1165	7.9405	5.5218	4.4726	3.4679	1.0492	0.30255
91	168	3.0849	3.248	3.1165	7.9405	5.5218	4.4714	3.4691	1.0504	0.30279
92	170	3.0911	3.2563	3.1165	7.9436	5.5218	4.4708	3.4728	1.051	0.30264

93	172	3.101	3.2642	3.1165	7.9436	5.5218	4.4726	3.471	1.0492	0.30228
94	174	3.11	3.2721	3.1165	7.9431	5.5212	4.472	3.4711	1.0492	0.30228
95	176	3.1136	3.2798	3.1165	7.9431	5.5212	4.4714	3.4716	1.0498	0.3024
96	178	3.1252	3.2876	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.4691	3.4709	1.0522	0.30314
97	180	3.1351	3.2953	3.1165	7.9431	5.5212	4.4708	3.4722	1.0504	0.30252
98	182	3.1449	3.3025	3.1165	7.9436	5.5218	4.472	3.4716	1.0498	0.3024
99	184	3.1557	3.3096	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.4726	3.4674	1.0486	0.30243
100	186	3.1673	3.317	3.1165	7.9405	5.5218	4.4726	3.4679	1.0492	0.30255
101	188	3.1745	3.3247	3.1165	7.9405	5.5218	4.4726	3.4679	1.0492	0.30255
102	190	3.179	3.3319	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.472	3.468	1.0492	0.30255
103	192	3.1808	3.3389	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.4714	3.4685	1.0498	0.30267
104	194	3.1826	3.3457	3.1165	7.9436	5.5218	4.4726	3.471	1.0492	0.30228
105	196	3.188	3.353	3.1165	7.9431	5.5212	4.4726	3.4705	1.0486	0.30216
106	198	3.1951	3.3602	3.1165	7.9436	5.5218	4.472	3.4716	1.0498	0.3024

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 8

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.4697	3.4703	1.0516	0.30302
2	0.012433	0	0	3.1165	7.94	5.5212	4.4703	3.4697	1.051	0.3029
3	0.050483	0	-0.00021283	3.1165	7.9369	5.5212	4.472	3.4649	1.0492	0.30282
4	0.10055	-0.0008965	0.00021283	3.1165	7.9338	5.5212	4.4703	3.4635	1.051	0.30345
5	0.25078	-0.003586	0.00042567	3.1165	7.9245	5.5212	4.4708	3.4536	1.0504	0.30414
6	0.50163	-0.007172	0	3.1165	7.9048	5.5201	4.4714	3.4334	1.0486	0.30543
7	1.0034	-0.013448	-0.0027668	3.1165	7.8725	5.5154	4.472	3.4005	1.0434	0.30683
8	2.0011	-0.018827	-0.013408	3.1165	7.8017	5.506	4.4708	3.3309	1.0352	0.31079
9	4.0003	-0.087857	-0.060657	3.1165	7.6565	5.4604	4.4714	3.1851	0.98894	0.31049
10	6.0037	-0.15151	-0.13238	3.1165	7.514	5.4077	4.4703	3.0437	0.93743	0.30799
11	8.0034	-0.23578	-0.21241	3.1165	7.3744	5.3614	4.4691	2.9053	0.89235	0.30714
12	10.003	-0.32095	-0.29988	3.1165	7.2313	5.3246	4.4691	2.7622	0.85547	0.30971
13	12.002	-0.41956	-0.39736	3.1165	7.0883	5.2812	4.4697	2.6186	0.81157	0.30992
14	14.001	-0.51818	-0.5008	3.1165	6.9487	5.2514	4.4697	2.479	0.78172	0.31534
15	16	-0.63383	-0.61253	3.1165	6.8052	5.2174	4.4685	2.3367	0.74893	0.32051
16	18.004	-0.75665	-0.73044	3.1165	6.6626	5.1911	4.4703	2.1924	0.72084	0.32879
17	20.003	-0.88843	-0.85899	3.1165	6.5158	5.1536	4.4679	2.0479	0.68571	0.33483
18	22.003	-1.0247	-0.99946	3.1165	6.3809	5.1156	4.4685	1.9124	0.64708	0.33836
19	24.002	-1.187	-1.1506	3.1165	6.2292	5.0828	4.4697	1.7595	0.61313	0.34846
20	26.001	-1.3358	-1.3134	3.1165	6.0865	5.0529	4.4656	1.6209	0.58737	0.36237
21	28	-1.516	-1.4871	3.1165	5.9632	5.0207	4.4667	1.4965	0.554	0.37021
22	30.004	-1.6056	-1.6116	3.1165	5.9693	5.0272	4.4697	1.4996	0.55752	0.37178
23	32.003	-1.689	-1.6856	3.1165	5.967	5.0676	4.4691	1.4979	0.59849	0.39956
24	34.002	-1.7509	-1.7399	3.1165	5.9649	5.0851	4.4703	1.4946	0.61489	0.4114
25	36.001	-1.8011	-1.7846	3.1165	5.9653	5.0922	4.4691	1.4962	0.62308	0.41644
26	38.001	-1.8405	-1.8227	3.1165	5.966	5.0963	4.4697	1.4964	0.62659	0.41874
27	40	-1.8728	-1.8544	3.1165	5.9639	5.1039	4.4703	1.4936	0.63362	0.42421
28	42.003	-1.9006	-1.8823	3.1165	5.9641	5.1074	4.4726	1.4915	0.63479	0.4256
29	43.698	-1.9239	-1.9038	3.1165	5.9641	5.1074	4.4697	1.4944	0.63771	0.42673

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 9

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	3.1165	5.9641	5.1074	4.4697	1.4944	0.63771	0.42673
2	0.0125	0	-0.00021283	3.1165	5.9641	5.1074	4.4703	1.4938	0.63713	0.4265
3	0.050767	0	-0.0006385	3.1165	5.9677	5.108	4.4703	1.4975	0.63771	0.42586
4	0.10082	0	-0.001277	3.1165	5.9677	5.108	4.4726	1.4951	0.63537	0.42496
5	0.25102	0	-0.0023412	3.1165	5.9739	5.108	4.4697	1.5043	0.6383	0.42432
6	0.50205	0.0026895	-0.0040438	3.1165	5.9931	5.1086	4.4732	1.5199	0.63537	0.41804
7	1.0041	0.008965	-0.0034053	3.1165	6.029	5.1138	4.472	1.557	0.64181	0.41221
8	2.0031	0.026895	0.006385	3.1165	6.0988	5.132	4.472	1.6268	0.65996	0.40569
9	4.0032	0.086961	0.063211	3.1165	6.2424	5.1858	4.4732	1.7692	0.71264	0.4028
10	6.0034	0.1784	0.15175	3.1165	6.3831	5.2432	4.4726	1.9105	0.7706	0.40335
11	8.0034	0.27792	0.25668	3.1165	6.5242	5.2976	4.4743	2.0498	0.82328	0.40164
12	10.004	0.38908	0.36905	3.1165	6.6671	5.331	4.4749	2.1922	0.85606	0.39051
13	12.004	0.52087	0.48909	3.1165	6.8167	5.3813	4.4761	2.3406	0.90523	0.38675
14	14.004	0.62755	0.61296	3.1165	6.9485	5.4194	4.4732	2.4754	0.94621	0.38225
15	16	0.68403	0.69192	3.1165	6.9496	5.4106	4.4714	2.4782	0.93918	0.37898
16	18	0.72796	0.73768	3.1165	6.9478	5.3889	4.4738	2.4741	0.91518	0.36991
17	20	0.75934	0.76194	3.1165	6.9494	5.3445	4.472	2.4774	0.87245	0.35217
18	22.001	0.79071	0.77961	3.1165	6.9495	5.338	4.4732	2.4763	0.86484	0.34924
19	24.001	0.80237	0.79685	3.1165	6.9495	5.338	4.4714	2.4781	0.8666	0.3497
20	26.001	0.81671	0.8094	3.1165	6.9502	5.3322	4.472	2.4782	0.86016	0.34709
21	26.632	0.8194	0.81281	3.1165	6.9491	5.331	4.4714	2.4777	0.85957	0.34692

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 10

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	3.1165	6.9522	5.331	4.472	2.4802	0.85899	0.34634
2	0.0125	0	0	3.1165	6.9491	5.331	4.4726	2.4765	0.8584	0.34661
3	0.0508	0	0.00021283	3.1165	6.9491	5.331	4.4726	2.4765	0.8584	0.34661
4	0.10087	0.0008965	0.0006385	3.1165	6.9517	5.3304	4.4703	2.4814	0.86016	0.34664
5	0.25103	0.0062755	0.0021283	3.1165	6.961	5.3304	4.472	2.489	0.8584	0.34488
6	0.50205	0.017034	0.0059593	3.1165	6.9787	5.3327	4.4732	2.5055	0.85957	0.34308
7	1.0034	0.025999	0.016388	3.1165	7.0152	5.3386	4.472	2.5432	0.8666	0.34076
8	2.0011	0.069927	0.045121	3.1165	7.086	5.3579	4.472	2.614	0.88591	0.33891
9	4.0003	0.15599	0.12685	3.1165	7.2293	5.4147	4.4743	2.7549	0.94035	0.34134
10	6.0037	0.25461	0.2305	3.1165	7.3718	5.4773	4.4743	2.8975	1.003	0.34616
11	8.0033	0.37115	0.345	3.1165	7.5125	5.5248	4.4726	3.0399	1.0522	0.34612
12	10.002	0.4877	0.46398	3.1165	7.6562	5.5722	4.4738	3.1825	1.0984	0.34514
13	12.002	0.60873	0.58529	3.1165	7.7988	5.6085	4.4732	3.3257	1.1353	0.34137
14	14.001	0.72617	0.70937	3.1165	7.9392	5.6424	4.4726	3.4666	1.1698	0.33745
15	16	0.79161	0.79876	3.1165	7.9389	5.6453	4.4743	3.4645	1.171	0.33799
16	18.003	0.85975	0.85665	3.1165	7.9395	5.6196	4.472	3.4674	1.1476	0.33096
17	20.003	0.89112	0.89752	3.1165	7.9422	5.5862	4.4732	3.469	1.113	0.32085
18	22.002	0.93774	0.92838	3.1165	7.9408	5.5716	4.4732	3.4676	1.0984	0.31676
19	24.001	0.95926	0.95562	3.1165	7.9419	5.5628	4.4732	3.4687	1.0896	0.31413
20	26.001	0.98346	0.97775	3.1165	7.9396	5.5505	4.472	3.4676	1.0785	0.31102
21	28	1.0023	0.99734	3.1165	7.9401	5.5411	4.4708	3.4692	1.0703	0.30851
22	29.933	1.0211	1.0146	3.1165	7.9399	5.5376	4.4708	3.469	1.0668	0.30752

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 11

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	3.1165	7.9404	5.5382	4.4714	3.469	1.0668	0.30752
2	0.012483	0	0	3.1165	7.9399	5.5376	4.4732	3.4667	1.0645	0.30705
3	0.050717	0	0.00021283	3.1165	7.9362	5.537	4.4703	3.466	1.0668	0.30779
4	0.10078	0.0008965	0.0006385	3.1165	7.9424	5.537	4.4726	3.4698	1.0645	0.30677
5	0.251	0.0044825	0.002554	3.1165	7.9517	5.537	4.4738	3.478	1.0633	0.30572
6	0.50203	0.018827	0.0061722	3.1165	7.9683	5.5382	4.4726	3.4957	1.0656	0.30484
7	1.0041	0.043032	0.017027	3.1165	8.0061	5.5488	4.472	3.5341	1.0767	0.30467
8	2.0027	0.068134	0.047887	3.1165	8.0736	5.5809	4.4738	3.5998	1.1072	0.30757
9	4.0027	0.16406	0.126	3.1165	8.2175	5.6319	4.4749	3.7426	1.1569	0.30913
10	6.0028	0.2555	0.22582	3.1165	8.3585	5.7027	4.4755	3.883	1.2272	0.31604
11	8.0035	0.37384	0.33777	3.1165	8.5041	5.7554	4.4767	4.0274	1.2787	0.3175
12	10.003	0.49039	0.45951	3.1165	8.643	5.8075	4.4743	4.1686	1.3331	0.3198
13	12.003	0.6159	0.58912	3.1165	8.7869	5.8584	4.4755	4.3114	1.3829	0.32075
14	14.003	0.75485	0.72512	3.1165	8.9314	5.9	4.4749	4.4564	1.425	0.31977
15	16.002	0.83644	0.83516	3.1165	8.9294	5.9111	4.472	4.4574	1.4391	0.32285
16	18.002	0.90726	0.91476	3.1165	8.9293	5.8812	4.4743	4.4549	1.4069	0.31581
17	20.002	0.98257	0.97733	3.1165	8.9296	5.852	4.4743	4.4553	1.3776	0.30921
18	22.002	1.0319	1.0333	3.1165	8.929	5.8414	4.472	4.457	1.3694	0.30725
19	24.001	1.0893	1.0816	3.1165	8.9304	5.8297	4.4726	4.4578	1.3571	0.30444
20	26.002	1.1386	1.1259	3.1165	8.9326	5.8221	4.4738	4.4588	1.3484	0.3024
21	28	1.1726	1.167	3.1165	8.9309	5.8204	4.4732	4.4577	1.3472	0.30221
22	30.001	1.2031	1.2057	3.1165	8.9309	5.8204	4.4743	4.4566	1.346	0.30203
23	32.003	1.2515	1.2417	3.1165	8.9309	5.8204	4.4714	4.4595	1.3489	0.30249
24	34.002	1.2802	1.2759	3.1165	8.9315	5.821	4.4743	4.4571	1.3466	0.30212
25	36.004	1.3071	1.3087	3.1165	8.9315	5.821	4.4738	4.4577	1.3472	0.30222
26	38.002	1.3277	1.3398	3.1165	8.9309	5.8204	4.4738	4.4571	1.3466	0.30212
27	40.001	1.3672	1.3692	3.1165	8.9309	5.8204	4.4726	4.4583	1.3478	0.30231
28	42	1.3968	1.3975	3.1165	8.9309	5.8204	4.4703	4.4607	1.3501	0.30267
29	44.004	1.421	1.4247	3.1165	8.9315	5.821	4.4708	4.4606	1.3501	0.30267
30	46.004	1.4425	1.4511	3.1165	8.9315	5.821	4.4703	4.4612	1.3507	0.30277
31	48.001	1.4685	1.4764	3.1165	8.9315	5.821	4.4714	4.46	1.3495	0.30258
32	50.002	1.4918	1.5007	3.1165	8.9315	5.821	4.472	4.4595	1.3489	0.30249
33	52.001	1.5133	1.5247	3.1165	8.9315	5.821	4.4691	4.4624	1.3519	0.30295
34	54.004	1.5384	1.5479	3.1165	8.9315	5.821	4.4726	4.4589	1.3484	0.3024
35	56.003	1.5599	1.5701	3.1165	8.9346	5.821	4.472	4.4626	1.3489	0.30228
36	58.003	1.5796	1.5911	3.1165	8.9315	5.821	4.4743	4.4571	1.3466	0.30212
37	60.002	1.6074	1.6122	3.1165	8.9315	5.821	4.472	4.4595	1.3489	0.30249
38	62.001	1.6191	1.6326	3.1165	8.9315	5.821	4.4726	4.4589	1.3484	0.3024
39	64.001	1.6397	1.6529	3.1165	8.9315	5.821	4.4703	4.4612	1.3507	0.30277
40	66	1.6639	1.672	3.1165	8.9346	5.821	4.4738	4.4608	1.3472	0.30201
41	68.001	1.6809	1.691	3.1165	8.9315	5.821	4.4703	4.4612	1.3507	0.30277
42	70	1.6953	1.709	3.1165	8.9346	5.821	4.4732	4.4614	1.3478	0.3021
43	72.004	1.7114	1.7271	3.1165	8.9315	5.821	4.4726	4.4589	1.3484	0.3024
44	74.004	1.7311	1.745	3.1165	8.9315	5.821	4.4697	4.4618	1.3513	0.30286
45	76.004	1.7437	1.7623	3.1165	8.9315	5.821	4.4732	4.4583	1.3478	0.30231
46	78.004	1.7625	1.7793	3.1165	8.9315	5.821	4.4708	4.4606	1.3501	0.30267
47	80	1.7805	1.7961	3.1165	8.9315	5.821	4.4738	4.4577	1.3472	0.30222
48	82	1.802	1.8127	3.1165	8.9315	5.821	4.4726	4.4589	1.3484	0.3024
49	84	1.8145	1.8291	3.1165	8.9315	5.821	4.4714	4.46	1.3495	0.30258
50	86	1.8271	1.8448	3.1165	8.9315	5.821	4.4714	4.46	1.3495	0.30258
51	86.23	1.828	1.8465	3.1165	8.9315	5.821	4.4726	4.4589	1.3484	0.3024

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 12

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	3.1165	8.932	5.8215	4.4738	4.4582	1.3478	0.30231
2	0.012483	0	0.00021283	3.1165	8.9315	5.821	4.4703	4.4612	1.3507	0.30277
3	0.050033	0	0.00042567	3.1165	8.9309	5.8204	4.4714	4.4595	1.3489	0.30249
4	0.10012	0	0.00085133	3.1165	8.9315	5.821	4.4697	4.4618	1.3513	0.30286
5	0.25033	0.0026895	0.002554	3.1165	8.9466	5.8239	4.4743	4.4723	1.3495	0.30176
6	0.50122	0.0044825	0.0061722	3.1165	8.9651	5.8303	4.4726	4.4925	1.3577	0.30222
7	1.0029	0.014344	0.015324	3.1165	8.9967	5.8409	4.4708	4.5258	1.37	0.30271
8	2.0007	0.058273	0.038523	3.1165	9.0703	5.8631	4.472	4.5983	1.3911	0.30253
9	4.0041	0.13179	0.10024	3.1165	9.2113	5.9175	4.4732	4.7381	1.4444	0.30484
10	6.0034	0.21695	0.17963	3.1165	9.3508	5.9901	4.4726	4.8783	1.5175	0.31108
11	8.0021	0.31109	0.27306	3.1165	9.4947	6.0574	4.4732	5.0215	1.5843	0.31549
12	10.001	0.40612	0.37799	3.1165	9.6382	6.1277	4.4749	5.1633	1.6528	0.3201
13	12.004	0.52087	0.49164	3.1165	9.7826	6.1856	4.4726	5.31	1.713	0.32261
14	14.002	0.64727	0.61338	3.1165	9.9265	6.253	4.4755	5.451	1.7774	0.32608
15	16.001	0.77727	0.74279	3.1165	10.067	6.3197	4.4738	5.5929	1.8459	0.33005
16	18.004	0.91712	0.87985	3.1165	10.213	6.3829	4.4767	5.7362	1.9062	0.33231
17	20.003	1.0677	1.0235	3.1165	10.353	6.4526	4.4714	5.8814	1.9811	0.33685
18	22.001	1.1915	1.1655	3.1165	10.424	6.5152	4.4761	5.948	2.0391	0.34282
19	24	1.2811	1.284	3.1165	10.421	6.5216	4.472	5.9488	2.0496	0.34455
20	26.003	1.3806	1.3834	3.1165	10.42	6.4976	4.472	5.9479	2.0256	0.34056
21	28.002	1.4676	1.4698	3.1165	10.416	6.4602	4.472	5.9437	1.9882	0.3345
22	30	1.5501	1.5475	3.1165	10.418	6.4327	4.4714	5.9463	1.9612	0.32982
23	32.003	1.6325	1.6194	3.1165	10.419	6.418	4.4738	5.9457	1.9443	0.327
24	34.002	1.6998	1.6871	3.1165	10.419	6.4104	4.4749	5.9436	1.9355	0.32564
25	36.001	1.7661	1.7518	3.1165	10.419	6.4104	4.4714	5.9471	1.939	0.32604
26	38.004	1.8298	1.8125	3.1165	10.418	6.4005	4.4708	5.9476	1.9296	0.32444
27	40.002	1.897	1.8706	3.1165	10.421	6.4028	4.4732	5.9475	1.9296	0.32445
28	42.001	1.9382	1.9264	3.1165	10.417	6.3952	4.4714	5.9452	1.9238	0.32359
29	44.004	2.0064	1.9787	3.1165	10.422	6.3876	4.4761	5.9458	1.9115	0.32149
30	46.003	2.0404	2.0304	3.1165	10.419	6.3812	4.472	5.9469	1.9091	0.32103
31	48.001	2.0987	2.0787	3.1165	10.422	6.3812	4.4755	5.9465	1.9056	0.32046
32	50	2.148	2.1247	3.1165	10.417	6.3724	4.4732	5.9437	1.8992	0.31953
33	52.003	2.1973	2.17	3.1165	10.419	6.3747	4.4697	5.9494	1.905	0.32021
34	54.002	2.235	2.2139	3.1165	10.419	6.3683	4.4749	5.9443	1.8933	0.31852
35	56	2.2843	2.2567	3.1165	10.421	6.3765	4.4708	5.9499	1.9056	0.32028
36	58.003	2.3264	2.2982	3.1165	10.418	6.3741	4.4697	5.9488	1.9045	0.32014
37	60.002	2.3695	2.3392	3.1165	10.417	6.3858	4.4714	5.9457	1.9144	0.32198
38	62.001	2.399	2.3786	3.1165	10.421	6.3771	4.4743	5.9469	1.9027	0.31995
39	64.004	2.4412	2.4159	3.1165	10.42	6.3694	4.4708	5.9494	1.8986	0.31912
40	66.002	2.4699	2.4516	3.1165	10.417	6.356	4.4743	5.9426	1.8816	0.31664
41	68.001	2.5138	2.4857	3.1165	10.419	6.3454	4.4738	5.9457	1.8717	0.3148
42	70.004	2.5479	2.5202	3.1165	10.419	6.3484	4.472	5.9471	1.8764	0.31551
43	72.003	2.5846	2.5536	3.1165	10.421	6.3507	4.4703	5.951	1.8805	0.31599
44	74.001	2.6151	2.5868	3.1165	10.42	6.356	4.4732	5.9468	1.8828	0.31661
45	76.004	2.6465	2.6191	3.1165	10.421	6.3507	4.4749	5.9463	1.8758	0.31545
46	78.003	2.6868	2.6504	3.1165	10.421	6.3501	4.4738	5.947	1.8764	0.31552
47	80.002	2.7101	2.6821	3.1165	10.42	6.356	4.472	5.948	1.884	0.31674
48	82	2.7343	2.7119	3.1165	10.423	6.3431	4.4738	5.9497	1.8693	0.31419
49	84.003	2.772	2.7402	3.1165	10.42	6.3361	4.472	5.9479	1.8641	0.3134
50	86.002	2.798	2.7698	3.1165	10.421	6.3373	4.472	5.949	1.8652	0.31354
51	88.001	2.8294	2.7983	3.1165	10.42	6.339	4.4732	5.9464	1.8658	0.31378
52	90.003	2.8563	2.8262	3.1165	10.422	6.3384	4.4761	5.946	1.8623	0.3132
53	92.002	2.8849	2.853	3.1165	10.419	6.3349	4.4743	5.9445	1.8606	0.31299
54	94.001	2.91	2.8798	3.1165	10.421	6.3337	4.472	5.9488	1.8617	0.31296
55	96.004	2.9378	2.9058	3.1165	10.42	6.3332	4.4732	5.9471	1.86	0.31275
56	98.002	2.9674	2.9316	3.1165	10.421	6.3337	4.4714	5.9494	1.8623	0.31303
57	100	2.9934	2.9575	3.1165	10.421	6.3443	4.4738	5.9477	1.8705	0.3145
58	102	3.0131	2.9833	3.1165	10.419	6.3419	4.4738	5.9455	1.8682	0.31422
59	104	3.0365	3.008	3.1165	10.421	6.3343	4.4732	5.9482	1.8611	0.31289
60	106	3.0687	3.0318	3.1165	10.422	6.3314	4.4743	5.9474	1.857	0.31225
61	108	3.0956	3.0563	3.1165	10.422	6.3413	4.4743	5.9474	1.867	0.31392
62	110	3.1162	3.0812	3.1165	10.422	6.3513	4.4708	5.951	1.8805	0.31599
63	112	3.1261	3.1042	3.1165	10.422	6.3378	4.4726	5.949	1.8652	0.31354
64	114	3.1611	3.1255	3.1165	10.422	6.3214	4.4743	5.9473	1.8471	0.31058
65	116	3.1808	3.1476	3.1165	10.42	6.3197	4.4738	5.9462	1.8459	0.31044
66	118	3.2113	3.1695	3.1165	10.42	6.3261	4.4738	5.9461	1.8524	0.31153
67	120	3.2301	3.1919	3.1165	10.42	6.3367	4.4732	5.9473	1.8635	0.31333
68	122	3.2453	3.2138	3.1165	10.42	6.3332	4.4726	5.9477	1.8606	0.31282
69	124	3.2678	3.2344	3.1165	10.419	6.3255	4.4714	5.9479	1.8541	0.31173
70	126	3.2911	3.2546	3.1165	10.422	6.322	4.4738	5.9484	1.8483	0.31072
71	128	3.3036	3.2744	3.1165	10.422	6.315	4.4697	5.9521	1.8453	0.31003
72	130	3.3323	3.2932	3.1165	10.421	6.301	4.4714	5.9496	1.8295	0.30751
73	132	3.3565	3.313	3.1165	10.42	6.3127	4.4673	5.9523	1.8453	0.31002
74	134	3.3708	3.3332	3.1165	10.42	6.3226	4.4703	5.9494	1.8524	0.31135
75	136	3.3933	3.353	3.1165	10.42	6.3232	4.4732	5.947	1.85	0.31108
76	138	3.4076	3.3723	3.1165	10.421	6.3209	4.4743	5.9467	1.8465	0.31051
77	140	3.4255	3.3913	3.1165	10.419	6.3191	4.4732	5.9463	1.8459	0.31043
78	142	3.4506	3.4096	3.1165	10.419	6.3121	4.4708	5.9482	1.8412	0.30955
79	144	3.4659	3.4281	3.1165	10.419	6.3156	4.472	5.9472	1.8436	0.30999
80	146	3.4847	3.4466	3.1165	10.422	6.315	4.4714	5.9504	1.8436	0.30983
81	148	3.5062	3.4649	3.1165	10.42	6.3162	4.4732	5.9466	1.843	0.30992
82	150	3.5197	3.4837	3.1165	10.421	6.3179	4.4738	5.9477	1.8442	0.31007
83	152	3.5448	3.5013	3.1165	10.421	6.3144	4.4732	5.9481	1.8412	0.30955
84	154	3.5663	3.52	3.1165	10.42	6.3296	4.4714	5.9486	1.8582	0.31238
85	156	3.5806	3.539	3.1165	10.421	6.3408	4.4726	5.9486	1.8682	0.31405
86	158	3.5932	3.5577	3.1165	10.421	6.3408	4.4673	5.9539	1.8734	0.31466
87	160	3.6039	3.5741	3.1165	10.422	6.3214	4.4708	5.9508	1.8506	0.31098
88	162	3.629	3.5896	3.1165	10.422	6.3086	4.4714	5.9505	1.8371	0.30874
89	164	3.6497	3.606	3.1165	10.42	6.3103	4.4743	5.9461	1.836	0.30877
90	166	3.6604	3.6224	3.1165	10.42	6.3127	4.472	5.9476	1.8407	0.30948
91	168	3.6792	3.6386	3.1165	10.421	6.308	4.4714	5.95	1.8366	0.30867
92	170	3.6981	3.6546	3.1165	10.421	6.3109	4.4714	5.9496	1.8395	0.30918

93	172	3.7115	3.6707	3.1165	10.419	6.3156	4.4708	5.9484	1.8448	0.31013
94	174	3.7294	3.6875	3.1165	10.422	6.325	4.4673	5.9545	1.8576	0.31197
95	176	3.7438	3.7035	3.1165	10.42	6.3232	4.4738	5.9464	1.8494	0.31102
96	178	3.7563	3.7193	3.1165	10.421	6.3179	4.4679	5.9535	1.85	0.31074
97	180	3.7698	3.7339	3.1165	10.419	6.3056	4.4703	5.9489	1.8354	0.30852
98	182	3.7859	3.7478	3.1165	10.421	6.2916	4.4714	5.95	1.8202	0.30591
99	184	3.8083	3.7625	3.1165	10.42	6.2969	4.4726	5.9476	1.8243	0.30672
100	186	3.829	3.7784	3.1165	10.422	6.3121	4.4697	5.9525	1.8424	0.30952
101	188	3.8379	3.7948	3.1165	10.42	6.3261	4.4726	5.9473	1.8535	0.31166
102	190	3.8478	3.8101	3.1165	10.421	6.3203	4.4697	5.9509	1.8506	0.31098
103	192	3.8603	3.8244	3.1165	10.421	6.3074	4.4708	5.95	1.8366	0.30867
104	194	3.8774	3.838	3.1165	10.422	6.2986	4.4738	5.9481	1.8249	0.3068
105	196	3.8926	3.8521	3.1165	10.421	6.2945	4.4714	5.9497	1.8231	0.30642
106	198	3.9087	3.8655	3.1165	10.423	6.2928	4.4738	5.9488	1.819	0.30578
107	200	3.924	3.8793	3.1165	10.42	6.2933	4.472	5.948	1.8213	0.30621
108	202	3.9365	3.8931	3.1165	10.422	6.2951	4.4697	5.952	1.8254	0.30669
109	204	3.9581	3.9072	3.1165	10.42	6.2998	4.4691	5.9508	1.8307	0.30764
110	206	3.9652	3.9219	3.1165	10.42	6.3103	4.4732	5.9473	1.8371	0.3089
111	208	3.9733	3.9357	3.1165	10.422	6.2992	4.4743	5.9481	1.8249	0.3068
112	210	3.9894	3.9485	3.1165	10.42	6.2904	4.4738	5.9466	1.8167	0.30549
113	212	4.0029	3.9615	3.1165	10.421	6.2811	4.4714	5.9494	1.8096	0.30417
114	214	4.0244	3.9738	3.1165	10.42	6.2834	4.4732	5.9468	1.8102	0.3044
115	216	4.0405	3.9881	3.1165	10.421	6.301	4.4738	5.9472	1.8272	0.30724
116	218	4.0459	4.0023	3.1165	10.421	6.308	4.4697	5.9517	1.8383	0.30887
117	220	4.0549	4.0155	3.1165	10.419	6.2992	4.4703	5.9491	1.8289	0.30743
118	222	4.0674	4.0274	3.1165	10.42	6.2869	4.4738	5.9464	1.8131	0.30491
119	224	4.0818	4.0396	3.1165	10.42	6.2799	4.4697	5.9501	1.8102	0.30423
120	226	4.1015	4.0517	3.1165	10.42	6.2805	4.4714	5.9489	1.809	0.3041
121	228	4.1158	4.0651	3.1165	10.422	6.2957	4.4691	5.9531	1.8266	0.30683
122	230	4.1203	4.0781	3.1165	10.42	6.3004	4.4738	5.9467	1.8266	0.30717
123	232	4.132	4.0907	3.1165	10.422	6.2887	4.4703	5.9516	1.8184	0.30554
124	234	4.1517	4.1024	3.1165	10.422	6.2852	4.4749	5.9467	1.8102	0.30441
125	236	4.1643	4.1156	3.1165	10.422	6.2957	4.4703	5.952	1.8254	0.30669
126	238	4.175	4.1285	3.1165	10.422	6.2986	4.4703	5.9516	1.8284	0.3072
127	240	4.1876	4.1409	3.1165	10.42	6.2969	4.4726	5.9476	1.8243	0.30672
128	242	4.2037	4.1534	3.1165	10.422	6.3021	4.4726	5.9495	1.8295	0.30751
129	244	4.2118	4.1662	3.1165	10.422	6.3051	4.472	5.9497	1.833	0.30809
130	246	4.2234	4.1786	3.1165	10.421	6.3045	4.4685	5.9527	1.836	0.30843
131	248	4.2315	4.1903	3.1165	10.421	6.2974	4.4714	5.9494	1.826	0.30693
132	250	4.244	4.2015	3.1165	10.421	6.2846	4.4685	5.9526	1.8161	0.30509
133	252	4.2584	4.212	3.1165	10.424	6.2775	4.4726	5.9512	1.805	0.30329
134	254	4.2745	4.2228	3.1165	10.422	6.2793	4.4738	5.9485	1.8055	0.30353
135	256	4.2844	4.235	3.1165	10.421	6.2916	4.4708	5.9506	1.8208	0.30598
136	258	4.2969	4.2477	3.1165	10.42	6.2969	4.4738	5.9465	1.8231	0.30659
137	260	4.3059	4.2599	3.1165	10.422	6.2986	4.4726	5.9493	1.826	0.30693
138	262	4.3167	4.2713	3.1165	10.423	6.2928	4.4708	5.9517	1.8219	0.30612
139	264	4.3319	4.2826	3.1165	10.42	6.2933	4.4697	5.9504	1.8237	0.30648
140	266	4.3391	4.2935	3.1165	10.425	6.2916	4.4726	5.952	1.819	0.30561
141	268	4.3516	4.3045	3.1165	10.421	6.2846	4.472	5.9491	1.8126	0.30468
142	270	4.3615	4.3152	3.1165	10.423	6.2863	4.4714	5.9513	1.8149	0.30496
143	272	4.366	4.3258	3.1165	10.419	6.2729	4.4732	5.9462	1.7997	0.30266
144	274	4.3794	4.3356	3.1165	10.421	6.2711	4.4738	5.947	1.7973	0.30222
145	276	4.3857	4.3463	3.1165	10.421	6.2717	4.4732	5.9482	1.7985	0.30236
146	278	4.3947	4.3569	3.1165	10.424	6.2711	4.4679	5.956	1.8032	0.30275
147	280	4.4144	4.3673	3.1165	10.421	6.2717	4.4679	5.9534	1.8038	0.30298
148	282	4.4278	4.378	3.1165	10.422	6.2723	4.4726	5.9493	1.7997	0.3025
149	284	4.4422	4.3886	3.1165	10.423	6.2799	4.4738	5.9491	1.8061	0.3036
150	286	4.4547	4.3997	3.1165	10.422	6.2922	4.4714	5.9506	1.8208	0.30598
151	288	4.4619	4.4114	3.1165	10.425	6.3015	4.472	5.9526	1.8295	0.30735
152	290	4.4664	4.4225	3.1165	10.423	6.2963	4.4697	5.9531	1.8266	0.30683
153	292	4.4753	4.432	3.1165	10.42	6.2799	4.4691	5.9507	1.8108	0.3043
154	294	4.4897	4.4418	3.1165	10.421	6.2717	4.4726	5.9488	1.7991	0.30243
155	296	4.5031	4.4518	3.1165	10.424	6.2746	4.472	5.9521	1.8026	0.30285
156	298	4.5139	4.462	3.1165	10.423	6.2799	4.4714	5.9514	1.8085	0.30387
157	300	4.5238	4.4725	3.1165	10.422	6.2857	4.4685	5.9537	1.8172	0.30523
158	302	4.5327	4.4827	3.1165	10.425	6.2887	4.4738	5.9512	1.8149	0.30497
159	304	4.5426	4.4933	3.1165	10.422	6.2892	4.472	5.9504	1.8172	0.3054
160	306	4.5551	4.5035	3.1165	10.42	6.2898	4.472	5.9478	1.8178	0.30563
161	308	4.5605	4.5135	3.1165	10.421	6.2881	4.4714	5.9498	1.8167	0.30533
162	310	4.5704	4.5227	3.1165	10.42	6.2799	4.4743	5.9454	1.8055	0.30369
163	312	4.5838	4.5327	3.1165	10.422	6.2787	4.4708	5.9509	1.8079	0.3038
164	314	4.5946	4.5423	3.1165	10.423	6.2805	4.472	5.9514	1.8085	0.30387
165	316	4.6089	4.5525	3.1165	10.422	6.2892	4.4732	5.9492	1.8161	0.30526
166	318	4.6197	4.5631	3.1165	10.423	6.3033	4.4732	5.95	1.8301	0.30758
167	320	4.6322	4.5744	3.1165	10.422	6.3185	4.4726	5.9494	1.8459	0.31027
168	322	4.6367	4.5859	3.1165	10.421	6.3273	4.4703	5.9507	1.857	0.31207
169	324	4.6403	4.5963	3.1165	10.423	6.3191	4.4708	5.9517	1.8483	0.31054
170	326	4.6466	4.6053	3.1165	10.421	6.298	4.4714	5.9499	1.8266	0.307
171	328	4.6582	4.6138	3.1165	10.422	6.2793	4.472	5.9503	1.8073	0.30373
172	330	4.6717	4.6217	3.1165	10.421	6.2711	4.4726	5.9482	1.7985	0.30236
173	332	4.6806	4.6302	3.1165	10.421	6.2717	4.4703	5.9511	1.8014	0.30271
174	334	4.6878	4.6387	3.1165	10.421	6.2717	4.4738	5.9476	1.7979	0.30229
175	336	4.7013	4.6476	3.1165	10.42	6.2734	4.4703	5.9497	1.8032	0.30308
176	338	4.7147	4.6566	3.1165	10.421	6.2816	4.4738	5.9476	1.8079	0.30397
177	340	4.7192	4.6662	3.1165	10.421	6.2945	4.4743	5.9468	1.8202	0.30608
178	342	4.7299	4.6759	3.1165	10.422	6.2951	4.4738	5.9479	1.8213	0.30622
179	344	4.7434	4.6859	3.1165	10.423	6.3062	4.4703	5.9526	1.836	0.30843
180	346	4.7506	4.6962	3.1165	10.42	6.3168	4.4738	5.9466	1.843	0.30993
181	348	4.7568	4.7062	3.1165	10.42	6.3162	4.4726	5.9472	1.8436	0.30999
182	350	4.7685	4.7157	3.1165	10.423	6.3168	4.4714	5.952	1.8453	0.31004
183	352	4.7766	4.7251	3.1165	10.419	6.3156	4.4714	5.9478	1.8442	0.31006
184	354	4.7855	4.7345	3.1165	10.421	6.3138	4.4726	5.9481	1.8412	0.30955
185	356	4.7936	4.7436	3.1165	10.42	6.3103	4.4697	5.9508	1.8407	0.30931
186	358	4.7999	4.7521	3.1165	10.421	6.3039	4.4732	5.9475	1.8307	0.30781
187	360	4.8088	4.7604	3.1165	10.425	6.2922	4.4708	5.9543	1.8213	0.30589
188	362	4.8142	4.7681	3.1165	10.422	6.2787	4.4703	5.9515	1.8085	0.30387
189	364	4.8232	4.7753	3.1165	10.424	6.2717	4.4738	5.9507	1.7979	0.30214
190	366	4.8339	4.7828	3.1165	10.421	6.2711	4.4738	5.947	1.7973	0.30222
191	368	4.8528	4.7909	3.1165	10.422	6.2758	4.4726	5.9495	1.8032	0.30308
192	370	4.8644	4.8004	3.1165	10.424	6.298	4.4708	5.9536	1.8272	0.30691
193	372	4.8689	4.8105	3.1165	10.424	6.3173	4.4726	5.9514	1.8448	0.30997

194	374	4.8761	4.8205	3.1165	10.425	6.325	4.4708	5.9541	1.8541	0.3114
195	376	4.8815	4.8296	3.1165	10.424	6.3244	4.4726	5.9518	1.8518	0.31113
196	378	4.8868	4.8383	3.1165	10.42	6.3162	4.4691	5.9507	1.8471	0.3104
197	380	4.894	4.846	3.1165	10.42	6.3033	4.4732	5.9469	1.8301	0.30774
198	382	4.9012	4.8537	3.1165	10.422	6.2892	4.4726	5.9498	1.8167	0.30533
199	384	4.9137	4.8609	3.1165	10.424	6.2781	4.4708	5.9535	1.8073	0.30357
200	386	4.9254	4.8683	3.1165	10.424	6.2811	4.4726	5.9514	1.8085	0.30387
201	388	4.9343	4.8764	3.1165	10.425	6.2916	4.472	5.9526	1.8196	0.30568
202	390	4.9442	4.8847	3.1165	10.422	6.2986	4.4732	5.9487	1.8254	0.30686
203	392	4.9514	4.8937	3.1165	10.422	6.3092	4.4726	5.9499	1.8366	0.30867
204	394	4.955	4.9026	3.1165	10.421	6.3109	4.4714	5.9496	1.8395	0.30918
205	396	4.9603	4.9105	3.1165	10.425	6.3015	4.4732	5.9514	1.8284	0.30721
206	398	4.9657	4.9179	3.1165	10.423	6.2869	4.4732	5.9501	1.8137	0.30482
207	400	4.9774	4.9245	3.1165	10.422	6.2723	4.4697	5.9522	1.8026	0.30285
208	402	4.9944	4.9316	3.1165	10.424	6.2775	4.4732	5.9506	1.8044	0.30323
209	404	4.9998	4.9401	3.1165	10.423	6.2933	4.472	5.9511	1.8213	0.30605
210	406	5.0052	4.9486	3.1165	10.422	6.2992	4.4732	5.9492	1.826	0.30693
211	408	5.007	4.9567	3.1165	10.424	6.2945	4.4708	5.9534	1.8237	0.30633
212	410	5.0114	4.9637	3.1165	10.42	6.2799	4.472	5.9478	1.8079	0.30396
213	412	5.0177	4.9707	3.1165	10.421	6.2717	4.4708	5.9505	1.8009	0.30264
214	414	5.0258	4.9777	3.1165	10.421	6.2717	4.4714	5.9499	1.8003	0.30257
215	416	5.0374	4.9852	3.1165	10.424	6.2717	4.4726	5.9519	1.7991	0.30227
216	418	5.0473	4.9924	3.1165	10.424	6.2717	4.472	5.9524	1.7997	0.30234
217	420	5.0599	5.0001	3.1165	10.422	6.2758	4.4685	5.9536	1.8073	0.30356
218	422	5.0643	5.0075	3.1165	10.422	6.2822	4.4714	5.9505	1.8108	0.30431
219	424	5.0697	5.0146	3.1165	10.419	6.2828	4.4732	5.9462	1.8096	0.30433
220	426	5.0805	5.0224	3.1165	10.425	6.2852	4.4732	5.9515	1.812	0.30446
221	428	5.0921	5.0301	3.1165	10.423	6.2928	4.472	5.9506	1.8208	0.30598
222	430	5.0975	5.0388	3.1165	10.423	6.3033	4.4703	5.9529	1.833	0.30792
223	432	5.1029	5.0467	3.1165	10.42	6.3068	4.4714	5.9489	1.8354	0.30853
224	434	5.1101	5.0546	3.1165	10.424	6.308	4.4726	5.9519	1.8354	0.30837
225	436	5.1154	5.0622	3.1165	10.42	6.3033	4.4726	5.9475	1.8307	0.30781
226	438	5.1217	5.0695	3.1165	10.421	6.2945	4.472	5.9491	1.8225	0.30635
227	440	5.1289	5.0763	3.1165	10.423	6.2863	4.4714	5.9513	1.8149	0.30496
228	442	5.1361	5.0827	3.1165	10.424	6.2775	4.4679	5.9558	1.8096	0.30384
229	444	5.1396	5.0886	3.1165	10.421	6.2717	4.4714	5.9499	1.8003	0.30257
230	446	5.154	5.0954	3.1165	10.425	6.2723	4.4726	5.9524	1.7997	0.30235
231	448	5.1603	5.1022	3.1165	10.422	6.2752	4.4732	5.9484	1.802	0.30294
232	450	5.1683	5.1095	3.1165	10.425	6.2787	4.4697	5.9552	1.809	0.30378
233	452	5.1764	5.1165	3.1165	10.425	6.2822	4.4726	5.9525	1.8096	0.30401
234	454	5.18	5.1235	3.1165	10.42	6.2863	4.4703	5.9494	1.8161	0.30525
235	456	5.189	5.1301	3.1165	10.422	6.2857	4.4732	5.949	1.8126	0.30468
236	458	5.1988	5.1376	3.1165	10.421	6.291	4.4726	5.9483	1.8184	0.3057
237	460	5.2051	5.1452	3.1165	10.422	6.2992	4.4726	5.9498	1.8266	0.307
238	462	5.2114	5.1529	3.1165	10.422	6.3051	4.4714	5.9503	1.8336	0.30816
239	464	5.2176	5.1599	3.1165	10.42	6.3068	4.4726	5.9477	1.8342	0.30839
240	466	5.2212	5.1669	3.1165	10.42	6.3027	4.4732	5.9464	1.8295	0.30767
241	468	5.2293	5.174	3.1165	10.421	6.2945	4.4714	5.9497	1.8231	0.30642
242	470	5.2374	5.1808	3.1165	10.421	6.291	4.4685	5.9524	1.8225	0.30618
243	472	5.2436	5.1872	3.1165	10.421	6.2881	4.4697	5.9516	1.8184	0.30553
244	474	5.2463	5.1938	3.1165	10.42	6.2799	4.4679	5.9518	1.812	0.30444
245	476	5.2526	5.1995	3.1165	10.421	6.2717	4.472	5.9493	1.7997	0.3025
246	478	5.2607	5.2057	3.1165	10.421	6.2711	4.4714	5.9494	1.7997	0.3025
247	480	5.2696	5.2116	3.1165	10.421	6.2711	4.4732	5.9476	1.7979	0.30229
248	482	5.2777	5.218	3.1165	10.421	6.2711	4.4726	5.9482	1.7985	0.30236
249	484	5.2849	5.2246	3.1165	10.422	6.2752	4.4726	5.949	1.8026	0.30301
250	486	5.2956	5.2319	3.1165	10.425	6.2822	4.4685	5.9566	1.8137	0.30449
251	488	5.301	5.2389	3.1165	10.419	6.2928	4.4697	5.9498	1.8231	0.30641
252	490	5.3073	5.2459	3.1165	10.424	6.301	4.472	5.9521	1.829	0.30728
253	492	5.3118	5.2531	3.1165	10.421	6.3039	4.4679	5.9527	1.836	0.30843
254	494	5.3172	5.2602	3.1165	10.424	6.3045	4.4732	5.9511	1.8313	0.30772
255	496	5.3234	5.2668	3.1165	10.42	6.3004	4.4703	5.9502	1.8301	0.30757
256	498	5.3333	5.2729	3.1165	10.42	6.3004	4.4726	5.9478	1.8278	0.3073
257	500	5.3405	5.2797	3.1165	10.426	6.3062	4.472	5.9539	1.8342	0.30807
258	502	5.3449	5.2863	3.1165	10.425	6.3051	4.472	5.9528	1.833	0.30793
259	504	5.353	5.2934	3.1165	10.42	6.3068	4.4714	5.9489	1.8354	0.30853
260	506	5.3611	5.2998	3.1165	10.422	6.3086	4.4726	5.9493	1.836	0.3086
261	508	5.3665	5.3068	3.1165	10.423	6.3132	4.4714	5.9518	1.8418	0.30946
262	510	5.3736	5.3136	3.1165	10.42	6.3168	4.472	5.9483	1.8448	0.31013
263	512	5.3781	5.3206	3.1165	10.42	6.3168	4.4667	5.9536	1.85	0.31074
264	514	5.3862	5.327	3.1165	10.423	6.3127	4.4726	5.9501	1.8401	0.30925
265	516	5.3916	5.3334	3.1165	10.422	6.3121	4.4726	5.9495	1.8395	0.30918
266	518	5.396	5.3393	3.1165	10.424	6.3074	4.4743	5.9496	1.833	0.3081
267	520	5.3996	5.3451	3.1165	10.422	6.2986	4.4732	5.9487	1.8254	0.30686
268	522	5.4041	5.3506	3.1165	10.422	6.2852	4.4714	5.9502	1.8137	0.30482
269	524	5.4158	5.3562	3.1165	10.423	6.2828	4.4679	5.9546	1.8149	0.30479
270	526	5.4265	5.3619	3.1165	10.421	6.2881	4.4714	5.9498	1.8167	0.30533
271	528	5.4337	5.3683	3.1165	10.426	6.2998	4.4726	5.9535	1.8272	0.30691
272	530	5.4382	5.3749	3.1165	10.42	6.3097	4.4726	5.9473	1.8371	0.3089
273	532	5.4436	5.3819	3.1165	10.42	6.3162	4.4697	5.9501	1.8465	0.31033
274	534	5.4471	5.3885	3.1165	10.424	6.3173	4.4726	5.9514	1.8448	0.30997
275	536	5.4534	5.3951	3.1165	10.424	6.3144	4.4714	5.9529	1.843	0.3096
276	538	5.4579	5.4011	3.1165	10.425	6.3086	4.4708	5.9542	1.8377	0.30864
277	540	5.4597	5.4066	3.1165	10.424	6.298	4.4726	5.9518	1.8254	0.3067
278	542	5.4669	5.4115	3.1165	10.419	6.2828	4.472	5.9474	1.8108	0.30447
279	544	5.4758	5.4162	3.1165	10.423	6.277	4.4732	5.95	1.8038	0.30315
280	546	5.4848	5.4219	3.1165	10.425	6.2793	4.4703	5.9552	1.809	0.30378
281	548	5.4884	5.4275	3.1165	10.42	6.2834	4.4708	5.9491	1.8126	0.30468
282	550	5.4947	5.433	3.1165	10.423	6.2863	4.4714	5.9513	1.8149	0.30496
283	552	5.5018	5.4387	3.1165	10.422	6.2922	4.472	5.95	1.8202	0.30591
284	554	5.509	5.4447	3.1165	10.421	6.298	4.4679	5.9534	1.8301	0.30741
285	556	5.5144	5.4509	3.1165	10.42	6.3068	4.4726	5.9477	1.8342	0.30839
286	558	5.5207	5.4575	3.1165	10.421	6.3138	4.4726	5.9481	1.8412	0.30955
287	560	5.5269	5.4636	3.1165	10.423	6.3197	4.4714	5.9517	1.8483	0.31055
288	562	5.535	5.4702	3.1165	10.424	6.3302	4.4714	5.9523	1.8588	0.31228
289	564	5.5377	5.477	3.1165	10.421	6.3337	4.472	5.9488	1.8617	0.31296
290	566	5.5395	5.4832	3.1165	10.422	6.3279	4.472	5.9495	1.8559	0.31194
291	568	5.544	5.4888	3.1165	10.425	6.315	4.472	5.9529	1.843	0.3096
292	570	5.5502	5.4939	3.1165	10.423	6.3033	4.472	5.9512	1.8313	0.30772
293	572	5.5574	5.499	3.1165	10.42	6.2963	4.4726	5.9471	1.8237	0.30665
294	574	5.5646	5.5034	3.1165	10.425	6.2916	4.4714	5.9531	1.8202	0.30575

295	576	5.5745	5.509	3.1165	10.423	6.2969	4.4703	5.9531	1.8266	0.30683
296	578	5.578	5.5147	3.1165	10.423	6.3027	4.4691	5.9535	1.8336	0.30799
297	580	5.5825	5.5202	3.1165	10.42	6.3062	4.4697	5.9501	1.8366	0.30866
298	582	5.5852	5.5256	3.1165	10.421	6.3039	4.4708	5.9498	1.833	0.30809
299	584	5.5906	5.5307	3.1165	10.42	6.2998	4.4732	5.9467	1.8266	0.30716
300	586	5.596	5.5358	3.1165	10.425	6.2957	4.472	5.9533	1.8237	0.30633
301	588	5.6022	5.5409	3.1165	10.425	6.2916	4.472	5.9526	1.8196	0.30568
302	590	5.6076	5.5462	3.1165	10.424	6.291	4.472	5.952	1.819	0.30561
303	592	5.6121	5.5511	3.1165	10.42	6.2898	4.4726	5.9472	1.8172	0.30556
304	594	5.6184	5.556	3.1165	10.422	6.2887	4.4726	5.9492	1.8161	0.30526
305	596	5.6238	5.5611	3.1165	10.42	6.2898	4.4726	5.9472	1.8172	0.30556
306	598	5.6318	5.5666	3.1165	10.421	6.2939	4.4697	5.9509	1.8243	0.30655
307	600	5.6372	5.5718	3.1165	10.422	6.3015	4.472	5.9495	1.8295	0.30751
308	602	5.6426	5.5777	3.1165	10.421	6.308	4.4726	5.9488	1.8354	0.30853
309	604	5.6453	5.583	3.1165	10.424	6.3109	4.472	5.9521	1.8389	0.30895
310	606	5.6516	5.589	3.1165	10.423	6.3132	4.4726	5.9506	1.8407	0.30932
311	608	5.6578	5.5945	3.1165	10.422	6.315	4.4732	5.9486	1.8418	0.30962
312	610	5.6596	5.5998	3.1165	10.423	6.3132	4.4726	5.9506	1.8407	0.30932
313	612	5.6632	5.605	3.1165	10.422	6.3056	4.4708	5.9514	1.8348	0.3083
314	614	5.6668	5.6094	3.1165	10.422	6.2951	4.4726	5.9491	1.8225	0.30635
315	616	5.6722	5.6137	3.1165	10.422	6.2857	4.4726	5.9496	1.8131	0.30475
316	618	5.6767	5.6179	3.1165	10.42	6.2764	4.4708	5.9487	1.8055	0.30352
317	620	5.6847	5.6222	3.1165	10.422	6.2723	4.4708	5.9511	1.8014	0.30271
318	622	5.6928	5.6265	3.1165	10.423	6.2764	4.4726	5.9501	1.8038	0.30315
319	624	5.6991	5.6311	3.1165	10.422	6.2852	4.4697	5.9519	1.8155	0.30502
320	626	5.7071	5.6369	3.1165	10.423	6.2998	4.472	5.951	1.8278	0.30714
321	628	5.7098	5.6428	3.1165	10.424	6.3138	4.4714	5.9524	1.8424	0.30953
322	630	5.7152	5.6484	3.1165	10.426	6.3226	4.4732	5.9527	1.8494	0.31069
323	632	5.7197	5.6545	3.1165	10.422	6.3285	4.4726	5.9495	1.8559	0.31194
324	634	5.7251	5.6601	3.1165	10.425	6.3314	4.4726	5.9522	1.8588	0.31229
325	636	5.7313	5.6658	3.1165	10.42	6.3367	4.472	5.9485	1.8647	0.31347
326	638	5.7349	5.6716	3.1165	10.423	6.339	4.4714	5.9512	1.8676	0.31381
327	640	5.7367	5.6773	3.1165	10.425	6.3349	4.4714	5.9536	1.8635	0.313
328	642	5.7412	5.6822	3.1165	10.423	6.3261	4.4714	5.9515	1.8547	0.31164
329	644	5.7466	5.6867	3.1165	10.421	6.3173	4.472	5.9489	1.8453	0.3102
330	646	5.7511	5.6914	3.1165	10.42	6.3103	4.4714	5.9491	1.8389	0.30911
331	648	5.7555	5.6956	3.1165	10.42	6.3004	4.472	5.9484	1.8284	0.30737
332	650	5.7618	5.6995	3.1165	10.421	6.2939	4.472	5.9486	1.8219	0.30628
333	652	5.7681	5.7035	3.1165	10.422	6.2922	4.472	5.95	1.8202	0.30591
334	654	5.7753	5.7082	3.1165	10.425	6.2986	4.472	5.953	1.8266	0.30684
335	656	5.778	5.7131	3.1165	10.424	6.3039	4.472	5.9517	1.8319	0.30779
336	658	5.7806	5.718	3.1165	10.421	6.3039	4.4697	5.951	1.8342	0.30822
337	660	5.7878	5.7222	3.1165	10.422	6.3051	4.472	5.9497	1.833	0.30809
338	662	5.7914	5.7271	3.1165	10.423	6.3097	4.472	5.951	1.8377	0.30881
339	664	5.7959	5.7318	3.1165	10.422	6.3092	4.4708	5.9516	1.8383	0.30888
340	666	5.7995	5.7365	3.1165	10.425	6.3086	4.4726	5.9524	1.836	0.30844
341	668	5.8022	5.7412	3.1165	10.424	6.3039	4.4685	5.9552	1.8354	0.3082
342	670	5.8084	5.7456	3.1165	10.426	6.2992	4.4673	5.9582	1.8319	0.30745
343	672	5.8138	5.7497	3.1165	10.422	6.2986	4.472	5.9499	1.8266	0.307
344	674	5.8201	5.7544	3.1165	10.42	6.3004	4.4697	5.9508	1.8307	0.30764
345	676	5.8255	5.7588	3.1165	10.423	6.3068	4.4726	5.9508	1.8342	0.30823
346	678	5.8309	5.7635	3.1165	10.423	6.3132	4.4749	5.9483	1.8383	0.30905
347	680	5.8389	5.7691	3.1165	10.425	6.3214	4.4714	5.9533	1.85	0.31075
348	682	5.8416	5.7742	3.1165	10.422	6.3314	4.4714	5.9503	1.86	0.31259
349	684	5.8443	5.7793	3.1165	10.422	6.3355	4.4732	5.9493	1.8623	0.31303
350	686	5.847	5.7848	3.1165	10.423	6.3332	4.4673	5.956	1.8658	0.31327
351	688	5.8497	5.7891	3.1165	10.424	6.3273	4.472	5.952	1.8553	0.31171
352	690	5.8524	5.7933	3.1165	10.425	6.3179	4.4714	5.9531	1.8465	0.31018
353	692	5.8577	5.7969	3.1165	10.422	6.3092	4.4726	5.9499	1.8366	0.30867
354	694	5.8622	5.801	3.1165	10.424	6.301	4.4703	5.9538	1.8307	0.30748
355	696	5.8685	5.8048	3.1165	10.424	6.2974	4.4714	5.9525	1.826	0.30677
356	698	5.8712	5.8084	3.1165	10.424	6.2939	4.4726	5.9511	1.8213	0.30605
357	700	5.8757	5.8123	3.1165	10.423	6.2898	4.472	5.9509	1.8178	0.30547
358	702	5.8811	5.8159	3.1165	10.419	6.2892	4.4697	5.9496	1.8196	0.30583
359	704	5.8882	5.8201	3.1165	10.422	6.2951	4.4732	5.9485	1.8219	0.30628
360	706	5.8918	5.8244	3.1165	10.425	6.3015	4.4697	5.955	1.8319	0.30762
361	708	5.8981	5.8291	3.1165	10.422	6.3092	4.4714	5.9511	1.8377	0.30881
362	710	5.9008	5.8335	3.1165	10.425	6.3156	4.4726	5.9528	1.843	0.3096
363	712	5.9053	5.8382	3.1165	10.423	6.3197	4.4732	5.9499	1.8465	0.31034
364	714	5.9097	5.8431	3.1165	10.426	6.3226	4.4732	5.9527	1.8494	0.31069
365	716	5.9133	5.8478	3.1165	10.425	6.325	4.4732	5.9518	1.8518	0.31113
366	718	5.9178	5.8527	3.1165	10.423	6.3261	4.4703	5.9527	1.8559	0.31177
367	720	5.9205	5.8567	3.1165	10.424	6.3238	4.4703	5.9536	1.8535	0.31133
368	722	5.9232	5.8608	3.1165	10.425	6.3185	4.4726	5.9525	1.8459	0.31011
369	724	5.9277	5.8653	3.1165	10.423	6.3127	4.4726	5.9501	1.8401	0.30925
370	726	5.9313	5.8684	3.1165	10.422	6.3051	4.4743	5.9474	1.8307	0.30782
371	728	5.9348	5.8721	3.1165	10.422	6.2992	4.472	5.9504	1.8272	0.30707
372	730	5.9393	5.8759	3.1165	10.424	6.2939	4.472	5.9517	1.8219	0.30612
373	732	5.9456	5.8795	3.1165	10.423	6.2933	4.472	5.9511	1.8213	0.30605
374	734	5.951	5.8836	3.1165	10.425	6.2957	4.472	5.9533	1.8237	0.30633
375	736	5.9555	5.887	3.1165	10.424	6.3004	4.4726	5.9509	1.8278	0.30714
376	738	5.9599	5.8914	3.1165	10.423	6.3068	4.472	5.9514	1.8348	0.3083
377	740	5.9653	5.8959	3.1165	10.422	6.315	4.4703	5.9515	1.8448	0.30996
378	742	5.9707	5.9006	3.1165	10.425	6.3255	4.4726	5.9529	1.853	0.31127
379	744	5.9725	5.9055	3.1165	10.425	6.332	4.472	5.9533	1.86	0.31243
380	746	5.9761	5.9102	3.1165	10.423	6.3332	4.4691	5.9543	1.8641	0.31306
381	748	5.9815	5.9146	3.1165	10.424	6.3367	4.4708	5.9527	1.8658	0.31344
382	750	5.9868	5.9189	3.1165	10.424	6.3402	4.4726	5.9512	1.8676	0.31382
383	752	5.9904	5.9236	3.1165	10.424	6.3437	4.4732	5.9508	1.8705	0.31433
384	754	5.994	5.9285	3.1165	10.424	6.3437	4.4673	5.9566	1.8764	0.315
385	756	6.0012	5.9329	3.1165	10.426	6.3454	4.4703	5.9554	1.8752	0.31487
386	758	6.003	5.937	3.1165	10.422	6.3478	4.4726	5.949	1.8752	0.31521
387	760	6.003	5.9414	3.1165	10.425	6.3419	4.472	5.9534	1.8699	0.31409
388	762	6.0021	5.9449	3.1165	10.424	6.3273	4.4726	5.9515	1.8547	0.31164
389	764	6.0057	5.948	3.1165	10.423	6.3068	4.472	5.9514	1.8348	0.3083
390	766	6.0146	5.9502	3.1165	10.423	6.2928	4.4755	5.9471	1.8172	0.30557
391	768	6.0191	5.9534	3.1165	10.422	6.2892	4.472	5.9504	1.8172	0.3054
392	770	6.0236	5.9566	3.1165	10.423	6.2904	4.472	5.9515	1.8184	0.30554
393	772	6.0281	5.9597	3.1165	10.425	6.2922	4.4691	5.956	1.8231	0.30609
394	774	6.0335	5.9636	3.1165	10.424	6.298	4.4726	5.9518	1.8254	0.3067
395	776	6.0361	5.9672	3.1165	10.423	6.3068	4.4743	5.949	1.8325	0.30803

396	778	6.0388	5.9715	3.1165	10.426	6.3127	4.472	5.9538	1.8407	0.30916
397	780	6.0424	5.9751	3.1165	10.423	6.3132	4.4726	5.9506	1.8407	0.30932
398	782	6.0451	5.9793	3.1165	10.422	6.3121	4.4708	5.9513	1.8412	0.30938
399	784	6.0496	5.9829	3.1165	10.425	6.3115	4.4726	5.9521	1.8389	0.30895
400	786	6.0541	5.9868	3.1165	10.423	6.3127	4.472	5.9507	1.8407	0.30932
401	788	6.0577	5.9906	3.1165	10.421	6.3144	4.4685	5.9527	1.8459	0.3101
402	790	6.0595	5.9944	3.1165	10.424	6.3144	4.4703	5.9541	1.8442	0.30973
403	792	6.063	5.9983	3.1165	10.424	6.3103	4.4708	5.9527	1.8395	0.30901
404	794	6.0693	6.0017	3.1165	10.423	6.3097	4.4732	5.9499	1.8366	0.30867
405	796	6.0747	6.0055	3.1165	10.424	6.3144	4.472	5.9523	1.8424	0.30953
406	798	6.0801	6.0093	3.1165	10.425	6.322	4.472	5.9533	1.85	0.31076
407	800	6.081	6.0136	3.1165	10.421	6.3273	4.472	5.9489	1.8553	0.31187
408	801.4	6.0837	6.0168	3.1165	10.425	6.3279	4.4708	5.9538	1.857	0.31191

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	3.1165	4.3409	4.3435	4.2719	0.068989	0.071544	1.037
2	0.012567	0	0	3.1165	4.3409	4.3435	4.2719	0.068989	0.071544	1.037
3	0.050133	0	0	3.1165	4.3409	4.3435	4.2719	0.068989	0.071544	1.037
4	0.1002	0	0	3.1165	4.3435	4.3429	4.2719	0.071538	0.070959	0.9919
5	0.25042	0.0008965	-0.00021283	3.1165	4.3533	4.3435	4.2725	0.080801	0.070959	0.87819
6	0.5008	0.007172	0.002554	3.1165	4.3657	4.3435	4.2731	0.092613	0.070374	0.75987
7	1.0015	0.008965	0.0093647	3.1165	4.3705	4.3452	4.2725	0.097948	0.072715	0.74239
8	2.0029	0.010758	0.011919	3.1165	4.3699	4.3446	4.2719	0.097983	0.072715	0.74212
9	4.0016	0.011655	0.012344	3.1165	4.3699	4.3446	4.2719	0.097983	0.072715	0.74212
10	6.0003	0.012551	0.013196	3.1165	4.3674	4.3452	4.2719	0.095434	0.0733	0.76807
11	8.0032	0.012551	0.012344	3.1165	4.371	4.3458	4.2719	0.099083	0.073886	0.74569
12	10.002	0.013448	0.011919	3.1165	4.371	4.3458	4.2719	0.099083	0.073886	0.74569
13	12.001	0.012551	0.01277	3.1165	4.369	4.347	4.2719	0.097085	0.075056	0.7731
14	12.585	0.012551	0.01277	3.1165	4.369	4.347	4.2719	0.097085	0.075056	0.7731

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	3.1165	4.369	4.347	4.2719	0.097085	0.075056	0.7731
2	0.012483	-0.0008965	0	3.1165	4.369	4.347	4.2719	0.097085	0.075056	0.7731
3	0.05415	-0.0008965	0	3.1165	4.369	4.347	4.2719	0.097085	0.075056	0.7731
4	0.10012	-0.0008965	0	3.1165	4.369	4.347	4.2719	0.097085	0.075056	0.7731
5	0.25033	0.0026895	0.0006385	3.1165	4.3814	4.347	4.2725	0.1089	0.074471	0.68387
6	0.50072	0.013448	0.003831	3.1165	4.4	4.347	4.2743	0.12574	0.072716	0.57832
7	1.0014	0.033171	0.028732	3.1165	4.427	4.3493	4.2731	0.1539	0.076228	0.4953
8	2.0028	0.20709	0.20964	3.1165	4.5009	4.3587	4.2743	0.22662	0.084424	0.37253
9	4.0016	0.30481	0.30946	3.1165	4.5186	4.361	4.2725	0.24607	0.08852	0.35973
10	6.0003	0.32453	0.32329	3.1165	4.5169	4.3593	4.2725	0.24442	0.086764	0.35498
11	8.0031	0.34426	0.345	3.1165	4.5202	4.3628	4.2719	0.24831	0.090861	0.36592
12	10.002	0.34605	0.34628	3.1165	4.5191	4.3616	4.2719	0.24721	0.089691	0.36282
13	12.001	0.34784	0.34883	3.1165	4.5186	4.361	4.2719	0.24666	0.089105	0.36125
14	14.003	0.34964	0.35288	3.1165	4.5175	4.3599	4.2719	0.24556	0.087934	0.3581
15	16.002	0.35233	0.35266	3.1165	4.5195	4.3587	4.2719	0.24755	0.086764	0.35048
16	18.001	0.3586	0.35905	3.1165	4.5175	4.3599	4.2719	0.24556	0.087934	0.3581
17	20.004	0.36488	0.36501	3.1165	4.5217	4.361	4.2725	0.24917	0.08852	0.35526
18	20.129	0.36488	0.36543	3.1165	4.5186	4.361	4.2725	0.24607	0.08852	0.35973

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 3

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	3.1165	4.5217	4.361	4.2725	0.24917	0.08852	0.35526
2	0.012483	0	0.00021283	3.1165	4.518	4.3604	4.2719	0.24611	0.08852	0.35968
3	0.05415	0	0.00021283	3.1165	4.518	4.3604	4.2719	0.24611	0.08852	0.35968
4	0.10012	0.0008965	0.00021283	3.1165	4.5211	4.3604	4.2725	0.24862	0.087935	0.35369
5	0.25033	0.012551	0.002554	3.1165	4.5299	4.3599	4.2743	0.25561	0.085594	0.33486
6	0.50063	0.046618	0.025753	3.1165	4.545	4.3628	4.2743	0.27076	0.088521	0.32693
7	1.0014	0.12999	0.11727	3.1165	4.5797	4.3733	4.2737	0.30605	0.099643	0.32558
8	2.0028	0.31288	0.32053	3.1165	4.6434	4.385	4.2725	0.37091	0.11252	0.30336
9	4.0016	0.67417	0.74066	3.1165	4.7638	4.4207	4.2749	0.48892	0.14589	0.29839
10	6.0003	0.73244	0.81643	3.1165	4.7658	4.4196	4.2731	0.49267	0.14647	0.2973
11	8.0031	0.76741	0.85303	3.1165	4.7669	4.4207	4.2731	0.49377	0.14764	0.29901
12	10.002	0.79789	0.87943	3.1165	4.7674	4.4213	4.2725	0.49491	0.14881	0.30069
13	12.001	0.81851	0.89879	3.1165	4.7674	4.4213	4.2719	0.49549	0.1494	0.30152
14	14.003	0.82926	0.91412	3.1165	4.768	4.4219	4.2725	0.49546	0.1494	0.30154
15	16.002	0.83554	0.9271	3.1165	4.7674	4.4213	4.2719	0.49549	0.1494	0.30152
16	18.001	0.85078	0.93859	3.1165	4.768	4.4219	4.2725	0.49546	0.1494	0.30154
17	20.004	0.86692	0.94945	3.1165	4.768	4.4219	4.2725	0.49546	0.1494	0.30154
18	22.003	0.8723	0.9586	3.1165	4.7674	4.4213	4.2719	0.49549	0.1494	0.30152
19	24.002	0.87947	0.96669	3.1165	4.7674	4.4213	4.2725	0.49491	0.14881	0.30069
20	26	0.88395	0.97414	3.1165	4.7674	4.4213	4.2719	0.49549	0.1494	0.30152
21	28.003	0.89023	0.98073	3.1165	4.768	4.4219	4.2719	0.49604	0.14998	0.30236
22	28.346	0.89112	0.98201	3.1165	4.7674	4.4213	4.2719	0.49549	0.1494	0.30152

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 4

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	3.1165	4.7674	4.4213	4.2719	0.49549	0.1494	0.30152
2	0.012533	0	0	3.1165	4.768	4.4219	4.2719	0.49604	0.14998	0.30236
3	0.050017	0.0008965	0	3.1165	4.7674	4.4213	4.2719	0.49549	0.1494	0.30152
4	0.1001	0.0008965	0	3.1165	4.768	4.4219	4.2725	0.49546	0.1494	0.30154
5	0.25038	0.0062755	0.0027668	3.1165	4.7764	4.4243	4.2749	0.50152	0.1494	0.2979
6	0.50068	0.029585	0.029584	3.1165	4.7901	4.4289	4.2743	0.5158	0.15467	0.29986
7	1.0014	0.09234	0.099393	3.1165	4.8253	4.4401	4.2749	0.55047	0.16521	0.30012
8	2.0028	0.2295	0.27306	3.1165	4.902	4.4623	4.2737	0.62834	0.18862	0.30019
9	4.0015	0.55852	0.66319	3.1165	5.0448	4.5021	4.2772	0.76762	0.22491	0.293
10	6.0003	0.8983	1.0744	3.1165	5.1785	4.5454	4.2749	0.90366	0.27057	0.29942
11	8.0031	1.1708	1.3883	3.1165	5.2636	4.57	4.276	0.98759	0.29399	0.29768
12	10.002	1.2434	1.4777	3.1165	5.2636	4.57	4.2737	0.98993	0.29633	0.29934
13	12.001	1.2883	1.5315	3.1165	5.2642	4.5706	4.2737	0.99048	0.29691	0.29977
14	14.004	1.3304	1.5728	3.1165	5.2642	4.5706	4.2725	0.99165	0.29808	0.30059
15	16.003	1.3519	1.6063	3.1165	5.2616	4.5712	4.2719	0.98969	0.29925	0.30237
16	18.001	1.3797	1.6339	3.1165	5.2647	4.5712	4.2731	0.99162	0.29808	0.3006
17	20	1.403	1.6584	3.1165	5.2647	4.5712	4.2731	0.99162	0.29808	0.3006
18	22.003	1.4156	1.6799	3.1165	5.2647	4.5712	4.2731	0.99162	0.29808	0.3006
19	24.002	1.4317	1.6995	3.1165	5.2664	4.5729	4.2725	0.99385	0.30043	0.30228
20	26	1.4487	1.7165	3.1165	5.2647	4.5712	4.2719	0.99279	0.29925	0.30143
21	28.003	1.4712	1.732	3.1165	5.2647	4.5712	4.2725	0.9922	0.29867	0.30102
22	30.002	1.4801	1.7467	3.1165	5.2658	4.5723	4.2725	0.9933	0.29984	0.30186
23	32.001	1.4936	1.7612	3.1165	5.2678	4.5712	4.2719	0.99589	0.29925	0.30049
24	34.004	1.5061	1.7742	3.1165	5.2653	4.5718	4.2725	0.99275	0.29925	0.30144
25	36.002	1.5169	1.7861	3.1165	5.2653	4.5718	4.2725	0.99275	0.29925	0.30144
26	38.001	1.5294	1.7974	3.1165	5.2647	4.5712	4.2719	0.99279	0.29925	0.30143
27	40.004	1.5429	1.8087	3.1165	5.2653	4.5718	4.2719	0.99334	0.29984	0.30185
28	42.003	1.5527	1.8195	3.1165	5.2647	4.5712	4.2719	0.99279	0.29925	0.30143
29	44.001	1.5635	1.8297	3.1165	5.2647	4.5712	4.2719	0.99279	0.29925	0.30143
30	44.803	1.5707	1.8336	3.1165	5.2647	4.5712	4.2719	0.99279	0.29925	0.30143

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 5

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	3.1165	5.2678	4.5712	4.2719	0.99589	0.29925	0.30049
2	0.0125	0	0	3.1165	5.2678	4.5712	4.2719	0.99589	0.29925	0.30049
3	0.054167	0.0008965	0.00021283	3.1165	5.2647	4.5712	4.2719	0.99279	0.29925	0.30143
4	0.10012	0.0008965	0.0006385	3.1165	5.2689	4.5723	4.2713	0.99757	0.30101	0.30174
5	0.25035	0.0062755	0.005108	3.1165	5.2742	4.5747	4.2749	0.99936	0.29984	0.30003
6	0.50072	0.017034	0.022135	3.1165	5.2916	4.58	4.2754	1.0161	0.30452	0.29969
7	1.0014	0.055583	0.073427	3.1165	5.3319	4.5899	4.2754	1.0565	0.31448	0.29766
8	2.0028	0.16047	0.189	3.1165	5.3971	4.6098	4.2749	1.1223	0.33496	0.29847
9	4.0016	0.40074	0.46461	3.1165	5.537	4.6531	4.2737	1.2633	0.37945	0.30036
10	6.0003	0.66879	0.77897	3.1165	5.6888	4.6959	4.276	1.4127	0.41984	0.29718
11	8.0031	0.88754	1.0316	3.1165	5.7609	4.7199	4.2737	1.4872	0.44618	0.30001
12	10.002	0.95836	1.1206	3.1165	5.7626	4.7216	4.2737	1.4889	0.44794	0.30086
13	12.001	1.0157	1.1797	3.1165	5.7604	4.7193	4.2743	1.4861	0.44501	0.29945
14	14.004	1.0552	1.2253	3.1165	5.7615	4.7204	4.2725	1.4889	0.44794	0.30084
15	16.003	1.0857	1.2623	3.1165	5.7615	4.7204	4.2737	1.4878	0.44677	0.30029
16	18.001	1.1179	1.2951	3.1165	5.7615	4.7204	4.2731	1.4884	0.44735	0.30057
17	20	1.1377	1.324	3.1165	5.762	4.721	4.2731	1.4889	0.44794	0.30085
18	22.003	1.1663	1.3504	3.1165	5.762	4.721	4.2731	1.4889	0.44794	0.30085
19	24.002	1.1923	1.3738	3.1165	5.7615	4.7204	4.2725	1.4889	0.44794	0.30084
20	26	1.2103	1.3953	3.1165	5.762	4.721	4.2731	1.4889	0.44794	0.30085
21	28.003	1.2219	1.4149	3.1165	5.762	4.721	4.2731	1.4889	0.44794	0.30085
22	30.002	1.2408	1.4336	3.1165	5.7626	4.7216	4.2731	1.4895	0.44853	0.30113
23	32.001	1.2551	1.4511	3.1165	5.762	4.721	4.2731	1.4889	0.44794	0.30085
24	34.003	1.2784	1.4677	3.1165	5.762	4.721	4.2725	1.4895	0.44852	0.30112
25	36.002	1.2972	1.4828	3.1165	5.7626	4.7216	4.2731	1.4895	0.44853	0.30113
26	38.001	1.3071	1.4977	3.1165	5.7651	4.721	4.2725	1.4926	0.44852	0.3005
27	40.004	1.3188	1.5122	3.1165	5.762	4.721	4.2725	1.4895	0.44852	0.30112
28	42.002	1.3304	1.5264	3.1165	5.762	4.721	4.2719	1.4901	0.44911	0.3014
29	44.001	1.3537	1.5388	3.1165	5.762	4.721	4.2719	1.4901	0.44911	0.3014
30	46.004	1.36	1.5509	3.1165	5.762	4.721	4.2719	1.4901	0.44911	0.3014
31	48.003	1.3645	1.5624	3.1165	5.7615	4.7204	4.2725	1.4889	0.44794	0.30084
32	50.001	1.3681	1.5711	3.1165	5.7595	4.7216	4.2725	1.487	0.44911	0.30203
33	52	1.377	1.5824	3.1165	5.7595	4.7216	4.2702	1.4893	0.45145	0.30313
34	54.003	1.3878	1.5926	3.1165	5.762	4.721	4.2719	1.4901	0.44911	0.3014
35	56.002	1.3985	1.6026	3.1165	5.7589	4.721	4.2719	1.487	0.44911	0.30203
36	58	1.4084	1.6126	3.1165	5.7595	4.7216	4.2725	1.487	0.44911	0.30203
37	60.003	1.4156	1.6231	3.1165	5.7595	4.7216	4.2719	1.4875	0.4497	0.30231
38	62.002	1.4263	1.6329	3.1165	5.7595	4.7216	4.2725	1.487	0.44911	0.30203
39	64.001	1.4308	1.642	3.1165	5.762	4.721	4.2719	1.4901	0.44911	0.3014
40	66.004	1.4353	1.6509	3.1165	5.7595	4.7216	4.2719	1.4875	0.4497	0.30231
41	68.002	1.4487	1.6592	3.1165	5.7595	4.7216	4.2725	1.487	0.44911	0.30203
42	70.001	1.4622	1.6675	3.1165	5.7595	4.7216	4.2725	1.487	0.44911	0.30203
43	72.004	1.4747	1.6765	3.1165	5.7595	4.7216	4.2719	1.4875	0.4497	0.30231
44	74.003	1.4819	1.6846	3.1165	5.7595	4.7216	4.2719	1.4875	0.4497	0.30231
45	76.001	1.4864	1.6918	3.1165	5.762	4.721	4.2713	1.4907	0.44969	0.30167
46	78	1.4918	1.6988	3.1165	5.7626	4.7216	4.2725	1.49	0.44911	0.30141
47	79.84	1.4972	1.7054	3.1165	5.762	4.721	4.2713	1.4907	0.44969	0.30167

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 6

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	3.1165	5.7589	4.721	4.2719	1.487	0.44911	0.30203
2	0.01245	0	0	3.1165	5.7595	4.7216	4.2719	1.4875	0.4497	0.30231
3	0.05	0	0	3.1165	5.7589	4.721	4.2719	1.487	0.44911	0.30203
4	0.1	0	0.00021283	3.1165	5.7589	4.721	4.2719	1.487	0.44911	0.30203
5	0.25023	0.0044825	0.0021283	3.1165	5.7673	4.7234	4.2737	1.4936	0.4497	0.30108
6	0.50067	0.019723	0.013621	3.1165	5.7841	4.7281	4.2743	1.5098	0.45379	0.30056
7	1.0013	0.048411	0.0481	3.1165	5.8188	4.7386	4.2754	1.5434	0.46316	0.3001
8	2.0028	0.12551	0.13515	3.1165	5.8902	4.7585	4.2737	1.6165	0.48482	0.29991
9	4.0015	0.30481	0.33862	3.1165	6.0374	4.803	4.2743	1.7631	0.52872	0.29987
10	6.0002	0.52176	0.57529	3.1165	6.1731	4.8451	4.276	1.8971	0.56911	0.29999
11	8.003	0.75754	0.83643	3.1165	6.3198	4.889	4.2772	2.0426	0.61185	0.29955
12	10.002	1.013	1.1138	3.1165	6.4549	4.9306	4.2743	2.1806	0.65633	0.30098
13	12.001	1.2757	1.4109	3.1165	6.6078	4.9745	4.2737	2.3341	0.70082	0.30026
14	14.003	1.5518	1.711	3.1165	6.7415	5.0178	4.2737	2.4678	0.74414	0.30154
15	16.002	1.7159	1.884	3.1165	6.7499	5.0202	4.2754	2.4744	0.74473	0.30097
16	18.001	1.8047	1.9855	3.1165	6.7499	5.0202	4.2749	2.475	0.74531	0.30114
17	20.004	1.8791	2.0624	3.1165	6.7499	5.0202	4.2743	2.4756	0.7459	0.3013
18	22.003	1.9364	2.1243	3.1165	6.7504	5.0207	4.2731	2.4773	0.74765	0.3018
19	24.001	1.9884	2.1777	3.1165	6.7504	5.0207	4.2737	2.4767	0.74707	0.30163
20	26	2.0333	2.2258	3.1165	6.7535	5.0207	4.2731	2.4804	0.74765	0.30142
21	28.003	2.0799	2.2684	3.1165	6.7535	5.0207	4.2731	2.4804	0.74765	0.30142
22	30.002	2.1131	2.3069	3.1165	6.7541	5.0213	4.2725	2.4815	0.74882	0.30176
23	32	2.1426	2.3422	3.1165	6.7535	5.0207	4.2731	2.4804	0.74765	0.30142
24	34.003	2.1642	2.3729	3.1165	6.751	5.0213	4.2731	2.4779	0.74824	0.30197
25	36.002	2.1964	2.4029	3.1165	6.751	5.0213	4.2731	2.4779	0.74824	0.30197
26	38.001	2.2332	2.4318	3.1165	6.7541	5.0213	4.2725	2.4815	0.74882	0.30176
27	40.004	2.2619	2.458	3.1165	6.753	5.0202	4.2731	2.4799	0.74707	0.30125
28	42.002	2.2879	2.4829	3.1165	6.7541	5.0213	4.2731	2.481	0.74824	0.30159
29	44.001	2.3022	2.5072	3.1165	6.7535	5.0207	4.2725	2.481	0.74824	0.30159
30	46.004	2.3345	2.5304	3.1165	6.7541	5.0213	4.2725	2.4815	0.74882	0.30176
31	48.003	2.3399	2.5506	3.1165	6.751	5.0213	4.2731	2.4779	0.74824	0.30197
32	50.001	2.3704	2.571	3.1165	6.7535	5.0207	4.2725	2.481	0.74824	0.30159
33	52.004	2.3964	2.5919	3.1165	6.7572	5.0213	4.2731	2.4841	0.74824	0.30122
34	54.003	2.4098	2.6108	3.1165	6.7541	5.0213	4.2725	2.4815	0.74882	0.30176
35	56.002	2.4206	2.6274	3.1165	6.751	5.0213	4.2731	2.4779	0.74824	0.30197
36	58	2.4304	2.6449	3.1165	6.7541	5.0213	4.2731	2.481	0.74824	0.30159
37	60.003	2.4483	2.6619	3.1165	6.751	5.0213	4.2725	2.4784	0.74882	0.30213
38	62.002	2.4752	2.6794	3.1165	6.7541	5.0213	4.2725	2.4815	0.74882	0.30176
39	64.001	2.4806	2.6945	3.1165	6.751	5.0213	4.2719	2.479	0.74941	0.3023
40	66.003	2.4977	2.7098	3.1165	6.751	5.0213	4.2708	2.4802	0.75058	0.30263
41	68.002	2.521	2.7251	3.1165	6.7546	5.0219	4.2725	2.4821	0.74941	0.30193
42	70.001	2.5362	2.7407	3.1165	6.751	5.0213	4.2713	2.4796	0.74999	0.30246
43	72.004	2.5389	2.7534	3.1165	6.7541	5.0213	4.2725	2.4815	0.74882	0.30176
44	74.002	2.5461	2.7668	3.1165	6.7546	5.0219	4.2719	2.4827	0.74999	0.30209
45	76.001	2.555	2.78	3.1165	6.7515	5.0219	4.2731	2.4784	0.74882	0.30214
46	78.004	2.5765	2.7934	3.1165	6.7515	5.0219	4.2731	2.4784	0.74882	0.30214
47	80.003	2.5972	2.8062	3.1165	6.751	5.0213	4.2725	2.4784	0.74882	0.30213
48	82.001	2.6106	2.8185	3.1165	6.751	5.0213	4.2725	2.4784	0.74882	0.30213
49	84	2.6187	2.8307	3.1165	6.751	5.0213	4.2725	2.4784	0.74882	0.30213
50	86.003	2.6321	2.8426	3.1165	6.7541	5.0213	4.2725	2.4815	0.74882	0.30176
51	88.002	2.6474	2.8541	3.1165	6.751	5.0213	4.2725	2.4784	0.74882	0.30213
52	90	2.6528	2.8656	3.1165	6.7546	5.0219	4.2725	2.4821	0.74941	0.30193
53	92.003	2.6581	2.8764	3.1165	6.7515	5.0219	4.2725	2.479	0.74941	0.3023
54	94.002	2.6698	2.8871	3.1165	6.7515	5.0219	4.2719	2.4796	0.74999	0.30247
55	96.001	2.6832	2.8975	3.1165	6.751	5.0213	4.2719	2.479	0.74941	0.3023
56	98.004	2.6931	2.9077	3.1165	6.751	5.0213	4.2725	2.4784	0.74882	0.30213
57	100	2.7047	2.9179	3.1165	6.751	5.0213	4.2719	2.479	0.74941	0.3023
58	102	2.7146	2.9279	3.1165	6.7541	5.0213	4.2713	2.4827	0.74999	0.30209
59	104	2.7227	2.9377	3.1165	6.7546	5.0219	4.2725	2.4821	0.74941	0.30193
60	106	2.7307	2.9475	3.1165	6.751	5.0213	4.2725	2.4784	0.74882	0.30213
61	108	2.7397	2.9573	3.1165	6.751	5.0213	4.2725	2.4784	0.74882	0.30213
62	110	2.7478	2.9669	3.1165	6.7541	5.0213	4.2719	2.4821	0.74941	0.30192
63	112	2.7567	2.9765	3.1165	6.751	5.0213	4.2719	2.479	0.74941	0.3023
64	114	2.7639	2.9854	3.1165	6.751	5.0213	4.2719	2.479	0.74941	0.3023
65	116	2.7765	2.9943	3.1165	6.751	5.0213	4.2713	2.4796	0.74999	0.30246
66	118	2.7863	3.0029	3.1165	6.7515	5.0219	4.2725	2.479	0.74941	0.3023
67	120	2.7998	3.0114	3.1165	6.751	5.0213	4.2713	2.4796	0.74999	0.30246
68	122	2.8132	3.0199	3.1165	6.7515	5.0219	4.2725	2.479	0.74941	0.3023
69	124	2.8204	3.0282	3.1165	6.7504	5.0207	4.2725	2.4779	0.74824	0.30196
70	126	2.8258	3.0363	3.1165	6.751	5.0213	4.2708	2.4802	0.75058	0.30263
71	127.47	2.8321	3.0418	3.1165	6.751	5.0213	4.2725	2.4784	0.74882	0.30213

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 7

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	3.1165	6.751	5.0213	4.2725	2.4784	0.74882	0.30213
2	0.012483	0	0	3.1165	6.751	5.0213	4.2725	2.4784	0.74882	0.30213
3	0.05415	-0.0008965	0.00021283	3.1165	6.7479	5.0213	4.2719	2.4759	0.74941	0.30268
4	0.10012	0	0.00042567	3.1165	6.7541	5.0213	4.2713	2.4827	0.74999	0.30209
5	0.25033	0.001793	0.0019155	3.1165	6.7625	5.0237	4.2743	2.4882	0.74941	0.30119
6	0.50072	0.007172	0.0087262	3.1165	6.7773	5.0295	4.2737	2.5036	0.75585	0.30191
7	1.0014	0.029585	0.029797	3.1165	6.812	5.0401	4.2743	2.5377	0.7658	0.30177
8	2.0028	0.08965	0.085985	3.1165	6.8839	5.0606	4.2725	2.6114	0.78804	0.30177
9	4.0016	0.21068	0.22369	3.1165	7.0233	5.1033	4.2749	2.7484	0.82843	0.30142
10	6.0003	0.36219	0.38225	3.1165	7.1705	5.1478	4.2749	2.8956	0.87292	0.30146
11	8.0031	0.53342	0.56039	3.1165	7.3109	5.1917	4.2766	3.0343	0.91507	0.30158
12	10.002	0.73424	0.7579	3.1165	7.461	5.2327	4.2784	3.1826	0.95429	0.29984
13	12.001	0.91981	0.9686	3.1165	7.5938	5.2783	4.2766	3.3172	1.0017	0.30197
14	14.003	1.1475	1.1944	3.1165	7.7335	5.3181	4.2737	3.4598	1.0444	0.30188
15	16.002	1.3806	1.4343	3.1165	7.875	5.3632	4.276	3.599	1.0872	0.30207
16	18.001	1.6361	1.6865	3.1165	8.0158	5.4042	4.2731	3.7428	1.1311	0.3022
17	20.004	1.9006	1.9487	3.1165	8.1594	5.4481	4.2743	3.8851	1.1738	0.30213
18	22.002	2.1283	2.1871	3.1165	8.2359	5.4668	4.2737	3.9622	1.1931	0.30112
19	24.001	2.2807	2.3416	3.1165	8.235	5.4691	4.2754	3.9596	1.1937	0.30147
20	26.004	2.3892	2.4614	3.1165	8.2355	5.4697	4.2754	3.9601	1.1943	0.30158
21	28.003	2.4905	2.5614	3.1165	8.2392	5.4703	4.2743	3.9649	1.196	0.30166
22	30.001	2.5694	2.647	3.1165	8.2386	5.4697	4.2731	3.9655	1.1966	0.30176
23	32	2.6501	2.7234	3.1165	8.2361	5.4703	4.2725	3.9636	1.1978	0.3022
24	34.003	2.7218	2.7922	3.1165	8.2386	5.4697	4.2749	3.9638	1.1949	0.30145
25	36.002	2.7783	2.8543	3.1165	8.2386	5.4697	4.2743	3.9644	1.1955	0.30155
26	38	2.8338	2.912	3.1165	8.2386	5.4697	4.2731	3.9655	1.1966	0.30176
27	40.003	2.8903	2.9658	3.1165	8.2392	5.4703	4.2743	3.9649	1.196	0.30166
28	42.002	2.9307	3.0152	3.1165	8.2386	5.4697	4.2737	3.965	1.196	0.30165
29	44.001	2.9764	3.0605	3.1165	8.2386	5.4697	4.2719	3.9667	1.1978	0.30196
30	46.004	3.0275	3.1035	3.1165	8.2392	5.4703	4.2743	3.9649	1.196	0.30166
31	48.002	3.0607	3.1442	3.1165	8.2361	5.4703	4.2725	3.9636	1.1978	0.3022
32	50.001	3.1055	3.1831	3.1165	8.2392	5.4703	4.2731	3.9661	1.1972	0.30186
33	52.004	3.1404	3.2202	3.1165	8.2392	5.4703	4.2737	3.9655	1.1966	0.30176
34	54.003	3.1718	3.2555	3.1165	8.2392	5.4703	4.2731	3.9661	1.1972	0.30186
35	56.001	3.2175	3.2893	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
36	58.004	3.2471	3.3217	3.1165	8.2392	5.4703	4.2731	3.9661	1.1972	0.30186
37	60.003	3.2678	3.3528	3.1165	8.2392	5.4703	4.2737	3.9655	1.1966	0.30176
38	62.002	3.3027	3.3826	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2737	3.9661	1.1972	0.30187
39	64	3.3341	3.4109	3.1165	8.2392	5.4703	4.2737	3.9655	1.1966	0.30176
40	66.003	3.3565	3.4383	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
41	68.002	3.3924	3.4653	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2719	3.9678	1.199	0.30217
42	70.001	3.4166	3.4911	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2731	3.9666	1.1978	0.30197
43	72.001	3.4327	3.5154	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2737	3.9661	1.1972	0.30187
44	74.004	3.4623	3.5394	3.1165	8.2366	5.4709	4.2708	3.9659	1.2001	0.30262
45	76.003	3.4829	3.5626	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2737	3.9661	1.1972	0.30187
46	78.003	3.5053	3.5852	3.1165	8.2392	5.4703	4.2737	3.9655	1.1966	0.30176
47	80.002	3.5286	3.6075	3.1165	8.2392	5.4703	4.2719	3.9673	1.1984	0.30207
48	82.001	3.5457	3.6292	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
49	84	3.5681	3.6505	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2719	3.9678	1.199	0.30217
50	86.004	3.5896	3.6714	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2731	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
51	88.003	3.6165	3.6922	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2719	3.9678	1.199	0.30217
52	90.002	3.6344	3.7127	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
53	92.001	3.6532	3.7325	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2713	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
54	94	3.6739	3.7514	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2731	3.9666	1.1978	0.30197
55	96.004	3.6918	3.7703	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
56	98.003	3.7088	3.7889	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
57	100	3.7277	3.8067	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
58	102	3.7528	3.8244	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2725	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
59	104	3.7671	3.8416	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2702	3.9696	1.2007	0.30248
60	106	3.7779	3.8587	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2713	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
61	108	3.7949	3.8753	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2737	3.9661	1.1972	0.30187
62	110	3.8164	3.8917	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2719	3.9678	1.199	0.30217
63	112	3.8352	3.9078	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
64	114	3.8451	3.9238	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
65	116	3.8675	3.9395	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
66	118	3.8899	3.9551	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2731	3.9666	1.1978	0.30197
67	120	3.9061	3.9704	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2708	3.969	1.2001	0.30238
68	122	3.9141	3.9853	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2731	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
69	124	3.9195	4	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2731	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
70	126	3.9321	4.0147	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2708	3.9695	1.2007	0.30249
71	128	3.9455	4.0289	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2731	3.9666	1.1978	0.30197
72	130	3.9697	4.0432	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2731	3.9666	1.1978	0.30197
73	132	3.9939	4.0574	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
74	134	4.0029	4.0713	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2731	3.9666	1.1978	0.30197
75	136	4.0199	4.0851	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
76	138	4.028	4.0987	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2713	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
77	140	4.0369	4.1124	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2708	3.969	1.2001	0.30238
78	142	4.0504	4.1256	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2713	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
79	144	4.0603	4.1385	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2731	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
80	146	4.0764	4.1515	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2708	3.969	1.2001	0.30238
81	148	4.0872	4.1641	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2731	3.9666	1.1978	0.30197
82	150	4.0979	4.1768	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2713	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
83	152	4.1087	4.1892	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2719	3.9678	1.199	0.30217
84	154	4.1212	4.2013	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2719	3.9678	1.199	0.30217
85	156	4.1365	4.2135	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2708	3.9721	1.2001	0.30214
86	158	4.1553	4.2256	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2713	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
87	160	4.1651	4.2375	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
88	162	4.1723	4.2496	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
89	164	4.1768	4.2618	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2713	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
90	166	4.1858	4.2737	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2702	3.9696	1.2007	0.30248
91	168	4.2001	4.2852	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2708	3.969	1.2001	0.30238
92	170	4.21	4.2967	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2731	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207

93	172	4.2261	4.3077	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
94	174	4.236	4.319	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2719	3.9678	1.199	0.30217
95	176	4.2512	4.3301	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
96	178	4.262	4.3409	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
97	180	4.2727	4.352	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
98	182	4.2826	4.3627	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2719	3.9678	1.199	0.30217
99	184	4.2898	4.3733	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2719	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
100	186	4.3023	4.3839	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
101	188	4.3167	4.3944	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2731	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
102	190	4.3274	4.405	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
103	192	4.3355	4.4156	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2719	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
104	194	4.3436	4.4263	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2713	3.969	1.2001	0.30238
105	196	4.3543	4.4369	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2719	3.9678	1.199	0.30217
106	198	4.3642	4.4469	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2731	3.9666	1.1978	0.30197
107	200	4.3776	4.4576	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2708	3.9695	1.2007	0.30249
108	202	4.392	4.4676	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
109	204	4.4018	4.4776	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
110	206	4.4108	4.4878	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2731	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
111	208	4.4153	4.4972	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2731	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
112	210	4.4207	4.5067	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2719	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
113	212	4.4296	4.5161	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2731	3.9666	1.1978	0.30197
114	214	4.4431	4.5259	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
115	216	4.4502	4.5353	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2719	3.9678	1.199	0.30217
116	218	4.4619	4.5446	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2731	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
117	220	4.47	4.5538	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2731	3.9666	1.1978	0.30197
118	220.04	4.47	4.554	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 8

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
2	0.012483	0	0.00021283	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2708	3.9695	1.2007	0.30249
3	0.0507	0.0008965	0.00021283	3.1165	8.2366	5.4709	4.2713	3.9653	1.1996	0.30251
4	0.10077	0	0.00042567	3.1165	8.2335	5.4709	4.2719	3.9616	1.199	0.30265
5	0.251	-0.0008965	0.0006385	3.1165	8.2237	5.4703	4.2725	3.9512	1.1978	0.30315
6	0.50197	-0.0026895	0.0010642	3.1165	8.2051	5.4703	4.2725	3.9326	1.1978	0.30458
7	1.0037	-0.005379	0.00085133	3.1165	8.1683	5.4674	4.2719	3.8963	1.1955	0.30682
8	2.0015	-0.01793	-0.0055337	3.1165	8.1	5.4574	4.2708	3.8293	1.1867	0.3099
9	4.0007	-0.057376	-0.045759	3.1165	7.9582	5.4252	4.2713	3.6868	1.1539	0.31298
10	6.004	-0.1282	-0.11174	3.1165	7.8189	5.3925	4.269	3.5499	1.1235	0.31648
11	8.0033	-0.20261	-0.18836	3.1165	7.6728	5.3591	4.2702	3.4027	1.0889	0.32002
12	10.002	-0.29316	-0.27009	3.1165	7.5295	5.3187	4.2708	3.2587	1.0479	0.32158
13	12.002	-0.37474	-0.35564	3.1165	7.3828	5.2912	4.269	3.1138	1.0222	0.32828
14	14.001	-0.45542	-0.44631	3.1165	7.249	5.2643	4.2713	2.9776	0.99292	0.33346
15	16	-0.56031	-0.54592	3.1165	7.1031	5.2245	4.2678	2.8353	0.95663	0.3374
16	18.003	-0.68224	-0.65425	3.1165	6.9625	5.187	4.2708	2.6917	0.91624	0.34039
17	20.003	-0.79789	-0.77195	3.1165	6.816	5.1466	4.2713	2.5447	0.87526	0.34395
18	22.002	-0.92788	-0.90007	3.1165	6.6713	5.108	4.2696	2.4017	0.83838	0.34908
19	24.001	-1.0561	-1.0352	3.1165	6.5345	5.0746	4.2702	2.2643	0.80443	0.35526
20	26	-1.2103	-1.1804	3.1165	6.3941	5.0406	4.2702	2.1239	0.77048	0.36276
21	28.004	-1.3537	-1.3347	3.1165	6.268	5.012	4.2684	1.9996	0.74355	0.37186
22	30.003	-1.4299	-1.4319	3.1165	6.2627	5.0196	4.2702	1.9926	0.74941	0.3761
23	32.002	-1.4998	-1.4854	3.1165	6.2614	5.0412	4.2696	1.9918	0.77165	0.38741
24	34.001	-1.5402	-1.5241	3.1165	6.2626	5.0524	4.2719	1.9906	0.78043	0.39205
25	36.001	-1.5752	-1.559	3.1165	6.2636	5.0436	4.2702	1.9934	0.77341	0.38798
26	38.004	-1.5949	-1.5858	3.1165	6.2639	5.057	4.2713	1.9925	0.7857	0.39432
27	38.564	-1.6029	-1.5918	3.1165	6.261	5.0606	4.2719	1.989	0.78863	0.39648

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 9

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	3.1165	6.261	5.0606	4.2713	1.9896	0.78921	0.39666
2	0.012417	0	0	3.1165	6.261	5.0606	4.2702	1.9908	0.79038	0.39702
3	0.050467	0	-0.0006385	3.1165	6.2646	5.0611	4.2725	1.9921	0.78863	0.39588
4	0.10053	-0.0008965	-0.0010642	3.1165	6.2677	5.0611	4.2725	1.9952	0.78863	0.39526
5	0.25077	-0.0008965	-0.0021283	3.1165	6.2703	5.0606	4.2719	1.9983	0.78863	0.39464
6	0.50162	0.003586	-0.0034053	3.1165	6.29	5.0617	4.2719	2.018	0.7898	0.39137
7	1.0033	0.007172	-0.0036182	3.1165	6.3254	5.0664	4.2719	2.0534	0.79448	0.3869
8	2.0011	0.018827	0.005108	3.1165	6.3964	5.0793	4.2731	2.1233	0.80619	0.37969
9	4.0003	0.066341	0.047462	3.1165	6.5396	5.0998	4.2731	2.2665	0.82668	0.36474
10	6.0037	0.14972	0.12919	3.1165	6.6808	5.1478	4.2725	2.4083	0.87526	0.36344
11	8.0029	0.24385	0.22411	3.1165	6.8239	5.1847	4.2749	2.5491	0.9098	0.35691
12	10.002	0.34964	0.32478	3.1165	6.9663	5.2174	4.2749	2.6915	0.94258	0.35021
13	12.001	0.44556	0.42992	3.1165	7.1105	5.2455	4.2731	2.8374	0.97243	0.34272
14	14.001	0.55225	0.53676	3.1165	7.2458	5.2807	4.2749	2.9709	1.0058	0.33855
15	16.001	0.59976	0.597	3.1165	7.2476	5.276	4.2725	2.9751	1.0035	0.33729
16	18	0.62307	0.62466	3.1165	7.2477	5.2596	4.2719	2.9758	0.98765	0.3319
17	20.003	0.64369	0.63978	3.1165	7.248	5.2467	4.2719	2.976	0.97477	0.32754
18	22.003	0.65983	0.65212	3.1165	7.248	5.2467	4.2731	2.9749	0.9736	0.32728
19	24.002	0.66431	0.66212	3.1165	7.2498	5.242	4.2719	2.9778	0.97009	0.32577
20	26.001	0.67238	0.6683	3.1165	7.2494	5.235	4.2725	2.9768	0.96248	0.32332
21	28	0.68045	0.67447	3.1165	7.2508	5.2332	4.2725	2.9783	0.96073	0.32258
22	30.004	0.68672	0.68021	3.1165	7.2517	5.2309	4.2725	2.9792	0.95838	0.32169
23	32.004	0.69479	0.68617	3.1165	7.2497	5.2321	4.2719	2.9778	0.96014	0.32244
24	34.003	0.69838	0.69256	3.1165	7.2499	5.2356	4.2731	2.9768	0.96248	0.32333
25	36.002	0.70286	0.69831	3.1165	7.2477	5.2332	4.2719	2.9758	0.96131	0.32305
26	38	0.70734	0.70128	3.1165	7.25	5.2291	4.2725	2.9775	0.95663	0.32128
27	39.006	0.71182	0.7032	3.1165	7.2484	5.2274	4.2725	2.9759	0.95487	0.32087

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 10

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	3.1165	7.252	5.228	4.2725	2.9795	0.95546	0.32067
2	0.012517	0	0.00021283	3.1165	7.2489	5.228	4.2719	2.977	0.95604	0.32114
3	0.050083	0	0.00042567	3.1165	7.2509	5.2268	4.2708	2.9802	0.95604	0.3208
4	0.10015	0.0008965	0.00021283	3.1165	7.254	5.2268	4.2725	2.9815	0.95429	0.32007
5	0.251	0.005379	0.0014898	3.1165	7.2633	5.2268	4.2731	2.9902	0.9537	0.31894
6	0.50133	0.014344	0.0046823	3.1165	7.2836	5.2286	4.2725	3.0111	0.95604	0.31751
7	1.0022	0.034067	0.014898	3.1165	7.3172	5.2379	4.2731	3.0441	0.96482	0.31695
8	2.0041	0.065445	0.045333	3.1165	7.3866	5.259	4.2737	3.1129	0.98531	0.31652
9	4.0034	0.14972	0.12834	3.1165	7.5314	5.3076	4.2731	3.2583	1.0345	0.31749
10	6.004	0.25012	0.22603	3.1165	7.6755	5.3521	4.276	3.3995	1.076	0.31653
11	8.0007	0.34157	0.33159	3.1165	7.8154	5.3954	4.2731	3.5423	1.1223	0.31682
12	10.004	0.45453	0.44142	3.1165	7.9535	5.4334	4.2754	3.6781	1.158	0.31484
13	12.003	0.57824	0.55294	3.1165	8.1033	5.4609	4.2743	3.8291	1.1867	0.30991
14	14.002	0.68851	0.66681	3.1165	8.2371	5.4879	4.2749	3.9623	1.213	0.30614
15	16	0.74679	0.743	3.1165	8.2375	5.4949	4.2731	3.9644	1.2218	0.30819
16	18.004	0.78713	0.78812	3.1165	8.2382	5.4791	4.2731	3.9651	1.206	0.30416
17	20.003	0.82568	0.82047	3.1165	8.2405	5.475	4.2731	3.9674	1.2019	0.30294
18	22.002	0.8454	0.84708	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2713	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
19	24.003	0.86961	0.87091	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2713	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
20	26.003	0.89202	0.89241	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
21	28.002	0.91085	0.91263	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2719	3.9678	1.199	0.30217
22	30.001	0.92788	0.93114	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2731	3.9666	1.1978	0.30197
23	32.001	0.95836	0.94838	3.1165	8.2392	5.4703	4.2719	3.9673	1.1984	0.30207
24	34.004	0.96733	0.96477	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2731	3.9666	1.1978	0.30197
25	36.003	0.98167	0.98031	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2731	3.9666	1.1978	0.30197
26	38.002	0.99153	0.99521	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2731	3.9697	1.1978	0.30173
27	40.002	1.0032	1.0095	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2713	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
28	42.002	1.0122	1.0231	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2731	3.9666	1.1978	0.30197
29	44.001	1.0292	1.0367	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2737	3.9692	1.1972	0.30163
30	46.001	1.0408	1.0495	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2731	3.9666	1.1978	0.30197
31	48.001	1.0597	1.0622	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2713	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
32	50.001	1.0785	1.0742	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2731	3.9666	1.1978	0.30197
33	52	1.0857	1.0863	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
34	54	1.0946	1.0978	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2713	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
35	56.004	1.1009	1.1091	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2719	3.9678	1.199	0.30217
36	58.004	1.1099	1.1199	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2725	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
37	60.003	1.1161	1.1308	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2731	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
38	62.003	1.1323	1.1414	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2719	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
39	64.003	1.1511	1.1516	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2731	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
40	66.003	1.1646	1.1621	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2708	3.9695	1.2007	0.30249
41	68.002	1.1699	1.1721	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
42	70.001	1.1744	1.1821	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2708	3.969	1.2001	0.30238
43	72.001	1.1861	1.1919	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2731	3.9697	1.1978	0.30173
44	74.004	1.1932	1.2017	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2713	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
45	76.003	1.2013	1.2112	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2708	3.969	1.2001	0.30238
46	78.003	1.213	1.2208	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2713	3.9721	1.2001	0.30215
47	80.003	1.2219	1.2302	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2708	3.969	1.2001	0.30238
48	82.002	1.2318	1.2391	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
49	84.002	1.2408	1.2483	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2713	3.969	1.2001	0.30238
50	86.001	1.2524	1.257	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2719	3.9678	1.199	0.30217
51	88	1.2623	1.2657	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2731	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
52	90.003	1.2712	1.2747	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2713	3.969	1.2001	0.30238
53	92.003	1.2757	1.283	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2719	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
54	94.002	1.282	1.2917	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2713	3.969	1.2001	0.30238
55	96	1.2883	1.3002	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
56	98.004	1.2963	1.3085	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2719	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
57	100	1.3062	1.3168	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2731	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
58	102	1.3179	1.3253	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2725	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
59	104	1.3286	1.3336	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
60	106	1.3412	1.3417	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2731	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
61	108	1.3483	1.3498	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
62	110	1.3555	1.3579	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2713	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
63	112	1.3591	1.3655	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2725	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
64	114	1.3654	1.3734	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
65	116	1.3716	1.3815	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2708	3.9695	1.2007	0.30249
66	118	1.3815	1.3892	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2725	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
67	120	1.3896	1.3968	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2725	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
68	122	1.4003	1.4047	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
69	124	1.4111	1.4124	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2713	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
70	126	1.4183	1.4198	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2719	3.9678	1.199	0.30217
71	128	1.4299	1.4277	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
72	130	1.4371	1.4351	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2713	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
73	132	1.4416	1.4426	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2702	3.9696	1.2007	0.30248
74	134	1.447	1.4498	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
75	136	1.4523	1.4573	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2719	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
76	138	1.4559	1.4645	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2719	3.9678	1.199	0.30217
77	140	1.4613	1.4717	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2713	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
78	142	1.4694	1.4786	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
79	144	1.4765	1.4858	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2713	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
80	146	1.4837	1.4924	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2731	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
81	148	1.4936	1.4996	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
82	150	1.5043	1.5066	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2725	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
83	152	1.507	1.5135	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2731	3.9666	1.1978	0.30197
84	154	1.5151	1.5205	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2731	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
85	156	1.5214	1.5281	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2708	3.969	1.2001	0.30238
86	158	1.525	1.535	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2719	3.9678	1.199	0.30217
87	160	1.5285	1.5413	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
88	162	1.5321	1.5481	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2708	3.969	1.2001	0.30238
89	164	1.5384	1.555	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
90	166	1.5447	1.5618	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
91	168	1.5509	1.5686	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2719	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
92	170	1.559	1.5752	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194

93	172	1.5662	1.5818	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2719	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
94	174	1.5734	1.5882	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2731	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
95	176	1.5805	1.5948	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
96	178	1.5886	1.6011	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
97	180	1.594	1.6075	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
98	182	1.602	1.6141	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2708	3.969	1.2001	0.30238
99	184	1.6083	1.6205	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2708	3.969	1.2001	0.30238
100	186	1.6146	1.6265	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2731	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
101	188	1.62	1.6329	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
102	190	1.6263	1.6392	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2713	3.9721	1.2001	0.30215
103	192	1.6334	1.6454	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2731	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
104	194	1.6397	1.6518	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2731	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
105	196	1.6442	1.6582	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
106	198	1.6532	1.6646	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2713	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
107	200	1.6603	1.6707	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2731	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
108	202	1.6657	1.6769	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2731	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
109	204	1.672	1.6831	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2719	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
110	206	1.6774	1.6893	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2719	3.9678	1.199	0.30217
111	208	1.6845	1.6952	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
112	210	1.6881	1.7012	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2731	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
113	212	1.6899	1.7073	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2725	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
114	214	1.6962	1.7133	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
115	216	1.7034	1.7193	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2719	3.9678	1.199	0.30217
116	218	1.7105	1.7252	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2719	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
117	220	1.715	1.731	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2713	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
118	222	1.7204	1.7369	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
119	224	1.7276	1.7427	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
120	226	1.7347	1.7486	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
121	228	1.7401	1.7546	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2713	3.969	1.2001	0.30238
122	230	1.7455	1.7601	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
123	232	1.7554	1.7659	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
124	234	1.7634	1.7718	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2713	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
125	236	1.767	1.7776	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2719	3.9678	1.199	0.30217
126	238	1.7724	1.7833	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2719	3.9678	1.199	0.30217
127	240	1.7769	1.7886	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
128	242	1.7805	1.7944	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
129	244	1.784	1.8004	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2713	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
130	246	1.7894	1.8057	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
131	248	1.7921	1.8114	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
132	250	1.7957	1.8167	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2713	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
133	252	1.7993	1.8223	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2702	3.9727	1.2007	0.30225
134	254	1.8047	1.8276	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2719	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
135	256	1.81	1.8331	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2731	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
136	258	1.8145	1.8385	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
137	260	1.8217	1.844	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2713	3.9721	1.2001	0.30215
138	262	1.8289	1.8493	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2719	3.9678	1.199	0.30217
139	264	1.8369	1.8546	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
140	266	1.8432	1.8602	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2719	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
141	268	1.8513	1.8655	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
142	270	1.8558	1.8712	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2708	3.9726	1.2007	0.30225
143	272	1.8593	1.8765	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2713	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
144	274	1.8629	1.8819	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2731	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
145	276	1.8656	1.8872	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2725	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
146	278	1.8719	1.8925	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
147	280	1.8773	1.8976	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2731	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
148	282	1.888	1.9029	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2719	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
149	284	1.8952	1.908	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
150	286	1.897	1.9136	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2708	3.9695	1.2007	0.30249
151	288	1.9006	1.9183	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2725	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
152	290	1.9087	1.9234	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
153	292	1.9131	1.9285	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
154	294	1.9158	1.9334	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
155	296	1.9203	1.9389	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2719	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
156	298	1.9239	1.9438	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
157	300	1.9293	1.9489	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2731	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
158	302	1.9373	1.9542	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2713	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
159	304	1.9391	1.9591	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
160	306	1.9427	1.964	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
161	308	1.9472	1.9691	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2708	3.9726	1.2007	0.30225
162	310	1.9562	1.9738	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2719	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
163	312	1.9633	1.9789	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2696	3.9738	1.2019	0.30246
164	314	1.9678	1.9836	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
165	316	1.9696	1.9885	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
166	318	1.9723	1.9934	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2725	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
167	320	1.9759	1.9983	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
168	322	1.9831	2.003	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
169	324	1.9911	2.0079	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
170	326	1.9938	2.0125	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2731	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
171	328	1.9983	2.0179	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2719	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
172	330	2.0019	2.0228	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2713	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
173	332	2.0082	2.0277	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2719	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
174	334	2.0118	2.0323	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2731	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
175	336	2.0153	2.037	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
176	338	2.0198	2.0419	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2719	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
177	340	2.0279	2.0468	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
178	342	2.036	2.0517	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2708	3.9726	1.2007	0.30225
179	344	2.0404	2.0562	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2719	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
180	346	2.0458	2.0609	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2708	3.9721	1.2001	0.30214
181	348	2.0512	2.0653	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2719	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
182	350	2.0575	2.07	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2713	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
183	352	2.0629	2.0745	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2719	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
184	354	2.0673	2.079	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
185	356	2.0682	2.0836	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
186	358	2.0718	2.0883	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2713	3.9721	1.2001	0.30215
187	360	2.0781	2.0928	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2719	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
188	362	2.0808	2.0973	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
189	364	2.0844	2.1017	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
190	366	2.0915	2.1062	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
191	368	2.0942	2.1105	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2731	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
192	370	2.0987	2.1151	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
193	372	2.1014	2.1198	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2708	3.969	1.2001	0.30238

194	374	2.105	2.1241	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
195	376	2.1086	2.1283	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
196	378	2.1131	2.1326	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2719	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
197	380	2.1184	2.1366	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2725	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
198	382	2.1238	2.1409	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
199	384	2.1301	2.1456	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2708	3.9726	1.2007	0.30225
200	386	2.1355	2.1498	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
201	388	2.1408	2.1543	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2702	3.9701	1.2013	0.30259
202	390	2.1462	2.1583	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2719	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
203	392	2.1525	2.1626	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2725	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
204	394	2.157	2.1671	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2713	3.969	1.2001	0.30238
205	396	2.1624	2.1711	3.1165	8.2408	5.4721	4.2719	3.9689	1.2001	0.30239
206	398	2.1677	2.1754	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
207	400	2.1749	2.1798	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
208	402	2.1785	2.1841	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
209	404	2.1821	2.1883	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2719	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
210	406	2.1866	2.1924	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2719	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
211	408	2.1893	2.1966	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2731	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
212	410	2.1919	2.2007	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
213	412	2.1937	2.2047	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
214	414	2.1937	2.209	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2719	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
215	416	2.1955	2.2133	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
216	418	2.1982	2.2173	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
217	420	2.1991	2.2211	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2725	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
218	422	2.2018	2.2256	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
219	424	2.2063	2.2296	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2713	3.9721	1.2001	0.30215
220	426	2.2108	2.2337	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2713	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
221	428	2.2188	2.2377	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
222	430	2.2251	2.242	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2713	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
223	432	2.2296	2.246	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
224	434	2.2359	2.2501	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
225	436	2.2413	2.2539	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
226	438	2.243	2.2579	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
227	440	2.2457	2.2618	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
228	442	2.2502	2.2656	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
229	444	2.2547	2.2697	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
230	446	2.2592	2.2737	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
231	448	2.2655	2.2777	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
232	450	2.2735	2.2816	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
233	452	2.278	2.2856	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
234	454	2.2816	2.2894	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
235	456	2.287	2.2933	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2713	3.9721	1.2001	0.30215
236	458	2.2915	2.2971	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
237	460	2.2968	2.3009	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2713	3.9721	1.2001	0.30215
238	462	2.2986	2.3046	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
239	464	2.3022	2.3088	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2713	3.969	1.2001	0.30238
240	466	2.3031	2.3124	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2713	3.969	1.2001	0.30238
241	468	2.3067	2.316	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
242	470	2.3094	2.3197	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
243	472	2.313	2.3233	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
244	474	2.3139	2.3271	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2702	3.9727	1.2007	0.30225
245	476	2.3175	2.3307	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
246	478	2.3219	2.3344	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
247	480	2.3255	2.3382	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
248	482	2.33	2.342	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
249	484	2.3327	2.3458	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
250	486	2.3372	2.3495	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
251	488	2.3408	2.3531	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2725	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
252	490	2.3444	2.3569	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2708	3.9721	1.2001	0.30214
253	492	2.3479	2.3603	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
254	494	2.3524	2.3641	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.269	3.9707	1.2019	0.30269
255	496	2.3569	2.3676	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
256	498	2.3614	2.3712	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
257	500	2.3641	2.3748	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
258	502	2.3677	2.3782	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
259	504	2.3712	2.382	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
260	506	2.3721	2.3856	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2713	3.969	1.2001	0.30238
261	508	2.3739	2.3893	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2708	3.9721	1.2001	0.30214
262	510	2.3757	2.3929	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2702	3.9727	1.2007	0.30225
263	512	2.3775	2.3963	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2719	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
264	514	2.3793	2.3999	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
265	516	2.3811	2.4033	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
266	518	2.3838	2.4069	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2713	3.969	1.2001	0.30238
267	520	2.3892	2.4099	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
268	522	2.3946	2.4135	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
269	524	2.4008	2.4169	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2713	3.9721	1.2001	0.30215
270	526	2.4089	2.4203	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
271	528	2.4134	2.4235	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2725	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
272	530	2.417	2.4269	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
273	532	2.4206	2.4308	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2719	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
274	534	2.4268	2.4342	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
275	536	2.4322	2.4376	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2719	3.9678	1.199	0.30217
276	538	2.4349	2.441	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
277	540	2.4394	2.4444	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2708	3.9721	1.2001	0.30214
278	542	2.4412	2.4476	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2725	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
279	544	2.4439	2.4508	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
280	546	2.4457	2.4542	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2725	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
281	548	2.4475	2.4574	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2708	3.9726	1.2007	0.30225
282	550	2.451	2.4606	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
283	552	2.4555	2.4638	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
284	554	2.4591	2.4672	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
285	556	2.4636	2.4706	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2713	3.9721	1.2001	0.30215
286	558	2.4681	2.4738	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2713	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
287	560	2.4717	2.4767	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
288	562	2.4734	2.4799	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
289	564	2.4761	2.4831	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
290	566	2.477	2.4863	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
291	568	2.4797	2.4897	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2713	3.9721	1.2001	0.30215
292	570	2.4851	2.4927	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
293	572	2.4896	2.4961	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2708	3.9695	1.2007	0.30249
294	574	2.4941	2.4991	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218

295	576	2.4968	2.5021	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2725	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
296	578	2.4986	2.5053	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
297	580	2.5012	2.5084	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
298	582	2.5021	2.5114	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
299	584	2.5039	2.5144	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2713	3.9721	1.2001	0.30215
300	586	2.5048	2.5174	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2719	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
301	588	2.5084	2.5206	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2713	3.969	1.2001	0.30238
302	590	2.5129	2.5236	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
303	592	2.521	2.5263	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
304	594	2.5263	2.5293	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2719	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
305	596	2.5308	2.5325	3.1165	8.2392	5.4703	4.2713	3.9679	1.199	0.30217
306	598	2.5326	2.5353	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2719	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
307	600	2.5344	2.5382	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
308	602	2.5371	2.5412	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
309	604	2.5398	2.5438	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2731	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
310	606	2.5434	2.547	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
311	608	2.5452	2.55	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
312	610	2.5488	2.5529	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2708	3.9726	1.2007	0.30225
313	612	2.5523	2.5555	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
314	614	2.5559	2.5583	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
315	616	2.5577	2.5608	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
316	618	2.5622	2.564	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
317	620	2.564	2.5668	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2719	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
318	622	2.5676	2.5695	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
319	624	2.5694	2.5725	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2713	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
320	626	2.5748	2.5753	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
321	628	2.5774	2.578	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
322	630	2.5828	2.5808	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
323	632	2.5864	2.5834	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2725	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
324	634	2.5882	2.5861	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2713	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
325	636	2.59	2.5891	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2696	3.9702	1.2013	0.30259
326	638	2.5909	2.5917	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
327	640	2.5927	2.5944	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
328	642	2.5945	2.5972	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
329	644	2.5963	2.6	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
330	646	2.6008	2.6025	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
331	648	2.6043	2.6051	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2731	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
332	650	2.6088	2.6081	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2713	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
333	652	2.6142	2.6106	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2719	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
334	654	2.6196	2.6134	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
335	656	2.625	2.6159	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
336	658	2.6285	2.6187	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
337	660	2.6303	2.6213	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
338	662	2.6375	2.6238	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
339	664	2.6402	2.6266	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
340	666	2.6429	2.6293	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2713	3.9721	1.2001	0.30215
341	668	2.6456	2.6319	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2702	3.9727	1.2007	0.30225
342	670	2.6501	2.634	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2725	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
343	672	2.6528	2.637	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2713	3.9721	1.2001	0.30215
344	674	2.6545	2.6393	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2719	3.9678	1.199	0.30217
345	676	2.6563	2.6419	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
346	678	2.659	2.6444	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2713	3.9721	1.2001	0.30215
347	680	2.6608	2.6472	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
348	682	2.6626	2.6498	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
349	684	2.6662	2.6525	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
350	686	2.6671	2.6551	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
351	688	2.6671	2.6576	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
352	690	2.668	2.6602	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
353	692	2.6689	2.663	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2708	3.9695	1.2007	0.30249
354	694	2.6698	2.6653	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2725	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
355	696	2.6716	2.6679	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
356	698	2.6743	2.6706	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2713	3.9721	1.2001	0.30215
357	700	2.677	2.6732	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
358	702	2.6787	2.6757	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
359	704	2.6814	2.6781	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
360	706	2.6859	2.6806	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
361	708	2.6913	2.6832	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2719	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
362	710	2.694	2.6857	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
363	712	2.6976	2.6883	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2713	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
364	714	2.7003	2.6908	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2719	3.9678	1.199	0.30217
365	716	2.703	2.6932	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2725	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
366	718	2.7065	2.6957	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
367	720	2.7074	2.6983	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2708	3.9726	1.2007	0.30225
368	722	2.711	2.7006	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
369	724	2.7137	2.703	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2725	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
370	726	2.7191	2.7055	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2713	3.969	1.2001	0.30238
371	728	2.7245	2.7079	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
372	730	2.7272	2.7104	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
373	732	2.729	2.7128	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
374	734	2.7298	2.7151	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
375	736	2.7316	2.7177	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2713	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
376	738	2.7334	2.72	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
377	740	2.7343	2.7223	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
378	742	2.7379	2.7249	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
379	744	2.7406	2.7272	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2719	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
380	746	2.7433	2.7296	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2719	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
381	748	2.7442	2.7319	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
382	750	2.7478	2.7343	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
383	752	2.7505	2.7366	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
384	754	2.7532	2.7389	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
385	756	2.7558	2.7413	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
386	758	2.7594	2.7434	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
387	760	2.7621	2.7458	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
388	762	2.7666	2.7481	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
389	764	2.7693	2.7504	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
390	766	2.772	2.7528	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
391	768	2.7756	2.7553	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
392	770	2.7792	2.7577	3.1165	8.2465	5.4715	4.2725	3.974	1.199	0.30171
393	772	2.7809	2.7602	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
394	774	2.7818	2.7626	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
395	776	2.7845	2.7649	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2713	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204

396	778	2.7881	2.767	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2719	3.9678	1.199	0.30217
397	780	2.7962	2.7694	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
398	782	2.7998	2.7721	3.1165	8.245	5.4732	4.2719	3.9731	1.2013	0.30236
399	784	2.8007	2.7745	3.1165	8.2425	5.4738	4.2702	3.9723	1.2037	0.30301
400	786	2.8016	2.7766	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2713	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
401	788	2.8034	2.7785	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2731	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
402	790	2.8052	2.7809	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
403	792	2.8069	2.7832	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2719	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
404	794	2.8087	2.7853	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
405	796	2.8114	2.7879	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2713	3.969	1.2001	0.30238
406	798	2.8141	2.7902	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2708	3.9721	1.2001	0.30214
407	800	2.8168	2.7924	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
408	802	2.8195	2.7947	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
409	804	2.8204	2.7971	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
410	806	2.8222	2.7992	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
411	808	2.824	2.8017	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2708	3.9695	1.2007	0.30249
412	810	2.8267	2.8036	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2719	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
413	812	2.8303	2.806	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
414	814	2.8312	2.8081	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
415	816	2.8321	2.8102	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
416	818	2.8338	2.8126	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
417	820	2.8338	2.8151	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
418	822	2.8356	2.8173	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
419	824	2.8392	2.8194	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
420	826	2.841	2.8217	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2719	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
421	828	2.8446	2.8241	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2713	3.9721	1.2001	0.30215
422	830	2.8455	2.8262	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
423	832	2.8473	2.8286	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
424	834	2.8473	2.8309	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2713	3.969	1.2001	0.30238
425	836	2.8491	2.833	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
426	838	2.85	2.8351	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
427	840	2.8527	2.8373	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
428	842	2.8563	2.8396	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
429	844	2.8589	2.8417	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2702	3.9696	1.2007	0.30248
430	846	2.8607	2.8439	3.1165	8.2397	5.4709	4.2725	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
431	848	2.867	2.846	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
432	850	2.8715	2.8483	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2713	3.9721	1.2001	0.30215
433	852	2.8751	2.8503	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
434	854	2.8787	2.8526	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
435	856	2.8805	2.8547	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
436	858	2.8832	2.8571	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
437	860	2.8894	2.8596	3.1165	8.2419	5.4732	4.2719	3.97	1.2013	0.3026
438	862	2.8921	2.8626	3.1165	8.2452	5.4768	4.2725	3.9727	1.2042	0.30313
439	864	2.8939	2.8654	3.1165	8.2438	5.4785	4.2725	3.9713	1.206	0.30368
440	866	2.8975	2.8688	3.1165	8.244	5.482	4.2713	3.9727	1.2107	0.30475
441	868	2.9011	2.8718	3.1165	8.2431	5.4844	4.2713	3.9718	1.213	0.30541
442	870	2.9038	2.8752	3.1165	8.2433	5.4879	4.2708	3.9726	1.2171	0.30638
443	872	2.9074	2.8784	3.1165	8.241	5.492	4.2731	3.9679	1.2189	0.30719
444	874	2.91	2.8815	3.1165	8.2395	5.4937	4.2725	3.967	1.2212	0.30784
445	876	2.9118	2.8843	3.1165	8.2421	5.4931	4.2725	3.9696	1.2206	0.3075
446	878	2.9127	2.8869	3.1165	8.2399	5.4908	4.2713	3.9685	1.2195	0.30728
447	880	2.9136	2.8884	3.1165	8.2422	5.4867	4.2725	3.9697	1.2142	0.30587
448	882	2.9154	2.8894	3.1165	8.2409	5.482	4.2719	3.969	1.2101	0.30489
449	884	2.9172	2.8903	3.1165	8.2432	5.4779	4.2725	3.9707	1.2054	0.30357
450	886	2.9199	2.8915	3.1165	8.2452	5.4768	4.2713	3.9739	1.2054	0.30333
451	888	2.9199	2.8933	3.1165	8.2441	5.4756	4.2719	3.9722	1.2037	0.30302
452	890	2.9208	2.8945	3.1165	8.2425	5.4738	4.2719	3.9706	1.2019	0.3027
453	892	2.9235	2.8962	3.1165	8.2439	5.4721	4.2713	3.9726	1.2007	0.30225
454	894	2.9253	2.8979	3.1165	8.2408	5.4721	4.2708	3.9701	1.2013	0.30259
455	896	2.9271	2.8994	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
456	898	2.9289	2.9013	3.1165	8.2439	5.4721	4.2725	3.9714	1.1996	0.30205
457	900	2.9307	2.9033	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2708	3.9726	1.2007	0.30225
458	902	2.9325	2.905	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2719	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
459	904	2.9334	2.9069	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
460	906	2.9351	2.9088	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2719	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
461	908	2.936	2.9109	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
462	910	2.9396	2.9128	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
463	912	2.945	2.915	3.1165	8.2439	5.4721	4.2725	3.9714	1.1996	0.30205
464	914	2.9468	2.9177	3.1165	8.2441	5.4756	4.2725	3.9716	1.2031	0.30292
465	916	2.9486	2.9203	3.1165	8.2421	5.4768	4.2719	3.9702	1.2048	0.30347
466	918	2.9495	2.9226	3.1165	8.2421	5.4768	4.2719	3.9702	1.2048	0.30347
467	920	2.9504	2.9248	3.1165	8.2445	5.4727	4.2708	3.9737	1.2019	0.30246
468	922	2.9531	2.9262	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2731	3.9672	1.1984	0.30207
469	924	2.9549	2.9279	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
470	926	2.9585	2.9301	3.1165	8.2408	5.4721	4.2719	3.9689	1.2001	0.30239
471	928	2.9611	2.9324	3.1165	8.2414	5.4727	4.2719	3.9695	1.2007	0.30249
472	930	2.962	2.9343	3.1165	8.2388	5.4732	4.2719	3.9669	1.2013	0.30283
473	932	2.9647	2.9365	3.1165	8.2425	5.4738	4.2725	3.97	1.2013	0.3026
474	934	2.9665	2.9384	3.1165	8.2383	5.4727	4.2713	3.967	1.2013	0.30283
475	936	2.9683	2.9407	3.1165	8.245	5.4732	4.2725	3.9725	1.2007	0.30226
476	938	2.9701	2.9422	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
477	940	2.9737	2.9443	3.1165	8.2439	5.4721	4.2713	3.9726	1.2007	0.30225
478	942	2.9755	2.9467	3.1165	8.2425	5.4738	4.2719	3.9706	1.2019	0.3027
479	944	2.9782	2.9494	3.1165	8.2441	5.4756	4.2696	3.9746	1.206	0.30343
480	946	2.9791	2.9514	3.1165	8.2441	5.4756	4.2731	3.9711	1.2025	0.30281
481	948	2.9827	2.9535	3.1165	8.2445	5.4727	4.2713	3.9732	1.2013	0.30236
482	950	2.9836	2.9552	3.1165	8.245	5.4732	4.2713	3.9737	1.2019	0.30246
483	952	2.9854	2.9573	3.1165	8.2439	5.4721	4.2708	3.9732	1.2013	0.30236
484	954	2.9862	2.9588	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
485	956	2.988	2.9607	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2708	3.9726	1.2007	0.30225
486	958	2.9916	2.9628	3.1165	8.2439	5.4721	4.2713	3.9726	1.2007	0.30225
487	960	2.9943	2.965	3.1165	8.2445	5.4727	4.2713	3.9732	1.2013	0.30236
488	962	2.997	2.9671	3.1165	8.2436	5.475	4.2725	3.9711	1.2025	0.30281
489	964	2.9988	2.9699	3.1165	8.2427	5.4773	4.2719	3.9708	1.2054	0.30357
490	966	2.9997	2.9718	3.1165	8.2421	5.4768	4.2725	3.9696	1.2042	0.30336
491	968	3.0015	2.9741	3.1165	8.2436	5.475	4.2713	3.9723	1.2037	0.30302
492	970	3.0033	2.9756	3.1165	8.2419	5.4732	4.2713	3.9706	1.2019	0.3027
493	972	3.006	2.9775	3.1165	8.2419	5.4732	4.2713	3.9706	1.2019	0.3027
494	974	3.0078	2.9794	3.1165	8.2425	5.4738	4.2713	3.9712	1.2025	0.3028
495	976	3.0105	2.9812	3.1165	8.2419	5.4732	4.2713	3.9706	1.2019	0.3027
496	978	3.0122	2.9835	3.1165	8.2399	5.4744	4.2719	3.968	1.2025	0.30304

497	980	3.0149	2.9858	3.1165	8.2436	5.475	4.2719	3.9717	1.2031	0.30291
498	982	3.0176	2.988	3.1165	8.2405	5.475	4.2725	3.968	1.2025	0.30305
499	984	3.0203	2.9905	3.1165	8.2421	5.4768	4.2719	3.9702	1.2048	0.30347
500	986	3.0212	2.9926	3.1165	8.2396	5.4773	4.2719	3.9677	1.2054	0.30381
501	988	3.0266	2.9954	3.1165	8.2418	5.4797	4.2713	3.9705	1.2083	0.30433
502	990	3.0275	2.9982	3.1165	8.242	5.4832	4.2713	3.9707	1.2119	0.3052
503	992	3.0311	3.0009	3.1165	8.24	5.4844	4.2719	3.9681	1.2124	0.30555
504	994	3.0347	3.0041	3.1165	8.245	5.4896	4.2725	3.9724	1.2171	0.30639
505	996	3.0373	3.0075	3.1165	8.2426	5.4937	4.2713	3.9713	1.2224	0.30781
506	998	3.0391	3.0101	3.1165	8.2446	5.4926	4.2725	3.9721	1.22	0.30715
507	1000	3.04	3.0124	3.1165	8.2435	5.4914	4.2719	3.9716	1.2195	0.30705
508	1002	3.0409	3.0141	3.1165	8.2402	5.4879	4.2725	3.9677	1.2154	0.30631
509	1004	3.0427	3.0156	3.1165	8.2431	5.4844	4.2708	3.9723	1.2136	0.30551
510	1006	3.0427	3.0163	3.1165	8.2449	5.4797	4.2719	3.973	1.2078	0.30399
511	1008	3.0445	3.0171	3.1165	8.2447	5.4762	4.2719	3.9728	1.2042	0.30312
512	1010	3.0445	3.0178	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
513	1012	3.0454	3.0186	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
514	1014	3.0454	3.0199	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2731	3.9703	1.1984	0.30184
515	1016	3.0481	3.0216	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2719	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
516	1018	3.0499	3.0231	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2713	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
517	1020	3.0535	3.0246	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2719	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
518	1022	3.0562	3.0267	3.1165	8.2445	5.4727	4.2725	3.972	1.2001	0.30215
519	1024	3.058	3.0288	3.1165	8.2445	5.4727	4.2708	3.9737	1.2019	0.30246
520	1026	3.0598	3.0307	3.1165	8.2445	5.4727	4.2713	3.9732	1.2013	0.30236
521	1028	3.0625	3.0327	3.1165	8.2425	5.4738	4.2708	3.9717	1.2031	0.30291
522	1030	3.0633	3.0344	3.1165	8.2425	5.4738	4.2725	3.97	1.2013	0.3026
523	1032	3.0651	3.0361	3.1165	8.2419	5.4732	4.2725	3.9694	1.2007	0.30249
524	1034	3.0669	3.0382	3.1165	8.2445	5.4727	4.2713	3.9732	1.2013	0.30236
525	1036	3.0669	3.0397	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
526	1038	3.0678	3.0414	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2719	3.9684	1.1996	0.30228
527	1040	3.0696	3.0431	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
528	1042	3.0723	3.045	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
529	1044	3.0741	3.0465	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
530	1046	3.0759	3.0482	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
531	1048	3.0777	3.0501	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2713	3.9721	1.2001	0.30215
532	1050	3.0786	3.0518	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
533	1052	3.0813	3.0535	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
534	1054	3.084	3.0554	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2708	3.9726	1.2007	0.30225
535	1056	3.0885	3.0573	3.1165	8.2439	5.4721	4.2719	3.972	1.2001	0.30215
536	1058	3.0902	3.0599	3.1165	8.2421	5.4768	4.2713	3.9708	1.2054	0.30357
537	1060	3.0947	3.0629	3.1165	8.2393	5.4803	4.2713	3.9679	1.2089	0.30468
538	1062	3.0965	3.0659	3.1165	8.2437	5.4849	4.2713	3.9723	1.2136	0.30552
539	1064	3.0992	3.0688	3.1165	8.2433	5.4879	4.2725	3.9708	1.2154	0.30608
540	1066	3.1028	3.072	3.1165	8.2435	5.4914	4.2719	3.9716	1.2195	0.30705
541	1068	3.1046	3.0752	3.1165	8.2426	5.4937	4.2708	3.9719	1.223	0.30791
542	1070	3.1073	3.0776	3.1165	8.2432	5.4943	4.2719	3.9712	1.2224	0.30781
543	1072	3.1091	3.0797	3.1165	8.2426	5.4937	4.2725	3.9701	1.2212	0.3076
544	1074	3.1136	3.082	3.1165	8.2437	5.4949	4.2725	3.9712	1.2224	0.30781
545	1076	3.1153	3.085	3.1165	8.2439	5.4984	4.2713	3.9726	1.2271	0.30889
546	1078	3.1171	3.0876	3.1165	8.245	5.4996	4.2725	3.9725	1.2271	0.30889
547	1080	3.118	3.0897	3.1165	8.2434	5.4978	4.2719	3.9714	1.2259	0.30868
548	1082	3.118	3.091	3.1165	8.2432	5.4943	4.2719	3.9712	1.2224	0.30781
549	1084	3.1198	3.0918	3.1165	8.2424	5.4902	4.2719	3.9705	1.2183	0.30684
550	1086	3.1216	3.0927	3.1165	8.2422	5.4867	4.2725	3.9697	1.2142	0.30587
551	1088	3.1216	3.0935	3.1165	8.2426	5.4838	4.2719	3.9706	1.2119	0.3052
552	1090	3.1225	3.0944	3.1165	8.2424	5.4803	4.2719	3.9704	1.2083	0.30433
553	1092	3.1252	3.0957	3.1165	8.2424	5.4803	4.2719	3.9704	1.2083	0.30433
554	1094	3.1261	3.0967	3.1165	8.2424	5.4803	4.2713	3.971	1.2089	0.30444
555	1096	3.127	3.0982	3.1165	8.2438	5.4785	4.2719	3.9719	1.2066	0.30378
556	1098	3.127	3.0991	3.1165	8.2405	5.475	4.2719	3.9686	1.2031	0.30315
557	1100	3.1288	3.0999	3.1165	8.2439	5.4721	4.2725	3.9714	1.1996	0.30205
558	1102	3.1306	3.101	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
559	1104	3.1315	3.1025	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
560	1106	3.1324	3.1037	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
561	1108	3.1342	3.1052	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
562	1110	3.1342	3.1069	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2713	3.9721	1.2001	0.30215
563	1112	3.136	3.1084	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2713	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
564	1114	3.1369	3.1101	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2708	3.9726	1.2007	0.30225
565	1116	3.1396	3.1116	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
566	1118	3.1422	3.1133	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
567	1120	3.1458	3.115	3.1165	8.2408	5.4721	4.2725	3.9683	1.1996	0.30228
568	1122	3.1476	3.1172	3.1165	8.2425	5.4738	4.2719	3.9706	1.2019	0.3027
569	1124	3.1503	3.1193	3.1165	8.2447	5.4762	4.2725	3.9722	1.2037	0.30302
570	1126	3.1512	3.1216	3.1165	8.2447	5.4762	4.2725	3.9722	1.2037	0.30302
571	1128	3.1521	3.1229	3.1165	8.2445	5.4727	4.2725	3.972	1.2001	0.30215
572	1130	3.153	3.1246	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2702	3.9727	1.2007	0.30225
573	1132	3.1548	3.1259	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
574	1134	3.1584	3.1276	3.1165	8.2445	5.4727	4.2731	3.9714	1.1996	0.30205
575	1136	3.1593	3.1297	3.1165	8.2394	5.4738	4.2725	3.9669	1.2013	0.30284
576	1138	3.1611	3.1312	3.1165	8.2425	5.4738	4.2719	3.9706	1.2019	0.3027
577	1140	3.1629	3.1331	3.1165	8.2425	5.4738	4.2719	3.9706	1.2019	0.3027
578	1142	3.1647	3.1348	3.1165	8.2425	5.4738	4.2708	3.9717	1.2031	0.30291
579	1144	3.1682	3.1365	3.1165	8.2441	5.4756	4.2725	3.9716	1.2031	0.30292
580	1146	3.1718	3.1391	3.1165	8.2443	5.4791	4.2725	3.9718	1.2066	0.30378
581	1148	3.1745	3.1421	3.1165	8.2437	5.4849	4.2725	3.9711	1.2124	0.30531
582	1150	3.1772	3.1455	3.1165	8.2419	5.4896	4.2725	3.9693	1.2171	0.30663
583	1152	3.179	3.1482	3.1165	8.2435	5.4914	4.2719	3.9716	1.2195	0.30705
584	1154	3.1826	3.1512	3.1165	8.2457	5.4937	4.2708	3.975	1.223	0.30767
585	1156	3.1844	3.1535	3.1165	8.2437	5.4949	4.2719	3.9718	1.223	0.30792
586	1158	3.188	3.1563	3.1165	8.2454	5.4967	4.2719	3.9734	1.2247	0.30823
587	1160	3.1889	3.1589	3.1165	8.2439	5.4984	4.2713	3.9726	1.2271	0.30889
588	1162	3.1907	3.161	3.1165	8.2439	5.4984	4.2719	3.972	1.2265	0.30878
589	1164	3.1924	3.1629	3.1165	8.2448	5.4961	4.2719	3.9729	1.2241	0.30812
590	1166	3.1933	3.1644	3.1165	8.2426	5.4937	4.2719	3.9707	1.2218	0.30771
591	1168	3.1942	3.1655	3.1165	8.2444	5.489	4.2713	3.9731	1.2177	0.30649
592	1170	3.1951	3.1659	3.1165	8.2437	5.4849	4.2719	3.9717	1.213	0.30541
593	1172	3.1951	3.1665	3.1165	8.244	5.482	4.2731	3.9709	1.2089	0.30445
594	1174	3.196	3.1672	3.1165	8.2438	5.4785	4.2719	3.9719	1.2066	0.30378
595	1176	3.1969	3.1676	3.1165	8.2441	5.4756	4.2725	3.9716	1.2031	0.30292
596	1178	3.1987	3.1682	3.1165	8.2425	5.4738	4.2719	3.9706	1.2019	0.3027
597	1180	3.1996	3.1691	3.1165	8.245	5.4732	4.2725	3.9725	1.2007	0.30226

598	1182	3.2005	3.1704	3.1165	8.2419	5.4732	4.2719	3.97	1.2013	0.3026
599	1184	3.2032	3.1719	3.1165	8.2436	5.475	4.2719	3.9717	1.2031	0.30291
600	1186	3.205	3.1738	3.1165	8.2447	5.4762	4.2725	3.9722	1.2037	0.30302
601	1188	3.2068	3.1757	3.1165	8.2432	5.4779	4.2713	3.9719	1.2066	0.30378
602	1190	3.2086	3.1776	3.1165	8.2407	5.4785	4.2708	3.9699	1.2078	0.30422
603	1192	3.2095	3.1799	3.1165	8.2449	5.4797	4.2708	3.9741	1.2089	0.3042
604	1194	3.2113	3.1812	3.1165	8.2432	5.4779	4.2725	3.9707	1.2054	0.30357
605	1196	3.2131	3.1825	3.1165	8.2436	5.475	4.2719	3.9717	1.2031	0.30291
606	1198	3.2175	3.1846	3.1165	8.2438	5.4785	4.2708	3.973	1.2078	0.30399
607	1200	3.2193	3.187	3.1165	8.2451	5.4832	4.2719	3.9732	1.2113	0.30486
608	1202	3.2211	3.1893	3.1165	8.2442	5.4855	4.2725	3.9717	1.213	0.30542
609	1204	3.2247	3.1921	3.1165	8.2419	5.4896	4.2713	3.9705	1.2183	0.30683
610	1206	3.2265	3.1944	3.1165	8.2441	5.492	4.2725	3.9716	1.2195	0.30705
611	1208	3.2301	3.1974	3.1165	8.2417	5.4961	4.2719	3.9698	1.2241	0.30836
612	1210	3.231	3.2002	3.1165	8.2439	5.4984	4.2708	3.9732	1.2277	0.30899
613	1212	3.2337	3.2025	3.1165	8.2419	5.4996	4.2725	3.9694	1.2271	0.30913
614	1214	3.2355	3.2048	3.1165	8.245	5.4996	4.2725	3.9725	1.2271	0.30889
615	1216	3.2373	3.2068	3.1165	8.2439	5.4984	4.2719	3.972	1.2265	0.30878
616	1218	3.2382	3.2082	3.1165	8.2397	5.4972	4.2708	3.969	1.2265	0.30902
617	1220	3.24	3.2097	3.1165	8.2454	5.4967	4.2719	3.9734	1.2247	0.30823
618	1222	3.2418	3.2114	3.1165	8.2443	5.4955	4.2719	3.9723	1.2236	0.30802
619	1224	3.2435	3.2129	3.1165	8.2437	5.4949	4.2708	3.973	1.2241	0.30812
620	1226	3.2444	3.214	3.1165	8.2421	5.4931	4.2731	3.969	1.22	0.3074
621	1228	3.2462	3.2159	3.1165	8.2441	5.492	4.2713	3.9727	1.2206	0.30725
622	1230	3.248	3.2174	3.1165	8.2415	5.4926	4.2725	3.969	1.22	0.30739
623	1232	3.2489	3.2189	3.1165	8.2435	5.4914	4.2719	3.9716	1.2195	0.30705
624	1234	3.2507	3.2202	3.1165	8.2424	5.4902	4.2713	3.9711	1.2189	0.30694
625	1236	3.2525	3.2217	3.1165	8.245	5.4896	4.2725	3.9724	1.2171	0.30639
626	1238	3.2543	3.2231	3.1165	8.2419	5.4896	4.2719	3.9699	1.2177	0.30673
627	1240	3.2561	3.2246	3.1165	8.2424	5.4902	4.2719	3.9705	1.2183	0.30684
628	1242	3.2588	3.2263	3.1165	8.2435	5.4914	4.2725	3.971	1.2189	0.30694
629	1244	3.2597	3.2285	3.1165	8.2446	5.4926	4.2725	3.9721	1.22	0.30715
630	1246	3.2615	3.2306	3.1165	8.2452	5.4931	4.2719	3.9732	1.2212	0.30736
631	1248	3.2642	3.2325	3.1165	8.2452	5.4931	4.2708	3.9744	1.2224	0.30756
632	1250	3.266	3.234	3.1165	8.2437	5.4949	4.2725	3.9712	1.2224	0.30781
633	1252	3.2686	3.2363	3.1165	8.2448	5.4961	4.2713	3.9735	1.2247	0.30823
634	1254	3.2713	3.2387	3.1165	8.2439	5.4984	4.2719	3.972	1.2265	0.30878
635	1256	3.274	3.2414	3.1165	8.2441	5.5019	4.2708	3.9734	1.2312	0.30986
636	1258	3.2758	3.2438	3.1165	8.2432	5.5043	4.2725	3.9707	1.2318	0.31021
637	1260	3.2758	3.2459	3.1165	8.2427	5.5037	4.2713	3.9713	1.2323	0.31031
638	1262	3.2785	3.248	3.1165	8.2441	5.5019	4.2719	3.9722	1.23	0.30965
639	1264	3.2794	3.2493	3.1165	8.2425	5.5002	4.2719	3.9705	1.2282	0.30934
640	1266	3.2812	3.2502	3.1165	8.2434	5.4978	4.2731	3.9703	1.2247	0.30848
641	1268	3.2839	3.2519	3.1165	8.2439	5.4984	4.2725	3.9714	1.2259	0.30868
642	1270	3.2857	3.2538	3.1165	8.245	5.4996	4.2719	3.9731	1.2277	0.30899
643	1272	3.2866	3.2557	3.1165	8.2425	5.5002	4.2719	3.9705	1.2282	0.30934
644	1274	3.2893	3.2572	3.1165	8.2405	5.5013	4.2725	3.968	1.2288	0.30969
645	1276	3.2902	3.2591	3.1165	8.2436	5.5013	4.2719	3.9716	1.2294	0.30955
646	1278	3.292	3.2606	3.1165	8.2419	5.4996	4.2725	3.9694	1.2271	0.30913
647	1280	3.2937	3.2625	3.1165	8.2445	5.499	4.2713	3.9731	1.2277	0.30899
648	1282	3.2937	3.2638	3.1165	8.2428	5.4972	4.2719	3.9709	1.2253	0.30857
649	1284	3.2946	3.2653	3.1165	8.2437	5.4949	4.2719	3.9718	1.223	0.30792
650	1286	3.2982	3.2663	3.1165	8.2421	5.4931	4.2719	3.9701	1.2212	0.3076
651	1288	3.2982	3.2681	3.1165	8.2446	5.4926	4.2713	3.9733	1.2212	0.30736
652	1290	3.3	3.2691	3.1165	8.2424	5.4902	4.2719	3.9705	1.2183	0.30684
653	1292	3.3	3.2702	3.1165	8.2428	5.4873	4.2713	3.9714	1.2159	0.30617
654	1294	3.3018	3.2712	3.1165	8.2437	5.4849	4.2719	3.9717	1.213	0.30541
655	1296	3.3027	3.2719	3.1165	8.2404	5.4814	4.2719	3.9684	1.2095	0.30478
656	1298	3.3036	3.2727	3.1165	8.2418	5.4797	4.2713	3.9705	1.2083	0.30433
657	1300	3.3054	3.274	3.1165	8.2438	5.4785	4.2719	3.9719	1.2066	0.30378
658	1302	3.3072	3.2753	3.1165	8.2438	5.4785	4.2719	3.9719	1.2066	0.30378
659	1304	3.3081	3.2768	3.1165	8.2418	5.4797	4.2719	3.9699	1.2078	0.30423
660	1306	3.3099	3.2781	3.1165	8.2443	5.4791	4.2725	3.9718	1.2066	0.30378
661	1308	3.3117	3.2802	3.1165	8.2449	5.4797	4.2702	3.9747	1.2095	0.3043
662	1310	3.3126	3.2815	3.1165	8.2438	5.4785	4.2725	3.9713	1.206	0.30368
663	1312	3.3153	3.2834	3.1165	8.2424	5.4803	4.2725	3.9698	1.2078	0.30423
664	1314	3.3171	3.2851	3.1165	8.2435	5.4814	4.2719	3.9715	1.2095	0.30455
665	1316	3.318	3.287	3.1165	8.2404	5.4814	4.2719	3.9684	1.2095	0.30478
666	1318	3.3206	3.2887	3.1165	8.2429	5.4808	4.2719	3.971	1.2089	0.30444
667	1320	3.3233	3.2908	3.1165	8.2446	5.4826	4.2725	3.972	1.2101	0.30465
668	1322	3.3242	3.2927	3.1165	8.2451	5.4832	4.2719	3.9732	1.2113	0.30486
669	1324	3.326	3.2949	3.1165	8.2415	5.4826	4.2713	3.9701	1.2113	0.3051
670	1326	3.3269	3.2961	3.1165	8.2424	5.4803	4.2725	3.9698	1.2078	0.30423
671	1328	3.3296	3.2976	3.1165	8.2424	5.4803	4.2719	3.9704	1.2083	0.30433
672	1330	3.3305	3.2991	3.1165	8.2424	5.4803	4.2725	3.9698	1.2078	0.30423
673	1332	3.3323	3.301	3.1165	8.2449	5.4797	4.2725	3.9724	1.2072	0.30389
674	1334	3.3359	3.3032	3.1165	8.2454	5.4803	4.2725	3.9729	1.2078	0.30399
675	1336	3.3368	3.3049	3.1165	8.2443	5.4791	4.2713	3.973	1.2078	0.30399
676	1338	3.3395	3.3066	3.1165	8.2429	5.4808	4.2708	3.9721	1.2101	0.30464
677	1340	3.3422	3.3087	3.1165	8.2442	5.4855	4.2731	3.9711	1.2124	0.30531
678	1342	3.3457	3.3117	3.1165	8.2444	5.489	4.2719	3.9725	1.2171	0.30639
679	1344	3.3475	3.3144	3.1165	8.2446	5.4926	4.2725	3.9721	1.22	0.30715
680	1346	3.3502	3.317	3.1165	8.2432	5.4943	4.2719	3.9712	1.2224	0.30781
681	1348	3.3511	3.32	3.1165	8.2448	5.4961	4.2725	3.9723	1.2236	0.30802
682	1350	3.3529	3.3219	3.1165	8.2432	5.4943	4.2713	3.9718	1.223	0.30791
683	1352	3.3547	3.3234	3.1165	8.2395	5.4937	4.2725	3.967	1.2212	0.30784
684	1354	3.3565	3.3253	3.1165	8.2441	5.492	4.2713	3.9727	1.2206	0.30725
685	1356	3.3583	3.3264	3.1165	8.245	5.4896	4.2725	3.9724	1.2171	0.30639
686	1358	3.361	3.3285	3.1165	8.245	5.4896	4.2713	3.9736	1.2183	0.30659
687	1360	3.3619	3.33	3.1165	8.2419	5.4896	4.2719	3.9699	1.2177	0.30673
688	1362	3.3637	3.3321	3.1165	8.245	5.4896	4.2713	3.9736	1.2183	0.30659
689	1364	3.3664	3.3342	3.1165	8.2419	5.4896	4.2708	3.9711	1.2189	0.30694
690	1366	3.37	3.3364	3.1165	8.2401	5.4943	4.2719	3.9681	1.2224	0.30805
691	1368	3.3708	3.3387	3.1165	8.2417	5.4961	4.2719	3.9698	1.2241	0.30836
692	1370	3.3717	3.3408	3.1165	8.2406	5.4949	4.2719	3.9687	1.223	0.30816
693	1372	3.3726	3.3423	3.1165	8.2415	5.4926	4.2719	3.9696	1.2206	0.3075
694	1374	3.3735	3.3434	3.1165	8.2408	5.4885	4.2725	3.9682	1.2159	0.30642
695	1376	3.3753	3.3447	3.1165	8.2442	5.4855	4.2719	3.9723	1.2136	0.30552
696	1378	3.3771	3.3457	3.1165	8.2426	5.4838	4.2713	3.9712	1.2124	0.30531
697	1380	3.3798	3.3472	3.1165	8.2426	5.4838	4.2719	3.9706	1.2119	0.3052
698	1382	3.3807	3.3485	3.1165	8.2426	5.4838	4.2725	3.97	1.2113	0.3051

699	1384	3.3825	3.3502	3.1165	8.2426	5.4838	4.2719	3.9706	1.2119	0.3052
700	1386	3.3834	3.3519	3.1165	8.2435	5.4814	4.2725	3.9709	1.2089	0.30444
701	1388	3.3852	3.353	3.1165	8.2418	5.4797	4.2719	3.9699	1.2078	0.30423
702	1390	3.3879	3.3547	3.1165	8.2398	5.4808	4.2719	3.9679	1.2089	0.30468
703	1392	3.3906	3.3572	3.1165	8.2426	5.4838	4.2725	3.97	1.2113	0.3051
704	1394	3.3924	3.3598	3.1165	8.2442	5.4855	4.2713	3.9729	1.2142	0.30562
705	1396	3.3942	3.3621	3.1165	8.2428	5.4873	4.2725	3.9702	1.2148	0.30597
706	1398	3.396	3.3638	3.1165	8.2453	5.4867	4.2719	3.9734	1.2148	0.30573
707	1400	3.3977	3.366	3.1165	8.2448	5.4861	4.2725	3.9722	1.2136	0.30552
708	1402	3.4013	3.3679	3.1165	8.2442	5.4855	4.2719	3.9723	1.2136	0.30552
709	1404	3.4022	3.3698	3.1165	8.2428	5.4873	4.2719	3.9708	1.2154	0.30607
710	1406	3.4022	3.3717	3.1165	8.2422	5.4867	4.2713	3.9709	1.2154	0.30607
711	1408	3.404	3.3734	3.1165	8.2451	5.4832	4.2719	3.9732	1.2113	0.30486
712	1410	3.4058	3.3747	3.1165	8.2424	5.4803	4.2708	3.9716	1.2095	0.30454
713	1412	3.4085	3.3762	3.1165	8.2443	5.4791	4.2725	3.9718	1.2066	0.30378
714	1414	3.4094	3.3774	3.1165	8.2396	5.4773	4.2713	3.9683	1.206	0.30391
715	1416	3.4103	3.3785	3.1165	8.2441	5.4756	4.2719	3.9722	1.2037	0.30302
716	1418	3.4103	3.3796	3.1165	8.2414	5.4727	4.2719	3.9695	1.2007	0.30249
717	1420	3.4121	3.3809	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
718	1422	3.413	3.3823	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
719	1424	3.4148	3.384	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
720	1426	3.4157	3.3857	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2713	3.9721	1.2001	0.30215
721	1428	3.4184	3.3872	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
722	1430	3.4211	3.3892	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2708	3.9726	1.2007	0.30225
723	1432	3.4228	3.3906	3.1165	8.2403	5.4715	4.2725	3.9678	1.199	0.30218
724	1434	3.4273	3.393	3.1165	8.243	5.4744	4.2719	3.9711	1.2025	0.30281
725	1436	3.4282	3.3958	3.1165	8.2432	5.4779	4.2713	3.9719	1.2066	0.30378
726	1438	3.43	3.3979	3.1165	8.2401	5.4779	4.2713	3.9688	1.2066	0.30402
727	1440	3.43	3.3994	3.1165	8.243	5.4744	4.2725	3.9705	1.2019	0.3027
728	1442	3.4318	3.4009	3.1165	8.2428	5.4709	4.2708	3.9721	1.2001	0.30214
729	1444	3.4318	3.4021	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
730	1446	3.4318	3.4036	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2713	3.9721	1.2001	0.30215
731	1448	3.4327	3.4055	3.1165	8.2439	5.4721	4.2725	3.9714	1.1996	0.30205
732	1450	3.4327	3.4075	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2713	3.9721	1.2001	0.30215
733	1452	3.4327	3.4092	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
734	1453.9	3.4336	3.4106	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 11

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2725	3.9709	1.199	0.30194
2	0.012467	0	0.00021283	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2713	3.9721	1.2001	0.30215
3	0.054117	0	0.00021283	3.1165	8.2434	5.4715	4.2719	3.9715	1.1996	0.30204
4	0.10008	0.0008965	0.00042567	3.1165	8.2439	5.4721	4.2725	3.9714	1.1996	0.30205
5	0.2503	0.0008965	0.001277	3.1165	8.2534	5.4756	4.2737	3.9798	1.2019	0.302
6	0.5006	0.003586	0.0034053	3.1165	8.2702	5.4803	4.2713	3.9989	1.2089	0.30231
7	1.0014	0.013448	0.0093647	3.1165	8.3091	5.492	4.2731	4.036	1.2189	0.302
8	2.0028	0.028688	0.026178	3.1165	8.3816	5.513	4.2743	4.1074	1.2388	0.3016
9	4.0015	0.097719	0.074492	3.1165	8.5203	5.5616	4.2731	4.2472	1.2885	0.30338
10	6.0003	0.15509	0.13345	3.1165	8.6656	5.6009	4.2731	4.3925	1.3278	0.30227
11	8.0031	0.22054	0.2007	3.1165	8.8066	5.6453	4.2725	4.5341	1.3728	0.30278
12	10.002	0.28957	0.27604	3.1165	8.948	5.6969	4.2749	4.6732	1.422	0.30429
13	12	0.38639	0.35479	3.1165	9.0959	5.7355	4.2737	4.8223	1.4618	0.30314
14	14.003	0.45094	0.44056	3.1165	9.2309	5.7835	4.2743	4.9567	1.5092	0.30448
15	16.002	0.5137	0.50292	3.1165	9.2305	5.8028	4.2749	4.9557	1.528	0.30832
16	18.001	0.537	0.54656	3.1165	9.2334	5.7893	4.2749	4.9585	1.5145	0.30543
17	20.004	0.57824	0.57635	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2743	4.9575	1.4969	0.30195
18	22.002	0.59528	0.60232	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2731	4.9587	1.4981	0.30212
19	24.001	0.60873	0.62552	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
20	26.004	0.63831	0.64659	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2719	4.9599	1.4993	0.30228
21	28.004	0.64548	0.66553	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2719	4.9599	1.4993	0.30228
22	30.004	0.65803	0.68319	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2731	4.9618	1.4981	0.30193
23	32.003	0.67776	0.70001	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2713	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
24	34.001	0.69838	0.71597	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
25	36	0.71362	0.73108	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2719	4.9599	1.4993	0.30228
26	38	0.73334	0.74534	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2719	4.9599	1.4993	0.30228
27	40.003	0.74499	0.75896	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2737	4.9581	1.4975	0.30203
28	42.002	0.75396	0.77216	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2719	4.9599	1.4993	0.30228
29	44.001	0.76472	0.78472	3.1165	9.2329	5.7724	4.2737	4.9592	1.4987	0.3022
30	46	0.77189	0.79706	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2731	4.9587	1.4981	0.30212
31	48.003	0.78444	0.80919	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2725	4.9593	1.4987	0.3022
32	50.003	0.79789	0.82068	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2725	4.9593	1.4987	0.3022
33	52.002	0.81133	0.83196	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2737	4.9612	1.4975	0.30184
34	54.001	0.8203	0.84303	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2719	4.963	1.4993	0.30209
35	56	0.83733	0.85389	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
36	58.004	0.84809	0.86474	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2696	4.9628	1.5022	0.30269
37	60.003	0.85437	0.87496	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2731	4.9587	1.4981	0.30212
38	62.002	0.86154	0.88517	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2731	4.9587	1.4981	0.30212
39	64.001	0.8723	0.89539	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2713	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
40	66.001	0.87947	0.90497	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2725	4.9593	1.4987	0.3022
41	68.004	0.88754	0.91454	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2731	4.9587	1.4981	0.30212
42	70.003	0.89381	0.92412	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2737	4.9618	1.4981	0.30193
43	72.002	0.90547	0.93391	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2708	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
44	74.002	0.91623	0.94349	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2696	4.9622	1.5016	0.30261
45	76.001	0.93057	0.95264	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2731	4.9592	1.4987	0.3022
46	78	0.94222	0.96179	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2719	4.9599	1.4993	0.30228
47	80.003	0.9494	0.97073	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2719	4.963	1.4993	0.30209
48	82.003	0.95477	0.97946	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2713	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
49	84.002	0.96553	0.9884	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2713	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
50	86.001	0.97539	0.99691	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2731	4.9623	1.4987	0.30201
51	88	0.98526	1.0056	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2713	4.9635	1.4999	0.30217
52	90.004	0.99512	1.0139	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2731	4.9587	1.4981	0.30212
53	92.003	1.0059	1.0224	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2713	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
54	94.002	1.013	1.0305	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2713	4.9635	1.4999	0.30217
55	96.001	1.022	1.0388	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2719	4.9599	1.4993	0.30228
56	98	1.0283	1.0469	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2731	4.9623	1.4987	0.30201
57	100	1.031	1.055	3.1165	9.2329	5.7724	4.2725	4.9604	1.4999	0.30237
58	102	1.0337	1.0631	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2737	4.9587	1.4981	0.30212
59	104	1.0444	1.071	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2713	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
60	106	1.0579	1.0791	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2713	4.9635	1.4999	0.30217
61	108	1.0686	1.0869	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2731	4.9592	1.4987	0.3022
62	110	1.0767	1.0946	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
63	112	1.0821	1.1023	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2725	4.9593	1.4987	0.3022
64	114	1.0875	1.1097	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2731	4.9623	1.4987	0.30201
65	116	1.0919	1.1174	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2708	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
66	118	1.0955	1.1248	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2708	4.961	1.5004	0.30245
67	120	1.1036	1.1321	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
68	122	1.1117	1.1395	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
69	124	1.1206	1.147	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2713	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
70	126	1.1287	1.1542	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2725	4.9624	1.4987	0.30201
71	128	1.1395	1.1616	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2702	4.9616	1.501	0.30253
72	130	1.1502	1.1687	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2713	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
73	132	1.1637	1.1757	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2725	4.9593	1.4987	0.3022
74	134	1.1681	1.1827	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2725	4.9593	1.4987	0.3022
75	136	1.1726	1.1899	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2731	4.9592	1.4987	0.3022
76	138	1.1735	1.1972	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2713	4.9635	1.4999	0.30217
77	140	1.1789	1.204	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
78	142	1.1861	1.2108	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
79	144	1.1923	1.2176	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2731	4.9623	1.4987	0.30201
80	146	1.2058	1.2242	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2725	4.9593	1.4987	0.3022
81	148	1.2121	1.231	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
82	150	1.2166	1.238	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2708	4.9616	1.501	0.30253
83	152	1.2201	1.2446	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
84	154	1.2255	1.2515	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2708	4.961	1.5004	0.30245
85	156	1.2327	1.2581	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2713	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
86	158	1.2399	1.2644	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2731	4.9587	1.4981	0.30212
87	160	1.2452	1.2715	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2725	4.9624	1.4987	0.30201
88	162	1.2524	1.2778	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2731	4.9623	1.4987	0.30201
89	164	1.2623	1.2847	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2713	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
90	166	1.2703	1.2908	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2731	4.9618	1.4981	0.30193
91	168	1.2766	1.2974	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2731	4.9592	1.4987	0.3022
92	170	1.2856	1.3036	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228

93	172	1.291	1.31	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2708	4.9647	1.501	0.30234
94	174	1.291	1.3164	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2708	4.9616	1.501	0.30253
95	176	1.2954	1.3228	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2713	4.961	1.5004	0.30245
96	178	1.2999	1.3289	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
97	180	1.3053	1.3353	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2731	4.9592	1.4987	0.3022
98	182	1.3107	1.3417	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
99	184	1.3188	1.3479	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
100	186	1.3304	1.354	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2725	4.9593	1.4987	0.3022
101	188	1.3439	1.3604	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
102	190	1.351	1.3666	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
103	192	1.3591	1.373	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2713	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
104	194	1.3645	1.3789	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2731	4.9623	1.4987	0.30201
105	196	1.3672	1.3849	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2708	4.9616	1.501	0.30253
106	198	1.3725	1.3913	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
107	200	1.3761	1.397	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2731	4.9623	1.4987	0.30201
108	202	1.3806	1.4032	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2725	4.9624	1.4987	0.30201
109	204	1.3842	1.4092	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2719	4.963	1.4993	0.30209
110	206	1.3914	1.4149	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
111	208	1.3959	1.4209	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2719	4.9599	1.4993	0.30228
112	210	1.4066	1.4268	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2719	4.9599	1.4993	0.30228
113	212	1.4093	1.4326	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2719	4.963	1.4993	0.30209
114	214	1.4174	1.4383	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2713	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
115	216	1.4227	1.4443	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
116	218	1.4272	1.4502	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2713	4.961	1.5004	0.30245
117	220	1.4317	1.456	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2713	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
118	222	1.4362	1.4615	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
119	224	1.4434	1.4677	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2725	4.9593	1.4987	0.3022
120	226	1.4523	1.4737	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2731	4.9623	1.4987	0.30201
121	228	1.4604	1.4796	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
122	230	1.4721	1.4851	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
123	232	1.4747	1.4911	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
124	234	1.4783	1.4969	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
125	236	1.4819	1.5024	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
126	238	1.4855	1.5083	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
127	240	1.49	1.5139	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
128	242	1.4936	1.5192	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2731	4.9592	1.4987	0.3022
129	244	1.4998	1.5249	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
130	246	1.5025	1.5305	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2713	4.961	1.5004	0.30245
131	248	1.5088	1.536	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2731	4.9592	1.4987	0.3022
132	250	1.5169	1.5411	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2731	4.9592	1.4987	0.3022
133	252	1.525	1.5467	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
134	254	1.533	1.5524	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2731	4.9592	1.4987	0.3022
135	256	1.5393	1.5579	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
136	258	1.5456	1.5633	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2719	4.9599	1.4993	0.30228
137	260	1.5509	1.5686	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
138	262	1.5536	1.5741	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2719	4.963	1.4993	0.30209
139	264	1.5572	1.5794	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2731	4.9623	1.4987	0.30201
140	266	1.5599	1.5852	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
141	268	1.5644	1.5909	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2708	4.9647	1.501	0.30234
142	270	1.5671	1.596	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
143	272	1.5725	1.6014	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
144	274	1.5823	1.6069	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2708	4.9647	1.501	0.30234
145	276	1.5877	1.612	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
146	278	1.5976	1.6173	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
147	280	1.6038	1.6224	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
148	282	1.611	1.6275	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
149	284	1.6191	1.6326	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2725	4.9593	1.4987	0.3022
150	286	1.6254	1.638	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2719	4.9599	1.4993	0.30228
151	288	1.6316	1.6431	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
152	290	1.6352	1.6484	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
153	292	1.6352	1.6535	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
154	294	1.6361	1.6586	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
155	296	1.6379	1.6637	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2713	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
156	298	1.6406	1.669	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2725	4.9624	1.4987	0.30201
157	300	1.6487	1.6741	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
158	302	1.6523	1.6795	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2702	4.9622	1.5016	0.30261
159	304	1.6585	1.6846	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2719	4.963	1.4993	0.30209
160	306	1.6657	1.6895	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2719	4.9599	1.4993	0.30228
161	308	1.6729	1.6944	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2731	4.9618	1.4981	0.30193
162	310	1.6765	1.6995	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
163	312	1.6818	1.7044	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2731	4.9623	1.4987	0.30201
164	314	1.6872	1.7093	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2713	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
165	316	1.6917	1.7142	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2725	4.9624	1.4987	0.30201
166	318	1.6953	1.7191	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2725	4.9593	1.4987	0.3022
167	320	1.7025	1.7237	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2731	4.9592	1.4987	0.3022
168	322	1.7096	1.7286	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
169	324	1.715	1.7337	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2731	4.9623	1.4987	0.30201
170	326	1.7186	1.7386	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
171	328	1.7213	1.7433	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
172	330	1.724	1.7486	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2713	4.961	1.5004	0.30245
173	332	1.7276	1.7533	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
174	334	1.7302	1.7582	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2713	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
175	336	1.7356	1.7631	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
176	338	1.741	1.7678	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
177	340	1.7491	1.7729	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2708	4.961	1.5004	0.30245
178	342	1.7545	1.7776	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
179	344	1.7607	1.7823	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2725	4.9593	1.4987	0.3022
180	346	1.7688	1.7867	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
181	348	1.7733	1.7914	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2731	4.9618	1.4981	0.30193
182	350	1.776	1.7965	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2713	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
183	352	1.7805	1.8012	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2719	4.963	1.4993	0.30209
184	354	1.7858	1.8059	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2713	4.961	1.5004	0.30245
185	356	1.7894	1.8104	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
186	358	1.7939	1.815	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
187	360	1.7957	1.8197	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
188	362	1.8002	1.8248	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2713	4.961	1.5004	0.30245
189	364	1.8038	1.8293	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
190	366	1.8073	1.834	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
191	368	1.8145	1.8385	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
192	370	1.819	1.8431	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
193	372	1.8262	1.8476	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2731	4.9623	1.4987	0.30201

194	374	1.8307	1.8525	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2731	4.9623	1.4987	0.30201
195	376	1.8351	1.857	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
196	378	1.8423	1.8614	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
197	380	1.8477	1.8657	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
198	382	1.8522	1.8702	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
199	384	1.8567	1.8744	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2731	4.9592	1.4987	0.3022
200	386	1.8593	1.8791	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
201	388	1.8611	1.8836	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2702	4.9647	1.501	0.30234
202	390	1.8674	1.8876	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2725	4.9593	1.4987	0.3022
203	392	1.8701	1.8921	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2708	4.9647	1.501	0.30234
204	394	1.8737	1.8961	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
205	396	1.8782	1.9006	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
206	398	1.8818	1.9053	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
207	400	1.8862	1.9095	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2702	4.9616	1.501	0.30253
208	402	1.8907	1.9138	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2696	4.9659	1.5022	0.3025
209	404	1.8952	1.9178	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
210	406	1.9024	1.9223	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2731	4.9623	1.4987	0.30201
211	408	1.9087	1.9264	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
212	410	1.9149	1.931	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
213	412	1.9185	1.9351	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
214	414	1.9203	1.9393	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2725	4.9624	1.4987	0.30201
215	416	1.9221	1.9434	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2719	4.963	1.4993	0.30209
216	418	1.9257	1.9474	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
217	420	1.9266	1.9515	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2713	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
218	422	1.9293	1.9557	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
219	424	1.9338	1.96	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
220	426	1.9409	1.964	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
221	428	1.9472	1.9683	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2719	4.963	1.4993	0.30209
222	430	1.9526	1.9725	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2702	4.9622	1.5016	0.30261
223	432	1.9598	1.9766	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2713	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
224	434	1.9624	1.9808	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
225	436	1.9642	1.9851	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
226	438	1.9669	1.9891	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2708	4.9647	1.501	0.30234
227	440	1.9696	1.9932	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2725	4.9624	1.4987	0.30201
228	442	1.9732	1.9972	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
229	444	1.9813	2.0015	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2713	4.9635	1.4999	0.30217
230	446	1.9893	2.0053	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
231	448	1.9974	2.0096	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
232	450	2.0055	2.0134	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2713	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
233	452	2.01	2.0174	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2713	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
234	454	2.0135	2.0213	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
235	456	2.0189	2.0251	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
236	458	2.0198	2.0291	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2725	4.9593	1.4987	0.3022
237	460	2.0207	2.0332	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
238	462	2.0207	2.0372	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2719	4.9599	1.4993	0.30228
239	464	2.0234	2.0411	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
240	466	2.0234	2.0451	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2702	4.9647	1.501	0.30234
241	468	2.0243	2.0487	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
242	470	2.0252	2.053	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2708	4.961	1.5004	0.30245
243	472	2.0288	2.0568	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
244	474	2.0386	2.0609	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2731	4.9592	1.4987	0.3022
245	476	2.0431	2.0649	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
246	478	2.0494	2.0687	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
247	480	2.0593	2.0728	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2719	4.9599	1.4993	0.30228
248	482	2.0646	2.0766	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2719	4.9599	1.4993	0.30228
249	484	2.0727	2.0804	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
250	486	2.0754	2.0843	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
251	488	2.0799	2.0881	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2713	4.9635	1.4999	0.30217
252	490	2.0826	2.0917	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
253	492	2.088	2.0958	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2708	4.9647	1.501	0.30234
254	494	2.0933	2.0994	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
255	496	2.096	2.1032	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
256	498	2.0987	2.107	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2719	4.963	1.4993	0.30209
257	500	2.1023	2.1109	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
258	502	2.1059	2.1147	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
259	504	2.1113	2.1185	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
260	506	2.1148	2.1222	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
261	508	2.1157	2.126	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2719	4.963	1.4993	0.30209
262	510	2.1175	2.1298	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
263	512	2.1193	2.1337	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2731	4.9623	1.4987	0.30201
264	514	2.1202	2.1373	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
265	516	2.122	2.1413	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2702	4.9622	1.5016	0.30261
266	518	2.1247	2.1449	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
267	520	2.1274	2.1485	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2725	4.9624	1.4987	0.30201
268	522	2.1319	2.1522	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
269	524	2.1382	2.156	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
270	526	2.1426	2.1596	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
271	528	2.1462	2.1634	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2708	4.9647	1.501	0.30234
272	530	2.1507	2.1669	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2719	4.9599	1.4993	0.30228
273	532	2.1552	2.1707	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
274	534	2.1588	2.1743	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
275	536	2.1642	2.1783	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2713	4.961	1.5004	0.30245
276	538	2.1695	2.182	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2713	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
277	540	2.1749	2.1854	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
278	542	2.1785	2.189	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
279	544	2.1812	2.1926	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
280	546	2.1857	2.196	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2719	4.9599	1.4993	0.30228
281	548	2.1893	2.2001	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
282	550	2.1955	2.2037	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
283	552	2.2036	2.2069	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
284	554	2.2072	2.2105	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
285	556	2.209	2.2141	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
286	558	2.2117	2.2175	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
287	560	2.2126	2.2216	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2696	4.9659	1.5022	0.3025
288	562	2.2144	2.225	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
289	564	2.2179	2.2281	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2725	4.9593	1.4987	0.3022
290	566	2.2206	2.232	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
291	568	2.2233	2.2354	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
292	570	2.2269	2.239	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2719	4.9599	1.4993	0.30228
293	572	2.2296	2.2426	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2702	4.9622	1.5016	0.30261
294	574	2.2332	2.246	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2719	4.9599	1.4993	0.30228

295	576	2.2368	2.2494	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2719	4.9599	1.4993	0.30228
296	578	2.2413	2.2528	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
297	580	2.243	2.256	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2725	4.9624	1.4987	0.30201
298	582	2.2493	2.2594	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2725	4.9593	1.4987	0.3022
299	584	2.2547	2.2626	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2725	4.9624	1.4987	0.30201
300	586	2.2601	2.266	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2731	4.9592	1.4987	0.3022
301	588	2.2646	2.2699	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
302	590	2.2682	2.2731	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
303	592	2.2726	2.2765	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2725	4.9624	1.4987	0.30201
304	594	2.2753	2.2797	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2731	4.9592	1.4987	0.3022
305	596	2.2807	2.2833	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
306	598	2.2852	2.2869	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
307	600	2.2879	2.2903	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2702	4.9653	1.5016	0.30242
308	602	2.2906	2.2935	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
309	604	2.2941	2.2969	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2725	4.9593	1.4987	0.3022
310	606	2.2977	2.3001	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2713	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
311	608	2.2995	2.3033	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2719	4.963	1.4993	0.30209
312	610	2.3022	2.3065	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
313	612	2.304	2.3101	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2713	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
314	614	2.3067	2.3131	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2725	4.9593	1.4987	0.3022
315	616	2.3094	2.3165	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
316	618	2.313	2.3197	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
317	620	2.3157	2.3231	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2713	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
318	622	2.3193	2.3263	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
319	624	2.3246	2.3297	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
320	626	2.3282	2.3329	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
321	628	2.3309	2.3363	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2713	4.961	1.5004	0.30245
322	630	2.3318	2.3392	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2731	4.9592	1.4987	0.3022
323	632	2.3327	2.3427	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2702	4.9647	1.501	0.30234
324	634	2.3354	2.3458	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
325	636	2.3417	2.349	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2702	4.9622	1.5016	0.30261
326	638	2.3461	2.3522	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
327	640	2.3515	2.3556	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
328	642	2.3569	2.3586	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
329	644	2.3614	2.3618	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
330	646	2.365	2.3652	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2713	4.961	1.5004	0.30245
331	648	2.3677	2.3682	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2725	4.9624	1.4987	0.30201
332	650	2.3704	2.3714	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
333	652	2.3712	2.3748	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
334	654	2.373	2.3782	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
335	656	2.3739	2.3814	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2719	4.963	1.4993	0.30209
336	658	2.3757	2.3846	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2713	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
337	660	2.3793	2.3873	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2731	4.9623	1.4987	0.30201
338	662	2.3874	2.3908	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
339	664	2.3937	2.3939	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2731	4.9623	1.4987	0.30201
340	666	2.3972	2.3967	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
341	668	2.4008	2.4001	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2731	4.9592	1.4987	0.3022
342	670	2.4017	2.4031	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2713	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
343	672	2.4026	2.4061	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
344	674	2.4035	2.4088	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2731	4.9623	1.4987	0.30201
345	676	2.4062	2.4122	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2713	4.9635	1.4999	0.30217
346	678	2.408	2.4152	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
347	680	2.4107	2.4184	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
348	682	2.4143	2.4216	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2719	4.9599	1.4993	0.30228
349	684	2.4197	2.4248	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
350	686	2.425	2.4274	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2731	4.9618	1.4981	0.30193
351	688	2.4304	2.4306	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2725	4.9624	1.4987	0.30201
352	690	2.434	2.4337	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2708	4.9616	1.501	0.30253
353	692	2.4376	2.4363	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2731	4.9623	1.4987	0.30201
354	694	2.4412	2.4397	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
355	696	2.4448	2.4427	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2719	4.9599	1.4993	0.30228
356	698	2.4492	2.4457	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
357	700	2.4537	2.4489	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2708	4.9616	1.501	0.30253
358	702	2.4573	2.4516	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
359	704	2.4627	2.4548	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2708	4.9647	1.501	0.30234
360	706	2.4663	2.4576	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
361	708	2.4672	2.461	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
362	710	2.4708	2.4638	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2731	4.9592	1.4987	0.3022
363	712	2.4717	2.4669	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
364	714	2.4726	2.4699	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
365	716	2.4743	2.4729	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2713	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
366	718	2.4761	2.4757	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
367	720	2.4779	2.4787	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
368	722	2.4797	2.4814	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
369	724	2.4815	2.4846	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
370	726	2.4842	2.4874	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
371	728	2.4914	2.4899	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2731	4.9623	1.4987	0.30201
372	730	2.4977	2.4931	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2713	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
373	732	2.5012	2.4959	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
374	734	2.5039	2.4987	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
375	736	2.5066	2.5016	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
376	738	2.5111	2.5046	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
377	740	2.512	2.5076	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2713	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
378	742	2.5147	2.5104	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2713	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
379	744	2.5156	2.5131	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
380	746	2.5165	2.5159	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2719	4.963	1.4993	0.30209
381	748	2.5201	2.5185	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2725	4.9624	1.4987	0.30201
382	750	2.5219	2.5212	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2702	4.9647	1.501	0.30234
383	752	2.5246	2.524	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
384	754	2.5263	2.5268	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
385	756	2.529	2.5297	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
386	758	2.5317	2.5321	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
387	760	2.5326	2.5351	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
388	762	2.5353	2.5376	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
389	764	2.538	2.5406	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
390	766	2.5425	2.5434	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2719	4.963	1.4993	0.30209
391	768	2.5461	2.5459	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
392	770	2.5497	2.5489	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
393	772	2.5559	2.5517	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2713	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
394	774	2.5622	2.5542	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2719	4.9599	1.4993	0.30228
395	776	2.5658	2.557	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2708	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226

396	778	2.5685	2.5591	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2731	4.9592	1.4987	0.3022
397	780	2.5712	2.5619	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
398	782	2.5757	2.5646	3.1165	9.236	5.7724	4.2702	4.9658	1.5022	0.30251
399	784	2.5792	2.5672	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2713	4.961	1.5004	0.30245
400	786	2.5801	2.5697	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2713	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
401	788	2.5828	2.5723	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
402	790	2.5846	2.5749	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
403	792	2.5873	2.5774	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2713	4.9635	1.4999	0.30217
404	794	2.5891	2.5797	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
405	796	2.5936	2.5825	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2713	4.9635	1.4999	0.30217
406	798	2.5972	2.5849	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
407	800	2.6034	2.5876	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2708	4.9647	1.501	0.30234
408	802	2.6079	2.5902	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2708	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
409	804	2.6115	2.5929	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2708	4.9647	1.501	0.30234
410	806	2.6142	2.5955	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2708	4.9647	1.501	0.30234
411	808	2.6142	2.5978	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2725	4.9624	1.4987	0.30201
412	810	2.616	2.6004	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
413	812	2.6187	2.6025	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2708	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
414	814	2.6205	2.6051	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
415	816	2.6205	2.6074	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
416	818	2.6214	2.6102	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2713	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
417	820	2.6223	2.6127	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
418	822	2.6232	2.6153	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
419	824	2.625	2.6176	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
420	826	2.6268	2.6202	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
421	828	2.6303	2.623	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2708	4.9616	1.501	0.30253
422	830	2.6321	2.6255	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2702	4.9622	1.5016	0.30261
423	832	2.6348	2.6278	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
424	834	2.6375	2.63	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2725	4.9593	1.4987	0.3022
425	836	2.6402	2.6327	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
426	838	2.6429	2.6351	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
427	840	2.6438	2.6376	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
428	842	2.6465	2.6404	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2702	4.9622	1.5016	0.30261
429	844	2.6483	2.6423	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
430	846	2.651	2.6449	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
431	848	2.6536	2.6472	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2708	4.9647	1.501	0.30234
432	850	2.6536	2.6496	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
433	852	2.6554	2.6519	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
434	854	2.6581	2.6542	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
435	856	2.6617	2.6566	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
436	858	2.6653	2.6591	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
437	860	2.6707	2.6615	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
438	862	2.6752	2.6636	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
439	864	2.6787	2.6659	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
440	866	2.6823	2.6683	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
441	868	2.6868	2.6708	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
442	870	2.6922	2.6732	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
443	872	2.694	2.6757	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
444	874	2.6967	2.6779	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
445	876	2.7003	2.6802	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
446	878	2.7039	2.6825	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
447	880	2.7065	2.6849	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
448	882	2.7101	2.6872	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
449	884	2.7128	2.6891	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
450	886	2.7155	2.6915	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2725	4.9624	1.4987	0.30201
451	888	2.7173	2.6938	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
452	890	2.7191	2.696	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
453	892	2.7209	2.6983	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
454	894	2.7236	2.7006	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2713	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
455	896	2.7272	2.703	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
456	898	2.7298	2.7053	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2719	4.9599	1.4993	0.30228
457	900	2.7316	2.7079	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2684	4.9634	1.5028	0.30277
458	902	2.7334	2.71	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2708	4.9647	1.501	0.30234
459	904	2.7361	2.7121	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
460	906	2.7361	2.7145	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
461	908	2.7397	2.7166	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
462	910	2.7415	2.7189	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2719	4.963	1.4993	0.30209
463	912	2.7424	2.7211	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2708	4.9616	1.501	0.30253
464	914	2.7433	2.7232	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
465	916	2.7451	2.7255	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
466	918	2.7496	2.7277	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2725	4.9624	1.4987	0.30201
467	920	2.7541	2.73	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
468	922	2.7576	2.7321	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
469	924	2.7585	2.7343	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
470	926	2.7612	2.7364	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
471	928	2.763	2.7385	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2713	4.9635	1.4999	0.30217
472	930	2.7675	2.7409	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2702	4.9653	1.5016	0.30242
473	932	2.7702	2.7432	3.1165	9.2376	5.7741	4.2725	4.9651	1.5016	0.30243
474	934	2.7711	2.7458	3.1165	9.2365	5.773	4.2713	4.9652	1.5016	0.30243
475	936	2.7738	2.7479	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2708	4.9647	1.501	0.30234
476	938	2.7765	2.75	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2713	4.961	1.5004	0.30245
477	940	2.7783	2.7521	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2713	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
478	942	2.7801	2.7543	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2719	4.9604	1.4999	0.30236
479	944	2.7809	2.7566	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
480	946	2.7827	2.759	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2684	4.967	1.5034	0.30267
481	948	2.7863	2.7611	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2696	4.9653	1.5016	0.30242
482	950	2.789	2.763	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
483	952	2.7899	2.7651	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
484	954	2.7917	2.767	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
485	956	2.7935	2.7694	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2713	4.961	1.5004	0.30245
486	958	2.7944	2.7715	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2713	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
487	960	2.7953	2.7736	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
488	962	2.7998	2.7756	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
489	964	2.8025	2.7775	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
490	966	2.8043	2.7796	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2725	4.9624	1.4987	0.30201
491	968	2.8052	2.7817	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
492	970	2.8043	2.7839	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
493	972	2.8069	2.786	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
494	974	2.8114	2.7883	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2708	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
495	976	2.8159	2.7902	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
496	978	2.8177	2.7926	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209

497	980	2.8186	2.7947	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2725	4.9598	1.4993	0.30228
498	982	2.8195	2.7968	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
499	984	2.8204	2.799	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2713	4.9635	1.4999	0.30217
500	986	2.8222	2.8009	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2725	4.9624	1.4987	0.30201
501	988	2.824	2.803	3.1165	9.2318	5.7712	4.2719	4.9599	1.4993	0.30228
502	990	2.8267	2.8049	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2725	4.9624	1.4987	0.30201
503	992	2.8294	2.8071	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2713	4.9635	1.4999	0.30217
504	994	2.8321	2.8092	3.1165	9.2323	5.7718	4.2708	4.9616	1.501	0.30253
505	996	2.8356	2.8111	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
506	998	2.8374	2.8132	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2708	4.9647	1.501	0.30234
507	1000	2.8392	2.8151	3.1165	9.2349	5.7712	4.2725	4.9624	1.4987	0.30201
508	1002	2.8428	2.8173	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2702	4.9653	1.5016	0.30242
509	1004	2.8455	2.8194	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2708	4.9647	1.501	0.30234
510	1006	2.85	2.8213	3.1165	9.2329	5.7724	4.2719	4.961	1.5004	0.30245
511	1008	2.8527	2.8239	3.1165	9.2356	5.7753	4.2713	4.9643	1.504	0.30295
512	1010	2.8554	2.8264	3.1165	9.2353	5.7782	4.2719	4.9634	1.5063	0.30348
513	1012	2.858	2.829	3.1165	9.2355	5.7817	4.2725	4.963	1.5092	0.3041
514	1014	2.8607	2.832	3.1165	9.2371	5.7835	4.2719	4.9652	1.5116	0.30443
515	1016	2.8634	2.8347	3.1165	9.2368	5.7864	4.2719	4.9649	1.5145	0.30504
516	1018	2.8652	2.8377	3.1165	9.2379	5.7876	4.2713	4.9666	1.5162	0.30529
517	1020	2.8661	2.8398	3.1165	9.2357	5.7852	4.2725	4.9632	1.5127	0.30479
518	1022	2.8697	2.842	3.1165	9.2377	5.7841	4.2725	4.9652	1.5116	0.30443
519	1024	2.8715	2.8441	3.1165	9.236	5.7823	4.2696	4.9665	1.5127	0.30459
520	1026	2.8733	2.8456	3.1165	9.2355	5.7817	4.2719	4.9636	1.5098	0.30418
521	1028	2.8769	2.8479	3.1165	9.2351	5.7847	4.2725	4.9626	1.5121	0.30471
522	1030	2.8796	2.8505	3.1165	9.2354	5.7882	4.2719	4.9634	1.5162	0.30548
523	1032	2.8832	2.8532	3.1165	9.2336	5.7929	4.2725	4.961	1.5203	0.30646
524	1034	2.8849	2.8562	3.1165	9.2363	5.7958	4.2702	4.9661	1.5256	0.3072
525	1036	2.8867	2.8588	3.1165	9.238	5.7975	4.2725	4.9654	1.525	0.30713
526	1038	2.8894	2.8615	3.1165	9.238	5.7975	4.2725	4.9654	1.525	0.30713
527	1040	2.8921	2.8641	3.1165	9.2354	5.7981	4.2713	4.9641	1.5268	0.30757
528	1042	2.8948	2.866	3.1165	9.2365	5.7993	4.2713	4.9652	1.528	0.30773
529	1044	2.8966	2.8688	3.1165	9.2376	5.8005	4.2719	4.9657	1.5285	0.30782
530	1046	2.9002	2.8711	3.1165	9.2382	5.801	4.2719	4.9662	1.5291	0.3079
531	1048	2.9029	2.8739	3.1165	9.2358	5.8051	4.2708	4.9651	1.5344	0.30904
532	1050	2.9047	2.8764	3.1165	9.2375	5.8069	4.2713	4.9661	1.5356	0.30921
533	1052	2.9074	2.879	3.1165	9.238	5.8075	4.2713	4.9667	1.5361	0.30929
534	1054	2.91	2.8813	3.1165	9.2355	5.8081	4.2719	4.9635	1.5361	0.30949
535	1056	2.9118	2.8837	3.1165	9.2329	5.8087	4.2719	4.961	1.5367	0.30976
536	1058	2.9136	2.886	3.1165	9.238	5.8075	4.2713	4.9667	1.5361	0.30929
537	1060	2.9163	2.8881	3.1165	9.238	5.8075	4.2731	4.9649	1.5344	0.30905
538	1062	2.9172	2.8905	3.1165	9.2369	5.8063	4.2725	4.9644	1.5338	0.30896
539	1064	2.9199	2.8924	3.1165	9.2336	5.8028	4.2719	4.9617	1.5309	0.30854
540	1066	2.9217	2.8939	3.1165	9.2325	5.8016	4.2725	4.96	1.5291	0.30829
541	1068	2.9226	2.8956	3.1165	9.2351	5.801	4.2725	4.9626	1.5285	0.30801
542	1070	2.9235	2.8973	3.1165	9.236	5.7987	4.2719	4.964	1.5268	0.30757
543	1072	2.9253	2.8986	3.1165	9.2358	5.7952	4.2719	4.9638	1.5233	0.30687
544	1074	2.9271	2.9001	3.1165	9.2361	5.7923	4.2713	4.9648	1.5209	0.30634
545	1076	2.928	2.9013	3.1165	9.2365	5.7893	4.2719	4.9645	1.5174	0.30565
546	1078	2.9307	2.9024	3.1165	9.2373	5.787	4.2725	4.9648	1.5145	0.30504
547	1080	2.9343	2.9041	3.1165	9.2365	5.7893	4.2719	4.9645	1.5174	0.30565
548	1082	2.9378	2.9064	3.1165	9.2352	5.7946	4.2713	4.9639	1.5233	0.30687
549	1084	2.9378	2.9088	3.1165	9.2334	5.7993	4.2713	4.9621	1.528	0.30793
550	1086	2.9405	2.9118	3.1165	9.2356	5.8016	4.2725	4.9631	1.5291	0.3081
551	1088	2.9423	2.9139	3.1165	9.2325	5.8016	4.2702	4.9623	1.5315	0.30862
552	1090	2.945	2.9165	3.1165	9.2351	5.801	4.2713	4.9637	1.5297	0.30818
553	1092	2.9468	2.9184	3.1165	9.2382	5.801	4.2719	4.9662	1.5291	0.3079
554	1094	2.9486	2.9205	3.1165	9.2376	5.8005	4.2725	4.9651	1.528	0.30774
555	1096	2.9495	2.9222	3.1165	9.2354	5.7981	4.2713	4.9641	1.5268	0.30757
556	1098	2.9504	2.9237	3.1165	9.2352	5.7946	4.2719	4.9633	1.5227	0.30679
557	1100	2.9522	2.9254	3.1165	9.2339	5.7899	4.2708	4.9631	1.5192	0.30609
558	1102	2.9558	2.9265	3.1165	9.2365	5.7893	4.2725	4.9639	1.5168	0.30557
559	1104	2.9585	2.9284	3.1165	9.2361	5.7923	4.2725	4.9636	1.5198	0.30618
560	1106	2.962	2.9309	3.1165	9.238	5.7975	4.2719	4.966	1.5256	0.30721
561	1108	2.9647	2.9337	3.1165	9.2378	5.804	4.2725	4.9653	1.5315	0.30843
562	1110	2.9665	2.9367	3.1165	9.2366	5.8092	4.2719	4.9646	1.5373	0.30965
563	1112	2.9683	2.9396	3.1165	9.2351	5.811	4.2725	4.9626	1.5385	0.31002
564	1114	2.971	2.9422	3.1165	9.2357	5.8116	4.2719	4.9637	1.5397	0.31018
565	1116	2.9737	2.9443	3.1165	9.2382	5.811	4.2725	4.9657	1.5385	0.30982
566	1118	2.9755	2.9465	3.1165	9.2357	5.8116	4.2725	4.9632	1.5391	0.3101
567	1120	2.9773	2.9488	3.1165	9.2337	5.8128	4.2725	4.9612	1.5402	0.31046
568	1122	2.98	2.9509	3.1165	9.2373	5.8133	4.2719	4.9654	1.5414	0.31043
569	1124	2.98	2.9528	3.1165	9.2351	5.811	4.2725	4.9626	1.5385	0.31002
570	1126	2.9818	2.9543	3.1165	9.2355	5.8081	4.2725	4.963	1.5356	0.3094
571	1128	2.9836	2.956	3.1165	9.2378	5.804	4.2713	4.9665	1.5326	0.3086
572	1130	2.9845	2.9571	3.1165	9.2356	5.8016	4.2725	4.9631	1.5291	0.3081
573	1132	2.9854	2.9584	3.1165	9.238	5.7975	4.2725	4.9654	1.525	0.30713
574	1134	2.9871	2.9597	3.1165	9.2367	5.7929	4.2719	4.9647	1.5209	0.30635
575	1136	2.9907	2.9609	3.1165	9.2381	5.7911	4.2719	4.9662	1.5192	0.3059
576	1138	2.9925	2.9622	3.1165	9.2383	5.7946	4.2731	4.9652	1.5215	0.30643
577	1140	2.9943	2.9643	3.1165	9.2343	5.797	4.2719	4.9624	1.525	0.30732
578	1142	2.9961	2.9665	3.1165	9.2349	5.7975	4.2725	4.9623	1.525	0.30732
579	1144	2.997	2.9682	3.1165	9.236	5.7987	4.2725	4.9634	1.5262	0.30749
580	1146	3.0006	2.9705	3.1165	9.2376	5.8005	4.2719	4.9657	1.5285	0.30782
581	1148	3.0033	2.9729	3.1165	9.2378	5.804	4.2725	4.9653	1.5315	0.30843
582	1150	3.0042	2.9752	3.1165	9.2364	5.8057	4.2719	4.9644	1.5338	0.30896
583	1152	3.0051	2.9773	3.1165	9.2353	5.8046	4.2708	4.9645	1.5338	0.30895
584	1154	3.006	2.979	3.1165	9.2325	5.8016	4.2719	4.9606	1.5297	0.30837
585	1156	3.0069	2.9801	3.1165	9.2363	5.7958	4.2719	4.9644	1.5239	0.30696
586	1158	3.0078	2.9812	3.1165	9.2339	5.7899	4.2713	4.9626	1.5186	0.30601
587	1160	3.0096	2.9818	3.1165	9.2362	5.7858	4.2725	4.9637	1.5133	0.30487
588	1162	3.0114	2.9831	3.1165	9.2377	5.7841	4.2725	4.9652	1.5116	0.30443
589	1164	3.014	2.9841	3.1165	9.2371	5.7835	4.2725	4.9646	1.511	0.30435
590	1166	3.0158	2.9858	3.1165	9.2351	5.7847	4.2719	4.9632	1.5127	0.30479
591	1168	3.0158	2.9869	3.1165	9.2326	5.7852	4.2719	4.9607	1.5133	0.30506
592	1170	3.0176	2.9884	3.1165	9.2357	5.7852	4.2719	4.9638	1.5133	0.30487
593	1172	3.0221	2.9905	3.1165	9.237	5.7899	4.2725	4.9645	1.5174	0.30565
594	1174	3.0239	2.9929	3.1165	9.2378	5.794	4.2719	4.9658	1.5221	0.30651
595	1176	3.0257	2.9956	3.1165	9.2349	5.7975	4.2708	4.9641	1.5268	0.30756
596	1178	3.0266	2.9978	3.1165	9.2349	5.7975	4.2702	4.9647	1.5274	0.30765
597	1180	3.0293	2.9995	3.1165	9.2354	5.7981	4.2719	4.9635	1.5262	0.30748

598	1182	3.032	3.0018	3.1165	9.2371	5.7999	4.2725	4.9646	1.5274	0.30765
599	1184	3.0365	3.0041	3.1165	9.2331	5.8022	4.2719	4.9611	1.5303	0.30846
600	1186	3.0373	3.0067	3.1165	9.2375	5.8069	4.2725	4.965	1.5344	0.30904
601	1188	3.04	3.0097	3.1165	9.2382	5.811	4.2725	4.9657	1.5385	0.30982
602	1190	3.0409	3.012	3.1165	9.2362	5.8122	4.2725	4.9637	1.5397	0.31018
603	1192	3.0454	3.0146	3.1165	9.2348	5.8139	4.2719	4.9628	1.542	0.31071
604	1194	3.0463	3.0171	3.1165	9.2339	5.8163	4.2725	4.9614	1.5438	0.31116
605	1196	3.049	3.0197	3.1165	9.2355	5.818	4.2719	4.9636	1.5461	0.31149
606	1198	3.0526	3.022	3.1165	9.2372	5.8198	4.2725	4.9647	1.5473	0.31166
607	1200	3.0553	3.0246	3.1165	9.2337	5.8227	4.2719	4.9618	1.5508	0.31254
608	1202	3.058	3.0273	3.1165	9.2356	5.828	4.2725	4.9631	1.5555	0.31341
609	1204	3.0607	3.0297	3.1165	9.2347	5.8303	4.2731	4.9616	1.5572	0.31386
610	1206	3.0625	3.0324	3.1165	9.2369	5.8327	4.2725	4.9644	1.5601	0.31427
611	1208	3.0633	3.0348	3.1165	9.2363	5.8321	4.2719	4.9644	1.5601	0.31427
612	1210	3.066	3.0369	3.1165	9.2383	5.8309	4.2725	4.9658	1.5584	0.31382
613	1212	3.0669	3.0388	3.1165	9.2356	5.828	4.2725	4.9631	1.5555	0.31341
614	1214	3.0714	3.041	3.1165	9.2376	5.8268	4.2725	4.9651	1.5543	0.31305
615	1216	3.0732	3.0427	3.1165	9.2367	5.8291	4.2725	4.9642	1.5566	0.31357
616	1218	3.0741	3.0448	3.1165	9.2383	5.8309	4.2725	4.9658	1.5584	0.31382
617	1220	3.0759	3.0469	3.1165	9.2372	5.8297	4.2719	4.9653	1.5578	0.31374
618	1222	3.0759	3.0482	3.1165	9.237	5.8262	4.2725	4.9645	1.5537	0.31296
619	1224	3.0804	3.0499	3.1165	9.2385	5.8245	4.2725	4.966	1.552	0.31252
620	1226	3.0804	3.0514	3.1165	9.2385	5.8245	4.2725	4.966	1.552	0.31252
621	1228	3.0813	3.0531	3.1165	9.2363	5.8221	4.2719	4.9644	1.5502	0.31227
622	1230	3.0822	3.0544	3.1165	9.2355	5.818	4.2725	4.963	1.5455	0.31141
623	1232	3.0822	3.0556	3.1165	9.2362	5.8122	4.2719	4.9643	1.5402	0.31026
624	1234	3.0822	3.0561	3.1165	9.2353	5.8046	4.2719	4.9633	1.5326	0.30879
625	1236	3.0831	3.0563	3.1165	9.2369	5.7964	4.2725	4.9643	1.5239	0.30696
626	1238	3.0822	3.0563	3.1165	9.2359	5.7888	4.2725	4.9634	1.5162	0.30549
627	1240	3.0858	3.0567	3.1165	9.2371	5.7835	4.2713	4.9658	1.5121	0.30451
628	1242	3.0885	3.0573	3.1165	9.2377	5.7841	4.2719	4.9658	1.5121	0.30451
629	1244	3.0893	3.0584	3.1165	9.2384	5.7882	4.2725	4.9659	1.5157	0.30521
630	1246	3.0902	3.0601	3.1165	9.2365	5.7893	4.2725	4.9639	1.5168	0.30557
631	1248	3.092	3.0618	3.1165	9.237	5.7899	4.2719	4.9651	1.518	0.30574
632	1250	3.0938	3.0633	3.1165	9.237	5.7899	4.2725	4.9645	1.5174	0.30565
633	1252	3.0956	3.065	3.1165	9.2336	5.7929	4.2713	4.9622	1.5215	0.30662
634	1254	3.0956	3.0669	3.1165	9.2367	5.7929	4.2725	4.9641	1.5203	0.30626
635	1256	3.0974	3.0684	3.1165	9.2356	5.7917	4.2725	4.963	1.5192	0.3061
636	1258	3.0974	3.0699	3.1165	9.2354	5.7882	4.2725	4.9628	1.5157	0.3054
637	1260	3.0992	3.071	3.1165	9.2357	5.7852	4.2725	4.9632	1.5127	0.30479
638	1262	3.1001	3.0725	3.1165	9.2324	5.7817	4.2708	4.9616	1.511	0.30453
639	1264	3.1037	3.0733	3.1165	9.2369	5.78	4.2725	4.9644	1.5075	0.30365
640	1266	3.1055	3.0748	3.1165	9.2329	5.7823	4.2725	4.9604	1.5098	0.30437
641	1268	3.1091	3.0767	3.1165	9.2382	5.7847	4.2719	4.9663	1.5127	0.3046
642	1270	3.1091	3.0788	3.1165	9.2354	5.7882	4.2725	4.9628	1.5157	0.3054
643	1272	3.1091	3.0808	3.1165	9.2373	5.787	4.2725	4.9648	1.5145	0.30504
644	1274	3.1127	3.0827	3.1165	9.2382	5.7847	4.2713	4.9669	1.5133	0.30468
645	1276	3.1144	3.0844	3.1165	9.2357	5.7852	4.2725	4.9632	1.5127	0.30479
646	1278	3.1162	3.0863	3.1165	9.2373	5.787	4.2719	4.9654	1.5151	0.30512
647	1280	3.1189	3.0882	3.1165	9.2323	5.7882	4.2725	4.9597	1.5157	0.30559
648	1282	3.1198	3.0901	3.1165	9.2365	5.7893	4.2719	4.9645	1.5174	0.30565
649	1284	3.1243	3.0923	3.1165	9.2381	5.7911	4.2725	4.9656	1.5186	0.30582
650	1286	3.1252	3.0946	3.1165	9.2372	5.7934	4.2713	4.9659	1.5221	0.30651
651	1288	3.1288	3.0969	3.1165	9.2374	5.797	4.2725	4.9649	1.5244	0.30704
652	1290	3.1306	3.0999	3.1165	9.2325	5.8016	4.2713	4.9612	1.5303	0.30845
653	1292	3.1342	3.1025	3.1165	9.2378	5.804	4.2713	4.9665	1.5326	0.3086
654	1294	3.1351	3.1048	3.1165	9.2358	5.8051	4.2702	4.9656	1.535	0.30912
655	1296	3.136	3.1067	3.1165	9.2347	5.804	4.2719	4.9628	1.5321	0.30871
656	1298	3.1369	3.1086	3.1165	9.2351	5.801	4.2719	4.9631	1.5291	0.3081
657	1300	3.1396	3.1101	3.1165	9.2349	5.7975	4.2719	4.9629	1.5256	0.3074
658	1302	3.1404	3.1114	3.1165	9.2378	5.794	4.2725	4.9652	1.5215	0.30643
659	1304	3.1422	3.1129	3.1165	9.2325	5.7917	4.2713	4.9611	1.5203	0.30645
660	1306	3.144	3.1146	3.1165	9.2381	5.7911	4.2719	4.9662	1.5192	0.3059
661	1308	3.1458	3.1159	3.1165	9.2339	5.7899	4.2725	4.9614	1.5174	0.30584
662	1310	3.1449	3.1172	3.1165	9.2331	5.7858	4.2719	4.9612	1.5139	0.30515
663	1312	3.1449	3.118	3.1165	9.2358	5.7788	4.2713	4.9645	1.5075	0.30365
664	1314	3.1458	3.1184	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2725	4.9629	1.4993	0.30209
665	1316	3.1476	3.1193	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2719	4.9635	1.4999	0.30218
666	1318	3.1503	3.1206	3.1165	9.2354	5.7718	4.2713	4.9641	1.5004	0.30226
667	1320	3.1557	3.1225	3.1165	9.2351	5.7747	4.2713	4.9637	1.5034	0.30287
668	1322	3.1566	3.1246	3.1165	9.2375	5.7806	4.2725	4.965	1.5081	0.30374
669	1324	3.1602	3.1272	3.1165	9.2351	5.7847	4.2725	4.9626	1.5121	0.30471
670	1326	3.1611	3.1297	3.1165	9.2359	5.7888	4.2719	4.964	1.5168	0.30557
671	1328	3.1647	3.1323	3.1165	9.2356	5.7917	4.2719	4.9636	1.5198	0.30618
672	1330	3.1682	3.1348	3.1165	9.2369	5.7964	4.2719	4.9649	1.5244	0.30704
673	1332	3.17	3.1378	3.1165	9.2351	5.801	4.2725	4.9626	1.5285	0.30801
674	1334	3.1718	3.1406	3.1165	9.2378	5.804	4.2702	4.9676	1.5338	0.30876
675	1336	3.1745	3.1431	3.1165	9.2358	5.8051	4.2713	4.9645	1.5338	0.30896
676	1338	3.1772	3.1457	3.1165	9.236	5.8087	4.2731	4.9629	1.5356	0.30941
677	1340	3.1799	3.1487	3.1165	9.2371	5.8098	4.2725	4.9646	1.5373	0.30966
678	1342	3.1817	3.1512	3.1165	9.2351	5.811	4.2708	4.9644	1.5402	0.31026
679	1344	3.1835	3.1531	3.1165	9.2371	5.8098	4.2719	4.9652	1.5379	0.30974
680	1346	3.1871	3.1555	3.1165	9.2377	5.8104	4.2708	4.9669	1.5397	0.30998
681	1348	3.1889	3.1576	3.1165	9.2351	5.811	4.2702	4.965	1.5408	0.31034
682	1350	3.188	3.1591	3.1165	9.2355	5.8081	4.2731	4.9624	1.535	0.30932
683	1352	3.1889	3.1606	3.1165	9.2325	5.8016	4.2719	4.9606	1.5297	0.30837
684	1354	3.1907	3.1616	3.1165	9.2358	5.7952	4.2719	4.9638	1.5233	0.30687
685	1356	3.1907	3.1625	3.1165	9.2365	5.7893	4.2719	4.9645	1.5174	0.30565
686	1358	3.1942	3.1633	3.1165	9.2362	5.7858	4.2719	4.9643	1.5139	0.30496
687	1360	3.1942	3.1642	3.1165	9.2351	5.7847	4.2719	4.9632	1.5127	0.30479
688	1362	3.196	3.1655	3.1165	9.2351	5.7847	4.2731	4.9621	1.5116	0.30462
689	1364	3.1978	3.1672	3.1165	9.2346	5.7841	4.2713	4.9633	1.5127	0.30479
690	1366	3.1987	3.1684	3.1165	9.2346	5.7841	4.2719	4.9627	1.5121	0.3047
691	1368	3.2005	3.1701	3.1165	9.236	5.7823	4.2719	4.9641	1.5104	0.30426
692	1370	3.2014	3.1712	3.1165	9.2355	5.7817	4.2725	4.963	1.5092	0.3041
693	1372	3.2059	3.1729	3.1165	9.2377	5.7841	4.2725	4.9652	1.5116	0.30443
694	1374	3.2086	3.1753	3.1165	9.2334	5.7893	4.2725	4.9608	1.5168	0.30576
695	1376	3.2104	3.178	3.1165	9.2372	5.7934	4.2725	4.9647	1.5209	0.30635
696	1378	3.214	3.1806	3.1165	9.2385	5.7981	4.2725	4.966	1.5256	0.30721
697	1380	3.2158	3.1831	3.1165	9.2325	5.8016	4.2731	4.9594	1.5285	0.30821
698	1382	3.2193	3.1861	3.1165	9.2369	5.8063	4.2731	4.9638	1.5332	0.30888

699	1384	3.222	3.1893	3.1165	9.2357	5.8116	4.2719	4.9637	1.5397	0.31018
700	1386	3.2229	3.1921	3.1165	9.2379	5.8139	4.2725	4.9654	1.5414	0.31043
701	1388	3.2265	3.1953	3.1165	9.2384	5.8145	4.2684	4.97	1.5461	0.31109
702	1390	3.2283	3.1972	3.1165	9.2353	5.8145	4.2725	4.9628	1.542	0.31071
703	1392	3.2319	3.1997	3.1165	9.237	5.8163	4.2725	4.9645	1.5438	0.31096
704	1394	3.2337	3.2025	3.1165	9.2361	5.8186	4.2708	4.9653	1.5479	0.31173
705	1396	3.2364	3.2048	3.1165	9.2372	5.8198	4.2725	4.9647	1.5473	0.31166
706	1398	3.2382	3.2074	3.1165	9.2377	5.8204	4.2725	4.9652	1.5479	0.31174
707	1400	3.2435	3.2097	3.1165	9.2383	5.821	4.2725	4.9658	1.5484	0.31182
708	1402	3.2444	3.2123	3.1165	9.2379	5.8239	4.2708	4.9672	1.5531	0.31268
709	1404	3.2453	3.2146	3.1165	9.2379	5.8239	4.2713	4.9666	1.5525	0.3126
710	1406	3.2462	3.2165	3.1165	9.2383	5.821	4.2708	4.9675	1.5502	0.31207
711	1408	3.248	3.218	3.1165	9.233	5.8186	4.2725	4.9605	1.5461	0.31168
712	1410	3.2516	3.22	3.1165	9.2324	5.818	4.2702	4.9623	1.5479	0.31193
713	1412	3.2516	3.2214	3.1165	9.2381	5.8174	4.2725	4.9656	1.5449	0.31113
714	1414	3.2534	3.2234	3.1165	9.2379	5.8139	4.2725	4.9654	1.5414	0.31043
715	1416	3.2552	3.2246	3.1165	9.2351	5.811	4.2725	4.9626	1.5385	0.31002
716	1418	3.2588	3.2265	3.1165	9.2362	5.8122	4.2719	4.9643	1.5402	0.31026
717	1420	3.2597	3.2285	3.1165	9.2337	5.8128	4.2725	4.9612	1.5402	0.31046
718	1422	3.2615	3.2304	3.1165	9.2351	5.811	4.2725	4.9626	1.5385	0.31002
719	1424	3.2624	3.2319	3.1165	9.238	5.8075	4.2719	4.9661	1.5356	0.30921
720	1426	3.2633	3.2331	3.1165	9.2358	5.8051	4.2719	4.9639	1.5332	0.30887
721	1428	3.2633	3.2344	3.1165	9.232	5.801	4.2719	4.96	1.5291	0.30829
722	1430	3.2651	3.2355	3.1165	9.24	5.7964	4.2725	4.9674	1.5239	0.30677
723	1432	3.2669	3.2368	3.1165	9.2356	5.7917	4.2719	4.9636	1.5198	0.30618
724	1434	3.2686	3.2378	3.1165	9.2365	5.7893	4.2725	4.9639	1.5168	0.30557
725	1436	3.2695	3.2387	3.1165	9.2368	5.7864	4.2725	4.9643	1.5139	0.30496
726	1438	3.2713	3.24	3.1165	9.2351	5.7847	4.2713	4.9638	1.5133	0.30487
727	1440	3.2731	3.2414	3.1165	9.2351	5.7847	4.2713	4.9638	1.5133	0.30487
728	1442	3.2731	3.2425	3.1165	9.2335	5.7829	4.2725	4.961	1.5104	0.30445
729	1444	3.274	3.2436	3.1165	9.2349	5.7811	4.2719	4.963	1.5092	0.30409
730	1446	3.2767	3.2451	3.1165	9.2355	5.7817	4.2725	4.963	1.5092	0.3041
731	1448	3.2776	3.2466	3.1165	9.2355	5.7817	4.2725	4.963	1.5092	0.3041
732	1450	3.2794	3.2483	3.1165	9.2369	5.78	4.2719	4.965	1.508	0.30374
733	1452	3.2803	3.2493	3.1165	9.2378	5.7776	4.2719	4.9659	1.5057	0.30321
734	1453.9	3.2803	3.2506	3.1165	9.2351	5.7747	4.2719	4.9632	1.5028	0.30279

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 12

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	3.1165	9.2351	5.7747	4.2719	4.9632	1.5028	0.30279
2	0.012433	0	0	3.1165	9.232	5.7747	4.2725	4.9595	1.5022	0.30289
3	0.050667	-0.0008965	0	3.1165	9.2351	5.7747	4.2725	4.9626	1.5022	0.3027
4	0.10072	0.0008965	0	3.1165	9.2382	5.7747	4.2725	4.9657	1.5022	0.30252
5	0.25095	0.001793	0.0006385	3.1165	9.2475	5.7747	4.2708	4.9767	1.504	0.3022
6	0.50198	0.005379	0.0019155	3.1165	9.2654	5.7806	4.2731	4.9923	1.5075	0.30196
7	1.004	0.018827	0.0059593	3.1165	9.3001	5.7911	4.2725	5.0276	1.5186	0.30205
8	2.0017	0.033171	0.017027	3.1165	9.3706	5.8133	4.2719	5.0987	1.5414	0.30232
9	4.0009	0.072617	0.04959	3.1165	9.5131	5.866	4.2737	5.2394	1.5923	0.30392
10	6.0012	0.11834	0.093221	3.1165	9.6592	5.9357	4.2737	5.3855	1.662	0.30861
11	8.0005	0.1802	0.14388	3.1165	9.8028	5.9995	4.2731	5.5297	1.7264	0.3122
12	10.004	0.21516	0.20155	3.1165	9.9452	6.0586	4.2737	5.6715	1.7849	0.31472
13	12.003	0.28688	0.26051	3.1165	10.089	6.0996	4.2731	5.816	1.8265	0.31405
14	14.003	0.3335	0.32457	3.1165	10.224	6.147	4.2731	5.9505	1.8739	0.31492
15	16.002	0.38012	0.37395	3.1165	10.228	6.1646	4.2737	5.954	1.8909	0.31758
16	18.001	0.40163	0.40992	3.1165	10.226	6.1499	4.2725	5.9538	1.8774	0.31533
17	20	0.43749	0.43673	3.1165	10.227	6.1248	4.2702	5.9573	1.8546	0.31132
18	22.004	0.45722	0.45972	3.1165	10.225	6.1189	4.2731	5.9519	1.8458	0.31012
19	24.003	0.48232	0.47994	3.1165	10.226	6.1166	4.2737	5.9522	1.8429	0.30961
20	26.002	0.49218	0.49739	3.1165	10.225	6.0996	4.2719	5.9535	1.8277	0.30699
21	28.001	0.50921	0.51165	3.1165	10.224	6.082	4.2737	5.9508	1.8083	0.30389
22	30.001	0.52356	0.52442	3.1165	10.226	6.0709	4.2731	5.9533	1.7978	0.30199
23	32.004	0.537	0.5374	3.1165	10.226	6.0709	4.2713	5.955	1.7996	0.30219
24	34.003	0.54507	0.55017	3.1165	10.226	6.0709	4.2708	5.9556	1.8002	0.30226
25	36.002	0.56121	0.5623	3.1165	10.226	6.0709	4.2719	5.9545	1.799	0.30212
26	38.002	0.57645	0.57422	3.1165	10.226	6.0709	4.2719	5.9545	1.799	0.30212
27	40.001	0.589	0.5855	3.1165	10.227	6.0715	4.2725	5.9544	1.799	0.30213
28	42.004	0.59707	0.59678	3.1165	10.229	6.0709	4.2708	5.9587	1.8002	0.3021
29	44.003	0.60873	0.60743	3.1165	10.227	6.0715	4.2737	5.9532	1.7978	0.30199
30	46.003	0.62038	0.61807	3.1165	10.226	6.0709	4.2725	5.9539	1.7984	0.30205
31	48.002	0.62934	0.6285	3.1165	10.227	6.0715	4.2725	5.9544	1.799	0.30213
32	50.001	0.63831	0.6385	3.1165	10.227	6.0715	4.2725	5.9544	1.799	0.30213
33	52	0.64907	0.6485	3.1165	10.226	6.0709	4.2702	5.9562	1.8007	0.30233
34	54.004	0.65534	0.65808	3.1165	10.227	6.0715	4.2719	5.955	1.7996	0.30219
35	56.003	0.66162	0.66744	3.1165	10.227	6.0715	4.2708	5.9562	1.8007	0.30233
36	58.003	0.66789	0.6766	3.1165	10.226	6.0709	4.2713	5.955	1.7996	0.30219
37	60.002	0.67776	0.68554	3.1165	10.226	6.0709	4.2719	5.9545	1.799	0.30212
38	62.001	0.68941	0.69426	3.1165	10.226	6.0709	4.2719	5.9545	1.799	0.30212
39	64	0.70017	0.70299	3.1165	10.226	6.0709	4.2725	5.9539	1.7984	0.30205
40	66.004	0.70734	0.7115	3.1165	10.229	6.0709	4.2719	5.9576	1.799	0.30197
41	68.003	0.71451	0.72023	3.1165	10.226	6.0709	4.2708	5.9556	1.8002	0.30226
42	70.002	0.72258	0.72853	3.1165	10.226	6.0709	4.2719	5.9545	1.799	0.30212
43	72.001	0.73424	0.73683	3.1165	10.229	6.0709	4.2708	5.9587	1.8002	0.3021
44	74.001	0.74858	0.7447	3.1165	10.23	6.0715	4.2713	5.9587	1.8002	0.30211
45	76	0.75306	0.75258	3.1165	10.227	6.0715	4.2737	5.9532	1.7978	0.30199
46	78.004	0.75575	0.76067	3.1165	10.227	6.0715	4.2731	5.9538	1.7984	0.30206
47	80.002	0.76382	0.76897	3.1165	10.229	6.0709	4.2702	5.9593	1.8007	0.30217
48	82.002	0.7683	0.77705	3.1165	10.23	6.0715	4.2713	5.9587	1.8002	0.30211
49	84.001	0.77278	0.78493	3.1165	10.226	6.0709	4.2719	5.9545	1.799	0.30212
50	86	0.77727	0.79259	3.1165	10.224	6.0715	4.2725	5.9513	1.799	0.30228
51	88.004	0.78354	0.79983	3.1165	10.226	6.0709	4.2749	5.9515	1.7961	0.30178
52	90.003	0.7934	0.80749	3.1165	10.224	6.0715	4.2731	5.9507	1.7984	0.30221
53	92.002	0.81044	0.81558	3.1165	10.229	6.0709	4.2731	5.9564	1.7978	0.30183
54	94.001	0.8194	0.82281	3.1165	10.227	6.0715	4.2719	5.955	1.7996	0.30219
55	96	0.82658	0.83026	3.1165	10.23	6.0715	4.2719	5.9581	1.7996	0.30204
56	98.004	0.83016	0.8375	3.1165	10.23	6.0715	4.2731	5.9569	1.7984	0.3019
57	100	0.83375	0.84452	3.1165	10.23	6.0715	4.2743	5.9558	1.7972	0.30176
58	102	0.83644	0.85154	3.1165	10.227	6.0715	4.2731	5.9538	1.7984	0.30206
59	104	0.84451	0.85814	3.1165	10.226	6.0709	4.2731	5.9533	1.7978	0.30199
60	106	0.85347	0.86517	3.1165	10.226	6.0709	4.2719	5.9545	1.799	0.30212
61	108	0.86333	0.87198	3.1165	10.226	6.0709	4.2719	5.9545	1.799	0.30212
62	110	0.87678	0.87879	3.1165	10.23	6.0715	4.269	5.961	1.8025	0.30238
63	112	0.89112	0.8856	3.1165	10.226	6.0709	4.2731	5.9533	1.7978	0.30199
64	114	0.8965	0.89262	3.1165	10.229	6.0709	4.2719	5.9576	1.799	0.30197
65	116	0.90098	0.89901	3.1165	10.229	6.0709	4.2696	5.9599	1.8013	0.30224
66	118	0.90816	0.90539	3.1165	10.229	6.0709	4.2719	5.9576	1.799	0.30197
67	120	0.91354	0.91199	3.1165	10.227	6.0715	4.2713	5.9556	1.8002	0.30226
68	122	0.91712	0.91837	3.1165	10.227	6.0715	4.2725	5.9544	1.799	0.30213
69	124	0.92071	0.92455	3.1165	10.229	6.0709	4.2731	5.9564	1.7978	0.30183
70	126	0.93057	0.93093	3.1165	10.226	6.0709	4.2719	5.9545	1.799	0.30212
71	128	0.93864	0.93732	3.1165	10.227	6.0715	4.2719	5.955	1.7996	0.30219
72	130	0.94671	0.9437	3.1165	10.23	6.0715	4.2719	5.9581	1.7996	0.30204
73	132	0.95388	0.94987	3.1165	10.23	6.0715	4.2719	5.9581	1.7996	0.30204
74	134	0.96105	0.95583	3.1165	10.23	6.0715	4.2725	5.9575	1.799	0.30197
75	136	0.96374	0.96179	3.1165	10.23	6.0715	4.2725	5.9575	1.799	0.30197
76	138	0.96822	0.96796	3.1165	10.23	6.0715	4.2719	5.9581	1.7996	0.30204
77	140	0.97539	0.97414	3.1165	10.23	6.0715	4.2702	5.9599	1.8013	0.30224
78	142	0.98257	0.9801	3.1165	10.229	6.0709	4.2702	5.9593	1.8007	0.30217
79	144	0.98615	0.98584	3.1165	10.23	6.0715	4.2708	5.9593	1.8007	0.30217
80	146	0.98974	0.9918	3.1165	10.227	6.0715	4.269	5.9579	1.8025	0.30254
81	148	0.99332	0.99755	3.1165	10.23	6.0715	4.2725	5.9575	1.799	0.30197
82	150	0.99781	1.0035	3.1165	10.229	6.0709	4.2702	5.9593	1.8007	0.30217
83	152	1.0095	1.0093	3.1165	10.226	6.0709	4.2702	5.9562	1.8007	0.30233
84	154	1.0175	1.0148	3.1165	10.226	6.0709	4.2713	5.955	1.7996	0.30219
85	156	1.0265	1.0205	3.1165	10.226	6.0709	4.2725	5.9539	1.7984	0.30205
86	158	1.0346	1.0263	3.1165	10.23	6.0715	4.2731	5.9569	1.7984	0.3019
87	160	1.039	1.032	3.1165	10.23	6.0715	4.2731	5.9569	1.7984	0.3019
88	162	1.0471	1.0378	3.1165	10.227	6.0715	4.2725	5.9544	1.799	0.30213
89	164	1.0525	1.0437	3.1165	10.231	6.0721	4.2708	5.9598	1.8013	0.30224
90	166	1.0597	1.0495	3.1165	10.229	6.0738	4.2708	5.9584	1.8031	0.30261
91	168	1.0606	1.0548	3.1165	10.23	6.0715	4.2731	5.9569	1.7984	0.3019
92	170	1.0659	1.0605	3.1165	10.23	6.0715	4.2719	5.9581	1.7996	0.30204

93	172	1.0713	1.0659	3.1165	10.229	6.0709	4.2725	5.957	1.7984	0.3019
94	174	1.0749	1.0712	3.1165	10.226	6.0709	4.2731	5.9533	1.7978	0.30199
95	176	1.0794	1.0767	3.1165	10.227	6.0715	4.2713	5.9556	1.8002	0.30226
96	178	1.0875	1.082	3.1165	10.23	6.0715	4.2725	5.9575	1.799	0.30197
97	180	1.1009	1.0876	3.1165	10.231	6.0727	4.2719	5.9592	1.8007	0.30218
98	182	1.1063	1.0937	3.1165	10.231	6.082	4.2725	5.9581	1.8095	0.30371
99	184	1.1081	1.0995	3.1165	10.228	6.082	4.2713	5.9562	1.8107	0.304
100	186	1.1144	1.1052	3.1165	10.226	6.0809	4.2708	5.9557	1.8101	0.30393
101	188	1.1188	1.1106	3.1165	10.229	6.0768	4.2713	5.9574	1.8054	0.30305
102	190	1.126	1.1157	3.1165	10.228	6.0762	4.2725	5.9557	1.8037	0.30285
103	192	1.1323	1.1212	3.1165	10.228	6.0797	4.2702	5.9583	1.8095	0.3037
104	194	1.1368	1.1267	3.1165	10.228	6.082	4.2731	5.9544	1.8089	0.3038
105	196	1.1404	1.1323	3.1165	10.229	6.0803	4.2725	5.9565	1.8078	0.3035
106	198	1.1448	1.1374	3.1165	10.228	6.0762	4.2719	5.9563	1.8042	0.30291
107	200	1.1484	1.1418	3.1165	10.229	6.0709	4.2725	5.957	1.7984	0.3019
108	202	1.1538	1.1465	3.1165	10.227	6.0715	4.2731	5.9538	1.7984	0.30206
109	204	1.1583	1.1516	3.1165	10.227	6.0715	4.2713	5.9556	1.8002	0.30226
110	206	1.1628	1.1567	3.1165	10.227	6.0715	4.2696	5.9573	1.8019	0.30247
111	208	1.1681	1.1614	3.1165	10.23	6.0715	4.2731	5.9569	1.7984	0.3019
112	210	1.1744	1.1663	3.1165	10.23	6.0715	4.2708	5.9593	1.8007	0.30217
113	212	1.1807	1.1712	3.1165	10.23	6.0715	4.2708	5.9593	1.8007	0.30217
114	214	1.1861	1.1761	3.1165	10.227	6.0715	4.2713	5.9556	1.8002	0.30226
115	216	1.1897	1.1812	3.1165	10.23	6.0715	4.2708	5.9593	1.8007	0.30217
116	218	1.1932	1.1859	3.1165	10.227	6.0715	4.2725	5.9544	1.799	0.30213
117	220	1.195	1.1906	3.1165	10.23	6.0715	4.2719	5.9581	1.7996	0.30204
118	222	1.2049	1.1953	3.1165	10.229	6.0709	4.2731	5.9564	1.7978	0.30183
119	224	1.2076	1.2004	3.1165	10.23	6.0715	4.2713	5.9587	1.8002	0.30211
120	226	1.2148	1.2053	3.1165	10.227	6.0715	4.2713	5.9556	1.8002	0.30226
121	228	1.2237	1.2102	3.1165	10.231	6.0762	4.2731	5.9582	1.8031	0.30262
122	230	1.2309	1.2157	3.1165	10.229	6.0838	4.2725	5.9567	1.8113	0.30407
123	232	1.2336	1.2217	3.1165	10.226	6.0902	4.2725	5.9534	1.8177	0.30532
124	234	1.2381	1.2276	3.1165	10.225	6.0926	4.2702	5.9549	1.8224	0.30603
125	236	1.2434	1.2329	3.1165	10.226	6.0937	4.2719	5.9542	1.8218	0.30597
126	238	1.247	1.238	3.1165	10.226	6.0902	4.2725	5.9534	1.8177	0.30532
127	240	1.2488	1.2425	3.1165	10.227	6.0814	4.2702	5.9568	1.8113	0.30407
128	242	1.2542	1.2459	3.1165	10.227	6.0715	4.2713	5.9556	1.8002	0.30226
129	244	1.2614	1.2502	3.1165	10.227	6.0715	4.2731	5.9538	1.7984	0.30206
130	246	1.2686	1.2544	3.1165	10.23	6.0744	4.2731	5.9566	1.8013	0.30241
131	248	1.2712	1.2593	3.1165	10.227	6.0779	4.2725	5.9543	1.8054	0.30321
132	250	1.2739	1.264	3.1165	10.229	6.0773	4.2719	5.9574	1.8054	0.30305
133	252	1.2811	1.2689	3.1165	10.228	6.0791	4.2713	5.9565	1.8078	0.30349
134	254	1.2874	1.2736	3.1165	10.227	6.0814	4.2725	5.9545	1.8089	0.30379
135	256	1.2937	1.2791	3.1165	10.227	6.0885	4.2702	5.9572	1.8183	0.30523
136	258	1.299	1.2849	3.1165	10.228	6.0961	4.2702	5.9582	1.8259	0.30645
137	260	1.3017	1.2904	3.1165	10.225	6.099	4.2713	5.9536	1.8277	0.30699
138	262	1.3044	1.2953	3.1165	10.231	6.0955	4.2731	5.9578	1.8224	0.30588
139	264	1.3125	1.3004	3.1165	10.228	6.0961	4.2708	5.9576	1.8253	0.30639
140	266	1.3152	1.3051	3.1165	10.226	6.0937	4.2702	5.956	1.8236	0.30617
141	268	1.3188	1.3091	3.1165	10.229	6.0902	4.2731	5.9559	1.8171	0.30509
142	270	1.3205	1.3128	3.1165	10.23	6.0809	4.2725	5.957	1.8083	0.30357
143	272	1.3214	1.3162	3.1165	10.227	6.0715	4.2725	5.9544	1.799	0.30213
144	274	1.3214	1.3198	3.1165	10.229	6.0709	4.2725	5.957	1.7984	0.3019
145	276	1.3223	1.3236	3.1165	10.226	6.0709	4.2719	5.9545	1.799	0.30212
146	278	1.3241	1.3274	3.1165	10.227	6.0715	4.2719	5.955	1.7996	0.30219
147	280	1.3304	1.3315	3.1165	10.23	6.0715	4.2719	5.9581	1.7996	0.30204
148	282	1.3394	1.336	3.1165	10.23	6.0715	4.2702	5.9599	1.8013	0.30224
149	284	1.3474	1.3398	3.1165	10.229	6.0709	4.2725	5.957	1.7984	0.3019
150	286	1.3555	1.3438	3.1165	10.23	6.0715	4.2725	5.9575	1.799	0.30197
151	288	1.36	1.3481	3.1165	10.229	6.0709	4.2713	5.9581	1.7996	0.30203
152	290	1.3663	1.3526	3.1165	10.231	6.0721	4.2731	5.9575	1.799	0.30197
153	292	1.3725	1.357	3.1165	10.226	6.0803	4.2725	5.9534	1.8078	0.30365
154	294	1.3788	1.3626	3.1165	10.228	6.0891	4.2702	5.9578	1.8189	0.3053
155	296	1.3824	1.3677	3.1165	10.228	6.0961	4.2731	5.9553	1.823	0.30611
156	298	1.386	1.3732	3.1165	10.229	6.0996	4.2713	5.9572	1.8282	0.3069
157	300	1.3914	1.3783	3.1165	10.229	6.1002	4.2702	5.9589	1.83	0.3071
158	302	1.395	1.383	3.1165	10.225	6.0996	4.2719	5.9535	1.8277	0.30699
159	304	1.3985	1.3872	3.1165	10.226	6.0972	4.2708	5.9556	1.8265	0.30668
160	306	1.4048	1.3915	3.1165	10.226	6.0972	4.2731	5.9533	1.8242	0.30641
161	308	1.4084	1.3964	3.1165	10.231	6.0984	4.2725	5.958	1.8259	0.30646
162	310	1.4138	1.4007	3.1165	10.23	6.0943	4.2708	5.959	1.8236	0.30602
163	312	1.4165	1.4047	3.1165	10.229	6.0937	4.2713	5.9579	1.8224	0.30588
164	314	1.4201	1.4085	3.1165	10.228	6.0926	4.2708	5.9574	1.8218	0.30581
165	316	1.4227	1.4121	3.1165	10.229	6.0873	4.2725	5.9569	1.8148	0.30465
166	318	1.4263	1.4158	3.1165	10.228	6.082	4.2713	5.9562	1.8107	0.304
167	320	1.4299	1.4192	3.1165	10.227	6.0779	4.2702	5.9566	1.8078	0.30349
168	322	1.4335	1.4222	3.1165	10.228	6.0727	4.2719	5.9561	1.8007	0.30233
169	324	1.4407	1.4253	3.1165	10.23	6.075	4.2731	5.9571	1.8019	0.30248
170	326	1.4461	1.4296	3.1165	10.228	6.082	4.2719	5.9556	1.8101	0.30393
171	328	1.4505	1.4345	3.1165	10.229	6.0902	4.2725	5.9565	1.8177	0.30516
172	330	1.455	1.4396	3.1165	10.226	6.0967	4.2713	5.9545	1.8253	0.30655
173	332	1.4586	1.4443	3.1165	10.227	6.1008	4.2719	5.9546	1.8288	0.30713
174	334	1.4595	1.4488	3.1165	10.231	6.099	4.2725	5.9586	1.8265	0.30653
175	336	1.4676	1.4528	3.1165	10.231	6.0961	4.2725	5.9589	1.8236	0.30602
176	338	1.4721	1.4571	3.1165	10.23	6.0978	4.2725	5.9575	1.8253	0.30639
177	340	1.4765	1.4613	3.1165	10.23	6.1008	4.2731	5.9566	1.8277	0.30683
178	342	1.4819	1.4662	3.1165	10.23	6.1072	4.2713	5.9582	1.8359	0.30812
179	344	1.4864	1.4709	3.1165	10.23	6.1113	4.2725	5.9578	1.8388	0.30864
180	346	1.4873	1.4758	3.1165	10.231	6.1119	4.2719	5.9589	1.84	0.30878
181	348	1.4909	1.4798	3.1165	10.229	6.1066	4.2719	5.957	1.8347	0.30799
182	350	1.4927	1.483	3.1165	10.229	6.0996	4.2725	5.956	1.8271	0.30676
183	352	1.4954	1.486	3.1165	10.229	6.0902	4.2713	5.9577	1.8189	0.3053
184	354	1.4998	1.4886	3.1165	10.227	6.0814	4.2708	5.9562	1.8107	0.304
185	356	1.5034	1.4911	3.1165	10.229	6.0768	4.2725	5.9563	1.8042	0.30292
186	358	1.5097	1.4943	3.1165	10.226	6.0768	4.2708	5.9549	1.806	0.30328
187	360	1.5133	1.4975	3.1165	10.231	6.082	4.2725	5.9581	1.8095	0.30371
188	362	1.5178	1.5015	3.1165	10.23	6.0879	4.2719	5.958	1.816	0.30479
189	364	1.5232	1.5062	3.1165	10.229	6.0967	4.2719	5.957	1.8247	0.30632
190	366	1.5267	1.5111	3.1165	10.229	6.1031	4.2731	5.9557	1.83	0.30727
191	368	1.5312	1.516	3.1165	10.228	6.1054	4.2708	5.9571	1.8347	0.30798
192	370	1.5348	1.5203	3.1165	10.231	6.1084	4.2713	5.9593	1.837	0.30826
193	372	1.5384	1.5247	3.1165	10.228	6.109	4.2702	5.9579	1.8388	0.30863

194	374	1.5447	1.529	3.1165	10.228	6.109	4.2713	5.9567	1.8376	0.30849
195	376	1.5483	1.5335	3.1165	10.23	6.1148	4.2725	5.958	1.8423	0.30922
196	378	1.5509	1.5377	3.1165	10.232	6.116	4.2737	5.9579	1.8423	0.30922
197	380	1.5554	1.5415	3.1165	10.231	6.1119	4.2713	5.9595	1.8405	0.30884
198	382	1.5599	1.5454	3.1165	10.23	6.1107	4.2725	5.9572	1.8382	0.30857
199	384	1.5644	1.5492	3.1165	10.23	6.1113	4.2725	5.9578	1.8388	0.30864
200	386	1.5671	1.5533	3.1165	10.229	6.1131	4.2708	5.9581	1.8423	0.30921
201	388	1.5698	1.5567	3.1165	10.229	6.1101	4.2719	5.9572	1.8382	0.30857
202	390	1.5752	1.5603	3.1165	10.231	6.1084	4.2702	5.9604	1.8382	0.3084
203	392	1.5805	1.5637	3.1165	10.232	6.1095	4.2731	5.9586	1.8364	0.3082
204	394	1.5859	1.5684	3.1165	10.231	6.1189	4.2713	5.9599	1.8476	0.31
205	396	1.5877	1.5726	3.1165	10.229	6.1236	4.2725	5.9569	1.8511	0.31074
206	398	1.5877	1.5762	3.1165	10.229	6.1201	4.2725	5.9567	1.8476	0.31017
207	400	1.5886	1.5792	3.1165	10.226	6.1101	4.2737	5.9524	1.8364	0.30852
208	402	1.5931	1.582	3.1165	10.225	6.0996	4.2725	5.9529	1.8271	0.30692
209	404	1.5976	1.5845	3.1165	10.231	6.0955	4.2713	5.9596	1.8242	0.30609
210	406	1.6038	1.5873	3.1165	10.227	6.0949	4.2708	5.9565	1.8242	0.30625
211	408	1.6092	1.5907	3.1165	10.229	6.1031	4.2725	5.9562	1.8306	0.30734
212	410	1.6137	1.595	3.1165	10.23	6.1142	4.2725	5.9574	1.8417	0.30915
213	412	1.6191	1.6003	3.1165	10.229	6.1265	4.2719	5.9572	1.8546	0.31132
214	414	1.6209	1.6054	3.1165	10.229	6.1335	4.2713	5.9581	1.8622	0.31255
215	416	1.6236	1.6099	3.1165	10.231	6.1347	4.2725	5.9581	1.8622	0.31255
216	418	1.6263	1.6137	3.1165	10.229	6.13	4.2719	5.9574	1.8581	0.3119
217	420	1.6316	1.6171	3.1165	10.232	6.1259	4.2725	5.9591	1.8534	0.31102
218	422	1.6352	1.6207	3.1165	10.228	6.1253	4.2719	5.9561	1.8534	0.31118
219	424	1.637	1.6241	3.1165	10.23	6.1242	4.2725	5.9575	1.8517	0.31081
220	426	1.6406	1.6269	3.1165	10.229	6.1195	4.2731	5.9556	1.8464	0.31003
221	428	1.6451	1.6303	3.1165	10.231	6.1183	4.2702	5.9605	1.8482	0.31007
222	430	1.6496	1.6335	3.1165	10.231	6.1183	4.2725	5.9582	1.8458	0.3098
223	432	1.6523	1.6371	3.1165	10.228	6.1189	4.2702	5.958	1.8487	0.3103
224	434	1.6576	1.6403	3.1165	10.226	6.123	4.2725	5.9533	1.8505	0.31084
225	436	1.6612	1.6441	3.1165	10.23	6.1277	4.2719	5.9583	1.8558	0.31146
226	438	1.6639	1.648	3.1165	10.227	6.1312	4.2719	5.9554	1.8593	0.3122
227	440	1.6675	1.652	3.1165	10.231	6.1318	4.2719	5.959	1.8599	0.31211
228	442	1.6729	1.6561	3.1165	10.23	6.1371	4.2713	5.9583	1.8657	0.31313
229	444	1.6747	1.6599	3.1165	10.228	6.1388	4.2719	5.9563	1.8669	0.31343
230	446	1.6747	1.6631	3.1165	10.229	6.1335	4.2725	5.957	1.861	0.31241
231	448	1.6791	1.6663	3.1165	10.23	6.1277	4.2725	5.9577	1.8552	0.31139
232	450	1.6818	1.669	3.1165	10.231	6.1218	4.2719	5.9589	1.8499	0.31044
233	452	1.6836	1.6712	3.1165	10.227	6.1148	4.2713	5.956	1.8435	0.30951
234	454	1.6872	1.6729	3.1165	10.23	6.1072	4.2725	5.957	1.8347	0.30799
235	456	1.6908	1.6752	3.1165	10.227	6.1078	4.2725	5.9545	1.8353	0.30822
236	458	1.6953	1.6782	3.1165	10.227	6.1113	4.2719	5.9552	1.8394	0.30887
237	460	1.698	1.6814	3.1165	10.227	6.1142	4.2725	5.9543	1.8417	0.30931
238	462	1.7016	1.6846	3.1165	10.231	6.1183	4.2731	5.9576	1.8452	0.30973
239	464	1.7043	1.6884	3.1165	10.23	6.1212	4.2719	5.9584	1.8493	0.31037
240	466	1.7087	1.6918	3.1165	10.229	6.1236	4.2719	5.9575	1.8517	0.31081
241	468	1.7132	1.6954	3.1165	10.231	6.1283	4.2731	5.9576	1.8552	0.31139
242	470	1.7168	1.6995	3.1165	10.229	6.133	4.2713	5.9576	1.8616	0.31248
243	472	1.7213	1.7033	3.1165	10.228	6.1382	4.2725	5.9552	1.8657	0.31329
244	474	1.724	1.7071	3.1165	10.232	6.1423	4.2731	5.9584	1.8692	0.31371
245	476	1.7258	1.711	3.1165	10.226	6.1429	4.2719	5.954	1.871	0.31424
246	478	1.7294	1.7148	3.1165	10.23	6.1406	4.2702	5.9597	1.8704	0.31384
247	480	1.732	1.7178	3.1165	10.227	6.1376	4.2719	5.9552	1.8657	0.31329
248	482	1.7329	1.7203	3.1165	10.228	6.1324	4.2713	5.957	1.861	0.31241
249	484	1.7356	1.7227	3.1165	10.231	6.1253	4.2719	5.9592	1.8534	0.31102
250	486	1.7383	1.7248	3.1165	10.226	6.1171	4.2725	5.954	1.8446	0.30982
251	488	1.7401	1.7267	3.1165	10.23	6.1113	4.2725	5.9578	1.8388	0.30864
252	490	1.7437	1.7284	3.1165	10.229	6.1066	4.2725	5.9564	1.8341	0.30792
253	492	1.7464	1.7308	3.1165	10.231	6.1054	4.2725	5.9584	1.8329	0.30762
254	494	1.7491	1.7329	3.1165	10.232	6.106	4.2725	5.959	1.8335	0.30769
255	496	1.7509	1.7354	3.1165	10.23	6.1043	4.2725	5.9573	1.8318	0.30748
256	498	1.7554	1.7384	3.1165	10.228	6.106	4.2702	5.9582	1.8359	0.30812
257	500	1.7598	1.7412	3.1165	10.229	6.1101	4.2719	5.9572	1.8382	0.30857
258	502	1.7616	1.7442	3.1165	10.23	6.1148	4.2725	5.958	1.8423	0.30922
259	504	1.7643	1.7474	3.1165	10.23	6.1171	4.2731	5.9565	1.8441	0.30959
260	506	1.7679	1.751	3.1165	10.231	6.1183	4.2713	5.9593	1.847	0.30993
261	508	1.7697	1.7542	3.1165	10.229	6.1195	4.2708	5.9579	1.8487	0.3103
262	510	1.7733	1.7569	3.1165	10.228	6.1183	4.2731	5.9545	1.8452	0.30989
263	512	1.7787	1.7601	3.1165	10.23	6.1212	4.2713	5.959	1.8499	0.31044
264	514	1.7805	1.7631	3.1165	10.229	6.1236	4.2731	5.9563	1.8505	0.31068
265	516	1.7849	1.7669	3.1165	10.231	6.1253	4.2708	5.9603	1.8546	0.31116
266	518	1.7876	1.7699	3.1165	10.227	6.1277	4.2725	5.9546	1.8552	0.31156
267	520	1.7903	1.7733	3.1165	10.229	6.1294	4.2719	5.9568	1.8575	0.31183
268	522	1.7921	1.7763	3.1165	10.229	6.1294	4.2719	5.9568	1.8575	0.31183
269	524	1.7957	1.7793	3.1165	10.231	6.1289	4.2731	5.9582	1.8558	0.31146
270	526	1.7984	1.7825	3.1165	10.231	6.1289	4.2725	5.9588	1.8563	0.31153
271	528	1.802	1.7857	3.1165	10.229	6.1294	4.269	5.9597	1.8604	0.31217
272	530	1.8073	1.7886	3.1165	10.231	6.1347	4.2725	5.9581	1.8622	0.31255
273	532	1.8091	1.7921	3.1165	10.231	6.1388	4.2725	5.9588	1.8663	0.3132
274	534	1.8118	1.7955	3.1165	10.231	6.1388	4.2708	5.9606	1.8681	0.3134
275	536	1.8136	1.7984	3.1165	10.225	6.1359	4.2725	5.953	1.8634	0.31302
276	538	1.8181	1.8014	3.1165	10.227	6.1347	4.2696	5.9579	1.8651	0.31305
277	540	1.8217	1.8038	3.1165	10.231	6.1353	4.2737	5.9575	1.8616	0.31249
278	542	1.8244	1.8067	3.1165	10.229	6.1365	4.2719	5.9572	1.8645	0.31299
279	544	1.828	1.8099	3.1165	10.23	6.1406	4.2719	5.958	1.8686	0.31364
280	546	1.8307	1.8133	3.1165	10.23	6.1441	4.2725	5.9576	1.8716	0.31415
281	548	1.836	1.8167	3.1165	10.23	6.1476	4.2719	5.9584	1.8757	0.31479
282	550	1.8396	1.8204	3.1165	10.229	6.1558	4.2725	5.9562	1.8833	0.31619
283	552	1.8423	1.824	3.1165	10.229	6.1599	4.2708	5.9587	1.8891	0.31704
284	554	1.845	1.8278	3.1165	10.231	6.1616	4.2702	5.9609	1.8915	0.31731
285	556	1.8477	1.8312	3.1165	10.232	6.1622	4.2737	5.958	1.8885	0.31698
286	558	1.8504	1.8344	3.1165	10.227	6.1611	4.2725	5.9549	1.8885	0.31714
287	560	1.854	1.8372	3.1165	10.23	6.1575	4.2719	5.9584	1.8856	0.31646
288	562	1.8558	1.8397	3.1165	10.229	6.1564	4.2719	5.9573	1.8844	0.31632
289	564	1.8629	1.8427	3.1165	10.229	6.1593	4.2725	5.9564	1.8868	0.31677
290	566	1.8638	1.8463	3.1165	10.232	6.1628	4.2696	5.9626	1.8932	0.31752
291	568	1.8656	1.8491	3.1165	10.231	6.1616	4.2719	5.9592	1.8897	0.31711
292	570	1.8674	1.8516	3.1165	10.229	6.1599	4.2713	5.9581	1.8885	0.31697
293	572	1.8683	1.854	3.1165	10.228	6.1552	4.2719	5.9562	1.8833	0.31619
294	574	1.8701	1.8561	3.1165	10.231	6.1482	4.2737	5.9572	1.8745	0.31466

295	576	1.8719	1.858	3.1165	10.228	6.1417	4.2725	5.9554	1.8692	0.31387
296	578	1.8755	1.8599	3.1165	10.231	6.1388	4.2713	5.96	1.8675	0.31333
297	580	1.8782	1.8617	3.1165	10.229	6.1365	4.2719	5.9572	1.8645	0.31299
298	582	1.8818	1.864	3.1165	10.228	6.1382	4.2702	5.9575	1.8681	0.31356
299	584	1.8836	1.8665	3.1165	10.23	6.1406	4.2708	5.9591	1.8698	0.31377
300	586	1.8853	1.8691	3.1165	10.228	6.1388	4.2725	5.9557	1.8663	0.31336
301	588	1.888	1.8712	3.1165	10.227	6.1371	4.2725	5.9541	1.8645	0.31315
302	590	1.8907	1.8738	3.1165	10.23	6.1371	4.2708	5.9589	1.8663	0.31319
303	592	1.8934	1.8759	3.1165	10.229	6.1359	4.2725	5.9561	1.8634	0.31285
304	594	1.8952	1.8785	3.1165	10.23	6.1341	4.2725	5.9575	1.8616	0.31248
305	596	1.8988	1.8806	3.1165	10.229	6.133	4.2725	5.9564	1.8604	0.31234
306	598	1.8997	1.8831	3.1165	10.228	6.1324	4.2713	5.957	1.861	0.31241
307	600	1.9015	1.8848	3.1165	10.226	6.1294	4.2731	5.9525	1.8563	0.31186
308	602	1.906	1.8874	3.1165	10.226	6.13	4.2702	5.956	1.8599	0.31227
309	604	1.9078	1.89	3.1165	10.231	6.1318	4.2713	5.9596	1.8604	0.31218
310	606	1.9095	1.8925	3.1165	10.231	6.1318	4.2713	5.9596	1.8604	0.31218
311	608	1.9113	1.8946	3.1165	10.226	6.13	4.2725	5.9537	1.8575	0.312
312	610	1.9149	1.8968	3.1165	10.229	6.1294	4.2713	5.9574	1.8581	0.3119
313	612	1.9167	1.8993	3.1165	10.229	6.13	4.2725	5.9568	1.8575	0.31183
314	614	1.9185	1.9019	3.1165	10.227	6.1271	4.2731	5.9534	1.854	0.31142
315	616	1.9212	1.904	3.1165	10.231	6.1248	4.2725	5.958	1.8522	0.31088
316	618	1.9239	1.9061	3.1165	10.231	6.1248	4.2725	5.958	1.8522	0.31088
317	620	1.9266	1.9087	3.1165	10.228	6.1253	4.2713	5.9566	1.854	0.31125
318	622	1.9302	1.911	3.1165	10.23	6.1277	4.2713	5.9588	1.8563	0.31153
319	624	1.932	1.914	3.1165	10.226	6.13	4.2719	5.9543	1.8581	0.31206
320	626	1.9329	1.9163	3.1165	10.232	6.1294	4.2719	5.9599	1.8575	0.31167
321	628	1.9355	1.9185	3.1165	10.231	6.1253	4.2719	5.9592	1.8534	0.31102
322	630	1.9382	1.9206	3.1165	10.227	6.1242	4.2725	5.9544	1.8517	0.31098
323	632	1.9418	1.9229	3.1165	10.227	6.1248	4.2725	5.9549	1.8522	0.31105
324	634	1.9463	1.9259	3.1165	10.231	6.1289	4.2725	5.9588	1.8563	0.31153
325	636	1.949	1.9289	3.1165	10.231	6.1347	4.2713	5.9592	1.8634	0.31269
326	638	1.9526	1.9321	3.1165	10.231	6.1417	4.2708	5.9602	1.871	0.31391
327	640	1.9553	1.9351	3.1165	10.23	6.147	4.2743	5.9555	1.8727	0.31446
328	642	1.958	1.9387	3.1165	10.227	6.1511	4.2719	5.9555	1.8792	0.31554
329	644	1.9607	1.9421	3.1165	10.23	6.1534	4.2725	5.9571	1.8809	0.31575
330	646	1.9651	1.9453	3.1165	10.23	6.157	4.2725	5.9573	1.8844	0.31633
331	648	1.9678	1.9485	3.1165	10.231	6.1611	4.2719	5.9586	1.8891	0.31704
332	650	1.9696	1.9519	3.1165	10.232	6.1622	4.2708	5.9609	1.8915	0.31731
333	652	1.9741	1.9549	3.1165	10.226	6.1628	4.2725	5.9535	1.8903	0.31751
334	654	1.9768	1.9581	3.1165	10.228	6.1646	4.2713	5.9563	1.8932	0.31785
335	656	1.9813	1.9608	3.1165	10.231	6.1681	4.2737	5.9573	1.8944	0.318
336	658	1.984	1.9642	3.1165	10.227	6.1739	4.2708	5.9564	1.9032	0.31952
337	660	1.9849	1.9674	3.1165	10.23	6.1774	4.2708	5.9597	1.9067	0.31993
338	662	1.9893	1.9708	3.1165	10.231	6.178	4.269	5.962	1.909	0.3202
339	664	1.9902	1.9736	3.1165	10.232	6.1757	4.2708	5.9612	1.9049	0.31956
340	666	1.9929	1.9759	3.1165	10.231	6.1716	4.2719	5.9592	1.8997	0.31878
341	668	1.9956	1.9781	3.1165	10.228	6.1687	4.2719	5.9565	1.8967	0.31843
342	670	1.9965	1.9802	3.1165	10.229	6.1663	4.2719	5.9574	1.8944	0.31799
343	672	1.9992	1.9823	3.1165	10.232	6.1622	4.2731	5.9586	1.8891	0.31704
344	674	2.0037	1.9845	3.1165	10.231	6.1611	4.2725	5.958	1.8885	0.31697
345	676	2.0064	1.9866	3.1165	10.229	6.1622	4.2708	5.9578	1.8915	0.31748
346	678	2.0073	1.9889	3.1165	10.229	6.1622	4.2719	5.9566	1.8903	0.31734
347	680	2.01	1.9911	3.1165	10.228	6.1616	4.2725	5.9555	1.8891	0.31721
348	682	2.0118	1.9936	3.1165	10.231	6.1611	4.2702	5.9604	1.8909	0.31724
349	684	2.0135	1.9957	3.1165	10.229	6.1593	4.2708	5.9582	1.8885	0.31697
350	686	2.0153	1.9977	3.1165	10.23	6.157	4.2719	5.9579	1.885	0.31639
351	688	2.0171	1.9994	3.1165	10.227	6.1534	4.2719	5.9546	1.8815	0.31598
352	690	2.0198	2.0013	3.1165	10.231	6.1517	4.2719	5.9591	1.8798	0.31544
353	692	2.0216	2.003	3.1165	10.226	6.1499	4.2743	5.952	1.8757	0.31513
354	694	2.0234	2.0053	3.1165	10.229	6.1493	4.2719	5.9569	1.8774	0.31517
355	696	2.0261	2.0072	3.1165	10.231	6.1488	4.2725	5.9589	1.8762	0.31487
356	698	2.0288	2.0091	3.1165	10.232	6.1493	4.2713	5.9606	1.878	0.31507
357	700	2.0315	2.0113	3.1165	10.231	6.1517	4.2743	5.9568	1.8774	0.31517
358	702	2.0333	2.014	3.1165	10.232	6.1558	4.2708	5.961	1.885	0.31622
359	704	2.0369	2.0166	3.1165	10.231	6.1581	4.2725	5.9584	1.8856	0.31646
360	706	2.0386	2.0191	3.1165	10.227	6.1605	4.2719	5.955	1.8885	0.31714
361	708	2.0404	2.0217	3.1165	10.229	6.1622	4.2725	5.956	1.8897	0.31728
362	710	2.0422	2.0243	3.1165	10.228	6.1616	4.2725	5.9555	1.8891	0.31721
363	712	2.0458	2.0268	3.1165	10.229	6.1593	4.2713	5.9576	1.888	0.3169
364	714	2.0485	2.0289	3.1165	10.227	6.1611	4.2731	5.9544	1.888	0.31707
365	716	2.0494	2.0315	3.1165	10.232	6.1622	4.2719	5.9597	1.8903	0.31718
366	718	2.0512	2.0338	3.1165	10.226	6.1599	4.2708	5.9556	1.8891	0.3172
367	720	2.0521	2.0357	3.1165	10.229	6.1558	4.2719	5.9568	1.8839	0.31625
368	722	2.0548	2.0377	3.1165	10.227	6.1511	4.2713	5.9561	1.8798	0.3156
369	724	2.0575	2.0394	3.1165	10.23	6.1476	4.2713	5.959	1.8762	0.31486
370	726	2.0593	2.0409	3.1165	10.231	6.1452	4.2725	5.9587	1.8727	0.31429
371	728	2.062	2.0426	3.1165	10.227	6.1441	4.2719	5.9551	1.8722	0.31438
372	730	2.0637	2.0443	3.1165	10.231	6.1447	4.2731	5.9575	1.8716	0.31415
373	732	2.0655	2.0464	3.1165	10.231	6.1447	4.2719	5.9587	1.8727	0.31429
374	734	2.0691	2.0485	3.1165	10.23	6.147	4.2713	5.9584	1.8757	0.31479
375	736	2.0727	2.0509	3.1165	10.228	6.1523	4.2731	5.9554	1.8792	0.31554
376	738	2.0727	2.0536	3.1165	10.231	6.1552	4.2725	5.9587	1.8827	0.31595
377	740	2.0736	2.0558	3.1165	10.23	6.154	4.2725	5.9576	1.8815	0.31582
378	742	2.0763	2.0581	3.1165	10.23	6.1505	4.2725	5.9574	1.878	0.31524
379	744	2.0772	2.06	3.1165	10.231	6.1452	4.2713	5.9599	1.8739	0.31442
380	746	2.0781	2.0613	3.1165	10.229	6.14	4.2737	5.9557	1.8663	0.31337
381	748	2.0808	2.063	3.1165	10.229	6.1365	4.2708	5.9584	1.8657	0.31312
382	750	2.0835	2.0647	3.1165	10.231	6.1353	4.2725	5.9586	1.8628	0.31262
383	752	2.0871	2.0666	3.1165	10.23	6.1371	4.2731	5.9566	1.864	0.31292
384	754	2.0906	2.0689	3.1165	10.23	6.1412	4.2725	5.9579	1.8686	0.31364
385	756	2.0933	2.0717	3.1165	10.228	6.1482	4.2696	5.9582	1.8786	0.3153
386	758	2.0978	2.0743	3.1165	10.232	6.1558	4.2731	5.9587	1.8827	0.31596
387	760	2.0996	2.0777	3.1165	10.227	6.164	4.2725	5.9546	1.8915	0.31765
388	762	2.1032	2.0813	3.1165	10.23	6.1704	4.2725	5.9576	1.8979	0.31857
389	764	2.1068	2.0847	3.1165	10.231	6.178	4.2725	5.9585	1.9055	0.3198
390	766	2.1095	2.0883	3.1165	10.23	6.1833	4.2725	5.9573	1.9108	0.32075
391	768	2.1122	2.0915	3.1165	10.23	6.1868	4.2731	5.9569	1.9137	0.32126
392	770	2.1157	2.0949	3.1165	10.232	6.1892	4.2731	5.9591	1.9161	0.32154
393	772	2.1175	2.0979	3.1165	10.229	6.1892	4.2725	5.9566	1.9166	0.32177
394	774	2.1211	2.1009	3.1165	10.231	6.1909	4.2725	5.9582	1.9184	0.32197
395	776	2.1247	2.1039	3.1165	10.23	6.1938	4.2713	5.959	1.9225	0.32262

396	778	2.1256	2.1066	3.1165	10.23	6.1932	4.2713	5.9585	1.9219	0.32255
397	780	2.1283	2.1092	3.1165	10.231	6.1915	4.2737	5.9576	1.9178	0.32191
398	782	2.1301	2.1119	3.1165	10.229	6.1886	4.2713	5.9572	1.9172	0.32183
399	784	2.1337	2.1143	3.1165	10.228	6.188	4.2731	5.9549	1.9149	0.32157
400	786	2.1355	2.1164	3.1165	10.23	6.1868	4.2708	5.9592	1.9161	0.32153
401	788	2.1382	2.1183	3.1165	10.229	6.1856	4.2719	5.957	1.9137	0.32126
402	790	2.1408	2.1207	3.1165	10.229	6.1856	4.2731	5.9558	1.9125	0.32112
403	792	2.1426	2.1232	3.1165	10.232	6.1856	4.2713	5.9606	1.9143	0.32116
404	794	2.1444	2.1254	3.1165	10.231	6.1845	4.2725	5.9584	1.912	0.32089
405	796	2.1489	2.1277	3.1165	10.231	6.1851	4.2696	5.9618	1.9155	0.32129
406	798	2.1507	2.13	3.1165	10.232	6.1886	4.2696	5.962	1.919	0.32187
407	800	2.1516	2.1324	3.1165	10.232	6.1892	4.2725	5.9597	1.9166	0.3216
408	802	2.1534	2.1347	3.1165	10.23	6.1868	4.2737	5.9563	1.9131	0.32119
409	804	2.1543	2.1368	3.1165	10.229	6.1821	4.2713	5.9573	1.9108	0.32075
410	806	2.1552	2.1383	3.1165	10.228	6.1745	4.2702	5.9575	1.9043	0.31965
411	808	2.157	2.1396	3.1165	10.232	6.1687	4.2713	5.9602	1.8973	0.31833
412	810	2.1588	2.1409	3.1165	10.232	6.1628	4.2713	5.9609	1.8915	0.31731
413	812	2.1606	2.1422	3.1165	10.227	6.157	4.2725	5.9542	1.8844	0.31649
414	814	2.1633	2.1434	3.1165	10.231	6.1546	4.2731	5.9576	1.8815	0.31582
415	816	2.1642	2.1447	3.1165	10.229	6.1529	4.2725	5.9565	1.8803	0.31568
416	818	2.1651	2.1462	3.1165	10.227	6.1511	4.2731	5.9543	1.878	0.3154
417	820	2.1677	2.1477	3.1165	10.229	6.1499	4.2725	5.9569	1.8774	0.31517
418	822	2.1704	2.1498	3.1165	10.228	6.1523	4.2719	5.9566	1.8803	0.31568
419	824	2.174	2.152	3.1165	10.231	6.1552	4.2743	5.957	1.8809	0.31575
420	826	2.1758	2.1543	3.1165	10.229	6.1599	4.2702	5.9593	1.8897	0.3171
421	828	2.1776	2.1566	3.1165	10.229	6.1628	4.2708	5.9584	1.8921	0.31755
422	830	2.1776	2.1588	3.1165	10.229	6.1628	4.2725	5.9566	1.8903	0.31735
423	832	2.1812	2.1611	3.1165	10.23	6.1634	4.2713	5.9583	1.8921	0.31755
424	834	2.183	2.1634	3.1165	10.227	6.164	4.2725	5.9546	1.8915	0.31765
425	836	2.1857	2.1658	3.1165	10.231	6.1646	4.2731	5.9577	1.8915	0.31749
426	838	2.1884	2.1679	3.1165	10.228	6.1646	4.2719	5.9557	1.8926	0.31778
427	840	2.1911	2.1703	3.1165	10.229	6.1663	4.2725	5.9568	1.8938	0.31792
428	842	2.1928	2.1728	3.1165	10.23	6.1669	4.2708	5.9591	1.8962	0.31819
429	844	2.1946	2.1749	3.1165	10.231	6.1652	4.2719	5.9594	1.8932	0.31769
430	846	2.1964	2.1771	3.1165	10.23	6.164	4.2708	5.9595	1.8932	0.31768
431	848	2.2	2.1788	3.1165	10.226	6.1628	4.2737	5.9523	1.8891	0.31738
432	850	2.2018	2.1809	3.1165	10.229	6.1628	4.2719	5.9572	1.8909	0.31741
433	852	2.2045	2.183	3.1165	10.23	6.164	4.2725	5.9577	1.8915	0.31748
434	854	2.2081	2.1856	3.1165	10.227	6.1675	4.2725	5.9548	1.895	0.31823
435	856	2.2108	2.1883	3.1165	10.229	6.1728	4.2708	5.9584	1.902	0.31921
436	858	2.2126	2.1909	3.1165	10.232	6.1757	4.2702	5.9617	1.9055	0.31962
437	860	2.2162	2.1937	3.1165	10.233	6.1798	4.2702	5.9625	1.9096	0.32027
438	862	2.2179	2.1966	3.1165	10.232	6.1827	4.2708	5.9616	1.912	0.32071
439	864	2.2197	2.1992	3.1165	10.23	6.1833	4.2702	5.9596	1.9131	0.32102
440	866	2.2269	2.2022	3.1165	10.231	6.1915	4.2708	5.9605	1.9207	0.32224
441	868	2.2287	2.2054	3.1165	10.232	6.1991	4.2725	5.9597	1.9266	0.32327
442	870	2.2305	2.2088	3.1165	10.23	6.2061	4.2702	5.9594	1.936	0.32486
443	872	2.2332	2.212	3.1165	10.232	6.2085	4.2725	5.9592	1.936	0.32487
444	874	2.235	2.215	3.1165	10.231	6.2073	4.2749	5.9558	1.9324	0.32446
445	876	2.235	2.2175	3.1165	10.229	6.202	4.2743	5.9545	1.9278	0.32375
446	878	2.2368	2.2192	3.1165	10.23	6.1938	4.2731	5.9573	1.9207	0.32242
447	880	2.2377	2.2207	3.1165	10.231	6.1851	4.2725	5.9589	1.9125	0.32095
448	882	2.2386	2.222	3.1165	10.231	6.1745	4.2708	5.9601	1.9038	0.31942
449	884	2.2404	2.2228	3.1165	10.232	6.1657	4.2725	5.9594	1.8932	0.31769
450	886	2.2448	2.2237	3.1165	10.232	6.1593	4.2725	5.9595	1.8868	0.3166
451	888	2.2457	2.225	3.1165	10.231	6.1587	4.2713	5.9601	1.8874	0.31667
452	890	2.2475	2.2262	3.1165	10.23	6.1605	4.2725	5.9575	1.888	0.3169
453	892	2.2493	2.2275	3.1165	10.23	6.1605	4.2743	5.9557	1.8862	0.3167
454	894	2.252	2.2296	3.1165	10.232	6.1622	4.2725	5.9591	1.8897	0.31711
455	896	2.2538	2.2318	3.1165	10.231	6.1652	4.2719	5.9594	1.8932	0.31769
456	898	2.2574	2.2339	3.1165	10.23	6.1704	4.2725	5.9576	1.8979	0.31857
457	900	2.2592	2.2362	3.1165	10.228	6.1745	4.2725	5.9552	1.902	0.31939
458	902	2.2619	2.2388	3.1165	10.232	6.1786	4.2713	5.9602	1.9073	0.32
459	904	2.2655	2.2418	3.1165	10.232	6.1856	4.2725	5.9595	1.9131	0.32102
460	906	2.2682	2.245	3.1165	10.232	6.1921	4.2737	5.9582	1.9184	0.32198
461	908	2.2699	2.2479	3.1165	10.231	6.195	4.2725	5.959	1.9225	0.32262
462	910	2.2726	2.2507	3.1165	10.228	6.1979	4.2725	5.9555	1.9254	0.3233
463	912	2.2762	2.2537	3.1165	10.231	6.2009	4.2731	5.9577	1.9278	0.32358
464	914	2.278	2.2567	3.1165	10.231	6.2044	4.2708	5.9602	1.9336	0.32442
465	916	2.2807	2.2594	3.1165	10.23	6.2061	4.2725	5.957	1.9336	0.32459
466	918	2.2834	2.262	3.1165	10.226	6.2061	4.2708	5.9557	1.9354	0.32496
467	920	2.2852	2.2643	3.1165	10.23	6.2067	4.2719	5.9582	1.9348	0.32473
468	922	2.2852	2.2665	3.1165	10.23	6.2032	4.2737	5.9562	1.9295	0.32395
469	924	2.287	2.2682	3.1165	10.231	6.1979	4.2743	5.9569	1.9237	0.32293
470	926	2.2897	2.2701	3.1165	10.229	6.1927	4.2713	5.9579	1.9213	0.32248
471	928	2.2906	2.2716	3.1165	10.231	6.1874	4.2731	5.9574	1.9143	0.32133
472	930	2.2933	2.2728	3.1165	10.229	6.1827	4.2719	5.9573	1.9108	0.32075
473	932	2.2941	2.2743	3.1165	10.23	6.1804	4.2713	5.9588	1.909	0.32037
474	934	2.295	2.2754	3.1165	10.229	6.1763	4.2725	5.9569	1.9038	0.31959
475	936	2.295	2.2765	3.1165	10.23	6.1704	4.2719	5.9581	1.8985	0.31864
476	938	2.2959	2.2777	3.1165	10.229	6.1628	4.2696	5.9595	1.8932	0.31768
477	940	2.2986	2.2782	3.1165	10.23	6.1575	4.2731	5.9573	1.8844	0.31633
478	942	2.3004	2.2794	3.1165	10.229	6.1558	4.269	5.9597	1.8868	0.31659
479	944	2.3022	2.2803	3.1165	10.23	6.157	4.2725	5.9573	1.8844	0.31633
480	946	2.3049	2.2818	3.1165	10.228	6.1587	4.2737	5.9547	1.885	0.31656
481	948	2.3076	2.2839	3.1165	10.23	6.1634	4.2725	5.9571	1.8909	0.31741
482	950	2.3103	2.286	3.1165	10.232	6.1687	4.2731	5.9584	1.8956	0.31813
483	952	2.3112	2.2884	3.1165	10.23	6.1733	4.2725	5.9572	1.9008	0.31908
484	954	2.3139	2.2907	3.1165	10.227	6.1769	4.2719	5.9549	1.9049	0.31989
485	956	2.3166	2.2931	3.1165	10.232	6.1827	4.2731	5.9592	1.9096	0.32045
486	958	2.3184	2.2958	3.1165	10.231	6.1874	4.2719	5.9586	1.9155	0.32146
487	960	2.3193	2.2986	3.1165	10.227	6.1897	4.2725	5.954	1.9172	0.32201
488	962	2.3237	2.3012	3.1165	10.231	6.1909	4.2702	5.9606	1.9207	0.32224
489	964	2.3282	2.3037	3.1165	10.23	6.1968	4.2719	5.9581	1.9248	0.32306
490	966	2.3291	2.3063	3.1165	10.23	6.2032	4.2725	5.9574	1.9307	0.32408
491	968	2.3309	2.309	3.1165	10.233	6.2061	4.2719	5.9607	1.9342	0.32449
492	970	2.3309	2.3116	3.1165	10.231	6.2044	4.2713	5.9597	1.933	0.32435
493	972	2.3318	2.3135	3.1165	10.227	6.1973	4.2719	5.9556	1.9254	0.3233
494	974	2.3327	2.3148	3.1165	10.232	6.1886	4.2725	5.9591	1.9161	0.32153
495	976	2.3345	2.3156	3.1165	10.229	6.1792	4.2725	5.9565	1.9067	0.3201
496	978	2.3363	2.3165	3.1165	10.229	6.1728	4.2713	5.9578	1.9014	0.31915

497	980	2.3372	2.3173	3.1165	10.23	6.1675	4.2708	5.9597	1.8967	0.31826
498	982	2.3381	2.3182	3.1165	10.232	6.1622	4.2725	5.9591	1.8897	0.31711
499	984	2.3399	2.319	3.1165	10.227	6.1575	4.2719	5.9553	1.8856	0.31663
500	986	2.3417	2.3201	3.1165	10.232	6.1558	4.2708	5.961	1.885	0.31622
501	988	2.3435	2.3212	3.1165	10.232	6.1558	4.2713	5.9605	1.8844	0.31616
502	990	2.3461	2.3224	3.1165	10.231	6.1581	4.2719	5.959	1.8862	0.31653
503	992	2.3479	2.3243	3.1165	10.232	6.1622	4.2713	5.9603	1.8909	0.31725
504	994	2.3488	2.3261	3.1165	10.228	6.1646	4.2719	5.9557	1.8926	0.31778
505	996	2.3506	2.3282	3.1165	10.229	6.1663	4.2713	5.958	1.895	0.31806
506	998	2.3533	2.3301	3.1165	10.231	6.1681	4.2702	5.9608	1.8979	0.3184
507	1000	2.3542	2.3318	3.1165	10.23	6.1698	4.2725	5.957	1.8973	0.3185
508	1002	2.3578	2.3341	3.1165	10.231	6.1751	4.2708	5.9606	1.9043	0.31949
509	1004	2.3596	2.3365	3.1165	10.232	6.1786	4.2719	5.9596	1.9067	0.31993
510	1006	2.3614	2.3388	3.1165	10.232	6.1821	4.2725	5.9593	1.9096	0.32044
511	1008	2.3623	2.3412	3.1165	10.229	6.1827	4.2719	5.9573	1.9108	0.32075
512	1010	2.3641	2.3429	3.1165	10.229	6.1827	4.2725	5.9567	1.9102	0.32068
513	1012	2.3668	2.345	3.1165	10.23	6.1833	4.2713	5.9584	1.912	0.32088
514	1014	2.3686	2.3467	3.1165	10.23	6.1833	4.2696	5.9602	1.9137	0.32108
515	1016	2.3721	2.3488	3.1165	10.227	6.1839	4.2725	5.9547	1.9114	0.32098
516	1018	2.3739	2.3514	3.1165	10.229	6.1862	4.2708	5.9587	1.9155	0.32146
517	1020	2.3748	2.3531	3.1165	10.23	6.1868	4.2719	5.9581	1.9149	0.32139
518	1022	2.3775	2.355	3.1165	10.23	6.1868	4.2725	5.9575	1.9143	0.32133
519	1024	2.3793	2.3569	3.1165	10.227	6.1868	4.2719	5.955	1.9149	0.32156
520	1026	2.3802	2.3586	3.1165	10.23	6.1868	4.2737	5.9563	1.9131	0.32119
521	1028	2.3829	2.3605	3.1165	10.229	6.1862	4.2737	5.9557	1.9125	0.32113
522	1030	2.3847	2.3627	3.1165	10.229	6.1862	4.2719	5.9575	1.9143	0.32133
523	1032	2.3856	2.3644	3.1165	10.231	6.1851	4.2725	5.9589	1.9125	0.32095
524	1034	2.3883	2.3661	3.1165	10.231	6.1845	4.2731	5.9578	1.9114	0.32082
525	1036	2.3883	2.3676	3.1165	10.23	6.1833	4.2719	5.9579	1.9114	0.32082
526	1038	2.3901	2.3693	3.1165	10.23	6.1804	4.2708	5.9594	1.9096	0.32044
527	1040	2.3919	2.371	3.1165	10.231	6.178	4.2713	5.9597	1.9067	0.31993
528	1042	2.3937	2.3722	3.1165	10.229	6.1763	4.2725	5.9569	1.9038	0.31959
529	1044	2.3964	2.3737	3.1165	10.227	6.1769	4.2725	5.9543	1.9043	0.31983
530	1046	2.3972	2.3754	3.1165	10.23	6.1774	4.2719	5.9585	1.9055	0.3198
531	1048	2.3999	2.3769	3.1165	10.227	6.1774	4.2719	5.9554	1.9055	0.31996
532	1050	2.4008	2.3786	3.1165	10.23	6.1774	4.2725	5.958	1.9049	0.31973
533	1052	2.4044	2.3807	3.1165	10.231	6.1815	4.2725	5.9587	1.909	0.32038
534	1054	2.4062	2.3829	3.1165	10.228	6.1851	4.2725	5.9558	1.9125	0.32112
535	1056	2.4071	2.3848	3.1165	10.227	6.1868	4.2731	5.9538	1.9137	0.32143
536	1058	2.4098	2.3867	3.1165	10.227	6.1874	4.2725	5.9549	1.9149	0.32156
537	1060	2.4125	2.3888	3.1165	10.232	6.1886	4.2725	5.9591	1.9161	0.32153
538	1062	2.4143	2.391	3.1165	10.231	6.1915	4.2731	5.9582	1.9184	0.32198
539	1064	2.4179	2.3933	3.1165	10.231	6.195	4.2725	5.959	1.9225	0.32262
540	1066	2.4206	2.3959	3.1165	10.231	6.2014	4.2702	5.9612	1.9313	0.32398
541	1068	2.4223	2.3982	3.1165	10.232	6.2055	4.2725	5.9596	1.933	0.32436
542	1070	2.4241	2.4005	3.1165	10.228	6.2073	4.2719	5.9556	1.9354	0.32497
543	1072	2.4268	2.4029	3.1165	10.23	6.2096	4.2731	5.9567	1.9365	0.32511
544	1074	2.4268	2.4054	3.1165	10.23	6.2102	4.2713	5.959	1.9389	0.32537
545	1076	2.4295	2.4076	3.1165	10.231	6.2079	4.2725	5.9587	1.9354	0.3248
546	1078	2.4313	2.4093	3.1165	10.23	6.2067	4.2725	5.9576	1.9342	0.32466
547	1080	2.434	2.411	3.1165	10.231	6.2044	4.2731	5.9579	1.9313	0.32415
548	1082	2.4349	2.4129	3.1165	10.232	6.205	4.2702	5.9614	1.9348	0.32455
549	1084	2.4358	2.4144	3.1165	10.227	6.2032	4.2713	5.9555	1.9319	0.32438
550	1086	2.4367	2.4161	3.1165	10.23	6.2003	4.2713	5.9589	1.9289	0.32371
551	1088	2.4376	2.4174	3.1165	10.231	6.195	4.2719	5.9596	1.9231	0.32269
552	1090	2.4385	2.4184	3.1165	10.232	6.1892	4.2708	5.9614	1.9184	0.3218
553	1092	2.4412	2.4193	3.1165	10.232	6.1856	4.2719	5.9601	1.9137	0.32109
554	1094	2.443	2.4203	3.1165	10.231	6.1845	4.2731	5.9578	1.9114	0.32082
555	1096	2.4448	2.4218	3.1165	10.232	6.1856	4.2725	5.9595	1.9131	0.32102
556	1098	2.4466	2.4233	3.1165	10.229	6.1862	4.2719	5.9575	1.9143	0.32133
557	1100	2.4475	2.4248	3.1165	10.229	6.1856	4.2708	5.9581	1.9149	0.32139
558	1102	2.451	2.4263	3.1165	10.23	6.1868	4.2713	5.9586	1.9155	0.32146
559	1104	2.4528	2.4282	3.1165	10.231	6.1909	4.2713	5.9594	1.9196	0.32211
560	1106	2.4555	2.4301	3.1165	10.229	6.1962	4.2725	5.957	1.9237	0.32293
561	1108	2.4582	2.4325	3.1165	10.232	6.202	4.2678	5.9641	1.9342	0.32431
562	1110	2.4591	2.435	3.1165	10.23	6.2067	4.2719	5.9582	1.9348	0.32473
563	1112	2.46	2.4374	3.1165	10.232	6.2085	4.2719	5.9598	1.9365	0.32493
564	1114	2.4627	2.4395	3.1165	10.232	6.2085	4.2708	5.961	1.9377	0.32507
565	1116	2.4654	2.4414	3.1165	10.231	6.2108	4.2731	5.9578	1.9377	0.32524
566	1118	2.4672	2.4435	3.1165	10.23	6.2132	4.2731	5.9569	1.9401	0.32568
567	1120	2.4681	2.4455	3.1165	10.229	6.2126	4.2713	5.9581	1.9412	0.32581
568	1122	2.4699	2.4476	3.1165	10.231	6.2114	4.2731	5.9583	1.9383	0.32531
569	1124	2.4726	2.4495	3.1165	10.231	6.2108	4.2713	5.9595	1.9395	0.32544
570	1126	2.4743	2.4512	3.1165	10.231	6.2108	4.2713	5.9595	1.9395	0.32544
571	1128	2.4761	2.4529	3.1165	10.233	6.2126	4.2731	5.9594	1.9395	0.32545
572	1130	2.4779	2.4548	3.1165	10.231	6.2137	4.2725	5.958	1.9412	0.32582
573	1132	2.4797	2.4567	3.1165	10.231	6.2137	4.2702	5.9603	1.9436	0.32608
574	1134	2.4806	2.4584	3.1165	10.231	6.2137	4.2731	5.9574	1.9406	0.32575
575	1136	2.4833	2.4601	3.1165	10.23	6.2132	4.2737	5.9563	1.9395	0.32562
576	1138	2.4842	2.4618	3.1165	10.23	6.2132	4.2719	5.958	1.9412	0.32582
577	1140	2.486	2.4633	3.1165	10.229	6.212	4.2725	5.9563	1.9395	0.32561
578	1142	2.4887	2.465	3.1165	10.229	6.2126	4.2719	5.9575	1.9406	0.32575
579	1144	2.4896	2.4665	3.1165	10.23	6.2132	4.2731	5.9569	1.9401	0.32568
580	1146	2.4905	2.4682	3.1165	10.23	6.2132	4.2725	5.9574	1.9406	0.32575
581	1148	2.4923	2.4701	3.1165	10.231	6.2108	4.2708	5.9601	1.9401	0.32551
582	1150	2.4941	2.4714	3.1165	10.232	6.2085	4.2725	5.9592	1.936	0.32487
583	1152	2.4941	2.4725	3.1165	10.228	6.205	4.2737	5.9548	1.9313	0.32432
584	1154	2.4959	2.4738	3.1165	10.232	6.202	4.2725	5.9594	1.9295	0.32378
585	1156	2.4977	2.4748	3.1165	10.23	6.2003	4.2702	5.9601	1.9301	0.32384
586	1158	2.5021	2.4765	3.1165	10.23	6.2032	4.2702	5.9597	1.933	0.32435
587	1160	2.503	2.4784	3.1165	10.232	6.2085	4.2725	5.9592	1.936	0.32487
588	1162	2.5039	2.4804	3.1165	10.23	6.2096	4.2708	5.959	1.9389	0.32537
589	1164	2.5048	2.4818	3.1165	10.23	6.2102	4.2737	5.9566	1.9365	0.32511
590	1166	2.5066	2.4835	3.1165	10.232	6.2091	4.2725	5.9598	1.9365	0.32493
591	1168	2.5084	2.485	3.1165	10.23	6.2067	4.2725	5.9576	1.9342	0.32466
592	1170	2.512	2.4865	3.1165	10.229	6.2055	4.2719	5.9571	1.9336	0.32459
593	1172	2.5111	2.4882	3.1165	10.228	6.2073	4.2725	5.955	1.9348	0.3249
594	1174	2.5138	2.4899	3.1165	10.233	6.2061	4.2725	5.9601	1.9336	0.32442
595	1176	2.5147	2.4912	3.1165	10.233	6.2061	4.2731	5.9596	1.933	0.32436
596	1178	2.5147	2.4927	3.1165	10.227	6.2038	4.2725	5.9548	1.9313	0.32432
597	1180	2.5174	2.494	3.1165	10.232	6.2026	4.2725	5.9599	1.9301	0.32385

598	1182	2.5201	2.4955	3.1165	10.23	6.2032	4.2719	5.958	1.9313	0.32415
599	1184	2.5201	2.4972	3.1165	10.23	6.2032	4.2708	5.9591	1.9324	0.32428
600	1186	2.5228	2.4984	3.1165	10.229	6.2026	4.2731	5.9562	1.9295	0.32395
601	1188	2.5246	2.4999	3.1165	10.232	6.205	4.2731	5.9584	1.9319	0.32422
602	1190	2.5263	2.5016	3.1165	10.23	6.2067	4.2725	5.9576	1.9342	0.32466
603	1192	2.5272	2.5036	3.1165	10.232	6.2085	4.2713	5.9604	1.9371	0.325
604	1194	2.5281	2.505	3.1165	10.228	6.2073	4.2731	5.9545	1.9342	0.32483
605	1196	2.529	2.5067	3.1165	10.232	6.205	4.2719	5.9596	1.933	0.32435
606	1198	2.5308	2.508	3.1165	10.23	6.2032	4.2725	5.9574	1.9307	0.32408
607	1200	2.5326	2.5095	3.1165	10.232	6.202	4.2731	5.9588	1.9289	0.32371
608	1202	2.5326	2.5108	3.1165	10.23	6.2003	4.2731	5.9571	1.9272	0.32351
609	1204	2.5344	2.5121	3.1165	10.229	6.1956	4.2731	5.9558	1.9225	0.32279
610	1206	2.5371	2.5136	3.1165	10.23	6.1938	4.2725	5.9579	1.9213	0.32248
611	1208	2.5407	2.515	3.1165	10.233	6.1962	4.2713	5.9612	1.9248	0.32289
612	1210	2.5407	2.5163	3.1165	10.231	6.1973	4.2731	5.9575	1.9243	0.323
613	1212	2.5407	2.5178	3.1165	10.229	6.1962	4.2725	5.957	1.9237	0.32293
614	1214	2.5425	2.5195	3.1165	10.231	6.195	4.2702	5.9613	1.9248	0.32289
615	1216	2.5434	2.5208	3.1165	10.229	6.1927	4.2719	5.9574	1.9207	0.32241
616	1218	2.5452	2.5223	3.1165	10.23	6.1897	4.2702	5.9595	1.9196	0.3221
617	1220	2.5461	2.5233	3.1165	10.231	6.188	4.2725	5.9586	1.9155	0.32146
618	1222	2.547	2.5246	3.1165	10.232	6.1856	4.2713	5.9606	1.9143	0.32116
619	1224	2.5479	2.5257	3.1165	10.227	6.1839	4.2725	5.9547	1.9114	0.32098
620	1226	2.5497	2.5272	3.1165	10.23	6.1804	4.2713	5.9588	1.909	0.32037
621	1228	2.5497	2.528	3.1165	10.23	6.1769	4.2737	5.9562	1.9032	0.31953
622	1230	2.5523	2.5293	3.1165	10.231	6.1751	4.2713	5.96	1.9038	0.31942
623	1232	2.5541	2.5304	3.1165	10.227	6.1733	4.2719	5.9547	1.9014	0.31931
624	1234	2.5559	2.5312	3.1165	10.23	6.1733	4.2731	5.9566	1.9003	0.31901
625	1236	2.5568	2.5327	3.1165	10.23	6.1739	4.2708	5.9595	1.9032	0.31935
626	1238	2.5577	2.5342	3.1165	10.23	6.1733	4.2713	5.9584	1.902	0.31922
627	1240	2.5595	2.5355	3.1165	10.232	6.1722	4.2719	5.9598	1.9002	0.31885
628	1242	2.5595	2.5368	3.1165	10.23	6.1704	4.2719	5.9581	1.8985	0.31864
629	1244	2.5613	2.538	3.1165	10.232	6.1687	4.2713	5.9602	1.8973	0.31833
630	1246	2.5631	2.5393	3.1165	10.23	6.1675	4.2725	5.9579	1.895	0.31806
631	1248	2.5649	2.5406	3.1165	10.23	6.1675	4.2731	5.9573	1.8944	0.31799
632	1250	2.5676	2.5423	3.1165	10.232	6.1692	4.2713	5.9607	1.8979	0.3184
633	1252	2.5676	2.5438	3.1165	10.231	6.1716	4.2713	5.9598	1.9002	0.31884
634	1254	2.5685	2.5455	3.1165	10.232	6.1722	4.2702	5.9615	1.902	0.31905
635	1256	2.5721	2.547	3.1165	10.232	6.1722	4.2725	5.9592	1.8997	0.31878
636	1258	2.5757	2.5489	3.1165	10.232	6.1763	4.2725	5.96	1.9038	0.31943
637	1260	2.5765	2.551	3.1165	10.23	6.1804	4.2708	5.9594	1.9096	0.32044
638	1262	2.5783	2.5529	3.1165	10.232	6.1856	4.2719	5.9601	1.9137	0.32109
639	1264	2.5801	2.5553	3.1165	10.228	6.188	4.2708	5.9572	1.9172	0.32183
640	1266	2.5828	2.5574	3.1165	10.231	6.1915	4.2713	5.9599	1.9202	0.32218
641	1268	2.5846	2.56	3.1165	10.233	6.1962	4.2743	5.9583	1.9219	0.32256
642	1270	2.5855	2.5621	3.1165	10.23	6.1968	4.2725	5.9575	1.9243	0.32299
643	1272	2.5882	2.564	3.1165	10.229	6.1962	4.2731	5.9564	1.9231	0.32286
644	1274	2.59	2.5661	3.1165	10.232	6.1956	4.2702	5.9619	1.9254	0.32296
645	1276	2.59	2.5676	3.1165	10.23	6.1932	4.2725	5.9573	1.9207	0.32242
646	1278	2.5927	2.5695	3.1165	10.23	6.1897	4.2696	5.96	1.9202	0.32217
647	1280	2.5954	2.5712	3.1165	10.232	6.1892	4.2702	5.962	1.919	0.32187
648	1282	2.5963	2.5725	3.1165	10.232	6.1892	4.2731	5.9591	1.9161	0.32154
649	1284	2.599	2.574	3.1165	10.231	6.1874	4.2725	5.958	1.9149	0.3214
650	1286	2.6008	2.5759	3.1165	10.232	6.1886	4.2708	5.9609	1.9178	0.32173
651	1288	2.6025	2.5774	3.1165	10.23	6.1897	4.2749	5.9548	1.9149	0.32157
652	1290	2.6043	2.5793	3.1165	10.231	6.1909	4.2731	5.9576	1.9178	0.32191
653	1292	2.6079	2.5815	3.1165	10.231	6.195	4.2725	5.959	1.9225	0.32262
654	1294	2.6097	2.5836	3.1165	10.233	6.1997	4.2719	5.9609	1.9278	0.3234
655	1296	2.6115	2.5857	3.1165	10.226	6.2026	4.2719	5.9543	1.9307	0.32425
656	1298	2.6133	2.5878	3.1165	10.227	6.2067	4.2725	5.9545	1.9342	0.32483
657	1300	2.6151	2.5904	3.1165	10.231	6.2079	4.2713	5.9599	1.9365	0.32493
658	1302	2.616	2.5925	3.1165	10.229	6.2085	4.2719	5.9567	1.9365	0.3251
659	1304	2.6187	2.5944	3.1165	10.231	6.2073	4.2725	5.9581	1.9348	0.32473
660	1306	2.6196	2.5961	3.1165	10.228	6.205	4.2719	5.9565	1.933	0.32452
661	1308	2.6223	2.5978	3.1165	10.229	6.2055	4.2731	5.9559	1.9324	0.32446
662	1310	2.6232	2.6	3.1165	10.232	6.205	4.2702	5.9614	1.9348	0.32455
663	1312	2.625	2.6017	3.1165	10.23	6.2038	4.2719	5.9585	1.9319	0.32422
664	1314	2.625	2.6032	3.1165	10.23	6.1997	4.269	5.9607	1.9307	0.3239
665	1316	2.6268	2.604	3.1165	10.231	6.195	4.2725	5.959	1.9225	0.32262
666	1318	2.6276	2.6053	3.1165	10.228	6.1909	4.2713	5.9563	1.9196	0.32228
667	1320	2.6285	2.6064	3.1165	10.231	6.1845	4.2713	5.9595	1.9131	0.32102
668	1322	2.6294	2.6074	3.1165	10.229	6.1792	4.2702	5.9589	1.909	0.32037
669	1324	2.6312	2.6081	3.1165	10.232	6.1757	4.2725	5.9594	1.9032	0.31936
670	1326	2.6321	2.6087	3.1165	10.231	6.1716	4.2713	5.9598	1.9002	0.31884
671	1328	2.6348	2.6098	3.1165	10.231	6.171	4.2737	5.9569	1.8973	0.31851
672	1330	2.6339	2.611	3.1165	10.229	6.1692	4.2708	5.9582	1.8985	0.31863
673	1332	2.6366	2.6125	3.1165	10.232	6.1687	4.2702	5.9613	1.8985	0.31847
674	1334	2.6366	2.6134	3.1165	10.229	6.1663	4.2719	5.9574	1.8944	0.31799
675	1336	2.6384	2.6147	3.1165	10.23	6.164	4.2708	5.9595	1.8932	0.31768
676	1338	2.6393	2.6155	3.1165	10.231	6.1616	4.2737	5.9574	1.888	0.31691
677	1340	2.6411	2.6168	3.1165	10.23	6.1605	4.2708	5.9593	1.8897	0.31711
678	1342	2.6438	2.6185	3.1165	10.229	6.1622	4.2725	5.956	1.8897	0.31728
679	1344	2.6447	2.6198	3.1165	10.231	6.1652	4.2737	5.9576	1.8915	0.31749
680	1346	2.6474	2.6217	3.1165	10.231	6.1681	4.2713	5.9596	1.8967	0.31826
681	1348	2.6492	2.6234	3.1165	10.228	6.1716	4.2737	5.9544	1.8979	0.31874
682	1350	2.651	2.6255	3.1165	10.231	6.1751	4.2719	5.9594	1.9032	0.31935
683	1352	2.6519	2.6274	3.1165	10.231	6.1751	4.2731	5.9583	1.902	0.31922
684	1354	2.6528	2.6291	3.1165	10.229	6.1728	4.269	5.9602	1.9038	0.31941
685	1356	2.6528	2.6306	3.1165	10.228	6.1681	4.2731	5.9548	1.895	0.31823
686	1358	2.6554	2.6317	3.1165	10.231	6.1646	4.2725	5.9582	1.8921	0.31755
687	1360	2.6563	2.633	3.1165	10.23	6.1634	4.2719	5.9577	1.8915	0.31748
688	1362	2.6581	2.6342	3.1165	10.232	6.1628	4.2737	5.9585	1.8891	0.31705
689	1364	2.659	2.6359	3.1165	10.23	6.1605	4.2708	5.9593	1.8897	0.31711
690	1366	2.6617	2.6372	3.1165	10.227	6.1575	4.2702	5.9571	1.8874	0.31683
691	1368	2.6626	2.6383	3.1165	10.229	6.1564	4.2713	5.9579	1.885	0.31639
692	1370	2.6662	2.6396	3.1165	10.231	6.1552	4.2725	5.9587	1.8827	0.31595
693	1372	2.668	2.6413	3.1165	10.232	6.1593	4.2731	5.9589	1.8862	0.31653
694	1374	2.6698	2.6432	3.1165	10.232	6.1622	4.2713	5.9603	1.8909	0.31725
695	1376	2.6707	2.6449	3.1165	10.231	6.1646	4.2737	5.9571	1.8909	0.31742
696	1378	2.6725	2.647	3.1165	10.231	6.1681	4.2696	5.9614	1.8985	0.31847
697	1380	2.6734	2.6487	3.1165	10.232	6.1687	4.2737	5.9578	1.895	0.31807
698	1382	2.6743	2.6504	3.1165	10.228	6.1646	4.2731	5.9546	1.8915	0.31765

699	1384	2.6734	2.6519	3.1165	10.231	6.1587	4.2725	5.9589	1.8862	0.31653
700	1386	2.6752	2.6528	3.1165	10.228	6.1517	4.2708	5.9572	1.8809	0.31574
701	1388	2.6761	2.6536	3.1165	10.231	6.1452	4.2725	5.9587	1.8727	0.31429
702	1390	2.677	2.6542	3.1165	10.23	6.1406	4.2737	5.9562	1.8669	0.31344
703	1392	2.6779	2.6551	3.1165	10.229	6.1365	4.2719	5.9572	1.8645	0.31299
704	1394	2.6814	2.6562	3.1165	10.23	6.1341	4.2725	5.9575	1.8616	0.31248
705	1396	2.6823	2.6572	3.1165	10.231	6.1353	4.2731	5.958	1.8622	0.31255
706	1398	2.6841	2.6587	3.1165	10.231	6.1353	4.2702	5.961	1.8651	0.31289
707	1400	2.6859	2.6598	3.1165	10.23	6.1371	4.2708	5.9589	1.8663	0.31319
708	1402	2.6886	2.6615	3.1165	10.232	6.1423	4.2725	5.959	1.8698	0.31378
709	1404	2.6904	2.6636	3.1165	10.229	6.1464	4.2708	5.9584	1.8757	0.31479
710	1406	2.6913	2.6655	3.1165	10.229	6.1493	4.2719	5.9569	1.8774	0.31517
711	1408	2.694	2.6672	3.1165	10.23	6.1505	4.2713	5.9586	1.8792	0.31537
712	1410	2.6949	2.6691	3.1165	10.228	6.1517	4.2731	5.9549	1.8786	0.31547
713	1412	2.6976	2.6711	3.1165	10.231	6.1546	4.2725	5.9582	1.8821	0.31589
714	1414	2.7012	2.6734	3.1165	10.232	6.1593	4.2725	5.9595	1.8868	0.3166
715	1416	2.703	2.6757	3.1165	10.23	6.1634	4.2725	5.9571	1.8909	0.31741
716	1418	2.7047	2.6781	3.1165	10.23	6.1675	4.2719	5.9585	1.8956	0.31813
717	1420	2.7056	2.6802	3.1165	10.231	6.171	4.2731	5.9575	1.8979	0.31857
718	1422	2.7101	2.6825	3.1165	10.23	6.1739	4.2731	5.9572	1.9008	0.31908
719	1424	2.7128	2.6851	3.1165	10.23	6.1798	4.2737	5.9559	1.9061	0.32004
720	1426	2.7146	2.6877	3.1165	10.23	6.1839	4.2731	5.9572	1.9108	0.32075
721	1428	2.7146	2.6902	3.1165	10.231	6.1851	4.2708	5.9607	1.9143	0.32115
722	1430	2.7173	2.6921	3.1165	10.228	6.1851	4.2737	5.9546	1.9114	0.32099
723	1432	2.72	2.6943	3.1165	10.228	6.1851	4.2713	5.957	1.9137	0.32126
724	1434	2.7227	2.6964	3.1165	10.232	6.1856	4.2725	5.9595	1.9131	0.32102
725	1436	2.7245	2.6985	3.1165	10.231	6.188	4.2719	5.9592	1.9161	0.32153
726	1438	2.7272	2.7006	3.1165	10.231	6.1909	4.2725	5.9582	1.9184	0.32197
727	1440	2.7272	2.7028	3.1165	10.228	6.1915	4.2737	5.9545	1.9178	0.32208
728	1442	2.729	2.7045	3.1165	10.229	6.1886	4.2725	5.956	1.9161	0.3217
729	1444	2.729	2.7062	3.1165	10.23	6.1839	4.2719	5.9584	1.912	0.32088
730	1446	2.7298	2.7074	3.1165	10.229	6.1763	4.2725	5.9569	1.9038	0.31959
731	1448	2.7307	2.7083	3.1165	10.232	6.1687	4.2725	5.959	1.8962	0.3182
732	1450	2.7343	2.7092	3.1165	10.23	6.1634	4.2719	5.9577	1.8915	0.31748
733	1452	2.7361	2.7102	3.1165	10.231	6.1616	4.2708	5.9604	1.8909	0.31724
734	1453.9	2.7343	2.7113	3.1165	10.229	6.1564	4.2719	5.9573	1.8844	0.31632

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 13

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	3.1165	10.23	6.157	4.2719	5.9579	1.885	0.31639
2	0.012483	0	0	3.1165	10.23	6.157	4.2725	5.9573	1.8844	0.31633
3	0.050033	0	0	3.1165	10.229	6.1564	4.2713	5.9579	1.885	0.31639
4	0.10012	0.001793	0	3.1165	10.235	6.1564	4.2719	5.9635	1.8844	0.316
5	0.25033	0.0008965	0.00042567	3.1165	10.242	6.1564	4.2708	5.9709	1.8856	0.3158
6	0.50072	0.005379	0.0010642	3.1165	10.26	6.1564	4.2708	5.9895	1.8856	0.31482
7	1.0014	0.013448	0.0036182	3.1165	10.298	6.1605	4.2725	6.0257	1.888	0.31332
8	2.0029	0.032274	0.010642	3.1165	10.368	6.1815	4.2743	6.0933	1.9073	0.31301
9	4.0017	0.064548	0.033628	3.1165	10.511	6.2582	4.2713	6.2396	1.9869	0.31843
10	6.0006	0.085168	0.064063	3.1165	10.652	6.3261	4.2754	6.3769	2.0507	0.32158
11	8.0035	0.13268	0.098755	3.1165	10.797	6.3776	4.2725	6.5243	2.1051	0.32266
12	10.002	0.16675	0.13941	3.1165	10.939	6.4567	4.2743	6.665	2.1824	0.32744
13	12.001	0.20261	0.1841	3.1165	11.082	6.5129	4.2749	6.8071	2.238	0.32877
14	14.004	0.25281	0.23114	3.1165	11.22	6.5679	4.2743	6.9462	2.2936	0.3302
15	16.003	0.28061	0.27009	3.1165	11.221	6.6048	4.2737	6.9474	2.3311	0.33553
16	18.001	0.30212	0.3018	3.1165	11.221	6.6147	4.2731	6.948	2.3416	0.33702
17	20	0.31826	0.3267	3.1165	11.219	6.5931	4.2749	6.9445	2.3182	0.33382
18	22.003	0.34157	0.34649	3.1165	11.221	6.5655	4.2743	6.9471	2.2913	0.32982
19	24.002	0.35322	0.36309	3.1165	11.221	6.5351	4.2743	6.9464	2.2608	0.32547
20	26	0.36757	0.37629	3.1165	11.218	6.5064	4.2719	6.9466	2.2345	0.32167
21	28.003	0.3846	0.38799	3.1165	11.221	6.483	4.2737	6.9476	2.2093	0.318
22	30.002	0.39625	0.39864	3.1165	11.219	6.4672	4.2737	6.9451	2.1935	0.31584
23	30.741	0.39984	0.40225	3.1165	11.22	6.4613	4.2737	6.9458	2.1877	0.31496

TRIAXIAL TEST

Project: Polymet #23/69-862-022B
Boring No.: 07-04B
Sample No.:
Test No.: 1

Location:
Tested By: SO
Test Date: 9-4-07
Sample Type: 3T

Project No.: 6250
Checked By: JW
Depth: 56.7-57.2
Elevation:

Soil Description: Peat (PT)
Remarks:

Specimen Height: 4.93 in
Specimen Area: 3.12 in^2
Specimen Volume: 251.98 cc

Piston Area: 0.19 in^2
Piston Friction: 0.00 lb
Piston Weight: 0.00 lb

Filter Strip Correction: 0.00 tsf
Membrane Correction: 4.20 lb/in
Correction Type: Uniform

Liquid Limit: ---

Plastic Limit: ---

Measured Specific Gravity: 1.66

	Before Test Trimmings	Before Test Specimen	After Test Specimen	After Test Trimmings
Container ID		---		
Wt. Container + Wet Soil, gm	278.16	---	---	313.61
Wt. Container + Dry Soil, gm	99.06	---	---	163.29
Wt. Container, gm	8.9	---	---	73.13
Wt. Wet Soil, gm	269.26	269.26	240.48	240.48
Wt. Dry Soil, gm	90.16	90.16	90.16	90.16
Wt. Water, gm	179.1	179.1	150.32	150.32
Water Content, %	198.65	198.65	166.73	166.73
Void Ratio	---	3.64	2.77	---
Degree of Saturation, %	---	90.61	100.00	---
Dry Unit Weight, pcf	---	22.337	27.505	---

Initial

Height: 4.934 in
Area: 3.1165 in^2
Volume: 251.98 cc

Moisture: 198.65 %
Void Ratio: 3.64
Dry Unit Weight: 22.337 pcf
Saturation: 90.61 %

End of Initialization
Time: 4.616 min
Total Vertical Stress: 0.14703 tsf
Total Horizontal Stress: 0.14459 tsf
Pore Pressure: 0.070789 tsf
Effective Vertical Stress: 0.076239 tsf
Effective Horizontal Stress: 0.073798 tsf

Height Change: -0.014553 in
Area Change: 0 in^2
Volume Change: -2.2297 cc
Water Change: -1.5756 cc
Correction: 0 cc

Height: 4.9486 in
Area: 3.1165 in^2
Volume: 254.21 cc

Moisture: 200.39 %
Void Ratio: 3.68
Dry Unit Weight: 22.141 pcf
Saturation: 90.38 %

End of Consolidation/A
Time: 4.616 min
Total Vertical Stress: 0.14703 tsf
Total Horizontal Stress: 0.14459 tsf
Pore Pressure: 0.070789 tsf
Effective Vertical Stress: 0.076239 tsf
Effective Horizontal Stress: 0.073798 tsf

Height Change: -0.014553 in
Area Change: 0 in^2
Volume Change: -2.2297 cc
Water Change: -1.5756 cc
Correction: 0 cc

Height: 4.9486 in
Area: 3.1165 in^2
Volume: 254.21 cc

Moisture: 200.39 %
Void Ratio: 3.68
Dry Unit Weight: 22.141 pcf
Saturation: 90.38 %

End of Saturation
Time: 456.17 min
Total Vertical Stress: 4.5487 tsf
Total Horizontal Stress: 4.5437 tsf
Pore Pressure: 4.4714 tsf
Effective Vertical Stress: 0.077288 tsf
Effective Horizontal Stress: 0.072245 tsf

Height Change: -0.0583 in
Area Change: 0 in^2
Volume Change: -8.9322 cc
Water Change: -22.304 cc
Correction: 0 cc

Height: 4.9923 in
Area: 3.1165 in^2
Volume: 260.91 cc

Moisture: 223.39 %
Void Ratio: 3.80
Dry Unit Weight: 21.572 pcf
Saturation: 97.48 %

End of Consolidation/B
Time: 1796.8 min
Total Vertical Stress: 10.502 tsf
Total Horizontal Stress: 6.3279 tsf
Pore Pressure: 4.4708 tsf
Effective Vertical Stress: 6.0313 tsf
Effective Horizontal Stress: 1.857 tsf

Height Change: 0.7563 in
Area Change: 0.12738 in^2
Volume Change: 47.345 cc
Water Change: 21.649 cc
Correction: 7.1278 cc

Height: 4.1777 in
Area: 2.9891 in^2
Volume: 204.64 cc

Moisture: 166.73 %
Void Ratio: 2.77
Dry Unit Weight: 27.505 pcf
Saturation: 100.00 %

End of Shear
Time: 1998.8 min
Total Vertical Stress: 12.128 tsf
Total Horizontal Stress: 6.3285 tsf
Pore Pressure: 5.5514 tsf
Effective Vertical Stress: 6.5768 tsf
Effective Horizontal Stress: 0.77707 tsf

Height Change: 1.5919 in
Area Change: -0.61994 in^2
Volume Change: 47.345 cc
Water Change: 21.649 cc
Correction: 7.1278 cc

Height: 3.3421 in
Area: 3.7365 in^2
Volume: 204.64 cc

Moisture: 166.73 %
Void Ratio: 2.77
Dry Unit Weight: 27.505 pcf
Saturation: 100.00 %

At Failure
Time: 1821.3 min
Total Vertical Stress: 12.708 tsf
Total Horizontal Stress: 6.3285 tsf
Pore Pressure: 5.9416 tsf
Effective Vertical Stress: 6.7667 tsf
Effective Horizontal Stress: 0.38685 tsf

Height Change: 0.85631 in
Area Change: -0.33049 in^2
Volume Change: 47.345 cc
Water Change: 21.649 cc
Correction: 0 cc

Height: 4.0777 in
Area: 3.447 in^2
Volume: 204.64 cc

Moisture: 166.73 %
Void Ratio: 2.77
Dry Unit Weight: 27.505 pcf
Saturation: 100.00 %

TRIAXIAL TEST

Project: Polymet #23/69-862-022B
Boring No.: 07-04B
Sample No.:
Test No.: 1

Location:
Tested By: SO
Test Date: 9-4-07
Sample Type: 3T

Project No.: 6250
Checked By: JW
Depth: 56.7-57.2
Elevation:

Soil Description: Peat (PT)
Remarks:

Specimen Height: 4.18 in
Specimen Area: 2.99 in^2
Specimen Volume: 204.64 cc

Piston Area: 0.19 in^2
Piston Friction: 0.00 lb
Piston Weight: 0.00 lb

Filter Strip Correction: 0.00 tsf
Membrane Correction: 4.20 lb/in
Correction Type: Uniform

Liquid Limit: ---

Plastic Limit: ---

Measured Specific Gravity: 1.66

	Time min	Vertical Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Deviator Load lb	Deviator Stress tsf	Pore Pressure tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Vertical Stress tsf
1	0	0	0	3.3645	177.33	3.7086	4.4708	6.3279	10.036
2	1.3315	0.1207	0	3.3685	199.19	4.1709	4.7113	6.3279	10.499
3	2.5467	0.24035	0	3.3726	214.75	4.4975	4.8932	6.3279	10.825
4	3.8204	0.35999	0	3.3766	228.57	4.7862	5.0541	6.3279	11.114
5	5.106	0.47964	0	3.3807	240.51	5.0341	5.1922	6.3279	11.362
6	6.3213	0.59928	0	3.3848	250.57	5.2415	5.3063	6.3279	11.569
7	7.5152	0.71892	0	3.3888	259.02	5.4142	5.404	6.3279	11.742
8	8.7596	0.83857	0	3.3929	266.67	5.5694	5.4917	6.3279	11.897
9	10.063	0.95821	0	3.397	273.78	5.7128	5.5707	6.3279	12.041
10	11.302	1.0779	0	3.4011	279.54	5.8274	5.6351	6.3285	12.156
11	12.409	1.1975	0	3.4053	284.24	5.9191	5.6848	6.3285	12.248
12	13.775	1.3171	0	3.4094	289.34	6.019	5.7374	6.3279	12.347
13	14.877	1.4378	0	3.4136	292.83	6.0847	5.7737	6.3279	12.413
14	16.109	1.5564	0	3.4177	296.31	6.1503	5.81	6.3279	12.478
15	17.344	1.6761	0	3.4218	299.53	6.21	5.8422	6.3279	12.538
16	18.484	1.7957	0	3.426	302.08	6.2554	5.8673	6.3285	12.584
17	19.766	1.9154	0	3.4302	304.36	6.2951	5.8895	6.3285	12.624
18	20.889	2.0361	0	3.4344	306.24	6.3262	5.9059	6.3279	12.654
19	22.117	2.1547	0	3.4386	307.58	6.3461	5.9206	6.3279	12.674
20	23.365	2.2754	0	3.4428	309.19	6.3713	5.934	6.3285	12.7
21	24.497	2.3939	0	3.447	310	6.3798	5.9416	6.3285	12.708
22	27.002	2.6332	0	3.4555	310.67	6.377	5.951	6.3285	12.706
23	29.368	2.8725	0	3.464	310.53	6.3575	5.9516	6.3279	12.685
24	31.682	3.1118	0	3.4725	309.19	6.3129	5.941	6.3279	12.641
25	34.136	3.3522	0	3.4812	307.45	6.2601	5.927	6.3285	12.589
26	36.499	3.5914	0	3.4898	305.57	6.2047	5.9083	6.3285	12.533
27	38.899	3.8307	0	3.4985	302.62	6.1275	5.8796	6.3279	12.455
28	43.867	4.3093	0	3.516	296.31	5.9657	5.8076	6.3285	12.294
29	48.483	4.7879	0	3.5337	293.9	5.8845	5.7643	6.3285	12.213
30	53.428	5.2665	0	3.5515	293.5	5.8446	5.7369	6.3285	12.173
31	58.394	5.745	0	3.5696	294.57	5.8346	5.7205	6.3279	12.162
32	63.272	6.2236	0	3.5878	295.11	5.8136	5.7023	6.3279	12.141
33	67.963	6.7033	0	3.6062	297.39	5.8273	5.6965	6.3279	12.155
34	72.825	7.1818	0	3.6248	300.07	5.8486	5.6924	6.3279	12.176
35	77.696	7.6604	0	3.6436	302.75	5.8693	5.6895	6.3285	12.198
36	82.462	8.139	0	3.6626	303.42	5.85	5.6684	6.3285	12.178
37	87.391	8.6176	0	3.6818	303.42	5.8174	5.6503	6.3285	12.146
38	92.077	9.0961	0	3.7011	301.95	5.7562	5.6222	6.3279	12.084
39	96.818	9.5747	0	3.7207	299.26	5.6718	5.5941	6.3291	12.001
40	108.91	10.772	0	3.7707	282.09	5.2638	5.4771	6.3285	11.592
41	121.03	11.969	0	3.8219	282.9	5.2034	5.5338	6.3285	11.532
42	132.89	13.166	0	3.8746	283.57	5.1401	5.5333	6.3285	11.469
43	145.12	14.363	0	3.9287	292.42	5.2267	5.5806	6.3279	11.555
44	157.23	15.559	0	3.9844	299	5.2676	5.5935	6.3279	11.596
45	169.35	16.757	0	4.0417	302.08	5.2432	5.5877	6.3273	11.57
46	181.22	17.953	0	4.1007	306.51	5.2408	5.5806	6.3285	11.569
47	193.33	19.149	0	4.1614	307.04	5.1691	5.5526	6.3285	11.498
48	202.02	20.001	0	4.2056	309.46	5.1527	5.5514	6.3285	11.481

TRIAXIAL TEST

Project: Polymet #23/69-862-022B
Boring No.: 07-04B
Sample No.:
Test No.: 1

Location:
Tested By: SO
Test Date: 9-4-07
Sample Type: 3T

Project No.: 6250
Checked By: JW
Depth: 56.7-57.2
Elevation:

Soil Description: Peat (PT)
Remarks:

Specimen Height: 4.18 in
Specimen Area: 2.99 in^2
Specimen Volume: 204.64 cc

Piston Area: 0.19 in^2
Piston Friction: 0.00 lb
Piston Weight: 0.00 lb

Filter Strip Correction: 0.00 tsf
Membrane Correction: 4.20 lb/in
Correction Type: Uniform

Liquid Limit: ---

Plastic Limit: ---

Measured Specific Gravity: 1.66

	Vertical Strain %	Total Vertical Stress tsf	Total Horizontal Stress tsf	Excess Pore Pressure tsf	A Parameter	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	Stress Ratio	Effective p tsf	q tsf
1	0.00	10.036	6.3279	0	0.000	5.5656	1.857	2.997	3.7113	1.8543
2	0.12	10.499	6.3279	0.24045	0.520	5.7875	1.6166	3.580	3.702	2.0854
3	0.24	10.825	6.3279	0.4224	0.535	5.9322	1.4347	4.135	3.6834	2.2488
4	0.36	11.114	6.3279	0.58328	0.541	6.0599	1.2738	4.758	3.6669	2.3931
5	0.48	11.362	6.3279	0.72135	0.544	6.1698	1.1357	5.433	3.6528	2.5171
6	0.60	11.569	6.3279	0.83543	0.545	6.2632	1.0216	6.131	3.6424	2.6208
7	0.72	11.742	6.3279	0.93313	0.547	6.3381	0.92392	6.860	3.631	2.7071
8	0.84	11.897	6.3279	1.0209	0.549	6.4055	0.83616	7.661	3.6209	2.7847
9	0.96	12.041	6.3279	1.0999	0.549	6.47	0.75718	8.545	3.6136	2.8564
10	1.08	12.156	6.3285	1.1642	0.549	6.5208	0.69341	9.404	3.6071	2.9137
11	1.20	12.248	6.3285	1.2139	0.549	6.5628	0.64369	10.196	3.6032	2.9595
12	1.32	12.347	6.3279	1.2666	0.548	6.6095	0.59045	11.194	3.6	3.0095
13	1.44	12.413	6.3279	1.3029	0.548	6.6388	0.55417	11.980	3.5965	3.0423
14	1.56	12.478	6.3279	1.3391	0.548	6.6682	0.5179	12.875	3.593	3.0751
15	1.68	12.538	6.3279	1.3713	0.548	6.6957	0.48573	13.785	3.5907	3.105
16	1.80	12.584	6.3285	1.3965	0.548	6.7165	0.46115	14.565	3.5888	3.1277
17	1.92	12.624	6.3285	1.4187	0.548	6.734	0.43892	15.342	3.5865	3.1475
18	2.04	12.654	6.3279	1.4351	0.548	6.7481	0.42196	15.992	3.585	3.1631
19	2.15	12.674	6.3279	1.4497	0.550	6.7534	0.40733	16.580	3.5804	3.173
20	2.28	12.7	6.3285	1.4632	0.549	6.7658	0.39446	17.152	3.5801	3.1856
21	2.39	12.708	6.3285	1.4708	0.550	6.7667	0.38685	17.492	3.5768	3.1899
22	2.63	12.706	6.3285	1.4801	0.554	6.7545	0.37749	17.893	3.566	3.1885
23	2.87	12.685	6.3279	1.4807	0.559	6.7338	0.37632	17.894	3.5551	3.1788
24	3.11	12.641	6.3279	1.4702	0.565	6.6998	0.38685	17.319	3.5433	3.1565
25	3.35	12.589	6.3285	1.4562	0.570	6.6615	0.40148	16.592	3.5315	3.13
26	3.59	12.533	6.3285	1.4374	0.576	6.6249	0.4202	15.766	3.5226	3.1024
27	3.83	12.455	6.3279	1.4088	0.582	6.5758	0.44828	14.669	3.512	3.0638
28	4.31	12.294	6.3285	1.3368	0.592	6.4865	0.52083	12.454	3.5037	2.9829
29	4.79	12.213	6.3285	1.2935	0.594	6.4486	0.56412	11.431	3.5064	2.9422
30	5.27	12.173	6.3285	1.266	0.592	6.4362	0.59162	10.879	3.5139	2.9223
31	5.75	12.162	6.3279	1.2496	0.588	6.442	0.60741	10.606	3.5247	2.9173
32	6.22	12.141	6.3279	1.2315	0.585	6.4392	0.62555	10.294	3.5324	2.9068
33	6.70	12.155	6.3279	1.2256	0.578	6.4587	0.6314	10.229	3.545	2.9136
34	7.18	12.176	6.3279	1.2216	0.571	6.4841	0.63549	10.203	3.5598	2.9243
35	7.66	12.198	6.3285	1.2186	0.564	6.5083	0.639	10.185	3.5736	2.9346
36	8.14	12.178	6.3285	1.1976	0.559	6.51	0.66007	9.863	3.585	2.925
37	8.62	12.146	6.3285	1.1794	0.559	6.4956	0.6782	9.578	3.5869	2.9087
38	9.10	12.084	6.3279	1.1513	0.562	6.4619	0.7057	9.157	3.5838	2.8781
39	9.57	12.001	6.3291	1.1233	0.572	6.4068	0.73495	8.717	3.5709	2.8359
40	10.77	11.592	6.3285	1.0063	0.647	6.1152	0.85137	7.183	3.4833	2.6319
41	11.97	11.532	6.3285	1.063	0.711	5.998	0.79462	7.548	3.3963	2.6017
42	13.17	11.469	6.3285	1.0624	0.742	5.9353	0.79521	7.464	3.3653	2.57
43	14.36	11.555	6.3279	1.1098	0.731	5.9739	0.74724	7.995	3.3606	2.6133
44	15.56	11.596	6.3279	1.1227	0.720	6.002	0.73437	8.173	3.3682	2.6338
45	16.76	11.57	6.3273	1.1168	0.728	5.9828	0.73963	8.089	3.3612	2.6216
46	17.95	11.569	6.3285	1.1098	0.724	5.9886	0.74782	8.008	3.3682	2.6204
47	19.15	11.498	6.3285	1.0817	0.740	5.945	0.7759	7.662	3.3604	2.5845
48	20.00	11.481	6.3285	1.0806	0.748	5.9298	0.77707	7.631	3.3534	2.5764

TRIAXIAL TEST

Project: Polymet #23/69-862-022B
Boring No.: 07-05
Sample No.:
Test No.: 1

Location:
Tested By: SO
Test Date: 9-10-07
Sample Type: 3T

Project No.: 6250
Checked By: JW
Depth: 98.5-99
Elevation:

Soil Description: Peat (PT)
Remarks:

Specimen Height: 4.93 in
Specimen Area: 3.12 in^2
Specimen Volume: 251.98 cc

Piston Area: 0.19 in^2
Piston Friction: 0.00 lb
Piston Weight: 0.00 lb

Filter Strip Correction: 0.00 tsf
Membrane Correction: 4.20 lb/in
Correction Type: Uniform

Liquid Limit: ---

Plastic Limit: ---

Measured Specific Gravity: 1.70

	Before Test Trimmings	Before Test Specimen	After Test Specimen	After Test Trimmings
Container ID		---		
Wt. Container + Wet Soil, gm	202.71	---	---	307.2
Wt. Container + Dry Soil, gm	93.93	---	---	157.12
Wt. Container, gm	8.9	---	---	72.09
Wt. Wet Soil, gm	193.81	193.81	235.11	235.11
Wt. Dry Soil, gm	85.03	85.03	85.03	85.03
Wt. Water, gm	108.78	108.78	150.08	150.08
Water Content, %	127.93	127.93	176.50	176.50
Void Ratio	---	4.04	3.00	---
Degree of Saturation, %	---	53.86	100.00	---
Dry Unit Weight, pcf	---	21.066	26.529	---

Initial

Height: 4.934 in
Area: 3.1165 in^2
Volume: 251.98 cc

Moisture: 127.93 %
Void Ratio: 4.04
Dry Unit Weight: 21.066 pcf
Saturation: 53.86 %

End of Initialization
Time: 5.2869 min
Total Vertical Stress: 0.14721 tsf
Total Horizontal Stress: 0.144 tsf
Pore Pressure: 0.070789 tsf
Effective Vertical Stress: 0.076423 tsf
Effective Horizontal Stress: 0.073213 tsf

Height Change: -0.020524 in
Area Change: 0 in^2
Volume Change: -3.1446 cc
Water Change: -1.5832 cc
Correction: 0 cc

Height: 4.9545 in
Area: 3.1165 in^2
Volume: 255.13 cc

Moisture: 129.79 %
Void Ratio: 4.10
Dry Unit Weight: 20.806 pcf
Saturation: 53.81 %

End of Consolidation/A
Time: 5.2869 min
Total Vertical Stress: 0.14721 tsf
Total Horizontal Stress: 0.144 tsf
Pore Pressure: 0.070789 tsf
Effective Vertical Stress: 0.076423 tsf
Effective Horizontal Stress: 0.073213 tsf

Height Change: -0.020524 in
Area Change: 0 in^2
Volume Change: -3.1446 cc
Water Change: -1.5832 cc
Correction: 0 cc

Height: 4.9545 in
Area: 3.1165 in^2
Volume: 255.13 cc

Moisture: 129.79 %
Void Ratio: 4.10
Dry Unit Weight: 20.806 pcf
Saturation: 53.81 %

End of Saturation
Time: 436.28 min
Total Vertical Stress: 4.3471 tsf
Total Horizontal Stress: 4.3435 tsf
Pore Pressure: 4.2719 tsf
Effective Vertical Stress: 0.075201 tsf
Effective Horizontal Stress: 0.071544 tsf

Height Change: -0.05047 in
Area Change: 0 in^2
Volume Change: -7.7326 cc
Water Change: -22.077 cc
Correction: 0 cc

Height: 4.9845 in
Area: 3.1165 in^2
Volume: 259.71 cc

Moisture: 153.90 %
Void Ratio: 4.19
Dry Unit Weight: 20.439 pcf
Saturation: 62.40 %

End of Consolidation/B
Time: 5439.6 min
Total Vertical Stress: 11.16 tsf
Total Horizontal Stress: 6.4613 tsf
Pore Pressure: 4.2737 tsf
Effective Vertical Stress: 6.8864 tsf
Effective Horizontal Stress: 2.1877 tsf

Height Change: 0.96579 in
Area Change: 0.039407 in^2
Volume Change: 51.886 cc
Water Change: 31.799 cc
Correction: -73.097 cc

Height: 3.9682 in
Area: 3.0771 in^2
Volume: 200.1 cc

Moisture: 176.50 %
Void Ratio: 3.00
Dry Unit Weight: 26.529 pcf
Saturation: 100.00 %

End of Shear
Time: 5641.5 min
Total Vertical Stress: 11.543 tsf
Total Horizontal Stress: 6.4619 tsf
Pore Pressure: 5.3484 tsf
Effective Vertical Stress: 6.1945 tsf
Effective Horizontal Stress: 1.1135 tsf

Height Change: 1.7595 in
Area Change: -0.72992 in^2
Volume Change: 51.886 cc
Water Change: 31.799 cc
Correction: -73.097 cc

Height: 3.1745 in
Area: 3.8464 in^2
Volume: 200.1 cc

Moisture: 176.50 %
Void Ratio: 3.00
Dry Unit Weight: 26.529 pcf
Saturation: 100.00 %

At Failure
Time: 5467.9 min
Total Vertical Stress: 13.275 tsf
Total Horizontal Stress: 6.4619 tsf
Pore Pressure: 5.9878 tsf
Effective Vertical Stress: 7.2872 tsf
Effective Horizontal Stress: 0.4741 tsf

Height Change: 1.0758 in
Area Change: -0.36603 in^2
Volume Change: 51.886 cc
Water Change: 31.799 cc
Correction: 0 cc

Height: 3.8582 in
Area: 3.4825 in^2
Volume: 200.1 cc

Moisture: 176.50 %
Void Ratio: 3.00
Dry Unit Weight: 26.529 pcf
Saturation: 100.00 %

TRIAXIAL TEST

Project: Polymet #23/69-862-022B
Boring No.: 07-05
Sample No.:
Test No.: 1

Location:
Tested By: SO
Test Date: 9-10-07
Sample Type: 3T

Project No.: 6250
Checked By: JW
Depth: 98.5-99
Elevation:

Soil Description: Peat (PT)
Remarks:

Specimen Height: 3.97 in
Specimen Area: 3.08 in^2
Specimen Volume: 200.10 cc

Piston Area: 0.19 in^2
Piston Friction: 0.00 lb
Piston Weight: 0.00 lb

Filter Strip Correction: 0.00 tsf
Membrane Correction: 4.20 lb/in
Correction Type: Uniform

Liquid Limit: ---

Plastic Limit: ---

Measured Specific Gravity: 1.70

	Time min	Vertical Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Deviator Load lb	Deviator Stress tsf	Pore Pressure tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Vertical Stress tsf
1	0	0	0	3.386	205.96	4.2701	4.2737	6.4613	10.731
2	1.185	0.12708	0	3.3903	226.08	4.6914	4.5042	6.4619	11.153
3	2.587	0.25304	0	3.3946	244.32	5.0718	4.7353	6.4619	11.534
4	3.9348	0.38011	0	3.3989	258.94	5.3746	4.9242	6.4613	11.836
5	5.2116	0.50496	0	3.4032	270.61	5.6142	5.081	6.4619	12.076
6	6.3674	0.63092	0	3.4075	279.6	5.7964	5.2033	6.4619	12.258
7	7.7624	0.75688	0	3.4118	288.86	5.9839	5.3297	6.4613	12.445
8	9.0731	0.88284	0	3.4162	296.51	6.1369	5.4332	6.4613	12.598
9	10.217	1.0088	0	3.4205	302.14	6.2471	5.5104	6.4613	12.708
10	11.59	1.1348	0	3.4249	308.04	6.3626	5.5918	6.4619	12.825
11	12.826	1.2607	0	3.4292	312.6	6.4497	5.6544	6.4619	12.912
12	14.12	1.3867	0	3.4336	316.49	6.5226	5.7099	6.4613	12.984
13	15.522	1.5126	0	3.438	319.98	6.5867	5.7614	6.4613	13.048
14	16.808	1.6386	0	3.4424	322.93	6.6395	5.8006	6.4613	13.101
15	17.981	1.7646	0	3.4468	324.94	6.6724	5.831	6.4619	13.134
16	19.354	1.8905	0	3.4512	327.09	6.7081	5.862	6.4619	13.17
17	20.481	2.0165	0	3.4557	328.7	6.7324	5.886	6.4619	13.194
18	21.984	2.1424	0	3.4601	330.71	6.7651	5.9135	6.4619	13.227
19	23.074	2.2684	0	3.4646	331.92	6.7809	5.9299	6.4619	13.243
20	24.414	2.3944	0	3.4691	332.99	6.7939	5.9492	6.4613	13.255
21	25.733	2.5203	0	3.4735	333.93	6.8041	5.9644	6.4613	13.265
22	28.283	2.7722	0	3.4825	335.27	6.8131	5.9878	6.4619	13.275
23	30.82	3.0242	0	3.4916	336.08	6.811	6.0048	6.4619	13.273
24	33.283	3.2761	0	3.5007	336.48	6.8004	6.0159	6.4625	13.263
25	35.883	3.5291	0	3.5099	336.61	6.7843	6.0235	6.4619	13.246
26	38.383	3.781	0	3.5191	336.08	6.7546	6.0259	6.4613	13.216
27	41.033	4.033	0	3.5283	335.41	6.7221	6.0241	6.4613	13.183
28	46.132	4.5368	0	3.5469	333.26	6.6411	6.0165	6.4613	13.102
29	51.207	5.0407	0	3.5657	331.65	6.5713	6.0077	6.4619	13.033
30	56.411	5.5445	0	3.5848	330.98	6.5209	6.003	6.4613	12.982
31	61.411	6.0483	0	3.604	330.04	6.4652	5.9931	6.4619	12.927
32	66.316	6.5522	0	3.6234	328.83	6.4044	5.9779	6.4619	12.866
33	71.584	7.0571	0	3.6431	327.36	6.3385	5.9592	6.4619	12.8
34	76.604	7.561	0	3.663	325.88	6.2731	5.9363	6.4613	12.734
35	81.667	8.0648	0	3.683	326.02	6.2395	5.9206	6.4613	12.701
36	86.897	8.5687	0	3.7033	326.02	6.2032	5.9001	6.4619	12.665
37	91.905	9.0725	0	3.7238	326.28	6.1721	5.8796	6.4619	12.634
38	96.987	9.5763	0	3.7446	327.22	6.1539	5.862	6.4619	12.616
39	102.11	10.08	0	3.7656	328.56	6.1432	5.8457	6.4619	12.605
40	114.76	11.341	0	3.8191	329.24	6.0647	5.7819	6.4619	12.527
41	127.41	12.601	0	3.8742	328.16	5.9537	5.7181	6.4613	12.415
42	140.12	13.861	0	3.9309	325.48	5.8138	5.6468	6.4613	12.275
43	152.84	15.121	0	3.9892	316.89	5.569	5.5496	6.4619	12.031
44	165.62	16.38	0	4.0493	306.56	5.2979	5.4677	6.4619	11.76
45	178.28	17.641	0	4.1113	301.74	5.1288	5.4484	6.4619	11.591
46	190.97	18.901	0	4.1751	286.31	4.7798	5.3712	6.4619	11.242
47	201.88	20.001	0	4.2325	280.81	4.6174	5.3484	6.4619	11.079

TRIAXIAL TEST

Project: Polymet #23/69-862-022B
Boring No.: 07-05
Sample No.:
Test No.: 1

Location:
Tested By: SO
Test Date: 9-10-07
Sample Type: 3T

Project No.: 6250
Checked By: JW
Depth: 98.5-99
Elevation:

Soil Description: Peat (PT)
Remarks:

Specimen Height: 3.97 in
Specimen Area: 3.08 in^2
Specimen Volume: 200.10 cc

Piston Area: 0.19 in^2
Piston Friction: 0.00 lb
Piston Weight: 0.00 lb

Filter Strip Correction: 0.00 tsf
Membrane Correction: 4.20 lb/in
Correction Type: Uniform

Liquid Limit: ---

Plastic Limit: ---

Measured Specific Gravity: 1.70

	Vertical Strain %	Total Vertical Stress tsf	Total Horizontal Stress tsf	Excess Pore Pressure tsf	A Parameter	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	Stress Ratio	Effective p tsf	q tsf
1	0.00	10.731	6.4613	0	0.000	6.4577	2.1877	2.952	4.3227	2.135
2	0.13	11.153	6.4619	0.2305	0.546	6.6491	1.9578	3.396	4.3034	2.3457
3	0.25	11.534	6.4619	0.46159	0.575	6.7985	1.7267	3.937	4.2626	2.5359
4	0.38	11.836	6.4613	0.65056	0.589	6.9117	1.5371	4.497	4.2244	2.6873
5	0.50	12.076	6.4619	0.80735	0.600	6.9951	1.3809	5.066	4.188	2.8071
6	0.63	12.258	6.4619	0.92962	0.609	7.055	1.2586	5.605	4.1568	2.8982
7	0.76	12.445	6.4613	1.056	0.616	7.1155	1.1317	6.288	4.1236	2.9919
8	0.88	12.598	6.4613	1.1595	0.621	7.165	1.0281	6.969	4.0966	3.0684
9	1.01	12.708	6.4613	1.2368	0.626	7.198	0.95091	7.570	4.0745	3.1236
10	1.13	12.825	6.4619	1.3181	0.630	7.2328	0.87017	8.312	4.0515	3.1813
11	1.26	12.912	6.4619	1.3807	0.633	7.2573	0.80757	8.987	4.0324	3.2249
12	1.39	12.984	6.4613	1.4363	0.638	7.274	0.75141	9.680	4.0127	3.2613
13	1.51	13.048	6.4613	1.4877	0.642	7.2866	0.69993	10.411	3.9933	3.2934
14	1.64	13.101	6.4613	1.5269	0.644	7.3002	0.66073	11.049	3.9805	3.3197
15	1.76	13.134	6.4619	1.5574	0.648	7.3033	0.63089	11.576	3.9671	3.3362
16	1.89	13.17	6.4619	1.5884	0.651	7.308	0.59988	12.182	3.9539	3.354
17	2.02	13.194	6.4619	1.6124	0.655	7.3083	0.5759	12.690	3.9421	3.3662
18	2.14	13.227	6.4619	1.6399	0.657	7.3135	0.5484	13.336	3.931	3.3826
19	2.27	13.243	6.4619	1.6562	0.659	7.313	0.53202	13.746	3.9225	3.3905
20	2.39	13.255	6.4613	1.6755	0.664	7.3061	0.51213	14.266	3.9091	3.397
21	2.52	13.265	6.4613	1.6908	0.667	7.301	0.49692	14.693	3.899	3.402
22	2.77	13.275	6.4619	1.7142	0.674	7.2872	0.4741	15.371	3.8807	3.4066
23	3.02	13.273	6.4619	1.7311	0.681	7.2681	0.45714	15.899	3.8626	3.4055
24	3.28	13.263	6.4625	1.7422	0.688	7.247	0.44661	16.227	3.8468	3.4002
25	3.53	13.246	6.4619	1.7498	0.696	7.2227	0.43842	16.475	3.8306	3.3922
26	3.78	13.216	6.4613	1.7522	0.705	7.19	0.43549	16.510	3.8128	3.3773
27	4.03	13.183	6.4613	1.7504	0.714	7.1593	0.43724	16.374	3.7983	3.361
28	4.54	13.102	6.4613	1.7428	0.735	7.0859	0.44485	15.929	3.7654	3.3205
29	5.04	13.033	6.4619	1.734	0.753	7.0255	0.45421	15.468	3.7399	3.2857
30	5.54	12.982	6.4613	1.7294	0.768	6.9792	0.45831	15.228	3.7188	3.2604
31	6.05	12.927	6.4619	1.7194	0.783	6.934	0.46884	14.790	3.7014	3.2326
32	6.55	12.866	6.4619	1.7042	0.798	6.8885	0.48405	14.231	3.6863	3.2022
33	7.06	12.8	6.4619	1.6855	0.815	6.8413	0.50277	13.607	3.672	3.1693
34	7.56	12.734	6.4613	1.6627	0.830	6.7981	0.525	12.949	3.6616	3.1366
35	8.06	12.701	6.4613	1.6469	0.836	6.7802	0.5408	12.538	3.6605	3.1197
36	8.57	12.665	6.4619	1.6264	0.841	6.765	0.56186	12.040	3.6634	3.1016
37	9.07	12.634	6.4619	1.6059	0.844	6.7544	0.58233	11.599	3.6684	3.0861
38	9.58	12.616	6.4619	1.5884	0.843	6.7538	0.59988	11.258	3.6768	3.077
39	10.08	12.605	6.4619	1.572	0.839	6.7595	0.61627	10.968	3.6879	3.0716
40	11.34	12.527	6.4619	1.5082	0.840	6.7448	0.68003	9.918	3.7124	3.0324
41	12.60	12.415	6.4613	1.4445	0.858	6.6969	0.74322	9.011	3.7201	2.9768
42	13.86	12.275	6.4613	1.3731	0.889	6.6284	0.81459	8.137	3.7215	2.9069
43	15.12	12.031	6.4619	1.276	0.982	6.4813	0.91229	7.104	3.6968	2.7845
44	16.38	11.76	6.4619	1.1941	1.161	6.2921	0.9942	6.329	3.6432	2.649
45	17.64	11.591	6.4619	1.1748	1.367	6.1423	1.0135	6.060	3.5779	2.5644
46	18.90	11.242	6.4619	1.0975	2.152	5.8705	1.0907	5.382	3.4806	2.3899
47	20.00	11.079	6.4619	1.0747	3.092	5.7309	1.1135	5.147	3.4222	2.3087

Laboratory Test Summary

Job No. 6250

Date: 10-9-07

Project / Client: PolyMet - #23/69-862-015-028 / Barr Engineering Company

Sample Information & Classification

Boring	07-4B	07-05
Depth (ft)	56.2 - 56.7	98.5 - 99
Type or BPF	2.5" Liner	2.5" Liner
Soil Classification ASTM: D2487/2488	Peat (PT)	Peat (PT)

Organic Content

Organic Content (%)	66.5	84.0

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite 107 SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Permeability Test Data

Project: Polymet #23/69-862-022B Date: 10/25/2007

Reported To: Barr Engineering Company Job No.: 6250

Boring No.:	07-4B	07-4B	07-4B	07-4B			
Sample No.:							
Depth (ft.):	57.2 - 57.7	57.2 - 57.7	57.2 - 57.7	57.2 - 57.7			
Location:							
Sample Type:	2.5 Liner	2.5 Liner	2.5 Liner	2.5 Liner			
Soil Type:	Hemic Peat (PT)	Hemic Peat (PT)	Hemic Peat (PT)	Hemic Peat (PT)			
Atterberg Limits							
LL							
PL							
PI							
Permeability Test							
Before Test Conditions: Saturation %:	90.0%						
Porosity:							
Ht. (in):	4.44						
Dia. (in):	1.99						
Dry Density (pcf):	22.4						
Water Content:	196.6%						
Test Type:	Falling	Falling	Falling	Falling			
Max Head (cm):	5.0	10.0	10.0	10.0			
Confining press. (Effective-psi):	20.8	41.7	62.5	83.3			
Trial No.:	6-10	22-26	44-48	52-56			
Water Temp °C:	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0			
% Compaction							
% Saturation (After Test)				95.3%			
Coefficient of Permeability							
K @ 20 °C (cm/sec)	**1.2×10^{-7}**	**3.1×10^{-8}**	**1.3×10^{-8}**	**1.3×10^{-8}**			
K @ 20 °C (ft/min)	**2.3×10^{-7}**	**6.0×10^{-8}**	**2.5×10^{-8}**	**2.6×10^{-8}**			
Notes:							

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite 107 SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Permeability Test Data

Project: Polymet Date: 3/15/2008

Reported To: Barr Engineering Company Job No.: 6428

Tailings Type	Coarse Tailings	Coarse Tailings	Coarse Tailings		Fine Tailings	Fine Tailings	Fine Tailings
Sample No.:	Bucket #17	Bucket #17	Bucket #17		Bucket #11	Bucket #11	Bucket #11
Desired Density (pcf)	105	110	115		95	100	105
Location:							
Sample Type:	Bulk	Bulk	Bulk		Bulk	Bulk	Bulk
Soil Type:	Coarse Tailings (Sand w/Silt, Fine to Medium Grained) (SP-SM)	Coarse Tailings (Sand w/Silt, Fine to Medium Grained) (SP-SM)	Coarse Tailings (Sand w/Silt, Fine to Medium Grained) (SP-SM)		Fine Tailings (Silty Sand) (SM)	Fine Tailings (Silty Sand) (SM)	Fine Tailngs (Silty Sand) (SM)
Atterberg Limits							
LL							
PL							
PI							
Permeability Test							
Before Test Conditions: Saturation %:							
Porosity:							
Ht. (in):	3.83	3.99	3.99		3.93	3.99	3.99
Dia. (in):	2.89	2.89	2.89		2.89	2.89	2.89
Dry Density (pcf):	104.2	110.1	114.9		96.4	99.5	104.0
Water Content:	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%		6.8%	6.8%	6.8%
Test Type:	Constant	Constant	Constant		Constant	Constant	Constant
Max Head (cm):	9.0	11.2	10.2		8.3	8.3	12.2
Confining press. (Effective-psi):	None	None	None		None	None	None
Trial No.:	7-11	7-11	7-11		7-11	7-11	7-11
Water Temp ℃:	21.0	21.4	23.4		20.8	20.6	20.4
% Compaction							
% Saturation (After Test)							
Coefficient of Permeability							
K @ 20 ℃ (cm/sec)	**3.5×10^{-3}**	**1.7×10^{-3}**	**1.6×10^{-3}**		**3.3×10^{-3}**	**3.1×10^{-3}**	**2.1×10^{-3}**
K @ 20 ℃ (ft/min)	**6.9×10^{-3}**	**3.4×10^{-3}**	**3.2×10^{-3}**		**6.5×10^{-3}**	**6.1×10^{-3}**	**4.1×10^{-3}**
Notes:	About 200ccs thru specimens before readings.						

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite 107 SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **6428**

Project:	Polymet	Test Date:	3/7/08
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	3/11/08

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
*	TP-3	Bucket #11		Bulk	Fine Tailings (Silty Sand) (SM)
●					
◇					



Other Tests	*	●	◇
Liquid Limit			
Plastic Limit			
Plasticity Index			
Water Content			
Dry Density (pcf)			
Specific Gravity			
Porosity			
Organic Content			
pH			
Shrinkage Limit			
Penetrometer			
Qu (psf)			

(* = assumed)

Percent Passing	*	●	◇
Mass (g)	24726.0		
2"			
1.5"			
1"			
3/4"			
3/8"			
#4	100.0		
#10	97.2		
#20	86.5		
#40	72.1		
#100	36.5		
#200	17.9		

	*	●	◇
D_{60}			
D_{30}			
D_{10}			
C_U			
C_C			

Remarks:

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/8/07**

Project: **Polymet - #23/69-862-015-028**
Boring #: **07-02** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **59 - 61**
Soil Type: **Fine Tailings (Sand w/Silt (ML))**









◆

■

△

+

X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Stress Ratio				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	°				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	(tsf)				
Test Date: 9/26/07	Liquid Limit: 23.7				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit: 20.4				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.0039	Plasticity Index: 3.3				
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.100	Spec. Gravity (Actual): 2.95				
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94				
Height (in)	3.98				
Water Content (%)	25.8				
Dry Density (pcf)	103.1				
Void Ratio	0.79				
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.96				
Height (in)	3.90				
Water Content (%)	26.3				
Dry Density (pcf)	103.8				
Void Ratio	0.77				
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.75				
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.75				
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	29.16				
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	20.24				
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	12.51				
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.68				
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0				
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	4.1				

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Radial drainage strips applied to trimmed specimen; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure			
α =	°	a =	(tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	°	c'=	(tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	°	c=	(tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/8/07**

Project: **Polymet - #23/69-862-015-028**
Boring #: **07-02** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **59 - 61**
Soil Type: **Fine Tailings (Sand w/Silt (ML))**







◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Pore Pressure				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	°				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	(tsf)				
Test Date: 9/26/07		Liquid Limit:	23.7		
Test Type: CU w/pp		Plastic Limit:	20.4		
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.0039		Plasticity Index:	3.3		
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.100		Spec. Gravity (Actual):	2.95		
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94				
Height (in)	3.98				
Water Content (%)	25.8				
Dry Density (pcf)	103.1				
Void Ratio	0.79				
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.96				
Height (in)	3.90				
Water Content (%)	26.3				
Dry Density (pcf)	103.8				
Void Ratio	0.77				
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.75				
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.75				
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	29.16				
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	20.24				
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	2.50				
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.68				
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0				
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	0.8				

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Radial drainage strips applied to trimmed specimen; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure			
α =	°	a =	(tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	°	c'=	(tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	°	c=	(tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/8/07**

Project: **Polymet - #23/69-862-015-028**
Boring #: **07-02** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **59 - 61**
Soil Type: **Fine Tailings (Sand w/Silt (ML))**







◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Deviator Stress				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	°				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	(tsf)				
Test Date: 9/26/07	Liquid Limit: 23.7				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit: 20.4				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.0039	Plasticity Index: 3.3				
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.100	Spec. Gravity (Actual): 2.95				
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94				
Height (in)	3.98				
Water Content (%)	25.8				
Dry Density (pcf)	103.1				
Void Ratio	0.79				
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.96				
Height (in)	3.90				
Water Content (%)	26.3				
Dry Density (pcf)	103.8				
Void Ratio	0.77				
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.75				
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.75				
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	29.16				
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	20.24				
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	29.16				
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.68				
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0				
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	12.8				

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Radial drainage strips applied to trimmed specimen; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure			
α =	°	a =	(tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	°	c'=	(tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	°	c=	(tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/8/07**

Project: **Polymet - #23/69-862-015-028**
Boring #: **07-02** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **59 - 61**
Soil Type: **Fine Tailings (Sand w/Silt (ML))**







◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion:	Given Strain of: 15%				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	°				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	(tsf)				
Test Date: 9/26/07	Liquid Limit: 23.7				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit: 20.4				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.0039	Plasticity Index: 3.3				
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.100	Spec. Gravity (Actual): 2.95				
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94				
Height (in)	3.98				
Water Content (%)	25.8				
Dry Density (pcf)	103.1				
Void Ratio	0.79				
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.96				
Height (in)	3.90				
Water Content (%)	26.3				
Dry Density (pcf)	103.8				
Void Ratio	0.77				
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.75				
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.75				
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	29.16				
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	20.24				
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	29.11				
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.68				
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0				
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	15.0				

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Radial drainage strips applied to trimmed specimen; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure			
α =	°	a =	(tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	°	c'=	(tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	°	c=	(tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Job No.: 6250

Project: Polymet - #23/69-862-015-028 Type: CU w/pp

Boring No.: 07-02, Depth (ft.): 59 - 61, Soil Type: Fine Tailings (Sand w/Silt (ML))

Sample 1			Sample 2			Sample 3		
Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)
0.00	0.00	0.00						
0.26	1.37	0.52						
0.51	1.96	0.67						
0.77	2.50	0.68						
1.03	3.09	0.63						
1.28	3.69	0.55						
1.54	4.33	0.44						
1.80	5.06	0.31						
2.05	5.85	0.15						
2.31	6.57	0.01						
2.56	7.39	-0.16						
3.08	9.07	-0.53						
3.59	10.73	-0.89						
4.10	12.51	-1.33						
4.62	14.27	-1.77						
5.13	16.02	-2.22						
5.64	17.58	-2.64						
6.16	19.17	-3.08						
6.67	20.65	-3.50						
7.18	22.03	-3.90						
7.69	23.31	-4.28						
8.21	24.45	-4.62						
8.72	25.50	-4.94						
9.23	26.39	-5.21						
9.75	27.12	-5.43						
10.26	27.76	-5.63						
11.54	28.76	-5.96						
12.82	29.16	-6.15						
14.11	29.11	-6.27						
15.39	28.06	-6.27						
16.67	26.73	-6.14						
17.95	24.03	-6.09						
19.23	23.42	-6.04						
20.51	21.10	-6.01						
21.80	20.60	-5.97						
23.08	20.24	-5.96						

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/19/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-022B**
Boring #: **07-10** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **37-39**
Soil Type: **Fine Tailings (Silt w/sand (ML))**









◆



■

△

+

X

Failure Criterion: **Max. Stress Ratio**

Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =** **43.7 °**

Apparent Cohesion, **c' =** **0.00 (tsf)**

Test Date:	10/8/07		Liquid Limit:		NP
Test Type:	CU w/pp		Plastic Limit:		NP
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.004		Plasticity Index:		NP
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.101		Spec. Gravity :		2.93

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94			
Height (in)	3.98	3.98			
Water Content (%)	25.2	25.2			
Dry Density (pcf)	101.6	96.6			
Void Ratio	0.80	0.89			
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.93			
Height (in)	3.98	3.97			
Water Content (%)	26.8	29.3			
Dry Density (pcf)	102.4	98.4			
Void Ratio	0.79	0.86			
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8			
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.50	3.00			
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	26.04	29.05			
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	22.67	24.96			
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	11.34	16.14			
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.66	1.30			
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0			
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	4.5	4.5			

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen extruded directly into trimming mold before the membrane was applied; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 34.6 ° a = -0.4 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	43.7 °	c'=	0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	38.0 °	c=	1.59 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/19/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-022B**
Boring #: **07-10** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **37-39**
Soil Type: **Fine Tailings (Silt w/sand (ML))**







◆



■

△

+

X

Failure Criterion: **Max. Pore Pressure**

Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =** **32.6 °**

Apparent Cohesion, **c' =** **0.03 (tsf)**

Test Date:	10/8/07		Liquid Limit:		NP
Test Type:	CU w/pp		Plastic Limit:		NP
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.004		Plasticity Index:		NP
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.101		Spec. Gravity :		2.93

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94			
Height (in)	3.98	3.98			
Water Content (%)	25.2	25.2			
Dry Density (pcf)	101.6	96.6			
Void Ratio	0.80	0.89			
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.93			
Height (in)	3.98	3.97			
Water Content (%)	26.8	29.3			
Dry Density (pcf)	102.4	98.4			
Void Ratio	0.79	0.86			
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8			
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.50	3.00			
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	26.04	29.05			
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	22.67	24.96			
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	2.07	4.07			
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.66	1.30			
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0			
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	0.6	0.8			

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen extruded directly into trimming mold before the membrane was applied; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 28.3 ° a = 0.0 (tsf)

---------- Effective ϕ': 32.6 ° c'= 0.03 (tsf)
_____ Total ϕ': 23.5 ° c= 0.02 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/19/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-022B**
Boring #: **07-10** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **37-39**
Soil Type: **Fine Tailings (Silt w/sand (ML))**







Failure Criterion: **Max. Deviator Stress**

Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =** **38.2 °**

Apparent Cohesion, **c' =** **0.37 (tsf)**

Test Date:	10/8/07		Liquid Limit:		NP
Test Type:	CU w/pp		Plastic Limit:		NP
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.004		Plasticity Index:		NP
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.101		Spec. Gravity :		2.93

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94			
Height (in)	3.98	3.98			
Water Content (%)	25.2	25.2			
Dry Density (pcf)	101.6	96.6			
Void Ratio	0.80	0.89			
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.93			
Height (in)	3.98	3.97			
Water Content (%)	26.8	29.3			
Dry Density (pcf)	102.4	98.4			
Void Ratio	0.79	0.86			
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8			
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.50	3.00			
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	26.04	29.05			
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	22.67	24.96			
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	26.04	29.05			
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.66	1.30			
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0			
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	12.6	12.6			

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen extruded directly into trimming mold before the membrane was applied; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 31.7 ° a = 0.3 (tsf)

---------- Effective ϕ': 38.2 ° c'= 0.37 (tsf)
_____ Total ϕ': 30.1 ° c= 6.64 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/19/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-022B**
Boring #: **07-10** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **37-39**
Soil Type: **Fine Tailings (Silt w/sand (ML))**







◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion: **Given Strain of: 15%**
Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =** **35.2 °**
Apparent Cohesion, **c' =** **1.25 (tsf)**

Test Date:	10/8/07	Liquid Limit:	NP
Test Type:	CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:	NP
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.004	Plasticity Index:	NP
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.101	Spec. Gravity :	2.93

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94			
Height (in)	3.98	3.98			
Water Content (%)	25.2	25.2			
Dry Density (pcf)	101.6	96.6			
Void Ratio	0.80	0.89			
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.93			
Height (in)	3.98	3.97			
Water Content (%)	26.8	29.3			
Dry Density (pcf)	102.4	98.4			
Void Ratio	0.79	0.86			
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8			
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.50	3.00			
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	26.04	29.05			
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	22.67	24.96			
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	25.67	28.29			
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.66	1.30			
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0			
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	15.0	15.0			

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen extruded directly into trimming mold before the membrane was applied; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 30.0 ° a = 1.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	35.2 °	c'= 1.25 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	27.8 °	c= 6.95 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Job No. 6250

Boring #: 07-10 Depth (ft): 37-39 Date: 10/19/07

Sample 1			Sample 2			Sample 3			Sample 4			Sample 5		
Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00									
0.13	0.82	0.31	0.13	1.40	0.50									
0.25	1.25	0.49	0.25	2.14	0.83									
0.38	1.55	0.59	0.38	2.81	1.09									
0.50	1.83	0.65	0.50	3.22	1.20									
0.63	2.07	0.66	0.63	3.65	1.27									
0.75	2.29	0.65	0.76	4.07	1.30									
0.88	2.53	0.62	0.88	4.44	1.30									
1.01	2.76	0.58	1.01	4.81	1.28									
1.13	3.02	0.54	1.13	5.18	1.25									
1.26	3.23	0.49	1.26	5.51	1.21									
1.38	3.49	0.44	1.38	5.88	1.15									
1.51	3.76	0.38	1.51	6.34	1.08									
1.63	4.04	0.31	1.64	6.71	1.02									
1.76	4.28	0.25	1.76	7.12	0.94									
1.89	4.57	0.18	1.89	7.54	0.86									
2.01	4.83	0.12	2.01	7.90	0.79									
2.14	5.15	0.04	2.14	8.34	0.70									
2.26	5.42	-0.03	2.26	8.74	0.61									
2.39	5.75	-0.11	2.39	9.18	0.52									
2.51	6.04	-0.18	2.52	9.57	0.44									
2.77	6.68	-0.35	2.77	10.39	0.25									
3.02	7.28	-0.50	3.02	11.25	0.05									
3.27	7.93	-0.67	3.27	12.14	-0.16									
3.52	8.52	-0.83	3.52	12.95	-0.35									
3.77	9.23	-1.02	3.77	13.75	-0.55									
4.02	9.93	-1.21	4.03	14.60	-0.76									
4.53	11.34	-1.59	4.53	16.14	-1.16									
5.03	12.78	-1.98	5.03	17.63	-1.56									
5.28	13.56	-2.20	5.53	19.11	-1.96									
5.53	14.25	-2.40	6.04	20.45	-2.34									
5.78	14.94	-2.59	6.54	21.73	-2.71									
6.03	15.66	-2.80	7.05	22.94	-3.06									
6.29	16.36	-3.00	7.55	24.05	-3.40									
6.54	17.04	-3.20	8.05	25.05	-3.71									
6.79	17.69	-3.39	8.55	25.97	-4.01									
7.04	18.31	-3.58	9.06	26.78	-4.29									
7.29	18.96	-3.77	9.56	27.47	-4.54									
7.54	19.54	-3.95	10.06	28.06	-4.77									
8.05	20.65	-4.30	11.32	28.95	-5.21									
8.55	21.70	-4.64	12.58	29.05	-5.50									
9.05	22.62	-4.94	13.84	28.29	-5.62									
9.55	23.46	-5.20	15.10	26.58	-5.63									
10.06	24.23	-5.43	16.35	25.58	-5.57									
11.31	25.53	-5.84	17.61	25.47	-5.53									
12.57	26.04	-6.07	18.87	25.25	-5.50									
13.83	25.67	-6.16	20.13	24.96	-5.47									
15.08	25.56	-6.18												
16.34	23.80	-6.17												
17.60	23.82	-6.15												
18.86	23.91	-6.16												
20.11	22.70	-6.15												

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **6250**

Project:	Polymet #23/69-862-022B	Test Date:	9/25/07
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	10/4/07

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
*	07-02	(Top)	59-61	3T	Fine Tailings (Silt w/sand (ML))
●	07-02	(M-T)	59-61	3T	Slimes (Silt (ML))
◇					



Other Tests	*	●	◇
Liquid Limit	23.7	22.0	
Plastic Limit	20.4	17.2	
Plasticity Index	3.3	4.8	
Water Content			
Dry Density (pcf)			
Specific Gravity	2.95	2.93	
Porosity			
Organic Content			
pH			
Shrinkage Limit			
Penetrometer			
Qu (psf)			

(* = assumed)

Percent Passing	*	●	◇
Mass (g)	275.7	362.3	
2"			
1.5"			
1"			
3/4"			
3/8"			
#4	100.0	100.0	
#10	100.0	100.0	
#20	100.0	100.0	
#40	99.9	100.0	
#100	99.1	99.9	
#200	74.8	99.4	

	*	●	◇
D_{60}			
D_{30}			
D_{10}			
C_U			
C_C			

Remarks:

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **6250**

Project:	Polymet #23/69-862-022B	Test Date:	9/25/07
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	10/4/07

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
Spec 1	07-02	(Top)	59-61	3T	Fine Tailings (Silt w/sand (ML))
Spec 2	07-02	(M-T)	59-61	3T	Slimes (Silt (ML))
Spec 3					

Hydrometer Data

Specimen 1		Specimen 2		Specimen 3	
Diameter (mm)	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing
0.033	22.9	0.027	71.2		
0.022	11.0	0.019	51.1		
0.013	7.0	0.012	35.1		
0.009	5.4	0.009	27.5		
0.006	3.9	0.005	19.1		
0.003	3.0	0.003	10.7		
0.001	1.5	0.001	4.9		

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **6250**

Project:	Polymet #23/69-862-022B	Test Date:	10/8/07
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	10/11/07

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
*	07-07C		48-50	3T	Slimes: Silt (ML)
●	07-10		37-39	3T	Fine Tailings: Silt w/sand (ML)
◇					



Other Tests

	*	●	◇
Liquid Limit	22.5	NP	
Plastic Limit	20.8	NP	
Plasticity Index	1.7	NP	
Water Content			
Dry Density (pcf)			
Specific Gravity	2.97	2.93	
Porosity			
Organic Content			
pH			
Shrinkage Limit			
Penetrometer			
Qu (psf)			

(* = assumed)

Percent Passing

	*	●	◇
Mass (g)	310.1	367.9	
2"			
1.5"			
1"			
3/4"			
3/8"			
#4	100.0	100.0	
#10	100.0	100.0	
#20	99.9	100.0	
#40	99.8	100.0	
#100	99.7	99.3	
#200	99.4	70.3	

	*	●	◇
D_{60}			
D_{30}			
D_{10}			
C_U			
C_C			

Remarks:

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **6250**

Project:	Polymet #23/69-862-022B	Test Date:	10/8/07
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	10/11/07

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
Spec 1	07-07C		48-50	3T	Slimes: Silt (ML)
Spec 2	07-10		37-39	3T	Fine Tailings: Silt w/sand (ML)
Spec 3					

Hydrometer Data

Specimen 1		Specimen 2		Specimen 3	
Diameter (mm)	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing
0.025	78.2	0.034	13.8		
0.017	64.0	0.022	7.2		
0.011	49.8	0.013	3.9		
0.008	40.4	0.009	2.0		
0.006	32.5	0.007	1.5		
0.003	21.5	0.003	0.7		
0.001	7.3	0.001	-0.4		

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **6250**

Project:	Polymet #23/69-862-022B	Test Date:	9/19/07
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	10/2/07

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
*	LTV Slimes	Composite		Bulk	Slimes: Silt (ML)
●					
◇					



Other Tests

	*	●	◇
Liquid Limit	20.7		
Plastic Limit	16.7		
Plasticity Index	4.0		
Water Content			
Dry Density (pcf)			
Specific Gravity	2.99		
Porosity			
Organic Content			
pH			
Shrinkage Limit			
Penetrometer			
Qu (psf)			

(* = assumed)

Percent Passing

	*	●	◇
Mass (g)	92.6		
2"			
1.5"			
1"			
3/4"			
3/8"			
#4	100.0		
#10	100.0		
#20	99.9		
#40	99.8		
#100	99.6		
#200	97.1		

	*	●	◇
D_{60}			
D_{30}			
D_{10}			
C_U			
C_C			

Remarks:

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **6250**

Project:	Polymet #23/69-862-022B	Test Date:	9/19/07
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	10/2/07

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
Spec 1	LTV Slimes	Composite		Bulk	Slimes: Silt (ML)
Spec 2					
Spec 3					

Hydrometer Data

Specimen 1		Specimen 2		Specimen 3	
Diameter (mm)	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing
0.025	49.4				
0.018	37.4				
0.011	23.8				
0.008	18.2				
0.006	12.7				
0.004	7.2				
0.001	1.1				

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/18/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-022B**
Boring #: **Composite** Sample #: **LTV Slimes** Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Slimes: Silt (ML)**









◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Stress Ratio				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	34.1 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.00 (tsf)				
Test Date: 10/9/07		Liquid Limit:	20.7		
Test Type: CU w/pp		Plastic Limit:	16.7		
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.004		Plasticity Index:	4.0		
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.107		Spec. Gravity :	2.99		

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.86				
Height (in)	3.78				
Water Content (%)	11.0				
Dry Density (pcf)	94.5				
Void Ratio	0.98				
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.84				
Height (in)	3.76				
Water Content (%)	31.0				
Dry Density (pcf)	96.8				
Void Ratio	0.93				
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8				
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	6.00				
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	2.80				
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	4.07				
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	3.25				
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	4.84				
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0				
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	13.3				

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen compacted loosely at a relatively dry state; Saturated (specimens contracted upon saturation diameter and height changes during saturation estimated with a preliminary unsheared specimen, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 29.3 ° a = 0.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	34.1 °	c'= 0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	12.3 °	c= 0.00 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/18/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-022B**
Boring #: **Composite** Sample #: **LTV Slimes** Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Slimes: Silt (ML)**







◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Pore Pressure				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	33.2 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.00 (tsf)				
Test Date: 10/9/07		Liquid Limit:	20.7		
Test Type: CU w/pp		Plastic Limit:	16.7		
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.004		Plasticity Index:	4.0		
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.107		Spec. Gravity :	2.99		

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.86				
Height (in)	3.78				
Water Content (%)	11.0				
Dry Density (pcf)	94.5				
Void Ratio	0.98				
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.84				
Height (in)	3.76				
Water Content (%)	31.0				
Dry Density (pcf)	96.8				
Void Ratio	0.93				
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8				
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	6.00				
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	2.80				
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	4.07				
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	2.81				
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	4.84				
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0				
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	8.0				

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen compacted loosely at a relatively dry state; Saturated (specimens contracted upon saturation diameter and height changes during saturation estimated with a preliminary unsheared specimen, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 28.7 ° a = 0.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	33.2 °	c'=	0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	10.9 °	c=	0.00 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/18/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-022B**
Boring #: **Composite** Sample #: **LTV Slimes** Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Slimes: Silt (ML)**







◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Deviator Stress				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	22.4 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.00 (tsf)				
Test Date: 10/9/07		Liquid Limit:	20.7		
Test Type: CU w/pp		Plastic Limit:	16.7		
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.004		Plasticity Index:	4.0		
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.107		Spec. Gravity :	2.99		
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.86				
Height (in)	3.78				
Water Content (%)	11.0				
Dry Density (pcf)	94.5				
Void Ratio	0.98				
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.84				
Height (in)	3.76				
Water Content (%)	31.0				
Dry Density (pcf)	96.8				
Void Ratio	0.93				
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8				
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	6.00				
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	2.80				
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	4.07				
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	2.80				
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	4.84				
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0				
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	3.7				

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen compacted loosely at a relatively dry state; Saturated (specimens contracted upon saturation diameter and height changes during saturation estimated with a preliminary unsheared specimen, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 20.9 ° a = 0.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	22.4 °	c'= 0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	10.9 °	c= 0.00 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/18/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-022B**
Boring #: **Composite** Sample #: **LTV Slimes** Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Slimes: Silt (ML)**







Failure Criterion:	**Given Strain of: 5%**
Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =**	**31.0 °**
Apparent Cohesion, **c' =**	**0.00 (tsf)**

Test Date:	10/9/07	Liquid Limit:	20.7
Test Type:	CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:	16.7
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.004	Plasticity Index:	4.0
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.107	Spec. Gravity :	2.99

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.86				
Height (in)	3.78				
Water Content (%)	11.0				
Dry Density (pcf)	94.5				
Void Ratio	0.98				
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.84				
Height (in)	3.76				
Water Content (%)	31.0				
Dry Density (pcf)	96.8				
Void Ratio	0.93				
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8				
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	6.00				
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	2.80				
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	4.07				
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	2.67				
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	4.84				
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0				
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	5.0				

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen compacted loosely at a relatively dry state; Saturated (specimens contracted upon saturation diameter and height changes during saturation estimated with a preliminary unsheared specimen, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 27.2 ° a = 0.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	31.0 °	c'= 0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	10.5 °	c= 0.00 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Bulk Composite LTV Slimes Job: 6250

6 tsf

Sample 1			Sample 2			Sample 3			Sample 4			Sample 5		
Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)
0.00	0.00	0.00												
0.13	1.20	0.60												
0.27	1.66	1.07												
0.40	1.99	1.48												
0.53	2.27	1.90												
0.67	2.45	2.25												
0.80	2.59	2.57												
0.93	2.68	2.85												
1.07	2.73	3.06												
1.20	2.77	3.26												
1.33	2.79	3.45												
1.47	2.80	3.60												
1.60	2.80	3.73												
1.73	2.80	3.85												
1.86	2.79	3.94												
2.00	2.78	4.04												
2.13	2.77	4.12												
2.26	2.76	4.19												
2.40	2.75	4.25												
2.53	2.74	4.31												
2.66	2.73	4.36												
2.93	2.72	4.44												
3.20	2.70	4.51												
3.46	2.69	4.56												
3.73	2.68	4.61												
4.00	2.68	4.65												
4.26	2.68	4.69												
4.79	2.67	4.74												
5.33	2.68	4.78												
5.86	2.70	4.80												
6.39	2.72	4.82												
6.92	2.75	4.83												
7.46	2.78	4.84												
7.99	2.81	4.84												
8.52	2.85	4.84												
9.05	2.89	4.83												
9.59	2.93	4.82												
10.12	2.97	4.81												
10.65	3.01	4.80												
11.98	3.13	4.77												
13.32	3.25	4.73												
14.65	3.37	4.68												
15.98	3.48	4.64												
17.31	3.59	4.59												
18.64	3.68	4.54												
19.97	3.77	4.50												
21.30	3.85	4.46												
22.64	3.92	4.42												
23.97	3.97	4.38												
25.30	4.01	4.36												
26.63	4.04	4.34												
27.96	4.06	4.32												
28.22	4.07	4.32												

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/2/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-022B**
Boring #: **Composite** Sample #: **LTV Slimes** Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Slimes: Silt (ML)**



◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Stress Ratio				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	36.2 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.00 (tsf)				
Test Date: 9/21/07			Liquid Limit:	20.7	
Test Type: CU w/pp			Plastic Limit:	16.7	
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.004			Plasticity Index:	4.0	
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.106			Spec. Gravity :	2.99	
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.86	1.86	1.86	1.86	1.86
Height (in)	3.78	3.78	3.78	3.78	3.78
Water Content (%)	11.9	11.8	11.3	12.0	11.3
Dry Density (pcf)	93.1	95.7	94.0	91.7	94.8
Void Ratio	1.01	0.95	0.99	1.04	0.97
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.84	1.82	1.82	1.82	1.79
Height (in)	3.77	3.77	3.74	3.72	3.71
Water Content (%)	32.0	29.0	29.9	31.2	27.0
Dry Density (pcf)	95.4	100.0	98.5	96.6	103.3
Void Ratio	0.96	0.87	0.90	0.93	0.81
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.25	0.50	1.00	2.00	4.00
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.05	0.18	0.35	0.95	1.73
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.06	0.14	0.22	0.97	2.26
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	0.06	0.14	0.22	0.73	1.79
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.21	0.49	0.90	1.86	3.41
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	30.9	31.2	30.9	14.8	14.8

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen compacted loosely at a relatively dry state; Saturated (specimens contracted upon saturation diameter and height changes during saturation estimated with a preliminary unsheared specimen, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 30.6 ° a = 0.0 (tsf)

---------- Effective ϕ': 36.2 ° c'= 0.00 (tsf)
_____ Total ϕ': 10.0 ° c= 0.00 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/2/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-022B**
Boring #: **Composite** Sample #: **LTV Slimes** Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Slimes: Silt (ML)**



◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Pore Pressure				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	35.5 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.00 (tsf)				
Test Date: 9/21/07			Liquid Limit:	20.7	
Test Type: CU w/pp			Plastic Limit:	16.7	
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.004			Plasticity Index:	4.0	
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.106			Spec. Gravity :	2.99	
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.86	1.86	1.86	1.86	1.86
Height (in)	3.78	3.78	3.78	3.78	3.78
Water Content (%)	11.9	11.8	11.3	12.0	11.3
Dry Density (pcf)	93.1	95.7	94.0	91.7	94.8
Void Ratio	1.01	0.95	0.99	1.04	0.97
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.84	1.82	1.82	1.82	1.79
Height (in)	3.77	3.77	3.74	3.72	3.71
Water Content (%)	32.0	29.0	29.9	31.2	27.0
Dry Density (pcf)	95.4	100.0	98.5	96.6	103.3
Void Ratio	0.96	0.87	0.90	0.93	0.81
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.25	0.50	1.00	2.00	4.00
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.05	0.18	0.35	0.95	1.73
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.06	0.14	0.22	0.97	2.26
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	0.05	0.14	0.19	0.68	1.54
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.21	0.49	0.90	1.86	3.41
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	23.9	31.2	22.7	12.1	8.6

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen compacted loosely at a relatively dry state; Saturated (specimens contracted upon saturation diameter and height changes during saturation estimated with a preliminary unsheared specimen, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 30.1 ° a = 0.0 (tsf)

---------- Effective ϕ': 35.5 ° c'= 0.00 (tsf)
_____ Total ϕ': 8.9 ° c= 0.00 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/2/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-022B**
Boring #: **Composite** Sample #: **Slimes** Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **LTV Slimes: Silt (ML)**



Failure Criterion:	**Max. Deviator Stress**
Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =**	**21.0 °**
Apparent Cohesion, **c' =**	**0.00 (tsf)**

Test Date:	9/21/07		Liquid Limit:		20.7
Test Type:	CU w/pp		Plastic Limit:		16.7
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.004		Plasticity Index:		4.0
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.106		Spec. Gravity :		2.99

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.86	1.86	1.86	1.86	1.86
Height (in)	3.78	3.78	3.78	3.78	3.78
Water Content (%)	11.9	11.8	11.3	12.0	11.3
Dry Density (pcf)	93.1	95.7	94.0	91.7	94.8
Void Ratio	1.01	0.95	0.99	1.04	0.97
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.84	1.82	1.82	1.82	1.79
Height (in)	3.77	3.77	3.74	3.72	3.71
Water Content (%)	32.0	29.0	29.9	31.2	27.0
Dry Density (pcf)	95.4	100.0	98.5	96.6	103.3
Void Ratio	0.96	0.87	0.90	0.93	0.81
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.25	0.50	1.00	2.00	4.00
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.05	0.18	0.35	0.95	1.73
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.06	0.14	0.22	0.97	2.26
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	0.05	0.18	0.35	0.95	1.73
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.21	0.49	0.90	1.86	3.41
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	0.3	0.5	0.7	1.3	1.2

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen compacted loosely at a relatively dry state; Saturated (specimens contracted upon saturation diameter and height changes during saturation estimated with a preliminary unsheared specimen, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 19.7 ° a = 0.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	21.0 °	c'= 0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	10.3 °	c= 0.00 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/2/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-022B**
Boring #: **Composite** Sample #: **LTV Slimes** Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Slimes: Silt (ML)**



◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion: **Given Strain of: 7%**
Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =** **34.2 °**
Apparent Cohesion, **c' =** **0.00 (tsf)**

Test Date:	9/21/07	Liquid Limit:	20.7
Test Type:	CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:	16.7
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.004	Plasticity Index:	4.0
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.106	Spec. Gravity :	2.99

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.86	1.86	1.86	1.86	1.86
Height (in)	3.78	3.78	3.78	3.78	3.78
Water Content (%)	11.9	11.8	11.3	12.0	11.3
Dry Density (pcf)	93.1	95.7	94.0	91.7	94.8
Void Ratio	1.01	0.95	0.99	1.04	0.97
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.84	1.82	1.82	1.82	1.79
Height (in)	3.77	3.77	3.74	3.72	3.71
Water Content (%)	32.0	29.0	29.9	31.2	27.0
Dry Density (pcf)	95.4	100.0	98.5	96.6	103.3
Void Ratio	0.96	0.87	0.90	0.93	0.81
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.25	0.50	1.00	2.00	4.00
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.05	0.18	0.35	0.95	1.73
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.06	0.14	0.22	0.97	2.26
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	0.01	0.12	0.17	0.68	1.50
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.21	0.49	0.90	1.86	3.41
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen compacted loosely at a relatively dry state; Saturated (specimens contracted upon saturation diameter and height changes during saturation estimated with a preliminary unsheared specimen, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 29.3 ° a = 0.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	34.2 °	c'=	0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	8.7 °	c=	0.00 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Bulk Composite LTV Slimes Job: 6250

.25 tsf			.5 tsf			1 tsf			2 tsf			4 tsf		
Sample 1			Sample 2			Sample 3			Sample 4			Sample 5		
Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.13	0.05	0.06	0.13	0.14	0.16	0.13	0.24	0.15	0.13	0.39	0.18	0.14	0.79	0.45
0.27	0.05	0.09	0.27	0.17	0.23	0.27	0.31	0.29	0.27	0.55	0.34	0.27	1.16	0.89
0.40	0.05	0.11	0.40	0.18	0.28	0.40	0.33	0.40	0.40	0.68	0.49	0.41	1.38	1.23
0.53	0.05	0.12	0.53	0.18	0.32	0.53	0.35	0.48	0.54	0.78	0.63	0.54	1.55	1.57
0.66	0.05	0.13	0.66	0.18	0.34	0.67	0.35	0.54	0.67	0.84	0.75	0.67	1.63	1.81
0.80	0.05	0.14	0.80	0.18	0.36	0.80	0.34	0.59	0.81	0.89	0.86	0.81	1.70	2.03
0.93	0.04	0.14	0.93	0.18	0.37	0.94	0.34	0.62	0.94	0.92	0.96	0.94	1.72	2.20
1.06	0.04	0.15	1.06	0.18	0.38	1.07	0.33	0.65	1.07	0.94	1.05	1.08	1.73	2.35
1.20	0.04	0.15	1.19	0.18	0.39	1.20	0.33	0.68	1.21	0.95	1.12	1.21	1.73	2.48
1.33	0.03	0.16	1.33	0.17	0.40	1.34	0.32	0.70	1.34	0.95	1.19	1.35	1.73	2.58
1.46	0.03	0.16	1.46	0.17	0.41	1.47	0.31	0.72	1.48	0.95	1.25	1.48	1.72	2.66
1.59	0.03	0.16	1.59	0.16	0.41	1.60	0.30	0.73	1.61	0.95	1.30	1.62	1.71	2.74
1.73	0.03	0.16	1.72	0.16	0.41	1.74	0.29	0.75	1.75	0.94	1.35	1.75	1.69	2.82
1.86	0.02	0.17	1.86	0.16	0.42	1.87	0.29	0.76	1.88	0.93	1.39	1.89	1.68	2.87
1.99	0.02	0.17	1.99	0.15	0.42	2.00	0.28	0.77	2.01	0.91	1.44	2.02	1.66	2.93
2.12	0.02	0.17	2.12	0.15	0.43	2.14	0.28	0.78	2.15	0.90	1.47	2.16	1.65	2.97
2.26	0.02	0.17	2.25	0.15	0.43	2.27	0.28	0.79	2.28	0.89	1.50	2.29	1.64	3.01
2.39	0.03	0.17	2.39	0.14	0.43	2.40	0.27	0.80	2.42	0.88	1.53	2.43	1.63	3.05
2.52	0.04	0.17	2.52	0.14	0.43	2.54	0.26	0.80	2.55	0.86	1.55	2.56	1.61	3.08
2.66	0.04	0.17	2.65	0.14	0.44	2.67	0.26	0.81	2.69	0.85	1.58	2.70	1.60	3.11
2.92	0.04	0.18	2.92	0.13	0.44	2.94	0.25	0.82	2.95	0.83	1.62	2.96	1.58	3.16
3.19	0.04	0.18	3.18	0.13	0.44	3.21	0.24	0.83	3.22	0.82	1.65	3.23	1.57	3.20
3.45	0.04	0.18	3.45	0.13	0.45	3.47	0.23	0.84	3.49	0.80	1.68	3.50	1.55	3.23
3.72	0.04	0.18	3.71	0.12	0.45	3.74	0.22	0.85	3.76	0.79	1.70	3.77	1.54	3.26
3.98	0.04	0.18	3.98	0.12	0.45	4.01	0.21	0.85	4.03	0.78	1.72	4.04	1.52	3.28
4.25	0.04	0.18	4.24	0.12	0.45	4.27	0.20	0.86	4.30	0.77	1.74	4.31	1.52	3.30
4.78	0.03	0.19	4.77	0.12	0.46	4.81	0.19	0.87	4.84	0.74	1.77	4.85	1.51	3.34
5.31	0.02	0.19	5.30	0.13	0.46	5.34	0.18	0.87	5.37	0.72	1.79	5.39	1.50	3.36
5.58	0.02	0.19	5.83	0.12	0.46	5.61	0.17	0.88	5.64	0.72	1.80	5.93	1.50	3.38
5.85	0.02	0.19	6.36	0.13	0.46	5.88	0.17	0.88	5.91	0.71	1.81	6.47	1.50	3.39
6.11	0.02	0.19	6.89	0.12	0.46	6.14	0.17	0.88	6.18	0.70	1.81	7.01	1.50	3.40
6.38	0.01	0.19	7.42	0.12	0.47	6.41	0.17	0.88	6.44	0.69	1.82	7.55	1.51	3.40
6.64	0.01	0.19	7.96	0.11	0.47	6.68	0.16	0.89	6.71	0.69	1.83	8.09	1.52	3.41
6.91	0.01	0.19	8.49	0.11	0.47	6.95	0.17	0.89	6.98	0.68	1.83	8.62	1.54	3.41
7.17	0.01	0.19	9.02	0.11	0.47	7.21	0.17	0.89	7.25	0.67	1.83	9.16	1.56	3.41
7.44	0.02	0.19	9.55	0.11	0.47	7.48	0.17	0.89	7.52	0.67	1.84	9.70	1.58	3.40
7.70	0.02	0.19	10.08	0.12	0.47	7.75	0.17	0.89	7.79	0.66	1.84	10.24	1.60	3.40
7.97	0.02	0.19	10.61	0.12	0.47	8.01	0.17	0.89	8.06	0.66	1.84	10.78	1.62	3.40
8.50	0.04	0.20	11.93	0.12	0.47	8.55	0.17	0.89	8.59	0.66	1.85	12.13	1.67	3.38
9.03	0.04	0.20	13.26	0.11	0.47	9.08	0.17	0.90	9.13	0.67	1.85	13.48	1.72	3.37
9.56	0.03	0.20	14.58	0.11	0.48	9.62	0.17	0.90	9.67	0.68	1.86	14.82	1.79	3.35
10.10	0.03	0.20	15.91	0.12	0.48	10.15	0.16	0.90	10.21	0.68	1.86	16.17	1.86	3.32
10.63	0.02	0.20	17.23	0.13	0.48	10.69	0.16	0.90	10.74	0.69	1.86	17.52	1.91	3.30
11.95	0.02	0.20	18.56	0.12	0.48	12.02	0.16	0.90	12.09	0.68	1.86	18.87	1.97	3.27
13.28	0.03	0.20	19.89	0.12	0.48	13.36	0.17	0.90	13.43	0.70	1.86	20.21	2.03	3.25
14.61	0.04	0.20	21.21	0.13	0.48	14.69	0.17	0.90	14.77	0.73	1.85	21.56	2.07	3.23
15.94	0.03	0.20	22.54	0.14	0.48	16.03	0.17	0.90	16.11	0.75	1.85	22.91	2.11	3.21
17.26	0.03	0.20	23.86	0.12	0.48	17.36	0.17	0.90	17.46	0.76	1.84	24.26	2.15	3.19
18.59	0.04	0.21	25.19	0.13	0.48	18.70	0.18	0.90	18.80	0.78	1.83	25.60	2.18	3.17
19.92	0.05	0.21	26.51	0.14	0.48	20.04	0.19	0.90	20.14	0.82	1.83	26.95	2.20	3.16
21.25	0.04	0.21	27.84	0.14	0.48	21.37	0.19	0.90	21.48	0.84	1.82	28.30	2.22	3.15
22.58	0.04	0.21	29.17	0.13	0.49	22.71	0.19	0.90	22.83	0.86	1.81	29.65	2.24	3.14
23.91	0.05	0.21	30.49	0.14	0.49	24.04	0.21	0.90	24.17	0.88	1.80	30.99	2.25	3.13
26.56	0.05	0.21	31.15	0.14	0.49	26.71	0.21	0.90	26.86	0.92	1.78	31.06	2.26	3.13
29.22	0.06	0.21				29.39	0.22	0.90	29.54	0.95	1.77			
30.89	0.06	0.21				30.91	0.22	0.90	30.63	0.97	1.77			

Void Ratio and % Settlement vs. Log of Pressure



Project: Polymet #23/69-862-022B				Date: 10/4/07
Sample #:	Boring #: 07-02	Depth ft: 59-61 (M-Bot)		Job #: 6250
Soil Type: Slimes: (Silt (ML))				
Initial W/C (%): 37.4	Dry Density (pcf): 87.6	LL: 22.0	PL: 17.2	PI: 4.8	Gs: 2.93
Organic Content (%):	Initial Height (in.): 0.785	Diameter (in.): 2.504	e_o= 1.088	
Preconsolidation Pressure (Pc): 0.7 tsf	Compression Index (Cc): 0.15	Recompression Index (Cr): ≅ 0.02		
Remarks:				

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Consolidation Log of Time Curves



Project: Polymet #23/69-862-022B			Date: 10/4/07
Sample #:	Boring #: 07-02	Depth ft: 59-61 (M-Bot)	Job #: 6250

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Void Ratio and % Settlement vs. Log of Pressure



Project: Polymet #23/69-862-022B				Date: 10/18/07
Sample #:	Boring #: 07-03	Depth ft: 30.7-32.7		Job #: 6250
Soil Type: Slimes (Silt (ML))				
Initial W/C (%): 40.9	Dry Density (pcf): 84.0	LL: 31.6	PL: 26.4	PI: 5.2	Gs: 2.94
Organic Content (%):	Initial Height (in.): 0.785	Diameter (in.): 2.504	e_o= 1.185	
Preconsolidation Pressure (Pc): 0.91 tsf	Compression Index (Cc): 0.18	Recompression Index (Cr): ≅ 0.03		
Remarks:				

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Consolidation Log of Time Curves



Project: Polymet #23/69-862-022B			Date: 10/18/07
Sample #:	Boring #: 07-03	Depth ft: 30.7-32.7	Job #: 6250

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Void Ratio and % Settlement vs. Log of Pressure



Project: Polymet #23/69-862-022B				Date: 10/9/07
Sample #:	Boring #: 07-06	Depth ft: 27.5-29.5		Job #: 6250
Soil Type: Slimes (Silt (ML))				
Initial W/C (%): 41.1	Dry Density (pcf): 82.7	LL: 29.1	PL: 23.6	PI: 5.5	Gs: 2.96
Organic Content (%):	Initial Height (in.): 0.785	Diameter (in.): 2.504	e_o= 1.236	
Preconsolidation Pressure (Pc): 0.6 tsf	Compression Index (Cc): 0.17	Recompression Index (Cr): ≅ 0.02		
Remarks:				

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Square Root of Time Curves



Project: Polymet #23/69-862-022B			Date: 10/9/07
Sample #:	Boring #: 07-06	Depth ft: 27.5-29.5	Job #: 6250

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Void Ratio and % Settlement vs. Log of Pressure



Project: Polymet #23/69-862-022B			Date: 10/18/07
Sample #:	Boring #: 07-07C	Depth ft: 52.2-55.2 (Top)	Job #: 6250
Soil Type: Silty Sand w/a little gravel (SM)			
Initial W/C (%): 10.9	Dry Density (pcf): 129.0	LL: NP PL: NP PI: NP	Gs: 2.70
Organic Content (%):	Initial Height (in.): 0.750	Diameter (in.): 2.507	e_o= 0.307
Preconsolidation Pressure (Pc): 2.2 tsf	Compression Index (Cc): 0.04	Recompression Index (Cr): ≅ 0.01	
Remarks:			

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Square Root of Time Curves



Project: Polymet #23/69-862-022B			Date: 10/18/07
Sample #:	Boring #: 07-07C	Depth ft: 52.2-55.2 (Top)	Job #: 6250

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **6250**

Project:	Polymet #23/69-862-022B	Test Date:	9/26/07
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	10/4/07

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
*	07-06		27.5-29.5	3T	Slimes (Silt (ML)
●	07-03		30.7-32.7	3T	Slimes (Silt (ML)
◇					



Other Tests	*	●	◇
Liquid Limit	29.1	31.6	
Plastic Limit	23.6	26.4	
Plasticity Index	5.5	5.2	
Water Content			
Dry Density (pcf)			
Specific Gravity	2.96	2.94	
Porosity			
Organic Content			
pH			
Shrinkage Limit			
Penetrometer			
Qu (psf)			

(* = assumed)

Percent Passing	*	●	◇
Mass (g)	681.9	638.3	
2"			
1.5"			
1"			
3/4"			
3/8"			
#4	100.0	100.0	
#10	100.0	100.0	
#20	100.0	100.0	
#40	100.0	99.9	
#100	99.9	99.9	
#200	99.9	99.8	

	*	●	◇
D_{60}			
D_{30}			
D_{10}			
C_U			
C_C			

Remarks:

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **6250**

Project:	Polymet #23/69-862-022B	Test Date:	9/26/07
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	10/4/07

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
Spec 1	07-06		27.5-29.5	3T	Slimes (Silt (ML)
Spec 2	07-03		30.7-32.7	3T	Slimes (Silt (ML)
Spec 3					

Hydrometer Data

Specimen 1		Specimen 2		Specimen 3	
Diameter (mm)	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing
0.024	93.2	0.024	91.4		
0.016	85.4	0.016	82.9		
0.010	71.6	0.010	67.5		
0.007	61.2	0.008	53.7		
0.005	45.6	0.006	37.5		
0.003	28.3	0.003	23.8		
0.001	12.9	0.001	11.0		

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Permeability Test Data

Project: Polymet #23/69-862-022B Date: 10/9/2007

Reported To: Barr Engineering Company Job No.: 6250

Boring No.:	07-02	07-02		07-03	07-03		
Sample No.:							
Depth (ft.):	59-61 (Mid-Bot)	59-61 (Mid-Bot)		30.7-32.7	30.7-32.7		
Location:							
Sample Type:	3T	3T		3T	3T		
Soil Type:	Slimes Silt (ML)	Slimes Silt (ML)		Slimes Silt (ML)	Slimes Silt (ML)		
Atterberg Limits							
LL	22.0	22.0		31.6	31.6		
PL	17.2	17.2		26.4	26.4		
PI	4.8	4.8		5.2	5.2		
Permeability Test	A	B		A	B		
Before Test Conditions: Saturation %:							
Porosity:							
Ht. (in):	2.42			1.10			
Dia. (in):	2.88			2.88			
Dry Density (pcf):	87.3			92.4			
Water Content:	36.8%			33.0%			
Test Type:	Falling	Falling		Falling	Falling		
Max Head (cm):	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		
Confining press. (Effective-psi):	24.3	69.4		13.9	55.6		
Trial No.:	4-8	12-16		10-14	22-26		
Water Temp °C:	23.0	23.0		23.0	23.0		
Gs (Actual)	2.95	2.95		2.94	2.94		
% Saturation (After Test)							
Coefficient of Permeability							
K @ 20 °C (cm/sec)	**1.1×10^{-6}**	**9.3×10^{-8}**		**4.3×10^{-7}**	**4.6×10^{-7}**		
K @ 20 °C (ft/min)	**2.2×10^{-6}**	**1.8×10^{-7}**		**8.4×10^{-7}**	**9.1×10^{-7}**		
Notes:							

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite 107 SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Permeability Test Data

Project: Polymet #23/69-862-022B Date: 10/9/2007

Reported To: Barr Engineering Company Job No.: 6250

Boring No.:	07-06	07-06		07-07C			
Sample No.:							
Depth (ft.):	27.5-29.5 Middle	27.5-29.5 Middle		52.2-55.2 Mid-Top			
Location:							
Sample Type:	TWT	TWT		TWT			
Soil Type:	Slimes (Silt) (ML))	Slimes (Silt) (ML))		Silty Sand w/a Little Gravel (SM)			
Atterberg Limits							
LL	29.1	29.1		NP			
PL	23.6	23.6		NP			
PI	5.5			NP			
Permeability Test	A	B					
Before Test Conditions: Saturation %:							
Porosity:							
Ht. (in):	1.44	1.44		2.99			
Dia. (in):	2.88	2.88		2.89			
Dry Density (pcf):	83.2	83.2		128.0			
Water Content:	40.2%	40.2%		10.5%			
Test Type:	Falling	Falling		Falling			
Max Head (ft):	5.0	5.0		5.0			
Confining press. (Effective-psi):	24.3	41.7		31.3			
Trial No.:	12-16	24-28		7-11			
Water Temp °C:	23.0	23.0		23.0			
Gs (Actual)	2.96	2.96		2.70			
% Saturation (After Test)				98.5%			
Coefficient of Permeability							
K @ 20 °C (cm/sec)	**1.5×10^{-6}**	**1.3×10^{-6}**		**1.6×10^{-6}**			
K @ 20 °C (ft/min)	**3.0×10^{-6}**	**2.6×10^{-6}**		**3.1×10^{-6}**			
Notes:							

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite 107 SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Permeability Test Data

Project: Polymet #23/69-862-022B Date: 10/9/2007

Reported To: Barr Engineering Company Job No.: 6250

Boring No.:	07-14	07-14					
Sample No.:							
Depth (ft)	123.0-123.5	124.0-124.5					
Location:							
Sample Type:	2.5" Liner	2.5" Liner					
Soil Type:	Mostly Lean Clay, Some Clayey Sand, A Little Silty Sand, a Trace of Gravel & Organics (CL/CL-ML)	Silty Sand, Fine Grained, a Little Gravel (SM/ML)					
Atterberg Limits							
LL							
PL							
PI							
Permeability Test							
Before Test Conditions: Saturation %:							
Porosity:							
Ht. (in):	2.36	2.81					
Dia. (in):	2.85	2.33					
Dry Density (pcf):	87.5	117.9					
Water Content:	21.9%	17.9%					
Test Type:	Falling	Falling					
Max Head (cm):	5.0	5.0					
Confining press. (Effective-psi):	2.0	2.0					
Trial No.:	16-20	25-29					
Water Temp °C:	23.0	23.0					
% Compaction							
% Saturation (After Test)	99.7%	100.5%					
Coefficient of Permeability							
K @ 20 °C (cm/sec)	**5.8×10^{-9}**	**1.5×10^{-6}**					
K @ 20 °C (ft/min)	**1.1×10^{-8}**	**3.0×10^{-6}**					
Notes:							

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite 107 SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Permeability Test Data

Project: Polymet #23/69-862-022B Date: 10/29/2007

Reported To: Barr Engineering Company Job No.: 6250

Boring No.:							
Sample No.:	Slimes Composite	Slimes Composite	Slimes Composite	Slimes Composite	Slimes Composite	Slimes Composite	
Depth (ft)							
Location:							
Sample Type:	Bulk	Bulk	Bulk	Bulk	Bulk	Bulk	
Soil Type:	Slimes	Slimes	Slimes	Slimes	Slimes	Slimes	
Atterberg Limits							
LL	20.7						
PL	16.7						
PI	4.0						
Permeability Test	A	B	C	D	E	F	
Before Test Conditions: Saturation %:							
Porosity:							
Ht. (in):	2.98					2.94	
Dia. (in):	2.85					2.76	
Dry Density (pcf):	93.6					101.4	
Water Content:	11.8%					26.7%	
Test Type:	Falling	Falling	Falling	Falling	Falling	Falling	
Max Head (ft):	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	
Confining press. (Effective-tsf):	0.25	0.5	1.0	2.0	4.0	6.0	
Trial No.:							
Water Temp ℃:	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	
Compaction	Loose						
% Saturation (After Test)						95.0%	
Coefficient of Permeability							
K @ 20 ℃ (cm/sec)	**5.0×10^{-5}**	**4.5×10^{-5}**	**4.4×10^{-5}**	**3.8×10^{-5}**	**3.6×10^{-5}**	**3.4×10^{-5}**	
K @ 20 ℃ (ft/min)	**1.0×10^{-4}**	**9×10^{-5}**	**8.8×10^{-5}**	**7.4×10^{-5}**	**7.2×10^{-5}**	**6.7×10^{-5}**	
Notes:							

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite 107 SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Permeability Test Data

Project: Polymet #23/69-862-022B Date: 10/25/2007

Reported To: Barr Engineering Company Job No.: 6250

Boring No.:	07-4B	07-4B	07-4B	07-4B			
Sample No.:							
Depth (ft.):	57.2 - 57.7	57.2 - 57.7	57.2 - 57.7	57.2 - 57.7			
Location:							
Sample Type:	2.5 Liner	2.5 Liner	2.5 Liner	2.5 Liner			
Soil Type:	Hemic Peat (PT)	Hemic Peat (PT)	Hemic Peat (PT)	Hemic Peat (PT)			
Atterberg Limits							
LL							
PL							
PI							
Permeability Test							
Before Test Conditions: Saturation %:							
Porosity:							
Ht. (in):	4.44						
Dia. (in):	1.99						
Dry Density (pcf):	22.4						
Water Content:	196.6%						
Test Type:	Falling	Falling	Falling	Falling			
Max Head (cm):	5.0	10.0	10.0	10.0			
Confining press. (Effective-psi):	20.8	41.7	62.5	83.3			
Trial No.:	6-10	22-26	44-48	52-56			
Water Temp °C:	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0			
% Compaction							
% Saturation (After Test)				95.3%			
Coefficient of Permeability							
K @ 20 °C (cm/sec)	**1.2×10^{-7}**	**3.1×10^{-8}**	**1.3×10^{-8}**	**1.3×10^{-8}**			
K @ 20 °C (ft/min)	**2.3×10^{-7}**	**6.0×10^{-8}**	**2.5×10^{-8}**	**2.6×10^{-8}**			
Notes:							

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite 107 SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/8/07**

Project: **Polymet - #23/69-862-015-028**
Boring #: **07-03** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **30.7-32.7 (Top)**
Soil Type: **Slimes (Silt (ML))**







◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Stress Ratio				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	39.3 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.00 (tsf)				
Test Date: 9/25/07		Liquid Limit:	31.6		
Test Type: CU w/pp		Plastic Limit:	26.4		
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.0039		Plasticity Index:	5.2		
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.101		Spec. Gravity (Actual):	2.94		
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94			
Height (in)	3.98	3.98			
Water Content (%)	32.9	33.0			
Dry Density (pcf)	92.4	92.4			
Void Ratio	0.99	0.99			
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.97	1.92			
Height (in)	3.87	3.87			
Water Content (%)	33.1	30.1			
Dry Density (pcf)	93.0	97.4			
Void Ratio	0.97	0.88			
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.82	5.79			
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.00	4.00			
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	2.20	11.38			
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	2.11	10.70			
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	1.88	7.67			
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.55	2.48			
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0			
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	9.3	7.7			

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Radial drainage strips applied to trimmed specimen; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 32.3 ° a = 0.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	39.3 °	c'= 0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	29.3 °	c= 0.00 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/8/07**

Project: **Polymet - #23/69-862-015-028**
Boring #: **07-03** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **30.7-32.7 (Top)**
Soil Type: **Slimes (Silt (ML))**







◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Pore Pressure				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	34.3 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.00 (tsf)				
Test Date: 9/25/07		Liquid Limit:		31.6	
Test Type: CU w/pp		Plastic Limit:		26.4	
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.0039		Plasticity Index:		5.2	
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.101		Spec. Gravity (Actual):		2.94	
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94			
Height (in)	3.98	3.98			
Water Content (%)	32.9	33.0			
Dry Density (pcf)	92.4	92.4			
Void Ratio	0.99	0.99			
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.97	1.92			
Height (in)	3.87	3.87			
Water Content (%)	33.1	30.1			
Dry Density (pcf)	93.0	97.4			
Void Ratio	0.97	0.88			
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.82	5.79			
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.00	4.00			
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	2.20	11.38			
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	2.11	10.70			
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	0.97	3.98			
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.55	2.48			
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0			
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	2.3	2.6			

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Radial drainage strips applied to trimmed specimen; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure	
α = 29.4 °	a = 0.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ': 34.3 °	c'=	0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ': 19.4 °	c=	0.00 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/8/07**

Project: **Polymet - #23/69-862-015-028**
Boring #: **07-03** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **30.7-32.7 (Top)**
Soil Type: **Slimes (Silt (ML))**







◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Deviator Stress				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	37.2 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.00 (tsf)				
Test Date: 9/25/07		Liquid Limit:		31.6	
Test Type: CU w/pp		Plastic Limit:		26.4	
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.0039		Plasticity Index:		5.2	
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.101		Spec. Gravity (Actual):		2.94	
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94			
Height (in)	3.98	3.98			
Water Content (%)	32.9	33.0			
Dry Density (pcf)	92.4	92.4			
Void Ratio	0.99	0.99			
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.97	1.92			
Height (in)	3.87	3.87			
Water Content (%)	33.1	30.1			
Dry Density (pcf)	93.0	97.4			
Void Ratio	0.97	0.88			
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.82	5.79			
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.00	4.00			
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	2.20	11.38			
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	2.11	10.70			
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	2.20	11.38			
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.55	2.48			
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0			
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	15.5	20.7			

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Radial drainage strips applied to trimmed specimen; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 31.2 ° a = 0.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	37.2 °	c'= 0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	35.8 °	c= 0.00 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/8/07**

Project: **Polymet - #23/69-862-015-028**
Boring #: **07-03** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **30.7-32.7 (Top)**
Soil Type: **Slimes (Silt (ML))**







Failure Criterion:	**Given Strain of: 15%**
Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =**	**37.2 °**
Apparent Cohesion, **c' =**	**0.00 (tsf)**

Test Date:	9/25/07	Liquid Limit:	31.6		
Test Type:	CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:	26.4		
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.0039	Plasticity Index:	5.2		
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.101	Spec. Gravity (Actual):	2.94		

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94			
Height (in)	3.98	3.98			
Water Content (%)	32.9	33.0			
Dry Density (pcf)	92.4	92.4			
Void Ratio	0.99	0.99			
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.97	1.92			
Height (in)	3.87	3.87			
Water Content (%)	33.1	30.1			
Dry Density (pcf)	93.0	97.4			
Void Ratio	0.97	0.88			
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.82	5.79			
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.00	4.00			
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	2.20	11.38			
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	2.11	10.70			
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	2.18	10.41			
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.55	2.48			
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0			
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	15.0	15.0			

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Radial drainage strips applied to trimmed specimen; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 31.2 ° a = 0.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	37.2 °	c'= 0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	34.3 °	c= 0.00 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Job No.: 6250

Project: Polymet - #23/69-862-015-028 Type: CU w/pp

Boring No.: 07-03, Depth (ft.): 30.7-32.7 (Top) Soil Type: Slimes (Silt (ML))

Sample 1			Sample 2			Sample 3		
Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0.26	0.62	0.29	0.26	1.79	0.98			
0.52	0.70	0.40	0.52	2.46	1.58			
0.78	0.73	0.46	0.78	2.79	1.93			
1.04	0.77	0.50	1.03	3.01	2.14			
1.29	0.81	0.52	1.29	3.19	2.27			
1.55	0.85	0.53	1.55	3.36	2.36			
1.81	0.89	0.54	1.81	3.51	2.42			
2.07	0.92	0.55	2.07	3.66	2.45			
2.33	0.97	0.55	2.33	3.82	2.47			
2.59	1.01	0.55	2.58	3.98	2.48			
3.10	1.10	0.54	3.10	4.31	2.46			
3.62	1.20	0.53	3.62	4.65	2.44			
4.14	1.27	0.51	4.13	5.01	2.39			
4.66	1.35	0.50	4.65	5.37	2.34			
5.17	1.43	0.48	5.17	5.73	2.26			
5.69	1.50	0.45	5.68	6.11	2.18			
6.21	1.56	0.43	6.20	6.50	2.10			
6.72	1.61	0.41	6.72	6.89	2.01			
7.24	1.66	0.40	7.23	7.26	1.92			
7.76	1.72	0.38	7.75	7.67	1.81			
8.28	1.77	0.36	8.27	8.06	1.69			
8.79	1.82	0.34	8.78	8.42	1.59			
9.31	1.88	0.32	9.30	8.80	1.48			
9.83	1.92	0.30	9.81	9.16	1.37			
10.35	1.96	0.28	10.33	9.53	1.25			
11.64	2.05	0.24	11.62	10.04	0.93			
12.93	2.12	0.21	12.91	10.19	0.78			
14.22	2.18	0.18	14.21	10.41	0.63			
15.52	2.20	0.15	15.50	10.61	0.56			
16.81	2.20	0.13	16.79	10.88	0.55			
18.10	2.19	0.12	18.08	11.10	0.44			
19.40	2.19	0.11	19.37	11.27	0.33			
20.69	2.16	0.11	20.66	11.38	0.31			
21.98	2.11	0.12	21.95	11.31	0.27			
			23.24	11.28	0.19			
			25.83	11.00	0.10			
			28.41	10.70	0.06			

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/8/07**

Project: **Polymet - #23/69-862-015-028**
Boring #: **07-06** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **27.5 - 29.5 (M-T)**
Soil Type: **Slimes (Silt (ML))**



◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion: **Max. Stress Ratio**
Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =** **41.2 °**
Apparent Cohesion, **c' =** **0.00 (tsf)**

Test Date:	9/25/07	Liquid Limit:		29.1
Test Type:	CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:		23.6
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.0039	Plasticity Index:		5.5
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.102	Spec. Gravity (Actual):		2.96

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94			
Height (in)	3.98	3.98			
Water Content (%)	43.3	40.2			
Dry Density (pcf)	80.1	83.2			
Void Ratio	1.31	1.22			
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.93	1.90			
Height (in)	3.83	3.84			
Water Content (%)	40.4	35.3			
Dry Density (pcf)	84.2	90.3			
Void Ratio	1.19	1.05			
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.81	5.77			
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.50	3.00			
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	1.75	5.38			
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	1.73	5.01			
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	1.54	4.60			
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	1.10	2.02			
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0			
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	9.9	10.4			

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Radial drainage strips applied to trimmed specimen; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 33.4 ° a = 0.0 (tsf)

---------- Effective ϕ': 41.2 ° c'= 0.00 (tsf)
_____ Total ϕ': 24.8 ° c= 0.00 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/8/07**

Project: **Polymet - #23/69-862-015-028**
Boring #: **07-06** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **27.5 - 29.5 (M-T)**
Soil Type: **Slimes (Silt (ML))**







◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Pore Pressure				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	39.2 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.00 (tsf)				
Test Date: 9/25/07	Liquid Limit: 29.1				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit: 23.6				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.0039	Plasticity Index: 5.5				
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.102	Spec. Gravity (Actual): 2.96				
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94			
Height (in)	3.98	3.98			
Water Content (%)	43.3	40.2			
Dry Density (pcf)	80.1	83.2			
Void Ratio	1.31	1.22			
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.93	1.90			
Height (in)	3.83	3.84			
Water Content (%)	40.4	35.3			
Dry Density (pcf)	84.2	90.3			
Void Ratio	1.19	1.05			
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.81	5.77			
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.50	3.00			
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	1.75	5.38			
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	1.73	5.01			
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	1.29	3.40			
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	1.10	2.02			
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0			
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	5.2	4.7			

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Radial drainage strips applied to trimmed specimen; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure	
α = 32.3 °	a = 0.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ': 39.2 °	c'=	0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ': 20.5 °	c=	0.00 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/8/07**

Project: **Polymet - #23/69-862-015-028**
Boring #: **07-06** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **27.5 - 29.5 (M-T)**
Soil Type: **Slimes (Silt (ML))**







◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Deviator Stress				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	40.1 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.00 (tsf)				
Test Date: 9/25/07		Liquid Limit:	29.1		
Test Type: CU w/pp		Plastic Limit:	23.6		
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.0039		Plasticity Index:	5.5		
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.102		Spec. Gravity (Actual):	2.96		

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94			
Height (in)	3.98	3.98			
Water Content (%)	43.3	40.2			
Dry Density (pcf)	80.1	83.2			
Void Ratio	1.31	1.22			
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.93	1.90			
Height (in)	3.83	3.84			
Water Content (%)	40.4	35.3			
Dry Density (pcf)	84.2	90.3			
Void Ratio	1.19	1.05			
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.81	5.77			
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.50	3.00			
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	1.75	5.38			
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	1.73	5.01			
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	1.75	5.38			
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	1.10	2.02			
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0			
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	18.3	16.9			

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Radial drainage strips applied to trimmed specimen; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 32.8 ° a = 0.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ': 40.1 °	c'=	0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ': 27.2 °	c=	0.00 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/8/07**

Project: **Polymet - #23/69-862-015-028**
Boring #: **07-06** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **27.5 - 29.5 (M-T)**
Soil Type: **Slimes (Silt (ML))**



Failure Criterion:	**Given Strain of: 15%**
Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =**	**40.8 °**
Apparent Cohesion, **c' =**	**0.00 (tsf)**

Test Date:	9/25/07	Liquid Limit:	29.1
Test Type:	CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:	23.6
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.0039	Plasticity Index:	5.5
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.102	Spec. Gravity (Actual):	2.96

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94			
Height (in)	3.98	3.98			
Water Content (%)	43.3	40.2			
Dry Density (pcf)	80.1	83.2			
Void Ratio	1.31	1.22			
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.93	1.90			
Height (in)	3.83	3.84			
Water Content (%)	40.4	35.3			
Dry Density (pcf)	84.2	90.3			
Void Ratio	1.19	1.05			
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.81	5.77			
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.50	3.00			
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	1.75	5.38			
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	1.73	5.01			
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	1.71	5.19			
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	1.10	2.02			
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0			
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	15.0	15.0			

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Radial drainage strips applied to trimmed specimen; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 33.2 ° a = 0.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	40.8 °	c'= 0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	26.7 °	c= 0.00 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Job No.: 6250

Project: Polymet - #23/69-862-015-028 Type: CU w/pp

Boring No.: 07-06, Depth (ft.): 27.5 - 29.5 (M-T), Soil Type: Slimes (Silt (ML))

Sample 1			Sample 2			Sample 3		
Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0.26	0.61	0.36	0.26	1.51	0.49			
0.52	0.88	0.66	0.52	2.10	0.98			
0.78	0.95	0.80	0.78	2.36	1.31			
1.05	0.98	0.88	1.04	2.50	1.51			
1.31	1.01	0.94	1.30	2.59	1.65			
1.57	1.03	0.98	1.57	2.67	1.75			
1.83	1.05	1.01	1.83	2.73	1.82			
2.09	1.08	1.03	2.09	2.78	1.87			
2.35	1.09	1.05	2.35	2.84	1.91			
2.62	1.11	1.06	2.61	2.90	1.95			
3.14	1.16	1.07	3.13	3.02	1.99			
3.66	1.20	1.09	3.65	3.14	2.01			
4.18	1.24	1.09	4.17	3.27	2.02			
4.71	1.27	1.09	4.69	3.40	2.02			
5.23	1.29	1.10	5.21	3.51	2.02			
5.75	1.30	1.10	5.74	3.62	2.01			
6.27	1.32	1.09	6.26	3.75	1.99			
6.80	1.35	1.09	6.78	3.86	1.97			
7.32	1.38	1.09	7.30	3.97	1.96			
7.84	1.42	1.08	7.82	4.08	1.93			
8.37	1.45	1.08	8.34	4.19	1.91			
8.89	1.48	1.07	8.87	4.30	1.89			
9.41	1.52	1.07	9.39	4.41	1.86			
9.94	1.54	1.06	9.91	4.50	1.84			
10.46	1.55	1.06	10.43	4.60	1.82			
11.76	1.59	1.04	11.73	4.84	1.75			
13.07	1.65	1.03	13.04	5.03	1.69			
14.38	1.71	1.01	14.34	5.19	1.64			
15.69	1.74	1.00	15.64	5.30	1.58			
16.99	1.74	0.98	16.95	5.38	1.53			
18.30	1.75	0.98	18.25	5.37	1.49			
19.61	1.75	0.97	19.55	5.32	1.49			
20.91	1.73	0.97	20.86	5.29	1.48			
22.22	1.73	0.97	22.16	5.29	1.46			
			23.47	5.26	1.45			
			26.07	5.13	1.46			
			28.68	5.01	1.46			

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/11/07**

Project: **Polymet 23/69-862-022B**
Boring #: **07-07C** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **48-50 (Top)**
Soil Type: **Slimes (Silt (ML))**







Failure Criterion:	Max. Stress Ratio				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	°				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	(tsf)				
Test Date: 10/5/07		Liquid Limit: 22.5			
Test Type: CU w/pp		Plastic Limit: 20.8			
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.0043		Plasticity Index: 1.7			
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.109		Spec. Gravity : 2.97			
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94				
Height (in)	3.98				
Water Content (%)	26.5				
Dry Density (pcf)	102.4				
Void Ratio	0.81				
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.92				
Height (in)	3.95				
Water Content (%)	25.5				
Dry Density (pcf)	105.5				
Void Ratio	0.76				
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8				
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	2.25				
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	13.84				
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	13.84				
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	6.15				
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	1.52				
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0				
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	5.8				

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Radial drainage strips applied to trimmed specimen; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.



Rupture Envelope at Failure			
α =	°	a =	(tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	°	c'=	(tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	°	c=	(tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/11/07**

Project: **Polymet 23/69-862-022B**
Boring #: **07-07C** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **48-50 (Top)**
Soil Type: **Slimes (Silt (ML))**







Failure Criterion:	Max. Pore Pressure				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	°				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	(tsf)				
Test Date: 10/5/07		Liquid Limit:	22.5		
Test Type: CU w/pp		Plastic Limit:	20.8		
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.0043		Plasticity Index:	1.7		
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.109		Spec. Gravity :	2.97		
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94				
Height (in)	3.98				
Water Content (%)	26.5				
Dry Density (pcf)	102.4				
Void Ratio	0.81				
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.92				
Height (in)	3.95				
Water Content (%)	25.5				
Dry Density (pcf)	105.5				
Void Ratio	0.76				
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8				
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	2.25				
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	13.84				
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	13.84				
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	2.33				
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	1.52				
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0				
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	1.6				

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Radial drainage strips applied to trimmed specimen; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure			
α =	°	a =	(tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	°	c'=	(tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	°	c=	(tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/11/07**

Project: **Polymet 23/69-862-022B**
Boring #: **07-07C** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **48-50 (Top)**
Soil Type: **Slimes (Silt (ML))**



Failure Criterion:	**Max. Deviator Stress**
Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =**	°
Apparent Cohesion, **c' =**	(tsf)
Test Date: 10/5/07	Liquid Limit: 22.5
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit: 20.8
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.0043	Plasticity Index: 1.7
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.109	Spec. Gravity : 2.97

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94				
Height (in)	3.98				
Water Content (%)	26.5				
Dry Density (pcf)	102.4				
Void Ratio	0.81				
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.92				
Height (in)	3.95				
Water Content (%)	25.5				
Dry Density (pcf)	105.5				
Void Ratio	0.76				
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8				
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	2.25				
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	13.84				
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	13.84				
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	13.84				
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	1.52				
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0				
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	29.9				

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Radial drainage strips applied to trimmed specimen; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure			
α =	°	a =	(tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	°	c'=	(tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	°	c=	(tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/11/07**

Project: **Polymet 23/69-862-022B**
Boring #: **07-07C** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **48-50 (Top)**
Soil Type: **Slimes (Silt (ML))**









◆

■

△

+

X

Failure Criterion:	Given Strain of: 15%				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	°				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	(tsf)				
Test Date: 10/5/07		Liquid Limit:	22.5		
Test Type: CU w/pp		Plastic Limit:	20.8		
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.0043		Plasticity Index:	1.7		
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.109		Spec. Gravity :	2.97		
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94				
Height (in)	3.98				
Water Content (%)	26.5				
Dry Density (pcf)	102.4				
Void Ratio	0.81				
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.92				
Height (in)	3.95				
Water Content (%)	25.5				
Dry Density (pcf)	105.5				
Void Ratio	0.76				
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8				
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	2.25				
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	13.84				
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	13.84				
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	12.65				
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	1.52				
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0				
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	15.0				

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Radial drainage strips applied to trimmed specimen; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure			
α =	°	a =	(tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	°	c'=	(tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	°	c=	(tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Job: 6250

Boring: 07-07C Depth: 48-50 (Top) Date: 10/11/07

Sample 1			Sample 2			Sample 3			Sample 4			Sample 5		
Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)
0.00	0.00	0.00												
0.13	0.81	0.46												
0.25	1.17	0.81												
0.38	1.39	1.04												
0.51	1.52	1.18												
0.63	1.63	1.29												
0.76	1.72	1.36												
0.89	1.80	1.42												
1.01	1.89	1.46												
1.14	1.98	1.48												
1.27	2.06	1.50												
1.39	2.15	1.51												
1.52	2.25	1.51												
1.64	2.33	1.52												
1.77	2.42	1.51												
1.90	2.51	1.51												
2.02	2.61	1.50												
2.15	2.72	1.49												
2.28	2.82	1.48												
2.40	2.92	1.47												
2.53	3.03	1.45												
2.78	3.24	1.42												
3.04	3.46	1.38												
3.29	3.68	1.34												
3.54	3.91	1.29												
3.80	4.17	1.24												
4.05	4.40	1.20												
4.55	4.88	1.09												
5.06	5.39	0.98												
5.31	5.65	0.92												
5.57	5.89	0.86												
5.82	6.15	0.80												
6.07	6.43	0.74												
6.33	6.68	0.67												
6.58	6.94	0.61												
6.83	7.22	0.54												
7.09	7.47	0.47												
7.34	7.73	0.40												
7.59	7.98	0.34												
8.10	8.49	0.20												
8.60	9.00	0.05												
9.11	9.44	-0.09												
9.62	9.88	-0.23												
10.12	10.30	-0.36												
11.39	11.31	-0.71												
12.65	12.12	-1.03												
13.92	12.65	-1.31												
15.18	12.78	-1.48												
16.45	13.07	-1.58												
17.71	13.35	-1.69												
18.98	13.54	-1.81												
20.24	13.61	-1.91												
21.51	13.66	-1.94												
22.78	13.69	-1.97												
25.31	13.68	-1.98												
27.84	13.83	-1.99												
29.90	13.84	-2.01												

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/19/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-022B**
Boring #: **07-09** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **12-14**
Soil Type: **Slimes (Lean Clay (CL))**







◆ 

■

△

+

X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Stress Ratio				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	°				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	(tsf)				
Test Date: 10/15/07		Liquid Limit:	31.9		
Test Type: CU w/pp		Plastic Limit:	19.4		
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.004		Plasticity Index:	12.5		
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.101		Spec. Gravity :	2.98		
Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94			
Height (in)	3.98	3.98			
Water Content (%)	45.3	30.9			
Dry Density (pcf)	77.9	94.2			
Void Ratio	1.39	0.98			
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.91	1.92			
Height (in)	3.95	3.92			
Water Content (%)	43.0	30.4			
Dry Density (pcf)	81.6	97.7			
Void Ratio	1.28	0.91			
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8			
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.75	2.25			
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	1.22	13.45			
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	1.22	13.45			
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	0.94	4.87			
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.57	1.70			
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0			
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	10.1	6.9			

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen extruded directly into trimming mold before the membrane was applied; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure			
α =	°	a =	(tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	°	c'=	(tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	°	c=	(tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/19/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-022B**
Boring #: **07-09** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **12-14**
Soil Type: **Slimes (Lean Clay (CL))**







◆ 

■

△

+

X

Failure Criterion: **Max. Pore Pressure**
Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =** °
Apparent Cohesion, **c' =** (tsf)

Test Date:	10/15/07	Liquid Limit:		31.9
Test Type:	CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:		19.4
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.004	Plasticity Index:		12.5
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.101	Spec. Gravity :		2.98

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94			
Height (in)	3.98	3.98			
Water Content (%)	45.3	30.9			
Dry Density (pcf)	77.9	94.2			
Void Ratio	1.39	0.98			
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.91	1.92			
Height (in)	3.95	3.92			
Water Content (%)	43.0	30.4			
Dry Density (pcf)	81.6	97.7			
Void Ratio	1.28	0.91			
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8			
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.75	2.25			
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	1.22	13.45			
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	1.22	13.45			
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	0.63	1.75			
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.57	1.70			
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0			
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	3.3	2.2			

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen extruded directly into trimming mold before the membrane was applied; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = ° a = (tsf)

---------- Effective ϕ': ° c'= (tsf)
_____ Total ϕ': ° c= (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107
OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.
Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/19/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-022B**
Boring #: **07-09** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **12-14**
Soil Type: **Slimes (Lean Clay (CL))**







◆ 

■

△

+

X

Failure Criterion: **Max. Deviator Stress**
Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =** °
Apparent Cohesion, **c' =** (tsf)

Test Date:	10/15/07	Liquid Limit:		31.9
Test Type:	CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:		19.4
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.004	Plasticity Index:		12.5
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.101	Spec. Gravity :		2.98

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94			
Height (in)	3.98	3.98			
Water Content (%)	45.3	30.9			
Dry Density (pcf)	77.9	94.2			
Void Ratio	1.39	0.98			
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.91	1.92			
Height (in)	3.95	3.92			
Water Content (%)	43.0	30.4			
Dry Density (pcf)	81.6	97.7			
Void Ratio	1.28	0.91			
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8			
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.75	2.25			
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	1.22	13.45			
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	1.22	13.45			
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	1.22	13.45			
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.57	1.70			
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0			
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	20.3	20.4			

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen extruded directly into trimming mold before the membrane was applied; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = ° a = (tsf)

---------- Effective ϕ': ° c'= (tsf)
_____ Total ϕ': ° c= (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107
OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.
Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/19/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-022B**
Boring #: **07-09** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **12-14**
Soil Type: **Slimes (Lean Clay (CL))**








◆


■

△

\+

X

Failure Criterion:	Given Strain of: 15%				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	°				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	(tsf)				
Test Date: 10/15/07		Liquid Limit:	31.9		
Test Type: CU w/pp		Plastic Limit:	19.4		
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.004		Plasticity Index:	12.5		
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.101		Spec. Gravity :	2.98		
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94			
Height (in)	3.98	3.98			
Water Content (%)	45.3	30.9			
Dry Density (pcf)	77.9	94.2			
Void Ratio	1.39	0.98			
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.91	1.92			
Height (in)	3.95	3.92			
Water Content (%)	43.0	30.4			
Dry Density (pcf)	81.6	97.7			
Void Ratio	1.28	0.91			
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8			
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.75	2.25			
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	1.22	13.45			
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	1.22	13.45			
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	1.07	12.17			
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.57	1.70			
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0			
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	15.0	15.0			

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen extruded directly into trimming mold before the membrane was applied; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure			
α =	°	a =	(tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	°	c'=	(tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	°	c=	(tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Job No. 6250

Boring #: 07-09 Depth (ft): 12-14 Date: 10/19/07

Sample 1			Sample 2			Sample 3			Sample 4			Sample 5		
Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00									
0.13	0.30	0.23	0.13	0.63	0.48									
0.25	0.36	0.31	0.25	0.85	0.74									
0.38	0.40	0.38	0.38	1.07	1.04									
0.51	0.42	0.42	0.51	1.17	1.22									
0.63	0.44	0.45	0.64	1.24	1.35									
0.76	0.46	0.47	0.76	1.29	1.44									
0.89	0.47	0.49	0.89	1.33	1.50									
1.02	0.47	0.50	1.02	1.37	1.56									
1.14	0.48	0.51	1.15	1.40	1.60									
1.27	0.50	0.52	1.27	1.44	1.63									
1.39	0.50	0.53	1.40	1.48	1.65									
1.52	0.51	0.54	1.53	1.52	1.67									
1.65	0.52	0.54	1.66	1.55	1.68									
1.77	0.53	0.55	1.78	1.61	1.69									
1.90	0.54	0.55	1.91	1.64	1.70									
2.03	0.55	0.55	2.04	1.69	1.70									
2.15	0.56	0.56	2.17	1.75	1.70									
2.28	0.57	0.56	2.29	1.80	1.70									
2.41	0.58	0.56	2.42	1.85	1.70									
2.53	0.59	0.56	2.55	1.91	1.70									
2.79	0.60	0.56	2.80	2.04	1.69									
3.04	0.62	0.56	3.06	2.17	1.67									
3.29	0.63	0.57	3.31	2.31	1.65									
3.55	0.65	0.57	3.57	2.46	1.63									
3.80	0.66	0.57	3.82	2.60	1.61									
4.05	0.67	0.57	4.08	2.75	1.58									
4.56	0.69	0.56	4.59	3.07	1.52									
5.07	0.72	0.56	5.10	3.43	1.44									
5.57	0.75	0.56	5.35	3.61	1.41									
6.08	0.77	0.56	5.61	3.80	1.37									
6.59	0.79	0.55	5.86	4.01	1.32									
7.09	0.81	0.55	6.12	4.20	1.28									
7.60	0.83	0.55	6.37	4.41	1.23									
8.11	0.86	0.54	6.63	4.64	1.18									
8.61	0.88	0.54	6.88	4.87	1.13									
9.12	0.90	0.53	7.14	5.10	1.07									
9.63	0.92	0.53	7.39	5.33	1.02									
10.13	0.94	0.52	7.64	5.60	0.96									
11.40	0.98	0.51	8.15	6.14	0.83									
12.67	1.03	0.50	8.66	6.67	0.70									
13.93	1.07	0.49	9.17	7.26	0.54									
15.20	1.11	0.48	9.68	7.82	0.40									
16.46	1.15	0.47	10.19	8.36	0.24									
17.73	1.17	0.46	11.47	9.79	-0.17									
19.00	1.20	0.45	12.74	11.18	-0.63									
20.26	1.22	0.44	14.01	12.17	-1.10									
			15.29	12.70	-1.40									
			16.56	13.00	-1.57									
			17.84	13.18	-1.67									
			19.11	13.19	-1.72									
			20.38	13.45	-1.79									

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/19/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-022B**
Boring #: **07-09** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **27.5-29.5**
Soil Type: **Slimes (Silt (ML))**



Failure Criterion:	**Max. Stress Ratio**
Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =**	**37.7 °**
Apparent Cohesion, **c' =**	**0.11 (tsf)**
Test Date: 10/12/07	Liquid Limit: 21.3
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit: 16.7
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.004	Plasticity Index: 4.6
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.101	Spec. Gravity : 2.96

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94			
Height (in)	3.98	3.98			
Water Content (%)	32.7	36.1			
Dry Density (pcf)	92.6	88.0			
Void Ratio	0.99	1.10			
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.92	1.90			
Height (in)	3.96	3.90			
Water Content (%)	31.5	32.7			
Dry Density (pcf)	95.6	93.9			
Void Ratio	0.93	0.97			
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8			
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.50	3.00			
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	1.95	3.65			
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	1.80	3.44			
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	1.85	3.21			
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	1.11	2.28			
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0			
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	10.1	9.7			

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen extruded directly into trimming mold before the membrane was applied; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure	
α = 31.5 °	a = 0.1 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ': 37.7 °	c'=	0.11 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ': 18.2 °	c=	0.18 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/19/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-022B**
Boring #: **07-09** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **27.5-29.5**
Soil Type: **Slimes (Silt (ML))**



Failure Criterion:	**Max. Pore Pressure**
Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =**	**32.2 °**
Apparent Cohesion, **c' =**	**0.17 (tsf)**
Test Date: 10/12/07	Liquid Limit: 21.3
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit: 16.7
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.004	Plasticity Index: 4.6
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.101	Spec. Gravity : 2.96

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94			
Height (in)	3.98	3.98			
Water Content (%)	32.7	36.1			
Dry Density (pcf)	92.6	88.0			
Void Ratio	0.99	1.10			
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.92	1.90			
Height (in)	3.96	3.90			
Water Content (%)	31.5	32.7			
Dry Density (pcf)	95.6	93.9			
Void Ratio	0.93	0.97			
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8			
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.50	3.00			
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	1.95	3.65			
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	1.80	3.44			
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	1.51	2.27			
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	1.11	2.28			
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0			
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	4.0	4.1			

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen extruded directly into trimming mold before the membrane was applied; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 28.1 ° a = 0.1 (tsf)

---------- Effective ϕ': 32.2 ° c'= 0.17 (tsf)
_____ Total ϕ': 11.6 ° c= 0.31 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/19/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-022B**
Boring #: **07-09** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **27.5-29.5**
Soil Type: **Slimes (Silt (ML))**



Failure Criterion:	**Max. Deviator Stress**
Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =**	**35.9 °**
Apparent Cohesion, **c' =**	**0.14 (tsf)**
Test Date: 10/12/07	Liquid Limit: 21.3
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit: 16.7
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.004	Plasticity Index: 4.6
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.101	Spec. Gravity : 2.96

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94			
Height (in)	3.98	3.98			
Water Content (%)	32.7	36.1			
Dry Density (pcf)	92.6	88.0			
Void Ratio	0.99	1.10			
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.92	1.90			
Height (in)	3.96	3.90			
Water Content (%)	31.5	32.7			
Dry Density (pcf)	95.6	93.9			
Void Ratio	0.93	0.97			
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8			
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.50	3.00			
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	1.95	3.65			
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	1.80	3.44			
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	1.95	3.65			
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	1.11	2.28			
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0			
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	15.2	19.2			

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen extruded directly into trimming mold before the membrane was applied; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure	
α = 30.4 °	a = 0.1 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ': 35.9 °	c'=	0.14 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ': 21.3 °	c=	0.08 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/19/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-022B**
Boring #: **07-09** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **27.5-29.5**
Soil Type: **Slimes (Silt (ML))**



Failure Criterion:	**Given Strain of: 15%**
Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =**	**37.3 °**
Apparent Cohesion, **c' =**	**0.11 (tsf)**
Test Date: 10/12/07	Liquid Limit: 21.3
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit: 16.7
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.004	Plasticity Index: 4.6
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.101	Spec. Gravity : 2.96

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94			
Height (in)	3.98	3.98			
Water Content (%)	32.7	36.1			
Dry Density (pcf)	92.6	88.0			
Void Ratio	0.99	1.10			
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.92	1.90			
Height (in)	3.96	3.90			
Water Content (%)	31.5	32.7			
Dry Density (pcf)	95.6	93.9			
Void Ratio	0.93	0.97			
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8			
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.50	3.00			
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	1.95	3.65			
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	1.80	3.44			
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	1.93	3.57			
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	1.11	2.28			
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0			
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	15.0	15.0			

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen extruded directly into trimming mold before the membrane was applied; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure	
α = 31.2 °	a = 0.1 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ': 37.3 °	c'=	0.11 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ': 20.7 °	c=	0.10 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Job No. 6250

Boring #: 07-09 Depth (ft): 27.5-29.5 Date: 10/19/07

Sample 1			Sample 2			Sample 3			Sample 4			Sample 5		
Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00									
0.13	0.55	0.35	0.13	0.76	0.55									
0.25	0.78	0.57	0.26	1.11	0.95									
0.38	0.90	0.71	0.39	1.30	1.21									
0.51	0.98	0.80	0.51	1.45	1.45									
0.63	1.05	0.87	0.64	1.54	1.61									
0.76	1.09	0.92	0.77	1.60	1.74									
0.88	1.14	0.96	0.90	1.65	1.83									
1.01	1.17	0.99	1.03	1.69	1.91									
1.14	1.21	1.01	1.15	1.72	1.97									
1.26	1.24	1.02	1.28	1.75	2.02									
1.39	1.26	1.04	1.41	1.78	2.06									
1.52	1.29	1.05	1.54	1.81	2.10									
1.64	1.31	1.06	1.67	1.84	2.12									
1.77	1.33	1.07	1.79	1.86	2.15									
1.89	1.34	1.07	1.92	1.88	2.16									
2.02	1.36	1.08	2.05	1.90	2.18									
2.15	1.37	1.08	2.18	1.93	2.20									
2.27	1.39	1.09	2.31	1.95	2.21									
2.40	1.40	1.09	2.43	1.98	2.22									
2.53	1.41	1.09	2.56	2.00	2.23									
2.78	1.44	1.10	2.82	2.05	2.25									
3.03	1.45	1.10	3.07	2.09	2.26									
3.28	1.47	1.10	3.33	2.13	2.27									
3.54	1.48	1.10	3.59	2.18	2.27									
3.79	1.50	1.10	3.84	2.22	2.27									
4.04	1.51	1.11	4.10	2.27	2.28									
4.55	1.54	1.11	4.61	2.36	2.27									
5.05	1.58	1.10	5.13	2.45	2.27									
5.30	1.60	1.10	5.64	2.55	2.26									
5.56	1.61	1.10	6.15	2.63	2.25									
5.81	1.63	1.10	6.66	2.71	2.23									
6.06	1.64	1.10	7.18	2.79	2.22									
6.31	1.65	1.10	7.69	2.88	2.20									
6.57	1.67	1.09	8.20	2.97	2.18									
6.82	1.68	1.09	8.71	3.04	2.16									
7.07	1.69	1.09	9.22	3.12	2.14									
7.32	1.70	1.09	9.74	3.21	2.12									
7.58	1.71	1.08	10.25	3.28	2.10									
8.08	1.74	1.08	11.53	3.46	2.05									
8.59	1.76	1.07	12.81	3.58	1.99									
9.09	1.79	1.06	14.09	3.57	1.98									
9.60	1.82	1.06	15.37	3.58	1.97									
10.10	1.85	1.05	16.65	3.61	1.95									
11.37	1.89	1.03	17.94	3.64	1.93									
12.63	1.90	1.02	19.22	3.65	1.91									
13.89	1.93	1.01	20.50	3.65	1.88									
15.15	1.95	1.01	21.78	3.63	1.87									
16.41	1.91	1.00	23.06	3.58	1.87									
17.68	1.87	1.01	24.34	3.55	1.88									
18.94	1.87	1.01	25.62	3.52	1.89									
20.20	1.88	1.02	26.90	3.50	1.89									
21.47	1.86	1.02	28.19	3.48	1.89									
22.73	1.84	1.02	29.37	3.44	1.90									
25.25	1.82	1.03												
27.78	1.79	1.04												
29.95	1.80	1.04												

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/19/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-022B**
Boring #: **07-09** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **36.5-38.5**
Soil Type: **Slimes (Silt (ML))**



Failure Criterion:	**Max. Stress Ratio**
Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =**	**19.8 °**
Apparent Cohesion, **c' =**	**0.61 (tsf)**
Test Date: 10/11/07	Liquid Limit: 28.0
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit: 22.6
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.004	Plasticity Index: 5.4
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.102	Spec. Gravity : 2.96

	A	B	C	D	E
Before Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94			
Height (in)	3.98	3.98			
Water Content (%)	38.7	41.0			
Dry Density (pcf)	85.3	82.5			
Void Ratio	1.17	1.24			
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.90	1.89			
Height (in)	3.93	3.90			
Water Content (%)	35.5	35.9			
Dry Density (pcf)	90.1	89.6			
Void Ratio	1.05	1.06			
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8			
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	2.00	3.50			
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	2.46	2.50			
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	2.22	2.20			
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	2.28	2.43			
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	1.56	2.84			
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0			
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	11.5	11.5			

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen extruded directly into trimming mold before the membrane was applied; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure	
α = 18.7 °	a = 0.6 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ': 19.8 °	c'=	0.61 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ': 2.8 °	c=	0.99 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/19/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-022B**
Boring #: **07-09** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **36.5-38.5**
Soil Type: **Slimes (Silt (ML))**



◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion: **Max. Pore Pressure**
Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =** **34.2 °**
Apparent Cohesion, **c' =** **0.16 (tsf)**

Test Date:	10/11/07	Liquid Limit:	28.0	
Test Type:	CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:	22.6	
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.004	Plasticity Index:	5.4	
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.102	Spec. Gravity :	2.96	

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94			
Height (in)	3.98	3.98			
Water Content (%)	38.7	41.0			
Dry Density (pcf)	85.3	82.5			
Void Ratio	1.17	1.24			
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.90	1.89			
Height (in)	3.93	3.90			
Water Content (%)	35.5	35.9			
Dry Density (pcf)	90.1	89.6			
Void Ratio	1.05	1.06			
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8			
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	2.00	3.50			
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	2.46	2.50			
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	2.22	2.20			
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	1.73	2.30			
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	1.56	2.84			
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0			
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	4.6	7.7			

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen extruded directly into trimming mold before the membrane was applied; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 29.4 ° a = 0.1 (tsf)

---------- Effective ϕ': 34.2 ° c'= 0.16 (tsf)
_____ Total ϕ': 9.2 ° c= 0.41 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/19/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-022B**
Boring #: **07-09** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **36.5-38.5**
Soil Type: **Slimes (Silt (ML))**



Failure Criterion:	**Max. Deviator Stress**
Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =**	**10.3 °**
Apparent Cohesion, **c' =**	**0.91 (tsf)**

Test Date:	10/11/07		Liquid Limit:		28.0
Test Type:	CU w/pp		Plastic Limit:		22.6
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.004		Plasticity Index:		5.4
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.102		Spec. Gravity :		2.96

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94			
Height (in)	3.98	3.98			
Water Content (%)	38.7	41.0			
Dry Density (pcf)	85.3	82.5			
Void Ratio	1.17	1.24			
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.90	1.89			
Height (in)	3.93	3.90			
Water Content (%)	35.5	35.9			
Dry Density (pcf)	90.1	89.6			
Void Ratio	1.05	1.06			
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8			
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	2.00	3.50			
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	2.46	2.50			
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	2.22	2.20			
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	2.46	2.50			
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	1.56	2.84			
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0			
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	17.8	15.4			

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen extruded directly into trimming mold before the membrane was applied; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure	
α = 10.1 °	a = 0.9 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	10.3 °	c'= 0.91 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	0.8 °	c= 1.18 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6250**
Date: **10/19/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-022B**
Boring #: **07-09** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **36.5-38.5**
Soil Type: **Slimes (Silt (ML))**



◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion: **Given Strain of: 15%**
Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =** **16.1 °**
Apparent Cohesion, **c' =** **0.74 (tsf)**

Test Date:	10/11/07	Liquid Limit:		28.0
Test Type:	CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:		22.6
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.004	Plasticity Index:		5.4
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.102	Spec. Gravity :		2.96

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94			
Height (in)	3.98	3.98			
Water Content (%)	38.7	41.0			
Dry Density (pcf)	85.3	82.5			
Void Ratio	1.17	1.24			
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.90	1.89			
Height (in)	3.93	3.90			
Water Content (%)	35.5	35.9			
Dry Density (pcf)	90.1	89.6			
Void Ratio	1.05	1.06			
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8			
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	2.00	3.50			
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	2.46	2.50			
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	2.22	2.20			
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	2.39	2.50			
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	1.56	2.84			
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0			
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	15.0	15.0			

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen extruded directly into trimming mold before the membrane was applied; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 15.5 ° a = 0.7 (tsf)

---------- Effective ϕ': 16.1 ° c'= 0.74 (tsf)
_____ Total ϕ': 1.9 ° c= 1.09 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Job No. 6250

Boring #: 07-09 Depth (ft): 36.5-38.5 Date: 10/19/07

Sample 1			Sample 2			Sample 3			Sample 4			Sample 5		
Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00									
0.13	0.58	0.36	0.13	0.77	0.49									
0.25	0.85	0.63	0.26	1.21	0.94									
0.38	1.03	0.85	0.39	1.50	1.30									
0.51	1.13	0.98	0.51	1.67	1.55									
0.64	1.20	1.09	0.64	1.79	1.76									
0.77	1.25	1.17	0.77	1.87	1.92									
0.89	1.29	1.24	0.90	1.92	2.04									
1.02	1.33	1.29	1.03	1.96	2.15									
1.15	1.35	1.33	1.16	2.00	2.23									
1.28	1.38	1.37	1.28	2.02	2.30									
1.40	1.41	1.40	1.41	2.03	2.36									
1.53	1.43	1.42	1.54	2.05	2.41									
1.66	1.45	1.44	1.67	2.06	2.46									
1.78	1.46	1.46	1.80	2.07	2.50									
1.91	1.48	1.47	1.92	2.08	2.53									
2.04	1.50	1.49	2.05	2.09	2.56									
2.17	1.51	1.50	2.18	2.10	2.59									
2.29	1.53	1.51	2.31	2.10	2.61									
2.42	1.53	1.51	2.44	2.11	2.63									
2.55	1.55	1.52	2.57	2.11	2.65									
2.80	1.57	1.53	2.82	2.12	2.68									
3.06	1.60	1.54	3.08	2.13	2.71									
3.31	1.62	1.55	3.34	2.14	2.73									
3.57	1.64	1.55	3.59	2.15	2.75									
3.82	1.66	1.55	3.85	2.16	2.76									
4.08	1.69	1.56	4.11	2.17	2.77									
4.59	1.73	1.56	4.62	2.18	2.79									
5.09	1.78	1.56	5.13	2.20	2.81									
5.35	1.81	1.55	5.65	2.22	2.82									
5.60	1.84	1.55	6.16	2.24	2.83									
5.86	1.86	1.55	6.67	2.26	2.83									
6.11	1.88	1.55	7.19	2.28	2.83									
6.37	1.91	1.54	7.70	2.30	2.84									
6.62	1.93	1.54	8.21	2.32	2.84									
6.88	1.95	1.54	8.72	2.34	2.84									
7.13	1.97	1.53	9.24	2.36	2.83									
7.39	2.00	1.53	9.75	2.37	2.83									
7.64	2.02	1.53	10.26	2.39	2.83									
8.15	2.04	1.52	11.55	2.43	2.82									
8.66	2.08	1.51	12.83	2.47	2.80									
9.17	2.12	1.50	14.11	2.50	2.79									
9.68	2.15	1.50	15.40	2.50	2.78									
10.19	2.19	1.49	16.68	2.50	2.77									
11.46	2.28	1.47	17.96	2.49	2.76									
12.73	2.35	1.44	19.25	2.47	2.76									
14.01	2.39	1.42	20.53	2.43	2.76									
15.28	2.43	1.41	21.81	2.38	2.77									
16.55	2.45	1.39	23.09	2.35	2.77									
17.83	2.46	1.38	24.38	2.32	2.78									
19.10	2.43	1.38	25.66	2.28	2.79									
20.37	2.40	1.38	26.94	2.26	2.80									
21.64	2.40	1.38	28.23	2.23	2.80									
22.92	2.37	1.38	29.51	2.21	2.81									
25.46	2.32	1.39	30.00	2.20	2.81									
28.01	2.25	1.41												
29.88	2.22	1.42												

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **6250**

Project:	Polymet #23/69-862-022B	Test Date:	10/15/07
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	10/18/07

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
*	07-15		34-36	3T	Slimes: Lean Clay (CL/CL-ML)
●	07-15		56.5-58.5	3T	Slimes: Lean Clay (CL)
◇	07-09		12-14	3T	Slimes: Lean Clay (CL)



Other Tests	*	●	◇
Liquid Limit	25.2	32.3	31.9
Plastic Limit	17.9	20.3	19.4
Plasticity Index	7.3	12.0	12.5
Water Content	33.6	32.3	
Dry Density (pcf)	91.4	92.7	
Specific Gravity	2.91*	2.91*	2.98
Porosity			
Organic Content			
pH			
Shrinkage Limit			
Penetrometer			
Qu (psf)			

(* = assumed)

Percent Passing	*	●	◇
Mass (g)	518.3	544.9	309.0
2"			
1.5"			
1"			
3/4"			
3/8"	100.0		
#4	100.0	100.0	100.0
#10	99.9	100.0	100.0
#20	99.9	99.9	100.0
#40	99.9	99.8	100.0
#100	99.7	99.6	99.7
#200	99.4	99.5	99.6

	*	●	◇
D_{60}			
D_{30}			
D_{10}			
C_U			
C_C			

Remarks:

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **6250**

Project:	Polymet #23/69-862-022B	Test Date:	10/15/07
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	10/18/07

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
Spec 1	07-15		34-36	3T	Slimes: Lean Clay (CL/CL-ML)
Spec 2	07-15		56.5-58.5	3T	Slimes: Lean Clay (CL)
Spec 3	07-09		12-14	3T	Slimes: Lean Clay (CL)

Hydrometer Data

Specimen 1		Specimen 2		Specimen 3	
Diameter (mm)	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing
0.025	79.6	0.022	92.4	0.021	95.6
0.016	65.4	0.014	82.9	0.014	89.4
0.011	51.2	0.010	70.2	0.007	74.6
0.008	41.7	0.007	57.6	0.006	66.0
0.006	32.2	0.006	45.7	0.005	60.6
0.003	18.0	0.003	25.1	0.003	37.2
0.001	8.2	0.001	10.6	0.001	17.4

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	5.9435	5.9436	5.8718	0.071642	0.071737	1.0013
2	0.012433	0	0	2.9681	5.9479	5.9483	5.8748	0.073078	0.0735	1.0058
3	0.05415	0	0.0044293	2.9681	5.9708	5.9729	5.8789	0.091903	0.094044	1.0233
4	0.10005	0	0.0071976	2.9681	5.9648	5.97	5.873	0.091824	0.096972	1.0561
5	0.25062	0	0.011073	2.9681	5.9654	5.9706	5.8742	0.091197	0.096387	1.0569
6	0.50147	0.0027491	0.016333	2.9681	5.9654	5.9706	5.873	0.09237	0.097559	1.0562
7	1.0032	0.0064146	0.024361	2.9681	5.9659	5.9712	5.8713	0.094674	0.099905	1.0552
8	2.001	0.013745	0.035434	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8718	0.097301	0.099318	1.0207
9	4.0002	0.019244	0.05066	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8707	0.098473	0.10049	1.0205
10	6.0036	0.025658	0.06118	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212
11	8.0028	0.028407	0.069484	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
12	10.002	0.03024	0.075575	2.9681	5.9665	5.9717	5.8718	0.094634	0.099905	1.0557
13	12.001	0.032073	0.082219	2.9681	5.9702	5.9723	5.8713	0.098979	0.10108	1.0212
14	14.001	0.033906	0.086925	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212
15	16	0.035738	0.0908	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
16	18.004	0.037571	0.094676	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8724	0.096714	0.098732	1.0209
17	20.003	0.037571	0.097721	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
18	22.002	0.038487	0.1016	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8713	0.097887	0.099905	1.0206
19	24.002	0.039404	0.10437	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
20	26.001	0.04032	0.10713	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8718	0.097301	0.099318	1.0207
21	28.001	0.04032	0.10852	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212
22	30	0.04032	0.11101	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
23	32.004	0.04032	0.11267	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212
24	34.003	0.042153	0.11544	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
25	36.003	0.044902	0.11682	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212
26	38	0.044902	0.11904	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8718	0.097301	0.099318	1.0207
27	40.001	0.044902	0.12097	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
28	42.001	0.044902	0.12264	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212
29	44.002	0.045818	0.12374	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
30	46.001	0.046735	0.1254	2.9681	5.9659	5.9712	5.8724	0.093502	0.098732	1.0559
31	46.774	0.046735	0.12623	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8713	0.098433	0.10049	1.0209

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8713	0.098433	0.10049	1.0209
2	0.012533	0	0.00055366	2.9681	5.9942	5.9946	5.8853	0.10887	0.10931	1.0041
3	0.050483	0.0018327	0.019101	2.9681	6.0845	6.102	5.8912	0.19327	0.21084	1.0909
4	0.10062	0.0064146	0.043739	2.9681	6.083	6.1108	5.8754	0.20765	0.23547	1.134
5	0.25085	0.016495	0.083049	2.9681	6.0917	6.1167	5.8806	0.21104	0.23606	1.1185
6	0.50172	0.029324	0.13177	2.9681	6.0981	6.1167	5.8724	0.22568	0.24427	1.0824
7	1.0034	0.068727	0.20402	2.9681	6.1137	6.1196	5.8783	0.23539	0.24134	1.0253
8	2.001	0.10996	0.3059	2.9681	6.1116	6.1208	5.8754	0.2362	0.24545	1.0391
9	4.004	0.14937	0.42992	2.9681	6.1121	6.1214	5.873	0.2391	0.24838	1.0388
10	6.0031	0.17594	0.49746	2.9681	6.111	6.1202	5.8736	0.23742	0.24662	1.0388
11	8.0021	0.20527	0.53899	2.9681	6.1153	6.1214	5.8736	0.24172	0.24779	1.0251
12	10.001	0.2126	0.5664	2.9681	6.1153	6.1214	5.873	0.24231	0.24838	1.0251
13	12	0.21718	0.58633	2.9681	6.1185	6.1214	5.8718	0.24669	0.24955	1.0116
14	14.003	0.2181	0.601	2.9681	6.1153	6.1214	5.8724	0.24289	0.24896	1.025
15	16.002	0.22176	0.6129	2.9681	6.1153	6.1214	5.8713	0.24407	0.25014	1.0249
16	18.002	0.22634	0.62231	2.9681	6.1159	6.122	5.873	0.24285	0.24897	1.0252
17	20.002	0.23001	0.63007	2.9681	6.1153	6.1214	5.8707	0.24465	0.25072	1.0248
18	22.002	0.23276	0.63699	2.9681	6.1153	6.1214	5.8707	0.24465	0.25072	1.0248
19	24.002	0.23459	0.64252	2.9681	6.1191	6.122	5.8724	0.24665	0.24955	1.0118
20	26.001	0.23642	0.64751	2.9681	6.1185	6.1214	5.8724	0.24611	0.24896	1.0116
21	27.409	0.23826	0.65083	2.9681	6.1191	6.122	5.8713	0.24783	0.25072	1.0117

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 3

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.1191	6.122	5.8718	0.24724	0.25014	1.0117
2	0.0125	0	0.00083049	2.9681	6.1589	6.1613	5.8935	0.26534	0.26777	1.0092
3	0.05055	0.00091637	0.031559	2.9681	6.3231	6.362	5.8947	0.42838	0.46729	1.0908
4	0.10062	0.0045818	0.06118	2.9681	6.3107	6.3555	5.8771	0.43354	0.47843	1.1035
5	0.25085	0.025658	0.11793	2.9681	6.3307	6.3702	5.8789	0.45185	0.49134	1.0874
6	0.50172	0.047651	0.18492	2.9681	6.3419	6.3649	5.8748	0.46711	0.49016	1.0494
7	1.0032	0.1063	0.2843	2.9681	6.3633	6.3673	5.8736	0.48974	0.49368	1.0081
8	2.0008	0.15487	0.41192	2.9681	6.3655	6.3696	5.8771	0.4884	0.49251	1.0084
9	4.004	0.21535	0.53899	2.9681	6.3629	6.3702	5.8742	0.48867	0.49603	1.0151
10	6.0032	0.24742	0.59629	2.9681	6.3666	6.3708	5.8718	0.49477	0.49896	1.0085
11	8.0025	0.2575	0.62813	2.9681	6.3672	6.3714	5.8742	0.49297	0.4972	1.0086
12	10.002	0.26391	0.64889	2.9681	6.3704	6.3714	5.8736	0.49677	0.49779	1.002
13	12.001	0.26575	0.66356	2.9681	6.3704	6.3714	5.873	0.49736	0.49838	1.002
14	14.004	0.26666	0.67574	2.9681	6.3709	6.372	5.8724	0.49849	0.49955	1.0021
15	16.003	0.26758	0.68488	2.9681	6.3709	6.372	5.8724	0.49849	0.49955	1.0021
16	18.003	0.26941	0.69346	2.9681	6.3672	6.3714	5.8724	0.49473	0.49896	1.0086
17	20.003	0.27583	0.70038	2.9681	6.3709	6.372	5.8724	0.49849	0.49955	1.0021
18	22.002	0.28132	0.70619	2.9681	6.3677	6.372	5.873	0.49469	0.49896	1.0086
19	23.138	0.28224	0.70924	2.9681	6.3709	6.372	5.873	0.4979	0.49896	1.0021

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 4

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.3709	6.372	5.8724	0.49849	0.49955	1.0021
2	0.012433	0	0.00027683	2.9681	6.4025	6.4025	5.883	0.51954	0.51951	0.99995
3	0.050317	0.0036655	0.041801	2.9681	6.7554	6.8233	5.9146	0.84075	0.90862	1.0807
4	0.10038	0.0082473	0.093292	2.9681	6.7595	6.8415	5.8777	0.88176	0.96375	1.093
5	0.2506	0.041236	0.18631	2.9681	6.8	6.8643	5.8894	0.9106	0.97491	1.0706
6	0.5013	0.1063	0.29372	2.9681	6.8273	6.8626	5.8736	0.95371	0.98898	1.037
7	1.0027	0.17228	0.44321	2.9681	6.8408	6.8667	5.88	0.96072	0.98664	1.027
8	2.0003	0.22726	0.60432	2.9681	6.8531	6.8696	5.8742	0.97895	0.99543	1.0168
9	4.0035	0.29965	0.72419	2.9681	6.8633	6.8702	5.8759	0.98737	0.99426	1.007
10	6.0025	0.31981	0.76931	2.9681	6.8676	6.8714	5.8742	0.99343	0.9972	1.0038
11	8.0016	0.33447	0.7945	2.9681	6.8708	6.8714	5.8736	0.99723	0.99778	1.0006
12	10.001	0.34914	0.81111	2.9681	6.8676	6.8714	5.873	0.99461	0.99837	1.0038
13	12.004	0.3583	0.8244	2.9681	6.8676	6.8714	5.8689	0.99871	1.0025	1.0038
14	14.003	0.3638	0.83437	2.9681	6.8708	6.8714	5.8718	0.99899	0.99954	1.0006
15	16.002	0.36838	0.84323	2.9681	6.8708	6.8714	5.8683	1.0025	1.0031	1.0005
16	18.001	0.37021	0.85015	2.9681	6.8714	6.872	5.873	0.99836	0.99895	1.0006
17	20	0.37204	0.85679	2.9681	6.8714	6.872	5.8718	0.99954	1.0001	1.0006
18	20.477	0.37204	0.85817	2.9681	6.8708	6.8714	5.8724	0.9984	0.99895	1.0006

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 5

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.8682	6.872	5.873	0.99515	0.99895	1.0038
2	0.012483	0	0.0013842	2.9681	6.9886	6.9911	5.9035	1.0851	1.0876	1.0023
3	0.050483	0.0045818	0.071145	2.9681	7.7406	7.9031	5.9492	1.7914	1.9538	1.0907
4	0.10055	0.021993	0.18049	2.9681	7.86	8.0521	5.8871	1.9729	2.165	1.0974
5	0.25077	0.09072	0.36625	2.9681	7.9756	8.1073	5.9058	2.0697	2.2014	1.0636
6	0.50147	0.19427	0.56999	2.9681	8.0462	8.1073	5.8783	2.1679	2.229	1.0282
7	1.0029	0.31431	0.81056	2.9681	8.0646	8.1167	5.8812	2.1834	2.2354	1.0238
8	2.0005	0.42061	0.99465	2.9681	8.1091	8.1196	5.8754	2.2337	2.2442	1.0047
9	4.0037	0.46368	1.0893	2.9681	8.1134	8.1208	5.8748	2.2386	2.246	1.0033
10	6.0027	0.48109	1.1239	2.9681	8.1166	8.1208	5.8713	2.2454	2.2495	1.0019
11	8.0018	0.48751	1.1441	2.9681	8.1172	8.1214	5.8736	2.2436	2.2478	1.0019
12	10.001	0.493	1.1591	2.9681	8.1204	8.1214	5.8707	2.2497	2.2507	1.0004
13	12.004	0.4985	1.1707	2.9681	8.1209	8.122	5.873	2.2479	2.2489	1.0005
14	14.003	0.504	1.1801	2.9681	8.1209	8.122	5.873	2.2479	2.2489	1.0005
15	16.002	0.51133	1.1884	2.9681	8.1204	8.1214	5.8724	2.248	2.2489	1.0004
16	18.001	0.51866	1.1959	2.9681	8.1172	8.1214	5.8707	2.2465	2.2507	1.0019
17	19.074	0.5205	1.1992	2.9681	8.1209	8.122	5.873	2.2479	2.2489	1.0005

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	5.9435	5.9436	5.8724	0.071056	0.07115	1.0013
2	0.012533	0	0.00027683	2.9681	5.9473	5.9477	5.8736	0.073705	0.074086	1.0052
3	0.054133	0	0.0047061	2.9681	5.9686	5.9706	5.8771	0.091478	0.093455	1.0216
4	0.10003	0	0.0080281	2.9681	5.9654	5.9706	5.8742	0.091197	0.096387	1.0569
5	0.25027	0.00091637	0.014118	2.9681	5.9654	5.9706	5.873	0.09237	0.097559	1.0562
6	0.50063	0.0027491	0.020762	2.9681	5.9659	5.9712	5.873	0.092916	0.098146	1.0563
7	1.0013	0.0064146	0.029898	2.9681	5.9654	5.9706	5.8718	0.093542	0.098732	1.0555
8	2.0028	0.010996	0.040694	2.9681	5.9659	5.9712	5.8724	0.093502	0.098732	1.0559
9	4.0015	0.013745	0.052598	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8713	0.097887	0.099905	1.0206
10	6.0002	0.013745	0.059795	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212
11	8.0031	0.013745	0.065609	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212
12	10.002	0.014662	0.070038	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
13	12	0.014662	0.074467	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
14	14.003	0.014662	0.078066	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
15	16.002	0.014662	0.081388	2.9681	5.9729	5.9717	5.8724	0.10047	0.099319	0.98852
16	18.001	0.014662	0.08471	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8718	0.097301	0.099318	1.0207
17	20.004	0.015578	0.087755	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8713	0.098433	0.10049	1.0209
18	22.002	0.015578	0.090247	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8718	0.097301	0.099318	1.0207
19	24.001	0.015578	0.092738	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8718	0.097301	0.099318	1.0207
20	26.004	0.015578	0.094953	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
21	28.003	0.015578	0.097444	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
22	30.001	0.015578	0.099382	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8718	0.097301	0.099318	1.0207
23	32	0.015578	0.10187	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
24	34.003	0.015578	0.10409	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
25	36.002	0.015578	0.10603	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
26	38	0.015578	0.10796	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8718	0.097301	0.099318	1.0207
27	40.003	0.015578	0.11018	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8707	0.098473	0.10049	1.0205
28	42.002	0.015578	0.11184	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
29	44.001	0.015578	0.1135	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
30	46.004	0.015578	0.11516	2.9681	5.9665	5.9717	5.8718	0.094634	0.099905	1.0557
31	48.002	0.015578	0.1171	2.9681	5.9665	5.9717	5.8713	0.09522	0.10049	1.0554
32	50.001	0.015578	0.11848	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
33	52.004	0.016495	0.11987	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212
34	54.003	0.016495	0.12208	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8701	0.099059	0.10108	1.0204
35	56.001	0.016495	0.12347	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
36	56.581	0.016495	0.12374	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
2	0.012483	0	0.00055366	2.9681	5.9926	5.9964	5.8859	0.10671	0.11048	1.0354
3	0.05005	0.00091637	0.024638	2.9681	6.1013	6.1132	5.8894	0.21183	0.22375	1.0563
4	0.10005	0.0018327	0.047892	2.9681	6.0888	6.1067	5.88	0.20878	0.22667	1.0857
5	0.25028	0.0064146	0.10243	2.9681	6.0997	6.1185	5.8824	0.21735	0.23606	1.0861
6	0.50063	0.018327	0.16416	2.9681	6.1051	6.1173	5.8742	0.23089	0.2431	1.0529
7	1.0014	0.034822	0.24721	2.9681	6.111	6.1202	5.8748	0.23625	0.24545	1.0389
8	2.0028	0.041236	0.34189	2.9681	6.1142	6.1202	5.8748	0.23946	0.24545	1.025
9	4.0017	0.051316	0.42383	2.9681	6.1148	6.1208	5.8718	0.24293	0.24896	1.0248
10	6.0004	0.055898	0.46065	2.9681	6.1148	6.1208	5.8724	0.24235	0.24838	1.0249
11	8.0033	0.061396	0.48335	2.9681	6.1185	6.1214	5.873	0.24552	0.24838	1.0116
12	10.002	0.064146	0.4994	2.9681	6.1185	6.1214	5.8713	0.24728	0.25014	1.0116
13	12.001	0.065978	0.51186	2.9681	6.1185	6.1214	5.8724	0.24611	0.24896	1.0116
14	14.004	0.067811	0.52183	2.9681	6.1185	6.1214	5.8724	0.24611	0.24896	1.0116
15	16.002	0.069644	0.53068	2.9681	6.1185	6.1214	5.8718	0.24669	0.24955	1.0116
16	18.001	0.07056	0.53788	2.9681	6.1159	6.122	5.8724	0.24344	0.24955	1.0251
17	20.004	0.071477	0.54508	2.9681	6.1191	6.122	5.8718	0.24724	0.25014	1.0117
18	20.993	0.072393	0.54812	2.9681	6.1185	6.1214	5.8724	0.24611	0.24896	1.0116

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 3

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.1191	6.122	5.8713	0.24783	0.25072	1.0117
2	0.012483	0	0.00083049	2.9681	6.1605	6.1631	5.8912	0.26932	0.27187	1.0095
3	0.050033	0.00091637	0.034604	2.9681	6.3327	6.362	5.9006	0.43216	0.46143	1.0677
4	0.1001	0.0064146	0.073083	2.9681	6.3186	6.3503	5.88	0.43854	0.47021	1.0722
5	0.25033	0.021076	0.15447	2.9681	6.3381	6.3643	5.8771	0.461	0.48723	1.0569
6	0.5007	0.032989	0.24416	2.9681	6.3488	6.3655	5.8759	0.4729	0.48958	1.0353
7	1.0014	0.054066	0.35739	2.9681	6.3591	6.3696	5.8789	0.48022	0.49075	1.0219
8	2.0029	0.086138	0.47006	2.9681	6.365	6.369	5.8742	0.49079	0.49486	1.0083
9	4.0016	0.10447	0.55394	2.9681	6.3677	6.372	5.8718	0.49586	0.50013	1.0086
10	6.0003	0.11088	0.59131	2.9681	6.3672	6.3714	5.873	0.49415	0.49838	1.0086
11	8.0031	0.11363	0.61456	2.9681	6.3704	6.3714	5.8736	0.49677	0.49779	1.002
12	10.002	0.11546	0.632	2.9681	6.3672	6.3714	5.8724	0.49473	0.49896	1.0086
13	12.001	0.11729	0.64557	2.9681	6.3672	6.3714	5.8724	0.49473	0.49896	1.0086
14	14.003	0.12096	0.65692	2.9681	6.3704	6.3714	5.8724	0.49794	0.49896	1.002
15	16.002	0.12371	0.66716	2.9681	6.3704	6.3714	5.8713	0.49912	0.50013	1.002
16	18.001	0.12554	0.67602	2.9681	6.3704	6.3714	5.8701	0.50029	0.50131	1.002
17	19.829	0.12646	0.68322	2.9681	6.3709	6.372	5.8718	0.49908	0.50013	1.0021

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 4

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.3709	6.372	5.8718	0.49908	0.50013	1.0021
2	0.0125	0	0.001661	2.9681	6.456	6.4565	5.9076	0.54835	0.54888	1.001
3	0.05005	0.0045818	0.057027	2.9681	6.8037	6.8579	5.917	0.88668	0.9409	1.0612
4	0.10012	0.013745	0.12291	2.9681	6.7743	6.8332	5.8836	0.89074	0.94967	1.0662
5	0.25035	0.03024	0.25828	2.9681	6.8085	6.8561	5.8754	0.93309	0.98076	1.0511
6	0.50072	0.063229	0.39864	2.9681	6.8391	6.8614	5.8742	0.96488	0.98722	1.0232
7	1.0014	0.10905	0.5603	2.9681	6.8526	6.869	5.8718	0.98074	0.99719	1.0168
8	2.0029	0.1402	0.70038	2.9681	6.8671	6.8708	5.8765	0.99054	0.99426	1.0038
9	4.0016	0.1567	0.79533	2.9681	6.8665	6.8702	5.8736	0.99293	0.99661	1.0037
10	6.0003	0.16036	0.83852	2.9681	6.8671	6.8708	5.8689	0.99816	1.0019	1.0037
11	8.0032	0.16495	0.86593	2.9681	6.8703	6.8708	5.8718	0.99844	0.99895	1.0005
12	10.002	0.16953	0.88696	2.9681	6.8708	6.8714	5.8724	0.9984	0.99895	1.0006
13	12.001	0.17319	0.90357	2.9681	6.8714	6.872	5.8736	0.99778	0.99837	1.0006
14	14.004	0.17594	0.91797	2.9681	6.8708	6.8714	5.8701	1.0007	1.0013	1.0005
15	16.002	0.17869	0.93043	2.9681	6.8714	6.872	5.8718	0.99954	1.0001	1.0006
16	18.001	0.18052	0.9415	2.9681	6.8708	6.8714	5.8707	1.0002	1.0007	1.0005
17	19.449	0.18236	0.9487	2.9681	6.8682	6.872	5.8713	0.99691	1.0007	1.0038

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 5

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.8708	6.8714	5.8718	0.99899	0.99954	1.0006
2	0.012483	0	0.0013842	2.9681	6.9581	6.9582	5.9047	1.0534	1.0536	1.0002
3	0.05005	0.0018327	0.043462	2.9681	7.3054	7.3696	5.9047	1.4007	1.4649	1.0458
4	0.10005	0.0091637	0.087478	2.9681	7.2787	7.3409	5.8812	1.3974	1.4596	1.0445
5	0.25028	0.042153	0.18188	2.9681	7.3229	7.3608	5.8941	1.4288	1.4667	1.0265
6	0.50072	0.07056	0.28098	2.9681	7.3595	7.369	5.8859	1.4736	1.4831	1.0065
7	1.0014	0.092553	0.39172	2.9681	7.3595	7.369	5.8748	1.4847	1.4943	1.0064
8	2.0029	0.11638	0.49442	2.9681	7.3621	7.3684	5.873	1.4891	1.4954	1.0042
9	4.0016	0.13837	0.57913	2.9681	7.3675	7.3708	5.8759	1.4916	1.4948	1.0022
10	6.0003	0.15212	0.62398	2.9681	7.3707	7.3708	5.8736	1.4971	1.4972	1
11	8.0031	0.15853	0.65443	2.9681	7.3686	7.372	5.8701	1.4985	1.5019	1.0022
12	10.002	0.16128	0.6774	2.9681	7.3713	7.3714	5.8707	1.5006	1.5007	1.0001
13	12.001	0.16586	0.69623	2.9681	7.3713	7.3714	5.8724	1.4989	1.4989	1.0001
14	14.003	0.17044	0.71228	2.9681	7.3686	7.372	5.8718	1.4968	1.5001	1.0022
15	16.002	0.17319	0.72613	2.9681	7.3713	7.3714	5.873	1.4983	1.4984	1.0001
16	18.001	0.17411	0.73831	2.9681	7.3718	7.372	5.873	1.4988	1.4989	1.0001
17	20.004	0.17594	0.74993	2.9681	7.3718	7.372	5.8724	1.4994	1.4995	1.0001
18	22.003	0.17777	0.76018	2.9681	7.3713	7.3714	5.8713	1.5	1.5001	1.0001
19	22.629	0.17777	0.76294	2.9681	7.3713	7.3714	5.8724	1.4989	1.4989	1.0001

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.3423	6.3437	6.271	0.071312	0.0727	1.0195
2	0.012483	0	0	2.9681	6.3461	6.3478	6.2739	0.072227	0.073872	1.0228
3	0.054133	0	0.0071976	2.9681	6.368	6.3677	6.278	0.090039	0.08968	0.99601
4	0.10003	0	0.012457	2.9681	6.3637	6.37	6.2745	0.089236	0.095531	1.0706
5	0.25025	0	0.017163	2.9681	6.3643	6.3706	6.2733	0.090954	0.097287	1.0696
6	0.50062	0	0.022146	2.9681	6.3648	6.3712	6.2727	0.092088	0.098457	1.0692
7	1.0013	0	0.029067	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	6.2727	0.095342	0.098457	1.0327
8	2.0028	0	0.037095	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2727	0.095891	0.099043	1.0329
9	3	0	0.042078	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325
10	4.0015	0	0.045954	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	6.271	0.097097	0.10021	1.0321
11	5.0029	0	0.048169	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2722	0.096476	0.099628	1.0327
12	6.0002	0	0.050106	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325
13	7.0016	0	0.05149	2.9681	6.3719	6.3718	6.2716	0.10031	0.10021	0.99898
14	8.0031	0	0.052598	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2722	0.096476	0.099628	1.0327
15	9.0003	0	0.053982	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325
16	10.002	0	0.054812	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325
17	11.003	0	0.055366	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2722	0.096476	0.099628	1.0327
18	12	0	0.056473	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.271	0.097646	0.1008	1.0323
19	13.002	0	0.05675	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	6.2716	0.096512	0.099627	1.0323
20	14.003	0	0.057304	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325
21	15.001	0	0.057858	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325
22	16.002	0	0.058411	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	6.2716	0.096512	0.099627	1.0323
23	17.004	0	0.058688	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	6.2716	0.096512	0.099627	1.0323
24	18.001	0	0.058965	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325
25	19.002	0	0.059242	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2722	0.096476	0.099628	1.0327
26	20.004	0	0.059795	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	6.2716	0.096512	0.099627	1.0323
27	21.001	0.0011106	0.059795	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325
28	22.002	0.0011106	0.060072	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325
29	23.004	0	0.060626	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325
30	24.001	0.0011106	0.060903	2.9681	6.3697	6.373	6.2716	0.098158	0.10138	1.0329
31	25.003	0	0.06118	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325
32	26.004	0.0011106	0.06118	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325
33	27.001	0.0011106	0.061733	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	6.2716	0.096512	0.099627	1.0323
34	28.003	0.0011106	0.061733	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	6.2716	0.096512	0.099627	1.0323
35	28.654	0.0011106	0.061733	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2722	0.096476	0.099628	1.0327
2	0.012417	0	0	2.9681	6.3774	6.3812	6.2757	0.10174	0.10548	1.0368
3	0.05415	0	0.029621	2.9681	6.5073	6.5093	6.2915	0.21586	0.21788	1.0094
4	0.10005	0.0011106	0.050106	2.9681	6.5052	6.5105	6.278	0.22715	0.23251	1.0236
5	0.25027	0.0022211	0.084156	2.9681	6.5123	6.5181	6.2798	0.23253	0.23837	1.0251
6	0.50062	0.0033317	0.12291	2.9681	6.5139	6.5199	6.2727	0.2412	0.24714	1.0246
7	1.0013	0.0044422	0.17911	2.9681	6.5134	6.5193	6.2768	0.23655	0.24246	1.025
8	2.0028	0.0055528	0.2652	2.9681	6.5134	6.5193	6.2757	0.23772	0.24363	1.0249
9	3.0001	0.0066633	0.33303	2.9681	6.5166	6.5193	6.2733	0.24332	0.24597	1.0109
10	4.0015	0.0088844	0.3895	2.9681	6.5177	6.5205	6.2739	0.24383	0.24656	1.0112
11	5.0029	0.011106	0.43822	2.9681	6.5172	6.5199	6.2745	0.2427	0.24539	1.0111
12	6.0002	0.013327	0.48085	2.9681	6.5145	6.5205	6.2745	0.23999	0.24597	1.0249
13	7.0016	0.014437	0.5185	2.9681	6.515	6.5211	6.2727	0.24229	0.24831	1.0248
14	8.0031	0.017769	0.55172	2.9681	6.5145	6.5205	6.2733	0.24116	0.24714	1.0248
15	9.0004	0.024432	0.58162	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2733	0.24551	0.24831	1.0114
16	10.002	0.031096	0.60847	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2727	0.2461	0.2489	1.0114
17	11.003	0.038869	0.63256	2.9681	6.5145	6.5205	6.2727	0.24175	0.24773	1.0247
18	12	0.044422	0.65415	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.2733	0.24496	0.24773	1.0113
19	13.002	0.045533	0.67381	2.9681	6.5145	6.5205	6.2727	0.24175	0.24773	1.0247
20	14.003	0.048864	0.6918	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.2727	0.24555	0.24831	1.0113
21	15.001	0.052196	0.70786	2.9681	6.5177	6.5205	6.2727	0.245	0.24773	1.0111
22	16.002	0.056638	0.7228	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.2733	0.24496	0.24773	1.0113
23	17.004	0.057749	0.73637	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.2722	0.24613	0.2489	1.0112
24	18.001	0.062191	0.74855	2.9681	6.515	6.5211	6.271	0.24405	0.25007	1.0247
25	19.002	0.064412	0.75962	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2716	0.24727	0.25007	1.0113
26	20.004	0.065523	0.76987	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.2733	0.24496	0.24773	1.0113
27	21.001	0.066633	0.77928	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2722	0.24668	0.24948	1.0114
28	22.002	0.067744	0.78814	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.2716	0.24672	0.24948	1.0112
29	23.004	0.068854	0.79616	2.9681	6.515	6.5211	6.2716	0.24346	0.24948	1.0247
30	24.001	0.069965	0.80364	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.2716	0.24672	0.24948	1.0112
31	25.003	0.071076	0.81056	2.9681	6.5156	6.5216	6.2722	0.24343	0.24948	1.0249
32	26.004	0.072186	0.8172	2.9681	6.5156	6.5216	6.2727	0.24284	0.2489	1.0249
33	27.001	0.073297	0.82329	2.9681	6.5194	6.5222	6.2722	0.24723	0.25007	1.0115
34	28.003	0.073297	0.82911	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.271	0.2473	0.25007	1.0112
35	29.004	0.074407	0.83437	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.2716	0.24672	0.24948	1.0112
36	30.001	0.074407	0.83935	2.9681	6.5156	6.5216	6.2722	0.24343	0.24948	1.0249
37	31.003	0.075518	0.84378	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.2704	0.24789	0.25065	1.0112
38	32	0.075518	0.84876	2.9681	6.515	6.5211	6.271	0.24405	0.25007	1.0247
39	33.002	0.076628	0.85291	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.2722	0.24613	0.2489	1.0112
40	34.003	0.076628	0.85707	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2716	0.24727	0.25007	1.0113
41	35	0.076628	0.86011	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.271	0.2473	0.25007	1.0112
42	36.002	0.077739	0.86426	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.2716	0.24672	0.24948	1.0112
43	37.003	0.077739	0.86786	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.2722	0.24613	0.2489	1.0112
44	38	0.077739	0.87091	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2727	0.2461	0.2489	1.0114
45	39.002	0.078849	0.87451	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.2716	0.24672	0.24948	1.0112
46	40.003	0.078849	0.87617	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2722	0.24668	0.24948	1.0114
47	41.001	0.078849	0.8806	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.271	0.2473	0.25007	1.0112
48	42.002	0.078849	0.88337	2.9681	6.5156	6.5216	6.2716	0.24401	0.25007	1.0248
49	43.003	0.07996	0.88613	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2722	0.24668	0.24948	1.0114
50	44.001	0.07996	0.88863	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.2722	0.24613	0.2489	1.0112
51	45.002	0.07996	0.89029	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2716	0.24727	0.25007	1.0113
52	46.004	0.07996	0.89389	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.2716	0.24672	0.24948	1.0112
53	47.001	0.07996	0.89582	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.271	0.2473	0.25007	1.0112
54	48.002	0.07996	0.89748	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2716	0.24727	0.25007	1.0113
55	49.004	0.07996	0.89998	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2716	0.24727	0.25007	1.0113
56	50.001	0.07996	0.90247	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2722	0.24668	0.24948	1.0114
57	51.002	0.07996	0.90441	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2716	0.24727	0.25007	1.0113
58	52.004	0.081071	0.90579	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2722	0.24668	0.24948	1.0114
59	52.409	0.081071	0.90662	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2722	0.24668	0.24948	1.0114

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 3

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2722	0.24668	0.24948	1.0114
2	0.012483	-0.0011106	0.0011073	2.9681	6.5594	6.5614	6.2932	0.26618	0.26823	1.0077
3	0.050033	0.0011106	0.035157	2.9681	6.7438	6.7616	6.2932	0.45055	0.46843	1.0397
4	0.10012	0.0077739	0.063948	2.9681	6.7377	6.7552	6.2768	0.4609	0.47837	1.0379
5	0.25035	0.042201	0.11821	2.9681	6.7498	6.7646	6.2862	0.46357	0.47837	1.0319
6	0.50063	0.069965	0.18077	2.9681	6.7617	6.7669	6.2768	0.48489	0.49007	1.0107
7	1.0014	0.094397	0.27683	2.9681	6.7618	6.7704	6.2745	0.48726	0.49593	1.0178
8	2.0028	0.13993	0.41303	2.9681	6.7634	6.7687	6.2733	0.49004	0.49534	1.0108
9	3.0001	0.17325	0.51823	2.9681	6.7645	6.7698	6.2751	0.48938	0.49476	1.011
10	4.0016	0.1999	0.60432	2.9681	6.7645	6.7698	6.2757	0.4888	0.49417	1.011
11	5.003	0.23877	0.67657	2.9681	6.7639	6.7693	6.2745	0.48942	0.49476	1.0109
12	6.0002	0.25099	0.73609	2.9681	6.7639	6.7693	6.2739	0.49001	0.49534	1.0109
13	7.0016	0.26431	0.78648	2.9681	6.7645	6.7698	6.2733	0.49114	0.49651	1.0109
14	8.0031	0.27431	0.82994	2.9681	6.765	6.7704	6.2733	0.49169	0.4971	1.011
15	9.0004	0.27986	0.86593	2.9681	6.7645	6.7698	6.2733	0.49114	0.49651	1.0109
16	10.002	0.28985	0.89693	2.9681	6.765	6.7704	6.2733	0.49169	0.4971	1.011
17	11.003	0.29763	0.92406	2.9681	6.765	6.7704	6.2716	0.49344	0.49885	1.011
18	12.001	0.29985	0.94704	2.9681	6.7683	6.7704	6.2739	0.49436	0.49651	1.0044
19	13.002	0.30318	0.96725	2.9681	6.7683	6.7704	6.2739	0.49436	0.49651	1.0044
20	14.003	0.30984	0.98524	2.9681	6.7656	6.771	6.2727	0.49282	0.49827	1.011
21	15.001	0.31762	1.0007	2.9681	6.765	6.7704	6.271	0.49403	0.49944	1.0109
22	16.002	0.32428	1.0146	2.9681	6.7688	6.771	6.2733	0.49549	0.49768	1.0044
23	17.004	0.32761	1.0276	2.9681	6.7688	6.771	6.2716	0.49725	0.49944	1.0044
24	18.001	0.33206	1.0389	2.9681	6.7656	6.771	6.2733	0.49224	0.49768	1.0111
25	19.002	0.33539	1.0492	2.9681	6.7688	6.771	6.271	0.49783	0.50002	1.0044
26	20.004	0.33872	1.0586	2.9681	6.7656	6.771	6.2722	0.49341	0.49885	1.011
27	21.001	0.34427	1.0672	2.9681	6.7656	6.771	6.2698	0.49575	0.50119	1.011
28	22.002	0.34649	1.0747	2.9681	6.7694	6.7716	6.2722	0.49721	0.49944	1.0045
29	23.004	0.34871	1.0821	2.9681	6.7694	6.7716	6.2722	0.49721	0.49944	1.0045
30	24.001	0.34982	1.0888	2.9681	6.7694	6.7716	6.2727	0.49662	0.49885	1.0045
31	25.003	0.35316	1.094	2.9681	6.7656	6.771	6.271	0.49458	0.50002	1.011
32	26.004	0.3576	1.1001	2.9681	6.7688	6.771	6.2733	0.49549	0.49768	1.0044
33	27.001	0.36093	1.1048	2.9681	6.7688	6.771	6.2722	0.49666	0.49885	1.0044
34	28.003	0.36204	1.1109	2.9681	6.7694	6.7716	6.2727	0.49662	0.49885	1.0045
35	29	0.36426	1.1159	2.9681	6.7688	6.771	6.271	0.49783	0.50002	1.0044
36	30.001	0.36648	1.1203	2.9681	6.7694	6.7716	6.2727	0.49662	0.49885	1.0045
37	31.003	0.3687	1.1248	2.9681	6.7694	6.7716	6.2716	0.4978	0.50002	1.0045
38	32	0.37204	1.1289	2.9681	6.7694	6.7716	6.271	0.49838	0.50061	1.0045
39	33.002	0.37315	1.1328	2.9681	6.7694	6.7716	6.2704	0.49897	0.50119	1.0045
40	34.003	0.37426	1.1358	2.9681	6.7694	6.7716	6.2727	0.49662	0.49885	1.0045
41	35	0.37537	1.1397	2.9681	6.7694	6.7716	6.2716	0.4978	0.50002	1.0045
42	36.002	0.37648	1.1425	2.9681	6.7656	6.771	6.2716	0.49399	0.49944	1.011
43	37.003	0.37759	1.1466	2.9681	6.7688	6.771	6.2716	0.49725	0.49944	1.0044
44	38	0.37981	1.1494	2.9681	6.7694	6.7716	6.2722	0.49721	0.49944	1.0045
45	39.002	0.38092	1.1516	2.9681	6.7694	6.7716	6.2722	0.49721	0.49944	1.0045
46	40.003	0.38203	1.1558	2.9681	6.7688	6.771	6.2716	0.49725	0.49944	1.0044
47	41.001	0.38203	1.1585	2.9681	6.7688	6.771	6.2722	0.49666	0.49885	1.0044
48	41.472	0.38203	1.1591	2.9681	6.7694	6.7716	6.2722	0.49721	0.49944	1.0045

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 4

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.7694	6.7716	6.2727	0.49662	0.49885	1.0045
2	0.0125	0	0.0019378	2.9681	6.8532	6.8541	6.3038	0.54948	0.55038	1.0016
3	0.05415	0.0044422	0.05066	2.9681	7.2193	7.2621	6.3073	0.91208	0.95488	1.0469
4	0.10012	0.018879	0.096337	2.9681	7.211	7.2428	6.2798	0.93124	0.96306	1.0342
5	0.25035	0.046643	0.18354	2.9681	7.2399	7.2598	6.2885	0.95139	0.97126	1.0209
6	0.50063	0.10772	0.28126	2.9681	7.2476	7.2645	6.2827	0.96488	0.98179	1.0175
7	1.0014	0.15215	0.42549	2.9681	7.2552	7.2657	6.2739	0.98126	0.99174	1.0107
8	2.0028	0.23655	0.62785	2.9681	7.2639	7.268	6.2827	0.98119	0.9853	1.0042
9	3.0001	0.27764	0.77319	2.9681	7.2617	7.2692	6.2809	0.98079	0.98823	1.0076
10	4.0015	0.33095	0.88143	2.9681	7.2606	7.268	6.2763	0.98437	0.99174	1.0075
11	5.0029	0.34982	0.9642	2.9681	7.2655	7.2697	6.2745	0.99103	0.99525	1.0043
12	6.0003	0.3687	1.0276	2.9681	7.2661	7.2703	6.2727	0.99333	0.99759	1.0043
13	7.0017	0.39425	1.0769	2.9681	7.2655	7.2697	6.2727	0.99278	0.99701	1.0043
14	8.0032	0.41313	1.1162	2.9681	7.2655	7.2697	6.2722	0.99337	0.99759	1.0043
15	9.0004	0.42312	1.1483	2.9681	7.2655	7.2697	6.2704	0.99512	0.99935	1.0042
16	10.002	0.43645	1.1743	2.9681	7.2661	7.2703	6.2739	0.99216	0.99642	1.0043
17	11.003	0.44533	1.1962	2.9681	7.2666	7.2709	6.2745	0.99212	0.99642	1.0043
18	12.001	0.44866	1.2145	2.9681	7.2666	7.2709	6.2733	0.99329	0.99759	1.0043
19	13.002	0.452	1.2305	2.9681	7.2666	7.2709	6.271	0.99563	0.99993	1.0043
20	14.003	0.45644	1.2444	2.9681	7.2693	7.2703	6.271	0.99834	0.99935	1.001
21	15.001	0.45977	1.2568	2.9681	7.2693	7.2703	6.2692	1.0001	1.0011	1.001
22	16.002	0.46088	1.2679	2.9681	7.2699	7.2709	6.2704	0.99947	1.0005	1.001
23	17.004	0.4631	1.2778	2.9681	7.2699	7.2709	6.271	0.99889	0.99993	1.001
24	18.001	0.46532	1.2867	2.9681	7.2704	7.2715	6.2745	0.99592	0.99701	1.0011
25	19.002	0.46754	1.2953	2.9681	7.2704	7.2715	6.271	0.99944	1.0005	1.0011
26	20.004	0.46976	1.303	2.9681	7.2699	7.2709	6.2722	0.99772	0.99876	1.001
27	21.001	0.47088	1.31	2.9681	7.2699	7.2709	6.2733	0.99655	0.99759	1.001
28	22.002	0.47199	1.3169	2.9681	7.2704	7.2715	6.271	0.99944	1.0005	1.0011
29	23.004	0.47421	1.323	2.9681	7.2666	7.2709	6.2716	0.99505	0.99935	1.0043
30	24.001	0.47643	1.3288	2.9681	7.2699	7.2709	6.2727	0.99713	0.99818	1.001
31	25.003	0.47865	1.3343	2.9681	7.2699	7.2709	6.2722	0.99772	0.99876	1.001
32	26.004	0.47865	1.3396	2.9681	7.2666	7.2709	6.2722	0.99446	0.99876	1.0043
33	27.001	0.47976	1.3448	2.9681	7.2704	7.2715	6.2727	0.99768	0.99876	1.0011
34	28.003	0.47976	1.3493	2.9681	7.2666	7.2709	6.2704	0.99622	1.0005	1.0043
35	29	0.48087	1.3534	2.9681	7.2704	7.2715	6.271	0.99944	1.0005	1.0011
36	30.001	0.48198	1.3573	2.9681	7.2672	7.2715	6.2716	0.9956	0.99993	1.0044
37	31.003	0.48531	1.3617	2.9681	7.2704	7.2715	6.2722	0.99827	0.99935	1.0011
38	32	0.48753	1.3653	2.9681	7.2666	7.2709	6.271	0.99563	0.99993	1.0043
39	33.002	0.48864	1.3692	2.9681	7.2704	7.2715	6.2727	0.99768	0.99876	1.0011
40	33.974	0.48975	1.3728	2.9681	7.2699	7.2709	6.2716	0.9983	0.99935	1.001

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 5

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	7.2699	7.2709	6.2722	0.99772	0.99876	1.001
2	0.012483	0	0.0013842	2.9681	7.3971	7.3997	6.3043	1.0927	1.0954	1.0024
3	0.05415	0.0077739	0.064778	2.9681	8.1469	8.2344	6.3254	1.8215	1.909	1.0481
4	0.10005	0.043312	0.13343	2.9681	8.1689	8.2198	6.2839	1.8851	1.936	1.027
5	0.25027	0.10106	0.27295	2.9681	8.2442	8.2549	6.3049	1.9392	1.95	1.0056
6	0.50063	0.16436	0.42936	2.9681	8.2415	8.2625	6.2792	1.9623	1.9834	1.0107
7	1.0014	0.24654	0.64668	2.9681	8.2475	8.2655	6.2862	1.9613	1.9793	1.0091
8	2.0028	0.35649	0.93181	2.9681	8.2644	8.2696	6.2757	1.9887	1.9939	1.0026
9	3.0001	0.40202	1.1109	2.9681	8.2557	8.2672	6.2716	1.9841	1.9957	1.0058
10	4.0015	0.44866	1.228	2.9681	8.2665	8.2684	6.2774	1.9891	1.991	1.0009
11	5.0029	0.48198	1.3075	2.9681	8.266	8.2713	6.2739	1.9921	1.9974	1.0027
12	6.0003	0.50086	1.3639	2.9681	8.2649	8.2702	6.2733	1.9916	1.9968	1.0026
13	7.0017	0.52196	1.4055	2.9681	8.2617	8.2702	6.2745	1.9872	1.9957	1.0043
14	8.0032	0.53418	1.4384	2.9681	8.2655	8.2707	6.2733	1.9921	1.9974	1.0026
15	9.0004	0.54195	1.4647	2.9681	8.2655	8.2707	6.2704	1.9951	2.0003	1.0026
16	10.002	0.55306	1.486	2.9681	8.266	8.2713	6.2733	1.9927	1.998	1.0027
17	11.003	0.5575	1.5046	2.9681	8.266	8.2713	6.2745	1.9915	1.9968	1.0027
18	12.001	0.56083	1.5201	2.9681	8.266	8.2713	6.2745	1.9915	1.9968	1.0027
19	13.002	0.5686	1.5342	2.9681	8.266	8.2713	6.2727	1.9933	1.9986	1.0027
20	14.003	0.57416	1.5467	2.9681	8.266	8.2713	6.271	1.995	2.0003	1.0027
21	15.001	0.57527	1.5577	2.9681	8.2693	8.2713	6.2722	1.9971	1.9992	1.001
22	16.002	0.57749	1.568	2.9681	8.2693	8.2713	6.2716	1.9977	1.9997	1.001
23	17.004	0.57971	1.5771	2.9681	8.2671	8.2725	6.2727	1.9944	1.9997	1.0027
24	18.001	0.58193	1.5857	2.9681	8.2698	8.2719	6.2727	1.9971	1.9992	1.001
25	19.002	0.58415	1.5937	2.9681	8.2693	8.2713	6.2698	1.9995	2.0015	1.001
26	20.004	0.58859	1.6009	2.9681	8.266	8.2713	6.271	1.995	2.0003	1.0027
27	21.001	0.59304	1.6073	2.9681	8.2698	8.2719	6.2733	1.9965	1.9986	1.001
28	22.002	0.59748	1.6148	2.9681	8.2698	8.2719	6.2692	2.0006	2.0027	1.001
29	23.004	0.60081	1.6206	2.9681	8.266	8.2713	6.2704	1.9956	2.0009	1.0027
30	24.001	0.60303	1.6261	2.9681	8.2698	8.2719	6.2722	1.9977	1.9997	1.001
31	25.003	0.60858	1.6316	2.9681	8.2666	8.2719	6.2727	1.9938	1.9992	1.0027
32	26.004	0.60969	1.6375	2.9681	8.2698	8.2719	6.2727	1.9971	1.9992	1.001
33	27.001	0.61081	1.6427	2.9681	8.2698	8.2719	6.2692	2.0006	2.0027	1.001
34	28.003	0.61192	1.6474	2.9681	8.266	8.2713	6.2722	1.9939	1.9992	1.0027
35	29.004	0.61192	1.6518	2.9681	8.2698	8.2719	6.2716	1.9983	2.0003	1.001
36	29.025	0.61192	1.6518	2.9681	8.266	8.2713	6.2733	1.9927	1.998	1.0027

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 6

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.2693	8.2713	6.2716	1.9977	1.9997	1.001
2	0.012433	0	0	2.9681	8.3071	8.3082	6.2774	2.0297	2.0308	1.0005
3	0.05415	0.0044422	0.042078	2.9681	9.1671	9.2536	6.309	2.8581	2.9446	1.0303
4	0.10018	0.032206	0.093292	2.9681	9.22	9.2337	6.2786	2.9414	2.9551	1.0046
5	0.25028	0.076628	0.18686	2.9681	9.2262	9.2577	6.288	2.9383	2.9697	1.0107
6	0.50065	0.12438	0.29482	2.9681	9.241	9.2665	6.2874	2.9536	2.9791	1.0086
7	1.0014	0.17325	0.44542	2.9681	9.2502	9.2694	6.2833	2.9669	2.9861	1.0065
8	2.0028	0.26209	0.62647	2.9681	9.2562	9.2688	6.2739	2.9823	2.9949	1.0042
9	3.0001	0.28208	0.73249	2.9681	9.2594	9.2688	6.2763	2.9832	2.9925	1.0031
10	4.0015	0.32095	0.80142	2.9681	9.26	9.2694	6.2763	2.9837	2.9931	1.0032
11	5.0029	0.33872	0.84959	2.9681	9.2643	9.2706	6.2727	2.9916	2.9978	1.0021
12	6.0003	0.35094	0.8853	2.9681	9.2638	9.27	6.2745	2.9893	2.9955	1.0021
13	7.0017	0.36426	0.91382	2.9681	9.2654	9.2717	6.2722	2.9933	2.9996	1.0021
14	8.0032	0.38092	0.93679	2.9681	9.2643	9.2706	6.2733	2.991	2.9972	1.0021
15	9.0004	0.39092	0.95645	2.9681	9.2649	9.2711	6.2704	2.9945	3.0007	1.0021
16	10.002	0.39869	0.97306	2.9681	9.2649	9.2711	6.2722	2.9927	2.999	1.0021
17	11.003	0.40424	0.98801	2.9681	9.2649	9.2711	6.2698	2.9951	3.0013	1.0021
18	12.001	0.40868	1.001	2.9681	9.2649	9.2711	6.2733	2.9916	2.9978	1.0021
19	13.002	0.41091	1.0129	2.9681	9.2649	9.2711	6.2716	2.9933	2.9996	1.0021
20	14.003	0.41424	1.0237	2.9681	9.2649	9.2711	6.2722	2.9927	2.999	1.0021
21	15.001	0.41757	1.0337	2.9681	9.2649	9.2711	6.2745	2.9904	2.9966	1.0021
22	16.002	0.4209	1.0425	2.9681	9.2649	9.2711	6.271	2.9939	3.0002	1.0021
23	17.004	0.42312	1.0508	2.9681	9.2649	9.2711	6.2733	2.9916	2.9978	1.0021
24	18.001	0.42534	1.0586	2.9681	9.2681	9.2711	6.2716	2.9966	2.9996	1.001
25	19.002	0.42645	1.0661	2.9681	9.2687	9.2717	6.2722	2.9965	2.9996	1.001
26	20	0.42756	1.073	2.9681	9.2649	9.2711	6.2722	2.9927	2.999	1.0021
27	21.002	0.42867	1.0791	2.9681	9.2681	9.2711	6.2733	2.9948	2.9978	1.001
28	22.003	0.43312	1.0863	2.9681	9.2649	9.2711	6.2698	2.9951	3.0013	1.0021
29	23	0.43534	1.0915	2.9681	9.2681	9.2711	6.2733	2.9948	2.9978	1.001
30	24.002	0.43756	1.0979	2.9681	9.2681	9.2711	6.2698	2.9983	3.0013	1.001
31	25.003	0.44089	1.1023	2.9681	9.2687	9.2717	6.2739	2.9948	2.9978	1.001
32	26	0.44311	1.1084	2.9681	9.2681	9.2711	6.2727	2.9954	2.9984	1.001
33	27.002	0.44311	1.1131	2.9681	9.2687	9.2717	6.2716	2.9971	3.0002	1.001
34	28.003	0.44644	1.1181	2.9681	9.2692	9.2723	6.2681	3.0012	3.0042	1.001
35	28.863	0.44755	1.1217	2.9681	9.2687	9.2717	6.2727	2.9959	2.999	1.001

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	5.9462	5.943	5.8718	0.074309	0.07115	0.95749
2	0.012517	0	0	2.9681	5.9468	5.9471	5.8742	0.072573	0.072913	1.0047
3	0.050017	0	0.0041525	2.9681	5.9685	5.9671	5.8742	0.094347	0.092866	0.9843
4	0.10008	0	0.0069208	2.9681	5.9686	5.9706	5.8713	0.097341	0.099318	1.0203
5	0.2503	0	0.0099659	2.9681	5.9654	5.9706	5.8736	0.091783	0.096973	1.0565
6	0.501	0	0.013842	2.9681	5.9654	5.9706	5.8718	0.093542	0.098732	1.0555
7	1.0024	0.00091637	0.019378	2.9681	5.9654	5.9706	5.8718	0.093542	0.098732	1.0555
8	2.0042	0.0036655	0.027683	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8713	0.097887	0.099905	1.0206
9	4.0032	0.0054982	0.039033	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8713	0.097887	0.099905	1.0206
10	6.0022	0.0064146	0.046784	2.9681	5.9665	5.9717	5.8724	0.094048	0.099319	1.0561
11	8.0013	0.0064146	0.052875	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8713	0.097887	0.099905	1.0206
12	10	0.0073309	0.058134	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8724	0.096714	0.098732	1.0209
13	12.004	0.0073309	0.063117	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8677	0.1014	0.10342	1.0199
14	14.003	0.0073309	0.066716	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212
15	16.002	0.0082473	0.070315	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212
16	18.001	0.0073309	0.073637	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212
17	20.004	0.0082473	0.077236	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
18	22.003	0.0082473	0.080281	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8713	0.097887	0.099905	1.0206
19	24.002	0.0082473	0.082772	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
20	26.002	0.0082473	0.085264	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8718	0.097301	0.099318	1.0207
21	28.002	0.0082473	0.088032	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8718	0.097301	0.099318	1.0207
22	30.001	0.0091637	0.090247	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
23	32.001	0.0091637	0.092461	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
24	34.001	0.0091637	0.094676	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8718	0.097301	0.099318	1.0207
25	36.001	0.0091637	0.097444	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8713	0.098433	0.10049	1.0209
26	38	0.0091637	0.099105	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
27	40	0.0091637	0.10077	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
28	42.004	0.0091637	0.1027	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
29	44.003	0.0091637	0.10492	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
30	46.002	0.0091637	0.1063	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
31	48.001	0.0091637	0.10824	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8724	0.096714	0.098732	1.0209
32	50	0.0091637	0.11018	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
33	52.004	0.0091637	0.11184	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
34	54.003	0.01008	0.1135	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
35	56.002	0.01008	0.11461	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
36	58.001	0.01008	0.11627	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
37	60.004	0.01008	0.11821	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212
38	62.003	0.01008	0.11959	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
39	64.002	0.01008	0.12097	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8707	0.098473	0.10049	1.0205
40	66.001	0.0091637	0.12208	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
41	68	0.0091637	0.12347	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
42	68.723	0.01008	0.1243	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	5.9665	5.9717	5.8718	0.094634	0.099905	1.0557
2	0.0125	0	0.00027683	2.9681	5.9942	5.9946	5.8836	0.11062	0.11107	1.004
3	0.050383	0	0.021593	2.9681	6.1013	6.1132	5.8836	0.21769	0.22961	1.0548
4	0.1004	0.0018327	0.035434	2.9681	6.0916	6.1132	5.8759	0.21567	0.23723	1.1
5	0.25062	0.0045818	0.060626	2.9681	6.0997	6.1185	5.8748	0.22497	0.24369	1.0832
6	0.50138	0.018327	0.091908	2.9681	6.1084	6.1208	5.8771	0.23123	0.24369	1.0539
7	1.0028	0.033906	0.14201	2.9681	6.1105	6.1196	5.8759	0.23453	0.24369	1.0391
8	2.0004	0.053149	0.22063	2.9681	6.1142	6.1202	5.873	0.24122	0.2472	1.0248
9	4.0036	0.072393	0.33856	2.9681	6.1159	6.122	5.873	0.24285	0.24897	1.0252
10	6.0026	0.093469	0.42826	2.9681	6.1142	6.1202	5.8748	0.23946	0.24545	1.025
11	8.0017	0.10905	0.49913	2.9681	6.1148	6.1208	5.8742	0.24059	0.24662	1.0251
12	10.001	0.11821	0.55671	2.9681	6.118	6.1208	5.8736	0.24439	0.24721	1.0115
13	12.004	0.12463	0.6046	2.9681	6.118	6.1208	5.873	0.24497	0.24779	1.0115
14	14.003	0.12829	0.64418	2.9681	6.118	6.1208	5.8736	0.24439	0.24721	1.0115
15	16.002	0.13471	0.67713	2.9681	6.1148	6.1208	5.8724	0.24235	0.24838	1.0249
16	18.001	0.14845	0.70481	2.9681	6.1153	6.1214	5.8736	0.24172	0.24779	1.0251
17	20.001	0.15395	0.72862	2.9681	6.1185	6.1214	5.8718	0.24669	0.24955	1.0116
18	22.001	0.15761	0.74883	2.9681	6.1153	6.1214	5.8724	0.24289	0.24896	1.025
19	24	0.1622	0.76682	2.9681	6.1185	6.1214	5.8724	0.24611	0.24896	1.0116
20	26.004	0.16586	0.7826	2.9681	6.1185	6.1214	5.873	0.24552	0.24838	1.0116
21	28.003	0.16861	0.79672	2.9681	6.1185	6.1214	5.8718	0.24669	0.24955	1.0116
22	30.002	0.16861	0.80945	2.9681	6.1153	6.1214	5.8718	0.24348	0.24955	1.0249
23	32.001	0.16861	0.8208	2.9681	6.1185	6.1214	5.8724	0.24611	0.24896	1.0116
24	34	0.16953	0.83132	2.9681	6.1191	6.122	5.8724	0.24665	0.24955	1.0118
25	36.004	0.16953	0.84073	2.9681	6.1185	6.1214	5.8724	0.24611	0.24896	1.0116
26	38.004	0.16953	0.84959	2.9681	6.1185	6.1214	5.8701	0.24845	0.25131	1.0115
27	40.003	0.17044	0.85734	2.9681	6.1191	6.122	5.8724	0.24665	0.24955	1.0118
28	42.003	0.17136	0.8651	2.9681	6.1191	6.122	5.8701	0.249	0.2519	1.0116
29	44.003	0.17228	0.87202	2.9681	6.1185	6.1214	5.8718	0.24669	0.24955	1.0116
30	46.002	0.17228	0.87838	2.9681	6.1185	6.1214	5.8724	0.24611	0.24896	1.0116
31	48.002	0.17228	0.88475	2.9681	6.1191	6.122	5.8713	0.24783	0.25072	1.0117
32	50.002	0.17319	0.89029	2.9681	6.1191	6.122	5.8718	0.24724	0.25014	1.0117
33	52.002	0.17319	0.89582	2.9681	6.1191	6.122	5.8718	0.24724	0.25014	1.0117
34	53.968	0.17319	0.90108	2.9681	6.1191	6.122	5.8724	0.24665	0.24955	1.0118

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 3

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.1191	6.122	5.8724	0.24665	0.24955	1.0118
2	0.012467	0	0.00083049	2.9681	6.1589	6.1613	5.8847	0.27413	0.27656	1.0089
3	0.050367	0	0.02879	2.9681	6.3333	6.3626	5.8906	0.44267	0.47198	1.0662
4	0.10037	0.0018327	0.048169	2.9681	6.3262	6.3585	5.8765	0.4497	0.48195	1.0717
5	0.2506	0.017411	0.087755	2.9681	6.3414	6.3679	5.8795	0.46193	0.48841	1.0573
6	0.50137	0.039404	0.13842	2.9681	6.3516	6.3685	5.8783	0.47329	0.49016	1.0357
7	1.0028	0.064146	0.21648	2.9681	6.3591	6.3696	5.8783	0.48081	0.49134	1.0219
8	2.0003	0.089804	0.33303	2.9681	6.3629	6.3702	5.8789	0.48398	0.49134	1.0152
9	4.0036	0.13379	0.50162	2.9681	6.3629	6.3702	5.8742	0.48867	0.49603	1.0151
10	6.0026	0.16678	0.62176	2.9681	6.3661	6.3702	5.8754	0.49071	0.49486	1.0085
11	8.0018	0.19977	0.71007	2.9681	6.3661	6.3702	5.8748	0.49129	0.49544	1.0084
12	10.001	0.20343	0.77734	2.9681	6.3666	6.3708	5.8742	0.49243	0.49662	1.0085
13	12.004	0.2071	0.82994	2.9681	6.3698	6.3708	5.8718	0.49798	0.49896	1.002
14	14.003	0.22084	0.87146	2.9681	6.3672	6.3714	5.8736	0.49356	0.49779	1.0086
15	16.002	0.23184	0.90441	2.9681	6.3672	6.3714	5.8724	0.49473	0.49896	1.0086
16	18.001	0.23642	0.93181	2.9681	6.3704	6.3714	5.873	0.49736	0.49838	1.002
17	20	0.24009	0.95534	2.9681	6.3704	6.3714	5.8724	0.49794	0.49896	1.002
18	22.003	0.24375	0.97527	2.9681	6.3709	6.372	5.8718	0.49908	0.50013	1.0021
19	24.003	0.24834	0.99271	2.9681	6.3704	6.3714	5.8724	0.49794	0.49896	1.002
20	26.002	0.25383	1.0071	2.9681	6.3704	6.3714	5.873	0.49736	0.49838	1.002
21	28.002	0.25658	1.0207	2.9681	6.3672	6.3714	5.8724	0.49473	0.49896	1.0086
22	30.002	0.2575	1.0329	2.9681	6.3704	6.3714	5.873	0.49736	0.49838	1.002
23	32.002	0.25842	1.0442	2.9681	6.3709	6.372	5.8718	0.49908	0.50013	1.0021
24	34.002	0.26025	1.0542	2.9681	6.3704	6.3714	5.873	0.49736	0.49838	1.002
25	36.001	0.26116	1.0636	2.9681	6.3704	6.3714	5.8718	0.49853	0.49955	1.002
26	38.001	0.26208	1.073	2.9681	6.3704	6.3714	5.8718	0.49853	0.49955	1.002
27	40.001	0.26391	1.0813	2.9681	6.3704	6.3714	5.8707	0.4997	0.50072	1.002
28	41.779	0.26391	1.0879	2.9681	6.3709	6.372	5.8724	0.49849	0.49955	1.0021

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 4

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.3709	6.372	5.8724	0.49849	0.49955	1.0021
2	0.012483	0	0.0011073	2.9681	6.4495	6.453	5.8941	0.55535	0.55884	1.0063
3	0.050367	0.0018327	0.041801	2.9681	6.8102	6.8649	5.897	0.91316	0.96788	1.0599
4	0.10045	0.0073309	0.075021	2.9681	6.7901	6.8503	5.8754	0.91478	0.9749	1.0657
5	0.25062	0.037571	0.13897	2.9681	6.8188	6.8638	5.8777	0.94106	0.98605	1.0478
6	0.5014	0.066895	0.22146	2.9681	6.8472	6.8667	5.8871	0.96011	0.9796	1.0203
7	1.0028	0.098967	0.34687	2.9681	6.8531	6.8696	5.883	0.97015	0.98664	1.017
8	2.0004	0.16861	0.53124	2.9681	6.859	6.869	5.873	0.986	0.99602	1.0102
9	4.0036	0.21626	0.78122	2.9681	6.8639	6.8708	5.8765	0.98733	0.99426	1.007
10	6.0026	0.26483	0.94233	2.9681	6.8665	6.8702	5.873	0.99351	0.99719	1.0037
11	8.0016	0.29507	1.0508	2.9681	6.8633	6.8702	5.8748	0.98854	0.99544	1.007
12	10.001	0.31706	1.127	2.9681	6.8665	6.8702	5.8724	0.9941	0.99778	1.0037
13	12.004	0.32898	1.1835	2.9681	6.8671	6.8708	5.8742	0.99289	0.99661	1.0037
14	14.003	0.33264	1.2266	2.9681	6.8708	6.8714	5.8736	0.99723	0.99778	1.0006
15	16.002	0.34089	1.2612	2.9681	6.8676	6.8714	5.873	0.99461	0.99837	1.0038
16	18.001	0.35372	1.2889	2.9681	6.8708	6.8714	5.8736	0.99723	0.99778	1.0006
17	20	0.36288	1.3125	2.9681	6.8708	6.8714	5.8736	0.99723	0.99778	1.0006
18	22.004	0.36746	1.3332	2.9681	6.8676	6.8714	5.873	0.99461	0.99837	1.0038
19	24.003	0.37479	1.3512	2.9681	6.8676	6.8714	5.8724	0.99519	0.99895	1.0038
20	26.003	0.38121	1.3675	2.9681	6.8682	6.872	5.8713	0.99691	1.0007	1.0038
21	28.002	0.38396	1.3825	2.9681	6.8714	6.872	5.873	0.99836	0.99895	1.0006
22	30.002	0.38671	1.3958	2.9681	6.8708	6.8714	5.8713	0.99958	1.0001	1.0005
23	32.002	0.38854	1.4082	2.9681	6.8676	6.8714	5.8707	0.99695	1.0007	1.0038
24	33.572	0.39129	1.4168	2.9681	6.8714	6.872	5.873	0.99836	0.99895	1.0006

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 5

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.8708	6.8714	5.873	0.99782	0.99837	1.0006
2	0.0125	0	0.0013842	2.9681	6.9941	6.997	5.8941	1.1	1.1028	1.0026
3	0.050383	0.01008	0.053428	2.9681	7.7292	7.8356	5.9117	1.8175	1.9239	1.0585
4	0.10045	0.021076	0.10741	2.9681	7.7217	7.8344	5.8754	1.8464	1.959	1.061
5	0.25068	0.048567	0.21122	2.9681	7.7805	7.8561	5.8871	1.8934	1.969	1.04
6	0.50137	0.1008	0.34272	2.9681	7.8363	7.8643	5.8742	1.9621	1.9901	1.0143
7	1.0028	0.17136	0.53567	2.9681	7.831	7.8655	5.8783	1.9527	1.9872	1.0177
8	2.0003	0.26025	0.8136	2.9681	7.8449	7.8667	5.8871	1.9578	1.9796	1.0111
9	4.0039	0.35097	1.1619	2.9681	7.8578	7.8702	5.8783	1.9795	1.9919	1.0063
10	6.003	0.42153	1.3567	2.9681	7.8605	7.8696	5.8759	1.9845	1.9937	1.0046
11	8.002	0.45818	1.4738	2.9681	7.861	7.8702	5.8736	1.9874	1.9966	1.0046
12	10.001	0.47468	1.5511	2.9681	7.8642	7.8702	5.8718	1.9924	1.9984	1.003
13	12	0.49392	1.6064	2.9681	7.8642	7.8702	5.8736	1.9906	1.9966	1.003
14	14.003	0.50675	1.6488	2.9681	7.8642	7.8702	5.8707	1.9936	1.9995	1.003
15	16.002	0.51866	1.6826	2.9681	7.8648	7.8708	5.8724	1.9924	1.9984	1.003
16	18.002	0.53058	1.7105	2.9681	7.8685	7.8714	5.8724	1.9961	1.9989	1.0014
17	20.001	0.53516	1.7346	2.9681	7.8653	7.8714	5.8748	1.9906	1.9966	1.003
18	22.001	0.54249	1.7562	2.9681	7.8691	7.872	5.8718	1.9972	2.0001	1.0014
19	24	0.54799	1.775	2.9681	7.8685	7.8714	5.8742	1.9944	1.9972	1.0014
20	26.004	0.55074	1.7925	2.9681	7.8685	7.8714	5.8736	1.9949	1.9978	1.0014
21	28.004	0.55257	1.808	2.9681	7.8685	7.8714	5.8736	1.9949	1.9978	1.0014
22	30.004	0.55715	1.8227	2.9681	7.8653	7.8714	5.873	1.9923	1.9984	1.003
23	30.083	0.55715	1.8229	2.9681	7.8685	7.8714	5.8742	1.9944	1.9972	1.0014

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.3423	6.3437	6.2716	0.070727	0.072115	1.0196
2	0.012567	0	0	2.9681	6.3494	6.3478	6.2745	0.074897	0.073287	0.97851
3	0.050217	0	0.0063671	2.9681	6.367	6.3665	6.2792	0.087772	0.087339	0.99507
4	0.1003	-0.0011106	0.014672	2.9681	6.3632	6.3694	6.2745	0.088687	0.094946	1.0706
5	0.25052	0	0.019655	2.9681	6.3675	6.3706	6.2733	0.094208	0.097287	1.0327
6	0.5013	0	0.024361	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	6.2727	0.095342	0.098457	1.0327
7	1.0027	0	0.030175	2.9681	6.3654	6.3718	6.2722	0.093222	0.099628	1.0687
8	2.0003	0	0.036818	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2722	0.096476	0.099628	1.0327
9	3.002	0.0011106	0.040694	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2722	0.096476	0.099628	1.0327
10	4.0038	0	0.043186	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325
11	5.0014	0.0011106	0.04457	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325
12	6.0031	0.0011106	0.045954	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	6.2722	0.095927	0.099042	1.0325
13	7.0007	0.0011106	0.046784	2.9681	6.3719	6.3718	6.2716	0.10031	0.10021	0.99898
14	8.0025	0.0011106	0.047615	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325
15	9.0002	0.0011106	0.048169	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325
16	10.002	0.0011106	0.048722	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325
17	11.004	0	0.049276	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325
18	12.001	0.0011106	0.049276	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325
19	13.003	0	0.049829	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325
20	14.001	0.0011106	0.05066	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325
21	15.003	0.0011106	0.050937	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325
22	16	0.0011106	0.051214	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325
23	17.002	0.0011106	0.05149	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325
24	18.004	0.0011106	0.052044	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.271	0.097646	0.1008	1.0323
25	19.001	0.0022211	0.052044	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325
26	20.003	0.0011106	0.052321	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325
27	21.001	0.0022211	0.052321	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325
28	22.003	0.0022211	0.052321	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2722	0.096476	0.099628	1.0327
29	23.001	0.0022211	0.053151	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.271	0.097646	0.1008	1.0323
30	24.003	0.0011106	0.053151	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325
31	25.001	0.0011106	0.053151	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325
32	26.002	0.0011106	0.053151	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2722	0.096476	0.099628	1.0327
33	26.032	0.0011106	0.053151	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	6.2716	0.097061	0.10021	1.0325
2	0.012483	0	0.00083049	2.9681	6.3938	6.3952	6.2868	0.10705	0.10842	1.0128
3	0.050383	0	0.033773	2.9681	6.5073	6.5093	6.2944	0.21293	0.21496	1.0095
4	0.10038	0	0.055366	2.9681	6.5052	6.5105	6.278	0.22715	0.23251	1.0236
5	0.2506	0.0022211	0.087478	2.9681	6.5117	6.5175	6.2792	0.23257	0.23837	1.0249
6	0.50142	0.0055528	0.12097	2.9681	6.515	6.5211	6.2768	0.2382	0.24422	1.0253
7	1.0028	0.009995	0.16721	2.9681	6.5145	6.5205	6.2739	0.24058	0.24656	1.0249
8	2.0004	0.015548	0.23226	2.9681	6.5166	6.5193	6.2745	0.24215	0.2448	1.011
9	3.0021	0.017769	0.28154	2.9681	6.5139	6.5199	6.2733	0.24061	0.24656	1.0247
10	4.0039	0.023322	0.32085	2.9681	6.5177	6.5205	6.2727	0.245	0.24773	1.0111
11	5.0017	0.024432	0.35324	2.9681	6.5177	6.5205	6.2727	0.245	0.24773	1.0111
12	6.0034	0.025543	0.38092	2.9681	6.5172	6.5199	6.2727	0.24445	0.24714	1.011
13	7.0012	0.026653	0.40473	2.9681	6.5145	6.5205	6.2722	0.24233	0.24831	1.0247
14	8.003	0.028874	0.42521	2.9681	6.5177	6.5205	6.2733	0.24442	0.24714	1.0112
15	9.0006	0.029985	0.44348	2.9681	6.5177	6.5205	6.2716	0.24617	0.2489	1.0111
16	10.002	0.032206	0.45898	2.9681	6.5145	6.5205	6.2722	0.24233	0.24831	1.0247
17	11	0.033317	0.47283	2.9681	6.515	6.5211	6.2716	0.24346	0.24948	1.0247
18	12.002	0.034427	0.48501	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.2716	0.24672	0.24948	1.0112
19	13.004	0.034427	0.49608	2.9681	6.5177	6.5205	6.2704	0.24734	0.25007	1.011
20	14.002	0.034427	0.50577	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.2722	0.24613	0.2489	1.0112
21	15.004	0.035538	0.5149	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.2716	0.24672	0.24948	1.0112
22	16.001	0.035538	0.52293	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.2716	0.24672	0.24948	1.0112
23	17.004	0.035538	0.53041	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.2716	0.24672	0.24948	1.0112
24	18.001	0.036648	0.53705	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.2722	0.24613	0.2489	1.0112
25	19.003	0.036648	0.54342	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.2722	0.24613	0.2489	1.0112
26	20.001	0.036648	0.54923	2.9681	6.515	6.5211	6.2722	0.24288	0.2489	1.0248
27	21.003	0.036648	0.55477	2.9681	6.515	6.5211	6.271	0.24405	0.25007	1.0247
28	22.001	0.036648	0.55975	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.2698	0.24847	0.25124	1.0111
29	23.003	0.036648	0.56418	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.271	0.2473	0.25007	1.0112
30	24	0.037759	0.56861	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.2716	0.24672	0.24948	1.0112
31	25.002	0.037759	0.57249	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2722	0.24668	0.24948	1.0114
32	26	0.037759	0.57608	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2727	0.2461	0.2489	1.0114
33	27.002	0.037759	0.58024	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2716	0.24727	0.25007	1.0113
34	28.004	0.037759	0.58356	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2722	0.24668	0.24948	1.0114
35	29.002	0.037759	0.58688	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2722	0.24668	0.24948	1.0114
36	30.004	0.038869	0.58937	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.2716	0.24672	0.24948	1.0112
37	31.001	0.038869	0.59297	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2722	0.24668	0.24948	1.0114
38	32.003	0.038869	0.59602	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.271	0.2473	0.25007	1.0112
39	33.001	0.03998	0.59878	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.271	0.2473	0.25007	1.0112
40	34.002	0.03998	0.60045	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2722	0.24668	0.24948	1.0114
41	35.004	0.03998	0.60294	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2716	0.24727	0.25007	1.0113
42	36.002	0.03998	0.60598	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2722	0.24668	0.24948	1.0114
43	37.004	0.03998	0.60875	2.9681	6.5183	6.5211	6.271	0.2473	0.25007	1.0112
44	38.001	0.03998	0.61041	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2716	0.24727	0.25007	1.0113
45	39.003	0.03998	0.61318	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2716	0.24727	0.25007	1.0113
46	40.001	0.03998	0.61512	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2722	0.24668	0.24948	1.0114
47	41.002	0.03998	0.61761	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2722	0.24668	0.24948	1.0114
48	41.311	0.03998	0.61789	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2722	0.24668	0.24948	1.0114

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 3

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.5188	6.5216	6.2716	0.24727	0.25007	1.0113
2	0.0125	0	0.00083049	2.9681	6.5621	6.5609	6.2903	0.27181	0.27057	0.99542
3	0.050383	0.0033317	0.039033	2.9681	6.7487	6.7634	6.2967	0.45194	0.46667	1.0326
4	0.10045	0.009995	0.069484	2.9681	6.7361	6.7535	6.2774	0.45867	0.47603	1.0378
5	0.25068	0.031096	0.12513	2.9681	6.7541	6.7657	6.285	0.46909	0.48071	1.0248
6	0.50143	0.052196	0.18658	2.9681	6.7601	6.7687	6.2745	0.48562	0.49417	1.0176
7	1.0028	0.071076	0.27351	2.9681	6.7618	6.7704	6.2722	0.4896	0.49827	1.0177
8	2.0004	0.098839	0.39282	2.9681	6.7634	6.7687	6.2733	0.49004	0.49534	1.0108
9	3.0022	0.12216	0.48335	2.9681	6.7628	6.7681	6.2722	0.49066	0.49593	1.0107
10	4.0041	0.14104	0.55615	2.9681	6.7634	6.7687	6.2722	0.49121	0.49651	1.0108
11	5.0017	0.15659	0.61539	2.9681	6.765	6.7704	6.2745	0.49052	0.49593	1.011
12	6.0035	0.16658	0.66495	2.9681	6.7645	6.7698	6.2727	0.49173	0.4971	1.0109
13	7.001	0.17325	0.70564	2.9681	6.7672	6.7693	6.2727	0.49443	0.49651	1.0042
14	8.0028	0.17658	0.74024	2.9681	6.7677	6.7698	6.2739	0.49381	0.49593	1.0043
15	9.0004	0.18102	0.77014	2.9681	6.7683	6.7704	6.2733	0.49494	0.4971	1.0044
16	10.002	0.18324	0.79589	2.9681	6.7683	6.7704	6.2733	0.49494	0.4971	1.0044
17	11.004	0.18324	0.81776	2.9681	6.765	6.7704	6.2733	0.49169	0.4971	1.011
18	12.002	0.18435	0.83714	2.9681	6.765	6.7704	6.2733	0.49169	0.4971	1.011
19	13.004	0.18435	0.85458	2.9681	6.7688	6.771	6.2733	0.49549	0.49768	1.0044
20	14.002	0.18546	0.8698	2.9681	6.7683	6.7704	6.2722	0.49611	0.49827	1.0043
21	15.003	0.18546	0.88337	2.9681	6.7688	6.771	6.2722	0.49666	0.49885	1.0044
22	16.002	0.18657	0.89555	2.9681	6.7688	6.771	6.2727	0.49608	0.49827	1.0044
23	17.003	0.18657	0.90662	2.9681	6.7688	6.771	6.2722	0.49666	0.49885	1.0044
24	18.001	0.18879	0.91686	2.9681	6.7688	6.771	6.2716	0.49725	0.49944	1.0044
25	19.003	0.19102	0.926	2.9681	6.7694	6.7716	6.2722	0.49721	0.49944	1.0045
26	20.001	0.19213	0.93458	2.9681	6.7688	6.771	6.2722	0.49666	0.49885	1.0044
27	21.003	0.19546	0.94233	2.9681	6.7688	6.771	6.2722	0.49666	0.49885	1.0044
28	22.001	0.19657	0.94981	2.9681	6.7656	6.771	6.271	0.49458	0.50002	1.011
29	23.003	0.19768	0.95645	2.9681	6.7688	6.771	6.271	0.49783	0.50002	1.0044
30	24	0.19879	0.96254	2.9681	6.7656	6.771	6.2722	0.49341	0.49885	1.011
31	25.002	0.19879	0.9678	2.9681	6.7688	6.771	6.2716	0.49725	0.49944	1.0044
32	26	0.1999	0.97334	2.9681	6.7656	6.771	6.2716	0.49399	0.49944	1.011
33	27.002	0.1999	0.97943	2.9681	6.7688	6.771	6.2716	0.49725	0.49944	1.0044
34	28.004	0.20101	0.98441	2.9681	6.7688	6.771	6.271	0.49783	0.50002	1.0044
35	29.002	0.20212	0.98884	2.9681	6.7694	6.7716	6.2716	0.4978	0.50002	1.0045
36	30.004	0.20323	0.99271	2.9681	6.7694	6.7716	6.2722	0.49721	0.49944	1.0045
37	31.001	0.20545	0.9977	2.9681	6.7694	6.7716	6.2727	0.49662	0.49885	1.0045
38	32.003	0.20656	1.0018	2.9681	6.7694	6.7716	6.2727	0.49662	0.49885	1.0045
39	33.001	0.20767	1.006	2.9681	6.7694	6.7716	6.2704	0.49897	0.50119	1.0045
40	34.003	0.20878	1.0096	2.9681	6.7694	6.7716	6.2722	0.49721	0.49944	1.0045
41	35	0.20989	1.0126	2.9681	6.7694	6.7716	6.2722	0.49721	0.49944	1.0045
42	36.002	0.20989	1.0162	2.9681	6.7688	6.771	6.2722	0.49666	0.49885	1.0044
43	37.004	0.21101	1.0198	2.9681	6.7694	6.7716	6.2716	0.4978	0.50002	1.0045
44	38.001	0.21212	1.0234	2.9681	6.7699	6.7722	6.2716	0.49834	0.50061	1.0045
45	38.695	0.21212	1.0254	2.9681	6.7694	6.7716	6.2716	0.4978	0.50002	1.0045

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 4

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.7694	6.7716	6.2716	0.4978	0.50002	1.0045
2	0.012483	0	0.0013842	2.9681	6.8538	6.8547	6.3055	0.54827	0.54921	1.0017
3	0.050383	0.011106	0.055643	2.9681	7.2237	7.2668	6.3073	0.91647	0.95956	1.047
4	0.10045	0.028874	0.10464	2.9681	7.2137	7.2422	6.278	0.9357	0.96423	1.0305
5	0.25068	0.077739	0.19489	2.9681	7.2475	7.261	6.2891	0.95841	0.97184	1.014
6	0.50138	0.10772	0.29344	2.9681	7.2541	7.268	6.2733	0.98079	0.99466	1.0141
7	1.0028	0.14104	0.42936	2.9681	7.2628	7.2703	6.2733	0.98949	0.99701	1.0076
8	2.0005	0.18657	0.61567	2.9681	7.2628	7.2668	6.2792	0.9836	0.98764	1.0041
9	3.0023	0.20323	0.75187	2.9681	7.2612	7.2686	6.2727	0.98843	0.99583	1.0075
10	4.0041	0.23988	0.85679	2.9681	7.265	7.2692	6.2751	0.98989	0.99408	1.0042
11	5.0017	0.26209	0.93929	2.9681	7.265	7.2692	6.2751	0.98989	0.99408	1.0042
12	6.0035	0.27542	1.0054	2.9681	7.2666	7.2709	6.2722	0.99446	0.99876	1.0043
13	7.001	0.28541	1.0589	2.9681	7.2655	7.2697	6.271	0.99454	0.99876	1.0042
14	8.0028	0.2943	1.1032	2.9681	7.2661	7.2703	6.2727	0.99333	0.99759	1.0043
15	9.0004	0.3054	1.1394	2.9681	7.2655	7.2697	6.2751	0.99044	0.99467	1.0043
16	10.002	0.31096	1.1704	2.9681	7.2693	7.2703	6.2739	0.99541	0.99642	1.001
17	11.004	0.31651	1.1973	2.9681	7.2693	7.2703	6.2704	0.99892	0.99993	1.001
18	12.002	0.31762	1.2203	2.9681	7.2693	7.2703	6.2733	0.996	0.99701	1.001
19	13.003	0.32095	1.2405	2.9681	7.2699	7.2709	6.2733	0.99655	0.99759	1.001
20	14.001	0.3265	1.2587	2.9681	7.2666	7.2709	6.2739	0.99271	0.99701	1.0043
21	15.003	0.32872	1.2751	2.9681	7.2699	7.2709	6.2733	0.99655	0.99759	1.001
22	16	0.3365	1.2898	2.9681	7.2693	7.2703	6.2727	0.99658	0.99759	1.001
23	17.003	0.33983	1.303	2.9681	7.2699	7.2709	6.2722	0.99772	0.99876	1.001
24	18	0.34316	1.3149	2.9681	7.2666	7.2709	6.2733	0.99329	0.99759	1.0043
25	19.002	0.34427	1.3263	2.9681	7.2699	7.2709	6.2716	0.9983	0.99935	1.001
26	20	0.34649	1.3365	2.9681	7.2666	7.2709	6.2727	0.99388	0.99818	1.0043
27	21.002	0.34871	1.3462	2.9681	7.2666	7.2709	6.2716	0.99505	0.99935	1.0043
28	22.004	0.34871	1.3551	2.9681	7.2699	7.2709	6.2733	0.99655	0.99759	1.001
29	23.002	0.34982	1.3639	2.9681	7.2699	7.2709	6.2716	0.9983	0.99935	1.001
30	24.004	0.35094	1.3717	2.9681	7.2704	7.2715	6.2716	0.99885	0.99993	1.0011
31	25.002	0.35205	1.3794	2.9681	7.2666	7.2709	6.2716	0.99505	0.99935	1.0043
32	26.003	0.35316	1.3866	2.9681	7.2699	7.2709	6.2722	0.99772	0.99876	1.001
33	27.001	0.35427	1.3938	2.9681	7.2699	7.2709	6.2727	0.99713	0.99818	1.001
34	28.003	0.35427	1.4002	2.9681	7.2699	7.2709	6.2716	0.9983	0.99935	1.001
35	29	0.35538	1.4063	2.9681	7.2704	7.2715	6.2727	0.99768	0.99876	1.0011
36	30.002	0.35538	1.4124	2.9681	7.2672	7.2715	6.2722	0.99501	0.99935	1.0044
37	31.004	0.35649	1.4182	2.9681	7.2699	7.2709	6.271	0.99889	0.99993	1.001
38	32.002	0.3576	1.4237	2.9681	7.2666	7.2709	6.2716	0.99505	0.99935	1.0043
39	33.003	0.3576	1.4293	2.9681	7.2704	7.2715	6.2704	1	1.0011	1.0011
40	34.001	0.35871	1.4343	2.9681	7.2699	7.2709	6.2727	0.99713	0.99818	1.001
41	34.364	0.35871	1.4359	2.9681	7.2699	7.2709	6.2722	0.99772	0.99876	1.001

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 5

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	7.2704	7.2715	6.2727	0.99768	0.99876	1.0011
2	0.0125	0	0.0013842	2.9681	7.3927	7.395	6.3014	1.0913	1.0936	1.0021
3	0.050383	0.015548	0.070869	2.9681	8.1556	8.2438	6.3324	1.8232	1.9114	1.0484
4	0.1005	0.047754	0.15198	2.9681	8.1771	8.2216	6.2833	1.8938	1.9383	1.0235
5	0.2507	0.10883	0.2987	2.9681	8.2441	8.2514	6.3014	1.9427	1.95	1.0038
6	0.50137	0.1788	0.45788	2.9681	8.2567	8.2649	6.3002	1.9565	1.9646	1.0042
7	1.0028	0.23211	0.68211	2.9681	8.2568	8.2684	6.278	1.9788	1.9904	1.0059
8	2.0003	0.32095	0.98607	2.9681	8.2551	8.2666	6.2809	1.9742	1.9857	1.0058
9	3.0021	0.3787	1.2067	2.9681	8.2617	8.2702	6.2833	1.9784	1.9869	1.0043
10	4.0039	0.41646	1.3753	2.9681	8.2617	8.2702	6.2815	1.9802	1.9886	1.0043
11	5.0017	0.45311	1.506	2.9681	8.2655	8.2707	6.2733	1.9921	1.9974	1.0026
12	6.0035	0.49642	1.6089	2.9681	8.2617	8.2702	6.2733	1.9883	1.9968	1.0043
13	7.0011	0.50863	1.6923	2.9681	8.2644	8.2696	6.2751	1.9893	1.9945	1.0026
14	8.0028	0.52196	1.7615	2.9681	8.2655	8.2707	6.2751	1.9904	1.9957	1.0026
15	9.0006	0.5364	1.8177	2.9681	8.2644	8.2696	6.2722	1.9922	1.9974	1.0026
16	10.002	0.5475	1.8658	2.9681	8.2655	8.2707	6.2733	1.9921	1.9974	1.0026
17	11	0.55972	1.9068	2.9681	8.2649	8.2702	6.2739	1.991	1.9962	1.0026
18	12.002	0.5686	1.9428	2.9681	8.2687	8.2707	6.2727	1.996	1.998	1.001
19	13	0.5786	1.9738	2.9681	8.2655	8.2707	6.2733	1.9921	1.9974	1.0026
20	14.002	0.58415	2.0015	2.9681	8.2682	8.2702	6.2739	1.9943	1.9962	1.001
21	15.004	0.59304	2.0264	2.9681	8.2687	8.2707	6.2739	1.9948	1.9968	1.001
22	16.001	0.5997	2.0488	2.9681	8.2655	8.2707	6.2739	1.9916	1.9968	1.0026
23	17.003	0.60747	2.0693	2.9681	8.266	8.2713	6.2739	1.9921	1.9974	1.0027
24	18.001	0.61081	2.0881	2.9681	8.2687	8.2707	6.2733	1.9954	1.9974	1.001
25	19.003	0.61303	2.1056	2.9681	8.2687	8.2707	6.2733	1.9954	1.9974	1.001
26	20.001	0.61858	2.1216	2.9681	8.2693	8.2713	6.2745	1.9948	1.9968	1.001
27	21.003	0.62302	2.1371	2.9681	8.266	8.2713	6.2698	1.9962	2.0015	1.0027
28	22	0.62635	2.1507	2.9681	8.2693	8.2713	6.2739	1.9954	1.9974	1.001
29	23.002	0.62968	2.164	2.9681	8.266	8.2713	6.2727	1.9933	1.9986	1.0027
30	24.004	0.63413	2.1767	2.9681	8.2693	8.2713	6.2733	1.996	1.998	1.001
31	25.001	0.63968	2.1886	2.9681	8.266	8.2713	6.2704	1.9956	2.0009	1.0027
32	26.003	0.64523	2.1997	2.9681	8.266	8.2713	6.2722	1.9939	1.9992	1.0027
33	27.001	0.6519	2.2102	2.9681	8.2693	8.2713	6.2739	1.9954	1.9974	1.001
34	28.003	0.65412	2.2207	2.9681	8.2693	8.2713	6.271	1.9983	2.0003	1.001
35	29	0.65523	2.2307	2.9681	8.2693	8.2713	6.2692	2	2.0021	1.001
36	30.002	0.65634	2.2401	2.9681	8.266	8.2713	6.2733	1.9927	1.998	1.0027
37	31.004	0.65856	2.2492	2.9681	8.266	8.2713	6.2739	1.9921	1.9974	1.0027
38	32.002	0.65856	2.2584	2.9681	8.266	8.2713	6.2681	1.998	2.0033	1.0027
39	33.003	0.65967	2.2667	2.9681	8.266	8.2713	6.2716	1.9945	1.9997	1.0027
40	34.001	0.65967	2.275	2.9681	8.2698	8.2719	6.2716	1.9983	2.0003	1.001
41	35.003	0.65967	2.283	2.9681	8.266	8.2713	6.2722	1.9939	1.9992	1.0027
42	35.1	0.65967	2.2839	2.9681	8.2693	8.2713	6.2704	1.9989	2.0009	1.001

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 6

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.2693	8.2713	6.271	1.9983	2.0003	1.001
2	0.012483	0	0.00055366	2.9681	8.3915	8.3948	6.295	2.0966	2.0999	1.0016
3	0.050383	0.0066633	0.062564	2.9681	9.4645	9.5779	6.326	3.1385	3.2519	1.0361
4	0.10045	0.054417	0.15613	2.9681	9.6632	9.7031	6.2932	3.37	3.4099	1.0118
5	0.25067	0.13327	0.32445	2.9681	9.7196	9.7529	6.316	3.4036	3.4369	1.0098
6	0.50137	0.20212	0.50881	2.9681	9.7262	9.7599	6.2961	3.4301	3.4638	1.0098
7	1.0028	0.30318	0.7682	2.9681	9.7426	9.767	6.2745	3.4681	3.4925	1.007
8	2.0003	0.41979	1.1203	2.9681	9.7616	9.7699	6.2763	3.4853	3.4936	1.0024
9	3.0021	0.49309	1.3686	2.9681	9.7556	9.767	6.2792	3.4764	3.4878	1.0033
10	4.0038	0.55417	1.5527	2.9681	9.7632	9.7681	6.2763	3.4869	3.4919	1.0014
11	5.0014	0.61303	1.6914	2.9681	9.7594	9.7675	6.2763	3.4831	3.4913	1.0023
12	6.0032	0.63524	1.8002	2.9681	9.767	9.7722	6.278	3.489	3.4942	1.0015
13	7.0009	0.67633	1.8869	2.9681	9.7648	9.7699	6.2727	3.4921	3.4971	1.0014
14	8.0027	0.7052	1.9575	2.9681	9.7648	9.7699	6.2751	3.4898	3.4948	1.0014
15	9.0003	0.72741	2.015	2.9681	9.7648	9.7699	6.2745	3.4903	3.4954	1.0014
16	10.002	0.7363	2.0638	2.9681	9.7648	9.7699	6.2722	3.4927	3.4977	1.0014
17	11.004	0.7474	2.1053	2.9681	9.7654	9.7705	6.2745	3.4909	3.496	1.0015
18	12.001	0.76628	2.1418	2.9681	9.7665	9.7716	6.2733	3.4932	3.4983	1.0015
19	13.003	0.77739	2.1737	2.9681	9.7621	9.7705	6.2739	3.4882	3.4965	1.0024
20	14.001	0.79738	2.2019	2.9681	9.7648	9.7699	6.2751	3.4898	3.4948	1.0014
21	15.003	0.81515	2.2274	2.9681	9.7654	9.7705	6.2733	3.4921	3.4971	1.0015
22	16	0.82625	2.2506	2.9681	9.7686	9.7705	6.2739	3.4947	3.4965	1.0005
23	17.002	0.8307	2.2719	2.9681	9.7659	9.771	6.2727	3.4932	3.4983	1.0015
24	18.004	0.83403	2.2916	2.9681	9.7659	9.771	6.2692	3.4967	3.5018	1.0015
25	19.001	0.83514	2.3096	2.9681	9.7654	9.7705	6.2722	3.4932	3.4983	1.0015
26	20.003	0.83625	2.3265	2.9681	9.7654	9.7705	6.2739	3.4915	3.4965	1.0015
27	21.001	0.83847	2.3425	2.9681	9.7686	9.7705	6.2722	3.4965	3.4983	1.0005
28	22.003	0.83958	2.3575	2.9681	9.7665	9.7716	6.2722	3.4943	3.4995	1.0015
29	23.001	0.8418	2.3713	2.9681	9.7692	9.771	6.2733	3.4959	3.4977	1.0005
30	24.003	0.84624	2.3846	2.9681	9.7692	9.771	6.2739	3.4953	3.4971	1.0005
31	25	0.8518	2.3971	2.9681	9.7659	9.771	6.2727	3.4932	3.4983	1.0015
32	26.003	0.85624	2.409	2.9681	9.7692	9.771	6.2727	3.4964	3.4983	1.0005
33	27	0.85957	2.4203	2.9681	9.7659	9.771	6.2722	3.4938	3.4989	1.0015
34	28.002	0.86512	2.4311	2.9681	9.7665	9.7716	6.2727	3.4937	3.4989	1.0015
35	29	0.86956	2.4416	2.9681	9.7692	9.771	6.271	3.4982	3.5001	1.0005
36	30.002	0.87512	2.4516	2.9681	9.7659	9.771	6.2716	3.4944	3.4995	1.0015
37	31.004	0.87734	2.4613	2.9681	9.7659	9.771	6.2704	3.4955	3.5006	1.0015
38	32.001	0.87956	2.4704	2.9681	9.7659	9.771	6.2698	3.4961	3.5012	1.0015
39	33.003	0.88178	2.4793	2.9681	9.7659	9.771	6.2727	3.4932	3.4983	1.0015
40	33.509	0.88289	2.484	2.9681	9.7692	9.771	6.2698	3.4994	3.5012	1.0005

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	5.9416	5.9439	5.872	0.069572	0.071884	1.0332
2	0.01255	0	0	2.9681	5.9449	5.9474	5.8738	0.071108	0.073641	1.0356
3	0.05005	0	0.0044293	2.9681	5.9717	5.9691	5.8755	0.09616	0.093545	0.9728
4	0.10012	0	0.0071976	2.9681	5.9695	5.9702	5.8726	0.096928	0.097641	1.0073
5	0.25035	0	0.010796	2.9681	5.9701	5.9708	5.8732	0.096892	0.097641	1.0077
6	0.5012	0	0.014672	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.8732	0.097441	0.098226	1.0081
7	1.0026	0.0011106	0.020209	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.8732	0.097441	0.098226	1.0081
8	2.0002	0.0011106	0.029067	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.8732	0.097441	0.098226	1.0081
9	3.002	0.0011106	0.036265	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.872	0.098611	0.099396	1.008
10	4.0037	0.0011106	0.042355	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.8714	0.099196	0.099981	1.0079
11	5.0013	0.0011106	0.047338	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8732	0.097989	0.098812	1.0084
12	6.0031	0.0011106	0.052321	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8714	0.099744	0.10057	1.0082
13	7.0007	0	0.056197	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.872	0.098611	0.099396	1.008
14	8.0025	0	0.060072	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.8726	0.098026	0.098811	1.008
15	9.0001	0	0.063948	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
16	10.002	0	0.067547	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8708	0.10033	0.10115	1.0082
17	11.004	0.0011106	0.070038	2.9681	5.9717	5.9726	5.8714	0.10029	0.10115	1.0086
18	12.002	0.0011106	0.073914	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.8714	0.099196	0.099981	1.0079
19	13.003	0.0011106	0.076959	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
20	14.001	0.0011106	0.07945	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
21	15.003	0.0011106	0.081942	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
22	16	0.0011106	0.084433	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
23	17.002	0.0011106	0.086648	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
24	18.004	0.0011106	0.088586	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8714	0.099744	0.10057	1.0082
25	19.001	0.0011106	0.0908	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8732	0.097989	0.098812	1.0084
26	20.003	0.0011106	0.093015	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
27	21.001	0	0.095507	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
28	22.003	0	0.097168	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8714	0.099744	0.10057	1.0082
29	23	0	0.098552	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
30	24.002	0.0011106	0.10104	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8714	0.099744	0.10057	1.0082
31	25.004	0	0.1027	2.9681	5.9744	5.972	5.872	0.10241	0.099982	0.97626
32	26.002	0.0011106	0.10437	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
33	27.003	0.0011106	0.10658	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8708	0.10033	0.10115	1.0082
34	28.001	0.0011106	0.10769	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
35	29.003	0.0011106	0.10935	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
36	30	0.0011106	0.11073	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
37	31.002	0.0011106	0.11239	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
38	32.004	0.0011106	0.11378	2.9681	5.9679	5.972	5.872	0.095905	0.099982	1.0425
39	33.002	0.0011106	0.11599	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
40	34.003	0.0011106	0.11738	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.872	0.098611	0.099396	1.008
41	35.001	0.0011106	0.11876	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
42	36.003	0.0011106	0.11959	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
43	37.002	0.0011106	0.12097	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
44	38.004	0.0011106	0.12319	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
45	39.003	0.0011106	0.12374	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
46	40.001	0.0011106	0.12568	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
47	41.004	0.0011106	0.12707	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.872	0.098611	0.099396	1.008
48	42.001	0.0011106	0.12817	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
49	43.004	0.0011106	0.12956	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8714	0.099744	0.10057	1.0082
50	44.002	0.0011106	0.12983	2.9681	5.9679	5.972	5.872	0.095905	0.099982	1.0425
51	45	0.0011106	0.13122	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8714	0.099744	0.10057	1.0082
52	46.002	0.0011106	0.13288	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
53	47.004	0.0011106	0.13316	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.872	0.098611	0.099396	1.008
54	48.002	0.0011106	0.13426	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
55	49.003	0.0011106	0.13537	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
56	50.001	0.0011106	0.13731	2.9681	5.9744	5.972	5.8714	0.103	0.10057	0.97639
57	51.003	0.0011106	0.13786	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
58	52.001	0.0011106	0.1398	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8714	0.099744	0.10057	1.0082
59	53.002	0	0.14008	2.9681	5.9744	5.972	5.872	0.10241	0.099982	0.97626
60	54.004	0.0011106	0.14091	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
61	55.002	0.0011106	0.14257	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
62	56.004	0	0.14284	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
63	57.001	0	0.14368	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
64	58.003	0	0.14561	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.8702	0.10037	0.10115	1.0078
65	59	0	0.14589	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
66	60.002	0	0.147	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
67	61.004	0	0.1481	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8714	0.099744	0.10057	1.0082
68	62.002	0	0.14783	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8714	0.099744	0.10057	1.0082
69	63.004	0	0.14921	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.8708	0.099781	0.10057	1.0079
70	64.002	0.0011106	0.14977	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
71	65.004	0	0.15115	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
72	66.002	0	0.15143	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
73	67.004	0	0.15309	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.8708	0.099781	0.10057	1.0079
74	68.002	0	0.15392	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
75	69.004	0.0011106	0.15503	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8708	0.10033	0.10115	1.0082
76	70.001	0.0011106	0.15503	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
77	71.003	0	0.15641	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
78	72.001	0.0011106	0.15669	2.9681	5.9679	5.972	5.8708	0.097075	0.10115	1.042
79	73.003	0.0011106	0.15779	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
80	74.001	0.0011106	0.15835	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
81	75.003	0.0011106	0.15835	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.8726	0.098026	0.098811	1.008
82	76	0.0011106	0.1589	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
83	77.002	0	0.16056	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
84	78.004	0.0011106	0.16056	2.9681	5.9717	5.9726	5.872	0.099708	0.10057	1.0086
85	79.001	0.0011106	0.16222	2.9681	5.9744	5.972	5.8726	0.10183	0.099397	0.97612
86	80.003	0	0.16222	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
87	81.001	0	0.16333	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
88	82.003	0	0.16416	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
89	83.001	0.0011106	0.16388	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
90	84.002	0.0011106	0.16554	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
91	85.004	0.0011106	0.16582	2.9681	5.9679	5.972	5.8726	0.09532	0.099397	1.0428
92	86.002	0.0011106	0.1661	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083

93	87.004	0.0011106	0.16638	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.872	0.098611	0.099396	1.008
94	88.002	0.0011106	0.16721	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
95	89.003	0.0022211	0.16859	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
96	90.001	0.0011106	0.16887	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
97	91.004	0.0011106	0.16887	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
98	92.001	0.0011106	0.1708	2.9681	5.9744	5.972	5.8714	0.103	0.10057	0.97639
99	93.003	0.0011106	0.17053	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
100	94.001	0.0011106	0.17163	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
101	95.002	0.0011106	0.17163	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
102	96	0	0.17219	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.872	0.098611	0.099396	1.008
103	97.002	0.0011106	0.17274	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
104	98.004	0.0011106	0.1733	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
105	99.002	0.0011106	0.17357	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.872	0.098611	0.099396	1.008
106	100	0.0011106	0.17468	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.872	0.098611	0.099396	1.008
107	101	0.0011106	0.17523	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
108	102	0.0011106	0.17496	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.872	0.098611	0.099396	1.008
109	103	0.0011106	0.17551	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
110	104	0.0011106	0.17606	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
111	105	0.0011106	0.17773	2.9681	5.9744	5.972	5.872	0.10241	0.099982	0.97626
112	106	0.0011106	0.17856	2.9681	5.9717	5.9726	5.872	0.099708	0.10057	1.0086
113	107	0	0.17856	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
114	108	0.0011106	0.17939	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
115	109	0.0011106	0.17939	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
116	110	0.0011106	0.17939	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
117	111	0.0011106	0.18077	2.9681	5.9717	5.9726	5.872	0.099708	0.10057	1.0086
118	112	0.0011106	0.18132	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
119	113	0.0011106	0.18188	2.9681	5.9674	5.9714	5.872	0.095357	0.099396	1.0424
120	114	0.0011106	0.18215	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
121	115	0.0011106	0.18271	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
122	116	0.0011106	0.18215	2.9681	5.9679	5.972	5.872	0.095905	0.099982	1.0425
123	117	0.0011106	0.18326	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
124	118	0.0011106	0.18326	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
125	119	0.0011106	0.18382	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
126	120	0.0011106	0.18465	2.9681	5.975	5.9726	5.8726	0.10238	0.099982	0.97661
127	121	0	0.18465	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
128	122	0.0011106	0.18603	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
129	123	0	0.18548	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
130	124	0.0011106	0.18575	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8714	0.099744	0.10057	1.0082
131	125	0.0011106	0.18658	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.872	0.098611	0.099396	1.008
132	126	0.0011106	0.18686	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
133	127	0.0011106	0.18797	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
134	128	0.0011106	0.18824	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
135	129	0.0022211	0.18797	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
136	130	0.0022211	0.18824	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
137	131	0.0022211	0.18963	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
138	132	0.0011106	0.18935	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
139	133	0.0011106	0.18991	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
140	134	0.0011106	0.19101	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
141	135	0.0011106	0.19074	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
142	136	0.0011106	0.19101	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
143	136.76	0.0011106	0.1924	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
2	0.012483	0	0.00055366	2.9681	6.0002	5.996	5.8855	0.1147	0.11053	0.96357
3	0.050533	0	0.021316	2.9681	6.1316	6.1189	5.8831	0.24852	0.23579	0.94878
4	0.10062	-0.0011106	0.034604	2.9681	6.1099	6.1131	5.8743	0.23554	0.23872	1.0135
5	0.25083	0	0.06118	2.9681	6.1159	6.116	5.8743	0.24154	0.24164	1.0004
6	0.50168	-0.0011106	0.09523	2.9681	6.1203	6.1207	5.8778	0.24242	0.24282	1.0016
7	1.0032	-0.0011106	0.15143	2.9681	6.1192	6.1195	5.8773	0.24191	0.24223	1.0013
8	2.0008	-0.0011106	0.24278	2.9681	6.1186	6.1189	5.8749	0.2437	0.24399	1.0012
9	3.0026	-0.0011106	0.31946	2.9681	6.1165	6.1201	5.872	0.24447	0.24808	1.0148
10	4.0002	-0.0011106	0.38673	2.9681	6.1203	6.1207	5.8738	0.24651	0.24691	1.0016
11	5.0019	-0.0011106	0.44653	2.9681	6.1197	6.1201	5.8749	0.2448	0.24516	1.0015
12	6.0037	0	0.50134	2.9681	6.1192	6.1195	5.8749	0.24425	0.24457	1.0013
13	7.0013	0	0.55117	2.9681	6.1197	6.1201	5.8732	0.24655	0.24691	1.0015
14	8.0031	-0.0011106	0.59878	2.9681	6.1203	6.1207	5.8755	0.24476	0.24516	1.0016
15	9.0007	-0.0011106	0.64252	2.9681	6.1203	6.1207	5.872	0.24827	0.24867	1.0016
16	10.002	-0.0011106	0.68377	2.9681	6.1197	6.1201	5.8732	0.24655	0.24691	1.0015
17	11	-0.0011106	0.7228	2.9681	6.1192	6.1195	5.8738	0.24542	0.24574	1.0013
18	12.002	-0.0011106	0.7599	2.9681	6.1197	6.1201	5.872	0.24772	0.24808	1.0015
19	13.004	-0.0011106	0.79561	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8732	0.24765	0.24808	1.0018
20	14.001	-0.0011106	0.82938	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8738	0.24706	0.2475	1.0018
21	15.003	-0.0011106	0.86122	2.9681	6.1203	6.1207	5.872	0.24827	0.24867	1.0016
22	16.001	-0.0011106	0.89084	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8738	0.24706	0.2475	1.0018
23	17.002	0	0.91991	2.9681	6.1197	6.1201	5.8743	0.24538	0.24574	1.0015
24	18.004	0	0.94704	2.9681	6.1203	6.1207	5.8726	0.24768	0.24808	1.0016
25	19.002	0	0.97306	2.9681	6.1203	6.1207	5.8749	0.24534	0.24574	1.0016
26	20.004	0	0.99797	2.9681	6.1203	6.1207	5.872	0.24827	0.24867	1.0016
27	21.001	0	1.0215	2.9681	6.1197	6.1201	5.8738	0.24597	0.24633	1.0015
28	22.003	0.0011106	1.0439	2.9681	6.1197	6.1201	5.8726	0.24714	0.2475	1.0015
29	23.001	0	1.065	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8738	0.24706	0.2475	1.0018
30	24.003	0	1.0855	2.9681	6.1203	6.1207	5.8732	0.2471	0.2475	1.0016
31	25	0	1.1048	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8732	0.24765	0.24808	1.0018
32	26.002	0	1.1237	2.9681	6.1203	6.1207	5.8726	0.24768	0.24808	1.0016
33	27.004	0	1.1416	2.9681	6.1203	6.1207	5.872	0.24827	0.24867	1.0016
34	28.001	0	1.1585	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8738	0.24706	0.2475	1.0018
35	29.003	0	1.1746	2.9681	6.1203	6.1207	5.872	0.24827	0.24867	1.0016
36	30.001	0	1.1898	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8738	0.24706	0.2475	1.0018
37	31.002	0.0011106	1.2048	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8738	0.24706	0.2475	1.0018
38	32	0.0022211	1.2189	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8738	0.24706	0.2475	1.0018
39	33.002	0.0022211	1.2319	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8743	0.24648	0.24691	1.0018
40	34.004	0.0022211	1.2452	2.9681	6.1203	6.1207	5.8726	0.24768	0.24808	1.0016
41	35.002	0.0022211	1.2576	2.9681	6.1176	6.1212	5.8732	0.24439	0.24808	1.0151
42	36.004	0.0033317	1.2695	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8714	0.2494	0.24984	1.0017
43	37.002	0.0022211	1.2806	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8732	0.2482	0.24867	1.0019
44	38.004	0.0022211	1.2917	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8714	0.24995	0.25042	1.0019
45	39.001	0.0022211	1.3019	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8738	0.24706	0.2475	1.0018
46	40.003	0.0022211	1.3122	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019
47	41.001	0.0033317	1.3219	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8726	0.24823	0.24867	1.0018
48	42.003	0.0033317	1.331	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8726	0.24823	0.24867	1.0018
49	43.001	0.0022211	1.3399	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8732	0.24765	0.24808	1.0018
50	44.003	0.0022211	1.3484	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8708	0.24999	0.25042	1.0017
51	45.001	0.0033317	1.3565	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8726	0.24823	0.24867	1.0018
52	46.003	0.0033317	1.3642	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.872	0.24882	0.24925	1.0017
53	47	0.0033317	1.3717	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8726	0.24823	0.24867	1.0018
54	48.002	0.0033317	1.3783	2.9681	6.1176	6.1212	5.8732	0.24439	0.24808	1.0151
55	49.003	0.0033317	1.3861	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8714	0.2494	0.24984	1.0017
56	50.001	0.0033317	1.3925	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8738	0.24706	0.2475	1.0018
57	51.003	0.0033317	1.3994	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8732	0.2482	0.24867	1.0019
58	52.001	0.0044422	1.4057	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8714	0.2494	0.24984	1.0017
59	53.003	0.0044422	1.4118	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019
60	54.001	0.0044422	1.4174	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8738	0.24761	0.24808	1.0019
61	55.003	0.0044422	1.4237	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8714	0.2494	0.24984	1.0017
62	56	0.0044422	1.429	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019
63	57.002	0.0055528	1.4334	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019
64	58	0.0055528	1.4392	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8714	0.24995	0.25042	1.0019
65	59.002	0.0055528	1.4437	2.9681	6.1176	6.1212	5.8726	0.24498	0.24867	1.0151
66	60	0.0055528	1.4487	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8714	0.2494	0.24984	1.0017
67	61.003	0.0055528	1.4531	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.872	0.24937	0.24984	1.0019
68	62.001	0.0066633	1.4575	2.9681	6.1219	6.1224	5.8708	0.25108	0.25159	1.002
69	63.003	0.0066633	1.4617	2.9681	6.1187	6.1224	5.872	0.24666	0.25042	1.0153
70	64	0.0066633	1.4653	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8732	0.2482	0.24867	1.0019
71	65.002	0.0066633	1.4691	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8732	0.2482	0.24867	1.0019
72	66	0.0066633	1.4733	2.9681	6.1219	6.1224	5.872	0.24991	0.25042	1.002
73	67.003	0.0077739	1.4769	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8732	0.24765	0.24808	1.0018
74	68.001	0.0066633	1.4799	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8732	0.2482	0.24867	1.0019
75	69.003	0.0077739	1.4841	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8714	0.24995	0.25042	1.0019
76	70.001	0.0066633	1.488	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8732	0.24765	0.24808	1.0018
77	71.003	0.0066633	1.4905	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8714	0.24995	0.25042	1.0019
78	72.001	0.0077739	1.4943	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019
79	73.003	0.0077739	1.4977	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.872	0.24882	0.24925	1.0017
80	74.001	0.0088844	1.4996	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.872	0.24937	0.24984	1.0019
81	75.003	0.0077739	1.5032	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8732	0.2482	0.24867	1.0019
82	76.001	0.0077739	1.5062	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8726	0.24823	0.24867	1.0018
83	77.003	0.0077739	1.5093	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8726	0.24823	0.24867	1.0018
84	78.001	0.0088844	1.5109	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019
85	79.003	0.0088844	1.514	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8708	0.25054	0.25101	1.0019
86	80.001	0.0077739	1.517	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8714	0.2494	0.24984	1.0017
87	81.004	0.0077739	1.5187	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.872	0.24937	0.24984	1.0019
88	82.002	0.0066633	1.5209	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019
89	83.003	0.0077739	1.5234	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019
90	84.001	0.0077739	1.5267	2.9681	6.1219	6.1224	5.8708	0.25108	0.25159	1.002
91	85.003	0.0077739	1.5284	2.9681	6.1246	6.1218	5.8726	0.25204	0.24925	0.98896
92	86.001	0.0077739	1.5309	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019

93	87.003	0.0077739	1.5334	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019
94	88.001	0.0077739	1.5356	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8708	0.24999	0.25042	1.0017
95	89.003	0.0077739	1.5378	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019
96	90	0.0077739	1.5392	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019
97	91.002	0.0077739	1.5411	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019
98	92	0.0088844	1.5433	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019
99	93.002	0.0088844	1.5461	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.872	0.24937	0.24984	1.0019
100	94.004	0.0088844	1.5478	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8714	0.24995	0.25042	1.0019
101	95.002	0.0088844	1.55	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8702	0.25112	0.25159	1.0019
102	96.004	0.0077739	1.5516	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.872	0.24937	0.24984	1.0019
103	97.002	0.0088844	1.553	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019
104	97.119	0.0077739	1.5536	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 3

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019
2	0.0125	0	0.0011073	2.9681	6.1641	6.164	5.8872	0.27691	0.27677	0.99951
3	0.050567	0.0011106	0.026576	2.9681	6.3567	6.373	5.889	0.46775	0.484	1.0347
4	0.10067	0.009995	0.045677	2.9681	6.3376	6.3595	5.8743	0.46325	0.48516	1.0473
5	0.25085	0.051086	0.085817	2.9681	6.349	6.3648	5.8749	0.47411	0.48984	1.0332
6	0.5017	0.07996	0.13509	2.9681	6.3578	6.3706	5.8743	0.48344	0.49628	1.0266
7	1.0032	0.10994	0.21122	2.9681	6.3643	6.3706	5.8767	0.48761	0.49394	1.013
8	2.0008	0.12993	0.32887	2.9681	6.3599	6.3694	5.8732	0.48677	0.49628	1.0195
9	3.0026	0.16436	0.42217	2.9681	6.3648	6.3712	5.8767	0.48816	0.49453	1.013
10	4.0002	0.19102	0.50272	2.9681	6.3637	6.37	5.8755	0.48823	0.49453	1.0129
11	5.0019	0.21989	0.57415	2.9681	6.3637	6.37	5.8732	0.49057	0.49687	1.0128
12	6.0037	0.231	0.63865	2.9681	6.3637	6.37	5.8755	0.48823	0.49453	1.0129
13	7.0013	0.24543	0.69844	2.9681	6.3637	6.37	5.8743	0.4894	0.4957	1.0129
14	8.0031	0.25765	0.7527	2.9681	6.3643	6.3706	5.8755	0.48878	0.49511	1.013
15	9.0007	0.27098	0.80281	2.9681	6.3637	6.37	5.8761	0.48764	0.49394	1.0129
16	10.002	0.29097	0.84932	2.9681	6.3643	6.3706	5.8749	0.48936	0.4957	1.0129
17	11	0.30651	0.89222	2.9681	6.3643	6.3706	5.8743	0.48995	0.49628	1.0129
18	12.002	0.31984	0.93237	2.9681	6.3643	6.3706	5.8755	0.48878	0.49511	1.013
19	13.004	0.32983	0.96946	2.9681	6.3643	6.3706	5.8743	0.48995	0.49628	1.0129
20	14.002	0.33872	1.0041	2.9681	6.3637	6.37	5.8738	0.48998	0.49628	1.0128
21	15.004	0.34316	1.0365	2.9681	6.3637	6.37	5.8726	0.49115	0.49745	1.0128
22	16.002	0.35316	1.0666	2.9681	6.3643	6.3706	5.8749	0.48936	0.4957	1.0129
23	17.004	0.35871	1.0949	2.9681	6.3643	6.3706	5.8749	0.48936	0.4957	1.0129
24	18.001	0.36537	1.1217	2.9681	6.3643	6.3706	5.8749	0.48936	0.4957	1.0129
25	19.003	0.37204	1.1464	2.9681	6.3643	6.3706	5.8714	0.49287	0.49921	1.0128
26	20.001	0.37759	1.1693	2.9681	6.3675	6.3706	5.8726	0.49496	0.49804	1.0062
27	21.003	0.37981	1.1912	2.9681	6.3643	6.3706	5.8738	0.49053	0.49687	1.0129
28	22.001	0.38092	1.2117	2.9681	6.3648	6.3712	5.872	0.49284	0.49921	1.0129
29	23.003	0.39203	1.2308	2.9681	6.3648	6.3712	5.8743	0.4905	0.49687	1.013
30	24.002	0.40202	1.2488	2.9681	6.3648	6.3712	5.8738	0.49108	0.49745	1.013
31	25.004	0.40757	1.2657	2.9681	6.3675	6.3706	5.8726	0.49496	0.49804	1.0062
32	26.002	0.41313	1.2812	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8726	0.49551	0.49862	1.0063
33	27.004	0.41757	1.2964	2.9681	6.3648	6.3712	5.8738	0.49108	0.49745	1.013
34	28.002	0.42312	1.3108	2.9681	6.3648	6.3712	5.8702	0.49459	0.50096	1.0129
35	29.004	0.42645	1.3241	2.9681	6.3648	6.3712	5.8714	0.49342	0.49979	1.0129
36	30.001	0.42645	1.3365	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8714	0.49668	0.49979	1.0063
37	31.003	0.42867	1.3487	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8732	0.49492	0.49804	1.0063
38	32.001	0.42978	1.3603	2.9681	6.3648	6.3712	5.8708	0.49401	0.50038	1.0129
39	33.003	0.43201	1.3711	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8726	0.49551	0.49862	1.0063
40	34	0.43312	1.3814	2.9681	6.3648	6.3712	5.872	0.49284	0.49921	1.0129
41	35.002	0.43423	1.3911	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.872	0.49609	0.49921	1.0063
42	36.004	0.43645	1.3999	2.9681	6.3648	6.3712	5.872	0.49284	0.49921	1.0129
43	37.001	0.442	1.4093	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8714	0.49668	0.49979	1.0063
44	38.003	0.44644	1.4176	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8726	0.49551	0.49862	1.0063
45	39.001	0.45089	1.4257	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8726	0.49551	0.49862	1.0063
46	40.003	0.45533	1.4337	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8697	0.49843	0.50155	1.0063
47	41	0.45866	1.4409	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8732	0.49547	0.49862	1.0064
48	42.002	0.46088	1.4478	2.9681	6.3648	6.3712	5.8732	0.49167	0.49804	1.013
49	43.003	0.46199	1.4547	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8708	0.49726	0.50038	1.0063
50	44.001	0.46532	1.4608	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.872	0.49609	0.49921	1.0063
51	45.003	0.46643	1.4678	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8714	0.49668	0.49979	1.0063
52	46	0.46754	1.4738	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8702	0.49785	0.50096	1.0063
53	47.002	0.46754	1.4794	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8732	0.49547	0.49862	1.0064
54	48.004	0.46754	1.4852	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8732	0.49492	0.49804	1.0063
55	49.002	0.46976	1.4905	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8732	0.49492	0.49804	1.0063
56	50.003	0.46976	1.496	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8732	0.49547	0.49862	1.0064
57	51.001	0.46976	1.501	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8726	0.49551	0.49862	1.0063
58	52.003	0.46976	1.506	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8708	0.49726	0.50038	1.0063
59	53.001	0.46976	1.5109	2.9681	6.3648	6.3712	5.8714	0.49342	0.49979	1.0129
60	54.003	0.47088	1.5154	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8732	0.49492	0.49804	1.0063
61	55	0.47199	1.5201	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8708	0.49781	0.50096	1.0063
62	56.002	0.47088	1.5237	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8726	0.49551	0.49862	1.0063
63	57.004	0.47199	1.5281	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8732	0.49547	0.49862	1.0064
64	58.002	0.47088	1.532	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8732	0.49547	0.49862	1.0064
65	59	0.47199	1.5367	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8726	0.49551	0.49862	1.0063
66	60.002	0.4731	1.54	2.9681	6.3648	6.3712	5.8726	0.49225	0.49862	1.0129
67	61.002	0.47532	1.5439	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.872	0.49664	0.49979	1.0063
68	62.003	0.47643	1.548	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.872	0.49609	0.49921	1.0063
69	63	0.47754	1.5514	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8732	0.49547	0.49862	1.0064
70	64.003	0.47865	1.5544	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8732	0.49547	0.49862	1.0064
71	65	0.47976	1.5583	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.872	0.49664	0.49979	1.0063
72	66.002	0.48198	1.561	2.9681	6.3654	6.3718	5.8732	0.49222	0.49862	1.013
73	67.003	0.48309	1.5644	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8714	0.49668	0.49979	1.0063
74	68.001	0.4842	1.5671	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8714	0.49668	0.49979	1.0063
75	69.003	0.4842	1.5707	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8708	0.49726	0.50038	1.0063
76	70.004	0.4842	1.5741	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8691	0.49902	0.50213	1.0062
77	71.001	0.48531	1.5768	2.9681	6.3648	6.3712	5.8726	0.49225	0.49862	1.0129
78	72.003	0.48642	1.5793	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8726	0.49605	0.49921	1.0064
79	72.495	0.48753	1.5813	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8726	0.49605	0.49921	1.0064

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 4

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8726	0.49605	0.49921	1.0064
2	0.0125	0	0	2.9681	6.3878	6.3888	5.8773	0.51054	0.5115	1.0019
3	0.050217	0.0011106	0.015226	2.9681	6.5898	6.6112	5.8837	0.70607	0.72751	1.0304
4	0.10032	0.013327	0.029344	2.9681	6.5968	6.6118	5.8732	0.72365	0.73862	1.0207
5	0.25052	0.034427	0.05675	2.9681	6.6072	6.6159	5.8808	0.7264	0.73512	1.012
6	0.50088	0.056638	0.091077	2.9681	6.611	6.62	5.8773	0.73375	0.74272	1.0122
7	1.0016	0.063302	0.14506	2.9681	6.6132	6.6188	5.8784	0.73473	0.74038	1.0077
8	2.0032	0.10106	0.22949	2.9681	6.6143	6.62	5.8761	0.73817	0.74389	1.0078
9	3.0005	0.11772	0.29815	2.9681	6.6137	6.6194	5.8755	0.73821	0.74389	1.0077
10	4.0019	0.13327	0.35794	2.9681	6.6143	6.62	5.8738	0.74051	0.74623	1.0077
11	5.0034	0.14881	0.41109	2.9681	6.6143	6.62	5.8755	0.73876	0.74448	1.0077
12	6.0006	0.17547	0.45815	2.9681	6.6143	6.62	5.8732	0.7411	0.74682	1.0077
13	7.0021	0.19546	0.50162	2.9681	6.6148	6.6206	5.8755	0.73931	0.74507	1.0078
14	8.0035	0.20212	0.54093	2.9681	6.6143	6.62	5.8749	0.73934	0.74506	1.0077
15	9.0008	0.20989	0.57747	2.9681	6.6154	6.6212	5.8738	0.74161	0.74741	1.0078
16	10.003	0.22988	0.61096	2.9681	6.6148	6.6206	5.8714	0.7434	0.74916	1.0077
17	11.004	0.24099	0.64142	2.9681	6.6148	6.6206	5.8708	0.74399	0.74975	1.0077
18	12.001	0.24432	0.66965	2.9681	6.6148	6.6206	5.8738	0.74106	0.74682	1.0078
19	13.003	0.24876	0.69568	2.9681	6.6148	6.6206	5.8738	0.74106	0.74682	1.0078
20	14.001	0.25099	0.72004	2.9681	6.6148	6.6206	5.8714	0.7434	0.74916	1.0077
21	15	0.2521	0.74246	2.9681	6.6148	6.6206	5.8714	0.7434	0.74916	1.0077
22	16.002	0.25654	0.76378	2.9681	6.6148	6.6206	5.8708	0.74399	0.74975	1.0077
23	17.002	0.26098	0.78315	2.9681	6.6159	6.6217	5.8749	0.74099	0.74682	1.0079
24	18.002	0.26764	0.80142	2.9681	6.6148	6.6206	5.8732	0.74165	0.74741	1.0078
25	19.004	0.27431	0.81859	2.9681	6.6148	6.6206	5.8755	0.73931	0.74507	1.0078
26	20.003	0.27875	0.83492	2.9681	6.6186	6.6212	5.8738	0.74486	0.74741	1.0034
27	21.004	0.28097	0.85015	2.9681	6.6154	6.6212	5.8749	0.74044	0.74624	1.0078
28	22.001	0.2843	0.86454	2.9681	6.6186	6.6212	5.872	0.74662	0.74916	1.0034
29	23.003	0.28652	0.87783	2.9681	6.6186	6.6212	5.8749	0.74369	0.74624	1.0034
30	24.003	0.28874	0.89112	2.9681	6.6154	6.6212	5.8708	0.74453	0.75033	1.0078
31	25.002	0.29208	0.90274	2.9681	6.6154	6.6212	5.8732	0.74219	0.74799	1.0078
32	26.004	0.2943	0.91409	2.9681	6.6154	6.6212	5.8708	0.74453	0.75033	1.0078
33	27.003	0.29763	0.92489	2.9681	6.6154	6.6212	5.8708	0.74453	0.75033	1.0078
34	28.002	0.30207	0.93486	2.9681	6.6159	6.6217	5.8743	0.74157	0.74741	1.0079
35	29	0.30873	0.94455	2.9681	6.6154	6.6212	5.872	0.74336	0.74916	1.0078
36	30.004	0.31207	0.95396	2.9681	6.6186	6.6212	5.8738	0.74486	0.74741	1.0034
37	31.001	0.31873	0.96282	2.9681	6.6154	6.6212	5.8714	0.74395	0.74975	1.0078
38	32.003	0.32206	0.97112	2.9681	6.6186	6.6212	5.8726	0.74603	0.74858	1.0034
39	33.001	0.32761	0.97887	2.9681	6.6186	6.6212	5.8738	0.74486	0.74741	1.0034
40	34.003	0.33206	0.9869	2.9681	6.6154	6.6212	5.8738	0.74161	0.74741	1.0078
41	35	0.33317	0.9941	2.9681	6.6186	6.6212	5.8732	0.74545	0.74799	1.0034
42	36.003	0.3365	1.0013	2.9681	6.6192	6.6217	5.8732	0.746	0.74858	1.0035
43	37.001	0.33983	1.0082	2.9681	6.6186	6.6212	5.8714	0.7472	0.74975	1.0034
44	38.004	0.34094	1.0151	2.9681	6.6192	6.6217	5.8732	0.746	0.74858	1.0035
45	39.001	0.34094	1.0218	2.9681	6.6154	6.6212	5.8702	0.74512	0.75092	1.0078
46	40.004	0.34316	1.0276	2.9681	6.6159	6.6217	5.8732	0.74274	0.74858	1.0079
47	41.001	0.34649	1.0334	2.9681	6.6192	6.6217	5.8708	0.74834	0.75092	1.0034
48	42.003	0.34982	1.0392	2.9681	6.6192	6.6217	5.8738	0.74541	0.74799	1.0035
49	43	0.35316	1.0442	2.9681	6.6192	6.6217	5.8738	0.74541	0.74799	1.0035
50	44.001	0.35427	1.0497	2.9681	6.6192	6.6217	5.8732	0.746	0.74858	1.0035
51	45.003	0.35649	1.0547	2.9681	6.6192	6.6217	5.8732	0.746	0.74858	1.0035
52	46	0.35871	1.0597	2.9681	6.6186	6.6212	5.872	0.74662	0.74916	1.0034
53	47.002	0.35982	1.065	2.9681	6.6192	6.6217	5.872	0.74717	0.74975	1.0035
54	48.004	0.36204	1.0691	2.9681	6.6192	6.6217	5.8732	0.746	0.74858	1.0035
55	49.001	0.36204	1.0735	2.9681	6.6186	6.6212	5.8755	0.74311	0.74565	1.0034
56	50.002	0.36426	1.0783	2.9681	6.6192	6.6217	5.8732	0.746	0.74858	1.0035
57	51.003	0.36315	1.0827	2.9681	6.6192	6.6217	5.8726	0.74658	0.74916	1.0035
58	52.001	0.36426	1.0874	2.9681	6.6159	6.6217	5.8726	0.74333	0.74916	1.0078
59	53.003	0.36648	1.0915	2.9681	6.6186	6.6212	5.8726	0.74603	0.74858	1.0034
60	54	0.36648	1.0957	2.9681	6.6159	6.6217	5.8708	0.74508	0.75092	1.0078
61	55.002	0.36648	1.0993	2.9681	6.6186	6.6212	5.8726	0.74603	0.74858	1.0034
62	56	0.36648	1.1026	2.9681	6.6192	6.6217	5.8726	0.74658	0.74916	1.0035
63	57.002	0.36759	1.107	2.9681	6.6192	6.6217	5.8697	0.74951	0.75209	1.0034
64	58.004	0.36981	1.1106	2.9681	6.6192	6.6217	5.8697	0.74951	0.75209	1.0034
65	59.001	0.37093	1.114	2.9681	6.6192	6.6217	5.872	0.74717	0.74975	1.0035
66	60.003	0.37204	1.1173	2.9681	6.6154	6.6212	5.8697	0.7457	0.7515	1.0078
67	61.001	0.37315	1.1206	2.9681	6.6192	6.6217	5.8732	0.746	0.74858	1.0035
68	62.002	0.37315	1.1237	2.9681	6.6154	6.6212	5.872	0.74336	0.74916	1.0078
69	63.004	0.37426	1.1275	2.9681	6.6192	6.6217	5.8691	0.75009	0.75267	1.0034
70	64.002	0.37315	1.1306	2.9681	6.6186	6.6212	5.8732	0.74545	0.74799	1.0034
71	65.001	0.37648	1.1336	2.9681	6.6192	6.6217	5.8726	0.74658	0.74916	1.0035
72	66.003	0.37648	1.1364	2.9681	6.6192	6.6217	5.8726	0.74658	0.74916	1.0035
73	67.003	0.3787	1.1397	2.9681	6.6159	6.6217	5.8714	0.7445	0.75033	1.0078
74	68.001	0.37981	1.1425	2.9681	6.6186	6.6212	5.872	0.74662	0.74916	1.0034
75	69.003	0.38092	1.1455	2.9681	6.6192	6.6217	5.8726	0.74658	0.74916	1.0035
76	70	0.38314	1.148	2.9681	6.6186	6.6212	5.872	0.74662	0.74916	1.0034
77	70.961	0.38314	1.1508	2.9681	6.6159	6.6217	5.8726	0.74333	0.74916	1.0078

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.1425	6.1437	6.0718	0.070741	0.071941	1.017
2	0.0125	0	0	2.9681	6.1463	6.1478	6.0753	0.071045	0.072532	1.0209
3	0.050333	0	0.0074744	2.9681	6.1659	6.1654	6.0817	0.084186	0.083688	0.99409
4	0.1004	0	0.018548	2.9681	6.1638	6.1701	6.0718	0.092095	0.098349	1.0679
5	0.25063	0.00091637	0.023254	2.9681	6.1644	6.1707	6.0729	0.091468	0.097764	1.0688
6	0.50148	0.00091637	0.024915	2.9681	6.1687	6.1719	6.0718	0.096945	0.10011	1.0326
7	1.0032	0.00091637	0.025468	2.9681	6.1687	6.1719	6.0718	0.096945	0.10011	1.0326
8	2.001	0.00091637	0.026022	2.9681	6.1692	6.1724	6.0718	0.097491	0.1007	1.0329
9	4.0002	0.0018327	0.026299	2.9681	6.1687	6.1719	6.0718	0.096945	0.10011	1.0326
10	6.0036	0.0018327	0.025745	2.9681	6.1687	6.1719	6.0718	0.096945	0.10011	1.0326
11	8.0028	0.0018327	0.025468	2.9681	6.1687	6.1719	6.0718	0.096945	0.10011	1.0326
12	10.002	0.0018327	0.025468	2.9681	6.1687	6.1719	6.0718	0.096945	0.10011	1.0326
13	12.003	0.0018327	0.025468	2.9681	6.1687	6.1719	6.0718	0.096945	0.10011	1.0326
14	14.003	0.0018327	0.025192	2.9681	6.1682	6.1713	6.0718	0.096399	0.099523	1.0324
15	15.895	0.0018327	0.025192	2.9681	6.1687	6.1719	6.0718	0.096945	0.10011	1.0326

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.1687	6.1719	6.0718	0.096945	0.10011	1.0326
2	0.0125	0	0.00055366	2.9681	6.1937	6.1953	6.0888	0.10499	0.10658	1.0151
3	0.050667	0.00091637	0.037095	2.9681	6.2742	6.2922	6.1198	0.15436	0.17234	1.1165
4	0.10073	0.0027491	0.090247	2.9681	6.275	6.3068	6.08	0.19502	0.22688	1.1633
5	0.25095	0.0082473	0.10879	2.9681	6.3121	6.3192	6.0729	0.23922	0.24624	1.0293
6	0.50182	0.01008	0.11295	2.9681	6.3165	6.3203	6.0718	0.2447	0.24858	1.0159
7	1.003	0.011913	0.11516	2.9681	6.3202	6.3209	6.0712	0.24904	0.24976	1.0029
8	2.0008	0.012829	0.1171	2.9681	6.3208	6.3215	6.0718	0.249	0.24976	1.003
9	4.0042	0.013745	0.11821	2.9681	6.3208	6.3215	6.0718	0.249	0.24976	1.003
10	6.0034	0.012829	0.11959	2.9681	6.3208	6.3215	6.0723	0.24842	0.24917	1.003
11	8.0026	0.013745	0.11987	2.9681	6.3208	6.3215	6.0718	0.249	0.24976	1.003
12	10.002	0.013745	0.12097	2.9681	6.3208	6.3215	6.0723	0.24842	0.24917	1.003
13	12.002	0.010996	0.10741	2.9681	6.3202	6.3209	6.0712	0.24904	0.24976	1.0029
14	14.002	0.013745	0.12153	2.9681	6.3208	6.3215	6.0718	0.249	0.24976	1.003
15	15.901	0.014662	0.12125	2.9681	6.3208	6.3215	6.0718	0.249	0.24976	1.003

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 3

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.3208	6.3215	6.0718	0.249	0.24976	1.003
2	0.012483	0	0.0011073	2.9681	6.3654	6.3626	6.1005	0.26492	0.26211	0.9894
3	0.050717	0.0036655	0.066162	2.9681	6.497	6.5386	6.1304	0.36667	0.40826	1.1134
4	0.1008	0.0091637	0.12707	2.9681	6.5048	6.5539	6.0841	0.42075	0.46984	1.1167
5	0.251	0.016495	0.15669	2.9681	6.5592	6.5709	6.0741	0.4851	0.49682	1.0242
6	0.50205	0.021076	0.16416	2.9681	6.5651	6.5703	6.0729	0.49215	0.49741	1.0107
7	1.0002	0.023826	0.16942	2.9681	6.5688	6.5709	6.0723	0.4965	0.49858	1.0042
8	2.0026	0.024742	0.17385	2.9681	6.5694	6.5715	6.0723	0.49704	0.49917	1.0043
9	4.0028	0.025658	0.17773	2.9681	6.5694	6.5715	6.0718	0.49763	0.49975	1.0043
10	6.003	0.024742	0.18077	2.9681	6.5694	6.5715	6.0723	0.49704	0.49917	1.0043
11	8.0033	0.024742	0.18188	2.9681	6.5688	6.5709	6.0718	0.49708	0.49917	1.0042
12	10.003	0.025658	0.18437	2.9681	6.5688	6.5709	6.0712	0.49767	0.49975	1.0042
13	12.004	0.026575	0.1852	2.9681	6.5688	6.5709	6.0718	0.49708	0.49917	1.0042
14	14.004	0.026575	0.18492	2.9681	6.5694	6.5715	6.0718	0.49763	0.49975	1.0043
15	16	0.026575	0.18714	2.9681	6.5694	6.5715	6.0718	0.49763	0.49975	1.0043
16	18	0.026575	0.18714	2.9681	6.5694	6.5715	6.0718	0.49763	0.49975	1.0043
17	18.142	0.026575	0.18714	2.9681	6.5694	6.5715	6.0718	0.49763	0.49975	1.0043

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 4

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.5694	6.5715	6.0718	0.49763	0.49975	1.0043
2	0.012433	0	0	2.9681	6.601	6.602	6.0888	0.51223	0.51327	1.002
3	0.050483	0.0018327	0.097444	2.9681	6.9109	6.987	6.1831	0.72778	0.80385	1.1045
4	0.10057	0.017411	0.20209	2.9681	6.9472	7.0398	6.0882	0.85903	0.95164	1.1078
5	0.25078	0.032073	0.2414	2.9681	7.0601	7.068	6.0753	0.98487	0.99271	1.008
6	0.50165	0.033906	0.25081	2.9681	7.0618	7.0697	6.0729	0.98885	0.99681	1.008
7	1.0034	0.034822	0.25801	2.9681	7.0687	7.0703	6.0723	0.99641	0.99799	1.0016
8	2.0011	0.035738	0.2641	2.9681	7.0693	7.0709	6.0718	0.99754	0.99916	1.0016
9	4.0003	0.036655	0.26991	2.9681	7.0693	7.0709	6.0718	0.99754	0.99916	1.0016
10	6.0037	0.036655	0.2724	2.9681	7.0687	7.0703	6.0723	0.99641	0.99799	1.0016
11	8.0029	0.037571	0.27655	2.9681	7.0693	7.0709	6.0718	0.99754	0.99916	1.0016
12	10.002	0.038487	0.27905	2.9681	7.0698	7.0715	6.0723	0.9975	0.99916	1.0017
13	12.002	0.037571	0.28181	2.9681	7.0698	7.0715	6.0718	0.99809	0.99975	1.0017
14	14.001	0.038487	0.28292	2.9681	7.0698	7.0715	6.0718	0.99809	0.99975	1.0017
15	16	0.038487	0.28514	2.9681	7.0698	7.0715	6.0723	0.9975	0.99916	1.0017
16	18.004	0.038487	0.28569	2.9681	7.0698	7.0715	6.0718	0.99809	0.99975	1.0017
17	20.004	0.039404	0.2868	2.9681	7.0698	7.0715	6.0718	0.99809	0.99975	1.0017
18	22.003	0.039404	0.28735	2.9681	7.0661	7.0709	6.0723	0.99374	0.99857	1.0049
19	23.097	0.039404	0.28984	2.9681	7.0693	7.0709	6.0706	0.99872	1.0003	1.0016

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 5

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	7.0698	7.0715	6.0706	0.99926	1.0009	1.0017
2	0.0125	0	0.001661	2.9681	7.156	7.1572	6.1222	1.0338	1.035	1.0012
3	0.050717	0.0018327	0.090247	2.9681	7.4359	7.541	6.1345	1.3014	1.4065	1.0807
4	0.10078	0.012829	0.13814	2.9681	7.4752	7.5521	6.0764	1.3987	1.4757	1.055
5	0.25102	0.037571	0.16112	2.9681	7.5611	7.5686	6.0735	1.4876	1.495	1.005
6	0.50205	0.04032	0.16942	2.9681	7.5649	7.5691	6.0723	1.4926	1.4968	1.0028
7	1.0039	0.043069	0.17606	2.9681	7.566	7.5703	6.0729	1.4931	1.4974	1.0029
8	2.0016	0.048567	0.18298	2.9681	7.5703	7.5715	6.0718	1.4986	1.4997	1.0008
9	4.0008	0.0504	0.18935	2.9681	7.5703	7.5715	6.0723	1.498	1.4992	1.0008
10	6.0001	0.051316	0.19295	2.9681	7.5703	7.5715	6.0718	1.4986	1.4997	1.0008
11	8.0034	0.051316	0.19683	2.9681	7.5703	7.5715	6.0718	1.4986	1.4997	1.0008
12	10.003	0.052233	0.19876	2.9681	7.5671	7.5715	6.0723	1.4948	1.4992	1.0029
13	12.003	0.053149	0.20126	2.9681	7.5671	7.5715	6.0723	1.4948	1.4992	1.0029
14	14.003	0.053149	0.20347	2.9681	7.5703	7.5715	6.0718	1.4986	1.4997	1.0008
15	16.002	0.053149	0.20347	2.9681	7.5703	7.5715	6.0723	1.498	1.4992	1.0008
16	18.001	0.053149	0.20541	2.9681	7.5703	7.5715	6.0723	1.498	1.4992	1.0008
17	20	0.053149	0.20762	2.9681	7.5703	7.5715	6.0718	1.4986	1.4997	1.0008
18	22	0.053149	0.20928	2.9681	7.5703	7.5715	6.0718	1.4986	1.4997	1.0008
19	24.001	0.053149	0.2115	2.9681	7.5698	7.5709	6.0718	1.498	1.4992	1.0008
20	26.002	0.053149	0.21261	2.9681	7.5703	7.5715	6.0718	1.4986	1.4997	1.0008
21	28.002	0.053149	0.21344	2.9681	7.5735	7.5715	6.0723	1.5012	1.4992	0.99865
22	29.711	0.053149	0.21399	2.9681	7.5698	7.5709	6.0718	1.498	1.4992	1.0008

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	5.9416	5.9439	5.872	0.069572	0.071884	1.0332
2	0.0125	0	0	2.9681	5.9443	5.9468	5.8743	0.069975	0.072471	1.0357
3	0.054167	0	0.0091354	2.9681	5.9662	5.9667	5.8843	0.081936	0.082428	1.006
4	0.10007	0	0.02796	2.9681	5.9619	5.9655	5.8749	0.086945	0.090617	1.0422
5	0.25028	0	0.046231	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.8738	0.096856	0.097641	1.0081
6	0.50115	0	0.051767	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.872	0.098611	0.099396	1.008
7	1.0029	-0.0011106	0.056197	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
8	2.0006	-0.0011106	0.059242	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
9	3.0026	-0.0011106	0.060903	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
10	4.0003	-0.0011106	0.06201	2.9681	5.9744	5.972	5.872	0.10241	0.099982	0.97626
11	5.0023	0	0.062841	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
12	6.0001	0.0011106	0.063394	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
13	7.002	0.0011106	0.063671	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
14	8.0039	0.0011106	0.064225	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
15	9.0017	0.0011106	0.064502	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
16	10.004	0.0011106	0.064778	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
17	11.001	0.0011106	0.065055	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
18	12.004	0	0.065332	2.9681	5.9674	5.9714	5.872	0.095357	0.099396	1.0424
19	13.002	0	0.065332	2.9681	5.9679	5.972	5.872	0.095905	0.099982	1.0425
20	14.004	0	0.065886	2.9681	5.9674	5.9714	5.8714	0.095942	0.099981	1.0421
21	15.001	0	0.065609	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
22	16.003	0	0.065886	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
23	17.001	-0.0011106	0.066162	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
24	18.003	0	0.066716	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
25	19.001	0	0.066716	2.9681	5.9744	5.972	5.872	0.10241	0.099982	0.97626
26	20.003	0	0.066716	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
27	21	0	0.066716	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
28	21.163	0	0.066716	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
2	0.0125	0	0.00055366	2.9681	5.9964	5.9954	5.889	0.10739	0.10643	0.99105
3	0.050667	0	0.041801	2.9681	6.0884	6.0867	5.9363	0.1521	0.15036	0.9886
4	0.1008	0	0.18852	2.9681	6.1049	6.1078	5.8667	0.23821	0.24105	1.0119
5	0.25102	0	0.23752	2.9681	6.1148	6.1183	5.8732	0.24165	0.24515	1.0145
6	0.50205	0	0.25247	2.9681	6.1165	6.1201	5.8732	0.2433	0.24691	1.0149
7	1.0039	0	0.26216	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8732	0.24765	0.24808	1.0018
8	2.0016	0	0.27129	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8726	0.24823	0.24867	1.0018
9	3.0036	0.0011106	0.27628	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.872	0.24882	0.24925	1.0017
10	4.0013	0.0011106	0.2796	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.872	0.24882	0.24925	1.0017
11	5.0033	0.0011106	0.28209	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019
12	6.0011	0	0.2843	2.9681	6.1176	6.1212	5.8714	0.24615	0.24984	1.015
13	7.003	0	0.28597	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.872	0.24882	0.24925	1.0017
14	8.0008	0	0.28735	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.872	0.24937	0.24984	1.0019
15	9.0027	0.0011106	0.28846	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.872	0.24937	0.24984	1.0019
16	10	0.0011106	0.28956	2.9681	6.1176	6.1212	5.872	0.24556	0.24925	1.015
17	11.002	0.0011106	0.29067	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.872	0.24937	0.24984	1.0019
18	12	0.0011106	0.29178	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8714	0.2494	0.24984	1.0017
19	13.002	0.0011106	0.29206	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.872	0.24937	0.24984	1.0019
20	14.004	0.0011106	0.29344	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019
21	15.002	0.0011106	0.29399	2.9681	6.1246	6.1218	5.8726	0.25204	0.24925	0.98896
22	16	0.0011106	0.29455	2.9681	6.1246	6.1218	5.8714	0.25321	0.25042	0.98901
23	17.002	0.0011106	0.29565	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.872	0.24937	0.24984	1.0019
24	18.004	0.0011106	0.29621	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.872	0.24937	0.24984	1.0019
25	19.002	0.0011106	0.29649	2.9681	6.1246	6.1218	5.8726	0.25204	0.24925	0.98896
26	20.004	0.0011106	0.29704	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.872	0.24937	0.24984	1.0019
27	21.001	0	0.29787	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.872	0.24937	0.24984	1.0019
28	22.003	0	0.29815	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.872	0.24937	0.24984	1.0019
29	22.738	0	0.2987	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.872	0.24937	0.24984	1.0019

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 3

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.872	0.24937	0.24984	1.0019
2	0.012433	0	0	2.9681	6.1411	6.1394	5.8808	0.2603	0.25862	0.99355
3	0.050483	0	0.06118	2.9681	6.3117	6.3214	5.9592	0.35253	0.36228	1.0277
4	0.10057	0	0.19101	2.9681	6.3156	6.3361	5.896	0.41966	0.4401	1.0487
5	0.25078	0.0044422	0.26188	2.9681	6.3654	6.3718	5.8784	0.48695	0.49336	1.0132
6	0.50165	0.0066633	0.27655	2.9681	6.3637	6.37	5.8738	0.48998	0.49628	1.0128
7	1.0034	0.0077739	0.28652	2.9681	6.3643	6.3706	5.8726	0.4917	0.49804	1.0129
8	2.0011	0.0088844	0.29538	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8726	0.49551	0.49862	1.0063
9	3.0031	0.009995	0.30036	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8726	0.49605	0.49921	1.0064
10	4.0008	0.009995	0.30396	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8726	0.49551	0.49862	1.0063
11	5.0028	0.009995	0.30701	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.872	0.49609	0.49921	1.0063
12	6.0001	0.009995	0.30922	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8726	0.49605	0.49921	1.0064
13	7.002	0.009995	0.31088	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.872	0.49609	0.49921	1.0063
14	8.0039	0.009995	0.31282	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.872	0.49609	0.49921	1.0063
15	9.0017	0.009995	0.31448	2.9681	6.3654	6.3718	5.872	0.49339	0.49979	1.013
16	10.004	0.009995	0.31586	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.872	0.49664	0.49979	1.0063
17	11.001	0.009995	0.31669	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.872	0.49664	0.49979	1.0063
18	12.003	0.009995	0.31836	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8714	0.49722	0.50038	1.0063
19	13.001	0.009995	0.31919	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.872	0.49664	0.49979	1.0063
20	14.003	0.009995	0.31919	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.872	0.49664	0.49979	1.0063
21	15	0.0088844	0.32057	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.872	0.49664	0.49979	1.0063
22	16.002	0.009995	0.32195	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.872	0.49664	0.49979	1.0063
23	17	0.009995	0.32223	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.872	0.49664	0.49979	1.0063
24	18.002	0.009995	0.32361	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.872	0.49664	0.49979	1.0063
25	19.004	0.009995	0.32389	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8726	0.49551	0.49862	1.0063
26	20.002	0.009995	0.32389	2.9681	6.3654	6.3718	5.8726	0.4928	0.49921	1.013
27	21.004	0.011106	0.32472	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.872	0.49664	0.49979	1.0063
28	22.001	0.011106	0.32583	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8726	0.49605	0.49921	1.0064
29	23.003	0.011106	0.32638	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.872	0.49664	0.49979	1.0063
30	24.001	0.011106	0.32611	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8714	0.49668	0.49979	1.0063
31	24.77	0.011106	0.32666	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.872	0.49609	0.49921	1.0063

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 4

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.872	0.49664	0.49979	1.0063
2	0.012483	-0.0011106	0.0019378	2.9681	6.452	6.4573	5.9241	0.52792	0.53319	1.01
3	0.05065	0.0022211	0.10741	2.9681	6.7391	6.7775	6.0077	0.73134	0.76973	1.0525
4	0.10072	0.023322	0.26077	2.9681	6.8052	6.8272	5.9018	0.90338	0.92538	1.0243
5	0.25093	0.043312	0.32085	2.9681	6.8626	6.8676	5.8761	0.98651	0.99151	1.0051
6	0.5018	0.046643	0.33524	2.9681	6.8642	6.8694	5.872	0.99225	0.99736	1.0052
7	1.0035	0.048864	0.34548	2.9681	6.8686	6.8705	5.872	0.9966	0.99853	1.0019
8	2.0013	0.049975	0.3549	2.9681	6.8691	6.8711	5.872	0.99715	0.99911	1.002
9	3.0032	0.051086	0.36043	2.9681	6.8691	6.8711	5.872	0.99715	0.99911	1.002
10	4.001	0.051086	0.36431	2.9681	6.8691	6.8711	5.872	0.99715	0.99911	1.002
11	5.0024	0.052196	0.36652	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.8714	0.99828	1.0003	1.002
12	6.0002	0.052196	0.37012	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.872	0.99769	0.9997	1.002
13	7.0022	0.052196	0.37178	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.8726	0.99711	0.99912	1.002
14	8.0041	0.052196	0.37427	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.8726	0.99711	0.99912	1.002
15	9.0019	0.052196	0.37594	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.8726	0.99711	0.99912	1.002
16	10.004	0.052196	0.37732	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.872	0.99769	0.9997	1.002
17	11.001	0.052196	0.37898	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.872	0.99769	0.9997	1.002
18	12.003	0.053307	0.37926	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.872	0.99769	0.9997	1.002
19	13.001	0.053307	0.38092	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.8726	0.99711	0.99912	1.002
20	14.003	0.053307	0.38203	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.8714	0.99828	1.0003	1.002
21	15.001	0.053307	0.38369	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.872	0.99769	0.9997	1.002
22	16.003	0.053307	0.38396	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.8726	0.99711	0.99912	1.002
23	17	0.053307	0.38562	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.872	0.99769	0.9997	1.002
24	18.002	0.053307	0.38646	2.9681	6.8691	6.8711	5.8714	0.99773	0.9997	1.002
25	19	0.053307	0.38673	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.872	0.99769	0.9997	1.002
26	20.002	0.054417	0.38756	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.8714	0.99828	1.0003	1.002
27	21.004	0.053307	0.38895	2.9681	6.8691	6.8711	5.872	0.99715	0.99911	1.002
28	22.002	0.053307	0.38895	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.8726	0.99711	0.99912	1.002
29	23.004	0.054417	0.39005	2.9681	6.8691	6.8711	5.8714	0.99773	0.9997	1.002
30	24.001	0.054417	0.39116	2.9681	6.8659	6.8711	5.8714	0.99448	0.9997	1.0053
31	25.003	0.054417	0.39061	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.872	0.99769	0.9997	1.002
32	26.001	0.054417	0.39172	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.872	0.99769	0.9997	1.002
33	27.003	0.054417	0.39282	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.872	0.99769	0.9997	1.002
34	28.001	0.054417	0.39365	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.872	0.99769	0.9997	1.002
35	28.869	0.054417	0.3931	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.872	0.99769	0.9997	1.002

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 5

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.872	0.99769	0.9997	1.002
2	0.012533	0	0.0022146	2.9681	6.9898	6.9964	5.9352	1.0546	1.0612	1.0062
3	0.0506	0.009995	0.1888	2.9681	7.6318	7.7404	6.0639	1.5679	1.6765	1.0693
4	0.10067	0.043312	0.35213	2.9681	7.8067	7.8194	5.8907	1.916	1.9287	1.0066
5	0.25082	0.061081	0.39614	2.9681	7.856	7.8651	5.8767	1.9793	1.9884	1.0046
6	0.50168	0.065523	0.41082	2.9681	7.8631	7.8692	5.8743	1.9888	1.9948	1.0031
7	1.0034	0.068854	0.42217	2.9681	7.8636	7.8698	5.872	1.9917	1.9978	1.0031
8	2.0012	0.072186	0.43269	2.9681	7.868	7.8709	5.8726	1.9954	1.9983	1.0015
9	3.0031	0.073297	0.43905	2.9681	7.868	7.8709	5.872	1.996	1.9989	1.0015
10	4.0009	0.074407	0.44348	2.9681	7.868	7.8709	5.8714	1.9966	1.9995	1.0015
11	5.0028	0.075518	0.44653	2.9681	7.868	7.8709	5.8726	1.9954	1.9983	1.0015
12	6.0006	0.074407	0.44985	2.9681	7.8647	7.8709	5.8708	1.9939	2.0001	1.0031
13	7.0025	0.075518	0.45234	2.9681	7.8647	7.8709	5.8708	1.9939	2.0001	1.0031
14	8.0003	0.075518	0.454	2.9681	7.868	7.8709	5.8714	1.9966	1.9995	1.0015
15	9.0022	0.075518	0.45622	2.9681	7.868	7.8709	5.872	1.996	1.9989	1.0015
16	10	0.075518	0.45732	2.9681	7.8653	7.8715	5.8714	1.9939	2.0001	1.0031
17	11.002	0.075518	0.45898	2.9681	7.868	7.8709	5.8726	1.9954	1.9983	1.0015
18	12.004	0.075518	0.46065	2.9681	7.8685	7.8715	5.8726	1.996	1.9989	1.0015
19	13.002	0.076628	0.46258	2.9681	7.868	7.8709	5.872	1.996	1.9989	1.0015
20	14.004	0.075518	0.46341	2.9681	7.8647	7.8709	5.8714	1.9933	1.9995	1.0031
21	15.001	0.076628	0.46535	2.9681	7.868	7.8709	5.8708	1.9972	2.0001	1.0015
22	16.003	0.076628	0.46618	2.9681	7.8685	7.8715	5.872	1.9966	1.9995	1.0015
23	17.001	0.076628	0.46701	2.9681	7.868	7.8709	5.8714	1.9966	1.9995	1.0015
24	18.003	0.076628	0.46812	2.9681	7.868	7.8709	5.8726	1.9954	1.9983	1.0015
25	19.001	0.076628	0.46895	2.9681	7.8685	7.8715	5.8726	1.996	1.9989	1.0015
26	20.003	0.076628	0.47061	2.9681	7.8685	7.8715	5.872	1.9966	1.9995	1.0015
27	21	0.076628	0.47144	2.9681	7.8685	7.8715	5.8714	1.9971	2.0001	1.0015
28	22.002	0.076628	0.47172	2.9681	7.8685	7.8715	5.8726	1.996	1.9989	1.0015
29	23	0.076628	0.47255	2.9681	7.8685	7.8715	5.8726	1.996	1.9989	1.0015
30	24.002	0.076628	0.47283	2.9681	7.8685	7.8715	5.8726	1.996	1.9989	1.0015
31	25.004	0.076628	0.47449	2.9681	7.8653	7.8715	5.872	1.9933	1.9995	1.0031
32	26.002	0.076628	0.47532	2.9681	7.8685	7.8715	5.8726	1.996	1.9989	1.0015
33	27.004	0.076628	0.47615	2.9681	7.8685	7.8715	5.8726	1.996	1.9989	1.0015
34	28.002	0.076628	0.47698	2.9681	7.8685	7.8715	5.8708	1.9977	2.0007	1.0015
35	29.004	0.076628	0.47643	2.9681	7.8685	7.8715	5.872	1.9966	1.9995	1.0015
36	30.001	0.076628	0.47809	2.9681	7.8685	7.8715	5.8702	1.9983	2.0013	1.0015
37	31.003	0.077739	0.47836	2.9681	7.8685	7.8715	5.8726	1.996	1.9989	1.0015
38	32.001	0.077739	0.47947	2.9681	7.868	7.8709	5.872	1.996	1.9989	1.0015
39	33.003	0.077739	0.48002	2.9681	7.8685	7.8715	5.8726	1.996	1.9989	1.0015
40	34.001	0.077739	0.48085	2.9681	7.8685	7.8715	5.872	1.9966	1.9995	1.0015
41	35.003	0.077739	0.48141	2.9681	7.8685	7.8715	5.8714	1.9971	2.0001	1.0015
42	36	0.077739	0.48113	2.9681	7.8685	7.8715	5.872	1.9966	1.9995	1.0015
43	37.002	0.077739	0.48252	2.9681	7.868	7.8709	5.8708	1.9972	2.0001	1.0015
44	38	0.077739	0.48279	2.9681	7.868	7.8709	5.872	1.996	1.9989	1.0015
45	39.002	0.077739	0.48224	2.9681	7.8685	7.8715	5.872	1.9966	1.9995	1.0015
46	40.004	0.077739	0.48362	2.9681	7.868	7.8709	5.8726	1.9954	1.9983	1.0015
47	41.002	0.077739	0.48418	2.9681	7.8685	7.8715	5.872	1.9966	1.9995	1.0015
48	41.854	0.077739	0.48362	2.9681	7.8685	7.8715	5.872	1.9966	1.9995	1.0015

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 6

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	7.8685	7.8715	5.872	1.9966	1.9995	1.0015
2	0.0125	0	0.001661	2.9681	7.9859	7.9968	5.9293	2.0566	2.0675	1.0053
3	0.05065	0.017769	0.10962	2.9681	8.6093	8.7244	5.9872	2.6221	2.7372	1.0439
4	0.10073	0.036648	0.20319	2.9681	8.8052	8.8327	5.8738	2.9314	2.9589	1.0094
5	0.25102	0.046643	0.23171	2.9681	8.8592	8.8661	5.8755	2.9837	2.9906	1.0023
6	0.50198	0.049975	0.24416	2.9681	8.8658	8.8696	5.8743	2.9914	2.9952	1.0013
7	1.0037	0.052196	0.25496	2.9681	8.8669	8.8707	5.8738	2.9931	2.997	1.0013
8	2.0015	0.054417	0.26576	2.9681	8.8707	8.8713	5.8726	2.9981	2.9988	1.0002
9	3.0034	0.056638	0.27157	2.9681	8.8707	8.8713	5.8726	2.9981	2.9988	1.0002
10	4.0012	0.057749	0.27655	2.9681	8.8707	8.8713	5.8714	2.9992	2.9999	1.0002
11	5.0031	0.057749	0.27988	2.9681	8.8707	8.8713	5.8726	2.9981	2.9988	1.0002
12	6.0009	0.058859	0.28292	2.9681	8.8712	8.8719	5.872	2.9992	2.9999	1.0002
13	7.0028	0.058859	0.28541	2.9681	8.8712	8.8719	5.8726	2.9986	2.9993	1.0002
14	8.0006	0.058859	0.28735	2.9681	8.8707	8.8713	5.8726	2.9981	2.9988	1.0002
15	9.0025	0.058859	0.28956	2.9681	8.8712	8.8719	5.8726	2.9986	2.9993	1.0002
16	10	0.058859	0.2915	2.9681	8.8674	8.8713	5.8702	2.9972	3.0011	1.0013
17	11.002	0.05997	0.29206	2.9681	8.8674	8.8713	5.8726	2.9948	2.9988	1.0013
18	12	0.05997	0.29399	2.9681	8.8712	8.8719	5.8708	3.0004	3.0011	1.0002
19	13.002	0.05997	0.29621	2.9681	8.8707	8.8713	5.8714	2.9992	2.9999	1.0002
20	14.004	0.05997	0.29732	2.9681	8.8712	8.8719	5.8708	3.0004	3.0011	1.0002
21	15.002	0.05997	0.29759	2.9681	8.8707	8.8713	5.872	2.9987	2.9993	1.0002
22	16.004	0.05997	0.29981	2.9681	8.8712	8.8719	5.872	2.9992	2.9999	1.0002
23	17.001	0.05997	0.30064	2.9681	8.8712	8.8719	5.8726	2.9986	2.9993	1.0002
24	18.003	0.05997	0.30202	2.9681	8.8707	8.8713	5.8714	2.9992	2.9999	1.0002
25	19.001	0.05997	0.30285	2.9681	8.8707	8.8713	5.872	2.9987	2.9993	1.0002
26	20.003	0.05997	0.30396	2.9681	8.8674	8.8713	5.872	2.9954	2.9993	1.0013
27	21	0.05997	0.30424	2.9681	8.8712	8.8719	5.872	2.9992	2.9999	1.0002
28	22.002	0.05997	0.30507	2.9681	8.8712	8.8719	5.8726	2.9986	2.9993	1.0002
29	23	0.061081	0.3059	2.9681	8.8707	8.8713	5.8714	2.9992	2.9999	1.0002
30	24.002	0.05997	0.30673	2.9681	8.8712	8.8719	5.872	2.9992	2.9999	1.0002
31	25	0.05997	0.30811	2.9681	8.8707	8.8713	5.8726	2.9981	2.9988	1.0002
32	26.002	0.05997	0.3095	2.9681	8.8712	8.8719	5.8708	3.0004	3.0011	1.0002
33	27.004	0.058859	0.31005	2.9681	8.8712	8.8719	5.872	2.9992	2.9999	1.0002
34	28.002	0.05997	0.30977	2.9681	8.8712	8.8719	5.872	2.9992	2.9999	1.0002
35	29.004	0.05997	0.31143	2.9681	8.8712	8.8719	5.872	2.9992	2.9999	1.0002
36	30.002	0.05997	0.31116	2.9681	8.8712	8.8719	5.872	2.9992	2.9999	1.0002
37	31.004	0.05997	0.31282	2.9681	8.8712	8.8719	5.872	2.9992	2.9999	1.0002
38	32.001	0.061081	0.31365	2.9681	8.8712	8.8719	5.872	2.9992	2.9999	1.0002
39	33.003	0.061081	0.31365	2.9681	8.868	8.8719	5.872	2.996	2.9999	1.0013
40	34.001	0.061081	0.3142	2.9681	8.8707	8.8713	5.872	2.9987	2.9993	1.0002
41	35.003	0.061081	0.31531	2.9681	8.8712	8.8719	5.8726	2.9986	2.9993	1.0002
42	36.001	0.061081	0.31586	2.9681	8.8712	8.8719	5.8726	2.9986	2.9993	1.0002
43	37.003	0.061081	0.31642	2.9681	8.8707	8.8713	5.872	2.9987	2.9993	1.0002
44	38	0.061081	0.31697	2.9681	8.8712	8.8719	5.872	2.9992	2.9999	1.0002
45	39.002	0.061081	0.31669	2.9681	8.8712	8.8719	5.872	2.9992	2.9999	1.0002
46	40	0.05997	0.31836	2.9681	8.8712	8.8719	5.8714	2.9998	3.0005	1.0002
47	41.002	0.05997	0.31863	2.9681	8.8712	8.8719	5.8726	2.9986	2.9993	1.0002
48	42.004	0.061081	0.31891	2.9681	8.8712	8.8719	5.8726	2.9986	2.9993	1.0002
49	43.002	0.061081	0.31919	2.9681	8.8707	8.8713	5.8726	2.9981	2.9988	1.0002
50	43.061	0.05997	0.31974	2.9681	8.8712	8.8719	5.872	2.9992	2.9999	1.0002

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.1432	8.1437	8.0717	0.071448	0.071985	1.0075
2	0.01255	0	0	2.9681	8.1465	8.1472	8.0747	0.071815	0.072572	1.0105
3	0.05005	0.0011106	0.0074744	2.9681	8.17	8.1654	8.0828	0.087139	0.082528	0.94708
4	0.10012	0	0.023531	2.9681	8.163	8.1683	8.0741	0.088896	0.09423	1.06
5	0.25035	0	0.036265	2.9681	8.1684	8.1706	8.0741	0.094344	0.096572	1.0236
6	0.5012	0	0.042909	2.9681	8.1652	8.1706	8.0723	0.092845	0.098327	1.059
7	1.0029	0	0.050383	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0717	0.097233	0.099497	1.0233
8	2.0007	0	0.059242	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0723	0.096648	0.098912	1.0234
9	3.0026	0	0.065886	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0717	0.097233	0.099497	1.0233
10	4.0004	0	0.071422	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0717	0.097233	0.099497	1.0233
11	5.0023	0.0011106	0.076128	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
12	6.0001	0.0011106	0.080558	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0717	0.097233	0.099497	1.0233
13	7.0021	0.0011106	0.084433	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0723	0.096648	0.098912	1.0234
14	8.004	0.0011106	0.088586	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0717	0.097233	0.099497	1.0233
15	9.0018	0.0011106	0.092185	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0723	0.097197	0.099498	1.0237
16	10.004	0.0011106	0.095783	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0717	0.097233	0.099497	1.0233
17	11.001	0.0011106	0.099105	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0723	0.097197	0.099498	1.0237
18	12.003	0.0011106	0.10215	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0723	0.097197	0.099498	1.0237
19	13.001	0.0011106	0.1052	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0723	0.097197	0.099498	1.0237
20	14.003	0.0011106	0.10796	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0723	0.096648	0.098912	1.0234
21	15.001	0.0011106	0.11073	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
22	16.003	0.0011106	0.1135	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0723	0.097197	0.099498	1.0237
23	17.001	0.0011106	0.11599	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0723	0.097197	0.099498	1.0237
24	18.003	0.0011106	0.11876	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0706	0.098952	0.10125	1.0233
25	19	0.0011106	0.12097	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0723	0.097197	0.099498	1.0237
26	20.002	0.0011106	0.12347	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
27	21	0.0011106	0.12568	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
28	22.002	0.0011106	0.12817	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0717	0.097233	0.099497	1.0233
29	23.004	0.0011106	0.13066	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0711	0.097818	0.10008	1.0231
30	24.002	0.0011106	0.13288	2.9681	8.1728	8.1718	8.0717	0.10104	0.10008	0.99057
31	25.004	0	0.13509	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
32	26.001	0	0.13703	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
33	27.003	0	0.13897	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
34	28.001	0	0.14091	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
35	29.003	0	0.14257	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0723	0.097197	0.099498	1.0237
36	30.001	0.0011106	0.14451	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
37	31.003	0	0.14672	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0711	0.098367	0.10067	1.0234
38	32.001	0	0.14838	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
39	33.002	0	0.15004	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
40	34	0	0.1517	2.9681	8.1663	8.1718	8.0723	0.093943	0.099498	1.0591
41	35.002	0	0.15364	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
42	36.004	0	0.15558	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
43	37.002	0	0.15724	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0723	0.097197	0.099498	1.0237
44	38.004	0	0.1589	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
45	39.002	0	0.16056	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0717	0.097233	0.099497	1.0233
46	40.004	0	0.16195	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0723	0.097197	0.099498	1.0237
47	41.001	0	0.16361	2.9681	8.1663	8.1718	8.0717	0.094528	0.10008	1.0588
48	42.003	0.0011106	0.16527	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0717	0.097233	0.099497	1.0233
49	43.001	0.0011106	0.16665	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0717	0.097233	0.099497	1.0233
50	44.003	0.0011106	0.16804	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
51	45.001	0.0011106	0.16942	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
52	46.003	0.0011106	0.17108	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0717	0.097233	0.099497	1.0233
53	47.001	0.0011106	0.17219	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0723	0.097197	0.099498	1.0237
54	48.003	0.0011106	0.17413	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
55	49	0.0011106	0.17551	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
56	50.002	0.0011106	0.17689	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0723	0.097197	0.099498	1.0237
57	51.004	0.0011106	0.17828	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
58	52.002	0.0011106	0.17966	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
59	53.004	0.0011106	0.18077	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0723	0.097197	0.099498	1.0237
60	54.002	0.0011106	0.18215	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
61	55.004	0.0011106	0.18354	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0717	0.097233	0.099497	1.0233
62	56.001	0.0011106	0.18465	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
63	57.003	0.0011106	0.18603	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0717	0.097233	0.099497	1.0233
64	58.001	0.0011106	0.18741	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
65	59.003	0.0022211	0.18852	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
66	60.001	0.0022211	0.18991	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
67	61.003	0.0022211	0.19101	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
68	62	0.0022211	0.1924	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
69	63.002	0.0022211	0.19378	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
70	64	0.0011106	0.19461	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
71	65.002	0.0011106	0.19627	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
72	66.004	0.0011106	0.19738	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
73	67.002	0.0011106	0.19849	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
74	68.004	0.0011106	0.19959	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
75	69.002	0.0011106	0.2007	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
76	70.003	0.0011106	0.20153	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
77	71.001	0.0011106	0.20264	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0717	0.097233	0.099497	1.0233
78	72.003	0.0011106	0.20375	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
79	73.001	0.0011106	0.20485	2.9681	8.1663	8.1718	8.0711	0.095113	0.10067	1.0584
80	74.003	0.0011106	0.20541	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
81	75.001	0.0011106	0.20652	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0723	0.097197	0.099498	1.0237
82	76.003	0.0011106	0.2079	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
83	77	0.0011106	0.20873	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
84	78.002	0.0011106	0.21011	2.9681	8.1728	8.1718	8.0717	0.10104	0.10008	0.99057
85	79	0.0011106	0.21094	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
86	80.002	0	0.21205	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
87	81.004	0.0011106	0.21261	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
88	82.002	0.0011106	0.21399	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0711	0.098367	0.10067	1.0234
89	83.004	0.0011106	0.21454	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0723	0.097197	0.099498	1.0237
90	84.002	0.0011106	0.21565	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0717	0.097233	0.099497	1.0233
91	85.004	0.0011106	0.21648	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
92	86.002	0.0011106	0.21704	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235

93	87.004	0.0011106	0.21814	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
94	88.002	0.0011106	0.21897	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
95	89.004	0.0011106	0.22008	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0723	0.097197	0.099498	1.0237
96	90.002	0	0.22119	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
97	91.004	0	0.22202	2.9681	8.1728	8.1718	8.0717	0.10104	0.10008	0.99057
98	92.001	0	0.22257	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
99	93.003	0	0.22368	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
100	94.001	0	0.22451	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0717	0.097233	0.099497	1.0233
101	95.003	0	0.22534	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0717	0.097233	0.099497	1.0233
102	95.592	0	0.22562	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
2	0.012483	0	0.00083049	2.9681	8.1985	8.1958	8.0881	0.1104	0.1077	0.97555
3	0.05065	0	0.03931	2.9681	8.308	8.2918	8.132	0.17602	0.15983	0.90799
4	0.10078	-0.0011106	0.2007	2.9681	8.3011	8.3088	8.101	0.20016	0.20781	1.0382
5	0.25102	-0.0011106	0.63643	2.9681	8.2748	8.2807	8.0852	0.18962	0.19551	1.031
6	0.50197	0	1.094	2.9681	8.2989	8.3029	8.0823	0.21665	0.22068	1.0186
7	1.0037	0.0022211	1.5763	2.9681	8.3077	8.3123	8.0764	0.23128	0.23589	1.02
8	2.0015	0.0033317	1.8437	2.9681	8.3186	8.3205	8.0735	0.24514	0.24701	1.0077
9	3.0034	0.0033317	1.9157	2.9681	8.3181	8.3199	8.0741	0.244	0.24584	1.0075
10	4.0012	0.0033317	1.9539	2.9681	8.3186	8.3205	8.0741	0.24455	0.24643	1.0077
11	5.0031	0.0033317	1.9799	2.9681	8.3186	8.3205	8.0735	0.24514	0.24701	1.0077
12	6.0009	0.0044422	1.9995	2.9681	8.3192	8.3211	8.0729	0.24627	0.24818	1.0078
13	7.0028	0.0033317	2.0153	2.9681	8.3192	8.3211	8.0729	0.24627	0.24818	1.0078
14	8.0006	0.0033317	2.0286	2.9681	8.3192	8.3211	8.0723	0.24685	0.24877	1.0077
15	9.0025	0.0044422	2.04	2.9681	8.3192	8.3211	8.0723	0.24685	0.24877	1.0077
16	10	0.0044422	2.0497	2.9681	8.3192	8.3211	8.0723	0.24685	0.24877	1.0077
17	11.002	0.0044422	2.0582	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0723	0.2474	0.24935	1.0079
18	12.003	0.0044422	2.066	2.9681	8.3192	8.3211	8.0723	0.24685	0.24877	1.0077
19	13.001	0.0044422	2.0726	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0729	0.24682	0.24877	1.0079
20	14.003	0.0055528	2.0787	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0723	0.2474	0.24935	1.0079
21	15.001	0.0044422	2.0851	2.9681	8.3192	8.3211	8.0717	0.24744	0.24935	1.0077
22	16.003	0.0044422	2.0903	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
23	17.004	0.0044422	2.0956	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.07	0.24974	0.25169	1.0078
24	18.001	0.0044422	2.1	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0723	0.2474	0.24935	1.0079
25	19.003	0.0044422	2.1045	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0729	0.24682	0.24877	1.0079
26	20.001	0.0044422	2.1089	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
27	21.003	0.0044422	2.1128	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0723	0.2474	0.24935	1.0079
28	22.001	0.0044422	2.1166	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
29	22.323	0.0044422	2.1178	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0723	0.2474	0.24935	1.0079

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 3

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0723	0.2474	0.24935	1.0079
2	0.012483	0	0.0013842	2.9681	8.3652	8.3632	8.0957	0.26946	0.26751	0.99277
3	0.0507	0.0011106	0.070869	2.9681	8.5254	8.5342	8.1624	0.36296	0.37175	1.0242
4	0.10077	0.0044422	0.28873	2.9681	8.5621	8.5699	8.0998	0.46228	0.47005	1.0168
5	0.25102	0.009995	0.6572	2.9681	8.548	8.5687	8.0793	0.46864	0.48936	1.0442
6	0.50203	0.11439	0.9307	2.9681	8.5632	8.571	8.0741	0.48912	0.49697	1.016
7	1.004	0.20878	1.0904	2.9681	8.5621	8.5699	8.077	0.4851	0.49287	1.016
8	2.0018	0.24543	1.1668	2.9681	8.5626	8.5704	8.0735	0.48916	0.49697	1.016
9	3.0037	0.26098	1.1967	2.9681	8.5659	8.5704	8.0735	0.49241	0.49697	1.0093
10	4.0015	0.27209	1.2156	2.9681	8.5664	8.571	8.0729	0.49354	0.49814	1.0093
11	5.0034	0.27986	1.2291	2.9681	8.5664	8.571	8.0723	0.49413	0.49872	1.0093
12	6.0012	0.28541	1.2396	2.9681	8.5664	8.571	8.0723	0.49413	0.49872	1.0093
13	7.0031	0.29097	1.2485	2.9681	8.5664	8.571	8.0711	0.4953	0.49989	1.0093
14	8.0009	0.2943	1.2557	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0723	0.49738	0.49872	1.0027
15	9.0029	0.29874	1.2623	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0711	0.49855	0.49989	1.0027
16	10.001	0.30318	1.2676	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0723	0.49793	0.49931	1.0028
17	11.003	0.30651	1.2731	2.9681	8.567	8.5716	8.0723	0.49468	0.49931	1.0094
18	12	0.31096	1.2778	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0717	0.49797	0.49931	1.0027
19	13.002	0.31318	1.2823	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0723	0.49793	0.49931	1.0028
20	14	0.31429	1.2862	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0723	0.49793	0.49931	1.0028
21	15.002	0.31651	1.2898	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0723	0.49738	0.49872	1.0027
22	16.004	0.31762	1.2931	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0723	0.49793	0.49931	1.0028
23	17.002	0.31762	1.2964	2.9681	8.567	8.5716	8.0723	0.49468	0.49931	1.0094
24	18.004	0.31762	1.2992	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
25	19.001	0.31873	1.3019	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0717	0.49797	0.49931	1.0027
26	20.003	0.32095	1.305	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0723	0.49793	0.49931	1.0028
27	21.001	0.32095	1.3075	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0717	0.49797	0.49931	1.0027
28	22.003	0.32095	1.31	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
29	23.001	0.32095	1.3116	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
30	24.003	0.32095	1.3138	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0723	0.49793	0.49931	1.0028
31	25.001	0.32206	1.3166	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0723	0.49793	0.49931	1.0028
32	26.002	0.32317	1.3188	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0723	0.49793	0.49931	1.0028
33	27	0.32317	1.321	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
34	28.002	0.32428	1.3227	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
35	29.004	0.32428	1.3244	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0723	0.49738	0.49872	1.0027
36	30.002	0.32428	1.3263	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0711	0.4991	0.50048	1.0028
37	30.081	0.32539	1.3263	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0723	0.49793	0.49931	1.0028

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	5.9435	5.9436	5.8718	0.071642	0.071737	1.0013
2	0.0125	0.00091637	0	2.9681	5.9462	5.9465	5.8736	0.072613	0.072912	1.0041
3	0.05005	0.00091637	0.0085817	2.9681	5.9663	5.9647	5.8842	0.082197	0.080552	0.97999
4	0.10007	0.00091637	0.036265	2.9681	5.9713	5.97	5.8777	0.093559	0.092282	0.98636
5	0.25028	0	0.097168	2.9681	5.9707	5.9694	5.8742	0.09653	0.095213	0.98635
6	0.50115	0	0.14589	2.9681	5.9664	5.9682	5.8724	0.093985	0.095798	1.0193
7	1.0029	0.00091637	0.17108	2.9681	5.967	5.9688	5.8748	0.092186	0.09404	1.0201
8	2.0006	0.00091637	0.18935	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8724	0.096714	0.098732	1.0209
9	4.004	0.00091637	0.19904	2.9681	5.9659	5.9712	5.8718	0.094088	0.099318	1.0556
10	6.0032	0.0036655	0.20153	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
11	8.0024	0.0045818	0.20264	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8718	0.097301	0.099318	1.0207
12	10.002	0.011913	0.21925	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
13	12.001	0.013745	0.2187	2.9681	5.967	5.9723	5.8718	0.09518	0.10049	1.0558
14	14	0.014662	0.21842	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
15	16.003	0.014662	0.2187	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
16	18.003	0.015578	0.21814	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
17	20.002	0.014662	0.21731	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
18	21.934	0.014662	0.21731	2.9681	5.9702	5.9723	5.8718	0.098392	0.10049	1.0213

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	5.9729	5.9717	5.8718	0.10106	0.099905	0.98858
2	0.0125	0	0.00055366	2.9681	5.9942	5.9946	5.8859	0.10828	0.10872	1.0041
3	0.05065	0	0.036818	2.9681	6.0853	6.0891	5.9305	0.15483	0.15865	1.0247
4	0.10078	0	0.18548	2.9681	6.1078	6.1202	5.8947	0.2131	0.22551	1.0583
5	0.25102	0.0036655	0.50328	2.9681	6.0774	6.0979	5.8853	0.1921	0.21259	1.1067
6	0.50203	0.021993	0.90302	2.9681	6.0879	6.1161	5.8818	0.20611	0.2343	1.1368
7	1.004	0.077891	1.3133	2.9681	6.0869	6.1185	5.8759	0.21095	0.24251	1.1496
8	2.0018	0.25017	1.5945	2.9681	6.1094	6.1185	5.8742	0.23519	0.24427	1.0386
9	4.001	0.35922	1.7382	2.9681	6.1116	6.1208	5.8707	0.24089	0.25014	1.0384
10	6.0002	0.40687	1.7878	2.9681	6.1148	6.1208	5.873	0.24176	0.24779	1.0249
11	8.0036	0.41328	1.8166	2.9681	6.1153	6.1214	5.8724	0.24289	0.24896	1.025
12	10.003	0.43527	1.8365	2.9681	6.1153	6.1214	5.8724	0.24289	0.24896	1.025
13	12.002	0.44352	1.8525	2.9681	6.1196	6.1226	5.8724	0.2472	0.25014	1.0119
14	14.001	0.45177	1.8653	2.9681	6.1159	6.122	5.8724	0.24344	0.24955	1.0251
15	16	0.4591	1.8752	2.9681	6.1185	6.1214	5.8718	0.24669	0.24955	1.0116
16	18.004	0.46276	1.8841	2.9681	6.1191	6.122	5.8718	0.24724	0.25014	1.0117
17	20.003	0.46643	1.8908	2.9681	6.1153	6.1214	5.8718	0.24348	0.24955	1.0249
18	22.002	0.46918	1.8971	2.9681	6.1191	6.122	5.8718	0.24724	0.25014	1.0117
19	24.001	0.47101	1.9029	2.9681	6.1191	6.122	5.8718	0.24724	0.25014	1.0117
20	26.001	0.47376	1.9082	2.9681	6.1185	6.1214	5.8713	0.24728	0.25014	1.0116
21	28.004	0.47651	1.9123	2.9681	6.1159	6.122	5.8724	0.24344	0.24955	1.0251
22	30.003	0.48018	1.9168	2.9681	6.1213	6.1243	5.8742	0.24708	0.25014	1.0124
23	30.079	0.48018	1.9173	2.9681	6.1196	6.1226	5.8718	0.24779	0.25072	1.0119

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	7.7431	7.7434	7.671	0.072075	0.072405	1.0046
2	0.01245	0	-0.00027683	2.9681	7.7469	7.7475	7.6745	0.072379	0.072995	1.0085
3	0.054117	0	0.0080281	2.9681	7.7692	7.7681	7.6787	0.090595	0.089431	0.98715
4	0.10002	0	0.017163	2.9681	7.7692	7.7681	7.674	0.095285	0.094121	0.98778
5	0.25025	-0.00091637	0.024915	2.9681	7.7682	7.7704	7.6728	0.095429	0.097641	1.0232
6	0.50112	0	0.031559	2.9681	7.7677	7.7698	7.6728	0.094883	0.097054	1.0229
7	1.0033	-0.00091637	0.040971	2.9681	7.765	7.7704	7.6728	0.092216	0.097641	1.0588
8	2.0016	0	0.054536	2.9681	7.7688	7.771	7.6722	0.096561	0.098814	1.0233
9	4.0018	0	0.073637	2.9681	7.7693	7.7716	7.6728	0.09652	0.098814	1.0238
10	6.002	-0.00091637	0.088586	2.9681	7.7688	7.771	7.6716	0.097147	0.0994	1.0232
11	8.0022	-0.00091637	0.10049	2.9681	7.7693	7.7716	7.6722	0.097107	0.099401	1.0236
12	10.002	-0.00091637	0.11046	2.9681	7.7688	7.771	7.6722	0.096561	0.098814	1.0233
13	12.003	-0.00091637	0.11904	2.9681	7.7693	7.7716	7.6722	0.097107	0.099401	1.0236
14	14.003	-0.00091637	0.12983	2.9681	7.7688	7.771	7.6722	0.096561	0.098814	1.0233
15	16.003	0	0.1398	2.9681	7.7693	7.7716	7.6722	0.097107	0.099401	1.0236
16	18.003	0	0.14644	2.9681	7.7688	7.771	7.6722	0.096561	0.098814	1.0233
17	20.003	0	0.15336	2.9681	7.7688	7.771	7.6716	0.097147	0.0994	1.0232
18	22.002	-0.00091637	0.15807	2.9681	7.7661	7.7716	7.6716	0.09448	0.099987	1.0583
19	24.002	-0.00091637	0.16278	2.9681	7.7693	7.7716	7.6722	0.097107	0.099401	1.0236
20	26.003	-0.00091637	0.16748	2.9681	7.7661	7.7716	7.6704	0.095653	0.10116	1.0576
21	28.003	-0.00091637	0.17136	2.9681	7.7688	7.771	7.6716	0.097147	0.0994	1.0232
22	30.003	0	0.17496	2.9681	7.7661	7.7716	7.6716	0.09448	0.099987	1.0583
23	32.003	-0.00091637	0.17856	2.9681	7.7725	7.7716	7.6722	0.10032	0.099401	0.99084
24	34.003	-0.0018327	0.18215	2.9681	7.7693	7.7716	7.6716	0.097693	0.099987	1.0235
25	36.004	-0.0018327	0.1852	2.9681	7.7688	7.771	7.6716	0.097147	0.0994	1.0232
26	38.004	-0.00091637	0.18824	2.9681	7.7693	7.7716	7.6716	0.097693	0.099987	1.0235
27	40.004	-0.00091637	0.19074	2.9681	7.7693	7.7716	7.6722	0.097107	0.099401	1.0236
28	42	-0.00091637	0.1935	2.9681	7.7693	7.7716	7.6716	0.097693	0.099987	1.0235
29	44	-0.00091637	0.19627	2.9681	7.7693	7.7716	7.6722	0.097107	0.099401	1.0236
30	46.001	-0.0018327	0.19904	2.9681	7.7693	7.7716	7.6722	0.097107	0.099401	1.0236
31	48.001	-0.00091637	0.20126	2.9681	7.7693	7.7716	7.6716	0.097693	0.099987	1.0235
32	50.001	-0.00091637	0.20347	2.9681	7.7693	7.7716	7.6716	0.097693	0.099987	1.0235
33	52.001	-0.00091637	0.20569	2.9681	7.7661	7.7716	7.6716	0.09448	0.099987	1.0583
34	54.001	-0.00091637	0.20762	2.9681	7.7693	7.7716	7.6716	0.097693	0.099987	1.0235
35	56.002	-0.00091637	0.21039	2.9681	7.7693	7.7716	7.6716	0.097693	0.099987	1.0235
36	57.275	-0.00091637	0.21178	2.9681	7.7725	7.7716	7.6722	0.10032	0.099401	0.99084

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	7.7725	7.7716	7.6716	0.10091	0.099987	0.9909
2	0.012483	0	0.00055366	2.9681	7.7981	7.7957	7.6851	0.11302	0.11056	0.97829
3	0.05065	0	0.032943	2.9681	7.9075	7.8925	7.7214	0.18602	0.17105	0.9195
4	0.10072	0	0.14146	2.9681	7.8653	7.8714	7.7003	0.16499	0.17102	1.0366
5	0.25095	0	0.43739	2.9681	7.9154	7.9183	7.6751	0.24029	0.24318	1.012
6	0.5018	0	0.74052	2.9681	7.8976	7.9095	7.6816	0.21602	0.22793	1.0551
7	1.003	0.022909	1.0395	2.9681	7.8897	7.9148	7.6775	0.21218	0.23731	1.1184
8	2.0008	0.13745	1.2106	2.9681	7.9063	7.9189	7.6757	0.23061	0.24318	1.0545
9	4.0042	0.18052	1.2898	2.9681	7.9144	7.9207	7.6734	0.24102	0.24729	1.026
10	6.0034	0.19244	1.3244	2.9681	7.9149	7.9212	7.6722	0.24274	0.24905	1.026
11	8.0026	0.20435	1.3462	2.9681	7.9182	7.9212	7.6722	0.24595	0.24905	1.0126
12	10.002	0.21351	1.3615	2.9681	7.9182	7.9212	7.6722	0.24595	0.24905	1.0126
13	12.002	0.22176	1.3736	2.9681	7.9187	7.9218	7.6704	0.24826	0.25139	1.0126
14	14.001	0.22451	1.3828	2.9681	7.9155	7.9218	7.6728	0.2427	0.24905	1.0261
15	16.001	0.22634	1.3908	2.9681	7.9187	7.9218	7.6722	0.2465	0.24963	1.0127
16	18	0.22818	1.3972	2.9681	7.9187	7.9218	7.6716	0.24709	0.25022	1.0127
17	20.001	0.23092	1.4033	2.9681	7.9149	7.9212	7.6716	0.24333	0.24963	1.0259
18	22.001	0.23276	1.4082	2.9681	7.9187	7.9218	7.6722	0.2465	0.24963	1.0127
19	22.126	0.23276	1.4088	2.9681	7.9155	7.9218	7.6716	0.24387	0.25022	1.026

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 3

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	7.9155	7.9218	7.6716	0.24387	0.25022	1.026
2	0.012533	0	0.00083049	2.9681	7.9644	7.9641	7.6962	0.26819	0.26785	0.99872
3	0.05065	0.0018327	0.049829	2.9681	8.0996	8.1266	7.7472	0.35235	0.3794	1.0768
4	0.10073	0.0064146	0.18409	2.9681	8.0725	8.1114	7.7326	0.33996	0.3788	1.1143
5	0.25095	0.024742	0.49137	2.9681	8.0789	8.139	7.6869	0.39207	0.45211	1.1531
6	0.50182	0.075142	0.71754	2.9681	8.1023	8.1607	7.6763	0.42603	0.48438	1.1369
7	1.0035	0.19427	0.88447	2.9681	8.1534	8.1707	7.681	0.47239	0.48966	1.0366
8	2.0015	0.27491	0.98718	2.9681	8.163	8.1707	7.6745	0.48847	0.49611	1.0156
9	4.0007	0.31156	1.0437	2.9681	8.163	8.1707	7.6716	0.49141	0.49904	1.0155
10	6.0041	0.33631	1.068	2.9681	8.1668	8.1712	7.6722	0.49458	0.49904	1.009
11	8.0033	0.34639	1.0846	2.9681	8.17	8.1712	7.6716	0.49838	0.49963	1.0025
12	10.002	0.35647	1.0968	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	7.6722	0.49834	0.49963	1.0026
13	12.002	0.3693	1.1068	2.9681	8.17	8.1712	7.671	0.49896	0.50022	1.0025
14	14.001	0.38029	1.1142	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	7.6716	0.49892	0.50022	1.0026
15	16.001	0.38487	1.1214	2.9681	8.1673	8.1718	7.671	0.4963	0.5008	1.0091
16	18	0.38946	1.1278	2.9681	8.1668	8.1712	7.6687	0.4981	0.50256	1.009
17	20	0.39404	1.1322	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	7.6716	0.49892	0.50022	1.0026
18	21.521	0.39495	1.1375	2.9681	8.1716	8.173	7.6722	0.49943	0.5008	1.0028

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 4

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	7.6716	0.49892	0.50022	1.0026
2	0.0125	0	0.001661	2.9681	8.2582	8.2558	7.7109	0.54735	0.54486	0.99545
3	0.050717	0.0027491	0.10686	2.9681	8.5474	8.6184	7.8088	0.73863	0.80962	1.0961
4	0.10072	0.0036655	0.28846	2.9681	8.4852	8.5826	7.7613	0.72391	0.82131	1.1346
5	0.25095	0.046735	0.64529	2.9681	8.5138	8.6513	7.6745	0.83921	0.97674	1.1639
6	0.50182	0.14112	0.82329	2.9681	8.5798	8.6601	7.6775	0.90229	0.98261	1.089
7	1.0035	0.27399	0.96835	2.9681	8.6431	8.6695	7.6745	0.96857	0.99493	1.0272
8	2.0013	0.32989	1.0315	2.9681	8.6629	8.6701	7.674	0.98898	0.9961	1.0072
9	4.0005	0.36471	1.0749	2.9681	8.6667	8.6707	7.6734	0.99332	0.99728	1.004
10	6.0039	0.38029	1.0943	2.9681	8.6678	8.6718	7.6728	0.995	0.99904	1.0041
11	8.0031	0.38671	1.1079	2.9681	8.6705	8.6712	7.6722	0.99825	0.99904	1.0008
12	10.002	0.38854	1.1181	2.9681	8.6678	8.6718	7.6716	0.99617	1.0002	1.0041
13	12.002	0.39312	1.1264	2.9681	8.6715	8.6724	7.6716	0.99993	1.0008	1.0009
14	14.002	0.39587	1.1331	2.9681	8.671	8.6718	7.671	0.99997	1.0008	1.0008
15	16.002	0.3977	1.1389	2.9681	8.671	8.6718	7.6722	0.9988	0.99962	1.0008
16	18.002	0.39862	1.1439	2.9681	8.6678	8.6718	7.6722	0.99558	0.99962	1.0041
17	20.003	0.40228	1.1494	2.9681	8.6678	8.6718	7.671	0.99676	1.0008	1.0041
18	22.003	0.40595	1.1536	2.9681	8.671	8.6718	7.6722	0.9988	0.99962	1.0008
19	24.003	0.40687	1.1569	2.9681	8.671	8.6718	7.6716	0.99938	1.0002	1.0008
20	26.003	0.40962	1.1602	2.9681	8.6705	8.6712	7.671	0.99942	1.0002	1.0008
21	28.004	0.4142	1.1643	2.9681	8.6705	8.6712	7.671	0.99942	1.0002	1.0008
22	30.004	0.41695	1.1674	2.9681	8.671	8.6718	7.6716	0.99938	1.0002	1.0008
23	30.075	0.41786	1.1677	2.9681	8.671	8.6718	7.6722	0.9988	0.99962	1.0008

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.1411	8.1437	8.0714	0.069686	0.072227	1.0365
2	0.0124	0	0	2.9681	8.1417	8.1443	8.0714	0.070232	0.072814	1.0368
3	0.054117	0	0.0044293	2.9681	8.1667	8.1677	8.0767	0.090005	0.091012	1.0112
4	0.10002	0	0.010243	2.9681	8.1651	8.1695	8.0726	0.092534	0.096876	1.0469
5	0.25025	0	0.014949	2.9681	8.1673	8.1718	8.0732	0.094131	0.098637	1.0479
6	0.50068	0.00091637	0.018824	2.9681	8.1668	8.1712	8.0691	0.097689	0.10215	1.0457
7	1.0013	0.0018327	0.022146	2.9681	8.1673	8.1718	8.072	0.095304	0.09981	1.0473
8	2.0028	0.0036655	0.026022	2.9681	8.1673	8.1718	8.0714	0.09589	0.1004	1.047
9	4.0015	0.0045818	0.028514	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.072	0.098516	0.09981	1.0131
10	6.0003	0.0045818	0.030175	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
11	8.0037	0.0045818	0.030728	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.072	0.098516	0.09981	1.0131
12	10.002	0.0045818	0.031282	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
13	12.001	0.0045818	0.031559	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
14	14.004	0.0045818	0.031005	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
15	16.003	0.0045818	0.031005	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
16	18.001	0.0036655	0.030451	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
17	18.068	0.0036655	0.030451	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
2	0.012567	0	0.00055366	2.9681	8.1934	8.193	8.0808	0.11259	0.11214	0.99604
3	0.050633	0.00091637	0.026853	2.9681	8.2912	8.3015	8.1101	0.18106	0.1914	1.0571
4	0.1007	0.0027491	0.094953	2.9681	8.2712	8.2904	8.0966	0.17453	0.19373	1.11
5	0.25092	0.015578	0.24472	2.9681	8.2795	8.3062	8.0832	0.19633	0.22306	1.1361
6	0.50195	0.042153	0.38729	2.9681	8.2898	8.3138	8.0785	0.21133	0.23538	1.1138
7	1.0038	0.098051	0.50605	2.9681	8.3054	8.3203	8.0773	0.22815	0.24301	1.0651
8	2.0015	0.15395	0.61456	2.9681	8.3087	8.3203	8.0744	0.23429	0.24594	1.0497
9	4.0009	0.20527	0.69263	2.9681	8.3151	8.3203	8.0732	0.24189	0.24711	1.0216
10	6.0016	0.22268	0.73194	2.9681	8.3156	8.3209	8.0726	0.24302	0.24828	1.0217
11	8.0017	0.23367	0.75769	2.9681	8.3156	8.3209	8.072	0.2436	0.24887	1.0216
12	10.001	0.28866	0.91825	2.9681	8.3145	8.3197	8.0714	0.2431	0.24828	1.0213
13	12.001	0.3134	0.95562	2.9681	8.3156	8.3209	8.0726	0.24302	0.24828	1.0217
14	14.004	0.32073	0.97334	2.9681	8.3156	8.3209	8.0714	0.24419	0.24946	1.0216
15	16.003	0.32531	0.98441	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.072	0.24736	0.24946	1.0085
16	18.002	0.32806	0.99271	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
17	20	0.32989	0.99963	2.9681	8.3188	8.3209	8.0714	0.2474	0.24946	1.0083
18	22.004	0.33447	1.0052	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.072	0.24736	0.24946	1.0085
19	24.002	0.33722	1.0096	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
20	26.001	0.33814	1.0132	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.072	0.24736	0.24946	1.0085
21	28.004	0.33814	1.0162	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
22	29.477	0.33906	1.0182	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 3

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
2	0.012483	0	0.00027683	2.9681	8.3607	8.359	8.0843	0.27642	0.2747	0.9938
3	0.050533	0.00091637	0.039864	2.9681	8.5096	8.5398	8.1353	0.37428	0.40445	1.0806
4	0.10055	0.0054982	0.14617	2.9681	8.4738	8.5151	8.1078	0.36606	0.40735	1.1128
5	0.25077	0.025658	0.35794	2.9681	8.4965	8.5533	8.0779	0.41859	0.4754	1.1357
6	0.50113	0.072393	0.51906	2.9681	8.5198	8.568	8.0761	0.44363	0.49183	1.1086
7	1.0019	0.16586	0.64695	2.9681	8.5594	8.5691	8.0767	0.48269	0.49241	1.0201
8	2.0035	0.23092	0.73858	2.9681	8.5599	8.5697	8.0744	0.48558	0.49535	1.0201
9	4.0005	0.25567	0.79976	2.9681	8.5669	8.5703	8.072	0.4949	0.49828	1.0068
10	6.002	0.27674	0.8316	2.9681	8.5669	8.5703	8.0714	0.49548	0.49886	1.0068
11	8.0034	0.29049	0.85208	2.9681	8.5675	8.5709	8.0709	0.49661	0.50004	1.0069
12	10.003	0.29782	0.86676	2.9681	8.5675	8.5709	8.072	0.49544	0.49887	1.0069
13	12.002	0.3024	0.877	2.9681	8.5707	8.5709	8.072	0.49865	0.49887	1.0004
14	14.001	0.30607	0.8853	2.9681	8.5707	8.5709	8.0714	0.49924	0.49945	1.0004
15	16	0.31065	0.89167	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
16	17.203	0.3134	0.89555	2.9681	8.568	8.5715	8.0714	0.49657	0.50004	1.007

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 4

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.072	0.4992	0.49945	1.0005
2	0.012467	0	0.00055366	2.9681	8.6449	8.6507	8.0884	0.55648	0.56226	1.0104
3	0.050633	0.0027491	0.058134	2.9681	8.9185	8.9793	8.1916	0.72689	0.78772	1.0837
4	0.1007	0.013745	0.2641	2.9681	8.8809	8.9735	8.15	0.73093	0.82347	1.1266
5	0.25093	0.064146	0.59629	2.9681	8.929	9.0562	8.0785	0.85052	0.97774	1.1496
6	0.50178	0.15303	0.78398	2.9681	8.9874	9.0603	8.0837	0.90368	0.97657	1.0807
7	1.0035	0.27491	0.92821	2.9681	9.0389	9.0674	8.0755	0.96341	0.99182	1.0295
8	2.0013	0.32073	0.99687	2.9681	9.0604	9.0697	8.0732	0.98721	0.99651	1.0094
9	4.0005	0.34089	1.0425	2.9681	9.0647	9.0709	8.0732	0.99152	0.99769	1.0062
10	6.0039	0.34914	1.0628	2.9681	9.0653	9.0715	8.0714	0.99382	1	1.0062
11	8.0031	0.36105	1.0744	2.9681	9.0653	9.0715	8.072	0.99324	0.99945	1.0063
12	10.002	0.3693	1.0855	2.9681	9.0653	9.0715	8.072	0.99324	0.99945	1.0063
13	12.001	0.38579	1.0954	2.9681	9.0647	9.0709	8.072	0.99269	0.99886	1.0062
14	14.004	0.39587	1.1043	2.9681	9.0679	9.0709	8.0714	0.99649	0.99944	1.003
15	16.003	0.39862	1.1109	2.9681	9.0679	9.0709	8.0714	0.99649	0.99944	1.003
16	16.186	0.3977	1.1115	2.9681	9.0647	9.0709	8.0709	0.99386	1	1.0062

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 5

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	9.0679	9.0709	8.0714	0.99649	0.99944	1.003
2	0.012467	0	0.00027683	2.9681	9.1799	9.1947	8.089	1.0909	1.1057	1.0136
3	0.050017	0.0091637	0.10824	2.9681	9.7669	9.9224	8.2778	1.4891	1.6446	1.1044
4	0.1001	0.021993	0.41248	2.9681	9.7125	9.9365	8.2045	1.508	1.732	1.1485
5	0.25033	0.12554	0.79616	2.9681	9.8416	10.058	8.1178	1.7238	1.9402	1.1255
6	0.50072	0.263	0.98081	2.9681	10.001	10.06	8.0873	1.9139	1.973	1.0309
7	1.0014	0.35555	1.0949	2.9681	10.052	10.07	8.0755	1.9767	1.9947	1.0091
8	2.0029	0.38121	1.1519	2.9681	10.061	10.07	8.0744	1.987	1.9953	1.0042
9	4.0015	0.41328	1.1984	2.9681	10.062	10.071	8.0714	1.991	1.9994	1.0042
10	6.0003	0.43161	1.2255	2.9681	10.066	10.071	8.0726	1.993	1.9983	1.0026
11	8.0031	0.44077	1.2457	2.9681	10.066	10.071	8.072	1.9936	1.9988	1.0026
12	10.002	0.44535	1.2615	2.9681	10.069	10.071	8.0703	1.9986	2.0006	1.001
13	12.001	0.45085	1.2745	2.9681	10.066	10.071	8.0714	1.9942	1.9994	1.0026
14	14.004	0.45452	1.2856	2.9681	10.069	10.071	8.0726	1.9968	1.9988	1.001
15	16.002	0.45727	1.2958	2.9681	10.069	10.071	8.0714	1.9974	1.9994	1.001
16	18.001	0.46185	1.305	2.9681	10.073	10.071	8.072	2.0006	1.9994	0.99942
17	20	0.46551	1.3133	2.9681	10.069	10.071	8.0714	1.998	2	1.001
18	22.003	0.46735	1.3208	2.9681	10.069	10.071	8.0714	1.998	2	1.001
19	24.002	0.46826	1.3271	2.9681	10.066	10.071	8.072	1.9942	1.9994	1.0026
20	26.001	0.47101	1.3329	2.9681	10.069	10.071	8.072	1.9968	1.9988	1.001
21	28.004	0.47284	1.3385	2.9681	10.069	10.071	8.0714	1.998	2	1.001
22	30.002	0.47468	1.3424	2.9681	10.069	10.071	8.0714	1.9974	1.9994	1.001
23	31.838	0.47651	1.3454	2.9681	10.069	10.071	8.0714	1.998	2	1.001

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.1417	6.1435	6.0715	0.070168	0.071999	1.0261
2	0.012583	-0.0011106	-0.00027683	2.9681	6.145	6.147	6.0738	0.071119	0.073171	1.0289
3	0.050117	0	0.0085817	2.9681	6.169	6.1657	6.082	0.086993	0.083713	0.9623
4	0.10013	0	0.024915	2.9681	6.1712	6.1681	6.0773	0.093867	0.090734	0.96663
5	0.25037	-0.0022211	0.040417	2.9681	6.1669	6.1704	6.0732	0.093649	0.097171	1.0376
6	0.50073	-0.0011106	0.047338	2.9681	6.1696	6.1698	6.0727	0.096939	0.097171	1.0024
7	1.0014	-0.0011106	0.054812	2.9681	6.1707	6.171	6.0721	0.098622	0.098927	1.0031
8	2.0029	0	0.062564	2.9681	6.1707	6.171	6.0721	0.098622	0.098927	1.0031
9	3.0002	0	0.067547	2.9681	6.1707	6.171	6.0715	0.099207	0.099512	1.0031
10	4.0016	0	0.071422	2.9681	6.168	6.1716	6.0715	0.096501	0.1001	1.0373
11	5.003	-0.0011106	0.074467	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0721	0.09917	0.099512	1.0034
12	6.0003	-0.0011106	0.077513	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
13	7.0017	-0.0011106	0.080004	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
14	8.0032	-0.0022211	0.082495	2.9681	6.1745	6.1716	6.0721	0.10242	0.099512	0.97157
15	9.0005	-0.0033317	0.08471	2.9681	6.1745	6.1716	6.0715	0.10301	0.1001	0.97173
16	10.002	-0.0022211	0.086925	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0709	0.10034	0.10068	1.0034
17	11.003	-0.0022211	0.088586	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0721	0.09917	0.099512	1.0034
18	12.001	-0.0022211	0.090247	2.9681	6.1707	6.171	6.0715	0.099207	0.099512	1.0031
19	13.002	-0.0022211	0.091908	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
20	14.003	-0.0011106	0.093292	2.9681	6.168	6.1716	6.0715	0.096501	0.1001	1.0373
21	15.001	-0.0022211	0.094676	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0721	0.09917	0.099512	1.0034
22	16.002	-0.0022211	0.09606	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0721	0.09917	0.099512	1.0034
23	17.004	-0.0022211	0.097721	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
24	18.001	-0.0022211	0.099105	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
25	19.002	-0.0033317	0.10077	2.9681	6.1745	6.1716	6.0715	0.10301	0.1001	0.97173
26	20.004	-0.0033317	0.1016	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
27	21.002	-0.0033317	0.10326	2.9681	6.1707	6.171	6.0709	0.099792	0.1001	1.0031
28	22.003	-0.0033317	0.10409	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
29	23.001	-0.0022211	0.1052	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
30	24.002	-0.0022211	0.10603	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0721	0.09917	0.099512	1.0034
31	25.004	-0.0022211	0.10741	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
32	26.001	-0.0033317	0.10852	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
33	27.003	-0.0022211	0.10962	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
34	28.003	-0.0033317	0.11073	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
35	29	-0.0033317	0.11184	2.9681	6.1718	6.1722	6.0715	0.1003	0.10068	1.0038
36	30.002	-0.0022211	0.11267	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
37	31.003	-0.0033317	0.1135	2.9681	6.1707	6.171	6.0715	0.099207	0.099512	1.0031
38	32	-0.0033317	0.11433	2.9681	6.1707	6.171	6.0715	0.099207	0.099512	1.0031
39	33.002	-0.0033317	0.11488	2.9681	6.168	6.1716	6.0715	0.096501	0.1001	1.0373
40	34.003	-0.0022211	0.11544	2.9681	6.168	6.1716	6.0715	0.096501	0.1001	1.0373
41	35.001	-0.0033317	0.11655	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
42	36.002	-0.0022211	0.1171	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
43	37.003	-0.0022211	0.11821	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
44	38.001	-0.0033317	0.11931	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
45	39.002	-0.0033317	0.11987	2.9681	6.1745	6.1716	6.0721	0.10242	0.099512	0.97157
46	40.004	-0.0033317	0.12097	2.9681	6.1745	6.1716	6.0715	0.10301	0.1001	0.97173
47	41.001	-0.0033317	0.12153	2.9681	6.1729	6.1733	6.0715	0.1014	0.10185	1.0045
48	42.002	-0.0033317	0.12208	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0721	0.09917	0.099512	1.0034
49	43.004	-0.0033317	0.12264	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
50	44.001	-0.0033317	0.12347	2.9681	6.168	6.1716	6.0715	0.096501	0.1001	1.0373
51	45.002	-0.0033317	0.12374	2.9681	6.168	6.1716	6.0715	0.096501	0.1001	1.0373
52	46.004	-0.0033317	0.12485	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0721	0.09917	0.099512	1.0034
53	47.001	-0.0044422	0.1254	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
54	48.003	-0.0033317	0.12623	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
55	49.004	-0.0022211	0.12734	2.9681	6.1745	6.1716	6.0715	0.10301	0.1001	0.97173
56	50.001	-0.0033317	0.12762	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
57	51.003	-0.0022211	0.12817	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
58	52.004	-0.0022211	0.129	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
59	53.001	-0.0033317	0.12928	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
60	54.003	-0.0022211	0.13011	2.9681	6.168	6.1716	6.0715	0.096501	0.1001	1.0373
61	55	-0.0022211	0.13039	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
62	56.002	-0.0033317	0.13122	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0721	0.09917	0.099512	1.0034
63	57.003	-0.0033317	0.13205	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
64	58	-0.0033317	0.1326	2.9681	6.1745	6.1716	6.0715	0.10301	0.1001	0.97173
65	59.002	-0.0033317	0.13316	2.9681	6.1745	6.1716	6.0721	0.10242	0.099512	0.97157
66	60.003	-0.0033317	0.13371	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
67	61	-0.0033317	0.13426	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
68	62.002	-0.0033317	0.13482	2.9681	6.168	6.1716	6.0721	0.095916	0.099512	1.0375
69	63.004	-0.0022211	0.13565	2.9681	6.168	6.1716	6.0715	0.096501	0.1001	1.0373
70	64.002	-0.0022211	0.1362	2.9681	6.168	6.1716	6.0715	0.096501	0.1001	1.0373
71	65.003	-0.0022211	0.1362	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
72	65.901	-0.0022211	0.13703	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
2	0.012483	0	0.00055366	2.9681	6.1992	6.1944	6.0855	0.11362	0.10889	0.95835
3	0.0507	0.0011106	0.037926	2.9681	6.3027	6.2875	6.1323	0.17032	0.15516	0.911
4	0.10083	0.0011106	0.20236	2.9681	6.3191	6.3086	6.1031	0.21606	0.20548	0.95103
5	0.25107	-0.0011106	0.6464	2.9681	6.269	6.2828	6.0849	0.18403	0.19786	1.0752
6	0.50202	0	1.0597	2.9681	6.3088	6.308	6.0791	0.22974	0.22888	0.99628
7	1.0041	0.0033317	1.4379	2.9681	6.3198	6.3197	6.0744	0.24539	0.24527	0.99951
8	2.002	0.0044422	1.6272	2.9681	6.3204	6.3203	6.0756	0.24477	0.24469	0.99966
9	3.0041	0.0044422	1.6848	2.9681	6.3204	6.3203	6.0727	0.24769	0.24761	0.99967
10	4.0025	0.0044422	1.7169	2.9681	6.3204	6.3203	6.0727	0.24769	0.24761	0.99967
11	5.0008	0.0044422	1.7399	2.9681	6.3215	6.3214	6.0727	0.24879	0.24878	0.99996
12	6.0027	0.0044422	1.7579	2.9681	6.3204	6.3203	6.0721	0.24828	0.2482	0.99967
13	7.001	0.0055528	1.7723	2.9681	6.3209	6.3209	6.0721	0.24883	0.24878	0.99981
14	8.0029	0.0055528	1.7844	2.9681	6.3209	6.3209	6.0721	0.24883	0.24878	0.99981
15	9.0012	0.0055528	1.795	2.9681	6.3215	6.3214	6.0721	0.24938	0.24937	0.99996
16	10.003	0.0055528	1.8044	2.9681	6.3209	6.3209	6.0709	0.25	0.24995	0.99982
17	11.001	0.0066633	1.8124	2.9681	6.3215	6.3214	6.0721	0.24938	0.24937	0.99996
18	12.003	0.0055528	1.8196	2.9681	6.3209	6.3209	6.0721	0.24883	0.24878	0.99981
19	13.002	0.0066633	1.8265	2.9681	6.3209	6.3209	6.0715	0.24941	0.24937	0.99981
20	14.004	0.0066633	1.8326	2.9681	6.3209	6.3209	6.0715	0.24941	0.24937	0.99981
21	15.002	0.0066633	1.8379	2.9681	6.3215	6.3214	6.0721	0.24938	0.24937	0.99996
22	16	0.0055528	1.8431	2.9681	6.3209	6.3209	6.0715	0.24941	0.24937	0.99981
23	17.002	0.0055528	1.8478	2.9681	6.3215	6.3214	6.0721	0.24938	0.24937	0.99996
24	18.004	0.0044422	1.8523	2.9681	6.3182	6.3214	6.0715	0.24671	0.24995	1.0132
25	19.002	0.0055528	1.8564	2.9681	6.3209	6.3209	6.0715	0.24941	0.24937	0.99981
26	20.004	0.0066633	1.8603	2.9681	6.3215	6.3214	6.0721	0.24938	0.24937	0.99996
27	21.001	0.0055528	1.8642	2.9681	6.3209	6.3209	6.0715	0.24941	0.24937	0.99981
28	21.937	0.0055528	1.8678	2.9681	6.3215	6.3214	6.0709	0.25055	0.25054	0.99996

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 3

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.3209	6.3209	6.0709	0.25	0.24995	0.99982
2	0.012433	0	0.00083049	2.9681	6.3647	6.3607	6.0931	0.27158	0.26753	0.98507
3	0.050483	0.0022211	0.066993	2.9681	6.5309	6.531	6.1639	0.36695	0.36708	1.0004
4	0.1005	0.0022211	0.26853	2.9681	6.5496	6.5579	6.1142	0.4354	0.44374	1.0191
5	0.25072	0.013327	0.63588	2.9681	6.5403	6.5515	6.1142	0.42611	0.4373	1.0262
6	0.50158	0.085513	0.89776	2.9681	6.5524	6.5644	6.0867	0.46568	0.47767	1.0258
7	1.0042	0.17103	1.0412	2.9681	6.5611	6.5702	6.075	0.48612	0.49523	1.0187
8	2.0028	0.20989	1.1082	2.9681	6.5644	6.5702	6.0738	0.49054	0.4964	1.0119
9	3.0001	0.22988	1.1358	2.9681	6.5649	6.5708	6.0727	0.49226	0.49815	1.012
10	4.0015	0.23766	1.1536	2.9681	6.5649	6.5708	6.0727	0.49226	0.49815	1.012
11	5.0039	0.2421	1.1666	2.9681	6.5687	6.5714	6.0715	0.49724	0.49991	1.0054
12	6.0013	0.24765	1.1768	2.9681	6.5655	6.5714	6.0715	0.49398	0.49991	1.012
13	7.0028	0.2521	1.1854	2.9681	6.5687	6.5714	6.0721	0.49665	0.49932	1.0054
14	8.0007	0.25654	1.1929	2.9681	6.566	6.572	6.0721	0.49394	0.49991	1.0121
15	9.0021	0.25987	1.1992	2.9681	6.5687	6.5714	6.0721	0.49665	0.49932	1.0054
16	10.004	0.26098	1.205	2.9681	6.566	6.572	6.0721	0.49394	0.49991	1.0121
17	11.001	0.26653	1.2103	2.9681	6.5666	6.5726	6.0715	0.49508	0.50108	1.0121
18	12.003	0.26764	1.2153	2.9681	6.5687	6.5714	6.0709	0.49782	0.50049	1.0054
19	13.001	0.26875	1.2192	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.0721	0.4972	0.49991	1.0054
20	14.003	0.26875	1.2233	2.9681	6.5687	6.5714	6.0715	0.49724	0.49991	1.0054
21	15.002	0.26986	1.2269	2.9681	6.5655	6.5714	6.0715	0.49398	0.49991	1.012
22	16.003	0.27098	1.2305	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.0709	0.49837	0.50108	1.0054
23	17	0.27098	1.2341	2.9681	6.566	6.572	6.0721	0.49394	0.49991	1.0121
24	18.002	0.27098	1.2372	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.0721	0.4972	0.49991	1.0054
25	19.004	0.27209	1.2402	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.0721	0.4972	0.49991	1.0054
26	20.001	0.27209	1.2432	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.0721	0.4972	0.49991	1.0054
27	21.002	0.2732	1.2457	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.0721	0.4972	0.49991	1.0054
28	21.236	0.27209	1.2466	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.0721	0.4972	0.49991	1.0054

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 4

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.0721	0.4972	0.49991	1.0054
2	0.012417	-0.0011106	0.0019378	2.9681	6.6493	6.6504	6.1095	0.53978	0.54091	1.0021
3	0.05415	0.0055528	0.13426	2.9681	6.9624	7.0192	6.2213	0.74112	0.79795	1.0767
4	0.10417	0.018879	0.34715	2.9681	6.9082	6.9753	6.1698	0.73844	0.80553	1.0908
5	0.2502	0.20101	0.74689	2.9681	7.0184	7.0374	6.0832	0.93525	0.95416	1.0202
6	0.50058	0.33761	0.95507	2.9681	7.0519	7.0696	6.0849	0.96692	0.9846	1.0183
7	1.0015	0.3998	1.0381	2.9681	7.0676	7.069	6.0762	0.99142	0.99279	1.0014
8	2.0029	0.41979	1.0863	2.9681	7.066	7.0707	6.0732	0.99273	0.99748	1.0048
9	3.0002	0.4309	1.109	2.9681	7.066	7.0707	6.0709	0.99507	0.99982	1.0048
10	4.0016	0.43423	1.1239	2.9681	7.0665	7.0713	6.0727	0.99387	0.99865	1.0048
11	5.003	0.43756	1.1356	2.9681	7.0665	7.0713	6.0721	0.99445	0.99923	1.0048
12	6.0003	0.43978	1.1447	2.9681	7.066	7.0707	6.0721	0.9939	0.99865	1.0048
13	7.0018	0.44422	1.1524	2.9681	7.0698	7.0713	6.0721	0.99771	0.99923	1.0015
14	8.0032	0.44533	1.1594	2.9681	7.0698	7.0713	6.0721	0.99771	0.99923	1.0015
15	9.0005	0.44866	1.1655	2.9681	7.0698	7.0713	6.0715	0.99829	0.99982	1.0015
16	10.002	0.45089	1.171	2.9681	7.0698	7.0713	6.0715	0.99829	0.99982	1.0015
17	11.003	0.452	1.176	2.9681	7.0671	7.0719	6.0715	0.99559	1.0004	1.0048
18	12.001	0.45422	1.1807	2.9681	7.0698	7.0713	6.0709	0.99888	1.0004	1.0015
19	13.002	0.45644	1.1848	2.9681	7.0698	7.0713	6.0709	0.99888	1.0004	1.0015
20	14.003	0.45755	1.1887	2.9681	7.0703	7.0719	6.0721	0.99825	0.99982	1.0016
21	15.001	0.46088	1.1926	2.9681	7.0703	7.0719	6.0721	0.99825	0.99982	1.0016
22	16.002	0.46199	1.1959	2.9681	7.0703	7.0719	6.0721	0.99825	0.99982	1.0016
23	17.004	0.4631	1.199	2.9681	7.0665	7.0713	6.0715	0.99504	0.99982	1.0048
24	18.001	0.46532	1.2026	2.9681	7.0703	7.0719	6.0721	0.99825	0.99982	1.0016
25	19.002	0.46643	1.2059	2.9681	7.0703	7.0719	6.0715	0.99884	1.0004	1.0016
26	20.004	0.46865	1.2086	2.9681	7.0703	7.0719	6.0721	0.99825	0.99982	1.0016
27	20.98	0.46865	1.2117	2.9681	7.0703	7.0719	6.0721	0.99825	0.99982	1.0016

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 5

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	7.0671	7.0719	6.0721	0.995	0.99982	1.0048
2	0.012517	0	0.00027683	2.9681	7.1038	7.1041	6.082	1.0217	1.0221	1.0003
3	0.050067	0.011106	0.13288	2.9681	7.7584	7.8651	6.3125	1.4459	1.5525	1.0738
4	0.10015	0.062191	0.47421	2.9681	7.7786	7.9283	6.2078	1.5708	1.7205	1.0953
5	0.25037	0.30762	0.8889	2.9681	7.972	8.0582	6.0803	1.8917	1.978	1.0456
6	0.5008	0.41202	1.0265	2.9681	8.0415	8.0664	6.082	1.9594	1.9844	1.0127
7	1.0014	0.45422	1.0877	2.9681	8.0594	8.0682	6.0744	1.985	1.9938	1.0044
8	2.003	0.46421	1.1286	2.9681	8.0648	8.0705	6.0732	1.9916	1.9973	1.0029
9	3.0002	0.46976	1.1494	2.9681	8.0648	8.0705	6.0715	1.9933	1.999	1.0029
10	4.0016	0.47865	1.1635	2.9681	8.0654	8.0711	6.0727	1.9927	1.9985	1.0029
11	5.0031	0.48753	1.1746	2.9681	8.0654	8.0711	6.0721	1.9933	1.999	1.0029
12	6.0003	0.49642	1.1835	2.9681	8.0654	8.0711	6.0721	1.9933	1.999	1.0029
13	7.0017	0.50419	1.1915	2.9681	8.0686	8.0711	6.0721	1.9966	1.999	1.0012
14	8.0032	0.50752	1.1984	2.9681	8.0654	8.0711	6.0715	1.9939	1.9996	1.0029
15	9.0005	0.51197	1.2045	2.9681	8.0686	8.0711	6.0715	1.9971	1.9996	1.0012
16	10.002	0.51419	1.21	2.9681	8.0659	8.0717	6.0709	1.995	2.0008	1.0029
17	11.003	0.51752	1.2147	2.9681	8.0659	8.0717	6.0721	1.9939	1.9996	1.0029
18	12.001	0.51863	1.2192	2.9681	8.0686	8.0711	6.0727	1.996	1.9985	1.0012
19	13.002	0.51863	1.2239	2.9681	8.0659	8.0717	6.0721	1.9939	1.9996	1.0029
20	14.004	0.51863	1.228	2.9681	8.0692	8.0717	6.0721	1.9971	1.9996	1.0013
21	15.001	0.52196	1.2319	2.9681	8.0692	8.0717	6.0727	1.9965	1.999	1.0013
22	16.003	0.52196	1.2355	2.9681	8.0686	8.0711	6.0721	1.9966	1.999	1.0012
23	17.001	0.52307	1.2394	2.9681	8.0659	8.0717	6.0715	1.9944	2.0002	1.0029
24	18.002	0.52307	1.2424	2.9681	8.0692	8.0717	6.0721	1.9971	1.9996	1.0013
25	19.004	0.52529	1.2457	2.9681	8.0654	8.0711	6.0721	1.9933	1.999	1.0029
26	20.001	0.5264	1.2488	2.9681	8.0692	8.0717	6.0721	1.9971	1.9996	1.0013
27	21.003	0.52751	1.2518	2.9681	8.0659	8.0717	6.0721	1.9939	1.9996	1.0029
28	22.004	0.52862	1.2549	2.9681	8.0692	8.0717	6.0715	1.9977	2.0002	1.0013
29	23.001	0.52973	1.2576	2.9681	8.0692	8.0717	6.0721	1.9971	1.9996	1.0013
30	24.003	0.53085	1.2604	2.9681	8.0686	8.0711	6.0709	1.9977	2.0002	1.0012
31	25	0.53085	1.2626	2.9681	8.0659	8.0717	6.0721	1.9939	1.9996	1.0029
32	26.002	0.53196	1.2657	2.9681	8.0686	8.0711	6.0715	1.9971	1.9996	1.0012
33	27.003	0.53196	1.2676	2.9681	8.0692	8.0717	6.0721	1.9971	1.9996	1.0013
34	28	0.53307	1.2704	2.9681	8.0692	8.0717	6.0721	1.9971	1.9996	1.0013
35	29.002	0.53307	1.2726	2.9681	8.0692	8.0717	6.0721	1.9971	1.9996	1.0013
36	30.003	0.53307	1.2751	2.9681	8.0692	8.0717	6.0721	1.9971	1.9996	1.0013
37	31	0.53418	1.2776	2.9681	8.0692	8.0717	6.0709	1.9983	2.0008	1.0013
38	31.389	0.53529	1.2784	2.9681	8.0686	8.0711	6.0709	1.9977	2.0002	1.0012

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 6

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.0654	8.0711	6.0709	1.9945	2.0002	1.0029
2	0.012483	0	0.0011073	2.9681	8.1871	8.1941	6.1095	2.0776	2.0845	1.0033
3	0.05005	0.031096	0.19489	2.9681	9.2479	9.4614	6.3383	2.9097	3.1231	1.0734
4	0.1001	0.12438	0.65553	2.9681	9.7488	10.041	6.3675	3.3812	3.6734	1.0864
5	0.25035	0.38425	1.024	2.9681	10.04	10.053	6.0744	3.9657	3.9788	1.0033
6	0.50063	0.45977	1.1187	2.9681	10.042	10.065	6.0756	3.9663	3.9899	1.006
7	1.0014	0.49531	1.1693	2.9681	10.058	10.069	6.0738	3.9844	3.9952	1.0027
8	2.0028	0.51197	1.207	2.9681	10.066	10.07	6.0738	3.992	3.9963	1.0011
9	3.0001	0.51419	1.2275	2.9681	10.066	10.071	6.0721	3.9943	3.9987	1.0011
10	4.0016	0.51863	1.2416	2.9681	10.066	10.071	6.0727	3.9937	3.9981	1.0011
11	5.0029	0.52418	1.2529	2.9681	10.066	10.071	6.0703	3.996	4.0004	1.0011
12	6.0003	0.52862	1.2621	2.9681	10.067	10.071	6.0727	3.9942	3.9987	1.0011
13	7.0017	0.53307	1.2695	2.9681	10.07	10.071	6.0732	3.9969	3.9981	1.0003
14	8.0033	0.53973	1.2773	2.9681	10.067	10.072	6.0732	3.9942	3.9987	1.0011
15	9.0005	0.54417	1.2834	2.9681	10.067	10.071	6.0715	3.9954	3.9999	1.0011
16	10.002	0.54639	1.2889	2.9681	10.071	10.072	6.0727	3.998	3.9993	1.0003
17	11.003	0.54861	1.2942	2.9681	10.067	10.071	6.0721	3.9948	3.9993	1.0011
18	12.001	0.55306	1.2992	2.9681	10.067	10.071	6.0703	3.9966	4.001	1.0011
19	13.002	0.55528	1.3036	2.9681	10.071	10.072	6.0715	3.9992	4.0004	1.0003
20	14.004	0.5575	1.3077	2.9681	10.067	10.072	6.0715	3.996	4.0004	1.0011
21	15.001	0.55861	1.3119	2.9681	10.07	10.071	6.0709	3.9992	4.0004	1.0003
22	16.002	0.55972	1.3152	2.9681	10.07	10.071	6.0715	3.9987	3.9999	1.0003
23	17.004	0.56083	1.3197	2.9681	10.07	10.071	6.0715	3.9987	3.9999	1.0003
24	18.001	0.56194	1.323	2.9681	10.07	10.071	6.0721	3.9981	3.9993	1.0003
25	19.002	0.56416	1.3266	2.9681	10.07	10.071	6.0703	3.9998	4.001	1.0003
26	20.004	0.56638	1.3296	2.9681	10.071	10.072	6.0703	4.0004	4.0016	1.0003
27	21.001	0.56749	1.3327	2.9681	10.067	10.072	6.0697	3.9977	4.0022	1.0011
28	22.003	0.5686	1.3352	2.9681	10.067	10.071	6.0709	3.996	4.0004	1.0011
29	23.004	0.56971	1.3382	2.9681	10.07	10.071	6.0715	3.9987	3.9999	1.0003
30	24.001	0.57083	1.341	2.9681	10.07	10.071	6.0715	3.9987	3.9999	1.0003
31	25.003	0.57083	1.3432	2.9681	10.07	10.071	6.0721	3.9981	3.9993	1.0003
32	26	0.57305	1.3462	2.9681	10.07	10.071	6.0721	3.9981	3.9993	1.0003
33	27.001	0.57527	1.3484	2.9681	10.071	10.072	6.0727	3.998	3.9993	1.0003
34	28.003	0.57749	1.3515	2.9681	10.071	10.072	6.0709	3.9998	4.001	1.0003
35	29	0.5786	1.3537	2.9681	10.071	10.072	6.0721	3.9986	3.9999	1.0003
36	30.002	0.58193	1.3559	2.9681	10.071	10.072	6.0721	3.9986	3.9999	1.0003
37	31.003	0.58304	1.3579	2.9681	10.07	10.071	6.0715	3.9987	3.9999	1.0003
38	32	0.58304	1.3603	2.9681	10.071	10.072	6.0715	3.9992	4.0004	1.0003
39	33.002	0.58415	1.3626	2.9681	10.067	10.071	6.0715	3.9954	3.9999	1.0011
40	34.003	0.58415	1.3642	2.9681	10.071	10.072	6.0721	3.9986	3.9999	1.0003
41	35	0.58526	1.3667	2.9681	10.07	10.071	6.0709	3.9992	4.0004	1.0003
42	36.002	0.58415	1.3689	2.9681	10.071	10.073	6.0721	3.9992	4.0004	1.0003
43	37.003	0.58526	1.3703	2.9681	10.071	10.072	6.0727	3.998	3.9993	1.0003
44	38.001	0.58637	1.3728	2.9681	10.071	10.072	6.0721	3.9986	3.9999	1.0003
45	38.535	0.58637	1.3739	2.9681	10.071	10.072	6.0721	3.9986	3.9999	1.0003

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.1417	6.1435	6.0715	0.070168	0.071999	1.0261
2	0.01245	0	0	2.9681	6.146	6.1482	6.0744	0.071631	0.073757	1.0297
3	0.0541	0	0.0083049	2.9681	6.1663	6.1663	6.0803	0.086042	0.086053	1.0001
4	0.10007	0	0.02187	2.9681	6.1631	6.1663	6.0785	0.084543	0.087808	1.0386
5	0.25028	0	0.053151	2.9681	6.1653	6.1687	6.0727	0.092588	0.096	1.0369
6	0.50192	0.0011106	0.077236	2.9681	6.1663	6.1698	6.0744	0.09193	0.095416	1.0379
7	1.0016	0.0011106	0.095507	2.9681	6.1674	6.171	6.0727	0.094782	0.098342	1.0376
8	2.0031	0.0022211	0.10658	2.9681	6.168	6.1716	6.0721	0.095916	0.099512	1.0375
9	3.0004	0.0033317	0.11018	2.9681	6.1707	6.171	6.0715	0.099207	0.099512	1.0031
10	4.0018	0.0033317	0.11129	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
11	5.0032	0.0033317	0.11239	2.9681	6.1707	6.171	6.0715	0.099207	0.099512	1.0031
12	6.0007	0.0044422	0.11295	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0721	0.09917	0.099512	1.0034
13	7.0021	0.0044422	0.11322	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
14	8.0035	0.0044422	0.1135	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
15	9.0032	0.0033317	0.11405	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0721	0.09917	0.099512	1.0034
16	10	0.0033317	0.11405	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
17	11.002	0.0044422	0.11461	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
18	12.004	0.0044422	0.11516	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
19	13.001	0.0044422	0.11544	2.9681	6.1745	6.1716	6.0715	0.10301	0.1001	0.97173
20	14.003	0.0044422	0.11572	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
21	15.004	0.0044422	0.11572	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
22	16.001	0.0055528	0.11544	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
23	17.003	0.0055528	0.11572	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
24	18	0.0044422	0.11572	2.9681	6.1718	6.1722	6.0715	0.1003	0.10068	1.0038
25	19.002	0.0044422	0.11599	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
26	20.003	0.0055528	0.11599	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
27	21	0.0055528	0.11627	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
28	21.409	0.0055528	0.11627	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.1712	6.1716	6.0715	0.099755	0.1001	1.0034
2	0.0125	0.0011106	0.00055366	2.9681	6.1953	6.1938	6.0855	0.10982	0.1083	0.9862
3	0.05005	0	0.039587	2.9681	6.3006	6.3027	6.1177	0.18293	0.185	1.0113
4	0.10013	0.0011106	0.1016	2.9681	6.2908	6.2957	6.0984	0.1924	0.19728	1.0254
5	0.25035	0.0044422	0.20928	2.9681	6.3171	6.3203	6.0885	0.22864	0.23182	1.0139
6	0.50065	0.0055528	0.25385	2.9681	6.3166	6.3197	6.075	0.24155	0.24469	1.013
7	1.0014	0.0088844	0.26686	2.9681	6.3177	6.3209	6.0721	0.24557	0.24878	1.0131
8	2.0028	0.012216	0.27323	2.9681	6.3209	6.3209	6.0715	0.24941	0.24937	0.99981
9	3.0001	0.015548	0.27545	2.9681	6.322	6.322	6.0715	0.25051	0.25054	1.0001
10	4.0016	0.016658	0.27711	2.9681	6.3209	6.3209	6.0715	0.24941	0.24937	0.99981
11	5.003	0.016658	0.27849	2.9681	6.3182	6.3214	6.0715	0.24671	0.24995	1.0132
12	6.0003	0.018879	0.2796	2.9681	6.3215	6.3214	6.0715	0.24996	0.24995	0.99996
13	7.0017	0.01999	0.27988	2.9681	6.3209	6.3209	6.0709	0.25	0.24995	0.99982
14	8.0032	0.01999	0.28126	2.9681	6.3215	6.3214	6.0715	0.24996	0.24995	0.99996
15	9.0004	0.01999	0.28154	2.9681	6.3182	6.3214	6.0715	0.24671	0.24995	1.0132
16	10.002	0.01999	0.28209	2.9681	6.3215	6.3214	6.0715	0.24996	0.24995	0.99996
17	11.003	0.021101	0.28237	2.9681	6.3215	6.3214	6.0715	0.24996	0.24995	0.99996
18	12.001	0.021101	0.28237	2.9681	6.3215	6.3214	6.0721	0.24938	0.24937	0.99996
19	13.002	0.021101	0.28292	2.9681	6.3215	6.3214	6.0715	0.24996	0.24995	0.99996
20	14.003	0.021101	0.28347	2.9681	6.3182	6.3214	6.0721	0.24612	0.24937	1.0132
21	15.001	0.021101	0.28375	2.9681	6.322	6.322	6.0721	0.24993	0.24995	1.0001
22	16.002	0.01999	0.2832	2.9681	6.3215	6.3214	6.0715	0.24996	0.24995	0.99996
23	17.004	0.021101	0.28347	2.9681	6.3215	6.3214	6.0715	0.24996	0.24995	0.99996
24	18.001	0.021101	0.28375	2.9681	6.3215	6.3214	6.0715	0.24996	0.24995	0.99996
25	19.002	0.021101	0.28458	2.9681	6.3215	6.3214	6.0715	0.24996	0.24995	0.99996
26	20.004	0.022211	0.28486	2.9681	6.3215	6.3214	6.0715	0.24996	0.24995	0.99996
27	20.901	0.022211	0.28486	2.9681	6.3215	6.3214	6.0715	0.24996	0.24995	0.99996

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 3

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.3215	6.3214	6.0715	0.24996	0.24995	0.99996
2	0.0125	0	0.00083049	2.9681	6.3604	6.363	6.0931	0.26727	0.26987	1.0097
3	0.054167	0.0033317	0.052321	2.9681	6.537	6.548	6.123	0.41405	0.42501	1.0265
4	0.10007	0.0055528	0.1099	2.9681	6.5299	6.5439	6.0914	0.43854	0.4525	1.0318
5	0.2503	0.016658	0.16942	2.9681	6.5584	6.5673	6.075	0.48338	0.4923	1.0185
6	0.50073	0.023322	0.18326	2.9681	6.5638	6.5696	6.0721	0.49175	0.49757	1.0118
7	1.0014	0.027764	0.18935	2.9681	6.5655	6.5714	6.0721	0.4934	0.49932	1.012
8	2.0028	0.028874	0.1935	2.9681	6.5687	6.5714	6.0715	0.49724	0.49991	1.0054
9	3.0001	0.029985	0.196	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.0715	0.49778	0.50049	1.0054
10	4.0015	0.029985	0.1971	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.0715	0.49778	0.50049	1.0054
11	5.003	0.029985	0.19821	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.0721	0.4972	0.49991	1.0054
12	6.0003	0.031096	0.19932	2.9681	6.5687	6.5714	6.0715	0.49724	0.49991	1.0054
13	7.0017	0.031096	0.19959	2.9681	6.5687	6.5714	6.0715	0.49724	0.49991	1.0054
14	8.0031	0.031096	0.19959	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.0721	0.4972	0.49991	1.0054
15	8.5629	0.031096	0.2007	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.0721	0.4972	0.49991	1.0054

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 4

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.0721	0.4972	0.49991	1.0054
2	0.012433	0	0.0019378	2.9681	6.6466	6.6545	6.1066	0.54004	0.54793	1.0146
3	0.054083	0.0066633	0.082495	2.9681	6.9772	7.035	6.1394	0.83784	0.89566	1.069
4	0.10417	0.025543	0.15835	2.9681	6.9902	7.0385	6.082	0.90823	0.9565	1.0532
5	0.25022	0.061081	0.20292	2.9681	7.0627	7.0672	6.075	0.98769	0.99221	1.0046
6	0.50107	0.067744	0.21178	2.9681	7.0665	7.0713	6.0703	0.99621	1.001	1.0048
7	1.0033	0.069965	0.21676	2.9681	7.0692	7.0707	6.0715	0.99774	0.99923	1.0015
8	2.0011	0.073297	0.22036	2.9681	7.0709	7.0725	6.0721	0.9988	1.0004	1.0016
9	3.003	0.074407	0.22229	2.9681	7.0703	7.0719	6.0715	0.99884	1.0004	1.0016
10	4.0008	0.075518	0.22396	2.9681	7.0698	7.0713	6.0715	0.99829	0.99982	1.0015
11	5.0027	0.076628	0.22534	2.9681	7.0703	7.0719	6.0721	0.99825	0.99982	1.0016
12	6.0005	0.077739	0.22562	2.9681	7.0703	7.0719	6.0709	0.99942	1.001	1.0016
13	7.0024	0.078849	0.22672	2.9681	7.0703	7.0719	6.0715	0.99884	1.0004	1.0016
14	8.0002	0.078849	0.22755	2.9681	7.0703	7.0719	6.0715	0.99884	1.0004	1.0016
15	9.0021	0.078849	0.22839	2.9681	7.0703	7.0719	6.0715	0.99884	1.0004	1.0016
16	10.004	0.07996	0.22839	2.9681	7.0703	7.0719	6.0721	0.99825	0.99982	1.0016
17	11.002	0.081071	0.22866	2.9681	7.0703	7.0719	6.0715	0.99884	1.0004	1.0016
18	12.004	0.07996	0.22949	2.9681	7.0703	7.0719	6.0721	0.99825	0.99982	1.0016
19	13.002	0.081071	0.22949	2.9681	7.0703	7.0719	6.0715	0.99884	1.0004	1.0016
20	14.003	0.07996	0.23005	2.9681	7.0703	7.0719	6.0715	0.99884	1.0004	1.0016
21	15.001	0.081071	0.2306	2.9681	7.0703	7.0719	6.0715	0.99884	1.0004	1.0016
22	16.003	0.081071	0.2306	2.9681	7.0703	7.0719	6.0715	0.99884	1.0004	1.0016
23	17.001	0.081071	0.23088	2.9681	7.0703	7.0719	6.0721	0.99825	0.99982	1.0016
24	18.003	0.082181	0.23198	2.9681	7.0703	7.0719	6.0709	0.99942	1.001	1.0016
25	19.001	0.082181	0.23143	2.9681	7.0703	7.0719	6.0715	0.99884	1.0004	1.0016
26	20.003	0.082181	0.23198	2.9681	7.0703	7.0719	6.0715	0.99884	1.0004	1.0016
27	20.888	0.083292	0.23281	2.9681	7.0698	7.0713	6.0715	0.99829	0.99982	1.0015

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 5

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	7.0703	7.0719	6.0715	0.99884	1.0004	1.0016
2	0.0125	0	0.001661	2.9681	7.1834	7.196	6.1124	1.0709	1.0835	1.0118
3	0.05065	0.022211	0.097721	2.9681	7.8161	7.9371	6.1768	1.6393	1.7603	1.0738
4	0.10073	0.052196	0.20015	2.9681	7.9797	8.0249	6.0785	1.9012	1.9464	1.0237
5	0.25103	0.083292	0.23641	2.9681	8.0588	8.0676	6.0756	1.9833	1.992	1.0044
6	0.50205	0.087734	0.24444	2.9681	8.0648	8.0705	6.0709	1.9939	1.9996	1.0029
7	1.004	0.089955	0.2497	2.9681	8.0675	8.07	6.0727	1.9949	1.9973	1.0012
8	2.002	0.093287	0.25468	2.9681	8.0686	8.0711	6.0709	1.9977	2.0002	1.0012
9	3.0039	0.094397	0.25662	2.9681	8.0686	8.0711	6.0715	1.9971	1.9996	1.0012
10	4.0017	0.094397	0.25828	2.9681	8.0692	8.0717	6.0715	1.9977	2.0002	1.0013
11	5.0036	0.095508	0.25994	2.9681	8.0692	8.0717	6.0715	1.9977	2.0002	1.0013
12	6.0014	0.095508	0.26105	2.9681	8.0686	8.0711	6.0721	1.9966	1.999	1.0012
13	7.0033	0.095508	0.26244	2.9681	8.0692	8.0717	6.0715	1.9977	2.0002	1.0013
14	8.0011	0.095508	0.26327	2.9681	8.0686	8.0711	6.0715	1.9971	1.9996	1.0012
15	8.6569	0.096618	0.26354	2.9681	8.0686	8.0711	6.0721	1.9966	1.999	1.0012

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 6

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.0692	8.0717	6.0721	1.9971	1.9996	1.0013
2	0.012567	0	0.0013842	2.9681	8.1856	8.2028	6.1043	2.0813	2.0986	1.0083
3	0.05005	0.021101	0.11267	2.9681	9.2487	9.4345	6.2025	3.0462	3.2319	1.061
4	0.10013	0.098839	0.2904	2.9681	9.9305	9.9988	6.0966	3.8339	3.9021	1.0178
5	0.25035	0.16325	0.35711	2.9681	10.053	10.064	6.0768	3.9765	3.987	1.0026
6	0.50072	0.18102	0.37538	2.9681	10.067	10.071	6.0727	3.9942	3.9987	1.0011
7	1.0014	0.18768	0.38839	2.9681	10.065	10.07	6.0721	3.9932	3.9975	1.0011
8	2.0029	0.1899	0.4003	2.9681	10.07	10.071	6.0715	3.9981	3.9993	1.0003
9	3.0002	0.19213	0.40694	2.9681	10.07	10.071	6.0727	3.9969	3.9981	1.0003
10	4.0016	0.19213	0.41192	2.9681	10.07	10.071	6.0709	3.9992	4.0004	1.0003
11	5.003	0.19435	0.41497	2.9681	10.07	10.071	6.0715	3.9987	3.9999	1.0003
12	6.0004	0.19435	0.41857	2.9681	10.07	10.071	6.0721	3.9981	3.9993	1.0003
13	7.0017	0.19435	0.42134	2.9681	10.067	10.071	6.0715	3.9954	3.9999	1.0011
14	8.0032	0.19657	0.42383	2.9681	10.07	10.071	6.0709	3.9992	4.0004	1.0003
15	9.0004	0.19768	0.42577	2.9681	10.071	10.072	6.0709	3.9998	4.001	1.0003
16	10.002	0.19768	0.4277	2.9681	10.07	10.071	6.0721	3.9981	3.9993	1.0003
17	11.003	0.19879	0.42909	2.9681	10.07	10.071	6.0703	3.9998	4.001	1.0003
18	12.001	0.1999	0.43103	2.9681	10.07	10.071	6.0715	3.9987	3.9999	1.0003
19	13.002	0.20101	0.43213	2.9681	10.071	10.072	6.0727	3.998	3.9993	1.0003
20	14.004	0.20101	0.43407	2.9681	10.07	10.071	6.0715	3.9987	3.9999	1.0003
21	15.001	0.20101	0.43545	2.9681	10.067	10.072	6.0697	3.9977	4.0022	1.0011
22	16.002	0.20101	0.43656	2.9681	10.071	10.072	6.0703	4.0004	4.0016	1.0003
23	17.004	0.20212	0.43712	2.9681	10.071	10.072	6.0715	3.9992	4.0004	1.0003
24	18.001	0.20212	0.43878	2.9681	10.071	10.072	6.0715	3.9992	4.0004	1.0003
25	19.002	0.20323	0.43988	2.9681	10.071	10.072	6.0715	3.9992	4.0004	1.0003
26	20.004	0.20323	0.44071	2.9681	10.071	10.072	6.0697	4.001	4.0022	1.0003
27	20.717	0.20323	0.44154	2.9681	10.067	10.071	6.0703	3.9966	4.001	1.0011

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 7

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	10.07	10.071	6.0709	3.9992	4.0004	1.0003
2	0.0125	0	0	2.9681	10.104	10.108	6.0773	4.0268	4.0303	1.0009
3	0.05005	0.013327	0.083603	2.9681	11.303	11.488	6.1826	5.1208	5.3053	1.036
4	0.10012	0.094397	0.2569	2.9681	11.918	11.988	6.1475	5.7708	5.8403	1.012
5	0.25035	0.18657	0.36625	2.9681	12.039	12.058	6.0861	5.9529	5.9714	1.0031
6	0.50078	0.20989	0.40666	2.9681	12.054	12.066	6.0744	5.9793	5.9919	1.0021
7	1.0014	0.221	0.43601	2.9681	12.062	12.069	6.0732	5.9892	5.9954	1.001
8	2.0029	0.23433	0.46175	2.9681	12.064	12.07	6.0732	5.9903	5.9966	1.0011
9	3.0009	0.24543	0.47643	2.9681	12.067	12.07	6.0732	5.9941	5.9971	1.0005
10	4.003	0.24987	0.48694	2.9681	12.068	12.072	6.0715	5.9969	6.0001	1.0005
11	5.0008	0.25654	0.49497	2.9681	12.065	12.072	6.0721	5.9931	5.9995	1.0011
12	6.0028	0.26098	0.50162	2.9681	12.068	12.071	6.0709	5.997	6.0001	1.0005
13	7.0006	0.26764	0.50715	2.9681	12.065	12.071	6.0721	5.9925	5.9989	1.0011
14	8.0025	0.27209	0.51214	2.9681	12.065	12.072	6.0721	5.9931	5.9995	1.0011
15	9.0003	0.27542	0.51629	2.9681	12.068	12.072	6.0727	5.9958	5.9989	1.0005
16	10.002	0.27764	0.52016	2.9681	12.065	12.072	6.0721	5.9931	5.9995	1.0011
17	11	0.28097	0.52404	2.9681	12.068	12.072	6.0727	5.9958	5.9989	1.0005
18	12.002	0.28208	0.52709	2.9681	12.068	12.071	6.0709	5.997	6.0001	1.0005
19	13	0.28208	0.53013	2.9681	12.068	12.071	6.0727	5.9952	5.9983	1.0005
20	14.002	0.2843	0.53262	2.9681	12.068	12.072	6.0732	5.9952	5.9983	1.0005
21	15.004	0.28541	0.53567	2.9681	12.068	12.072	6.0715	5.9969	6.0001	1.0005
22	16.002	0.28652	0.53816	2.9681	12.068	12.072	6.0727	5.9958	5.9989	1.0005
23	17.004	0.28874	0.54037	2.9681	12.068	12.072	6.0721	5.9963	5.9995	1.0005
24	18.001	0.29097	0.54231	2.9681	12.068	12.071	6.0709	5.997	6.0001	1.0005
25	19.003	0.29208	0.5448	2.9681	12.068	12.072	6.0715	5.9969	6.0001	1.0005
26	20.001	0.29319	0.54646	2.9681	12.068	12.072	6.0732	5.9952	5.9983	1.0005
27	21.003	0.2943	0.54868	2.9681	12.068	12.072	6.0721	5.9963	5.9995	1.0005
28	22.001	0.29541	0.55034	2.9681	12.068	12.072	6.0709	5.9975	6.0007	1.0005
29	23.003	0.29541	0.552	2.9681	12.065	12.071	6.0721	5.9925	5.9989	1.0011
30	24.001	0.29541	0.55394	2.9681	12.065	12.072	6.0721	5.9931	5.9995	1.0011
31	25.002	0.29652	0.55505	2.9681	12.068	12.072	6.0721	5.9963	5.9995	1.0005
32	26	0.29541	0.55698	2.9681	12.068	12.072	6.0715	5.9969	6.0001	1.0005
33	27.002	0.29763	0.55837	2.9681	12.065	12.072	6.0721	5.9931	5.9995	1.0011
34	28.004	0.29985	0.55975	2.9681	12.068	12.072	6.0721	5.9963	5.9995	1.0005
35	29.002	0.30207	0.56086	2.9681	12.068	12.072	6.0721	5.9963	5.9995	1.0005
36	30.004	0.30318	0.5628	2.9681	12.068	12.071	6.0697	5.9981	6.0012	1.0005
37	31.002	0.30318	0.5639	2.9681	12.068	12.072	6.0715	5.9969	6.0001	1.0005
38	32.004	0.30429	0.56529	2.9681	12.068	12.072	6.0721	5.9963	5.9995	1.0005
39	33.001	0.30429	0.5664	2.9681	12.068	12.072	6.0715	5.9969	6.0001	1.0005
40	34.003	0.30429	0.56778	2.9681	12.068	12.072	6.0715	5.9969	6.0001	1.0005
41	35.001	0.30429	0.56889	2.9681	12.068	12.072	6.0721	5.9963	5.9995	1.0005
42	36.003	0.30429	0.57027	2.9681	12.068	12.071	6.0709	5.997	6.0001	1.0005
43	36.049	0.30429	0.56999	2.9681	12.065	12.072	6.0715	5.9937	6.0001	1.0011

Permeability Test Data

Project: Polymet #23/69-862-023B Date: 10/8/2007

Reported To: Barr Engineering Company Job No.: 6251

Blend:	1:1	3:1	1:2	1:4			
Sample No.:	Mix #1	Mix #2	Mix #3	Mix #4			
Lift							
Blend:	5 Coarse Tailings: 4 Fine Tailings: 1 Slimes	15 Coarse Tailings: 4 Fine Tailings: 1 Slimes	5 Coarse Tailings: 8 Fine Tailings:2 Slimes	2.5Coarse Tailings: 8 Fine Tailings: 2 Slimes			
Sample Type:	Bulk - Blend	Bulk - Blend	Bulk - Blend	Bulk - Blend			
Soil Type:	Tailings Blend Silty Sand (SM)	Tailings Blend Silty Sand (SM)	Tailings Blend Silty Sand (SM)	Tailings Blend Silty Sand (SM)			
Atterberg Limits							
LL							
PL							
PI							
Permeability Test							
Before Test Conditions: Saturation %:							
Porosity:	0.36	0.34	0.317	0.355			
Ht. (in):	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00			
Dia. (in):	2.85	2.85	2.85	2.85			
Dry Density (pcf):	117.0	118.9	114.3	107.8			
Water Content:	11.1%	11.7%	12.5%	14.1%			
Test Type:	Constant	Constant	Falling	Falling			
Max Head (ft):	1.4	1.4	2.5	2.5			
Confining press. (Effective-psi):	41.7	41.7	41.7	41.7			
Trial No.:	7-11	7-11	6-10	11-15			
Water Temp °C:	23.0	23.0	23.0	21.0			
% Compaction	94.8%	95.3%	95.0%	94.5%			
% Saturation (After Test)							
Coefficient of Permeability							
K @ 20 °C (cm/sec)	**8.5×10^{-5}**	**7.0×10^{-5}**	**6.4×10^{-5}**	**1.0×10^{-4}**			
K @ 20 °C (ft/min)	**1.7×10^{-4}**	**1.4×10^{-4}**	**1.3×10^{-4}**	**2.0×10^{-4}**			
Notes:							

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite 107 SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **6251**

Project:	Polymet #23/69-862-023B	Test Date:	9/27/07
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	10/3/07

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
*	1:1 - 5CT:4FT:1Slimes	Mix #1		Bulk	Silty Sand (SM)
●	3:1 - 15CT:4FT:1Slimes	Mix #2		Bulk	Silty Sand (SM)
◇	1:2 - 5CT:8FT:2Slimes	Mix #3		Bulk	Silty Sand (SM)



Other Tests

	*	●	◇
Liquid Limit			
Plastic Limit			
Plasticity Index			
Water Content			
Dry Density (pcf)			
Specific Gravity	2.91	2.88	2.92
Porosity			
Organic Content			
pH			
Shrinkage Limit			
Penetrometer			
Qu (psf)			

(* = assumed)

Percent Passing

	*	●	◇
Mass (g)	124.6	176.5	561.8
2"			
1.5"			
1"			
3/4"			
3/8"		100.0	100.0
#4	100.0	99.6	100.0
#10	97.2	95.6	97.5
#20	86.5	81.4	90.2
#40	72.7	63.5	81.4
#100	39.6	28.0	48.0
#200	23.9	15.5	27.8

	*	●	◇
D_{60}			
D_{30}			
D_{10}			
C_U			
C_C			

Remarks:

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **6251**

Project:	Polymet #23/69-862-023B	Test Date:	9/27/07
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	10/3/07

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
Spec 1	1:1 - 5CT:4FT:1Slimes	Mix #1		Bulk	Silty Sand (SM)
Spec 2	3:1 - 15CT:4FT:1Slimes	Mix #2		Bulk	Silty Sand (SM)
Spec 3	1:2 - 5CT:8FT:2Slimes	Mix #3		Bulk	Silty Sand (SM)

Hydrometer Data

Specimen 1		Specimen 2		Specimen 3	
Diameter (mm)	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing
0.034	13.3	0.034	8.8	0.035	15.4
0.022	8.9	0.022	6.7	0.022	10.7
0.013	5.9	0.013	4.9	0.013	6.9
0.009	4.4	0.009	3.5	0.009	5.4
0.006	2.9	0.006	2.1	0.007	4.3
0.003	1.9	0.003	1.2	0.003	2.4
0.001	1.4	0.001	1.0	0.001	1.5

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Moisture Density Curve ASTM: D698, Method B

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-023B** Date: **9/27/07**

Client: **Barr Engineering Company** Job No. **6251**

Boring No. Sample: **Mix #1** Depth(ft): Location: **1:1 Blend - 5CT:FT:1Slimes**

Soil Type: **Tailings - Silty Sand (SM)**

As Received W.C. (%):	LL:	PL:	PI:	Specific Gravity: **2.91**
◆	△			◆ △
Maximum Dry Density (pcf): **123.4**		Opt. Water Content (%): **11.1**		



9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

SET-R18a

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6251**
Date: **10/2/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-023B**
Boring #: Sample #: **Mix #1** Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Blend 1:1 (Silty Sand (SM))**







◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Stress Ratio				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	34.9 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.56 (tsf)				
Test Date: 9/26/07	Liquid Limit:				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.004	Plasticity Index:				
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.101	Spec. Gravity : 2.91				
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	11.1	11.2	10.8		
Dry Density (pcf)	117.2	116.3	116.7		
Void Ratio	0.55	0.56	0.56		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.93	1.93		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	18.4	18.7	18.4		
Dry Density (pcf)	118.3	117.7	118.4		
Void Ratio	0.54	0.54	0.53		
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.72	1.44	2.16		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	13.31	11.48	12.99		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	12.31	10.57	10.71		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	6.10	6.40	7.11		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.18	0.49	0.67		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	2.3	2.3	1.8		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimens compacted to approximately 95% of maximum standard proctor density; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 29.8 ° a = 0.5 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	34.9 °	c'=	0.56 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	15.3 °	c=	2.10 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6251**
Date: **10/2/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-023B**
Boring #: Sample #: **Mix #1** Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Blend 1:1 (Silty Sand (SM))**







◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Pore Pressure				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	33.7 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.00 (tsf)				
Test Date: 9/26/07	Liquid Limit:				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.004	Plasticity Index:				
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.101	Spec. Gravity : 2.91				
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	11.1	11.2	10.8		
Dry Density (pcf)	117.2	116.3	116.7		
Void Ratio	0.55	0.56	0.56		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.93	1.93		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	18.4	18.7	18.4		
Dry Density (pcf)	118.3	117.7	118.4		
Void Ratio	0.54	0.54	0.53		
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.72	1.44	2.16		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	13.31	11.48	12.99		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	12.31	10.57	10.71		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	1.16	2.54	3.52		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.18	0.49	0.67		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	0.4	0.6	0.6		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimens compacted to approximately 95% of maximum standard proctor density; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure	
α = 29.0 °	a = 0.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ': 33.7 °	c'=	0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ': 26.8 °	c=	0.01 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6251**
Date: **10/2/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-023B**
Boring #: Sample #: **Mix #1** Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Blend 1:1 (Silty Sand (SM))**







◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Deviator Stress				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	38.8 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.00 (tsf)				
Test Date: 9/26/07	Liquid Limit:				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.004	Plasticity Index:				
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.101	Spec. Gravity : 2.91				
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	11.1	11.2	10.8		
Dry Density (pcf)	117.2	116.3	116.7		
Void Ratio	0.55	0.56	0.56		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.93	1.93		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	18.4	18.7	18.4		
Dry Density (pcf)	118.3	117.7	118.4		
Void Ratio	0.54	0.54	0.53		
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.72	1.44	2.16		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	13.31	11.48	12.99		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	12.31	10.57	10.71		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	13.31	11.48	12.99		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.18	0.49	0.67		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	16.3	12.6	11.3		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimens compacted to approximately 95% of maximum standard proctor density; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure	
α = 32.1 °	a = 0.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ': 38.8 °	c'=	0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ': 16.5 °	c=	4.28 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6251**
Date: **10/2/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-023B**
Boring #: Sample #: **Mix #1** Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Blend 1:1 (Silty Sand (SM))**







◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion: **Given Strain of: 15%**

Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =** **39.3 °**

Apparent Cohesion, **c' =** **0.00 (tsf)**

Test Date:	9/26/07		Liquid Limit:		
Test Type:	CU w/pp		Plastic Limit:		
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.004		Plasticity Index:		
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.101		Spec. Gravity :	2.91	

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	11.1	11.2	10.8		
Dry Density (pcf)	117.2	116.3	116.7		
Void Ratio	0.55	0.56	0.56		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.93	1.93		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	18.4	18.7	18.4		
Dry Density (pcf)	118.3	117.7	118.4		
Void Ratio	0.54	0.54	0.53		
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.72	1.44	2.16		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	13.31	11.48	12.99		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	12.31	10.57	10.71		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	13.23	11.45	12.85		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.18	0.49	0.67		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	15.0	15.0	15.0		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimens compacted to approximately 95% of maximum standard proctor density; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 32.3 ° a = -0.1 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	39.3 °	c'= 0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	14.5 °	c= 4.47 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Mix 1 Job: 6251

10 psi			20 psi			30 psi								
Sample 1			Sample 2			Sample 3			Sample 4			Sample 5		
Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						
0.13	0.55	0.14	0.13	0.75	0.22	0.13	1.19	0.34						
0.25	0.87	0.18	0.25	1.36	0.39	0.25	1.86	0.52						
0.38	1.16	0.18	0.38	1.76	0.45	0.38	2.48	0.62						
0.50	1.47	0.16	0.50	2.15	0.49	0.50	3.05	0.67						
0.63	1.80	0.12	0.63	2.54	0.49	0.63	3.52	0.67						
0.75	2.14	0.07	0.75	2.86	0.47	0.75	4.06	0.65						
0.88	2.45	0.02	0.88	3.22	0.44	0.88	4.43	0.62						
1.01	2.81	-0.05	1.01	3.54	0.40	1.01	4.89	0.56						
1.13	3.16	-0.11	1.13	3.87	0.35	1.13	5.27	0.51						
1.26	3.51	-0.18	1.26	4.19	0.30	1.26	5.69	0.44						
1.38	3.86	-0.25	1.38	4.48	0.25	1.38	6.04	0.38						
1.51	4.18	-0.31	1.51	4.80	0.19	1.51	6.40	0.32						
1.63	4.52	-0.39	1.63	5.12	0.12	1.63	6.79	0.36						
1.76	4.86	-0.46	1.76	5.36	0.07	1.76	7.11	0.30						
1.89	5.15	-0.53	1.89	5.63	0.01	1.89	7.40	0.11						
2.01	5.45	-0.60	2.01	5.92	-0.05	2.01	7.70	0.04						
2.14	5.78	-0.68	2.14	6.17	-0.11	2.14	8.00	-0.04						
2.26	6.10	-0.75	2.26	6.40	-0.17	2.26	8.25	-0.10						
2.39	6.41	-0.83	2.39	6.60	-0.22	2.39	8.50	-0.17						
2.51	6.67	-0.90	2.51	6.82	-0.28	2.52	8.76	-0.28						
2.76	7.22	-1.05	2.77	7.24	-0.39	2.77	9.16	-0.40						
3.02	7.72	-1.18	3.02	7.63	-0.50	3.02	9.56	-0.47						
3.27	8.20	-1.32	3.27	7.97	-0.61	3.27	9.91	-0.58						
3.52	8.63	-1.45	3.52	8.28	-0.70	3.52	10.23	-0.68						
3.77	9.03	-1.58	3.77	8.54	-0.79	3.77	10.53	-0.78						
4.02	9.40	-1.70	4.02	8.79	-0.88	4.02	10.74	-0.85						
4.52	10.04	-1.92	4.53	9.23	-1.03	4.53	11.14	-1.00						
5.03	10.58	-2.11	5.03	9.61	-1.17	5.03	11.50	-1.12						
5.53	11.07	-2.30	5.28	9.76	-1.23	5.28	11.63	-1.18						
6.03	11.45	-2.45	5.53	9.94	-1.29	5.53	11.75	-1.23						
6.53	11.74	-2.58	5.79	10.09	-1.34	5.78	11.89	-1.27						
7.04	12.01	-2.69	6.04	10.21	-1.39	6.04	11.99	-1.32						
7.54	12.23	-2.79	6.29	10.35	-1.44	6.29	12.10	-1.36						
8.04	12.41	-2.88	6.54	10.47	-1.48	6.54	12.19	-1.40						
8.54	12.57	-2.95	6.79	10.57	-1.52	6.79	12.26	-1.43						
9.05	12.68	-3.01	7.04	10.67	-1.56	7.04	12.34	-1.46						
9.55	12.79	-3.06	7.29	10.76	-1.60	7.29	12.40	-1.49						
10.05	12.86	-3.09	7.55	10.84	-1.63	7.55	12.46	-1.51						
11.31	13.03	-3.17	8.05	10.95	-1.69	8.05	12.57	-1.56						
12.56	13.15	-3.22	8.55	11.06	-1.74	8.55	12.66	-1.59						
13.82	13.23	-3.27	9.05	11.14	-1.78	9.05	12.76	-1.63						
15.08	13.28	-3.32	9.56	11.16	-1.90	9.56	12.85	-1.66						
16.33	13.31	-3.35	10.06	11.22	-1.94	10.06	12.96	-1.57						
17.59	13.29	-3.38	11.32	11.39	-2.00	11.32	12.99	-1.61						
18.85	13.26	-3.41	12.57	11.48	-2.04	12.58	12.91	-1.76						
20.10	13.22	-3.42	13.83	11.45	-2.05	13.83	12.85	-1.77						
21.36	13.17	-3.44	15.09	11.42	-2.06	15.09	12.77	-1.77						
22.62	13.07	-3.45	16.34	11.42	-2.07	16.35	12.64	-1.76						
23.87	12.96	-3.45	17.60	11.42	-2.08	17.61	12.49	-1.73						
25.13	12.83	-3.45	18.86	11.32	-2.08	18.86	12.37	-1.71						
26.39	12.71	-3.44	20.12	11.22	-2.07	20.12	12.19	-1.68						
27.64	12.56	-3.43	21.37	11.14	-2.07	21.38	11.99	-1.66						
28.90	12.41	-3.42	22.63	11.06	-2.07	22.64	11.74	-1.62						
30.02	12.31	-3.40	25.14	10.76	-2.07	25.15	11.35	-1.51						
			26.76	10.57	-2.07	27.67	10.98	-1.39						
						30.00	10.71	-1.31						

Moisture Density Curve ASTM: D698, Method B

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-023B** Date: **9/27/07**

Client: **Barr Engineering Company** Job No. **6251**

Boring No. Sample: **Mix #2** Depth(ft): Location: **3:1 Blend - 15CT:4FT:1Slimes**

Soil Type: **Tailings - Silty Sand (SM)**

As Received W.C. (%): LL: PL: PI: Specific Gravity: **2.88**

Maximum Dry Density (pcf): **124.7** Opt. Water Content (%): **11.7**



9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107 SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

SET-R18a

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6251**
Date: **10/5/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-023B**
Boring #: Sample #: **Mix #2** Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Blend 3:1 (Silty Sand (SM))**







◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion: **Max. Stress Ratio**
Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =** **39.4 °**
Apparent Cohesion, **c' =** **0.43 (tsf)**

Test Date:	10/1/07		Liquid Limit:		
Test Type:	CU w/pp		Plastic Limit:		
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.004		Plasticity Index:		
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.101		Spec. Gravity :	2.88	

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	10.7	12.3	11.6		
Dry Density (pcf)	119.4	118.6	118.3		
Void Ratio	0.51	0.52	0.52		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.93	1.93		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	17.1	17.2	17.3		
Dry Density (pcf)	120.4	120.2	120.1		
Void Ratio	0.49	0.50	0.50		
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.72	1.44	2.16		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	15.44	17.85	17.14		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	13.96	17.24	14.97		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	5.99	8.98	9.64		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.19	0.41	0.75		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	2.0	2.8	2.5		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimens compacted to approximately 95% of maximum standard proctor density; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 32.4 ° a = 0.3 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	39.4 °	c'= 0.43 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	35.3 °	c= 1.11 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107
OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.
Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6251**
Date: **10/5/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-023B**
Boring #: Sample #: **Mix #2** Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Blend 3:1 (Silty Sand (SM))**







◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Pore Pressure				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	36.5 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.00 (tsf)				
Test Date: 10/1/07	Liquid Limit:				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.004	Plasticity Index:				
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.101	Spec. Gravity : 2.88				
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	10.7	12.3	11.6		
Dry Density (pcf)	119.4	118.6	118.3		
Void Ratio	0.51	0.52	0.52		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.93	1.93		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	17.1	17.2	17.3		
Dry Density (pcf)	120.4	120.2	120.1		
Void Ratio	0.49	0.50	0.50		
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.72	1.44	2.16		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	15.44	17.85	17.14		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	13.96	17.24	14.97		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	1.24	2.80	3.82		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.19	0.41	0.75		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	0.4	0.6	0.6		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimens compacted to approximately 95% of maximum standard proctor density; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 30.7 ° a = -0.1 (tsf)

---------- Effective ϕ': 36.5 ° c'= 0.00 (tsf)
_____ Total ϕ': 28.3 ° c= 0.01 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6251**
Date: **10/5/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-023B**
Boring #: Sample #: **Mix #2** Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Blend 3:1 (Silty Sand (SM))**







◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Deviator Stress				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	39.0 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.00 (tsf)				
Test Date: 10/1/07	Liquid Limit:				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.004	Plasticity Index:				
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.101	Spec. Gravity : 2.88				
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	10.7	12.3	11.6		
Dry Density (pcf)	119.4	118.6	118.3		
Void Ratio	0.51	0.52	0.52		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.93	1.93		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	17.1	17.2	17.3		
Dry Density (pcf)	120.4	120.2	120.1		
Void Ratio	0.49	0.50	0.50		
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.72	1.44	2.16		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	15.44	17.85	17.14		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	13.96	17.24	14.97		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	15.44	17.85	17.14		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.19	0.41	0.75		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	15.1	23.9	12.6		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimens compacted to approximately 95% of maximum standard proctor density; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure	
α = 32.2 °	a = 0.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ': 39.0 °	c'=	0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ': 27.1 °	c=	4.41 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6251**
Date: **10/5/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-023B**
Boring #: Sample #: **Mix #2** Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Blend 3:1 (Silty Sand (SM))**







◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion: **Given Strain of: 15%**
Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =** **39.2 °**
Apparent Cohesion, **c' =** **0.00 (tsf)**

Test Date:	10/1/07	Liquid Limit:	
Test Type:	CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:	
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.004	Plasticity Index:	
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.101	Spec. Gravity :	2.88

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	10.7	12.3	11.6		
Dry Density (pcf)	119.4	118.6	118.3		
Void Ratio	0.51	0.52	0.52		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.93	1.93		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	17.1	17.2	17.3		
Dry Density (pcf)	120.4	120.2	120.1		
Void Ratio	0.49	0.50	0.50		
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.72	1.44	2.16		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	15.44	17.85	17.14		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	13.96	17.24	14.97		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	15.43	17.13	17.07		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.19	0.41	0.75		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	15.0	15.0	15.0		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimens compacted to approximately 95% of maximum standard proctor density; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 32.3 ° a = 0.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	39.2 °	c'= 0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	23.2 °	c= 4.84 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107
OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.
Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Mix #2 Job: 6251

10 psi 20 psi 30 psi

Sample 1			Sample 2			Sample 3			Sample 4			Sample 5		
Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						
0.13	0.52	0.12	0.13	0.83	0.20	0.13	1.25	0.33						
0.25	0.92	0.18	0.25	1.43	0.32	0.25	2.12	0.56						
0.38	1.24	0.19	0.38	1.96	0.39	0.38	2.71	0.67						
0.50	1.63	0.18	0.50	2.35	0.41	0.50	3.30	0.73						
0.63	1.97	0.16	0.63	2.80	0.41	0.63	3.82	0.75						
0.75	2.35	0.12	0.76	3.25	0.40	0.75	4.30	0.74						
0.88	2.71	0.07	0.88	3.68	0.36	0.88	4.80	0.71						
1.01	3.04	0.03	1.01	4.05	0.32	1.01	5.16	0.68						
1.13	3.41	-0.03	1.13	4.41	0.28	1.13	5.60	0.62						
1.26	3.84	-0.09	1.26	4.82	0.22	1.26	6.02	0.57						
1.38	4.20	-0.16	1.38	5.21	0.16	1.38	6.45	0.50						
1.51	4.53	-0.21	1.51	5.57	0.10	1.51	6.81	0.44						
1.63	4.92	-0.28	1.63	5.93	0.04	1.63	7.20	0.38						
1.76	5.28	-0.35	1.76	6.26	-0.02	1.76	7.60	0.30						
1.88	5.65	-0.42	1.89	6.59	-0.08	1.89	7.96	0.23						
2.01	5.99	-0.49	2.01	7.01	-0.16	2.01	8.32	0.16						
2.14	6.34	-0.57	2.14	7.33	-0.22	2.14	8.66	0.09						
2.26	6.65	-0.63	2.26	7.67	-0.29	2.26	9.03	0.01						
2.39	6.99	-0.71	2.39	8.02	-0.37	2.39	9.33	-0.06						
2.51	7.36	-0.79	2.51	8.37	-0.44	2.52	9.64	-0.13						
2.76	7.97	-0.93	2.77	8.98	-0.58	2.77	10.26	-0.28						
3.02	8.60	-1.09	3.02	9.62	-0.73	3.02	10.81	-0.42						
3.27	9.16	-1.23	3.27	10.24	-0.88	3.27	11.33	-0.56						
3.52	9.73	-1.38	3.52	10.81	-1.02	3.52	11.82	-0.69						
3.77	10.25	-1.53	3.77	11.37	-1.17	3.77	12.25	-0.82						
4.02	10.73	-1.67	4.02	11.93	-1.32	4.02	12.65	-0.94						
4.52	11.59	-1.94	4.53	12.89	-1.61	4.53	13.40	-1.17						
5.03	12.31	-2.19	5.03	13.71	-1.87	5.03	14.04	-1.39						
5.53	12.89	-2.41	5.53	14.46	-2.12	5.28	14.32	-1.48						
6.03	13.38	-2.60	6.03	15.08	-2.35	5.53	14.61	-1.58						
6.53	13.77	-2.78	6.54	15.62	-2.56	5.78	14.87	-1.68						
7.04	14.11	-2.94	7.04	16.07	-2.75	6.04	15.09	-1.76						
7.54	14.38	-3.08	7.54	16.42	-2.91	6.29	15.31	-1.84						
8.04	14.62	-3.20	8.05	16.69	-3.05	6.54	15.51	-1.92						
8.54	14.79	-3.31	8.55	16.89	-3.16	6.79	15.69	-2.00						
9.05	14.95	-3.41	9.05	17.01	-3.25	7.04	15.82	-2.06						
9.55	15.06	-3.49	9.55	17.04	-3.32	7.29	16.00	-2.13						
10.05	15.15	-3.57	10.06	17.00	-3.36	7.55	16.11	-2.18						
11.31	15.30	-3.72	11.31	16.98	-3.40	8.05	16.34	-2.30						
12.56	15.39	-3.82	12.57	17.00	-3.40	8.55	16.52	-2.39						
13.82	15.43	-3.90	13.83	17.13	-3.41	9.05	16.65	-2.47						
15.08	15.44	-3.95	15.08	17.20	-3.42	9.56	16.77	-2.53						
16.33	15.38	-3.98	16.34	17.35	-3.45	10.06	16.88	-2.59						
17.59	15.29	-4.01	17.60	17.52	-3.51	11.32	17.06	-2.72						
18.84	15.20	-4.02	18.86	17.70	-3.58	12.58	17.14	-2.79						
20.10	15.11	-4.03	20.11	17.78	-3.65	13.83	17.07	-2.83						
21.36	14.96	-4.03	21.37	17.83	-3.71	15.09	17.02	-2.85						
22.61	14.81	-4.03	22.63	17.84	-3.76	16.35	16.90	-2.85						
23.87	14.67	-4.03	23.88	17.85	-3.82	17.61	16.83	-2.83						
25.13	14.53	-4.02	25.14	17.79	-3.87	18.86	16.72	-2.82						
26.38	14.39	-4.01	26.40	17.72	-3.92	20.12	16.55	-2.80						
27.64	14.22	-4.00	27.66	17.61	-3.96	21.38	16.36	-2.78						
28.90	14.09	-3.99	28.91	17.44	-4.00	22.64	16.18	-2.77						
30.03	13.96	-3.98	30.01	17.24	-4.01	25.15	15.81	-2.72						
						27.67	15.31	-2.65						
						29.99	14.97	-2.59						

Moisture Density Curve ASTM: D698, Method B

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-023B** Date: **10/17/07**

Client: **Barr Engineering Company** Job No. **6251**

Boring No. Sample: **Mix #3** Depth(ft): Blend **1:2 Blend - 5CT:8FT:2Slimes**

Soil Type: **Tailings - Silty Sand (SM)**

As Received W.C. (%): **9.0** LL: PL: PI: Specific Gravity: **2.92**

Maximum Dry Density (pcf): **120.3** Opt. Water Content (%): **12.5**



9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6251**
Date: **10/25/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-023B**
Boring #: **1:2 Blend** Sample #: **Mix #3** Type: **Remolded** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Blend: 5 CT : 8 FT : 2 Slimes (Silty Sand (SM))**







Failure Criterion:	Max. Stress Ratio				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	41.5 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.05 (tsf)				
Test Date: 10/18/07	Liquid Limit:				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.004	Plasticity Index:				
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.101	Spec. Gravity (Assumed):	2.97			
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	12.1	12.3	12.5		
Dry Density (pcf)	114.7	114.2	114.1		
Void Ratio	0.62	0.62	0.62		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.93		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	20.4	20.4	20.2		
Dry Density (pcf)	115.6	115.4	115.8		
Void Ratio	0.60	0.61	0.60		
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.72	1.44	2.16		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	12.66	15.36	18.62		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	12.49	12.90	16.41		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	5.02	8.23	10.82		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.23	0.42	0.72		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	2.1	2.5	3.0		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen compacted to approximately 95% of maximum standard proctor density. Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure	
α = 33.5 °	a = 0.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ': 41.5 °	c'=	0.05 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ': 42.0 °	c=	0.49 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6251**
Date: **10/25/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-023B**
Boring #: **1:2 Blend** Sample #: **Mix #3** Type: **Remolded** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Blend: 5 CT : 8 FT : 2 Slimes (Silty Sand (SM))**







Failure Criterion:	Max. Pore Pressure				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	33.0 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.00 (tsf)				
Test Date: 10/18/07	Liquid Limit:				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.004	Plasticity Index:				
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.101	Spec. Gravity (Assumed):	2.97			
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	12.1	12.3	12.5		
Dry Density (pcf)	114.7	114.2	114.1		
Void Ratio	0.62	0.62	0.62		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.93		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	20.4	20.4	20.2		
Dry Density (pcf)	115.6	115.4	115.8		
Void Ratio	0.60	0.61	0.60		
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.72	1.44	2.16		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	12.66	15.36	18.62		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	12.49	12.90	16.41		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	1.03	2.41	3.31		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.23	0.42	0.72		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	0.4	0.5	0.6		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen compacted to approximately 95% of maximum standard proctor density. Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure	
α = 28.6 °	a = 0.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ': 33.0 °	c'=	0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ': 26.3 °	c=	-0.01 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6251**
Date: **10/25/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-023B**
Boring #: **1:2 Blend** Sample #: **Mix #3** Type: **Remolded** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Blend: 5 CT : 8 FT : 2 Slimes (Silty Sand (SM))**







Failure Criterion:	Max. Deviator Stress				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	37.5 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.17 (tsf)				
Test Date: 10/18/07	Liquid Limit:				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.004	Plasticity Index:				
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.101	Spec. Gravity (Assumed):	2.97			
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	12.1	12.3	12.5		
Dry Density (pcf)	114.7	114.2	114.1		
Void Ratio	0.62	0.62	0.62		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.93		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	20.4	20.4	20.2		
Dry Density (pcf)	115.6	115.4	115.8		
Void Ratio	0.60	0.61	0.60		
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.72	1.44	2.16		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	12.66	15.36	18.62		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	12.49	12.90	16.41		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	12.66	15.36	18.62		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.23	0.42	0.72		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	13.8	13.8	12.6		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen compacted to approximately 95% of maximum standard proctor density. Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 31.3 ° a = 0.1 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	37.5 °	c'=	0.17 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	42.4 °	c=	2.11 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6251**
Date: **10/25/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-023B**
Boring #: **1:2 Blend** Sample #: **Mix #3** Type: **Remolded** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Blend: 5 CT : 8 FT : 2 Slimes (Silty Sand (SM))**







Failure Criterion:	**Given Strain of: 15%**
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	**36.8 °**
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	**0.29 (tsf)**
Test Date: 10/18/07	Liquid Limit:
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.004	Plasticity Index:
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.101	Spec. Gravity (Assumed): 2.97

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	12.1	12.3	12.5		
Dry Density (pcf)	114.7	114.2	114.1		
Void Ratio	0.62	0.62	0.62		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.93		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	20.4	20.4	20.2		
Dry Density (pcf)	115.6	115.4	115.8		
Void Ratio	0.60	0.61	0.60		
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.72	1.44	2.16		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	12.66	15.36	18.62		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	12.49	12.90	16.41		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	12.66	15.36	18.58		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.23	0.42	0.72		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	15.0	15.0	15.0		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen compacted to approximately 95% of maximum standard proctor density. Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 30.9 ° a = 0.2 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	36.8 °	c'= 0.29 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	42.3 °	c= 2.13 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Job: 6251

Sample #: Mix #3 Date: 10/25/07

Sample 1			Sample 2			Sample 3			Sample 4			Sample 5		
Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						
0.13	0.45	0.15	0.13	0.81	0.22	0.13	1.03	0.31						
0.25	0.74	0.21	0.25	1.41	0.35	0.25	1.75	0.52						
0.38	1.03	0.23	0.38	1.96	0.41	0.38	2.33	0.64						
0.50	1.30	0.22	0.50	2.41	0.42	0.50	2.82	0.69						
0.63	1.57	0.19	0.63	2.92	0.40	0.63	3.31	0.72						
0.76	1.86	0.15	0.75	3.27	0.37	0.75	3.71	0.71						
0.88	2.15	0.11	0.88	3.72	0.32	0.88	4.21	0.69						
1.01	2.46	0.05	1.01	4.11	0.26	1.01	4.64	0.65						
1.13	2.75	0.00	1.13	4.53	0.19	1.13	5.07	0.60						
1.26	3.05	-0.06	1.26	4.88	0.13	1.26	5.53	0.54						
1.38	3.35	-0.12	1.38	5.27	0.06	1.38	5.94	0.48						
1.51	3.64	-0.18	1.51	5.65	-0.01	1.51	6.37	0.41						
1.63	3.94	-0.25	1.63	5.99	-0.08	1.63	6.76	0.34						
1.76	4.23	-0.31	1.76	6.34	-0.16	1.76	7.16	0.27						
1.89	4.50	-0.38	1.88	6.68	-0.23	1.89	7.59	0.19						
2.01	4.77	-0.44	2.01	7.02	-0.31	2.01	7.99	0.11						
2.14	5.02	-0.50	2.14	7.31	-0.37	2.14	8.35	0.03						
2.26	5.28	-0.57	2.26	7.62	-0.45	2.26	8.69	-0.04						
2.39	5.54	-0.63	2.39	7.94	-0.52	2.39	9.07	-0.12						
2.51	5.80	-0.70	2.51	8.23	-0.60	2.51	9.51	-0.22						
2.77	6.29	-0.83	2.76	8.77	-0.74	2.77	10.18	-0.38						
3.02	6.74	-0.95	3.02	9.28	-0.88	3.02	10.82	-0.54						
3.27	7.16	-1.07	3.27	9.82	-1.02	3.27	11.47	-0.70						
3.52	7.56	-1.19	3.52	10.29	-1.15	3.52	12.09	-0.87						
3.77	7.93	-1.30	3.77	10.71	-1.28	3.77	12.62	-1.02						
4.02	8.28	-1.41	4.02	11.11	-1.40	4.02	13.16	-1.18						
4.53	8.91	-1.61	4.52	11.86	-1.64	4.53	14.10	-1.47						
5.03	9.46	-1.80	5.03	12.47	-1.86	5.03	14.92	-1.75						
5.53	9.92	-1.97	5.28	12.76	-1.96	5.53	15.63	-2.01						
6.03	10.33	-2.12	5.53	13.02	-2.07	6.03	16.24	-2.24						
6.54	10.68	-2.25	5.78	13.26	-2.16	6.54	16.74	-2.46						
7.04	11.00	-2.38	6.03	13.46	-2.25	7.04	17.15	-2.64						
7.54	11.27	-2.49	6.28	13.67	-2.33	7.54	17.51	-2.81						
8.04	11.50	-2.58	6.53	13.86	-2.42	8.04	17.78	-2.96						
8.55	11.72	-2.67	6.78	14.00	-2.49	8.55	18.00	-3.08						
9.05	11.88	-2.75	7.04	14.15	-2.56	9.05	18.17	-3.19						
9.55	12.03	-2.81	7.29	14.28	-2.62	9.55	18.31	-3.28						
10.05	12.14	-2.87	7.54	14.40	-2.68	10.05	18.41	-3.36						
11.31	12.42	-2.99	8.04	14.60	-2.79	11.31	18.57	-3.52						
12.57	12.59	-3.08	8.54	14.78	-2.87	12.57	18.62	-3.61						
13.83	12.66	-3.13	9.05	14.92	-2.95	13.83	18.58	-3.67						
15.08	12.65	-3.16	9.55	15.04	-3.01	15.08	18.53	-3.70						
16.34	12.60	-3.17	10.05	15.14	-3.07	16.34	18.42	-3.72						
17.60	12.59	-3.17	11.31	15.31	-3.19	17.60	18.32	-3.73						
18.85	12.58	-3.19	12.56	15.36	-3.26	18.85	18.17	-3.72						
20.11	12.58	-3.20	13.82	15.36	-3.31	20.11	18.00	-3.70						
21.37	12.59	-3.22	15.07	15.33	-3.30	21.37	17.81	-3.68						
22.62	12.57	-3.25	16.33	15.20	-3.30	22.62	17.59	-3.65						
23.88	12.55	-3.28	17.59	15.02	-3.28	23.88	17.38	-3.62						
25.14	12.53	-3.31	18.84	14.87	-3.26	25.14	17.20	-3.60						
26.39	12.52	-3.34	20.10	14.72	-3.24	26.39	16.99	-3.58						
27.33	12.49	-3.36	21.36	14.55	-3.23	27.65	16.80	-3.55						
			22.61	14.33	-3.21	28.91	16.61	-3.51						
			25.13	13.89	-3.16	30.09	16.41	-3.48						
			27.64	13.38	-3.10									
			29.99	12.90	-3.00									

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **6251-A**

Project:	Polymet #23/69-862-023B	Test Date:	11/3/07
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	11/9/07

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
*	1:4 Blend	Mix #4		Bulk	Blend: 2.5 CT : 8 FT : 2 Slimes (Silty Sand (SM))
●					
◇					



Other Tests

	*	●	◇
Liquid Limit			
Plastic Limit			
Plasticity Index			
Water Content			
Dry Density (pcf)			
Specific Gravity	2.91		
Porosity			
Organic Content			
pH			
Shrinkage Limit			
Penetrometer			
Qu (psf)			

(* = assumed)

Percent Passing

	*	●	◇
Mass (g)	578.8		
2"			
1.5"			
1"			
3/4"			
3/8"	100.0		
#4	99.8		
#10	96.1		
#20	92.2		
#40	88.1		
#100	69.6		
#200	43.2		

	*	●	◇
D_{60}			
D_{30}			
D_{10}			
C_U			
C_C			

Remarks:

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **6251-A**

Project:	Polymet #23/69-862-023B	Test Date:	11/3/07
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	11/9/07

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
Spec 1	1:4 Blend	Mix #4		Bulk	Blend: 2.5 CT : 8 FT : 2 Slimes (Silty Sand (SM))
Spec 2					
Spec 3					

Hydrometer Data

Specimen 1		Specimen 2		Specimen 3	
Diameter (mm)	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing
0.031	21.1				
0.021	14.8				
0.012	10.8				
0.008	7.6				
0.006	6.3				
0.003	3.5				
0.001	1.6				

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Moisture Density Curve ASTM: D698, Method B

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-023B** Date: **11/5/07**

Client: **Barr Engineering Company** Job No. **6251-A**

Boring No. Sample: **Mix 4** Depth(ft): Location:

Soil Type: **1:4 Blend (2.5CT:8FT:2Slimes) - Silty Sand (SM)**

As Received W.C. (%): LL: PL: PI: Specific Gravity: **2.91** *Assumed

Maximum Dry Density (pcf): **114.1** Opt. Water Content (%): **14.1**



9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

SET-R18a

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6251-A**
Date: **11/9/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-023B**
Boring #: **Mix #4** Sample #: Type: **Remolded** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Blend: Silty Sand (SM)**








◆

■


△

+

X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Stress Ratio				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	37.1 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.19 (tsf)				
Test Date: 11/5/07	Liquid Limit:				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.0043	Plasticity Index:				
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.108	Spec. Gravity : 2.91				
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	14.0	14.4	14.0		
Dry Density (pcf)	108.5	108.0	108.4		
Void Ratio	0.67	0.68	0.68		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.93		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.97		
Water Content (%)	22.8	22.9	22.2		
Dry Density (pcf)	109.2	109.0	110.4		
Void Ratio	0.66	0.67	0.65		
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.72	1.44	2.16		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	9.18	11.30	8.98		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	8.37	9.88	7.61		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	4.62	5.86	7.02		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.21	0.54	0.81		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	2.3	2.4	3.0		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen compacted to approximately 95% of maximum standard proctor density; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure			
α = 31.1 °	a = 0.2 (tsf)		

----------	Effective ϕ':	37.1 °	c'=	0.19 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	27.1 °	c=	1.05 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6251-A**
Date: **11/9/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-023B**
Boring #: **Mix #4** Sample #: Type: **Remoldec** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Blend: Silty Sand (SM)**








◆

■


△

+

X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Pore Pressure				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	32.0 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.00 (tsf)				
Test Date: 11/5/07	Liquid Limit:				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.0043	Plasticity Index:				
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.108	Spec. Gravity : 2.91				
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	14.0	14.4	14.0		
Dry Density (pcf)	108.5	108.0	108.4		
Void Ratio	0.67	0.68	0.68		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.93		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.97		
Water Content (%)	22.8	22.9	22.2		
Dry Density (pcf)	109.2	109.0	110.4		
Void Ratio	0.66	0.67	0.65		
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.72	1.44	2.16		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	9.18	11.30	8.98		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	8.37	9.88	7.61		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	1.02	1.96	2.92		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.21	0.54	0.81		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	0.4	0.5	0.8		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen compacted to approximately 95% of maximum standard proctor density; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure			
α = 27.9 °	a = 0.0 (tsf)		

----------	Effective ϕ': 32.0 °	c'=	0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ': 23.4 °	c=	0.02 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6251-A**
Date: **11/9/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-023B**
Boring #: **Mix #4** Sample #: Type: **Remolded** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Blend: Silty Sand (SM)**








◆

■


△

+

X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Deviator Stress				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	37.1 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.00 (tsf)				
Test Date: 11/5/07	Liquid Limit:				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.0043	Plasticity Index:				
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.108	Spec. Gravity : 2.91				
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	14.0	14.4	14.0		
Dry Density (pcf)	108.5	108.0	108.4		
Void Ratio	0.67	0.68	0.68		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.93		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.97		
Water Content (%)	22.8	22.9	22.2		
Dry Density (pcf)	109.2	109.0	110.4		
Void Ratio	0.66	0.67	0.65		
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.72	1.44	2.16		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	9.18	11.30	8.98		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	8.37	9.88	7.61		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	9.18	11.30	8.98		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.21	0.54	0.81		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	13.8	15.1	6.0		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen compacted to approximately 95% of maximum standard proctor density; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure			
α = 31.1 °	a = 0.0 (tsf)		

----------	Effective ϕ':	37.1 °	c'=	0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	26.1 °	c=	2.36 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6251-A**
Date: **11/9/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-023B**
Boring #: **Mix #4** Sample #: Type: **Remolded** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Blend: Silty Sand (SM)**








◆

■


△

+

X

Failure Criterion:	Given Strain of: 15%				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	40.7 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.00 (tsf)				
Test Date: 11/5/07	Liquid Limit:				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.0043	Plasticity Index:				
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.108	Spec. Gravity : 2.91				
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	14.0	14.4	14.0		
Dry Density (pcf)	108.5	108.0	108.4		
Void Ratio	0.67	0.68	0.68		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.93		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.97		
Water Content (%)	22.8	22.9	22.2		
Dry Density (pcf)	109.2	109.0	110.4		
Void Ratio	0.66	0.67	0.65		
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.72	1.44	2.16		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	9.18	11.30	8.98		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	8.37	9.88	7.61		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	9.18	11.26	8.79		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.21	0.54	0.81		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	15.0	15.0	15.0		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen compacted to approximately 95% of maximum standard proctor density; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure			
α = 33.1 °	a = -0.5 (tsf)		

----------	Effective ϕ':	40.7 °	c'=	0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	26.9 °	c=	2.26 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Job: 6251-A

Sample #: Mix #4 Date: 11/9/07

Sample 1			Sample 2			Sample 3			Sample 4			Sample 5		
Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						
0.13	0.48	0.16	0.13	0.68	0.24	0.13	0.94	0.32						
0.25	0.73	0.21	0.25	1.18	0.42	0.25	1.55	0.56						
0.38	1.02	0.21	0.38	1.60	0.51	0.38	1.97	0.69						
0.50	1.29	0.19	0.50	1.96	0.54	0.50	2.29	0.75						
0.63	1.55	0.15	0.63	2.21	0.54	0.63	2.60	0.79						
0.75	1.78	0.11	0.75	2.53	0.52	0.76	2.92	0.81						
0.88	2.01	0.06	0.88	2.83	0.49	0.88	3.21	0.81						
1.01	2.28	0.01	1.01	3.09	0.45	1.01	3.48	0.79						
1.13	2.56	-0.05	1.13	3.35	0.40	1.13	3.75	0.77						
1.26	2.78	-0.10	1.26	3.64	0.35	1.26	3.99	0.74						
1.38	3.03	-0.16	1.38	3.90	0.30	1.39	4.24	0.71						
1.51	3.29	-0.23	1.51	4.16	0.24	1.51	4.52	0.66						
1.63	3.53	-0.29	1.63	4.43	0.18	1.64	4.76	0.62						
1.76	3.76	-0.35	1.76	4.69	0.12	1.76	4.99	0.58						
1.89	3.97	-0.40	1.89	4.94	0.06	1.89	5.23	0.53						
2.01	4.18	-0.46	2.01	5.15	0.01	2.02	5.47	0.48						
2.14	4.41	-0.52	2.14	5.39	-0.05	2.14	5.67	0.43						
2.26	4.62	-0.58	2.26	5.63	-0.12	2.27	5.88	0.38						
2.39	4.81	-0.64	2.39	5.86	-0.18	2.39	6.10	0.33						
2.51	5.00	-0.69	2.51	6.07	-0.24	2.52	6.29	0.28						
2.76	5.35	-0.80	2.77	6.48	-0.35	2.77	6.68	0.17						
3.02	5.69	-0.90	3.02	6.88	-0.47	3.02	7.02	0.07						
3.27	6.00	-1.00	3.27	7.24	-0.59	3.27	7.34	-0.03						
3.52	6.27	-1.09	3.52	7.60	-0.70	3.53	7.64	-0.12						
3.77	6.54	-1.18	3.77	7.89	-0.81	3.78	7.89	-0.21						
4.02	6.78	-1.27	4.02	8.20	-0.91	4.03	8.13	-0.30						
4.52	7.19	-1.42	4.53	8.76	-1.11	4.53	8.50	-0.46						
5.03	7.54	-1.56	5.03	9.23	-1.29	5.04	8.78	-0.61						
5.53	7.83	-1.68	5.28	9.45	-1.37	5.54	8.96	-0.72						
6.03	8.08	-1.78	5.53	9.63	-1.44	6.04	8.98	-0.80						
6.53	8.28	-1.87	5.78	9.82	-1.52	6.55	8.91	-0.83						
7.04	8.45	-1.95	6.04	9.99	-1.59	7.05	8.77	-0.82						
7.54	8.60	-2.02	6.29	10.13	-1.66	7.56	8.77	-0.81						
8.04	8.73	-2.08	6.54	10.24	-1.71	8.06	8.80	-0.82						
8.54	8.82	-2.13	6.79	10.35	-1.77	8.56	8.82	-0.83						
9.05	8.91	-2.18	7.04	10.45	-1.82	9.07	8.83	-0.85						
9.55	8.95	-2.22	7.29	10.54	-1.86	9.57	8.85	-0.87						
10.05	9.01	-2.25	7.54	10.61	-1.90	10.07	8.85	-0.88						
11.31	9.09	-2.31	8.05	10.75	-1.97	11.33	8.87	-0.91						
12.57	9.13	-2.35	8.55	10.86	-2.02	12.59	8.87	-0.94						
13.82	9.18	-2.38	9.05	10.97	-2.07	13.85	8.79	-0.95						
15.08	9.17	-2.40	9.56	11.05	-2.11	15.11	8.70	-0.95						
16.33	9.15	-2.41	10.06	11.10	-2.14	16.37	8.62	-0.94						
17.59	9.10	-2.41	11.32	11.17	-2.20	17.63	8.57	-0.94						
18.85	9.06	-2.41	12.57	11.21	-2.24	18.89	8.48	-0.93						
20.10	9.00	-2.41	13.83	11.26	-2.28	20.15	8.36	-0.91						
21.36	8.95	-2.40	15.09	11.30	-2.32	21.40	8.25	-0.89						
22.62	8.88	-2.40	16.35	11.29	-2.34	22.66	8.15	-0.87						
23.87	8.82	-2.39	17.60	11.23	-2.35	23.92	8.05	-0.84						
25.13	8.74	-2.39	18.86	11.16	-2.36	25.18	7.96	-0.82						
26.39	8.66	-2.38	20.12	11.11	-2.36	26.44	7.87	-0.80						
27.64	8.56	-2.37	21.37	11.02	-2.36	27.70	7.79	-0.77						
28.90	8.45	-2.35	22.63	10.88	-2.37	28.96	7.69	-0.75						
30.01	8.37	-2.34	25.15	10.49	-2.33	30.03	7.61	-0.73						
			27.66	10.12	-2.24									
			30.00	9.88	-2.19									

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **6251**

Project:	Polymet #23/69-862-023B	Test Date:	9/12/07
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	9/18/07

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
*	TP-1	CT-1 / Bucket 1	5	Bulk	Coarse Tailings (Silty Sand (SM/SP-SM)))
●	TP-2	CT-3 / Bucket 3	12	Bulk	Coarse Tailings (Sand w/Silt, Fine to Medium Grained (SP-SM))
◇	Coarse Tailings	Bucket 17	1 - 3	Bulk	Coarse Tailings (Sand w/Silt, Fine to Medium Grained (SP-SM))



Other Tests

	*	●	◇
Liquid Limit			
Plastic Limit			
Plasticity Index			
Water Content	4.5	5.2	2.2
Dry Density (pcf)			
Specific Gravity		2.93	
Porosity			
Organic Content			
pH			
Shrinkage Limit			
Penetrometer			
Qu (psf)			

(* = assumed)

Percent Passing

	*	●	◇
Mass (g)	1216.0	1897.1	1889.6
2"			
1.5"			
1"			
3/4"		100.0	100.0
3/8"	100.0	100.0	99.9
#4	99.8	99.5	99.5
#10	97.0	95.8	95.7
#20	84.8	80.5	78.2
#40	68.2	58.5	57.5
#100	30.5	17.0	21.1
#200	14.7	8.6	8.9

	*	●	◇
D_{60}			
D_{30}			
D_{10}			
C_U			
C_C			

Remarks:

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **6251**

Project:	Polymet #23/69-862-023B	Test Date:	9/12/07
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	9/18/07

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
*	TP-2	Bucket 5	15	Bulk	Slimes (Silt (ML))
●	TP-3	Bucket 9	10	Bulk	Tailings (Silty Sand (SM))
◇					



Other Tests	*	●	◇
Liquid Limit			
Plastic Limit			
Plasticity Index			
Water Content	34.5	7.3	
Dry Density (pcf)			
Specific Gravity	3.01	2.86	
Porosity			
Organic Content			
pH			
Shrinkage Limit			
Penetrometer			
Qu (psf)			

(* = assumed)

Percent Passing	*	●	◇
Mass (g)	1612.0	1566.8	
2"			
1.5"			
1"			
3/4"			
3/8"		100.0	
#4	100.0	99.6	
#10	100.0	97.2	
#20	100.0	92.5	
#40	99.9	87.3	
#100	99.8	45.5	
#200	97.0	18.8	

	*	●	◇
D_{60}			
D_{30}			
D_{10}			
C_U			
C_C			

Remarks:

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Moisture Density Curve ASTM: D698, Method B

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-023B** Date: **9/20/07**

Client: **Barr Engineering Company** Job No. **6251**

Boring No. **TP-2** Sample: **Coarse Tailings** Depth(ft): **12** Location:

Soil Type: **Coarse Tailings (Sand w/Silt, Fine to Medium Grained (SP-SM))**

As Received W.C. (%): **5.2** LL: PL: PI: Specific Gravity: **2.93**

Maximum Dry Density (pcf): **122.0** Opt. Water Content (%): **13.6**



9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

SET-R18a

Moisture Density Curve ASTM: D698, Method B

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-023B** Date: **9/20/07**

Client: **Barr Engineering Company** Job No. **6251**

Boring No. **TP-2** Sample: **Bucket #5** Depth(ft): **15** Location:

Soil Type: **Slimes (Silt (ML))**

As Received W.C. (%): **34.5** LL: PL: PI: Specific Gravity: **3.01**

Maximum Dry Density (pcf): **113.1** Opt. Water Content (%): **17.0**



9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

SET-R18a

Moisture Density Curve ASTM: D698, Method B

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-023B** Date: **9/20/07**

Client: **Barr Engineering Company** Job No. **6251**

Boring No. **TP-2** Sample: **Bucket #6** Depth(ft): **15** Location:

Soil Type: **Slimes (Silt (ML))**

As Received W.C. (%): LL: PL: PI: Specific Gravity: **3.01** *Assumed

Maximum Dry Density (pcf): **112.5** Opt. Water Content (%): **16.9**



9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

SET-R18a

Moisture Density Curve ASTM: D698, Method B

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-023B** Date: **9/20/07**

Client: **Barr Engineering Company** Job No. **6251**

Boring No. **TP-3** Sample: **Bucket #9** Depth(ft): **10** Location:

Soil Type: **Tailings (Silty Sand (SM)**

As Received W.C. (%): **7.3** LL: PL: PI: Specific Gravity: **2.86**

Maximum Dry Density (pcf): **111.4** Opt. Water Content (%): **14.5**



9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

SET-R18a

Moisture Density Curve ASTM: D698, Method B

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-023B** Date: **9/20/07**

Client: **Barr Engineering Company** Job No. **6251**

Boring No. Sample: **Bucket 17** Depth(ft): **1 - 3** Location:

Soil Type: **Coarse Tailings (Sand w/Silt, Fine to Medium Grained (SP-SM))**

As Received W.C. (%): LL: PL: PI: Specific Gravity: **2.93** *Assumed

Maximum Dry Density (pcf): **120.6** Opt. Water Content (%): **12.0**



9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

SET-R18a

Void Ratio and % Settlement vs. Log of Pressure



Project: Polymet #23/69-862-023B				Date: 10/26/07
Sample #:	Boring #: 07-17	Depth ft: 15-17		Job #: 6251
Soil Type: Fine Tailings (Silt w/sand (ML))				
Initial W/C (%): 31.3	Dry Density (pcf): 87.5	LL:	PL: PI:	Gs: 2.90 (Assumed)
Organic Content (%):	Initial Height (in.): 0.749	Diameter (in.): 2.506	e_o= 1.069	
Preconsolidation Pressure (Pc): 1.4 tsf	Compression Index (Cc): 0.11	Recompression Index (Cr): ≅ 0.015		
Remarks:				

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Square Root of Time Curves



Project: Polymet #23/69-862-023B			Date: 10/26/07
Sample #:	Boring #: 07-17	Depth ft: 15-17	Job #: 6251

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **6251**

Project:	Polymet #23/69-862-023B	Test Date:	10/15/07
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	10/28/07

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
*	07-17		15-17	TWT	Slimes - Silt (ML)
●	07-17		72-74	TWT	Fine Tailings - Silt w/Sand (ML)
◇	07-21		38-40	TWT	Slimes - Silt (ML)



Other Tests	*	●	◇
Liquid Limit		29.4	31.3
Plastic Limit		21.4	25.0
Plasticity Index		8.0	6.3
Water Content			
Dry Density (pcf)			
Specific Gravity	2.98*	2.94*	2.94
Porosity			
Organic Content			
pH			
Shrinkage Limit			
Penetrometer			
Qu (psf)			

(* = assumed)

Percent Passing	*	●	◇
Mass (g)	209.0	461.2	559.1
2"			
1.5"			
1"			
3/4"			
3/8"			
#4	100.0		
#10	100.0	100.0	100.0
#20	100.0	100.0	100.0
#40	99.9	100.0	100.0
#100	98.6	100.0	100.0
#200	79.9	100.0	99.9

	*	●	◇
D_{60}			
D_{30}			
D_{10}			
C_U			
C_C			

Remarks:

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **6251**

Project:	Polymet #23/69-862-023B	Test Date:	10/15/07
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	10/28/07

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
Spec 1	07-17		15-17	TWT	Slimes - Silt (ML)
Spec 2	07-17		72-74	TWT	Fine Tailings - Silt w/Sand (ML)
Spec 3	07-21		38-40	TWT	Slimes - Silt (ML)

Hydrometer Data

Specimen 1		Specimen 2		Specimen 3	
Diameter (mm)	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing
0.031	40.6	0.019	74.4	0.020	90.1
0.020	30.0	0.014	66.7	0.013	84.7
0.012	20.8	0.009	55.0	0.009	75.0
0.009	16.3	0.007	47.8	0.007	64.1
0.006	11.7	0.005	38.9	0.005	55.8
0.003	6.7	0.003	22.3	0.003	36.3
0.001	3.1	0.001	11.2	0.001	17.9

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **6251**

Project:	Polymet #23/69-862-023B	Test Date:	10/16/07
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	10/27/07

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
*	07-23		26-28	TWT	Slimes - Silt (ML)
●	07-23		56-58	TWT	Slimes - Silt (ML)
◇					



Other Tests	*	●	◇
Liquid Limit	37.9	29.3	
Plastic Limit	27.6	20.2	
Plasticity Index	10.3	9.1	
Water Content			
Dry Density (pcf)			
Specific Gravity	2.94	3.06	
Porosity			
Organic Content			
pH			
Shrinkage Limit			
Penetrometer			
Qu (psf)			

(* = assumed)

Percent Passing	*	●	◇
Mass (g)	129.2	495.0	
2"			
1.5"			
1"			
3/4"			
3/8"			
#4			
#10	100.0	100.0	
#20	100.0	100.0	
#40	100.0	100.0	
#100	100.0	99.9	
#200	100.0	98.5	

	*	●	◇
D_{60}			
D_{30}			
D_{10}			
C_U			
C_C			

Remarks:

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **6251**

Project:	Polymet #23/69-862-023B	Test Date:	10/16/07
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	10/27/07

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
Spec 1	07-23		26-28	TWT	Slimes - Silt (ML)
Spec 2	07-23		56-58	TWT	Slimes - Silt (ML)
Spec 3					

Hydrometer Data

Specimen 1		Specimen 2		Specimen 3	
Diameter (mm)	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing
0.021	93.9	0.025	75.3		
0.014	90.9	0.017	66.1		
0.008	84.8	0.010	53.9		
0.006	80.2	0.008	43.2		
0.005	74.8	0.006	36.4		
0.003	43.9	0.003	21.6		
0.001	21.7	0.001	9.7		

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Void Ratio and % Settlement vs. Log of Pressure



Project: Polymet #23/69-862-023B				Date: 10/31/07
Sample #:	Boring #: 07-23	Depth ft: 56-58		Job #: 6251
Soil Type: Mixture: Fine Tailings and Slimes: (Lean Clay (CL))				
Initial W/C (%): 27.9	Dry Density (pcf): 102.8	LL: 29.3	PL: 20.2	PI: 9.1	Gs: 3.06
Organic Content (%):	Initial Height (in.): 0.785	Diameter (in.): 2.504	e_o= 0.858	
Preconsolidation Pressure (Pc): 1.0 tsf	Compression Index (Cc): 0.10	Recompression Index (Cr): ≅ 0.01		
Remarks:				

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Consolidation Log of Time Curves



Project: Polymet #23/69-862-023B			Date: 10/31/07
Sample #:	Boring #: 07-23	Depth ft: 56-58	Job #: 6251

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Void Ratio vs. Log of Pressure



Project: Polymet #23/69-862-023B			Date: 12/28/07
Sample #:	Boring #: TP-2	Depth ft: 15	Job #: 6251-B
Soil Type: Slimes (Silt (ML))			
Initial W/C (%): 24.1	Dry Density (pcf): 101.8	LL: PL: PI:	Gs: 3.01
Organic Content (%):	Initial Height (in.): 3.983	Diameter (in.): 1.944	e_o= 0.846
Preconsolidation Pressure (Pc):	Compression Index (Cc): 0.07	Recompression Index (Cr):	≅ 0.01
Remarks:			

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Void Ratio vs. Log of Pressure



Project: Polymet #23/69-862-023B			Date: 12/28/07
Sample #:	Boring #: TP-2	Depth ft: 15	Job #: 6251-B
Soil Type: Slimes (Silt (ML))			
Initial W/C (%): 24.1	Dry Density (pcf): 101.8	LL: PL: PI:	Gs: 3.01
Organic Content (%):	Initial Height (in.): 3.983	Diameter (in.): 1.944	e_o= 0.846
Preconsolidation Pressure (Pc):	Compression Index (Cc): 0.07	Recompression Index (Cr):	≅ 0.01
Remarks:			

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Consolidation Log of Time Curves



Project: Polymet #23/69-862-023B			Date: 12/28/07
Sample #:	Boring #: TP-2	Depth ft: 15	Job #: 6251-B

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Void Ratio and % Settlement vs. Log of Pressure



Project: Polymet #23/69-862-023B				Date: 10/26/07
Sample #:	Boring #: 07-21	Depth ft: 38-40 (Top)		Job #: 6251
Soil Type: Slimes (Silt (ML))				
Initial W/C (%): 43.7	Dry Density (pcf): 81.4	LL: 31.3	PL: 25.0	PI: 6.3	Gs: 2.94
Organic Content (%):	Initial Height (in.): 0.749	Diameter (in.): 2.504	e_o= 1.254	
Preconsolidation Pressure (Pc): 1.1 tsf	Compression Index (Cc): 0.21	Recompression Index (Cr): ≅ 0.03		
Remarks:				

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Consolidation Log of Time Curves



Project: Polymet #23/69-862-023B			Date: 10/26/07
Sample #:	Boring #: 07-21	Depth ft: 38-40 (Top)	Job #: 6251

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Void Ratio and % Settlement vs. Log of Pressure



Project: Polymet #23/69-862-023B				Date: 10/26/07
Sample #:	Boring #: 07-21	Depth ft: 82-84 (Top)		Job #: 6251
Soil Type: Slimes (Silt (ML))				
Initial W/C (%): 27.7	Dry Density (pcf): 100.7	LL:	PL: PI:	Gs: 2.94
Organic Content (%):	Initial Height (in.): 0.785	Diameter (in.): 2.504	e_o= 0.823	
Preconsolidation Pressure (Pc): 1.0 tsf	Compression Index (Cc): 0.08	Recompression Index (Cr): ≅ 0.01		

Remarks:

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Consolidation Log of Time Curves



Project: Polymet #23/69-862-023B			Date: 10/26/07
Sample #:	Boring #: 07-21	Depth ft: 82-84 (Top)	Job #: 6251

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Void Ratio and % Settlement vs. Log of Pressure



Project: Polymet #23/69-862-023B			Date: 10/30/07
Sample #:	Boring #: 07-22	Depth ft: 138-140	Job #: 6251
Soil Type: Slimes (Silt (ML))			
Initial W/C (%): 32.0	Dry Density (pcf): 95.8	LL: PL: PI:	Gs: 3.00
Organic Content (%):	Initial Height (in.): 0.750	Diameter (in.): 2.503	e_o= 0.955
Preconsolidation Pressure (Pc): 0.91 tsf	Compression Index (Cc): 0.10	Recompression Index (Cr): ≅ 0.015	
Remarks:			

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Square Root of Time Curves



Project: Polymet #23/69-862-023B			Date: 10/30/07
Sample #:	Boring #: 07-22	Depth ft: 138-140	Job #: 6251

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Void Ratio and % Settlement vs. Log of Pressure



Project:	Polymet #23/69-862-023B								Date:	10/31/07
Sample #:		Boring #: 07-23		Depth ft: 26-28					Job #:	6251
Soil Type: Slimes (Silt (ML))										
Initial W/C (%):	42.2	Dry Density (pcf): 80.9		LL:	37.9	PL:	27.6	PI:	10.3	Gs: 2.94
Organic Content (%):		Initial Height (in.):	0.785	Diameter (in.):	2.504			e_o=	1.268	
Preconsolidation Pressure (Pc):		0.38 tsf		Compression Index (Cc):		0.17		Recompression Index (Cr):		≅ 0.02
Remarks:										

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Consolidation Log of Time Curves



Project: Polymet #23/69-862-023B			Date: 10/31/07
Sample #:	Boring #: 07-23	Depth ft: 26-28	Job #: 6251

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Laboratory Test Summary

Job No. 6251

Date: 10-15-07

Project / Client: PolyMet - #23/69-862-023B / Barr Engineering Company

Sample Information & Classification		
Boring	07-17	07-17
Depth (ft)	72-74	124-126
Type or BPF	TWT	TWT
Soil Classification ASTM: D2487/2488	Slimes Silt (ML)	Slimes Silt (ML)
Water Content and Dry Density		
Water Content (%)	34.4	34.8
Dry Density (pcf)	90.8	90.5
Atterberg Limits		
Liquid Limit	29.4	
Plastic Limit	21.4	
Plasticity Index	8.0	

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite 107 SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Permeability Test Data

Project: Polymet #23/69-862-023B Date: 10/28/2007

Reported To: Barr Engineering Company Job No.: 6251

Boring No.:	07-21	07-23	07-23	07-23			
Sample No.:							
Depth (ft)	82-84	26-28	26-28	26-28			
Location:							
Sample Type:	TWT	TWT	TWT	TWT			
Soil Type:	Slimes Silt (ML)	Slimes Silt (ML)	Slimes Silt (ML)	Slimes Silt (ML)			
Atterberg Limits							
LL		37.9					
PL		27.6					
PI		10.3					
Permeability Test		A	B	C			
Before Test Conditions: Saturation %:							
Porosity:							
Ht. (in):	1.96	2.50					
Dia. (in):	2.88	2.88					
Dry Density (pcf):	105.9	85.8					
Water Content:	24.5%	38.4%					
Test Type:	Falling	Falling	Falling	Falling			
Max Head (ft):	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0			
Confining press. (Effective-psi):	36.0	13.9	27.9	41.7			
Trial No.:	12-16	14-18	6-10	6-10			
Water Temp °C:	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0			
% Compaction							
% Saturation (After Test)	97.4%			96.8%			
Coefficient of Permeability							
K @ 20 °C (cm/sec)	**3.2×10^{-6}**	**6.2×10^{-7}**	**5.7×10^{-7}**	**5.3×10^{-7}**			
K @ 20 °C (ft/min)	**6.3×10^{-6}**	**1.2×10^{-6}**	**1.1×10^{-6}**	**1.0×10^{-6}**			
Notes:							

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite 107 SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6251-B**
Date: **12/28/07**

Project: **Polymet**
Boring #: **TP-2** Sample #: Type: **Reconstituted** Depth (ft): **15**
Soil Type: **Slimes (Silt (ML))**









Failure Criterion:	Max. Deviator Stress				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ =	43.9 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.00 (tsf)				
Test Date: 12/12/07	Liquid Limit:				
Test Type: CD	Plastic Limit:				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.004	Plasticity Index:				
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.101	Spec. Gravity : 3.01				
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94	1.94	1.94
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98	3.98	3.98
Water Content (%)	23.8	24.9	24.1	24.0	25.2
Dry Density (pcf)	102.2	101.1	103.8	104.1	104.8
Void Ratio	0.84	0.86	0.81	0.81	0.79
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.91	1.90	1.92	1.90	1.88
Height (in)	3.96	3.96	3.92	3.94	3.93
Water Content (%)	25.7	25.2	24.3	23.7	21.5
Dry Density (pcf)	106.0	106.9	108.6	109.6	114.0
Void Ratio	0.77	0.76	0.73	0.71	0.65
Back Pressure (tsf)					
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.50	1.00	2.00	4.00	8.00
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	2.23	4.69	9.35	17.25	36.51
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	1.76	3.77	7.35	14.8	31.135
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	2.23	4.69	9.35	17.25	36.51
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)					
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"					
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	5.0	5.6	6.9	5.6	7.3

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks:





Total ϕ': 43.9 ° c= -0.01 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6251-B**
Date: **12/28/07**

Project: **Polymet**
Boring #: **TP-2** Sample #: Type: **Reconstituted** Depth (ft): **15**
Soil Type: **Slimes (Silt (ML))**









Failure Criterion:	**Given Strain of: 15%**
Angle of internal friction, ϕ =	**41.3 °**
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	**0.00 (tsf)**

Test Date:	12/12/07	Liquid Limit:	
Test Type:	CD	Plastic Limit:	
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.004	Plasticity Index:	
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.101	Spec. Gravity :	3.01

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94	1.94	1.94
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98	3.98	3.98
Water Content (%)	23.8	24.9	24.1	24.0	25.2
Dry Density (pcf)	102.2	101.1	103.8	104.1	104.8
Void Ratio	0.84	0.86	0.81	0.81	0.79
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.91	1.90	1.92	1.90	1.88
Height (in)	3.96	3.96	3.92	3.94	3.93
Water Content (%)	25.7	25.2	24.3	23.7	21.5
Dry Density (pcf)	106.0	106.9	108.6	109.6	114.0
Void Ratio	0.77	0.76	0.73	0.71	0.65
Back Pressure (tsf)					
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.50	1.00	2.00	4.00	8.00
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	2.23	4.69	9.35	17.25	36.51
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	1.76	3.77	7.35	14.8	31.135
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	1.83	3.94	7.89	15.29	31.14
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)					
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"					
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks:





Total ϕ': 41.3 ° c= -0.01 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6251**
Date: **10/26/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-023B**
Boring #: **07-22** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **116-118**
Soil Type: **Slimes (Silt (ML))**



Failure Criterion:	**Max. Stress Ratio**
Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =**	**43.8 °**
Apparent Cohesion, **c' =**	**0.04 (tsf)**
Test Date: 10/22/07	Liquid Limit:
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.004	Plasticity Index:
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.101	Spec. Gravity (Assumed): 3.00

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	35.3	33.7	37.1		
Dry Density (pcf)	89.6	91.7	86.9		
Void Ratio	1.09	1.04	1.16		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.93	1.91	1.91		
Height (in)	3.95	3.95	3.92		
Water Content (%)	34.4	32.1	34.8		
Dry Density (pcf)	92.2	95.5	91.6		
Void Ratio	1.03	0.96	1.04		
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.00	2.00	3.00		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	7.65	9.59	10.33		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	7.65	9.18	9.86		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	2.22	4.89	7.43		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.77	1.42	2.14		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	5.3	7.1	10.2		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen extruded directly into trimming mold, membrane applied; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 34.7 ° a = 0.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	43.8 °	c'= 0.04 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	34.5 °	c= 0.00 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6251**
Date: **10/26/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-023B**
Boring #: **07-22** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **116-118**
Soil Type: **Slimes (Silt (ML))**



◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Pore Pressure				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	38.2 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.03 (tsf)				
Test Date: 10/22/07	Liquid Limit:				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.004	Plasticity Index:				
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.101	Spec. Gravity (Assumed):	3.00			
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	35.3	33.7	37.1		
Dry Density (pcf)	89.6	91.7	86.9		
Void Ratio	1.09	1.04	1.16		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.93	1.91	1.91		
Height (in)	3.95	3.95	3.92		
Water Content (%)	34.4	32.1	34.8		
Dry Density (pcf)	92.2	95.5	91.6		
Void Ratio	1.03	0.96	1.04		
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.00	2.00	3.00		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	7.65	9.59	10.33		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	7.65	9.18	9.86		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	0.97	1.84	2.99		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.77	1.42	2.14		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	1.9	1.9	2.6		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen extruded directly into trimming mold, membrane applied; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure	
α = 31.7 °	a = 0.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ': 38.2 °	c'=	0.03 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ': 19.7 °	c=	0.00 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6251**
Date: **10/26/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-023B**
Boring #: **07-22** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **116-118**
Soil Type: **Slimes (Silt (ML))**



Failure Criterion:	**Max. Deviator Stress**
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	**44.5 °**
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	**0.00 (tsf)**
Test Date: 10/22/07	Liquid Limit:
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.004	Plasticity Index:
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.101	Spec. Gravity (Assumed): 3.00

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	35.3	33.7	37.1		
Dry Density (pcf)	89.6	91.7	86.9		
Void Ratio	1.09	1.04	1.16		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.93	1.91	1.91		
Height (in)	3.95	3.95	3.92		
Water Content (%)	34.4	32.1	34.8		
Dry Density (pcf)	92.2	95.5	91.6		
Void Ratio	1.03	0.96	1.04		
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.00	2.00	3.00		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	7.65	9.59	10.33		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	7.65	9.18	9.86		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	7.65	9.59	10.33		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.77	1.42	2.14		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	29.8	20.3	19.1		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen extruded directly into trimming mold, membrane applied; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure	
α = 35.0 °	a = -0.3 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ': 44.5 °	c'=	0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ': 24.1 °	c=	2.09 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6251**
Date: **10/26/07**

Project: **Polymet #23/69-862-023B**
Boring #: **07-22** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **116-118**
Soil Type: **Slimes (Silt (ML))**



Failure Criterion:	**Given Strain of: 15%**
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	**43.6 °**
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	**0.00 (tsf)**
Test Date: 10/22/07	Liquid Limit:
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.004	Plasticity Index:
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.101	Spec. Gravity (Assumed): 3.00

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	35.3	33.7	37.1		
Dry Density (pcf)	89.6	91.7	86.9		
Void Ratio	1.09	1.04	1.16		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.93	1.91	1.91		
Height (in)	3.95	3.95	3.92		
Water Content (%)	34.4	32.1	34.8		
Dry Density (pcf)	92.2	95.5	91.6		
Void Ratio	1.03	0.96	1.04		
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.00	2.00	3.00		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	7.65	9.59	10.33		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	7.65	9.18	9.86		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	6.28	8.89	9.39		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.77	1.42	2.14		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	15.0	15.0	15.0		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen extruded directly into trimming mold, membrane applied; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 34.6 ° a = 0.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	43.6 °	c'= 0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	26.9 °	c= 1.49 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Job No. 6251

Boring #: 07-22 Depth (ft): 116-118 Date: 10/26/07

Sample 1			Sample 2			Sample 3			Sample 4			Sample 5		
Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						
0.13	0.37	0.26	0.13	0.71	0.49	0.13	0.99	0.62						
0.26	0.51	0.43	0.25	0.98	0.80	0.26	1.42	1.05						
0.38	0.57	0.53	0.38	1.12	0.98	0.38	1.67	1.32						
0.51	0.63	0.60	0.51	1.22	1.11	0.51	1.85	1.54						
0.63	0.66	0.65	0.63	1.29	1.20	0.64	1.96	1.69						
0.76	0.69	0.68	0.76	1.34	1.26	0.77	2.05	1.79						
0.89	0.72	0.70	0.89	1.40	1.31	0.89	2.13	1.87						
1.01	0.74	0.72	1.01	1.44	1.34	1.02	2.20	1.93						
1.14	0.77	0.74	1.14	1.50	1.37	1.15	2.27	1.98						
1.27	0.81	0.75	1.27	1.55	1.39	1.28	2.34	2.02						
1.39	0.83	0.75	1.39	1.61	1.40	1.40	2.40	2.05						
1.52	0.86	0.76	1.52	1.66	1.41	1.53	2.46	2.07						
1.65	0.90	0.76	1.65	1.71	1.42	1.66	2.53	2.09						
1.77	0.93	0.77	1.77	1.77	1.42	1.79	2.59	2.11						
1.90	0.97	0.77	1.90	1.84	1.42	1.91	2.65	2.12						
2.03	1.00	0.77	2.03	1.90	1.42	2.04	2.72	2.13						
2.15	1.04	0.76	2.15	1.95	1.42	2.17	2.79	2.13						
2.28	1.08	0.76	2.28	2.02	1.42	2.30	2.85	2.14						
2.41	1.12	0.76	2.41	2.08	1.41	2.42	2.92	2.14						
2.53	1.16	0.76	2.53	2.15	1.41	2.55	2.99	2.14						
2.79	1.24	0.75	2.79	2.28	1.39	2.81	3.13	2.14						
3.04	1.32	0.74	3.04	2.42	1.38	3.06	3.27	2.13						
3.30	1.41	0.73	3.29	2.56	1.36	3.32	3.39	2.12						
3.55	1.49	0.71	3.55	2.70	1.33	3.57	3.55	2.10						
3.80	1.59	0.70	3.80	2.86	1.31	3.83	3.69	2.09						
4.06	1.68	0.68	4.05	3.00	1.28	4.08	3.84	2.07						
4.56	1.89	0.64	4.56	3.31	1.23	4.59	4.15	2.03						
5.07	2.11	0.60	5.06	3.62	1.16	5.10	4.45	1.99						
5.32	2.22	0.58	5.57	3.92	1.10	5.61	4.74	1.94						
5.57	2.35	0.56	6.08	4.25	1.03	6.12	5.04	1.89						
5.83	2.46	0.54	6.58	4.57	0.96	6.63	5.34	1.83						
6.08	2.58	0.51	7.09	4.89	0.88	7.14	5.65	1.78						
6.33	2.72	0.49	7.60	5.21	0.81	7.65	5.95	1.72						
6.59	2.85	0.46	8.10	5.54	0.73	8.16	6.25	1.67						
6.84	2.97	0.43	8.61	5.86	0.65	8.67	6.55	1.61						
7.09	3.12	0.40	9.12	6.19	0.58	9.18	6.84	1.55						
7.35	3.25	0.37	9.62	6.49	0.50	9.69	7.13	1.49						
7.60	3.38	0.35	10.13	6.80	0.42	10.20	7.43	1.43						
8.11	3.65	0.28	11.39	7.55	0.22	11.48	8.13	1.27						
8.61	3.94	0.22	12.66	8.25	0.03	12.75	8.79	1.12						
9.12	4.20	0.16	13.93	8.89	-0.16	14.03	9.39	0.96						
9.63	4.47	0.09	15.19	9.33	-0.33	15.30	9.90	0.80						
10.14	4.74	0.02	16.46	9.44	-0.42	16.58	10.22	0.67						
11.40	5.44	-0.15	17.72	9.49	-0.48	17.85	10.30	0.60						
12.67	6.01	-0.33	18.99	9.59	-0.52	19.13	10.33	0.55						
13.93	6.28	-0.45	20.26	9.59	-0.57	20.40	10.32	0.50						
15.20	6.52	-0.53	21.52	9.52	-0.57	21.68	10.20	0.48						
16.47	6.76	-0.62	22.79	9.42	-0.56	22.95	10.10	0.48						
17.73	6.90	-0.68	24.05	9.26	-0.54	24.23	10.06	0.46						
19.00	7.01	-0.75	25.32	9.16	-0.51	25.50	9.95	0.45						
20.27	7.12	-0.80	26.59	9.11	-0.49	26.36	9.86	0.46						
21.53	7.20	-0.85	27.85	9.10	-0.49									
22.80	7.25	-0.88	29.12	9.14	-0.48									
25.34	7.38	-0.93	30.04	9.18	-0.49									
27.87	7.55	-0.96												
29.82	7.65	-0.98												

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6251**
Date: **10/30/07**

Project: **Polymet - #23/69-862-024B**
Boring #: **SB-23** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **26 - 28**
Soil Type: **Slimes, Silt (ML)**



Failure Criterion: **Max. Stress Ratio**
Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =** **34.5 °**
Apparent Cohesion, **c' =** **0.29 (tsf)**

Test Date:	10/10/07	Liquid Limit:	37.9
Test Type:	CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:	27.6
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.0039	Plasticity Index:	10.3
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.101	Spec. Gravity (Actual):	2.94

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	40.2	43.7	44.2		
Dry Density (pcf)	83.3	79.7	79.4		
Void Ratio	1.20	1.30	1.31		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.96	1.91		
Height (in)	3.84	3.72	3.85		
Water Content (%)	38.3	40.1	39.1		
Dry Density (pcf)	86.4	84.3	85.4		
Void Ratio	1.12	1.18	1.15		
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.76	5.76	5.76		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.00	2.00	3.00		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	4.33	4.43	4.65		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	4.26	4.42	4.56		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	2.33	3.32	4.23		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.70	1.38	2.10		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	7.8	9.7	13.0		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen trimmed to given sizes; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 29.5 ° a = 0.2 (tsf)

---------- Effective ϕ': 34.5 ° c'= 0.29 (tsf)
_____ Total ϕ': 18.8 ° c= 0.50 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107
OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.
Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6251**
Date: **10/30/07**

Project: **Polymet - #23/69-862-024B**
Boring #: **SB-23** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **26 - 28**
Soil Type: **Slimes, Silt (ML)**



Failure Criterion:	**Max. Pore Pressure**
Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =**	**34.9 °**
Apparent Cohesion, **c' =**	**0.08 (tsf)**

Test Date:	10/10/07	Liquid Limit:	37.9
Test Type:	CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:	27.6
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.0039	Plasticity Index:	10.3
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.101	Spec. Gravity (Actual):	2.94

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	40.2	43.7	44.2		
Dry Density (pcf)	83.3	79.7	79.4		
Void Ratio	1.20	1.30	1.31		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.96	1.91		
Height (in)	3.84	3.72	3.85		
Water Content (%)	38.3	40.1	39.1		
Dry Density (pcf)	86.4	84.3	85.4		
Void Ratio	1.12	1.18	1.15		
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.76	5.76	5.76		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.00	2.00	3.00		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	4.33	4.43	4.65		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	4.26	4.42	4.56		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	1.06	2.11	2.62		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.70	1.38	2.10		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	2.6	3.8	4.2		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen trimmed to given sizes; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 29.8 ° a = 0.1 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	34.9 °	c'= 0.08 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	16.4 °	c= 0.13 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6251**
Date: **10/30/07**

Project: **Polymet - #23/69-862-024B**
Boring #: **SB-23** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **26 - 28**
Soil Type: **Slimes, Silt (ML)**







Failure Criterion:	**Max. Deviator Stress**
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	**20.1 °**
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	**1.11 (tsf)**

Test Date:	10/10/07	Liquid Limit:	37.9
Test Type:	CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:	27.6
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.0039	Plasticity Index:	10.3
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.101	Spec. Gravity (Actual):	2.94

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	40.2	43.7	44.2		
Dry Density (pcf)	83.3	79.7	79.4		
Void Ratio	1.20	1.30	1.31		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.96	1.91		
Height (in)	3.84	3.72	3.85		
Water Content (%)	38.3	40.1	39.1		
Dry Density (pcf)	86.4	84.3	85.4		
Void Ratio	1.12	1.18	1.15		
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.76	5.76	5.76		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.00	2.00	3.00		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	4.33	4.43	4.65		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	4.26	4.42	4.56		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	4.33	4.43	4.65		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.70	1.38	2.10		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	18.2	17.5	18.2		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen trimmed to given sizes; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 18.9 ° a = 1.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	20.1 °	c'= 1.11 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	4.3 °	c= 1.92 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107
OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.
Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6251**
Date: **10/30/07**

Project: **Polymet - #23/69-862-024B**
Boring #: **SB-23** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **26 - 28**
Soil Type: **Slimes, Silt (ML)**



Failure Criterion:	**Given Strain of: 15%**
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	**22.5 °**
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	**0.94 (tsf)**

Test Date:	10/10/07	Liquid Limit:	37.9
Test Type:	CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:	27.6
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.0039	Plasticity Index:	10.3
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.101	Spec. Gravity (Actual):	2.94

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94		
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98		
Water Content (%)	40.2	43.7	44.2		
Dry Density (pcf)	83.3	79.7	79.4		
Void Ratio	1.20	1.30	1.31		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.96	1.91		
Height (in)	3.84	3.72	3.85		
Water Content (%)	38.3	40.1	39.1		
Dry Density (pcf)	86.4	84.3	85.4		
Void Ratio	1.12	1.18	1.15		
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.76	5.76	5.76		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.00	2.00	3.00		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	4.33	4.43	4.65		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	4.26	4.42	4.56		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	3.97	4.22	4.41		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.70	1.38	2.10		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	15.0	15.0	15.0		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen trimmed to given sizes; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 20.9 ° a = 0.9 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	22.5 °	c'= 0.94 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	5.7 °	c= 1.70 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Job No.: 6251

Project: Polymet - #23/69-862-024B

Test Type: CU w/pp

Boring No.: SB-23, Depth (ft.): 26 - 28

Sample 1			Sample 2			Sample 3		
Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.26	0.58	0.27	0.27	0.31	0.18	0.26	1.43	0.79
0.52	0.70	0.45	0.54	0.96	0.36	0.52	1.80	1.24
0.78	0.76	0.55	0.81	1.42	0.82	0.78	1.97	1.53
1.04	0.80	0.61	1.08	1.57	1.03	1.04	2.05	1.69
1.30	0.84	0.64	1.34	1.65	1.15	1.30	2.12	1.81
1.56	0.88	0.67	1.61	1.70	1.22	1.56	2.17	1.89
1.82	0.93	0.68	1.88	1.76	1.28	1.82	2.21	1.95
2.08	0.97	0.69	2.15	1.81	1.31	2.08	2.25	2.00
2.34	1.02	0.70	2.42	1.85	1.34	2.34	2.30	2.03
2.60	1.06	0.70	2.69	1.90	1.35	2.59	2.34	2.06
3.12	1.17	0.70	3.22	2.01	1.37	3.11	2.43	2.09
3.64	1.28	0.69	3.76	2.11	1.38	3.63	2.52	2.10
4.16	1.39	0.68	4.30	2.22	1.37	4.15	2.62	2.10
4.68	1.51	0.66	4.84	2.33	1.36	4.67	2.71	2.10
5.20	1.64	0.64	5.37	2.43	1.35	5.19	2.81	2.09
5.72	1.77	0.62	5.91	2.54	1.33	5.71	2.91	2.08
6.24	1.90	0.59	6.45	2.65	1.31	6.23	3.01	2.07
6.77	2.04	0.57	6.99	2.76	1.29	6.75	3.11	2.07
7.28	2.19	0.54	7.52	2.87	1.27	7.26	3.21	2.05
7.80	2.33	0.51	8.06	2.98	1.25	7.78	3.31	2.03
8.33	2.48	0.48	8.60	3.10	1.22	8.30	3.40	1.99
8.85	2.63	0.44	9.14	3.21	1.19	8.82	3.51	1.97
9.37	2.77	0.41	9.67	3.32	1.17	9.34	3.60	1.95
9.89	2.93	0.38	10.21	3.43	1.14	9.86	3.70	1.93
10.41	3.07	0.34	10.75	3.54	1.11	10.38	3.79	1.90
11.71	3.43	0.24	12.09	3.80	1.03	11.68	4.02	1.84
13.01	3.73	0.15	13.43	4.03	0.96	12.97	4.23	1.78
14.31	3.97	0.07	14.78	4.22	0.89	14.27	4.41	1.72
15.61	4.17	0.00	16.12	4.35	0.83	15.57	4.54	1.66
16.91	4.33	-0.06	17.46	4.43	0.78	16.86	4.61	1.61
18.21	4.33	-0.12	18.81	4.42	0.75	18.16	4.65	1.57
19.51	4.30	-0.17				20.75	4.56	1.55
20.81	4.26	-0.21						

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	5.9435	5.9436	5.8724	0.071056	0.07115	1.0013
2	0.012483	0	0	2.9681	5.95	5.9471	5.8736	0.076372	0.073499	0.96239
3	0.054133	0	0.003322	2.9681	5.9734	5.9723	5.8759	0.097501	0.096388	0.98859
4	0.10003	0	0.0052598	2.9681	5.9675	5.9694	5.8724	0.095077	0.096972	1.0199
5	0.25027	-0.00091637	0.0077513	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8724	0.096714	0.098732	1.0209
6	0.50095	0	0.0099659	2.9681	5.9659	5.9712	5.8736	0.092329	0.09756	1.0567
7	1.0023	0.0018327	0.014118	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8713	0.097887	0.099905	1.0206
8	2.0041	0.0045818	0.020209	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.873	0.096674	0.098733	1.0213
9	4.0032	0.0082473	0.029621	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
10	6.0022	0.0082473	0.036542	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8718	0.097301	0.099318	1.0207
11	8.0012	0.0091637	0.042632	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8713	0.097887	0.099905	1.0206
12	10	0.01008	0.047892	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8707	0.098473	0.10049	1.0205
13	12.004	0.01008	0.051767	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8718	0.097301	0.099318	1.0207
14	14.003	0.01008	0.056473	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8707	0.098473	0.10049	1.0205
15	16.003	0.010996	0.060903	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8724	0.096714	0.098732	1.0209
16	18.003	0.012829	0.065332	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8718	0.097301	0.099318	1.0207
17	20.003	0.012829	0.068377	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212
18	22.003	0.012829	0.072253	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8718	0.097301	0.099318	1.0207
19	24.003	0.012829	0.074744	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8724	0.096714	0.098732	1.0209
20	26.003	0.012829	0.078066	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
21	28.003	0.013745	0.080004	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8718	0.097301	0.099318	1.0207
22	30.003	0.013745	0.083049	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212
23	32.002	0.013745	0.085264	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
24	34.002	0.013745	0.087478	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8718	0.097301	0.099318	1.0207
25	36.003	0.021076	0.090247	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212
26	38.003	0.021993	0.093569	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8713	0.097887	0.099905	1.0206
27	40.002	0.021993	0.095507	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212
28	42.002	0.021076	0.096891	2.9681	5.9729	5.9717	5.8724	0.10047	0.099319	0.98852
29	44.003	0.02016	0.099936	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8707	0.098473	0.10049	1.0205
30	46.003	0.021993	0.1016	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212
31	48.002	0.021993	0.10326	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8718	0.097301	0.099318	1.0207
32	50.002	0.023826	0.1052	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
33	52.002	0.023826	0.10686	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
34	54.002	0.023826	0.10852	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
35	56.001	0.024742	0.10962	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212
36	58.001	0.024742	0.11184	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8707	0.099019	0.10108	1.0208
37	60.001	0.024742	0.11267	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
38	62	0.024742	0.11461	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212
39	64.003	0.024742	0.11627	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
40	66.002	0.022909	0.11655	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
41	68.001	0.024742	0.11848	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8718	0.097301	0.099318	1.0207
42	70	0.024742	0.12097	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212
43	72.003	0.025658	0.12208	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212
44	74.002	0.026575	0.12319	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212
45	76.001	0.026575	0.12513	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
46	78.001	0.026575	0.12596	2.9681	5.9659	5.9712	5.8718	0.094088	0.099318	1.0556
47	80	0.026575	0.12762	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
48	82.004	0.025658	0.12873	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212
49	84.003	0.025658	0.12983	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
50	86.002	0.026575	0.13122	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
51	88.002	0.025658	0.13232	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8713	0.098433	0.10049	1.0209
52	90.002	0.026575	0.13316	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
53	92.001	0.026575	0.13316	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212
54	94	0.026575	0.13537	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
55	96.004	0.027491	0.13592	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
56	98.003	0.027491	0.13703	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
57	100	0.026575	0.13731	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
58	102	0.026575	0.13925	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8718	0.097301	0.099318	1.0207
59	104	0.026575	0.14008	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8718	0.097301	0.099318	1.0207
60	106	0.026575	0.14035	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212
61	108	0.026575	0.14229	2.9681	5.9702	5.9723	5.8718	0.098392	0.10049	1.0213
62	110	0.025658	0.14229	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8713	0.098433	0.10049	1.0209
63	112	0.026575	0.14257	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8718	0.097301	0.099318	1.0207
64	114	0.026575	0.14395	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
65	116	0.026575	0.14478	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
66	118	0.026575	0.14534	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
67	120	0.026575	0.14644	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
68	122	0.026575	0.14644	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
69	124	0.026575	0.14783	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
70	126	0.026575	0.14866	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212
71	128	0.027491	0.14866	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
72	130	0.026575	0.15032	2.9681	5.9702	5.9723	5.8724	0.097806	0.099906	1.0215
73	132	0.026575	0.15115	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
74	134	0.026575	0.15143	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
75	136	0.026575	0.15281	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
76	138	0.026575	0.15336	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212
77	140	0.027491	0.15392	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
78	142	0.026575	0.15475	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
79	144	0.027491	0.15558	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
80	146	0.027491	0.15641	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
81	148	0.027491	0.15696	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8718	0.097301	0.099318	1.0207
82	150	0.027491	0.15724	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
83	152	0.027491	0.15752	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
84	154	0.027491	0.15862	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
85	156	0.027491	0.15973	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
86	158	0.027491	0.16056	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
87	160	0.027491	0.16112	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
88	162	0.027491	0.16195	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
89	164	0.027491	0.16222	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8718	0.097301	0.099318	1.0207
90	166	0.027491	0.1625	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212
91	168	0.027491	0.16305	2.9681	5.9665	5.9717	5.8724	0.094048	0.099319	1.0561
92	170	0.027491	0.16361	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212

93	172	0.027491	0.16471	2.9681	5.9729	5.9717	5.8718	0.10106	0.099905	0.98858
94	174	0.026575	0.16527	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8718	0.097301	0.099318	1.0207
95	176	0.026575	0.1661	2.9681	5.9702	5.9723	5.8718	0.098392	0.10049	1.0213
96	178	0.026575	0.1661	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
97	180	0.026575	0.16721	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
98	182	0.027491	0.16748	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
99	184	0.027491	0.16831	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
100	186	0.027491	0.16887	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
101	188	0.027491	0.16942	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
102	190	0.027491	0.16914	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8724	0.09726	0.099319	1.0212
103	192	0.028407	0.1697	2.9681	5.9691	5.9712	5.8724	0.096714	0.098732	1.0209
104	194	0.028407	0.1708	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
105	196	0.028407	0.17053	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021
106	196.31	0.028407	0.17163	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8718	0.097846	0.099905	1.021

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	5.9697	5.9717	5.8713	0.098433	0.10049	1.0209
2	0.0125	0	0.00027683	2.9681	5.9958	5.9964	5.8836	0.11226	0.11283	1.005
3	0.050483	0.00091637	0.016056	2.9681	6.0948	6.1097	5.8836	0.2112	0.22609	1.0705
4	0.10062	0.00091637	0.027406	2.9681	6.0949	6.1167	5.8736	0.22129	0.2431	1.0985
5	0.25085	0.0036655	0.0454	2.9681	6.0976	6.1196	5.8748	0.22285	0.24486	1.0988
6	0.50172	0.012829	0.069761	2.9681	6.1078	6.1202	5.8765	0.23127	0.24369	1.0537
7	1.0034	0.026575	0.10879	2.9681	6.1099	6.119	5.8759	0.23398	0.2431	1.039
8	2.0013	0.053149	0.17053	2.9681	6.1105	6.1196	5.8742	0.23629	0.24545	1.0388
9	4.001	0.092553	0.26465	2.9681	6.1142	6.1202	5.8742	0.24004	0.24603	1.0249
10	6.0009	0.11271	0.33773	2.9681	6.1142	6.1202	5.8748	0.23946	0.24545	1.025
11	8.0008	0.12279	0.39753	2.9681	6.1142	6.1202	5.8724	0.2418	0.24779	1.0248
12	10	0.13379	0.44625	2.9681	6.1148	6.1208	5.873	0.24176	0.24779	1.0249
13	12.003	0.15028	0.48778	2.9681	6.118	6.1208	5.8724	0.24556	0.24838	1.0115
14	14.002	0.16586	0.52266	2.9681	6.1148	6.1208	5.8724	0.24235	0.24838	1.0249
15	16.001	0.17503	0.55255	2.9681	6.1153	6.1214	5.8718	0.24348	0.24955	1.0249
16	18	0.18327	0.57802	2.9681	6.1153	6.1214	5.8724	0.24289	0.24896	1.025
17	20.002	0.19335	0.60017	2.9681	6.1153	6.1214	5.8736	0.24172	0.24779	1.0251
18	22.001	0.20068	0.61927	2.9681	6.1185	6.1214	5.8718	0.24669	0.24955	1.0116
19	24.001	0.20801	0.6356	2.9681	6.1191	6.122	5.8736	0.24548	0.24838	1.0118
20	26.001	0.2126	0.65027	2.9681	6.1191	6.122	5.8724	0.24665	0.24955	1.0118
21	28	0.21443	0.66329	2.9681	6.1185	6.1214	5.8701	0.24845	0.25131	1.0115
22	30	0.2181	0.67464	2.9681	6.1185	6.1214	5.8713	0.24728	0.25014	1.0116
23	32.004	0.21993	0.68488	2.9681	6.1185	6.1214	5.8718	0.24669	0.24955	1.0116
24	34.004	0.22451	0.69429	2.9681	6.1185	6.1214	5.8724	0.24611	0.24896	1.0116
25	36.003	0.22818	0.70204	2.9681	6.1191	6.122	5.8718	0.24724	0.25014	1.0117
26	38.003	0.23001	0.71007	2.9681	6.1191	6.122	5.8724	0.24665	0.24955	1.0118
27	40.003	0.23092	0.71727	2.9681	6.1185	6.1214	5.8707	0.24787	0.25072	1.0115
28	42.002	0.23184	0.72336	2.9681	6.1191	6.122	5.8724	0.24665	0.24955	1.0118
29	44.002	0.23367	0.72889	2.9681	6.1185	6.1214	5.8718	0.24669	0.24955	1.0116
30	46.002	0.23459	0.73443	2.9681	6.1191	6.122	5.8724	0.24665	0.24955	1.0118
31	48.002	0.23551	0.74052	2.9681	6.1185	6.1214	5.8701	0.24845	0.25131	1.0115
32	50.001	0.23551	0.74495	2.9681	6.1191	6.122	5.8707	0.24841	0.25131	1.0117
33	52.001	0.23734	0.74883	2.9681	6.1191	6.122	5.8718	0.24724	0.25014	1.0117
34	54.004	0.23917	0.75298	2.9681	6.1191	6.122	5.8724	0.24665	0.24955	1.0118
35	56.003	0.24009	0.75796	2.9681	6.1223	6.122	5.8718	0.25045	0.25014	0.99875
36	58.002	0.24009	0.76267	2.9681	6.1191	6.122	5.8713	0.24783	0.25072	1.0117
37	60.001	0.24009	0.76544	2.9681	6.1191	6.122	5.8724	0.24665	0.24955	1.0118
38	60.282	0.24009	0.76682	2.9681	6.1191	6.122	5.8707	0.24841	0.25131	1.0117

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 3

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.1191	6.122	5.8707	0.24841	0.25131	1.0117
2	0.012483	0	0.00027683	2.9681	6.1611	6.1636	5.89	0.27104	0.27363	1.0096
3	0.050533	0	0.025192	2.9681	6.3415	6.3749	5.8842	0.45737	0.49076	1.073
4	0.10062	0.0027491	0.039587	2.9681	6.3268	6.3626	5.8736	0.45324	0.48899	1.0789
5	0.25083	0.013745	0.069208	2.9681	6.343	6.3661	5.873	0.46996	0.49309	1.0492
6	0.5017	0.028407	0.10741	2.9681	6.3559	6.3696	5.8748	0.48111	0.49486	1.0286
7	1.0034	0.063229	0.16748	2.9681	6.3548	6.3685	5.8759	0.47885	0.49251	1.0285
8	2.001	0.096218	0.25967	2.9681	6.3602	6.3708	5.8754	0.48483	0.49544	1.0219
9	4.0042	0.12921	0.39338	2.9681	6.3655	6.3696	5.8742	0.49133	0.49544	1.0084
10	6.0032	0.16953	0.48916	2.9681	6.365	6.369	5.8742	0.49079	0.49486	1.0083
11	8.0023	0.1961	0.5603	2.9681	6.3661	6.3702	5.8748	0.49129	0.49544	1.0084
12	10.001	0.20985	0.61401	2.9681	6.3666	6.3708	5.8736	0.49301	0.4972	1.0085
13	12.001	0.21901	0.65637	2.9681	6.3677	6.372	5.8742	0.49352	0.49779	1.0087
14	14.004	0.23551	0.68958	2.9681	6.3693	6.3702	5.8736	0.49568	0.49662	1.0019
15	16.003	0.24009	0.71588	2.9681	6.3672	6.3714	5.8718	0.49532	0.49955	1.0085
16	18.002	0.24834	0.73748	2.9681	6.3672	6.3714	5.873	0.49415	0.49838	1.0086
17	20.001	0.25475	0.75575	2.9681	6.3672	6.3714	5.8713	0.4959	0.50013	1.0085
18	22	0.2575	0.77097	2.9681	6.3709	6.372	5.8724	0.49849	0.49955	1.0021
19	24.003	0.26025	0.78426	2.9681	6.3709	6.372	5.8713	0.49966	0.50072	1.0021
20	26.002	0.263	0.79533	2.9681	6.3677	6.372	5.873	0.49469	0.49896	1.0086
21	28.001	0.26483	0.80585	2.9681	6.3704	6.3714	5.8724	0.49794	0.49896	1.002
22	30	0.26575	0.81444	2.9681	6.3704	6.3714	5.8724	0.49794	0.49896	1.002
23	32.004	0.26666	0.82274	2.9681	6.3704	6.3714	5.8713	0.49912	0.50013	1.002
24	34.003	0.2685	0.83021	2.9681	6.3709	6.372	5.8718	0.49908	0.50013	1.0021
25	36.002	0.27124	0.83769	2.9681	6.3709	6.372	5.8713	0.49966	0.50072	1.0021
26	38.001	0.27583	0.8435	2.9681	6.3704	6.3714	5.8724	0.49794	0.49896	1.002
27	40.001	0.27674	0.84959	2.9681	6.3677	6.372	5.8724	0.49528	0.49955	1.0086
28	42.004	0.27674	0.85513	2.9681	6.3709	6.372	5.873	0.4979	0.49896	1.0021
29	42.259	0.27674	0.85596	2.9681	6.3704	6.3714	5.8701	0.50029	0.50131	1.002

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 4

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.3672	6.3714	5.8701	0.49708	0.50131	1.0085
2	0.012483	0	0.00083049	2.9681	6.4533	6.4571	5.9012	0.55213	0.55591	1.0068
3	0.050483	0.0027491	0.032112	2.9681	6.7917	6.8485	5.8906	0.90111	0.95789	1.063
4	0.10062	0.01008	0.060072	2.9681	6.7951	6.8555	5.8742	0.92087	0.98135	1.0657
5	0.2509	0.042153	0.11018	2.9681	6.8246	6.8632	5.8736	0.95104	0.98957	1.0405
6	0.50172	0.062313	0.17385	2.9681	6.8558	6.869	5.8759	0.97985	0.99309	1.0135
7	1.0034	0.11363	0.27046	2.9681	6.851	6.8708	5.873	0.978	0.99778	1.0202
8	2.001	0.15761	0.41054	2.9681	6.8563	6.8696	5.8771	0.97923	0.9925	1.0136
9	4.0042	0.21351	0.59574	2.9681	6.8671	6.8708	5.8754	0.99171	0.99544	1.0038
10	6.0032	0.25475	0.70952	2.9681	6.8633	6.8702	5.8742	0.98913	0.99602	1.007
11	8.0023	0.28499	0.78315	2.9681	6.8671	6.8708	5.8724	0.99465	0.99837	1.0037
12	10.001	0.2969	0.83326	2.9681	6.8671	6.8708	5.8718	0.99523	0.99895	1.0037
13	12	0.3134	0.86897	2.9681	6.8708	6.8714	5.8724	0.9984	0.99895	1.0006
14	14.004	0.3189	0.89555	2.9681	6.8708	6.8714	5.873	0.99782	0.99837	1.0006
15	16.003	0.32623	0.91659	2.9681	6.8676	6.8714	5.8707	0.99695	1.0007	1.0038
16	18.002	0.32989	0.93347	2.9681	6.8676	6.8714	5.8718	0.99578	0.99954	1.0038
17	20.001	0.33356	0.94759	2.9681	6.8708	6.8714	5.8724	0.9984	0.99895	1.0006
18	22.004	0.33997	0.96005	2.9681	6.8682	6.872	5.873	0.99515	0.99895	1.0038
19	24.004	0.34364	0.97057	2.9681	6.8708	6.8714	5.873	0.99782	0.99837	1.0006
20	26.003	0.34822	0.97998	2.9681	6.8714	6.872	5.873	0.99836	0.99895	1.0006
21	28.002	0.34914	0.98856	2.9681	6.8708	6.8714	5.8724	0.9984	0.99895	1.0006
22	30.002	0.35005	0.99631	2.9681	6.8708	6.8714	5.8724	0.9984	0.99895	1.0006
23	31.225	0.35005	1.001	2.9681	6.8708	6.8714	5.873	0.99782	0.99837	1.0006

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.5424	6.5433	6.4717	0.070738	0.071645	1.0128
2	0.012567	0	0	2.9681	6.5473	6.5486	6.4746	0.072751	0.073988	1.017
3	0.050067	0	0.0055366	2.9681	6.5746	6.5673	6.4763	0.098313	0.090965	0.92526
4	0.10013	0	0.0096891	2.9681	6.5579	6.5702	6.4728	0.085042	0.097402	1.1453
5	0.25037	0.0011106	0.013288	2.9681	6.5682	6.5708	6.4722	0.095938	0.098573	1.0275
6	0.50105	0.0011106	0.016887	2.9681	6.5687	6.5714	6.4717	0.097072	0.099743	1.0275
7	1.0026	0.0011106	0.021316	2.9681	6.572	6.5714	6.4717	0.10033	0.099743	0.99419
8	2.0002	0.0022211	0.027129	2.9681	6.572	6.5714	6.4722	0.099741	0.099158	0.99416
9	3.002	0.0011106	0.031559	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
10	4.0039	0	0.034327	2.9681	6.5725	6.572	6.4722	0.10029	0.099743	0.99456
11	5.0015	0	0.037372	2.9681	6.5687	6.5714	6.4711	0.097657	0.10033	1.0274
12	6.0033	0.0011106	0.03931	2.9681	6.5687	6.5714	6.4717	0.097072	0.099743	1.0275
13	7.0009	0	0.040971	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
14	8.0027	0	0.042909	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4711	0.098205	0.10091	1.0276
15	9.0003	0.0011106	0.044293	2.9681	6.5687	6.5714	6.4717	0.097072	0.099743	1.0275
16	10.002	0	0.0454	2.9681	6.5687	6.5714	6.4705	0.098242	0.10091	1.0272
17	11.004	0	0.046508	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4722	0.097035	0.099743	1.0279
18	12.001	0	0.047338	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
19	13.003	0.0011106	0.048445	2.9681	6.5687	6.5714	6.4717	0.097072	0.099743	1.0275
20	14.001	0	0.049553	2.9681	6.566	6.572	6.4717	0.094366	0.10033	1.0632
21	15.003	0	0.050383	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
22	16	0	0.051214	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
23	17.002	0	0.05149	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
24	18.004	0	0.052321	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
25	19.001	0	0.053428	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
26	20.003	0	0.053705	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
27	21.001	0	0.054259	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
28	22.002	0.0011106	0.055089	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4722	0.097035	0.099743	1.0279
29	23	0	0.055643	2.9681	6.5725	6.572	6.4717	0.10087	0.10033	0.99459
30	24.002	0	0.056197	2.9681	6.5725	6.572	6.4717	0.10087	0.10033	0.99459
31	25.004	0	0.05675	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
32	26.002	0	0.057304	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
33	27.004	0	0.057858	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
34	28.001	0	0.058411	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
35	29.004	0.0011106	0.059242	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4722	0.097035	0.099743	1.0279
36	30.002	0	0.059242	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
37	31.004	0	0.060072	2.9681	6.5687	6.5714	6.4717	0.097072	0.099743	1.0275
38	32.001	0	0.060072	2.9681	6.566	6.572	6.4717	0.094366	0.10033	1.0632
39	33.004	0.0011106	0.06118	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4705	0.09879	0.1015	1.0274
40	34.001	0.0011106	0.060903	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
41	35.003	0	0.061733	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
42	36.001	0	0.061733	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
43	37.003	0.0011106	0.06201	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
44	38.001	0	0.062287	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
45	39.004	0	0.063117	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
46	40.001	0	0.063394	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
47	41.003	0	0.063948	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
48	42.001	0.0011106	0.063948	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4711	0.098205	0.10091	1.0276
49	43.003	0.0011106	0.064225	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
50	44.001	0.0011106	0.064502	2.9681	6.5687	6.5714	6.4717	0.097072	0.099743	1.0275
51	45.003	0.0011106	0.065055	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
52	46	0.0011106	0.065609	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4711	0.098205	0.10091	1.0276
53	47.002	0	0.066162	2.9681	6.5725	6.572	6.4722	0.10029	0.099743	0.99456
54	48.004	0	0.065886	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
55	49.001	0	0.066716	2.9681	6.566	6.572	6.4717	0.094366	0.10033	1.0632
56	49.916	0	0.066993	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4711	0.098205	0.10091	1.0276

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.5687	6.5714	6.4711	0.097657	0.10033	1.0274
2	0.012483	0	0	2.9681	6.601	6.5954	6.4869	0.11412	0.10853	0.95107
3	0.050483	-0.0022211	0.02187	2.9681	6.7074	6.7054	6.4845	0.22285	0.22092	0.99136
4	0.10062	-0.0033317	0.038756	2.9681	6.7091	6.7142	6.4728	0.23627	0.2414	1.0217
5	0.25083	-0.0033317	0.061733	2.9681	6.7151	6.7172	6.4763	0.23876	0.24082	1.0086
6	0.5017	-0.0033317	0.0908	2.9681	6.7222	6.7213	6.4728	0.24936	0.24843	0.99625
7	1.0034	-0.0033317	0.13482	2.9681	6.714	6.7195	6.4746	0.23945	0.24492	1.0228
8	2.0011	-0.0033317	0.20707	2.9681	6.7173	6.7195	6.4746	0.24271	0.24492	1.0091
9	3.0032	-0.0033317	0.26853	2.9681	6.7222	6.7213	6.4734	0.24878	0.24784	0.99625
10	4.0008	-0.0033317	0.3214	2.9681	6.7178	6.7201	6.4722	0.2456	0.24784	1.0091
11	5.0029	-0.0033317	0.36902	2.9681	6.7178	6.7201	6.4734	0.24443	0.24667	1.0092
12	6.0005	-0.0033317	0.41109	2.9681	6.7173	6.7195	6.4728	0.24446	0.24667	1.009
13	7.0027	-0.0033317	0.4493	2.9681	6.7146	6.7201	6.4722	0.24234	0.24784	1.0227
14	8.0003	-0.0033317	0.48335	2.9681	6.7184	6.7207	6.4728	0.24556	0.24784	1.0093
15	9.0024	-0.0033317	0.51463	2.9681	6.7184	6.7207	6.474	0.24439	0.24667	1.0093
16	10.004	-0.0033317	0.54231	2.9681	6.7184	6.7207	6.474	0.24439	0.24667	1.0093
17	11.002	-0.0033317	0.56806	2.9681	6.7184	6.7207	6.4728	0.24556	0.24784	1.0093
18	12.004	-0.0033317	0.59076	2.9681	6.7184	6.7207	6.4728	0.24556	0.24784	1.0093
19	13.002	-0.0033317	0.61152	2.9681	6.7157	6.7213	6.4734	0.24227	0.24784	1.023
20	14.004	-0.0033317	0.63062	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4734	0.24552	0.24784	1.0094
21	15.001	-0.0033317	0.64778	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4728	0.24611	0.24843	1.0094
22	16.003	-0.0033317	0.66329	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4722	0.24669	0.24901	1.0094
23	17.001	-0.0033317	0.6774	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4722	0.24669	0.24901	1.0094
24	18.003	-0.0033317	0.69069	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4717	0.24728	0.2496	1.0094
25	19.001	-0.0033317	0.70287	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4693	0.24962	0.25194	1.0093
26	20.003	-0.0033317	0.71395	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4699	0.24903	0.25135	1.0093
27	21.001	-0.0044422	0.72391	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4711	0.24786	0.25018	1.0094
28	22.002	-0.0044422	0.73305	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4705	0.24845	0.25077	1.0093
29	23	-0.0044422	0.7408	2.9681	6.7222	6.7213	6.4734	0.24878	0.24784	0.99625
30	24.002	-0.0044422	0.7491	2.9681	6.7184	6.7207	6.4711	0.24731	0.2496	1.0092
31	25	-0.0033317	0.75713	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4722	0.24669	0.24901	1.0094
32	26.002	-0.0044422	0.76405	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4722	0.24669	0.24901	1.0094
33	27.004	-0.0033317	0.7707	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4711	0.24786	0.25018	1.0094
34	28.002	-0.0033317	0.77679	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4717	0.24728	0.2496	1.0094
35	29.004	-0.0033317	0.78232	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4728	0.24666	0.24901	1.0096
36	30.001	-0.0033317	0.78786	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4717	0.24783	0.25018	1.0095
37	31.003	-0.0033317	0.79284	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4717	0.24783	0.25018	1.0095
38	32.001	-0.0033317	0.79783	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4705	0.249	0.25135	1.0095
39	33.003	-0.0022211	0.80225	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4722	0.24724	0.2496	1.0095
40	34.001	-0.0022211	0.80668	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4705	0.24845	0.25077	1.0093
41	35.003	-0.0033317	0.80945	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4717	0.24783	0.25018	1.0095
42	36	-0.0022211	0.81471	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4711	0.24786	0.25018	1.0094
43	37.002	-0.0022211	0.81831	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4728	0.24611	0.24843	1.0094
44	38.004	-0.0022211	0.82219	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4717	0.24728	0.2496	1.0094
45	39.002	-0.0022211	0.82523	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4711	0.24786	0.25018	1.0094
46	40.004	-0.0022211	0.82828	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4711	0.24786	0.25018	1.0094
47	41.002	-0.0022211	0.83132	2.9681	6.7227	6.7218	6.4728	0.24991	0.24901	0.99641
48	42.003	-0.0033317	0.8352	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4711	0.24841	0.25077	1.0095
49	43.001	-0.0033317	0.83797	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4722	0.24724	0.2496	1.0095
50	44.003	-0.0022211	0.84073	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4728	0.24666	0.24901	1.0096
51	45.001	-0.0033317	0.8435	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4722	0.24669	0.24901	1.0094
52	46.003	-0.0033317	0.84599	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4711	0.24786	0.25018	1.0094
53	47.001	-0.0033317	0.84876	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4705	0.24845	0.25077	1.0093
54	48.003	-0.0033317	0.84987	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4717	0.24783	0.25018	1.0095
55	49.001	-0.0033317	0.85236	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4722	0.24724	0.2496	1.0095
56	50.002	-0.0033317	0.85568	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4705	0.24845	0.25077	1.0093
57	51	-0.0033317	0.8579	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4728	0.24666	0.24901	1.0096
58	52.002	-0.0022211	0.85901	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4722	0.24724	0.2496	1.0095
59	53.004	-0.0022211	0.8615	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4711	0.24786	0.25018	1.0094
60	54.002	-0.0033317	0.86288	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4722	0.24724	0.2496	1.0095
61	55.004	-0.0022211	0.86482	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4722	0.24669	0.24901	1.0094
62	56.001	-0.0033317	0.86676	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4717	0.24728	0.2496	1.0094
63	57.004	-0.0022211	0.86842	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4722	0.24724	0.2496	1.0095
64	58.001	-0.0022211	0.87174	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4717	0.24783	0.25018	1.0095
65	59.003	-0.0033317	0.87257	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4717	0.24728	0.2496	1.0094
66	60.001	-0.0033317	0.87451	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4722	0.24724	0.2496	1.0095
67	60.36	-0.0033317	0.87589	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4717	0.24783	0.25018	1.0095

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 3

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4717	0.24783	0.25018	1.0095
2	0.0125	0	0.00083049	2.9681	6.7736	6.7622	6.4898	0.28382	0.27244	0.95991
3	0.050533	0	0.030451	2.9681	6.9846	6.97	6.4863	0.49835	0.48376	0.97071
4	0.1006	0	0.048722	2.9681	6.9617	6.9595	6.474	0.48775	0.48551	0.9954
5	0.25083	-0.0011106	0.081942	2.9681	6.9613	6.9659	6.4834	0.47791	0.48258	1.0098
6	0.5017	-0.0011106	0.12457	2.9681	6.9689	6.9706	6.474	0.49492	0.49663	1.0035
7	1.0032	-0.0011106	0.19129	2.9681	6.964	6.9689	6.4728	0.49119	0.49604	1.0099
8	2.0008	0.0011106	0.29427	2.9681	6.964	6.9689	6.4758	0.48826	0.49312	1.0099
9	3.0026	0.0077739	0.37621	2.9681	6.9646	6.9695	6.4758	0.48881	0.4937	1.01
10	4.0002	0.017769	0.44293	2.9681	6.9657	6.9706	6.4722	0.49342	0.49838	1.0101
11	5.0019	0.032206	0.49885	2.9681	6.9651	6.97	6.474	0.49111	0.49604	1.01
12	6.0037	0.048864	0.54591	2.9681	6.9651	6.97	6.4717	0.49345	0.49838	1.01
13	7.0013	0.061081	0.58522	2.9681	6.9651	6.97	6.4746	0.49053	0.49546	1.01
14	8.0031	0.065523	0.61844	2.9681	6.9657	6.9706	6.4734	0.49225	0.49721	1.0101
15	9.0007	0.067744	0.6464	2.9681	6.9662	6.9712	6.4717	0.49455	0.49955	1.0101
16	10.002	0.071076	0.67021	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.4728	0.49718	0.49897	1.0036
17	11	0.073297	0.69069	2.9681	6.9657	6.9706	6.4699	0.49576	0.50072	1.01
18	12.002	0.077739	0.70841	2.9681	6.9689	6.9706	6.4711	0.49784	0.49955	1.0034
19	13.004	0.081071	0.72363	2.9681	6.9695	6.9712	6.4728	0.49664	0.49838	1.0035
20	14.001	0.082181	0.7372	2.9681	6.9662	6.9712	6.4722	0.49397	0.49897	1.0101
21	15.003	0.085513	0.74938	2.9681	6.9695	6.9712	6.4699	0.49956	0.50131	1.0035
22	16.001	0.093287	0.75907	2.9681	6.9695	6.9712	6.4717	0.49781	0.49955	1.0035
23	17.003	0.098839	0.76931	2.9681	6.9695	6.9712	6.4734	0.49605	0.4978	1.0035
24	18.001	0.10106	0.77817	2.9681	6.9695	6.9712	6.4717	0.49781	0.49955	1.0035
25	19.003	0.10217	0.7862	2.9681	6.9695	6.9712	6.4711	0.49839	0.50014	1.0035
26	20	0.10439	0.79284	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.474	0.49601	0.4978	1.0036
27	21.002	0.10661	0.80004	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.4734	0.4966	0.49838	1.0036
28	22.004	0.10772	0.80613	2.9681	6.9695	6.9712	6.4711	0.49839	0.50014	1.0035
29	23.001	0.10883	0.81167	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.4728	0.49718	0.49897	1.0036
30	24.003	0.10994	0.81693	2.9681	6.9695	6.9712	6.4722	0.49722	0.49897	1.0035
31	25	0.10994	0.82163	2.9681	6.9695	6.9712	6.4722	0.49722	0.49897	1.0035
32	26.003	0.10994	0.82662	2.9681	6.9662	6.9712	6.4705	0.49572	0.50072	1.0101
33	27.001	0.11106	0.83049	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.4722	0.49777	0.49955	1.0036
34	28.002	0.11106	0.83492	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.4717	0.49835	0.50014	1.0036
35	29	0.11217	0.83852	2.9681	6.9695	6.9712	6.4711	0.49839	0.50014	1.0035
36	30.002	0.11328	0.84156	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.4717	0.49835	0.50014	1.0036
37	31	0.11439	0.84572	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.4722	0.49777	0.49955	1.0036
38	32.002	0.1155	0.84876	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.4728	0.49718	0.49897	1.0036
39	33	0.11772	0.85208	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.4705	0.49952	0.50131	1.0036
40	34.002	0.11772	0.85485	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.4722	0.49777	0.49955	1.0036
41	35.004	0.11772	0.85817	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.4711	0.49894	0.50072	1.0036
42	36.002	0.11772	0.86067	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.4728	0.49718	0.49897	1.0036
43	37.004	0.11772	0.86426	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.4734	0.4966	0.49838	1.0036
44	38.002	0.11772	0.86731	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.4717	0.49835	0.50014	1.0036
45	39.003	0.11661	0.87008	2.9681	6.9695	6.9712	6.4722	0.49722	0.49897	1.0035
46	40.001	0.11661	0.87146	2.9681	6.9695	6.9712	6.4722	0.49722	0.49897	1.0035
47	41.003	0.11661	0.87395	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.4728	0.49718	0.49897	1.0036
48	42	0.11661	0.877	2.9681	6.9695	6.9712	6.4699	0.49956	0.50131	1.0035
49	42.719	0.11772	0.87894	2.9681	6.9695	6.9712	6.4722	0.49722	0.49897	1.0035

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 4

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.9695	6.9712	6.4717	0.49781	0.49955	1.0035
2	0.0125	0	0.0013842	2.9681	7.0566	7.0537	6.4997	0.55684	0.55401	0.99491
3	0.050483	0.0011106	0.035988	2.9681	7.3964	7.4407	6.498	0.89845	0.9427	1.0492
4	0.10062	0.013327	0.070315	2.9681	7.4323	7.4512	6.4758	0.95659	0.97546	1.0197
5	0.25083	0.042201	0.12707	2.9681	7.4541	7.4606	6.4746	0.97955	0.986	1.0066
6	0.50163	0.084402	0.19406	2.9681	7.4662	7.4699	6.4734	0.99275	0.99654	1.0038
7	1.003	0.12771	0.2951	2.9681	7.464	7.4711	6.4851	0.9789	0.98601	1.0073
8	2.001	0.15659	0.44016	2.9681	7.4645	7.4682	6.4769	0.9876	0.99127	1.0037
9	3.0027	0.18546	0.54536	2.9681	7.4689	7.4694	6.474	0.99488	0.99537	1.0005
10	4.0007	0.22766	0.62204	2.9681	7.4673	7.4711	6.4746	0.99268	0.99654	1.0039
11	5.0024	0.24987	0.6799	2.9681	7.4662	7.4699	6.474	0.99217	0.99595	1.0038
12	6.0004	0.26098	0.72419	2.9681	7.4667	7.4705	6.4752	0.99155	0.99537	1.0039
13	7.0022	0.27431	0.75907	2.9681	7.4662	7.4699	6.4717	0.99451	0.99829	1.0038
14	8.0001	0.27986	0.78592	2.9681	7.4667	7.4705	6.4717	0.99506	0.99888	1.0038
15	9.0019	0.2843	0.80807	2.9681	7.4678	7.4717	6.4693	0.9985	1.0024	1.0039
16	10.004	0.28763	0.82606	2.9681	7.4673	7.4711	6.4722	0.99502	0.99888	1.0039
17	11.002	0.29319	0.84073	2.9681	7.47	7.4705	6.4722	0.99773	0.99829	1.0006
18	12.004	0.29874	0.8543	2.9681	7.4705	7.4711	6.4734	0.99711	0.99771	1.0006
19	13.001	0.30318	0.86565	2.9681	7.4673	7.4711	6.4734	0.99385	0.99771	1.0039
20	14.003	0.30429	0.87561	2.9681	7.4673	7.4711	6.4746	0.99268	0.99654	1.0039
21	15.001	0.30651	0.88475	2.9681	7.4705	7.4711	6.4699	1.0006	1.0012	1.0006
22	16.003	0.30762	0.89278	2.9681	7.4673	7.4711	6.4717	0.99561	0.99946	1.0039
23	17.001	0.30984	0.89998	2.9681	7.4673	7.4711	6.4705	0.99678	1.0006	1.0039
24	18.003	0.31207	0.9069	2.9681	7.4711	7.4717	6.4699	1.0012	1.0018	1.0006
25	19	0.31429	0.91326	2.9681	7.4673	7.4711	6.4717	0.99561	0.99946	1.0039
26	20.003	0.31762	0.91769	2.9681	7.4711	7.4717	6.4734	0.99765	0.99829	1.0006
27	21	0.31873	0.92351	2.9681	7.4705	7.4711	6.4705	1	1.0006	1.0006
28	22.002	0.31984	0.92877	2.9681	7.4705	7.4711	6.4722	0.99828	0.99888	1.0006
29	23.004	0.32095	0.93347	2.9681	7.4705	7.4711	6.4711	0.99945	1	1.0006
30	24.002	0.32095	0.93818	2.9681	7.4705	7.4711	6.4728	0.99769	0.99829	1.0006
31	25.004	0.32206	0.94316	2.9681	7.4673	7.4711	6.4693	0.99795	1.0018	1.0039
32	26.002	0.32206	0.94648	2.9681	7.4705	7.4711	6.4717	0.99886	0.99946	1.0006
33	27.003	0.32206	0.95036	2.9681	7.4711	7.4717	6.4728	0.99824	0.99888	1.0006
34	28.001	0.32428	0.95479	2.9681	7.4705	7.4711	6.4717	0.99886	0.99946	1.0006
35	29.003	0.32539	0.95839	2.9681	7.4711	7.4717	6.4734	0.99765	0.99829	1.0006
36	30.001	0.3265	0.96199	2.9681	7.4705	7.4711	6.4699	1.0006	1.0012	1.0006
37	31.003	0.3265	0.96558	2.9681	7.4711	7.4717	6.4722	0.99882	0.99946	1.0006
38	32.001	0.32761	0.96863	2.9681	7.4711	7.4717	6.4717	0.99941	1	1.0006
39	33.003	0.32761	0.9714	2.9681	7.4705	7.4711	6.4705	1	1.0006	1.0006
40	33.207	0.32761	0.97195	2.9681	7.4711	7.4717	6.4705	1.0006	1.0012	1.0006

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 5

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	7.4711	7.4717	6.4717	0.99941	1	1.0006
2	0.012417	0	0	2.9681	7.5116	7.508	6.4798	1.0317	1.0281	0.99652
3	0.0503	0.0044422	0.045123	2.9681	8.2965	8.3837	6.5021	1.7944	1.8816	1.0486
4	0.10038	0.028874	0.094399	2.9681	8.418	8.4335	6.4775	1.9405	1.956	1.008
5	0.2506	0.064412	0.18215	2.9681	8.4285	8.4551	6.4781	1.9504	1.977	1.0136
6	0.5013	0.1155	0.28264	2.9681	8.4482	8.4692	6.4793	1.9689	1.9899	1.0107
7	1.0027	0.17769	0.42964	2.9681	8.4547	8.4692	6.4752	1.9795	1.994	1.0073
8	2.0003	0.24876	0.62259	2.9681	8.4601	8.468	6.4793	1.9809	1.9887	1.004
9	3.0021	0.28541	0.74191	2.9681	8.4618	8.4698	6.4752	1.9866	1.9946	1.004
10	4.0035	0.30318	0.81859	2.9681	8.4612	8.4692	6.4746	1.9866	1.9946	1.004
11	5.0011	0.32539	0.86952	2.9681	8.4661	8.4709	6.4728	1.9933	1.9981	1.0024
12	6.0029	0.34649	0.90551	2.9681	8.465	8.4698	6.4728	1.9922	1.9969	1.0024
13	7.0005	0.35649	0.93237	2.9681	8.4656	8.4703	6.4699	1.9957	2.0004	1.0024
14	8.0023	0.36648	0.95313	2.9681	8.4656	8.4703	6.4722	1.9933	1.9981	1.0024
15	9.004	0.36981	0.97001	2.9681	8.4694	8.4709	6.4752	1.9942	1.9958	1.0008
16	10.002	0.37204	0.98413	2.9681	8.4667	8.4715	6.474	1.9927	1.9975	1.0024
17	11.003	0.37426	0.99631	2.9681	8.4694	8.4709	6.4734	1.996	1.9975	1.0008
18	12.001	0.37759	1.0074	2.9681	8.4661	8.4709	6.4705	1.9956	2.0004	1.0024
19	13.003	0.37759	1.0168	2.9681	8.4694	8.4709	6.4722	1.9971	1.9987	1.0008
20	14	0.37981	1.0254	2.9681	8.4694	8.4709	6.4728	1.9965	1.9981	1.0008
21	15.002	0.38092	1.0334	2.9681	8.4699	8.4715	6.4699	2	2.0016	1.0008
22	16.004	0.38203	1.0403	2.9681	8.4694	8.4709	6.4717	1.9977	1.9993	1.0008
23	17.002	0.38203	1.0467	2.9681	8.4661	8.4709	6.4711	1.995	1.9999	1.0024
24	18.003	0.38203	1.0525	2.9681	8.4688	8.4703	6.474	1.9948	1.9964	1.0008
25	19.001	0.38314	1.0583	2.9681	8.4694	8.4709	6.4734	1.996	1.9975	1.0008
26	20.003	0.38314	1.0641	2.9681	8.4699	8.4715	6.4728	1.9971	1.9987	1.0008
27	21	0.38425	1.0694	2.9681	8.4699	8.4715	6.474	1.9959	1.9975	1.0008
28	22.002	0.38536	1.0749	2.9681	8.4694	8.4709	6.4717	1.9977	1.9993	1.0008
29	23	0.38647	1.0791	2.9681	8.4699	8.4715	6.4728	1.9971	1.9987	1.0008
30	24.002	0.38647	1.0841	2.9681	8.4694	8.4709	6.4734	1.996	1.9975	1.0008
31	25.004	0.3898	1.0879	2.9681	8.4694	8.4709	6.4734	1.996	1.9975	1.0008
32	26.002	0.39203	1.0929	2.9681	8.4699	8.4715	6.4705	1.9994	2.001	1.0008
33	27.003	0.39314	1.0971	2.9681	8.4667	8.4715	6.4728	1.9938	1.9987	1.0024
34	28.001	0.39425	1.1012	2.9681	8.4694	8.4709	6.4722	1.9971	1.9987	1.0008
35	28.511	0.39425	1.1029	2.9681	8.4694	8.4709	6.4717	1.9977	1.9993	1.0008

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	5.9416	5.9439	5.872	0.069572	0.071884	1.0332
2	0.012567	0	0	2.9681	5.9454	5.948	5.8749	0.070487	0.073056	1.0365
3	0.05005	0.0011106	0.0047061	2.9681	5.9738	5.9679	5.8749	0.098902	0.092959	0.93991
4	0.10013	0	0.0071976	2.9681	5.9663	5.9702	5.8738	0.092504	0.09647	1.0429
5	0.25035	0	0.01052	2.9681	5.9668	5.9708	5.8726	0.094223	0.098226	1.0425
6	0.5013	0	0.013565	2.9681	5.9674	5.9714	5.872	0.095357	0.099396	1.0424
7	1.003	0	0.018271	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.8732	0.097441	0.098226	1.0081
8	2.0008	0	0.025745	2.9681	5.9744	5.972	5.8726	0.10183	0.099397	0.97612
9	3.0027	-0.0011106	0.031836	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8732	0.097989	0.098812	1.0084
10	4.0006	0	0.037372	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.8708	0.099781	0.10057	1.0079
11	5.0025	0	0.041801	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.8714	0.099196	0.099981	1.0079
12	6.0004	0	0.045677	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.8726	0.098026	0.098811	1.008
13	7.0023	-0.0011106	0.049276	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.8726	0.098026	0.098811	1.008
14	8.0001	-0.0011106	0.052321	2.9681	5.9744	5.972	5.8732	0.10124	0.098812	0.97598
15	9.002	-0.0011106	0.055366	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
16	10.004	-0.0011106	0.058134	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.872	0.098611	0.099396	1.008
17	11.001	-0.0011106	0.06118	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.872	0.098611	0.099396	1.008
18	12.003	-0.0011106	0.063948	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.8702	0.10037	0.10115	1.0078
19	13.001	-0.0011106	0.065609	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
20	14.003	0	0.068377	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
21	15.001	0	0.070038	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
22	16.003	0	0.071976	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.872	0.098611	0.099396	1.008
23	17	0	0.074467	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
24	18.002	0	0.076405	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
25	19	0	0.078343	2.9681	5.9744	5.972	5.872	0.10241	0.099982	0.97626
26	20.002	0	0.079727	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
27	21.004	0	0.081665	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
28	22.002	0	0.083603	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
29	23.004	0	0.084433	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
30	24.001	0	0.085817	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.872	0.098611	0.099396	1.008
31	25.003	0	0.087755	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
32	26.001	-0.0011106	0.088586	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
33	27.003	-0.0011106	0.08997	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
34	28.001	-0.0011106	0.091077	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
35	29.003	-0.0011106	0.092461	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
36	30.001	-0.0022211	0.093846	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
37	31.002	-0.0011106	0.09523	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
38	32	-0.0011106	0.09606	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
39	33.002	-0.0011106	0.097721	2.9681	5.9744	5.972	5.872	0.10241	0.099982	0.97626
40	34.004	-0.0011106	0.098828	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
41	35.002	-0.0011106	0.10021	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
42	36.004	-0.0011106	0.10132	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8708	0.10033	0.10115	1.0082
43	37.002	-0.0011106	0.10243	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
44	38.004	-0.0011106	0.10353	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
45	39.001	0	0.10381	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
46	40.003	0	0.10492	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
47	41.001	-0.0011106	0.1063	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
48	42.003	-0.0011106	0.10658	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
49	43.001	-0.0011106	0.10741	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
50	44.003	-0.0011106	0.10879	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.872	0.098611	0.099396	1.008
51	45.001	-0.0011106	0.1099	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.8714	0.099196	0.099981	1.0079
52	46.003	-0.0011106	0.11046	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
53	47	-0.0022211	0.11073	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8714	0.099744	0.10057	1.0082
54	48.002	-0.0022211	0.11267	2.9681	5.9744	5.972	5.8714	0.103	0.10057	0.97639
55	49.004	-0.0022211	0.11267	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
56	50.002	-0.0022211	0.11405	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8708	0.10033	0.10115	1.0082
57	51.004	-0.0011106	0.11488	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.872	0.098611	0.099396	1.008
58	52.002	-0.0022211	0.11572	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
59	53.004	-0.0011106	0.11572	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
60	54.002	-0.0011106	0.1171	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.872	0.098611	0.099396	1.008
61	55.003	-0.0011106	0.11765	2.9681	5.9679	5.972	5.8726	0.09532	0.099397	1.0428
62	56.001	-0.0011106	0.11793	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
63	57.003	-0.0011106	0.11931	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.872	0.098611	0.099396	1.008
64	58.001	-0.0011106	0.11959	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
65	59.003	-0.0011106	0.1207	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
66	60.001	-0.0011106	0.12097	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8714	0.099744	0.10057	1.0082
67	61.003	-0.0022211	0.12181	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
68	62	-0.0011106	0.12236	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
69	63.002	-0.0011106	0.12291	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
70	64	-0.0022211	0.12457	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8714	0.099744	0.10057	1.0082
71	65.002	-0.0022211	0.12513	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
72	66.004	-0.0022211	0.12568	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8714	0.099744	0.10057	1.0082
73	67.002	-0.0011106	0.12568	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
74	68.004	-0.0011106	0.12707	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
75	69.001	-0.0011106	0.12762	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
76	70.003	-0.0022211	0.1279	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
77	71.001	-0.0011106	0.129	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
78	72.003	-0.0022211	0.12956	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
79	73.001	-0.0011106	0.12928	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
80	74.002	-0.0022211	0.12983	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8714	0.099744	0.10057	1.0082
81	75	-0.0022211	0.13039	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
82	76.002	-0.0022211	0.13149	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.8726	0.098026	0.098811	1.008
83	77.004	-0.0011106	0.13149	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
84	78.002	-0.0022211	0.13288	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
85	79.004	-0.0011106	0.13316	2.9681	5.975	5.9726	5.8726	0.10238	0.099982	0.97661
86	80.002	-0.0022211	0.13426	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
87	81.003	-0.0022211	0.13482	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
88	82.001	-0.0022211	0.13454	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
89	83.003	-0.0022211	0.13509	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
90	84.001	-0.0011106	0.1362	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.872	0.098611	0.099396	1.008
91	85.003	-0.0011106	0.13592	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
92	86.001	-0.0011106	0.13731	2.9681	5.9679	5.972	5.872	0.095905	0.099982	1.0425

93	87.003	-0.0011106	0.13758	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8714	0.099744	0.10057	1.0082
94	88	-0.0011106	0.13758	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
95	89.002	-0.0011106	0.13869	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
96	90	-0.0011106	0.13869	2.9681	5.9679	5.972	5.872	0.095905	0.099982	1.0425
97	91.002	-0.0011106	0.13897	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
98	92.004	-0.0011106	0.13952	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
99	93.002	-0.0011106	0.14008	2.9681	5.9744	5.972	5.872	0.10241	0.099982	0.97626
100	94.004	-0.0011106	0.14174	2.9681	5.9744	5.972	5.8708	0.10358	0.10115	0.97653
101	95.002	-0.0011106	0.14118	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
102	96.003	-0.0011106	0.14257	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.8708	0.099781	0.10057	1.0079
103	97.001	-0.0022211	0.14284	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.872	0.098611	0.099396	1.008
104	98.003	-0.0022211	0.14257	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
105	99.001	-0.0022211	0.1434	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083
106	100	-0.0022211	0.14395	2.9681	5.9679	5.972	5.8726	0.09532	0.099397	1.0428
107	101	-0.0033317	0.14451	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
108	102	-0.0022211	0.14506	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
109	103	-0.0022211	0.14506	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8714	0.099744	0.10057	1.0082
110	104	-0.0022211	0.14589	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
111	105	-0.0011106	0.14589	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
112	106	-0.0022211	0.14672	2.9681	5.9706	5.9714	5.872	0.098611	0.099396	1.008
113	107	-0.0011106	0.147	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
114	108	-0.0011106	0.147	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.872	0.099159	0.099982	1.0083
115	108.24	-0.0011106	0.14783	2.9681	5.9712	5.972	5.8726	0.098574	0.099397	1.0083

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	5.9744	5.972	5.8726	0.10183	0.099397	0.97612
2	0.012483	0	0.00055366	2.9681	6.0029	5.9954	5.8843	0.11858	0.11111	0.93701
3	0.05055	0	0.020209	2.9681	6.1278	6.1183	5.8814	0.24648	0.23696	0.96141
4	0.10062	0	0.031836	2.9681	6.1207	6.1142	5.8738	0.24699	0.24047	0.97362
5	0.25083	-0.0011106	0.053428	2.9681	6.1192	6.1195	5.879	0.24015	0.24048	1.0013
6	0.50172	-0.0011106	0.081111	2.9681	6.1192	6.1195	5.8743	0.24483	0.24516	1.0013
7	1.0034	-0.0011106	0.12457	2.9681	6.1235	6.1207	5.8755	0.24801	0.24516	0.98848
8	2.0012	-0.0011106	0.1935	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8743	0.24648	0.24691	1.0018
9	3.0031	-0.0011106	0.25109	2.9681	6.1203	6.1207	5.8761	0.24417	0.24457	1.0016
10	4.0011	-0.0022211	0.30202	2.9681	6.1197	6.1201	5.8732	0.24655	0.24691	1.0015
11	5.003	-0.0011106	0.3477	2.9681	6.1203	6.1207	5.8743	0.24593	0.24633	1.0016
12	6.0008	0	0.38922	2.9681	6.117	6.1207	5.8732	0.24384	0.2475	1.015
13	7.0027	0.0022211	0.4277	2.9681	6.1203	6.1207	5.8738	0.24651	0.24691	1.0016
14	8.0005	0.0044422	0.46341	2.9681	6.1203	6.1207	5.8726	0.24768	0.24808	1.0016
15	9.0024	0.0088844	0.49663	2.9681	6.1165	6.1201	5.8738	0.24271	0.24633	1.0149
16	10	0.014437	0.52681	2.9681	6.117	6.1207	5.8726	0.24443	0.24808	1.0149
17	11.002	0.017769	0.55532	2.9681	6.117	6.1207	5.8738	0.24326	0.24691	1.015
18	12	0.01999	0.58162	2.9681	6.1203	6.1207	5.8714	0.24885	0.24925	1.0016
19	13.002	0.023322	0.60626	2.9681	6.1203	6.1207	5.8743	0.24593	0.24633	1.0016
20	14.004	0.027764	0.62924	2.9681	6.117	6.1207	5.8726	0.24443	0.24808	1.0149
21	15.002	0.029985	0.65083	2.9681	6.1203	6.1207	5.8702	0.25002	0.25042	1.0016
22	16.004	0.034427	0.67076	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8714	0.2494	0.24984	1.0017
23	17.001	0.035538	0.68958	2.9681	6.117	6.1207	5.8708	0.24618	0.24984	1.0148
24	18.003	0.038869	0.70675	2.9681	6.1176	6.1212	5.8743	0.24322	0.24691	1.0152
25	19.001	0.043312	0.7228	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8743	0.24648	0.24691	1.0018
26	20.003	0.045533	0.73803	2.9681	6.1176	6.1212	5.8726	0.24498	0.24867	1.0151
27	21.001	0.047754	0.75187	2.9681	6.1176	6.1212	5.8738	0.24381	0.2475	1.0151
28	22.003	0.049975	0.76516	2.9681	6.1203	6.1207	5.8743	0.24593	0.24633	1.0016
29	23.001	0.053307	0.77734	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.872	0.24882	0.24925	1.0017
30	24.002	0.054417	0.78869	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8732	0.24765	0.24808	1.0018
31	25	0.055528	0.79949	2.9681	6.1176	6.1212	5.8714	0.24615	0.24984	1.015
32	26.002	0.05997	0.80945	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8732	0.24765	0.24808	1.0018
33	27.004	0.062191	0.81914	2.9681	6.1176	6.1212	5.872	0.24556	0.24925	1.015
34	28.002	0.064412	0.82717	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8726	0.24823	0.24867	1.0018
35	29.004	0.065523	0.83686	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8708	0.25054	0.25101	1.0019
36	30.002	0.067744	0.84433	2.9681	6.1176	6.1212	5.8743	0.24322	0.24691	1.0152
37	31.004	0.069965	0.85264	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.872	0.24882	0.24925	1.0017
38	32.001	0.071076	0.85984	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.872	0.24937	0.24984	1.0019
39	33.003	0.072186	0.86593	2.9681	6.1176	6.1212	5.872	0.24556	0.24925	1.015
40	34.001	0.076628	0.87312	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8732	0.24765	0.24808	1.0018
41	35.003	0.078849	0.87921	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8738	0.24761	0.24808	1.0019
42	36.001	0.07996	0.88503	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8732	0.2482	0.24867	1.0019
43	37.003	0.082181	0.89056	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.872	0.24882	0.24925	1.0017
44	38.001	0.084402	0.89582	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019
45	39.003	0.085513	0.90053	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8732	0.2482	0.24867	1.0019
46	40.001	0.086623	0.90524	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8726	0.24823	0.24867	1.0018
47	41.002	0.086623	0.90939	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.872	0.24882	0.24925	1.0017
48	42	0.087734	0.91382	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8726	0.24823	0.24867	1.0018
49	43.002	0.088844	0.91825	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8708	0.24999	0.25042	1.0017
50	44.004	0.089955	0.92074	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019
51	45.002	0.091066	0.92517	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8732	0.2482	0.24867	1.0019
52	46.004	0.092176	0.92849	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019
53	47.002	0.092176	0.93292	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.872	0.24882	0.24925	1.0017
54	48.004	0.093287	0.93596	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019
55	49.001	0.096618	0.93929	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8714	0.24995	0.25042	1.0019
56	50.003	0.096618	0.94178	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019
57	51.001	0.096618	0.94482	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019
58	52.003	0.097729	0.9487	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019
59	53.001	0.098839	0.95174	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.872	0.24937	0.24984	1.0019
60	54.003	0.098839	0.95313	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019
61	55	0.09995	0.95673	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019
62	56.002	0.09995	0.95866	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8708	0.24999	0.25042	1.0017
63	57	0.09995	0.9606	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.872	0.24882	0.24925	1.0017
64	58.002	0.09995	0.96392	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8732	0.2482	0.24867	1.0019
65	59.004	0.10106	0.96642	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8708	0.24999	0.25042	1.0017
66	60.002	0.10106	0.96863	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.872	0.24937	0.24984	1.0019
67	61.004	0.10106	0.97112	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8708	0.24999	0.25042	1.0017
68	62.001	0.10106	0.97251	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8697	0.25116	0.25159	1.0017
69	63.003	0.10106	0.97444	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019
70	64.001	0.10217	0.97583	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8732	0.2482	0.24867	1.0019
71	65.003	0.10106	0.97887	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8732	0.2482	0.24867	1.0019
72	66.001	0.10106	0.98136	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.872	0.24937	0.24984	1.0019
73	67.003	0.10217	0.9833	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8726	0.24823	0.24867	1.0018
74	68.001	0.10217	0.98413	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8732	0.2482	0.24867	1.0019
75	69.003	0.10217	0.98607	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019
76	70	0.10217	0.98912	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8708	0.25054	0.25101	1.0019
77	71.002	0.10328	0.99078	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8714	0.24995	0.25042	1.0019
78	72	0.10439	0.99105	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.872	0.24882	0.24925	1.0017
79	73.002	0.10439	0.99382	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8702	0.25057	0.25101	1.0017
80	74.004	0.10439	0.99548	2.9681	6.1208	6.1212	5.8702	0.25057	0.25101	1.0017
81	75.002	0.10439	0.99714	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.8726	0.24878	0.24925	1.0019
82	75.566	0.10439	0.99797	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.872	0.24937	0.24984	1.0019

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 3

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.1214	6.1218	5.872	0.24937	0.24984	1.0019
2	0.0125	0	0.00083049	2.9681	6.1625	6.1622	5.8884	0.27409	0.27385	0.9991
3	0.050567	0.0033317	0.023254	2.9681	6.3491	6.3718	5.8843	0.46483	0.48751	1.0488
4	0.10055	0.011106	0.037649	2.9681	6.3414	6.3601	5.8738	0.46764	0.48633	1.04
5	0.25078	0.031096	0.069484	2.9681	6.3577	6.3671	5.872	0.48574	0.49511	1.0193
6	0.50163	0.042201	0.10769	2.9681	6.3605	6.37	5.8749	0.48556	0.49511	1.0197
7	1.0034	0.064412	0.1661	2.9681	6.3583	6.3677	5.8732	0.48512	0.49452	1.0194
8	2.0011	0.097729	0.25441	2.9681	6.3637	6.37	5.8738	0.48998	0.49628	1.0128
9	3.0031	0.12105	0.32528	2.9681	6.3637	6.37	5.8743	0.4894	0.4957	1.0129
10	4.0009	0.13882	0.38562	2.9681	6.3675	6.3706	5.8732	0.49437	0.49745	1.0062
11	5.0029	0.15992	0.4385	2.9681	6.3637	6.37	5.8726	0.49115	0.49745	1.0128
12	6.0006	0.16658	0.48473	2.9681	6.3637	6.37	5.8749	0.48881	0.49511	1.0129
13	7.0026	0.1788	0.5257	2.9681	6.3643	6.3706	5.8732	0.49112	0.49745	1.0129
14	8.0003	0.19657	0.56169	2.9681	6.3643	6.3706	5.8749	0.48936	0.4957	1.0129
15	9.0023	0.20989	0.5938	2.9681	6.3643	6.3706	5.8743	0.48995	0.49628	1.0129
16	10	0.22211	0.62231	2.9681	6.3675	6.3706	5.8743	0.4932	0.49628	1.0062
17	11.002	0.23433	0.64806	2.9681	6.3643	6.3706	5.8755	0.48878	0.49511	1.013
18	12.004	0.23877	0.67076	2.9681	6.3643	6.3706	5.872	0.49229	0.49862	1.0129
19	13.002	0.24099	0.69097	2.9681	6.3654	6.3718	5.8761	0.48929	0.4957	1.0131
20	14.004	0.24099	0.70979	2.9681	6.3654	6.3718	5.8714	0.49397	0.50038	1.013
21	15.002	0.24543	0.7264	2.9681	6.3648	6.3712	5.8738	0.49108	0.49745	1.013
22	16.004	0.24765	0.74191	2.9681	6.3675	6.3706	5.8702	0.4973	0.50038	1.0062
23	17.001	0.2521	0.75547	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8738	0.49434	0.49745	1.0063
24	18.003	0.25543	0.76793	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8738	0.49434	0.49745	1.0063
25	19.001	0.26098	0.77872	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8714	0.49668	0.49979	1.0063
26	20.003	0.26875	0.79035	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8738	0.49434	0.49745	1.0063
27	21.001	0.2732	0.80004	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8743	0.49375	0.49687	1.0063
28	22.003	0.27542	0.80945	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8708	0.49726	0.50038	1.0063
29	23.001	0.27875	0.81776	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8732	0.49547	0.49862	1.0064
30	24.003	0.28208	0.82551	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8726	0.49551	0.49862	1.0063
31	25	0.2843	0.83271	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8732	0.49492	0.49804	1.0063
32	26.002	0.28763	0.83935	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8732	0.49547	0.49862	1.0064
33	27	0.28874	0.84599	2.9681	6.3648	6.3712	5.8732	0.49167	0.49804	1.013
34	28.002	0.29208	0.85181	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8732	0.49492	0.49804	1.0063
35	29.004	0.29208	0.85734	2.9681	6.3654	6.3718	5.8714	0.49397	0.50038	1.013
36	30.002	0.29208	0.86205	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8743	0.4943	0.49745	1.0064
37	31.004	0.29208	0.86703	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8708	0.49726	0.50038	1.0063
38	32.002	0.29208	0.87146	2.9681	6.3654	6.3718	5.8732	0.49222	0.49862	1.013
39	33.003	0.29319	0.87672	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8708	0.49726	0.50038	1.0063
40	34.001	0.2943	0.88115	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.872	0.49609	0.49921	1.0063
41	35.003	0.2943	0.88503	2.9681	6.3654	6.3718	5.8738	0.49163	0.49804	1.013
42	36.001	0.2943	0.88863	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8714	0.49722	0.50038	1.0063
43	37.003	0.29541	0.89333	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8732	0.49547	0.49862	1.0064
44	38.001	0.29541	0.89693	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8726	0.49605	0.49921	1.0064
45	39.003	0.29541	0.8997	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.872	0.49664	0.49979	1.0063
46	40	0.29652	0.90413	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8726	0.49605	0.49921	1.0064
47	41.002	0.29652	0.90717	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8714	0.49722	0.50038	1.0063
48	42.004	0.29874	0.90967	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8732	0.49547	0.49862	1.0064
49	43.002	0.29985	0.91326	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.872	0.49664	0.49979	1.0063
50	44.004	0.30096	0.91548	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8726	0.49551	0.49862	1.0063
51	45.002	0.30207	0.91908	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8714	0.49668	0.49979	1.0063
52	46.004	0.30207	0.92212	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8732	0.49547	0.49862	1.0064
53	47.001	0.30318	0.92461	2.9681	6.3681	6.3712	5.8726	0.49551	0.49862	1.0063
54	48.003	0.30207	0.92711	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8714	0.49722	0.50038	1.0063
55	49.001	0.30429	0.92987	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.872	0.49664	0.49979	1.0063
56	50.003	0.30429	0.93264	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8697	0.49898	0.50213	1.0063
57	51.001	0.3054	0.93458	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8732	0.49547	0.49862	1.0064
58	52.003	0.3054	0.93735	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8708	0.49781	0.50096	1.0063
59	52.959	0.30651	0.93901	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8732	0.49547	0.49862	1.0064

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 4

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.3686	6.3718	5.8732	0.49547	0.49862	1.0064
2	0.012483	-0.0011106	0.0019378	2.9681	6.453	6.4549	5.8983	0.55472	0.55659	1.0034
3	0.050533	0.0033317	0.033773	2.9681	6.8269	6.8746	5.8901	0.93674	0.98449	1.051
4	0.10055	0.013327	0.057027	2.9681	6.8277	6.8512	5.8743	0.95337	0.97687	1.0246
5	0.25077	0.061081	0.1063	2.9681	6.8566	6.8647	5.8814	0.97524	0.98332	1.0083
6	0.50172	0.078849	0.16582	2.9681	6.8572	6.8688	5.8761	0.98109	0.99268	1.0118
7	1.0034	0.12105	0.25275	2.9681	6.8588	6.8705	5.8743	0.98449	0.99619	1.0119
8	2.0012	0.14992	0.38258	2.9681	6.8621	6.8705	5.8732	0.98892	0.99736	1.0085
9	3.0033	0.18435	0.48335	2.9681	6.861	6.8694	5.8743	0.98665	0.99502	1.0085
10	4.0011	0.22655	0.56363	2.9681	6.8648	6.8699	5.8778	0.98694	0.99209	1.0052
11	5.003	0.24987	0.63007	2.9681	6.8642	6.8694	5.8767	0.98757	0.99268	1.0052
12	6.0008	0.26542	0.6846	2.9681	6.8648	6.8699	5.8743	0.99045	0.9956	1.0052
13	7.0027	0.27875	0.73	2.9681	6.8653	6.8705	5.8743	0.991	0.99619	1.0052
14	8.0004	0.2943	0.7671	2.9681	6.8686	6.8705	5.8738	0.99484	0.99677	1.0019
15	9.0024	0.30429	0.79893	2.9681	6.8653	6.8705	5.8743	0.991	0.99619	1.0052
16	10	0.31318	0.82606	2.9681	6.8653	6.8705	5.8732	0.99217	0.99736	1.0052
17	11.002	0.31873	0.84959	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.8755	0.99418	0.99619	1.002
18	12.004	0.32539	0.8698	2.9681	6.8659	6.8711	5.8708	0.99506	1.0003	1.0052
19	13.002	0.32983	0.88724	2.9681	6.8691	6.8711	5.8755	0.99364	0.9956	1.002
20	14.004	0.33095	0.90247	2.9681	6.8659	6.8711	5.8732	0.99272	0.99794	1.0053
21	15.002	0.33539	0.91603	2.9681	6.8659	6.8711	5.8714	0.99448	0.9997	1.0053
22	16.003	0.33872	0.92821	2.9681	6.8659	6.8711	5.8726	0.99331	0.99853	1.0053
23	17.001	0.34205	0.93901	2.9681	6.8659	6.8711	5.8738	0.99214	0.99736	1.0053
24	18.003	0.34316	0.94925	2.9681	6.8691	6.8711	5.8697	0.99949	1.0015	1.002
25	19.001	0.34538	0.95811	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.8749	0.99477	0.99678	1.002
26	20.003	0.34871	0.96614	2.9681	6.8691	6.8711	5.8743	0.99481	0.99677	1.002
27	21.001	0.35094	0.97389	2.9681	6.8691	6.8711	5.8732	0.99598	0.99794	1.002
28	22.003	0.35205	0.98136	2.9681	6.8691	6.8711	5.872	0.99715	0.99911	1.002
29	23	0.35427	0.98773	2.9681	6.8664	6.8717	5.872	0.99444	0.9997	1.0053
30	24.002	0.35871	0.9941	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.8738	0.99594	0.99795	1.002
31	25	0.35982	0.99991	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.8732	0.99652	0.99853	1.002
32	26.002	0.36093	1.0049	2.9681	6.8702	6.8723	5.8732	0.99707	0.99912	1.002
33	27.004	0.36315	1.0102	2.9681	6.8691	6.8711	5.872	0.99715	0.99911	1.002
34	28.002	0.36426	1.0154	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.8755	0.99418	0.99619	1.002
35	29.004	0.36537	1.0207	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.8697	1	1.002	1.002
36	30.002	0.36537	1.0251	2.9681	6.8664	6.8717	5.8732	0.99327	0.99853	1.0053
37	31.004	0.36537	1.029	2.9681	6.8691	6.8711	5.8749	0.99422	0.99619	1.002
38	32.001	0.36648	1.0337	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.8708	0.99886	1.0009	1.002
39	33.003	0.36759	1.0373	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.8749	0.99477	0.99678	1.002
40	34.001	0.36759	1.0412	2.9681	6.8659	6.8711	5.8726	0.99331	0.99853	1.0053
41	35.003	0.3687	1.0453	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.8749	0.99477	0.99678	1.002
42	36.001	0.3687	1.0486	2.9681	6.8691	6.8711	5.8743	0.99481	0.99677	1.002
43	37.003	0.3687	1.0525	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.8708	0.99886	1.0009	1.002
44	38.001	0.36981	1.0567	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.8691	1.0006	1.0026	1.002
45	39.003	0.36981	1.0597	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.8726	0.99711	0.99912	1.002
46	40	0.37093	1.0625	2.9681	6.8697	6.8717	5.8708	0.99886	1.0009	1.002
47	40.084	0.37093	1.0633	2.9681	6.8691	6.8711	5.8697	0.99949	1.0015	1.002

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 5

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.8691	6.8711	5.8702	0.9989	1.0009	1.002
2	0.012433	0	0.00055366	2.9681	6.9876	6.9905	5.9048	1.0828	1.0858	1.0027
3	0.050483	0.0088844	0.042909	2.9681	7.7707	7.8504	5.9007	1.87	1.9498	1.0426
4	0.10055	0.043312	0.083603	2.9681	7.8226	7.8364	5.8761	1.9465	1.9603	1.0071
5	0.25072	0.088844	0.15586	2.9681	7.8283	7.8598	5.8743	1.954	1.9855	1.0161
6	0.50165	0.12549	0.24416	2.9681	7.8463	7.8686	5.8749	1.9714	1.9937	1.0113
7	1.0034	0.17547	0.37234	2.9681	7.8522	7.868	5.8761	1.9761	1.9919	1.008
8	2.0011	0.23322	0.5556	2.9681	7.8538	7.8662	5.8761	1.9778	1.9902	1.0063
9	3.0031	0.29541	0.68709	2.9681	7.8582	7.8674	5.8773	1.9809	1.9902	1.0047
10	4.0008	0.32095	0.7826	2.9681	7.8593	7.8686	5.8743	1.985	1.9943	1.0047
11	5.0028	0.33761	0.85596	2.9681	7.8598	7.8692	5.8761	1.9838	1.9931	1.0047
12	6.0005	0.34982	0.90994	2.9681	7.8636	7.8698	5.8738	1.9899	1.996	1.0031
13	7.0025	0.36759	0.9523	2.9681	7.8669	7.8698	5.8761	1.9908	1.9937	1.0014
14	8.0003	0.38203	0.98524	2.9681	7.8669	7.8698	5.8755	1.9914	1.9943	1.0014
15	9.0022	0.39092	1.0124	2.9681	7.8642	7.8703	5.8761	1.9881	1.9943	1.0031
16	10	0.39647	1.0351	2.9681	7.8647	7.8709	5.8749	1.9898	1.996	1.0031
17	11.002	0.40202	1.0539	2.9681	7.868	7.8709	5.8691	1.9989	2.0019	1.0015
18	12.004	0.40313	1.0699	2.9681	7.868	7.8709	5.8743	1.9937	1.9966	1.0015
19	13.002	0.40646	1.0841	2.9681	7.8647	7.8709	5.8714	1.9933	1.9995	1.0031
20	14.004	0.40646	1.0965	2.9681	7.8674	7.8703	5.872	1.9955	1.9983	1.0015
21	15.001	0.40868	1.1076	2.9681	7.8647	7.8709	5.8738	1.991	1.9972	1.0031
22	16.004	0.41424	1.1176	2.9681	7.868	7.8709	5.8743	1.9937	1.9966	1.0015
23	17.001	0.4209	1.127	2.9681	7.868	7.8709	5.8691	1.9989	2.0019	1.0015
24	18.003	0.42645	1.1353	2.9681	7.8647	7.8709	5.8732	1.9916	1.9978	1.0031
25	19.001	0.42978	1.143	2.9681	7.868	7.8709	5.8697	1.9983	2.0013	1.0015
26	20.003	0.43423	1.1508	2.9681	7.868	7.8709	5.8702	1.9978	2.0007	1.0015
27	21.001	0.43867	1.1569	2.9681	7.8647	7.8709	5.8732	1.9916	1.9978	1.0031
28	22.003	0.44089	1.1635	2.9681	7.8674	7.8703	5.8726	1.9949	1.9978	1.0015
29	23.001	0.44533	1.1702	2.9681	7.868	7.8709	5.8749	1.9931	1.996	1.0015
30	24.002	0.44644	1.176	2.9681	7.868	7.8709	5.8732	1.9948	1.9978	1.0015
31	25	0.44755	1.1812	2.9681	7.868	7.8709	5.8738	1.9942	1.9972	1.0015
32	26.002	0.44866	1.1865	2.9681	7.868	7.8709	5.8767	1.9913	1.9943	1.0015
33	27.004	0.45089	1.1923	2.9681	7.8685	7.8715	5.8691	1.9995	2.0024	1.0015
34	28.002	0.45311	1.1967	2.9681	7.8653	7.8715	5.8726	1.9927	1.9989	1.0031
35	29.004	0.45422	1.202	2.9681	7.8685	7.8715	5.8732	1.9954	1.9983	1.0015
36	30.002	0.45644	1.2067	2.9681	7.8685	7.8715	5.8708	1.9977	2.0007	1.0015
37	31.004	0.45755	1.2111	2.9681	7.868	7.8709	5.8743	1.9937	1.9966	1.0015
38	32.001	0.45866	1.215	2.9681	7.8653	7.8715	5.8738	1.9915	1.9978	1.0031
39	33.003	0.45866	1.2194	2.9681	7.868	7.8709	5.8738	1.9942	1.9972	1.0015
40	33.521	0.45866	1.2217	2.9681	7.868	7.8709	5.8685	1.9995	2.0024	1.0015

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 6

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	7.8674	7.8703	5.8697	1.9978	2.0007	1.0014
2	0.012483	0.0011106	0.00027683	2.9681	7.9941	7.9985	5.8983	2.0958	2.1002	1.0021
3	0.050533	0.0033317	0.029067	2.9681	8.818	8.8672	5.8913	2.9267	2.9759	1.0168
4	0.10062	0.017769	0.054812	2.9681	8.8286	8.8473	5.8925	2.9362	2.9549	1.0064
5	0.25085	0.056638	0.10713	2.9681	8.8467	8.8596	5.872	2.9747	2.9876	1.0044
6	0.5017	0.082181	0.16887	2.9681	8.8527	8.8696	5.8802	2.9726	2.9894	1.0057
7	1.0034	0.1055	0.25994	2.9681	8.856	8.8661	5.8825	2.9734	2.9835	1.0034
8	2.0012	0.15326	0.38313	2.9681	8.8652	8.869	5.872	2.9932	2.997	1.0013
9	3.0031	0.18213	0.46563	2.9681	8.8658	8.8696	5.8784	2.9873	2.9911	1.0013
10	4.0009	0.20101	0.52293	2.9681	8.8663	8.8702	5.8755	2.9908	2.9947	1.0013
11	5.0028	0.22211	0.56556	2.9681	8.8669	8.8707	5.8714	2.9954	2.9993	1.0013
12	6.0006	0.23544	0.59795	2.9681	8.8663	8.8702	5.8673	2.999	3.0028	1.0013
13	7.0025	0.24099	0.62398	2.9681	8.8669	8.8707	5.8749	2.9919	2.9958	1.0013
14	8.0003	0.24876	0.64529	2.9681	8.8669	8.8707	5.8738	2.9931	2.997	1.0013
15	9.0023	0.25654	0.66301	2.9681	8.8707	8.8713	5.8755	2.9952	2.9958	1.0002
16	10	0.25987	0.67851	2.9681	8.8707	8.8713	5.8749	2.9957	2.9964	1.0002
17	11.002	0.2632	0.69152	2.9681	8.8674	8.8713	5.8761	2.9913	2.9952	1.0013
18	12.004	0.26764	0.70398	2.9681	8.8674	8.8713	5.8749	2.9925	2.9964	1.0013
19	13.002	0.26986	0.7145	2.9681	8.8707	8.8713	5.8743	2.9963	2.997	1.0002
20	14.004	0.27209	0.72419	2.9681	8.8674	8.8713	5.8732	2.9942	2.9982	1.0013
21	15.001	0.2732	0.73332	2.9681	8.8669	8.8707	5.8685	2.9984	3.0023	1.0013
22	16.003	0.27431	0.74135	2.9681	8.8707	8.8713	5.8697	3.001	3.0017	1.0002
23	17.001	0.27764	0.74938	2.9681	8.8707	8.8713	5.8738	2.9969	2.9976	1.0002
24	18.003	0.27875	0.7563	2.9681	8.8707	8.8713	5.8702	3.0004	3.0011	1.0002
25	19.001	0.27986	0.7635	2.9681	8.8707	8.8713	5.8726	2.9981	2.9988	1.0002
26	20.003	0.28319	0.76959	2.9681	8.8707	8.8713	5.8749	2.9957	2.9964	1.0002
27	21	0.28541	0.77623	2.9681	8.8674	8.8713	5.8708	2.9966	3.0005	1.0013
28	22.002	0.28985	0.78205	2.9681	8.8674	8.8713	5.8732	2.9942	2.9982	1.0013
29	23	0.29319	0.78758	2.9681	8.868	8.8719	5.8743	2.9936	2.9976	1.0013
30	24.002	0.2943	0.79284	2.9681	8.8674	8.8713	5.8749	2.9925	2.9964	1.0013
31	25.004	0.29652	0.79783	2.9681	8.8712	8.8719	5.8732	2.998	2.9988	1.0002
32	26.002	0.29874	0.80336	2.9681	8.8707	8.8713	5.8726	2.9981	2.9988	1.0002
33	27.004	0.30096	0.80807	2.9681	8.8712	8.8719	5.8732	2.998	2.9988	1.0002
34	28.002	0.30207	0.81277	2.9681	8.8712	8.8719	5.8732	2.998	2.9988	1.0002
35	29.004	0.3054	0.81748	2.9681	8.868	8.8719	5.872	2.996	2.9999	1.0013
36	30.001	0.30762	0.82163	2.9681	8.8712	8.8719	5.8726	2.9986	2.9993	1.0002
37	31.003	0.31096	0.82634	2.9681	8.8712	8.8719	5.8726	2.9986	2.9993	1.0002
38	31.166	0.31096	0.82634	2.9681	8.8718	8.8725	5.8708	3.0009	3.0017	1.0002

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.1432	8.1437	8.0717	0.071448	0.071985	1.0075
2	0.012467	0	0	2.9681	8.147	8.1478	8.0747	0.072363	0.073157	1.011
3	0.05	0	0.010243	2.9681	8.1667	8.1654	8.0858	0.08096	0.079603	0.98323
4	0.10008	-0.0011106	0.042078	2.9681	8.1652	8.1706	8.0747	0.090505	0.095987	1.0606
5	0.2503	0	0.053428	2.9681	8.1652	8.1706	8.0729	0.09226	0.097742	1.0594
6	0.50067	0.0011106	0.057581	2.9681	8.1657	8.1712	8.0723	0.093394	0.098912	1.0591
7	1.0014	0.0011106	0.060903	2.9681	8.1657	8.1712	8.0717	0.093979	0.099497	1.0587
8	2.0028	0.0022211	0.062287	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
9	3.0001	0.0033317	0.063117	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0717	0.097233	0.099497	1.0233
10	4.0015	0.0033317	0.063394	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0717	0.097233	0.099497	1.0233
11	5.0031	0.0033317	0.063948	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
12	6.0004	0.0033317	0.063671	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
13	7.0019	0.0033317	0.063394	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
14	8.0033	0.0022211	0.063671	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
15	9.0006	0.0033317	0.063671	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0717	0.097233	0.099497	1.0233
16	10.002	0.0022211	0.063671	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
17	11.003	0.0022211	0.063671	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
18	12.001	0.0033317	0.064502	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
19	13.002	0.0033317	0.064502	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
20	14.004	0.0033317	0.063948	2.9681	8.1728	8.1718	8.0711	0.10162	0.10067	0.99062
21	15.001	0.0033317	0.063671	2.9681	8.1728	8.1718	8.0717	0.10104	0.10008	0.99057
22	16.002	0.0033317	0.063117	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
23	17.004	0.0033317	0.062841	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
24	18.001	0.0033317	0.062841	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0711	0.098367	0.10067	1.0234
25	19.003	0.0033317	0.062287	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0711	0.098367	0.10067	1.0234
26	20.004	0.0033317	0.06201	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
27	20.893	0.0033317	0.061733	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.1663	8.1718	8.0717	0.094528	0.10008	1.0588
2	0.012483	0	0.00083049	2.9681	8.1953	8.1958	8.0904	0.10481	0.10536	1.0053
3	0.05005	0	0.053705	2.9681	8.2863	8.2895	8.1373	0.14904	0.15222	1.0214
4	0.10005	0.0022211	0.18658	2.9681	8.2821	8.3059	8.0729	0.20923	0.23296	1.1134
5	0.25028	0.015548	0.21122	2.9681	8.3148	8.3199	8.0735	0.24133	0.24643	1.0211
6	0.50072	0.018879	0.21759	2.9681	8.3154	8.3205	8.0723	0.24305	0.24818	1.0211
7	1.0016	0.021101	0.22285	2.9681	8.3159	8.3211	8.0723	0.2436	0.24877	1.0212
8	2.003	0.022211	0.22783	2.9681	8.3165	8.3217	8.0717	0.24473	0.24994	1.0213
9	3.0003	0.022211	0.2306	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
10	4.0017	0.022211	0.23254	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
11	5.0032	0.023322	0.23337	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0723	0.2474	0.24935	1.0079
12	6.0004	0.023322	0.23475	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
13	7.0019	0.023322	0.23558	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
14	8.0033	0.023322	0.23641	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
15	9.0006	0.023322	0.23697	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
16	10.002	0.024432	0.23752	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
17	11.004	0.023322	0.23669	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
18	12.001	0.024432	0.23807	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
19	13.002	0.023322	0.23835	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
20	14.004	0.023322	0.23835	2.9681	8.3192	8.3211	8.0717	0.24744	0.24935	1.0077
21	15.001	0.023322	0.2389	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
22	16.002	0.023322	0.2389	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
23	17.004	0.025543	0.2389	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
24	18.001	0.024432	0.23918	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
25	19.003	0.025543	0.24029	2.9681	8.323	8.3217	8.0717	0.25124	0.24994	0.99481
26	20	0.025543	0.24029	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
27	21.002	0.024432	0.2389	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
28	22.003	0.023322	0.24029	2.9681	8.3192	8.3211	8.0717	0.24744	0.24935	1.0077
29	23	0.023322	0.24001	2.9681	8.3192	8.3211	8.0717	0.24744	0.24935	1.0077
30	23.66	0.023322	0.24029	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0723	0.2474	0.24935	1.0079

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 3

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
2	0.012483	0	0.0013842	2.9681	8.3603	8.3615	8.0981	0.26222	0.26342	1.0046
3	0.050033	0.0011106	0.076405	2.9681	8.5079	8.526	8.1577	0.3502	0.36823	1.0515
4	0.10005	0.0055528	0.18548	2.9681	8.5135	8.5424	8.0887	0.42483	0.45366	1.0679
5	0.25027	0.015548	0.23171	2.9681	8.5615	8.5693	8.0729	0.48864	0.49638	1.0158
6	0.50068	0.018879	0.23946	2.9681	8.5659	8.5704	8.0729	0.49299	0.49755	1.0092
7	1.0013	0.01999	0.24499	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0723	0.49738	0.49872	1.0027
8	2.0029	0.021101	0.24998	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0717	0.49797	0.49931	1.0027
9	3.0001	0.022211	0.25247	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0717	0.49797	0.49931	1.0027
10	4.0016	0.021101	0.25441	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0717	0.49797	0.49931	1.0027
11	5.003	0.022211	0.25607	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
12	6.0003	0.022211	0.25718	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0717	0.49797	0.49931	1.0027
13	7.0017	0.023322	0.25773	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
14	8.0031	0.023322	0.25939	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0711	0.49855	0.49989	1.0027
15	9.0004	0.023322	0.26022	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
16	10.002	0.023322	0.26105	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0717	0.49797	0.49931	1.0027
17	11.003	0.023322	0.26105	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
18	12.001	0.023322	0.26244	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0723	0.49793	0.49931	1.0028
19	13.002	0.024432	0.26271	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0717	0.49797	0.49931	1.0027
20	14.003	0.024432	0.26327	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0723	0.49738	0.49872	1.0027
21	15.001	0.024432	0.2641	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0717	0.49797	0.49931	1.0027
22	16.002	0.023322	0.26437	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0711	0.4991	0.50048	1.0028
23	17.004	0.023322	0.26465	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
24	18.001	0.024432	0.2652	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0723	0.49793	0.49931	1.0028
25	19.002	0.023322	0.2641	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
26	20.004	0.024432	0.26686	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0711	0.4991	0.50048	1.0028
27	21.001	0.024432	0.26659	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0723	0.49793	0.49931	1.0028
28	22.003	0.023322	0.26742	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
29	23.004	0.024432	0.26825	2.9681	8.5735	8.5716	8.0717	0.50177	0.49989	0.99626
30	24.001	0.024432	0.26853	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0723	0.49793	0.49931	1.0028
31	25.003	0.024432	0.2688	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0717	0.49797	0.49931	1.0027
32	26.001	0.024432	0.26908	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0711	0.4991	0.50048	1.0028
33	26.761	0.023322	0.26936	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0723	0.49793	0.49931	1.0028

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 4

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0717	0.49797	0.49931	1.0027
2	0.012467	0	0.00083049	2.9681	8.6064	8.6067	8.094	0.51246	0.51278	1.0006
3	0.050717	0.0033317	0.058411	2.9681	8.7404	8.7636	8.1261	0.61429	0.63749	1.0378
4	0.10077	0.009995	0.11267	2.9681	8.765	8.7759	8.0858	0.67919	0.69015	1.0161
5	0.25098	0.01999	0.13869	2.9681	8.7841	8.7894	8.0735	0.71061	0.7159	1.0074
6	0.50202	0.023322	0.14506	2.9681	8.7852	8.7905	8.0723	0.71287	0.71824	1.0075
7	1.0002	0.025543	0.15032	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
8	2.0021	0.026653	0.15503	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
9	3.0004	0.027764	0.15779	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
10	4.0025	0.027764	0.15862	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
11	5.0009	0.027764	0.16167	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
12	6.0031	0.027764	0.16278	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
13	7.001	0.027764	0.16305	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
14	8.0032	0.028874	0.16333	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
15	9.0011	0.028874	0.16638	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
16	10.003	0.028874	0.16721	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
17	11.001	0.028874	0.1661	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
18	12.003	0.028874	0.16887	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
19	13.002	0.029985	0.16887	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
20	14.001	0.029985	0.17053	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
21	15.003	0.029985	0.17108	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
22	16.001	0.029985	0.17025	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
23	17.003	0.029985	0.17191	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
24	18.001	0.029985	0.17191	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
25	19.003	0.029985	0.17385	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
26	20.001	0.031096	0.17468	2.9681	8.7922	8.7911	8.0717	0.72052	0.71941	0.99846
27	21.003	0.029985	0.17357	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
28	22.001	0.031096	0.17523	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
29	23.003	0.031096	0.17634	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0723	0.71668	0.71882	1.003
30	24.001	0.031096	0.17551	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
31	25.003	0.031096	0.17662	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
32	26.001	0.032206	0.178	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
33	27.003	0.032206	0.17883	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
34	28.001	0.031096	0.17966	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
35	29.003	0.031096	0.17883	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
36	30.001	0.031096	0.18077	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
37	31.003	0.031096	0.17994	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
38	32.001	0.032206	0.18022	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
39	33.003	0.032206	0.18243	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
40	34	0.032206	0.18077	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
41	35.002	0.031096	0.18188	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
42	36	0.032206	0.18382	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
43	37.002	0.032206	0.18354	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0711	0.71514	0.72058	1.0076
44	38.004	0.033317	0.18492	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
45	39.002	0.032206	0.18382	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
46	40.004	0.032206	0.1852	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
47	41.002	0.032206	0.18658	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
48	42.003	0.032206	0.18714	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
49	43.001	0.033317	0.18741	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
50	44.003	0.033317	0.18631	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
51	45	0.033317	0.18852	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
52	46.003	0.034427	0.18686	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
53	47.001	0.033317	0.18908	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
54	48.003	0.035538	0.18908	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
55	49.001	0.034427	0.18824	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
56	50.004	0.034427	0.19074	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
57	51.002	0.034427	0.18963	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
58	52	0.035538	0.19184	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0723	0.71777	0.71999	1.0031
59	53.002	0.035538	0.1924	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
60	54	0.034427	0.19267	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
61	55.003	0.034427	0.19212	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
62	56.001	0.035538	0.19184	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
63	57.003	0.034427	0.19323	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
64	58.002	0.034427	0.19434	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
65	59.003	0.035538	0.19323	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
66	60.001	0.034427	0.19461	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
67	61.003	0.035538	0.19544	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0723	0.72048	0.71941	0.99851
68	62.001	0.035538	0.19655	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
69	63.003	0.035538	0.19544	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0723	0.71777	0.71999	1.0031
70	64.001	0.034427	0.19655	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
71	65.003	0.035538	0.19738	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
72	66	0.035538	0.19821	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
73	67.002	0.034427	0.19683	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
74	68	0.035538	0.19904	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
75	69.002	0.035538	0.19793	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
76	70.004	0.034427	0.19959	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
77	71.002	0.035538	0.19876	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
78	72.004	0.036648	0.2007	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
79	73.002	0.036648	0.20043	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
80	74.004	0.036648	0.20181	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
81	75.002	0.036648	0.20209	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
82	76.004	0.037759	0.20209	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
83	77.001	0.037759	0.20292	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
84	78.003	0.036648	0.20319	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
85	79.001	0.037759	0.20236	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
86	80.003	0.036648	0.20375	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
87	81.001	0.036648	0.20375	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
88	82.003	0.036648	0.20485	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
89	83.001	0.036648	0.20569	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
90	84.003	0.037759	0.20652	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
91	85.001	0.037759	0.20652	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
92	86.003	0.037759	0.20735	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003

93	87.001	0.037759	0.20596	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
94	88.003	0.038869	0.20818	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
95	89.001	0.037759	0.20735	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
96	90.004	0.038869	0.20735	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
97	91.001	0.038869	0.20873	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
98	92.003	0.037759	0.20956	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
99	93.001	0.036648	0.20845	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
100	94.003	0.036648	0.20901	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
101	95.001	0.035538	0.21094	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
102	96.003	0.036648	0.21039	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
103	97	0.036648	0.21178	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
104	98.002	0.036648	0.21011	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
105	99	0.037759	0.21233	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
106	100	0.037759	0.21261	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
107	101	0.038869	0.21288	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
108	102	0.038869	0.21344	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
109	103	0.038869	0.21261	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
110	104	0.038869	0.21482	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
111	105	0.038869	0.2151	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
112	106	0.038869	0.21371	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
113	107	0.038869	0.21399	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
114	108	0.038869	0.21454	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
115	109	0.038869	0.21648	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
116	110	0.038869	0.2151	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
117	111	0.038869	0.21731	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
118	112	0.038869	0.21565	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
119	113	0.038869	0.21842	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
120	114	0.038869	0.21759	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
121	115	0.038869	0.21787	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
122	116	0.038869	0.21897	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
123	117	0.038869	0.21953	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
124	118	0.038869	0.2187	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
125	119	0.038869	0.21897	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
126	120	0.038869	0.22091	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
127	121	0.038869	0.2198	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
128	122	0.038869	0.22146	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
129	123	0.038869	0.22202	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
130	124	0.03998	0.22257	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
131	125	0.038869	0.22313	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
132	126	0.03998	0.22396	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
133	127	0.03998	0.22285	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
134	128	0.038869	0.22257	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
135	129	0.038869	0.22313	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
136	130	0.038869	0.22313	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
137	131	0.038869	0.22534	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
138	132	0.038869	0.22423	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
139	133	0.038869	0.22562	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
140	134	0.038869	0.227	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0723	0.71777	0.71999	1.0031
141	135	0.038869	0.22562	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
142	136	0.038869	0.22783	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
143	137	0.038869	0.22728	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
144	138	0.038869	0.227	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
145	139	0.038869	0.22672	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
146	140	0.038869	0.22894	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
147	141	0.038869	0.22949	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
148	142	0.03998	0.22783	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
149	143	0.038869	0.23005	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
150	144	0.03998	0.22977	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
151	145	0.03998	0.23115	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
152	146	0.038869	0.23143	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
153	147	0.038869	0.23005	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
154	148	0.038869	0.23005	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
155	149	0.038869	0.23226	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
156	150	0.038869	0.23254	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0723	0.71668	0.71882	1.003
157	151	0.038869	0.23281	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
158	152	0.03998	0.23309	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
159	153	0.03998	0.23364	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
160	154	0.038869	0.2342	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
161	155	0.03998	0.23281	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
162	156	0.03998	0.23309	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
163	157	0.038869	0.23392	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
164	158	0.038869	0.23337	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
165	159	0.038869	0.23558	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
166	160	0.038869	0.2342	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
167	161	0.038869	0.23503	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
168	162	0.038869	0.23669	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
169	163	0.03998	0.23697	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
170	164	0.03998	0.23586	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
171	165	0.03998	0.23641	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
172	166	0.03998	0.23835	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
173	167	0.03998	0.23835	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
174	168	0.038869	0.23724	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
175	169	0.03998	0.23946	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
176	170	0.041091	0.24001	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
177	171	0.041091	0.23918	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
178	172	0.041091	0.24084	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
179	173	0.03998	0.24112	2.9681	8.7933	8.7923	8.0717	0.72161	0.72058	0.99857
180	174	0.03998	0.23974	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
181	175	0.038869	0.24223	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
182	176	0.03998	0.24057	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
183	177	0.03998	0.24057	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
184	178	0.03998	0.2425	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
185	179	0.03998	0.2414	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
186	180	0.038869	0.24333	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
187	181	0.03998	0.24333	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
188	182	0.03998	0.24389	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
189	183	0.041091	0.24306	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0723	0.71777	0.71999	1.0031
190	184	0.041091	0.24499	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
191	185	0.03998	0.24333	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
192	186	0.03998	0.24555	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
193	187	0.03998	0.24583	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003

194	188	0.041091	0.24444	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
195	189	0.041091	0.24499	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
196	190	0.041091	0.24666	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
197	191	0.042201	0.24693	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
198	192	0.03998	0.2461	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
199	193	0.03998	0.24804	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
200	194	0.03998	0.24666	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
201	195	0.041091	0.24887	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
202	196	0.03998	0.24693	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
203	197	0.041091	0.24749	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
204	198	0.041091	0.24776	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
205	199	0.041091	0.24832	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
206	200	0.042201	0.24887	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
207	201	0.041091	0.2497	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
208	202	0.042201	0.24887	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
209	203	0.042201	0.25109	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
210	204	0.042201	0.25192	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
211	205	0.041091	0.25219	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
212	206	0.041091	0.25247	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
213	207	0.041091	0.25192	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
214	208	0.042201	0.2533	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
215	209	0.042201	0.25164	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
216	210	0.042201	0.25192	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
217	211	0.042201	0.25413	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
218	212	0.043312	0.25302	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
219	213	0.043312	0.25496	2.9681	8.7933	8.7923	8.0723	0.72103	0.71999	0.99857
220	214	0.043312	0.25524	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0711	0.72165	0.72058	0.99852
221	215	0.042201	0.25441	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
222	216	0.042201	0.25635	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
223	217	0.041091	0.25607	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
224	218	0.042201	0.25551	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
225	219	0.042201	0.25524	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
226	220	0.042201	0.2569	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0711	0.71514	0.72058	1.0076
227	221	0.042201	0.25635	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
228	222	0.042201	0.25828	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
229	223	0.041091	0.25856	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
230	224	0.041091	0.25911	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
231	225	0.041091	0.25801	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
232	226	0.041091	0.25967	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
233	227	0.041091	0.25856	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
234	228	0.03998	0.26022	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
235	229	0.042201	0.26022	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0723	0.71397	0.71941	1.0076
236	230	0.041091	0.25939	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
237	231	0.042201	0.26022	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
238	232	0.042201	0.2616	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
239	233	0.041091	0.26216	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
240	234	0.042201	0.26271	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
241	235	0.042201	0.2616	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0711	0.72165	0.72058	0.99852
242	236	0.042201	0.26299	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
243	237	0.041091	0.26216	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
244	238	0.041091	0.26382	2.9681	8.7857	8.7911	8.0717	0.71401	0.71941	1.0076
245	239	0.042201	0.2641	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
246	240	0.042201	0.26299	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
247	241	0.043312	0.26465	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
248	242	0.043312	0.26382	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
249	243	0.043312	0.26548	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
250	244	0.043312	0.26576	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
251	245	0.043312	0.26493	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
252	246	0.043312	0.26659	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
253	247	0.043312	0.26686	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
254	248	0.043312	0.26686	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
255	249	0.043312	0.26742	2.9681	8.7906	8.7929	8.0717	0.71891	0.72116	1.0031
256	250	0.043312	0.26714	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
257	251	0.042201	0.26603	2.9681	8.7857	8.7911	8.0717	0.71401	0.71941	1.0076
258	252	0.043312	0.26714	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
259	253	0.042201	0.2688	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
260	254	0.043312	0.26908	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
261	255	0.043312	0.26963	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
262	256	0.043312	0.2688	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
263	257	0.043312	0.26825	2.9681	8.7922	8.7911	8.0717	0.72052	0.71941	0.99846
264	258	0.043312	0.27074	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
265	259	0.043312	0.27074	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
266	260	0.043312	0.27129	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
267	261	0.043312	0.26963	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
268	262	0.043312	0.27019	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
269	263	0.043312	0.27212	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0723	0.71777	0.71999	1.0031
270	264	0.043312	0.27295	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0723	0.71777	0.71999	1.0031
271	265	0.042201	0.27268	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
272	266	0.042201	0.27157	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
273	267	0.043312	0.27268	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
274	268	0.043312	0.27351	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
275	269	0.042201	0.27406	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
276	270	0.043312	0.27434	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
277	271	0.044422	0.27462	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
278	272	0.044422	0.27462	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
279	273	0.044422	0.27379	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
280	274	0.044422	0.27572	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
281	275	0.044422	0.27489	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
282	276	0.043312	0.27489	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
283	277	0.043312	0.27655	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
284	278	0.042201	0.27683	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
285	279	0.041091	0.27683	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
286	280	0.043312	0.27655	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
287	281	0.043312	0.27628	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
288	282	0.044422	0.27711	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
289	283	0.043312	0.27628	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
290	284	0.043312	0.27849	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
291	285	0.043312	0.27821	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
292	286	0.043312	0.27905	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
293	287	0.043312	0.27738	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
294	288	0.043312	0.27905	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0723	0.71668	0.71882	1.003

295	289	0.043312	0.27821	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
296	290	0.043312	0.28015	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
297	291	0.042201	0.2796	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
298	292	0.042201	0.28098	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
299	293	0.042201	0.28126	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
300	294	0.043312	0.27988	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
301	295	0.042201	0.28209	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0711	0.72165	0.72058	0.99852
302	296	0.043312	0.28015	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
303	297	0.042201	0.28043	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
304	298	0.042201	0.28264	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
305	299	0.043312	0.28126	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
306	300	0.042201	0.28347	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
307	301	0.042201	0.28347	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
308	302	0.042201	0.28237	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
309	303	0.041091	0.28375	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
310	304	0.041091	0.28458	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
311	305	0.041091	0.28458	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
312	306	0.041091	0.28292	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
313	307	0.041091	0.28541	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
314	308	0.041091	0.28541	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
315	309	0.041091	0.28375	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
316	310	0.041091	0.28403	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
317	311	0.041091	0.28541	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
318	312	0.042201	0.28403	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
319	313	0.042201	0.28652	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
320	314	0.042201	0.28486	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
321	315	0.041091	0.28735	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
322	316	0.041091	0.28569	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
323	317	0.041091	0.28763	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
324	318	0.041091	0.28597	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
325	319	0.041091	0.28818	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
326	320	0.041091	0.28652	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
327	321	0.042201	0.28818	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
328	322	0.042201	0.28846	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
329	323	0.042201	0.28735	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
330	324	0.042201	0.28818	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
331	325	0.042201	0.28763	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
332	326	0.042201	0.28929	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0723	0.71777	0.71999	1.0031
333	327	0.042201	0.28873	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
334	328	0.043312	0.28873	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
335	329	0.041091	0.28929	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
336	330	0.041091	0.28873	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
337	331	0.042201	0.29095	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
338	332	0.041091	0.29095	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
339	333	0.041091	0.29012	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
340	334	0.041091	0.29067	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0723	0.72048	0.71941	0.99851
341	335	0.041091	0.29178	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
342	336	0.03998	0.29123	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
343	337	0.041091	0.29095	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
344	338	0.041091	0.29095	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
345	339	0.041091	0.29316	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
346	340	0.041091	0.29344	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
347	341	0.041091	0.29261	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
348	342	0.041091	0.29206	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
349	343	0.041091	0.29399	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
350	344	0.03998	0.29261	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
351	345	0.03998	0.29482	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
352	346	0.03998	0.2951	2.9681	8.7922	8.7911	8.0711	0.7211	0.71999	0.99846
353	347	0.041091	0.29344	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
354	348	0.03998	0.29316	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
355	349	0.03998	0.29344	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
356	350	0.041091	0.29344	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
357	351	0.041091	0.29372	2.9681	8.7857	8.7911	8.0717	0.71401	0.71941	1.0076
358	352	0.03998	0.29482	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
359	353	0.03998	0.29455	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0711	0.71894	0.72116	1.0031
360	354	0.03998	0.29621	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
361	355	0.03998	0.29676	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
362	356	0.03998	0.29482	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
363	357	0.03998	0.29704	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
364	358	0.03998	0.29704	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
365	359	0.041091	0.29732	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
366	360	0.041091	0.29759	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
367	361	0.041091	0.29787	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
368	362	0.041091	0.29787	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
369	363	0.041091	0.29759	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
370	364	0.03998	0.29842	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
371	365	0.041091	0.29815	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
372	366	0.041091	0.29704	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
373	367	0.041091	0.29759	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
374	368	0.03998	0.29953	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
375	369	0.041091	0.29953	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
376	370	0.042201	0.30008	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
377	371	0.041091	0.30036	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
378	372	0.042201	0.30036	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
379	373	0.042201	0.30091	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
380	374	0.041091	0.30119	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
381	375	0.041091	0.30147	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
382	376	0.041091	0.30147	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
383	377	0.041091	0.30008	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
384	378	0.041091	0.30036	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
385	379	0.03998	0.3023	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
386	380	0.041091	0.30036	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
387	381	0.041091	0.30119	2.9681	8.7857	8.7911	8.0711	0.71459	0.71999	1.0076
388	382	0.03998	0.30091	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
389	383	0.03998	0.30119	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
390	384	0.041091	0.30341	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
391	385	0.041091	0.30175	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
392	386	0.041091	0.30396	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
393	387	0.041091	0.3023	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
394	388	0.041091	0.30258	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0711	0.72165	0.72058	0.99852
395	389	0.041091	0.30451	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003

396	390	0.041091	0.30285	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
397	391	0.03998	0.30479	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
398	392	0.03998	0.30313	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
399	393	0.041091	0.30534	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
400	394	0.041091	0.30534	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
401	395	0.041091	0.30396	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
402	396	0.041091	0.30451	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0723	0.71777	0.71999	1.0031
403	397	0.041091	0.30645	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
404	398	0.041091	0.30479	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
405	399	0.041091	0.30701	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
406	400	0.042201	0.30701	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
407	401	0.041091	0.30728	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
408	402	0.042201	0.3059	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
409	403	0.042201	0.30784	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
410	404	0.042201	0.30811	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
411	405	0.042201	0.30701	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
412	406	0.041091	0.30894	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
413	407	0.041091	0.30839	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0723	0.72048	0.71941	0.99851
414	408	0.041091	0.30894	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
415	409	0.03998	0.30977	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
416	410	0.03998	0.30977	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
417	411	0.03998	0.30922	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
418	412	0.041091	0.30867	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
419	413	0.03998	0.3106	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
420	414	0.041091	0.31088	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
421	415	0.03998	0.31143	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
422	416	0.03998	0.31199	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
423	417	0.03998	0.30977	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
424	418	0.03998	0.31226	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
425	419	0.038869	0.31226	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
426	420	0.038869	0.31254	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
427	421	0.038869	0.31088	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
428	422	0.038869	0.31282	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
429	423	0.038869	0.31116	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
430	424	0.03998	0.31143	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
431	425	0.03998	0.3131	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
432	426	0.038869	0.31282	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
433	427	0.03998	0.31226	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
434	428	0.03998	0.31448	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
435	429	0.038869	0.31448	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
436	430	0.038869	0.31254	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
437	431	0.038869	0.31282	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
438	432	0.03998	0.31448	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
439	433	0.038869	0.3131	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
440	434	0.038869	0.31337	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
441	435	0.038869	0.31531	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
442	436	0.038869	0.31559	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
443	437	0.03998	0.31559	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0723	0.71777	0.71999	1.0031
444	438	0.03998	0.31503	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
445	439	0.03998	0.31448	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
446	440	0.03998	0.3142	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
447	441	0.03998	0.31614	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
448	442	0.03998	0.31476	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
449	443	0.03998	0.31586	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
450	444	0.03998	0.31669	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0711	0.71514	0.72058	1.0076
451	445	0.03998	0.31642	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
452	446	0.038869	0.31697	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
453	447	0.03998	0.31725	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
454	448	0.03998	0.31752	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0723	0.71777	0.71999	1.0031
455	449	0.03998	0.31752	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
456	450	0.03998	0.31586	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
457	451	0.041091	0.3178	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
458	452	0.041091	0.31808	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
459	453	0.041091	0.31836	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
460	454	0.042201	0.31642	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
461	455	0.042201	0.31697	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
462	456	0.042201	0.31725	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0711	0.72165	0.72058	0.99852
463	457	0.042201	0.31891	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
464	458	0.041091	0.31919	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
465	459	0.041091	0.31752	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
466	460	0.041091	0.31808	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0711	0.72165	0.72058	0.99852
467	461	0.041091	0.31808	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
468	462	0.041091	0.31946	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
469	463	0.041091	0.32002	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
470	464	0.041091	0.31808	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
471	465	0.042201	0.31808	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
472	466	0.041091	0.32029	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
473	467	0.041091	0.32029	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
474	468	0.041091	0.32112	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
475	469	0.042201	0.32057	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
476	470	0.042201	0.32085	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
477	471	0.041091	0.32112	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
478	472	0.041091	0.32112	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
479	473	0.041091	0.31919	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
480	474	0.041091	0.31974	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
481	475	0.042201	0.32195	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
482	476	0.041091	0.32029	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
483	477	0.042201	0.32251	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
484	478	0.043312	0.32278	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
485	479	0.042201	0.32251	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
486	480	0.042201	0.32278	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
487	481	0.042201	0.32085	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
488	482	0.041091	0.32278	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
489	483	0.041091	0.32334	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
490	484	0.041091	0.32334	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
491	485	0.042201	0.32334	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
492	486	0.042201	0.32361	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
493	487	0.042201	0.32417	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
494	488	0.042201	0.32306	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
495	489	0.042201	0.32251	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
496	490	0.041091	0.32251	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003

497	491	0.042201	0.32417	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
498	492	0.042201	0.32445	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
499	493	0.041091	0.32472	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
500	494	0.041091	0.325	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
501	495	0.041091	0.32555	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
502	496	0.041091	0.32555	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
503	497	0.041091	0.32445	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0723	0.71777	0.71999	1.0031
504	498	0.041091	0.32417	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
505	499	0.041091	0.32417	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
506	500	0.042201	0.32611	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
507	501	0.042201	0.32638	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
508	502	0.042201	0.32611	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
509	503	0.043312	0.32417	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
510	504	0.043312	0.32528	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
511	505	0.042201	0.325	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
512	506	0.042201	0.32555	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0711	0.72165	0.72058	0.99852
513	507	0.042201	0.32721	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
514	508	0.042201	0.32721	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
515	509	0.041091	0.32583	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
516	510	0.041091	0.32749	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
517	511	0.042201	0.32555	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
518	512	0.041091	0.32777	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
519	513	0.042201	0.32777	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
520	514	0.042201	0.32777	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
521	515	0.042201	0.3286	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
522	516	0.042201	0.32666	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0723	0.71777	0.71999	1.0031
523	517	0.042201	0.32887	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
524	518	0.042201	0.32694	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
525	519	0.041091	0.32887	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
526	520	0.041091	0.32943	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
527	521	0.042201	0.32721	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
528	522	0.041091	0.32943	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
529	523	0.041091	0.32777	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
530	524	0.041091	0.32971	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
531	525	0.041091	0.32998	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
532	526	0.041091	0.32832	2.9681	8.7933	8.7923	8.0717	0.72161	0.72058	0.99857
533	527	0.042201	0.3286	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
534	528	0.042201	0.33054	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
535	529	0.042201	0.3286	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
536	530	0.042201	0.32915	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
537	531	0.042201	0.3286	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
538	532	0.042201	0.32887	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
539	533	0.042201	0.33109	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0723	0.71397	0.71941	1.0076
540	534	0.041091	0.32915	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
541	535	0.042201	0.33137	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
542	536	0.041091	0.33164	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0723	0.71777	0.71999	1.0031
543	537	0.041091	0.32998	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
544	538	0.041091	0.33192	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
545	539	0.03998	0.33137	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
546	540	0.041091	0.33192	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
547	541	0.042201	0.33026	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
548	542	0.041091	0.32998	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
549	543	0.042201	0.3322	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
550	544	0.042201	0.33247	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0723	0.71777	0.71999	1.0031
551	545	0.042201	0.33303	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
552	546	0.042201	0.33303	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
553	547	0.042201	0.33137	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
554	548	0.042201	0.3333	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
555	549	0.041091	0.33137	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
556	550	0.041091	0.33164	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
557	551	0.041091	0.33164	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
558	552	0.041091	0.33358	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
559	553	0.042201	0.33164	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
560	554	0.042201	0.33413	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
561	555	0.041091	0.33247	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
562	556	0.042201	0.33358	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
563	557	0.042201	0.33303	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0711	0.72165	0.72058	0.99852
564	558	0.042201	0.33496	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
565	559	0.042201	0.33275	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
566	560	0.042201	0.33496	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
567	561	0.041091	0.33552	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
568	562	0.042201	0.33552	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
569	563	0.042201	0.33358	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
570	564	0.042201	0.3358	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
571	565	0.042201	0.33607	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
572	566	0.042201	0.33413	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
573	567	0.042201	0.33635	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
574	568	0.042201	0.33663	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
575	569	0.042201	0.33663	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
576	570	0.042201	0.33441	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
577	571	0.041091	0.33441	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
578	572	0.042201	0.33663	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
579	573	0.042201	0.33718	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
580	574	0.042201	0.33552	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
581	575	0.042201	0.33746	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
582	576	0.042201	0.3358	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
583	577	0.041091	0.33773	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
584	578	0.041091	0.33773	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
585	579	0.041091	0.3358	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
586	580	0.041091	0.3358	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
587	581	0.041091	0.33773	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
588	582	0.03998	0.33635	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
589	583	0.03998	0.33663	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
590	584	0.042201	0.3369	2.9681	8.7933	8.7923	8.0717	0.72161	0.72058	0.99857
591	585	0.041091	0.3369	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
592	586	0.042201	0.3369	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
593	587	0.041091	0.3369	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
594	588	0.041091	0.3369	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
595	589	0.042201	0.33884	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
596	590	0.041091	0.33912	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
597	591	0.042201	0.33912	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003

598	592	0.042201	0.33746	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
599	593	0.042201	0.33939	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
600	594	0.041091	0.33967	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
601	595	0.042201	0.33801	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
602	596	0.042201	0.33801	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
603	597	0.042201	0.34022	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
604	598	0.043312	0.33829	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
605	599	0.043312	0.3405	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
606	600	0.042201	0.3405	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
607	601	0.042201	0.33856	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
608	602	0.042201	0.33912	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
609	603	0.042201	0.34106	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
610	604	0.042201	0.34106	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
611	605	0.041091	0.33967	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
612	606	0.042201	0.33939	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
613	607	0.042201	0.34133	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
614	608	0.042201	0.34161	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
615	609	0.042201	0.33967	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
616	610	0.041091	0.34161	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
617	611	0.042201	0.34078	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
618	612	0.042201	0.34216	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
619	613	0.041091	0.34022	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
620	614	0.03998	0.34244	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
621	615	0.03998	0.34216	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
622	616	0.041091	0.3405	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
623	617	0.041091	0.34078	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
624	618	0.042201	0.34272	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
625	619	0.042201	0.34272	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
626	620	0.042201	0.34272	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
627	621	0.041091	0.34272	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
628	622	0.041091	0.34327	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
629	623	0.042201	0.34133	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
630	624	0.041091	0.34355	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
631	625	0.042201	0.34355	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
632	626	0.042201	0.34161	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
633	627	0.042201	0.34355	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
634	628	0.042201	0.34189	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
635	629	0.042201	0.34382	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
636	630	0.042201	0.34382	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
637	631	0.041091	0.3441	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
638	632	0.041091	0.34438	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
639	633	0.041091	0.34327	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
640	634	0.041091	0.34493	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
641	635	0.041091	0.34272	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
642	636	0.041091	0.34299	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
643	637	0.042201	0.34299	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
644	638	0.041091	0.34299	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
645	639	0.041091	0.34521	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
646	640	0.041091	0.34548	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
647	641	0.041091	0.34493	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
648	642	0.03998	0.34382	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
649	643	0.03998	0.34604	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
650	644	0.03998	0.34382	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
651	645	0.041091	0.34493	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
652	646	0.041091	0.34382	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
653	647	0.041091	0.34382	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
654	648	0.041091	0.34382	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
655	649	0.041091	0.34576	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
656	650	0.041091	0.3441	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
657	651	0.041091	0.34659	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
658	652	0.03998	0.34659	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
659	653	0.03998	0.34659	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0723	0.71777	0.71999	1.0031
660	654	0.03998	0.34659	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
661	655	0.03998	0.34659	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
662	656	0.03998	0.34493	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
663	657	0.03998	0.34659	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
664	658	0.03998	0.34687	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
665	659	0.03998	0.34687	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
666	660	0.038869	0.34687	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
667	661	0.03998	0.34521	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
668	662	0.03998	0.34548	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0723	0.71777	0.71999	1.0031
669	663	0.038869	0.3477	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
670	664	0.038869	0.3477	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
671	665	0.038869	0.34548	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
672	666	0.038869	0.34548	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
673	667	0.038869	0.34548	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
674	668	0.038869	0.34742	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
675	669	0.038869	0.3477	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
676	670	0.038869	0.3477	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
677	671	0.038869	0.34576	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
678	672	0.038869	0.34798	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
679	673	0.037759	0.34798	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
680	674	0.038869	0.3477	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
681	675	0.038869	0.34825	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
682	676	0.038869	0.34825	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
683	677	0.038869	0.34853	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
684	678	0.038869	0.34631	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
685	679	0.038869	0.34853	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
686	680	0.038869	0.34631	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
687	681	0.038869	0.34853	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
688	682	0.038869	0.34742	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
689	683	0.038869	0.34687	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
690	684	0.037759	0.34715	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
691	685	0.037759	0.3477	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
692	686	0.037759	0.34742	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
693	687	0.037759	0.34742	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
694	688	0.037759	0.34742	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
695	689	0.037759	0.34742	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
696	690	0.037759	0.34715	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
697	691	0.036648	0.34715	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
698	692	0.037759	0.34936	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003

699	693	0.037759	0.34798	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
700	694	0.036648	0.34964	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
701	695	0.037759	0.3477	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
702	696	0.037759	0.34964	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
703	697	0.037759	0.34964	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
704	698	0.037759	0.34853	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
705	699	0.037759	0.34742	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
706	700	0.037759	0.34936	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
707	701	0.037759	0.3477	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
708	702	0.037759	0.34964	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
709	703	0.037759	0.34853	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
710	704	0.037759	0.34825	2.9681	8.7933	8.7923	8.0723	0.72103	0.71999	0.99857
711	705	0.037759	0.35047	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
712	706	0.037759	0.34798	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
713	707	0.037759	0.34798	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
714	708	0.037759	0.3477	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
715	709	0.038869	0.3477	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
716	710	0.037759	0.3477	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
717	711	0.037759	0.3477	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
718	712	0.037759	0.3477	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
719	713	0.037759	0.35019	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
720	714	0.037759	0.34825	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
721	715	0.037759	0.34881	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0723	0.72048	0.71941	0.99851
722	716	0.037759	0.35047	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
723	717	0.037759	0.34853	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
724	718	0.037759	0.35047	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
725	719	0.037759	0.35047	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
726	720	0.037759	0.34825	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
727	721	0.037759	0.35074	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
728	722	0.037759	0.34825	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
729	723	0.037759	0.34853	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
730	724	0.037759	0.35102	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
731	725	0.038869	0.34964	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0723	0.71777	0.71999	1.0031
732	726	0.037759	0.34991	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
733	727	0.037759	0.34908	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
734	728	0.037759	0.34908	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
735	729	0.038869	0.34908	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
736	730	0.037759	0.34908	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
737	731	0.037759	0.34964	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
738	732	0.038869	0.34936	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
739	733	0.037759	0.35074	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
740	734	0.038869	0.35157	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
741	735	0.037759	0.35185	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
742	736	0.037759	0.35019	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
743	737	0.037759	0.35213	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
744	738	0.037759	0.35213	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
745	739	0.037759	0.34964	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
746	740	0.037759	0.35213	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
747	741	0.037759	0.35047	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
748	742	0.037759	0.34964	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
749	743	0.037759	0.34964	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
750	744	0.037759	0.34964	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
751	745	0.036648	0.35185	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
752	746	0.036648	0.35019	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
753	747	0.036648	0.35268	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
754	748	0.036648	0.35213	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
755	749	0.037759	0.35019	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
756	750	0.036648	0.35019	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
757	751	0.036648	0.34991	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
758	752	0.036648	0.34991	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
759	753	0.036648	0.34991	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
760	754	0.037759	0.34991	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
761	755	0.036648	0.34991	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
762	756	0.036648	0.35241	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
763	757	0.036648	0.35241	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
764	758	0.036648	0.35296	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
765	759	0.036648	0.35268	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0711	0.72165	0.72058	0.99852
766	760	0.037759	0.35047	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
767	761	0.037759	0.35047	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
768	762	0.037759	0.35268	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
769	763	0.037759	0.35047	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
770	764	0.037759	0.3513	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
771	765	0.036648	0.35047	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
772	766	0.037759	0.35268	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
773	767	0.037759	0.35324	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
774	768	0.037759	0.35102	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
775	769	0.037759	0.35102	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
776	770	0.037759	0.35324	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
777	771	0.036648	0.35324	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
778	772	0.037759	0.35324	2.9681	8.7857	8.7911	8.0717	0.71401	0.71941	1.0076
779	773	0.037759	0.35241	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
780	774	0.037759	0.35102	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
781	775	0.037759	0.35324	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
782	776	0.037759	0.35296	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
783	777	0.037759	0.3513	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
784	778	0.037759	0.35379	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
785	779	0.037759	0.35213	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
786	780	0.037759	0.35407	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
787	781	0.037759	0.35407	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
788	782	0.037759	0.35351	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
789	783	0.037759	0.35351	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
790	784	0.037759	0.35185	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
791	785	0.037759	0.35185	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
792	786	0.038869	0.35434	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
793	787	0.037759	0.35296	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
794	788	0.037759	0.35351	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
795	789	0.037759	0.35462	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
796	790	0.038869	0.35324	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0723	0.71777	0.71999	1.0031
797	791	0.038869	0.35268	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
798	792	0.038869	0.35241	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
799	793	0.038869	0.35241	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076

800	794	0.038869	0.35213	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
801	795	0.038869	0.35462	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
802	796	0.038869	0.35213	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
803	797	0.038869	0.35434	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
804	798	0.038869	0.35434	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
805	799	0.038869	0.35296	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
806	800	0.038869	0.3549	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
807	801	0.037759	0.35517	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
808	802	0.037759	0.35517	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
809	803	0.037759	0.35517	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
810	804	0.037759	0.35296	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
811	805	0.037759	0.35268	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
812	806	0.037759	0.35268	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
813	807	0.037759	0.3549	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
814	808	0.037759	0.3549	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
815	809	0.037759	0.3549	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
816	810	0.037759	0.35379	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
817	811	0.037759	0.35545	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
818	812	0.038869	0.35379	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0723	0.72048	0.71941	0.99851
819	813	0.037759	0.35573	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
820	814	0.038869	0.35324	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
821	815	0.038869	0.35324	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
822	816	0.037759	0.35324	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
823	817	0.038869	0.35324	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
824	818	0.038869	0.35324	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
825	819	0.038869	0.35351	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
826	820	0.038869	0.35517	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
827	821	0.037759	0.356	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
828	822	0.037759	0.35407	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
829	823	0.037759	0.35434	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
830	824	0.037759	0.35628	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
831	825	0.038869	0.35628	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
832	826	0.037759	0.35407	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
833	827	0.038869	0.35407	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
834	828	0.038869	0.35407	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
835	829	0.038869	0.35407	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
836	830	0.038869	0.35628	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
837	831	0.038869	0.35462	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
838	832	0.038869	0.35683	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
839	833	0.038869	0.3549	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
840	834	0.038869	0.35573	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0723	0.72048	0.71941	0.99851
841	835	0.037759	0.3549	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
842	836	0.037759	0.3549	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
843	837	0.037759	0.35711	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
844	838	0.038869	0.35711	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
845	839	0.038869	0.35711	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
846	840	0.037759	0.35739	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
847	841	0.037759	0.35462	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
848	842	0.037759	0.35711	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
849	843	0.037759	0.3549	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
850	844	0.038869	0.35767	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
851	845	0.038869	0.35739	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0723	0.72048	0.71941	0.99851
852	846	0.037759	0.35739	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
853	847	0.037759	0.35739	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
854	848	0.037759	0.35739	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
855	849	0.037759	0.35711	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
856	850	0.037759	0.35739	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
857	851	0.037759	0.35739	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
858	852	0.037759	0.35739	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
859	853	0.037759	0.35711	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
860	854	0.037759	0.35767	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
861	855	0.037759	0.35794	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
862	856	0.037759	0.356	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
863	857	0.037759	0.35794	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
864	858	0.037759	0.356	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
865	859	0.038869	0.35794	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
866	860	0.038869	0.35794	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
867	861	0.037759	0.35739	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
868	862	0.037759	0.35573	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
869	863	0.037759	0.35794	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
870	864	0.038869	0.35794	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
871	865	0.038869	0.35794	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
872	866	0.038869	0.3585	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
873	867	0.038869	0.35711	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0723	0.71777	0.71999	1.0031
874	868	0.036648	0.3585	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
875	869	0.036648	0.3585	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
876	870	0.036648	0.35711	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0711	0.72165	0.72058	0.99852
877	871	0.036648	0.35628	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
878	872	0.036648	0.35628	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
879	873	0.036648	0.356	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
880	874	0.036648	0.356	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
881	875	0.036648	0.356	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0723	0.71668	0.71882	1.003
882	876	0.036648	0.35877	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
883	877	0.036648	0.3585	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
884	878	0.036648	0.35905	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
885	879	0.036648	0.35905	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
886	880	0.035538	0.35905	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
887	881	0.036648	0.35905	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
888	882	0.035538	0.35683	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
889	883	0.036648	0.35905	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
890	884	0.036648	0.35933	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
891	885	0.036648	0.35905	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
892	886	0.036648	0.35711	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
893	887	0.036648	0.3596	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
894	888	0.036648	0.35877	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
895	889	0.036648	0.35988	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
896	890	0.036648	0.35767	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
897	891	0.036648	0.35767	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
898	892	0.036648	0.35767	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
899	893	0.036648	0.35739	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
900	894	0.036648	0.35739	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003

901	895	0.036648	0.35739	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0723	0.71668	0.71882	1.003
902	896	0.036648	0.35739	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
903	897	0.036648	0.35739	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
904	898	0.036648	0.35933	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
905	899	0.036648	0.35988	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
906	900	0.036648	0.35988	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
907	901	0.036648	0.35794	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
908	902	0.036648	0.3585	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
909	903	0.037759	0.35822	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
910	904	0.037759	0.35933	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0723	0.71668	0.71882	1.003
911	905	0.036648	0.36016	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
912	906	0.037759	0.36016	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
913	907	0.037759	0.36016	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
914	908	0.037759	0.36016	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
915	909	0.037759	0.36016	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
916	910	0.036648	0.36043	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
917	911	0.036648	0.35877	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
918	912	0.036648	0.36071	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
919	913	0.036648	0.36071	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
920	914	0.036648	0.36071	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
921	915	0.037759	0.36071	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
922	916	0.037759	0.36071	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
923	917	0.037759	0.3585	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
924	918	0.037759	0.3585	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
925	919	0.037759	0.3585	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
926	920	0.037759	0.3585	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
927	921	0.037759	0.36071	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
928	922	0.037759	0.36071	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
929	923	0.037759	0.36126	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
930	924	0.036648	0.36154	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
931	925	0.036648	0.36154	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
932	926	0.036648	0.36154	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
933	927	0.037759	0.36154	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
934	928	0.037759	0.36154	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
935	929	0.037759	0.36154	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
936	930	0.037759	0.36154	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
937	931	0.037759	0.35988	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
938	932	0.037759	0.35905	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
939	933	0.038869	0.3596	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
940	934	0.037759	0.36209	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
941	935	0.037759	0.36154	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
942	936	0.037759	0.35988	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
943	937	0.037759	0.36182	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
944	938	0.037759	0.36182	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
945	939	0.037759	0.36182	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
946	940	0.037759	0.36016	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
947	941	0.037759	0.36182	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
948	942	0.037759	0.36182	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
949	943	0.037759	0.3596	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
950	944	0.037759	0.36182	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
951	945	0.037759	0.35988	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
952	946	0.037759	0.36016	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
953	947	0.037759	0.36265	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
954	948	0.037759	0.36265	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
955	949	0.037759	0.36265	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
956	950	0.037759	0.36154	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
957	951	0.037759	0.36265	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
958	952	0.037759	0.36237	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
959	953	0.037759	0.36237	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
960	954	0.037759	0.36265	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
961	955	0.037759	0.36043	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
962	956	0.037759	0.36265	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
963	957	0.038869	0.36292	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
964	958	0.037759	0.36292	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0723	0.71777	0.71999	1.0031
965	959	0.037759	0.36071	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
966	960	0.037759	0.36071	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
967	961	0.037759	0.36071	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
968	962	0.037759	0.36043	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
969	963	0.038869	0.36071	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
970	964	0.038869	0.36292	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
971	965	0.037759	0.36071	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
972	966	0.037759	0.3632	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
973	967	0.037759	0.3632	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
974	968	0.037759	0.36348	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
975	969	0.037759	0.36376	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
976	970	0.037759	0.36376	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
977	971	0.037759	0.36376	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
978	972	0.037759	0.36237	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
979	973	0.037759	0.36376	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
980	974	0.037759	0.36376	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
981	975	0.037759	0.36376	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
982	976	0.037759	0.36265	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
983	977	0.037759	0.36154	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
984	978	0.036648	0.36403	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
985	979	0.037759	0.36431	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
986	980	0.037759	0.36209	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
987	981	0.037759	0.36265	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
988	982	0.037759	0.36459	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
989	983	0.037759	0.36459	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
990	984	0.037759	0.36209	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
991	985	0.037759	0.36431	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
992	986	0.037759	0.36209	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
993	987	0.037759	0.36209	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
994	988	0.037759	0.36209	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
995	989	0.037759	0.36209	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
996	990	0.037759	0.36209	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
997	991	0.037759	0.36459	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
998	992	0.037759	0.36403	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
999	993	0.037759	0.36376	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1000	994	0.037759	0.36376	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1001	995	0.037759	0.36376	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003

1002	996	0.037759	0.36376	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1003	997	0.038869	0.36292	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1004	998	0.038869	0.36292	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1005	999	0.038869	0.36265	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1006	1000	0.038869	0.36486	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1007	1001	0.038869	0.36292	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1008	1002	0.038869	0.36542	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1009	1003	0.038869	0.3632	2.9681	8.7933	8.7923	8.0717	0.72161	0.72058	0.99857
1010	1004	0.038869	0.36569	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
1011	1005	0.037759	0.36569	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
1012	1006	0.037759	0.36569	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1013	1007	0.038869	0.36569	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1014	1008	0.038869	0.36569	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1015	1009	0.038869	0.36542	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1016	1010	0.037759	0.36542	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1017	1011	0.037759	0.36597	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1018	1012	0.038869	0.36348	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1019	1013	0.038869	0.36597	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1020	1014	0.037759	0.36376	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1021	1015	0.037759	0.36403	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
1022	1016	0.037759	0.36652	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
1023	1017	0.037759	0.36652	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1024	1018	0.037759	0.36652	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1025	1019	0.037759	0.36652	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1026	1020	0.037759	0.36652	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
1027	1021	0.037759	0.36652	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1028	1022	0.037759	0.36652	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1029	1023	0.038869	0.36652	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1030	1024	0.038869	0.36652	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1031	1025	0.038869	0.3668	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1032	1026	0.038869	0.36708	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1033	1027	0.038869	0.3668	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
1034	1028	0.037759	0.36486	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
1035	1029	0.037759	0.36486	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1036	1030	0.037759	0.36459	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
1037	1031	0.037759	0.36431	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1038	1032	0.038869	0.36459	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1039	1033	0.038869	0.36459	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1040	1034	0.038869	0.36459	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1041	1035	0.03998	0.36459	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1042	1036	0.03998	0.3668	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1043	1037	0.038869	0.36735	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
1044	1038	0.038869	0.36735	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
1045	1039	0.038869	0.36542	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
1046	1040	0.038869	0.36514	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1047	1041	0.038869	0.36514	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1048	1042	0.038869	0.36514	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1049	1043	0.038869	0.36514	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1050	1044	0.038869	0.36708	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0723	0.71668	0.71882	1.003
1051	1045	0.038869	0.36735	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
1052	1046	0.03998	0.36735	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1053	1047	0.03998	0.36763	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1054	1048	0.038869	0.36542	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
1055	1049	0.038869	0.36791	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1056	1050	0.038869	0.36597	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1057	1051	0.038869	0.36569	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1058	1052	0.038869	0.36569	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
1059	1053	0.038869	0.36569	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1060	1054	0.038869	0.36569	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1061	1055	0.038869	0.36569	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
1062	1056	0.038869	0.36597	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1063	1057	0.038869	0.36708	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
1064	1058	0.038869	0.36569	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1065	1059	0.038869	0.36846	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1066	1060	0.038869	0.36846	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1067	1061	0.037759	0.36625	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1068	1062	0.037759	0.36791	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
1069	1063	0.038869	0.36874	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1070	1064	0.038869	0.36874	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1071	1065	0.038869	0.36818	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
1072	1066	0.037759	0.36874	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1073	1067	0.037759	0.36874	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
1074	1068	0.037759	0.36874	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1075	1069	0.038869	0.36874	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
1076	1070	0.038869	0.36874	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
1077	1071	0.038869	0.36874	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1078	1072	0.038869	0.36652	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
1079	1073	0.038869	0.36763	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
1080	1074	0.038869	0.36708	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1081	1075	0.038869	0.36708	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
1082	1076	0.03998	0.36708	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
1083	1077	0.038869	0.36929	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1084	1078	0.03998	0.36929	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1085	1079	0.038869	0.36902	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1086	1080	0.038869	0.3668	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1087	1081	0.038869	0.36902	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1088	1082	0.038869	0.36708	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
1089	1083	0.03998	0.36929	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1090	1084	0.038869	0.36957	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1091	1085	0.03998	0.36735	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
1092	1086	0.03998	0.36957	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1093	1087	0.03998	0.36763	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1094	1088	0.03998	0.36985	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
1095	1089	0.03998	0.36708	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1096	1090	0.03998	0.36957	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1097	1091	0.03998	0.36957	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1098	1092	0.038869	0.36957	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1099	1093	0.038869	0.36985	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1100	1094	0.038869	0.36735	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1101	1095	0.038869	0.36763	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1102	1096	0.038869	0.3704	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003

1103	1097	0.037759	0.37068	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
1104	1098	0.038869	0.37068	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1105	1099	0.037759	0.36791	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1106	1100	0.038869	0.3704	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
1107	1101	0.037759	0.36846	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1108	1102	0.037759	0.36791	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1109	1103	0.037759	0.36763	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1110	1104	0.038869	0.3704	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
1111	1105	0.037759	0.36818	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1112	1106	0.037759	0.36791	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
1113	1107	0.037759	0.37012	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1114	1108	0.037759	0.36846	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1115	1109	0.038869	0.37095	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
1116	1110	0.037759	0.36846	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1117	1111	0.037759	0.36818	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1118	1112	0.038869	0.37068	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
1119	1113	0.038869	0.37068	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1120	1114	0.038869	0.37068	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1121	1115	0.038869	0.37068	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1122	1116	0.038869	0.37068	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
1123	1117	0.038869	0.36818	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1124	1118	0.038869	0.36846	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
1125	1119	0.038869	0.36846	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1126	1120	0.037759	0.36902	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
1127	1121	0.038869	0.37068	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
1128	1122	0.038869	0.37123	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
1129	1123	0.038869	0.37123	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1130	1124	0.038869	0.36874	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1131	1125	0.038869	0.36874	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1132	1126	0.038869	0.36874	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1133	1127	0.038869	0.37123	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
1134	1128	0.038869	0.37068	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
1135	1129	0.038869	0.37068	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1136	1130	0.038869	0.37151	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1137	1131	0.038869	0.37068	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
1138	1132	0.038869	0.37206	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
1139	1133	0.038869	0.36957	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1140	1134	0.038869	0.36957	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1141	1135	0.038869	0.37206	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1142	1136	0.038869	0.37206	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1143	1137	0.03998	0.36957	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1144	1138	0.038869	0.36957	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
1145	1139	0.03998	0.37206	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
1146	1140	0.03998	0.37206	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1147	1141	0.038869	0.37206	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1148	1142	0.038869	0.37178	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
1149	1143	0.038869	0.37234	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1150	1144	0.038869	0.37012	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1151	1145	0.038869	0.3704	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
1152	1146	0.037759	0.37012	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1153	1147	0.038869	0.36985	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1154	1148	0.038869	0.36985	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
1155	1149	0.038869	0.37234	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1156	1150	0.038869	0.37234	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
1157	1151	0.038869	0.36957	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0723	0.71397	0.71941	1.0076
1158	1152	0.038869	0.36985	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1159	1153	0.038869	0.37234	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
1160	1154	0.038869	0.37234	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1161	1155	0.038869	0.37012	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1162	1156	0.038869	0.37095	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
1163	1157	0.038869	0.37317	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1164	1158	0.038869	0.37317	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
1165	1159	0.038869	0.37317	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1166	1160	0.038869	0.37289	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1167	1161	0.038869	0.37289	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1168	1162	0.038869	0.3704	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1169	1163	0.038869	0.3704	2.9681	8.7857	8.7911	8.0717	0.71401	0.71941	1.0076
1170	1164	0.038869	0.3704	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1171	1165	0.038869	0.37068	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1172	1166	0.038869	0.37317	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1173	1167	0.038869	0.37095	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1174	1168	0.038869	0.37095	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1175	1169	0.037759	0.37344	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1176	1170	0.037759	0.37289	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
1177	1171	0.038869	0.37095	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
1178	1172	0.038869	0.37095	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1179	1173	0.038869	0.37095	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1180	1174	0.038869	0.37095	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1181	1175	0.038869	0.37344	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
1182	1176	0.038869	0.37317	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1183	1177	0.038869	0.37123	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1184	1178	0.038869	0.37123	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1185	1179	0.038869	0.374	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1186	1180	0.038869	0.37372	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0723	0.72048	0.71941	0.99851
1187	1181	0.038869	0.37427	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1188	1182	0.037759	0.37178	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1189	1183	0.037759	0.37206	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
1190	1184	0.037759	0.37151	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1191	1185	0.038869	0.37372	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
1192	1186	0.037759	0.37427	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
1193	1187	0.038869	0.374	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
1194	1188	0.038869	0.37178	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
1195	1189	0.038869	0.374	2.9681	8.7857	8.7911	8.0711	0.71459	0.71999	1.0076
1196	1190	0.038869	0.37151	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1197	1191	0.038869	0.37234	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
1198	1192	0.038869	0.37206	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1199	1193	0.038869	0.37455	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1200	1194	0.038869	0.37455	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1201	1195	0.037759	0.37206	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
1202	1196	0.037759	0.37178	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
1203	1197	0.037759	0.37455	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076

1204	1198	0.038869	0.374	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
1205	1199	0.038869	0.37234	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1206	1200	0.038869	0.37206	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1207	1201	0.038869	0.37206	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
1208	1202	0.038869	0.374	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0711	0.71514	0.72058	1.0076
1209	1203	0.03998	0.37483	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0723	0.71777	0.71999	1.0031
1210	1204	0.038869	0.37289	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
1211	1205	0.038869	0.37261	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
1212	1206	0.03998	0.37261	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1213	1207	0.03998	0.37511	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1214	1208	0.038869	0.37511	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1215	1209	0.038869	0.37511	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1216	1210	0.038869	0.37344	2.9681	8.7857	8.7911	8.0711	0.71459	0.71999	1.0076
1217	1211	0.038869	0.37511	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1218	1212	0.038869	0.37538	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1219	1213	0.038869	0.37538	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1220	1214	0.03998	0.37289	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1221	1215	0.038869	0.37344	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
1222	1216	0.038869	0.37594	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
1223	1217	0.03998	0.37344	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1224	1218	0.038869	0.37372	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
1225	1219	0.038869	0.37566	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
1226	1220	0.038869	0.37372	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1227	1221	0.038869	0.37344	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
1228	1222	0.038869	0.37344	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1229	1223	0.038869	0.37344	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1230	1224	0.038869	0.37344	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1231	1225	0.038869	0.37344	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
1232	1226	0.038869	0.37566	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1233	1227	0.038869	0.37372	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1234	1228	0.038869	0.37677	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
1235	1229	0.038869	0.374	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1236	1230	0.038869	0.37649	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1237	1231	0.038869	0.37621	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1238	1232	0.038869	0.37649	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1239	1233	0.038869	0.37649	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1240	1234	0.038869	0.37566	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1241	1235	0.038869	0.37649	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1242	1236	0.038869	0.37649	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1243	1237	0.038869	0.37372	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1244	1238	0.038869	0.37649	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1245	1239	0.038869	0.37677	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
1246	1240	0.03998	0.37704	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
1247	1241	0.038869	0.37455	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1248	1242	0.038869	0.37455	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1249	1243	0.038869	0.37427	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1250	1244	0.03998	0.37427	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1251	1245	0.03998	0.37427	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1252	1246	0.03998	0.37427	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1253	1247	0.038869	0.37427	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1254	1248	0.038869	0.37427	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1255	1249	0.038869	0.37649	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1256	1250	0.038869	0.37704	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1257	1251	0.038869	0.37732	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1258	1252	0.038869	0.3776	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
1259	1253	0.038869	0.37511	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1260	1254	0.038869	0.3776	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1261	1255	0.038869	0.3776	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
1262	1256	0.038869	0.37511	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1263	1257	0.03998	0.37732	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1264	1258	0.03998	0.3776	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1265	1259	0.03998	0.37787	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1266	1260	0.038869	0.3776	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1267	1261	0.038869	0.37566	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
1268	1262	0.037759	0.37787	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1269	1263	0.037759	0.37538	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1270	1264	0.037759	0.37566	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
1271	1265	0.037759	0.37594	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
1272	1266	0.037759	0.37594	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1273	1267	0.037759	0.37566	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1274	1268	0.037759	0.37538	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1275	1269	0.037759	0.37538	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
1276	1270	0.037759	0.37538	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1277	1271	0.038869	0.37787	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1278	1272	0.037759	0.37815	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1279	1273	0.037759	0.37815	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1280	1274	0.037759	0.37787	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1281	1275	0.037759	0.3776	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
1282	1276	0.038869	0.37621	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
1283	1277	0.038869	0.37843	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
1284	1278	0.038869	0.37621	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1285	1279	0.038869	0.37594	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1286	1280	0.038869	0.37843	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1287	1281	0.038869	0.37843	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1288	1282	0.038869	0.37843	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1289	1283	0.038869	0.37843	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1290	1284	0.038869	0.37594	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1291	1285	0.038869	0.37621	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1292	1286	0.038869	0.37843	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1293	1287	0.037759	0.37621	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1294	1288	0.037759	0.37898	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
1295	1289	0.038869	0.37677	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0711	0.72165	0.72058	0.99852
1296	1290	0.038869	0.37926	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1297	1291	0.038869	0.37677	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1298	1292	0.038869	0.37926	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
1299	1293	0.038869	0.37649	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1300	1294	0.038869	0.37649	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1301	1295	0.038869	0.37843	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
1302	1296	0.038869	0.37649	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1303	1297	0.03998	0.37649	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1304	1298	0.038869	0.37649	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003

1305	1299	0.038869	0.37926	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
1306	1300	0.038869	0.37843	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
1307	1301	0.038869	0.37981	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0723	0.72048	0.71941	0.99851
1308	1302	0.038869	0.37981	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1309	1303	0.038869	0.37787	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1310	1304	0.038869	0.37704	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1311	1305	0.038869	0.37981	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1312	1306	0.038869	0.37787	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1313	1307	0.038869	0.37953	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1314	1308	0.038869	0.37704	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
1315	1309	0.038869	0.37981	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
1316	1310	0.038869	0.37981	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1317	1311	0.038869	0.38009	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
1318	1312	0.037759	0.37787	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1319	1313	0.037759	0.38037	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1320	1314	0.037759	0.37787	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
1321	1315	0.037759	0.38037	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1322	1316	0.037759	0.38037	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
1323	1317	0.038869	0.37981	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
1324	1318	0.038869	0.3776	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
1325	1319	0.037759	0.3776	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
1326	1320	0.038869	0.3776	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1327	1321	0.038869	0.3776	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
1328	1322	0.038869	0.3776	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1329	1323	0.038869	0.38009	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1330	1324	0.037759	0.37953	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
1331	1325	0.037759	0.38092	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1332	1326	0.037759	0.37815	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1333	1327	0.037759	0.37843	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
1334	1328	0.037759	0.37815	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1335	1329	0.038869	0.38064	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1336	1330	0.038869	0.38092	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1337	1331	0.038869	0.38092	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1338	1332	0.038869	0.37843	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
1339	1333	0.038869	0.38092	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1340	1334	0.038869	0.38092	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
1341	1335	0.038869	0.37815	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1342	1336	0.038869	0.37843	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1343	1337	0.038869	0.38147	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
1344	1338	0.037759	0.37898	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1345	1339	0.037759	0.38147	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1346	1340	0.037759	0.37898	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1347	1341	0.037759	0.3812	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1348	1342	0.038869	0.38147	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1349	1343	0.038869	0.3787	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1350	1344	0.038869	0.38175	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1351	1345	0.038869	0.37898	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1352	1346	0.038869	0.37898	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1353	1347	0.038869	0.37926	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1354	1348	0.038869	0.38064	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
1355	1349	0.037759	0.38203	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
1356	1350	0.037759	0.38037	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0711	0.72165	0.72058	0.99852
1357	1351	0.037759	0.37981	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1358	1352	0.036648	0.38037	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
1359	1353	0.037759	0.37953	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1360	1354	0.037759	0.38064	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
1361	1355	0.037759	0.37953	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
1362	1356	0.037759	0.38203	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1363	1357	0.037759	0.38147	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0711	0.71514	0.72058	1.0076
1364	1358	0.037759	0.37953	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
1365	1359	0.037759	0.3812	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
1366	1360	0.037759	0.38203	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1367	1361	0.037759	0.38203	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1368	1362	0.037759	0.38037	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1369	1363	0.036648	0.37981	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1370	1364	0.037759	0.37981	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1371	1365	0.037759	0.37981	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
1372	1366	0.037759	0.37953	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1373	1367	0.037759	0.37953	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1374	1368	0.038869	0.37953	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1375	1369	0.038869	0.37953	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1376	1370	0.038869	0.3823	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1377	1371	0.038869	0.3823	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1378	1372	0.038869	0.3823	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1379	1373	0.038869	0.38258	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
1380	1374	0.038869	0.38258	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
1381	1375	0.038869	0.38286	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1382	1376	0.037759	0.38009	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1383	1377	0.038869	0.38286	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1384	1378	0.038869	0.38286	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1385	1379	0.038869	0.38286	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1386	1380	0.038869	0.38286	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1387	1381	0.037759	0.38092	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
1388	1382	0.038869	0.38009	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
1389	1383	0.038869	0.38037	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1390	1384	0.037759	0.38009	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1391	1385	0.038869	0.38313	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1392	1386	0.038869	0.3812	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1393	1387	0.038869	0.38369	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
1394	1388	0.038869	0.38369	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1395	1389	0.037759	0.38147	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
1396	1390	0.038869	0.38369	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1397	1391	0.038869	0.38341	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1398	1392	0.038869	0.38341	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1399	1393	0.038869	0.38341	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1400	1394	0.038869	0.38341	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1401	1395	0.038869	0.38369	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1402	1396	0.038869	0.38369	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
1403	1397	0.038869	0.38092	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1404	1398	0.038869	0.38147	2.9681	8.7901	8.7923	8.0717	0.71836	0.72058	1.0031
1405	1399	0.037759	0.38175	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003

1406	1400	0.037759	0.38147	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
1407	1401	0.037759	0.38175	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
1408	1402	0.037759	0.38147	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
1409	1403	0.037759	0.38452	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1410	1404	0.038869	0.38258	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1411	1405	0.037759	0.38175	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1412	1406	0.037759	0.38175	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1413	1407	0.037759	0.38452	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1414	1408	0.037759	0.38452	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1415	1409	0.037759	0.38452	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1416	1410	0.037759	0.38479	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1417	1411	0.037759	0.38203	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1418	1412	0.037759	0.38258	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
1419	1413	0.037759	0.38203	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1420	1414	0.037759	0.38203	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1421	1415	0.037759	0.38203	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1422	1416	0.037759	0.38452	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1423	1417	0.037759	0.38479	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
1424	1418	0.037759	0.38479	2.9681	8.7863	8.7917	8.0717	0.71456	0.71999	1.0076
1425	1419	0.037759	0.38479	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1426	1420	0.038869	0.38507	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
1427	1421	0.037759	0.38452	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1428	1422	0.037759	0.38507	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1429	1423	0.037759	0.38507	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1430	1424	0.037759	0.38313	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1431	1425	0.037759	0.38258	2.9681	8.7928	8.7917	8.0717	0.72106	0.71999	0.99851
1432	1426	0.037759	0.38258	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1433	1427	0.038869	0.3823	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1434	1428	0.037759	0.38535	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1435	1429	0.037759	0.38507	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1436	1430	0.037759	0.38341	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1437	1431	0.037759	0.3823	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
1438	1432	0.037759	0.38507	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	7.5414	7.5439	7.4717	0.069724	0.072201	1.0355
2	0.012483	0	0	2.9681	7.5453	7.548	7.4746	0.070614	0.073377	1.0391
3	0.05415	0	0.0099659	2.9681	7.5643	7.565	7.4846	0.079692	0.08043	1.0093
4	0.10005	0	0.037095	2.9681	7.573	7.5709	7.4758	0.097157	0.095092	0.97874
5	0.25028	0	0.057304	2.9681	7.5687	7.5697	7.4735	0.095198	0.096263	1.0112
6	0.50072	0	0.064225	2.9681	7.5681	7.5691	7.4723	0.095824	0.096849	1.0107
7	1.0014	0	0.068654	2.9681	7.5698	7.5709	7.4717	0.098048	0.099195	1.0117
8	2.0028	0	0.069761	2.9681	7.5703	7.5715	7.4723	0.098008	0.099196	1.0121
9	4.0016	0.00091637	0.070038	2.9681	7.5698	7.5709	7.4717	0.098048	0.099195	1.0117
10	6.0003	0	0.069208	2.9681	7.5703	7.5715	7.4711	0.099181	0.10037	1.012
11	8.0031	0	0.068654	2.9681	7.5703	7.5715	7.4717	0.098594	0.099782	1.012
12	10.002	0	0.06727	2.9681	7.5703	7.5715	7.4717	0.098594	0.099782	1.012
13	12.001	0	0.066439	2.9681	7.5703	7.5715	7.4711	0.099181	0.10037	1.012
14	14.003	0	0.065609	2.9681	7.5703	7.5715	7.4717	0.098594	0.099782	1.012
15	16.002	0.00091637	0.064778	2.9681	7.5703	7.5715	7.4717	0.098594	0.099782	1.012
16	18.001	0.00091637	0.063948	2.9681	7.5703	7.5715	7.4717	0.098594	0.099782	1.012
17	20.004	0.00091637	0.063394	2.9681	7.5735	7.5715	7.4717	0.10181	0.099782	0.98011
18	22.002	0	0.063117	2.9681	7.5703	7.5715	7.4717	0.098594	0.099782	1.012
19	24.001	0	0.06201	2.9681	7.5703	7.5715	7.4717	0.098594	0.099782	1.012
20	26.004	0	0.06118	2.9681	7.5698	7.5709	7.4717	0.098048	0.099195	1.0117
21	28.003	0.00091637	0.060349	2.9681	7.5698	7.5709	7.4717	0.098048	0.099195	1.0117
22	30.001	0.00091637	0.059519	2.9681	7.5703	7.5715	7.4717	0.098594	0.099782	1.012
23	30.052	0.00091637	0.059519	2.9681	7.5703	7.5715	7.4717	0.098594	0.099782	1.012

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	7.5703	7.5715	7.4717	0.098594	0.099782	1.012
2	0.012533	0.00091637	0.00055366	2.9681	7.5991	7.5955	7.4875	0.11157	0.10801	0.9681
3	0.050033	0.00091637	0.040971	2.9681	7.6923	7.6853	7.5362	0.15608	0.14914	0.95557
4	0.1001	0.00091637	0.16859	2.9681	7.6824	7.7024	7.5004	0.18197	0.20192	1.1096
5	0.25033	0.0082473	0.20707	2.9681	7.7014	7.7194	7.4711	0.23033	0.24825	1.0778
6	0.50068	0.02016	0.2162	2.9681	7.7116	7.72	7.4723	0.23934	0.24767	1.0348
7	1.0014	0.021993	0.22146	2.9681	7.7191	7.7211	7.4723	0.24686	0.24884	1.008
8	2.0029	0.021993	0.22479	2.9681	7.7197	7.7217	7.4717	0.24799	0.25001	1.0082
9	4.0016	0.021993	0.22672	2.9681	7.7197	7.7217	7.4717	0.24799	0.25001	1.0082
10	6.0003	0.021993	0.22728	2.9681	7.7197	7.7217	7.4717	0.24799	0.25001	1.0082
11	8.0031	0.021993	0.22728	2.9681	7.7197	7.7217	7.4717	0.24799	0.25001	1.0082
12	10.002	0.022909	0.22755	2.9681	7.7197	7.7217	7.4717	0.24799	0.25001	1.0082
13	12.001	0.022909	0.22645	2.9681	7.7197	7.7217	7.4717	0.24799	0.25001	1.0082
14	14.004	0.021993	0.22589	2.9681	7.7197	7.7217	7.4711	0.24857	0.2506	1.0082
15	16.002	0.021993	0.22589	2.9681	7.7197	7.7217	7.4717	0.24799	0.25001	1.0082
16	18.001	0.021993	0.22506	2.9681	7.7229	7.7217	7.4717	0.2512	0.25001	0.99528
17	20.004	0.022909	0.22479	2.9681	7.7197	7.7217	7.4717	0.24799	0.25001	1.0082
18	22.003	0.022909	0.22423	2.9681	7.7197	7.7217	7.4717	0.24799	0.25001	1.0082
19	24.001	0.022909	0.22423	2.9681	7.7197	7.7217	7.4717	0.24799	0.25001	1.0082
20	26	0.022909	0.22617	2.9681	7.7197	7.7217	7.4717	0.24799	0.25001	1.0082
21	28.003	0.022909	0.22562	2.9681	7.7197	7.7217	7.4717	0.24799	0.25001	1.0082
22	30.002	0.022909	0.22589	2.9681	7.7197	7.7217	7.4717	0.24799	0.25001	1.0082
23	30.081	0.023826	0.22589	2.9681	7.7202	7.7223	7.4717	0.24853	0.2506	1.0083

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 3

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	7.7229	7.7217	7.4717	0.2512	0.25001	0.99528
2	0.012433	0	0.00055366	2.9681	7.7561	7.754	7.4916	0.26451	0.26236	0.99189
3	0.05415	0	0.070038	2.9681	7.9119	7.9318	7.5532	0.35873	0.37862	1.0555
4	0.10005	0.0018327	0.17163	2.9681	7.9029	7.9359	7.4934	0.40949	0.44252	1.0807
5	0.25033	0.019244	0.23586	2.9681	7.9501	7.9694	7.4746	0.47543	0.49473	1.0406
6	0.50072	0.021993	0.24721	2.9681	7.9667	7.97	7.4735	0.49321	0.49649	1.0066
7	1.0014	0.024742	0.25385	2.9681	7.9678	7.9711	7.4729	0.49489	0.49825	1.0068
8	2.0028	0.026575	0.25828	2.9681	7.9715	7.9717	7.4723	0.49924	0.49943	1.0004
9	4.0015	0.026575	0.26188	2.9681	7.9715	7.9717	7.4723	0.49924	0.49943	1.0004
10	6.0003	0.025658	0.26382	2.9681	7.9715	7.9717	7.4717	0.49982	0.50001	1.0004
11	8.0031	0.026575	0.26465	2.9681	7.9715	7.9717	7.4717	0.49982	0.50001	1.0004
12	10.002	0.026575	0.26548	2.9681	7.9715	7.9717	7.4717	0.49982	0.50001	1.0004
13	12.001	0.026575	0.26631	2.9681	7.9715	7.9717	7.4717	0.49982	0.50001	1.0004
14	14.003	0.026575	0.26686	2.9681	7.9747	7.9717	7.4717	0.50304	0.50001	0.99399
15	16.002	0.027491	0.2652	2.9681	7.9715	7.9717	7.4717	0.49982	0.50001	1.0004
16	18.001	0.027491	0.26548	2.9681	7.971	7.9711	7.4723	0.49869	0.49884	1.0003
17	20.004	0.028407	0.26659	2.9681	7.9715	7.9717	7.4717	0.49982	0.50001	1.0004
18	22.002	0.027491	0.26686	2.9681	7.9715	7.9717	7.4717	0.49982	0.50001	1.0004
19	24.001	0.027491	0.26576	2.9681	7.9715	7.9717	7.4717	0.49982	0.50001	1.0004
20	26.004	0.027491	0.26853	2.9681	7.9747	7.9717	7.4717	0.50304	0.50001	0.99399
21	28.003	0.034822	0.31725	2.9681	7.9629	7.9658	7.4811	0.48177	0.48476	1.0062
22	30.001	0.037571	0.34299	2.9681	7.9715	7.9717	7.4717	0.49982	0.50001	1.0004
23	32.003	0.037571	0.34576	2.9681	7.971	7.9711	7.4717	0.49928	0.49942	1.0003
24	34.003	0.037571	0.34853	2.9681	7.971	7.9711	7.4717	0.49928	0.49942	1.0003
25	36.004	0.037571	0.34991	2.9681	7.9715	7.9717	7.4717	0.49982	0.50001	1.0004
26	38.004	0.037571	0.35102	2.9681	7.9715	7.9717	7.4717	0.49982	0.50001	1.0004
27	40.003	0.037571	0.35185	2.9681	7.971	7.9711	7.4717	0.49928	0.49942	1.0003
28	42.002	0.037571	0.35241	2.9681	7.9715	7.9717	7.4717	0.49982	0.50001	1.0004
29	44.002	0.037571	0.35241	2.9681	7.9715	7.9717	7.4717	0.49982	0.50001	1.0004
30	46.001	0.038487	0.35213	2.9681	7.9715	7.9717	7.4717	0.49982	0.50001	1.0004
31	48.002	0.038487	0.35296	2.9681	7.9715	7.9717	7.4717	0.49982	0.50001	1.0004
32	50.002	0.037571	0.35324	2.9681	7.9715	7.9717	7.4717	0.49982	0.50001	1.0004
33	52.002	0.037571	0.35379	2.9681	7.9715	7.9717	7.4717	0.49982	0.50001	1.0004
34	54.001	0.038487	0.35434	2.9681	7.9683	7.9717	7.4717	0.49661	0.50001	1.0068
35	56	0.038487	0.35434	2.9681	7.971	7.9711	7.4717	0.49928	0.49942	1.0003
36	58.004	0.038487	0.35407	2.9681	7.9715	7.9717	7.4717	0.49982	0.50001	1.0004
37	60.003	0.038487	0.35324	2.9681	7.9715	7.9717	7.4717	0.49982	0.50001	1.0004
38	62.003	0.037571	0.3549	2.9681	7.9715	7.9717	7.4717	0.49982	0.50001	1.0004
39	62.149	0.037571	0.3549	2.9681	7.9715	7.9717	7.4717	0.49982	0.50001	1.0004

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 4

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	7.9715	7.9717	7.4717	0.49982	0.50001	1.0004
2	0.012417	0	0	2.9681	8.0047	8.0005	7.4864	0.51834	0.51411	0.99184
3	0.050467	0.0027491	0.10132	2.9681	8.329	8.3802	7.6054	0.72363	0.77479	1.0707
4	0.10055	0.010996	0.24416	2.9681	8.3518	8.4289	7.4963	0.8555	0.93254	1.0901
5	0.25077	0.031156	0.30091	2.9681	8.4505	8.4694	7.4741	0.97648	0.99531	1.0193
6	0.50163	0.037571	0.31337	2.9681	8.4671	8.47	7.4735	0.99368	0.99648	1.0028
7	1.0033	0.038487	0.32057	2.9681	8.4677	8.4705	7.4717	0.99598	0.99883	1.0029
8	2.0011	0.038487	0.32638	2.9681	8.4682	8.4711	7.4717	0.99653	0.99942	1.0029
9	4.0003	0.039404	0.33164	2.9681	8.4682	8.4711	7.4717	0.99653	0.99942	1.0029
10	6.0037	0.04032	0.33441	2.9681	8.4682	8.4711	7.4717	0.99653	0.99942	1.0029
11	8.0029	0.04032	0.33635	2.9681	8.4682	8.4711	7.4717	0.99653	0.99942	1.0029
12	10.002	0.04032	0.33773	2.9681	8.4682	8.4711	7.4717	0.99653	0.99942	1.0029
13	12.001	0.041236	0.33856	2.9681	8.4682	8.4711	7.4717	0.99653	0.99942	1.0029
14	14.001	0.041236	0.33912	2.9681	8.4688	8.4717	7.4723	0.99649	0.99942	1.0029
15	16	0.042153	0.33967	2.9681	8.4682	8.4711	7.4717	0.99653	0.99942	1.0029
16	18	0.042153	0.34106	2.9681	8.4688	8.4717	7.4717	0.99707	1	1.0029
17	20.001	0.043069	0.34133	2.9681	8.4682	8.4711	7.4711	0.99711	1	1.0029
18	22.001	0.043069	0.34216	2.9681	8.4688	8.4717	7.4717	0.99707	1	1.0029
19	24.002	0.043069	0.34299	2.9681	8.4688	8.4717	7.4717	0.99707	1	1.0029
20	26.002	0.043069	0.34355	2.9681	8.472	8.4717	7.4717	1.0003	1	0.99972
21	28.003	0.043069	0.34299	2.9681	8.4688	8.4717	7.4717	0.99707	1	1.0029
22	30.003	0.043986	0.3441	2.9681	8.4682	8.4711	7.4717	0.99653	0.99942	1.0029
23	30.074	0.043069	0.3441	2.9681	8.472	8.4717	7.4717	1.0003	1	0.99972

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 5

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.4688	8.4717	7.4717	0.99707	1	1.0029
2	0.012483	0	0.001661	2.9681	8.5482	8.5433	7.5069	1.0413	1.0364	0.99527
3	0.050633	0.0045818	0.071145	2.9681	8.7786	8.8496	7.5467	1.2318	1.3029	1.0577
4	0.10072	0.011913	0.14063	2.9681	8.8162	8.8866	7.484	1.3322	1.4026	1.0529
5	0.251	0.025658	0.17219	2.9681	8.9022	8.9066	7.4758	1.4264	1.4308	1.003
6	0.50197	0.028407	0.18132	2.9681	8.906	8.9107	7.4729	1.4332	1.4378	1.0032
7	1.0037	0.03024	0.18852	2.9681	8.9093	8.9107	7.4717	1.4375	1.439	1.001
8	2.0015	0.031156	0.19461	2.9681	8.9098	8.9113	7.4711	1.4387	1.4401	1.001
9	4.0007	0.031156	0.19987	2.9681	8.9103	8.9118	7.4717	1.4386	1.4401	1.001
10	6.0002	0.032073	0.20347	2.9681	8.9103	8.9118	7.4699	1.4404	1.4419	1.001
11	8.0036	0.032073	0.20541	2.9681	8.9098	8.9113	7.4717	1.4381	1.4396	1.001
12	10.003	0.032989	0.20707	2.9681	8.9087	8.9101	7.4723	1.4364	1.4378	1.001
13	12.002	0.032073	0.20818	2.9681	8.9103	8.9118	7.4723	1.4381	1.4396	1.001
14	14.001	0.033906	0.21011	2.9681	8.9103	8.9118	7.4717	1.4386	1.4401	1.001
15	16.002	0.033906	0.21067	2.9681	8.9103	8.9118	7.4723	1.4381	1.4396	1.001
16	18.002	0.033906	0.21205	2.9681	8.9103	8.9118	7.4717	1.4386	1.4401	1.001
17	20.001	0.033906	0.21233	2.9681	8.9103	8.9118	7.4717	1.4386	1.4401	1.001
18	22	0.033906	0.21371	2.9681	8.9103	8.9118	7.4717	1.4386	1.4401	1.001
19	24.004	0.033906	0.21427	2.9681	8.9109	8.9124	7.4723	1.4386	1.4401	1.0011
20	26.004	0.034822	0.21482	2.9681	8.9103	8.9118	7.4717	1.4386	1.4401	1.001
21	28	0.033906	0.21565	2.9681	8.9103	8.9118	7.4723	1.4381	1.4396	1.001
22	30	0.033906	0.21593	2.9681	8.9103	8.9118	7.4717	1.4386	1.4401	1.001
23	32.001	0.033906	0.2162	2.9681	8.9103	8.9118	7.4717	1.4386	1.4401	1.001
24	32.619	0.033906	0.21648	2.9681	8.9103	8.9118	7.4717	1.4386	1.4401	1.001

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.1411	8.1437	8.0709	0.070272	0.072814	1.0362
2	0.012533	0	0	2.9681	8.1449	8.1478	8.0738	0.071162	0.07399	1.0397
3	0.050033	0	0.0074744	2.9681	8.1672	8.1648	8.0843	0.082867	0.080457	0.97092
4	0.1001	-0.00091637	0.037095	2.9681	8.17	8.1712	8.0761	0.093867	0.095119	1.0133
5	0.25033	0	0.060903	2.9681	8.1651	8.1695	8.075	0.090189	0.094531	1.0481
6	0.50118	0.0027491	0.067547	2.9681	8.1662	8.1707	8.072	0.094212	0.098636	1.047
7	1.0029	0.0027491	0.07253	2.9681	8.17	8.1712	8.0714	0.098557	0.099809	1.0127
8	2.0007	0.0036655	0.073914	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
9	4.0041	0.0045818	0.074744	2.9681	8.17	8.1712	8.0714	0.098557	0.099809	1.0127
10	6.0033	0.0045818	0.075021	2.9681	8.17	8.1712	8.0714	0.098557	0.099809	1.0127
11	8.0025	0.0054982	0.074191	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
12	10.002	0.0054982	0.074191	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
13	12.002	0.0045818	0.074191	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
14	14.001	0.0036655	0.07336	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
15	16.002	0.0036655	0.07253	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
16	18.002	0.0045818	0.071976	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
17	20.002	0.0045818	0.071422	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
18	22.002	0.0064146	0.24306	2.9681	8.1694	8.1707	8.072	0.097425	0.098636	1.0124
19	24.003	0.0064146	0.24721	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0709	0.099689	0.10098	1.013
20	26.003	0.0064146	0.15143	2.9681	8.1852	8.1806	8.0603	0.12486	0.12034	0.96382
21	28.003	-0.00091637	0.089139	2.9681	8.1711	8.1724	8.0714	0.099649	0.10098	1.0134
22	30.003	-0.00091637	0.086371	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
23	32.003	0.0054982	0.23863	2.9681	8.1662	8.1707	8.072	0.094212	0.098636	1.047
24	34.004	0.0027491	0.088863	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
25	36.004	-0.00091637	0.086094	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
26	38.004	-0.0018327	0.085264	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
27	40	-0.00091637	0.08388	2.9681	8.17	8.1712	8.0714	0.098557	0.099809	1.0127
28	42	-0.00091637	0.083326	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
29	44.001	0.0018327	0.082495	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
30	46.001	0.0027491	0.081665	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
31	48.001	0	0.081388	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
32	50.001	-0.00091637	0.081111	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
33	52.001	-0.00091637	0.080281	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
34	53.188	-0.00091637	0.079727	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
2	0.012533	0	0.00055366	2.9681	8.1961	8.1959	8.0861	0.11004	0.1098	0.99781
3	0.05065	0.00091637	0.040971	2.9681	8.2882	8.2845	8.1353	0.15287	0.14917	0.97581
4	0.10073	0	0.15752	2.9681	8.2799	8.2998	8.0843	0.19558	0.21543	1.1015
5	0.25095	0.0091637	0.196	2.9681	8.3134	8.3185	8.075	0.23849	0.24359	1.0214
6	0.50182	0.01008	0.20292	2.9681	8.3151	8.3203	8.0738	0.2413	0.24652	1.0216
7	1.0035	0.01008	0.20707	2.9681	8.3156	8.3209	8.0714	0.24419	0.24946	1.0216
8	2.0013	0.010996	0.21039	2.9681	8.3188	8.3209	8.0714	0.2474	0.24946	1.0083
9	4.0005	0.010996	0.21288	2.9681	8.3188	8.3209	8.0714	0.2474	0.24946	1.0083
10	6.0039	0.010996	0.21427	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
11	8.0031	0.010996	0.2151	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
12	10.002	0.010996	0.2151	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
13	12.002	0.010996	0.21565	2.9681	8.3188	8.3209	8.0714	0.2474	0.24946	1.0083
14	14.001	0.010996	0.21593	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
15	16.001	0.010996	0.21593	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
16	18	0.01008	0.21593	2.9681	8.3188	8.3209	8.0709	0.24799	0.25004	1.0083
17	20	0.01008	0.21593	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
18	22.004	0.01008	0.21593	2.9681	8.3188	8.3209	8.0714	0.2474	0.24946	1.0083
19	24.004	0.01008	0.21537	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
20	26.003	0.01008	0.21537	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
21	28.003	0.010996	0.2151	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
22	30.003	0.010996	0.2151	2.9681	8.3188	8.3209	8.0714	0.2474	0.24946	1.0083
23	30.083	0.010996	0.2151	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 3

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
2	0.012433	0	0	2.9681	8.3368	8.3367	8.0802	0.25656	0.25651	0.99978
3	0.050483	0.00091637	0.06118	2.9681	8.5034	8.5192	8.1535	0.34985	0.36573	1.0454
4	0.10055	0.0045818	0.16444	2.9681	8.5081	8.5451	8.0896	0.4185	0.45546	1.0883
5	0.25078	0.015578	0.21011	2.9681	8.5524	8.5685	8.0744	0.47806	0.49417	1.0337
6	0.50163	0.021993	0.22091	2.9681	8.5664	8.5697	8.072	0.49435	0.49769	1.0068
7	1.0034	0.024742	0.22672	2.9681	8.5707	8.5709	8.072	0.49865	0.49887	1.0004
8	2.0011	0.026575	0.23171	2.9681	8.5707	8.5709	8.072	0.49865	0.49887	1.0004
9	4.0003	0.026575	0.23531	2.9681	8.5707	8.5709	8.0714	0.49924	0.49945	1.0004
10	6.0037	0.027491	0.23752	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.072	0.4992	0.49945	1.0005
11	8.0029	0.027491	0.23863	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
12	10.002	0.027491	0.24001	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
13	12.001	0.028407	0.24084	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
14	14.001	0.028407	0.24389	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
15	16.004	0.027491	0.24499	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
16	18.003	0.027491	0.24555	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
17	20.003	0.027491	0.24555	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
18	22.004	0.028407	0.24638	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
19	24.004	0.028407	0.24666	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0709	0.50037	0.50062	1.0005
20	26.001	0.028407	0.24721	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
21	28.001	0.028407	0.24749	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
22	30.001	0.028407	0.22506	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0703	0.50096	0.50121	1.0005
23	30.077	0.028407	0.22396	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0709	0.50037	0.50062	1.0005

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 4

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.5744	8.5715	8.0703	0.50417	0.50121	0.99413
2	0.012467	0	0.0019378	2.9681	8.6589	8.6554	8.1207	0.53825	0.53471	0.99344
3	0.050617	0.0018327	0.10658	2.9681	8.9228	8.977	8.2051	0.71765	0.77188	1.0756
4	0.1007	0.013745	0.25081	2.9681	8.9435	9.0304	8.0955	0.84805	0.93492	1.1024
5	0.25092	0.03024	0.30507	2.9681	9.0486	9.0674	8.0755	0.97305	0.99182	1.0193
6	0.50178	0.036655	0.31282	2.9681	9.0636	9.0697	8.072	0.9916	0.99768	1.0061
7	1.0035	0.038487	0.31033	2.9681	9.0674	9.0703	8.0709	0.99653	0.99944	1.0029
8	2.0013	0.04032	0.29815	2.9681	9.0679	9.0709	8.0714	0.99649	0.99944	1.003
9	4.0005	0.041236	0.2677	2.9681	9.0679	9.0709	8.0703	0.99766	1.0006	1.003
10	6.0039	0.042153	0.24001	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0709	0.99762	1.0006	1.003
11	8.0031	0.042153	0.2115	2.9681	9.0679	9.0709	8.0703	0.99766	1.0006	1.003
12	10.002	0.042153	0.17939	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0709	0.99762	1.0006	1.003
13	12.001	0.042153	0.1481	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0703	0.99821	1.0012	1.003
14	14.001	0.043069	0.11544	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0732	0.99528	0.99827	1.003
15	16.004	0.043986	0.096337	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0709	0.99762	1.0006	1.003
16	18.003	0.044902	0.063394	2.9681	9.0696	9.0726	8.0703	0.9993	1.0024	1.0031
17	20.002	0.044902	0.030451	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0709	0.99762	1.0006	1.003
18	22.002	0.044902	-0.003322	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0703	0.99821	1.0012	1.003
19	24.001	0.043986	-0.037372	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0703	0.99821	1.0012	1.003
20	26	0.044902	-0.075575	2.9681	9.0722	9.0721	8.0703	1.002	1.0018	0.99983
21	28.003	0.043986	-0.11156	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.072	0.99645	0.99945	1.003
22	30.003	0.043986	-0.14589	2.9681	9.0674	9.0703	8.0709	0.99653	0.99944	1.0029
23	32.002	0.043986	-0.1816	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0691	0.99938	1.0024	1.003
24	34.001	0.043986	-0.21731	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0709	0.99762	1.0006	1.003
25	36	0.043986	-0.25275	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0709	0.99762	1.0006	1.003
26	38.004	0.043986	-0.28873	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0703	0.99821	1.0012	1.003
27	40.003	0.044902	-0.32528	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0703	0.99821	1.0012	1.003
28	42.002	0.043986	-0.36237	2.9681	9.0674	9.0703	8.0697	0.9977	1.0006	1.0029
29	44.001	0.043986	-0.39974	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0703	0.99821	1.0012	1.003
30	46.001	0.043986	-0.43656	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0697	0.99879	1.0018	1.003
31	48.004	0.043986	-0.47393	2.9681	9.0701	9.0732	8.072	0.99809	1.0012	1.0031
32	50.003	0.043986	-0.5102	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0709	0.99762	1.0006	1.003
33	52.002	0.044902	-0.54453	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0709	0.99762	1.0006	1.003
34	54.002	0.044902	-0.57913	2.9681	9.0679	9.0709	8.0697	0.99825	1.0012	1.003
35	56.001	0.044902	-0.6129	2.9681	9.0679	9.0709	8.0697	0.99825	1.0012	1.003
36	58.004	0.044902	-0.64585	2.9681	9.0679	9.0709	8.0884	0.97949	0.98244	1.003
37	60.003	0.044902	-0.67159	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0703	0.99821	1.0012	1.003
38	62.003	0.045818	-0.70426	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0709	0.99762	1.0006	1.003
39	64.002	0.045818	-0.73637	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0709	0.99762	1.0006	1.003
40	66.001	0.045818	-0.76322	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0703	0.99821	1.0012	1.003
41	68.001	0.045818	-0.79866	2.9681	9.0679	9.0709	8.0703	0.99766	1.0006	1.003
42	70	0.045818	-0.84212	2.9681	9.0717	9.0715	8.0697	1.002	1.0018	0.99978
43	72.003	0.044902	-0.88503	2.9681	9.069	9.0721	8.0709	0.99817	1.0012	1.003
44	74.003	0.044902	-0.92738	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0703	0.99821	1.0012	1.003
45	76.002	0.045818	-0.96891	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0697	0.99879	1.0018	1.003
46	78.001	0.045818	-1.0096	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0703	0.99821	1.0012	1.003
47	80.001	0.045818	-1.05	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0709	0.99762	1.0006	1.003
48	82	0.044902	-1.0902	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0703	0.99821	1.0012	1.003
49	84	0.044902	-1.1295	2.9681	9.069	9.0721	8.0703	0.99875	1.0018	1.003
50	86.003	0.045818	-1.1674	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0703	0.99821	1.0012	1.003
51	88.003	0.045818	-1.205	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0703	0.99821	1.0012	1.003
52	90.002	0.045818	-1.2394	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0709	0.99762	1.0006	1.003
53	92.001	0.046735	-1.2729	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0703	0.99821	1.0012	1.003
54	94	0.045818	-1.3058	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0703	0.99821	1.0012	1.003
55	96.004	0.045818	-1.3379	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0709	0.99762	1.0006	1.003
56	98.003	0.045818	-1.3692	2.9681	9.0679	9.0709	8.0703	0.99766	1.0006	1.003
57	100	0.045818	-1.3997	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0703	0.99821	1.0012	1.003
58	102	0.045818	-1.4296	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0709	0.99762	1.0006	1.003
59	104	0.046735	-1.4592	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0709	0.99762	1.0006	1.003
60	106	0.046735	-1.488	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0709	0.99762	1.0006	1.003
61	108	0.045818	-1.5165	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0703	0.99821	1.0012	1.003
62	110	0.045818	-1.545	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.072	0.99645	0.99945	1.003
63	112	0.046735	-1.5702	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0709	0.99762	1.0006	1.003
64	114	0.046735	-1.597	2.9681	9.0679	9.0709	8.0703	0.99766	1.0006	1.003
65	116	0.046735	-1.6233	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0703	0.99821	1.0012	1.003
66	118	0.0504	-1.6159	2.9681	9.0679	9.0709	8.0709	0.99707	1	1.003
67	120	0.0504	-1.6377	2.9681	9.0717	9.0715	8.0703	1.0014	1.0012	0.99978
68	122	0.0504	-1.6624	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0709	0.99762	1.0006	1.003
69	124	0.049484	-1.7125	2.9681	9.0722	9.0721	8.0709	1.0014	1.0012	0.99983
70	126	0.048567	-1.7379	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0709	0.99762	1.0006	1.003
71	128	0.049484	-1.7617	2.9681	9.0679	9.0709	8.0709	0.99707	1	1.003
72	130	0.049484	-1.7853	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0726	0.99586	0.99886	1.003
73	132	0.049484	-1.8069	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
74	134	0.049484	-1.8279	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.072	0.99645	0.99945	1.003
75	136	0.0504	-1.849	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
76	138	0.0504	-1.8692	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0709	0.99762	1.0006	1.003
77	140	0.051316	-1.8894	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.072	0.99645	0.99945	1.003
78	142	0.0504	-1.9093	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0709	0.99762	1.0006	1.003
79	144	0.0504	-1.9179	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0709	0.99762	1.0006	1.003
80	146	0.0504	-1.9212	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
81	148	0.051316	-1.9245	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
82	150	0.052233	-1.9273	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
83	152	0.052233	-1.9298	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
84	154	0.051316	-1.9317	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
85	156	0.051316	-1.9328	2.9681	9.0717	9.0715	8.0703	1.0014	1.0012	0.99978
86	158	0.051316	-1.9345	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
87	160	0.051316	-1.9381	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
88	162	0.052233	-1.9417	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.072	0.99645	0.99945	1.003
89	164	0.052233	-1.9445	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0709	0.99762	1.0006	1.003
90	166	0.051316	-1.9475	2.9681	9.0679	9.0709	8.0709	0.99707	1	1.003
91	168	0.051316	-1.95	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
92	170	0.051316	-1.9525	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003

93	172	0.052233	-1.9553	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.072	0.99645	0.99945	1.003
94	174	0.052233	-1.9575	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.072	0.99645	0.99945	1.003
95	176	0.053149	-1.9605	2.9681	9.0717	9.0715	8.0714	1.0002	1	0.99978
96	176.96	0.053149	-1.9622	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0726	0.99586	0.99886	1.003

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 5

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
2	0.0125	0	0.0019378	2.9681	9.1544	9.1466	8.1136	1.0408	1.0329	0.99248
3	0.05005	0.0027491	0.081388	2.9681	9.4179	9.4817	8.1301	1.2879	1.3516	1.0495
4	0.10007	0.0054982	0.12873	2.9681	9.4363	9.4911	8.0802	1.3561	1.4108	1.0404
5	0.25028	0.014662	0.15226	2.9681	9.5041	9.5087	8.075	1.4291	1.4337	1.0032
6	0.50082	0.016495	0.15945	2.9681	9.5052	9.5098	8.0732	1.432	1.4366	1.0033
7	1.0015	0.016495	0.16444	2.9681	9.5057	9.5104	8.072	1.4337	1.4384	1.0033
8	2.003	0.018327	0.16831	2.9681	9.5068	9.5116	8.072	1.4348	1.4396	1.0033
9	4.0017	0.02016	0.17025	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.072	1.438	1.4396	1.0011
10	6.0004	0.021993	0.17025	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.072	1.438	1.4396	1.0011
11	8.0034	0.021993	0.16914	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.072	1.438	1.4396	1.0011
12	10.002	0.022909	0.16748	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0726	1.4374	1.439	1.0011
13	12.001	0.022909	0.1661	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.072	1.438	1.4396	1.0011
14	14.004	0.022909	0.16499	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0709	1.4392	1.4408	1.0011
15	16.002	0.022909	0.16333	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0709	1.4392	1.4408	1.0011
16	18.001	0.022909	0.16167	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0709	1.4392	1.4408	1.0011
17	20.004	0.022909	0.15973	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0709	1.4392	1.4408	1.0011
18	22.003	0.022909	0.15779	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
19	24.001	0.022909	0.15586	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
20	26	0.022909	0.15392	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
21	28.003	0.022909	0.15198	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0709	1.4392	1.4408	1.0011
22	30.002	0.022909	0.14977	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
23	30.064	0.022909	0.14977	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0709	1.4392	1.4408	1.0011

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 6

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0709	1.4392	1.4408	1.0011
2	0.0125	0	0.001661	2.9681	9.6115	9.6137	8.1219	1.4896	1.4919	1.0015
3	0.05005	0.0064146	0.11987	2.9681	10.049	10.163	8.1734	1.8751	1.9896	1.061
4	0.10012	0.019244	0.19821	2.9681	10.104	10.202	8.0796	2.0248	2.1227	1.0484
5	0.25035	0.0504	0.22783	2.9681	10.218	10.228	8.075	2.1429	2.1526	1.0045
6	0.50072	0.054066	0.23697	2.9681	10.226	10.23	8.0732	2.1532	2.1567	1.0016
7	1.0014	0.055898	0.24361	2.9681	10.228	10.231	8.072	2.1555	2.1591	1.0016
8	2.003	0.057731	0.24887	2.9681	10.231	10.231	8.0714	2.1593	2.1596	1.0002
9	4.0017	0.058647	0.25247	2.9681	10.231	10.231	8.0714	2.1593	2.1596	1.0002
10	6.0004	0.058647	0.25358	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.0714	2.1598	2.1602	1.0002
11	8.0033	0.059564	0.25358	2.9681	10.231	10.231	8.0714	2.1593	2.1596	1.0002
12	10.002	0.059564	0.2533	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.0709	2.1604	2.1608	1.0002
13	12.001	0.06048	0.25164	2.9681	10.231	10.231	8.0726	2.1581	2.1585	1.0002
14	14.004	0.06048	0.25053	2.9681	10.231	10.231	8.0714	2.1593	2.1596	1.0002
15	16.003	0.061396	0.24915	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.0709	2.1604	2.1608	1.0002
16	18.001	0.061396	0.24749	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.0714	2.1598	2.1602	1.0002
17	20.004	0.061396	0.24583	2.9681	10.231	10.231	8.0709	2.1599	2.1602	1.0002
18	22.003	0.061396	0.24416	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.0714	2.1598	2.1602	1.0002
19	24.002	0.062313	0.24223	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.0714	2.1598	2.1602	1.0002
20	26	0.063229	0.24057	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.0714	2.1598	2.1602	1.0002
21	28.003	0.063229	0.23918	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.0714	2.1598	2.1602	1.0002
22	30.002	0.063229	0.23752	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.072	2.1593	2.1596	1.0002
23	30.069	0.063229	0.23752	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.0714	2.1598	2.1602	1.0002

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	7.5418	7.5437	7.4715	0.070318	0.072223	1.0271
2	0.01255	0	0	2.9681	7.5489	7.5478	7.4744	0.074488	0.073396	0.98534
3	0.05005	0.0011106	0.0085817	2.9681	7.5643	7.5642	7.4855	0.078733	0.07867	0.99921
4	0.10012	0.0011106	0.042078	2.9681	7.5654	7.5689	7.4762	0.089228	0.092714	1.0391
5	0.25033	0.0011106	0.063394	2.9681	7.5659	7.5695	7.4732	0.092702	0.096225	1.038
6	0.5012	0.0011106	0.068377	2.9681	7.5708	7.5712	7.4721	0.098772	0.099151	1.0038
7	1.0029	0.0022211	0.072253	2.9681	7.5708	7.5712	7.4709	0.099942	0.10032	1.0038
8	2.0007	0.0022211	0.073637	2.9681	7.5681	7.5718	7.4721	0.096067	0.099736	1.0382
9	3.0026	0.0022211	0.074467	2.9681	7.5676	7.5712	7.4715	0.096103	0.099736	1.0378
10	4.0004	0.0022211	0.075021	2.9681	7.5714	7.5718	7.4715	0.099906	0.10032	1.0042
11	5.0023	0.0022211	0.075021	2.9681	7.5714	7.5718	7.4721	0.099321	0.099736	1.0042
12	6.0001	0.0022211	0.075298	2.9681	7.5714	7.5718	7.4715	0.099906	0.10032	1.0042
13	7.002	0.0022211	0.075575	2.9681	7.5714	7.5718	7.4715	0.099906	0.10032	1.0042
14	8.004	0.0022211	0.074744	2.9681	7.5746	7.5718	7.4715	0.10316	0.10032	0.97248
15	9.0018	0.0022211	0.074467	2.9681	7.5714	7.5718	7.4715	0.099906	0.10032	1.0042
16	10.004	0.0022211	0.073914	2.9681	7.5714	7.5718	7.4715	0.099906	0.10032	1.0042
17	11.001	0.0022211	0.07336	2.9681	7.5714	7.5718	7.4715	0.099906	0.10032	1.0042
18	12.003	0.0022211	0.073083	2.9681	7.5714	7.5718	7.4715	0.099906	0.10032	1.0042
19	13.001	0.0022211	0.073083	2.9681	7.5714	7.5718	7.4715	0.099906	0.10032	1.0042
20	14.003	0.0022211	0.07253	2.9681	7.5714	7.5718	7.4715	0.099906	0.10032	1.0042
21	15.001	0.0022211	0.072253	2.9681	7.5708	7.5712	7.4709	0.099942	0.10032	1.0038
22	16.003	0.0022211	0.071976	2.9681	7.5714	7.5718	7.4715	0.099906	0.10032	1.0042
23	17.001	0.0022211	0.072253	2.9681	7.5714	7.5718	7.4715	0.099906	0.10032	1.0042
24	18.003	0.0022211	0.072253	2.9681	7.5714	7.5718	7.4715	0.099906	0.10032	1.0042
25	19	0.0022211	0.071699	2.9681	7.5714	7.5718	7.4715	0.099906	0.10032	1.0042
26	20.002	0.0011106	0.070869	2.9681	7.5714	7.5718	7.4715	0.099906	0.10032	1.0042
27	20.875	0.0011106	0.070592	2.9681	7.5714	7.5718	7.4715	0.099906	0.10032	1.0042

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	7.5714	7.5718	7.4715	0.099906	0.10032	1.0042
2	0.012483	0	0.00083049	2.9681	7.6004	7.5958	7.4896	0.11077	0.10619	0.9586
3	0.05065	0.0011106	0.046784	2.9681	7.6848	7.6824	7.5423	0.14257	0.14017	0.98317
4	0.10078	0.0011106	0.21537	2.9681	7.695	7.7176	7.4732	0.22174	0.24432	1.1019
5	0.25102	0.0077739	0.24915	2.9681	7.7161	7.7193	7.475	0.24115	0.24432	1.0132
6	0.50197	0.0088844	0.25911	2.9681	7.7205	7.7205	7.4727	0.24785	0.24784	0.99996
7	1.0037	0.0088844	0.26493	2.9681	7.7178	7.7211	7.4721	0.24572	0.24901	1.0134
8	2.0015	0.0088844	0.26963	2.9681	7.7216	7.7217	7.4721	0.24953	0.24959	1.0003
9	3.0034	0.009995	0.27185	2.9681	7.7216	7.7217	7.4721	0.24953	0.24959	1.0003
10	4.0012	0.009995	0.27351	2.9681	7.7216	7.7217	7.4715	0.25011	0.25018	1.0003
11	5.0031	0.011106	0.27434	2.9681	7.7216	7.7217	7.4715	0.25011	0.25018	1.0003
12	6.0009	0.011106	0.27517	2.9681	7.721	7.7211	7.4715	0.24956	0.24959	1.0001
13	7.0028	0.011106	0.27572	2.9681	7.7216	7.7217	7.4715	0.25011	0.25018	1.0003
14	8.0006	0.009995	0.27628	2.9681	7.7216	7.7217	7.4715	0.25011	0.25018	1.0003
15	9.0027	0.011106	0.27683	2.9681	7.7216	7.7217	7.4715	0.25011	0.25018	1.0003
16	10	0.011106	0.27711	2.9681	7.7216	7.7217	7.4715	0.25011	0.25018	1.0003
17	11.003	0.011106	0.27683	2.9681	7.7216	7.7217	7.4715	0.25011	0.25018	1.0003
18	12.001	0.011106	0.27738	2.9681	7.7216	7.7217	7.4715	0.25011	0.25018	1.0003
19	13.003	0.011106	0.27738	2.9681	7.7216	7.7217	7.4715	0.25011	0.25018	1.0003
20	14.001	0.011106	0.27738	2.9681	7.721	7.7211	7.4715	0.24956	0.24959	1.0001
21	15.003	0.011106	0.27766	2.9681	7.7216	7.7217	7.4715	0.25011	0.25018	1.0003
22	16.001	0.011106	0.27766	2.9681	7.7216	7.7217	7.4715	0.25011	0.25018	1.0003
23	17.004	0.011106	0.27711	2.9681	7.7216	7.7217	7.4715	0.25011	0.25018	1.0003
24	18.001	0.011106	0.27683	2.9681	7.7216	7.7217	7.4715	0.25011	0.25018	1.0003
25	19.004	0.011106	0.27655	2.9681	7.7216	7.7217	7.4715	0.25011	0.25018	1.0003
26	20.002	0.011106	0.27655	2.9681	7.7216	7.7217	7.4715	0.25011	0.25018	1.0003
27	21.004	0.011106	0.27738	2.9681	7.7216	7.7217	7.4715	0.25011	0.25018	1.0003
28	21.213	0.011106	0.27766	2.9681	7.7216	7.7217	7.4715	0.25011	0.25018	1.0003

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 3

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	7.7216	7.7217	7.4715	0.25011	0.25018	1.0003
2	0.012483	0	0.0011073	2.9681	7.7665	7.7626	7.4996	0.26694	0.26307	0.9855
3	0.050633	0	0.068377	2.9681	7.9048	7.9137	7.5686	0.3362	0.34506	1.0264
4	0.10082	0.0055528	0.2234	2.9681	7.9257	7.9429	7.4873	0.43844	0.45565	1.0393
5	0.251	0.021101	0.27572	2.9681	7.9651	7.971	7.4744	0.49066	0.49662	1.0122
6	0.50203	0.024432	0.28458	2.9681	7.9645	7.9704	7.4721	0.49245	0.49838	1.012
7	1.004	0.026653	0.29123	2.9681	7.9683	7.971	7.4715	0.49684	0.49955	1.0055
8	2.0018	0.028874	0.29676	2.9681	7.9689	7.9716	7.4721	0.4968	0.49955	1.0055
9	3.0037	0.029985	0.29953	2.9681	7.9683	7.971	7.4715	0.49684	0.49955	1.0055
10	4.002	0.028874	0.30119	2.9681	7.9689	7.9716	7.4715	0.49739	0.50013	1.0055
11	5.0039	0.029985	0.30202	2.9681	7.9689	7.9716	7.4715	0.49739	0.50013	1.0055
12	6.0022	0.029985	0.30341	2.9681	7.9683	7.971	7.4715	0.49684	0.49955	1.0055
13	7.0041	0.029985	0.30451	2.9681	7.9689	7.9716	7.4715	0.49739	0.50013	1.0055
14	8.0024	0.029985	0.30451	2.9681	7.9683	7.971	7.4709	0.49742	0.50013	1.0054
15	9.0002	0.029985	0.30562	2.9681	7.9689	7.9716	7.4715	0.49739	0.50013	1.0055
16	10.003	0.031096	0.30617	2.9681	7.9689	7.9716	7.4721	0.4968	0.49955	1.0055
17	11	0.029985	0.30701	2.9681	7.9689	7.9716	7.4715	0.49739	0.50013	1.0055
18	12.003	0.029985	0.30784	2.9681	7.9689	7.9716	7.4715	0.49739	0.50013	1.0055
19	13.001	0.031096	0.30756	2.9681	7.9683	7.971	7.4715	0.49684	0.49955	1.0055
20	14.003	0.031096	0.30839	2.9681	7.9683	7.971	7.4715	0.49684	0.49955	1.0055
21	15.001	0.031096	0.30894	2.9681	7.9689	7.9716	7.4715	0.49739	0.50013	1.0055
22	16.003	0.031096	0.30894	2.9681	7.9683	7.971	7.4715	0.49684	0.49955	1.0055
23	17.001	0.029985	0.30922	2.9681	7.9689	7.9716	7.4715	0.49739	0.50013	1.0055
24	18.003	0.029985	0.3095	2.9681	7.9689	7.9716	7.4715	0.49739	0.50013	1.0055
25	19.001	0.029985	0.31005	2.9681	7.9689	7.9716	7.4715	0.49739	0.50013	1.0055
26	20.004	0.031096	0.30977	2.9681	7.9689	7.9716	7.4721	0.4968	0.49955	1.0055
27	21.001	0.031096	0.3106	2.9681	7.9689	7.9716	7.4715	0.49739	0.50013	1.0055
28	21.148	0.031096	0.3106	2.9681	7.9689	7.9716	7.4715	0.49739	0.50013	1.0055

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 4

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	7.9689	7.9716	7.4715	0.49739	0.50013	1.0055
2	0.012483	0	0.00083049	2.9681	8.005	8.0067	7.4943	0.51074	0.51244	1.0033
3	0.050683	0.0044422	0.063671	2.9681	8.1401	8.1613	7.5347	0.60544	0.62661	1.035
4	0.10065	0.014437	0.12956	2.9681	8.1565	8.1718	7.4873	0.66922	0.68453	1.0229
5	0.25088	0.024432	0.16471	2.9681	8.1881	8.1882	7.4732	0.71489	0.71496	1.0001
6	0.50175	0.025543	0.17274	2.9681	8.1876	8.1911	7.4727	0.71496	0.71848	1.0049
7	1.0035	0.026653	0.17883	2.9681	8.1909	8.1911	7.4721	0.7188	0.71906	1.0004
8	2.0007	0.027764	0.18409	2.9681	8.1914	8.1917	7.4715	0.71993	0.72023	1.0004
9	3.0022	0.027764	0.18686	2.9681	8.1914	8.1917	7.4721	0.71935	0.71965	1.0004
10	4.0041	0.027764	0.18908	2.9681	8.1914	8.1917	7.4715	0.71993	0.72023	1.0004
11	5.0019	0.028874	0.19046	2.9681	8.1882	8.1917	7.4715	0.71668	0.72023	1.005
12	6.0038	0.029985	0.19157	2.9681	8.1914	8.1917	7.4715	0.71993	0.72023	1.0004
13	7.0016	0.029985	0.19267	2.9681	8.1914	8.1917	7.4715	0.71993	0.72023	1.0004
14	8.0035	0.031096	0.1935	2.9681	8.1914	8.1917	7.4721	0.71935	0.71965	1.0004
15	9.0013	0.031096	0.19434	2.9681	8.1914	8.1917	7.4715	0.71993	0.72023	1.0004
16	10.003	0.031096	0.19489	2.9681	8.1909	8.1911	7.4709	0.71997	0.72023	1.0004
17	11.001	0.029985	0.19544	2.9681	8.1914	8.1917	7.4715	0.71993	0.72023	1.0004
18	12.003	0.031096	0.19627	2.9681	8.1882	8.1917	7.4715	0.71668	0.72023	1.005
19	13.001	0.031096	0.19627	2.9681	8.1914	8.1917	7.4715	0.71993	0.72023	1.0004
20	14.003	0.031096	0.1971	2.9681	8.1914	8.1917	7.4715	0.71993	0.72023	1.0004
21	15	0.031096	0.19766	2.9681	8.1914	8.1917	7.4715	0.71993	0.72023	1.0004
22	16.002	0.031096	0.19821	2.9681	8.1947	8.1917	7.4721	0.7226	0.71965	0.99591
23	17	0.031096	0.19849	2.9681	8.1914	8.1917	7.4715	0.71993	0.72023	1.0004
24	18.002	0.031096	0.19904	2.9681	8.1914	8.1917	7.4715	0.71993	0.72023	1.0004
25	19.004	0.032206	0.19849	2.9681	8.1914	8.1917	7.4709	0.72052	0.72082	1.0004
26	20.002	0.032206	0.19932	2.9681	8.1909	8.1911	7.4721	0.7188	0.71906	1.0004
27	21.004	0.032206	0.19987	2.9681	8.1914	8.1917	7.4715	0.71993	0.72023	1.0004
28	22.001	0.032206	0.19987	2.9681	8.1914	8.1917	7.4715	0.71993	0.72023	1.0004
29	23.003	0.032206	0.19959	2.9681	8.1914	8.1917	7.4715	0.71993	0.72023	1.0004
30	24.001	0.032206	0.19959	2.9681	8.1914	8.1917	7.4715	0.71993	0.72023	1.0004
31	25.003	0.032206	0.20043	2.9681	8.1914	8.1917	7.4715	0.71993	0.72023	1.0004
32	26.001	0.032206	0.20098	2.9681	8.1914	8.1917	7.4721	0.71935	0.71965	1.0004
33	27.003	0.032206	0.20126	2.9681	8.1914	8.1917	7.4715	0.71993	0.72023	1.0004
34	28.001	0.032206	0.20153	2.9681	8.1914	8.1917	7.4715	0.71993	0.72023	1.0004
35	29.003	0.032206	0.20126	2.9681	8.1914	8.1917	7.4709	0.72052	0.72082	1.0004
36	30.001	0.032206	0.20209	2.9681	8.1909	8.1911	7.4715	0.71939	0.71965	1.0004
37	30.072	0.033317	0.20236	2.9681	8.1914	8.1917	7.4715	0.71993	0.72023	1.0004

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.1432	8.1437	8.0717	0.071448	0.071985	1.0075
2	0.01255	0	0	2.9681	8.147	8.1478	8.0747	0.072363	0.073157	1.011
3	0.050033	0	0.0085817	2.9681	8.1656	8.1642	8.0864	0.079278	0.077847	0.98195
4	0.1001	0	0.041248	2.9681	8.1673	8.1695	8.0758	0.091492	0.093646	1.0235
5	0.25033	0	0.062564	2.9681	8.1646	8.1701	8.0735	0.091127	0.096572	1.0598
6	0.50118	0	0.068377	2.9681	8.1657	8.1712	8.0706	0.095149	0.10067	1.058
7	1.0029	0	0.072253	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0717	0.097233	0.099497	1.0233
8	2.0007	0.0011106	0.073637	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
9	3.0028	0.0011106	0.073914	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
10	4.0008	0.0011106	0.074191	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
11	5.0028	0.0011106	0.074191	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0723	0.097197	0.099498	1.0237
12	6.0008	0.0011106	0.073914	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0717	0.097233	0.099497	1.0233
13	7.0029	0.0011106	0.073637	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
14	8.0009	0.0011106	0.07336	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
15	9.0032	0.0011106	0.073083	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
16	10.001	0.0022211	0.072806	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
17	11.003	0.0022211	0.072253	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
18	12.001	0.0022211	0.072253	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
19	13.003	0.0022211	0.071699	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
20	14.001	0.0022211	0.071422	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
21	15.003	0.0011106	0.071145	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
22	16	0.0011106	0.070869	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
23	17.002	0.0011106	0.070592	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
24	18	0.0011106	0.070592	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
25	19.002	0.0022211	0.070592	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
26	20.004	0.0022211	0.070315	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
27	20.902	0.0022211	0.069761	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.1733	8.1724	8.0717	0.10158	0.10067	0.99098
2	0.012433	0	0	2.9681	8.1821	8.1818	8.0776	0.10451	0.10418	0.99686
3	0.0505	0	0.047338	2.9681	8.2879	8.2842	8.1413	0.14651	0.14286	0.97504
4	0.10057	0.0011106	0.19932	2.9681	8.2979	8.3123	8.0776	0.22034	0.23472	1.0653
5	0.25078	0.0077739	0.25081	2.9681	8.3143	8.3193	8.0752	0.23903	0.24409	1.0212
6	0.50165	0.013327	0.26327	2.9681	8.3148	8.3199	8.0723	0.2425	0.2476	1.021
7	1.0034	0.017769	0.26963	2.9681	8.3192	8.3211	8.0723	0.24685	0.24877	1.0077
8	2.0011	0.01999	0.27379	2.9681	8.3192	8.3211	8.0717	0.24744	0.24935	1.0077
9	3.0031	0.01999	0.276	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
10	4.0008	0.01999	0.27711	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0723	0.2474	0.24935	1.0079
11	5.0028	0.021101	0.27794	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
12	6.0006	0.021101	0.27877	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
13	7.0025	0.01999	0.27905	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
14	8.0003	0.01999	0.2796	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
15	9.0022	0.01999	0.28015	2.9681	8.3192	8.3211	8.0717	0.24744	0.24935	1.0077
16	10.004	0.01999	0.28015	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
17	11.002	0.01999	0.28071	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
18	12.004	0.01999	0.28071	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
19	13.002	0.01999	0.28043	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
20	14.004	0.01999	0.28015	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
21	15.002	0.01999	0.28015	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
22	16.004	0.01999	0.28098	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
23	17.002	0.021101	0.28098	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
24	18.004	0.021101	0.28098	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
25	19.002	0.021101	0.28098	2.9681	8.3224	8.3211	8.0717	0.25069	0.24935	0.99465
26	20.004	0.021101	0.28098	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
27	21.001	0.022211	0.28098	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0711	0.24857	0.25052	1.0078
28	21.064	0.022211	0.28071	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 3

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.3203	8.3223	8.0717	0.24854	0.25052	1.008
2	0.012483	0	0.0011073	2.9681	8.3625	8.3638	8.1004	0.26208	0.26342	1.0051
3	0.050633	0.0033317	0.069761	2.9681	8.5024	8.5131	8.1671	0.33528	0.34599	1.032
4	0.10077	0.0088844	0.23198	2.9681	8.5151	8.5406	8.0893	0.42586	0.45132	1.0598
5	0.251	0.015548	0.29261	2.9681	8.5626	8.5704	8.0735	0.48916	0.49697	1.016
6	0.50203	0.016658	0.3023	2.9681	8.5664	8.571	8.0729	0.49354	0.49814	1.0093
7	1.004	0.017769	0.30922	2.9681	8.5708	8.5722	8.0717	0.49906	0.50048	1.0028
8	2.002	0.017769	0.31448	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0723	0.49738	0.49872	1.0027
9	3.0041	0.017769	0.31725	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0717	0.49797	0.49931	1.0027
10	4.0021	0.018879	0.31891	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
11	5.0041	0.01999	0.32029	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
12	6.002	0.01999	0.3214	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0717	0.49797	0.49931	1.0027
13	7	0.01999	0.32223	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0711	0.49855	0.49989	1.0027
14	8.0021	0.01999	0.32168	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
15	9	0.01999	0.32334	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
16	10.002	0.01999	0.32389	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
17	11	0.01999	0.32445	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0711	0.4991	0.50048	1.0028
18	12.002	0.01999	0.32472	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
19	13	0.01999	0.325	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
20	14.002	0.01999	0.32555	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
21	15	0.01999	0.32528	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
22	16.002	0.01999	0.32583	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
23	17.004	0.01999	0.32638	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0711	0.4991	0.50048	1.0028
24	18.002	0.01999	0.32638	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
25	19.004	0.01999	0.32638	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
26	20.002	0.021101	0.32666	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
27	20.933	0.01999	0.32666	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 4

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.5735	8.5716	8.0717	0.50177	0.49989	0.99626
2	0.0125	0	0.0024915	2.9681	8.6574	8.6577	8.1261	0.53126	0.53153	1.0005
3	0.050667	0.0011106	0.12208	2.9681	8.9188	8.9644	8.2309	0.68798	0.73355	1.0662
4	0.1008	0.018879	0.31974	2.9681	9.0123	9.0294	8.1086	0.90369	0.9208	1.0189
5	0.25102	0.043312	0.38701	2.9681	9.0669	9.0668	8.0735	0.99343	0.99336	0.99994
6	0.50205	0.048864	0.39891	2.9681	9.0669	9.0704	8.0735	0.99346	0.99688	1.0034
7	1.0034	0.052196	0.40666	2.9681	9.0669	9.0704	8.0723	0.99463	0.99805	1.0034
8	2.0013	0.052196	0.41303	2.9681	9.0707	9.0709	8.0723	0.99844	0.99863	1.0002
9	3.0032	0.053307	0.41663	2.9681	9.0713	9.0715	8.0717	0.99957	0.9998	1.0002
10	4.001	0.053307	0.41857	2.9681	9.0707	9.0709	8.0723	0.99844	0.99863	1.0002
11	5.003	0.054417	0.42078	2.9681	9.0707	9.0709	8.0711	0.99961	0.9998	1.0002
12	6.0007	0.053307	0.42189	2.9681	9.0707	9.0709	8.0723	0.99844	0.99863	1.0002
13	7.0022	0.053307	0.42327	2.9681	9.0713	9.0715	8.0717	0.99957	0.9998	1.0002
14	8.0036	0.053307	0.42383	2.9681	9.0713	9.0715	8.0717	0.99957	0.9998	1.0002
15	9.0014	0.054417	0.42466	2.9681	9.0713	9.0715	8.0717	0.99957	0.9998	1.0002
16	10.003	0.053307	0.42577	2.9681	9.0713	9.0715	8.0711	1.0002	1.0004	1.0002
17	11.001	0.053307	0.42632	2.9681	9.0713	9.0715	8.0717	0.99957	0.9998	1.0002
18	12.003	0.053307	0.42632	2.9681	9.0713	9.0715	8.0717	0.99957	0.9998	1.0002
19	13.001	0.053307	0.42715	2.9681	9.0707	9.0709	8.0717	0.99902	0.99922	1.0002
20	14.003	0.053307	0.42743	2.9681	9.068	9.0715	8.0717	0.99632	0.9998	1.0035
21	15.001	0.053307	0.42632	2.9681	9.068	9.0715	8.0717	0.99632	0.9998	1.0035
22	16.003	0.053307	0.42743	2.9681	9.0713	9.0715	8.0717	0.99957	0.9998	1.0002
23	17	0.053307	0.42826	2.9681	9.0707	9.0709	8.0717	0.99902	0.99922	1.0002
24	18.002	0.054417	0.42826	2.9681	9.0713	9.0715	8.0717	0.99957	0.9998	1.0002
25	19	0.054417	0.42826	2.9681	9.0707	9.0709	8.0717	0.99902	0.99922	1.0002
26	20.002	0.054417	0.42881	2.9681	9.0713	9.0715	8.0717	0.99957	0.9998	1.0002
27	20.925	0.054417	0.42853	2.9681	9.0713	9.0715	8.0717	0.99957	0.9998	1.0002

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 5

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	9.0713	9.0715	8.0717	0.99957	0.9998	1.0002
2	0.0125	0	0.0019378	2.9681	9.1459	9.1476	8.1203	1.0256	1.0273	1.0017
3	0.05065	0.0011106	0.089139	2.9681	9.393	9.4391	8.1653	1.2277	1.2738	1.0375
4	0.10072	0.013327	0.18215	2.9681	9.4836	9.4836	8.0928	1.3908	1.3908	1.0001
5	0.25095	0.024432	0.21814	2.9681	9.5028	9.5076	8.0741	1.4287	1.4336	1.0034
6	0.50182	0.026653	0.22783	2.9681	9.5055	9.5106	8.0729	1.4326	1.4377	1.0035
7	1.0035	0.027764	0.23503	2.9681	9.5088	9.5106	8.0723	1.4365	1.4382	1.0012
8	2.0013	0.027764	0.24167	2.9681	9.5093	9.5111	8.0717	1.4376	1.4394	1.0013
9	3.0032	0.028874	0.24499	2.9681	9.5099	9.5117	8.0717	1.4382	1.44	1.0013
10	4.001	0.028874	0.24749	2.9681	9.5061	9.5111	8.0717	1.4344	1.4394	1.0035
11	5.0029	0.028874	0.24915	2.9681	9.5099	9.5117	8.0717	1.4382	1.44	1.0013
12	6.0007	0.029985	0.25053	2.9681	9.5093	9.5111	8.0717	1.4376	1.4394	1.0013
13	7.0027	0.029985	0.25192	2.9681	9.5099	9.5117	8.0711	1.4387	1.4406	1.0013
14	8.0004	0.029985	0.25219	2.9681	9.5093	9.5111	8.0723	1.437	1.4388	1.0013
15	9.0024	0.029985	0.2533	2.9681	9.5099	9.5117	8.0723	1.4376	1.4394	1.0013
16	10	0.029985	0.25441	2.9681	9.5099	9.5117	8.0723	1.4376	1.4394	1.0013
17	11.002	0.029985	0.25441	2.9681	9.5099	9.5117	8.0717	1.4382	1.44	1.0013
18	12.004	0.031096	0.25579	2.9681	9.5093	9.5111	8.0717	1.4376	1.4394	1.0013
19	13.002	0.031096	0.25662	2.9681	9.5099	9.5117	8.0717	1.4382	1.44	1.0013
20	14.004	0.031096	0.25551	2.9681	9.5099	9.5117	8.0717	1.4382	1.44	1.0013
21	15.001	0.031096	0.25745	2.9681	9.5093	9.5111	8.0717	1.4376	1.4394	1.0013
22	16.003	0.032206	0.2569	2.9681	9.5099	9.5117	8.0723	1.4376	1.4394	1.0013
23	17.001	0.032206	0.25801	2.9681	9.5061	9.5111	8.0717	1.4344	1.4394	1.0035
24	18.003	0.032206	0.25856	2.9681	9.5099	9.5117	8.0717	1.4382	1.44	1.0013
25	19.001	0.033317	0.25884	2.9681	9.5099	9.5117	8.0717	1.4382	1.44	1.0013
26	20.003	0.032206	0.25801	2.9681	9.5099	9.5117	8.0717	1.4382	1.44	1.0013
27	21.001	0.033317	0.25967	2.9681	9.5099	9.5117	8.0717	1.4382	1.44	1.0013
28	22.003	0.033317	0.25994	2.9681	9.5099	9.5117	8.0717	1.4382	1.44	1.0013
29	23	0.033317	0.26022	2.9681	9.5093	9.5111	8.0711	1.4382	1.44	1.0013
30	24.002	0.033317	0.25939	2.9681	9.5099	9.5117	8.0723	1.4376	1.4394	1.0013
31	25	0.033317	0.25967	2.9681	9.5099	9.5117	8.0717	1.4382	1.44	1.0013
32	26.002	0.033317	0.26022	2.9681	9.5093	9.5111	8.0717	1.4376	1.4394	1.0013
33	27	0.033317	0.26133	2.9681	9.5099	9.5117	8.0717	1.4382	1.44	1.0013
34	27.247	0.033317	0.2605	2.9681	9.5099	9.5117	8.0717	1.4382	1.44	1.0013

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.1411	8.1437	8.0714	0.069686	0.072227	1.0365
2	0.012567	0	0	2.9681	8.1449	8.1478	8.0744	0.070576	0.073404	1.0401
3	0.05005	0	0.0069208	2.9681	8.1634	8.1642	8.082	0.081453	0.082215	1.0093
4	0.10013	0	0.021316	2.9681	8.1657	8.1701	8.0726	0.09308	0.097463	1.0471
5	0.25035	0.0018327	0.027683	2.9681	8.1694	8.1707	8.0714	0.098011	0.099222	1.0124
6	0.50123	0.0018327	0.030728	2.9681	8.17	8.1712	8.0714	0.098557	0.099809	1.0127
7	1.0029	0.0027491	0.032112	2.9681	8.17	8.1712	8.0714	0.098557	0.099809	1.0127
8	2.0007	0.0027491	0.032943	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
9	4.0001	0.0027491	0.03405	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
10	6.0035	0.0036655	0.034604	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
11	8.0027	0.0027491	0.034881	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
12	10.002	0.0027491	0.034881	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
13	12.001	0.0027491	0.034881	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.072	0.098516	0.09981	1.0131
14	14.002	0.0036655	0.034604	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
15	16.001	0.0027491	0.035988	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
16	18.003	0.0036655	0.035434	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
17	20.002	0.0027491	0.035711	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
18	22.001	0.0027491	0.035711	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
19	24	0.0027491	0.036265	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
20	26.004	0.0027491	0.036265	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
21	28.003	0.0027491	0.036542	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
22	30.002	0.0018327	0.036818	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
23	30.053	0.0018327	0.036818	2.9681	8.1711	8.1724	8.0714	0.099649	0.10098	1.0134

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.1743	8.1724	8.0714	0.10286	0.10098	0.98174
2	0.012433	0	0	2.9681	8.1793	8.1812	8.075	0.10432	0.10627	1.0187
3	0.050467	0	0.038203	2.9681	8.2808	8.2904	8.1265	0.15427	0.16383	1.062
4	0.10055	0.0018327	0.11488	2.9681	8.2767	8.2998	8.0855	0.19119	0.21426	1.1206
5	0.25077	0.0054982	0.15669	2.9681	8.3076	8.3191	8.0732	0.23437	0.24594	1.0494
6	0.50163	0.012829	0.16471	2.9681	8.3151	8.3203	8.0726	0.24247	0.2477	1.0215
7	1.0034	0.014662	0.17025	2.9681	8.3188	8.3209	8.072	0.24682	0.24887	1.0083
8	2.0011	0.016495	0.17468	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.072	0.24736	0.24946	1.0085
9	4.0003	0.017411	0.17883	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.072	0.24736	0.24946	1.0085
10	6.0037	0.017411	0.18132	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
11	8.0029	0.018327	0.18326	2.9681	8.3162	8.3215	8.0714	0.24474	0.25004	1.0217
12	10.002	0.018327	0.18465	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
13	12.001	0.018327	0.18575	2.9681	8.3188	8.3209	8.0714	0.2474	0.24946	1.0083
14	14.004	0.019244	0.18686	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
15	16.002	0.019244	0.18714	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
16	18.003	0.019244	0.18824	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
17	20.001	0.02016	0.18935	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
18	22	0.019244	0.18991	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
19	24.003	0.02016	0.19046	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
20	26.003	0.021076	0.19129	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
21	28.002	0.021076	0.19157	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
22	30.002	0.02016	0.19267	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
23	30.073	0.02016	0.19267	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 3

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.3226	8.3215	8.0714	0.25116	0.25004	0.99554
2	0.012483	0	0.0011073	2.9681	8.3618	8.3602	8.0943	0.26754	0.26591	0.9939
3	0.050033	0.0027491	0.069208	2.9681	8.5041	8.5304	8.1476	0.35645	0.38275	1.0738
4	0.1001	0.010996	0.16471	2.9681	8.5113	8.5451	8.0925	0.41878	0.45253	1.0806
5	0.25033	0.023826	0.2162	2.9681	8.5594	8.5691	8.075	0.48445	0.49417	1.0201
6	0.5007	0.027491	0.22645	2.9681	8.5664	8.5697	8.0726	0.49376	0.49711	1.0068
7	1.0013	0.029324	0.23309	2.9681	8.5707	8.5709	8.0714	0.49924	0.49945	1.0004
8	2.0038	0.029324	0.23863	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.072	0.4992	0.49945	1.0005
9	4.0032	0.03024	0.24361	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
10	6.0024	0.031156	0.24749	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
11	8.0016	0.031156	0.2497	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
12	10.001	0.031156	0.25109	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.072	0.4992	0.49945	1.0005
13	12.004	0.031156	0.25302	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
14	14.003	0.031156	0.25441	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0709	0.50037	0.50062	1.0005
15	16.003	0.032073	0.25579	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
16	18.002	0.032073	0.2569	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
17	20.001	0.032073	0.25773	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.072	0.4992	0.49945	1.0005
18	22	0.032073	0.25884	2.9681	8.5744	8.5715	8.0714	0.503	0.50004	0.99411
19	24.004	0.032073	0.25884	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
20	26.003	0.032989	0.25856	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
21	28.002	0.032989	0.26105	2.9681	8.568	8.5715	8.0714	0.49657	0.50004	1.007
22	30.002	0.033906	0.26216	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0709	0.50037	0.50062	1.0005
23	30.077	0.033906	0.26188	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 4

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
2	0.012483	0	0.0022146	2.9681	8.6573	8.6536	8.1201	0.53719	0.53354	0.99319
3	0.0507	0.0045818	0.1279	2.9681	8.9468	9.0028	8.1975	0.74929	0.80533	1.0748
4	0.10072	0.0073309	0.25247	2.9681	8.9558	9.0298	8.0996	0.85625	0.93023	1.0864
5	0.25093	0.017411	0.30784	2.9681	9.0577	9.0668	8.0761	0.98155	0.99065	1.0093
6	0.5018	0.021993	0.31919	2.9681	9.0642	9.0703	8.0726	0.99156	0.99769	1.0062
7	1.0035	0.023826	0.32666	2.9681	9.0642	9.0703	8.0714	0.99273	0.99886	1.0062
8	2.0013	0.025658	0.3333	2.9681	9.069	9.0721	8.0709	0.99817	1.0012	1.003
9	4.0005	0.026575	0.33939	2.9681	9.0674	9.0703	8.0714	0.99594	0.99886	1.0029
10	6.0039	0.025658	0.34327	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
11	8.0031	0.026575	0.34659	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0709	0.99762	1.0006	1.003
12	10.002	0.026575	0.34881	2.9681	9.0679	9.0709	8.0709	0.99707	1	1.003
13	12.002	0.027491	0.35074	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
14	14.001	0.027491	0.35296	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
15	16.004	0.028407	0.35407	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.072	0.99645	0.99945	1.003
16	18.003	0.028407	0.35628	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
17	20.003	0.028407	0.35739	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
18	22.002	0.029324	0.35794	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.072	0.99645	0.99945	1.003
19	24.001	0.028407	0.36043	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.072	0.99645	0.99945	1.003
20	26	0.028407	0.36182	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
21	28	0.028407	0.36265	2.9681	9.0679	9.0709	8.0714	0.99649	0.99944	1.003
22	30.004	0.029324	0.3632	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
23	32.003	0.029324	0.36514	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.072	0.99645	0.99945	1.003
24	34.002	0.029324	0.36569	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
25	34.591	0.029324	0.3668	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.072	0.99645	0.99945	1.003

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 5

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.072	0.99645	0.99945	1.003
2	0.012483	0	0.0019378	2.9681	9.1458	9.1442	8.1095	1.0363	1.0347	0.99849
3	0.0507	0.0027491	0.08997	2.9681	9.4039	9.4735	8.1424	1.2615	1.3311	1.0552
4	0.10077	0.01008	0.1506	2.9681	9.4288	9.4899	8.0814	1.3474	1.4085	1.0453
5	0.25143	0.027491	0.17828	2.9681	9.5041	9.5087	8.0744	1.4297	1.4343	1.0032
6	0.5023	0.029324	0.18686	2.9681	9.5041	9.5087	8.0732	1.4309	1.4355	1.0032
7	1.004	0.03024	0.1935	2.9681	9.5089	9.5104	8.072	1.4369	1.4384	1.001
8	2.0018	0.032073	0.20015	2.9681	9.5063	9.511	8.0714	1.4348	1.4396	1.0033
9	4.001	0.032989	0.20624	2.9681	9.5095	9.511	8.0714	1.4381	1.4396	1.0011
10	6.0007	0.034822	0.21011	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0709	1.4392	1.4408	1.0011
11	8.0001	0.035738	0.21233	2.9681	9.5095	9.511	8.072	1.4375	1.439	1.0011
12	10.004	0.035738	0.21427	2.9681	9.5095	9.511	8.0714	1.4381	1.4396	1.0011
13	12.003	0.037571	0.21842	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
14	14.004	0.037571	0.22036	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
15	16.003	0.037571	0.22229	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
16	18.001	0.039404	0.22423	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
17	20	0.039404	0.22479	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.072	1.438	1.4396	1.0011
18	22.003	0.04032	0.22755	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
19	24.002	0.04032	0.22866	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
20	26.002	0.039404	0.23088	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0709	1.4392	1.4408	1.0011
21	28.001	0.04032	0.23088	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0709	1.4392	1.4408	1.0011
22	30	0.039404	0.23337	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0709	1.4392	1.4408	1.0011
23	32.003	0.039404	0.23475	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.072	1.438	1.4396	1.0011
24	34.002	0.039404	0.23558	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.072	1.438	1.4396	1.0011
25	36.001	0.04032	0.23586	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.072	1.438	1.4396	1.0011
26	38	0.04032	0.23835	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
27	40.004	0.041236	0.23946	2.9681	9.5132	9.5116	8.0709	1.4424	1.4408	0.99886
28	42.003	0.041236	0.24057	2.9681	9.5095	9.511	8.0714	1.4381	1.4396	1.0011
29	44.003	0.042153	0.2414	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
30	46.002	0.043069	0.24195	2.9681	9.5063	9.511	8.0714	1.4348	1.4396	1.0033
31	48.001	0.043069	0.24361	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0709	1.4392	1.4408	1.0011
32	50	0.043069	0.24472	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
33	52.004	0.043986	0.24555	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
34	54.003	0.042153	0.24666	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.072	1.438	1.4396	1.0011
35	56.002	0.043069	0.24832	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0709	1.4392	1.4408	1.0011
36	58.002	0.043986	0.24749	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
37	60.001	0.043986	0.24859	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
38	62	0.043986	0.25136	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
39	64	0.044902	0.25136	2.9681	9.5068	9.5116	8.0714	1.4354	1.4402	1.0033
40	66.002	0.044902	0.2533	2.9681	9.5095	9.511	8.0709	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
41	68.003	0.044902	0.25468	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
42	70.002	0.045818	0.25551	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
43	72.001	0.045818	0.25551	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.072	1.438	1.4396	1.0011
44	74.004	0.045818	0.25579	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
45	76.003	0.046735	0.25856	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
46	78.001	0.045818	0.25967	2.9681	9.5068	9.5116	8.0709	1.436	1.4408	1.0033
47	80.004	0.045818	0.2605	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0709	1.4392	1.4408	1.0011
48	82.003	0.043986	0.25994	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
49	84.002	0.044902	0.26105	2.9681	9.5095	9.511	8.0714	1.4381	1.4396	1.0011
50	86	0.045818	0.26299	2.9681	9.5095	9.511	8.0714	1.4381	1.4396	1.0011
51	88.003	0.046735	0.2641	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0709	1.4392	1.4408	1.0011
52	90.002	0.047651	0.26437	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.072	1.438	1.4396	1.0011
53	92.001	0.046735	0.26382	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
54	94.003	0.046735	0.26659	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
55	96.002	0.047651	0.26742	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
56	98.001	0.047651	0.26825	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
57	100	0.047651	0.26742	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
58	102	0.047651	0.2688	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
59	104	0.047651	0.27074	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
60	106	0.047651	0.27185	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
61	108	0.047651	0.27268	2.9681	9.5132	9.5116	8.0714	1.4418	1.4402	0.99886
62	110	0.048567	0.27323	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0709	1.4392	1.4408	1.0011
63	112	0.047651	0.27406	2.9681	9.5106	9.5122	8.072	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
64	114	0.047651	0.27545	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
65	116	0.047651	0.27628	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
66	118	0.047651	0.27711	2.9681	9.5132	9.5116	8.0714	1.4418	1.4402	0.99886
67	120	0.047651	0.27794	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.072	1.438	1.4396	1.0011
68	122	0.048567	0.27877	2.9681	9.5068	9.5116	8.072	1.4348	1.4396	1.0033
69	124	0.047651	0.2796	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
70	126	0.047651	0.28071	2.9681	9.5132	9.5116	8.0714	1.4418	1.4402	0.99886
71	128	0.047651	0.28126	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
72	130	0.047651	0.28209	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
73	132	0.047651	0.28292	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.072	1.438	1.4396	1.0011
74	134	0.047651	0.28375	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
75	136	0.047651	0.28403	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
76	138	0.047651	0.2843	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.072	1.438	1.4396	1.0011
77	140	0.047651	0.28486	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
78	142	0.047651	0.2868	2.9681	9.5095	9.511	8.0714	1.4381	1.4396	1.0011
79	144	0.048567	0.28763	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
80	146	0.048567	0.28707	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.072	1.438	1.4396	1.0011
81	148	0.048567	0.28901	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
82	150	0.048567	0.28901	2.9681	9.5095	9.511	8.0709	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
83	152	0.047651	0.29095	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
84	154	0.048567	0.29178	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
85	156	0.048567	0.29095	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
86	158	0.048567	0.29316	2.9681	9.5095	9.511	8.0714	1.4381	1.4396	1.0011
87	160	0.048567	0.29372	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0709	1.4392	1.4408	1.0011
88	162	0.048567	0.29344	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
89	164	0.048567	0.29482	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
90	166	0.048567	0.29621	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
91	168	0.048567	0.29593	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
92	170	0.048567	0.29787	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011

93	172	0.048567	0.2987	2.9681	9.5106	9.5122	8.0714	1.4391	1.4408	1.0011
94	174	0.048567	0.29842	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
95	176	0.048567	0.29981	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
96	178	0.048567	0.29981	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
97	180	0.048567	0.30036	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0709	1.4392	1.4408	1.0011
98	182	0.048567	0.30175	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
99	184	0.048567	0.30147	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0709	1.4392	1.4408	1.0011
100	186	0.048567	0.30175	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
101	188	0.048567	0.30285	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.072	1.438	1.4396	1.0011
102	190	0.048567	0.30396	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
103	192	0.048567	0.30534	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
104	194	0.049484	0.30617	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0709	1.4392	1.4408	1.0011
105	196	0.049484	0.30562	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
106	198	0.049484	0.30617	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.072	1.438	1.4396	1.0011
107	200	0.049484	0.30728	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
108	202	0.0504	0.30867	2.9681	9.5068	9.5116	8.072	1.4348	1.4396	1.0033
109	204	0.0504	0.30839	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
110	206	0.049484	0.30977	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
111	208	0.049484	0.3095	2.9681	9.5106	9.5122	8.0714	1.4391	1.4408	1.0011
112	210	0.048567	0.31116	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
113	212	0.048567	0.31143	2.9681	9.5138	9.5122	8.072	1.4418	1.4402	0.99889
114	214	0.048567	0.31116	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
115	216	0.048567	0.31199	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
116	218	0.048567	0.3131	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.072	1.438	1.4396	1.0011
117	220	0.048567	0.3131	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
118	222	0.048567	0.31393	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
119	224	0.048567	0.31586	2.9681	9.5095	9.511	8.0709	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
120	226	0.049484	0.31476	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
121	228	0.048567	0.31697	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
122	230	0.048567	0.31669	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
123	232	0.049484	0.3178	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
124	234	0.049484	0.31836	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.072	1.438	1.4396	1.0011
125	236	0.049484	0.31808	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.072	1.438	1.4396	1.0011
126	238	0.049484	0.31919	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
127	240	0.049484	0.31974	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
128	240.36	0.0504	0.32085	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.072	1.438	1.4396	1.0011

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 6

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
2	0.012433	0	0.0022146	2.9681	9.6158	9.6149	8.1219	1.4939	1.493	0.99942
3	0.05015	0.0036655	0.11655	2.9681	10.053	10.165	8.16	1.8934	2.0048	1.0588
4	0.10067	0.014662	0.18409	2.9681	10.118	10.203	8.0814	2.0364	2.1215	1.0418
5	0.25088	0.029324	0.21261	2.9681	10.218	10.228	8.075	2.1429	2.1526	1.0045
6	0.50275	0.033906	0.22174	2.9681	10.228	10.231	8.0732	2.1543	2.1579	1.0017
7	1.0008	0.038487	0.22894	2.9681	10.227	10.23	8.072	2.155	2.1585	1.0016
8	2.0027	0.041236	0.23503	2.9681	10.228	10.231	8.0709	2.1567	2.1602	1.0016
9	4.003	0.042153	0.24167	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.072	2.1593	2.1596	1.0002
10	6.0022	0.042153	0.24583	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.072	2.1593	2.1596	1.0002
11	8.0029	0.042153	0.24942	2.9681	10.231	10.231	8.0703	2.1605	2.1608	1.0002
12	10.002	0.042153	0.25192	2.9681	10.231	10.231	8.0714	2.1593	2.1596	1.0002
13	12.001	0.042153	0.25275	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.072	2.1593	2.1596	1.0002
14	14.001	0.043069	0.25635	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.072	2.1593	2.1596	1.0002
15	16.001	0.043069	0.25773	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.072	2.1593	2.1596	1.0002
16	18	0.043986	0.25911	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.072	2.1593	2.1596	1.0002
17	20.004	0.043986	0.25967	2.9681	10.234	10.232	8.0714	2.1631	2.1602	0.99869
18	22.002	0.043986	0.26105	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.0714	2.1598	2.1602	1.0002
19	24.001	0.043986	0.26244	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.0714	2.1598	2.1602	1.0002
20	26.004	0.043986	0.2652	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.0714	2.1598	2.1602	1.0002
21	28.003	0.043986	0.26659	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.0714	2.1598	2.1602	1.0002
22	30.002	0.043986	0.26742	2.9681	10.228	10.232	8.0703	2.1578	2.1614	1.0017
23	32	0.044902	0.2688	2.9681	10.231	10.231	8.0709	2.1599	2.1602	1.0002
24	34.004	0.044902	0.26963	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.0714	2.1598	2.1602	1.0002
25	36.002	0.044902	0.26991	2.9681	10.231	10.231	8.0714	2.1593	2.1596	1.0002
26	38.002	0.043986	0.27185	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.072	2.1593	2.1596	1.0002
27	40.001	0.044902	0.27212	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.0714	2.1598	2.1602	1.0002
28	42.003	0.044902	0.27434	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.072	2.1593	2.1596	1.0002
29	44.002	0.044902	0.27406	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.072	2.1593	2.1596	1.0002
30	46.001	0.044902	0.27655	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.0714	2.1598	2.1602	1.0002
31	48.004	0.043986	0.27794	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.0703	2.161	2.1614	1.0002
32	50.002	0.043986	0.27766	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.0709	2.1604	2.1608	1.0002
33	52.001	0.044902	0.2796	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.072	2.1593	2.1596	1.0002
34	54.004	0.044902	0.28015	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.0726	2.1587	2.1591	1.0002
35	56.003	0.044902	0.28098	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.072	2.1593	2.1596	1.0002
36	58.001	0.043986	0.28071	2.9681	10.228	10.232	8.0714	2.1566	2.1602	1.0017
37	60	0.044902	0.28154	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.072	2.1593	2.1596	1.0002
38	62.003	0.044902	0.28264	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.072	2.1593	2.1596	1.0002
39	64.002	0.044902	0.28347	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.0714	2.1598	2.1602	1.0002
40	66	0.044902	0.28514	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.0709	2.1604	2.1608	1.0002
41	68.003	0.044902	0.28624	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.072	2.1593	2.1596	1.0002
42	70.002	0.044902	0.28707	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.072	2.1593	2.1596	1.0002
43	72.002	0.044902	0.2879	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.0714	2.1598	2.1602	1.0002
44	74.001	0.044902	0.28735	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.0714	2.1598	2.1602	1.0002
45	76.004	0.044902	0.28929	2.9681	10.231	10.231	8.072	2.1587	2.1591	1.0002
46	78.003	0.045818	0.28901	2.9681	10.228	10.232	8.0714	2.1566	2.1602	1.0017
47	79.694	0.045818	0.29012	2.9681	10.231	10.232	8.0714	2.1598	2.1602	1.0002

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.1432	8.1437	8.0717	0.071448	0.071985	1.0075
2	0.012483	0	0	2.9681	8.147	8.1478	8.0747	0.072363	0.073157	1.011
3	0.050033	0	0.010243	2.9681	8.1635	8.1654	8.084	0.079461	0.081358	1.0239
4	0.10012	0	0.034604	2.9681	8.1581	8.1665	8.0741	0.083996	0.092474	1.1009
5	0.25038	0	0.04457	2.9681	8.1646	8.1701	8.0735	0.091127	0.096572	1.0598
6	0.50072	0.0022211	0.048999	2.9681	8.1657	8.1712	8.0723	0.093394	0.098912	1.0591
7	1.0017	0.0033317	0.052044	2.9681	8.1663	8.1718	8.0717	0.094528	0.10008	1.0588
8	2.0035	0.0033317	0.053151	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
9	3.0008	0.0033317	0.053705	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0717	0.097233	0.099497	1.0233
10	4.0022	0.0033317	0.053982	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
11	5.0037	0.0033317	0.054259	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
12	6.0009	0.0044422	0.054536	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
13	7.0024	0.0044422	0.054812	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
14	8.0038	0.0055528	0.054259	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
15	9.0011	0.0055528	0.053982	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
16	10.004	0.0055528	0.053705	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
17	11.001	0.0055528	0.053428	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
18	12.003	0.0055528	0.053428	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
19	13.001	0.0055528	0.053428	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
20	14.003	0.0055528	0.052875	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
21	15	0.0055528	0.052598	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
22	16.002	0.0055528	0.052321	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
23	17	0.0055528	0.052598	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0723	0.097197	0.099498	1.0237
24	18.002	0.0055528	0.052875	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0723	0.097197	0.099498	1.0237
25	19.004	0.0055528	0.052044	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
26	20.001	0.0055528	0.051767	2.9681	8.1728	8.1718	8.0717	0.10104	0.10008	0.99057
27	20.916	0.0055528	0.05149	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0717	0.097233	0.099497	1.0233

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.1728	8.1718	8.0717	0.10104	0.10008	0.99057
2	0.012483	0	0.00083049	2.9681	8.1947	8.1952	8.0893	0.10543	0.10595	1.0049
3	0.050467	0	0.043462	2.9681	8.2786	8.2848	8.1367	0.14198	0.14812	1.0433
4	0.10062	-0.0011106	0.17828	2.9681	8.2724	8.3059	8.0758	0.19654	0.23004	1.1704
5	0.25087	0.009995	0.20984	2.9681	8.3148	8.3199	8.0747	0.24016	0.24526	1.0212
6	0.5017	0.013327	0.21731	2.9681	8.3154	8.3205	8.0729	0.24247	0.2476	1.0212
7	1.0032	0.021101	0.22368	2.9681	8.3192	8.3211	8.0717	0.24744	0.24935	1.0077
8	2.0008	0.027764	0.22866	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0723	0.2474	0.24935	1.0079
9	3.0026	0.027764	0.23115	2.9681	8.3192	8.3211	8.0717	0.24744	0.24935	1.0077
10	4.0002	0.028874	0.23281	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
11	5.0019	0.028874	0.23337	2.9681	8.3192	8.3211	8.0717	0.24744	0.24935	1.0077
12	6.0037	0.028874	0.2342	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
13	7.0013	0.027764	0.2342	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0723	0.2474	0.24935	1.0079
14	8.0031	0.027764	0.23475	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
15	9.0007	0.027764	0.23558	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
16	10.002	0.028874	0.23503	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
17	11	0.028874	0.23614	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0723	0.2474	0.24935	1.0079
18	12.003	0.027764	0.23558	2.9681	8.3192	8.3211	8.0717	0.24744	0.24935	1.0077
19	13	0.028874	0.23614	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
20	14.002	0.028874	0.23614	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
21	15.004	0.028874	0.23641	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
22	16.002	0.028874	0.23669	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
23	17.004	0.028874	0.23614	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
24	18.002	0.029985	0.23586	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
25	19.004	0.028874	0.23697	2.9681	8.3192	8.3211	8.0717	0.24744	0.24935	1.0077
26	20.002	0.028874	0.23669	2.9681	8.3192	8.3211	8.0711	0.24802	0.24994	1.0077
27	20.912	0.029985	0.23724	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 3

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
2	0.012483	0	0.0011073	2.9681	8.3603	8.3615	8.0986	0.26164	0.26283	1.0046
3	0.050533	0.0022211	0.075298	2.9681	8.5069	8.5283	8.1542	0.35265	0.37409	1.0608
4	0.10055	0.014437	0.17994	2.9681	8.5097	8.5418	8.0934	0.41635	0.44839	1.077
5	0.25077	0.051086	0.23946	2.9681	8.5653	8.5699	8.0729	0.49245	0.49697	1.0092
6	0.50147	0.053307	0.2497	2.9681	8.5653	8.5699	8.0735	0.49186	0.49638	1.0092
7	1.0029	0.054417	0.25635	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0723	0.49738	0.49872	1.0027
8	2.0005	0.055528	0.26133	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0723	0.49738	0.49872	1.0027
9	3.0022	0.055528	0.26437	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0717	0.49797	0.49931	1.0027
10	4.004	0.056638	0.26576	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
11	5.0021	0.056638	0.26686	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0723	0.49793	0.49931	1.0028
12	6.0039	0.057749	0.26825	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
13	7.0015	0.056638	0.2688	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0723	0.49738	0.49872	1.0027
14	8.0033	0.056638	0.26936	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
15	9.0009	0.056638	0.26963	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0723	0.49793	0.49931	1.0028
16	10.002	0.057749	0.27074	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0711	0.4991	0.50048	1.0028
17	11.004	0.056638	0.27019	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
18	12.002	0.057749	0.27129	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0711	0.49855	0.49989	1.0027
19	13.003	0.057749	0.27102	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0723	0.49793	0.49931	1.0028
20	14.001	0.057749	0.27268	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
21	15.003	0.057749	0.27268	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
22	16	0.057749	0.2724	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
23	17.002	0.056638	0.2724	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0711	0.49855	0.49989	1.0027
24	18.004	0.056638	0.27268	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0711	0.4991	0.50048	1.0028
25	19.002	0.057749	0.27379	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
26	20.004	0.057749	0.27406	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
27	20.922	0.057749	0.27406	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 4

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0723	0.49793	0.49931	1.0028
2	0.012483	0	0.0011073	2.9681	8.6064	8.6067	8.094	0.51246	0.51278	1.0006
3	0.050483	0	0.046784	2.9681	8.7251	8.7507	8.1261	0.59897	0.62461	1.0428
4	0.10055	0.0055528	0.10741	2.9681	8.7514	8.7718	8.0869	0.66442	0.68488	1.0308
5	0.25078	0.018879	0.14257	2.9681	8.7852	8.7905	8.0747	0.71053	0.7159	1.0075
6	0.50113	0.021101	0.15087	2.9681	8.7852	8.7905	8.0729	0.71229	0.71765	1.0075
7	1.0025	0.022211	0.15752	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0723	0.71668	0.71882	1.003
8	2.0001	0.023322	0.16305	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
9	3.0019	0.022211	0.1661	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0723	0.71668	0.71882	1.003
10	4.0037	0.022211	0.16804	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
11	5.0013	0.023322	0.1697	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0711	0.7184	0.72058	1.003
12	6.0031	0.022211	0.17053	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0711	0.71785	0.71999	1.003
13	7.0007	0.022211	0.17163	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
14	8.0024	0.022211	0.17191	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
15	9	0.023322	0.17302	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
16	10.002	0.022211	0.17247	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
17	11.004	0.022211	0.17302	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
18	12.001	0.023322	0.1733	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
19	13.003	0.023322	0.17413	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
20	14.001	0.023322	0.1744	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
21	15.003	0.023322	0.17606	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
22	16.001	0.023322	0.17523	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
23	17.003	0.023322	0.17551	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
24	18	0.023322	0.17662	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
25	19.002	0.023322	0.17579	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0723	0.71723	0.71941	1.003
26	20.004	0.023322	0.17745	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
27	21.002	0.024432	0.17662	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003
28	22.004	0.024432	0.17773	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
29	23.002	0.023322	0.17689	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
30	24.004	0.024432	0.17745	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
31	25.002	0.024432	0.17883	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
32	26.003	0.024432	0.178	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
33	27.001	0.024432	0.178	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
34	28.003	0.024432	0.17828	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
35	29.001	0.024432	0.17939	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
36	30.003	0.024432	0.17828	2.9681	8.7895	8.7917	8.0717	0.71781	0.71999	1.003
37	30.07	0.024432	0.17828	2.9681	8.789	8.7911	8.0717	0.71726	0.71941	1.003

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.1411	8.1437	8.0714	0.069686	0.072227	1.0365
2	0.012467	0	0	2.9681	8.1433	8.146	8.0726	0.070697	0.073402	1.0383
3	0.050017	0	0.0074744	2.9681	8.164	8.1648	8.082	0.081999	0.082802	1.0098
4	0.1001	0	0.021316	2.9681	8.1646	8.1689	8.0726	0.091988	0.096289	1.0468
5	0.25033	-0.00091637	0.025745	2.9681	8.1694	8.1707	8.0732	0.096252	0.097464	1.0126
6	0.50068	0	0.027683	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
7	1.0014	0	0.028514	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
8	2.0028	0	0.029621	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
9	4.0015	0	0.029621	2.9681	8.17	8.1712	8.0714	0.098557	0.099809	1.0127
10	6.0003	0	0.029621	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
11	8.0021	0	0.029898	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
12	10.002	0.00091637	0.029898	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
13	12.001	0.00091637	0.029621	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
14	14.004	0	0.029898	2.9681	8.1711	8.1724	8.0714	0.099649	0.10098	1.0134
15	16.003	0	0.029898	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
16	18	0	0.029067	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
17	20.004	0	0.029344	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
18	22.003	0.00091637	0.029067	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
19	24.003	0	0.02879	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.072	0.098516	0.09981	1.0131
20	26.002	-0.00091637	0.02879	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
21	28.001	-0.00091637	0.028514	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
22	30.004	0	0.028237	2.9681	8.1673	8.1718	8.0714	0.09589	0.1004	1.047
23	30.054	0	0.028237	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
2	0.012517	0	0.00055366	2.9681	8.1956	8.1953	8.0873	0.10832	0.10804	0.9974
3	0.050233	0	0.046231	2.9681	8.2868	8.2968	8.123	0.16379	0.1738	1.0611
4	0.10033	0.00091637	0.11516	2.9681	8.2902	8.3039	8.0843	0.20582	0.21954	1.0666
5	0.25132	0.0091637	0.15198	2.9681	8.3076	8.3191	8.075	0.23261	0.24418	1.0497
6	0.50167	0.010996	0.15973	2.9681	8.3151	8.3203	8.0726	0.24247	0.2477	1.0215
7	1.0029	0.011913	0.16471	2.9681	8.3188	8.3209	8.072	0.24682	0.24887	1.0083
8	2.0017	0.011913	0.16831	2.9681	8.3188	8.3209	8.0709	0.24799	0.25004	1.0083
9	4.0007	0.011913	0.17108	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
10	6.0039	0.011913	0.17302	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
11	8.003	0.010996	0.17357	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
12	10.002	0.011913	0.17468	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
13	12.002	0.010996	0.17551	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
14	14.001	0.011913	0.17606	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
15	16.004	0.011913	0.17606	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
16	18.003	0.011913	0.17662	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
17	20.002	0.011913	0.17745	2.9681	8.3226	8.3215	8.0714	0.25116	0.25004	0.99554
18	22.001	0.011913	0.17745	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.072	0.24736	0.24946	1.0085
19	24	0.010996	0.17856	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
20	26.004	0.010996	0.17883	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
21	28.003	0.011913	0.17856	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
22	30.002	0.010996	0.14921	2.9681	8.3199	8.3221	8.0714	0.2485	0.25063	1.0086
23	30.073	0.01008	0.14921	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0709	0.24854	0.25063	1.0084

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 3

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0709	0.24854	0.25063	1.0084
2	0.012483	0.00091637	0.0011073	2.9681	8.3618	8.3602	8.0966	0.2652	0.26357	0.99385
3	0.050533	0	0.068377	2.9681	8.5171	8.5374	8.1406	0.37646	0.39682	1.0541
4	0.10055	0.00091637	0.14451	2.9681	8.5145	8.5451	8.0896	0.42492	0.45546	1.0719
5	0.25077	0.0073309	0.19101	2.9681	8.5546	8.5674	8.0755	0.47901	0.49183	1.0268
6	0.50147	0.011913	0.20098	2.9681	8.568	8.5715	8.0726	0.4954	0.49887	1.007
7	1.0029	0.012829	0.20679	2.9681	8.5686	8.5721	8.0714	0.49712	0.50063	1.0071
8	2.0005	0.013745	0.21011	2.9681	8.568	8.5715	8.0714	0.49657	0.50004	1.007
9	4.0037	0.013745	0.21261	2.9681	8.5707	8.5709	8.0714	0.49924	0.49945	1.0004
10	6.0027	0.013745	0.21399	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
11	8.0021	0.013745	0.21454	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
12	10.001	0.013745	0.21593	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
13	12	0.013745	0.21648	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
14	14.003	0.013745	0.21731	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
15	16.003	0.013745	0.21731	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
16	18.002	0.013745	0.21759	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
17	20.001	0.014662	0.21897	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0709	0.50037	0.50062	1.0005
18	22	0.013745	0.21787	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
19	24.004	0.013745	0.21814	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
20	26.003	0.013745	0.21842	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
21	28.003	0.013745	0.21925	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
22	30.002	0.014662	0.21953	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
23	30.073	0.014662	0.21925	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0709	0.50037	0.50062	1.0005

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 4

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0709	0.50037	0.50062	1.0005
2	0.0125	0	0.0022146	2.9681	8.661	8.6542	8.1201	0.54095	0.53413	0.98738
3	0.0506	0	0.1279	2.9681	8.9489	9.0016	8.1987	0.75024	0.80298	1.0703
4	0.10067	0.0036655	0.25551	2.9681	8.9634	9.0345	8.092	0.87145	0.94254	1.0816
5	0.25078	0.02016	0.30562	2.9681	9.0523	9.0679	8.0755	0.97681	0.99241	1.016
6	0.50148	0.022909	0.31614	2.9681	9.0636	9.0697	8.0738	0.98984	0.99593	1.0061
7	1.0029	0.024742	0.32306	2.9681	9.0674	9.0703	8.072	0.99536	0.99827	1.0029
8	2.0005	0.024742	0.32887	2.9681	9.0679	9.0709	8.072	0.9959	0.99886	1.003
9	4.0039	0.024742	0.33441	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
10	6.0031	0.024742	0.33718	2.9681	9.0717	9.0715	8.072	0.99966	0.99945	0.99978
11	8.0027	0.024742	0.33939	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
12	10.002	0.025658	0.34189	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
13	12.001	0.024742	0.34244	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
14	14.001	0.024742	0.3441	2.9681	9.0653	9.0715	8.0714	0.99382	1	1.0062
15	16.001	0.024742	0.34521	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.072	0.99645	0.99945	1.003
16	18	0.024742	0.34659	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
17	20.004	0.024742	0.34715	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
18	22.003	0.024742	0.34742	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
19	24.002	0.024742	0.34798	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.072	0.99645	0.99945	1.003
20	26.001	0.024742	0.34964	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
21	28.001	0.025658	0.34991	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0709	0.99762	1.0006	1.003
22	30.004	0.024742	0.35047	2.9681	9.0679	9.0709	8.0709	0.99707	1	1.003
23	30.075	0.024742	0.35019	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.072	0.99645	0.99945	1.003

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 5

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	9.0717	9.0715	8.072	0.99966	0.99945	0.99978
2	0.012483	0	0.0022146	2.9681	9.1458	9.1442	8.1136	1.0322	1.0306	0.99848
3	0.0505	0.00091637	0.075575	2.9681	9.3803	9.4447	8.1476	1.2327	1.2971	1.0522
4	0.10055	0.0045818	0.147	2.9681	9.4261	9.487	8.082	1.3441	1.405	1.0453
5	0.25077	0.015578	0.17523	2.9681	9.503	9.5075	8.0732	1.4298	1.4343	1.0031
6	0.50147	0.017411	0.18409	2.9681	9.5052	9.5098	8.0732	1.432	1.4366	1.0033
7	1.0029	0.02016	0.19101	2.9681	9.5089	9.5104	8.0709	1.4381	1.4396	1.001
8	2.0005	0.02016	0.19683	2.9681	9.5095	9.511	8.072	1.4375	1.439	1.0011
9	4.0038	0.021993	0.20236	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
10	6.0029	0.022909	0.20569	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.072	1.438	1.4396	1.0011
11	8.0019	0.022909	0.20818	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0709	1.4392	1.4408	1.0011
12	10.001	0.022909	0.21067	2.9681	9.5095	9.511	8.072	1.4375	1.439	1.0011
13	12.001	0.023826	0.21233	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
14	14	0.024742	0.21399	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.072	1.438	1.4396	1.0011
15	16.003	0.024742	0.21482	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
16	18.002	0.023826	0.2162	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.072	1.438	1.4396	1.0011
17	20.001	0.023826	0.21787	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
18	22	0.023826	0.21897	2.9681	9.5095	9.511	8.0709	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
19	24.003	0.023826	0.21953	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
20	26.002	0.024742	0.22063	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
21	28.001	0.024742	0.22036	2.9681	9.5068	9.5116	8.0714	1.4354	1.4402	1.0033
22	30.003	0.025658	0.22202	2.9681	9.5068	9.5116	8.072	1.4348	1.4396	1.0033
23	32.002	0.024742	0.22285	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
24	32.26	0.024742	0.22257	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.1432	8.1437	8.0717	0.071448	0.071985	1.0075
2	0.0125	0	0	2.9681	8.1497	8.1472	8.0747	0.075069	0.072572	0.96674
3	0.050483	0	0.0083049	2.9681	8.1629	8.1648	8.0852	0.077743	0.079603	1.0239
4	0.10057	0	0.036542	2.9681	8.1575	8.166	8.0711	0.086372	0.094814	1.0977
5	0.25087	0	0.047615	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0735	0.095478	0.097742	1.0237
6	0.5017	0	0.051767	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0723	0.096648	0.098912	1.0234
7	1.0034	0	0.054536	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0723	0.097197	0.099498	1.0237
8	2.0011	0.0011106	0.055643	2.9681	8.1728	8.1718	8.0717	0.10104	0.10008	0.99057
9	3.0029	0	0.056197	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
10	4.0005	0	0.056197	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
11	5.0023	0	0.056197	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
12	6.0041	-0.0011106	0.056197	2.9681	8.1663	8.1718	8.0717	0.094528	0.10008	1.0588
13	7.0017	-0.0011106	0.056197	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0717	0.097233	0.099497	1.0233
14	8.0034	-0.0011106	0.056197	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0717	0.097233	0.099497	1.0233
15	9.001	-0.0011106	0.055643	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
16	10.003	-0.0011106	0.055366	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
17	11	0	0.055366	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
18	12.002	0	0.055089	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
19	13.004	0.0011106	0.054812	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
20	14.002	0	0.054812	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
21	15.003	0.0011106	0.054536	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
22	16.001	0.0011106	0.054536	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
23	17.003	0.0011106	0.055089	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
24	18	0.0011106	0.054536	2.9681	8.1695	8.1718	8.0717	0.097782	0.10008	1.0235
25	19.002	0.0011106	0.053982	2.9681	8.1728	8.1718	8.0717	0.10104	0.10008	0.99057
26	20.004	0.0011106	0.053705	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0717	0.097233	0.099497	1.0233
27	20.906	0.0011106	0.053428	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0717	0.097233	0.099497	1.0233

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.169	8.1712	8.0717	0.097233	0.099497	1.0233
2	0.012483	0	0.00055366	2.9681	8.1996	8.197	8.0904	0.10916	0.10653	0.97594
3	0.050467	0	0.044293	2.9681	8.296	8.286	8.1355	0.16052	0.15046	0.93736
4	0.10055	-0.0011106	0.178	2.9681	8.3022	8.31	8.0665	0.23577	0.2435	1.0328
5	0.25077	-0.0011106	0.21122	2.9681	8.3148	8.3199	8.0747	0.24016	0.24526	1.0212
6	0.50147	-0.0011106	0.21925	2.9681	8.3148	8.3199	8.0729	0.24192	0.24701	1.0211
7	1.0028	-0.0011106	0.22451	2.9681	8.3192	8.3211	8.0723	0.24685	0.24877	1.0077
8	2.0004	-0.0011106	0.22866	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0723	0.2474	0.24935	1.0079
9	3.0022	-0.0011106	0.2306	2.9681	8.3192	8.3211	8.0717	0.24744	0.24935	1.0077
10	4.004	0	0.23198	2.9681	8.3192	8.3211	8.0717	0.24744	0.24935	1.0077
11	5.0016	0	0.23281	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
12	6.0034	0	0.23364	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
13	7.001	0	0.23448	2.9681	8.3192	8.3211	8.0711	0.24802	0.24994	1.0077
14	8.0027	0	0.23475	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
15	9.0003	-0.0011106	0.23531	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
16	10.002	0	0.23558	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
17	11.004	-0.0011106	0.23586	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
18	12.001	-0.0011106	0.23586	2.9681	8.323	8.3217	8.0717	0.25124	0.24994	0.99481
19	13.003	-0.0011106	0.23641	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0723	0.2474	0.24935	1.0079
20	14.001	-0.0011106	0.23669	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
21	15.003	-0.0011106	0.23697	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
22	16	-0.0011106	0.23641	2.9681	8.3192	8.3211	8.0717	0.24744	0.24935	1.0077
23	17.002	-0.0011106	0.23586	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
24	18.004	-0.0011106	0.23586	2.9681	8.3192	8.3211	8.0717	0.24744	0.24935	1.0077
25	19.001	-0.0011106	0.23586	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
26	20.003	-0.0022211	0.23724	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
27	20.934	-0.0011106	0.23669	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 3

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.3197	8.3217	8.0717	0.24799	0.24994	1.0079
2	0.012483	0	0.0011073	2.9681	8.3733	8.365	8.1027	0.2706	0.26225	0.96916
3	0.050533	-0.0011106	0.07862	2.9681	8.5231	8.5283	8.1566	0.36658	0.37175	1.0141
4	0.10055	-0.0011106	0.19184	2.9681	8.5254	8.5412	8.0928	0.43266	0.44839	1.0364
5	0.25077	0.0022211	0.24721	2.9681	8.5653	8.5699	8.0741	0.49128	0.4958	1.0092
6	0.50147	0.0022211	0.2569	2.9681	8.5686	8.5699	8.0735	0.49512	0.49638	1.0026
7	1.003	0.0022211	0.26354	2.9681	8.5664	8.571	8.0729	0.49354	0.49814	1.0093
8	2.0006	0.0022211	0.26908	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0723	0.49793	0.49931	1.0028
9	3.0024	0.0022211	0.27212	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0723	0.49793	0.49931	1.0028
10	4.0042	0.0022211	0.27379	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
11	5.0018	0.0022211	0.27545	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
12	6.0035	0.0022211	0.27655	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
13	7.0011	0.0022211	0.27766	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0723	0.49793	0.49931	1.0028
14	8.0029	0.0033317	0.27849	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0711	0.4991	0.50048	1.0028
15	9.0005	0.0022211	0.27849	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
16	10.002	0.0022211	0.27988	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
17	11.004	0.0022211	0.28015	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0723	0.49793	0.49931	1.0028
18	12.002	0.0022211	0.28098	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0711	0.49855	0.49989	1.0027
19	13.003	0.0033317	0.28098	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0717	0.49797	0.49931	1.0027
20	14.001	0.0022211	0.28043	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0717	0.49797	0.49931	1.0027
21	15.003	0.0033317	0.28154	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
22	16	0.0022211	0.28098	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
23	17.002	0.0022211	0.28209	2.9681	8.5708	8.5722	8.0717	0.49906	0.50048	1.0028
24	18.004	0.0033317	0.28209	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
25	19.002	0.0033317	0.28264	2.9681	8.5697	8.571	8.0717	0.49797	0.49931	1.0027
26	20.003	0.0033317	0.28292	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
27	21.001	0.0033317	0.2832	2.9681	8.5735	8.5716	8.0717	0.50177	0.49989	0.99626
28	21.135	0.0033317	0.2832	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 4

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.5702	8.5716	8.0717	0.49852	0.49989	1.0028
2	0.0125	0	0.0024915	2.9681	8.6628	8.66	8.125	0.53788	0.53505	0.99473
3	0.050483	0.0022211	0.11433	2.9681	8.9569	8.9738	8.2168	0.74009	0.75696	1.0228
4	0.10057	0.014437	0.27268	2.9681	9.0117	9.0288	8.1004	0.91133	0.9284	1.0187
5	0.25083	0.03998	0.33164	2.9681	9.0642	9.0674	8.0752	0.98897	0.99219	1.0033
6	0.50148	0.041091	0.34355	2.9681	9.0702	9.0704	8.0735	0.99672	0.99688	1.0002
7	1.0029	0.043312	0.35157	2.9681	9.0713	9.0715	8.0729	0.9984	0.99863	1.0002
8	2.0005	0.045533	0.35822	2.9681	9.0707	9.0709	8.0717	0.99902	0.99922	1.0002
9	3.0022	0.047754	0.36182	2.9681	9.0707	9.0709	8.0723	0.99844	0.99863	1.0002
10	4.004	0.047754	0.36403	2.9681	9.0707	9.0709	8.0717	0.99902	0.99922	1.0002
11	5.0016	0.047754	0.36542	2.9681	9.0707	9.0709	8.0717	0.99902	0.99922	1.0002
12	6.0034	0.048864	0.36763	2.9681	9.0713	9.0715	8.0717	0.99957	0.9998	1.0002
13	7.001	0.048864	0.36735	2.9681	9.0713	9.0715	8.0717	0.99957	0.9998	1.0002
14	8.0028	0.049975	0.36985	2.9681	9.0713	9.0715	8.0717	0.99957	0.9998	1.0002
15	9.0004	0.051086	0.37012	2.9681	9.0713	9.0715	8.0723	0.99899	0.99922	1.0002
16	10.002	0.052196	0.37012	2.9681	9.0713	9.0715	8.0717	0.99957	0.9998	1.0002
17	11.004	0.051086	0.37123	2.9681	9.0713	9.0715	8.0711	1.0002	1.0004	1.0002
18	12.002	0.052196	0.37178	2.9681	9.0713	9.0715	8.0717	0.99957	0.9998	1.0002
19	13.003	0.052196	0.37289	2.9681	9.0713	9.0715	8.0723	0.99899	0.99922	1.0002
20	14.001	0.052196	0.37372	2.9681	9.0707	9.0709	8.0717	0.99902	0.99922	1.0002
21	15.003	0.054417	0.37427	2.9681	9.0713	9.0715	8.0717	0.99957	0.9998	1.0002
22	16	0.054417	0.37289	2.9681	9.0713	9.0715	8.0717	0.99957	0.9998	1.0002
23	17.002	0.055528	0.37483	2.9681	9.0713	9.0715	8.0723	0.99899	0.99922	1.0002
24	18.004	0.056638	0.37427	2.9681	9.0713	9.0715	8.0717	0.99957	0.9998	1.0002
25	19.001	0.056638	0.37566	2.9681	9.0713	9.0715	8.0723	0.99899	0.99922	1.0002
26	20.003	0.056638	0.37455	2.9681	9.0713	9.0715	8.0717	0.99957	0.9998	1.0002
27	21	0.056638	0.37621	2.9681	9.0713	9.0715	8.0717	0.99957	0.9998	1.0002
28	21.326	0.056638	0.37649	2.9681	9.0713	9.0715	8.0717	0.99957	0.9998	1.0002

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 5

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	9.0713	9.0715	8.0717	0.99957	0.9998	1.0002
2	0.012483	0	0.0024915	2.9681	9.2034	9.2021	8.1367	1.0667	1.0654	0.99875
3	0.050033	0.0088844	0.21704	2.9681	9.9286	10.025	8.2747	1.6539	1.7498	1.058
4	0.10005	0.032206	0.41968	2.9681	10.155	10.165	8.0992	2.0555	2.0658	1.005
5	0.25027	0.043312	0.47421	2.9681	10.217	10.224	8.0752	2.1414	2.1489	1.0035
6	0.50063	0.047754	0.48778	2.9681	10.224	10.229	8.0735	2.1508	2.1553	1.0021
7	1.0014	0.048864	0.49746	2.9681	10.229	10.23	8.0723	2.1563	2.1577	1.0006
8	2.0029	0.049975	0.50577	2.9681	10.23	10.231	8.0723	2.1574	2.1588	1.0007
9	3.0001	0.049975	0.51048	2.9681	10.23	10.231	8.0711	2.1585	2.16	1.0007
10	4.0016	0.051086	0.51352	2.9681	10.23	10.232	8.0711	2.1591	2.1606	1.0007
11	5.003	0.052196	0.51574	2.9681	10.23	10.232	8.0729	2.1573	2.1588	1.0007
12	6.0004	0.052196	0.5174	2.9681	10.23	10.232	8.0723	2.1579	2.1594	1.0007
13	7.0019	0.052196	0.51906	2.9681	10.23	10.231	8.0729	2.1568	2.1583	1.0007
14	8.0033	0.053307	0.51906	2.9681	10.23	10.232	8.0717	2.1585	2.16	1.0007
15	9.0006	0.053307	0.52016	2.9681	10.23	10.232	8.0723	2.1579	2.1594	1.0007
16	10.002	0.053307	0.52293	2.9681	10.23	10.231	8.0706	2.1591	2.1606	1.0007
17	11.003	0.054417	0.52238	2.9681	10.23	10.232	8.0717	2.1585	2.16	1.0007
18	12.001	0.054417	0.52321	2.9681	10.23	10.232	8.0723	2.1579	2.1594	1.0007
19	13.002	0.054417	0.52542	2.9681	10.23	10.232	8.0723	2.1579	2.1594	1.0007
20	14.004	0.054417	0.52459	2.9681	10.23	10.232	8.0723	2.1579	2.1594	1.0007
21	15.001	0.054417	0.52542	2.9681	10.23	10.232	8.0717	2.1585	2.16	1.0007
22	16.002	0.055528	0.52653	2.9681	10.23	10.232	8.0717	2.1585	2.16	1.0007
23	17.004	0.054417	0.52792	2.9681	10.23	10.232	8.0717	2.1585	2.16	1.0007
24	18.001	0.054417	0.52847	2.9681	10.23	10.231	8.0717	2.158	2.1594	1.0007
25	19.003	0.055528	0.52875	2.9681	10.23	10.232	8.0717	2.1585	2.16	1.0007
26	20	0.055528	0.52958	2.9681	10.23	10.232	8.0711	2.1591	2.1606	1.0007
27	21.002	0.055528	0.52875	2.9681	10.23	10.232	8.0723	2.1579	2.1594	1.0007
28	22.003	0.055528	0.53013	2.9681	10.23	10.232	8.0723	2.1579	2.1594	1.0007
29	23	0.055528	0.53068	2.9681	10.23	10.231	8.0717	2.158	2.1594	1.0007
30	24.002	0.055528	0.53068	2.9681	10.23	10.232	8.0723	2.1579	2.1594	1.0007
31	25.003	0.056638	0.53151	2.9681	10.23	10.232	8.0723	2.1579	2.1594	1.0007
32	25.692	0.056638	0.53068	2.9681	10.23	10.232	8.0711	2.1591	2.1606	1.0007

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	7.9425	7.9435	7.8716	0.070889	0.071869	1.0138
2	0.012517	0	0	2.9681	7.9464	7.9476	7.8752	0.071219	0.072457	1.0174
3	0.05	0	0.0085817	2.9681	7.965	7.964	7.8851	0.079888	0.078902	0.98765
4	0.10008	0	0.032112	2.9681	7.9639	7.9663	7.8734	0.09053	0.092944	1.0267
5	0.2503	0	0.039864	2.9681	7.971	7.9704	7.8722	0.098794	0.098211	0.9941
6	0.50122	0	0.042632	2.9681	7.9683	7.971	7.8716	0.096674	0.099382	1.028
7	1.0029	0	0.044016	2.9681	7.9683	7.971	7.8716	0.096674	0.099382	1.028
8	2.0012	0	0.044847	2.9681	7.9689	7.9716	7.8716	0.097222	0.099967	1.0282
9	3.0032	0	0.045123	2.9681	7.9683	7.971	7.8716	0.096674	0.099382	1.028
10	4.0014	0	0.045123	2.9681	7.9656	7.9716	7.8722	0.093383	0.099382	1.0642
11	5.0034	0	0.044847	2.9681	7.9689	7.9716	7.8716	0.097222	0.099967	1.0282
12	6.0016	0	0.044847	2.9681	7.9689	7.9716	7.8716	0.097222	0.099967	1.0282
13	7.0036	0.0011106	0.044847	2.9681	7.9689	7.9716	7.8716	0.097222	0.099967	1.0282
14	8.0018	0.0011106	0.044293	2.9681	7.9689	7.9716	7.8716	0.097222	0.099967	1.0282
15	9.0037	0	0.044293	2.9681	7.9689	7.9716	7.8716	0.097222	0.099967	1.0282
16	10.002	0.0011106	0.044016	2.9681	7.9689	7.9716	7.8716	0.097222	0.099967	1.0282
17	11.004	0.0011106	0.043739	2.9681	7.9689	7.9716	7.8716	0.097222	0.099967	1.0282
18	12.002	0.0011106	0.043739	2.9681	7.9683	7.971	7.8716	0.096674	0.099382	1.028
19	13	0.0011106	0.043462	2.9681	7.9689	7.9716	7.8716	0.097222	0.099967	1.0282
20	14.002	0.0011106	0.043462	2.9681	7.9721	7.9716	7.8716	0.10048	0.099967	0.99493
21	15	0.0011106	0.043462	2.9681	7.9721	7.9716	7.8716	0.10048	0.099967	0.99493
22	16.003	0.0011106	0.043186	2.9681	7.9721	7.9716	7.8716	0.10048	0.099967	0.99493
23	17	0.0022211	0.042909	2.9681	7.9689	7.9716	7.8716	0.097222	0.099967	1.0282
24	18.003	0.0011106	0.042632	2.9681	7.9683	7.971	7.8716	0.096674	0.099382	1.028
25	19.001	0.0011106	0.042355	2.9681	7.9656	7.9716	7.8716	0.093968	0.099967	1.0638
26	20.003	0.0011106	0.042078	2.9681	7.9689	7.9716	7.8716	0.097222	0.099967	1.0282
27	20.864	0.0011106	0.042078	2.9681	7.9689	7.9716	7.8716	0.097222	0.099967	1.0282

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	7.9689	7.9716	7.8716	0.097222	0.099967	1.0282
2	0.012417	0	0	2.9681	7.9776	7.981	7.8769	0.10073	0.10407	1.0331
3	0.050483	0.0011106	0.043462	2.9681	8.0791	8.0858	7.9331	0.14603	0.15269	1.0456
4	0.10062	0.0011106	0.13869	2.9681	8.0902	8.108	7.8787	0.21152	0.22934	1.0842
5	0.25083	0.0077739	0.16361	2.9681	8.1136	8.1191	7.8728	0.24081	0.24631	1.0228
6	0.5017	0.011106	0.16859	2.9681	8.1147	8.1203	7.8722	0.24249	0.24807	1.023
7	1.0036	0.012216	0.17247	2.9681	8.1185	8.1209	7.8716	0.24688	0.24924	1.0095
8	2.0015	0.012216	0.17523	2.9681	8.1191	8.1215	7.8722	0.24684	0.24924	1.0097
9	3.0003	0.012216	0.17717	2.9681	8.1185	8.1209	7.8711	0.24747	0.24982	1.0095
10	4.0023	0.013327	0.17773	2.9681	8.1191	8.1215	7.8716	0.24743	0.24982	1.0097
11	5.0007	0.013327	0.17745	2.9681	8.1191	8.1215	7.8716	0.24743	0.24982	1.0097
12	6.0026	0.013327	0.17883	2.9681	8.1185	8.1209	7.8716	0.24688	0.24924	1.0095
13	7.0009	0.013327	0.17911	2.9681	8.1185	8.1209	7.8716	0.24688	0.24924	1.0095
14	8.0028	0.013327	0.17966	2.9681	8.1191	8.1215	7.8716	0.24743	0.24982	1.0097
15	9.0012	0.013327	0.17994	2.9681	8.1191	8.1215	7.8716	0.24743	0.24982	1.0097
16	10.003	0.013327	0.17994	2.9681	8.1191	8.1215	7.8716	0.24743	0.24982	1.0097
17	11.001	0.013327	0.17994	2.9681	8.1191	8.1215	7.8716	0.24743	0.24982	1.0097
18	12.003	0.013327	0.18022	2.9681	8.1191	8.1215	7.8716	0.24743	0.24982	1.0097
19	13.002	0.013327	0.17939	2.9681	8.1185	8.1209	7.8716	0.24688	0.24924	1.0095
20	14.004	0.013327	0.17994	2.9681	8.1185	8.1209	7.8716	0.24688	0.24924	1.0095
21	15.002	0.013327	0.18049	2.9681	8.1191	8.1215	7.8716	0.24743	0.24982	1.0097
22	16.004	0.013327	0.17966	2.9681	8.1191	8.1215	7.8716	0.24743	0.24982	1.0097
23	17.002	0.013327	0.17966	2.9681	8.1191	8.1215	7.8716	0.24743	0.24982	1.0097
24	18.004	0.013327	0.18077	2.9681	8.1191	8.1215	7.8716	0.24743	0.24982	1.0097
25	19.002	0.014437	0.18077	2.9681	8.1191	8.1215	7.8716	0.24743	0.24982	1.0097
26	20	0.013327	0.17994	2.9681	8.1191	8.1215	7.8716	0.24743	0.24982	1.0097
27	20.919	0.013327	0.17994	2.9681	8.1191	8.1215	7.8716	0.24743	0.24982	1.0097

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 3

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.1191	8.1215	7.8716	0.24743	0.24982	1.0097
2	0.012417	0	0	2.9681	8.1382	8.1384	7.881	0.25723	0.25744	1.0008
3	0.050483	0.0011106	0.063394	2.9681	8.2985	8.3199	7.9518	0.34675	0.36811	1.0616
4	0.10062	0.0088844	0.16278	2.9681	8.3287	8.3521	7.8886	0.44011	0.46349	1.0531
5	0.25083	0.038869	0.19821	2.9681	8.3642	8.3691	7.8734	0.49075	0.49568	1.01
6	0.5017	0.043312	0.20513	2.9681	8.3653	8.3703	7.8722	0.49302	0.49802	1.0101
7	1.0034	0.044422	0.20984	2.9681	8.3691	8.3708	7.8728	0.49624	0.49802	1.0036
8	2.0014	0.045533	0.21399	2.9681	8.3696	8.3714	7.8722	0.49737	0.49919	1.0037
9	3.0032	0.045533	0.21648	2.9681	8.3696	8.3714	7.8711	0.49854	0.50036	1.0037
10	4.0011	0.045533	0.21787	2.9681	8.3691	8.3708	7.8716	0.49741	0.49919	1.0036
11	5.003	0.045533	0.2187	2.9681	8.3696	8.3714	7.8716	0.49796	0.49978	1.0037
12	6.0008	0.045533	0.21897	2.9681	8.3658	8.3708	7.8722	0.49357	0.49861	1.0102
13	7.0027	0.045533	0.2198	2.9681	8.3696	8.3714	7.8716	0.49796	0.49978	1.0037
14	8.0013	0.046643	0.22008	2.9681	8.3696	8.3714	7.8716	0.49796	0.49978	1.0037
15	9.0034	0.046643	0.22119	2.9681	8.3696	8.3714	7.8716	0.49796	0.49978	1.0037
16	10.002	0.046643	0.22119	2.9681	8.3696	8.3714	7.8716	0.49796	0.49978	1.0037
17	11.004	0.046643	0.22063	2.9681	8.3696	8.3714	7.8716	0.49796	0.49978	1.0037
18	12.002	0.046643	0.22174	2.9681	8.3691	8.3708	7.8716	0.49741	0.49919	1.0036
19	13.004	0.046643	0.22285	2.9681	8.3696	8.3714	7.8716	0.49796	0.49978	1.0037
20	14.002	0.046643	0.22257	2.9681	8.3696	8.3714	7.8716	0.49796	0.49978	1.0037
21	15.004	0.046643	0.2234	2.9681	8.3696	8.3714	7.8716	0.49796	0.49978	1.0037
22	16.002	0.046643	0.22368	2.9681	8.3696	8.3714	7.8716	0.49796	0.49978	1.0037
23	17	0.047754	0.22396	2.9681	8.3696	8.3714	7.8716	0.49796	0.49978	1.0037
24	18.003	0.047754	0.2234	2.9681	8.3696	8.3714	7.8716	0.49796	0.49978	1.0037
25	19	0.046643	0.22285	2.9681	8.3696	8.3714	7.8716	0.49796	0.49978	1.0037
26	20.003	0.046643	0.22451	2.9681	8.3696	8.3714	7.8716	0.49796	0.49978	1.0037
27	21.001	0.046643	0.22368	2.9681	8.3696	8.3714	7.8716	0.49796	0.49978	1.0037
28	21.581	0.046643	0.22396	2.9681	8.3696	8.3714	7.8711	0.49854	0.50036	1.0037

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 4

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.3696	8.3714	7.8716	0.49796	0.49978	1.0037
2	0.012483	0	0.00083049	2.9681	8.4063	8.4071	7.8974	0.50893	0.50974	1.0016
3	0.05055	0.0044422	0.056473	2.9681	8.5366	8.5669	7.9173	0.61929	0.64966	1.049
4	0.10062	0.011106	0.10077	2.9681	8.5627	8.5775	7.8822	0.68054	0.6953	1.0217
5	0.25083	0.022211	0.12264	2.9681	8.5867	8.5892	7.8728	0.71389	0.71637	1.0035
6	0.5017	0.026653	0.12928	2.9681	8.5845	8.5904	7.8728	0.71173	0.71754	1.0082
7	1.0034	0.027764	0.13426	2.9681	8.5851	8.5909	7.8716	0.71345	0.71929	1.0082
8	2.0012	0.029985	0.13897	2.9681	8.5883	8.5909	7.8711	0.71729	0.71988	1.0036
9	3.0031	0.031096	0.14118	2.9681	8.5889	8.5915	7.8722	0.71667	0.71929	1.0037
10	4.0009	0.031096	0.14229	2.9681	8.5921	8.5915	7.8722	0.71992	0.71929	0.99913
11	5.0028	0.031096	0.14395	2.9681	8.5889	8.5915	7.8716	0.71725	0.71988	1.0037
12	6.0006	0.031096	0.14506	2.9681	8.5889	8.5915	7.8716	0.71725	0.71988	1.0037
13	7.0026	0.031096	0.14617	2.9681	8.5883	8.5909	7.8716	0.7167	0.71929	1.0036
14	8.0003	0.031096	0.14672	2.9681	8.5883	8.5909	7.8716	0.7167	0.71929	1.0036
15	9.0023	0.031096	0.14644	2.9681	8.5889	8.5915	7.8711	0.71784	0.72046	1.0037
16	10	0.029985	0.14727	2.9681	8.5889	8.5915	7.8716	0.71725	0.71988	1.0037
17	11.002	0.031096	0.14672	2.9681	8.5889	8.5915	7.8716	0.71725	0.71988	1.0037
18	12.004	0.031096	0.14866	2.9681	8.5883	8.5909	7.8716	0.7167	0.71929	1.0036
19	13.002	0.031096	0.14783	2.9681	8.5889	8.5915	7.8722	0.71667	0.71929	1.0037
20	14.004	0.031096	0.14949	2.9681	8.5889	8.5915	7.8716	0.71725	0.71988	1.0037
21	15.001	0.031096	0.14949	2.9681	8.5889	8.5915	7.8716	0.71725	0.71988	1.0037
22	16.003	0.032206	0.14866	2.9681	8.5889	8.5915	7.8716	0.71725	0.71988	1.0037
23	17.001	0.032206	0.14949	2.9681	8.5889	8.5915	7.8716	0.71725	0.71988	1.0037
24	18.003	0.032206	0.14949	2.9681	8.5889	8.5915	7.8716	0.71725	0.71988	1.0037
25	19.001	0.032206	0.15004	2.9681	8.5889	8.5915	7.8716	0.71725	0.71988	1.0037
26	20.003	0.032206	0.15004	2.9681	8.5883	8.5909	7.8716	0.7167	0.71929	1.0036
27	21	0.032206	0.1506	2.9681	8.5889	8.5915	7.8716	0.71725	0.71988	1.0037
28	22.002	0.032206	0.15004	2.9681	8.5889	8.5915	7.8716	0.71725	0.71988	1.0037
29	23	0.031096	0.1517	2.9681	8.5889	8.5915	7.8716	0.71725	0.71988	1.0037
30	24.002	0.032206	0.15032	2.9681	8.5889	8.5915	7.8716	0.71725	0.71988	1.0037
31	25.004	0.032206	0.15253	2.9681	8.5883	8.5909	7.8716	0.7167	0.71929	1.0036
32	26.002	0.032206	0.1517	2.9681	8.5889	8.5915	7.8716	0.71725	0.71988	1.0037
33	27.004	0.033317	0.15281	2.9681	8.5889	8.5915	7.8716	0.71725	0.71988	1.0037
34	28.002	0.033317	0.15309	2.9681	8.5889	8.5915	7.8716	0.71725	0.71988	1.0037
35	29.004	0.032206	0.15226	2.9681	8.5889	8.5915	7.8716	0.71725	0.71988	1.0037
36	30.001	0.032206	0.15336	2.9681	8.5889	8.5915	7.8722	0.71667	0.71929	1.0037
37	30.068	0.032206	0.15364	2.9681	8.5889	8.5915	7.8716	0.71725	0.71988	1.0037

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.1411	8.1437	8.0714	0.069686	0.072227	1.0365
2	0.012517	0	0	2.9681	8.1455	8.1484	8.075	0.070536	0.073405	1.0407
3	0.050017	0	0.0071976	2.9681	8.1651	8.166	8.0796	0.085436	0.08632	1.0103
4	0.10008	0	0.017163	2.9681	8.1657	8.1701	8.0714	0.094252	0.098636	1.0465
5	0.25082	0	0.019932	2.9681	8.163	8.1707	8.072	0.090999	0.098636	1.0839
6	0.50167	0	0.021316	2.9681	8.17	8.1712	8.0714	0.098557	0.099809	1.0127
7	1.0034	0.00091637	0.022146	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
8	2.0019	0.00091637	0.022977	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
9	4.0013	0.0018327	0.023807	2.9681	8.17	8.1712	8.0714	0.098557	0.099809	1.0127
10	6.0006	0.0018327	0.023531	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
11	8.0042	0.0018327	0.023531	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
12	10.003	0.0018327	0.023807	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
13	12.003	0.0018327	0.023531	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
14	14.002	0.0018327	0.023254	2.9681	8.17	8.1712	8.0714	0.098557	0.099809	1.0127
15	16.002	0.0018327	0.023531	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
16	18.001	0.0018327	0.023531	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
17	20.004	0.0018327	0.022977	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
18	22.003	0.0018327	0.022977	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
19	24.003	0.0018327	0.023254	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
20	26.002	0.0018327	0.0227	2.9681	8.1673	8.1718	8.0714	0.09589	0.1004	1.047
21	28.001	0.0018327	0.022977	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
22	30.001	0.0018327	0.022423	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
23	30.047	0.0018327	0.022423	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.1705	8.1718	8.0714	0.099103	0.1004	1.0131
2	0.012483	0	0.00055366	2.9681	8.1967	8.1965	8.089	0.10766	0.10746	0.99814
3	0.050533	-0.00091637	0.044293	2.9681	8.2826	8.2992	8.1172	0.16541	0.18201	1.1004
4	0.1006	0.00091637	0.097721	2.9681	8.2795	8.3062	8.0832	0.19633	0.22306	1.1361
5	0.25083	0.011913	0.12734	2.9681	8.3081	8.3197	8.072	0.23609	0.2477	1.0492
6	0.50172	0.018327	0.13509	2.9681	8.3151	8.3203	8.072	0.24306	0.24828	1.0215
7	1.0034	0.02016	0.13952	2.9681	8.3188	8.3209	8.0714	0.2474	0.24946	1.0083
8	2.0013	0.02016	0.14284	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
9	4.0009	0.021076	0.14561	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
10	6.0003	0.021076	0.147	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
11	8.0036	0.021076	0.1481	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
12	10.003	0.021076	0.14866	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
13	12.003	0.021076	0.14949	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
14	14.002	0.021993	0.14977	2.9681	8.3226	8.3215	8.0714	0.25116	0.25004	0.99554
15	16.001	0.021993	0.15087	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
16	18	0.021076	0.15087	2.9681	8.3226	8.3215	8.0714	0.25116	0.25004	0.99554
17	20.004	0.021993	0.1517	2.9681	8.3226	8.3215	8.0714	0.25116	0.25004	0.99554
18	22.003	0.021993	0.15198	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
19	24.002	0.021993	0.15143	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
20	26.002	0.021993	0.15281	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
21	28.001	0.021993	0.15281	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
22	30	0.021993	0.15253	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
23	30.071	0.021993	0.15226	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 3

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.3194	8.3215	8.0714	0.24795	0.25004	1.0084
2	0.012483	0	0.0013842	2.9681	8.3646	8.3631	8.1013	0.26324	0.26181	0.99458
3	0.05055	0.00091637	0.068377	2.9681	8.5053	8.5386	8.1394	0.36587	0.39917	1.091
4	0.10055	0.0054982	0.1398	2.9681	8.5001	8.5468	8.089	0.41108	0.4578	1.1137
5	0.25078	0.026575	0.18077	2.9681	8.553	8.5691	8.0755	0.47744	0.49359	1.0338
6	0.50163	0.031156	0.19101	2.9681	8.5669	8.5703	8.0726	0.49431	0.49769	1.0068
7	1.0034	0.032073	0.19738	2.9681	8.5707	8.5709	8.072	0.49865	0.49887	1.0004
8	2.0011	0.032989	0.20236	2.9681	8.5707	8.5709	8.0714	0.49924	0.49945	1.0004
9	4.0004	0.032989	0.20679	2.9681	8.5707	8.5709	8.0703	0.50041	0.50062	1.0004
10	6.0001	0.032989	0.20928	2.9681	8.568	8.5715	8.072	0.49599	0.49945	1.007
11	8.0035	0.033906	0.21122	2.9681	8.5707	8.5709	8.0714	0.49924	0.49945	1.0004
12	10.003	0.033906	0.21233	2.9681	8.5707	8.5709	8.0714	0.49924	0.49945	1.0004
13	12.002	0.033906	0.21371	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
14	14.001	0.033906	0.21454	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.072	0.4992	0.49945	1.0005
15	16	0.032989	0.2151	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
16	18	0.033906	0.21593	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
17	20.004	0.033906	0.21704	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0709	0.50037	0.50062	1.0005
18	22.003	0.033906	0.21787	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
19	24.002	0.033906	0.21842	2.9681	8.5707	8.5709	8.0714	0.49924	0.49945	1.0004
20	26.002	0.033906	0.21897	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.072	0.4992	0.49945	1.0005
21	28.001	0.033906	0.21842	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
22	30.004	0.034822	0.21953	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
23	30.075	0.034822	0.21953	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 4

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	8.5712	8.5715	8.0714	0.49979	0.50004	1.0005
2	0.012433	0	0	2.9681	8.6094	8.609	8.089	0.52035	0.52001	0.99934
3	0.050483	0.0018327	0.10187	2.9681	8.9213	8.9858	8.1934	0.72793	0.79241	1.0886
4	0.10055	0.0064146	0.22313	2.9681	8.943	9.0333	8.092	0.85108	0.94137	1.1061
5	0.25083	0.023826	0.27157	2.9681	9.0507	9.0662	8.0744	0.97634	0.99182	1.0159
6	0.50172	0.04032	0.28347	2.9681	9.0631	9.0691	8.0738	0.98929	0.99534	1.0061
7	1.0034	0.044902	0.29095	2.9681	9.0642	9.0703	8.0709	0.99332	0.99944	1.0062
8	2.0012	0.046735	0.29704	2.9681	9.0679	9.0709	8.0714	0.99649	0.99944	1.003
9	4.0004	0.047651	0.30258	2.9681	9.0647	9.0709	8.072	0.99269	0.99886	1.0062
10	6.0037	0.047651	0.30617	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
11	8.0029	0.048567	0.30867	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
12	10.002	0.048567	0.3095	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.072	0.99645	0.99945	1.003
13	12.001	0.048567	0.31171	2.9681	9.0679	9.0709	8.0709	0.99707	1	1.003
14	14.001	0.048567	0.31393	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
15	16	0.049484	0.31476	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
16	18.004	0.0504	0.31586	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0709	0.99762	1.0006	1.003
17	20.003	0.0504	0.31752	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
18	22.002	0.049484	0.31725	2.9681	9.0717	9.0715	8.0726	0.99907	0.99886	0.99978
19	24.002	0.0504	0.31946	2.9681	9.0679	9.0709	8.0714	0.99649	0.99944	1.003
20	26.001	0.0504	0.32029	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.0714	0.99703	1	1.003
21	28	0.0504	0.31946	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.072	0.99645	0.99945	1.003
22	30.004	0.049484	0.32195	2.9681	9.0679	9.0709	8.0714	0.99649	0.99944	1.003
23	32.003	0.049484	0.32278	2.9681	9.0679	9.0709	8.0709	0.99707	1	1.003
24	34.002	0.049484	0.32334	2.9681	9.0679	9.0709	8.0714	0.99649	0.99944	1.003
25	34.332	0.0504	0.32361	2.9681	9.0685	9.0715	8.072	0.99645	0.99945	1.003

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 5

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	9.0717	9.0715	8.0714	1.0002	1	0.99978
2	0.012417	0	0	2.9681	9.0995	9.1014	8.0861	1.0134	1.0153	1.0018
3	0.050483	0.0027491	0.070038	2.9681	9.3789	9.4535	8.1406	1.2383	1.3129	1.0603
4	0.10055	0.014662	0.13122	2.9681	9.4192	9.4899	8.0802	1.3389	1.4097	1.0528
5	0.25085	0.027491	0.15945	2.9681	9.5019	9.5063	8.0755	1.4264	1.4308	1.0031
6	0.50168	0.033906	0.16859	2.9681	9.5046	9.5093	8.0732	1.4314	1.4361	1.0032
7	1.0029	0.037571	0.17579	2.9681	9.5052	9.5098	8.072	1.4332	1.4378	1.0032
8	2.0006	0.04032	0.18215	2.9681	9.5095	9.511	8.072	1.4375	1.439	1.0011
9	4.0004	0.042153	0.18797	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.072	1.438	1.4396	1.0011
10	6.0038	0.043986	0.19101	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
11	8.0031	0.044902	0.1935	2.9681	9.5095	9.511	8.0709	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
12	10.002	0.044902	0.19627	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.072	1.438	1.4396	1.0011
13	12.002	0.045818	0.19932	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
14	14.001	0.045818	0.19959	2.9681	9.5132	9.5116	8.0714	1.4418	1.4402	0.99886
15	16	0.045818	0.20153	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.072	1.438	1.4396	1.0011
16	18.003	0.045818	0.20264	2.9681	9.5095	9.511	8.072	1.4375	1.439	1.0011
17	20.003	0.046735	0.20485	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
18	22.002	0.046735	0.20485	2.9681	9.5132	9.5116	8.0714	1.4418	1.4402	0.99886
19	24.001	0.047651	0.20624	2.9681	9.5132	9.5116	8.0714	1.4418	1.4402	0.99886
20	26.001	0.047651	0.20845	2.9681	9.5068	9.5116	8.072	1.4348	1.4396	1.0033
21	28	0.047651	0.20928	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.072	1.438	1.4396	1.0011
22	30.003	0.047651	0.21039	2.9681	9.5095	9.511	8.0714	1.4381	1.4396	1.0011
23	32.003	0.047651	0.21094	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.072	1.438	1.4396	1.0011
24	34.002	0.047651	0.21205	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
25	36.002	0.047651	0.21288	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0714	1.4386	1.4402	1.0011
26	36.198	0.047651	0.21233	2.9681	9.51	9.5116	8.0709	1.4392	1.4408	1.0011

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 1

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.5429	6.5439	6.4722	0.070702	0.071645	1.0133
2	0.012567	0	0.00027683	2.9681	6.5468	6.548	6.4746	0.072202	0.073403	1.0166
3	0.050167	0	0.01052	2.9681	6.5659	6.565	6.4857	0.08025	0.079263	0.9877
4	0.10013	0	0.040694	2.9681	6.5644	6.5702	6.4775	0.08687	0.092722	1.0674
5	0.25035	0	0.066439	2.9681	6.5611	6.5702	6.4722	0.088881	0.097987	1.1025
6	0.50128	0	0.07253	2.9681	6.5649	6.5708	6.4728	0.092099	0.097988	1.0639
7	1.003	0.0011106	0.076959	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4722	0.097035	0.099743	1.0279
8	2.0007	0.0011106	0.078897	2.9681	6.5655	6.5714	6.4717	0.093818	0.099743	1.0632
9	3.0027	0.0011106	0.079174	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
10	4.0005	0.0022211	0.078897	2.9681	6.5687	6.5714	6.4717	0.097072	0.099743	1.0275
11	5.0024	0.0022211	0.078897	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
12	6.0003	0.0022211	0.078897	2.9681	6.5725	6.572	6.4717	0.10087	0.10033	0.99459
13	7.0023	0.0022211	0.079174	2.9681	6.5687	6.5714	6.4717	0.097072	0.099743	1.0275
14	8.0001	0.0022211	0.07862	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
15	9.002	0.0022211	0.078066	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
16	10.004	0.0022211	0.077789	2.9681	6.5687	6.5714	6.4717	0.097072	0.099743	1.0275
17	11.002	0.0022211	0.077513	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
18	12	0.0022211	0.076959	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
19	13.002	0.0033317	0.076682	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
20	14	0.0033317	0.076405	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
21	15.002	0.0033317	0.075852	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4722	0.097035	0.099743	1.0279
22	16.001	0.0033317	0.076128	2.9681	6.5687	6.5714	6.4711	0.097657	0.10033	1.0274
23	17.003	0.0033317	0.075298	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
24	18.001	0.0033317	0.075021	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
25	19.003	0.0033317	0.074744	2.9681	6.5687	6.5714	6.4717	0.097072	0.099743	1.0275
26	20.001	0.0033317	0.074467	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
27	20.911	0.0022211	0.074191	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4711	0.098205	0.10091	1.0276

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 2

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.5693	6.572	6.4717	0.09762	0.10033	1.0277
2	0.012483	0.0011106	0.00083049	2.9681	6.5945	6.5954	6.4892	0.10527	0.10619	1.0088
3	0.050533	0.0022211	0.055366	2.9681	6.6834	6.6902	6.5407	0.14266	0.14954	1.0482
4	0.10062	0.0077739	0.20984	2.9681	6.6967	6.7183	6.4746	0.22209	0.24375	1.0975
5	0.25083	0.023322	0.25773	2.9681	6.7113	6.7201	6.4763	0.23499	0.24375	1.0373
6	0.5017	0.028874	0.27295	2.9681	6.7151	6.7207	6.4728	0.24231	0.24784	1.0229
7	1.0034	0.031096	0.28015	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4717	0.24728	0.2496	1.0094
8	2.0011	0.031096	0.28486	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4717	0.24728	0.2496	1.0094
9	3.0031	0.031096	0.2868	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4717	0.24783	0.25018	1.0095
10	4.0009	0.031096	0.28818	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4717	0.24783	0.25018	1.0095
11	5.0028	0.031096	0.28901	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4717	0.24783	0.25018	1.0095
12	6.0006	0.032206	0.28984	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4717	0.24783	0.25018	1.0095
13	7.0025	0.032206	0.28984	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4717	0.24728	0.2496	1.0094
14	8.0003	0.032206	0.29067	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4717	0.24783	0.25018	1.0095
15	9.0024	0.032206	0.29067	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4717	0.24728	0.2496	1.0094
16	10	0.032206	0.29067	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4717	0.24728	0.2496	1.0094
17	11.002	0.032206	0.29067	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4717	0.24783	0.25018	1.0095
18	12.004	0.032206	0.29067	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4717	0.24783	0.25018	1.0095
19	13.002	0.032206	0.29067	2.9681	6.7189	6.7213	6.4717	0.24728	0.2496	1.0094
20	14.004	0.032206	0.2915	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4717	0.24783	0.25018	1.0095
21	15.002	0.032206	0.2915	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4717	0.24783	0.25018	1.0095
22	16.004	0.033317	0.29067	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4717	0.24783	0.25018	1.0095
23	17.002	0.032206	0.2904	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4717	0.24783	0.25018	1.0095
24	18.004	0.033317	0.29012	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4717	0.24783	0.25018	1.0095
25	19.002	0.032206	0.29012	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4717	0.24783	0.25018	1.0095
26	20	0.033317	0.29012	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4717	0.24783	0.25018	1.0095
27	20.861	0.033317	0.28984	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4717	0.24783	0.25018	1.0095

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 3

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.7195	6.7218	6.4717	0.24783	0.25018	1.0095
2	0.012483	-0.0011106	0.0011073	2.9681	6.7611	6.7628	6.4997	0.2614	0.26308	1.0064
3	0.050033	0.0022211	0.077789	2.9681	6.9077	6.9261	6.5612	0.34653	0.36497	1.0532
4	0.10005	0.0077739	0.21427	2.9681	6.91	6.9355	6.4951	0.41491	0.44044	1.0615
5	0.25027	0.034427	0.29233	2.9681	6.9646	6.9695	6.4722	0.49232	0.49721	1.0099
6	0.50118	0.037759	0.30645	2.9681	6.9646	6.9695	6.4728	0.49174	0.49663	1.0099
7	1.0029	0.037759	0.31586	2.9681	6.9651	6.97	6.4711	0.49404	0.49897	1.01
8	2.0007	0.038869	0.32306	2.9681	6.9662	6.9712	6.4722	0.49397	0.49897	1.0101
9	3.0026	0.03998	0.32666	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.4717	0.49835	0.50014	1.0036
10	4.0005	0.042201	0.32943	2.9681	6.9695	6.9712	6.4717	0.49781	0.49955	1.0035
11	5.0025	0.043312	0.33109	2.9681	6.9695	6.9712	6.4717	0.49781	0.49955	1.0035
12	6.0003	0.043312	0.3322	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.4717	0.49835	0.50014	1.0036
13	7.0022	0.045533	0.33386	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.4711	0.49894	0.50072	1.0036
14	8.0041	0.045533	0.33469	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.4717	0.49835	0.50014	1.0036
15	9.0019	0.045533	0.33524	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.4717	0.49835	0.50014	1.0036
16	10.004	0.045533	0.33607	2.9681	6.9695	6.9712	6.4717	0.49781	0.49955	1.0035
17	11.002	0.045533	0.33635	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.4717	0.49835	0.50014	1.0036
18	12.004	0.046643	0.3369	2.9681	6.9695	6.9712	6.4717	0.49781	0.49955	1.0035
19	13.002	0.045533	0.33746	2.9681	6.9695	6.9712	6.4717	0.49781	0.49955	1.0035
20	14	0.046643	0.3369	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.4717	0.49835	0.50014	1.0036
21	15.002	0.046643	0.33829	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.4717	0.49835	0.50014	1.0036
22	16.004	0.046643	0.33856	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.4717	0.49835	0.50014	1.0036
23	17.001	0.047754	0.33884	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.4717	0.49835	0.50014	1.0036
24	18.003	0.047754	0.33856	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.4722	0.49777	0.49955	1.0036
25	19.001	0.047754	0.33884	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.4717	0.49835	0.50014	1.0036
26	20.003	0.047754	0.33967	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.4717	0.49835	0.50014	1.0036
27	20.942	0.047754	0.33884	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.4717	0.49835	0.50014	1.0036

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 4

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	6.97	6.9718	6.4717	0.49835	0.50014	1.0036
2	0.012433	0	0.00027683	2.9681	7.0062	7.0069	6.4886	0.51756	0.51829	1.0014
3	0.050483	0.0077739	0.12734	2.9681	7.3865	7.4266	6.6489	0.7376	0.77771	1.0544
4	0.10062	0.036648	0.35379	2.9681	7.4507	7.4916	6.5132	0.93748	0.97841	1.0437
5	0.25083	0.057749	0.45539	2.9681	7.5342	7.546	6.4775	1.0567	1.0685	1.0112
6	0.5017	0.063302	0.47504	2.9681	7.5435	7.549	6.474	1.0695	1.075	1.0051
7	1.0037	0.068854	0.4875	2.9681	7.5451	7.5507	6.4717	1.0735	1.0791	1.0052
8	2.0016	0.073297	0.49719	2.9681	7.5489	7.5513	6.4722	1.0767	1.0791	1.0022
9	3.0036	0.075518	0.50245	2.9681	7.5495	7.5519	6.4705	1.079	1.0814	1.0022
10	4.0014	0.075518	0.50522	2.9681	7.5489	7.5513	6.4717	1.0773	1.0797	1.0022
11	5.0037	0.075518	0.50826	2.9681	7.5457	7.5513	6.4717	1.074	1.0797	1.0052
12	6.0014	0.076628	0.50964	2.9681	7.5489	7.5513	6.4717	1.0773	1.0797	1.0022
13	7.0038	0.076628	0.51158	2.9681	7.5489	7.5513	6.4717	1.0773	1.0797	1.0022
14	8.0017	0.076628	0.51297	2.9681	7.5495	7.5519	6.4717	1.0778	1.0802	1.0022
15	9.0041	0.076628	0.51407	2.9681	7.5489	7.5513	6.4717	1.0773	1.0797	1.0022
16	10.002	0.076628	0.5149	2.9681	7.5495	7.5519	6.4722	1.0772	1.0797	1.0022
17	11	0.076628	0.51574	2.9681	7.5495	7.5519	6.4722	1.0772	1.0797	1.0022
18	12.002	0.076628	0.51657	2.9681	7.5495	7.5519	6.4722	1.0772	1.0797	1.0022
19	13	0.076628	0.51684	2.9681	7.5495	7.5519	6.4717	1.0778	1.0802	1.0022
20	14.002	0.077739	0.51767	2.9681	7.5495	7.5519	6.4717	1.0778	1.0802	1.0022
21	15.001	0.077739	0.51878	2.9681	7.5489	7.5513	6.4717	1.0773	1.0797	1.0022
22	16.002	0.077739	0.51823	2.9681	7.5495	7.5519	6.4717	1.0778	1.0802	1.0022
23	17.001	0.077739	0.51961	2.9681	7.5489	7.5513	6.4717	1.0773	1.0797	1.0022
24	18.002	0.077739	0.51989	2.9681	7.5489	7.5513	6.4711	1.0779	1.0802	1.0022
25	19.001	0.077739	0.51989	2.9681	7.5489	7.5513	6.4717	1.0773	1.0797	1.0022
26	20.003	0.078849	0.521	2.9681	7.5495	7.5519	6.4717	1.0778	1.0802	1.0022
27	20.939	0.078849	0.52127	2.9681	7.5495	7.5519	6.4717	1.0778	1.0802	1.0022

TRIAXIAL TEST

Consolidation/B Step: 5

	Time min	Axial Strain %	Volumetric Strain %	Corrected Area in^2	Vertical Stress tsf	Horizontal Stress tsf	Sample Pressure tsf	Effective Vertical Stress tsf	Effective Horizontal Stress tsf	K
1	0	0	0	2.9681	7.5495	7.5519	6.4722	1.0772	1.0797	1.0022
2	0.012467	0	0.0022146	2.9681	7.6696	7.6766	6.5261	1.1435	1.1505	1.0061
3	0.050533	0.014437	0.19378	2.9681	8.3636	8.4657	6.6752	1.6883	1.7904	1.0605
4	0.1006	0.058859	0.41691	2.9681	8.5131	8.5488	6.5044	2.0086	2.0444	1.0178
5	0.25077	0.11217	0.50854	2.9681	8.618	8.6225	6.4787	2.1393	2.1439	1.0021
6	0.5017	0.11994	0.53151	2.9681	8.6246	8.6296	6.4722	2.1523	2.1573	1.0023
7	1.0034	0.12327	0.54563	2.9681	8.6284	8.6302	6.4734	2.1549	2.1567	1.0008
8	2.0012	0.1266	0.55726	2.9681	8.6289	8.6307	6.4717	2.1573	2.1591	1.0009
9	3.0031	0.12771	0.5639	2.9681	8.6295	8.6313	6.4699	2.1596	2.1614	1.0009
10	4.0009	0.12993	0.56778	2.9681	8.6295	8.6313	6.4722	2.1572	2.1591	1.0009
11	5.0028	0.13105	0.5711	2.9681	8.6295	8.6313	6.4717	2.1578	2.1597	1.0009
12	6.0006	0.13105	0.57304	2.9681	8.63	8.6319	6.4717	2.1583	2.1603	1.0009
13	7.0025	0.13216	0.57553	2.9681	8.6295	8.6313	6.4722	2.1572	2.1591	1.0009
14	8.0003	0.13327	0.57747	2.9681	8.6295	8.6313	6.4705	2.159	2.1608	1.0009
15	9.0022	0.13327	0.57858	2.9681	8.63	8.6319	6.4722	2.1578	2.1597	1.0009
16	10	0.13438	0.58024	2.9681	8.6295	8.6313	6.4717	2.1578	2.1597	1.0009
17	11.002	0.13438	0.58134	2.9681	8.63	8.6319	6.4722	2.1578	2.1597	1.0009
18	12.004	0.13438	0.58245	2.9681	8.63	8.6319	6.4717	2.1583	2.1603	1.0009
19	13.002	0.13438	0.58273	2.9681	8.63	8.6319	6.4722	2.1578	2.1597	1.0009
20	14.004	0.13438	0.58356	2.9681	8.6295	8.6313	6.4717	2.1578	2.1597	1.0009
21	15.001	0.13438	0.58494	2.9681	8.6295	8.6313	6.4717	2.1578	2.1597	1.0009
22	16.004	0.13549	0.58522	2.9681	8.63	8.6319	6.4722	2.1578	2.1597	1.0009
23	17.002	0.13549	0.5866	2.9681	8.6295	8.6313	6.4717	2.1578	2.1597	1.0009
24	18.004	0.13549	0.5866	2.9681	8.63	8.6319	6.4711	2.1589	2.1608	1.0009
25	19.002	0.13549	0.58743	2.9681	8.6295	8.6313	6.4722	2.1572	2.1591	1.0009
26	20.004	0.13549	0.58771	2.9681	8.63	8.6319	6.4722	2.1578	2.1597	1.0009
27	21.002	0.13549	0.58826	2.9681	8.6295	8.6313	6.4717	2.1578	2.1597	1.0009
28	22	0.13438	0.58937	2.9681	8.6295	8.6313	6.4717	2.1578	2.1597	1.0009
29	23.002	0.13549	0.58993	2.9681	8.63	8.6319	6.4717	2.1583	2.1603	1.0009
30	24	0.13549	0.59048	2.9681	8.63	8.6319	6.4717	2.1583	2.1603	1.0009
31	25.002	0.13549	0.5902	2.9681	8.63	8.6319	6.4717	2.1583	2.1603	1.0009
32	26.001	0.13549	0.59131	2.9681	8.6295	8.6313	6.4717	2.1578	2.1597	1.0009
33	27.002	0.13549	0.59159	2.9681	8.6295	8.6313	6.4717	2.1578	2.1597	1.0009
34	28.001	0.13549	0.59214	2.9681	8.6295	8.6313	6.4705	2.159	2.1608	1.0009
35	29.003	0.13549	0.59159	2.9681	8.63	8.6319	6.4717	2.1583	2.1603	1.0009
36	30.001	0.1366	0.59186	2.9681	8.63	8.6319	6.4717	2.1583	2.1603	1.0009
37	30.139	0.13549	0.59186	2.9681	8.63	8.6319	6.4717	2.1583	2.1603	1.0009

Sample Info For DSS Specimens

Project: **Polymet** Job: **6428**

Client: **Barr Engineering Company** Date: **4/12/2008**

Test #	Boring	Bucket #	Depth (ft)	WC %	Initial Density (PCF)	Before Shear Density (PCF)	Normal Load (TSF)	Additional Testing Gs	LL	PL	PI
1	**Slimes**	**6**		**27.2**	**102.3**	**108.9**	**2.0 tsf**	**-------**			

Supplemental: Incremental Consolidation Data

				Load	Density	Void Ratio	% Saturation			
				Initial	**102.3**	**0.831**	**98.2%**			
				0.1 tsf	**104.5**	**0.792**	**-**			
				0.25 tsf	**105.6**	**0.774**	**-**			
				0.5 tsf	**106.5**	**0.759**	**-**			
				1.0 tsf	**107.6**	**0.741**	**-**			
				2.0 tsf	**108.9**	**0.720**	**-**			

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

DIRECT SIMPLE SHEAR TEST



Project: Polymet	Location: Slimes	Project No.: 6428
Boring No.:	Tested By: SO	Checked By: JW
Sample No.: Bucket #6	Test Date: 4-12-08	Depth:
Test No.: 1	Sample Type: Bulk	Elevation:
Description: Slimes		
Remarks: ASTM D 6528		
File: \\Dell\geocomp\Software\DSS\6428-rev.dat		

Sat, 12-APR-2008 10:47:12

DIRECT SIMPLE SHEAR TEST



Project: Polymet	Location: Slimes	Project No.: 6428
Boring No.:	Tested By: SO	Checked By: JW
Sample No.: Bucket #6	Test Date: 4-12-08	Depth:
Test No.: 1	Sample Type: Bulk	Elevation:
Description: Slimes		
Remarks: ASTM D 6528		
File: \\Dell\geocomp\Software\DSS\6428-rev.dat		

Sat, 12-APR-2008 10:47:12

DIRECT SIMPLE SHEAR TEST



Project: Polymet	Location: Slimes	Project No.: 6428
Boring No.:	Tested By: SO	Checked By: JW
Sample No.: Bucket #6	Test Date: 4-12-08	Depth:
Test No.: 1	Sample Type: Bulk	Elevation:
Description: Slimes		
Remarks: ASTM D 6528		
File: \\Dell\geocomp\Software\DSS\6428-rev.dat		

Sat, 12-APR-2008 10:47:12

Permeability Test Data

Project: Polymet Date: 3/15/2008

Reported To: Barr Engineering Company Job No.: 6428

Tailings Type	Coarse Tailings	Coarse Tailings	Coarse Tailings		Fine Tailings	Fine Tailings	Fine Tailings
Sample No.:	Bucket #17	Bucket #17	Bucket #17		Bucket #11	Bucket #11	Bucket #11
Desired Density (pcf)	105	110	115		95	100	105
Location:							
Sample Type:	Bulk	Bulk	Bulk		Bulk	Bulk	Bulk
Soil Type:	Coarse Tailings (Sand w/Silt, Fine to Medium Grained) (SP-SM)	Coarse Tailings (Sand w/Silt, Fine to Medium Grained) (SP-SM)	Coarse Tailings (Sand w/Silt, Fine to Medium Grained) (SP-SM)		Fine Tailings (Silty Sand) (SM)	Fine Tailings (Silty Sand) (SM)	Fine Tailngs (Silty Sand) (SM)
Atterberg Limits							
LL							
PL							
PI							
Permeability Test							
Before Test Conditions: Saturation %:							
Porosity:							
Ht. (in):	3.83	3.99	3.99		3.93	3.99	3.99
Dia. (in):	2.89	2.89	2.89		2.89	2.89	2.89
Dry Density (pcf):	104.2	110.1	114.9		96.4	99.5	104.0
Water Content:	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%		6.8%	6.8%	6.8%
Test Type:	Constant	Constant	Constant		Constant	Constant	Constant
Max Head (cm):	9.0	11.2	10.2		8.3	8.3	12.2
Confining press. (Effective-psi):	None	None	None		None	None	None
Trial No.:	7-11	7-11	7-11		7-11	7-11	7-11
Water Temp ℃:	21.0	21.4	23.4		20.8	20.6	20.4
% Compaction							
% Saturation (After Test)							
Coefficient of Permeability							
K @ 20 ℃ (cm/sec)	**3.5×10^{-3}**	**1.7×10^{-3}**	**1.6×10^{-3}**		**3.3×10^{-3}**	**3.1×10^{-3}**	**2.1×10^{-3}**
K @ 20 ℃ (ft/min)	**6.9×10^{-3}**	**3.4×10^{-3}**	**3.2×10^{-3}**		**6.5×10^{-3}**	**6.1×10^{-3}**	**4.1×10^{-3}**
Notes:	About 200ccs thru specimens before readings.						

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite 107 SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Permeability Test Data

Project: Polymet Date: 3/15/2008

Reported To: Barr Engineering Company Job No.: 6428

Tailings Type	Coarse Tailings	Coarse Tailings	Coarse Tailings		Fine Tailings	Fine Tailings	Fine Tailings
Sample No.:	Bucket #17	Bucket #17	Bucket #17		Bucket #11	Bucket #11	Bucket #11
Desired Density (pcf)	105	110	115		95	100	105
Location:							
Sample Type:	Bulk	Bulk	Bulk		Bulk	Bulk	Bulk
Soil Type:	Coarse Tailings (Sand w/Silt, Fine to Medium Grained) (SP-SM)	Coarse Tailings (Sand w/Silt, Fine to Medium Grained) (SP-SM)	Coarse Tailings (Sand w/Silt, Fine to Medium Grained) (SP-SM)		Fine Tailings (Silty Sand) (SM)	Fine Tailings (Silty Sand) (SM)	Fine Tailngs (Silty Sand) (SM)
Atterberg Limits							
LL							
PL							
PI							
Permeability Test							
Before Test Conditions: Saturation %:							
Porosity:							
Ht. (in):	3.83	3.99	3.99		3.93	3.99	3.99
Dia. (in):	2.89	2.89	2.89		2.89	2.89	2.89
Dry Density (pcf):	104.2	110.1	114.9		96.4	99.5	104.0
Water Content:	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%		6.8%	6.8%	6.8%
Test Type:	Constant	Constant	Constant		Constant	Constant	Constant
Max Head (cm):	9.0	11.2	10.2		8.3	8.3	12.2
Confining press. (Effective-psi):	None	None	None		None	None	None
Trial No.:	7-11	7-11	7-11		7-11	7-11	7-11
Water Temp ℃:	21.0	21.4	23.4		20.8	20.6	20.4
% Compaction							
% Saturation (After Test)							
Coefficient of Permeability							
K @ 20 ℃ (cm/sec)	**3.5×10^{-3}**	**1.7×10^{-3}**	**1.6×10^{-3}**		**3.3×10^{-3}**	**3.1×10^{-3}**	**2.1×10^{-3}**
K @ 20 ℃ (ft/min)	**6.9×10^{-3}**	**3.4×10^{-3}**	**3.2×10^{-3}**		**6.5×10^{-3}**	**6.1×10^{-3}**	**4.1×10^{-3}**
Notes:	About 200ccs thru specimens before readings.						

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite 107 SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **6428**

Project:	Polymet	Test Date:	4/7/08
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	4/8/08

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
*	Coarse Tailings			Bulk	Coarse Tailings (Sand w/silt medium to fine grained (SP-SM))
●					
◇					



Other Tests	*	●	◇
Liquid Limit			
Plastic Limit			
Plasticity Index			
Water Content	2.1		
Dry Density (pcf)			
Specific Gravity			
Porosity			
Organic Content			
pH			
Shrinkage Limit			
Penetrometer			
Qu (psf)			

(* = assumed)

Percent Passing	*	●	◇
Mass (g)	381.0		
2"			
1.5"			
1"			
3/4"			
3/8"	100.0		
#4	99.5		
#10	94.0		
#20	74.0		
#40	53.2		
#100	18.6		
#200	8.1		

	*	●	◇
D_{60}			
D_{30}			
D_{10}			
C_U			
C_C			

Remarks:

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6428**
Date: **4/9/08**

Project: **Polymet**
Boring #: **Coarse Tailings** Sample #: Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Coarse Tailings (Sand w/silt, medium to fine grained (SP-SM))**









Failure Criterion:	Max. Stress Ratio				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	41.1 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.00 (tsf)				
Test Date: 4/4/08	Liquid Limit:				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.043	Plasticity Index:				
Strain Rate (%/min): 1.080	Spec. Gravity (Assumed):	3.00			
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94	1.94	1.94
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98	3.98	3.98
Water Content (%)	2.7	2.1	2.2	2.2	1.6
Dry Density (pcf)	105.9	106.1	108.1	105.2	109.3
Void Ratio	0.77	0.76	0.73	0.78	0.71
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.93	1.92	1.91	1.90	1.90
Height (in)	3.98	3.97	3.96	3.95	3.94
Water Content (%)	24.7	24.1	22.3	22.9	20.6
Dry Density (pcf)	107.7	108.7	112.2	111.0	115.8
Void Ratio	0.74	0.72	0.67	0.69	0.62
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.50	1.00	2.00	4.00	6.00
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.81	2.38	5.33	6.86	11.11
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.81	2.37	5.26	6.806	10.5
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	0.38	1.84	3.76	5.65	9.10
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.47	0.61	1.27	2.90	4.05
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	6.3	13.8	9.6	10.1	9.1

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen compacted loosely to given dimensions; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure			
α = 33.3 °	a = 0.0 (tsf)		

----------	Effective ϕ':	41.1 °	c'=	0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	25.3 °	c=	0.04 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6428**
Date: **4/9/08**

Project: **Polymet**
Boring #: **Coarse Tailings** Sample #: Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Coarse Tailings (Sand w/silt, medium to fine grained (SP-SM))**







◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Pore Pressure				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	38.8 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.00 (tsf)				
Test Date: 4/4/08	Liquid Limit:				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.043	Plasticity Index:				
Strain Rate (%/min): 1.080	Spec. Gravity (Assumed):	3.00			
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94	1.94	1.94
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98	3.98	3.98
Water Content (%)	2.7	2.1	2.2	2.2	1.6
Dry Density (pcf)	105.9	106.1	108.1	105.2	109.3
Void Ratio	0.77	0.76	0.73	0.78	0.71
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.93	1.92	1.91	1.90	1.90
Height (in)	3.98	3.97	3.96	3.95	3.94
Water Content (%)	24.7	24.1	22.3	22.9	20.6
Dry Density (pcf)	107.7	108.7	112.2	111.0	115.8
Void Ratio	0.74	0.72	0.67	0.69	0.62
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.50	1.00	2.00	4.00	6.00
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.81	2.38	5.33	6.86	11.11
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.81	2.37	5.26	6.806	10.5
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	0.38	1.11	2.20	3.88	6.55
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.47	0.61	1.27	2.90	4.05
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	6.0	3.0	2.8	3.8	3.8

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen compacted loosely to given dimensions; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure	
α = 32.0 °	a = 0.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ': 38.8 °	c'=	0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ': 20.5 °	c=	-0.03 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6428**
Date: **4/9/08**

Project: **Polymet**
Boring #: **Coarse Tailings** Sample #: Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Coarse Tailings (Sand w/silt, medium to fine grained (SP-SM))**







◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Deviator Stress				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	39.7 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.00 (tsf)				
Test Date: 4/4/08	Liquid Limit:				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.043	Plasticity Index:				
Strain Rate (%/min): 1.080	Spec. Gravity (Assumed):	3.00			
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94	1.94	1.94
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98	3.98	3.98
Water Content (%)	2.7	2.1	2.2	2.2	1.6
Dry Density (pcf)	105.9	106.1	108.1	105.2	109.3
Void Ratio	0.77	0.76	0.73	0.78	0.71
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.93	1.92	1.91	1.90	1.90
Height (in)	3.98	3.97	3.96	3.95	3.94
Water Content (%)	24.7	24.1	22.3	22.9	20.6
Dry Density (pcf)	107.7	108.7	112.2	111.0	115.8
Void Ratio	0.74	0.72	0.67	0.69	0.62
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.50	1.00	2.00	4.00	6.00
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.81	2.38	5.33	6.86	11.11
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.81	2.37	5.26	6.806	10.5
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	0.81	2.38	5.33	6.86	11.11
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.47	0.61	1.27	2.90	4.05
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	25.1	28.9	26.5	21.5	20.3

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen compacted loosely to given dimensions; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure			
α = 32.6 °	a = 0.0 (tsf)		

----------	Effective ϕ':	39.7 °	c'=	0.00 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	28.0 °	c=	0.16 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6428**
Date: **4/9/08**

Project: **Polymet**
Boring #: **Coarse Tailings** Sample #: Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Coarse Tailings (Sand w/silt, medium to fine grained (SP-SM))**








◆


■


△


+


X

Failure Criterion: **Given Strain of: 15%**
Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =** **40.7 °**
Apparent Cohesion, **c' =** **0.00 (tsf)**

Test Date: 4/4/08 Liquid Limit:
Test Type: CU w/pp Plastic Limit:
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.043 Plasticity Index:
Strain Rate (%/min): 1.080 Spec. Gravity (Assumed): 3.00

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94	1.94	1.94
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98	3.98	3.98
Water Content (%)	2.7	2.1	2.2	2.2	1.6
Dry Density (pcf)	105.9	106.1	108.1	105.2	109.3
Void Ratio	0.77	0.76	0.73	0.78	0.71
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.93	1.92	1.91	1.90	1.90
Height (in)	3.98	3.97	3.96	3.95	3.94
Water Content (%)	24.7	24.1	22.3	22.9	20.6
Dry Density (pcf)	107.7	108.7	112.2	111.0	115.8
Void Ratio	0.74	0.72	0.67	0.69	0.62
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.50	1.00	2.00	4.00	6.00
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.81	2.38	5.33	6.86	11.11
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.81	2.37	5.26	6.806	10.5
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	0.55	1.84	4.52	6.35	10.43
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.47	0.61	1.27	2.90	4.05
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen compacted loosely to given dimensions; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 33.1 ° a = 0.0 (tsf)

---------- Effective ϕ': 40.7 ° c'= 0.00 (tsf)
_____ Total ϕ': 27.5 ° c= 0.04 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107
OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.
Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Polymet Triaxial Test Data

Job: 6428

Sample: Coarse Tailings

Date: 4/9/2008

Sample 1			Sample 2			Sample 3			Sample 4			Sample 5		
Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.13	0.25	0.12	0.13	0.40	0.18	0.13	0.81	0.37	0.13	1.25	0.56	0.13	1.80	0.57
0.25	0.33	0.20	0.25	0.55	0.31	0.25	1.06	0.57	0.25	1.79	1.05	0.26	2.60	1.09
0.38	0.38	0.24	0.38	0.64	0.38	0.38	1.26	0.76	0.38	2.15	1.44	0.38	3.26	1.61
0.50	0.40	0.27	0.50	0.71	0.43	0.51	1.38	0.88	0.51	2.42	1.76	0.51	3.75	2.05
0.63	0.42	0.30	0.63	0.77	0.47	0.63	1.47	0.97	0.63	2.58	1.98	0.64	4.15	2.45
0.75	0.43	0.32	0.76	0.81	0.50	0.76	1.55	1.03	0.76	2.71	2.16	0.76	4.40	2.72
0.88	0.44	0.33	0.88	0.85	0.52	0.88	1.61	1.08	0.89	2.82	2.30	0.89	4.60	2.94
1.00	0.44	0.35	1.01	0.87	0.54	1.01	1.66	1.12	1.01	2.90	2.41	1.02	4.77	3.14
1.13	0.45	0.36	1.13	0.90	0.55	1.14	1.72	1.15	1.14	2.96	2.49	1.14	4.92	3.32
1.26	0.45	0.37	1.26	0.93	0.56	1.26	1.76	1.17	1.26	3.02	2.57	1.27	5.03	3.44
1.38	0.45	0.38	1.39	0.95	0.57	1.39	1.81	1.20	1.39	3.08	2.63	1.40	5.14	3.56
1.51	0.45	0.39	1.51	0.97	0.58	1.52	1.85	1.21	1.52	3.12	2.67	1.52	5.22	3.64
1.63	0.45	0.39	1.64	0.99	0.59	1.64	1.89	1.23	1.64	3.17	2.71	1.65	5.30	3.71
1.76	0.45	0.40	1.76	1.00	0.59	1.77	1.92	1.23	1.77	3.22	2.75	1.78	5.38	3.77
1.88	0.45	0.41	1.89	1.01	0.60	1.89	1.96	1.24	1.90	3.26	2.77	1.90	5.45	3.82
2.01	0.45	0.41	2.01	1.03	0.60	2.02	2.00	1.25	2.02	3.30	2.80	2.03	5.53	3.86
2.14	0.44	0.42	2.14	1.04	0.60	2.15	2.03	1.25	2.15	3.34	2.82	2.16	5.61	3.90
2.26	0.44	0.42	2.27	1.05	0.60	2.27	2.07	1.26	2.28	3.39	2.83	2.28	5.68	3.93
2.39	0.44	0.43	2.39	1.06	0.61	2.40	2.10	1.26	2.40	3.43	2.85	2.41	5.76	3.96
2.51	0.44	0.43	2.52	1.07	0.61	2.53	2.13	1.26	2.53	3.46	2.86	2.54	5.84	3.98
2.76	0.43	0.44	2.77	1.09	0.61	2.78	2.20	1.27	2.78	3.53	2.88	2.79	5.98	4.01
3.01	0.42	0.44	3.02	1.11	0.61	3.03	2.26	1.26	3.04	3.62	2.89	3.05	6.13	4.04
3.27	0.41	0.45	3.27	1.12	0.61	3.28	2.32	1.26	3.29	3.71	2.90	3.30	6.27	4.05
3.52	0.40	0.45	3.53	1.14	0.61	3.54	2.39	1.25	3.54	3.79	2.90	3.55	6.40	4.05
3.77	0.39	0.46	3.78	1.16	0.61	3.79	2.44	1.25	3.79	3.88	2.90	3.81	6.55	4.05
4.02	0.39	0.46	4.03	1.18	0.61	4.04	2.51	1.24	4.05	3.95	2.90	4.06	6.68	4.05
4.52	0.38	0.47	4.53	1.21	0.60	4.55	2.63	1.22	4.55	4.10	2.89	4.57	6.95	4.03
5.02	0.38	0.47	5.03	1.23	0.60	5.05	2.75	1.20	5.06	4.28	2.87	5.08	7.22	4.00
5.28	0.37	0.47	5.54	1.26	0.59	5.56	2.87	1.18	5.31	4.34	2.86	5.58	7.48	3.96
5.53	0.37	0.47	6.04	1.29	0.59	6.06	2.99	1.15	5.57	4.43	2.85	6.09	7.72	3.92
5.78	0.38	0.47	6.55	1.33	0.58	6.56	3.11	1.13	5.82	4.50	2.84	6.60	7.95	3.88
6.03	0.38	0.47	7.05	1.36	0.57	7.07	3.20	1.10	6.07	4.57	2.83	7.11	8.20	3.83
6.28	0.38	0.47	7.55	1.40	0.56	7.58	3.32	1.07	6.32	4.64	2.81	7.61	8.44	3.78
6.53	0.38	0.47	8.06	1.43	0.55	8.08	3.44	1.05	6.58	4.70	2.80	8.12	8.67	3.73
6.78	0.39	0.47	8.56	1.47	0.54	8.58	3.53	1.02	6.83	4.78	2.79	8.63	8.89	3.67
7.03	0.39	0.47	9.06	1.52	0.53	9.09	3.65	0.99	7.08	4.84	2.77	9.14	9.10	3.62
7.29	0.40	0.47	9.57	1.55	0.52	9.59	3.76	0.96	7.34	4.90	2.76	9.64	9.29	3.57
7.54	0.40	0.47	10.07	1.58	0.51	10.10	3.85	0.93	7.59	4.98	2.74	10.15	9.47	3.51
8.04	0.41	0.47	11.33	1.66	0.48	11.36	4.10	0.86	8.09	5.12	2.71	11.42	9.87	3.39
8.54	0.41	0.47	12.59	1.75	0.45	12.62	4.32	0.80	8.60	5.25	2.68	12.69	10.19	3.28
9.04	0.42	0.47	13.85	1.84	0.43	13.89	4.52	0.74	9.11	5.38	2.65	13.96	10.43	3.22
9.55	0.43	0.46	15.10	1.91	0.40	15.15	4.70	0.68	9.61	5.51	2.62	15.23	10.70	3.10
10.05	0.44	0.46	16.36	1.99	0.38	16.41	4.87	0.62	10.12	5.65	2.58	16.50	10.87	3.01
11.31	0.48	0.45	17.62	2.05	0.36	17.67	4.99	0.57	11.38	5.93	2.50	17.77	10.99	2.94
12.56	0.53	0.44	18.88	2.12	0.34	18.94	5.08	0.52	12.65	6.15	2.42	19.03	11.10	2.88
13.82	0.55	0.43	20.14	2.17	0.31	20.20	5.17	0.48	13.91	6.35	2.36	20.30	11.11	2.85
15.07	0.58	0.42	21.40	2.21	0.29	21.46	5.23	0.45	15.18	6.54	2.29	21.57	11.08	2.80
16.33	0.61	0.41	22.66	2.26	0.27	22.72	5.27	0.42	16.44	6.66	2.24	22.84	11.07	2.75
17.59	0.66	0.40	23.91	2.29	0.26	23.98	5.30	0.39	17.71	6.73	2.19	24.11	11.02	2.73
18.84	0.68	0.39	25.17	2.32	0.24	25.25	5.32	0.37	18.97	6.77	2.15	25.38	10.91	2.72
20.10	0.71	0.38	26.43	2.34	0.23	26.51	5.33	0.35	20.24	6.84	2.12	26.65	10.76	2.73
21.35	0.74	0.37	27.69	2.36	0.22	27.77	5.32	0.34	21.50	6.86	2.10	27.92	10.69	2.73
22.61	0.78	0.36	28.95	2.38	0.21	29.03	5.29	0.33	22.77	6.83	2.07	29.18	10.57	2.75
25.12	0.81	0.35	30.05	2.37	0.20	30.02	5.26	0.33	25.10	6.81	2.04	30.03	10.50	2.77
25.12	0.81	0.35												

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6428**
Date: **3/11/08**

Project: **Polymet**
Boring #: **Fine Tailings** Sample #: Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Fine Tailings**









Failure Criterion:	Max. Stress Ratio				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	42.0 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.09 (tsf)				
Test Date: 2/22/08	Liquid Limit: 21.4				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit: 17.1				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.004	Plasticity Index: 4.3				
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.101	Spec. Gravity (Assumed): 3.00				
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94	1.94	1.94
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98	3.98	3.98
Water Content (%)	27.1	26.4	26.4	25.6	24.1
Dry Density (pcf)	98.3	99.5	101.0	103.7	103.9
Void Ratio	0.91	0.88	0.86	0.81	0.80
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.93	1.93	1.92	1.93	1.91
Height (in)	3.96	3.95	3.94	3.95	3.87
Water Content (%)	29.0	27.8	26.3	25.2	23.3
Dry Density (pcf)	100.1	102.0	104.7	106.7	110.3
Void Ratio	0.87	0.84	0.79	0.76	0.70
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.25	1.00	4.00	2.00	6.00
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	3.88	12.63	21.06	19.51	29.48
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	3.88	12.63	21.06	19.511	29.475
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	0.20	2.33	10.04	7.03	17.80
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.25	0.72	2.77	1.71	3.95
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	1.6	4.6	7.1	11.4	7.8

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimens compacted loosely; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 33.8 ° a = 0.1 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	42.0 °	c'=	0.09 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	36.2 °	c=	0.00 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6428**
Date: **3/11/08**

Project: **Polymet**
Boring #: **Fine Tailings** Sample #: Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Fine Tailings**









Failure Criterion:	Max. Pore Pressure				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	37.1 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.04 (tsf)				
Test Date: 2/22/08	Liquid Limit: 21.4				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit: 17.1				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.004	Plasticity Index: 4.3				
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.101	Spec. Gravity (Assumed): 3.00				
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94	1.94	1.94
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98	3.98	3.98
Water Content (%)	27.1	26.4	26.4	25.6	24.1
Dry Density (pcf)	98.3	99.5	101.0	103.7	103.9
Void Ratio	0.91	0.88	0.86	0.81	0.80
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.93	1.93	1.92	1.93	1.91
Height (in)	3.96	3.95	3.94	3.95	3.87
Water Content (%)	29.0	27.8	26.3	25.2	23.3
Dry Density (pcf)	100.1	102.0	104.7	106.7	110.3
Void Ratio	0.87	0.84	0.79	0.76	0.70
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.25	1.00	4.00	2.00	6.00
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	3.88	12.63	21.06	19.51	29.48
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	3.88	12.63	21.06	19.511	29.475
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	0.20	0.95	4.01	1.04	6.34
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.25	0.72	2.77	1.71	3.95
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	1.6	1.4	2.2	2.8	2.2

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimens compacted loosely; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure	
α = 31.1 °	a = 0.0 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	37.1 °	c'=	0.04 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	20.8 °	c=	-0.14 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6428**
Date: **3/11/08**

Project: **Polymet**
Boring #: **Fine Tailings** Sample #: Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Fine Tailings**









Failure Criterion:	Max. Deviator Stress				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	40.6 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.23 (tsf)				
Test Date: 2/22/08	Liquid Limit: 21.4				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit: 17.1				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.004	Plasticity Index: 4.3				
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.101	Spec. Gravity (Assumed): 3.00				
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94	1.94	1.94
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98	3.98	3.98
Water Content (%)	27.1	26.4	26.4	25.6	24.1
Dry Density (pcf)	98.3	99.5	101.0	103.7	103.9
Void Ratio	0.91	0.88	0.86	0.81	0.80
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.93	1.93	1.92	1.93	1.91
Height (in)	3.96	3.95	3.94	3.95	3.87
Water Content (%)	29.0	27.8	26.3	25.2	23.3
Dry Density (pcf)	100.1	102.0	104.7	106.7	110.3
Void Ratio	0.87	0.84	0.79	0.76	0.70
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.25	1.00	4.00	2.00	6.00
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	3.88	12.63	21.06	19.51	29.48
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	3.88	12.63	21.06	19.511	29.475
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	3.88	12.63	21.06	19.51	29.48
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.25	0.72	2.77	1.71	3.95
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	20.2	20.2	20.3	23.6	15.1

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimens compacted loosely; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 33.0 ° a = 0.2 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	40.6 °	c'=	0.23 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	42.6 °	c=	1.36 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6428**
Date: **3/11/08**

Project: **Polymet**
Boring #: **Fine Tailings** Sample #: Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Fine Tailings**









Failure Criterion:	Given Strain of: 15%				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	40.2 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.24 (tsf)				
Test Date: 2/22/08	Liquid Limit: 21.4				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit: 17.1				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.004	Plasticity Index: 4.3				
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.101	Spec. Gravity (Assumed): 3.00				
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94	1.94	1.94
Height (in)	3.98	3.98	3.98	3.98	3.98
Water Content (%)	27.1	26.4	26.4	25.6	24.1
Dry Density (pcf)	98.3	99.5	101.0	103.7	103.9
Void Ratio	0.91	0.88	0.86	0.81	0.80
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.93	1.93	1.92	1.93	1.91
Height (in)	3.96	3.95	3.94	3.95	3.87
Water Content (%)	29.0	27.8	26.3	25.2	23.3
Dry Density (pcf)	100.1	102.0	104.7	106.7	110.3
Void Ratio	0.87	0.84	0.79	0.76	0.70
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.25	1.00	4.00	2.00	6.00
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	3.88	12.63	21.06	19.51	29.48
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	3.88	12.63	21.06	19.511	29.475
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	2.60	9.36	17.46	10.53	28.63
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.25	0.72	2.77	1.71	3.95
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimens compacted loosely; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure	
α = 32.9 °	a = 0.2 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	40.2 °	c'=	0.24 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	42.8 °	c=	0.54 (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Triaxial Shear Data

Job: 6428

Polymet Fine Tailings

Date: 3/12/2008

Sample 1			Sample 2			Sample 3			Sample 4			Sample 5		
Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.13	0.15	0.13	0.13	0.54	0.37	0.13	1.28	0.54	0.13	0.79	0.54	0.13	2.30	0.99
0.25	0.16	0.18	0.25	0.63	0.49	0.25	2.06	1.18	0.25	0.95	0.89	0.26	3.25	1.72
0.38	0.16	0.21	0.38	0.69	0.58	0.38	2.44	1.59	0.38	1.00	1.12	0.39	3.87	2.30
0.50	0.16	0.22	0.51	0.73	0.63	0.51	2.70	1.93	0.51	1.00	1.26	0.52	4.24	2.71
0.63	0.16	0.23	0.63	0.76	0.66	0.64	2.85	2.15	0.63	1.00	1.36	0.65	4.53	3.03
0.76	0.16	0.23	0.76	0.79	0.68	0.76	2.96	2.31	0.76	0.99	1.44	0.78	4.75	3.26
0.88	0.17	0.24	0.89	0.82	0.70	0.89	3.07	2.44	0.89	0.97	1.50	0.90	4.91	3.41
1.01	0.17	0.24	1.01	0.85	0.71	1.02	3.16	2.53	1.01	0.96	1.55	1.03	5.08	3.54
1.14	0.18	0.24	1.14	0.88	0.71	1.14	3.25	2.60	1.14	0.96	1.58	1.16	5.24	3.63
1.26	0.18	0.24	1.27	0.91	0.72	1.27	3.33	2.65	1.27	0.95	1.61	1.29	5.41	3.70
1.39	0.19	0.25	1.39	0.95	0.72	1.40	3.41	2.69	1.39	0.95	1.63	1.42	5.56	3.75
1.52	0.20	0.25	1.52	0.99	0.72	1.52	3.51	2.72	1.52	0.95	1.65	1.55	5.70	3.78
1.64	0.20	0.25	1.64	1.03	0.72	1.65	3.60	2.74	1.65	0.95	1.67	1.68	5.90	3.81
1.77	0.21	0.25	1.77	1.06	0.71	1.78	3.71	2.75	1.77	0.96	1.68	1.81	6.06	3.83
1.89	0.22	0.25	1.90	1.11	0.71	1.91	3.80	2.76	1.90	0.96	1.69	1.94	6.24	3.84
2.02	0.23	0.25	2.03	1.15	0.70	2.03	3.90	2.77	2.03	0.97	1.69	2.07	6.42	3.84
2.15	0.24	0.24	2.15	1.20	0.70	2.16	4.01	2.77	2.15	0.94	1.71	2.20	6.34	3.95
2.27	0.25	0.24	2.28	1.25	0.69	2.29	4.12	2.76	2.28	0.97	1.71	2.33	6.64	3.88
2.40	0.26	0.24	2.40	1.30	0.68	2.41	4.24	2.76	2.41	0.98	1.71	2.45	6.87	3.85
2.52	0.27	0.24	2.53	1.35	0.68	2.54	4.37	2.75	2.54	1.00	1.71	2.58	7.10	3.83
2.78	0.30	0.24	2.78	1.45	0.66	2.79	4.61	2.72	2.79	1.04	1.71	2.84	7.53	3.77
3.03	0.32	0.23	3.04	1.56	0.64	3.05	4.87	2.69	3.04	1.08	1.71	3.10	8.01	3.70
3.28	0.35	0.23	3.29	1.67	0.61	3.30	5.15	2.65	3.30	1.13	1.70	3.36	8.44	3.63
3.53	0.38	0.22	3.54	1.79	0.59	3.56	5.44	2.61	3.55	1.19	1.70	3.62	8.90	3.56
3.79	0.41	0.22	3.80	1.91	0.56	3.81	5.73	2.56	3.80	1.25	1.69	3.88	9.43	3.46
4.04	0.44	0.21	4.05	2.04	0.53	4.06	6.01	2.51	4.06	1.34	1.67	4.13	9.93	3.37
4.54	0.50	0.20	4.55	2.33	0.47	4.57	6.64	2.39	4.56	1.49	1.65	4.65	10.95	3.17
5.05	0.57	0.18	5.06	2.62	0.40	5.08	7.30	2.26	5.07	1.68	1.61	5.17	12.09	2.94
5.30	0.60	0.17	5.31	2.77	0.36	5.33	7.68	2.19	5.32	1.78	1.59	5.68	13.23	2.70
5.55	0.64	0.16	5.57	2.94	0.32	5.59	7.98	2.12	5.58	1.90	1.57	6.20	14.32	2.46
5.81	0.68	0.15	5.82	3.10	0.29	5.84	8.31	2.05	5.83	2.02	1.54	6.72	15.49	2.19
6.06	0.72	0.14	6.07	3.27	0.24	6.10	8.67	1.97	6.09	2.14	1.52	7.23	16.63	1.92
6.31	0.77	0.13	6.33	3.45	0.20	6.35	8.99	1.90	6.34	2.27	1.49	7.75	17.80	1.63
6.56	0.82	0.11	6.58	3.63	0.15	6.60	9.35	1.82	6.59	2.42	1.46	8.27	18.99	1.33
6.82	0.87	0.10	6.83	3.83	0.10	6.86	9.68	1.75	6.84	2.55	1.43	8.78	20.16	1.03
7.07	0.92	0.09	7.08	4.04	0.05	7.11	10.04	1.66	7.10	2.72	1.39	9.30	21.32	0.71
7.32	0.98	0.08	7.34	4.23	0.00	7.37	10.37	1.58	7.35	2.89	1.36	9.82	22.39	0.41
7.57	1.04	0.06	7.59	4.43	-0.05	7.62	10.72	1.50	7.61	3.06	1.32	10.33	23.43	0.08
8.08	1.15	0.03	8.10	4.82	-0.16	8.13	11.38	1.33	8.11	3.46	1.24	11.63	25.56	-0.69
8.58	1.27	0.00	8.60	5.23	-0.27	8.64	12.02	1.17	8.62	3.91	1.14	12.92	27.17	-1.21
9.09	1.39	-0.04	9.11	5.64	-0.38	9.14	12.64	1.01	9.13	4.37	1.05	14.21	28.63	-1.73
9.59	1.51	-0.07	9.62	6.05	-0.49	9.65	13.27	0.85	9.63	4.90	0.94	15.12	29.48	-2.06
10.10	1.63	-0.10	10.12	6.44	-0.60	10.16	13.87	0.70	10.14	5.48	0.82			
11.36	1.94	-0.19	11.39	7.46	-0.88	11.43	15.23	0.34	11.41	7.03	0.49			
12.62	2.27	-0.28	12.65	8.46	-1.18	12.70	16.43	0.01	12.68	8.80	0.09			
13.89	2.60	-0.37	13.92	9.36	-1.46	13.97	17.46	-0.29	13.94	10.53	-0.31			
15.15	2.89	-0.46	15.18	10.16	-1.70	15.24	18.46	-0.56	15.21	12.43	-0.76			
16.41	3.16	-0.54	16.45	10.87	-1.90	16.51	19.31	-0.80	16.48	14.05	-1.15			
17.67	3.43	-0.61	17.71	11.54	-2.08	17.78	19.96	-1.00	17.74	15.35	-1.46			
18.94	3.68	-0.67	18.98	12.12	-2.24	19.05	20.47	-1.14	19.01	16.57	-1.75			
20.20	3.88	-0.72	20.24	12.63	-2.36	20.32	21.06	-1.23	20.28	17.60	-1.96			
									21.55	18.43	-2.13			
									22.81	19.09	-2.26			
									23.62	19.51	-2.32			

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **6428**

Project:	Polymet	Test Date:	3/7/08
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	3/11/08

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
*	TP-3	Bucket #11		Bulk	Fine Tailings (Silty Sand) (SM)
●					
◇					



Other Tests	*	●	◇
Liquid Limit			
Plastic Limit			
Plasticity Index			
Water Content			
Dry Density (pcf)			
Specific Gravity			
Porosity			
Organic Content			
pH			
Shrinkage Limit			
Penetrometer			
Qu (psf)			

(* = assumed)

Percent Passing	*	●	◇
Mass (g)	24726.0		
2"			
1.5"			
1"			
3/4"			
3/8"			
#4	100.0		
#10	97.2		
#20	86.5		
#40	72.1		
#100	36.5		
#200	17.9		

	*	●	◇
D_{60}			
D_{30}			
D_{10}			
C_U			
C_C			

Remarks:

9301 Bryant Ave. South, Suite 107

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6449**
Date: **6/20/08**

Project:
Boring #: **LTV Slimes** Sample #: Type: **Remolded** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Slimes**



◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Stress Ratio				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	°				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	(tsf)				
Test Date: 6/21/08	Liquid Limit:				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.0594	Plasticity Index:				
Strain Rate (%/min): 1.000	Spec. Gravity (Assumed): 3.00				
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	2.86				
Height (in)	6.02				
Water Content (%)	14.6				
Dry Density (pcf)	82.1				
Void Ratio	1.28				
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	2.81				
Height (in)	5.94				
Water Content (%)	38.9				
Dry Density (pcf)	86.4				
Void Ratio	1.17				
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8				
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	2.00				
Peak Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.82				
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.99				
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	0.89				
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	1.71				
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0				
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	16.8				

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen compacted loosely. Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure			
α =	°	a =	(tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	°	c'=	(tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	°	c=	(tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6449**
Date: **6/20/08**

Project:
Boring #: **LTV Slimes** Sample #: Type: **Remolded** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Slimes**



◆ ■ △ + X

Failure Criterion: **Max. Pore Pressure**

Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =** °

Apparent Cohesion, **c' =** (tsf)

Test Date:	6/21/08		Liquid Limit:			
Test Type:	CU w/pp		Plastic Limit:			
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.0594		Plasticity Index:			
Strain Rate (%/min):	1.000		Spec. Gravity (Assumed):	3.00		

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	2.86				
Height (in)	6.02				
Water Content (%)	14.6				
Dry Density (pcf)	82.1				
Void Ratio	1.28				
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	2.81				
Height (in)	5.94				
Water Content (%)	38.9				
Dry Density (pcf)	86.4				
Void Ratio	1.17				
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8				
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	2.00				
Peak Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.82				
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.99				
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	0.66				
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	1.71				
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0				
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	8.4				

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen compacted loosely. Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = ° a = (tsf)

---------- Effective ϕ': ° c'= (tsf)
_____ Total ϕ': ° c= (tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6449**
Date: **6/20/08**

Project:
Boring #: **LTV Slimes** Sample #: Type: **Remolded** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Slimes**



Failure Criterion:	**Max. Deviator Stress**
Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =**	°
Apparent Cohesion, **c' =**	(tsf)
Test Date: 6/21/08	Liquid Limit:
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.0594	Plasticity Index:
Strain Rate (%/min): 1.000	Spec. Gravity (Assumed): 3.00

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	2.86				
Height (in)	6.02				
Water Content (%)	14.6				
Dry Density (pcf)	82.1				
Void Ratio	1.28				
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	2.81				
Height (in)	5.94				
Water Content (%)	38.9				
Dry Density (pcf)	86.4				
Void Ratio	1.17				
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8				
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	2.00				
Peak Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.82				
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.99				
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	0.82				
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	1.71				
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0				
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	0.7				

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen compacted loosely. Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure			
α =	°	a =	(tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	°	c'=	(tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	°	c=	(tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **6449**
Date: **6/20/08**

Project:
Boring #: **LTV Slimes** Sample #: Type: **Remolded** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Slimes**



Failure Criterion:	**Given Strain of: 7.5%**
Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =**	°
Apparent Cohesion, **c' =**	(tsf)
Test Date: 6/21/08	Liquid Limit:
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.0594	Plasticity Index:
Strain Rate (%/min): 1.000	Spec. Gravity (Assumed): 3.00

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	2.86				
Height (in)	6.02				
Water Content (%)	14.6				
Dry Density (pcf)	82.1				
Void Ratio	1.28				
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	2.81				
Height (in)	5.94				
Water Content (%)	38.9				
Dry Density (pcf)	86.4				
Void Ratio	1.17				
Back Pressure (tsf)	5.8				
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	2.00				
Peak Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.82				
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.99				
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	0.65				
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	1.71				
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	1.0				
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	7.5				

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen compacted loosely. Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure			
α =	°	a =	(tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	°	c'=	(tsf)
_____	Total ϕ':	°	c=	(tsf)

9301 Bryant Ave. South Suite #107 — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Bloomington, Minnesota 55420-3436

Sample 1			Sample 2			Sample 3			Sample 4			Sample 5		
Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)
0.00	0.00	0.00												
0.09	0.46	0.28												
0.17	0.63	0.51												
0.25	0.71	0.68												
0.34	0.77	0.84												
0.42	0.80	0.94												
0.51	0.82	1.03												
0.59	0.82	1.10												
0.67	0.82	1.16												
0.76	0.82	1.21												
0.84	0.82	1.26												
0.93	0.82	1.29												
1.01	0.81	1.32												
1.10	0.81	1.36												
1.18	0.80	1.38												
1.26	0.79	1.40												
1.35	0.79	1.42												
1.43	0.78	1.44												
1.52	0.78	1.46												
1.60	0.77	1.47												
1.68	0.77	1.49												
1.85	0.75	1.51												
2.02	0.75	1.53												
2.19	0.74	1.55												
2.36	0.73	1.57												
2.53	0.72	1.58												
2.70	0.71	1.59												
3.03	0.70	1.62												
3.37	0.69	1.63												
3.71	0.68	1.65												
4.04	0.67	1.66												
4.38	0.66	1.67												
4.72	0.66	1.68												
5.05	0.65	1.69												
5.39	0.65	1.69												
5.73	0.65	1.70												
6.06	0.65	1.70												
6.40	0.65	1.71												
6.74	0.65	1.71												
7.58	0.65	1.71												
8.42	0.66	1.71												
9.26	0.67	1.71												
10.11	0.69	1.71												
10.95	0.71	1.71												
11.79	0.72	1.70												
12.63	0.75	1.69												
13.47	0.77	1.69												
14.32	0.80	1.68												
15.16	0.82	1.67												
16.00	0.85	1.65												
16.84	0.89	1.64												
17.68	0.92	1.63												
18.53	0.94	1.62												
19.37	0.98	1.61												
19.67	0.99	1.60												

Attachment F

2014 Geotechnical Investigation Report

Winter 2013/2014 Geotechnical Investigation

Prepared for
Poly Met Mining Inc.

December 2014

Winter 2013/2014 Geotechnical Investigation
Poly Met Mining Inc.

Hoyt Lakes, Minnesota

December 2014

Contents

Executive Summary 1

1.0 Introduction 3

1.1 Site Description 3

1.2 Investigation Overview 3

2.0 Rotasonic Work 5

2.1 Field Work 5

2.1.1 Rotasonic Cores 5

2.1.2 Piezometer Installation 8

2.2 Slug Testing 8

3.0 SPT Work 10

3.1 Field Work 10

3.1.1 SPT Soil Borings 10

3.1.2 In situ Testing 11

3.1.2.1 Standard Penetration Tests 11

3.1.2.2 Undisturbed Samples 11

3.1.3 Rock Cores 12

3.1.4 Packer Testing 14

3.2 Laboratory Testing 15

4.0 CPT Work 17

4.1 Field Work 17

4.1.1 Cone Penetration Test 17

4.1.2 Pore Pressure Dissipation Testing 18

5.0 Results 19

5.1 FTB Containment System Investigation 19

5.1.1 Unconsolidated Deposits 19

5.1.1.1 Topsoil 19

5.1.1.2 Peat 19
5.1.1.3 Organic Silt 20
5.1.1.4 Tailings 20
5.1.1.5 Glacial Till 20
5.1.1.6 Cobbles and Boulders 20
5.1.2 Bedrock 20
5.1.3 Groundwater Conditions 21
5.1.4 Slug Testing 23
5.1.5 Packer Testing 24
5.1.6 General Soil Laboratory Testing 25
5.1.6.1 Moisture Content 25
5.1.6.2 Organic Content 25
5.1.6.3 Atterberg Limits 25
5.1.6.4 Grain Size Analysis 25
5.1.6.5 Dry Unit Weight Testing 26
5.1.6.6 Standard Proctor Density Testing 26
5.1.6.7 Shear Strength 26
5.1.6.8 Hydraulic Conductivity Testing 26
5.1.6.9 Consolidation Testing 27
5.2 Cell 1E/2E Investigation 27
5.2.1 CPT Data Interpretation 28
5.2.2 Stratigraphy and Material Properties 28
5.2.3 Pore Pressure Dissipation Results 28
6.0 Limitations of Analysis 30
7.0 References 31

List of Tables

Table 2-1	Flotation Tailings Basin Containment System SPT Borehole and Rotasonic Borehole Installation Summary
Table 2-2	Piezometer Summary
Table 3-1	Blow Count Summary
Table 3-2	Rock Quality Designation Summary
Table 3-3	Packer Test Summary
Table 4-1	CPT Investigation Summary
Table 5-1	Water Depth Summary
Table 5-2	Consolidation Data Summary

List of Large Figures

Large Figure 1	Project Location
Large Figure 2	2014 Geotechnical Investigation Locations
Large Figure 3	Rotasonic Investigation Locations
Large Figure 4	SPT Investigation Locations
Large Figure 5	Blow Counts Versus Depth
Large Figure 6	Rock Quality Designation versus Depth in Drillhole
Large Figure 7	2014 CPT Investigation Locations
Large Figure 8	Flotation Tailings Basin Seepage Capture System Cutoff Wall Profile
Large Figure 9	Hydraulic Conductivity Testing Results of Glacial Till
Large Figure 10	Atterberg Limits Results
Large Figure 11	Sieve and Hydrometer Results
Large Figure 12	Triaxial Undrained Shear Strength Envelope for Compressed and Virgin Peat
Large Figure 13	Drained Shear Strength Envelope for Compressed and Virgin Peat
Large Figure 14	Drained Shear Strength Envelope for Glacial Till

List of Exhibits

Exhibit A	Rotasonic Logs
Exhibit B	Piezometer Logs
Exhibit C	Slug Testing Results
Exhibit D	SPT Boring Logs
Exhibit E	Packer Testing Results
Exhibit F	Laboratory Test Results
Exhibit G	Cone Penetration Test Results
Exhibit H	Pore Pressure Dissipation Test Results

Certifications

I hereby certify that this report was prepared by me or under my direct supervision and that I am a duly licensed Professional Engineer under the laws of the State of Minnesota.

Draft

Thomas J. Radue, P.E.
PE #20951

December 30, 2014

Executive Summary

During winter 2013/2014, Barr Engineering Co. (Barr) under authorization and contract with Poly Met Mining Inc. (PolyMet) completed a geotechnical investigation at the NorthMet Plant Site with two objectives:

- to provide additional detail on existing conditions along the Tailings Basin toe of dam, to support design of the Flotation Tailings Basin (FTB) Seepage Containment System
- to provide additional detail on stratigraphy in Tailings Basin Cells 1E and 2E to support stability modeling and FTB design

Investigation for the FTB Containment System consisted of two separate field studies: the first study included Rotasonic borings and installation of standpipe piezometers, then performing slug tests in the standpipe piezometers. The second study included standard penetration test (SPT) drilling, collection of undisturbed samples in surficial deposits, rock coring, packer testing in bedrock, and in-laboratory testing of materials. Field study results along the FTB Containment System alignment are summarized below.

- The surficial deposits along the northern and western toe of the Tailings Basin vary in thickness by test location but stratigraphy is generalized as follows, from the top down:
- peat.; 0 to 20 feet thick
- tailings; 0 to 17 feet thick, in isolated locations
- silty sand; 0 to 6 of feet thick, fine to coarse grained, with various amounts of clay
- glacial till; 5 to 36.5 feet thick, with cobbles and boulders interspersed, varying in size from <1 foot to approximately 4 feet in diameter
- Depth to bedrock ranges from 2 to 47 feet with an average depth of approximately 20 feet.
- Groundwater levels were at the surface or just below.
- Hydraulic conductivity of the glacial till ranged from $1.5x10^{-3}$ ft/s ($4.6x10^{-2}$ cm/s) to $1.7x10^{-6}$ ft/s ($5.2x10^{-5}$ cm/s) with a geometric mean of $5.1x10^{-5}$ ft/s ($1.5x10^{-3}$ cm/s).
- Hydraulic conductivity of the upper portion of the bedrock ranged from effectively 0 (the borehole produced no water) to $2.4x10^{-5}$ ft/s ($7.3x10^{-4}$ cm/s), with a geometric mean (excluding the zero inflow locations) of 1.9×10^{-6} ft/s (5.8×10^{-5} cm/s).

These results support the following findings:

- Soils suitable for installation of a cutoff wall exist along the proposed FTB Containment System alignment.

- At isolated locations (e.g., B-14-44 and B-14-65) deep pockets of tailings and peat may need to be excavated prior to construction.
- When selecting construction methods, the FTB Containment System construction contractor will need to consider the presence of cobbles and boulders in the till.

The Cell 1E/ 2E investigation consisted of cone penetration test (CPT) soundings. Field study results within the existing Tailings Basin are summarized below.

- There has been little to no strength increase of the tailings in Cell 1E and 2E since 2007.
- Additional stratigraphic information confirmed existing information and filled data gaps.
- The phreatic surface in Cell 2E has decreased approximately 5 feet since 2007. In Cell 1E the phreatic surface has increased approximately 25 feet since 2007 due to recent pumping of excess water into this basin.

1.0 Introduction

This report describes the Geotechnical Investigation performed during the winter of 2013/2014 at the former LTV Steel Mining Company (LTVSMC) Tailings Basin located at Poly Met Mining Inc.'s (Poly Met) NorthMet Plant Site. PolyMet plans to build the Flotation Tailings Basin (FTB) atop the existing Tailings Basin Cells 1E and 2E to store future NorthMet Flotation Tailings. In this report, the FTB is the newly constructed NorthMet Flotation Tailings impoundment, and the Tailings Basin is the existing LTVSMC tailings basin as well as the combined LTVSMC tailings basin and the FTB.

In order to manage potential water quality impacts from the Tailings Basin, PolyMet plans to install the FTB Containment System along the northern, western, and portions of the eastern sides of the Tailings Basin. The FTB Containment System will consist of a cutoff wall and a collection trench to capture seepage from the Tailings Basin, installed approximately 200 feet downstream from the toe of the Tailings Basin.

This document summarizes methods and results of the 2014 geotechnical investigation, which had two objectives:

- provide additional detail on existing conditions along the Tailings Basin toes to support design of the FTB Containment System
- provide additional detail on stratigraphy in Tailings Basin Cells 1E and 2E to support stability modeling and FTB design

1.1 Site Description

The NorthMet Project (Project) is located approximately five miles north of Hoyt Lakes, Minnesota, in St. Louis County as shown in Large Figure 1. Large Figure 2 shows the general site layout, including the existing Tailings Basin consisting of Cells 1W, 1E and 2E.

Native unconsolidated deposits at the Plant Site are a relatively thin mantle of glacial till and associated reworked sediments. In places the glacial deposits are overlain by a varying thickness of peat.

The uppermost bedrock unit is the Precambrian Giant's Range granite. Depth to bedrock is generally less than 50 feet, although the thickness of the native sediments beneath the existing Tailings Basin is unknown. There are two outcrops of bedrock that abut the southeastern corner of Cell 1E at the Tailings Basin that consist of schist of sedimentary and volcanic origin.

Much of the area between the Tailings Basin and the Embarrass River, to the north of Cells 2W and 2E, is covered by wetlands that are groundwater fed and represent surficial expressions of the water table.

1.2 Investigation Overview

The investigation targeted locations that are only accessible to heavy equipment when the ground is frozen, so the fieldwork was scheduled for winter and early spring when there was deep snowpack and below-freezing temperatures. Barr assisted PolyMet with the development of winter roads to provide

access to proposed geotechnical drilling locations. Geotechnical drilling locations and access routes were chosen to avoid wetlands, open water, and areas too steep to allow safe drill rig descent. Existing ramps and trails were used as much as possible. The geotechnical field test locations are shown on Large Figure 2.

Two separate field studies were performed along the FTB Containment System alignment. The first included Rotasonic borings and installation of standpipe piezometers, with subsequent slug testing of the new piezometers installed in glacial till, as described in Section 2.0. The second included standard penetration test (SPT) drilling, collection of undisturbed samples in surficial deposits, rock coring and packer testing in bedrock, and laboratory testing, as described in Section 3.0. The field investigations were performed to evaluate:

- soil type and thickness
- presence of cobbles and boulders within the glacial till
- depth to bedrock
- groundwater levels
- hydraulic conductivity of the soils and bedrock

Field work performed in Tailings Basin Cells 1E and 2E included cone penetration test (CPT) soundings, as described in Section 4.0, to:

- confirm the strength, compressibility, stiffness, and density characteristics of the existing tailings
- evaluate if consolidation has occurred since the close of the LTVSMC mine in 2001 and since previous testing was performed in 2007
- fill stratigraphy gaps along three previously identified cross-sections analyzed for slope stability of the proposed FTB

2.0 Rotasonic Work

As part of the FTB Containment System investigation, the Rotasonic work consisted of Rotasonic coring and collection of soil and rock samples, installation of standpipe piezometers, and slug testing. The Rotasonic work began on March 10, 2014 and was completed on March 18, 2014. During this time, ground conditions remained frozen and temperatures remained below freezing. A total of 22 Rotasonic borings were performed as part of the work including: R14-02, 04, 05, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 10A, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 20, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, and 30 (Large Figure 2). The Rotasonic logs are provided in Exhibit A.

Large Figure 2 shows the overall project layout. Large Figure 3 shows the locations of only the Rotasonic borings completed for the project and identifies which Rotasonic locations had instrumentation installed in the hole once drilling was complete. Boring coordinates, depth of bedrock, depth of water table, stationing, and piezometer installation information are included in Table 2-1 .

2.1 Field Work

2.1.1 Rotasonic Cores

There were 30 proposed Rotasonic locations; however, due to open water conditions, some of the proposed locations were not accessible and therefore a total of 22 Rotasonic cores were completed. The naming convention for the Rotasonic locations begins with a capital R, the year (14 for 2014), and the location number. For example, R14-01 is a Rotasonic hole that was performed in 2014 and is identified as location 01. Each location was drilled to the assumed top of bedrock, and usually extended an additional 3 to 8.5 feet into competent rock to confirm that bedrock, rather than a boulder, was encountered. If rock was encountered shallower than anticipated, offset holes were performed as needed to confirm bedrock depth. Water levels were also recorded during the investigation, providing an approximate depth to groundwater (described in Section 5.1.3). Note that these water levels are not actual phreatic surface values and thus locations R14-15, 20, 24, and 27 reported a water level deeper than is expected to be encountered during construction.

All locations were cored along the proposed FTB Containment System alignment, approximately 200 feet downstream from the toe of the Tailings Basin. The Rotasonic drilling was performed by Cascade Drilling out of Little Falls, Minnesota. Soil sampling and classification was performed by a Barr representative on site during the entire field investigation. Samples were collected representing the core and also any unusual or unique soils. All work was performed in accordance with ASTM D5092 and soil samples were classified based on the United States Classification System (USCS). All samples were sealed in bags in the field in order to preserve the in-situ moisture content.

Table 2-1 Flotation Tailings Basin Containment System SPT Borehole and Rotasonic Borehole Installation Summary

Borehole	Station(1)	Piezo-meter Installed	Packer Test Performed	Ground Surface Elevation(3)	Coordinates [NAD83 MN State Plane]		Estimated Depth to Bedrock(2)	Actual Depth to Weathered Bedrock	Actual Depth to Competent Bedrock	Total Drilled (incl. Rock Coring)
				(feet)	Easting	Northing	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)
SPT Borings										
B14-36	19+54		x	1554.7	2,857,818.0	735,479.9	14	--	13.5	26.5
B14-40	35+25			1534.0	2,857,381.9	736,963.9	16	--	15.0	30.5
B14-44	55+48		x	1502.9	2,857,382.8	738,984.5	28	31.5	36.5	46.0
B14-48	74+92			1491.8	2,857,452.1	740,886.7	34	9.5	15	25
B14-52	96+85			1486.0	2,858,667.8	742,396.5	42	--	47.0	65.8
B14-55	115+34		x	1494.8	2,860,494.0	742,451.6	20	30.0	39.0	50.5
B14-62	152+53			1493.3	2,863,894.1	743,327.3	30	17.0	>27.0	27.0
B14-65	162+85		x	1487.2	2,864,926.5	743,316.0	20	20.5	22.0	37.0
B14-69	178+36			1485.1	2,866,416.5	743,207.5	20	29.0	>34.0	34.0
B14-72	192+86			1493.0	2,867,866.5	743,232.9	5	--	10.0	25.0
B14-76	213+12		x	1501.2	2,869,888.1	743,328.6	10	25.0	27.0	42.5
B14-80	235+33			1523.4	2,870,717.9	741,777.3	6	--	10.0	21.0
Rotasonic Borings										
R14-02	7+15			1566.4	2,858,091.9	734,259.7	8	--	7.5	11.0
R14-04	22+07	x		1545.4	2,857,633.8	735,679.7	14	12.0	13.0	15.0
R14-05	31+64			1539.3	2,857,437.1	736,606.1	16	7.0	8.5	15.0
R14-06	40+50	x		1526.4	2,857,364.4	737,489.5	20	17.0	17.5	20.0
R14-07	49+76			1504.9	2,857,400.7	738,412.4	19	--	5.3	12.0

Borehole	Station(1)	Piezo-meter Installed	Packer Test Performed	Ground Surface Elevation(3)	Coordinates [NAD83 MN State Plane]		Estimated Depth to Bedrock(2)	Actual Depth to Weathered Bedrock	Actual Depth to Competent Bedrock	Total Drilled (incl. Rock Coring)
				(feet)	Easting	Northing	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)
R14-08	58+50	x		1502.8	2,857,372.0	739,287.6	27	--	21.0	24.0
R14-09	67+90			1493.3	2,857,373.8	740,225.4	26	13.0	15.0	19.0
R14-10	76+99			1492.8	2,857,371.8	741,099.5	29	--	2.0	10.0
R14-10A	78+81			1493.0	2,857,400.1	741,279.3	29	--	14.0	21.0
R14-11	85+72			1483.1	2,857,729.4	741,794.5	35	--	10.0	16.0
R14-12	95+14	x		1480.3	2,858,500.4	742,311.8	35	--	31.0	35.0
R14-13	103+85	x		1489.1	2,859,372.0	742,310.5	35	--	39.0	45.0
R14-15	116+67	x		1494.8	2,860,626.1	742,493.1	29	--	32.0	35.0
R14-16	124+31	x		1507.6	2,861,262.2	742,916.4	26	--	25.5	30.0
R14-20	156+61			1487.3	2,864,302.4	743,246.7	23	--	31.0	35.0
R14-24	186+08			1491.1	2,867,188.8	743,227.5	7	--	5.5	14.0
R14-25	196+47			1497.2	2,868,226.9	743,227.7	2	--	3.5	10.5
R14-26	206+41	x		1502.5	2,869,216.9	743,283.6	2	--	21.5	28.0
R14-27	216+26	x		1502.8	2,870,201.4	743,350.1	8	--	26.0	30.0
R14-28	226+60	x		1509.5	2,870,734.5	742,651.3	14	--	10.5	16.5
R14-29	232+26			1521.5	2,870,713.4	742,084.4	10	--	29.0	34.0
R14-30	238+49			1529.2	2,870,719.3	741,461.2	3	--	26.0	29.0

(1) Borehole station location is shown (±25 feet). Actual station location may vary due to offsets.
(2) Estimated depth to bedrock was based on previously available GIS maps and boring logs.
(3) Ground surface elevations are based on 2010 LIDAR data.

2.1.2 Piezometer Installation

Standpipe piezometers were installed in ten (10) Rotasonic boreholes (R14-04, 06, 08, 12, 13, 15, 16, 26, 27, and 28) to depths ranging from 10 to 35 feet. The piezometers consist of a PVC riser with a 5-foot screened tip at the bottom. Sand pack was placed in the annulus around the screened interval and a bentonite seal was placed above the sand pack to isolate the porewater pressure in the screened interval. The piezometers were then backfilled with bentonite grout to prevent unwanted vertical migration of water. The screened zone was installed in glacial till at depths that were determined at the time of drilling and typically corresponded to zones assumed to have a higher permeability than the surrounding soil, usually located just above bedrock. Table 2-2 summarizes the piezometer installations. Piezometer logs are provided in Exhibit B.

Table 2-2 Piezometer Summary

Instrument Name	Coordinates [NAD83 MN State Plane]		Ground Elevation [ft]	Instrument Tip Elevation [ft]	Instrument Tip Depth [ft]
	Easting	Northing			
R14-04	2,857,633.8	735,679.7	1545.4	1534.4	10
R14-06	2,857,364.4	737,489.5	1526.4	1509.4	17
R14-08	2,857,372.0	739,287.6	1502.8	1482.3	20.5
R14-12	2,858,500.4	742,311.8	1480.3	1460.3	20
R14-13	2,859,372.0	742,310.5	1489.1	1454.1	35
R14-15	2,860,626.1	742,493.1	1494.8	1463.8	31
R14-16	2,861,262.2	742,916.4	1507.6	1482.6	25
R14-26	2,869,216.9	743,283.6	1502.5	1482.5	20
R14-27	2,870,201.4	743,350.1	1502.8	1477.8	25
R14-28	2,870,734.5	742,651.3	1509.5	1499.5	10

The piezometers were bailed three times during the geotechnical investigation by Barr field staff in order to develop the wells and establish flow through the screens. Water level readings were recorded using a water level indicator. Readings were taken just before the wells were bailed and approximately 12 hours later to allow the levels to stabilize. Once water levels were stabilized, slug tests were performed in the wells shortly after.

2.2 Slug Testing

After the wells were fully developed, Barr staff performed slug testing in all ten of the standpipe piezometers, and in several monitoring wells (GW001, GW006, GW007, and GW012) that were accessible and had been installed in 2008.

The slug tests used a solid piece of PVC pipe to rapidly displace the static water level in the piezometer or well. The slug testing was performed with 5-foot or 2.5-foot long, 1-inch diameter PVC slugs. Three sets of tests (slug in and slug out for each test) were performed in each piezometer. The first and third test was performed with the 5-foot slug and the second test was performed with the 2.5-foot slug to confirm repeatability. A slug test in which the displacement is initiated by rapidly lowering a slug below the water level is referred to as a slug-in or falling-head test; a slug-out or rising-head test is one in which the slug is rapidly removed. The resulting water-level recovery to static, pre-test conditions was monitored using a data-logging pressure transducer (InSitu – LevelTroll 700). Slug testing results are provided in Exhibit C.

3.0 SPT Work

The SPT work was performed by Braun Intertec (Braun) out of their Duluth, Minnesota, office. An all-terrain drill rig was mobilized to the site to conduct the borings utilizing hollow-stem auger methods at shallow depths and via mud rotary at greater depths below the water table.

Soil sampling and material classification was performed at 2.5-foot intervals to a depth of 10 feet and at 5-foot intervals thereafter. All split spoon sampling and standard penetration testing was performed in accordance with ASTM D1586. Soft clay and organic soil samples were collected with 3-inch thin-wall samplers, when feasible, in accordance with ASTM D1587. All soil samples were sealed in the field in order to preserve the in-situ moisture content. Samples were transported to the Soil Engineering Testing Inc. (SET) laboratory in Richfield, Minnesota, for testing.

Coring was performed when apparent bedrock was encountered, typically indicated by SPT results in excess of 50 blows for less than one-half foot of penetration. Packer testing was also performed in the bedrock. Copies of the boring logs are provided in Exhibit D.

The SPT work began on March 26, 2014 and was completed on May 20, 2014. The highest priority locations were completed first in order to avoid wetland disturbance. Ground conditions remained frozen until approximately April 17, 2014. The locations completed before April 17 included B14-44, B14-65, B14-69, B14-72, B14-76, and B14-80. These were priority locations; most of them were located in documented wetlands. The next three borings (B14-52, B14-55, and B14-62) were located on high ground, where there were no open water concerns or wetlands disturbance issues. However; because of the thawed conditions, access limitations required that the last three borings (B14-46, B14-40, and B14-48) be offset from their initial staked locations to higher ground so that no drilling occurred in known wetlands locations.

Large Figure 2 shows the overall project layout, including the SPT investigation locations. Large Figure 4 shows the plan location of all SPT locations completed for the project and which SPT boreholes had packer testing performed in the bedrock. Boring coordinates, depth of bedrock, depth of water table, stationing, and whether packer testing was performed is included in Table 2-1.

3.1 Field Work

3.1.1 SPT Soil Borings

A total of 12 soil borings were performed. Where possible, soil borings were completed along the proposed FTB Containment System alignment. Due to wetlands access issues because of spring thaw, two of the proposed locations were offset towards the Tailings basin. Soil borings were drilled to the top of bedrock, except where noted. B14-62 was abandoned in a boulder field at 27 feet due to difficult drilling conditions and time and resource constraints. B14-69 was abandoned when artesian flow was encountered in weathered bedrock.

3.1.2 In situ Testing

3.1.2.1 Standard Penetration Tests

Standard Penetration Tests (SPT) were performed on soils at the site to supplement the suite of laboratory tests performed on soil samples, to aid in material classification, and to estimate strength properties. SPTs were performed in accordance with ASTM D1586. The number of blow counts, or the N-value, required to advance the sampler 1 foot into the ground is the standard penetration resistance of the soil. A summary of corrected N-values is provided in Table 3-1. These N-values were not corrected for overburden stress, hammer efficiency, borehole diameter, sampling method, and rod length. The average N-value for all of the SPT samples is 35 blows/foot.

Table 3-1 Blow Count Summary

Boring	Number of SPT Samples	Minimum	Maximum	Average
B14-36	5	6	50/3"	66
B14-40	4	28	58	42
B14-44	9	2	50/2"	37
B14-48	4	WH(1)	72/8"	29
B14-52	10	5	50/2.5"	23
B14-55	10	5	50/3"	34
B14-62	5	23	50/4"	55
B14-65	5	1	14	5
B14-69	8	WH(1)	61	24
B14-72	5	14	50/5"	43
B14-76	6	WH(1)	90	25
B14-80	4	14	50/1"	70

(1) WH = weight of hammer

Large Figure 5 plots the blow counts versus depth for each boring location. The varying amount of sand, clay, and gravel within each sample led to high variability in the blow count values within each boring and between boring locations, as would be expected in variable soil types. Note that some N-values in excess of 100 blows/foot were reported, however these are not shown on Large Figure 5 to maintain a readable scale on the x-axis at lower N-values.

3.1.2.2 Undisturbed Samples

A limited number of thin-wall samples were collected in the peat. Five of the nine thin-wall sample attempts were successful and resulted in acceptable sample recovery for testing. Thin-wall samples are important because they provide a soil sample that is relatively undisturbed by the sampling technique. An

undisturbed sample provides ideal laboratory strength testing material because it is representative of the in-situ soil, whereas split-barrel samples from SPTs are considered "disturbed" samples and are used primarily for index testing of moisture contents and Atterberg limits.

Retrieval of undisturbed samples was attempted several times in the glacial till but due to difficult sampling conditions and high gravel content, no samples were successfully obtained. For this reason, all laboratory tests performed on glacial till material are on disturbed or remolded samples.

3.1.3 Rock Cores

The bedrock encountered was strong to very strong with zones that appear to have previously been highly fractured, but are now filled in with green and red cohesive sediment. Fractures were present in most of the cored bedrock from the site and the rock cores were considered to be slightly to moderately fractured. Bedrock contained horizontal fractures, vertical fractures, and fractures ranging from 45 to 65 degrees from the horizontal plane. The fractures are slightly decomposed and occasionally were in-filled with non-cohesive sediment. The fracturing was most prevalent in the upper 5 to 10 feet of bedrock. A summary of the rock quality designation (RQD) is provided in Table 3-2.

Table 3-2 Rock Quality Designation Summary

Borehole	Depth (feet)	Average Test Depth (feet)	Test Elevation (feet)	Rock Quality Designation (RQD)
B14-36	13.5-17.5	15.5	1539.2	77
	17.5-21.5	19.5	1535.2	48
	21.5-26.5	24	1530.7	100
B14-40	15.5-20.5	18	1516	68
	20.5-25.5	23	1511	47
	25.5-30.5	28	1506	92
B14-44	31.5-37	34	1468.9	33
	37-42	39.5	1463.4	77
	42-46	44	1458.9	92
B14-48	11-15	13	1478.8	15
	15-20	17.5	1474.3	72
	20-25	22.5	1469.3	88

Borehole	Depth (feet)	Average Test Depth (feet)	Test Elevation (feet)	Rock Quality Designation (RQD)
B14-52	42.5-44	43	1443	100
	44-47	45.5	1440.5	100
	50-55	52.5	1433.5	86
	55-59	57	1429	84
	59-61.5	60.5	1425.5	90
	63.5-65.8	64.5	1421.5	75
B14-55	35.5-39	38.5	1456.3	33
	39-44	41.5	1453.3	63
	44-47.5	46	1448.8	85
	47.5-50.5	49	1445.8	91
B14-62	17-20	18.5	1474.8	53
	20-25	22.5	1470.8	13
	25-27	26	1467.3	0
B14-65	22-27	24.5	1462.7	86
	27-32	29.5	1457.7	80
	32-37	34.5	1452.7	51
B14-69	29-34	31.5	1453.6	20
B14-72	11-16	13.5	1479.5	63
	16-21	18.5	1474.5	50
	21-25	23	1470	56
B14-76	27-30	28.5	1472.7	53
	30-35	32.5	1468.7	87
	35-40	37.5	1463.7	53
	40-42.5	41.5	1459.7	63
B14-80	11.5-16.5	14	1509.4	67.5
	16.5-19.5	18	1505.4	79
	19.5-21	20.5	1502.9	100

The Duluth Complex rock at NorthMet is massive with fractures being observed near the surface. RQD data from this coring indicate that rock quality is good to excellent, with an average RQD for the Duluth Complex starting 40 feet below ground surface of 84%. At depths less than 40 feet, rock quality is fair to excellent, with an average RQD of 62%. The 10-foot moving average of RQD with depth within the Duluth Complex is shown in Large Figure 6.

3.1.4 Packer Testing

Packer testing was performed in five of the 12 boring locations. Several testing intervals were performed in bedrock so there ranged from one to three packer tests per boring location. The packer testing interval was determined in the field with the intent to obtain the most representative data possible and provide hydraulic conductivity values of the bedrock.

Calibration of the flow meter, gages, and head loss was initially conducted before any of the packer testing began. All calibration was performed according to the standard methods in USBR 7310-89 (Reference (1)). Calibration of the flow meter and pressure gages confirmed that the parts being used were accurate. For data analysis purposes, a typical head loss value of 0.10 ft/ft was assumed based on the pipe diameter, pipe material, and pressure readings.

Packer testing methods outlined in Reference (1) were followed in order to obtain results that could be replicated and were consistent for each testing interval. Packer testing readings were performed by Barr personnel in accordance with the USBR 7310-89 guidelines. For appropriate situations, a single- or double-packer was used. All packer tests were performed at the same pressure increments of 15, 30, and 45 psi for 1-minute intervals. Observations of flow were made every minute until three consecutive, consistent readings were taken representing steady-state flow. The pressure was then increased for three equal increments, followed by two decreasing pressures.

Packer tests are summarized in Table 3-3 and results are provided in Exhibit E. The results presented are the lowest permeability values from the first three pressure increments for each test location. This is a conservative value most likely to represent in-situ, or laminar flow through a porous media, for steady-state conditions. Packer testing in zones containing factures had a higher average hydraulic conductivity than tests in bedrock without fracturing.

Table 3-3 Packer Test Summary

Boring	Depth [ft]	Test Length [ft]	Packer	RQD	Permeability [ft/sec]	Permeability [cm/sec]
B14-36	14 - 18.5	4.5	Double	93	0(1)	0(1)
B14-36	20.5 - 26.5	6.0	Single	83	4.8E-08	1.4E-06
B14-55	37 - 41.5	4.5	Single	30	2.4E-05	7.2E-04
B14-55	41.5 - 46.5	5.0	Double	100	0(1)	0(1)
B14-55	46 - 50.5	4.5	Single	48	0(1)	0(1)
B14-44	34 - 42	8.0	Single	69	1.3E-06	3.9E-05
B14-44	42 - 46	4.0	Double	92	1.9E-06	5.8E-05
B14-65	24 - 30	6.0	Double	81	1.7E-06	5.2E-05
B14-65	27.5 - 33.5	6.0	Double	74	6.2E-06	1.9E-04
B14-72	37 - 42	5.0	Single	70	3.0E-06	9.0E-05

(1) Indicates no flow accepted into formation during packer testing.

3.2 Laboratory Testing

The following tests were performed by Soil Engineering Testing (SET) on undisturbed peat samples collected during the investigation:

- Moisture content tests were performed in accordance with ASTM D2216, "Standard Test Method for Laboratory Determination of Water (Moisture) Content of Soil and Rock by Mass."
- Atterberg Limit determinations were made in accordance with ASTM D4318, "Standard Test Methods for Liquid Limit, Plastic Limit, and Plasticity Index of Soils".
- Organic content tests were performed in accordance with ASTM D2974, "Standard Test Methods for Moisture, Ash, and Organic Matter of Peat and Other Organic Soils".
- Consolidated-Undrained triaxial compressive strength tests were performed in accordance with ASTM D4767, "Standard Test Method for Consolidated-Undrained Triaxial Compression Test for Cohesive Soils".
- Consolidation tests were performed in accordance with ASTM D2435, "Standard Test Methods for One-Dimensional Consolidation Properties of Soils Using Incremental Loading".
- Hydraulic Conductivity tests were performed in accordance with ATSM D5084, "Standard Test Methods for Measurement of Hydraulic Conductivity of Saturated Porous Material Using a Flexible Wall Permeameter".

The following tests were performed by Soil Engineering Testing (SET) on jar samples collected during the investigation:

- Moisture content tests were performed in accordance with ASTM D2216, "Standard Test Method for Laboratory Determination of Water (Moisture) Content of Soil and Rock by Mass".
- Atterberg Limit determinations were made in accordance with ASTM D4318, "Standard Test Methods for Liquid Limit, Plastic Limit, and Plasticity Index of Soils".
- Sieve and hydrometer analysis were performed in accordance with ASTM D422, "Standard Test Method for Particle-Size Analysis of Soils".
- Dry density tests were performed in accordance with ASTM D7263, "Standard Test Methods for Laboratory Determination of Density (Unit Weight) of Soil Specimens".
- Direct shear testing was performed in accordance with ASTM D3080, "Standard Test Method for Direct Shear Test of Soils Under Consolidated Drained Conditions".
- Standard Proctor Density determinations were in accordance with ASTM D698, "Standard Test Methods for Laboratory Compaction Characteristics of Soil Using Standard Effort (12,400 ft-lbf/ft^3 (600 kN-m/m^3))".
- Consolidation tests were performed in accordance with ASTM D2435, "Standard Test Methods for One-Dimensional Consolidation Properties of Soils Using Incremental Loading".

All laboratory test results are provided in Exhibit F.

4.0 CPT Work

The CPT work, conducted in April of 2014, consisted of 14 CPT soundings in Cells 1E and 2E. Porewater pressure dissipation testing (PPD) was also performed in 11 of the 14 CPT locations. The CPT investigation was performed by Twin Ports Testing Inc. (TPT) of Superior, Wisconsin. CPT soundings were performed to evaluate the strength, compressibility, stiffness, and density characteristics of the tailings impounded in the FTB and to fill stratigraphy gaps along three previously identified cross-sections analyzed for slope stability of the proposed FTB. Large Figure 7 shows the CPT investigation locations. A summary of the CPT investigation is provided in Table 4-1.

Table 4-1 CPT Investigation Summary

CPT Sounding	Previous Sounding	Section	Cell	Total Depth [ft]	Number of PPD Tests Performed
CPT14-04	07-04B	F	Cell 2E	47.5	0
CPT14-05	07-05	F	Cell 2E	100.8	2
CPT14-06	07-06	F	Cell 2E	71	2
CPT14-17	07-27	F	Cell 2E	72.8	2
CPT14-18	-	F	Cell 2E	76.4	2
CPT14-20	F-2	F	Cell 2E	107.4	2
CPT14-21	F-3	F	Cell 2E	51.5	0
CPT14-22	b/w 07-05 and 07-06	F	Cell 2E	82.7	4
CPT14-07	07-07C	G	Cell 2E	54.8	1
CPT14-08	07-08	G	Cell 2E	71.7	2
CPT14-09	07-09	G	Cell 2E	32.2	1
CPT14-14	07-14	N	Cell 1E	74.2	0
CPT14-15	07-15	N	Cell 1E	111.4	4
CPT14-19	-	N	Cell 1E	72.9	6

4.1 Field Work

4.1.1 Cone Penetration Test

All equipment was in accordance with ASTM D-5778. For the CPT test, a cylindrical cone is pushed vertically into the ground at a constant rate of penetration of 20 mm/sec. During penetration, measurements are made of the cone tip resistance (q_c), the side friction of the cylindrical shaft (f_s) just above the tip, and porewater pressure generated by cone penetration (u_2). The cones used in the investigation have a 15 cm^2 base area and a 60-degree apex angle. The sleeve area of the cones is 300 cm^2. The fluid used for saturation of the filter was glycerin. CPT data have been related empirically to

soil behavior types (to estimate stratigraphy) and multiple geotechnical parameters. TPT provided Barr with complete records of tip resistance, sleeve friction, pore pressure, and friction ratio of all CPT soundings. CPT logs are included in Exhibit G.

4.1.2 Pore Pressure Dissipation Testing

A total of 28 pore pressure dissipation (PPD) tests were performed in 11 of the 14 CPT soundings. The PPD test is performed by monitoring dissipation of excess porewater pressures generated as the cone advances through a soil and then stops. The results can be used to estimate hydraulic conductivity of the soil by means of empirical methods (Reference (2)) and theoretical methods through the calculation of the coefficient of consolidation (Reference (3)). The PPD plots are included in Exhibit H.

5.0 Results

Sections 2.0 through 4.0 describe the field and laboratory investigation procedures. Section 5.1 presents the results of the FTB Containment System investigation (Rotasonic and SPT work), and Section 5.2 presents the results of the Cell 1E/2E investigation.

5.1 FTB Containment System Investigation

The results of the Rotasonic and SPT soil borings (Exhibit A and Exhibit D) and laboratory tests (Exhibit F) were compiled to obtain an understanding of the lithology, stratigraphy, and water levels along the FTB Containment System alignment. A stratigraphy profile along the FTB Containment System alignment is shown in Large Figure 8.

5.1.1 Unconsolidated Deposits

The surficial deposits generally consist of Rainy Lobe Till (glacial till), which functions as the surficial aquifer, glacial outwash deposits, and peat. LTVSMC tailings were also encountered. The surficial deposits along the northern and western toes of the Tailings Basin include the following layers, from the ground surface down:

- peat; 0 to 20 feet thick, dark brown, saturated, sapric, with low blow counts
- tailings; 0 to 17 feet thick, fine- to coarse-grained, with low blow counts
- silty sand; 0 to 6 of feet thick, fine- to coarse-grained, with variable blow counts
- glacial till (Rainy Lobe Reference (4)); 5 to 36.5 feet thick, silty sand, brown to gray in color, with weathered granite fragments throughout, with cobbles and boulders interspersed and varied in size from <1 foot to approximately 4 feet in diameter

The overburden soils tend to be non-cohesive silty to gravelly sands with minimal amounts of clay. The material appears to be suitable for mass earthwork and grading projects. However, peat is considered unsatisfactory as a foundation for the FTB Containment System and should be removed if encountered areas along the alignment.

5.1.1.1 Topsoil

Due to the varying topography along the toe of the FTB, the topsoil was generally 0 to 30 inches thick, with little to no topsoil in high ground areas and up to 30 inches in lowland areas. Where present, the topsoil generally consisted of a root zone with varying amounts of organics, roots, and gravel. Localized zones of thicker topsoil should be expected, particularly in lowland locations.

5.1.1.2 Peat

The peat encountered in the subsurface exploration performed along the FTB Containment System alignment was characterized as sapric with wood and root inclusions. Sapric peat is highly decomposed with low fiber content and a massive or solid appearance. Occasional layers of gray silt (possibly tailings)

were usually observed directly overlying the peat. At some locations the peat overlies organic silt and clay. The thickness of the peat ranged from approximately 0.3 feet up to 20 feet. The thickest areas of peat were located on the north side of the Tailings Basin directly north of Cell 2E; however several locations on the northwest side were not accessible due to open water so the extent of the peat in this region is uncertain. Undisturbed samples were collected and SSPTs conducted in the peat ranged from 0 to 4 blows per foot. These results indicate that the peat has a very soft to soft consistency.

5.1.1.3 Organic Silt

Organic silt was encountered in five (5) of the SPT and Rotasonic boring locations. At three (3) of the locations it was observed below a layer of peat and ranged in thickness of 1 to 5 feet. The organic silt was saturated with average blow counts of 1 blow per foot indicating it has a very soft consistency. Higher blow counts were observed but are contributed to frozen conditions.

5.1.1.4 Tailings

Tailings were encountered mainly on the west side of the site at SPT location B14-36 and B14-44. It is possible that the rotasonic investigation encountered tailings as well, but materials were not classified as such. The tailings ranged from thickness of 7 to 17 feet with blow counts ranging from 2 to 38 blows per foot. Blow counts of 6 to 22 were reported from the B14-36 location which was offset from the cutoff wall alignment due to wetland access issues.

5.1.1.5 Glacial Till

Glacial Till comprised most of the soil on site. The till was identified as the Rainy Lobe Till and was classified as silty sand (SM) or silty gravel (GM) with the gravel consisting of weathered granite fragments. The silty sand was almost always encountered above the silty gravel and the gravel content consistently increased with depth. The till was reported as moist to wet and contained various amounts of sand and clay. Till was encountered from 0 to 20 feet with a thickness ranging from 5 to 36.5 feet. The till resulted in blow counts ranging from 4 to 50 blows for 2 inches indicating the native soil is a very loose to very dense relative density. The silty sand resulted in blow counts of 4 to 50 blows for 3 inches with an average of 40 blows per foot. The silty gravel resulted in blow counts of 11 to 50 blows for 2 inches with an average of 72 blows per foot.

5.1.1.6 Cobbles and Boulders

Cobbles and boulders were frequently encountered on the surface and within the till at various depths. The largest boulder encountered during the investigation was 4 feet in diameter. All boulders were composed of granite with coloring and quality similar to the underlying bedrock.

5.1.2 Bedrock

Along the FTB Containment System alignment, the depth to bedrock ranges from 2 to 47 feet with an average depth of approximately 20 feet, as reported in the site boring logs (Exhibit A and Exhibit D) and Table 2-1. There were individual locations that reported bedrock at greater depths, such as B14-44 (36.5 feet), B14-69 (34 feet), and B14-76 (27 feet) indicating that the bedrock surface undulates across the site.

Bedrock was the deepest on the northwest side of the Tailings Basin, an area which is believed to be one of the few areas in the region with significant quantities of outwash (Reference (5)). On the northwest side of the Tailings Basin the average bedrock depth is 35 feet based on the 2014 Investigation.

The bedrock encountered during the investigation was granite mottled red, white, and black. Occasionally a zone of weathered bedrock ranging in thickness of one to nine feet was encountered above competent bedrock. Bedrock fractures were generally in-filled, with hydraulic conductivity of bedrock fracture zones two orders of magnitude less than hydraulic conductivity of overlying glacial till. The SPT borings indicated that the bedrock is strong to very strong with zones that appear to previously have been fractured. Fractures were present in most of the cored bedrock from the site and the rock cores were considered to be slightly to moderately fractured. Bedrock contained horizontal fractures, vertical fractures, and fractures ranging from 45° to 65° from the horizontal. The fracture faces are slightly decomposed and fractures occasionally are in-filled with non-cohesive sediment or weathered rock. Packer testing zones containing factures had a higher average hydraulic conductivity than bedrock without fracturing. The fracturing was most prevalent in the upper 5 to 10 feet of bedrock. Rock cores were collected to confirm depth to bedrock and provide qualitative information, including Rock Quality Designation (RQD) values and fracture characteristics. The RQD values obtained during the exploration were plotted versus depth in the borehole as shown on Large Figure 6. The plot indicates that bedrock is of poor to good quality at shallow depths, and is of good to excellent quality below a depth of 40 feet.

5.1.3 Groundwater Conditions

Groundwater along the FTB Containment System alignment was usually encountered at the surface or just below. The water level depths measured during the investigations and water level readings in the ten piezometers installed during the Rotasonic investigation are summarized in Table 5-1.

Table 5-1 Water Depth Summary

Borehole	Depth to Water at time of Drilling [feet]	Depth to Water after Piezometer Installed [feet]
SPT Borings		
B14-36	0	--
B14-40	4.3	--
B14-44	2	--
B14-48	3	--
B14-52	4	--
B14-55	10	--
B14-62	12	--
B14-65	0	--
B14-69	0	--
B14-72	1	--
B14-76	0	--
B14-80	7	--
Rotasonic Borings		
R14-02	--	--
R14-04	6	1.1
R14-05	4	--
R14-06	11	6.9
R14-07	3	--
R14-08	10	0.6
R14-09	5	--
R14-10	--	--
R14-10A	5	--
R14-11	4	--
R14-12	2	-0.3[(1)]
R14-13	5	1.9
R14-15	20[(2)]	6.8
R14-16	16	16.8

Borehole	Depth to Water at time of Drilling [feet]	Depth to Water after Piezometer Installed [feet]
R14-20	27(2)	--
R14-24	--	--
R14-25	--	--
R14-26	4	1.6
R14-27	10	1.7
R14-28	6	4.3
R14-29	5	--
R14-30	10	--

(1) Negative value indicates water level above ground surface.
(2) Reported depth does not appear to accurately represent groundwater depths.

Groundwater levels range from a depth of 0 to 20 feet below ground surface. Piezometer water level readings were all shallower than those reported during the Rotasonic investigation (except for R14-16) indicating a water table stabilizing slightly below ground surface. At one piezometer location, R14-12, the groundwater stabilized 0.3 feet above ground surface. During the Rotasonic investigation, artesian flow was encountered in R14-20 with a head of 3 feet above ground surface. During the SPT work, localized artesian flow was encountered at B14-69, where a head of up to 1 foot above ground surface was observed. In general, water levels are relatively shallow along the proposed FTB Containment System alignment and should be a factor in the design and construction of the cutoff wall. It was also noted that even during cold winter months, there was still open water that did not freeze due to seepage at some locations, particularly along the northwest corner of the Tailings Basin.

5.1.4 Slug Testing

Slug testing data, collected during the Rotasonic work in piezometers installed in glacial till, was analyzed using methods appropriate for an unconfined aquifer. The data was processed by normalizing and plotting the head versus time. Out of the six output plots generated from the three tests performed at each location, the two data outputs that were considered to have the least amount of noise and that would provide the widest range in permeability were selected for analysis. The selected outputs were analyzed using the Hvorslev method or the KGS model. The KGS model, which usually resulted in the best-fit for the data, was used to analyze tests performed in partially penetrating wells. The Hvorslev model was used to analyze R14-04 and R14-06 to meet the requirements of the translation method, using a straight-line to account for significant storage effects.

The slug tests performed in the standpipe piezometers located in the glacial till showed hydraulic conductivity ranging from $1.5x10^{-3}$ ft/s ($4.6x10^{-2}$ cm/s) to $1.7x10^{-6}$ ft/s ($5.2x10^{-5}$ cm/s) with a geometric mean of $5.1x10^{-5}$ ft/s ($1.5x10^{-3}$ cm/s) based on the KGS and Hvorslev models. These values for the glacial till were considered to be the best representation of in-situ conditions, as the results showed the data had

not been impacted by insufficient development and the analyses used a fully transient solution for overdamped slug tests that accounts for elastic storage in the unconfined aquifer. The slug testing results for horizontal flow from the 2007 and 2014 investigations are plotted on Large Figure 9. The slug testing results including normalized plots and a summary table of hydraulic conductivities is provided in Exhibit C.

5.1.5 Packer Testing

Packer testing readings and analyses were performed by Barr personnel in accordance with Reference (1). Data were plotted as flow rate versus pressure for each pressure step in order to assess the test results. The resulting curves indicated that the bedrock packer testing exhibited:

- ideal results where flow is laminar
- tight fractures
- variable permeability
- increase in permeability with increased pressure at some test locations, indicating that fracture fill material was forced out of fracture due to test pressure
- decrease in permeability with increased pressure at some test locations, indicating that fracture fill material further blocked fractures due to test pressure

The packer results were analyzed to determine the relative potential for groundwater flow through bedrock fractures. The selected permeability from each test was based on the lowest permeability values from the first three pressure increments for each test location. This is a conservative value most likely to represent in-situ, or laminar flow through a porous media, for steady-state conditions. A total of ten (10) packer tests were performed in five (5) of the 22 SPT borings ranging from a depth of 14 to 50.5 feet. The testing intervals were 4.5 to 8 feet in length. There does not appear to be a relationship between packer depth and hydraulic conductivity or RQD. Hydraulic conductivity results were fairly consistent across the site with slightly lower hydraulic conductivity observed in B14-36 and B-55 located on the west side of the site. At three locations the formation did not take any water. This very low hydraulic conductivity indicates that the tested bedrock zone is unfractured or has infilled fractures. The prevalence of fractures often decreased with increasing core depth, so it is reasonable to expect that bedrock hydraulic conductivity may also decrease with depth.

From the packer test results the geometric mean hydraulic conductivity of the bedrock, excluding the zero inflow locations, is 1.9×10^{-6} ft/s (5.8×10^{-5} cm/s), a value that is low and typical of poor-draining soils and impervious sections of earth dams and dikes (Reference (6)). For reference, a hydraulic conductivity value of 3.3×10^{-9} ft/s (1.0×10^{-7} cm/s) is considered practically impervious. The geometric mean hydraulic conductivity of the bedrock was also calculated including the zero inflow locations, by assuming that the hydraulic conductivity at those locations is equal to the lowest measured value (B14-36 from 20.5 to 26.5). Including the zero inflow locations, the geometric mean hydraulic conductivity of the bedrock is 6.3×10^{-7} ft/s (1.9×10^{-5} cm/s); a value that is a representative measurement of potential flow through bedrock joints or fractures.

5.1.6 General Soil Laboratory Testing

All laboratory test results are included in Exhibit F.

5.1.6.1 Moisture Content

A total of 23 moisture content tests were conducted on soil samples collected from the soil borings performed as part of the geotechnical investigation – 18 on till samples and 5 on peat samples. The soils tested included silty sand with gravel, silt, organic silt, and peat. The peat exhibited a moisture content ranging from 413% to 616%, with an average of 512%, indicating the peat was in a saturated condition and has a very high liquid limit. The silty soils typically exhibited moisture contents ranging from 7% to 19% with an average of 12%, indicating the sand was generally in a moist to wet condition. The silt exhibited moisture contents ranging from 10% to 25%, indicating the sand was generally in a moist to wet condition. The organic silt exhibited a moisture content of 73%. Moisture content test results are summarized in Exhibit F.

5.1.6.2 Organic Content

A total of five (5) organic content tests were conducted on undisturbed peat samples. The organic content ranged from 76% to 84%, with an average of 80%. The organic content test results are summarized in Exhibit F.

5.1.6.3 Atterberg Limits

Atterberg limits testing was performed on selected samples and used to classify the material encountered in the soil borings. A total of 23 Atterberg limits tests were conducted on selected samples from the borings. The majority of samples tested at the site were classified as silty sand with gravel (SM) and were classified as non-plastic. Several silt samples were tested having liquid limits ranging from 13% to 24% and plastic limits ranging from 12% to 18%. Plasticity indices varied between 2% and 7%. Atterberg limits tests on organic silt indicate a liquid limit of 68% with a plastic limit of 46% and plasticity indices of 22%. Five samples were tested on peat having liquid limits ranging from 411% to 612% (approximately the same values as the moisture content) and plastic limits ranging from 198% to 536%. This results in plasticity indices varying between 17% and 396%. Atterberg limits test results are plotted in Large Figure 10.

5.1.6.4 Grain Size Analysis

Grain size analyses were performed on 23 soil samples collected at various depths from the soil borings. Based on the results of the grain size analyses, the samples were classified as silty sand with gravel (SM), sandy silt (ML), and clayey sand (SC). The percent fines (percent by weight passing the number 200 sieve) ranged from approximately 7% to 72% in the silty sand soil samples and from 20% to 95% in silt and organic/clay silt soils. Gradation test results are plotted in Large Figure 11. In general, most of the soils on site can be classified at silty sand (SM) with weathered granite. Fine-grained soils were observed to be concentrated on the northwest side of the Tailings Basin in R14-12, B14-52, and R14-13 which also happens to be the locations that encountered the deepest bedrock.

5.1.6.5 Dry Unit Weight Testing

Dry unit weight values were reported for two (2) peat samples and three till samples. The dry unit weight of peat ranged from 10 pound per cubic foot (pcf) to 12.5 pcf with an average of 11 pcf. The dry unit weight of till ranged from 122 pcf to 125 pcf with an average of 124 pcf. Dry unit weight results are provided in Exhibit F.

5.1.6.6 Standard Proctor Density Testing

One (1) laboratory compaction test was conducted on a remolded sample comprised of disturbed glacial till samples collected from across the site. Standard Proctor density testing indicated a soil maximum dry density of 132 pcf, with a corresponding optimum moisture content of 7.6%. The results of the compaction test can be found in Exhibit F.

5.1.6.7 Shear Strength

Undrained Shear Strength of Peat

A total of five (5) laboratory consolidated-undrained (CU) triaxial compression tests were performed on selected 3-inch diameter undisturbed samples of peat collected during the geotechnical investigation. The undrained shear strength values from the tests ranged from 594 to 3300 psf. The triaxial tests performed in 2014 were plotted with previous triaxial results and are displayed on Large Figure 12. The yield undrained shear strength ratio of the peat samples collected in 2014 resulted in a 33rd percentile value of 0.27 and an average value of 0.28, above the 33rd percentile design value of 0.23 for virgin and compressed peat.

Drained Friction Angle of Peat

A total of 5 laboratory consolidated-undrained (CU) triaxial compression tests were performed on selected 3-inch diameter undisturbed samples of peat collected during the geotechnical investigation. Drained strength values from the tests resulted in a drained cohesion of 637 psf and a drained friction angle of 30 degrees. The triaxial test results performed in 2014 were plotted with previous triaxial and direct shear results and are displayed on Large Figure 13. The 2014 investigation results indicate that the drained friction angle for the non-linear failure envelope design value of 27 degrees for peat is a reasonable value.

Drained Friction Angle of Glacial Till

Three remolded direct shear tests were performed on samples of silty sand and silty gravel (glacial till) encountered during the investigation to better understand the friction angle of the material through laboratory testing. The results of the testing indicated that the soil has an internal friction angle ranging from approximately 38 to 47 degrees with a 33rd percentile value of 43 degrees. These values are above the design value for glacial till of 37 degrees. The direct shear test results are plotted with a previously performed test on Large Figure 14.

5.1.6.8 Hydraulic Conductivity Testing

The results of the hydraulic conductivity tests can be found in Exhibit F.

Hydraulic Conductivity of Peat

Hydraulic conductivity testing was performed in general accordance with the falling head method (ASTM D5084) on thin-wall samples of peat collected from the borings performed along the cutoff wall alignment. The results indicate that virgin peat has a vertical permeability ranging from $3.5x10^{-8}$ ft/s ($1.06x10^{-6}$ cm/s) to $7.0x10^{-8}$ ft/s ($2.12x10^{-6}$ cm/s) with a geometric average value of $4.95x10^{-8}$ ft/s ($1.50x10^{-6}$ cm/s).

Hydraulic Conductivity of Glacial Till

Hydraulic conductivity testing was also performed on remolded samples of glacial till soils collected in the borings. Permeability values were recorded at various pressure levels during consolidation testing performed on three (3) remolded glacial till samples. The results indicate that the till on-site has a vertical permeability ranging from $1.3x10^{-5}$ to $1.8x10^{-7}$ ft/s ($4.1x10^{-4}$ to $5.5x10^{-6}$ cm/s), with a geometric mean of $2.5x10^{-6}$ ft/s ($7.6x10^{-5}$ cm/s). The vertical hydraulic conductivity for glacial till is plotted with the horizontal flow from the 2007 and 2014 slug testing investigations on Large Figure 9.

5.1.6.9 Consolidation Testing

Laboratory consolidation testing was performed on three (3) samples of remolded glacial till obtained from the SPT soil borings. The results of the laboratory testing are summarized in Table 5-2.

Table 5-2 Consolidation Data Summary

Borehole	Depth (feet)	Soil Classification	C_c	C_r	e_o	P_c (tsf)
B14-40	3.5 – 11.5	SM	0.06	0.01	0.327	8.5
B14-62	2.5 – 17.0	SM	0.09	0.01	0.325	10.0
B14-65	5.0 – 7.0	PEAT	3.80	0.65	8.801	0.20
B14-69	2.5 – 4.5	PEAT	2.75	0.45	7.275	0.21
B14-76	10.0 – 25.0	SM	0.03	0.01	0.370	10.0

The results of the laboratory consolidation testing indicate that the glacial till (SM) soils are very slightly to slightly compressible due to the low compression index value (C_c). The consolidation testing on the peat samples indicate that this material is highly to very highly compressible.

5.2 Cell 1E/2E Investigation

Results of the CPT investigation (Exhibit G and Exhibit H) have been related empirically to soil behavior types (to estimate stratigraphy) and multiple geotechnical parameters. Graphs of the CPT results with depth, including interpreted material classification, are presented in Exhibit G.

5.2.1 CPT Data Interpretation

The following describes the procedures used to interpret the CPT data and the stratigraphy inferred from the CPT soil behavior type. The CPT data interpretation was performed using an in-house program designed by Barr specifically for use on CPT projects. The in-house program has been cross checked with CPTINT Version 5.2 for quality assurance and has been found to be compliant.

Cone Penetration Testing with porewater pressure measurement (CPTu) was performed in the Tailings Basin in 1996, 2005, and 2007. Zones of materials were identified by visual observations made during SPT sampling and logging and by relating measured CPT tip and sleeve resistance to density and soil behavior and analyzing them against the corresponding soil boring data. Data from zones where the material type was verified by visual observation were isolated to determine the shear strength envelopes for different material types.

The field cone penetration resistance measured at the tip is q_c for fine-grained soils, which may also be converted to a total cone resistance, q_t, by:

$$q_t = q_c + (1 - a)u_2 \qquad \textbf{Equation F1}$$

Where:

a = unequal end area ratio of the cone (a = 0.859)
u_2 = porewater pressure measured between the tip and the friction sleeve

5.2.2 Stratigraphy and Material Properties

Results of the 2014 CPT investigation were compared to CPT soundings previously performed in 2007 where applicable. Exhibit G shows the results of the investigation including plots of tip resistance, sleeve friction, and pore pressure readings with dissipation results. The comparison of tip resistance indicates that there has been little to no strength increase of the tailings in Cell 1E and 2E since 2007. Where tip resistance (q_t) increase was observed, it occurred in the coarse tailings regions. Slimes and fine tailings zones occasionally reported a tip resistance increase of up to 20 tsf in soundings located beneath or close to the existing coarse tailings dam and beach. Soundings performed towards the center of the basins generally observed no increase in tip resistance. The 2014 CPT data were also used to confirm stratigraphy in the basins and fill data gaps.

5.2.3 Pore Pressure Dissipation Results

CPT PPD tests were used to estimate the water level at each sounding location. Porewater pressures recorded during the soundings were analyzed with dissipation data and water levels were interpreted. These water levels are shown on the plots in Exhibit G and were used to verify seepage parameters used in the FTB modeling.

Results show that water levels in Cell 2E have decreased approximately 5 feet since 2007 and water levels in Cell 1E have risen approximately 25 feet since 2007. The Cell 1E pond level has risen because seepage

from the Tailings Basin's southern dam is being pumped into Cell 1E. The PPD curves are provided in Exhibit H. PPD tests were performed at depths ranging from 20.5 to 85 feet.

Hydraulic conductivity can also be interpreted from PPD results. These calculations were not performed on the data from the 2014 PPD tests, although data provided in Exhibit H could be used for that purpose in future analyses.

6.0 Limitations of Analysis

The analysis and conclusions provided are based on the results of field work from recent investigations. Using generally accepted engineering methods and practices, the investigations performed have made every reasonable effort to characterize the site. However, the likelihood that conditions may vary from any specific location tested is still possible, and careful attention to soil conditions should be undertaken during the time of construction by qualified personnel.

7.0 References

1. **U. S. Department of the Interior.** Procedure for Constant Head Hydraulic Conductivity Tests in Single Drill Holes, USBR 7310-89. 3rd *Earth Manual, Part 2, A Water Resources Technical Publication.* Denver, Colorado : Bureau of Reclamation, 1990.

2. **Robertson, P. K., Woeller, D. J. and Finn, W. D. L.** Seismic cone penetration test for evaluating liquefaction potential under cyclic loading. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal.* 1992, Vol. 29, 4, pp. 686-695.

3. *Analysis of the piezocone in clay. Proceedings International Symposium on Penetration Testing.* **Houlsby, G. T. and Teh, C. I.** Rotterdam, Netherlands : s.n., 1988. Vol. 1, pp. 777-783.

4. **Jennings, C. E. and Reynolds, W. K.** M-164 Surficial geology of the Mesabi Iron Range, Minnesota. s.l. : Minnesota Geological Survey. Retrieved from the University of Minnesota Digital Conservancy, http://purl.umn.edu/58160, 2005.

5. **Olcott, P. G. and Siegel, D. I.** Physiography and Surficial Geology of the Copper-Nickel Study Region, Northeastern Minnesota: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Open-File Report 78-51. 1978.

6. **Holtz, Robert, William D. Kovacs, Thomas C Sheehan.** An Introduction To Geotechnical Engineering. 2nd Edition s.l. : Prentice Hall, October 2010.

Large Figures



Project Areas
Mesabi Iron Range
National Forest Boundary
County Boundaries
City Boundaries
Major River
Lakes

0 3.75 7.5 15
Miles

Large Figure 1
PROJECT LOCATION
NorthMet Project
Poly Met Mining Inc.
Hoyt Lakes, Minnesota

Barr Footer ArcGIS 10.2.1, 2014-07-29 15:08 File: I:\Client\PolyMet_Mining\Work_Orders\Mine_Engineering_Assistance\Maps\Reports\Geotechnical_Investigation\Figure 1 Project Location.mxd User: arn2

Barr Footer: ArcGIS 10.2, 2014-08-19 22:12 File: I:\Client\PolyMet Mining\Work_Orders\Mine_Engineering_Assistance\Maps\Reports\Geotechnical_Investigation\Data_Package\Volume1\Version5\Figure 2 2014 Geotechnical Investigation Locations.mxd User: amn2

- Rotasonic Location
- Rotasonic Location with a Piezometer
- Boring Locations
- Boring Locations with Packer
- CPT Locations
- Wetlands

0 1,500 3,000
Feet

Large Figure 2
2014 GEOTECHNICAL
INVESTIGATION LOCATIONS
NorthMet Project
PolyMet Mining Inc.
Hoyt Lakes, Minnesota



Barr Footer: ArcGIS 10.2.2, 2014-11-05 15:59 File: I:\Client\PolyMet_Mining\Work_Orders\Mine_Engineering_Assistance\Maps\Reports\SPT_Investigation\Figure 3 Rotasonic Investigation Locations.mxd User: am2

Rotasonic Location

Rotasonic Location with a Piezometer

Wetlands

0 1,500 3,000

Feet

Large Figure 3
ROTASONIC INVESTIGATION LOCATIONS
NorthMet Project
PolyMet Mining Inc.
Hoyt Lakes, Minnesota



Barr Footer: ArcGIS 10.2.2, 2014-11-05 15:58 File: I:\Client\PolyMet_Mining\Work_Orders\Mine_Engineering_Assistance\Maps\Reports\SPT_Investigation\Figure 4 SPT Investigation Locations.mxd User: amz2

Boring Locations

Boring Locations with Packer

Wetlands

N

0 1,500 3,000

Feet

Large Figure 4
SPT INVESTIGATION LOCATIONS
NorthMet Project
PolyMet Mining Inc.
Hoyt Lakes, Minnesota



Large Figure 5

Blow Counts Versus Depth

PolyMet Winter 2013/2014 SPT Investigation



Large Figure 6

Rock Quality Designation Versus Depth in Drillhole

PolyMet Winter 2013/2014 Geotechnical Investigation

Barr Footer: ArcGIS 10.2, 2014-08-19 22:26 File: i:\Client\PolyMet Mining\Work Orders\Mine Engineering Assistance\Maps\Reports\Geotechnical Investigation\Data Package\Volume1\Version5\Figure 6 2014 CPT Investigation Locations.mxd User: amn2

CPT Locations

Wetlands

0 1,500 3,000

Feet

Large Figure 7
2014 CPT INVESTIGATION LOCATIONS
NorthMet Project
PolyMet Mining Inc.
Hoyt Lakes, Minnesota



1 PROFILE: STATION 0+00 TO STATION 120+00

0 400 800
SCALE IN FEET



2 PROFILE: STATION 120+00 TO STATION 240+00

0 400 800
SCALE IN FEET

LEGEND:

- ORGANIC SOIL/PEAT
- GLACIAL TILL
- TAILINGS
- BOULDER
- BEDROCK
- WATER TABLE AFTER PIEZOMETER INSTALLED
- WATER TABLE DURING DRILLING

NOTES:
1. GROUND SURFACE ELEVATIONS ARE BASED ON 2010 LIDAR DATA (NAVD88) BORING ELEVATIONS SHOWN ARE BASED ON 2010 LIDAR DATA (NAVD88) ADJUSTED AFTER COLLECTION (3-11-2014 THRU 5-20-2014) STRATIGRAPHY AND WATER TABLES ARE BASED ON THE 2014 FIELD INVESTIGATION LOGS.
2. BORING LOCATION OFFSETS FROM PROPOSED CUTOFF WALL ALIGNMENT ARE SHOWN. SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS ON WALL ALIGNMENT WILL DIFFER FROM THOSE SHOWN.
3. AREAS OF COBBLES AND BOULDERS BETWEEN BORING LOCATIONS AND ADJACENT BORING LOCATIONS SHOULD BE ASSUMED TO EXIST.

VER NO	DATE	DESCRIPTION
2	06/19/14	VERSION 2

DRAWING STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING		
FOR CONSTRUCTION		
PERMIT DRAWINGS – NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION.		

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

SIGNATURE _____
PRINTED NAME _____
DATE _____ REG. NO. _____

DRAWN: BDP
CHECKED: KNA
BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0C29
SCALE: AS SHOWN

FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN SEEPAGE CAPTURE SYSTEM CUTOFF WALL PROFILE

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING COMPANY
4700 WEST 77TH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. LARGE FIGURE 8
REV A

CADD USER: Jeffrey L. Sheehan FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT-10-CU-013-1A-BORING.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2.1053 PLOT DATE: 8/26/2014 9:17 AM

INCHES 1 2



Large Figure 9

Hydraulic Conductivity Testing Results of Glacial Till

PolyMet 2013/2014 Winter Geotechnical Investigation



Large Figure 10
Atterberg Limits Results
PolyMet Winter 2013/2014 Geotechnical Investigation



Large Figure 11

Sieve and Hydrometer Results

PolyMet 2013/2014 Geotechnical Investigation



Large Figure 12

Triaxial Undrianed Shear Strength Envelope for Compressed and Virgin Peat

PolyMet 2013/2014 Winter Geotechnical Investigation



Large Figure 13

Drained Shear Strength Envelope for Compressed and Virgin Peat

PolyMet 2013/2014 Winter Geotechnical Investigation



Large Figure 14

Drained Shear Strength Envelope for Glacial Till

PolyMet 2013/2014 Winter Geotechnical Investigation

Exhibit A

Rotasonic Logs

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING R14-02

Project:	Winter 2013/2014 Rotasonic Investigation	Surface Elevation:	1566.4 ft
Job No.:	23690C29.13	Drilling Method:	Sonic
Location:	Hoyt Lakes, MN	Sampling Method:	Rotosonic Soil Core
Coordinates:	N 734,259.7 ft E 2,858,091.9 ft	Completion Depth:	11.0 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane		

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Graphic Log	Samples	Sample No.	% Recovery	SPT, N value or RQD %
		Surface Elev.: 1566.4 ft					
1565	0	TOPSOIL (OL): dark brown; moist; with roots and leaves; top 2.5 feet frozen.					
		1564.9 ft					
		SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL (SM): fine to medium grained; brown to gray at 4 feet; moist; weathered granite fragments; some clay below 5 feet; [Till].			A, B	100	
		1.5ft					
	5						
1560		1558.9 ft				100	
		GRANITE; mottled red, black, and white; [Bedrock].					
		7.5ft			C	67	
	10	1555.4 ft					
		Bottom of Boring at 11.0 feet					
		11.0ft					

STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA N in blows/ft ◎
1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0
REC%
RQD % ◆
2.0 4.0 6.0 8.0
SHEAR STRENGTH, tsf
0 2.5 5

Date Boring Started:	3/11/14 7:45 am	Water Levels (ft)	Remarks:
Date Boring Completed:	3/11/14 8:35 am	▽ At Time of Drilling	
Logged By:	BJL2	▽ Dry	
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade		
Drill Rig:	CRS-17-C		Weather: 30F, partly cloudy

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB BOREHOLE LOG REPORT BARR TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING R14-04

Project:	Winter 2013/2014 Rotasonic Investigation	Surface Elevation:	1545.4 ft
Job No.:	23690C29.13	Drilling Method:	Sonic
Location:	Hoyt Lakes, MN	Sampling Method:	Rotosonic Soil Core
Coordinates:	N 735,679.7 ft E 2,857,633.8 ft	Completion Depth:	15.0 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane		

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Graphic Log	Samples	Sample No.	% Recovery	SPT, N value or RQD %	STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA N in blows/ft ◎ / SHEAR STRENGTH, tsf	INSTRUMENTATION
		Surface Elev.: 1545.4 ft							
1545	0	PEAT (PT): dark brown; moist; sapric; woody debris; top 1.5 feet frozen.							
		1543.9 ft			A	30			
		1.5ft							
		LEAN CLAY (CL): moist; some sand; some sapric peat.							
		1539.9 ft							
1540	5	POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): medium to coarse grained; wet; with clay and gravel; [Till].			B, C	70			
		5.5ft							
		1535.9 ft							
1535	10	POORLY GRADED GRAVEL (GM): medium grained; brown; moist; some clay; [Till].			D	83			
		1533.4 ft							
		9.5ft							
		GRANITE; mottled red, black, and white; highly weathered to 13 feet; competent bedrock below; [Bedrock].				100			
	15	1530.4 ft							
		12.0ft							
		15.0ft							
		Bottom of Boring at 15.0 feet							

Date Boring Started:	3/11/14 9:30 am	Water Levels (ft)	
Date Boring Completed:	3/11/14 10:15 am	At Time of Drilling	6.0
Logged By:	BJL2	After Install	1.1
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade		
Drill Rig:	CRS-17-C		

Remarks:

Weather: 30F, partly cloudy

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB BOREHOLE LOG REPORT BARR TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING R14-05

Project:	Winter 2013/2014 Rotasonic Investigation	Surface Elevation:	1539.3 ft
Job No.:	23690C29.13	Drilling Method:	Sonic
Location:	Hoyt Lakes, MN	Sampling Method:	Rotosonic Soil Core
Coordinates:	N 736,606.1 ft E 2,857,437.1 ft	Completion Depth:	15.0 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane		

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Graphic Log	Samples	Sample No.	% Recovery	SPT, N value or RQD %
	0	Surface Elev.: 1539.3 ft					
		TOPSOIL (OL): dark brown; roots; top 1.5 feet frozen.					
		1537.8 ft					
		SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL (SM): fine to medium grained; brown; moist to wet; weathered granite fragments throughout; changes to gray at 3 feet; [Till]. 1.5ft				67	
1535	5						
		1532.3 ft					
		GRANITE; mottled red, black, and white; weathered to 8.5 feet, competent rock below; [Bedrock]. 7.0ft			A	86	
1530	10					54	
1525	15	1524.3 ft					
		Bottom of Boring at 15.0 feet 15.0ft					

STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA N in blows/ft ◎
1,0 2,0 3,0 4,0
REC%
RQD % ◆
2,0 4,0 6,0 8,0
SHEAR STRENGTH, tsf
0 2,5 5

Date Boring Started:	3/11/14 12:30 pm	Water Levels (ft)	
Date Boring Completed:	3/11/14 2:00 pm	▽ At Time of Drilling	4.0
Logged By:	BJL2	▽ Estimated	
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade		
Drill Rig:	CRS-17-C		

Remarks: A second boring was offset 8 feet southwest where bedrock was encountered at 8 feet and boring was terminated in bedrock at 13.5 feet.

Weather: 30F, partly cloudy

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB BOREHOLE LOG REPORT BARR TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING R14-06

Project:	Winter 2013/2014 Rotasonic Investigation	Surface Elevation:	1526.4 ft
Job No.:	23690C29.13	Drilling Method:	Sonic
Location:	Hoyt Lakes, MN	Sampling Method:	Rotosonic Soil Core
Coordinates:	N 737,489.5 ft E 2,857,364.4 ft	Completion Depth:	20.0 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane		

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Graphic Log	Samples	Sample No.	% Recovery	SPT, N value or RQD %	STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA N in blows/ft ◎ (10 20 30 40); REC%; RQD % ◆ (20 40 60 80); SHEAR STRENGTH, tsf (0 2.5 5)	INSTRUMENTATION
		Surface Elev.: 1526.4 ft							
1525	0	SILTY SAND (SM): fine to medium grained; brown; moist; top 1.5 feet frozen; weathered granite fragments and cobbles; [Till].			A	92			
	5								
1520		1518.9 ft Granite boulder from 6.5 to 7.5 feet. 7.5ft				80			
	10	SILTY SAND (SM): fine to medium grained; gray; moist; with weathered granite fragments; [Till].							
1515		1515.4 ft 10-15 feet: cobble clogged barrel, poor recovery. 11.0ft			B	30			
		SANDY SILT (ML): fine grained; gray; wet; with cobbles; [Till]. 12.5ft 1513.9 ft							
	15	SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL (SM): medium to coarse grained; gray; wet; [Till].			C	50			
1510		1509.4 ft 17.0ft				100			
	20	GRANITE; mottled red, black, and white; weathered granite to 17.5 feet; [Bedrock]. 1506.4 ft 20.0ft							
		Bottom of Boring at 20.0 feet							

Date Boring Started:	3/11/14 3:00 pm	Water Levels (ft)		Remarks:
Date Boring Completed:	3/11/14 4:30 pm	At Time of Drilling	11.0	
Logged By:	BJL2	After Install	6.9	
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade			
Drill Rig:	CRS-17-C			Weather: 30F, partly cloudy

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB BOREHOLE LOG REPORT BARR TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING R14-07

Project:	Winter 2013/2014 Rotasonic Investigation	Surface Elevation:	1504.9 ft
Job No.:	23690C29.13	Drilling Method:	Sonic
Location:	Hoyt Lakes, MN	Sampling Method:	Rotosonic Soil Core
Coordinates:	N 738,412.4 ft E 2,857,400.7 ft	Completion Depth:	12.0 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane		

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Graphic Log	Samples	Sample No.	% Recovery	SPT, N value or RQD %
	0	Surface Elev.: 1504.9 ft					
		PEAT (PT): dark brown; moist; sapric; wood debris; top 2 feet frozen.					
		1501.9 ft			A	80	
		SILTY SAND (SM): fine to medium grained; brown; wet; [Till]. 3.0ft					
1500	5	1499.7 ft			B	100	
		GRANITE; mottled red, black, and white; [Bedrock]. 5.3ft				50	
1495	10					75	
		1492.9 ft					
		Bottom of Boring at 12.0 feet 12.0ft					

STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA N in blows/ft ◎
1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0
REC%
RQD % ◆
2.0 4.0 6.0 8.0
SHEAR STRENGTH, tsf
0 2.5 5

Date Boring Started:	3/12/14 8:00 am	Water Levels (ft)	
Date Boring Completed:	3/12/14 10:00 am	▽ At Time of Drilling	3.0
Logged By:	BJL2		
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade		
Drill Rig:	CRS-17-C		

Remarks: A second boring was offset ~8 feet west where bedrock was encountered at 7 feet and the boring was terminated in bedrock at 11 feet.

Weather: 0 to 20F, sunny

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB BOREHOLE LOG REPORT BARR TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING R14-08

Project:	Winter 2013/2014 Rotasonic Investigation	Surface Elevation:	1502.8 ft
Job No.:	23690C29.13	Drilling Method:	Sonic
Location:	Hoyt Lakes, MN	Sampling Method:	Rotosonic Soil Core
Coordinates:	N 739,287.6 ft E 2,857,372.0 ft	Completion Depth:	24.0 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane		

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		Graphic Log	Samples	Sample No.	% Recovery	SPT, N value or RQD %	STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA N in blows/ft ◎ (10 20 30 40); REC%; RQD % ◆ (20 40 60 80); SHEAR STRENGTH, tsf (0 2.5 5)	INSTRUMENTATION
		Surface Elev.: 1502.8 ft								
	0	PEAT (PT): dark brown; moist; top 2 feet frozen.								
1500		1498.8 ft				A	40			
	5	SILTY SAND (SM): fine to medium grained; brown; moist; trace gravel; [Till].	4.0ft							
1495						B	80			
	10	1492.8 ft								
		POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND GRAVEL (SP-SM): medium to coarse grained; brown; wet; with cobbles from 18 to 21 feet; [Till].	10.0ft							
1490						C	23			
	15									
1485							100			
	20	1481.8 ft					33			
		GRANITE; mottled red, black, and white; [Bedrock].	21.0ft				83			
1480		1478.8 ft								
		Bottom of Boring at 24.0 feet	24.0ft							

Date Boring Started:	3/12/14 11:00 am	Water Levels (ft)	
Date Boring Completed:	3/12/14 12:45 pm	At Time of Drilling	10.0
Logged By:	BJL2	After Install	0.6
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade		
Drill Rig:	CRS-17-C		

Remarks:

Weather: 0 to 20F, sunny

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB BOREHOLE LOG REPORT BARR TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING R14-09

Project:	Winter 2013/2014 Rotasonic Investigation	Surface Elevation:	1493.3 ft
Job No.:	23690C29.13	Drilling Method:	Sonic
Location:	Hoyt Lakes, MN	Sampling Method:	Rotosonic Soil Core
Coordinates:	N 740,225.4 ft E 2,857,373.8 ft	Completion Depth:	19.0 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane		

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Graphic Log	Samples	Sample No.	% Recovery	SPT, N value or RQD %
	0	Surface Elev.: 1493.3 ft					
1490	0	SILTY SAND (SM): medium grained; brown; moist to wet; trace gravel; with cobbles below 5 feet; top 2 feet frozen; [Till].			A	100	
	5	5-10 feet: poor recovery likely due to cobble in shoe.				30	
1485							
	10					50	
		1480.3 ft					
1480		GRANITE; mottled red, black, and white; weathered granite from 13 to 15 feet; [Bedrock]. 13.0ft				67	
	15						
1475		1474.3 ft					
		Bottom of Boring at 19.0 feet 19.0ft					

STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA N in blows/ft ◎
1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0
REC%
RQD % ◆
2.0 4.0 6.0 8.0
SHEAR STRENGTH, tsf
0 2.5 5

Date Boring Started:	3/12/14 2:30 pm	Water Levels (ft)	
Date Boring Completed:	3/12/14 4:00 pm	At Time of Drilling	5.0
Logged By:	BJL2		
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade		
Drill Rig:	CRS-17-C		

Remarks:

Weather: 10 to 20F, sunny

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB BOREHOLE LOG REPORT BARR TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING R14-10

Project:	Winter 2013/2014 Rotasonic Investigation	Surface Elevation:	1492.8 ft
Job No.:	23690C29.13	Drilling Method:	Sonic
Location:	Hoyt Lakes, MN	Sampling Method:	Rotosonic Soil Core
Coordinates:	N 741,099.5 ft E 2,857,371.8 ft	Completion Depth:	10.0 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane		

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Graphic Log	Samples	Sample No.	% Recovery	SPT, N value or RQD %
	0	Surface Elev.: 1492.8 ft					
		TOPSOIL (OL): dark brown; moist; roots; top 2 feet frozen. 0.3ft					
		1492.6 ft					
1490		POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): fine to medium grained; brown; moist; with weathered granite fragments; organic material; [Till]. 2.0ft				100	
	5	1490.8 ft					
		GRANITE; mottled red, black, and white; [Bedrock].					
1485						83	
		1482.8 ft					
	10	Bottom of Boring at 10.0 feet 10.0ft					

STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA N in blows/ft ◎
10 20 30 40
REC%
RQD % ◆
20 40 60 80
SHEAR STRENGTH, tsf
0 2.5 5

Date Boring Started:	3/13/14 10:00 am	Water Levels (ft)	Remarks: A second boring was offset ~30 feet south where bedrock was encountered at 3 feet. A third boring was offset ~10 feet east of the first boring where bedrock was encountered at 1.5 feet.
Date Boring Completed:	3/13/14 11:30 am	▽ At Time of Drilling	
Logged By:	BJL2	▽ Dry	
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade		Weather: 20 to 40F, overcast
Drill Rig:	CRS-17-C		

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB BOREHOLE LOG REPORT BARR TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING R14-10A

Project:	Winter 2013/2014 Rotasonic Investigation	Surface Elevation:	1493.0 ft
Job No.:	23690C29.13	Drilling Method:	Sonic
Location:	Hoyt Lakes, MN	Sampling Method:	Rotosonic Soil Core
Coordinates:	N 741,279.3 ft E 2,857,400.1 ft	Completion Depth:	21.0 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane		

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		Graphic Log	Samples	Sample No.	% Recovery	SPT, N value or RQD %
		Surface Elev.: 1493.0 ft						
	0	TOPSOIL (OL): dark brown; moist; roots and organics; top 2 feet frozen.	0.5ft					
1490		1492.5 ft						
		POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): fine to medium grained; brown; moist; with weathered granite fragments; [Till].	2.5ft			A	100	
	5	1490.5 ft						
		BOULDER: mottled red, black, and white.	5.0ft					
		1488.0 ft				B	80	
1485		POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): medium to coarse grained; brown; wet; gray below 10 feet; with weathered granite fragments; [Till].						
	10							
							100	
1480		1479.0 ft						
	15	GRANITE; mottled red, black, and white; drilling bit wore out - no recovery from 20 to 21 feet; [Bedrock].	14.0ft				67	
1475								
	20	1472.0 ft					0	
		Bottom of Boring at 21.0 feet	21.0ft					

STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA N in blows/ft ◎
1,0 2,0 3,0 4,0
REC%
RQD % ◆
2,0 4,0 6,0 8,0
SHEAR STRENGTH, tsf
0 2,5 5

Date Boring Started:	3/18/14 11:30 am	Water Levels (ft)	
Date Boring Completed:	3/18/14 12:15 pm	At Time of Drilling	5.0
Logged By:	BJL2		
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade		
Drill Rig:	CRS-17-C		

Remarks:

Weather: 20F, overcast

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB BOREHOLE LOG REPORT BARR TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING R14-11

Project:	Winter 2013/2014 Rotasonic Investigation	Surface Elevation:	1483.1 ft
Job No.:	23690C29.13	Drilling Method:	Sonic
Location:	Hoyt Lakes, MN	Sampling Method:	Rotosonic Soil Core
Coordinates:	N 741,794.5 ft E 2,857,729.4 ft	Completion Depth:	16.0 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane		

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Graphic Log	Samples	Sample No.	% Recovery	SPT, N value or RQD %
		Surface Elev.: 1483.1 ft					
	0	TOPSOIL (OL): dark browm; moist; organics with roots; top 1.5 feet frozen. 0.3ft 1482.9 ft					
1480		SILTY SAND (SM): fine to medium grained; brown; moist; 6-inch clay layer at 1.5 feet; [Till].			A	77	
	5	1479.1 ft 4.0ft POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): fine to medium grained; brown; wet; [Till].			B	100	
1475		1474.1 ft					
	10	POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): medium to coarse grained; gray; wet; with cobbles and weathered granite fragments; [Till]. 9.0ft 1473.1 ft 10.0ft					
1470		GRANITE; mottled red, black, and white; [Bedrock].			C	83	
	15	1467.1 ft					
		Bottom of Boring at 16.0 feet 16.0ft					

STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA N in blows/ft ◎
1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0
REC%
RQD % ◆
2.0 4.0 6.0 8.0
SHEAR STRENGTH, tsf
0 2.5 5

Date Boring Started:	3/13/14 8:00 am	Water Levels (ft)	Remarks:
Date Boring Completed:	3/13/14 9:10 am	▽ At Time of Drilling 4.0	
Logged By:	BJL2		
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade		
Drill Rig:	CRS-17-C		Weather: 20 to 40F, overcast

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB BOREHOLE LOG REPORT BARR TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING R14-12

Project:	Winter 2013/2014 Rotasonic Investigation	Surface Elevation:	1480.3 ft
Job No.:	23690C29.13	Drilling Method:	Sonic
Location:	Hoyt Lakes, MN	Sampling Method:	Rotosonic Soil Core
Coordinates:	N 742,311.8 ft E 2,858,500.4 ft	Completion Depth:	35.0 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane		

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		Graphic Log	Samples	Sample No.	% Recovery	SPT, N value or RQD %	STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA N in blows/ft ◎ (10 20 30 40) REC% RQD % ◆ (20 40 60 80) SHEAR STRENGTH, tsf (0 2.5 5)	INSTRUMENTATION
		Surface Elev.: 1480.3 ft								
	0	TOPSOIL (OL): dark brown; moist; with wood and leaf debris.	0.5ft							
		1479.8 ft								
		SILT (ML): brown; moist; with sand.	2.0ft			A, B	100			
		1478.3 ft								
1475	5	POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): fine to coarse grained; gray to brown; wet; some weathered granite fragments to 13 feet; [Till].					40			
1470	10									
		1467.3 ft				C	100			
		SILTY SAND (SM): fine grained; gray; wet; [Till].	13.0ft							
		1465.3 ft								
1465	15	SILT (ML): gray; moist; with sand; little clay at 18 feet; [Till].	15.0ft							
		1462.3 ft				D	80			
		SILTY SAND (SM): fine to medium grained; gray; wet; [Till].	18.0ft							
1460	20						92			
1455	25					E	50			
		1451.3 ft					90			
		POORLY GRADED GRAVEL WITH SAND (GP): gray; wet; some cobbles with weathered granite fragments; [Till].	29.0ft							
1450	30	1449.3 ft	31.0ft							
		GRANITE; mottled red, black, and white; [Bedrock].					83			
		1445.3 ft								
	35	Bottom of Boring at 35.0 feet	35.0ft							

Date Boring Started:	3/13/14 1:45 pm	Water Levels (ft)	
Date Boring Completed:	3/13/14 3:30 pm	At Time of Drilling	2.0
Logged By:	BJL2	After Install	-0.3
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade		
Drill Rig:	CRS-17-C		

Remarks:

Weather: 20 to 40F, overcast

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB BOREHOLE LOG REPORT BARR TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING R14-13

Project:	Winter 2013/2014 Rotasonic Investigation	Surface Elevation:	1489.1 ft
Job No.:	23690C29.13	Drilling Method:	Sonic
Location:	Hoyt Lakes, MN	Sampling Method:	Rotosonic Soil Core
Coordinates:	N 742,310.5 ft E 2,859,372.0 ft	Completion Depth:	45.0 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane		

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		Graphic Log	Samples	Sample No.	% Recovery	SPT, N value or RQD %	STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA N in blows/ft ◎ (10 20 30 40); REC%; RQD % ◆ (20 40 60 80); SHEAR STRENGTH, tsf (0 2.5 5)	INSTRUMENTATION
		Surface Elev.: 1489.1 ft								
	0	TOPSOIL (OL): dark brown; moist; roots and organics; top 1.5 feet frozen.	0.3ft							
		1488.9 ft	2.5ft			A, B	80			
1485	5	POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): fine to medium grained; brown; moist; with granite fragments.								
		1486.6 ft	5.0ft							
		SILTY SAND (SM): fine to medium grained; brown; moist; some gravel, clay, and cobbles; [Till].				C	100			
1480		1484.1 ft								
	10	POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): medium to coarse grained; brown; wet; with weathered granite fragments; [Till].				D	80			
1475		1474.6 ft								
	15	SILTY SAND (SM): fine to medium grained; brown; wet; [Till].	14.5ft							
		1473.6 ft	15.5ft							
		POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): fine to medium grained; brown to gray; wet; weathered granite fragments; [Till].				E	60			
1470	20	1467.1 ft								
		SILTY SAND (SM): fine grained; gray; wet; with 3 to 6 inch silt and sand layers from 30 to 34.5 feet; [Till].	22.0ft			F	60			
1465	25									
						G	20			
1460	30									
							100			
1455		1454.6 ft								
	35	POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): medium to coarse grained; gray; wet; with cobbles and weathered granite fragments; brown below 37 feet; [Till].	34.5ft							
		1450.1 ft					100			
1450	40	GRANITE; mottled red, black, and white; [Bedrock].	39.0ft							
							50			
1445		1444.1 ft								
	45	Bottom of Boring at 45.0 feet	45.0ft							

Date Boring Started:	3/14/14 8:00 am	Water Levels (ft)		Remarks:
Date Boring Completed:	3/14/14 12:00 pm	▽ At Time of Drilling	5.0	
Logged By:	BJL2	▽ After Install	1.9	
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade			
Drill Rig:	CRS-17-C			Weather: 20 to 30F, overcast

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB BOREHOLE LOG REPORT BARR TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING R14-15

Project:	Winter 2013/2014 Rotasonic Investigation	Surface Elevation:	1494.8 ft
Job No.:	23690C29.13	Drilling Method:	Sonic
Location:	Hoyt Lakes, MN	Sampling Method:	Rotosonic Soil Core
Coordinates:	N 742,493.1 ft E 2,860,626.1 ft	Completion Depth:	35.0 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane		

Surface Elev.: 1494.8 ft

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		Sample No.	% Recovery
	0	TOPSOIL (OL): dark brown; moist; roots and sticks.	0.5ft		
		1494.3 ft		A	83
		POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): fine to medium grained; dark brown to brown; moist; with weathered granite fragments.			
1490	5	1490.3 ft	4.5ft		
		SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL (SM): medium to coarse grained; brown; moist to wet; with cobbles from 5 to 10 feet; with weathered granite fragments; [Till].		B	40
1485	10				80
1480	15				100
1475	20	Saturated zone at 20 feet.		C	80
1470	25				80
		1465.8 ft			
1465	30	POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): medium to coarse grained; brown to gray; wet; with cobbles and weathered granite fragments; [Till].	29.0ft		100
		1462.8 ft	32.0ft		
1460	35	GRANITE; mottled red, black, and white; [Bedrock].			75
		1459.8 ft	35.0ft		
		Bottom of Boring at 35.0 feet			

SPT, N value or RQD %

STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA N in blows/ft ◎
10 20 30 40
REC%
RQD % ◆
20 40 60 80
SHEAR STRENGTH, tsf
0 2.5 5

INSTRUMENTATION

Date Boring Started:	3/14/14 1:30 pm	Water Levels (ft)	
Date Boring Completed:	3/14/14 4:00 pm	▼ After Install	6.8
Logged By:	BJL2		
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade		
Drill Rig:	CRS-17-C		

Remarks:

Weather: 15 to 20F, overcast

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB BOREHOLE LOG REPORT BARR TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING R14-16

Project:	Winter 2013/2014 Rotasonic Investigation	Surface Elevation:	1507.6 ft
Job No.:	23690C29.13	Drilling Method:	Sonic
Location:	Hoyt Lakes, MN	Sampling Method:	Rotosonic Soil Core
Coordinates:	N 742,916.4 ft E 2,861,262.2 ft	Completion Depth:	30.0 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane		

Surface Elev.: 1507.6 ft

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Graphic Log	Samples	Sample No.	% Recovery	SPT, N value or RQD %
1507.6	0						
1507.1		TOPSOIL (OL): brown; moist; organics and leaf debris.					
		0.5ft					
1505		SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL (SM): fine to medium grained; brown; moist to wet; [Till].			A	100	
	5						
1500						100	
	10	Cobbles and granite fragments from 9 to 9.5 feet.					
1495						90	
	15						
1490						50	
	20						
1485					B	100	
1483.1							
	25	POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): fine to medium grained; brown; wet; [Till].				100	
		24.5ft 25.5ft					
1482.1							
1480		GRANITE; red; highly weathered; poor recovery due to drilling with water; [Bedrock].				13	
1477.6	30						
		30.0ft					

Bottom of Boring at 30.0 feet

STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA N in blows/ft ◎
10 20 30 40
REC%
RQD % ◆
20 40 60 80
SHEAR STRENGTH, tsf
0 2.5 5

INSTRUMENTATION

Date Boring Started:	3/15/14 8:30 am	Water Levels (ft)	
Date Boring Completed:	3/15/14 9:15 am	At Time of Drilling	16.0
Logged By:	BJL2	After Install	16.8
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade		
Drill Rig:	CRS-17-C		

Remarks:

Weather: 5 to 20F, overcast

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB BOREHOLE LOG REPORT BARR TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING R14-20

Project:	Winter 2013/2014 Rotasonic Investigation	Surface Elevation:	1487.3 ft
Job No.:	23690C29.13	Drilling Method:	Sonic
Location:	Hoyt Lakes, MN	Sampling Method:	Rotosonic Soil Core
Coordinates:	N 743,246.7 ft E 2,864,302.4 ft	Completion Depth:	35.0 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane		

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		Graphic Log	Samples	Sample No.	% Recovery	SPT, N value or RQD %	STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA N in blows/ft ◎ (10 20 30 40); REC%; RQD % ◆ (20 40 60 80); SHEAR STRENGTH, tsf (0 2.5 5)
	0	Surface Elev.: 1487.3 ft							
		PEAT (PT): dark brown; moist; sapric; woody debris; top 1.5 feet frozen.							
		1486.3 ft	1.0ft						
1485		SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL (SM): fine to medium grained; brown; moist; with clay and cobbles; [Till].					100		
	5								
1480						A	100		
	10								
1475							100		
	15								
		1470.3 ft					88		
1470		BOULDER: mottled red, black, and white.	17.0ft						
		1469.3 ft	18.0ft			B	100		
	20	CLAYEY SAND WITH GRAVEL (SC): fine to medium grained; brown; moist; with cobbles; boulder from 17 to 18 feet; [Till].							
1465							100		
		1463.3 ft							
	25	BOULDER: mottled red, black, and white.	24.0ft						
		1460.3 ft							
1460		POORLY GRADED GRAVEL WITH SAND (GP): brown and gray; wet; medium to coarse sand; [Till].	27.0ft			C	67		
	30	1456.3 ft							
1455		GRANITE; mottled red, black, and white; highly weathered; [Bedrock].	31.0ft				100		
		1452.3 ft							
	35	Bottom of Boring at 35.0 feet	35.0ft						

Date Boring Started:	3/15/14 12:00 pm	Water Levels (ft)	
Date Boring Completed:	3/15/14 4:00 pm	▽ At Time of Drilling	5.0
Logged By:	BJL2		
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade		
Drill Rig:	CRS-17-C		

Remarks: Artesian flow of ~10-12 gpm started at 31 feet.
Static water level was ~34-36 inches above ground surface.

Weather: 5 to 15F, sunny

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB BOREHOLE LOG REPORT BARR TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING R14-24

Project:	Winter 2013/2014 Rotasonic Investigation	Surface Elevation:	1491.1 ft
Job No.:	23690C29.13	Drilling Method:	Sonic
Location:	Hoyt Lakes, MN	Sampling Method:	Rotosonic Soil Core
Coordinates:	N 743,227.5 ft E 2,867,188.8 ft	Completion Depth:	14.0 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane		

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Graphic Log	Samples	Sample No.	% Recovery	SPT, N value or RQD %
	0	Surface Elev.: 1491.1 ft					
1490		TOPSOIL (OL): brown; moist; with roots and leaf debris.					
		1490.1 ft					
		SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL (SM): fine to medium grained; brown; moist; some cobbles and weathered granite fragments; [Till].			A, B	100	
	5	1485.6 ft					
1485		GRANITE; mottled red, black, and white; [Bedrock].				100	
						100	
	10					50	
1480							
		1477.1 ft				100	
		Bottom of Boring at 14.0 feet					

STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA N in blows/ft ◎
1,0 2,0 3,0 4,0
REC%
RQD % ◆
2,0 4,0 6,0 8,0
SHEAR STRENGTH, tsf
0 2,5 5

Date Boring Started:	3/16/14 8:30 am	Water Levels (ft)	Remarks:
Date Boring Completed:	3/16/14 11:30 am	▽ At Time of Drilling	
Logged By:	BJL2	▽ Dry	
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade		
Drill Rig:	CRS-17-C		Weather: -10 to 10F, sunny

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB BOREHOLE LOG REPORT BARR TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING R14-25

Project:	Winter 2013/2014 Rotasonic Investigation	Surface Elevation:	1497.2 ft
Job No.:	23690C29.13	Drilling Method:	Sonic
Location:	Hoyt Lakes, MN	Sampling Method:	Rotosonic Soil Core
Coordinates:	N 743,227.7 ft E 2,868,226.9 ft	Completion Depth:	10.5 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane		

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Graphic Log	Samples	Sample No.	% Recovery	SPT, N value or RQD %	STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA N in blows/ft ◎ (10 20 30 40) REC% RQD % ◆ (20 40 60 80) SHEAR STRENGTH, tsf (0 2,5 5)
		Surface Elev.: 1497.2 ft						
	0	BOULDER: mottled red, black, and white.						
1495		1496.2 ft 1.0ft			A	57		
		SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL: fine to medium grained; brown; moist; with weathered granite fragments; [Till].						
	5	1493.7 ft 3.5ft				88		
1490		GRANITE; mottled red, black, and white; [Bedrock].						
						56		
	10	1486.7 ft						
		Bottom of Boring at 10.5 feet 10.5ft						

Date Boring Started:	3/16/14 12:00 pm	Water Levels (ft)	Remarks:
Date Boring Completed:	3/16/14 1:40 pm	▽ At Time of Drilling	
Logged By:	BJL2	▽ Dry	
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade		
Drill Rig:	CRS-17-C		Weather: 10 to 20F, sunny

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB BOREHOLE LOG REPORT BARR TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING R14-26

Project:	Winter 2013/2014 Rotasonic Investigation	Surface Elevation:	1502.5 ft
Job No.:	23690C29.13	Drilling Method:	Sonic
Location:	Hoyt Lakes, MN	Sampling Method:	Rotosonic Soil Core
Coordinates:	N 743,283.6 ft E 2,869,216.9 ft	Completion Depth:	28.0 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane		

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Graphic Log	Samples	Sample No.	% Recovery	SPT, N value or RQD %	STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA N in blows/ft ◎ (10 20 30 40); REC%; RQD % ◆ (20 40 60 80); SHEAR STRENGTH, tsf (0 2.5 5)	INSTRUMENTATION
	0	Surface Elev.: 1502.5 ft							
		PEAT (PT): dark brown; frozen; wood and leaf debris; top 1 foot frozen.							
		1501.5 ft 1.0ft							
1500		SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL (SM): fine to medium grained; brown; moist to wet; some cobbles; [Till].			A	60			
	5								
1495					B	100			
	10								
1490						100			
	15								
1485						40			
	20	1481.0 ft							
1480		GRANITE; mottled red, black, and white; [Bedrock]. 21.5ft				100			
	25					100			
1475		1474.5 ft							
		Bottom of Boring at 28.0 feet 28.0ft							

Date Boring Started:	3/16/14 2:00 pm	Water Levels (ft)		Remarks:
Date Boring Completed:	3/16/14 4:00 pm	At Time of Drilling	4.0	
Logged By:	BJL2	After Install	1.6	
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade			
Drill Rig:	CRS-17-C			Weather: 15 to 20F, sunny

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB BOREHOLE LOG REPORT BARR TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING R14-27

Project:	Winter 2013/2014 Rotasonic Investigation	Surface Elevation:	1502.8 ft
Job No.:	23690C29.13	Drilling Method:	Sonic
Location:	Hoyt Lakes, MN	Sampling Method:	Rotosonic Soil Core
Coordinates:	N 743,350.1 ft E 2,870,201.4 ft	Completion Depth:	30.0 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane		

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Graphic Log	Samples	Sample No.	% Recovery	SPT, N value or RQD %	STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA N in blows/ft ◎ (10 20 30 40) / REC% / RQD % ◆ (20 40 60 80) / SHEAR STRENGTH, tsf (0 2.5 5)	INSTRUMENTATION
		Surface Elev.: 1502.8 ft							
	0	PEAT (PT): dark brown; moist; with roots and sticks; frozen. 0.5ft							
1502.3 ft									
1500		POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): fine to medium grained; brown; moist to wet; with cobbles and weathered granite fragments; [Till].			A	80			
	5								
1495						100			
	10	Weight of barrel pushed sampler from 10 to 13 feet.							
1490						30			
1487.8 ft									
	15	POORLY GRADED SAND (SP): medium grained; brown; wet; with cobbles and weathered granite fragments; [Till]. 15.0ft			B	30			
1485									
1483.3 ft									
	20	POORLY GRADED GRAVEL WITH SILT (GP): gray; wet; with cobbles and weathered granite fragments; sand layer from 23-24 feet; [Till]. 19.5ft			C	80			
1480									
1477.8 ft									
	25	POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): fine to medium grained; gray; wet; [Till]. 25.0ft				100			
1476.8 ft		26.0ft							
1475		GRANITE; mottled red, black, and white; fracture at 29.5 feet; [Bedrock].				75			
	30	1472.8 ft 30.0ft							
		Bottom of Boring at 30.0 feet							

Date Boring Started:	3/17/14 8:00 am	Water Levels (ft)		Remarks:
Date Boring Completed:	3/17/14 10:00 am	▼ At Time of Drilling	10.0	
Logged By:	BJL2	▼ After Install	1.7	
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade			
Drill Rig:	CRS-17-C			Weather: 20F, overcast, some wind

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB BOREHOLE LOG REPORT BARR TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING R14-28

Project:	Winter 2013/2014 Rotasonic Investigation	Surface Elevation:	1509.5 ft
Job No.:	23690C29.13	Drilling Method:	Sonic
Location:	Hoyt Lakes, MN	Sampling Method:	Rotosonic Soil Core
Coordinates:	N 742,651.3 ft E 2,870,734.5 ft	Completion Depth:	16.5 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane		

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Graphic Log	Samples	Sample No.	% Recovery	SPT, N value or RQD %	STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA N in blows/ft ◎ (10 20 30 40) REC% RQD % ◆ (20 40 60 80) SHEAR STRENGTH, tsf (0 2.5 5)	INSTRUMENTATION
	0	Surface Elev.: 1509.5 ft							
1505	5	POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): fine to medium grained; brown; moist; with cobbles and weathered granite fragments; top 1 foot frozen; [Till].			A	80			
						80			
1500	10	1499.0 ft				100			
		GRANITE; mottled red, black, and white; [Bedrock]. 10.5ft				100			
1495	15	1493.0 ft							
		Bottom of Boring at 16.5 feet 16.5ft							

Date Boring Started:	3/17/14 1:00 pm	Water Levels (ft)		Remarks:
Date Boring Completed:	3/17/14 2:00 pm	At Time of Drilling	6.0	
Logged By:	BJL2	After Install	4.3	
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade			
Drill Rig:	CRS-17-C			Weather: 20F, overcast

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB BOREHOLE LOG REPORT BARR TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING R14-29

Project:	Winter 2013/2014 Rotasonic Investigation	Surface Elevation:	1521.5 ft
Job No.:	23690C29.13	Drilling Method:	Sonic
Location:	Hoyt Lakes, MN	Sampling Method:	Rotosonic Soil Core
Coordinates:	N 742,084.4 ft E 2,870,713.4 ft	Completion Depth:	34.0 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane		

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Graphic Log	Samples	Sample No.	% Recovery	SPT, N value or RQD %
	0	Surface Elev.: 1521.5 ft					
1520	0	SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL (SM): fine to medium grained; brown; moist; with cobbles and weathered granite fragments; [Till].			A	40	
	5	1515.5 ft					
1515		BOULDER: mottled red, black, and white. 6.0ft				80	
		1513.5 ft					
	10	SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL (SM): fine to medium grained; brown; wet; with cobbles and weathered granite fragments; [Till]. 8.0ft					
1510						70	
	15				B	80	
1505		1502.0 ft					
	20	POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): medium to coarse grained; gray; wet; with cobbles and weathered granite fragments; [Till]. 19.5ft				100	
1500							
	25					75	
1495		1492.5 ft					
	30	GRANITE; mottled red, black, and white; fracture at 33 feet; [Bedrock]. 29.0ft				87	
1490		1487.5 ft					
		Bottom of Boring at 34.0 feet 34.0ft					

STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA N in blows/ft ◎
1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0
REC%
RQD % ◆
2.0 4.0 6.0 8.0
SHEAR STRENGTH, tsf
0 2.5 5

Date Boring Started:	3/17/14 3:00 pm	Water Levels (ft)	
Date Boring Completed:	3/17/14 5:00 pm	▽ At Time of Drilling	5.0
Logged By:	BJL2		
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade		
Drill Rig:	CRS-17-C		

Remarks:

Weather: 20F, overcast

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB BOREHOLE LOG REPORT BARR TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING R14-30

Project:	Winter 2013/2014 Rotasonic Investigation	Surface Elevation:	1529.2 ft
Job No.:	23690C29.13	Drilling Method:	Sonic
Location:	Hoyt Lakes, MN	Sampling Method:	Rotosonic Soil Core
Coordinates:	N 741,461.2 ft E 2,870,719.3 ft	Completion Depth:	29.0 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane		

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Graphic Log	Samples	Sample No.	% Recovery	SPT, N value or RQD %
	0	Surface Elev.: 1529.2 ft					
		BOULDER: gray.					
		1528.7 ft					
		0.5ft					
		POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): medium grained; reddish brown; moist; trace weathered granite fragments; [Till].			A	73	
1525	5	1524.2 ft					
		5.0ft					
		POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): medium to coarse grained; brown; moist to wet; with cobbles and weathered granite fragments increasing with depth; [Till].			B	40	
1520	10					50	
1515	15	Pushed a rock from 15 to 20 feet.				0	
1510	20					80	
1505	25	1503.2 ft				100	
		GRANITE; mottled red, black, and white; fractured bedrock; no water return during drilling; [Bedrock].				83	
		26.0ft					
		1500.2 ft					
		Bottom of Boring at 29.0 feet					
		29.0ft					

STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA N in blows/ft ◎
1,0 2,0 3,0 4,0
REC%
RQD % ◆
2,0 4,0 6,0 8,0
SHEAR STRENGTH, tsf
0 2,5 5

Date Boring Started:	3/18/14 8:15 am	Water Levels (ft)	Remarks:
Date Boring Completed:	3/18/14 10:40 am	▽ At Time of Drilling 10.0	
Logged By:	BJL2		
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade		
Drill Rig:	CRS-17-C		Weather: 20F, overcast

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB BOREHOLE LOG REPORT BARR TEMPLATE.GDT

Exhibit B

Piezometer Logs

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF PIEZOMETER R14-04

Project: Winter 2013/2014 Piezometers	Location: Hoyt Lakes, MN	Client: PolyMet
Barr Project Number: 23690C29.13	Surface Elevation: 1545.4 ft	Top of Casing Elevation: 1547.7

STRATA DESCRIPTION	DEPTH, ft	SYMBOL	PIEZOMETER DETAILS	DEPTH, ft		ELEVATION, ft
				-2.5	TPC	1547.9
				-2.3	TRC	1547.7
				0.0	GS	1545.4
PEAT (PT): dark brown; moist; sapric; woody debris; top 1.5 feet frozen. 1543.9 ft	0			2.0		1543.4
				4.0	BS	1541.4
LEAN CLAY (CL): moist; some sand; some sapric peat. 1539.9 ft	5					
				10.0	BSC	1535.4
POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): medium to coarse grained; wet; with clay and gravel; [Till]. 1535.9 ft	10			13.0	TD	1532.4
POORLY GRADED GRAVEL (GM): medium grained; brown; moist; some clay; [Till]. 1533.4 ft	15			15.0		1530.4
GRANITE; mottled red, black, and white; highly weathered to 13 feet; competent bedrock below; [Bedrock]. 1530.4 ft						
Bottom of Boring at 15.0 feet						

PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION DETAILS FOR STANDPIPE PIEZOMETER

PROTECTIVE CASING
Diameter: **6 inches**
Type: **Steel**
Interval: **-2.5 - 4.5**

RISER CASING
Diameter: **2 inches**
Type: **PVC**
Interval: **-2.3-5**

GROUT
Type: **Cement**
Interval: **0-2**

SEAL
Type: **Bentonite Chips**
Interval: **2-4**

SANDPACK
Type: **Silica Sand**
Interval: **4-13**

SCREEN
Diameter: **2 inches**
Type: **Continuous 0.01" slotted PVC**
Interval: **5-10**

Remarks:

Completion Depth:	15.0 ft
Date Started:	3/11/14
Date Completed:	3/11/14
Logged By:	BJL2
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade
Drilling Method:	Sonic
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane
Coordinates:	N 735,679.7 ft E 2,857,633.8 ft

LEGEND

FILTER PACK
BENTONITE
CEMENT GROUT
CUTTINGS / BACKFILL

TPC	TOP OF PROTECTIVE CASING
TRC	TOP OF RISER CASING
BPC	BASE PROTECTIVE CASING
GS	GROUND SURFACE
BS	BENTONITE SEAL
FP	FILTER PACK
TSC	TOP OF SCREEN
BSC	BOTTOM OF SCREEN
TD	TOTAL DEPTH

WATER LEVELS(ft)

At Time of Drilling	6.0
After Install	1.1

The stratification lines represent approximate boundaries. The transition may be gradual.

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB INSTRUMENT LOG REPORT BARR GEOTECH TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF PIEZOMETER R14-06

Project: Winter 2013/2014 Piezometers	Location: Hoyt Lakes, MN	Client: PolyMet
Barr Project Number: 23690C29.13	Surface Elevation: 1526.4 ft	Top of Casing Elevation: 1528.7

STRATA DESCRIPTION	DEPTH, ft	SYMBOL	PIEZOMETER DETAILS	DEPTH, ft		ELEVATION, ft
				-2.5	TPC	1528.9
				-2.3	TRC	1528.7
				0.0	GS	1526.4
SILTY SAND (SM): fine to medium grained; brown; moist; top 1.5 feet frozen; weathered granite fragments and cobbles; [Till]. 1518.9 ft	0			2.0		1524.4
	5					
SILTY SAND (SM): fine to medium grained; gray; moist; with weathered granite fragments; [Till]. 1515.4 ft	10			10.0	BS	1516.4
SANDY SILT (ML): fine grained; gray; wet; with cobbles; [Till]. 1513.9 ft	15			17.0	BSC	1509.4
				18.0	TD	1508.4
SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL (SM): medium to coarse grained; gray; wet; [Till]. 1509.4 ft	20			20.0		1506.4
GRANITE; mottled red, black, and white; weathered granite to 17.5 feet; [Bedrock]. 1506.4 ft						
Bottom of Boring at 20.0 feet						

PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION DETAILS FOR STANDPIPE PIEZOMETER

PROTECTIVE CASING
Diameter: **6 inches**
Type: **Steel**
Interval: **-2.5-4.5**

RISER CASING
Diameter: **2 inches**
Type: **PVC**
Interval: **-2.3-12**

GROUT
Type: **Cement**
Interval: **0-2**

SEAL
Type: **Bentonite Chips**
Interval: **2-10**

SANDPACK
Type: **Silica Sand**
Interval: **10-18**

SCREEN
Diameter: **2 inches**
Type: **Continuous 0.01" slotted PVC**
Interval: **12-17**

Remarks:

Completion Depth:	20.0 ft
Date Started:	3/11/14
Date Completed:	3/11/14
Logged By:	BJL2
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade
Drilling Method:	Sonic
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane
Coordinates:	N 737,489.5 ft E 2,857,364.4 ft

LEGEND

FILTER PACK
BENTONITE
CEMENT GROUT
CUTTINGS / BACKFILL

TPC	TOP OF PROTECTIVE CASING
TRC	TOP OF RISER CASING
BPC	BASE PROTECTIVE CASING
GS	GROUND SURFACE
BS	BENTONITE SEAL
FP	FILTER PACK
TSC	TOP OF SCREEN
BSC	BOTTOM OF SCREEN
TD	TOTAL DEPTH

WATER LEVELS(ft)

At Time of Drilling	11.0
After Install	6.9

The stratification lines represent approximate boundaries. The transition may be gradual.

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB INSTRUMENT LOG REPORT BARR GEOTECH TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF PIEZOMETER R14-08

Project: Winter 2013/2014 Piezometers	Location: Hoyt Lakes, MN	Client: PolyMet
Barr Project Number: 23690C29.13	Surface Elevation: 1502.8 ft	Top of Casing Elevation: 1505.0

STRATA DESCRIPTION	DEPTH, ft	SYMBOL	PIEZOMETER DETAILS	DEPTH, ft		ELEVATION, ft
				-2.5	TPC	1505.3
				-2.2	TRC	1505.0
				0.0	GS	1502.8
PEAT (PT): dark brown; moist; top 2 feet frozen. 1498.8 ft	0			4.0		1498.8
SILTY SAND (SM): fine to medium grained; brown; moist; trace gravel; [Till]. 1492.8 ft	5					
POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND GRAVEL (SP-SM): medium to coarse grained; brown; wet; with cobbles from 18 to 21 feet; [Till]. 1481.8 ft	10			13.0	BS	1489.8
	15					
	20			20.5	BSC	1482.3
				21.0	TD	1481.8
GRANITE; mottled red, black, and white; [Bedrock]. 1478.8 ft				24.0		1478.8
Bottom of Boring at 24.0 feet						

PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION DETAILS FOR STANDPIPE PIEZOMETER

PROTECTIVE CASING
Diameter: **6 inches**
Type: **Steel**
Interval: **-2.5-4.5**

RISER CASING
Diameter: **2 inches**
Type: **PVC**
Interval: **-2.2-15.5**

GROUT
Type: **Cement**
Interval: **0-4**

SEAL
Type: **Bentonite Chips**
Interval: **4-13**

SANDPACK
Type: **Silica Sand**
Interval: **13-21**

SCREEN
Diameter: **2 inches**
Type: **Continuous 0.01" slotted PVC**
Interval: **15.5-20.5**

Remarks:

Completion Depth:	24.0 ft
Date Started:	3/12/14
Date Completed:	3/12/14
Logged By:	BJL2
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade
Drilling Method:	Sonic
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane
Coordinates:	N 739,287.6 ft E 2,857,372.0 ft

LEGEND

FILTER PACK
BENTONITE
CEMENT GROUT
CUTTINGS / BACKFILL

TPC	TOP OF PROTECTIVE CASING
TRC	TOP OF RISER CASING
BPC	BASE PROTECTIVE CASING
GS	GROUND SURFACE
BS	BENTONITE SEAL
FP	FILTER PACK
TSC	TOP OF SCREEN
BSC	BOTTOM OF SCREEN
TD	TOTAL DEPTH

WATER LEVELS(ft)

At Time of Drilling	10.0
After Install	0.6

The stratification lines represent approximate boundaries. The transition may be gradual.

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB INSTRUMENT LOG REPORT BARR GEOTECH TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF PIEZOMETER R14-12

Project: Winter 2013/2014 Piezometers	Location: Hoyt Lakes, MN	Client: PolyMet
Barr Project Number: 23690C29.13	Surface Elevation: 1480.3 ft	Top of Casing Elevation: 1482.6



PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION DETAILS FOR STANDPIPE PIEZOMETER

PROTECTIVE CASING
Diameter: **6 inches**
Type: **Steel**
Interval: **-2.5-4.5**

RISER CASING
Diameter: **2 inches**
Type: **PVC**
Interval: **-2.3-15**

GROUT
Type: **Cement**
Interval: **0-4**

SEAL
Type: **Bentonite Chips**
Interval: **4-13.5**

SANDPACK
Type: **Silica Sand**
Interval: **13.5-21**

SCREEN
Diameter: **2 inches**
Type: **Continuous 0.01" slotted PVC**
Interval: **15-20**

Remarks:

Completion Depth:	35.0 ft
Date Started:	3/13/14
Date Completed:	3/13/14
Logged By:	BJL2
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade
Drilling Method:	Sonic
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane
Coordinates:	N 742,311.8 ft E 2,858,500.4 ft

LEGEND

FILTER PACK
BENTONITE
CEMENT GROUT
CUTTINGS / BACKFILL

TPC	TOP OF PROTECTIVE CASING
TRC	TOP OF RISER CASING
BPC	BASE PROTECTIVE CASING
GS	GROUND SURFACE
BS	BENTONITE SEAL
FP	FILTER PACK
TSC	TOP OF SCREEN
BSC	BOTTOM OF SCREEN
TD	TOTAL DEPTH

WATER LEVELS(ft)

At Time of Drilling	2.0
After Install	-0.3

The stratification lines represent approximate boundaries. The transition may be gradual.

M:\GIN\T\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB INSTRUMENT LOG REPORT BARR GEOTECH TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF PIEZOMETER R14-13

Project: Winter 2013/2014 Piezometers	Location: Hoyt Lakes, MN	Client: PolyMet
Barr Project Number: 23690C29.13	Surface Elevation: 1489.1 ft	Top of Casing Elevation: 1491.4

STRATA DESCRIPTION	DEPTH, ft	SYMBOL	PIEZOMETER DETAILS	DEPTH, ft		ELEVATION, ft
				-2.5	TPC	1491.6
				-2.3	TRC	1491.4
				0.0	GS	1489.1
TOPSOIL (OL): dark brown; moist; roots and organics; top 1.5 feet frozen. 1488.9 ft	0					
				4.0		1485.1
POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): fine to medium grained; brown; moist; with granite fragments. 1486.6 ft	5					
	10					
SILTY SAND (SM): fine to medium grained; brown; moist; some gravel, clay, and cobbles; [Till]. 1484.1 ft	15					
POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): medium to coarse grained; brown; wet; with weathered granite fragments; [Till]. 1474.6 ft	20					
	25			28.0	BS	1461.1
SILTY SAND (SM): fine to medium grained; brown; wet; [Till]. 1473.6 ft	30					
	35			35.0	BSC	1454.1
				36.0	TD	1453.1
POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): fine to medium grained; brown to gray; wet; weathered granite fragments; [Till]. 1467.1 ft	40					
				45.0		1444.1
SILTY SAND (SM): fine grained; gray; wet; with 3 to 6 inch silt and sand layers from 30 to 34.5 feet; [Till]. 1454.6 ft	45					
POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): medium to						

PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION DETAILS FOR STANDPIPE PIEZOMETER

PROTECTIVE CASING
Diameter: **6 inches**
Type: **Steel**
Interval: **-2.5-4.5**

RISER CASING
Diameter: **2 inches**
Type: **PVC**
Interval: **-2.3-30**

GROUT
Type: **Cement**
Interval: **0-4**

SEAL
Type: **Bentonite Chips**
Interval: **4-28**

SANDPACK
Type: **Silica Sand**
Interval: **28-36**

SCREEN
Diameter: **2 inches**
Type: **Continuous 0.01" slotted PVC**
Interval: **30-35**

Remarks:

Completion Depth:	45.0 ft
Date Started:	3/14/14
Date Completed:	3/14/14
Logged By:	BJL2
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade
Drilling Method:	Sonic
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane
Coordinates:	N 742,310.5 ft E 2,859,372.0 ft

LEGEND

- FILTER PACK
- BENTONITE
- CEMENT GROUT
- CUTTINGS / BACKFILL

TPC	TOP OF PROTECTIVE CASING
TRC	TOP OF RISER CASING
BPC	BASE PROTECTIVE CASING
GS	GROUND SURFACE
BS	BENTONITE SEAL
FP	FILTER PACK
TSC	TOP OF SCREEN
BSC	BOTTOM OF SCREEN
TD	TOTAL DEPTH

WATER LEVELS(ft)

At Time of Drilling	5.0
After Install	1.9

The stratification lines represent approximate boundaries. The transition may be gradual.

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB INSTRUMENT LOG REPORT BARR GEOTECH TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF PIEZOMETER R14-13

Project: Winter 2013/2014 Piezometers	Location: Hoyt Lakes, MN	Client: PolyMet
Barr Project Number: 23690C29.13	Surface Elevation: 1489.1 ft	Top of Casing Elevation: 1491.4

STRATA DESCRIPTION	DEPTH, ft	SYMBOL	PIEZOMETER DETAILS	DEPTH, ft		ELEVATION, ft
coarse grained; gray; wet; with cobbles and weathered granite fragments; brown below 37 feet; [Till]. 1450.1 ft						
GRANITE; mottled red, black, and white; [Bedrock]. 1444.1 ft						
Bottom of Boring at 45.0 feet						

PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION DETAILS FOR STANDPIPE PIEZOMETER

PROTECTIVE CASING
Diameter: **6 inches**
Type: **Steel**
Interval: **-2.5-4.5**

RISER CASING
Diameter: **2 inches**
Type: **PVC**
Interval: **-2.3-30**

GROUT
Type: **Cement**
Interval: **0-4**

SEAL
Type: **Bentonite Chips**
Interval: **4-28**

SANDPACK
Type: **Silica Sand**
Interval: **28-36**

SCREEN
Diameter: **2 inches**
Type: **Continuous 0.01" slotted PVC**
Interval: **30-35**

Remarks:

Completion Depth:	45.0 ft
Date Started:	3/14/14
Date Completed:	3/14/14
Logged By:	BJL2
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade
Drilling Method:	Sonic
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane
Coordinates:	N 742,310.5 ft E 2,859,372.0 ft

LEGEND

FILTER PACK
BENTONITE
CEMENT GROUT
CUTTINGS / BACKFILL

TPC	TOP OF PROTECTIVE CASING
TRC	TOP OF RISER CASING
BPC	BASE PROTECTIVE CASING
GS	GROUND SURFACE
BS	BENTONITE SEAL
FP	FILTER PACK
TSC	TOP OF SCREEN
BSC	BOTTOM OF SCREEN
TD	TOTAL DEPTH

WATER LEVELS(ft)

At Time of Drilling	5.0
After Install	1.9

The stratification lines represent approximate boundaries. The transition may be gradual.

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB INSTRUMENT LOG REPORT BARR GEOTECH TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF PIEZOMETER R14-15

Project: Winter 2013/2014 Piezometers	Location: Hoyt Lakes, MN	Client: PolyMet
Barr Project Number: 23690C29.13	Surface Elevation: 1494.8 ft	Top of Casing Elevation: 1497.1

STRATA DESCRIPTION	DEPTH, ft	SYMBOL	PIEZOMETER DETAILS	DEPTH, ft		ELEVATION, ft
				-2.5	TPC	1497.3
				-2.3	TRC	1497.1
				0.0	GS	1494.8
TOPSOIL (OL): dark brown; moist; roots and sticks. 1494.3 ft	0					
				4.0		1490.8
POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): fine to medium grained; dark brown to brown; moist; with weathered granite fragments. 1490.3 ft	5					
	10					
SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL (SM): medium to coarse grained; brown; moist to wet; with cobbles from 5 to 10 feet; with weathered granite fragments; [Till].	15					
	20					
				24.0	BS	1470.8
1465.8 ft	25					
POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): medium to coarse grained; brown to gray; wet; with cobbles and weathered granite fragments; [Till]. 1462.8 ft	30			31.0	BSC	1463.8
				31.5	TD	1463.3
GRANITE; mottled red, black, and white; [Bedrock]. 1459.8 ft	35			35.0		1459.8
Bottom of Boring at 35.0 feet						

PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION DETAILS FOR STANDPIPE PIEZOMETER

PROTECTIVE CASING
Diameter: **6 inches**
Type: **Steel**
Interval: **-2.5-4.5**

RISER CASING
Diameter: **2 inches**
Type: **PVC**
Interval: **-2.3-26**

GROUT
Type: **Cement**
Interval: **0-4**

SEAL
Type: **Bentonite Chips**
Interval: **4-24**

SANDPACK
Type: **Silica Sand**
Interval: **24-31.5**

SCREEN
Diameter: **2 inches**
Type: **Continuous 0.01" slotted PVC**
Interval: **26-31**

Remarks:

Completion Depth:	35.0 ft
Date Started:	3/14/14
Date Completed:	3/14/14
Logged By:	BJL2
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade
Drilling Method:	Sonic
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane
Coordinates:	N 742,493.1 ft E 2,860,626.1 ft

LEGEND

FILTER PACK
BENTONITE
CEMENT GROUT
CUTTINGS / BACKFILL

TPC	TOP OF PROTECTIVE CASING
TRC	TOP OF RISER CASING
BPC	BASE PROTECTIVE CASING
GS	GROUND SURFACE
BS	BENTONITE SEAL
FP	FILTER PACK
TSC	TOP OF SCREEN
BSC	BOTTOM OF SCREEN
TD	TOTAL DEPTH

WATER LEVELS(ft)

After Install	6.8

The stratification lines represent approximate boundaries. The transition may be gradual.

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB INSTRUMENT LOG REPORT BARR GEOTECH TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF PIEZOMETER R14-16

Project: Winter 2013/2014 Piezometers	Location: Hoyt Lakes, MN	Client: PolyMet
Barr Project Number: 23690C29.13	Surface Elevation: 1507.6 ft	Top of Casing Elevation: 1509.9

STRATA DESCRIPTION	DEPTH, ft	SYMBOL	PIEZOMETER DETAILS	DEPTH, ft		ELEVATION, ft
				-2.5	TPC	1510.1
				-2.3	TRC	1509.9
				0.0	GS	1507.6
TOPSOIL (OL): brown; moist; organics and leaf debris. 1507.1 ft	0					
				4.0		1503.6
SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL (SM): fine to medium grained; brown; moist to wet; [Till].	5					
	10					
	15					
				18.0	BS	1489.6
	20					
1483.1 ft						
POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): fine to medium grained; brown; wet; [Till]. 1482.1 ft	25			25.0	BSC	1482.6
				26.0	TD	1481.6
GRANITE; red; highly weathered; poor recovery due to drilling with water; [Bedrock]. 1477.6 ft	30			30.0		1477.6
Bottom of Boring at 30.0 feet						

PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION DETAILS FOR STANDPIPE PIEZOMETER

PROTECTIVE CASING
Diameter: **6 inches**
Type: **Steel**
Interval: **-2.5-4.5**

RISER CASING
Diameter: **2 inches**
Type: **PVC**
Interval: **-2.3-20**

GROUT
Type: **Cement**
Interval: **0-4**

SEAL
Type: **Bentonite Chips**
Interval: **4-18**

SANDPACK
Type: **Silica Sand**
Interval: **18-26**

SCREEN
Diameter: **2 inches**
Type: **Continuous 0.01" slotted PVC**
Interval: **20-25**

Remarks:

Completion Depth:	30.0 ft
Date Started:	3/15/14
Date Completed:	3/15/14
Logged By:	BJL2
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade
Drilling Method:	Sonic
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane
Coordinates:	N 742,916.4 ft E 2,861,262.2 ft

LEGEND

FILTER PACK
BENTONITE
CEMENT GROUT
CUTTINGS / BACKFILL

TPC	TOP OF PROTECTIVE CASING
TRC	TOP OF RISER CASING
BPC	BASE PROTECTIVE CASING
GS	GROUND SURFACE
BS	BENTONITE SEAL
FP	FILTER PACK
TSC	TOP OF SCREEN
BSC	BOTTOM OF SCREEN
TD	TOTAL DEPTH

WATER LEVELS(ft)

At Time of Drilling	16.0
After Install	16.8

The stratification lines represent approximate boundaries. The transition may be gradual.

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB INSTRUMENT LOG REPORT BARR GEOTECH TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF PIEZOMETER R14-26

Project: Winter 2013/2014 Piezometers	Location: Hoyt Lakes, MN	Client: PolyMet
Barr Project Number: 23690C29.13	Surface Elevation: 1502.5 ft	Top of Casing Elevation: 1504.8



PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION DETAILS FOR STANDPIPE PIEZOMETER

PROTECTIVE CASING
Diameter: **6 inches**
Type: **Steel**
Interval: **-2.5-4.5**

RISER CASING
Diameter: **2 inches**
Type: **PVC**
Interval: **-2.3-15**

GROUT
Type: **Cement**
Interval: **0-4**

SEAL
Type: **Bentonite Chips**
Interval: **4-13**

SANDPACK
Type: **Silica Sand**
Interval: **13-21**

SCREEN
Diameter: **2 inches**
Type: **Continuous 0.01" slotted PVC**
Interval: **15-20**

Remarks:

Completion Depth:	28.0 ft
Date Started:	3/16/14
Date Completed:	3/16/14
Logged By:	BJL2
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade
Drilling Method:	Sonic
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane
Coordinates:	N 743,283.6 ft E 2,869,216.9 ft

LEGEND

FILTER PACK
BENTONITE
CEMENT GROUT
CUTTINGS / BACKFILL

TPC	TOP OF PROTECTIVE CASING
TRC	TOP OF RISER CASING
BPC	BASE PROTECTIVE CASING
GS	GROUND SURFACE
BS	BENTONITE SEAL
FP	FILTER PACK
TSC	TOP OF SCREEN
BSC	BOTTOM OF SCREEN
TD	TOTAL DEPTH

WATER LEVELS(ft)

At Time of Drilling	4.0
After Install	1.6

The stratification lines represent approximate boundaries. The transition may be gradual.

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB INSTRUMENT LOG REPORT BARR GEOTECH TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF PIEZOMETER R14-27

Project: Winter 2013/2014 Piezometers	Location: Hoyt Lakes, MN	Client: PolyMet
Barr Project Number: 23690C29.13	Surface Elevation: 1502.8 ft	Top of Casing Elevation: 1505.1

STRATA DESCRIPTION	DEPTH, ft	SYMBOL	PIEZOMETER DETAILS	DEPTH, ft		ELEVATION, ft
				-2.5	TPC	1505.3
				-2.3	TRC	1505.1
				0.0	GS	1502.8
PEAT (PT): dark brown; moist; with roots and sticks; frozen. 1502.3 ft	0					
				4.0		1498.8
POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): fine to medium grained; brown; moist to wet; with cobbles and weathered granite fragments; [Till]. 1487.8 ft	5					
	10					
POORLY GRADED SAND (SP): medium grained; brown; wet; with cobbles and weathered granite fragments; [Till]. 1483.3 ft	15			18.0	BS	1484.8
	20					
POORLY GRADED GRAVEL WITH SILT (GP): gray; wet; with cobbles and weathered granite fragments; sand layer from 23-24 feet; [Till]. 1477.8 ft	25			25.0	BSC	1477.8
				26.0	TD	1476.8
POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): fine to medium grained; gray; wet; [Till]. 1476.8 ft	30			30.0		1472.8
GRANITE; mottled red, black, and white; fracture at 29.5 feet; [Bedrock]. 1472.8 ft						
Bottom of Boring at 30.0 feet						

PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION DETAILS FOR STANDPIPE PIEZOMETER

PROTECTIVE CASING
Diameter: **6 inches**
Type: **Steel**
Interval: **-2.5-4.5**

RISER CASING
Diameter: **2 inches**
Type: **PVC**
Interval: **-2.3-20**

GROUT
Type: **Cement**
Interval: **0-4**

SEAL
Type: **Bentonite Chips**
Interval: **4-18**

SANDPACK
Type: **Silica Sand**
Interval: **18-26**

SCREEN
Diameter: **2 inches**
Type: **Continuous 0.01" slotted PVC**
Interval: **20-25**

Remarks:

Completion Depth:	30.0 ft
Date Started:	3/17/14
Date Completed:	3/17/14
Logged By:	BJL2
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade
Drilling Method:	Sonic
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane
Coordinates:	N 743,350.1 ft E 2,870,201.4 ft

LEGEND

FILTER PACK
BENTONITE
CEMENT GROUT
CUTTINGS / BACKFILL

TPC	TOP OF PROTECTIVE CASING
TRC	TOP OF RISER CASING
BPC	BASE PROTECTIVE CASING
GS	GROUND SURFACE
BS	BENTONITE SEAL
FP	FILTER PACK
TSC	TOP OF SCREEN
BSC	BOTTOM OF SCREEN
TD	TOTAL DEPTH

WATER LEVELS(ft)

At Time of Drilling	10.0
After Install	1.7

The stratification lines represent approximate boundaries. The transition may be gradual.

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB INSTRUMENT LOG REPORT BARR GEOTECH TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF PIEZOMETER R14-28

Project: Winter 2013/2014 Piezometers	Location: Hoyt Lakes, MN	Client: PolyMet
Barr Project Number: 23690C29.13	Surface Elevation: 1509.5 ft	Top of Casing Elevation: 1511.8

STRATA DESCRIPTION	DEPTH, ft	SYMBOL	PIEZOMETER DETAILS	DEPTH, ft		ELEVATION, ft
				-2.5	TPC	1512.0
				-2.3	TRC	1511.8
				0.0	GS	1509.5
POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): fine to medium grained; brown; moist; with cobbles and weathered granite fragments; top 1 foot frozen; [Till].	0			2.0		1507.5
				4.0	BS	1505.5
	5					
				10.0	BSC	1499.5
1499.0 ft	10			10.5	TD	1499.0
GRANITE; mottled red, black, and white; [Bedrock].						
1493.0 ft	15			16.5		1493.0
Bottom of Boring at 16.5 feet						

PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION DETAILS FOR STANDPIPE PIEZOMETER

PROTECTIVE CASING
Diameter: **6 inches**
Type: **Steel**
Interval: **-2.5-4.5**

RISER CASING
Diameter: **2 inches**
Type: **PVC**
Interval: **-2.3-5**

GROUT
Type: **Cement**
Interval: **0-2**

SEAL
Type: **Bentonite Chips**
Interval: **2-4**

SANDPACK
Type: **Silica Sand**
Interval: **4-10.5**

SCREEN
Diameter: **2 inches**
Type: **Continuous 0.01" slotted PVC**
Interval: **5-10**

Remarks:

Completion Depth:	16.5 ft
Date Started:	3/17/14
Date Completed:	3/17/14
Logged By:	BJL2
Drilling Contractor:	Cascade
Drilling Method:	Sonic
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane
Coordinates:	N 742,651.3 ft E 2,870,734.5 ft

LEGEND

FILTER PACK
BENTONITE
CEMENT GROUT
CUTTINGS / BACKFILL

TPC	TOP OF PROTECTIVE CASING
TRC	TOP OF RISER CASING
BPC	BASE PROTECTIVE CASING
GS	GROUND SURFACE
BS	BENTONITE SEAL
FP	FILTER PACK
TSC	TOP OF SCREEN
BSC	BOTTOM OF SCREEN
TD	TOTAL DEPTH

WATER LEVELS(ft)

At Time of Drilling	6.0
After Install	4.3

The stratification lines represent approximate boundaries. The transition may be gradual.

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB INSTRUMENT LOG REPORT BARR GEOTECH TEMPLATE.GDT

Exhibit C

Slug Testing Results

Summary Statistics	ft/s	cm/s
GeoMean	5.1E-05	1.5E-03
Median	2.9E-05	8.7E-04
Min	1.7E-06	5.2E-05
Max	1.5E-03	4.6E-02

Well	Depth Below Ground Surface [feet]	Depth Below Till [ft]	Elevation [ft]	Material Type	Test	Hydraulic Conductivity Estimate		Analytical Solution	Comments
						Kr, ft/sec	Kr, cm/sec		
R14-04	10	5	1535	SP	test 3 - in	3.3E-05	1.0E-03	Hvorslev	
					test 3 - out	4.1E-05	1.3E-03	Hvorslev	
R14-06	17	17	1509	SM	test 2 - out	1.5E-03	4.6E-02	Hvorslev	
					test 3 - out	1.0E-03	3.1E-02	Hvorslev	
R14-08	21	17	1482	SP-SM	test 1 - in	1.4E-05	4.2E-04	KGS	
					test 2 - out	1.6E-05	5.0E-04	KGS	
R14-12	20	18	1460	ML	test 1 - out	1.7E-06	5.2E-05	KGS	*Water elevation higher than ground surface elevation*
					test 2 - out	1.9E-06	5.8E-05	KGS	
R14-13	35	33	1454	SP-SM	test 2 - out	2.5E-05	7.4E-04	KGS	
					test 3 - in	1.8E-05	5.4E-04	KGS	
R14-15	31	31	1464	SP-SM	test 1 - in	2.4E-04	7.3E-03	KGS	
					test 2 - out	3.6E-04	1.1E-02	KGS	
R14-16	25	25	1483	SM	test 2 - out	2.1E-04	6.5E-03	KGS	
					test 3 - in	1.9E-04	5.9E-03	KGS	
R14-26	20	19	1483	SM	test 2 - out	6.0E-04	1.8E-02	KGS	
					test 3 - in	2.8E-04	8.6E-03	KGS	
R14-27	25	25	1478	GP w/ Sand	test 2 - out	1.3E-03	4.0E-02	KGS	
					test 3 - out	1.2E-03	3.7E-02	KGS	
R14-28	10	10	1500	SP-SM	test 1 - in	4.4E-06	1.3E-04	KGS	
					test 2 - out	8.9E-06	2.7E-04	KGS	
GW-001	18	12	1474	SP	test 1 - in	1.1E-05	3.5E-04	KGS	*Water elevation higher than ground surface elevation; 10' screen*
					test 3 - out	1.4E-05	4.3E-04	KGS	
GW-006	*17*	*11*		*Tailings*	*test 1 - out*	*6.8E-05*	*2.1E-03*	*KGS*	*10' screen*
					test 2 - out	*6.8E-05*	*2.1E-03*	*KGS*	
GW-007	*17*	*11*		*Tailings*	*test 1 -out*	*9.5E-05*	*2.9E-03*	*KGS*	*10' screen*
					test 3 - in	*1.0E-04*	*3.0E-03*	*KGS*	
GW-012	18	13	1477	SM	test 1 - in	5.1E-06	1.5E-04	KGS	10' screen
					test 2 - in	3.8E-06	1.1E-04	KGS	

Shaded values were not included in the hydraulic conductivity analysis due to the screen being installed in tailings and not glacial till

R14-04 Normalized Displacement Chart

PolyMet Mining Corporation

April 2014 Slug Tesing Data



R14-06 Normalized Displacement Chart

PolyMet Mining Corporation

April 2014 Slug Test Data



R14-08 Normalized Displacement Chart

PolyMet Mining Corporation

April 2014 Slug Test Data



R14-12 Normalized Displacement Chart

PolyMet Mining Corporation

April 2014 Slug Test Data



R14-13 Normalized Displacement Chart

PolyMet Mining Corporation

April 2014 Slug Test Data



R14-15 Normalized Displacement Chart

PolyMet Mining Corporation

April 2014 Slug Test Data



R14-16 Normalized Displacement Chart

PolyMet Mining Corporation

April 2014 Slug Test Data



R14-26 Normalized Displacement Chart

PolyMet Mining Corporation

April 2014 Slug Test Data



R14-27 Normalized Displacement Chart

PolyMet Mining Corporation

April 2014 Slug Test Data



R14-28 Normalized Displacement Chart

PolyMet Mining Corporation

April 2014 Slug Test Data



GW001 Normalized Displacement Chart

PolyMet Mining Corporation

April 2014 Slug Test Data



GW006 Normalized Displacement Chart

PolyMet Mining Corporation

April 2014 Slug Test Data



GW007 Normalized Displacement Chart

PolyMet Mining Corporation

April 2014 Slug Test Data



GW012 Normalized Displacement Chart

PolyMet Mining Corporation

April 2014 Slug Test Data



Exhibit D

SPT Boring Logs

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING B14-36

Project: Winter 2013/2014 SPT Investigation | Location: Hoyt Lakes, MN | Client: PolyMet

Barr Project Number: 23690C29.13

Surface Elev.: 1554.7 ft

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION (ASTM D2488)
	0	FINE TAILINGS (ML): fine grained; black and gray metallic; saturated.
1547.7	7.0	SILTY SAND (SM): fine to coarse grained; gray; saturated; with weathered granite fragments; [Till].
1541.2	13.5	GRANITE; mottled black, red and white; massive; strong to very strong; slightly decomposed; slightly to moderately fractured; horizontal and 60 degree fractures; mostly healed; trace green inclusions throughout; [Bedrock].
1528.2	26.5	Bottom of Boring at 26.5 feet

Graphic Log | Sample Type & Rec.

STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA — N in blows/ft: 6, 22, >>50/3", >>60/2.5", 51, >>50/6"

WATER CONTENT % — PL, LL

SIEVE ANALYSIS — GRAVEL, SAND, SILT, CLAY, FINES: 32, 82

Physical Properties

WC %	γ pcf	ϕ °	Q_u tsf	Q_p tsf	Gs	RQD %
11						
						77
						48
						100

Completion Depth:	26.5
Date Boring Started:	5/8/14
Date Boring Completed:	5/13/14
Logged By:	GFS
Drilling Contractor:	Braun Intertec
Drilling Method:	HSA / Mud Rotary / NQ Coring
Ground Surface Elevation:	1554.7
Coordinates:	N 735,479.9 ft E 2,857,818.0 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane

Remarks: Modified location to avoid wetlands. 6 1/4" ID augers. Due to sealing difficulty, samples 1&2 are from the first hole, 3&4 are from the second hole and 4B&5 are from the final hole. Packer performed from 14-18.5 feet and 20.5-26.5 feet.

SAMPLE TYPES: Split Spoon, Rock Core

WATER LEVELS (ft): At Time of Drilling 0.0

LEGEND: MC Moisture Content; γ Dry Unit Weight; ϕ Friction Angle; Q_u Unconfined Compression; Q_p Hand Penetrometer UC; Gs Specific Gravity; RQD Rock Quality Designation

The stratification lines represent approximate boundaries. The transition may be gradual.

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET SOW12 PART 2 SPT.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB HORIZONTAL LOG REPORT BARR GEOTECH TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING B14-40

Project: Winter 2013/2014 SPT Investigation | Location: Hoyt Lakes, MN | Client: PolyMet

Barr Project Number: 23690C29.13

Surface Elev.: 1534.0 ft

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION (ASTM D2488)	Graphic Log	Sample Type & Rec.	STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA (N in blows/ft)	WATER CONTENT % (PL, LL)	SIEVE ANALYSIS (GRAVEL, SAND, SILT, CLAY, FINES)	WC %	γ pcf	ϕ °	Q_u tsf	Q_p tsf	Gs	RQD %
1533.3	0	TOPSOIL (OL): dark brown; moist; large boulders (2 to 3 feet) interbedded. 0.8												
1530	5	SILTY SAND (SM): fine to medium grained; gray; very moist to saturated; with weathered granite fragments throughout increasing with depth; [Till].			28, 43, >>58, 38		31, 78	8	125	47				
1525	10													
1520	15	1519.0 GRANITE; mottled black and white with red; massive; strong to very strong; slightly decomposed; slightly to moderately fractured; 30 to 60 degrees fractures; moderately healed; 2-foot vertical fracture through sample from 22 to 24 feet; 6 inch red quartz-rich zone at 18 feet; 6 inch green zone at 28 feet; [Bedrock]. 15.0												68
1515	20													
1510	25													47
1505	30	1503.5 Bottom of Boring at 30.5 feet 30.5												92
	35													
	40													
	45													
	50													
	55													

Completion Depth:	30.5
Date Boring Started:	5/15/14
Date Boring Completed:	5/16/14
Logged By:	GFS
Drilling Contractor:	Braun Intertec
Drilling Method:	HSA / Mud Rotary / NQ Coring
Ground Surface Elevation:	1534.0
Coordinates:	N 736,963.9 ft E 2,857,381.9 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane

Remarks: Modified location to avoid wetlands. 6 1/4" ID augers. Started mud rotary at 11.5 feet.

SAMPLE TYPES: Split Spoon; No Recovery; Rock Core

WATER LEVELS (ft): At Time of Drilling 4.3

LEGEND

MC	Moisture Content	Q_u	Unconfined Compression
γ	Dry Unit Weight	Q_p	Hand Penetrometer UC
ϕ	Friction Angle	Gs	Specific Gravity
		RQD	Rock Quality Designation

The stratification lines represent approximate boundaries. The transition may be gradual.

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET SOW12 PART 2 SPT.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB HORIZONTAL LOG REPORT BARR GEOTECH TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING B14-44

Project: Winter 2013/2014 SPT Investigation | Location: Hoyt Lakes, MN | Client: PolyMet

Barr Project Number: 23690C29.13

Surface Elev.: 1502.9 ft

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION (ASTM D2488)
1502.7	0	PEAT (PT): dark brown; moist; cattail roots and wood inclusions; stripped during clearing; top 2 feet frozen.
1501.9	1.0	FINE TAILINGS (ML): black; wet; appears to be contaminated with petroleum product; oily; slight smell; frozen.
1494.9	8.0	FINE TAILINGS (ML): very fine to fine grained; gray with black streaks; wet; soft; [magnetite tailings].
1487.4	15.5	COARSE TAILINGS (SP-SM): fine to coarse grained; dark brown; saturated; very loose; heavy.
1485.9	17.0	FINE TAILINGS (ML): very fine to fine grained; dark gray; saturated; very loose; heavy; liquefiable behavior.
1481.9	21.0	SILTY SAND (SM): fine to coarse grained; grayish brown; wet; with weathered granite fragments; interspersed with cobbles (1-2 inches); [Till].
1478.9	24.0	BOULDER; mottled black, red, and white; strong; slightly decomposed; moderately fractured; fractures occur at 60 degree angles; some fully healed locations.
1471.4	31.5	SILTY GRAVEL (GM): fine to coarse grained; grayish brown; wet; with sand; trace clay; interspersed with cobbles (1-2 inches); green and yellow staining; copper-colored inclusions; [Till].
1456.9	46.0	GRANITE; mottled black, red, and white; massive; strong to very strong; slightly decomposed; slightly to moderately fractured; first 5 feet is weathered and becomes increasingly competent with depth; color transition occurs at 40 feet where rock becomes slightly stronger; [Bedrock].
		Bottom of Boring at 46.0 feet

Graphic Log / Sample Type & Rec.

STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA — N in blows/ft: 3, 3, 4, 2, 47, 37, >>90/6", 17, 24, >>50/2", 38

WATER CONTENT % — PL, LL

SIEVE ANALYSIS — GRAVEL, SAND, SILT, CLAY, FINES: 26, 84, 2, 80

Physical Properties	WC %	γ pcf	ϕ °	Q_u tsf	Q_p tsf	Gs	RQD %
	7						76
	10						33
							77
							92

Completion Depth:	46.0
Date Boring Started:	3/28/14
Date Boring Completed:	4/3/14
Logged By:	KNA
Drilling Contractor:	Braun Intertec
Drilling Method:	HSA / Mud Rotary / NQ Coring
Ground Surface Elevation:	1502.9
Coordinates:	N 738,984.5 ft E 2,857,382.8 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane

Remarks: 4 1/2" ID augers. Started mud rotary at 20 feet. Core performed in offset hole at : N=738977.63ft, E=2857368.8ft; Packer testing performed at 33-42 feet and 42-46 feet.

SAMPLE TYPES: Split Spoon; 3-inch Shelby Tube; Rock Core

WATER LEVELS (ft): At Time of Drilling 2.0; 24 hrs After Drilling 0.0

LEGEND: MC Moisture Content; γ Dry Unit Weight; ϕ Friction Angle; Q_u Unconfined Compression; Q_p Hand Penetrometer UC; Gs Specific Gravity; RQD Rock Quality Designation

The stratification lines represent approximate boundaries. The transition may be gradual.

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET SOW12 PART 2 SPT.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB HORIZONTAL LOG REPORT BARR GEOTECH TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING B14-48

Project: Winter 2013/2014 SPT Investigation | Location: Hoyt Lakes, MN | Client: PolyMet

Barr Project Number: 23690C29.13

Surface Elev.: 1491.8 ft

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION (ASTM D2488)	Graphic Log
1491.6	0	TOPSOIL (OL): dark brown; moist; wood and root inclusions; some clay; trace sand and gravel.	0.3
1489.8	2	SILTY SAND (SM): fine to medium grained; brown; wet to saturated; very loose; trace roots and gravel; [Till].	2.0
1484.8	7	SILTY SAND (SM): fine to coarse grained; gray; wet to saturated; very loose to medium dense; trace roots and clay; subangular; [Till].	7.0
1482.3	9.5	SILTY GRAVEL (GM): fine to coarse grained; gray; wet; very dense; little sand; trace clay; [Till].	9.5
		GRANITE; mottled black and white with red; massive; strong to very strong; slightly decomposed; slightly to moderately fractured; vertical fracture from 12.5 to 14 feet; gray sediment in fractures; becomes increasingly competent with depth; gold-colored inclusions; [Bedrock].	
1466.8	25	Bottom of Boring at 25.0 feet	25.0

STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA (N in blows/ft): 0, 4, 11, >>72/8"

WATER CONTENT %: PL, LL

SIEVE ANALYSIS: GRAVEL, SAND, SILT, CLAY, FINES — 5, 80

Physical Properties	WC	γ	ϕ	Q_u	Q_p	Gs	RQD
	%	pcf	°	tsf	tsf		%
	16						
							15
							72
							88

Completion Depth:	25.0
Date Boring Started:	5/19/14
Date Boring Completed:	5/20/14
Logged By:	KNA
Drilling Contractor:	Braun Intertec
Drilling Method:	HSA / Mud Rotary / NQ Coring
Ground Surface Elevation:	1491.8
Coordinates:	N 740,886.7 ft E 2,857,452.1 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane

Remarks: Modified location to avoid wetlands. 6 1/4" ID augers. Started mud rotary at 10 feet.

SAMPLE TYPES: Split Spoon, Rock Core

WATER LEVELS (ft): At Time of Drilling 3.0; 0.5 hrs After Drilling 2.5

LEGEND: MC Moisture Content; γ Dry Unit Weight; ϕ Friction Angle; Q_u Unconfined Compression; Q_p Hand Penetrometer UC; Gs Specific Gravity; RQD Rock Quality Designation

The stratification lines represent approximate boundaries. The transition may be gradual.

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET SOW12 PART 2 SPT.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB HORIZONTAL LOG REPORT BARR GEOTECH TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING B14-52

Project: Winter 2013/2014 SPT Investigation | Location: Hoyt Lakes, MN | Client: PolyMet

Barr Project Number: 23690C29.13

Surface Elev.: 1486.0 ft

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION (ASTM D2488)
1485	0	1484.0 TOPSOIL (OL): dark brown; moist; interbedded with large (2') boulders at surface.
1480	5	1480.0 CLAYEY SAND (SC): fine to coarse grained; dark tan; moist to saturated; with 2-inch diameter gravel.
1475	10	SILTY SAND (SM): fine to medium grained; tan; saturated; small to medium gravel (weathered, rounded); silt content decreases with depth; gravel content increases with depth.
1470	15	1469.5 BOULDER: grayish black; crushed granite.
1465	20	
1462.0		SILT (ML): gray; saturated; little to no inclusions from 26-35 feet; trace sand from 35-38 feet.
1460	25	
1455	30	
1450	35	
1448.0		SILTY SAND (SM): fine to medium grained; gray; saturated; [Till].
1445	40	1443.5
1442.0		GRANITE; Strong; no fractures until bottom of sample; green marbel zone at bottom 6 inches.
1440	45	1439.0 GRANITE; highly weathered granite.
1435	50	
	55	

Continued Next Page

Graphic Log / Sample Type & Rec. / STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA (N in blows/ft) / WATER CONTENT % (PL, LL) / SIEVE ANALYSIS (GRAVEL, SAND, SILT, CLAY, FINES)



Physical Properties	WC %	γ pcf	ϕ °	Q_u tsf	Q_p tsf	Gs	RQD %
	18						
	22						
	19						
							100
							100
							86

Completion Depth:	65.8
Date Boring Started:	5/5/14
Date Boring Completed:	5/7/14
Logged By:	GFS
Drilling Contractor:	Braun Intertec
Drilling Method:	HSA / Mud Rotary / NQ Coring
Ground Surface Elevation:	1486.0
Coordinates:	N 742,396.5 ft E 2,858,667.8 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane

Remarks: 6 1/4" ID augers. Started mud rotary at 20 feet.

SAMPLE TYPES: Split Spoon; Rock Core

WATER LEVELS (ft): At Time of Drilling 4.0

LEGEND

MC	Moisture Content	Q_u	Unconfined Compression
γ	Dry Unit Weight	Q_p	Hand Penetrometer UC
ϕ	Friction Angle	Gs	Specific Gravity
		RQD	Rock Quality Designation

The stratification lines represent approximate boundaries. The transition may be gradual.

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET SOW12 PART 2 SPT.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB HORIZONTAL LOG REPORT BARR GEOTECH TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING B14-52

Project: Winter 2013/2014 SPT Investigation | Location: Hoyt Lakes, MN | Client: PolyMet

Barr Project Number: 23690C29.13

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION (ASTM D2488)	Graphic Log	Sample Type & Rec.	STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA (N in blows/ft)	WATER CONTENT % (PL – LL)	SIEVE ANALYSIS (GRAVEL, SAND, SILT, CLAY, FINES)	Physical Properties: WC %	γ pcf	ϕ °	Q_u tsf	Q_p tsf	Gs	RQD %
1430	55	GRANITE; mottled red and white with black; massive; very strong; slightly decomposed; slightly to moderately fractured; fractures at horizontal and 65 degree angles; moderately healed; black/oxidized on healed joints; 6 inch quartz-rich zones; [Bedrock]. *(Continued)*												84
1425	60													90
1420.3	65	Bottom of Boring at 65.8 feet												75
	65.8													
	70													
	75													
	80													
	85													
	90													
	95													
	100													
	105													
	110													

STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA: N in blows/ft — 10, 20, 30, 40
WATER CONTENT %: PL – LL — 20, 40, 60
SIEVE ANALYSIS: GRAVEL, SAND, SILT, CLAY, FINES — 20, 40, 60, 80

Completion Depth:	65.8
Date Boring Started:	5/5/14
Date Boring Completed:	5/7/14
Logged By:	GFS
Drilling Contractor:	Braun Intertec
Drilling Method:	HSA / Mud Rotary / NQ Coring
Ground Surface Elevation:	1486.0
Coordinates:	N 742,396.5 ft E 2,858,667.8 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane

Remarks: 6 1/4" ID augers. Started mud rotary at 20 feet.

SAMPLE TYPES
Split Spoon; Rock Core

WATER LEVELS (ft)
At Time of Drilling 4.0

LEGEND
MC Moisture Content
γ Dry Unit Weight
ϕ Friction Angle
Q_u Unconfined Compression
Q_p Hand Penetrometer UC
Gs Specific Gravity
RQD Rock Quality Designation

The stratification lines represent approximate boundaries. The transition may be gradual.

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET SOW12 PART 2 SPT.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB HORIZONTAL LOG REPORT BARR GEOTECH TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING B14-55

Project: Winter 2013/2014 SPT Investigation | Location: Hoyt Lakes, MN | Client: PolyMet

Barr Project Number: 23690C29.13

Surface Elev.: 1494.8 ft

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION (ASTM D2488)	Graphic Log
1493.8	0	TOPSOIL (OL): reddish brown; moist; roots; small shrubs and grass.	
	1.0		
1490	5	SILTY SAND (SM): fine to coarse grained; brown; moist to saturated; loose to medium dense; with gravel up to 1 inch in diameter; round to angular; increasing sand content with depth; [Till].	
1485	10		
1480	15		
1476.8			
1475	20	SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL (SM): medium to coarse grained; gray; moist to wet; medium dense; trace clay; slightly cohesive; 1/2-inch diameter weathered granite fragments; [Till].	
1472.8	18.0		
1471.3	22.0		
1470	25	BOULDER: molted red with black and white; crushed granite.	
1464.8	23.5		
1465	30	SILTY GRAVEL (GM): fine to coarse grained; gray; saturated; very dense; green and orange oxidation staining; seams of weathered granite; [Till].	
	30.0		
1460	35	GRANITE; mottled red with black and white; massive; very strong; slightly decomposed; slightly to moderately fractured; fractures at 45 to 80 degree angles; moderately healed; black on oxidized fractured faces and healed locations; green zone at 42.5 feet; [Bedrock].	
1455	40		
1450	45		
1445	50		
1444.3			
		Bottom of Boring at 50.5 feet	
	50.5		
	55		



Physical Properties

WC	γ	ϕ	Q_u	Q_p	Gs	RQD
%	pcf	°	tsf	tsf		%
12						
10						
						33
						63
						85
						91

Completion Depth:	50.5
Date Boring Started:	4/28/14
Date Boring Completed:	5/2/14
Logged By:	KNA
Drilling Contractor:	Braun Intertec
Drilling Method:	HSA / Mud Rotary / NQ Coring
Ground Surface Elevation:	1494.8
Coordinates:	N 742,451.6 ft E 2,860,494.0 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane

Remarks: 6 1/4" ID augers. Started mud rotary at 20 feet. Packer performed from 37-41.5 feet, 41.5-46.5 feet, and 46-50.5 feet.

SAMPLE TYPES

Split Spoon | No Recovery | Rock Core

WATER LEVELS (ft)

At Time of Drilling 10.0

LEGEND

MC Moisture Content
γ Dry Unit Weight
ϕ Friction Angle
Q_u Unconfined Compression
Q_p Hand Penetrometer UC
Gs Specific Gravity
RQD Rock Quality Designation

The stratification lines represent approximate boundaries. The transition may be gradual.

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET SOW12 PART 2 SPT.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB HORIZONTAL LOG REPORT BARR GEOTECH TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING B14-62

Project: Winter 2013/2014 SPT Investigation | Location: Hoyt Lakes, MN | Client: PolyMet

Barr Project Number: 23690C29.13

Surface Elev.: 1493.3 ft

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION (ASTM D2488)	
1493.3	0	TOPSOIL (OL): dark brown; moist; root inclusions; some sand.	0.3
1491.3	2.0	SILTY SAND (SM): fine to medium grained; orangish brown; saturated; some clay; cohesive.	
		SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL (SM): medium to coarse grained; brownish gray to gray; moist to wet; trace clay; slightly cohesive; trace black inclusions; weathered granite fragments up to 1-inch in diameter; subangular; [Till].	
1476.3	17.0	GRANITE; highly weathered bedrock; appears to be silty gravel with up to 1-foot boulders throughout; cobbles in 2-inch diameter in various colors; [Bedrock].	
1466.3	27.0	Bottom of Boring at 27.0 feet	

Graphic Log | Sample Type & Rec. | STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA (N in blows/ft) | WATER CONTENT % (PL, LL) | SIEVE ANALYSIS (GRAVEL, SAND, SILT, CLAY, FINES)

Physical Properties	WC %	γ pcf	ϕ °	Q_u tsf	Q_p tsf	Gs	RQD %
	8	125	38				
							53
							13
							0

Completion Depth:	27.0
Date Boring Started:	4/21/14
Date Boring Completed:	4/28/14
Logged By:	KNA
Drilling Contractor:	Braun Intertec
Drilling Method:	HSA / Mud Rotary / NQ Coring
Ground Surface Elevation:	1493.3
Coordinates:	N 743,327.3 ft E 2,863,894.1 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane

Remarks: 6 1/4" ID augers. Mud rotary started at 10 feet.

SAMPLE TYPES: Split Spoon, Rock Core

WATER LEVELS (ft): At Time of Drilling 12.0

LEGEND: MC Moisture Content; γ Dry Unit Weight; ϕ Friction Angle; Q_u Unconfined Compression; Q_p Hand Penetrometer UC; Gs Specific Gravity; RQD Rock Quality Designation

The stratification lines represent approximate boundaries. The transition may be gradual.

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET SOW12 PART 2 SPT.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB HORIZONTAL LOG REPORT BARR GEOTECH TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING B14-65

Project: Winter 2013/2014 SPT Investigation | Location: Hoyt Lakes, MN | Client: PolyMet

Barr Project Number: 23690C29.13

Surface Elev.: 1487.2 ft

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION (ASTM D2488)	Graphic Log
1487.0	0	TOPSOIL (ML): gray; saturated; silt; very fine; smears; top 2 feet frozen [magnetite tailings].	0.3
1480.2	7	PEAT (PT): dark brown; saturated; very soft; cattail roots and wood inclusions; top 4.5 feet frozen. 1 foot of gray magnetite tailings at 5 feet.	7.0
1475.2	12	ORGANIC SILT (OH): dark brown; saturated; very soft; wood inclusions.	12.0
1474.2	13	SILTY SAND (SM): medium to coarse grained; gray; wet; some gravel and organics; [Till].	13.0
1472.2	15	POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM): medium to coarse grained; orangish brown; wet; loose; subangular to angular; trace clay; [Till].	15.0
1466.7	20	SILTY SAND (SM): medium to coarse grained; gray; saturated; medium dense; with weathered granite fragments; [Till].	20.5
	25	GRANITE; red mottled with black and white; massive; strong to very strong; slightly decomposed; slightly to moderately fractured; fractures are at 0, 45, or 60 degree angles; appears to previously have been highly fractured but is now fully healed with green sediment; [Bedrock].	
1450.2	37	Bottom of Boring at 37.0 feet	37.0

STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA — N in blows/ft

Depth, feet	Sample Type & Rec.	N in blows/ft
2	Split Spoon	2
5	Split Spoon	1
10	Split Spoon	1
15	Split Spoon	7
20	Split Spoon	14

WATER CONTENT % — PL, LL

Depth, feet	PL	LL
5	44	79
10	46	68

SIEVE ANALYSIS — GRAVEL, SAND, SILT, CLAY, FINES

Depth, feet	GRAVEL	SAND	SILT	CLAY	FINES
15	3				61

Physical Properties

Depth, feet	WC %	γ pcf	ϕ °	Q_u tsf	Q_p tsf	Gs	RQD %
5	496						
10	73						
15	15						
25							86
30							80
35							51

Completion Depth:	37.0
Date Boring Started:	4/16/14
Date Boring Completed:	4/17/14
Logged By:	KNA
Drilling Contractor:	Braun Intertec
Drilling Method:	HSA / Mud Rotary / NQ Coring
Ground Surface Elevation:	1487.2
Coordinates:	N 743,316.0 ft E 2,864,926.5 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane

Remarks: 6 1/4" ID augers. Hit refusal at 10 feet, offset 10 feet East and blind-drilled to 10 feet. Started mud rotary at 15 feet. Packer performed from 24-30 feet and 27.5-33.5 feet.

SAMPLE TYPES: Split Spoon; 3-inch Shelby Tube; Undisturbed Sample; Rock Core

WATER LEVELS (ft): At Time of Drilling 0.0

LEGEND: MC Moisture Content; γ Dry Unit Weight; ϕ Friction Angle; Q_u Unconfined Compression; Q_p Hand Penetrometer UC; Gs Specific Gravity; RQD Rock Quality Designation

The stratification lines represent approximate boundaries. The transition may be gradual.

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET SOW12 PART 2 SPT.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB HORIZONTAL LOG REPORT BARR GEOTECH TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING B14-69

Project: Winter 2013/2014 SPT Investigation | Location: Hoyt Lakes, MN | Client: PolyMet

Barr Project Number: 23690C29.13

Surface Elev.: 1485.1 ft

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION (ASTM D2488)
1485.1	0	PEAT (PT): dark brown; saturated; very soft; sapric; wood interspersed throughout increasing with depth; top 1.5 feet frozen.
1465.1	20	Gray silt (magnetite tailings) at 17.5 feet.
1462.6	22.5	SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL (SM): medium to coarse grained; dark brown; wet; medium dense; 1/2-inch cobbles and weathered granite fragments; [Till].
1456.1	29.0	SILTY GRAVEL (GM): gray; very dense; 1 inch weathered granite fragments; some gray clayey sand; [Till].
1451.1	34.0	GRANITE; mottled red and black with white; 60 degree fracture at 29-30 feet; most of core consisted of 2-inch cobbles; [Highly Weathered Bedrock].
		Bottom of Boring at 34.0 feet

Graphic Log | Sample Type & Rec. | STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA (N in blows/ft) | WATER CONTENT % (PL, LL) | SIEVE ANALYSIS (GRAVEL, SAND, SILT, CLAY, FINES)

Physical Properties

WC %	γ pcf	ϕ °	Q_u tsf	Q_p tsf	Gs	RQD %
413	13				1.7	
616						
590						
12						20

Completion Depth:	34.0
Date Boring Started:	4/7/14
Date Boring Completed:	4/8/14
Logged By:	KNA/GFS
Drilling Contractor:	Braun Intertec
Drilling Method:	HSA / Mud Rotary / NQ Coring
Ground Surface Elevation:	1485.1
Coordinates:	N 743,207.5 ft E 2,866,416.5 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane

Remarks: 4 1/2" ID augers. With the augers at 24 feet, artesian flow started around teh outside of the augers after sample at 23 feet was collected. Before flow started, water was 1-foot above ground surface in the augers.

SAMPLE TYPES: 3-inch Shelby Tube; Split Spoon; Rock Core

WATER LEVELS (ft): End of Drilling -1.0; At Time of Drilling 0.0

LEGEND: MC Moisture Content; γ Dry Unit Weight; ϕ Friction Angle; Q_u Unconfined Compression; Q_p Hand Penetrometer UC; Gs Specific Gravity; RQD Rock Quality Designation

The stratification lines represent approximate boundaries. The transition may be gradual.

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET SOW12 PART 2 SPT.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB HORIZONTAL LOG REPORT BARR GEOTECH TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING B14-72

Project: Winter 2013/2014 SPT Investigation | Location: Hoyt Lakes, MN | Client: PolyMet

Barr Project Number: 23690C29.13

Surface Elev.: 1493.0 ft

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION (ASTM D2488)
1492.5	0	PEAT (PT): dark grown; moist; frozen; sapric; roots and wood inclusions.
1488.0	5	SILT (ML): gray; wet; medium dense to dense; frozen; roots and wood inclusions; seams of coarse sand; [Tailings].
1483.0	10	SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL (SM): fine to coarse grained; brown; wet; medium dense to very dense; 1/8 - 1 inch weathered granite fragments; [Till].
	15	GRANITE; dark red mottled with black and white; massive; strong to very strong; slightly decomposed; moderately fractured becoming more competent with depth; highly fractured at 17.5, 20-22, and 23-23.5 feet; healed throughout; 60 degree fractures from 21
1468.0	25	25 feet, otherwise horizontal or 90 degree fractures; green and brown in-filling; [Bedrock].
		Bottom of Boring at 25.0 feet

Graphic Log / Sample Type & Rec.: 0.5, 5.0, 10.0, 25.0

STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA — N in blows/ft: 27, 38, 14, 36, >>50/5"

WATER CONTENT % — PL, LL

SIEVE ANALYSIS — GRAVEL, SAND, SILT, CLAY, FINES: 4, 28, 30, 83

Physical Properties

WC %	γ pcf	ϕ °	Q_u tsf	Q_p tsf	Gs	RQD %
25						
9						
						63
						50
						56

Completion Depth:	25.0
Date Boring Started:	4/4/14
Date Boring Completed:	4/7/14
Logged By:	KNA
Drilling Contractor:	Braun Intertec
Drilling Method:	HSA / Mud Rotary / NQ Coring
Ground Surface Elevation:	1493.0
Coordinates:	N 743,232.9 ft E 2,867,866.5 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane

Remarks: 4 1/2" ID augers. Tried Denison sample at 7.5 feet. Started mud rotary at 7.5 feet.

SAMPLE TYPES: Split Spoon, Rock Core

WATER LEVELS (ft): At Time of Drilling 1.0

LEGEND: MC Moisture Content; γ Dry Unit Weight; ϕ Friction Angle; Q_u Unconfined Compression; Q_p Hand Penetrometer UC; Gs Specific Gravity; RQD Rock Quality Designation

The stratification lines represent approximate boundaries. The transition may be gradual.

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET SOW12 PART 2 SPT.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB HORIZONTAL LOG REPORT BARR GEOTECH TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING B14-76

Project: Winter 2013/2014 SPT Investigation | Location: Hoyt Lakes, MN | Client: PolyMet

Barr Project Number: 23690C29.13

Surface Elev.: 1501.2 ft

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION (ASTM D2488)	
1500.2	0	TOPSOIL (OL): dark brown; wet; frozen; roots; trace silt.	1.0
		PEAT (PT): dark brown; saturated; very soft; sapric; some silt and clay; trace sand and <1-inch gravel.	
1491.2	10	SILTY SAND (SM): gray; saturated; loose to medium dense; trace clay; sand and gravel amount increases with depth; gravel <1 inch to 4 inches in diameter; [Till].	10.0
1476.2	25		
1474.2		SILTY GRAVEL (GM): gray and red; saturated; with weathered granite fragments; [Till].	25.0
		GRANITE; red mottled with black and white; massive; strong to very strong; slightly decomposed; moderately fractured decreasing with depth; 60 to 80 degree fractures; appears previously highly fractured but is now fully healed; green and brown in-filling; [Bedrock].	27.0
1458.7		Bottom of Boring at 42.5 feet	42.5

Graphic Log / Sample Type & Rec.

STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA (N in blows/ft): 1, 0, 18, 19, 24, >>90

WATER CONTENT % (PL — LL)

SIEVE ANALYSIS (GRAVEL, SAND, SILT, CLAY, FINES): 30, 82

Physical Properties

WC %	γ pcf	ϕ °	Q_u tsf	Q_p tsf	Gs	RQD %
445						
9	122	45				
						53
						87
						53
						63

Completion Depth:	42.5
Date Boring Started:	4/9/14
Date Boring Completed:	4/11/14
Logged By:	GFS
Drilling Contractor:	Braun Intertec
Drilling Method:	HSA / Mud Rotary / NQ Coring
Ground Surface Elevation:	1501.2
Coordinates:	N 743,328.6 ft E 2,869,888.1 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane

Remarks: 4 1/2" ID augers. Started mud rotary at 13 feet.

SAMPLE TYPES: Split Spoon; 3-inch Shelby Tube; Rock Core

WATER LEVELS (ft): At Time of Drilling 0.0

LEGEND: MC Moisture Content; γ Dry Unit Weight; ϕ Friction Angle; Q_u Unconfined Compression; Q_p Hand Penetrometer UC; Gs Specific Gravity; RQD Rock Quality Designation

The stratification lines represent approximate boundaries. The transition may be gradual.

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET SOW12 PART 2 SPT.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB HORIZONTAL LOG REPORT BARR GEOTECH TEMPLATE.GDT

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th St. Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Telephone: 952-832-2600

LOG OF BORING B14-80

Project: Winter 2013/2014 SPT Investigation | Location: Hoyt Lakes, MN | Client: PolyMet

Barr Project Number: 23690C29.13

Surface Elev.: 1523.4 ft

Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION (ASTM D2488)	Graphic Log	Sample Type & Rec.	STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA (N in blows/ft)	WATER CONTENT % (PL, LL)	SIEVE ANALYSIS (GRAVEL, SAND, SILT, CLAY, FINES)	WC %	γ pcf	ϕ °	Q_u tsf	Q_p tsf	Gs	RQD %
1523.2	0	TOPSOIL (SM): dark brown; moist; organics and roots; with gravel; top 2 feet frozen.												
1520	5	SILTY SAND (SM): medium to coarse grained; brown; moist to wet; medium dense to very dense; with angular to subangular weathered granite fragments; gray clay content increasing with depth; [Till].	0.3		14		GRAVEL 17, SAND 82	8						
1513.4	10	GRANITE; mottled red, black, and white; massive; very strong; slightly decomposed; slightly to moderately fractured becoming more competent with depth; fractures 45 and 60 degrees angles; totally healed with red and green sediment; [Bedrock].	10.0		>>66 >>50/5" >>50/1"									67.5
1510	15													79
1505	20													100
1502.4		Bottom of Boring at 21.0 feet	21.0											
	25													
	30													
	35													
	40													
	45													
	50													
	55													

Completion Depth:	21.0
Date Boring Started:	3/26/14
Date Boring Completed:	3/27/14
Logged By:	KNA
Drilling Contractor:	Braun Intertec
Drilling Method:	HSA / Mud Rotary / NQ Coring
Ground Surface Elevation:	1523.4
Coordinates:	N 741,777.3 ft E 2,870,717.9 ft
Datum:	NAD83 Minnesota State Plane

Remarks: 4 1/2" ID augers. Drilled to 7.5 feet then offset 5 feet east and blind-drilled to 10 feet. Started mud rotary at 10 feet.

SAMPLE TYPES: Split Spoon; No Recovery; Rock Core

WATER LEVELS (ft): At Time of Drilling 7.0

LEGEND

MC	Moisture Content	Q_u	Unconfined Compression
γ	Dry Unit Weight	Q_p	Hand Penetrometer UC
ϕ	Friction Angle	Gs	Specific Gravity
		RQD	Rock Quality Designation

The stratification lines represent approximate boundaries. The transition may be gradual.

M:\GINT\PROJECTS\23690C29.13 POLYMET SOW12 PART 2 SPT.GPJ BARRLIBRARY.GLB HORIZONTAL LOG REPORT BARR GEOTECH TEMPLATE.GDT

Exhibit E

Packer Testing Results

PolyMet 2014 Packer Test Data Summary - FTB Seepage Containment System Borings

Boring	Test Interval	Testing Length	Packer	RQD	Leakage Through Fractures / Bedrock Permeability			
					Test Results[1]		Test and Inferred Results[2]	
	feet	feet			cm/s	ft/s	cm/s	ft/s
B14-36	14 - 18.5	4.5	Double	93	0	0	1.4E-06	4.8E-08
B14-36	20.5 - 26.5	6	Single	83	1.4E-06	4.8E-08	1.4E-06	4.8E-08
B14-55	37 - 41.5	4.5	Single	30	7.2E-04	2.4E-05	7.2E-04	2.4E-05
B14-55	41.5 - 46.5	5	Double	100	0	0	1.4E-06	4.8E-08
B14-55	46 - 50.5	4.5	Single	48	0	0	1.4E-06	4.8E-08
B14-44	34 - 42	8	Single	69	3.9E-05	1.3E-06	3.9E-05	1.3E-06
B14-44	42 - 46	4	Double	92	5.8E-05	1.9E-06	5.8E-05	1.9E-06
B14-65	24 - 30	6	Double	81	5.2E-05	1.7E-06	5.2E-05	1.7E-06
B14-65	27.5 - 33.5	6	Double	74	1.9E-04	6.2E-06	1.9E-04	6.2E-06
B14-76	37 - 42	5	Single	70	9.0E-05	3.0E-06	9.0E-05	3.0E-06
				Geomean =	5.8E-05	1.9E-06	1.9E-05	6.3E-07

[1] Based on the lowest permeability value resulting from the first three pressure increments as the value most likely to represent in-situ conditions. Geomean excludes values where zero inflow is observed during testing.

[2] For Packer Test Results where zero inflow is observed during testing, permeability values are selected based on inference from lowest packer test result obtained. Geomean includes all test intervals.

The resulting permeability is not a true permeability since the rock is not a true porous media. Instead, the packer test provides a relative measurement of potential leakage through bedrock joints or fractures.


1.E-03
1.E-04
1.E-05
1.E-06
Permeability [cm/s]
0
10
20
30
40
50
60
70
80
90
100
RQD [%]
B14-36, 20.5 - 26.5ft
B14-55, 37 - 41.5ft
B14-44, 34 - 42ft
B14-44, 42 - 46ft
B14-65, 24 - 30ft
B14-65, 27.5 - 33.5ft
B14-76, 37 - 42ft

PolyMet 2014 Packer Testing

Performed: 5/13/2014

Analyzed: 5/21/2014

B14-36, 14 - 18.5ft

Notes: Double Packer Test

Packer length (ft) =	2
Screened interval (ft) =	3
Total depth of boring (ft) =	26.5
Test interval top (ft) =	14
Test interval bottom (ft) =	18.5
Original GWT (ft) =	4.7
GWT before inflation (ft) =	4.7
GWT after inflation (ft) =	4.7
GWT during test (ft) =	4.7
GWT at end of test (ft) =	4.7
Height of pressure gauge (ft) =	3
Packer inflation pressure (psi) =	140
RQD for test interval (%) =	93
Borehole radius (inches) =	1.5

Total testing interval (ft) =	4.5
Length of pipe above ground (ft) =	3
Length of pipe below ground (ft) =	12
Total length for losses (ft) =	15

Hydraulic Conductivity =	0.00E+00 **cm/s**	0.00E+00 **ft/s**
Maximum =	0.00E+00 **cm/s**	0.00E+00 **ft/s**
Minimum =	0.00E+00 **cm/s**	0.00E+00 **ft/s**





Flow Pressure (psi) =	15			30			45			30			15		
Time (min)	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow
0	80.64	0.0	0.0	80.64	0.0	0.0	80.64	0.0	0.0	80.64	0.0	0.0	80.64	0.0	0.0
1	80.64	0.0	0.0	80.64	0.0	0.0	80.64	0.0	0.0	80.64	0.0	0.0	80.64	0.0	0.0
2	80.64	0.0	0.0	80.64	0.0	0.0	80.64	0.0	0.0	80.64	0.0	0.0	80.64	0.0	0.0
3	80.64	0.0	0.0	80.64	0.0	0.0	80.64	0.0	0.0	80.64	0.0	0.0	80.64	0.0	0.0
4	80.64	0.0	0.0	80.64	0.0	0.0	80.64	0.0	0.0	80.64	0.0	0.0	80.64	0.0	0.0
5	80.64	0.0	0.0	80.64	0.0	0.0	80.64	0.0	0.0	80.64	0.0	0.0	80.64	0.0	0.0

Average Flowrate (gal/min) =	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Applied pressure (psi) =	15	30	45	30	15
Friction loss/foot (psi) =	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08
Friction loss (psi) =	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Effective pressure (psi) =	13.8	28.8	43.8	28.8	13.8

USBR 7310-89 Calculation for Hydraulic Conductivity

q (constant rate of flow into the test interval), cm^3/s =	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
L (length of the test interval), cm =	137.2	137.2	137.2	137.2	137.2
H_g (distance from ground water to pressure gauge), cm =	234.7	234.7	234.7	234.7	234.7
H_p (linear units of water head), cm =	974.8	2030.9	3087.1	2030.9	974.8
H (total gravity and pressure differential head), cm=	1209.5	2265.6	3321.8	2265.6	1209.5
r (radius of borehole), cm =	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8
k (hydraulic conductivity), cm/s =	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
k (hydraulic conductivity), ft/s =	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00

PolyMet 2014 Packer Testing

Performed: 5/13/2014

Analyzed: 5/21/2014

B14-36, 20.5 - 26.5ft

Notes: Double Packer Test

Packer length (ft) =	2
Screened interval (ft) =	
Total depth of boring (ft) =	26.5
Test interval top (ft) =	20.5
Test interval bottom (ft) =	26.5
Original GWT (ft) =	4.7
GWT before inflation (ft) =	4.7
GWT after inflation (ft) =	4.7
GWT during test (ft) =	4.7
GWT at end of test (ft) =	4.7
Height of pressure gauge (ft) =	3
Packer inflation pressure (psi) =	140
RQD for test interval (%) =	83
Borehole radius (inches) =	1.5

Total testing interval (ft) =	6
Length of pipe above ground (ft) =	3
Length of pipe below ground (ft) =	18.5
Total length for losses (ft) =	21.5

Hydraulic Conductivity =	1.45E-06 **cm/s**	4.75E-08 **ft/s**
Maximum =	2.86E-06 **cm/s**	9.38E-08 **ft/s**
Minimum =	0.00E+00 **cm/s**	0.00E+00 **ft/s**





Flow Pressure (psi) =	15			30			45			30			15		
Time (min)	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow
0	80.80	0.0	0.0	80.88	0.0	0.0	81.05	0.0	0.0	81.27	0.0	0.0	81.28	0.0	0.0
1	80.81	0.0	0.0	80.92	0.0	0.0	81.11	0.1	0.1	81.28	0.0	0.0	81.28	0.0	0.0
2	80.81	0.0	0.0	80.95	0.1	0.0	81.17	0.1	0.1	81.28	0.0	0.0	81.28	0.0	0.0
3	80.82	0.0	0.0	80.98	0.1	0.0	81.21	0.2	0.0	81.28	0.0	0.0	81.28	0.0	0.0
4	80.83	0.0	0.0	81.01	0.1	0.0	81.24	0.2	0.0	81.28	0.0	0.0	81.28	0.0	0.0
5	80.84	0.0	0.0	81.03	0.2	0.0	81.27	0.2	0.0	81.28	0.0	0.0	81.28	0.0	0.0

Average Flowrate (gal/min) =	0.0	0.0	0.04	0.00	0.00
Applied pressure (psi) =	15	30	45	30	15
Friction loss/foot (psi) =	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08
Friction loss (psi) =	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7
Effective pressure (psi) =	13.3	28.3	43.3	28.3	13.3

USBR 7310-89 Calculation for Hydraulic Conductivity

q (constant rate of flow into the test interval), cm^3/s =	0.5	1.9	2.8	0.1	0.0
L (length of the test interval), cm =	182.9	182.9	182.9	182.9	182.9
H_g (distance from ground water to pressure gauge), cm =	234.7	234.7	234.7	234.7	234.7
H_p (linear units of water head), cm =	939.6	1995.7	3051.8	1995.7	939.6
H (total gravity and pressure differential head), cm=	1174.3	2230.4	3286.5	2230.4	1174.3
r (radius of borehole), cm =	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8
k (hydraulic conductivity), cm/s =	1.45E-06	2.86E-06	2.85E-06	1.91E-07	0.00E+00
k (hydraulic conductivity), ft/s =	4.75E-08	9.38E-08	9.34E-08	6.25E-09	0.00E+00

PolyMet 2014 Packer Testing

Performed: 5/2/2014

Analyzed: 5/21/2014

B14-55, 37 - 41.5ft

Notes: Double Packer Test

Packer length (ft) =	2
Screened interval (ft) =	3
Total depth of boring (ft) =	50.5
Test interval top (ft) =	37
Test interval bottom (ft) =	41.5
Original GWT (ft) =	0
GWT before inflation (ft) =	0
GWT after inflation (ft) =	0
GWT during test (ft) =	0
GWT at end of test (ft) =	0
Height of pressure gauge (ft) =	3
Packer inflation pressure (psi) =	140
RQD for test interval (%) =	30
Borehole radius (inches) =	1.5

Total testing interval (ft) =	4.5
Length of pipe above ground (ft) =	3
Length of pipe below ground (ft) =	35
Total length for losses (ft) =	38

Hydraulic Conductivity =	7.18E-04	cm/s	2.36E-05 ft/s
Maximum =	1.42E-03	cm/s	4.66E-05 ft/s
Minimum =	7.18E-04	cm/s	2.36E-05 ft/s





Flow Pressure (psi) =	15			30			45			30			15		
Time (min)	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow
0	0.40	0.0	0.0	2.50	0.0	0.0	5.20	0.0	0.0	9.70	0.0	0.0	5.70	0.0	0.0
1	4.50	4.1	4.1	8.50	6.0	6.0	13.40	8.2	8.2	16.30	6.6	6.6	10.80	5.1	5.1
2	8.20	7.8	3.7	14.60	12.1	6.1	21.90	16.7	8.5	23.20	13.5	6.9	15.90	10.2	5.1
3	12.00	11.6	3.8	20.90	18.4	6.3	30.30	25.1	8.4	30.00	20.3	6.8	21.00	15.3	5.1
4	15.50	15.1	3.5	27.00	24.5	6.1	38.50	33.3	8.2	36.90	27.2	6.9	26.20	20.5	5.2
5	19.80	19.4	4.3	33.40	30.9	6.4	47.00	41.8	8.5	43.70	34.0	6.8	31.20	25.5	5.0

Average Flowrate (gal/min) =	3.88	6.18	8.36	6.80	5.10
Applied pressure (psi) =	15	30	45	30	15
Friction loss/foot (psi) =	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08
Friction loss (psi) =	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9
Effective pressure (psi) =	12.1	27.1	42.1	27.1	12.1

USBR 7310-89 Calculation for Hydraulic Conductivity

q (constant rate of flow into the test interval), cm^3/s =	244.8	389.9	527.4	429.0	321.8
L (length of the test interval), cm =	137.2	137.2	137.2	137.2	137.2
H_g (distance from ground water to pressure gauge), cm =	91.4	91.4	91.4	91.4	91.4
H_p (linear units of water head), cm =	850.1	1906.2	2962.4	1906.2	850.1
H (total gravity and pressure differential head), cm=	941.6	1997.7	3053.8	1997.7	941.6
r (radius of borehole), cm =	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8
k (hydraulic conductivity), cm/s =	1.08E-03	8.12E-04	7.18E-04	8.93E-04	1.42E-03
k (hydraulic conductivity), ft/s =	3.55E-05	2.66E-05	2.36E-05	2.93E-05	4.66E-05

PolyMet 2014 Packer Testing

Performed: 5/2/2014

Analyzed: 5/21/2014

B14-55, 41.5 - 46.5ft

Notes: Double Packer Test

Packer length (ft) =	2
Screened interval (ft) =	3
Total depth of boring (ft) =	50.5
Test interval top (ft) =	41.5
Test interval bottom (ft) =	46
Original GWT (ft) =	0
GWT before inflation (ft) =	0
GWT after inflation (ft) =	0
GWT during test (ft) =	0
GWT at end of test (ft) =	0
Height of pressure gauge (ft) =	3
Packer inflation pressure (psi) =	140
RQD for test interval (%) =	100
Borehole radius (inches) =	1.5

Total testing interval (ft) =	4.5
Length of pipe above ground (ft) =	3
Length of pipe below ground (ft) =	39.5
Total length for losses (ft) =	42.5

Hydraulic Conductivity =	0.00E+00 **cm/s**	0.00E+00 **ft/s**
Maximum =	0.00E+00 **cm/s**	0.00E+00 **ft/s**
Minimum =	0.00E+00 **cm/s**	0.00E+00 **ft/s**





Flow Pressure (psi) =	15			30			45			30			15		
Time (min)	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow
0	5.50	0.0	0.0	5.50	0.0	0.0	5.50	0.0	0.0	5.50	0.0	0.0	5.50	0.0	0.0
1	5.50	0.0	0.0	5.50	0.0	0.0	5.50	0.0	0.0	5.50	0.0	0.0	5.50	0.0	0.0
2	5.50	0.0	0.0	5.50	0.0	0.0	5.50	0.0	0.0	5.50	0.0	0.0	5.50	0.0	0.0
3	5.50	0.0	0.0	5.50	0.0	0.0	5.50	0.0	0.0	5.50	0.0	0.0	5.50	0.0	0.0
4	5.50	0.0	0.0	5.50	0.0	0.0	5.50	0.0	0.0	5.50	0.0	0.0	5.50	0.0	0.0
5	5.50	0.0	0.0	5.50	0.0	0.0	5.50	0.0	0.0	5.50	0.0	0.0	5.50	0.0	0.0

Average Flowrate (gal/min) =	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Applied pressure (psi) =	15	30	45	30	15
Friction loss/foot (psi) =	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08
Friction loss (psi) =	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3
Effective pressure (psi) =	11.7	26.7	41.7	26.7	11.7

USBR 7310-89 Calculation for Hydraulic Conductivity

q (constant rate of flow into the test interval), cm^3/s =	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
L (length of the test interval), cm =	137.2	137.2	137.2	137.2	137.2
H_g (distance from ground water to pressure gauge), cm =	91.4	91.4	91.4	91.4	91.4
H_p (linear units of water head), cm =	825.7	1881.9	2938.0	1881.9	825.7
H (total gravity and pressure differential head), cm=	917.2	1973.3	3029.4	1973.3	917.2
r (radius of borehole), cm =	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8
k (hydraulic conductivity), cm/s =	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
k (hydraulic conductivity), ft/s =	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00

PolyMet 2014 Packer Testing

Performed: 5/2/2014

Analyzed: 5/21/2014

B14-55, 46 - 50.5ft

Notes: Single Packer Test

Packer length (ft) =	2
Screened interval (ft) =	
Total depth of boring (ft) =	50.5
Test interval top (ft) =	46
Test interval bottom (ft) =	50.5
Original GWT (ft) =	0
GWT before inflation (ft) =	0
GWT after inflation (ft) =	0
GWT during test (ft) =	0
GWT at end of test (ft) =	0
Height of pressure gauge (ft) =	3
Packer inflation pressure (psi) =	140
RQD for test interval (%) =	48
Borehole radius (inches) =	1.5

Total testing interval (ft) =	4.5
Length of pipe above ground (ft) =	3
Length of pipe below ground (ft) =	44
Total length for losses (ft) =	47

Hydraulic Conductivity =	0.00E+00 **cm/s**	0.00E+00 **ft/s**
Maximum =	0.00E+00 **cm/s**	0.00E+00 **ft/s**
Minimum =	0.00E+00 **cm/s**	0.00E+00 **ft/s**





Flow Pressure (psi) =	15			30			45			30			15		
Time (min)	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow
0	1.20	0.0	0.0	1.30	0.0	0.0	1.30	0.0	0.0	1.30	0.0	0.0	1.30	0.0	0.0
1	1.20	0.0	0.0	1.30	0.0	0.0	1.30	0.0	0.0	1.30	0.0	0.0	1.30	0.0	0.0
2	1.20	0.0	0.0	1.30	0.0	0.0	1.30	0.0	0.0	1.30	0.0	0.0	1.30	0.0	0.0
3	1.20	0.0	0.0	1.30	0.0	0.0	1.30	0.0	0.0	1.30	0.0	0.0	1.30	0.0	0.0
4	1.20	0.0	0.0	1.30	0.0	0.0	1.30	0.0	0.0	1.30	0.0	0.0	1.30	0.0	0.0
5	1.20	0.0	0.0	1.30	0.0	0.0	1.30	0.0	0.0	1.30	0.0	0.0	1.30	0.0	0.0

Average Flowrate (gal/min) =	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Applied pressure (psi) =	15	30	45	30	15
Friction loss/foot (psi) =	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08
Friction loss (psi) =	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6
Effective pressure (psi) =	11.4	26.4	41.4	26.4	11.4

USBR 7310-89 Calculation for Hydraulic Conductivity

q (constant rate of flow into the test interval), cm^3/s =	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
L (length of the test interval), cm =	137.2	137.2	137.2	137.2	137.2
H_g (distance from ground water to pressure gauge), cm =	91.4	91.4	91.4	91.4	91.4
H_p (linear units of water head), cm =	801.3	1857.5	2913.6	1857.5	801.3
H (total gravity and pressure differential head), cm=	892.8	1948.9	3005.0	1948.9	892.8
r (radius of borehole), cm =	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8
k (hydraulic conductivity), cm/s =	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
k (hydraulic conductivity), ft/s =	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00

PolyMet 2014 Packer Testing

B14-44, 34 - 42ft

Performed: 4/3/2014

Analyzed: 5/21/2014

Notes: Single Packer Test

Packer length (ft) =	2
Screened interval (ft) =	
Total depth of boring (ft) =	42
Test interval top (ft) =	34
Test interval bottom (ft) =	42
Original GWT (ft) =	0
GWT before inflation (ft) =	0
GWT after inflation (ft) =	0
GWT during test (ft) =	0
GWT at end of test (ft) =	0
Height of pressure gauge (ft) =	3
Packer inflation pressure (psi) =	140
RQD for test interval (%) =	69
Borehole radius (inches) =	1.5

Total testing interval (ft) =	8
Length of pipe above ground (ft) =	3
Length of pipe below ground (ft) =	32
Total length for losses (ft) =	35

Hydraulic Conductivity =	3.93E-05 **cm/s**	1.29E-06 **ft/s**
Maximum =	1.29E-04 **cm/s**	4.24E-06 **ft/s**
Minimum =	3.93E-05 **cm/s**	1.29E-06 **ft/s**





Flow Pressure (psi) =	15			30			45			30			15		
Time (min)	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow
0	7.0	0.0	0.0	8.5	0.0	0.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0
1	7.1	0.1	0.1	9.2	0.7	0.7	3.9	1.3	1.3	4.0	1.3	1.3	1.1	0.6	0.6
2	7.4	0.4	0.3	9.9	1.4	0.7	5.8	3.2	1.9	5.6	2.9	1.6	1.8	1.3	0.7
3	7.7	0.7	0.3	10.5	2.0	0.6	6.7	4.1	0.9	7.1	4.4	1.5	2.6	2.1	0.8
4	7.9	0.9	0.2	11.2	2.7	0.7	8.3	5.7	1.6	8.7	6.0	1.6	3.3	2.8	0.7
5	8.1	1.1	0.2	11.9	3.4	0.7	11.4	8.8	3.1	10.3	7.6	1.6	3.9	3.4	0.6

Average Flowrate (gal/min) =	0.2	0.7	1.8	1.5	0.7
Applied pressure (psi) =	15	30	45	30	15
Friction loss/foot (psi) =	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08
Friction loss (psi) =	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7
Effective pressure (psi) =	12.3	27.3	42.3	27.3	12.3

USBR 7310-89 Calculation for Hydraulic Conductivity

q (constant rate of flow into the test interval), cm^3/s =	13.9	42.9	111.0	95.9	42.9
L (length of the test interval), cm =	243.8	243.8	243.8	243.8	243.8
H_g (distance from ground water to pressure gauge), cm =	91.4	91.4	91.4	91.4	91.4
H_p (linear units of water head), cm =	866.4	1922.5	2978.6	1922.5	866.4
H (total gravity and pressure differential head), cm=	957.8	2014.0	3070.1	2014.0	957.8
r (radius of borehole), cm =	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8
k (hydraulic conductivity), cm/s =	3.93E-05	5.78E-05	9.82E-05	1.29E-04	1.22E-04
k (hydraulic conductivity), ft/s =	1.29E-06	1.90E-06	3.22E-06	4.24E-06	3.99E-06

PolyMet 2014 Packer Testing

Performed: 4/3/2014

Analyzed: 5/21/2014

B14-44, 42 - 46ft

Notes: Double Packer Test

Packer length (ft) =	2
Screened interval (ft) =	3
Total depth of boring (ft) =	46
Test interval top (ft) =	42
Test interval bottom (ft) =	46
Original GWT (ft) =	0
GWT before inflation (ft) =	0
GWT after inflation (ft) =	0
GWT during test (ft) =	0
GWT at end of test (ft) =	0
Height of pressure gauge (ft) =	3
Packer inflation pressure (psi) =	140
RQD for test interval (%) =	92
Borehole radius (inches) =	1.5

Total testing interval (ft) =	4
Length of pipe above ground (ft) =	3
Length of pipe below ground (ft) =	40
Total length for losses (ft) =	43

Hydraulic Conductivity =	5.79E-05 **cm/s**	1.90E-06 **ft/s**
Maximum =	8.12E-05 **cm/s**	2.66E-06 **ft/s**
Minimum =	0.00E+00 **cm/s**	0.00E+00 **ft/s**





Flow Pressure (psi) =	15			30			45			30			15		
Time (min)	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow
0	4.6	0.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	9.2	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0	3.8	0.0	0.0
1	4.9	0.3	0.3	6.8	0.5	0.5	9.9	0.7	0.7	3.1	0.2	0.2	3.8	0.0	0.0
2	5.2	0.6	0.3	7.1	0.8	0.3	10.6	1.4	0.7	3.3	0.4	0.2	3.8	0.0	0.0
3	5.5	0.9	0.3	7.5	1.2	0.4	11.2	2.0	0.6	3.3	0.4	0.0	3.8	0.0	0.0
4	5.7	1.1	0.2	7.9	1.6	0.4	11.9	2.7	0.7	3.4	0.5	0.1	3.8	0.0	0.0
5	5.9	1.3	0.2	8.3	2.0	0.4	12.6	3.4	0.7	3.8	0.9	0.4	3.8	0.0	0.0

Average Flowrate (gal/min) =	0.3	0.4	0.7	0.2	0.0
Applied pressure (psi) =	15	30	45	30	15
Friction loss/foot (psi) =	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08
Friction loss (psi) =	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3
Effective pressure (psi) =	11.7	26.7	41.7	26.7	11.7

USBR 7310-89 Calculation for Hydraulic Conductivity

q (constant rate of flow into the test interval), cm^3/s =	16.4	25.2	42.9	11.4	0.0
L (length of the test interval), cm =	121.9	121.9	121.9	121.9	121.9
H_g (distance from ground water to pressure gauge), cm =	91.4	91.4	91.4	91.4	91.4
H_p (linear units of water head), cm =	823.0	1879.1	2935.3	1879.1	823.0
H (total gravity and pressure differential head), cm=	914.4	1970.6	3026.7	1970.6	914.4
r (radius of borehole), cm =	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8
k (hydraulic conductivity), cm/s =	8.12E-05	5.79E-05	6.41E-05	2.61E-05	0.00E+00
k (hydraulic conductivity), ft/s =	2.66E-06	1.90E-06	2.10E-06	8.55E-07	0.00E+00

PolyMet 2014 Packer Testing

Performed: 4/18/2014

Analyzed: 5/21/2014

B14-65, 24 - 30ft

Notes: Double Packer Test

Packer length (ft) =	2
Screened interval (ft) =	5
Total depth of boring (ft) =	37
Test interval top (ft) =	24
Test interval bottom (ft) =	30
Original GWT (ft) =	0
GWT before inflation (ft) =	0
GWT after inflation (ft) =	0
GWT during test (ft) =	0
GWT at end of test (ft) =	0
Height of pressure gauge (ft) =	3
Packer inflation pressure (psi) =	140
RQD for test interval (%) =	81
Borehole radius (inches) =	1.5

Total testing interval (ft) =	6
Length of pipe above ground (ft) =	8.5
Length of pipe below ground (ft) =	22
Total length for losses (ft) =	30.5

Hydraulic Conductivity =	5.19E-05 **cm/s**	1.70E-06 **ft/s**
Maximum =	6.18E-05 **cm/s**	2.03E-06 **ft/s**
Minimum =	5.19E-05 **cm/s**	1.70E-06 **ft/s**





Flow Pressure (psi) =	15			30			45			30			15		
Time (min)	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow
0	4.5	0.0	0.0	6.1	0.0	0.0	9.7	0.0	0.0	4.5	0.0	0.0	7.3	0.0	0.0
1	4.7	0.2	0.2	6.6	0.5	0.5	10.6	0.9	0.9	5.0	0.5	0.5	7.5	0.2	0.2
2	4.9	0.4	0.2	7.2	1.1	0.6	11.5	1.8	0.9	5.6	1.1	0.6	7.8	0.5	0.3
3	5.2	0.7	0.3	7.7	1.6	0.5	12.3	2.6	0.8	6.2	1.7	0.6	8.0	0.7	0.2
4	5.5	1.0	0.3	8.3	2.2	0.6	13.3	3.6	1.0	6.7	2.2	0.5	8.3	1.0	0.3
5	5.7	1.2	0.2	8.8	2.7	0.5	14.2	4.5	0.9	7.3	2.8	0.6	8.6	1.3	0.3

Average Flowrate (gal/min) =	0.2	0.5	0.9	0.6	0.3
Applied pressure (psi) =	15	30	45	30	15
Friction loss/foot (psi) =	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08
Friction loss (psi) =	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
Effective pressure (psi) =	12.7	27.7	42.7	27.7	12.7

USBR 7310-89 Calculation for Hydraulic Conductivity

q (constant rate of flow into the test interval), cm^3/s =	15.1	34.1	56.8	35.3	16.4
L (length of the test interval), cm =	182.9	182.9	182.9	182.9	182.9
H_g (distance from ground water to pressure gauge), cm =	91.4	91.4	91.4	91.4	91.4
H_p (linear units of water head), cm =	890.8	1946.9	3003.0	1946.9	890.8
H (total gravity and pressure differential head), cm=	982.2	2038.3	3094.5	2038.3	982.2
r (radius of borehole), cm =	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8
k (hydraulic conductivity), cm/s =	5.19E-05	5.63E-05	6.18E-05	5.84E-05	5.63E-05
k (hydraulic conductivity), ft/s =	1.70E-06	1.85E-06	2.03E-06	1.92E-06	1.85E-06

PolyMet 2014 Packer Testing

Performed: 4/18/2014

Analyzed: 5/21/2014

B14-65, 27.5 - 33.5ft

Notes: Double Packer Test

Packer length (ft) =	2
Screened interval (ft) =	5
Total depth of boring (ft) =	37
Test interval top (ft) =	27.5
Test interval bottom (ft) =	33.5
Original GWT (ft) =	0
GWT before inflation (ft) =	0
GWT after inflation (ft) =	0
GWT during test (ft) =	0
GWT at end of test (ft) =	0
Height of pressure gauge (ft) =	3
Packer inflation pressure (psi) =	140
RQD for test interval (%) =	74
Borehole radius (inches) =	1.5

Total testing interval (ft) =	6
Length of pipe above ground (ft) =	5
Length of pipe below ground (ft) =	25.5
Total length for losses (ft) =	30.5

Hydraulic Conductivity =	1.90E-04 **cm/s**	6.23E-06 **ft/s**
Maximum =	3.64E-04 **cm/s**	1.19E-05 **ft/s**
Minimum =	1.90E-04 **cm/s**	6.23E-06 **ft/s**





Flow Pressure (psi) =	15			30			45			30			15		
Time (min)	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow
0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.5	0.0	0.0	7.5	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.0
1	1.1	1.1	1.1	8.5	2.0	2.0	10.7	3.2	3.2	12.0	2.9	2.9	5.9	1.6	1.6
2	2.1	2.1	1.0	10.3	3.8	1.8	14.5	7.0	3.8	15.0	5.9	3.0	7.6	3.3	1.7
3	3.2	3.2	1.1	12.1	5.6	1.8	18.8	11.3	4.3	17.9	8.8	2.9	9.2	4.9	1.6
4	4.1	4.1	0.9	13.9	7.4	1.8	23.5	16.0	4.7	21.0	11.9	3.1	11.0	6.7	1.8
5	5.3	5.3	1.2	15.6	9.1	1.7	28.0	20.5	4.5	24.0	14.9	3.0	12.7	8.4	1.7

Average Flowrate (gal/min) =	1.1	1.8	4.1	3.0	1.7
Applied pressure (psi) =	15	30	45	30	15
Friction loss/foot (psi) =	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08
Friction loss (psi) =	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
Effective pressure (psi) =	12.7	27.7	42.7	27.7	12.7

USBR 7310-89 Calculation for Hydraulic Conductivity

q (constant rate of flow into the test interval), cm^3/s =	66.9	114.8	258.7	188.0	106.0
L (length of the test interval), cm =	182.9	182.9	182.9	182.9	182.9
H_g (distance from ground water to pressure gauge), cm =	91.4	91.4	91.4	91.4	91.4
H_p (linear units of water head), cm =	890.8	1946.9	3003.0	1946.9	890.8
H (total gravity and pressure differential head), cm=	982.2	2038.3	3094.5	2038.3	982.2
r (radius of borehole), cm =	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8
k (hydraulic conductivity), cm/s =	2.29E-04	1.90E-04	2.82E-04	3.11E-04	3.64E-04
k (hydraulic conductivity), ft/s =	7.53E-06	6.23E-06	9.24E-06	1.02E-05	1.19E-05

PolyMet 2014 Packer Testing

Performed: 4/3/2014

Analyzed: 5/21/2014

B14-76, 37 - 42ft

Notes: Single Packer Test

Packer length (ft) =	2
Screened interval (ft) =	5
Total depth of boring (ft) =	42
Test interval top (ft) =	37
Test interval bottom (ft) =	42
Original GWT (ft) =	0
GWT before inflation (ft) =	0
GWT after inflation (ft) =	0
GWT during test (ft) =	0
GWT at end of test (ft) =	0
Height of pressure gauge (ft) =	3
Packer inflation pressure (psi) =	140
RQD for test interval (%) =	70
Borehole radius (inches) =	1.5

Total testing interval (ft) =	5
Length of pipe above ground (ft) =	3
Length of pipe below ground (ft) =	35
Total length for losses (ft) =	38

Hydraulic Conductivity =	9.00E-05 **cm/s**	2.95E-06 **ft/s**
Maximum =	3.36E-04 **cm/s**	1.10E-05 **ft/s**
Minimum =	9.00E-05 **cm/s**	2.95E-06 **ft/s**





Flow Pressure (psi) =	15			30			45			30			15		
Time (min)	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow	Flow (gal)	Cumulative	Partial Flow
0	9.5	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	8.4	0.0	0.0
1	10.0	0.5	0.5	3.2	0.7	0.7	10.8	2.8	2.8	6.7	2.7	2.7	9.7	1.3	1.3
2	10.5	1.0	0.5	3.9	1.4	0.7	14.0	6.0	3.2	9.1	5.1	2.4	11.1	2.7	1.4
3	10.5	1.0	0.0	4.7	2.2	0.8	17.0	9.0	3.0	11.5	7.5	2.4	12.4	4.0	1.3
4	11.0	1.5	0.5	5.5	3.0	0.8	20.1	12.1	3.1	13.9	9.9	2.4	13.6	5.2	1.2
5	11.5	2.0	0.5	6.2	3.7	0.7	23.2	15.2	3.1	16.0	12.0	2.1	14.9	6.5	1.3

Average Flowrate (gal/min) =	0.4	0.7	3.0	2.4	1.3
Applied pressure (psi) =	15	30	45	30	15
Friction loss/foot (psi) =	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08
Friction loss (psi) =	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9
Effective pressure (psi) =	12.1	27.1	42.1	27.1	12.1

USBR 7310-89 Calculation for Hydraulic Conductivity

q (constant rate of flow into the test interval), cm^3/s =	25.2	46.7	191.8	151.4	82.0
L (length of the test interval), cm =	152.4	152.4	152.4	152.4	152.4
H_g (distance from ground water to pressure gauge), cm =	91.4	91.4	91.4	91.4	91.4
H_p (linear units of water head), cm =	850.1	1906.2	2962.4	1906.2	850.1
H (total gravity and pressure differential head), cm=	941.6	1997.7	3053.8	1997.7	941.6
r (radius of borehole), cm =	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8
k (hydraulic conductivity), cm/s =	1.03E-04	9.00E-05	2.42E-04	2.92E-04	3.36E-04
k (hydraulic conductivity), ft/s =	3.39E-06	2.95E-06	7.94E-06	9.58E-06	1.10E-05

Exhibit F

Laboratory Test Results

Laboratory Test Summary

Project: PolyMet #23690C29 Job: 9352

Client: Barr Engineering Company Date: 6/3/2014

Sample Information & Classification								
Boring #	B-14-65	B-14-69	B-14-69	B-14-69	B-14-96			
Sample #								
Depth (ft)	5-7	2.5-4.5	10-12	15-17	3-5			
Type or BPF	3T	3T	3T	3T	3T			
Material Classification	Peat (PT)	Peat (PT)	Peat (PT)	Peat (PT)	Peat (PT)			
Organic Content (ASTM:D2974)								
Organic Content (%)	83.7	77.4	78.4	83.9	76.0			
Atterberg Limits (ASTM:D4318)								
Liquid Limit (%)	479.3	429.0	574.2	612.3	411.4			
Plastic Limit (%)	440.9	412.2	198.3	536.2	368.6			
Plasticity Index (%)	38.4	16.8	375.9	76.1	42.8			
Water Content (ASTM:D2216)								
Water Content (%)	496.2	413.1	616.4	590.4	445.4			

2401 W 66th Street

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

Laboratory Test Summary

Project: PolyMet Winter Investigation #23690C29.13 Job: 9352-A

Client: Barr Engineering Company Date: 7/11/2014

Sample Information & Classification

Boring #	B14-36	B14-40	B14-44	B14-44	B14-48	B14-52	B14-52	B14-52
Location								
Depth (ft)	7.5-9.5	0-11.5	20-22	27-27.5	5-7	9.5-11.5	30-32	40-42
Type or BPF	Jar	Composite	Jar	Jar	Jar	Jar	Jar	Jar
Material Classification	Silty Sand w/ gravel (SM)	Silty Sand w/ gravel (SM)	Silty Sand w/ gravel (SM)	Silty Clayey Sand (SC-SM/SC)	Silty Sand w/a little gravel (SM)	Sandy Silt (ML)	Silt (ML)	Silty Sand (SM)

Sample Information & Classification

Liquid Limit (%)	13.1	NP	NP	23.9	NP	NP	19.9	NP
Plastic Limit (%)	NP	NP	NP	17.3	NP	NP	18.2	NP
Plasticity Index (%)	NP	NP	NP	6.6	NP	NP	1.7	NP

Sample Information & Classification

Boring #	B14-55	B14-55	B14-62	B14-65	B14-65	B14-69	B14-72	B14-72
Location								
Depth (ft)	10-12	20-22	2.5-12	10-12	12.5-14.5	25-27	2.5-4.5	7.5-9.5
Type or BPF	Jar	Jar	Composite	Jar	Jar	Jar	Jar	Jar
Material Classification	Silty Sand w/a little gravel (SM)	Silty Sand w/ gravel (SM)	Silty Sand w/ gravel (SM)	Clayey Sand w/a trace of gravel and organic fines (SC)	Silty Sand w/a trace of gravel (SM)	Gravel w/ silt and sand (GP-GM/GC-GM)	Silt w/ sand and a trace of gravel (SP-SM)	Silty Sand w/ gravel

Atterberg Limits

Liquid Limit (%)	NP	14.0	NP	67.5	NP	18.3	NP	NP
Plastic Limit (%)	NP	12.1	NP	45.9	NP	14.3	NP	NP
Plasticity Index (%)	NP	1.9	NP	21.6	NP	4.0	NP	NP

Laboratory Test Summary

Project: PolyMet Winter Investigation #23690C29.13 Job: 9352-A

Client: Barr Engineering Company Date: 7/11/2014

Sample Information & Classification

Boring #	B14-76	B14-80						
Location								
Depth (ft)	9-22.5	2.5-4.5						
Type or BPF	Composite	Jar						
Material Classification	Silty Sand w/ gravel (SM)	Silty Sand w/ gravel (SM)						

Atterberg Limits

Liquid Limit (%)	13.1	NP						
Plastic Limit (%)	NP	NP						
Plasticity Index (%)	NP	NP						

Sample Information & Classification

Boring #								
Location								
Depth (ft)								
Type or BPF								
Material Classification								

Atterberg Limits

Liquid Limit (%)								
Plastic Limit (%)								
Plasticity Index (%)								

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **9352-A**

Project:	PolyMet Winter Investigation #23690C29.13	Test Date:	6/25/14
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	7/11/14

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
*	B14-36		7.5-9.5	SB	Silty Sand with gravel (SM)
●	B14-40		0-2, 4-6, 7-9, 9.5-11.5	SB	Silty Sand with gravel (SM)
◇	B14-44		20-22	SB	Silty Sand with gravel (SM)



Other Tests	*	●	◇
Liquid Limit	NP	NP	NP
Plastic Limit	NP	NP	NP
Plasticity Index	NP	NP	NP
Water Content	10.8	8.0	7.7
Dry Density (pcf)			
Specific Gravity	2.68*	2.68*	2.68*
Porosity			
Organic Content			
pH			
Shrinkage Limit			
Penetrometer			
Qu (psf)			

(* = assumed)

Percent Passing	*	●	◇
Mass (g)	206.6	865.0	321.3
2"			
1.5"			
1"	100.0	100.0	100.0
3/4"	82.1	86.1	92.9
3/8"	72.6	77.0	83.6
#4	68.5	69.2	74.1
#10	54.2	60.9	61.8
#20	44.0	52.3	49.9
#40	37.0	45.0	40.0
#100	25.6	31.8	24.7
#200	19.0	22.7	16.0

	*	●	◇
D_{60}			
D_{30}			
D_{10}			
C_U			
C_C			

Remarks:

2401 W 66th Street

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **9352-A**

Project:	PolyMet Winter Investigation #23690C29.13	Test Date:	6/25/14
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	7/11/14

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
Spec 1	B14-36		7.5-9.5	SB	Silty Sand with gravel (SM)
Spec 2	B14-40		0-2, 4-6, 7-9, 9.5-11.5	SB	Silty Sand with gravel (SM)
Spec 3	B14-44		20-22	SB	Silty Sand with gravel (SM)

Sieve Data

Specimen 1		Specimen 2		Specimen 3	
Sieve	% Passing	Sieve	% Passing	Sieve	% Passing
2"		2"		2"	
1.5"		1.5"		1.5"	
1"	100.0	1"	100.0	1"	100.0
3/4"	82.1	3/4"	86.1	3/4"	92.9
3/8"	72.6	3/8"	77.0	3/8"	83.6
#4	68.5	#4	69.2	#4	74.1
#10	54.2	#10	60.9	#10	61.8
#20	44.0	#20	52.3	#20	49.9
#40	37.0	#40	45.0	#40	40.0
#100	25.6	#100	31.8	#100	24.7
#200	19.0	#200	22.7	#200	16.0

Hydrometer Data

Specimen 1		Specimen 2		Specimen 3	
Diameter (mm)	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing
0.034	12.8	0.034	14.1	0.035	9.2
0.022	9.9	0.022	11.2	0.022	7.0
0.013	6.9	0.013	7.9	0.013	5.3
0.009	5.4	0.009	5.9	0.009	4.2
0.007	4.3	0.004	3.3	0.007	2.8
0.003	2.0	0.003	2.0	0.003	1.6
0.001	1.0	0.001	1.2	0.001	0.4

Remarks

Specimen 1	Specimen 2	Specimen 3

2401 West 66th Street

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, MN 55423

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **9352-A**

Project:	PolyMet Winter Investigation #23690C29.13	Test Date:	6/20/14
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	7/14/14

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
*	B14-44		27-27.6	SB	Silty Clayey Sand (SC-SM/SC)
●	B14-48		5-7	SB	Silty Sand w/a little gravel (SM)
◇	B14-52		9.5-11.5	SB	Sandy Silt (ML)



Other Tests

	*	●	◇
Liquid Limit	23.9	NP	NP
Plastic Limit	17.3	NP	NP
Plasticity Index	6.6	NP	NP
Water Content	10.1	16.4	18.0
Dry Density (pcf)			
Specific Gravity	2.68*	2.68*	2.68*
Porosity			
Organic Content			
pH			
Shrinkage Limit			
Penetrometer			
Qu (psf)			

(* = assumed)

Percent Passing

	*	●	◇
Mass (g)	240.2	246.2	260.4
2"			
1.5"			
1"		100.0	
3/4"		95.5	
3/8"	100.0	95.5	100.0
#4	98.3	95.5	99.6
#10	76.2	94.8	99.0
#20	58.8	92.8	97.0
#40	44.3	83.3	93.0
#100	28.1	42.3	84.4
#200	20.4	20.9	67.8

	*	●	◇
D_{60}			
D_{30}			
D_{10}			
C_U			
C_C			

Remarks:

2401 W 66th Street

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **9352-A**

Project:	PolyMet Winter Investigation #23690C29.13	Test Date:	6/20/14
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	7/14/14

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
Spec 1	B14-44		27-27.6	SB	Silty Clayey Sand (SC-SM/SC)
Spec 2	B14-48		5-7	SB	Silty Sand w/a little gravel (SM)
Spec 3	B14-52		9.5-11.5	SB	Sandy Silt (ML)

Sieve Data

Specimen 1		Specimen 2		Specimen 3	
Sieve	% Passing	Sieve	% Passing	Sieve	% Passing
2"		2"		2"	
1.5"		1.5"		1.5"	
1"		1"	100.0	1"	
3/4"		3/4"	95.5	3/4"	
3/8"	100.0	3/8"	95.5	3/8"	100.0
#4	98.3	#4	95.5	#4	99.6
#10	76.2	#10	94.8	#10	99.0
#20	58.8	#20	92.8	#20	97.0
#40	44.3	#40	83.3	#40	93.0
#100	28.1	#100	42.3	#100	84.4
#200	20.4	#200	20.9	#200	67.8

Hydrometer Data

Specimen 1		Specimen 2		Specimen 3	
Diameter (mm)	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing
0.035	13.6	0.036	9.4	0.031	36.7
0.022	11.3	0.023	6.6	0.021	26.0
0.013	9.1	0.013	5.0	0.013	14.5
0.009	7.6	0.009	3.9	0.009	10.0
0.007	6.1	0.007	3.2	0.007	6.1
0.003	3.8	0.003	1.8	0.003	3.4
0.001	2.3	0.001	1.6	0.001	1.8

Remarks

Specimen 1	Specimen 2	Specimen 3

2401 West 66th Street

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, MN 55423

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **9352-A**

Project:	PolyMet Winter Investigation #23690C29.13	Test Date:	6/19/14
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	6/24/14

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
*	B14-52		30-32	SB	Silt (ML)
●	B14-52		40-42	SB	Silty Sand (SM)
◇	B14-55		10-12	SB	Silty Sand with a little gravel (SM)



Other Tests

	*	●	◇
Liquid Limit	19.9	NP	NP
Plastic Limit	18.2	NP	NP
Plasticity Index	1.7	NP	NP
Water Content	22.0	18.8	11.7
Dry Density (pcf)			
Specific Gravity	2.68*	2.68*	2.68*
Porosity			
Organic Content			
pH			
Shrinkage Limit			
Penetrometer			
Qu (psf)			

(* = assumed)

Percent Passing

	*	●	◇
Mass (g)	148.8	243.2	386.4
2"			
1.5"			
1"			
3/4"			100.0
3/8"			98.0
#4		100.0	92.2
#10	100.0	99.5	83.0
#20	100.0	98.1	74.1
#40	100.0	94.8	64.5
#100	99.7	81.3	48.0
#200	95.1	45.6	36.2

	*	●	◇
D_{60}			
D_{30}			
D_{10}			
C_U			
C_C			

Remarks:

2401 W 66th Street

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **9352-A**

Project:	PolyMet Winter Investigation #23690C29.13	Test Date:	6/19/14
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	6/24/14

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
Spec 1	B14-52		30-32	SB	Silt (ML)
Spec 2	B14-52		40-42	SB	Silty Sand (SM)
Spec 3	B14-55		10-12	SB	Silty Sand with a little gravel (SM)

Sieve Data

Specimen 1		Specimen 2		Specimen 3	
Sieve	% Passing	Sieve	% Passing	Sieve	% Passing
2"		2"		2"	
1.5"		1.5"		1.5"	
1"		1"		1"	
3/4"		3/4"		3/4"	100.0
3/8"		3/8"		3/8"	98.0
#4		#4	100.0	#4	92.2
#10	100.0	#10	99.5	#10	83.0
#20	100.0	#20	98.1	#20	74.1
#40	100.0	#40	94.8	#40	64.5
#100	99.7	#100	81.3	#100	48.0
#200	95.1	#200	45.6	#200	36.2

Hydrometer Data

Specimen 1		Specimen 2		Specimen 3	
Diameter (mm)	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing
0.028	61.6	0.034	13.9	0.033	16.8
0.019	42.8	0.022	8.4	0.022	13.1
0.012	23.3	0.013	4.9	0.013	9.0
0.009	14.3	0.009	3.4	0.009	6.6
0.007	8.5	0.007	2.6	0.007	5.1
0.003	3.4	0.003	2.0	0.003	2.8
0.001	1.8	0.001	0.8	0.001	1.3

Remarks

Specimen 1	Specimen 2	Specimen 3

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **9352-A**

Project:	PolyMet Winter Investigation #23690C29.13	Test Date:	6/25/14
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	7/2/14

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
*	B14-55		20-22	SB	Silty Sand with gravel (SM)
●	B14-62		2.5-4.5, 5-7, 7.5-9.5, 10-12	SB	Silty Sand with gravel (SM)
◇	B14-65		10-12	SB	Clayey Sand with a trace of gravel and organic fines (SC)



Other Tests	*	●	◇
Liquid Limit	14.0	NP	67.5
Plastic Limit	12.1	NP	45.9
Plasticity Index	1.9	NP	21.6
Water Content	9.6	7.8	72.5
Dry Density (pcf)			
Specific Gravity	2.68*	2.68*	2.68*
Porosity			
Organic Content			
pH			
Shrinkage Limit			
Penetrometer			
Qu (psf)			

(* = assumed)

Percent Passing	*	●	◇
Mass (g)	309.6	1076.3	82.8
2"			
1.5"		100.0	
1"	100.0	96.2	
3/4"	95.7	90.6	
3/8"	90.8	84.7	100.0
#4	85.0	80.8	97.2
#10	76.1	70.3	86.0
#20	67.0	59.9	75.5
#40	56.8	50.1	65.1
#100	39.6	34.9	50.3
#200	28.3	26.2	42.6

	*	●	◇
D_{60}			
D_{30}			
D_{10}			
C_U			
C_C			

Remarks:

2401 W 66th Street

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **9352-A**

Project:	PolyMet Winter Investigation #23690C29.13	Test Date:	6/25/14
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	7/2/14

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
Spec 1	B14-55		20-22	SB	Silty Sand with gravel (SM)
Spec 2	B14-62		2.5-4.5, 5-7, 7.5-9.5, 10-12	SB	Silty Sand with gravel (SM)
Spec 3	B14-65		10-12	SB	Clayey Sand with a trace of gravel and organic fines (SC)

Sieve Data

Specimen 1		Specimen 2		Specimen 3	
Sieve	% Passing	Sieve	% Passing	Sieve	% Passing
2"		2"		2"	
1.5"		1.5"	100.0	1.5"	
1"	100.0	1"	96.2	1"	
3/4"	95.7	3/4"	90.6	3/4"	
3/8"	90.8	3/8"	84.7	3/8"	100.0
#4	85.0	#4	80.8	#4	97.2
#10	76.1	#10	70.3	#10	86.0
#20	67.0	#20	59.9	#20	75.5
#40	56.8	#40	50.1	#40	65.1
#100	39.6	#100	34.9	#100	50.3
#200	28.3	#200	26.2	#200	42.6

Hydrometer Data

Specimen 1		Specimen 2		Specimen 3	
Diameter (mm)	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing
0.033	19.3	0.033	17.7	0.033	33.1
0.021	16.2	0.021	15.0	0.021	27.2
0.012	12.4	0.012	12.4	0.012	22.8
0.009	9.5	0.009	9.0	0.009	19.0
0.006	7.4	0.006	5.5	0.006	15.3
0.003	4.6	0.003	4.6	0.003	9.2
0.001	2.2	0.001	2.5	0.001	5.1

Remarks

Specimen 1	Specimen 2	Specimen 3

2401 West 66th Street

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, MN 55423

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **9352-A**

Project:	PolyMet Winter Investigation #23690C29.13	Test Date:	6/19/14
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	6/24/14

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
*	B14-65		12.5-14.5	SB	Silty sand with a trace of gravel (SM)
●	B14-69		25-27	SB	Gravel with silt and sand (GP-GM/GC-GM)
◇	B14-72		2.5-4.5	SB	Silt with sand and a trace of gravel (ML)



Other Tests

	*	●	◇
Liquid Limit	15.4	18.3	22.0
Plastic Limit	NP	14.3	NP
Plasticity Index	NP	4.0	NP
Water Content	15.1	12.4	24.6
Dry Density (pcf)			
Specific Gravity	2.68*	2.68*	2.68*
Porosity			
Organic Content			
pH			
Shrinkage Limit			
Penetrometer			
Qu (psf)			

(* = assumed)

Percent Passing

	*	●	◇
Mass (g)	338.3	107.2	188.6
2"			
1.5"			
1"		100.0	
3/4"	100.0	81.9	100.0
3/8"	99.4	73.3	97.3
#4	97.5	49.2	96.4
#10	90.4	19.3	94.0
#20	81.0	15.3	91.2
#40	70.7	12.5	88.1
#100	52.9	9.2	82.3
#200	39.1	7.4	72.4

	*	●	◇
D_{60}			
D_{30}			
D_{10}			
C_U			
C_C			

Remarks:

2401 W 66th Street

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **9352-A**

Project:	PolyMet Winter Investigation #23690C29.13	Test Date:	6/19/14
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	6/24/14

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
Spec 1	B14-65		12.5-14.5	SB	Silty sand with a trace of gravel (SM)
Spec 2	B14-69		25-27	SB	Gravel with silt and sand (GP-GM/GC-GM)
Spec 3	B14-72		2.5-4.5	SB	Silt with sand and a trace of gravel (ML)

Sieve Data

Specimen 1		Specimen 2		Specimen 3	
Sieve	% Passing	Sieve	% Passing	Sieve	% Passing
2"		2"		2"	
1.5"		1.5"		1.5"	
1"		1"	100.0	1"	
3/4"	100.0	3/4"	81.9	3/4"	100.0
3/8"	99.4	3/8"	73.3	3/8"	97.3
#4	97.5	#4	49.2	#4	96.4
#10	90.4	#10	19.3	#10	94.0
#20	81.0	#20	15.3	#20	91.2
#40	70.7	#40	12.5	#40	88.1
#100	52.9	#100	9.2	#100	82.3
#200	39.1	#200	7.4	#200	72.4

Hydrometer Data

Specimen 1		Specimen 2		Specimen 3	
Diameter (mm)	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing
0.033	23.4	0.034	5.5	0.030	39.1
0.021	17.8	0.022	5.1	0.020	27.4
0.013	11.6	0.013	3.9	0.012	17.2
0.008	7.9	0.008	3.1	0.008	12.1
0.007	6.6	0.006	2.9	0.006	10.5
0.003	4.0	0.003	2.0	0.003	6.9
0.001	2.7	0.001	1.2	0.001	3.8

Remarks

Specimen 1	Specimen 2	Specimen 3

2401 West 66th Street

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, MN 55423

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **9352-A**

Project:	PolyMet Winter Investigation #23690C29.13	Test Date:	6/27/14
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	7/2/14

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
*	B14-72		7.5-9.5	SB	Silty Sand with gravel (SM)
●	B14-76		9-11, 12.5-14.5, 16-18, 20.5-22.5	SB	Silty Sand with gravel (SM)
◇	B14-80		2.5-4.5	SB	Silty Sand with gravel (SM)



Other Tests

	*	●	◇
Liquid Limit	NP	NP	NP
Plastic Limit	NP	NP	NP
Plasticity Index	NP	NP	NP
Water Content	9.2	9.2	8.3
Dry Density (pcf)			
Specific Gravity	2.68*	2.68*	2.68*
Porosity			
Organic Content			
pH			
Shrinkage Limit			
Penetrometer			
Qu (psf)			

(* = assumed)

Percent Passing

	*	●	◇
Mass (g)	296.3	805.6	216.7
2"			
1.5"	100.0	100.0	
1"	92.5	95.1	100.0
3/4"	88.8	89.4	92.6
3/8"	78.6	78.5	89.4
#4	69.9	70.1	83.1
#10	58.3	55.5	71.7
#20	48.7	45.9	60.2
#40	39.3	37.6	44.8
#100	25.4	25.0	24.9
#200	17.3	17.9	18.1

	*	●	◇
D_{60}			
D_{30}			
D_{10}			
C_U			
C_C			

Remarks:

2401 W 66th Street

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **9352-A**

Project:	PolyMet Winter Investigation #23690C29.13	Test Date:	6/27/14
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	7/2/14

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Soil Classification
Spec 1	B14-72		7.5-9.5	SB	Silty Sand with gravel (SM)
Spec 2	B14-76		9-11, 12.5-14.5, 16-18, 20.5-22.5	SB	Silty Sand with gravel (SM)
Spec 3	B14-80		2.5-4.5	SB	Silty Sand with gravel (SM)

Sieve Data

Specimen 1		Specimen 2		Specimen 3	
Sieve	% Passing	Sieve	% Passing	Sieve	% Passing
2"		2"		2"	
1.5"	100.0	1.5"	100.0	1.5"	
1"	92.5	1"	95.1	1"	100.0
3/4"	88.8	3/4"	89.4	3/4"	92.6
3/8"	78.6	3/8"	78.5	3/8"	89.4
#4	69.9	#4	70.1	#4	83.1
#10	58.3	#10	55.5	#10	71.7
#20	48.7	#20	45.9	#20	60.2
#40	39.3	#40	37.6	#40	44.8
#100	25.4	#100	25.0	#100	24.9
#200	17.3	#200	17.9	#200	18.1

Hydrometer Data

Specimen 1		Specimen 2		Specimen 3	
Diameter (mm)	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing	Diameter	% Passing
0.035	9.8	0.033	11.1	0.034	11.5
0.022	7.7	0.021	8.8	0.022	9.6
0.013	5.5	0.013	6.8	0.013	7.3
0.008	3.7	0.009	4.7	0.008	5.1
0.007	3.1	0.004	2.8	0.007	4.5
0.003	2.3	0.003	2.0	0.003	3.0
0.001	1.2	0.001	1.1	0.001	2.0

Remarks

Specimen 1	Specimen 2	Specimen 3

2401 West 66th Street

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, MN 55423

Moisture Density Curve ASTM: D698, Method B

Project: **PolyMet Winter Investigation #23690C29.13** Date: **6/30/14**

Client: **Barr Engineering Company** Job No. **9352A**

Sample: **Composite** Location: Depth(ft): **7.5-21** Boring: **B14-36,44,48,52**

Soil Type: **Composite of mostly Silty Sand w/ a little Clayey Sand (SM/SP-SM)**

As Received W.C. (%):	LL:	PL:	PI:	Specific Gravity:	*Assumed
◆ **10.2**	△	△	◆	◆ **2.67**	△

Maximum Dry Density (pcf): **132.0** Opt. Water Content (%): **7.6**



2401 W 66th Street

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

SET-R18a

Direct Shear Test

ASTM: D3080

Job No.: 9352-A

Project/Client: **PolyMet 2014 Investigation / Barr Engineering Company**

Boring No.: **B14-40** Sample No. Depth: **41643**

Location: Sample Type: **Bag**

Soil Type: **Silty Sand with gravel (SM)**

Test Date:	**6/25/2014**
Date Reported:	**6/30/2014**
Shear Rate	
0.005 (in/min)	
Liquid Limit:	
Plastic Limit:	
Plasticity Index:	
Specific Gravity (*):	**2.65**

Remarks: Specimens compacted to approximately 135 pcf wet density at as received moisture content using -#4 material; Inundated after applying normal load. Consolidated and sheared to given displacements at constant rate of 0.005 inches/minute.

(*) = Assumed Specific Gravity



Failure Criterion:				
Max Stress	A	B	C	D
Initial	▲	◇	○	X
Diameter (In.)	2.50	2.50	2.50	
Thickness (In.)	1.03	1.03	1.03	
Water Content (%)	7.7	7.7	7.7	
Dry Density (pcf)	125.4	125.4	125.4	
Before Shear				
Thickness (In.)	1.02	1.02	1.01	
Water Content (%)	11.6	11.3	10.8	
Dry Density (pcf)	126.6	127.3	128.6	
Normal Stress	0.50	1.00	2.00	
Shear Stress	0.61	1.04	1.90	

"These tests are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design."

Peak Conditions		At Given Shear Disp. Of:	0.1
Friction Angle:	ϕ= **40.6 deg.**	Friction Angle:	ϕ= **39.7 deg.**
Apparent Cohesion	**0.182 TSF**	Apparent Cohesion	**0.123 TSF**





2401 W 66th Street

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

Direct Shear Test

ASTM: D3080

Job No.: 9352-A

Project/Client: **PolyMet 2014 Investigation / Barr Engineering Company**

Boring No.: **B14-62** Sample No. Depth:

Location: Sample Type: **Bag**

Soil Type: **Silty Sand with gravel (SM)**

Test Date:	**6/25/2014**
Date Reported:	**6/30/2014**
Shear Rate	
0.005 (in/min)	

Remarks: Specimens compacted to approximately 135 pcf wet density at as received moisture content using -#4 material; Inundated after applying normal load. Consolidated and sheared to given displacements at constant rate of 0.005 inches/minute.

Liquid Limit:	
Plastic Limit:	
Plasticity Index:	
Specific Gravity (*):	**2.65**

(*) = Assumed Specific Gravity



Failure Criterion:				
Max Stress	A	B	C	D
Initial	▲	◇	○	X
Diameter (In.)	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50
Thickness (In.)	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.03
Water Content (%)	7.7	7.7	7.7	7.7
Dry Density (pcf)	125.4	125.4	125.4	125.4
Before Shear				
Thickness (In.)	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.01
Water Content (%)	11.6	11.4	11.2	11.0
Dry Density (pcf)	126.6	127.0	127.6	128.0
Normal Stress	0.50	1.00	2.00	3.00
Shear Stress	0.38	0.90	1.41	2.27

"These tests are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design."

Peak Conditions		At Given Shear Disp. Of: 0.15	
Friction Angle:	ϕ= **35.7 deg.**	Friction Angle:	ϕ= **34.2 deg.**
Apparent Cohesion	**0.072 TSF**	Apparent Cohesion	**0.060 TSF**





2401 W 66th Street

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

Direct Shear Test

ASTM: D3080

Job No.: 9352-A

Project/Client: **PolyMet 2014 Investigation / Barr Engineering Company**

Boring No.: **B14-76** Sample No. Depth:

Location: Sample Type: **Bag**

Soil Type:

Test Date:	**6/25/2014**
Date Reported:	**6/30/2014**
Shear Rate	
0.005 (in/min)	
Liquid Limit:	
Plastic Limit:	
Plasticity Index:	
Specific Gravity (*):	**2.65**

Remarks: Specimens compacted to approximately 135 pcf wet density at as received moisture content using -#4 material; Inundated after applying normal load. Consolidated and sheared to given displacements at constant rate of 0.005 inches/minute.

(*) = Assumed Specific Gravity



Failure Criterion:				
Max Stress	A	B	C	D
Initial	▲	◇	○	X
Diameter (In.)	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50
Thickness (In.)	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.03
Water Content (%)	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0
Dry Density (pcf)	123.9	123.9	123.9	123.9
Before Shear				
Thickness (In.)	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.00
Water Content (%)	12.2	12.1	11.9	11.1
Dry Density (pcf)	124.9	125.3	125.7	127.8
Normal Stress	0.50	1.00	2.00	4.00
Shear Stress	0.52	1.01	1.86	3.65

"These tests are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design."

Peak Conditions		At Given Shear Disp. Of:	0.2
Friction Angle:	ϕ= **41.5 deg.**	Friction Angle:	ϕ= **38.3 deg.**
Apparent Cohesion	**0.095 TSF**	Apparent Cohesion	**0.000 TSF**





2401 W 66th Street

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **9352**
Date: **6/11/14**

Project: **PolyMet #23690C29**
Boring #: **B14-65** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **5-7**
Soil Type: **Peat (PT)**







◆

■

△

+

X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Stress Ratio				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	69.4 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.00 (tsf)				
Test Date: 5/8/14			Liquid Limit:	479.3	
Test Type: CU w/pp			Plastic Limit:	440.9	
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.001282			Plasticity Index:	38.4	
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.050			Spec. Gravity :	1.61	
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.43	1.43	1.43		
Height (in)	2.89	2.89	2.95		
Water Content (%)	452.0	448.6	462.1		
Dry Density (pcf)	11.0	10.8	10.4		
Void Ratio	8.17	8.30	8.66		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.36	1.29	1.30		
Height (in)	2.57	2.19	2.05		
Water Content (%)	393.0	294.6	281.5		
Dry Density (pcf)	13.7	17.5	18.2		
Void Ratio	6.33	4.74	4.53		
Back Pressure (tsf)	3.7	3.5	3.7		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.50	2.00	4.00		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.71	2.05	4.02		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.70	1.97	4.02		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	0.65	2.04	4.02		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.55	1.88	3.89		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	0.95	0.95	0.95		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	7.8	20.5	25.0		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen trimmed to given dimensions; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 43.1 ° a = 0.0 (tsf)

---------- Effective ϕ': 69.4 ° c'= 0.00 (tsf)
_____ Total ϕ: 19.0 ° c= 0.05 (tsf)

2401 W 66th Street

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **9352**
Date: **6/11/14**

Project: **PolyMet #23690C29**
Boring #: **B14-65** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **5-7**
Soil Type: **Peat (PT)**







◆

■

△

+

X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Deviator Stress				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	66.0 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.09 (tsf)				
Test Date: 5/8/14			Liquid Limit:	479.3	
Test Type: CU w/pp			Plastic Limit:	440.9	
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.001282			Plasticity Index:	38.4	
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.050			Spec. Gravity :	1.61	
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.43	1.43	1.43		
Height (in)	2.89	2.89	2.95		
Water Content (%)	452.0	448.6	462.1		
Dry Density (pcf)	11.0	10.8	10.4		
Void Ratio	8.17	8.30	8.66		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.36	1.29	1.30		
Height (in)	2.57	2.19	2.05		
Water Content (%)	393.0	294.6	281.5		
Dry Density (pcf)	13.7	17.5	18.2		
Void Ratio	6.33	4.74	4.53		
Back Pressure (tsf)	3.7	3.5	3.7		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.50	2.00	4.00		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.71	2.05	4.02		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.70	1.97	4.02		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	0.71	2.05	4.02		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.55	1.88	3.89		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	0.95	0.95	0.95		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	15.6	18.3	25.0		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen trimmed to given dimensions; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 42.4 ° a = 0.0 (tsf)

---------- Effective ϕ': 66.0 ° c'= 0.09 (tsf)
_____ Total ϕ: 18.8 ° c= 0.07 (tsf)

2401 W 66th Street

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **9352**
Date: **6/11/14**

Project: **PolyMet #23690C29**
Boring #: **B14-65** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **5-7**
Soil Type: **Peat (PT)**







◆

■

△

+

X

Failure Criterion:	Given Strain of: 15%				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	49.2 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.17 (tsf)				
Test Date: 5/8/14			Liquid Limit:	479.3	
Test Type: CU w/pp			Plastic Limit:	440.9	
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.001282			Plasticity Index:	38.4	
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.050			Spec. Gravity :	1.61	
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.43	1.43	1.43		
Height (in)	2.89	2.89	2.95		
Water Content (%)	452.0	448.6	462.1		
Dry Density (pcf)	11.0	10.8	10.4		
Void Ratio	8.17	8.30	8.66		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.36	1.29	1.30		
Height (in)	2.57	2.19	2.05		
Water Content (%)	393.0	294.6	281.5		
Dry Density (pcf)	13.7	17.5	18.2		
Void Ratio	6.33	4.74	4.53		
Back Pressure (tsf)	3.7	3.5	3.7		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.50	2.00	4.00		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.71	2.05	4.02		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.70	1.97	4.02		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	0.69	1.98	3.52		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.55	1.88	3.89		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	0.95	0.95	0.95		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	15.0	15.0	15.0		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen trimmed to given dimensions; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 37.1 ° a = 0.1 (tsf)

---------- Effective ϕ': 49.2 ° c'= 0.17 (tsf)
_____ Total ϕ: 16.7 ° c= 0.12 (tsf)

2401 W 66th Street — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

Triaxial Data

Job: **9352**

Boring: B14-65 Sample: Depth: 5-7 Date: 6/11/14

Sample 1			Sample 2			Sample 3			Sample 4			Sample 5		
Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						
0.19	0.08	0.05	0.23	0.20	0.13	0.25	0.36	0.21						
0.39	0.13	0.09	0.46	0.35	0.23	0.49	0.59	0.37						
0.58	0.18	0.12	0.69	0.46	0.32	0.73	0.79	0.52						
0.78	0.22	0.16	0.91	0.56	0.39	0.98	0.95	0.67						
0.97	0.26	0.18	1.14	0.65	0.47	1.22	1.11	0.83						
1.17	0.28	0.20	1.37	0.72	0.54	1.46	1.24	0.95						
1.36	0.31	0.22	1.60	0.80	0.61	1.71	1.37	1.07						
1.56	0.33	0.24	1.83	0.86	0.66	1.95	1.47	1.19						
1.75	0.36	0.26	2.06	0.92	0.72	2.20	1.57	1.30						
1.95	0.36	0.28	2.28	0.97	0.77	2.44	1.66	1.40						
2.14	0.39	0.29	2.51	1.01	0.81	2.68	1.76	1.51						
2.34	0.39	0.30	2.74	1.06	0.86	2.93	1.83	1.59						
2.53	0.42	0.32	2.97	1.10	0.90	3.17	1.90	1.69						
2.73	0.42	0.33	3.20	1.15	0.94	3.42	1.97	1.77						
2.92	0.44	0.34	3.42	1.19	0.98	3.66	2.04	1.85						
3.12	0.44	0.35	3.65	1.22	1.02	3.90	2.10	1.93						
3.31	0.45	0.36	3.88	1.26	1.05	4.15	2.17	2.00						
3.51	0.46	0.37	4.11	1.29	1.08	4.39	2.23	2.08						
3.70	0.46	0.38	4.34	1.33	1.12	4.63	2.29	2.14						
3.90	0.47	0.39	4.57	1.36	1.15	4.88	2.35	2.20						
4.29	0.49	0.41	5.02	1.41	1.21	5.37	2.46	2.33						
4.68	0.51	0.42	5.48	1.46	1.26	5.85	2.56	2.44						
5.07	0.52	0.44	5.93	1.51	1.31	6.34	2.66	2.55						
5.46	0.53	0.45	6.39	1.55	1.35	6.83	2.74	2.64						
5.85	0.55	0.46	6.85	1.59	1.39	7.32	2.83	2.74						
6.24	0.56	0.47	7.31	1.63	1.43	7.80	2.90	2.82						
7.02	0.62	0.48	8.22	1.69	1.50	8.78	3.04	2.98						
7.80	0.65	0.50	9.13	1.75	1.56	9.75	3.12	3.11						
8.19	0.65	0.50	10.04	1.78	1.62	10.73	3.21	3.22						
8.57	0.66	0.51	10.96	1.84	1.66	11.70	3.29	3.33						
8.96	0.67	0.51	11.87	1.87	1.71	12.68	3.35	3.43						
9.35	0.67	0.52	12.78	1.91	1.74	13.66	3.44	3.51						
9.74	0.67	0.52	13.70	1.94	1.77	14.63	3.52	3.59						
10.13	0.67	0.53	14.61	1.98	1.80	15.61	3.62	3.66						
10.52	0.67	0.53	15.52	2.01	1.83	16.58	3.72	3.72						
10.91	0.67	0.53	16.43	2.03	1.85	17.56	3.81	3.77						
11.30	0.66	0.54	17.35	2.04	1.86	18.53	3.87	3.81						
11.69	0.66	0.54	18.26	2.05	1.87	19.51	3.90	3.83						
12.47	0.66	0.54	20.54	2.04	1.88	21.95	3.94	3.87						
13.25	0.66	0.54	22.83	2.00	1.87	24.38	4.00	3.88						
14.03	0.67	0.54	25.00	1.97	1.86	25.00	4.02	3.89						
14.81	0.69	0.55												
15.59	0.71	0.55												
17.54	0.68	0.52												
19.49	0.65	0.53												
21.44	0.66	0.53												
23.39	0.70	0.53												
25.00	0.70	0.53												

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **9352**
Date: **6/11/14**

Project: **PolyMet #23690C29**
Boring #: **B14-69** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **10-12**
Soil Type: **Peat (PT)**







◆

■

△

+

X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Stress Ratio				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	49.4 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.01 (tsf)				
Test Date: 5/8/14		Liquid Limit:	574.2		
Test Type: CU w/pp		Plastic Limit:	198.3		
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.00115		Plasticity Index:	375.9		
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.050		Spec. Gravity (Assumed):	1.61		
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.43	1.43	1.43		
Height (in)	2.87	2.89	2.87		
Water Content (%)	711.5	754.1	709.0		
Dry Density (pcf)	7.6	7.2	7.5		
Void Ratio	12.30	12.98	12.41		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.23	1.20	1.13		
Height (in)	2.30	2.05	1.75		
Water Content (%)	432.0	369.9	258.8		
Dry Density (pcf)	12.6	14.5	19.5		
Void Ratio	6.95	5.96	4.17		
Back Pressure (tsf)	3.5	5.8	4.6		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.00	3.00	5.00		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.91	2.21	4.42		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.91	2.21	4.42		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	0.91	2.21	4.42		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.92	2.56	4.35		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	0.95	0.95	0.95		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	25.0	25.0	25.0		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen trimmed to given dimensions; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 37.2 ° a = 0.0 (tsf)

---------- Effective ϕ': 49.4 ° c'= 0.01 (tsf)
_____ Total ϕ: 17.3 ° c= 0.00 (tsf)

2401 W 66th Street

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **9352**
Date: **6/11/14**

Project: **PolyMet #23690C29**
Boring #: **B14-69** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **10-12**
Soil Type: **Peat (PT)**







◆

■

△

+

X

Failure Criterion:	Max. Deviator Stress				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	49.6 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.00 (tsf)				
Test Date: 5/8/14	Liquid Limit: 574.2				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit: 198.3				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.00115	Plasticity Index: 375.9				
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.050	Spec. Gravity (Assumed): 1.61				
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.43	1.43	1.43		
Height (in)	2.87	2.89	2.87		
Water Content (%)	711.5	754.1	709.0		
Dry Density (pcf)	7.6	7.2	7.5		
Void Ratio	12.30	12.98	12.41		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.23	1.20	1.13		
Height (in)	2.30	2.05	1.75		
Water Content (%)	432.0	369.9	258.8		
Dry Density (pcf)	12.6	14.5	19.5		
Void Ratio	6.95	5.96	4.17		
Back Pressure (tsf)	3.5	5.8	4.6		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.00	3.00	5.00		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.91	2.21	4.42		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.91	2.21	4.42		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	0.91	2.21	4.42		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.92	2.56	4.35		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	0.95	0.95	0.95		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	23.9	25.0	25.0		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen trimmed to given dimensions; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.



Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 37.3 ° a = 0.0 (tsf)

---------- Effective ϕ': 49.6 ° c'= 0.00 (tsf)
_____ Total ϕ: 17.3 ° c= 0.00 (tsf)

2401 W 66th Street

OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **9352**
Date: **6/11/14**

Project: **PolyMet #23690C29**
Boring #: **B14-69** Sample #: Type: **3T** Depth (ft): **10-12**
Soil Type: **Peat (PT)**







◆

■

△

+

X

Failure Criterion:	Given Strain of: 15%				
Angle of internal friction, ϕ' =	33.9 °				
Apparent Cohesion, c' =	0.09 (tsf)				
Test Date: 5/8/14	Liquid Limit: 574.2				
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit: 198.3				
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.00115	Plasticity Index: 375.9				
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.050	Spec. Gravity (Assumed): 1.61				
Before Consolidation	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**
Diameter (in)	1.43	1.43	1.43		
Height (in)	2.87	2.89	2.87		
Water Content (%)	711.5	754.1	709.0		
Dry Density (pcf)	7.6	7.2	7.5		
Void Ratio	12.30	12.98	12.41		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.23	1.20	1.13		
Height (in)	2.30	2.05	1.75		
Water Content (%)	432.0	369.9	258.8		
Dry Density (pcf)	12.6	14.5	19.5		
Void Ratio	6.95	5.96	4.17		
Back Pressure (tsf)	3.5	5.8	4.6		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	1.00	3.00	5.00		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.91	2.21	4.42		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	0.91	2.21	4.42		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	0.87	2.16	4.08		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.92	2.56	4.35		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	0.95	0.95	0.95		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	15.0	15.0	15.0		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Specimen trimmed to given dimensions; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure	
α = 29.2 °	a = 0.1 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ': 33.9 °	c'=	0.09 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ: 16.5 °	c=	0.00 (tsf)

2401 W 66th Street — OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC. — Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

Triaxial Data

Job: 9352

Boring: B14-69 Sample: Depth: 10-12 Date: 6/11/14

Sample 1			Sample 2			Sample 3			Sample 4			Sample 5		
Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						
0.22	0.08	0.07	0.24	0.32	0.12	0.29	0.58	0.16						
0.44	0.15	0.12	0.49	0.52	0.23	0.57	0.97	0.23						
0.65	0.21	0.16	0.73	0.69	0.34	0.86	1.30	0.41						
0.87	0.26	0.20	0.98	0.84	0.44	1.14	1.51	0.50						
1.09	0.30	0.23	1.22	0.96	0.53	1.43	1.73	0.59						
1.31	0.33	0.26	1.47	1.07	0.62	1.71	1.90	0.75						
1.52	0.37	0.29	1.71	1.16	0.71	1.99	2.06	0.82						
1.74	0.40	0.32	1.96	1.22	0.77	2.28	2.19	0.94						
1.96	0.42	0.34	2.20	1.29	0.84	2.57	2.31	1.05						
2.17	0.46	0.36	2.45	1.36	0.91	2.85	2.40	1.11						
2.39	0.48	0.38	2.69	1.41	0.97	3.14	2.51	1.26						
2.61	0.50	0.40	2.94	1.45	1.03	3.42	2.60	1.32						
2.82	0.52	0.42	3.18	1.50	1.09	3.70	2.68	1.40						
3.04	0.53	0.44	3.42	1.55	1.14	3.99	2.76	1.53						
3.26	0.56	0.45	3.67	1.58	1.19	4.28	2.82	1.56						
3.48	0.57	0.44	3.91	1.63	1.24	4.56	2.90	1.69						
3.69	0.58	0.45	4.16	1.66	1.29	4.85	2.95	1.73						
3.91	0.60	0.47	4.40	1.70	1.33	5.13	3.02	1.81						
4.13	0.61	0.48	4.64	1.72	1.37	5.42	3.08	1.92						
4.34	0.62	0.49	4.89	1.75	1.41	5.70	3.12	1.94						
4.78	0.65	0.52	5.38	1.79	1.49	6.27	3.22	2.10						
5.21	0.68	0.55	5.87	1.83	1.55	6.84	3.31	2.26						
5.65	0.70	0.57	6.36	1.85	1.61	7.41	3.39	2.37						
6.08	0.71	0.59	6.85	1.88	1.66	7.98	3.46	2.45						
6.52	0.73	0.61	7.34	1.91	1.72	8.55	3.53	2.57						
6.95	0.74	0.63	7.82	1.94	1.77	9.12	3.60	2.72						
7.82	0.76	0.66	8.80	1.99	1.86	10.26	3.71	2.90						
8.69	0.78	0.69	9.78	2.03	1.94	11.40	3.82	3.06						
9.12	0.79	0.71	10.76	2.07	2.01	11.97	3.86	3.18						
9.56	0.79	0.72	11.74	2.10	2.08	12.54	3.91	3.25						
9.99	0.80	0.73	12.71	2.13	2.13	13.11	3.95	3.29						
10.43	0.81	0.74	13.69	2.15	2.18	13.68	3.99	3.37						
10.86	0.81	0.75	14.67	2.16	2.23	14.25	4.05	3.48						
11.29	0.82	0.76	15.65	2.16	2.27	14.82	4.08	3.54						
11.73	0.83	0.77	16.62	2.16	2.30	15.39	4.10	3.57						
12.16	0.83	0.78	17.60	2.16	2.34	15.96	4.14	3.63						
12.60	0.84	0.79	18.58	2.16	2.37	16.53	4.18	3.73						
13.03	0.85	0.80	19.56	2.16	2.40	17.10	4.19	3.78						
13.90	0.86	0.81	22.00	2.18	2.47	18.24	4.23	3.84						
14.77	0.87	0.82	24.45	2.20	2.55	19.38	4.28	3.97						
15.64	0.87	0.84	25.00	2.21	2.56	20.52	4.31	4.02						
16.51	0.88	0.85				21.66	4.36	4.12						
17.38	0.88	0.86				22.80	4.39	4.19						
19.55	0.89	0.88				25.00	4.42	4.35						
21.72	0.90	0.90												
23.89	0.91	0.91												
25.00	0.91	0.92												

Void Ratio and % Settlement vs. Log of Pressure



Project:	PolyMet #23690C29									Date:	6/3/14
Sample #:		Boring #: B14-65			Depth ft: 5-7					Job #:	9352
Soil Type: Peat (PT)											
Initial W/C (%): 496.2		Dry Density (pcf): 10.3			LL: 479.3		PL: 440.9		PI: 38.4	Gs:	1.61
Organic Content (%):		Initial Height (in.): 0.748			Diameter (in.): 2.503				e_o= 8.801		
Preconsolidation Pressure (Pc): 0.20 tsf					Compression Index (Cc): 3.80				Recompression Index (Cr):		≅ 0.65

Remarks: Testing performed in general accordance with ASTM:D2435

2401 W 66th Street

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

Square Root of Time Curves



Project: PolyMet #23690C29			Date: 6/3/14
Sample #:	Boring #: B14-65	Depth ft: 5-7	Job #: 9352

2401 W 66th Street

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

Void Ratio and % Settlement vs. Log of Pressure



Project: PolyMet #23690C29				Date: 6/4/14
Sample #:	Boring #: B14-69	Depth ft: 2.5-4.5		Job #: 9352
Soil Type: Peat (PT)				
Initial W/C (%): 413.1	Dry Density (pcf): 12.5	LL: 429.0	PL: 412.2	PI: 16.8	Gs: 1.66
Organic Content (%):	Initial Height (in.): 0.745	Diameter (in.): 2.503	e_o= 7.275	
Preconsolidation Pressure (Pc): 0.21 tsf	Compression Index (Cc): 2.75	Recompression Index (Cr): ≅ 0.45		

Remarks: Testing performed in general accordance with ASTM:D2435

2401 W 66th Street

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

Square Root of Time Curves



Project: PolyMet #23690C29			Date: 6/4/14
Sample #:	Boring #: B14-69	Depth ft: 2.5-4.5	Job #: 9352

2401 W 66th Street

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

Void Ratio and % Settlement vs. Log of Pressure



Project: PolyMet Winter Investigation #23690C29.13			Date: 7/1/14
Sample #:	Boring #: B14-40	Depth ft:	Job #: 9352-A
Soil Type: Silty Sand w/gravel (SM)			
Initial W/C (%): 8.4	Dry Density (pcf): 124.6	LL: PL: PI:	Gs: 2.65 (Assumed)
Organic Content (%):	Initial Height (in.): 0.761	Diameter (in.): 2.503	e_o= 0.327
Preconsolidation Pressure (Pc): 8.5 tsf	Compression Index (Cc): 0.06	Recompression Index (Cr):	≅ 0.01

Remarks: Testing performed in general accordance with ASTM:D2435

2401 W 66th Street

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

Square Root of Time Curves



Project: PolyMet Winter Investigation #23690C29.13			Date: 7/1/14
Sample #:	Boring #: B14-40	Depth ft:	Job #: 9352-A

2401 W 66th Street

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

Void Ratio and % Settlement vs. Log of Pressure



Project: PolyMet Winter Investigation #23690C29.13			Date: 7/1/14
Sample #: Composite	Boring #: B14-62	Depth ft:	Job #: 9352-A
Soil Type:			
Initial W/C (%): 8.0	Dry Density (pcf): 124.9	LL: PL: PI:	Gs: 2.65 (Assumed)
Organic Content (%):	Initial Height (in.): 1.000	Diameter (in.): 2.505	e_o= 0.325
Preconsolidation Pressure (Pc): 10.0 tsf	Compression Index (Cc): 0.09	Recompression Index (Cr):	≅ 0.01

Remarks: Testing performed in general accordance with ASTM:D2435

2401 W 66th Street

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

Square Root of Time Curves



Project: PolyMet Winter Investigation #23690C29.13			Date: 7/1/14
Sample #: Composite	Boring #: B14-62	Depth ft:	Job #: 9352-A

2401 W 66th Street

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

Void Ratio and % Settlement vs. Log of Pressure



Project: PolyMet Winter Investigation #23690C29.13			Date: 7/1/14
Sample #:	Boring #: B14-76	Depth ft: 9-11, 11-13, 20.5-22.5	Job #: 9352-A
Soil Type:			
Initial W/C (%): 10.2	Dry Density (pcf): 122.1	LL: PL: PI:	Gs: 2.68 (Assumed)
Organic Content (%):	Initial Height (in.): 0.761	Diameter (in.): 2.503	e_o= 0.370
Preconsolidation Pressure (Pc): 10.0 tsf	Compression Index (Cc): 0.03	Recompression Index (Cr):	≅ 0.01

Remarks: Testing performed in general accordance with ASTM:D2435

2401 W 66th Street

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

Square Root of Time Curves



Project: PolyMet Winter Investigation #23690C29.13			Date: 7/1/14
Sample #:	Boring #: B14-76	Depth ft: 9-11, 11-13, 20.5-22.5	Job #: 9352-A

2401 W 66th Street

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

Hydraulic Conductivity Test Data ASTM D5084

Project: PolyMet #23690C29 Date: 5/26/2014

Reported To: Barr Engineering Company Job No.: 9352

Boring No.:	B14-69	B14-96					
Sample No.:							
Depth (ft):	10-12 Mid	3-5					
Location:							
Sample Type:	TWT	TWT					
Soil Type:	Peat (PT)	Peat (PT)					
Atterberg Limits							
LL	574.1	411.4					
PL	198.3	368.6					
PI	375.8	42.8					
Permeability Test	Intact	Intact					
Before Test Conditions: Saturation %:							
Porosity:							
Ht. (in):	2.19	2.13					
Dia. (in):	2.92	1.94					
Dry Density (pcf):	8.9	11.4					
Water Content:	616.4%	445.4%					
Test Type:	Falling	Falling					
Max Head (5.0):	5.0	5.0					
Confining press. (Effective-psi):	2.0	2.0					
Trial No.:	12-16	12-16					
Water Temp ℃:	21.0	21.0					
% Compaction							
% Saturation (After Test)	98.8%	99.0%					
Coefficient of Permeability							
K @ 20 ℃ (cm/sec)	**1.0×10^{-6}**	**2.1×10^{-6}**					
K @ 20 ℃ (ft/min)	**2.0×10^{-6}**	**4.2×10^{-6}**					
Notes:							

2401 W 66th Street
SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.
Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

Exhibit G

Cone Penetration Test Results



Figure G-1a. CPT 07-04B/CPT14-04 Tip Resistance vs. Depth



Figure G-1b. CPT 07-04B/CPT14-04 Sleeve Friction vs. Depth



Figure G-1c. CPT 07-04B/CPT14-04 Pore Pressure vs. Depth

FIGURE G-1d
CPT14-04 Behavior Plot





Figure G-2a. CPT 07-05/CPT14-05 Tip Resistance vs. Depth



Figure G-2b. CPT 07-05/CPT14-05 Sleeve Friction vs. Depth



Figure G-2c. CPT 07-05/CPT14-05 Pore Pressure vs. Depth

FIGURE G-2d
CPT14-05 Behavior Plot





Figure G-3a. CPT 07-06/CPT14-06 Tip Resistance vs. Depth



Figure G-3b. CPT 07-06/CPT14-06 Sleeve Friction vs. Depth



Figure G-3c. CPT 07-06/CPT14-06 Pore Pressure vs. Depth

FIGURE G-3d
CPT14-06 Behavior Plot





Figure G-4a. CPT 07-27/CPT14-17 Tip Resistance vs. Depth



Figure G-4b. CPT 07-27/CPT14-17 Sleeve Friction vs. Depth



Figure G-4c. CPT 07-27/CPT14-17 Pore Pressure vs. Depth

FIGURE G-4d
CPT 14-17 Behavior Plot





Figure G-5a. CPT14-18 Tip Resistance vs. Depth



Figure G5-b. CPT14-18 Sleeve Friction vs. Depth



Figure G-5c. CPT14-18 Pore Pressure vs. Depth

FIGURE G-5d
CPT14-18 Behavior Plot





Figure G-6a. CPT 14-20 Tip Resistance vs. Depth



Figure G-6b. CPT14-20 Sleeve Friction vs. Depth



Figure G-6c. CPT14-20 Pore Pressure vs. Depth

FIGURE G-6d

CPT14-20 Behavior Plot





Figure G-7a. CPT14-21 Tip Resistance vs. Depth



Figure G-7b. CPT14-21 Sleeve Friction vs. Depth



Figure G-7c. CPT14-21 Pore Pressure vs. Depth

FIGURE G-7d

CPT14-21 Behavior Plot





Figure G-8a. CPT14-22 Tip Resistance vs. Depth



Figure G-8b. CPT14-22 Sleeve Friction vs. Depth



Figure G-8c. CPT14-22 Pore Pressure vs. Depth

FIGURE G-8d

CPT14-22 Behavior Plot





Figure G-9a. CPT 07-07C/CPT14-07 Tip Resistance vs. Depth



Figure G-9b. CPT 07-07C/CPT14-07 Sleeve Friction vs. Depth



Figure G-9c. CPT 07-07C/CPT14-07 Pore Pressure vs. Depth

FIGURE G-9d

CPT 14-07 Behavior Plot





Figure G-10a. CPT 07-08/CPT14-08 Tip Resistance vs. Depth



Figure G-10b. CPT 07-08/CPT14-08 Sleeve Friction vs. Depth



Figure G-10c. CPT 07-08/CPT14-08 Pore Pressure vs. Depth

FIGURE G-10d

CPT14-08 Behavior Plot





Figure G-11a. CPT 07-09/CPT14-09 Tip Resistance vs. Depth



Figure G-11b. CPT 07-09/CPT14-09 Sleeve Friction vs. Depth



Figure G-11c. CPT 07-09/CPT14-09 Pore Pressure vs. Depth

FIGURE G-11d

CPT14-09 Behavior Plot





Figure G-12a. CPT 07-14/CPT14-14 Tip Resistance vs. Depth



Figure G-12b. CPT 07-14/CPT14-14 Sleeve Friction vs. Depth



Figure G-12c. CPT 07-14/CPT14-14 Pore Pressure vs. Depth

FIGURE G-12d

CPT14-14 Behavior Plot





Figure G-13a. CPT 07-15/CPT14-15 Tip Resistance vs. Depth



Figure G-13b. CPT 07-15/CPT14-15 Sleeve Friction vs. Depth



Figure G-13c. CPT 07-15/CPT14-15 Pore Pressure vs. Depth

FIGURE G-13d
CPT14-15 Behavior Plot





Figure G-14a. CPT14-19 Tip Resistance vs. Depth



Figure G-14b. CPT14-19 Sleeve Friction vs. Depth



Figure G-14c. CPT14-19 Pore Pressure vs. Depth

FIGURE G-14d

CPT14-19 Behavior Plot



Exhibit H

Pore Pressure Dissipation Test Results

CPT14-05 @ 72.7ft



CPT14-05 @ 83.8ft



CPT14-06 @ 28.3ft



CPT14-06 @ 66ft



CPT14-07 @ 50ft



CPT14-08 @ 38ft



CPT14-08 @ 63ft



CPT14-09 @ 21ft



CPT14-15 @ 34ft



CPT14-15 @ 35ft



CPT14-15 @ 56ft



CPT14-15 @ 69ft



CPT14-17 @ 37.2ft



CPT14-17 @ 60ft



CPT14-18 @ 20.5ft



CPT14-18 @ 64ft



CPT14-19 @ 21ft



CPT14-19 @ 28ft



CPT14-19 @ 29ft



CPT14-19 @ 39ft



CPT14-19 @ 63ft



CPT14-20 @ 69ft



CPT14-20 @ 85ft



CPT14-22 @ 25ft



CPT14-22 @ 32ft



CPT14-22 @ 46ft



CPT14-22 @ 73ft



Attachment G

FTB Containment System Slope Stability Impacts

resourceful. naturally.
engineering and environmental consultants

BARR

Technical Memorandum

To: Poly Met Mining, Inc.
From: Tom Radue, Bethany Kelly, and Kristin Alstadt
Subject: NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin: Seepage Containment System Effects on Slope Stability
Date: May 15, 2017

The purpose of this memorandum is to provide the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources Division of Ecological and Water Resources, Dam Safety Unit, information on the potential effects of the Flotation Tailings Basin (FTB) Seepage Containment System (Containment System) on FTB dam stability. Results indicate that slope stability factors of safety remain at or above those required (as specified in NorthMet Geotechnical Modeling Work Plan (Work Plan) – Version 3), through Containment System construction and operations. As described within this memo, Containment System construction methods are selected to avoid construction-related impacts on slope stability.

Background

FTB slope stability analyses completed under the Work Plan and reported in the NorthMet Project Geotechnical Data Package Volume 1 – Version 8 (May 15, 2017), show that the proposed FTB design meets all required factors of safety. These analyses did not, however, evaluate the effects of the Containment System on FTB slope stability. Barr conducted additional slope stability analyses to determine the potential effects of the Containment System on the factors of safety for the proposed FTB design. This Containment System stability analysis followed the analysis methods and geotechnical data selection approaches specified by the Work Plan. The safety factors calculated by the Containment System stability analysis represent FTB dam stability during and after construction of the FTB Containment System.

The proposed design and construction sequence for the Flotation Tailings Basin (FTB) Seepage Containment System are detailed in Section 2.1.4 of Water Management Plan Plant - Version 8,. The Containment System will be installed before the first lift of the FTB north dam is constructed. The purpose of the Containment System is to capture water that seeps from the Tailings Basin so that it can be treated. This stability analysis assessed the main segment of the Containment System which, for modeling, is set back approximately 200 feet from the northern and western toe of slope of Tailings Basin Cell 2E and Cell 2W (Figure 1). Actual setback distance may be somewhat greater, in which case containment system effects if any on slope stability factor of safety would be further diminished. The Containment System includes a cutoff wall to bedrock, accompanied by a collection trench located on the tailings basin side of the cutoff wall. The collection trench was modeled as 8 feet deep with vertical trench slopes and a width

of 3 feet. Sitting at the bottom of the trench is a perforated drain pipe placed in a granular backfill as shown in Figure 2.



Figure 1 Conceptual Plan View: FTB Groundwater Containment System



Figure 2 Conceptual Cross-Section: FTB Containment System

The slope stability analysis is for Cross-Section F and Cross-Section G, with approximate location shown on Figure 1. Cross-Section F represents the critical slope cross-section; the location yielding the lowest slope stability factor of safety. An additional segment of the Containment System not shown on Figure 1 will be installed near the toe of the Cell 1E east dam. The east dam will be relatively small in comparison to that at Cross-Section F and Cross-Section G and the effects of the Containment System on east dam stability will be analyzed concurrent with final design of this segment of dam, planned for approximately Year 6 of operations.

The following summarizes the general installation approach anticipated for each of the primary Containment System components. The system will be constructed in the sequence presented (Manholes, Collection Trench, Cutoff Wall) so that system dewatering can be initiated if needed prior to the cutoff wall completion. Further, the construction methods and sequence are selected to maintain adequate slope stability safety factors for effective stress stability conditions throughout construction and operation; hence, Effective Stress Stability Analysis (ESSA) has been performed. ESSA analyses are appropriate because:

- trenchless construction techniques are proposed as the primary means of containment system construction as described later in this memorandum,

- the collection trench, when in operation, will draw down water levels on the tailings basin side of the cutoff wall only several feet, thereby representing a minimal change in hydraulic and soil stress conditions at the tailings basin, and

- in the unlikely event of a prolonged collection system failure, water levels on the tailings basin side of the cutoff would only rise to current water levels or just above (due to elevation control by the cutoff), again representing a minimal change in hydraulic conditions and soil stress conditions

For consistency with other slope stability analyses performed for the FTB, as presented in Geotechnical Data Package – Volume 1 – Version 8, it has been assumed that an unknown triggering mechanism induces liquefaction and analyses have been performed to confirm achievement of a factor of safety ≥ 1.10 for post-liquefaction slope stability, applying design liquefied shear strengths to all LTVSMC fine tailings and slimes and all NorthMet flotation tailings below the top of the capillary zone.

The following is a summary of the primary components of the Containment System:

- Manholes – the manholes will generally be installed using typical excavation and backfill techniques, with an average excavation depth at each manhole of 10 to 15 feet. Sheet piling or shoring will be used as needed to isolate manhole installation locations from surrounding soils and to minimize construction dewatering requirements.

- Collection Trench – the collection trench will generally be constructed using trenchless technology such as that provided by DeWind One-Pass Trenching of Zeeland, Michigan, Hayward Baker of Bloomington, Minnesota, or other qualified contractor. There may be some opportunity and/or need to utilize open trenching during buttress construction and/or where boulders are encountered below the ground surface. The seepage collection trench and drain pipe depth has not yet been finalized, but we assume an average depth of 8 feet to prevent system freezing and maintain operations through-out winter (exact depth will be determined during final design and construction). With one-pass trenching excavated material is immediately replaced with the collection trench drain pipe and granular fill and the need to maintain an open dewatered trench for construction is avoided. The excavated soils will be used for other construction purposes or placed in the FTB.

- Cutoff Wall – the cutoff wall will generally be constructed using trenchless in-situ construction techniques whereby a mechanical mixer is inserted into the ground along the cutoff wall alignment. As the mixer 'walks' down the cutoff wall alignment, it mixes the soil along the cutoff wall location with bentonite. The soil-bentonite mixing occurs in-situ and an open trench is not utilized. DeWind One-Pass Trenching and Hayward Baker are examples of companies that provide such services. At locations where boulders are encountered that interfere with trenchless construction, the boulders will be removed using conventional excavation methods. Small diameter cobbles and boulders are expelled from the excavation as part of the trenchless construction process.

Slope Stability Analysis Methods

Slope stability was modeled for a system installed via the planned in-situ construction. The seepage and slope stability modeling was performed using the GeoStudio suite of geotechnical software to determine if existing and proposed conditions are stable. The Containment System stability analysis was performed based on the configuration of the native soils, the existing Tailings Basin and the planned FTB dams along two cross-sections through the north dam of Cell 2E, referred to as Cross-Section F and Cross-Section G. Cross-Section F is the critical cross-section – in other words, the calculated factors of safety for the proposed design are lower for this cross-section than for other cross-sections that have been analyzed.

Three models were developed for the Containment System stability analysis. The Existing Conditions Configuration under drained conditions and the Future Dam Configuration under drained and liquefied conditions. The Existing Conditions Configuration is used for the drained conditions (Effective Stress Stability Analysis; ESSA) analysis to assess potential slope stability impacts of the Containment System along the north side of Cell 2E prior FTB operations. The Future Dam Configuration model is used to assess how the Containment System might affect slope stability when the FTB dams are at maximum height, for drained conditions and in the event of an unknown triggering mechanism.

The results of stability models are assumed to be representative of potential slope stability impacts along the north and west side of Cell 2W. This assumption is valid based on the outcome of the slope stability modeling, which shows that the slope stability failure surface in the Cell 2E existing conditions model occurs within the existing Tailings Basin dam, which has a slope configuration mirroring that along the northern and western sides of Cell 2W.

For stability modeling the collection trench and/or cutoff wall are offset approximately 200 feet from the existing toe of dam. One objective of the final design of the cutoff wall will be to identify areas of shallower bedrock to serve as a lower cutoff for the system, thereby limiting the overall cutoff wall depth and cost. Hence, final cutoff wall offset distance from the toe of dam will be somewhat variable depending on future findings about bedrock depth. For this analysis, the cutoff wall is modeled as 36 feet deep, extending through fractured bedrock and terminating at the top of competent bedrock. The 36 foot depth is an estimated maximum depth to bedrock at the cutoff wall location for stability modeling; actual depth to bedrock will require confirmation during construction and may vary somewhat for water quality modeling purposes. The cutoff wall was assumed to be 3 feet thick and was modeled with material properties similar to those of a slurry wall, with a permeability of 3.28×10^{-9} ft/s (1×10^{-7} cm/s), unit weight of 70 pcf, and cohesion of 50 psf. The granular backfill in the collection trench adjacent the cutoff was assumed to have the same properties as the rock dam buttress (friction angle of 40 degrees and unit weight of 140 pcf). Potential seepage face boundary conditions were applied to the drain pipe sitting at the bottom of the collection trench to allow water to flow out of the system. Beyond the cutoff wall, potential seepage face boundary conditions were applied to the wetlands area.

The remaining tailings basin and native stratigraphy, seepage parameters and material strength design parameters are based on previously established design values used for stability analysis presented in Geotechnical Data Package – Volume 1 – Version 8. The phreatic surface within the existing dam has been verified with available data from piezometers installed in the perimeter dam along Cross-Section F and Cross-Section G and using water levels determined from CPTu data collected in the winter/spring of 2014. Two operating conditions were modeled:

- the collection system operating with active extraction of water from the collection system, and
- the collection system temporarily inactive (e.g., such as in the event of an abnormally long power outage), simulated with the water table at ground surface from the toe of the dam to the cutoff wall.

Stability Analysis Outcomes

The slope stability analysis was conducted using SLOPE/W, part of the GeoStudio 2012 Version 8.15 software package. SLOPE/W uses limit equilibrium theory to compute the factor of safety of earth and rock slopes while analyzing complex geometry, stratigraphy, and loading conditions. Spencer's method, using a 20-foot minimum slip surface depth, was used to calculate the factor of safety of the Tailings Basin and FTB dams with the Containment System constructed. The critical failure surface (that slip surface yielding the lowest slope stability factor of safety) was identified using the entry and exit method (and verified by checking against results with the grid and radius method). The entry-exit method allows the location of the trial slip surfaces to be chosen manually, or where they will enter and exit the ground surface, with a determined number of entry and exit points. Once the critical slip surface is found, the technique optimizes the solution of the circular surface, yielding the lowest factor of safety for comparison to recommended factors of safety.

The optimization technique uses the solution of the circular slip surface and iteratively adjusts the slip surface geometry (usually idealized into multiple relatively linear segments) to identify the surface yielding the lowest factor of safety value, resulting in a non-circular slip surface. Optimization can sometimes result in unrealistic or kinematically inadmissible failure surfaces; therefore, engineering judgment is used to determine whether the optimized slip surface is realistic and, in cases where it is deemed unreasonable, the result for the circular slip surface is reported.

The drained condition is typically considered a long-term case in which loading is slow enough (or the material has high enough permeability) that no shear-induced porewater pressures are able to develop during loading. The drained condition applies to this analysis of Containment System construction impacts because the Containment System will be constructed before flotation tailings are placed in the FTB and the existing Tailings Basin dams are currently in a long-term stable drained state. Further, trenchless construction technology is proposed so that open dewatered excavations that could induce

undrained loading conditions will typically not be present. If open excavations are used, then their length and the duration that they remain open will be tightly controlled.

As noted previously, conditions of an unknown trigger for liquefaction have also been considered. The factor of safety values specified in the Work Plan for the drained condition (ESSA) is F.S. $\geq$ 1.50 and for the liquefied condition is F.S. $\geq$ 1.10. Table 1 provides a summary of the Cross-Section F stability results. The Cross-Section F model outputs are attached as Figure 3 through Figure 8. Table 2 provides a summary of the Cross-Section G stability results. The Cross-Section G model outputs are attached as Figure 9 through Figure 14.

Table 1 Summary of Containment System Stability Results for Section F

Dam Condition Modeled	Soil Stress Conditions	Collection System (Active/Inactive)	Target Factor of Safety	Modeled Factor of Safety
Existing Conditions Configuration	ESSA (Figure 3)	Inactive	1.5	1.83 (1)
Existing Conditions Configuration	ESSA (Figure 4)	Active	1.5	2.08 (2)
Future Dam Configuration – Section F	ESSA (Figure 5)	Inactive	1.5	2.15 (1)
Future Dam Configuration – Section F	ESSA (Figure 6)	Active	1.5	2.49 (3)
Future Dam Configuration – Section F	All Saturated Contractive Soils Liquefied to $USSR_{Liq}$ (Figure 7)	Inactive	1.1	1.11 (4)
Future Dam Configuration – Section F	All Saturated Contractive Soils Liquefied to $USSR_{Liq}$ (Figure 8)	Active	1.1	1.12 (4)

(1) Non-optimized factor of safety value for a circular failure occurring within the buttress.
(2) Optimized factor of safety value for a wedge failure occurring along the top of impenetrable till within the buttress.
(3) Optimized factor of safety value for a circular failure occurring within the buttress.
(4) Non-optimized factor of safety value for a wedge failure occurring along the top of impenetrable peat.

Table 2 Summary of Containment System Stability Results for Section G

Dam Condition Modeled	Soil Stress Conditions	Collection System (Active/Inactive)	Target Factor of Safety	Modeled Factor of Safety
Existing Conditions Configuration	ESSA (Figure 9)	Inactive	1.5	2.17 (1)
Existing Conditions Configuration	ESSA (Figure 10)	Active	1.5	2.44 (1)
Future Dam Configuration – Section G	ESSA (Figure 11)	Inactive	1.5	2.36 (1)
Future Dam Configuration – Section G	ESSA (Figure 12)	Active	1.5	2.35 (2)
Future Dam Configuration – Section G	All Saturated Contractive Soils Liquefied to $USSR_{Liq}$ (Figure 13)	Inactive	1.1	1.10 (3)
Future Dam Configuration – Section G	All Saturated Contractive Soils Liquefied to $USSR_{Liq}$ (Figure 14)	Active	1.1	1.10 (4)

(1) Non-optimized factor of safety value for a circular failure occurring within the buttress.
(2) Optimized factor of safety value for a circular failure occurring within the buttress.
(3) Non-optimized factor of safety value for a wedge failure occurring along the top of impenetrable peat requiring a buttress of Elevation 1559 feet.
(4) Non-optimized factor of safety value for a wedge failure occurring along the top of impenetrable peat.

The factor of safety results for Cross-Section F and Cross-Section G are at or above the target slope stability factor of safety values for drained conditions and for liquefied conditions given an unknown trigger, with the Containment System active or inactive. Note that Section G liquefied conditions for an inactive collection system requires a buttress elevation of 1559 feet, an increase of 1-foot above the elevation of 1558 feet applied to this cross-section in the NorthMet Project Geotechnical Data Package Volume 1 – Version 8. The inactive results only apply during extended interruptions of collection system operations due to temporary blockage of seepage. The factors of safety are fractionally higher when the Containment System is active than when it is inactive. Also, the factors of safety are fractionally higher when the FTB is modeled with an active containment system than when it is modeled without the Containment System (NorthMet Project Geotechnical Data Package Volume 1 – Version 8). This indicates that the Containment System, when active, benefits the stability of the Tailings Basin by lowering the water level at the tailings basin toe of slope.

Conclusions

As demonstrated by the slope stability factors of safety reported in Table 1 and Table 2, computed slope stability safety factors at Cross-Section F and Cross-Section G with the Containment System in place meet safety factor requirements.

Field geotechnical conditions and piezometer readings should be monitored throughout Containment System construction to confirm that the conditions actually encountered are consistent with the conditions that have been modeled, with construction methods adjusted as needed to maintain the required slope stability throughout Containment System construction and operation.

Figure 3. Existing Conditions Configuration_Section F_Inactive

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Containment System for Existing Conditions
Date Last Saved: 12/9/2016
File Name: SCS_SecF_Existing_Inactive.gsz

Case: 1.0 No Lifts - ESSA (Circular)
ESSA strengths
Entry-Exit, Circular

Factor of Safety: 1.83

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Slurry Wall Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 70 pcf Cohesion': 50 psf Phi': 0 °



FIGURE 4. Existing ESSA Conditions_Active Collection System

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Containment System for Section F Existing Conditions
Date Last Saved: 12/9/2016
File Name: SCS_SecF_Existing_Active.gsz

Case: 1.2 No Lifts - ESSA_till wedge (Circular)
ESSA strengths
Entry-Exit, Optimized
Till, Fractured Bedrock and Bedrock Impenetrable

Factor of Safety: 2.08

Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Glacial Till - Impenetrable Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Slurry Wall Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 70 pcf Cohesion': 50 psf Phi': 0 °



Figure 5. Future Dam Configuration_Section F_Inactive
ESSA Material Properties

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 12/9/2016
File Name: SCS_SecF_2016buttress_Inactive.gsz

2.0 Lift 8 - ESSA (Circular)
ESSA strengths
Entry-Exit, Circular

Factor of Safety: 2.15

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Slurry Wall Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 70 pcf Cohesion': 50 psf Phi': 0 °
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: Peat
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: Peat



Figure 6. Future Dam Configuration_Section F_Active
ESSA Material Properties

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 12/9/2016
File Name: SCS_SecF_2016buttress_Active_12.9.2016.gsz
Factor of Safety: 2.49

2.0 Lift 8 - ESSA (Circular)
ESSA strengths
Entry-Exit, Circular

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Slurry Wall Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 70 pcf Cohesion': 50 psf Phi': 0 °
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: Peat
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: Peat



Figure 7. Future Dam Configuration_Section F_Inactive
All Saturated Contrative Soils Liquefied to USSRliq

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 12/9/2016
File Name: SCS_SecF_2016buttress_Inactive.gsz

1.3 Lift 8 - LIQ_peat wedge (Circular)
Liquefied / Yield USSA strengths
Entry-Exit, Circular
Peat, Till, Fractured Bedrock, and Bedrock Impenetrable

Factor of Safety: 1.11

Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (Liquefied) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.12
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Glacial Till - Impenetrable Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (Liquefied) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.1
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (Liquefied) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.1
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock -Impenetrable Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Compressed Peat (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Slurry Wall Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 70 pcf Cohesion': 50 psf Phi': 0 °



Figure 8. Future Dam Configuration_Section F_Active
All Saturated Contractive Soils Liquefied to USSRliq

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 12/9/2016
File Name: SCS_SecF_2016buttress_Active_12.9.2016.gsz

1.3 Lift 8 - LIQ_peat wedge (Circular)
Liquefied / Yield USSA strengths
Entry-Exit, Circular
Peat, Till, Fractured Bedrock, and Bedrock Impenetrable

Factor of Safety: 1.12

Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (Liquefied) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.12
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Glacial Till - Impenetrable Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (Liquefied) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.1
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (Liquefied) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.1
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock -Impenetrable Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Compressed Peat (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Slurry Wall Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 70 pcf Cohesion': 50 psf Phi': 0 °



Figure 9. Existing Conditions Configuration_Section G_Inactive

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Section G 2014 Verification
Date Last Saved: 12/9/2016
File Name: SCS_Section G_existing_Inactive.gsz

1.0 No Lifts - ESSA (Circular)
ESSA Strengths
Entry-Exit, Circular

Factor of Safety: 2.17

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Slurry Wall Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 70 pcf Cohesion': 50 psf Phi': 0 °



Figure 10. Existing Conditions Configuration_Section G_Active

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Section G 2014 Verification
Date Last Saved: 12/8/2016
File Name: SCS_Section G_existing_Active.gsz

1.0 No Lifts - ESSA (Circular)
ESSA Strengths
Grid & Radius, Circular

Factor of Safety: 2.44

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Slurry Wall Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 70 pcf Cohesion': 50 psf Phi': 0 °



Figure 11. Future Dam Configuration_Section G_Inactive
ESSA Material Properties

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 5/5/2017
File Name: SCS_Section G_Lift 8_Inactive.gsz

2.0 Lift 8 - ESSA
ESSA Strengths
Entry-Exit, Circular

Factor of Safety: 2.36

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Slurry Wall Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 70 pcf Cohesion': 50 psf Phi': 0 °



Figure 12. Future Dam Configuration_Section G_Active
ESSA Material Properties

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 12/12/2016
File Name: SCS_Section G_Lift 8_Active.gsz

2.0 Lift 8 - ESSA
ESSA Strengths
Entry-Exit, Circular

Factor of Safety: 2.35

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Slurry Wall Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 70 pcf Cohesion': 50 psf Phi': 0 °



Figure 13. Future Dam Configuration_Section G_Inactive
All Saturated Contrative Soils Liquefied to USSRliq

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 5/5/2017
File Name: SCS_Section G_Lift 8_Inactive.gsz

1.3 Lift 8 - LIQ_peat wedge (Circular)
Liquified / Yield USSA Strengths
Entry-Exit, Circular
Peat, Till, Fractured Bedrock and Bedrock Impenetrable

Factor of Safety: 1.10

Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Glacial Till -Impenetrable Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (LIQ) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.1
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (LIQ) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.1
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (Liquefied) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.12
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Compressed Peat_Impenetrable Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Slurry Wall Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 70 pcf Cohesion': 50 psf Phi': 0 °



Figure 14. Future Dam Configuration_Section G_Active
All Saturated Contractive Soils Liquefied to USSRliq

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 12/12/2016
File Name: SCS_Section G_Lift 8_Active.gsz

1.3 Lift 8 - LIQ_peat wedge (Circular)
Liquified / Yield USSA Strengths
Entry-Exit, Circular
Peat, Till, Fractured Bedrock and Bedrock Impenetrable

Factor of Safety: 1.10

Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Glacial Till -Impenetrable Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (LIQ) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.1
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (LIQ) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.1
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (Liquefied) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.12
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Compressed Peat_Impenetrable Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Slurry Wall Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 70 pcf Cohesion': 50 psf Phi': 0 °



Attachment H

2007 Geotechnical Investigation CPTu Sounding Logs and Dissipation Test Results

REPORT OF CPTu TESTING

PolyMet Tailings Basin

Hoyt Lakes, Minnesota

AET #01-03612

Date:

October 10, 2007

Prepared for:

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th Street
Minneapolis, MN 55435

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

CONSULTANTS
• ENVIRONMENTAL
• GEOTECHNICAL
• MATERIALS
• FORENSICS

October 10, 2007

Barr Engineering Company
4700 West 77th Street
Minnespolis, MN 55435

Attn: Mr. Aaron Grosser, P.E.

RE: Report of CPTu Testing
PolyMet Tailings Basin
Hoyt Lakes, Minnesota
AET #01-03612

Dear Mr. Grosser:

This report presents the results of the CPT testing recently completed for the referenced project. We are submitting four (4) copies of the report to you.

Please call if you have any questions about the report.

Sincerely,

James C. Rudd, PE
Principal Engineer
Phone: (651) 659-1367
Fax: (651) 659-1379

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of American Engineering Testing, Inc.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....1

SCOPE OF SERVICES.....1

RESULTS.....2

SIGNATURE.....2

APPENDIX A
Table 1 – Summary of Work Scope
CPTu Sounding Logs

APPENDIX B
Shear Wave Velocity Curves

APPENDIX C
Pore Pressure Dissipation Curves

RESULTS OF CPT TESTING
FOR
POLYMET TAILINGS BASIN
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

AET #01-03612

INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of Cone Penetration Testing (CPTu) completed at the referenced site. The work was done on during the period of July 23 to August 22, 2007.

SCOPE OF SERVICES

AET mobilized a 20-ton Cone Penetrometer Test rig to the site, which is located within the LTV Mine just north of Hoyt Lakes, Minnesota. The locations of the CPT soundings were specified by Barr personnel. Barr personnel also directed the field work.

The scope of the CPT test program is summarized Table 1, which is attached in Appendix A. The work scope included the following: thirty-six (36) CPT sounding locations to maximum depths of 164 feet; two (2) rapid rate CPT soundings to depths of 42 to 72 feet; 118 pore pressure dissipation tests, and 235 shear wave velocity tests (on approximate 10 foot vertical intervals in CPT soundings). The scope also included pushing a flat plate dilatometer, which was supplied by Barr. Data collection for the dilatometer was done by Barr personnel.

Our work scope also included compression wave velocity testing; however, the quality of the P wave data was poor due to excessive noise. AET used a 15 cm^2 electronic seismic piezocone manufactured by Vertek. The shear wave source is located within the front leveling beam of the push rig. A 300 pound hydraulically actuated slide weight generates the shear wave within the leveling pad. A pair of geophones within the electronic cone detect the shear wave at periodic depths in the sounding.

RESULTS

CPTu Soundings

Logs of the CPTu soundings are included in Appendix A. Soundings 07-06F and 07-09F were run at an increased push rate of approximately 13 cm/sec.

Seismic Testing

Plots of shear waves and computed shear wave velocities are included in Appendix B. Since the quality of the P waves was poor, this data is not included.

Dissipation Tests

Plots of pore pressure dissipation tests are included in Appendix C.

SIGNATURE

Report Prepared by:

James C. Rudd, PE
Principal Engineer
MN Reg. No. 13996

TABLE 1 – SUMMARY OF WORK SCOPE

Sounding #	Depth	Type	Dissipation tests	Shear Wave Tests
07-01	44	Normal push rate	2 tests	4 tests
07-02	83	Normal push rate	10 tests	8 tests
07-03	73	Normal push rate	5 tests	8 tests
07-03F	67	Rapid push rate	None	
07-4A	25	Normal push rate	None	2 tests
07-4B	61	Normal push rate	1 test	6 tests
07-05	103	Normal push rate	15 tests	10 tests
07-06	72	Normal push rate	8 tests	7 tests
07-07A	72	Normal push rate	None	
07-07B	7	Normal push rate	1 test	3 tests
07-07C	55	Normal push rate	2 tests	5 tests
07-08	77	Normal push rate	8 tests	7 tests
07-09	42	Normal push rate	6 tests	5 tests
07-09F	42	Rapid push rate	None	
07-10	42	Normal push rate	3 tests	4 tests
07-11	46	Normal push rate	4 tests	4 tests
07-12	43	Normal push rate	2 tests	4 tests
07-13	12	Normal push rate	None	
07-13A	10	Normal push rate	None	
07-13B	13	Normal push rate	None	
07-13C	9	Normal push rate	None	
07-13D	15	Normal push rate	None	
07-13E	14	Normal push rate	None	
07-14	100	Normal push rate	4 tests	10 tests
07-15	100	Normal push rate	4 tests	10 tests
07-16	99	Normal push rate	2 tests	10 tests
07-17	134	Normal push rate	4 tests	13 tests
07-18	131	Normal push rate	4 tests	13 tests
07-19	135	Normal push rate	3 tests	13 tests
07-20	83	Normal push rate	1 test	8 tests
07-21	168	Normal push rate	4 tests	16 tests
07-22	163	Normal push rate	5 tests	17 tests
07-23	98	Normal push rate	3 tests	10 tests
07-24	132	Normal push rate	5 tests	13 tests
07-25	123	Normal push rate	4 tests	12 tests
07-26	50	Normal push rate	3 tests	5 tests
07-27	73	Normal push rate	5 tests	7 tests

American Engineering Testing, Inc.
550 Cleveland Avenue North
St. Paul, MN 55114

Northing:
Easting:
Elevation:

Date: 26/Jul/2007
Test ID: C-07-01B
Project: 01-03612

Client:
Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin



Maximum depth: 43.81 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-01B
File: 2026L0702C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc. 550 Cleveland Avenue North St. Paul, MN 55114	Northing: Easting: Elevation:	Date: 24/Jul/2007 Test ID: C-07-02 Project: 01-03612
	Client: Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin	



Maximum depth: 82.72 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-02
File: 2024L0705C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc. 550 Cleveland Avenue North St. Paul, MN 55114	Northing: Easting: Elevation:	Date: 23/Jul/2007 Test ID: C-07-03 Project: 01-03612
	Client: Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin	



Maximum depth: 72.95 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-03
File: 2023L0701C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc.
550 Cleveland Avenue North
St. Paul, MN 55114

Northing:
Easting:
Elevation:

Date: 23/Jul/2007
Test ID: C-07-3CF
Project: 01-03612

Client:
Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin



Maximum depth: 66.67 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-3CF
File: 2023L0703C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc. 550 Cleveland Avenue North St. Paul, MN 55114	Northing: Easting: Elevation:	Date: 25/Jul/2007 Test ID: C-07-04A Project: 01-03612
	Client: Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin	



Maximum depth: 25.07 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-04A
File: 2025L0708C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc. 550 Cleveland Avenue North St. Paul, MN 55114	Northing: Easting: Elevation:	Date: 26/Jul/2007 Test ID: C-07-04B Project: 01-03612
	Client: Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin	



Maximum depth: 61.21 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-04B
File: 2026L0701C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc.
550 Cleveland Avenue North
St. Paul, MN 55114

Northing:
Easting:
Elevation:

Date: 24/Jul/2007
Test ID: C-07-05
Project: 01-03612

Client:
Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin



Maximum depth: 102.72 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-05
File: 2024L0702C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc. 550 Cleveland Avenue North St. Paul, MN 55114	Northing: Easting: Elevation:	Date: 24/Jul/2007 Test ID: C-07-06 Project: 01-03612
	Client: Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin	



Maximum depth: 72.34 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-06
File: 2024L0701C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc. 550 Cleveland Avenue North St. Paul, MN 55114	Northing: Easting: Elevation:	Date: 24/Jul/2007 Test ID: C-07-06CF Project: 01-03612
	Client: Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin	



Maximum depth: 72.33 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-06CF
File: 2024L0704C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc. 550 Cleveland Avenue North St. Paul, MN 55114	Northing: Easting: Elevation:	Date: 25/Jul/2007 Test ID: C-07-07 Project: 01-03612
	Client: Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin	



Maximum depth: 7.08 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

American Engineering Testing, Inc.
550 Cleveland Avenue North
St. Paul, MN 55114

Northing:
Easting:
Elevation:

Date: 25/Jul/2007
Test ID: C-07-07B
Project: 01-03612

Client:
Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin



Maximum depth: 34.59 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-07B
File: 2025L0706C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc.
550 Cleveland Avenue North
St. Paul, MN 55114

Northing:
Easting:
Elevation:

Date: 25/Jul/2007
Test ID: C-07-07C
Project: 01-03612

Client:
Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin



Maximum depth: 54.57 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-07C
File: 2025L0707C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc. 550 Cleveland Avenue North St. Paul, MN 55114	Northing: Easting: Elevation:	Date: 25/Jul/2007 Test ID: C-07-08 Project: 01-03612
	Client: Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin	



Maximum depth: 76.86 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-08
File: 2025L0704C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc.
550 Cleveland Avenue North
St. Paul, MN 55114

Northing:
Easting:
Elevation:

Date: 25/Jul/2007
Test ID: C-07-09
Project: 01-03612

Client:
Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin



Maximum depth: 41.48 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-09
File: 2025L0701C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc.
550 Cleveland Avenue North
St. Paul, MN 55114

Northing:
Easting:
Elevation:

Date: 25/Jul/2007
Test ID: C-07-09CF
Project: 01-03612

Client:
Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin



Maximum depth: 41.68 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-09CF
File: 2025L0703C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc.
550 Cleveland Avenue North
St. Paul, MN 55114

Northing:
Easting:
Elevation:

Date: 27/Jul/2007
Test ID: C-07-10
Project: 01-03612

Client:
Site: TAILINGS PONDS



Maximum depth: 42.37 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-10
File: 2027L0705C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc. 550 Cleveland Avenue North St. Paul, MN 55114	Northing: Easting: Elevation:	Date: 26/Jul/2007 Test ID: C-07-11 Project: 01-03612
	Client: Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin	



Maximum depth: 45.74 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-11
File: 2026L0703C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc.
550 Cleveland Avenue North
St. Paul, MN 55114

Northing:
Easting:
Elevation:

Date: 27/Jul/2007
Test ID: C-07-12
Project: 01-03612

Client:
Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin



Maximum depth: 42.71 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-12
File: 2027L0701C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc.
550 Cleveland Avenue North
St. Paul, MN 55114

Northing:
Easting:
Elevation:

Date: 26/Jul/2007
Test ID: C-07-13
Project: 01-03612

Client:
Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin



Maximum depth: 11.71 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-13
File: 2026L0704C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc.
550 Cleveland Avenue North
St. Paul, MN 55114

Northing:
Easting:
Elevation:

Date: 26/Jul/2007
Test ID: C-07-13A
Project: 01-03612

Client:
Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin



Maximum depth: 9.72 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-13A
File: 2026L0705C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc.
550 Cleveland Avenue North
St. Paul, MN 55114

Northing:
Easting:
Elevation:

Date: 26/Jul/2007
Test ID: C-07-13B
Project: 01-03612

Client:
Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin



Maximum depth: 12.63 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-13B
File: 2026L0706C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc.
550 Cleveland Avenue North
St. Paul, MN 55114

Northing:
Easting:
Elevation:

Date: 27/Jul/2007
Test ID: C-07-13C
Project: 01-03612

Client:
Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin



Maximum depth: 9.49 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-13C
File: 2027L0702C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc.
550 Cleveland Avenue North
St. Paul, MN 55114

Northing:
Easting:
Elevation:

Date: 27/Jul/2007
Test ID: C-07-13D
Project: 01-03612

Client:
Site: TAILINGS PONDS



Maximum depth: 15.18 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-13D
File: 2027L0703C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc.
550 Cleveland Avenue North
St. Paul, MN 55114

Northing:
Easting:
Elevation:

Date: 27/Jul/2007
Test ID: C-07-13E
Project: 01-03612

Client:
Site: TAILINGS PONDS



Maximum depth: 13.85 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-13E
File: 2027L0704C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc. 550 Cleveland Avenue North St. Paul, MN 55114	Northing: Easting: Elevation:	Date: 27/Jul/2007 Test ID: C-07-14 Project: 01-03612
	Client: Site: TAILINGS PONDS	



Maximum depth: 100.00 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-14
File: 2027L0707C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc.
550 Cleveland Avenue North
St. Paul, MN 55114

Northing:
Easting:
Elevation:

Date: 27/Jul/2007
Test ID: C-07-15
Project: 01-03612

Client:
Site: TAILINGS PONDS



Maximum depth: 100.04 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-15
File: 2027L0706C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc.
550 Cleveland Avenue North
St. Paul, MN 55114

Northing:
Easting:
Elevation:

Date: 15/Aug/2007
Test ID: C-07-16
Project: 01-03612

Client:
Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin



Maximum depth: 98.73 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-16
File: 2015G0701C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc. 550 Cleveland Avenue North St. Paul, MN 55114	Northing: Easting: Elevation:	Date: 14/Aug/2007 Test ID: C-07-17 Project: 01-03612
	Client: Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin	



Maximum depth: 133.79 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-17
File: 2014G0703C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc.
550 Cleveland Avenue North
St. Paul, MN 55114

Northing:
Easting:
Elevation:

Date: 14/Aug/2007
Test ID: C-07-18
Project: 01-03612

Client:
Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin



Maximum depth: 130.65 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-18
File: 2014G0702C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc.
550 Cleveland Avenue North
St. Paul, MN 55114

Northing:
Easting:
Elevation:

Date: 13/Aug/2007
Test ID: 07-19
Project: 01-03612

Client: Barr Engineering
Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin



Maximum depth: 134.62 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: 07-19
File: 2013G0701C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc.
550 Cleveland Avenue North
St. Paul, MN 55114

Northing:
Easting:
Elevation:

Date: 28/Jul/2007
Test ID: C-07-20
Project: 01-03612

Client: Barr Engineering
Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin



Maximum depth: 82.74 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-20
File: 2028L0701C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc. 550 Cleveland Avenue North St. Paul, MN 55114	Northing: Easting: Elevation:	Date: 14/Aug/2007 Test ID: C-07-21 Project: 01-03612
	Client: Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin	



Maximum depth: 167.48 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-21
File: 2014G0701C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc. 550 Cleveland Avenue North St. Paul, MN 55114	Northing: Easting: Elevation:	Date: 16/Aug/2007 Test ID: C-07-22 Project: 01-03612
	Client: Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin	



Maximum depth: 163.40 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

American Engineering Testing, Inc. 550 Cleveland Avenue North St. Paul, MN 55114	Northing: Easting: Elevation:	Date: 15/Aug/2007 Test ID: C-07-23 Project: 01-03612
	Client: Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin	



Maximum depth: 97.51 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-23
File: 2015G0702C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc. 550 Cleveland Avenue North St. Paul, MN 55114	Northing: Easting: Elevation:	Date: 15/Aug/2007 Test ID: C-07-24 Project: 01-03612
	Client: Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin	



Maximum depth: 131.63 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-24
File: 2015G0703C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc. 550 Cleveland Avenue North St. Paul, MN 55114	Northing: Easting: Elevation:	Date: 15/Aug/2007 Test ID: C-07-25A Project: 01-03612
	Client: Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin	



Maximum depth: 122.72 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-25A
File: 2015G0705C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc. 550 Cleveland Avenue North St. Paul, MN 55114	Northing: Easting: Elevation:	Date: 16/Aug/2007 Test ID: C-07-26 Project: 01-03612
	Client: Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin	



Maximum depth: 49.83 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-26
File: 2016G0702C.ECP

American Engineering Testing, Inc. 550 Cleveland Avenue North St. Paul, MN 55114	Northing: Easting: Elevation:	Date: 16/Aug/2007 Test ID: C-07-27 Project: 01-03612
	Client: Site: PolyMet Tailing Basin	



Maximum depth: 72.77 (ft)

Class FR: Friction Ratio Classification (Ref: Robertson 1990)

Test ID: C-07-27
File: 2016G0703C.ECP

PolyMet Tailings Basin
AET Project No. 01-03612
Sounding 07-01



PolyMet Tailings Basin
AET Project No. 01-03612
Sounding 07-02



PolyMet Tailings Basin
AET Project No. 01-03612
Sounding 07-03



PolyMet Tailings Basin
AET Project No. 01-03612
Sounding 07-04A



PolyMet Tailings Basin
AET Project No. 01-03612
Sounding 07-04B



PolyMet Tailings Basin
AET Project No. 01-03612
Sounding 07-05



PolyMet Tailings Basin
AET Project No. 01-03612
Sounding 07-06



PolyMet Tailings Basin
AET Project No. 01-03612
Sounding 07-07B



PolyMet Tailings Basin
AET Project No. 01-03612
Sounding 07-07C



PolyMet Tailings Basin
AET Project No. 01-03612
Sounding 07-08



PolyMet Tailings Basin
AET Project No. 01-03612
Sounding 07-09



PolyMet Tailings Basin
AET Project No. 01-03612
Sounding 07-10



PolyMet Tailings Basin
AET Project No. 01-03612
Sounding 07-11



PolyMet Tailings Basin
AET Project No. 01-03612
Sounding 07-12



PolyMet Tailings Basin
AET Project No. 01-03612
Sounding 07-14



PolyMet Tailings Basin
AET Project No. 01-03612
Sounding 07-15



PolyMet Tailings Basin
AET Project No. 01-03612
Sounding 07-16



PolyMet Tailings Basin
AET Project No. 01-03612
Sounding 07-17



PolyMet Tailings Basin
AET Project No. 01-03612
Sounding 07-18



S Wave Results
Sounding 07-19
PolyMet Tailings Basin; AET 01-3612



PolyMet Tailings Basin
AET Project No. 01-03612
Sounding 07-20



PolyMet Tailings Basin

AET Project No. 01-03612

Sounding 07-21



PolyMet Tailings Basin

AET Project No. 01-03612

Sounding 07-22



PolyMet Tailings Basin
AET Project No. 01-03612
Sounding 07-23



PolyMet Tailings Basin
AET Project No. 01-03612
Sounding 07-24



PolyMet Tailings Basin

AET Project No. 01-03612

Sounding 07-25



PolyMet Tailings Basin
AET Project No. 01-03612
Sounding 07-26



PolyMet Tailings Basine
AET Project No. 01-03612
Sounding 07-27



Wavespeed Calculation

Project: PolyMet
Project No.: 01-03612
Sounding: 07-01

Transducer Offset (in) 23.0 center of the transducers from the probe tip
Source Offset Distance 48.0 center of the rod from edge of the source

Probe Depth (ft)	Arrival Time (s)	Trans. Depth (ft)	Travel Distance (ft)	Average Waves (ft/s)	Interval Wavespeed (ft/s)
9.71	0.01520	7.8	8.76	576.31	
19.43	0.02650	17.5	17.96	677.90	815
29.12	0.03830	27.2	27.50	717.91	808
39.40	0.05010	37.5	37.70	752.42	864

Wavespeed Calculation

Project: PolyMet
Project No.: 01-03612
Sounding: 07-02

Transducer Offset (in) 23.0 center of the transducers from the probe tip
Source Offset Distance 48.0 center of the rod from edge of the source

Probe Depth (ft)	Arrival Time (s)	Trans. Depth (ft)	Travel Distance (ft)	Average Waves (ft/s)	Interval Wavespeed (ft/s)
9.74	0.02720	7.8	8.79	323.04	
19.44	0.04210	17.5	17.97	426.94	617
29.17	0.05820	27.3	27.55	473.29	594
39.32	0.07470	37.4	37.62	503.57	610
49.22	0.09160	47.3	47.47	518.25	583
59.08	0.10670	57.2	57.30	537.05	651
68.44	0.11980	66.5	66.64	556.29	713
78.83	0.13430	76.9	77.02	573.47	715

Wavespeed Calculation

Project: PolyMet
Project No.: 01--3612
Sounding: 07-03

Transducer Offset (in) 23.0 center of the transducers from the probe tip
Source Offset Distance 48.0 center of the rod from edge of the source

Probe Depth (ft)	Arrival Time (s)	Trans. Depth (ft)	Travel Distance (ft)	Average Waves (ft/s)	Interval Wavespeed (ft/s)
9.76	0.03190	7.8	8.80	276.00	
19.50	0.05030	17.6	18.03	358.50	502
29.25	0.06970	27.3	27.62	396.33	494
39.00	0.08790	37.1	37.30	424.33	532
48.76	0.10480	46.8	47.01	448.61	575
58.50	0.12060	56.6	56.72	470.35	615
68.25	0.13450	66.3	66.45	494.08	700
72.95	0.13950	71.0	71.15	510.01	938

Wavespeed Calculation

Project: PolyMet
Project No.: 01-3612
Sounding: 07-04A

Transducer Offset (in) 23.0 center of the transducers from the probe tip
Source Offset Distance (48.0 center of the rod from edge of the source

Probe Depth (ft)	Arrival Time (s)	Trans. Depth (ft)	Travel Distance (ft)	Average Waves (ft/s)	Interval Wavespeed (ft/s)
9.66	0.02590	7.7	8.72	336.50	
19.61	0.03340	17.7	18.14	543.11	1257

Wavespeed Calculation

Project: PolyMet
Project No.: 01-03612
Sounding: 07-4B

Transducer Offset (in) 23.0 center of the transducers from the probe tip
Source Offset Distance 48.0 center of the rod from edge of the source

Probe Depth (ft)	Arrival Time (s)	Trans. Depth (ft)	Travel Distance (ft)	Average Waves (ft/s)	Interval (ft/s)	Wavespeed
9.75	0.02590	7.8	8.80	339.60		
19.67	0.03660	17.8	18.20	497.22		879
29.47	0.04580	27.6	27.84	607.91		1048
39.32	0.05800	37.4	37.62	648.56		801
49.80	0.06970	47.9	48.05	689.38		892
59.02	0.08450	57.1	57.24	677.44		621

Wavespeed Calculation

Project: PolyMet
Project No.: 01-03612
Sounding: 07-05

Transducer Offset (in) 23.0 center of the transducers from the probe tip
Source Offset Distance 48.0 center of the rod from edge of the source

Probe Depth (ft)	Arrival Time (s)	Trans. Depth (ft)	Travel Distance (ft)	Average Waves (ft/s)	Interval Wavespeed (ft/s)
9.72	0.02200	7.8	8.77	398.58	
19.44	0.03700	17.5	17.97	485.79	614
29.16	0.05240	27.2	27.54	525.49	621
38.86	0.06670	36.9	37.16	557.11	673
49.01	0.07940	47.1	47.26	595.25	796
58.72	0.09390	56.8	56.94	606.43	668
68.44	0.10780	66.5	66.64	618.21	698
78.49	0.12110	76.6	76.68	633.18	754
88.23	0.13430	86.3	86.41	643.38	737
98.43	0.15140	96.5	96.60	638.02	596

Wavespeed Calculation

Project: PolyMet
Project No.: 01-03612
Sounding: 07-06

Transducer Offset (in) 23.0 center of the transducers from the probe tip
Source Offset Distance (48.0 center of the rod from edge of the source

Probe Depth (ft)	Arrival Time (s)	Trans. Depth (ft)	Travel Distance (ft)	Average Waves (ft/s)	Interval Wavespeed (ft/s)
10.13	0.03570	8.2	9.14	255.90	
19.85	0.05780	17.9	18.37	317.89	418
29.58	0.07740	27.7	27.95	361.12	489
40.29	0.09780	38.4	38.58	394.49	521
49.00	0.11270	47.1	47.25	419.28	582
58.73	0.12830	56.8	56.95	443.91	622
68.45	0.14270	66.5	66.65	467.09	674

Wavespeed Calculation

Project: PolyMet
Project No.: 01-03612
Sounding: 07-07B

Transducer Offset (in) 23.0 center of the transducers from the probe tip
Source Offset Distance 48.0 center of the rod from edge of the source

Probe Depth (ft)	Arrival Time (s)	Trans. Depth (ft)	Travel Distance (ft)	Average Waves (ft/s)	Interval Wavespeed (ft/s)
9.74	0.02270	7.8	8.79	387.08	
19.45	0.03120	17.5	17.98	576.40	1082
29.48	0.04300	27.6	27.85	647.72	836

Wavespeed Calculation

Project: PolyMet
Project No.: 01-03612
Sounding: 07-07C

Transducer Offset (in) 23.0 center of the transducers from the probe tip
Source Offset Distance 48.0 center of the rod from edge of the source

Probe Depth (ft)	Arrival Time (s)	Trans. Depth (ft)	Travel Distance (ft)	Average Waves (ft/s)	Interval Wavespeed (ft/s)
9.72	0.01930	7.8	8.77	454.34	
19.41	0.02840	17.5	17.94	631.86	1008
29.12	0.04020	27.2	27.50	683.98	809
39.44	0.05160	37.5	37.74	731.32	898
49.34	0.06370	47.4	47.59	747.12	815

Wavespeed Calculation

Project: PolyMet
Project No.: 01-03612
Sounding: 07-08

Transducer Offset (in) 23.0 center of the transducers from the probe tip
Source Offset Distance (48.0 center of the rod from edge of the source

Probe Depth (ft)	Arrival Time (s)	Trans. Depth (ft)	Travel Distance (ft)	Average Waves (ft/s)	Interval Wavespeed (ft/s)
9.98	0.02330	8.1	9.00	386.31	
19.70	0.03700	17.8	18.23	492.64	673
29.44	0.05090	27.5	27.81	546.41	690
39.15	0.06550	37.2	37.45	571.72	660
58.63	0.09480	56.7	56.85	599.73	662
68.60	0.10930	66.7	66.80	611.19	686
76.86	0.11910	74.9	75.05	630.14	842

Wavespeed Calculation

Project: PolyMet
Project No.: 01-03612
Sounding: 07-09

Transducer Offset (in) 23.0 center of the transducers from the probe tip
Source Offset Distance 48.0 center of the rod from edge of the source

Probe Depth (ft)	Arrival Time (s)	Trans. Depth (ft)	Travel Distance (ft)	Average Waves (ft/s)	Interval Wavespeed (ft/s)
9.78	0.03420	7.9	8.82	257.96	
19.53	0.05260	17.6	18.06	343.38	502
29.31	0.07020	27.4	27.68	394.36	547
31.00	0.07340	29.1	29.36	399.96	523
39.04	0.08730	37.1	37.34	427.70	574

Wavespeed Calculation

Project: PolyMet
Project No.: 01-03612
Sounding: 07-10

Transducer Offset (in) 23.0 center of the transducers from the probe tip
Source Offset Distance 48.0 center of the rod from edge of the source

Probe Depth (ft)	Arrival Time (s)	Trans. Depth (ft)	Travel Distance (ft)	Average Waves (ft/s)	Interval Wavespeed (ft/s)
9.75	0.03490	7.8	8.80	252.02	
19.49	0.05880	17.6	18.02	306.51	386
29.24	0.07980	27.3	27.61	346.05	457
39.84	0.10180	37.9	38.13	374.59	478

Wavespeed Calculation

Project: PolyMet
Project No.: 01-03612
Sounding: 07-11

Transducer Offset (in) 23.0 center of the transducers from the probe tip
Source Offset Distance 48.0 center of the rod from edge of the source

Probe Depth (ft)	Arrival Time (s)	Trans. Depth (ft)	Travel Distance (ft)	Average Waves (ft/s)	Interval Wavespeed (ft/s)
9.64	0.01090	7.7	8.70	797.95	
20.38	0.03320	18.5	18.89	569.03	457
29.48	0.05070	27.6	27.85	549.35	512
39.44	0.06840	37.5	37.74	551.69	558

Wavespeed Calculation

Project: PolyMet
Project No.: 01-03612
Sounding: 07-12

Transducer Offset (in) 23.0 center of the transducers from the probe tip
Source Offset Distance 48.0 center of the rod from edge of the source

Probe Depth (ft)	Arrival Time (s)	Trans. Depth (ft)	Travel Distance (ft)	Average Waves (ft/s)	Interval Wavespeed (ft/s)
9.77	0.01880	7.9	8.81	468.79	
19.53	0.03320	17.6	18.06	544.03	642
29.50	0.05090	27.6	27.87	547.58	554
39.41	0.06820	37.5	37.71	552.88	568

Wavespeed Calculation

Project: PolyMet
Project No.: 01-03612
Sounding: 07-14

Transducer Offset (in) 23.0 center of the transducers from the probe tip
Source Offset Distance 48.0 center of the rod from edge of the source

Probe Depth (ft)	Arrival Time (s)	Trans. Depth (ft)	Travel Distance (ft)	Average Waves (ft/s)	Interval Wavespeed (ft/s)
9.74	0.02990	7.8	8.79	293.87	
19.48	0.04870	17.6	18.01	369.88	491
29.49	0.06030	27.6	27.86	462.06	849
39.31	0.07450	37.4	37.61	504.79	686
49.19	0.08700	47.3	47.44	545.31	787
59.08	0.09840	57.2	57.30	582.35	865
68.78	0.10740	66.9	66.98	623.68	1076
78.68	0.11730	76.8	76.87	655.31	998
88.43	0.12720	86.5	86.61	680.86	984
98.17	0.13760	96.3	96.34	700.12	936

Wavespeed Calculation

Project: PolyMet
Project No.: 01-03612
Sounding: 07-15

Transducer Offset (in) 23.0 center of the transducers from the probe tip
Source Offset Distance 48.0 center of the rod from edge of the source

Probe Depth (ft)	Arrival Time (s)	Trans. Depth (ft)	Travel Distance (ft)	Average Waves (ft/s)	Interval Wavespeed (ft/s)
9.73	0.01520	7.8	8.78	577.48	
19.46	0.02720	17.5	17.99	661.53	768
29.61	0.04000	27.7	27.98	699.52	780
39.31	0.05280	37.4	37.61	712.25	752
49.22	0.06720	47.3	47.47	706.43	685
59.63	0.08130	57.7	57.85	711.58	736
68.62	0.09300	66.7	66.82	718.53	767
78.93	0.10650	77.0	77.12	724.10	763
88.52	0.11830	86.6	86.70	732.85	812
98.21	0.12980	96.3	96.38	742.50	842

Wavespeed Calculation

Project: PolyMet
Project No.: 01-03612
Sounding: 07-16

Transducer Offset (in) 23.0 center of the transducers from the probe tip
Source Offset Distance 48.0 center of the rod from edge of the source

Probe Depth (ft)	Arrival Time (s)	Trans. Depth (ft)	Travel Distance (ft)	Average Waves (ft/s)	Interval Wavespeed (ft/s)
9.82	0.02440	7.9	8.86	363.03	
19.68	0.03980	17.8	18.21	457.49	607
29.50	0.05260	27.6	27.87	529.88	755
39.40	0.06460	37.5	37.70	583.53	819
49.21	0.07470	47.3	47.46	635.37	967
58.99	0.08410	57.1	57.21	680.30	1037
68.82	0.09390	66.9	67.02	713.77	1001
78.64	0.10400	76.7	76.83	738.73	971
88.58	0.11470	86.7	86.76	756.37	928
98.73	0.12410	96.8	96.90	780.79	1079

Wavespeed Calculation

Project: PolyMet
Project No.: 01-03612
Sounding: 07-17

Transducer Offset (in) 23.0 center of the transducers from the probe tip
Source Offset Distance 48.0 center of the rod from edge of the source

Probe Depth (ft)	Arrival Time (s)	Trans. Depth (ft)	Travel Distance (ft)	Average Waves (ft/s)	Interval Wavespeed (ft/s)
9.83	0.03140	7.9	8.87	282.38	
19.64	0.04710	17.7	18.17	385.76	593
29.49	0.06240	27.6	27.86	446.51	634
39.38	0.07790	37.5	37.68	483.65	633
49.21	0.09130	47.3	47.46	519.85	730
59.00	0.10310	57.1	57.22	555.03	827
68.83	0.11540	66.9	67.03	580.87	798
78.69	0.12830	76.8	76.88	599.20	763
88.52	0.13950	86.6	86.70	621.47	877
98.41	0.15200	96.5	96.58	635.37	790
108.21	0.16320	106.3	106.37	651.77	874
117.98	0.175	116.1	116.13	663.61	827
127.95	0.1851	126.0	126.10	681.24	987

Wavespeed Calculation

Project: PolyMet
Project No.: 01-03612
Sounding: 07-18

Transducer Offset (in) 23.0 center of the transducers from the probe tip
Source Offset Distance 48.0 center of the rod from edge of the source

Probe Depth (ft)	Arrival Time (s)	Trans. Depth (ft)	Travel Distance (ft)	Average Waves (ft/s)	Interval Wavespeed (ft/s)
9.85	0.02980	7.9	8.88	298.14	
19.68	0.04690	17.8	18.21	388.23	545
29.56	0.06320	27.6	27.93	441.95	597
39.68	0.07830	37.8	37.97	484.99	665
49.21	0.09220	47.3	47.46	514.77	683
59.22	0.10540	57.3	57.44	545.00	756
68.90	0.11820	67.0	67.10	567.70	755
78.70	0.13100	76.8	76.89	586.93	764
88.61	0.14490	86.7	86.79	598.93	712
98.41	0.15540	96.5	96.58	621.47	932
108.30	0.16780	106.4	106.46	634.44	797
118.01	0.1783	116.1	116.16	651.50	924
128.08	0.1899	126.2	126.23	664.70	868

Wavespeed Calculation

Project: PolyMet
Project No.: 01-03612
Sounding: 07-19

Transducer Offset (in) 23.0 center of the transducers from the probe tip
Source Offset Distance 48.0 center of the rod from edge of the source

Probe Depth (ft)	Arrival Time (s)	Trans. Depth (ft)	Travel Distance (ft)	Average Waves (ft/s)	Interval Wavespeed (ft/s)
9.84	0.02990	7.9	8.88	296.85	
19.76	0.04740	17.8	18.29	385.78	538
29.48	0.06568	27.6	27.85	424.06	523
39.40	0.08236	37.5	37.70	457.70	590
49.21	0.09746	47.3	47.46	486.99	647
58.99	0.11123	57.1	57.21	514.37	708
68.86	0.12470	66.9	67.06	537.79	731
78.68	0.13880	76.8	76.87	553.80	695
88.63	0.15100	86.7	86.81	574.87	815
98.46	0.16390	96.5	96.63	589.54	761
108.21	0.17610	106.3	106.37	604.02	799
118.1	0.1875	116.2	116.25	620.01	867
127.9	0.1989	126.0	126.05	633.72	859

Wavespeed Calculation

Project: PolyMet
Project No.: 01-03612
Sounding: 07-20

Transducer Offset (in) 23.0 center of the transducers from the probe tip
Source Offset Distance 48.0 center of the rod from edge of the source

Probe Depth (ft)	Arrival Time (s)	Trans. Depth (ft)	Travel Distance (ft)	Average Waves (ft/s)	Interval Wavespeed (ft/s)
9.79	0.02720	7.9	8.83	324.67	
19.57	0.04360	17.7	18.10	415.16	565
29.28	0.06120	27.4	27.65	451.87	543
39.30	0.07510	37.4	37.60	500.62	715
49.17	0.09070	47.3	47.42	522.85	630
59.59	0.10160	57.7	57.81	569.01	953
68.88	0.11020	67.0	67.08	608.74	1078
78.76	0.11590	76.8	76.95	663.91	1731

Wavespeed Calculation

Project: PolyMet
Project No.: 01-03612
Sounding: 07-21

Transducer Offset (in) 23.0 center of the transducers from the probe tip
Source Offset Distance 48.0 center of the rod from edge of the source

Probe Depth (ft)	Arrival Time (s)	Trans. Depth (ft)	Travel Distance (ft)	Average Waves (ft/s)	Interval Wavespeed (ft/s)
9.84	0.03080	7.9	8.88	288.17	
19.76	0.04750	17.8	18.29	384.97	563
29.48	0.06400	27.6	27.85	435.19	580
39.40	0.08030	37.5	37.70	469.44	604
49.21	0.09630	47.3	47.46	492.86	610
58.99	0.11120	57.1	57.21	514.51	654
68.86	0.12600	66.9	67.06	532.24	665
78.68	0.14000	76.8	76.87	549.05	700
88.63	0.15290	86.7	86.81	567.73	770
98.46	0.16580	96.5	96.63	582.79	761
108.21	0.17750	106.3	106.37	599.26	833
118.1	0.1896	116.2	116.25	613.14	817
127.9	0.2005	126.0	126.05	628.66	899
137.7	0.2122	135.8	135.84	640.16	837
147.6	0.2223	145.7	145.74	655.59	980
157.47	0.2332	155.6	155.60	667.26	905
167.18	0.2446	165.3	165.31	675.85	851

Wavespeed Calculation

Project: PolyMet
Project No.: 01-03612
Sounding: 07-22

Transducer Offset (in) 23.0 center of the transducers from the probe tip
Source Offset Distance 48.0 center of the rod from edge of the source

Probe Depth (ft)	Arrival Time (s)	Trans. Depth (ft)	Travel Distance (ft)	Average Waves (ft/s)	Interval Wavespeed (ft/s)
9.82		7.9	8.86		
19.69		17.8	18.22		
29.52		27.6	27.89		
30.56	0.07670	28.6	28.92		
39.38	0.08870	37.5	37.68	424.76	730
49.24	0.10240	47.3	47.49	463.79	716
59.01	0.11770	57.1	57.23	486.26	637
68.89	0.13100	67.0	67.09	512.16	741
78.71	0.14460	76.8	76.90	531.79	721
88.52	0.15760	86.6	86.70	550.10	754
98.44	0.17060	96.5	96.61	566.27	762
108.21	0.182	106.3	106.37	584.44	856
118.03	0.1947	116.1	116.18	596.72	773
127.86	0.2067	125.9	126.01	609.61	819
137.74	0.2174	135.8	135.88	625.03	923
147.6	0.2288	145.7	145.74	636.97	865
157.74	0.2412	155.8	155.87	646.25	817

Wavespeed Calculation

Project: PolyMet
Project No.: 01-03612
Sounding: 07-23

Transducer Offset (in) 23.0 center of the transducers from the probe tip
Source Offset Distance 48.0 center of the rod from edge of the source

Probe Depth (ft)	Arrival Time (s)	Trans. Depth (ft)	Travel Distance (ft)	Average Waves (ft/s)	Interval Wavespeed (ft/s)
9.85	0.03010	7.9	8.88	295.17	
20.35	0.04760	18.4	18.86	396.27	570
29.51	0.06200	27.6	27.88	449.71	626
39.40	0.07710	37.5	37.70	488.93	650
49.26	0.09060	47.3	47.51	524.42	727
59.01	0.10380	57.1	57.23	551.38	736
68.89	0.11650	67.0	67.09	575.90	776
78.75	0.12790	76.8	76.94	601.54	864
88.58	0.13890	86.7	86.76	624.59	893
97.51	0.14900	95.6	95.68	642.13	883

Wavespeed Calculation

Project: PolyMet
Project No.: 01-03612
Sounding: 07-24

Transducer Offset (in) 23.0 center of the transducers from the probe tip
Source Offset Distance 48.0 center of the rod from edge of the source

Probe Depth (ft)	Arrival Time (s)	Trans. Depth (ft)	Travel Distance (ft)	Average Waves (ft/s)	Interval Wavespeed (ft/s)
9.82	0.03980	7.9	8.86	222.56	
20.04	0.05830	18.1	18.56	318.34	524
29.55	0.07340	27.6	27.92	380.40	620
39.40	0.08850	37.5	37.70	425.95	647
49.22	0.10230	47.3	47.47	464.05	708
58.98	0.11470	57.1	57.20	498.72	785
68.88	0.12720	67.0	67.08	527.38	790
78.70	0.13890	76.8	76.89	553.55	838
88.58	0.15010	86.7	86.76	577.99	881
98.43	0.16020	96.5	96.60	602.97	974
108.26	0.17090	106.3	106.42	622.69	918
118.61	0.1817	116.7	116.76	642.61	958
127.91	0.192	126.0	126.06	656.55	902

Wavespeed Calculation

Project: PolyMet
Project No.: 01-3612
Sounding: 07-25

Transducer Offset (in) 23.0 center of the transducers from the probe tip
Source Offset Distance 48.0 center of the rod from edge of the source

Probe Depth (ft)	Arrival Time (s)	Trans. Depth (ft)	Travel Distance (ft)	Average Waves (ft/s)	Interval Wavespeed (ft/s)
10.57	0.03380	8.7	9.53	282.04	
19.71	0.04650	17.8	18.24	392.20	685
29.51	0.05940	27.6	27.88	469.39	748
39.40	0.07280	37.5	37.70	517.80	732
49.18	0.08270	47.3	47.43	573.55	983
59.01	0.09390	57.1	57.23	609.51	875
68.90	0.10550	67.0	67.10	636.04	851
78.71	0.11500	76.8	76.90	668.67	1031
88.57	0.12420	86.7	86.75	698.43	1070
98.40	0.13480	96.5	96.57	716.37	926
108.30	0.14420	106.4	106.46	738.27	1052
117.98	0.1537	116.1	116.13	755.58	1018

Wavespeed Calculation

Project: PolyMet
Project No.: 01-03612
Sounding: 07-26

Transducer Offset (in) 23.0 center of the transducers from the probe tip
Source Offset Distance 48.0 center of the rod from edge of the source

Probe Depth (ft)	Arrival Time (s)	Trans. Depth (ft)	Travel Distance (ft)	Average Waves (ft/s)	Interval Wavespeed (ft/s)
9.82	0.03760	7.9	8.86	235.58	
19.69	0.05730	17.8	18.22	317.94	475
29.51	0.07680	27.6	27.88	363.04	496
39.40	0.09580	37.5	37.70	393.49	517
49.09	0.10970	47.2	47.34	431.56	694

Wavespeed Calculation

Project: PolyMet
Project No.: 01-03612
Sounding: 07-27

Transducer Offset (in) 23.0 center of the transducers from the probe tip
Source Offset Distance 48.0 center of the rod from edge of the source

Probe Depth (ft)	Arrival Time (s)	Trans. Depth (ft)	Travel Distance (ft)	Average Waves (ft/s)	Interval Wavespeed (ft/s)
9.81	0.03380	7.9	8.85	261.80	
19.68	0.05690	17.8	18.21	320.00	405
29.50	0.07810	27.6	27.87	356.87	456
39.41	0.09730	37.5	37.71	387.52	512
49.21	0.11510	47.3	47.46	412.36	548
58.99	0.13200	57.1	57.21	433.43	577
68.78	0.14500	66.9	66.98	461.95	752

Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-01
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-01
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-02
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-02
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-02
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-02
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-02
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-02
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-02
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-02
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-02
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-02
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-03
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-03
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-03
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-03
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-03
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-04B
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-05
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-05
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-05
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-05
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-05
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-05
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-05
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-05
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-05
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-05
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-05
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-05
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-05
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-05
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-05
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-06
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-06
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-06
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-06
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-06
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-06
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-06
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-06
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-07B
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-07C
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-07C
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-08
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-08
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-08
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-08
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-08
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-08
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-08
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-08
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-09
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-09
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-09
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-09
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-09
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-09
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-10
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-10
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-10
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-11
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-11
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-11
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-11
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-12
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-12
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-14
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-14
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-14
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-14
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-15
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-15
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-15
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-15
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-16
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-16
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-17
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-17
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-17
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-17
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-18
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-18
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-18
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-18
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-19
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-19
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-19
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-20
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-21
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-21
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-21
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-21
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-22
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-22
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-22
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-22
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-22
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-23
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-23
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-23
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-24
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-24
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-24
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-24
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-24
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-25
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-25
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-25
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-25
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-26
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-26
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-26
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-27
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-27
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-27
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-27
AET #01-03612



Pore Pressure Dissipation Test
Sounding 07-27
AET #01-03612



Boring: 07-02

Depth: 61.7 ft



Boring: 07-03

Depth: 24.8 ft



Boring: 07-03

Depth: 25.2 ft

Boring: 07-03

Depth: 35.1 ft



Boring : 07-06

Depth: 23.5 ft



Boring : 07-06

Depth : 24.5 ft



Boring : 07-06

Depth : 31.6 ft



Boring: 07-06

Depth: 32.6 ft



Boring: 07-15

Depth: 35.9 ft



Boring: 07-15

Depth: 37.2 ft



Boring: 07-15

Depth: 37.9 ft

Boring: 07-15

Depth: 38.6 ft



Boring: 07-07C

Depth: 50.7 ft.



Boring: 07-07C

Depth: 51.4 ft.



Boring: 07-07C

Depth: 52.2 ft.

Boring : 07-08

Depth : 66.8 ft.



Boring : 07-08

Depth : 67.5 ft.



Boring: 07-09

Depth: 30.0 ft.



Boring : 07-09

Depth : 30.8 ft.



Boring: 07-09

Depth: 36.3 ft.



Boring : 07-10

Depth : 17.7 ft.



Boring : 07-10

Depth : 26.8 ft.



Boring: 07-10

Depth: 39.6 ft.



Boring: 07-12

Depth: 43.0 ft.



Attachment I

2007 Geotechnical Investigation Dilatometer Test Results

DMT 07-02

Date: 7/24/2007

Total Depth (ft): 75

Metric Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(M)	(M)	(KGF)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(T/M3)	(BAR)				(BAR)		(BAR)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)		(BAR)	
1.52	-1.52	0	4.7	18		0.1	0.2	10	0.05	0.1	0	4.1	17.7	0	0	2	21.126	0.19	3.31		472									401	SAND
3.05	-3.05	0	6	17.2		0.1	0.2	10	0.05	0.1	0	5.51	16.9	0	0	2	21.426	0.26	2.07		395									336	SILTY SAND
4.57	-4.57	0	6	19.6		0.1	0.2	10	0.05	0.1	0	5.39	19.3	0	0	2	21.724	0.25	2.58		483									410	SILTY SAND
6.1	-6.1	0	6.7	19		0.1	0.2	10	0.05	0.1	0	6.15	18.7	0	0	2	22.025	0.28	2.04		435									370	SILTY SAND
7.62	-7.62	0	3.5	4.2		0.1	0.2	10	0.05	0.1	0	3.53	3.95	0	0	1.6	22.293	0.16	0.12		15		0.21					0.43		12	CLAY
9.14	-9.14	0	3.1	8.1		0.1	0.2	10	0.05	0.1	0	2.92	7.85	0	0	1.8	22.547	0.13	1.69		171									146	SANDY SILT
10.67	-10.67	0	3.6	4.1		0.1	0.2	10	0.05	0.1	0	3.64	3.85	0	0.06	1.5	22.735	0.16	0.06		7		0.21					0.43		6	MUD
12.19	-12.19	0	3.7	4.7	0.2	0.1	0.2	10	0.05	0.1	0	3.72	4.45	0.25	0.209	1.7	22.824	0.15	0.21	0.01	26		0.2					0.42		22	CLAY
13.72	-13.72	0	4.5	13.8		0.1	0.2	10	0.05	0.1	0	4.1	13.5	0	0.359	1.9	22.944	0.16	2.51		326									277	SILTY SAND
15.24	-15.24	0	3.8	4.5	0.05	0.1	0.2	10	0.05	0.1	0	3.83	4.25	0.1	0.508	1.6	23.056	0.14	0.13	-0.12	15		0.19					0.38		12	CLAY
16.76	-16.76	0	4.6	5.3	0.7	0.1	0.2	10	0.05	0.1	0	4.63	5.05	0.75	0.658	1.6	23.146	0.17	0.11	0.02	15		0.24					0.5		12	CLAY
18.29	-18.29	0	5.1	14.8		0.1	0.2	10	0.05	0.1	0	4.68	14.5	0	0.808	1.9	23.258	0.17	2.53		341									290	SILTY SAND
19.81	-19.81	0	6.9	7.8	1	0.1	0.2	10	0.05	0.1	0	6.92	7.55	1.05	0.957	1.7	23.378	0.26	0.11	0.02	22		0.39					0.94		19	CLAY
21.34	-21.34	0	8.3	20.2		0.1	0.2	10	0.05	0.1	0	7.77	19.9	0	1.107	2	23.505	0.28	1.82		421									358	SILTY SAND
22.86	-22.86	0	5.8	6.4	0.05	0.1	0.2	10	0.05	0.1	0	5.84	6.15	0.1	1.256	1.5	23.617	0.19	0.07	-0.25	11		0.28					0.62		9	MUD

Imperial Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(FT)	(FT)	(LBF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(PCF)	(TSF)				(TSF)		(TSF)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)		(TSF)	
5	-5	0	4.91	18.79		0.1	0.21	10.44	0.05	0.1	0	4.28	18.48		0	124.8	22.056	0.19	3.31		493									419	SAND
10	-10	0	6.26	17.96		0.1	0.21	10.44	0.05	0.1	0	5.75	17.64		0	124.8	22.369	0.26	2.07		412									351	SILTY SAND
15	-15	0	6.26	20.46		0.1	0.21	10.44	0.05	0.1	0	5.63	20.15		0	124.8	22.68	0.25	2.58		504									428	SILTY SAND
20	-20	0	6.99	19.84		0.1	0.21	10.44	0.05	0.1	0	6.42	19.52		0	124.8	22.994	0.28	2.04		454									386	SILTY SAND
25	-25	0	3.65	4.38		0.1	0.21	10.44	0.05	0.1	0	3.69	4.12		0	99.8	23.274	0.16	0.12		16		0.22					0.45		13	CLAY
30	-30	0	3.24	8.46		0.1	0.21	10.44	0.05	0.1	0	3.05	8.2		0	112.3	23.539	0.13	1.69		179									152	SANDY SILT
35	-35	0	3.76	4.28		0.1	0.21	10.44	0.05	0.1	0	3.8	4.02		0.063	93.6	23.735	0.16	0.06		7		0.22					0.45		6	MUD
40	-40	0	3.86	4.91	0.21	0.1	0.21	10.44	0.05	0.1	0	3.88	4.65	0.26	0.218	106.1	23.828	0.15	0.21	0.01	27		0.21					0.44		23	CLAY
45	-45	0	4.7	14.41		0.1	0.21	10.44	0.05	0.1	0	4.28	14.09		0.375	118.6	23.954	0.16	2.51		340									289	SILTY SAND
50	-50	0	3.97	4.7	0.05	0.1	0.21	10.44	0.05	0.1	0	4	4.44	0.1	0.53	99.8	24.07	0.14	0.13	-0.12	16		0.2					0.4		13	CLAY
55	-55	0	4.8	5.53	0.73	0.1	0.21	10.44	0.05	0.1	0	4.83	5.27	0.78	0.687	99.8	24.164	0.17	0.11	0.02	16		0.25					0.52		13	CLAY
60	-60	0	5.32	15.45		0.1	0.21	10.44	0.05	0.1	0	4.89	15.14		0.844	118.6	24.281	0.17	2.53		356									303	SILTY SAND
65	-65	0	7.2	8.14	1.04	0.1	0.21	10.44	0.05	0.1	0	7.22	7.88	1.1	0.999	106.1	24.407	0.26	0.11	0.02	23		0.41					0.98		20	CLAY
70	-70	0	8.67	21.09		0.1	0.21	10.44	0.05	0.1	0	8.11	20.78		1.156	124.8	24.539	0.28	1.82		440									374	SILTY SAND
75	-75	0	6.06	6.68	0.05	0.1	0.21	10.44	0.05	0.1	0	6.1	6.42	0.1	1.311	93.6	24.656	0.19	0.07	-0.25	11		0.29					0.65		9	MUD

	K_D	K_D
AVERAGE	0.20	-0.22
ST DEV	0.05	-0.39
MIN	0.13	-0.28
MAX	0.28	-0.15

DMT 07-03
Date: 7/23/2007
Total Depth (ft): 65

Metric Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(M)	(M)	(KGF)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(T/M3)	(BAR)				(BAR)		(BAR)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)		(BAR)	
3.05	-3.05	0	2.6	6.1		0.1	0.2	0	0	0	0	2.54	5.9	0	0	1.7	12.69	0.2	1.32		117									99	SANDY SILT
4.57	-4.57	0	1.9	4.5		0.1	0.2	0	0	0	0	1.89	4.3	0	0	1.7	12.944	0.15	1.28		84									71	SANDY SILT
7.62	-7.62	0	1.9	3.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0	0	0	0	1.96	2.9	0.2	0.19	1.6	13.247	0.13	0.54	0.01	33		0.1					0.19		28	SILTY CLAY
9.14	-9.14	0	3.4	4	0.5	0.1	0.2	0	0	0	0	3.49	3.8	0.6	0.23	1.5	13.439	0.24	0.1	0.11	11		0.21					0.5		9	MUD
10.67	-10.67	0	2.8	3.5		0.1	0.2	0	0	0	0	2.88	3.3	0	0.24	1.6	13.661	0.19	0.16		15		0.16					0.36		12	CLAY
12.19	-12.19	0	3.7	4.1	0.5	0.1	0.2	0	0	0	0	3.8	3.9	0.6	0.31	1.5	13.823	0.25	0.03	0.08	4		0.23					0.55		3	MUD
13.72	-13.72	0	3.3	10		0.1	0.2	0	0	0	0	3.08	9.8	0	0.47	1.9	13.918	0.19	2.57		233									198	SILTY SAND
15.24	-15.24	0	4.5	5.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0	0	0	0	4.58	5	0.3	0.68	1.6	13.969	0.28	0.11	-0.1	15		0.26					0.65		12	CLAY
16.76	-16.76	0	7	13.6		0.1	0.2	0	0	0	0	6.79	13.4	0	1.14	1.95	13.774	0.41	1.17		230							1.16	0.1	195	SILT
18.29	-18.29	0	5.5	12.2		0.1	0.2	0	0	0	0	5.28	12	0	1.71	1.9	13.493	0.26	1.88		233									198	SILTY SAND
19.81	-19.81	0	6	6.8	1.3	0.1	0.2	0	0	0	0	6.08	6.6	1.4	0.69	1.7	14.781	0.36	0.1	0.13	18		0.39					1.04	0.1	15	CLAY?

Imperial Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(FT)	(FT)	(LBF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(PCF)	(TSF)				(TSF)		(TSF)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)		(TSF)	
10	-10	0	2.71	6.37		0.1	0.21	0	0	0	0	2.65	6.16		0	106.1	13.248	0.2	1.32		122									103	SANDY SILT
15	-15	0	1.98	4.7		0.1	0.21	0	0	0	0	1.97	4.49		0	106.1	13.514	0.15	1.28		88									74	SANDY SILT
25	-25	0	1.98	3.24	0.1	0.1	0.21	0	0	0	0	2.05	3.03	0.21	0.198	99.8	13.83	0.13	0.54	0.01	34		0.1					0.2		29	SILTY CLAY
30	-30	0	3.55	4.18	0.52	0.1	0.21	0	0	0	0	3.64	3.97	0.63	0.24	93.6	14.03	0.24	0.1	0.11	11		0.22					0.52		9	MUD
35	-35	0	2.92	3.65		0.1	0.21	0	0	0	0	3.01	3.45		0.251	99.8	14.262	0.19	0.16		16		0.17					0.38		13	CLAY
40	-40	0	3.86	4.28	0.52	0.1	0.21	0	0	0	0	3.97	4.07	0.63	0.324	93.6	14.431	0.25	0.03	0.08	4		0.24					0.57		3	MUD
45	-45	0	3.45	10.44		0.1	0.21	0	0	0	0	3.22	10.23		0.491	118.6	14.53	0.19	2.57		243									207	SILTY SAND
50	-50	0	4.7	5.43	0.21	0.1	0.21	0	0	0	0	4.78	5.22	0.31	0.71	99.8	14.584	0.28	0.11	-0.1	16		0.27					0.68		13	CLAY
55	-55	0	7.31	14.2		0.1	0.21	0	0	0	0	7.09	13.99		1.19	121.7	14.38	0.41	1.17		240							1.21	0.1	204	SILT
60	-60	0	5.74	12.74		0.1	0.21	0	0	0	0	5.51	12.53		1.785	118.6	14.087	0.26	1.88		243									207	SILTY SAND
65	-65	0	6.26	7.1	1.36	0.1	0.21	0	0	0	0	6.35	6.89	1.46	0.72	106.1	15.431	0.36	0.1	0.13	19		0.41					1.09	0.1	16	CLAY?

	K_D	K_D
AVERAGE	0.24	-0.18
ST DEV	0.08	-0.34
MIN	0.13	-0.28
MAX	0.41	-0.06

DMT 07-05
Date: 7/24/2007
Total Depth (ft): 104

Metric Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(M)	(M)	(KGF)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(T/M3)	(BAR)				(BAR)		(BAR)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)		(BAR)	
1.52	-1.52	0	5.1	17.8		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	4.64	17.45	0	0	2	24.873	0.19	2.76		445									378	SILTY SAND
3.05	-3.05	0	6.5	22		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	5.9	21.65	0	0	2	25.173	0.23	2.67		547									465	SILTY SAND
4.57	-4.57	0	6.1	18		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	5.68	17.65	0	0	2	25.472	0.22	2.11		415									353	SILTY SAND
6.1	-6.1	0	8.1	27		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	7.33	26.65	0	0	2	25.772	0.28	2.64		670									570	SILTY SAND
7.62	-7.62	0	4.3	10		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	4.19	9.65	0	0.029	1.8	26.026	0.16	1.31		189									161	SANDY SILT
9.14	-9.14	0	6.6	18.8		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	6.17	18.45	0	0.179	2	26.16	0.23	2.05		426									362	SILTY SAND
10.67	-10.67	0	6.1	15		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	5.83	14.65	0	0.329	1.95	26.307	0.21	1.6		306									260	SANDY SILT
12.19	-12.19	0	5.7	18.6		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	5.23	18.25	0	0.478	2	26.452	0.18	2.74		452									384	SILTY SAND
13.72	-13.72	0	8	28.2		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	7.17	27.85	0	0.628	2	26.602	0.25	3.16		718									610	SILTY SAND
15.24	-15.24	0	7.2	26		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	6.44	25.65	0	0.777	2	26.751	0.21	3.4		667									567	SAND
16.76	-16.76	0	6.5	16.8		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	6.16	16.45	0	0.926	2	26.901	0.19	1.97		357									304	SILTY SAND
18.29	-18.29	0	4.9	5.7	0.6	0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	5.04	5.35	0.75	1.077	1.5	27.013	0.15	0.08	-0.08	11		0.23					0.46		9	MUD
19.81	-19.81	0	4.7	8		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	4.71	7.65	0	1.226	1.8	27.11	0.13	0.84		102							0.37		87	CLAYEY SILT
21.34	-21.34	0	5.9	6.7	0.9	0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	6.04	6.35	1.05	1.376	1.5	27.208	0.17	0.07	-0.07	11		0.28					0.59		9	MUD
22.86	-22.86	0	6.5	7.3	1.2	0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	6.64	6.95	1.35	1.525	1.7	27.297	0.19	0.06	-0.03	11		0.31					0.68		9	MUD
24.38	-24.38	0	6.8	7.7	1.3	0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	6.93	7.35	1.45	1.674	1.7	27.402	0.19	0.08	-0.04	15		0.32					0.71		12	CLAY?
25.91	-25.91	0	5.9	8	3	0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	5.97	7.65	3.15	1.824	1.7	27.507	0.15	0.41	0.32	58		0.24					0.49		50	SILTY CLAY
27.43	-27.43	0	8.4	17.8		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	8.11	17.45	0	1.974	1.95	27.63	0.22	1.52		324									276	SANDY SILT
28.96	-28.96	0	6.2	9.2		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	6.23	8.85	0	2.124	1.8	27.761	0.15	0.64		91							0.48		77	CLAYEY SILT
30.48	-30.48	0	18.2	24	2.3	0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	18.09	23.65	2.45	2.273	2.05	27.899	0.57	0.35	0.01	193	0.03	1.27					3.9	0.1	164	SILTY CLAY
31.58	-31.58	0	9.6	34.2		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	8.55	33.85	0	2.381	2	28.01	0.22	4.11		878									746	SAND

Imperial Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(FT)	(FT)	(LBF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(PCF)	(TSF)				(TSF)		(TSF)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)		(TSF)	
5	-5	0	5.32	18.58		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	4.84	18.22		0	124.8	25.967	0.19	2.76		465									395	SILTY SAND
10	-10	0	6.79	22.97		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	6.16	22.6		0	124.8	26.281	0.23	2.67		571									485	SILTY SAND
15	-15	0	6.37	18.79		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	5.93	18.43		0	124.8	26.593	0.22	2.11		433									369	SILTY SAND
20	-20	0	8.46	28.19		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	7.65	27.82		0	124.8	26.906	0.28	2.64		699									595	SILTY SAND
25	-25	0	4.49	10.44		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	4.37	10.07		0.03	112.3	27.171	0.16	1.31		197									168	SANDY SILT
30	-30	0	6.89	19.63		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	6.44	19.26		0.187	124.8	27.311	0.23	2.05		445									378	SILTY SAND
35	-35	0	6.37	15.66		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	6.09	15.29		0.343	121.7	27.465	0.21	1.6		319									271	SANDY SILT
40	-40	0	5.95	19.42		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	5.46	19.05		0.499	124.8	27.616	0.18	2.74		472									401	SILTY SAND
45	-45	0	8.35	29.44		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	7.49	29.08		0.656	124.8	27.772	0.25	3.16		750									637	SILTY SAND
50	-50	0	7.52	27.14		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	6.72	26.78		0.811	124.8	27.928	0.21	3.4		696									592	SAND
55	-55	0	6.79	17.54		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	6.43	17.17		0.967	124.8	28.085	0.19	1.97		373									317	SILTY SAND
60	-60	0	5.12	5.95	0.63	0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	5.26	5.59	0.78	1.124	93.6	28.202	0.15	0.08	-0.08	11		0.24					0.48		9	MUD
65	-65	0	4.91	8.35		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	4.92	7.99		1.28	112.3	28.303	0.13	0.84		106								0.39		91	CLAYEY SILT
70	-70	0	6.16	6.99	0.94	0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	6.31	6.63	1.1	1.437	93.6	28.405	0.17	0.07	-0.07	11		0.29					0.62		9	MUD
75	-75	0	6.79	7.62	1.25	0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	6.93	7.26	1.41	1.592	106.1	28.498	0.19	0.06	-0.03	11		0.32					0.71		9	MUD
80	-80	0	7.1	8.04	1.36	0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	7.23	7.67	1.51	1.748	106.1	28.608	0.19	0.08	-0.04	16		0.33					0.74		13	CLAY?
85	-85	0	6.16	8.35	3.13	0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	6.23	7.99	3.29	1.904	106.1	28.717	0.15	0.41	0.32	61		0.25					0.51		52	SILTY CLAY
90	-90	0	8.77	18.58		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	8.47	18.22		2.061	121.7	28.846	0.22	1.52		338									288	SANDY SILT
95	-95	0	6.47	9.6		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	6.5	9.24		2.217	112.3	28.982	0.15	0.64		95								0.5		80	CLAYEY SILT
100	-100	0	19	25.06	2.4	0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	18.89	24.69	2.56	2.373	127.9	29.127	0.57	0.35	0.01	201	0.03	1.33					4.07	0.1	171	SILTY CLAY
103.6	-103.6	0	10.02	35.7		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	8.93	35.34		2.486	124.8	29.242	0.22	4.11		917									779	SAND

	K_D	K_D
AVERAGE	0.21	-0.20
ST DEV	0.09	-0.33
MIN	0.13	-0.28
MAX	0.57	0.03

DMT 07-06
Date: 7/24/2007
Total Depth (ft): 70

Metric Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(M)	(M)	(KGF)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(T/M3)	(BAR)				(BAR)		(BAR)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)		(BAR)	
1.52	-1.52	0	2.7	6		0.15	0.35	0	0.05	0	0.05	2.76	5.7	0	0	1.7	9.473	0.29	1.07		102							0.47		87	SILT
3.05	-3.05	0	1.1	1.7		0.15	0.35	0	0.05	0	0.05	1.3	1.4	0	0.1	1.5	9.613	0.12	0.09		4		0.07					0.13		3	MUD
4.57	-4.57	0	3.3	5.9		0.15	0.35	0	0.05	0	0.05	3.4	5.6	0	0.14	1.7	9.812	0.33	0.68		77							0.6	0.1	65	CLAYEY SILT
6.1	-6.1	0	3.2	4	0.05	0.15	0.35	0	0.05	0	0.05	3.39	3.7	0.25	0.18	1.5	10.012	0.32	0.1	0.02	11		0.22					0.57	0.1	9	MUD
10.67	-10.67	0	4.8	12.4		0.15	0.35	0	0.05	0	0.05	4.65	12.1	0	0.3	1.8	10.632	0.41	1.72		259									220	SANDY SILT
12.19	-12.19	0	4.3	7.8		0.15	0.35	0	0.05	0	0.05	4.35	7.5	0	0.54	1.8	10.661	0.36	0.83		109							0.73	0.1	93	CLAYEY SILT
13.72	-13.72	0	3.5	6.1		0.15	0.35	0	0.05	0	0.05	3.6	5.8	0	0.72	1.7	10.744	0.27	0.77		77							0.47		65	CLAYEY SILT
15.24	-15.24	0	4.7	8.3		0.15	0.35	0	0.05	0	0.05	4.75	8	0	1.06	1.8	10.665	0.35	0.88		113							0.69	0.1	96	CLAYEY SILT
18.29	-18.29	0	4.3	5.1	0.15	0.15	0.35	0	0.05	0	0.05	4.49	4.8	0.35	1.33	1.5	10.888	0.29	0.1	-0.31	11		0.21					0.53		9	MUD
19.81	-19.81	0	5.1	5.8	1	0.15	0.35	0	0.05	0	0.05	5.29	5.5	1.2	1.31	1.5	11.132	0.36	0.05	-0.03	7		0.28					0.76	0.1	6	MUD
21.34	-21.34	0	7.9	10.2	1	0.15	0.35	0	0.05	0	0.05	8.01	9.9	1.2	0.77	1.8	11.92	0.61	0.26	0.06	66	0.05	0.59					1.86	0.2	56	CLAY

Imperial Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(FT)	(FT)	(LBF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(PCF)	(TSF)				(TSF)		(TSF)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)		(TSF)	
5	-5	0	2.82	6.26		0.16	0.37	0	0.05	0	0.05	2.88	5.95		0	106.1	9.89	0.29	1.07		106							0.49		91	SILT
10	-10	0	1.15	1.77		0.16	0.37	0	0.05	0	0.05	1.36	1.46		0.104	93.6	10.036	0.12	0.09		4		0.07					0.14		3	MUD
15	-15	0	3.45	6.16		0.16	0.37	0	0.05	0	0.05	3.55	5.85		0.146	106.1	10.244	0.33	0.68		80							0.63	0.1	68	CLAYEY SILT
20	-20	0	3.34	4.18	0.05	0.16	0.37	0	0.05	0	0.05	3.54	3.86	0.26	0.188	93.6	10.453	0.32	0.1	0.02	11		0.23					0.6	0.1	9	MUD
35	-35	0	5.01	12.95		0.16	0.37	0	0.05	0	0.05	4.85	12.63		0.313	112.3	11.1	0.41	1.72		270									230	SANDY SILT
40	-40	0	4.49	8.14		0.16	0.37	0	0.05	0	0.05	4.54	7.83		0.564	112.3	11.13	0.36	0.83		114							0.76	0.1	97	CLAYEY SILT
45	-45	0	3.65	6.37		0.16	0.37	0	0.05	0	0.05	3.76	6.06		0.752	106.1	11.217	0.27	0.77		80							0.49		68	CLAYEY SILT
50	-50	0	4.91	8.67		0.16	0.37	0	0.05	0	0.05	4.96	8.35		1.107	112.3	11.134	0.35	0.88		118							0.72	0.1	100	CLAYEY SILT
60	-60	0	4.49	5.32	0.16	0.16	0.37	0	0.05	0	0.05	4.69	5.01	0.37	1.389	93.6	11.367	0.29	0.1	-0.31	11		0.22					0.55		9	MUD
65	-65	0	5.32	6.06	1.04	0.16	0.37	0	0.05	0	0.05	5.52	5.74	1.25	1.368	93.6	11.622	0.36	0.05	-0.03	7		0.29					0.79	0.1	6	MUD
70	-70	0	8.25	10.65	1.04	0.16	0.37	0	0.05	0	0.05	8.36	10.34	1.25	0.804	112.3	12.444	0.61	0.26	0.06	69	0.05	0.62					1.94	0.2	58	CLAY

	K_D	K_O
AVERAGE	0.34	-0.10
ST DEV	0.12	-0.30
MIN	0.12	-0.29
MAX	0.61	0.06

DMT 07-08
Date: 7/25/2007
Total Depth (ft): 70

Metric Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(M)	(M)	(KGF)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(T/M3)	(BAR)				(BAR)		(BAR)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)		(BAR)	
1.52	-1.52	0	5.1	18.2		0.05	0.6	0	0	0	0	4.53	17.6	0	0	2	30.391	0.15	2.89		454									386	SILTY SAND
3.05	-3.05	0	5.2	17.6		0.05	0.6	0	0	0	0	4.66	17	0	0	2	30.691	0.15	2.65		428									364	SILTY SAND
4.57	-4.57	0	6.8	20.6		0.05	0.6	0	0	0	0	6.19	20	0	0	2	30.989	0.2	2.23		479									407	SILTY SAND
6.1	-6.1	0	7.3	23.2		0.05	0.6	0	0	0	0	6.59	22.6	0	0	2	31.29	0.21	2.43		556									472	SILTY SAND
7.62	-7.62	0	6.6	21.8		0.05	0.6	0	0	0	0	5.92	21.2	0	0	2	31.588	0.19	2.58		530									451	SILTY SAND
9.14	-9.14	0	6.7	16.8		0.05	0.6	0	0	0	0	6.28	16.2	0	0	1.95	31.882	0.2	1.58		344									293	SANDY SILT
10.67	-10.67	0	4.7	10		0.05	0.6	0	0	0	0	4.52	9.4	0	0	1.8	32.164	0.14	1.08		169							0.51		144	SILT
12.19	-12.19	0	6.4	19.2		0.05	0.6	0	0	0	0	5.84	18.6	0	0	2	32.447	0.18	2.18		443									376	SILTY SAND
13.72	-13.72	0	8	22.4		0.05	0.6	0	0	0	0	7.36	21.8	0	0	2	32.748	0.22	1.96		501									426	SILTY SAND
15.24	-15.24	0	6.4	16.2		0.05	0.6	0	0	0	0	5.99	15.6	0	0.089	1.95	32.953	0.18	1.63		333									283	SANDY SILT
16.76	-16.76	0	5.1	8.8		0.05	0.6	0	0	0	0	5	8.2	0	0.238	1.8	33.084	0.14	0.67		111							0.54		94	CLAYEY SILT
18.29	-18.29	0	5.6	13		0.05	0.6	0	0	0	0	5.31	12.4	0	0.389	1.8	33.204	0.15	1.44		246									209	SANDY SILT
19.81	-19.81	0	6	9		0.05	0.6	0	0	0	0	5.93	8.4	0	0.538	1.8	33.323	0.16	0.46		86		0.32					0.66		73	SILTY CLAY
21.34	-21.34	0	7.5	8.4	1.3	0.05	0.6	0	0	0	0	7.54	7.8	1.35	0.688	1.7	33.436	0.2	0.04	0.1	9		0.43					0.96		8	MUD

Imperial Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(FT)	(FT)	(LBF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(PCF)	(TSF)				(TSF)		(TSF)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)		(TSF)	
5	-5	0	5.32	19		0.05	0.63	0	0	0	0	4.73	18.37		0	124.8	31.728	0.15	2.89		474									403	SILTY SAND
10	-10	0	5.43	18.37		0.05	0.63	0	0	0	0	4.87	17.75		0	124.8	32.041	0.15	2.65		447									380	SILTY SAND
15	-15	0	7.1	21.51		0.05	0.63	0	0	0	0	6.46	20.88		0	124.8	32.353	0.2	2.23		500									425	SILTY SAND
20	-20	0	7.62	24.22		0.05	0.63	0	0	0	0	6.88	23.59		0	124.8	32.667	0.21	2.43		580									493	SILTY SAND
25	-25	0	6.89	22.76		0.05	0.63	0	0	0	0	6.18	22.13		0	124.8	32.978	0.19	2.58		553									471	SILTY SAND
30	-30	0	6.99	17.54		0.05	0.63	0	0	0	0	6.56	16.91		0	121.7	33.285	0.2	1.58		359									306	SANDY SILT
35	-35	0	4.91	10.44		0.05	0.63	0	0	0	0	4.72	9.81		0	112.3	33.579	0.14	1.08		176							0.53		150	SILT
40	-40	0	6.68	20.04		0.05	0.63	0	0	0	0	6.1	19.42		0	124.8	33.875	0.18	2.18		462									393	SILTY SAND
45	-45	0	8.35	23.39		0.05	0.63	0	0	0	0	7.68	22.76		0	124.8	34.189	0.22	1.96		523									445	SILTY SAND
50	-50	0	6.68	16.91		0.05	0.63	0	0	0	0	6.25	16.29		0.093	121.7	34.403	0.18	1.63		348									295	SANDY SILT
55	-55	0	5.32	9.19		0.05	0.63	0	0	0	0	5.22	8.56		0.248	112.3	34.54	0.14	0.67		116							0.56		98	CLAYEY SILT
60	-60	0	5.85	13.57		0.05	0.63	0	0	0	0	5.54	12.95		0.406	112.3	34.665	0.15	1.44		257									218	SANDY SILT
65	-65	0	6.26	9.4		0.05	0.63	0	0	0	0	6.19	8.77		0.562	112.3	34.789	0.16	0.46		90		0.33					0.69		76	SILTY CLAY
70	-70	0	7.83	8.77	1.36	0.05	0.63	0	0	0	0	7.87	8.14	1.41	0.718	106.1	34.907	0.2	0.04	0.1	9		0.45					1		8	MUD

	K_D	K_D
AVERAGE	0.18	-0.23
ST DEV	0.03	-0.45
MIN	0.14	-0.27
MAX	0.22	-0.19

DMT 07-09
Date: 7/25/2007
Total Depth (ft): 40

Metric Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(M)	(M)	(KGF)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(T/M3)	(BAR)				(BAR)		(BAR)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)		(BAR)	
1.52	-1.52	0	2.5	7.3		0.1	0.3	0	0	0	0	2.38	7	0	0	1.9	15.471	0.15	1.94		160									136	SILTY SAND
3.05	-3.05	0	2.2	4.3		0.1	0.3	0	0	0	0	2.22	4	0	0	1.7	15.741	0.14	0.81		62							0.25		53	CLAYEY SILT
4.57	-4.57	0	3.2	9.8		0.1	0.3	0	0	0	0	2.99	9.5	0	0	1.9	16.01	0.19	2.18		226									192	SILTY SAND
6.1	-6.1	0	2.5	4.2		0.1	0.3	0	0	0	0	2.54	3.9	0	0	1.7	16.28	0.16	0.54		47		0.15					0.3		40	SILTY CLAY
7.62	-7.62	0	5.6	15.2		0.1	0.3	0	0	0	0	5.24	14.9	0	0	2	16.556	0.32	1.84		335									285	SILTY SAND
9.14	-9.14	0	3	9.2		0.1	0.3	0	0	0	0	2.81	8.9	0	0.36	1.9	16.487	0.15	2.49		211									180	SILTY SAND
10.67	-10.67	0	4.1	4.8		0.1	0.3	0	0	0	0	4.19	4.5	0	0.62	1.5	16.482	0.22	0.09		11		0.22					0.51		9	MUD
12.19	-12.19	0	3.2	5.5		0.1	0.3	0	0	0	0	3.21	5.2	0	0.41	1.7	16.931	0.17	0.71		69							0.35		59	CLAYEY SILT

Imperial Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(FT)	(FT)	(LBF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(PCF)	(TSF)				(TSF)		(TSF)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)		(TSF)	
5	-5	0	2.61	7.62		0.1	0.31	0	0	0	0	2.48	7.31		0	118.6	16.152	0.15	1.94		167									142	SILTY SAND
10	-10	0	2.3	4.49		0.1	0.31	0	0	0	0	2.32	4.18		0	106.1	16.434	0.14	0.81		65							0.26		55	CLAYEY SILT
15	-15	0	3.34	10.23		0.1	0.31	0	0	0	0	3.12	9.92		0	118.6	16.714	0.19	2.18		236									200	SILTY SAND
20	-20	0	2.61	4.38		0.1	0.31	0	0	0	0	2.65	4.07		0	106.1	16.996	0.16	0.54		49		0.16					0.31		42	SILTY CLAY
25	-25	0	5.85	15.87		0.1	0.31	0	0	0	0	5.47	15.56		0	124.8	17.284	0.32	1.84		350									298	SILTY SAND
30	-30	0	3.13	9.6		0.1	0.31	0	0	0	0	2.93	9.29		0.376	118.6	17.212	0.15	2.49		220									188	SILTY SAND
35	-35	0	4.28	5.01		0.1	0.31	0	0	0	0	4.37	4.7		0.647	93.6	17.207	0.22	0.09		11		0.23					0.53		9	MUD
40	-40	0	3.34	5.74		0.1	0.31	0	0	0	0	3.35	5.43		0.428	106.1	17.676	0.17	0.71		72							0.37		62	CLAYEY SILT

	K_D	K_D
AVERAGE	0.19	-0.22
ST DEV	0.06	-0.38
MIN	0.14	-0.27
MAX	0.32	-0.12

DMT 07-10
Date: 7/27/2007
Total Depth (ft): 35

Metric Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(M)	(M)	(KGF)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(T/M3)	(BAR)				(BAR)		(BAR)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)		(BAR)	
1.52	-1.52	0	3.5	5.4		0.15	0.25	0	0	0	0	3.58	5.15	0	0.029	1.7	34.094	0.1	0.44		55		0.19					0.34		46	SILTY CLAY
3.05	-3.05	0	4	7		0.15	0.25	0	0	0	0	4.02	6.75	0	0.18	1.8	34.207	0.11	0.71		95							0.38		81	CLAYEY SILT
4.57	-4.57	0	1.9	3		0.15	0.25	0	0	0	0	2.02	2.75	0	0.329	1.6	34.311	0.05	0.44		26		0.07					0.11		22	SILTY CLAY
6.1	-6.1	0	2.4	4.3		0.15	0.25	0	0	0	0	2.48	4.05	0	0.479	1.7	34.409	0.06	0.79		55							0.14		46	CLAYEY SILT
7.62	-7.62	0	2.2	5	0.05	0.15	0.25	0	0	0	0	2.23	4.75	0.2	0.628	1.7	34.513	0.05	1.57	-0.27	87									74	SANDY SILT
9.14	-9.14	0	3.6	6.6		0.15	0.25	0	0	0	0	3.62	6.35	0	0.777	1.7	34.618	0.08	0.96		95							0.24		81	SILT
10.67	-10.67	0	4.7	10.2	0.1	0.15	0.25	0	0	0	0	4.6	9.95	0.25	0.927	1.8	34.73	0.11	1.46	-0.18	186									158	SANDY SILT

Imperial Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(FT)	(FT)	(LBF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(PCF)	(TSF)				(TSF)		(TSF)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)		(TSF)	
5	-5	0	3.65	5.64		0.16	0.26	0	0	0	0	3.74	5.38		0.03	106.1	35.594	0.1	0.44		57		0.2					0.35		48	SILTY CLAY
10	-10	0	4.18	7.31		0.16	0.26	0	0	0	0	4.2	7.05		0.188	112.3	35.712	0.11	0.71		99							0.4		85	CLAYEY SILT
15	-15	0	1.98	3.13		0.16	0.26	0	0	0	0	2.11	2.87		0.343	99.8	35.821	0.05	0.44		27		0.07					0.11		23	SILTY CLAY
20	-20	0	2.51	4.49		0.16	0.26	0	0	0	0	2.59	4.23		0.5	106.1	35.923	0.06	0.79		57							0.15		48	CLAYEY SILT
25	-25	0	2.3	5.22	0.05	0.16	0.26	0	0	0	0	2.33	4.96	0.21	0.656	106.1	36.032	0.05	1.57	-0.27	91									77	SANDY SILT
30	-30	0	3.76	6.89		0.16	0.26	0	0	0	0	3.78	6.63		0.811	106.1	36.141	0.08	0.96		99							0.25		85	SILT
35	-35	0	4.91	10.65	0.1	0.16	0.26	0	0	0	0	4.8	10.39	0.26	0.968	112.3	36.258	0.11	1.46	-0.18	194									165	SANDY SILT

	K_D	K_D
AVERAGE	0.08	-0.35
ST DEV	0.03	-0.45
MIN	0.05	-0.40
MAX	0.11	-0.31

DMT 07-11
Date: 7/26/2007
Total Depth (ft): 40

Metric Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(M)	(M)	(KGF)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(T/M3)	(BAR)				(BAR)		(BAR)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)		(BAR)	
1.52	-1.52	0	9.5	33		0.15	0.25	0	0	0	0	8.5	32.75	0	0	2.15	35.658	0.24	2.86		842									715	SILTY SAND
3.05	-3.05	0	6.8	15		0.15	0.25	0	0	0	0	6.56	14.75	0	0.03	1.95	35.935	0.18	1.25		284									242	SANDY SILT
4.57	-4.57	0	3.7	8.5		0.15	0.25	0	0	0	0	3.63	8.25	0	0.18	1.8	36.065	0.1	1.34		160									136	SANDY SILT
6.1	-6.1	0	3.7	8.2		0.15	0.25	0	0	0	0	3.65	7.95	0	0.33	1.8	36.186	0.09	1.3		149									127	SANDY SILT
7.62	-7.62	0	4.5	10.6		0.15	0.25	0	0	0	0	4.37	10.35	0	0.479	1.8	36.305	0.11	1.54		208									177	SANDY SILT
9.14	-9.14	0	5.9	13.8		0.15	0.25	0	0	0	0	5.68	13.55	0	0.628	1.95	36.435	0.14	1.56		273									232	SANDY SILT
10.67	-10.67	0	5.3	10		0.15	0.25	0	0	0	0	5.24	9.75	0	0.778	1.8	36.567	0.12	1.01		157							0.47		133	SILT
12.19	-12.19	0	5.1	9.6		0.15	0.25	0	0	0	0	5.05	9.35	0	0.927	1.8	36.686	0.11	1.05		149							0.41		127	SILT

Imperial Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(FT)	(FT)	(LBF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(PCF)	(TSF)				(TSF)		(TSF)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)		(TSF)	
5	-5	0	9.92	34.45		0.16	0.26	0	0	0	0	8.87	34.19		0	134.2	37.227	0.24	2.86		879									746	SILTY SAND
10	-10	0	7.1	15.66		0.16	0.26	0	0	0	0	6.85	15.4		0.031	121.7	37.516	0.18	1.25		296									253	SANDY SILT
15	-15	0	3.86	8.87		0.16	0.26	0	0	0	0	3.79	8.61		0.188	112.3	37.652	0.1	1.34		167									142	SANDY SILT
20	-20	0	3.86	8.56		0.16	0.26	0	0	0	0	3.81	8.3		0.345	112.3	37.778	0.09	1.3		156									133	SANDY SILT
25	-25	0	4.7	11.07		0.16	0.26	0	0	0	0	4.56	10.81		0.5	112.3	37.902	0.11	1.54		217									185	SANDY SILT
30	-30	0	6.16	14.41		0.16	0.26	0	0	0	0	5.93	14.15		0.656	121.7	38.038	0.14	1.56		285									242	SANDY SILT
35	-35	0	5.53	10.44		0.16	0.26	0	0	0	0	5.47	10.18		0.812	112.3	38.176	0.12	1.01		164							0.49		139	SILT
40	-40	0	5.32	10.02		0.16	0.26	0	0	0	0	5.27	9.76		0.968	112.3	38.3	0.11	1.05		156							0.43		133	SILT

	K_D	K_D
AVERAGE	0.14	-0.28
ST DEV	0.05	-0.40
MIN	0.09	-0.33
MAX	0.24	-0.18

DMT 07-12
Date: 7/27/2007
Total Depth (ft): 39

Metric Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(M)	(M)	(KGF)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(T/M3)	(BAR)				(BAR)		(BAR)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)		(BAR)	
1.52	-1.52	0	5	12.2		0.1	0.2	0	0	0	0	4.76	12	0	0	1.8	37.613	0.13	1.52		251									214	SANDY SILT
3.05	-3.05	0	7.7	18.2		0.1	0.2	0	0	0	0	7.29	18	0	0	1.95	37.895	0.19	1.47		372									316	SANDY SILT
4.57	-4.57	0	7.5	24.6		0.1	0.2	0	0	0	0	6.76	24.4	0	0	2	38.19	0.18	2.61		612									520	SILTY SAND
6.1	-6.1	0	5.2	10.8		0.1	0.2	0	0	0	0	5.04	10.6	0	0.06	1.8	38.415	0.13	1.12		193							0.54		164	SILT
7.62	-7.62	0	3.5	9.2		0.1	0.2	0	0	0	0	3.33	9	0	0.209	1.9	38.542	0.08	1.82		197									167	SILTY SAND
9.14	-9.14	0	6.2	18.6		0.1	0.2	0	0	0	0	5.7	18.4	0	0.358	2	38.684	0.14	2.38		441									375	SILTY SAND
10.67	-10.67	0	6.3	10		0.1	0.2	0	0	0	0	6.23	9.8	0	0.508	1.8	38.819	0.15	0.62		124							0.66		105	CLAYEY SILT
11.73	-11.73	0	2.5	3.4	0.05	0.1	0.2	0	0	0	0	2.57	3.2	0.15	0.612	1.6	38.891	0.05	0.32	-0.24	22		0.09					0.12		19	CLAY

Imperial Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(FT)	(FT)	(LBF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(PCF)	(TSF)				(TSF)		(TSF)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)		(TSF)	
5	-5	0	5.22	12.74		0.1	0.21	0	0	0	0	4.97	12.53		0	112.3	39.268	0.13	1.52		262									223	SANDY SILT
10	-10	0	8.04	19		0.1	0.21	0	0	0	0	7.61	18.79		0	121.7	39.562	0.19	1.47		388									330	SANDY SILT
15	-15	0	7.83	25.68		0.1	0.21	0	0	0	0	7.06	25.47		0	124.8	39.87	0.18	2.61		639									543	SILTY SAND
20	-20	0	5.43	11.28		0.1	0.21	0	0	0	0	5.26	11.07		0.063	112.3	40.105	0.13	1.12		201							0.56		171	SILT
25	-25	0	3.65	9.6		0.1	0.21	0	0	0	0	3.48	9.4		0.218	118.6	40.238	0.08	1.82		206									174	SILTY SAND
30	-30	0	6.47	19.42		0.1	0.21	0	0	0	0	5.95	19.21		0.374	124.8	40.386	0.14	2.38		460									392	SILTY SAND
35	-35	0	6.58	10.44		0.1	0.21	0	0	0	0	6.5	10.23		0.53	112.3	40.527	0.15	0.62		129							0.69		110	CLAYEY SILT
38.5	-38.5	0	2.61	3.55	0.05	0.1	0.21	0	0	0	0	2.68	3.34	0.16	0.639	99.8	40.602	0.05	0.32	-0.24	23		0.09					0.13		20	CLAY

	K_D	K_D
AVERAGE	0.13	-0.28
ST DEV	0.05	-0.40
MIN	0.05	-0.40
MAX	0.19	-0.22

DMT 07-13D
Date: 7/27/2007
Total Depth (ft): 5

Metric Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(M)	(M)	(KGF)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(T/M3)	(BAR)				(BAR)		(BAR)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)		(BAR)	
1.52	-1.52	0	8	20.2		0.1	0.2	0	0	0	0	7.51	20	0	0	1.95	39.504	0.19	1.66		434									369	SANDY SILT

Imperial Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(FT)	(FT)	(LBF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(PCF)	(TSF)				(TSF)		(TSF)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)		(TSF)	
5	-5	0	8.35	21.09		0.1	0.21	0	0	0	0	7.84	20.88		0	121.7	41.242	0.19	1.66		453									385	SANDY SILT

DMT 07-14
Date: 7/27/2007
Total Depth (ft): 75

Metric Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(M)	(M)	(KGF)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(T/M3)	(BAR)				(BAR)		(BAR)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)		(BAR)	
1.52	-1.52	0	6	19		0.15	0.25	0	0	0	0	5.52	18.75	0	0	2	39.504	0.14	2.4		459									390	SILTY SAND
3.05	-3.05	0	5.4	24.2		0.15	0.25	0	0	0	0	4.63	23.95	0	0	2	39.804	0.12	4.17		670									570	SAND
4.57	-4.57	0	3.7	9.2		0.15	0.25	0	0	0	0	3.6	8.95	0	0	1.8	40.088	0.09	1.49		186									158	SANDY SILT
6.1	-6.1	0	3.8	9.2		0.15	0.25	0	0	0	0	3.7	8.95	0	0	1.8	40.358	0.09	1.42		182									155	SANDY SILT
7.62	-7.62	0	7.4	25		0.15	0.25	0	0	0	0	6.69	24.75	0	0	2	40.641	0.16	2.7		627									533	SILTY SAND
9.14	-9.14	0	3	7.8		0.15	0.25	0	0	0	0	2.93	7.55	0	0.06	1.8	40.865	0.07	1.61		160									136	SANDY SILT
10.67	-10.67	0	3.2	8.8		0.15	0.25	0	0	0	0	3.09	8.55	0	0.21	1.9	40.992	0.07	1.9		189									161	SILTY SAND
12.19	-12.19	0	6.5	20.8		0.15	0.25	0	0	0	0	5.96	20.55	0	0.359	2	41.134	0.14	2.61		506									430	SILTY SAND
13.72	-13.72	0	8.7	30.2		0.15	0.25	0	0	0	0	7.8	29.95	0	0.509	2	41.284	0.18	3.04		769									653	SILTY SAND
15.24	-15.24	0	11.2	43.2		0.15	0.25	0	0	0	0	9.77	42.95	0	0.658	2.15	41.445	0.22	3.64		1151									979	SAND
16.76	-16.76	0	12.8	39.2		0.15	0.25	0	0	0	0	11.65	38.95	0	0.808	2.15	41.616	0.26	2.52		947									805	SILTY SAND
18.29	-18.29	0	9.4	27		0.15	0.25	0	0	0	0	8.69	26.75	0	0.958	2	41.778	0.19	2.34		627									533	SILTY SAND
19.81	-19.81	0	14.8	42.2		0.15	0.25	0	0	0	0	13.6	41.95	0	1.107	2.15	41.938	0.3	2.27		984									836	SILTY SAND
21.34	-21.34	0	16.8	44		0.15	0.25	0	0	0	0	15.61	43.75	0	1.257	2.15	42.111	0.34	1.96		976									830	SILTY SAND
22.86	-22.86	0	17.2	51		0.15	0.25	0	0	0	0	15.68	50.75	0	1.406	2.15	42.282	0.34	2.46		1217									1034	SILTY SAND

Imperial Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(FT)	(FT)	(LBF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(PCF)	(TSF)				(TSF)		(TSF)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)		(TSF)	
5	-5	0	6.26	19.84		0.16	0.26	0	0	0	0	5.76	19.58		0	124.8	41.242	0.14	2.4		479									407	SILTY SAND
10	-10	0	5.64	25.26		0.16	0.26	0	0	0	0	4.83	25		0	124.8	41.555	0.12	4.17		699									595	SAND
15	-15	0	3.86	9.6		0.16	0.26	0	0	0	0	3.76	9.34		0	112.3	41.852	0.09	1.49		194									165	SANDY SILT
20	-20	0	3.97	9.6		0.16	0.26	0	0	0	0	3.86	9.34		0	112.3	42.134	0.09	1.42		190									162	SANDY SILT
25	-25	0	7.73	26.1		0.16	0.26	0	0	0	0	6.98	25.84		0	124.8	42.429	0.16	2.7		655									556	SILTY SAND
30	-30	0	3.13	8.14		0.16	0.26	0	0	0	0	3.06	7.88		0.063	112.3	42.663	0.07	1.61		167									142	SANDY SILT
35	-35	0	3.34	9.19		0.16	0.26	0	0	0	0	3.23	8.93		0.219	118.6	42.796	0.07	1.9		197									168	SILTY SAND
40	-40	0	6.79	21.72		0.16	0.26	0	0	0	0	6.22	21.45		0.375	124.8	42.944	0.14	2.61		528									449	SILTY SAND
45	-45	0	9.08	31.53		0.16	0.26	0	0	0	0	8.14	31.27		0.531	124.8	43.1	0.18	3.04		803									682	SILTY SAND
50	-50	0	11.69	45.1		0.16	0.26	0	0	0	0	10.2	44.84		0.687	134.2	43.269	0.22	3.64		1202									1022	SAND
55	-55	0	13.36	40.92		0.16	0.26	0	0	0	0	12.16	40.66		0.844	134.2	43.447	0.26	2.52		989									840	SILTY SAND
60	-60	0	9.81	28.19		0.16	0.26	0	0	0	0	9.07	27.93		1	124.8	43.616	0.19	2.34		655									556	SILTY SAND
65	-65	0	15.45	44.06		0.16	0.26	0	0	0	0	14.2	43.8		1.156	134.2	43.783	0.3	2.27		1027									873	SILTY SAND
70	-70	0	17.54	45.94		0.16	0.26	0	0	0	0	16.3	45.68		1.312	134.2	43.964	0.34	1.96		1019									867	SILTY SAND
75	-75	0	17.96	53.24		0.16	0.26	0	0	0	0	16.37	52.98		1.468	134.2	44.142	0.34	2.46		1271									1079	SILTY SAND

	K_D	K_D
AVERAGE	0.18	-0.23
ST DEV	0.09	-0.33
MIN	0.07	-0.36
MAX	0.34	-0.10

DMT 07-16
Date: 8/14/2007
Total Depth (ft): 34

Metric Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(M)	(M)	(KGF)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(T/M3)	(BAR)				(BAR)		(BAR)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)		(BAR)	
1.52	-1.52	0	7.9	25.8		0.1	0.3	0	0	0	0	7.13	25.5	0	0	2	1.686	4.23	2.58		638									1102	SILTY SAND
3.05	-3.05	0	6.5	20.8		0.1	0.3	0	0	0	0	5.91	20.5	0	0	2	1.987	2.97	2.47		506									711	SILTY SAND
4.57	-4.57	0	1.4	2.5		0.1	0.3	0	0	0	0	1.47	2.2	0	0	1.6	2.255	0.65	0.5		26	0.07	0.12					0.39	0.2	22	SILTY CLAY
6.1	-6.1	0	11	35.8		0.1	0.3	0	0	0	0	9.88	35.5	0	0	2.15	2.537	3.9	2.59		889									1472	SILTY SAND
7.62	-7.62	0	6.7	20.2		0.1	0.3	0	0	0	0	6.15	19.9	0	0	2	2.846	2.16	2.24		477									521	SILTY SAND
9.14	-9.14	0	9.8	27		0.1	0.3	0	0	0	0	9.06	26.7	0	0	2.15	3.156	2.87	1.95		612									814	SILTY SAND
10.26	-10.26	0	10	37		0.1	0.3	0	0	0	0	8.77	36.7	0	0	2.15	3.392	2.59	3.18		969									1284	SILTY SAND

Imperial Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(FT)	(FT)	(LBF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(PCF)	(TSF)				(TSF)		(TSF)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)		(TSF)	
5	-5	0	8.25	26.94		0.1	0.31	0	0	0	0	7.44	26.62		0	124.8	1.76	4.23	2.58		666									1150	SILTY SAND
10	-10	0	6.79	21.72		0.1	0.31	0	0	0	0	6.17	21.4		0	124.8	2.074	2.97	2.47		528									742	SILTY SAND
15	-15	0	1.46	2.61		0.1	0.31	0	0	0	0	1.53	2.3		0	99.8	2.354	0.65	0.5		27	0.07	0.13					0.41	0.2	23	SILTY CLAY
20	-20	0	11.48	37.38		0.1	0.31	0	0	0	0	10.31	37.06		0	134.2	2.649	3.9	2.59		928									1537	SILTY SAND
25	-25	0	6.99	21.09		0.1	0.31	0	0	0	0	6.42	20.78		0	124.8	2.971	2.16	2.24		498									544	SILTY SAND
30	-30	0	10.23	28.19		0.1	0.31	0	0	0	0	9.46	27.87		0	134.2	3.295	2.87	1.95		639									850	SILTY SAND
33.7	-33.7	0	10.44	38.63		0.1	0.31	0	0	0	0	9.16	38.31		0	134.2	3.541	2.59	3.18		1012									1340	SILTY SAND

	K_D	K_D
AVERAGE	2.77	0.73
ST DEV	1.18	0.29
MIN	0.65	0.08
MAX	4.23	1.03

DMT 07-17
Date: 8/14/2007
Total Depth (ft): 125

Metric Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(M)	(M)	(KGF)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(T/M3)	(BAR)				(BAR)		(BAR)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)		(BAR)	
1.52	-1.52	0	0.7	1.2		0.1	0.35	0	0	0	0	0.8	0.85	0	0	1.5	3.392	0.24	0.07		2		0.05					0.12		2	MUD
3.05	-3.05	0	3	10		0.1	0.35	0	0	0	0	2.77	9.65	0	0	1.9	3.647	0.76	2.48		239									203	SILTY SAND
4.57	-4.57	0	3.5	11		0.1	0.35	0	0	0	0	3.25	10.65	0	0	1.9	3.931	0.83	2.28		257									218	SILTY SAND
6.1	-6.1	0	1.4	3.6		0.1	0.35	0	0	0	0	1.41	3.25	0	0	1.7	4.201	0.34	1.3		64									54	SANDY SILT
7.62	-7.62	0	2.3	6.7		0.1	0.35	0	0	0	0	2.2	6.35	0	0	1.8	4.462	0.49	1.88		144									122	SILTY SAND
9.14	-9.14	0	5.7	15		0.1	0.35	0	0	0	0	5.36	14.65	0	0	1.95	4.742	1.13	1.73		322									274	SANDY SILT
10.67	-10.67	0	3	3.6		0.1	0.35	0	0	0	0	3.09	3.25	0	0	1.5	5.001	0.62	0.05		5	0.06	0.25					0.8	0.2	5	MUD
12.19	-12.19	0	4.5	11.4		0.1	0.35	0	0	0	0	4.28	11.05	0	0	1.8	5.247	0.82	1.58		235									200	SANDY SILT
13.72	-13.72	0	5.8	15.6		0.1	0.35	0	0	0	0	5.43	15.25	0	0	2	5.532	0.98	1.81		341									290	SILTY SAND
15.24	-15.24	0	4.3	4.8	0.15	0.1	0.35	0	0	0	0	4.4	4.45	0.25	0	1.5	5.793	0.76	0.01	0.06	2	0.13	0.38					1.28	0.2	2	MUD
16.76	-16.76	0	6.3	9		0.1	0.35	0	0	0	0	6.29	8.65	0	0	1.8	6.039	1.04	0.38		82	0.24	0.59					2.18	0.4	70	SILTY CLAY
18.29	-18.29	0	5.5	6	0.6	0.1	0.35	0	0	0	0	5.6	5.65	0.7	0	1.5	6.287	0.89	0.01	0.13	2	0.18	0.5					1.78	0.3	2	MUD
19.81	-19.81	0	7	16.2		0.1	0.35	0	0	0	0	6.66	15.85	0	0	1.95	6.544	1.02	1.38		319									271	SANDY SILT
21.34	-21.34	0	10	22.2		0.1	0.35	0	0	0	0	9.51	21.85	0	0	1.95	6.837	1.39	1.3		428									364	SANDY SILT
22.86	-22.86	0	4.7	6.4	0.15	0.1	0.35	0	0	0	0	4.74	6.05	0.25	0	1.7	7.109	0.67	0.28	0.05	46	0.08	0.4					1.28	0.2	39	CLAY
24.38	-24.38	0	8.6	21.8		0.1	0.35	0	0	0	0	8.06	21.45	0	0	1.95	7.381	1.09	1.66		465									395	SANDY SILT
25.91	-25.91	0	10.2	28.8		0.1	0.35	0	0	0	0	9.39	28.45	0	0	2.15	7.689	1.22	2.03		661									562	SILTY SAND
27.43	-27.43	0	12	32.2		0.1	0.35	0	0	0	0	11.11	31.85	0	0	2.15	8.01	1.39	1.87		720									612	SILTY SAND
28.96	-28.96	0	8.3	22.4		0.1	0.35	0	0	0	0	7.72	22.05	0	0.15	2	8.171	0.93	1.89		497									423	SILTY SAND
30.48	-30.48	0	5.5	11.2		0.1	0.35	0	0	0	0	5.34	10.85	0	0.299	1.8	8.306	0.61	1.09		191	0.05						1.29	0.2	163	SILT
32	-32	0	22	35		0.1	0.35	0	0	0	0	21.47	34.65	0	0.448	2.1	8.447	2.49	0.63		457	0.67						11.88	1.4	492	CLAYEY SILT
33.53	-33.53	0	10	11	1.6	0.1	0.35	0	0	0	0	10.07	10.65	1.7	0.599	1.8	8.59	1.1	0.06	0.12	20	0.27	0.9					3.39	0.4	17	CLAY?
35.05	-35.05	0	12	22		0.1	0.35	0	0	0	0	11.62	21.65	0	0.748	2.1	8.732	1.25	0.92		348	0.32						4.17	0.5	296	SILT
36.58	-36.58	0	22	26.5		0.1	0.35	0	0	0	0	21.9	26.15	0	0.898	2.05	8.893	2.36	0.2		148	0.64	2.41					11.52	1.3	151	CLAY
38.1	-38.1	0	16	20		0.1	0.35	0	0	0	0	15.92	19.65	0	1.047	1.9	9.038	1.65	0.25		129	0.44	1.56					6.67	0.7	110	CLAY

Imperial Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(FT)	(FT)	(LBF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(PCF)	(TSF)				(TSF)		(TSF)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)		(TSF)	
5	-5	0	0.73	1.25		0.1	0.37	0	0	0	0	0.84	0.89		0	93.6	3.541	0.24	0.07		2		0.05					0.13		2	MUD
10	-10	0	3.13	10.44		0.1	0.37	0	0	0	0	2.89	10.07		0	118.6	3.807	0.76	2.48		250									212	SILTY SAND
15	-15	0	3.65	11.48		0.1	0.37	0	0	0	0	3.39	11.12		0	118.6	4.104	0.83	2.28		268									228	SILTY SAND
20	-20	0	1.46	3.76		0.1	0.37	0	0	0	0	1.47	3.39		0	106.1	4.386	0.34	1.3		67									56	SANDY SILT
25	-25	0	2.4	6.99		0.1	0.37	0	0	0	0	2.3	6.63		0	112.3	4.658	0.49	1.88		150									127	SILTY SAND
30	-30	0	5.95	15.66		0.1	0.37	0	0	0	0	5.6	15.29		0	121.7	4.951	1.13	1.73		336									286	SANDY SILT
35	-35	0	3.13	3.76		0.1	0.37	0	0	0	0	3.23	3.39		0	93.6	5.221	0.62	0.05		5	0.06	0.26					0.84	0.2	5	MUD
40	-40	0	4.7	11.9		0.1	0.37	0	0	0	0	4.47	11.54		0	112.3	5.478	0.82	1.58		245									209	SANDY SILT
45	-45	0	6.06	16.29		0.1	0.37	0	0	0	0	5.67	15.92		0	124.8	5.775	0.98	1.81		356									303	SILTY SAND
50	-50	0	4.49	5.01	0.16	0.1	0.37	0	0	0	0	4.59	4.65	0.26	0	93.6	6.048	0.76	0.01	0.06	2	0.13	0.4					1.34	0.2	2	MUD
55	-55	0	6.58	9.4		0.1	0.37	0	0	0	0	6.57	9.03		0	112.3	6.305	1.04	0.38		86	0.24	0.62					2.28	0.4	73	SILTY CLAY
60	-60	0	5.74	6.26	0.63	0.1	0.37	0	0	0	0	5.85	5.9	0.73	0	93.6	6.564	0.89	0.01	0.13	2	0.18	0.52					1.86	0.3	2	MUD
65	-65	0	7.31	16.91		0.1	0.37	0	0	0	0	6.95	16.55		0	121.7	6.832	1.02	1.38		333									283	SANDY SILT
70	-70	0	10.44	23.18		0.1	0.37	0	0	0	0	9.93	22.81		0	121.7	7.138	1.39	1.3		447									380	SANDY SILT
75	-75	0	4.91	6.68	0.16	0.1	0.37	0	0	0	0	4.95	6.32	0.26	0	106.1	7.422	0.67	0.28	0.05	48	0.08	0.42					1.34	0.2	41	CLAY
80	-80	0	8.98	22.76		0.1	0.37	0	0	0	0	8.41	22.39		0	121.7	7.706	1.09	1.66		485									412	SANDY SILT
85	-85	0	10.65	30.07		0.1	0.37	0	0	0	0	9.8	29.7		0	134.2	8.027	1.22	2.03		690									587	SILTY SAND
90	-90	0	12.53	33.62		0.1	0.37	0	0	0	0	11.6	33.25		0	134.2	8.362	1.39	1.87		752									639	SILTY SAND
95	-95	0	8.67	23.39		0.1	0.37	0	0	0	0	8.06	23.02		0.157	124.8	8.531	0.93	1.89		519									442	SILTY SAND
100	-100	0	5.74	11.69		0.1	0.37	0	0	0	0	5.57	11.33		0.312	112.3	8.671	0.61	1.09		199	0.05						1.35	0.2	170	SILT
105	-105	0	22.97	36.54		0.1	0.37	0	0	0	0	22.41	36.17		0.468	131	8.819	2.49	0.63		477	0.67						12.4	1.4	514	CLAYEY SILT
110	-110	0	10.44	11.48	1.67	0.1	0.37	0	0	0	0	10.51	11.12	1.77	0.625	112.3	8.968	1.1	0.06	0.12	21	0.27	0.94					3.54	0.4	18	CLAY?
115	-115	0	12.53	22.97		0.1	0.37	0	0	0	0	12.13	22.6		0.781	131	9.116	1.25	0.92		363	0.32						4.35	0.5	309	SILT
120	-120	0	22.97	27.67		0.1	0.37	0	0	0	0	22.86	27.3		0.938	127.9	9.284	2.36	0.2		155	0.64	2.52					12.03	1.3	158	CLAY
125	-125	0	16.7	20.88		0.1	0.37	0	0	0	0	16.62	20.51		1.093	118.6	9.436	1.65	0.25		135	0.44	1.63					6.96	0.7	115	CLAY

	K_D	K_D
AVERAGE	1.08	0.26
ST DEV	0.51	0.01
MIN	0.34	-0.10
MAX	2.49	0.67

DMT 07-18
Date: 8/14/2007
Total Depth (ft): 125

Metric Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(M)	(M)	(KGF)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(T/M3)	(BAR)				(BAR)		(BAR)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)		(BAR)	
3.05	-3.05	0	1.3	2	0.05	0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	1.44	1.7	0.2	0	1.5	10.086	0.14	0.18	0.14	9		0.08					0.16		8	MUD
6.1	-6.1	0	3.2	8.5		0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	3.11	8.2	0	0	1.8	10.579	0.29	1.64		177									150	SANDY SILT
7.62	-7.62	0	2.5	3.8	0.05	0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	2.61	3.5	0.2	0	1.7	10.841	0.24	0.34	0.08	31		0.17					0.4		26	CLAY
9.14	-9.14	0	3	3.5	0.15	0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	3.15	3.2	0.3	0	1.5	11.079	0.28	0.02	0.1	2		0.21					0.53		2	MUD
10.67	-10.67	0	4	10.2		0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	3.86	9.9	0	0	1.8	11.327	0.34	1.56		210									178	SANDY SILT
12.19	-12.19	0	4	4.6	0.2	0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	4.14	4.3	0.35	0	1.5	11.573	0.36	0.04	0.08	5		0.3					0.79	0.1	5	MUD
13.72	-13.72	0	5.5	19.2		0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	4.99	18.9	0	0	2	11.836	0.42	2.79		483									410	SILTY SAND
15.24	-15.24	0	3.9	5.2		0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	4.01	4.9	0	0	1.7	12.112	0.33	0.22		31		0.28					0.73	0.1	26	CLAY
16.76	-16.76	0	5	15		0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	4.67	14.7	0	0	2	12.388	0.38	2.15		348									296	SILTY SAND
18.29	-18.29	0	4.7	7.3		0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	4.74	7	0	0	1.8	12.673	0.37	0.48		78		0.34					0.93	0.1	67	SILTY CLAY
19.81	-19.81	0	4.5	12.2		0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	4.29	11.9	0	0	1.8	12.941	0.33	1.78		264									225	SANDY SILT
21.34	-21.34	0	7	12.8		0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	6.88	12.5	0	0	1.95	13.223	0.52	0.82		195	0.01						1.62	0.1	166	CLAYEY SILT
22.86	-22.86	0	6	17.8		0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	5.58	17.5	0	0	2	13.518	0.41	2.13		414									352	SILTY SAND
24.38	-24.38	0	5.1	10.8		0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	4.99	10.5	0	0	1.8	13.801	0.36	1.11		191							0.96	0.1	163	SILT
25.91	-25.91	0	7.4	19		0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	6.99	18.7	0	0.15	1.95	13.932	0.49	1.71		406									345	SANDY SILT
27.43	-27.43	0	9.2	22.2		0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	8.72	21.9	0	0.299	1.95	14.074	0.6	1.56		457									389	SANDY SILT
28.96	-28.96	0	8.8	20		0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	8.41	19.7	0	0.449	1.95	14.217	0.56	1.42		392									333	SANDY SILT
30.48	-30.48	0	9.6	24.8		0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	9.01	24.5	0	0.599	2	14.362	0.59	1.84		537									457	SILTY SAND
32	-32	0	8.5	21.6		0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	8.02	21.3	0	0.748	2	14.511	0.5	1.83		461									392	SILTY SAND
33.53	-33.53	0	10	21		0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	9.62	20.7	0	0.898	1.95	14.658	0.6	1.27		384									327	SANDY SILT
35.05	-35.05	0	8.5	12.8		0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	8.46	12.5	0	1.047	1.9	14.796	0.5	0.55		140		0.58					1.71	0.1	119	SILTY CLAY
36.58	-36.58	0	19.2	44		0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	18.13	43.7	0	1.197	2.1	14.946	1.13	1.51		887									754	SANDY SILT
38.1	-38.1	0	10.2	15.8		0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	10.09	15.5	0	1.346	1.95	15.099	0.58	0.62		188	0.04						2.18	0.1	159	CLAYEY SILT

Imperial Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(FT)	(FT)	(LBF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(PCF)	(TSF)				(TSF)		(TSF)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)		(TSF)	
10	-10	0	1.36	2.09	0.05	0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	1.5	1.77	0.21	0	93.6	10.53	0.14	0.18	0.14	9		0.08					0.17		8	MUD
20	-20	0	3.34	8.87		0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	3.25	8.56		0	112.3	11.044	0.29	1.64		185									157	SANDY SILT
25	-25	0	2.61	3.97	0.05	0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	2.72	3.65	0.21	0	106.1	11.318	0.24	0.34	0.08	32		0.18					0.42		27	CLAY
30	-30	0	3.13	3.65	0.16	0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	3.29	3.34	0.31	0	93.6	11.566	0.28	0.02	0.1	2		0.22					0.55		2	MUD
35	-35	0	4.18	10.65		0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	4.03	10.34		0	112.3	11.825	0.34	1.56		219									186	SANDY SILT
40	-40	0	4.18	4.8	0.21	0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	4.32	4.49	0.37	0	93.6	12.082	0.36	0.04	0.08	5		0.31					0.82	0.1	5	MUD
45	-45	0	5.74	20.04		0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	5.21	19.73		0	124.8	12.357	0.42	2.79		504									428	SILTY SAND
50	-50	0	4.07	5.43		0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	4.19	5.12		0	106.1	12.645	0.33	0.22		32		0.29					0.76	0.1	27	CLAY
55	-55	0	5.22	15.66		0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	4.88	15.35		0	124.8	12.933	0.38	2.15		363									309	SILTY SAND
60	-60	0	4.91	7.62		0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	4.95	7.31		0	112.3	13.231	0.37	0.48		81		0.35					0.97	0.1	70	SILTY CLAY
65	-65	0	4.7	12.74		0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	4.48	12.42		0	112.3	13.51	0.33	1.78		276									235	SANDY SILT
70	-70	0	7.31	13.36		0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	7.18	13.05		0	121.7	13.805	0.52	0.82		204	0.01						1.69	0.1	173	CLAYEY SILT
75	-75	0	6.26	18.58		0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	5.83	18.27		0	124.8	14.113	0.41	2.13		432									367	SILTY SAND
80	-80	0	5.32	11.28		0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	5.21	10.96		0	112.3	14.408	0.36	1.11		199							1	0.1	170	SILT
85	-85	0	7.73	19.84		0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	7.3	19.52		0.157	121.7	14.545	0.49	1.71		424									360	SANDY SILT
90	-90	0	9.6	23.18		0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	9.1	22.86		0.312	121.7	14.693	0.6	1.56		477									406	SANDY SILT
95	-95	0	9.19	20.88		0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	8.78	20.57		0.469	121.7	14.843	0.56	1.42		409									348	SANDY SILT
100	-100	0	10.02	25.89		0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	9.41	25.58		0.625	124.8	14.994	0.59	1.84		561									477	SILTY SAND
105	-105	0	8.87	22.55		0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	8.37	22.24		0.781	124.8	15.149	0.5	1.83		481									409	SILTY SAND
110	-110	0	10.44	21.92		0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	10.04	21.61		0.938	121.7	15.303	0.6	1.27		401									341	SANDY SILT
115	-115	0	8.87	13.36		0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	8.83	13.05		1.093	118.6	15.447	0.5	0.55		146		0.61					1.79	0.1	124	SILTY CLAY
120	-120	0	20.04	45.94		0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	18.93	45.62		1.25	131	15.604	1.13	1.51		926									787	SANDY SILT
125	-125	0	10.65	16.5		0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	10.53	16.18		1.405	121.7	15.763	0.58	0.62		196	0.04						2.28	0.1	166	CLAYEY SILT

	K_D	K_D
AVERAGE	0.45	-0.03
ST DEV	0.19	-0.22
MIN	0.14	-0.27
MAX	1.13	0.28

DMT 07-19
Date: 8/13/2007
Total Depth (ft): 125

Metric Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(M)	(M)	(KGF)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(T/M3)	(BAR)				(BAR)		(BAR)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)		(BAR)	
1.52	-1.52	0	0.9	1.4		0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	1.05	1.1	0	0	1.5	16.445	0.06	0.05		2		0.05					0.08		2	MUD
3.05	-3.05	0	1.3	5		0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	1.29	4.7	0	0	1.8	16.693	0.08	2.65		118									101	SILTY SAND
4.57	-4.57	0	1.3	2.2	0.05	0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	1.43	1.9	0.2	0	1.6	16.947	0.08	0.33	0.14	16		0.07					0.12		14	CLAY
6.1	-6.1	0	2.4	2.9	0.1	0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	2.55	2.6	0.25	0	1.5	17.179	0.15	0.02	0.1	2		0.15					0.3		2	MUD
7.62	-7.62	0	2.1	2.6	0.1	0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	2.25	2.3	0.25	0	1.5	17.403	0.13	0.02	0.11	2		0.12					0.24		2	MUD
9.14	-9.14	0	3	3.5	0.3	0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	3.15	3.2	0.45	0	1.5	17.627	0.18	0.02	0.14	2		0.19					0.41		2	MUD
10.67	-10.67	0	3.3	4	0.35	0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	3.44	3.7	0.5	0	1.5	17.852	0.19	0.08	0.15	9		0.21					0.46		8	MUD
12.19	-12.19	0	3.3	4.4	0.5	0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	3.42	4.1	0.65	0	1.7	18.091	0.19	0.2	0.19	24		0.21					0.46		20	CLAY
13.72	-13.72	0	4.3	4.9	0.7	0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	4.44	4.6	0.85	0	1.5	18.331	0.24	0.04	0.19	5		0.29					0.68		5	MUD
15.24	-15.24	0	4.7	5.4	1.2	0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	4.84	5.1	1.35	0	1.5	18.555	0.26	0.05	0.28	9		0.32					0.77		8	MUD
16.76	-16.76	0	5.5	24		0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	4.75	23.7	0	0.149	2	18.666	0.25	4.12		658									559	SAND
18.29	-18.29	0	6	6.8	0.9	0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	6.13	6.5	1.05	0.299	1.7	18.794	0.31	0.06	0.13	13		0.4					1.03	0.1	11	CLAY?
19.81	-19.81	0	4.8	5.4	0.9	0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	4.94	5.1	1.05	0.448	1.5	18.884	0.24	0.04	0.13	5		0.29					0.68		5	MUD
21.34	-21.34	0	5.5	6.2	1.5	0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	5.64	5.9	1.65	0.599	1.5	18.959	0.27	0.05	0.21	9		0.33					0.81		8	MUD
22.86	-22.86	0	5.4	6.2	0.5	0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	5.53	5.9	0.65	0.748	1.7	19.048	0.25	0.08	-0.02	13		0.31					0.75		11	CLAY?
24.38	-24.38	0	6.5	7.3	1.9	0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	6.63	7	2.05	0.897	1.7	19.153	0.3	0.06	0.2	13		0.39					0.99	0.1	11	CLAY?
25.91	-25.91	0	7.3	8.4	3.4	0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	7.42	8.1	3.55	1.047	1.7	19.258	0.33	0.11	0.39	24		0.45					1.16	0.1	20	CLAY
27.43	-27.43	0	7.5	8.6	3.5	0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	7.62	8.3	3.65	1.196	1.7	19.362	0.33	0.11	0.38	24		0.45					1.17	0.1	20	CLAY
28.96	-28.96	0	8.4	10	2.6	0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	8.49	9.7	2.75	1.346	1.8	19.475	0.37	0.17	0.2	42		0.51					1.38	0.1	36	CLAY
30.48	-30.48	0	8.9	10	1.7	0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	9.02	9.7	1.85	1.496	1.8	19.594	0.38	0.09	0.05	24		0.55					1.49	0.1	20	CLAY?
32	-32	0	9.1	10.3	3.1	0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	9.21	10	3.25	1.645	1.8	19.713	0.38	0.1	0.21	27		0.55					1.5	0.1	23	CLAY
33.53	-33.53	0	9	10.6	4.5	0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	9.09	10.3	4.65	1.795	1.8	19.834	0.37	0.17	0.39	42		0.53					1.41	0.1	36	CLAY
35.05	-35.05	0	8	18.6		0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	7.64	18.3	0	1.944	2	19.968	0.29	1.87		370									314	SILTY SAND
36.58	-36.58	0	8	12.2		0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	7.96	11.9	0	2.094	1.8	20.103	0.29	0.67		137		1							116	CLAYEY SILT
38.1	-38.1	0	12.2	30.6		0.15	0.3	0	0	0	0	11.45	30.3	0	2.243	2.15	20.248	0.45	2.05		654									556	SILTY SAND

Imperial Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(FT)	(FT)	(LBF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(PCF)	(TSF)				(TSF)		(TSF)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)		(TSF)	
5	-5	0	0.94	1.46		0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	1.1	1.15		0	93.6	17.169	0.06	0.05		2		0.05					0.08		2	MUD
10	-10	0	1.36	5.22		0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	1.35	4.91		0	112.3	17.427	0.08	2.65		123									105	SILTY SAND
15	-15	0	1.36	2.3	0.05	0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	1.49	1.98	0.21	0	99.8	17.693	0.08	0.33	0.14	17		0.07					0.13		15	CLAY
20	-20	0	2.51	3.03	0.1	0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	2.66	2.71	0.26	0	93.6	17.935	0.15	0.02	0.1	2		0.16					0.31		2	MUD
25	-25	0	2.19	2.71	0.1	0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	2.35	2.4	0.26	0	93.6	18.169	0.13	0.02	0.11	2		0.13					0.25		2	MUD
30	-30	0	3.13	3.65	0.31	0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	3.29	3.34	0.47	0	93.6	18.403	0.18	0.02	0.14	2		0.2					0.43		2	MUD
35	-35	0	3.45	4.18	0.37	0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	3.59	3.86	0.52	0	93.6	18.637	0.19	0.08	0.15	9		0.22					0.48		8	MUD
40	-40	0	3.45	4.59	0.52	0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	3.57	4.28	0.68	0	106.1	18.887	0.19	0.2	0.19	25		0.22					0.48		21	CLAY
45	-45	0	4.49	5.12	0.73	0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	4.64	4.8	0.89	0	93.6	19.138	0.24	0.04	0.19	5		0.3					0.71		5	MUD
50	-50	0	4.91	5.64	1.25	0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	5.05	5.32	1.41	0	93.6	19.371	0.26	0.05	0.28	9		0.33					0.8		8	MUD
55	-55	0	5.74	25.06		0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	4.96	24.74		0.156	124.8	19.487	0.25	4.12		687									584	SAND
60	-60	0	6.26	7.1	0.94	0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	6.4	6.79	1.1	0.312	106.1	19.621	0.31	0.06	0.13	14		0.42					1.08	0.1	11	CLAY?
65	-65	0	5.01	5.64	0.94	0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	5.16	5.32	1.1	0.468	93.6	19.715	0.24	0.04	0.13	5		0.3					0.71		5	MUD
70	-70	0	5.74	6.47	1.57	0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	5.89	6.16	1.72	0.625	93.6	19.793	0.27	0.05	0.21	9		0.34					0.85		8	MUD
75	-75	0	5.64	6.47	0.52	0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	5.77	6.16	0.68	0.781	106.1	19.886	0.25	0.08	-0.02	14		0.32					0.78		11	CLAY?
80	-80	0	6.79	7.62	1.98	0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	6.92	7.31	2.14	0.936	106.1	19.996	0.3	0.06	0.2	14		0.41					1.03	0.1	11	CLAY?
85	-85	0	7.62	8.77	3.55	0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	7.75	8.46	3.71	1.093	106.1	20.105	0.33	0.11	0.39	25		0.47					1.21	0.1	21	CLAY
90	-90	0	7.83	8.98	3.65	0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	7.96	8.67	3.81	1.249	106.1	20.214	0.33	0.11	0.38	25		0.47					1.22	0.1	21	CLAY
95	-95	0	8.77	10.44	2.71	0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	8.86	10.13	2.87	1.405	112.3	20.332	0.37	0.17	0.2	44		0.53					1.44	0.1	38	CLAY
100	-100	0	9.29	10.44	1.77	0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	9.42	10.13	1.93	1.562	112.3	20.456	0.38	0.09	0.05	25		0.57					1.56	0.1	21	CLAY?
105	-105	0	9.5	10.75	3.24	0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	9.62	10.44	3.39	1.717	112.3	20.58	0.38	0.1	0.21	28		0.57					1.57	0.1	24	CLAY
110	-110	0	9.4	11.07	4.7	0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	9.49	10.75	4.85	1.874	112.3	20.707	0.37	0.17	0.39	44		0.55					1.47	0.1	38	CLAY
115	-115	0	8.35	19.42		0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	7.98	19.11		2.03	124.8	20.847	0.29	1.87		386									328	SILTY SAND
120	-120	0	8.35	12.74		0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	8.31	12.42		2.186	112.3	20.988	0.29	0.67		143							1.04		121	CLAYEY SILT
125	-125	0	12.74	31.95		0.16	0.31	0	0	0	0	11.95	31.63		2.342	134.2	21.139	0.45	2.05		683									580	SILTY SAND

	K_D	K_D
AVERAGE	0.28	-0.16
ST DEV	0.08	-0.35
MIN	0.13	-0.29
MAX	0.45	-0.05

DMT 07-20
Date: 7/28/2007
Total Depth (ft): 50

Metric Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(M)	(M)	(KGF)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(T/M3)	(BAR)				(BAR)		(BAR)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)		(BAR)	
1.52	-1.52	0	3.3	10		0.15	0.4	0	0	0	0	3.14	9.6	0	0	1.9	22.492	0.14	2.05		224									190	SILTY SAND
3.05	-3.05	0	2.7	8.9		0.15	0.4	0	0	0	0	2.57	8.5	0	0	1.9	22.777	0.11	2.31		206									175	SILTY SAND
4.57	-4.57	0	3.3	8.3		0.15	0.4	0	0	0	0	3.23	7.9	0	0	1.8	23.053	0.14	1.45		162									138	SANDY SILT
7.62	-7.62	0	3.6	4.3	0.1	0.15	0.4	0	0	0	0	3.74	3.9	0.25	0	1.5	23.547	0.16	0.04	0.07	5		0.22					0.45		5	MUD
10.67	-10.67	0	5.4	14.8		0.15	0.4	0	0	0	0	5.11	14.4	0	0	2	24.071	0.21	1.82		322									274	SILTY SAND
12.19	-12.19	0	5.3	6	0.3	0.15	0.4	0	0	0	0	5.44	5.6	0.45	0	1.5	24.332	0.22	0.03	0.08	5		0.35					0.8		5	MUD
13.72	-13.72	0	4.5	5.2	0.5	0.15	0.4	0	0	0	0	4.64	4.8	0.65	0	1.5	24.557	0.19	0.03	0.14	5		0.28					0.62		5	MUD
15.24	-15.24	0	7.8	26.2		0.15	0.4	0	0	0	0	7.06	25.8	0	0	2	24.818	0.28	2.66		650									553	SILTY SAND

Imperial Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(FT)	(FT)	(LBF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(PCF)	(TSF)				(TSF)		(TSF)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)		(TSF)	
5	-5	0	3.45	10.44		0.16	0.42	0	0	0	0	3.28	10.02		0	118.6	23.482	0.14	2.05		234									198	SILTY SAND
10	-10	0	2.82	9.29		0.16	0.42	0	0	0	0	2.68	8.87		0	118.6	23.779	0.11	2.31		215									183	SILTY SAND
15	-15	0	3.45	8.67		0.16	0.42	0	0	0	0	3.37	8.25		0	112.3	24.067	0.14	1.45		169									144	SANDY SILT
25	-25	0	3.76	4.49	0.1	0.16	0.42	0	0	0	0	3.9	4.07	0.26	0	93.6	24.583	0.16	0.04	0.07	5		0.23					0.47		5	MUD
35	-35	0	5.64	15.45		0.16	0.42	0	0	0	0	5.33	15.03		0	124.8	25.13	0.21	1.82		336									286	SILTY SAND
40	-40	0	5.53	6.26	0.31	0.16	0.42	0	0	0	0	5.68	5.85	0.47	0	93.6	25.403	0.22	0.03	0.08	5		0.37					0.84		5	MUD
45	-45	0	4.7	5.43	0.52	0.16	0.42	0	0	0	0	4.84	5.01	0.68	0	93.6	25.638	0.19	0.03	0.14	5		0.29					0.65		5	MUD
50	-50	0	8.14	27.35		0.16	0.42	0	0	0	0	7.37	26.94		0	124.8	25.91	0.28	2.66		679									577	SILTY SAND

	K_D	K_D
AVERAGE	0.18	-0.23
ST DEV	0.05	-0.39
MIN	0.11	-0.31
MAX	0.28	-0.15

DMT 07-24
Date: 8/15/2007
Total Depth (ft): 125

Metric Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(M)	(M)	(KGF)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(T/M3)	(BAR)				(BAR)		(BAR)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)		(BAR)	
1.52	-1.52	0	1.8	6.1		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	1.76	5.75	0	0.149	1.8	23.806	0.07	2.48		138									118	SILTY SAND
3.05	-3.05	0	2.4	7.1		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	2.34	6.75	0	0.299	1.8	23.926	0.09	2.16		153									130	SILTY SAND
4.57	-4.57	0	2.8	5.3		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	2.85	4.95	0	0.448	1.7	24.038	0.1	0.87		73							0.22		62	CLAYEY SILT
6.1	-6.1	0	2.75	8		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	2.66	7.65	0	0.599	1.8	24.15	0.09	2.42		173									147	SILTY SAND
7.62	-7.62	0	3.4	6.4		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	3.43	6.05	0	0.748	1.7	24.262	0.11	0.98		91							0.26		77	SILT
9.14	-9.14	0	3.8	7.9		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	3.77	7.55	0	0.897	1.8	24.374	0.12	1.32		131									111	SANDY SILT
10.67	-10.67	0	3.05	3.8		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	3.19	3.45	0	1.047	1.5	24.472	0.09	0.12		9		0.11					0.19		8	MUD
12.19	-12.19	0	7.15	23		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	6.53	22.65	0	1.196	2	24.583	0.22	3.02		559									475	SILTY SAND
13.72	-13.72	0	3.7	8.8		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	3.62	8.45	0	1.346	1.9	24.726	0.09	2.12		168									142	SILTY SAND
15.24	-15.24	0	6.5	15.8		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	6.21	15.45	0	1.496	2	24.868	0.19	1.96		321									273	SILTY SAND
16.76	-16.76	0	5.3	11.2		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	5.18	10.85	0	1.645	1.8	25.002	0.14	1.6		197									167	SANDY SILT
18.29	-18.29	0	8	20.4		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	7.56	20.05	0	1.795	2	25.137	0.23	2.17		434									369	SILTY SAND
19.81	-19.81	0	10.4	24.2		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	9.89	23.85	0	1.944	1.95	25.283	0.31	1.76		485									412	SANDY SILT
21.34	-21.34	0	9.2	27.2		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	8.48	26.85	0	2.094	2	25.429	0.25	2.88		638									542	SILTY SAND
22.86	-22.86	0	9	24.2		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	8.42	23.85	0	2.243	2	25.578	0.24	2.5		536									455	SILTY SAND
24.38	-24.38	0	6.5	10.4		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	6.48	10.05	0	2.393	1.8	25.712	0.16	0.87		124							0.49		105	CLAYEY SILT
25.91	-25.91	0	7.9	24.2		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	7.26	23.85	0	2.543	2	25.848	0.18	3.52		576									489	SAND
27.43	-27.43	0	10.2	26.8		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	9.55	26.45	0	2.692	2	25.997	0.26	2.47		587									499	SILTY SAND
28.96	-28.96	0	11	23.6		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	10.55	23.25	0	2.842	1.95	26.143	0.29	1.65		441									375	SANDY SILT
30.48	-30.48	0	7.5	22		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	6.95	21.65	0	2.991	2	26.289	0.15	3.71		510									434	SAND
32	-32	0	9.6	20.4		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	9.24	20.05	0	3.14	1.95	26.434	0.23	1.77		375									319	SANDY SILT
33.53	-33.53	0	11	30.2		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	10.22	29.85	0	3.29	2	26.58	0.26	2.84		681									579	SILTY SAND
35.05	-35.05	0	7.5	17.8		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	7.16	17.45	0	3.44	1.9	26.722	0.14	2.77		357									304	SILTY SAND
36.58	-36.58	0	12	23		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	11.63	22.65	0	3.59	1.95	26.861	0.3	1.37		383									325	SANDY SILT
38.1	-38.1	0	8	25.6		0.15	0.35	0	0	0	0	7.3	25.25	0	3.739	2	27.006	0.13	5.05		623									530	SAND

Imperial Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(FT)	(FT)	(LBF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(PCF)	(TSF)				(TSF)		(TSF)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)		(TSF)	
5	-5	0	1.88	6.37		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	1.84	6		0.156	112.3	24.853	0.07	2.48		144									123	SILTY SAND
10	-10	0	2.51	7.41		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	2.44	7.05		0.312	112.3	24.979	0.09	2.16		160									136	SILTY SAND
15	-15	0	2.92	5.53		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	2.98	5.17		0.468	106.1	25.096	0.1	0.87		76							0.23		65	CLAYEY SILT
20	-20	0	2.87	8.35		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	2.78	7.99		0.625	112.3	25.213	0.09	2.42		181									153	SILTY SAND
25	-25	0	3.55	6.68		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	3.58	6.32		0.781	106.1	25.33	0.11	0.98		95							0.27		80	SILT
30	-30	0	3.97	8.25		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	3.94	7.88		0.936	112.3	25.446	0.12	1.32		137									116	SANDY SILT
35	-35	0	3.18	3.97		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	3.33	3.6		1.093	93.6	25.549	0.09	0.12		9		0.11					0.2		8	MUD
40	-40	0	7.46	24.01		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	6.82	23.65		1.249	124.8	25.665	0.22	3.02		584									496	SILTY SAND
45	-45	0	3.86	9.19		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	3.78	8.82		1.405	118.6	25.814	0.09	2.12		175									148	SILTY SAND
50	-50	0	6.79	16.5		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	6.48	16.13		1.562	124.8	25.962	0.19	1.96		335									285	SILTY SAND
55	-55	0	5.53	11.69		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	5.41	11.33		1.717	112.3	26.102	0.14	1.6		206									174	SANDY SILT
60	-60	0	8.35	21.3		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	7.89	20.93		1.874	124.8	26.243	0.23	2.17		453									385	SILTY SAND
65	-65	0	10.86	25.26		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	10.33	24.9		2.03	121.7	26.395	0.31	1.76		506									430	SANDY SILT
70	-70	0	9.6	28.4		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	8.85	28.03		2.186	124.8	26.548	0.25	2.88		666									566	SILTY SAND
75	-75	0	9.4	25.26		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	8.79	24.9		2.342	124.8	26.703	0.24	2.5		560									475	SILTY SAND
80	-80	0	6.79	10.86		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	6.77	10.49		2.498	112.3	26.843	0.16	0.87		129							0.51		110	CLAYEY SILT
85	-85	0	8.25	25.26		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	7.58	24.9		2.655	124.8	26.985	0.18	3.52		601									511	SAND
90	-90	0	10.65	27.98		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	9.97	27.61		2.81	124.8	27.141	0.26	2.47		613									521	SILTY SAND
95	-95	0	11.48	24.64		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	11.01	24.27		2.967	121.7	27.293	0.29	1.65		460									392	SANDY SILT
100	-100	0	7.83	22.97		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	7.26	22.6		3.123	124.8	27.446	0.15	3.71		532									453	SAND
105	-105	0	10.02	21.3		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	9.65	20.93		3.278	121.7	27.597	0.23	1.77		392									333	SANDY SILT
110	-110	0	11.48	31.53		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	10.67	31.16		3.435	124.8	27.75	0.26	2.84		711									604	SILTY SAND
115	-115	0	7.83	18.58		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	7.48	18.22		3.591	118.6	27.898	0.14	2.77		373									317	SILTY SAND
120	-120	0	12.53	24.01		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	12.14	23.65		3.748	121.7	28.043	0.3	1.37		400									339	SANDY SILT
125	-125	0	8.35	26.73		0.16	0.37	0	0	0	0	7.62	26.36		3.904	124.8	28.194	0.13	5.05		650									553	SAND

	K_D	K_D
AVERAGE	0.22	-0.21
ST DEV	0.06	-0.38
MIN	0.13	-0.29
MAX	0.31	-0.14

DMT 07-25
Date: 8/15/2007
Total Depth (ft): 10

Metric Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(M)	(M)	(KGF)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(BAR)	(T/M3)	(BAR)				(BAR)		(BAR)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)	(DEG)	(BAR)		(BAR)	
1.52	-1.52	0	9.8	27.2		0.1	0.2	0	0	0	0	9.05	27	0	0.149	2.15	30.596	0.29	2.02		623									530	SILTY SAND
3.05	-3.05	0	15.2	42		0.1	0.2	0	0	0	0	13.98	41.8	0	0.299	2.15	30.769	0.44	2.03		966									821	SILTY SAND

Imperial Units

Z	ELEV	THRUST	A	B	C	DA	DB	ZMRNG	ZMLO	ZMHI	ZMCAL	P0	P1	P2	U0	GAMMA	SVP	KD	ID	UD	ED	K0	SU	QD	PHI	SIGFF	PHI0	PC	OCR	M	SOIL TYPE
(FT)	(FT)	(LBF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(TSF)	(PCF)	(TSF)				(TSF)		(TSF)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)	(DEG)	(TSF)		(TSF)	
5	-5	0	10.23	28.4		0.1	0.21	0	0	0	0	9.45	28.19		0.156	134.2	31.942	0.29	2.02		650									553	SILTY SAND
10	-10	0	15.87	43.85		0.1	0.21	0	0	0	0	14.6	43.64		0.312	134.2	32.123	0.44	2.03		1009									857	SILTY SAND

	K_D	K_D
AVERAGE	0.37	-0.10
ST DEV	0.11	-0.32
MIN	0.29	-0.15
MAX	0.44	-0.05

Attachment J

2007 Geotechnical Investigation SPT Boring Logs

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-01 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–28	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray to dark grayish brown (coarse tailings)	FILL/ TAILINGS	69	M	SS	24					
			7	M	SS	13					
			33	M	SS	15					
			31	M	SS	16					
			26	M	SS	16					
29–34	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, lenses of silt, dark grayish brown (coarse tailings)		31	M/W	SS	20	20				
35–38	FILL, silt, lenses of silty sand (fine tailings)		33	M/W	SS	23	17				
39–44	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown, lenses of fine to medium grained silty sand (slimes)		27	W	SS	19	23				
45–46	FILL, silt, brown to dark gray, lenses of sandy silt (slimes)		16	W	SS	21	25				
47–48	SILT, slightly organic, trace roots, gray (ML) 3 inch thinwall sample from 46.0 to 48.0 feet	FINE ALLUVIUM		M	TW	24					
49	SILTY SAND, a little gravel, apparent cobbles, brown, moist, a little peat above about 49 feet (SM)	TILL	24	M	SS	23	12				
	END OF BORING AT 49.7 FEET Borehole backfilled with bentonite grout										

DEPTH:	DRILLING METHOD
0-9'	**4.25" HSA**
9-46'	**RD w/DM**
BORING COMPLETED:	**8/6/07**
DR: **JU**	LG: **TDD** Rig: **85**

WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS						
DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
8/6/07		**11.0**	**9.0**	**11.0**	**---**	**None**

NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-02 (p. 1 of 2)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

SURFACE ELEVATION: **1566.4**

DEPTH IN FEET	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–5	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)	FILL/ TAILINGS		M	SU						
6–10			8	M	SS	14					
11–15			11	M	SS	20					
16–20			16	M	SS	20					
21–23			12	M	SS	20					
24–28	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown (slimes)		3	W	SS	20	33				
29–33	FILL, sandy silt, dark gray (slimes)		6	W	SS	7	27				
34–39	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown (slimes)		2	W	SS	24	36				
40–43			<1	W	SS	24	40				
44–49	FILL, sandy silt with lenses of silt, dark gray and dark grayish brown (slimes)		1	W	SS	24	31				

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS						
	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
0-25' 4.25" HSA							
25-77' RD w/DM	**7/31/07**	**16:30**	**27.0**	**25.0**	**25.4**	**---**	**25.0**
	7/31/07	**17:05**	**---**	**---**	**---**	**---**	**None**
BORING COMPLETED: **8/1/07**							
DR: **LA** LG: **MC** Rig: **51**							

NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-02 (p. 2 of 2)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
51	FILL, sandy silt with lenses of silt, dark gray and dark grayish brown (slimes)*(continued)*	FILL/ TAILINGS	2	W	SS	24	32				
52											
53	3 inch thinwall sample from 52.0 to 54.0 feet				TW	0					
54	FILL, silt, lenses of silty clay, grayish brown (slimes)										
55					TW	26					
56	3 inch thinwall sample from 54.0 to 56.0 feet										
57			<1	W	SS	24	39				
58											
59											
60	3 inch thinwall sample from 59.0 to 61.0 feet				TW	23					
61											
62	Vane shear test-tip of vane 61.7 feet				VANE						
63											
64											
65			<1	M	SS	24	34				
66											
67											
68											
69											
70											
71	3 inch thinwall sample from 70.5 to 72.5 feet				TW	0					
72											
73											
74											
75											
76	3 inch Osterberg piston thinwall sample from 75.0 to 77.0 feet	TILL			PS	21.5					
77					SS	0					
	END OF BORING AT 77.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with bentonite grout.		50/0.0								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-03 (p. 1 of 2)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: **1559.9** MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–4	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark gray, lenses and laminations of sandy silt (fine tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS									
5–6			4	M	SS	15					
7–9											
10–11			4	M	SS	16	22				78
12–14											
15–16			3	W	SS	14					
17–19											
20–23	FILL, silt, dark gray (slimes) 3 inch thinwall sample from 19.5 to 21.5 feet				TW	8					
24–25					VANE						
26–28	Vane shear test-tip of vane at 24.8 feet Vane shear test-tip of vane at 25.2 feet				VANE						
29–30	3 inch thinwall sample from 28.5 to 30.5 feet				TW	0					
31–34	FILL, silt, dark gray, lenses of dark grayish brown silty clay (slimes) 3 inch Osterberg piston thinwall sample from 30.7 to 32.7 feet				PS	24					
35–37	Vane shear test-tip of vane at 35.1 feet			W	VANE SS	24	36		25	22	77
38–44	FILL, silt, dark gray to dark grayish brown, lenses of fine grained dark gray silty sand (fine tailings)		4	W	SS	10	29				
45–47	FILL, silt, dark gray (slimes)		3	W	SS	22	42		24	22	91

DEPTH:	DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS						
		DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
0-9½'	**6.625" HSA**							
9½-32½'	**RD w/DM**	**8/3/07**	**11:00**	**78.8**	**9.5**	**78.8**	**4.0**	**None**
BORING COMPLETED: **8/3/07**								
DR: **JU** LG: **MD** Rig: **85**								

NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-03 (p. 2 of 2)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
49	FILL, silt, dark gray (slimes) *(continued)*	FILL / TAILINGS									
50			8	W	SS	2	35				
51											
52	FILL, silt, dark gray to dark grayish brown (fine tailings)										
53											
54											
55			2	W	SS	11	31				
56											
57	FILL, silt, dark gray to dark grayish brown, lenses of fine grained dark gray silty sand (slimes)										
58											
59											
60			3	W	SS	24	33				95
61											
62											
63											
64											
65			<1	W	SS	6	34				
66											
67											
68	PEAT, hemic, black, lenses of sand with silt (PT)	SWAMP DEPOSIT									
69											
70											
71	SAND WITH SILT, fine to coarse grained, brown, moist, medium dense (SP-SM)	COARSE ALLUVIUM	27	M	SS	11					
72											
73	SILTY SAND, a little gravel, dark grayish brown, moist (SM)	TILL			TW	29					
74	Pitcher sample from 72.0 to 75.0 feet										
75	Pitcher sample from 75.0 to 77.7 feet										
76					TW	7.5					
77	SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL, brown, moist (SM)										
78			70/0.6	M	SS	7					
	END OF BORING AT 78.8 FEET. Borehole backfilled with bentonite grout.										

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-04B (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1-2	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, brown, trace roots (coarse tailings)			D	SU						
3-6	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark grayish brown (coarse tailings)		8	M	SS	14					
9-12			2	M	SS	15					
13-18			30	M	SS	18					
19-21			13	M	SS	16					
22-27	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark gray (fine tailings)	FILL/ TAILINGS	35	M	SS	21					
28-34	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)		27	M	SS	16					
35	FILL, silty sand, fine to coarse grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)		36	M	SS	17					
37			32	M	SS	19					
38-39	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown (fine tailings)										
40-43	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark grayish brown, lenses of sandy silt (coarse to fine tailings)		27	M/W	SS	24	19				
44-48	FILL, silt, dark gray, lenses of fine grained silty sand and sandy silt (fine tailings)		34	W	SS	19	21				
49-53	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark grayish brown (coarse tailings)		40	W	SS	18	16				
54	FILL, silt, dark gray (slimes)		51	W	SS	24	38 224				
55-60	PEAT, hemic, dark brown (PT) 3 inch split-spoon sample from 56.0 to 58.0 feet	SWAMP DEPOSIT	96	W	3SS	17	222				
61	SAND WITH SILT AND GRAVEL, dark brown, wet (SP-SM)	COARSE ALLUVIUM	83	W	SS	14					
62	SILTY SAND, dark grayish brown, wet, very dense (SM)	TILL									
	END OF BORING AT 62.0 FEET Borehole backfilled with bentonite grout										

DEPTH:	DRILLING METHOD
0-9'	**4.25" HSA**
9-60'	**RD w/DM**

WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
8/8/07	**7:15**	**11.0**	**9.0**	**9.0**	**---**	**None**

BORING COMPLETED: **8/8/07**

DR: **JU** LG: **TDD** Rig: **85**

NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-05 (p. 1 of 2)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–3	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS			SU						
5–7			20	M	SS	18					
9–11			16	M	SS	20					
13–16	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (fine tailings)		20	M	SS	20					
18–21			18	M	SS	20					
23–26	FILL, silt, dark gray (slimes)		2	W	SS	20	34				
28–31	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark gray (fine tailings)		19	W	SS	18	24				
33–41	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)		28	W	SS	24	18				
43–51	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained (fine tailings)		19	W	SS	24	24				
53–56	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown (slimes)		3	W	SS	24	25				
58–61			1	W	SS	24	38				
63–66	FILL, silt, dark gray to dark grayish brown, lenses of dark gray sandy silt (slimes)		4	W	SS	24	35				
68–71			2	W	SS	20	34				
73–76			2	W	SS	24	36				
78–79											

DEPTH:	DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS						
		DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
0-20'	**4.25" HSA**							
20-102'	**RD w/DM**	**8/2/07**	**9:20**	**22.0**	**20.0**	**21.6**	**---**	**None**
BORING COMPLETED: **8/2/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **MC** Rig: **51**								

NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-05 (p. 2 of 2)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
81	FILL, silt, dark gray to dark grayish brown, lenses of dark gray sandy silt (slimes) *(continued)*	FILL / TAILINGS	2	W	SS	24	32				
86			<1	W	SS	24	32				
91			3	W	SS	24	30				
93	PEAT, sapric, dark brown, with some wood (PT)	SWAMP DEPOSIT									
96			29	M	SS	24	147				
98	3 inch split-spoon sample from 97.0 to 99.0 feet		49	M	3SS	16	199				
102	SILTY SAND, a little gravel, fine to medium grained, dark grayish brown, wet, dense (SM)	COARSE ALLUVIUM	35	W	SS	16	14				
104	**END OF BORING AT 104.0 FEET.** Borehole backfilled with bentonite grout.										

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-06 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1-3	FILL, sandy silt, dark grayish brown, trace roots (slimes)				SU						
4-9	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark gray, lenses of grayish brown sandy silt and silt (fine tailings)		4	M	SS						
10-13	FILL, silt, lenses of silt with sand, dark grayish brown (slimes)		<1	W	SS	14	33				
14-19	FILL, sandy silt, dark gray (fine tailings)		2	W	SS		28				
20-22	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown (slimes)		1	W	SS	18	37				98
23-25	Vane shear test-tip of vane at 23.5 feet		<1		VANE SS	16	33				
26-28	Vane shear test-tip of vane at 24.5 feet 3 inch Osterberg piston thinwall sample from 25.5 to 27.5 feet				VANE PS PS	0 25					
29-33	3 inch Osterberg piston thinwall sample from 27.5 to 29.5 feet Vane shear test-tip of vane at 31.6 feet	FILL/ TAILINGS			VANE VANE		39				
34-36	Vane shear test-tip of vane at 32.6 feet		6	W	SS	24	35				
37-43	FILL, sandy silt, dark grayish brown (fine tailings)		2	W	SS	24	33				
44-53	FILL, sandy silt, dark grayish brown, lenses of fine grained silty sand (fine tailings/slimes)		6	W	SS	24	33				86
			2	W	SS	24	35				
54-64	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown, lenses of sandy silt (fine tailings/slimes)		3	W	SS	20	36				86
			2	W	SS	24	29				
65-68	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown (slimes)		<1	W	SS	24	31				
69	PEAT WITH WOOD (PT)	SWAMP DEPOSIT			WS						
70	Obstruction at 69.0 feet		50/0		SS	0					
	END OF BORING AT 70.0 FEET Borehole backfilled with bentonite grout	TILL OR WEATHERED ROCK									

DEPTH:	DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS						
		DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
0-25'	**4.25" HSA**							
25-70'	**RD w/DM**	**8/3/07**	**9:30**	**22.0**	**20.0**	**21.0**	**---**	**None**
BORING COMPLETED: **8/6/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **MC** Rig: **58**								

NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-07C (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–3	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, trace roots, brown (coarse tailings)	FILL/ TAILINGS		M							
4–6	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray to dark grayish brown (coarse tailings)		5	M	SS	15					
7–10			19	M	SS	17					
11–14			21	M	SS	18					
15–19			23	M	SS	17					
20–24			31	M	SS	17					
25–29			56	M	SS	20					
30–34			35	M	SS	21	10				
35–39			29	W	SS	21	14				
40–46											
47–48			9	W	SS	15	23 28				
49–50	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown to dark gray (slimes)				PS	24					
51–52	3 inch Osterberg piston thinwall sample from 48.0 to 50.0 feet				VANE	20	27				
53					VANE						
54–55	3 inch split-spoon sample from 50 to 51.8 feet	TILL			VANE	27					
56	Vane shear test-tip of vane at 50.7 feet				TW						
57	Vane shear test-tip of vane at 51.4 feet				TW	11					
58	Vane shear test-tip of vane at 52.2 feet				WS						
	SILTY SAND, a little gravel, brown (SM) Pitcher sample from 52.2 to 55.2 feet Pitcher sample from 55.2 to 58.0 feet										
	END OF BORING AT 58.5 FEET. Borehole backfilled with bentonite grout.										

DEPTH:	DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS						
		DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
0-9'	**6.25" HSA**							
9-58.5'	**RD w/DM**	**8/8/07**	**14:00**	**11.0**	**9.0**	**11.0**	**---**	**None**
BORING COMPLETED: **8/9/07**								
DR: **JU** LG: **TDD** Rig: **85**								

NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-08 (p. 1 of 2)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–5	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (fine tailings)	FILL/ TAILINGS		M	SU						
6–10	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)		20	M	SS	20					
11–15			18	M	SS	20					
16–18			15	M	SS	20					
19–23	FILL, sand with silt, fine to coarse grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)		18	M	SS	24					
24–29	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)		20	M	SS	18					
30–34	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, lenses of silt (fine tailings)		6	W	SS	14	22				
35–39	FILL, silt, dark gray to dark grayish brown, lenses of silt with sand (slimes)		10	M	SS	14	23				
	3 inch Osterberg piston thinwall sample from 37.0 to 39.0 feet				PS	0					

DEPTH:	DRILLING METHOD
0-20'	**4.25" HSA**
20-71.6'	**RD w/DM**
BORING COMPLETED:	**8/7/07**
DR: **LA**	LG: **MC** Rig: **58**

WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
8/6/07	**16:00**	**47.0**	**20.0**	**---**	**---**	**44.0**

NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-08 (p. 2 of 2)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
41	FILL, silt, dark gray to dark grayish brown, lenses of silt with sand (slimes)*(continued)*										
42											
43											
44											
45	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)										
46			15	W	SS	16	17				
47											
48											
49											
50											
51	FILL, silt, dark gray to dark grayish brown (slimes)		3	W	SS	24	30				
52											
53	FILL, silt, dark gray to dark grayish brown, lenses of silt with sand and sandy silt (fine tailings)				PS	15					
54		FILL/ TAILINGS									
55	3 inch Osterberg piston thinwall sample from 52.0 to 54.0 feet										
56			7	W	SS	24	33				
57											
58											
59											
60											
61			11	W	SS	18	26				
62											
63			5	W	SS	24	28				
64											
65	3 inch Osterberg piston thinwall sample from 64.0 to 66.0 feet				PS	2					
66											
67	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown (fine tailings to slimes)				VANE						
68	Vane shear test-tip of vane at 66.8 feet				VANE						
69	Vane shear test-tip of vane at 67.5 feet				PS	24					
70	3 inch Osterberg piston thinwall sample from 68.0 to 70.0 feet										
71	Obstruction at 70.8 feet	TILL OR WEATHERED ROCK									
	END OF BORING AT 71.6 FEET Borehole backfilled with bentonite grout										

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-09 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–4	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, grayish brown, trace roots above about 1 foot (fine tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		D	SU						
5–9	FILL, silt with sand, dark gray (fine tailings)		3	M	SS	11					
10–11			3	M	SS	17	21				77
12–14	FILL, lean clay (slimes) 3 inch Osterberg piston thinwall sample from 12.0 to 14.0 feet				PS	23					
15–22	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark gray, lenses of silt (fine tailings)		3	W	SS	8	23				54
			7	W	SS	15	25				
23–25	FILL, sandy silt, dark gray (fine tailings)		8	W	SS	12	28				53
26–33	3 inch Osterberg piston thinwall sample from 25.0 to 27.0 feet (2 inch split-spoon advanced through Osterberg sample zone) FILL, silt, gray (slimes) 3 inch Osterberg piston thinwall sample from 27.5 to 29.5 feet Vane shear test-tip of vane at 30.0 feet Vane shear test-tip of vane at 30.8 feet				PS W SS PS VANE VANE	1 24 24	35				
34–41	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown to brown, lenses and laminations of sandy silt, trace roots below about 39 feet (slimes) Vane shear test-tip of vane at 36.3 feet 3 inch Osterberg piston thinwall sample from 36.5 to 38.5 feet		<1	W	SS VANE PS	24 23	38				
			<1		SS	15	40				86
42	Pitcher sample from 41.5 to 42.8 feet				TW	0					
	END OF BORING AT 42.8 FEET Borehole backfilled with bentonite grout										

DEPTH:	DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS						
		DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
0-9'	**6.25" HSA**							
9-41.5'	**RD w/DM**	**8/6/07**	**17:15**	**11.0**	**9.0**	**9.0**	**---**	**None**
BORING COMPLETED: **8/7/07**								
DR: **JU** LG: **TDD** Rig: **85**								

NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-10 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–3	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark grayish brown (coarse tailings)			M	SU						
4–8	FILL, sand with silt, fine to medium grained, dark grayish brown (coarse tailings)		5	W	SS	16	17				
9–15	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark grayish brown (coarse tailings)		2	W	SS	18	17				
16–19	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown, lenses of sandy silt and fine grained silty sand (fine tailings) Vane shear test-tip of vane at 17.7 feet		<1	W	SS VANE	18	29				
20–23		FILL/ TAILINGS	6	W	SS	20	30				
24–25	FILL, silt, dark gray, lenses of sandy silt (fine tailings)		<1	W	SS	20	25				
26–28	Vane shear test-tip of vane at 26.8 feet			W	VANE SS	24	27				
29–31			<1	W	SS	24	34				
32–34	3 inch Osterberg piston thinwall sample from 32.0 to 34.0 feet				PS	11					
35–37			<1	W	SS	17	34				
38–40	3 inch Osterberg piston thinwall sample from 37.0 to 39.0 feet Vane shear test-tip of vane at 39.6 feet				PS VANE	24					
41–42	SILTY SAND, fine grained, brown, wet, medium dense	COARSE ALLUVIUM	19	W	SS	24	20				
43			77/.7	W	SS	0					
	END OF BORING AT 43.2 FEET Borehole backfilled with bentonite grout										

DEPTH:	DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS						
		DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
0-9.5'	**4.25" HSA**							
9.5-43.2'	**RD w/DM**	**8/8/07**	**13:05**	**7.0**	**5.0**	**5.4**	**---**	**3.6**
BORING COMPLETED:	**8/8/07**							
DR: **MC**	LG: **LA** Rig: **58**							

NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-11 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–3	FILL, silty sand, fine to coarse grained, dark grayish brown, trace roots above about 1 foot (coarse tailings)			M							
4–8			40	M	SS	24					
9				▼							
10–11	FILL, sand with silt, fine to medium grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)		13	W	SS	20	15				
12–13											
14–18			12	W	SS	12	15				
19–23	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)	FILL/ TAILINGS	6	W	SS	12	18				
24–28			9	W	SS	14	17				
29–33			15	W	SS	15	17				
34–35	FILL, sandy silt, dark grayish brown, lenses of silt (fine tailings)		4	W	SS	17	28				
36–41	3 inch Osterberg piston thinwall sample from 35.0 to 37.0 feet			W	PS	22					
42–43			1	W	SS	24	34				
44–46	3 inch Osterberg piston thinwall sample from 44.0 to 46.0 feet			W	PS	24					
47–48	PEAT, fibric to hemic, dark grayish brown to brown, with some sand below about 46.5 feet (PT)	SWAMP DEPOSIT	10	W	SS	14	115				
	SILTY SAND, a little gravel, brown, wet	TILL									
	END OF BORING AT 48.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with bentonite grout.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS						
	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
0-9' 6.25" HSA							
9-47' RD w/DM	**8/10/07**	**8:10**	**11.0**	**9.0**	**10.3**	**---**	**9.7**
BORING COMPLETED: **8/10/07**							
DR: **JU** LG: **TDD** Rig: **85**							

NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-12 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–5	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark grayish brown (coarse tailings)			M	SU						
6–10			20	M	SS	18					
11–15			36	M	SS	24					
16			14	W	SS	20	13				
17–25	FILL, sand with silt, fine to medium grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)	FILL/ TAILINGS	6	W	SS	24	15				
26–27			14	W	SS	14	15				
28–35	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)		13	W	SS	14	13				
36			7	W	SS	14	25				
37–42	FILL, silt, trace roots, brown, lenses of fine grained silty sand (fine tailings)		<1	W	SS	24	23				
43	Vane shear test-tip of vane at 43.0 feet				VANE						
44			20	W	SS	18					
45	SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL, brown, wet (SM)	TILL					13				
	END OF BORING AT 45.5 FEET Borehole backfilled with bentonite grout										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS						
	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
0-20' 4.25" HSA							
20-45.5' RD w/DM	**8/7/07**	**17:20**	**22.0**	**20.0**	**20.6**	**---**	**18.1**
	8/8/07	**8:15**	**22.0**	**20.0**	**20.2**	**---**	**15.4**
BORING COMPLETED: **8/8/07**							
DR: **LA** LG: **MC** Rig: **58**							

NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-13D (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–5	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark grayish brown, trace roots above about 2 feet (may be organic below about 11 feet) (coarse tailings)	FILL/ TAILINGS		M	SU						
6–9			53	M	SS	20					
10			20	M	SS	20	11				
11–12	3 inch thinwall sample from 11.0 to 12.3 feet				TW	9					
13–14	Obstruction at 13.0 feet - probable weathered bedrock, dark gray	TILL OR WEATHERED ROCK	66	W	SS	14					
	END OF BORING AT 14.6 FEET Borehole backfilled with bentonite grout										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-14.6' 4.25" HSA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	8/7/07	**15:35**	**14.5**	**13.0**	**13.0**	**---**	**12.0**	
	8/7/07	**15:55**	**14.5**	**None**	**7.5**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **8/7/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **MC** Rig: **58**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-14 (p. 1 of 2)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

SURFACE ELEVATION: **1655.2**

DEPTH IN FEET	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–4	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark grayish brown (fine tailings)			M	SU						
5–9	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark grayish brown, lenses of silt (fine tailings)		11	M	SS	18					
10–24	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark grayish brown to dark gray (coarse tailings)		28	M	SS	20					
			12	M	SS	24					
			3	M	SS	20					
			18	M	SS	20					
			22	▼	SS	18					
			7	W	SS	14	14				
		FILL / TAILINGS	15	W	SS	14	14				
			17	W	SS	14	11				
			20	W	SS	16	14				
			41	W	SS	20	16				
			24	W	SS	18	12				
70–79			27	W	SS	18	16				

DEPTH:	DRILLING METHOD
0-20'	**4.25" HSA**
20-125'	**RD w/DM**

WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
8/9/07	**10:15**	**30.5**	**20.0**	**---**	**---**	**27.0**

BORING COMPLETED: **8/10/07**

DR: **LA** LG: **MC** Rig: **58**

NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-14 (p. 2 of 2)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
81	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark grayish brown to dark gray (coarse tailings) *(continued)*	FILL / TAILINGS	36	W	SS	20	14				
86	FILL, silty sand, fine to coarse grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)										
91			35	W	SS	24	12				
101			24	W	SS	20	19				
111			24	W	SS	20	22				
116	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark gray (fine tailings)										
121			19	W	SS	24	120				
122	PEAT, fibric, dark brown (PT)	SWAMP DEPOSIT	32	W	3SS	19					
124	SILTY SAND, a little gravel, brown, wet (SM)	TILL	21/0.5	W	3SS						
125	3 inch split spoon sample from 122 to 124 feet 3 inch split spoon sample from 124 to 125 feet **END OF BORING AT 125.0 FEET.** Borehole backfilled with bentonite grout.		141/0.5								

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-15 (p. 1 of 2)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–5	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)	FILL/ TAILINGS									
5–10			26	M	SS	20					
10–15			26	M	SS	20					
15–20			26	M	SS	18					
20–25			30	M	SS	19					
25–30	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, lenses of sandy silt (coarse tailings)		23	W	SS	13	13				
30–35	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark gray (fine tailings)		15	W	SS	14	23				
35–40	FILL, silty clay to lean clay, dark grayish brown (slimes) 3 inch thinwall sample from 34.0 to 36.0 feet Vane shear test-tip of vane at 36.2 feet Vane shear test-tip of vane at 37.2 feet Vane shear test-tip of vane at 37.9 feet Vane shear test-tip of vane at 38.6 feet		7	W	TW VANE VANE VANE VANE SS	24 23	36				
45–50	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown, lenses of silt with sand (fine tailings)		5	W	SS	18	36				
50–55			7	W	SS	18	35				
55–60	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown (slimes) 3 inch thinwall sample from 56.5 to 58.5 feet		4	W	SS TW	24 27	28				
60–65			3	W	SS	24	35				
65–70			6	W	SS	18	39				
70–75	FILL, silt, lenses of silt with sand, dark gray to dark grayish brown (fine tailings)		7	W	SS	15	35				
75–80			6	W	SS	14	33				

DEPTH:	DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS						
		DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
0-9½'	**6.625" HSA**							
9½-144½'	**RD w/DM**	**7/30/07**	**16:45**	**26.5**	**9.5**	**26.5**	**4.0**	**25.5**
		7/31/07	**17:30**	**146.5**	**9.5**	**146.5**	**4.0**	**None**
BORING COMPLETED: **7/30/07**								
DR: **JU** LG: **MD** Rig: **85**								

NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-15 (p. 2 of 2)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
81	3 inch thinwall sample from 79.5 to 81.5 feet	FILL/ TAILINGS			TW	0					
85	FILL, silt, lenses of silt with sand, dark gray to dark grayish brown (fine tailings) *(continued)*		19	W	SS	12	34				
90			8	W	SS	16	33				
93	FILL, sandy silt, dark gray, lenses of fine grained silty sand (fine tailings)		10	W	SS	12	30				
98	FILL, silt, lenses of silt with sand, dark gray (fine tailings)		11	W	SS	18	34				
104	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark gray (fine tailings)		15	W	SS	15	31				
111	FILL, organic silt, dark grayish brown		24	W	SS	9	38				
112	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown (slimes)										
114	3 inch thinwall sample from 114.5 to 116.5 feet				TW	17					
120			10	W	SS	23	31				
122	FILL, sand with silt, fine to medium grained, dark gray, lenses of fine to medium grained silty sand (coarse tailings)		22	W	SS	15	16				
130			38	W	SS	12	10				
135			31	W	SS	14	16				
138	FILL, silty sand, fine to coarse grained, dark grayish brown (coarse tailings)		38	W	SS	17	13				
142	SANDY LEAN CLAY, trace roots, apparent cobbles, dark gray, hard, laminations of brown silty sand and dark brown organic silt (CL)	FINE ALLUVIUM	61	M	SS	12	31				
146	**END OF BORING AT 146.5 FEET.** Borehole backfilled with bentonite grout.										

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-16 (p. 1 of 3)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

SURFACE ELEVATION: **1721.8**

DEPTH IN FEET	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1	Root Mass	FILL / TAILINGS		D/M	SU						
3	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, grayish brown (coarse tailings)		31	M	SS	21					
7	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)		14	M	SS	20					
14			12	M/W	SS	14	14				
17	3 inch Osterberg piston thinwall sample from 16.0 to 18.0 feet				PS	20					
19			28	M	SS	17	11				
24			23	M	SS	15	11				
29			25	W	SS	17	13				
34			35	W	SS	18	14				
39			26	W	SS	17	12				
42	FILL, sand with silt, fine to coarse grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)		39	W	SS	18	11				
49			39	W	SS	18	10				
52	FILL, silty sand, fine to coarse grained, dark gray, dark grayish brown lenses of silt (coarse to fine tailings)		32	W	SS	17	13				
67	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)		42	W	SS	20	15				
79			48	W	SS	20	15				

DEPTH:	DRILLING METHOD
0-9'	**4.25" HSA**
9-203'	**RD w/DM**

WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
8/20/07	**16:00**	**11.0**	**9.0**	**9.0**	**---**	**None**

BORING COMPLETED: **8/22/07**

DR: **JU** LG: **TDD** Rig: **85**

NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-16 (p. 2 of 3)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS				
							WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
81–88	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)*(continued)*										
89–96	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark grayish brown, lenses of dark brown silt (fine tailings)		30	M	SS	15	23				
97–115	FILL, silty sand, fine to coarse grained, dark grayish brown, lenses of silt (coarse tailings)		53	M	SS	17	13				
116–174	FILL, silt, dark gray to dark grayish brown, lenses of sandy silt (fine tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS	50	M	SS	15	22				
			29	M	SS	20	28				
			45	W	SS	17	28				

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-16 (p. 3 of 3)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS				
							WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
176–187	FILL, silt, dark gray to dark grayish brown, lenses of sandy silt (fine tailings)*(continued)*	FILL / TAILINGS	40	W	SS	15	30				
188–199	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown, lenses of silty clay (slimes)	FILL / TAILINGS	6/0.5 16/0.5 50/0.3		SS	15	33				
200–203	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS									
	END OF BORING AT 203.0 FEET Borehole backfilled with bentonite grout.										

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-17 (p. 1 of 3)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1-4	FILL, silt, grayish brown to dark grayish brown, trace roots above about 1.5 feet (fine tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		D/M	SU						
5-8	FILL, silt, dark gray to dark grayish brown, lenses of silt with sand (fine tailings)		2	M/W	SS	10					
9-12	FILL, sandy silt, dark gray, lenses of silt (fine tailings)		7	M	SS	12					
13-17	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown, lenses of sand with silt (fine tailings) 3 inch mechanical piston thinwall sample from 15.0 to 17.0 feet		3	M/W	SS PS	10 14	27				
18-28	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown to brown (slimes)		4 3	W W	SS SS	14 14	41 40				
29-32	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark gray (fine tailings)		14	W	SS	17	14				
33-44	FILL, silt, dark gray to dark grayish brown, lenses of dark gray sandy silt and silty clay (fine tailings)		7 7 8	W W W	SS SS SS	14 16 21	25 20 34				
45-68	FILL, sandy silt, dark gray, lenses of dark grayish brown silt (fine tailings)		10 22	W W	SS SS	16 12	26 25				
69-76	FILL, silt, lenses of sandy silt and silty clay, dark grayish brown (fine tailings/slimes) 3 inch mechanical piston thinwall sample from 72.0 to 74.0 feet		7	W	SS PS	17 20	36				
77-79	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark gray, lenses of sandy silt and silt (fine tailings)		29	W	SS	16	22				

DEPTH:	DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS						
		DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
0-9'	**4.25" HSA**							
9-226'	**RD w/DM**	**8/23/07**	**9:00**	**11.0**	**9.0**	**9.0**	**---**	**None**
BORING COMPLETED: **8/27/07**								
DR: **JU**	LG: **TDD** Rig: **85**							

NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-17 (p. 2 of 3)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
81–110	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark gray, lenses of sandy silt and silt (fine tailings)*(continued)*										
89			23	W	SS	17	22				
99			21	W	SS	17	27				
111–134	FILL, sandy silt, dark gray, lenses of dark grayish brown silt (fine tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS									
119			18	W	SS	18	32				
125	3 inch mechanical piston thinwall sample from 124.0 to 126.0 feet				PS	24					
136–158	FILL, silt, dark gray to dark grayish brown, lenses of silt with sand (slimes)										
139			33	W	SS	8	29				
159–174	FILL, silty clay, brown (slimes)										
159			27	W	SS	19	36				

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-17 (p. 3 of 3)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS				
							WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
176–188	FILL, silty clay, brown (slimes) *(continued)*										
188–201	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark gray (fine tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS	47	W	SS	17	25				
201–224	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, lenses of brown silt (coarse to fine tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS	52	W	SS	15	17				
224–225	Apparent gravel and cobbles	TILL									
225–226	**AUGER REFUSAL AT 226.0 FEET** Borehole backfilled with bentonite grout										

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-18 (p. 1 of 3)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown to dark gray, with roots above about 0.5 feet (fine tailings)			M	SU						
5			3	M	SS	6					
10			4	W	SS	6					
13	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown to dark gray (slimes)		<1	W	SS	16	33				
19			1	W	SS	16	32				
24			4	M	SS	16	42				
29	3 inch mechanical piston thinwall sample from 28.0 to 30.0 feet				PS	12					
33	FILL, silt, dark gray (fine tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS	8	W	SS	18	25				
39			8	W	SS	3	25				
44			10	W	SS	16	27				
48	FILL, silty clay, dark grayish brown to dark gray, lenses of silt (slimes)		2	M/W	SS	16	37				
59	3 inch mechanical piston thinwall sample from 58.0 to 60.0 feet			M	PS SS	0 18	34				
66	FILL, silt with sand, dark gray (fine tailings)										
69			22	M/W	SS	16	24				
79			17	M/W	SS	16	27				

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS						
	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
0-9' 4.25" HSA							
9-203.5' RD w/DM	**8/28/07**		**11.0**	**9.0**	**9.0**	**---**	**None**
BORING COMPLETED: **8/28/07**							
DR: **JU** LG: **DB** Rig: **85**							

NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-18 (p. 2 of 3)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS				
							WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
81–94	FILL, silt with sand, dark gray (fine tailings) *(continued)*	FILL / TAILINGS	28	M/W	SS	16	33				
95–108	FILL, silty clay, dark brown, lenses of dark grayish brown silt (slimes)		8	M/W	SS	14	36				
109–132	FILL, sandy silt, lenses of silt, dark gray (fine tailings)		34	M/W	SS	16	26				
123–132	3 inch mechanical piston thinwall sample from 123.0 to 125.0 feet				PS	24					
133–150	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown (slimes)		27	M/W	SS	12	33				
151–174	FILL, silty clay, dark brown, lenses of dark grayish brown silt (slimes)		15	M/W	SS	22	34				

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-18 (p. 3 of 3)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
176	FILL, silty clay, dark brown, lenses of dark grayish brown silt (slimes)*(continued)*	FILL / TAILINGS	7	M/W	SS	24	33				
190	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark gray, lenses of sandy silt (fine tailings)		51	M/W	SS	10	21				
201	Apparent gravel and cobbles	TILL									
203	**END OF BORING AT 203.5 FEET** Borehole backfilled with bentonite grout										

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-19 (p. 1 of 2)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

SURFACE ELEVATION: **1716.4**

DEPTH IN FEET	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–3	FILL, silt, dark gray, trace roots above about 2 feet (slimes)			M	SU						
6			1	M/W	SS	12	38				
11			0	W	SS	21	33				
16	FILL, silt, dark gray, lenses of dark grayish brown silty clay (fine tailings/slimes)		0	W	SS	24	41				
21			0	W	SS	22	34				
26			0	W	SS	24	42				
31			0	W	SS	24	37				
36		FILL / TAILINGS	0	W	SS	24	38				
41			0	W	SS	24	42				
46			0	W	SS	24	30				
51			0	W	SS	24	34				
61			0	W	SS	24	40				
71			0	W	SS	24	31				

DEPTH:	DRILLING METHOD
0-30'	**4.25" HSA**
30-148'	**RD w/DM**

WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
8/15/07	**13:30**	**32.0**	**30.0**	**30.0**	**---**	**None**

BORING COMPLETED: **8/17/07**

DR: **LA** LG: **TDD** Rig: **51**

NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-19 (p. 2 of 2)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
81	FILL, silt, dark gray, lenses of dark grayish brown silty clay (fine tailings/slimes) *(continued)*	FILL / TAILINGS	0	W	SS	24	38				
91	FILL, sandy silt, dark gray (fine tailings)		5	W	SS	24	31				
101	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown (slimes)		2	W	SS	24	33				
121			17	W	SS	12	31				
130	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown, lenses of silty clay (slimes)										
141			9	W	SS	24	30				
146	Apparent gravel and cobbles	TILL									
147	Obstruction at 146.5 feet	TILL OR WEATHERED ROCK									
148	**END OF BORING AT 148.0 FEET.** Borehole backfilled with bentonite grout.										

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-20 (p. 1 of 2)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (fine tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M	SU						
6			11	M	SS	20					
11			7	M	SS	20					
14	FILL, silt, gray to grayish brown, lenses of silty clay (slimes)		1	W	SS	24	40				
21			2	W	SS	18	40				
26			1	W	SS	24	31				
31			1	W	SS	24	46				
34	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark gray, lenses of sandy silt (fine tailings)		11	W	SS	24	22				
41	FILL, silt, gray to grayish brown, lenses of silty clay (slimes)		2	W	SS	24	33				
46			0	W	SS	24	31				
47	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)		60	W	SS	18	13				
56			16	W	SS	24	13				
58	FILL, silty sand, fine to coarse grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)		116	W	SS	18	10				
64	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, trace roots, dark gray, lenses of dark grayish brown silt (fine tailings)		32	W	SS	18	24				
69	FILL, silty sand, fine to coarse grained, dark grayish brown (coarse tailings)		65	W	SS	20	14				
76			51	W	SS	24	16				
81			57	W	SS	20	10				
84	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)		78	W	SS	20	13				

DEPTH:	DRILLING METHOD
0-25'	**4.25" HSA**
25-177'	**RD w/DM**
BORING COMPLETED:	**8/15/07**
DR: **LA**	LG: **MC** Rig: **51**

WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
8/13/07	**16:05**	**27.0**	**25.0**	**25.9**	**---**	**24.9**

NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-20 (p. 2 of 2)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS				
							WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
89–93	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)*(continued)*	FILL / TAILINGS	61	W	SS	20	17				
94–98	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, lenses of dark grayish brown silt (coarse to fine tailings)		21	W	SS	24	18				
99–150	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark grayish brown to dark gray (coarse tailings)		52	W	SS	20	12				
			61	W	SS	20	12				
			50	W	SS	20	14				
151–168	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark grayish brown, lenses of sandy silt (fine tailings)		38	W	SS	20	25				
169–177	SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL, brown, moist, very dense (SM)	TILL	85	M	SS	5					
	END OF BORING AT 177.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with bentonite grout.										

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-21 (p. 1 of 2)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

SURFACE ELEVATION: **1720.5**

DEPTH IN FEET	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown, lenses of silt with sand, trace roots above about 2 feet (slimes)	FILL / TAILINGS		M	SU						
5	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark gray, lenses of dark grayish brown silt (fine tailings)		4	M	SS	12					
10			3	M/W	SS	16	27				
15	FILL, silt with sand, dark gray, lenses of silt (fine tailings)		6	M/W	SS	18	16				
20			4	M/W	SS	14	29				
25	FILL, sandy silt, dark gray, lenses of silt and grayish brown silty clay (slimes)		5	W	SS	18	30				
30	FILL, sandy silt, dark gray, lenses of silt (fine tailings)		8	W	SS	14	26				
35			6	W	SS	18	34				
40	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown, lenses of silty clay (slimes) 3 inch Osterberg piston thinwall sample from 38.0 to 40.0 feet				PS	24					
			2	W	SS	24	35				
45			2	W	SS	24	40				
50			1	W	SS	24	36				
55	FILL, silty sand, fine grained lenses of sandy silt and silt (fine tailings)		8	W	SS	14	27				
70			4	W	SS	24	31				
80	FILL, silty clay, brown (slimes)		5	W	SS	24	36				
85	FILL, silt with sand, dark grayish brown (slimes) 3 inch mechanical piston thinwall sample from 82.0 to 84.0 feet				PS	11					

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS						
	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
0-20' 4.25" HSA							
20-175' RD w/DM	**8/20/07**	**17:00**	**32.0**	**20.0**	**21.0**	**3.0**	**N/A**
	8/21/07	**17:12**	**176.0**	**20.0**	**---**	**2.0**	**N/A**
BORING COMPLETED: **8/21/07**							
DR: **LA** LG: **JN** Rig: **51**							

NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-21 (p. 2 of 2)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
89	FILL, silt with sand, dark grayish brown (fine tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS	2	W	SS	18	30				
100			12	W	SS	14	28				
120	FILL, silt, brown and dark grayish brown, lenses of silty clay and silt with sand (fine tailings)		7	W	SS	24	39				
134	3 inch mechanical piston thinwall sample from 134.0 to 136.0 feet				PS	21					
160	FILL, silt, dark gray and dark grayish brown, lenses of sandy silt (fine tailings)		41	W	SS	16	27				
176	SILTY SAND, a little gravel, grayish brown, very dense (SM)	TILL	10/0.5	W	SS	18	15				
			24/0.5								
			41/0.5								
			10/0.0								
	END OF BORING AT 176.5 FEET										
	Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-22 (p. 1 of 2)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

SURFACE ELEVATION: **1722.2**

DEPTH IN FEET	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1	FILL, silt, dark gray, lenses of dark grayish brown fine grained silty sand, trace roots above about 1 foot (fine tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M	SU						
6			2	M	SS	8					
10	FILL, sandy silt, dark gray, lenses of silt with sand (fine tailings)		6	M	SS	16					
16			4	M	SS	14					
21			6	M	SS	14	23				
22	FILL, silt with sand, dark gray to dark grayish brown, lenses of silt (fine tailings)										
26	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark gray, lenses of dark grayish brown silt (fine tailings)		9	W	SS	18	27				
31	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown, lenses of silt with sand and fine grained silty sand (fine tailings)		6	W	SS	12	30				
36			8	W	SS	16	31				
41			8	W	SS	18	27				
46			7	W	SS	14	28				
49	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark gray (fine tailings)		14	W	SS	18	16				
57	FILL, silt, gray, lenses of dark gray silt with sand and sandy silt (fine tailings)		7	W	SS	16	28				
66	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark gray (fine tailings)		9	W	SS	16	23				
74	FILL, silt, brown, lenses of silty clay (slimes) 3 inch mechanical piston thinwall sample from 74.0 to 76.0 feet				PS	0					

DEPTH:	DRILLING METHOD
0-15'	**4.25" HSA**
15-159.5	**RD w/DM**

WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
8/22/07	**17:00**	**161.5**	**15.0**	**17.0**	**3.0**	**None**

BORING COMPLETED: **8/22/07**

DR: **LA** LG: **JN** Rig: **51**

NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-22 (p. 2 of 2)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS				
							WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
81	FILL, silt, brown, lenses of silty clay (slimes) *(continued)*		9	W	SS	24	32				
91	FILL, silt, brown, lenses of dark gray fine grained silty sand (fine tailings)		6	M	SS	18	32				
98	FILL, silt, dark brown to dark grayish brown (slimes)		3	M	SS	24	33				
116	3 inch mechanical piston thinwall sample from 116.0 to 118.0 feet	FILL / TAILINGS		M	PS	24					
119			12	M	SS	24	27				
139	3 inch mechanical piston thinwall sample from 138.0 to 140.0 feet				PS	24					
160	Wood	TILL	21	W	SS	20					
161	SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL, brown, wet, medium dense (SM)										
	END OF BORING AT 161.5 FEET										
	Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings										

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-23 (p. 1 of 2)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

SURFACE ELEVATION: **1721.5**

DEPTH IN FEET	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1	FILL, sandy silt, grayish brown, trace roots (fine tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M	SU						
5	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown to dark gray, lenses of silt with sand (fine tailings)		5	M	SS	10					
10			7	M	SS	14					
15			5	M	SS	16					
20	FILL, silt, dark gray, lenses of fine grained silty sand (fine tailings)		10	W	SS	18	27				
25											
27	FILL, silty clay, dark brown, lenses ofdark grayish brown silt with sand (fine tailings)				PS	24					
31	3 inch mechanical piston thinwall sample from 26.0 to 28.0 feet		5	W	SS	20	29				
35	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown, lenses of fine grained silty sand (fine tailings)		8	W	SS	18	26				
39	FILL, sandy silt, dark gray (fine tailings)		13	W	SS	18	21				
45	FILL, sandy silt, dark gray, lenses of silt (fine tailings)		9	W	SS	16	23				
50			9	W	SS	18	24				
55	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown, lenses of brown silty clay and dark gray sandy silt (fine tailings/slimes)				PS	20					
60	3 inch mechanical piston thinwall sample from 56.0 to 58.0 feet										
70			11	W	SS	18	31				
75	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark grayish brown (coarse tailings)										
80			22	W	SS	20	17				
87											

DEPTH:	DRILLING METHOD
0-15'	**4.25" HSA**
15-173'	**RD w/DM**

WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
8/23/07	**17:12**	**162.0**	**15.0**	**16.0**	**3.0**	**N/A**

BORING COMPLETED: **8/23/07**

DR: **LA** LG: **JN** Rig: **51**

NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-23 (p. 2 of 2)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
89	FILL, silt with sand, dark grayish brown, lenses of brown silt (fine tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS	16	M	SS	18	31				
99	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, lenses of silt (coarse tailings)		36	M	SS	20	20				
120	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown (fine tailings)		9	M	SS	18	33				
122	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)										
136	FILL, silty sand, fine to coarse grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)		28	M	SS	20	13				
160			42	M	SS	20	15				
170	Apparent gravel and cobbles (possible peat lens at about 170.0 feet)	TILL									
173	**AUGER REFUSAL AT 173.0 FEET** Borehole backfilled with bentonite grout										

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-24 (p. 1 of 3)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: 1722.6 MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1	FILL, sandy silt, dark gray, trace roots above about 2 feet (slimes)			M	SU						
6			5	M	SS	12					
9	FILL, sandy silt, dark gray, lenses of fine grained silty sand (fine tailings)										
10	FILL, silt, dark gray (fine tailings)		3	M	SS	12					
14	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark gray, lenses of silt and sandy silt (coarse to fine tailings)		5	M	SS	18					
21			7	W	SS	18	28				
25	FILL, silt, dark grayish brown to dark brown, lenses of silty clay and sandy silt (slimes)		3	W	SS	18	45				
29	3 inch mechanical piston thinwall sample from 28.0 to 30.0 feet				PS	13					
33	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark gray (fine tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS									
36			5	M/W	SS	14	25				
41			11	M/W	SS	18	25				
44	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark gray, lenses of silt (fine tailings)		6	M/W	SS	16	20				
49	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark gray (fine tailings)		9	M/W	SS	18	13				
61			13	M/W	SS	18	22				
71			19	W	SS	18	24				
77											

DEPTH:	DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS						
		DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
0-15'	**4.25" HSA**							
15-213.5'	**RD w/DM**	**8/24/07**	**12:00**	**82.0**	**15.0**	**16.0**	**3.0**	**None**
BORING COMPLETED: **8/24/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **JN** Rig: **51**								

NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-24 (p. 2 of 3)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS				
							WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
81	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark gray, lenses of dark grayish brown silt (fine tailings) *(continued)*	FILL / TAILINGS	14	W	SS	18	23				
91	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark gray (fine tailings)		24	W	SS	18	18				
103	3 inch mechanical piston thinwall sample from 102.0 to 104.0 feet				PS	16					
121			27	W	SS	18	28				
132	FILL, sandy silt, dark gray, lenses of dark gray to dark grayish brown silt (fine tailings)										
141			23	W	SS	16	29				
156	FILL, silty clay, dark brown, lenses of silt (slimes)										
161			13	W	SS	20	41				

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-24 (p. 3 of 3)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
176–213	FILL, silty clay, dark brown, lenses of silt (slimes) *(continued)*	FILL / TAILINGS	9	W	SS	24	32				
			7	W	SS	24	31				
213	Apparent gravel and cobbles	TILL									
	END OF BORING AT 213.5 FEET										
	Borehole backfilled with bentonite grout										

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-25 (p. 1 of 3)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–5	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark grayish brown to dark gray (coarse tailings)			M	SU						
6			23	M	SS	16					
8–11	FILL, sand with silt, fine to coarse grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)		50	M	SS	18					
16			26	M/W	SS	14	11				
19–26	FILL, silty sand, fine to coarse grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)		20	M/W	SS	14	13				
26			25	M/W	SS	16	13				
29–31	3 inch mechanical piston thinwall sample from 28.0 to 30.0 feet				PS	6.5					
33–55	FILL, sand with silt, fine to coarse grained (coarse tailings)	TILL / TAILINGS	21	W	SS	16	12				
41			26	W	SS	16	14				
46			29	W	SS	16	12				
51			24	W	SS	20	12				
56–66	FILL, silt, dark gray, lenses of sandy silt (fine tailings)		7	W	SS	16	23				
68–74	FILL, silty sand, fine to coarse grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)		29	W	SS	18	14				
76–79	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (fine tailings)										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS						
	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
0-10' 4.25" HSA							
10-214' RD w/DM	**8/28/07**	**17:30**	**140.0**	**10.0**	**11.0**	**3.0**	**N/A**
	8/29/07	**13:00**	**215.5**	**10.0**	**11.0**	**3.0**	**N/A**
BORING COMPLETED: **8/29/07**							
DR: **LA** LG: **JN** Rig: **51**							

NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-25 (p. 2 of 3)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS				
							WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
81	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (fine tailings)*(continued)*	TILL / TAILINGS	29	W	SS	20	15				
91			25	W	SS	20	18				
95	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)										
101			32	W	SS	20	20				
111	FILL, silt, dark gray (fine tailings)										
121			20	W	SS	16	29				
131	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (fine tailings)										
141			64	W	SS	18	15				
151	FILL, sandy silt, dark grayish brown, lenses of fine grained silty sand (fine tailings)										
161			24	W	SS	20	19				
171	FILL, silty clay, lenses of silt, dark grayish brown (slimes)										

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **07-25 (p. 3 of 3)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
176–191	FILL, silty clay, lenses of silt, dark grayish brown (slimes) *(continued)*	TILL / TAILINGS	21	W	SS	20	36				
191–214	FILL, silt with sand, dark grayish brown (fine tailings)	TILL / TAILINGS	23	W	SS	18	30				
214–215	SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL, apparent cobbles, brown (SM)	TILL	87	W	SS	16	14				
	END OF BORING AT 215.5 FEET Borehole backfilled with bentonite grout										

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **A-1 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–25	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M	SU		6				19
17–25				M	SU						
26–30	FILL, sandy silt, dark gray (fine tailings)			M/W	SU						
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/26/07	**12:40**	**---**	**---**	**---**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/26/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **A-2 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–30	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (fine tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M M M	SU SU SU						
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/26/07	**13:15**	**---**	**---**	**---**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/26/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **A-3 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–10	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (fine tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M	SU						
11–25	FILL, silty sand, fine to coarse grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)			M	SU						
26–30	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)			M/W	SU						
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/26/07	**13:45**	**---**	**---**	**---**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/26/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **A-4 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–20	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M	SU						
21–25	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, lenses of fine grained sand (fine tailings)			M/W	SU						
26–30	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)			M	SU						
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/26/07	**14:05**	**---**	**---**	**---**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/26/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **A-5 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–20	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M	SU						
				M	SU						
21–23	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, lenses of fine grained silty sand (fine tailings)			M/W	SU						
24–30	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)			M	SU						
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/26/07	**15:35**	**---**	**---**	**---**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/26/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **A-6 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–10	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (fine tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M	SU						
11–20				M	SU						
21–23	FILL, sandy silt, dark gray, lenses of silt (fine tailings)			W	SU						
24–30	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (fine tailings)			M	SU						
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/26/07	**16:30**	**---**	**---**	**---**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/26/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **B-1 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–30	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M M	SU SU						
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/27/07	**7:30**	**---**	**---**	**25.0**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/27/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **B-2 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–30	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (fine tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M M M	SU SU SU						
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/27/07	**8:45**	**---**	**---**	**25.0**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/27/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **B-3 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–5	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (fine tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M	SU						
6–20	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)			M	SU						
21–30	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (fine tailings)			M	SU						
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/27/07	**9:20**	**---**	**---**	**23.0**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/27/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **B-4 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–30	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M M M	SU SU SU						
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/27/07	**9:40**	**---**	**---**	**25.0**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/27/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **B-5 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–5	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M	SU						
6–15	FILL, sand with silt, fine to coarse grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)			M	SU		5				11
16–30	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)			M	SU						
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/27/07	**10:25**	**---**	**---**	**25.0**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/27/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **B-6 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–15	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M M	SU SU						
16–30	FILL, silty sand, fine to coarse grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)			M	SU						
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/27/07	**10:45**	**---**	**---**	**24.0**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/27/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **H-1 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–30	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M M	SU SU						
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/25/07	**16:00**	**---**	**---**	**30.0**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/25/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **H-2 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–9	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (fine tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M	SU						
10–15	FILL, silty sand, fine to coarse grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)			M	SU						
16–30	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (fine tailings)			M	SU						
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/25/07	**16:35**	**---**	**---**	**30.0**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/25/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **H-3 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–7	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (fine tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M	SU						
8–30	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)			M	SU						
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/25/07	**17:05**	**---**	**---**	**30.0**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/25/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **H-4 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–5	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (fine tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M	SU						
6–30	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)			M	SU						
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/25/07	**17:45**	**---**	**---**	**26.0**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/25/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **H-5 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–30	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M M	SU SU						
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/26/07	**7:45**	**---**	**---**	**24.0**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/26/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **H-6 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–12	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (fine tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		D M	SU SU						
13–30	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)			M	SU						
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/26/07	**8:20**	**---**	**---**	**22.0**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/26/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **I-1 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–29	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M M M	SU SU SU		5				15
	END OF BORING AT 29.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-29' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/25/07	**12:10**	**---**	**---**	**29.0**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/25/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **I-2 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–29	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M M	SU SU						
	END OF BORING AT 29.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-29' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/25/07	**13:00**	**---**	**---**	**29.0**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/25/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **I-3 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–30	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M M	SU SU						
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/25/07	**13:15**	**---**	**---**	**30.0**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/25/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **I-4 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–29	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M M	SU SU						
	END OF BORING AT 29.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-29' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/25/07	**13:50**	**---**	**---**	**29.0**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/25/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **I-5 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–27	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M	SU						
				M	SU						
				M	SU						
27–29	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark gray, (fine tailings)			W	SU						
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/25/07	**14:30**	**---**	**---**	**30.0**	**---**	**27.0**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/25/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **I-6 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–10	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (fine tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M	SU						
5–10				M	SU						
10–15	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)			M	SU						
15–25	FILL, mostly silty sand, fine to medium grained, some sandy silt, dark gray, (fine tailings)			M	SU						
25–30	FILL, silty sand, fine grained, dark gray, (fine tailings)			W	SU						
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/25/07	**15:15**	**---**	**---**	**30.0**	**---**	**26.0**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/25/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **N-1 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–14	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M	SU						
10				M	SU						
15–30	FILL, silty sand, fine to coarse grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)			M	SU						
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/27/07	**11:20**	**---**	**---**	**25.0**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/27/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **N-2 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–15	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M M	SU SU						
16–30	FILL, silty sand, fine to coarse grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)			M	SU						
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/27/07	**11:45**	**---**	**---**	**26.0**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/27/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **N-3 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–5	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (fine tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M	SU						
6–15	FILL, silty sand, fine to coarse grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)			M	SU						
16–30				M	SU						
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/27/07	**12:10**	**---**	**---**	**26.0**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/27/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **N-4 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–5	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (fine tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M							
6–25	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)			M							
26–30				M							
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/31/07	**---**	**---**	**---**	**24.0**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/31/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **MC** Rig: **51**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **N-5 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–5	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (fine tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M							
6–25	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)			M							
26–30	FILL, sandy silt, dark gray (fine tailings)			W							
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/31/07	**---**	**---**	**---**	**24.1**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/31/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **MC** Rig: **51**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **N-6 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–10	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M							
11–20				M							
21–30	FILL, sandy silt, dark gray (slimes)			W							
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/31/07	**13:03**	**---**	**---**	**23.6**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/31/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **MC** Rig: **51**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **N-7 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–20	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray (coarse tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M M							
21–30	FILL, sandy silt, dark gray (slimes)			M/W							
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/31/07	**11:51**	**---**	**---**	**24.8**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/31/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **MC** Rig: **51**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **O-1 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–30	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M M M	SU SU SU						
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/26/07	**9:00**	**---**	**---**	**25.0**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/26/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **O-2 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–30	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M M	SU SU						
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/26/07	**9:35**	**---**	**---**	**25.0**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/26/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **O-3 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–30	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M M	SU SU						
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/26/07	**10:15**	**---**	**---**	**26.0**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/26/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **O-4 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–7	FILL, silty sand, fine to coarse grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M	SU						
8–30	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)			M	SU						
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/26/07	**10:45**	**---**	**---**	**26.0**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/26/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **O-5 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–30	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M M	SU SU						
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/26/07	**11:15**	**---**	**---**	**26.0**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/26/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **O-6 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–30	FILL, silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark gray, (coarse tailings)	FILL / TAILINGS		M M M	SU SU SU						
	END OF BORING AT 30.0 FEET. Borehole backfilled with auger cuttings.										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-30' 6" FA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
	7/26/07	**11:40**	**---**	**---**	**26.0**	**---**	**None**	
BORING COMPLETED: **7/26/07**								
DR: **LA** LG: **DH** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **PZ-07-01 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–53	No samples taken See boring 07-01										
	END OF BORING AT 53.0 FEET Piezometer PZ-07-01 installed at 53.0 feet (Two unsuccessful attempts at drilling and installing this piezometer were made on 08/14/07.)										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS							NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG
0-53' 4.25" HSA	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL	
BORING COMPLETED: **8/15/07**								
DR: **JU** LG: **TAD** Rig: **85**								

06/06

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **PZ-07-07C (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–58	No samples taken See boring 07-07C										
	END OF BORING AT 58.3 FEET Piezometer PZ-07-07C installed at 58.3 feet										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS						
	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
0-58.3' 4.25" HSA							
BORING COMPLETED: **8/13/07**							
DR: **JU** LG: **TAD** Rig: **85**							

NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **PZ-07-10 (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–42	No samples taken See boring 07-10										
	AUGER REFUSAL AT 42.1 FEET Installed piezometer PZ-07-10 at 41.7 feet (First attempt encountered auger refusal at 43.0 feet)										

DEPTH: DRILLING METHOD	WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS						
	DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL
0-42.1 4.25" HSA							
BORING COMPLETED: **8/16/07**							
DR: **JU** LG: **TAD** Rig: **85**							

NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

BARR JOB NO: 23/69-862

AET JOB NO: **07-03354** LOG OF BORING NO. **PZ-07-13D (p. 1 of 1)**

PROJECT: **PolyMet Tailings Basin; Hoyt Lakes, MN**

DEPTH IN FEET	SURFACE ELEVATION: _____ MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	GEOLOGY	N	MC	SAMPLE TYPE	REC IN.	FIELD & LABORATORY TESTS WC	DD	LL	PL	%-#200
1–13	No samples taken See boring 07-013D										
14–22	MICA SCHIST, whitish gray, fresh to slightly weathered, moderately to very fractured, hard, foliated 65-70° from horizontal	BEDROCK			NQ NQ NQ NQ	8 13 48 16					
	END OF BORING AT 22.2 FEET Piezometer PZ-07-13D installed at 21.8 feet										

Coring information:

Depth(ft)	RQD%	CWR%	Recovery%
14-15.2'	0	100	56
15.2-16.8'	0	100	68
16.8-20.8'	8	100	100
20.8-22.2'	47	100	95

RQD = Rock Quality Description
CWR = Core Water Recovery

DEPTH:	DRILLING METHOD
0-14'	**4.25" HSA**
14-22.2'	**NQ Core**
BORING COMPLETED:	**8/17/07**
DR: **MC**	LG: **JU** Rig: **85**

WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

DATE	TIME	SAMPLED DEPTH	CASING DEPTH	CAVE-IN DEPTH	DRILLING FLUID LEVEL	WATER LEVEL

NOTE: REFER TO THE ATTACHED SHEETS FOR AN EXPLANATION OF TERMINOLOGY ON THIS LOG

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

MONITORING WELL / PIEZOMETER LOG

TOP OF RISER ELEVATION = elevation



PROTECTIVE CASING MATERIAL Black steel, sch 40	UNIQUE WELL NO.: NA	WELL NO.: PZ-07-01
	DATE INSTALLED: 08/15/07	JOB NO.: 07-03354
PROTECTIVE POSTS NUMBER INSTALLED NA MATERIAL NA	REMARKS Barr Project No. : 23/69-862	PROJECT NAME: PolyMet Tailings Basin

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

MONITORING WELL / PIEZOMETER LOG

TOP OF RISER ELEVATION = elevation



PROTECTIVE CASING MATERIAL Black steel, sch 40	UNIQUE WELL NO.: NA	WELL NO.: PZ-07-07C
	DATE INSTALLED: 08/13/07	JOB NO.: 07-03354
PROTECTIVE POSTS NUMBER INSTALLED NA MATERIAL NA	REMARKS Barr Project No. : 23/69-862	PROJECT NAME: PolyMet Tailings Basin

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

MONITORING WELL / PIEZOMETER LOG

TOP OF RISER ELEVATION = elevation



PROTECTIVE CASING MATERIAL Black steel, sch 40	UNIQUE WELL NO.: NA	WELL NO.: PZ-07-10
	DATE INSTALLED: 08/16/07	JOB NO.: 07-03354
PROTECTIVE POSTS NUMBER INSTALLED NA MATERIAL NA	REMARKS Barr Project No. : 23/69-862	PROJECT NAME: PolyMet Tailings Basin

AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

MONITORING WELL / PIEZOMETER LOG

TOP OF RISER ELEVATION = elevation



PROTECTIVE CASING MATERIAL Black steel, sch 40	UNIQUE WELL NO.: NA	WELL NO.: PZ-07-13D
	DATE INSTALLED: 08/17/07	JOB NO.: 07-03354
PROTECTIVE POSTS NUMBER INSTALLED NA MATERIAL NA	REMARKS Barr Project No. : 23/69-862	PROJECT NAME: PolyMet Tailings Basin

Attachment K

Tailings Mineralogy and Shape Memorandum

Report of Results

Scanning Electron Microscopy Tailings

Prepared For:

Tamara Diedrich, PhD
Barr Engineering Inc.
332 West Superior St.
Suite 600
Duluth, MN 55802

Respectfully Submitted By:

Bryan Bandli
Research Instrumentation Lab Manager

University of Minnesota, Duluth
Research Instrumentation Laboratory
1114 Kirby Dr.
229 Heller Hall
Duluth, MN 55812

17 October 2012

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA DULUTH

Introduction

Three (3) samples of tailings were delivered to the UMD Research Instrumentation Laboratory by Tamara Diedrich on 11 October 2012. The samples were contained in ziplock plastic bags and were composed of light to dark grey granular material. The sample identifications are listed below:

PolyMet float tailings, 5/9/05, Pail #2
LTV slimes, TP#2, 15', Pail #4
LTV fines, TP#3, 10', #11, 4/6/06

I was asked to collect images using scanning electron microscope (SEM) in order to show the overall morphology of particles and to use energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDS) to obtain qualitative chemical data from representative particles.

Methods and Materials

I was asked to combine the two LTV samples into one subsample at a ratio of 1:1 by weight. Both samples were moist upon receipt, and prior to mixing were dried for at least 2 hours in a 90° C oven.

All samples were prepared for SEM following the procedure described in Bern et al. (2009). Each sample was suspended in isopropanol at a concentration of approximately 10mg/ml and briefly placed in an ultrasonic bath to thoroughly mix the sample. A 10 µL drop of the resulting suspension was placed on a 0.2 µm porsize polycarbonate membrane filter affixed to an aluminum SEM sample stub. The drop was allowed to dry and the resulting particulate dispersion was coated with a conductive carbon film approximately 20 nm thick in order to make the sample electrically conductive.

The samples were examined using a JEOL model JSM-6490LV scanning electron microscope equipped with a solid state backscattered electron detector. Compositional information from representative particles was collected using an Oxford Instruments xAct silicon drift energy dispersive x-ray spectrometer. Imaging and chemical analysis were performed using 15 kV accelerating voltage and probe currents from 2-4 nA.

Results

Backscattered electron images were collected at 100x, 500x, and 2000x magnifications from both the PolyMet and LTV samples. Access to digital image files has been provided online.

Several representative particles were analyzed from each sample. The PolyMet sample was found to contain particles with compositions consistent with plagioclase feldspar, iron-magnesium silicates of varying compositions and iron + titanium oxide (pos. ilmenite). The LTV sample was found to contain particles with compositions consistent with quartz, iron-magnesium silicates of varying compositions, iron oxide and magnesium carbonate. Images and representative EDS spectra from observed phases are contained in the documents provided online.

Reference Cited

Bern, A.M., Lowers, H.A., Meeker, G.P. and Rosati, J.A. (2009) Method Development for Analysys of Urban Dust Using Scanning Electron Microscopy with Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectrometry to Detect the Possible Presence of World Trade Center Dust Constituents. Environmental Science and Technology, 43, 1449-1454.

Exhibit 1

NorthMet Flotation Tailings

Representative Particle Compositions



Comment:

Barr 101712 - NorthMet

10/17/2012 10:12:34 AM



Electron Image 1



Sample: PolyMet	Project: Barr 101712
Type: Default	Owner: Inca User
ID:	Site: PolyMet

Barr 101712 - NorthMet

10/17/2012 10:13:00 AM



Electron Image 1



Sample: PolyMet	Project: Barr 101712
Type: Default	Owner: Inca User
ID:	Site: PolyMet

Barr 101712 - NorthMet

10/17/2012 10:13:08 AM





Sample: PolyMet	Project: Barr 101712
Type: Default	Owner: Inca User
ID:	Site: PolyMet

Barr 101712 - NorthMet

10/17/2012 10:13:35 AM



Electron Image 1



Sample: PolyMet	Project: Barr 101712
Type: Default	Owner: Inca User
ID:	Site: PolyMet

Barr 101712 - NorthMet

10/17/2012 10:14:03 AM





Sample: PolyMet	Project: Barr 101712
Type: Default	Owner: Inca User
ID:	Site: PolyMet

Barr 101712 - NorthMet

10/17/2012 10:14:16 AM





Sample: PolyMet	Project: Barr 101712
Type: Default	Owner: Inca User
ID:	Site: PolyMet

Barr 101712 - NorthMet

10/17/2012 10:14:58 AM





Sample: PolyMet	Project: Barr 101712
Type: Default	Owner: Inca User
ID:	Site: PolyMet

Exhibit 2

LTVSMC Tailings

Representative Particle Compositions



Comment:

Barr 101712 - LTVSMC

10/17/2012 10:57:52 AM



Electron Image 1



Sample: LTV	Project: Barr 101712
Type: Default	Owner: Inca User
ID:	Site: Site of Interest 1

Barr 101712 - LTVSMC

10/17/2012 10:58:05 AM





Sample: LTV	Project: Barr 101712
Type: Default	Owner: Inca User
ID:	Site: Site of Interest 1

Barr 101712 - LTVSMC

10/17/2012 10:58:20 AM



Electron Image 1



Sample: LTV	Project: Barr 101712
Type: Default	Owner: Inca User
ID:	Site: Site of Interest 1

Barr 101712 - LTVSMC

10/17/2012 10:58:32 AM





Sample: LTV	Project: Barr 101712
Type: Default	Owner: Inca User
ID:	Site: Site of Interest 1

Barr 101712 - LTVSMC

10/17/2012 10:58:51 AM



Electron Image 1



Sample: LTV	Project: Barr 101712
Type: Default	Owner: Inca User
ID:	Site: Site of Interest 1

Barr 101712 - LTVSMC

10/17/2012 10:59:13 AM



Electron Image 1



Sample: LTV	Project: Barr 101712
Type: Default	Owner: Inca User
ID:	Site: Site of Interest 1

Barr 101712 - LTVSMC

10/17/2012 10:59:38 AM



Electron Image 1



Sample: LTV	Project: Barr 101712
Type: Default	Owner: Inca User
ID:	Site: Site of Interest 1

Barr 101712 - LTVSMC

10/17/2012 10:59:52 AM



Electron Image 1



Sample: LTV	Project: Barr 101712
Type: Default	Owner: Inca User
ID:	Site: Site of Interest 1

Barr 101712 - LTVSMC

10/17/2012 11:00:06 AM



Electron Image 1



Sample: LTV	Project: Barr 101712
Type: Default	Owner: Inca User
ID:	Site: Site of Interest 1

Barr 101712 - LTVSMC

10/17/2012 11:00:47 AM



Electron Image 1



Sample: LTV	Project: Barr 101712
Type: Default	Owner: Inca User
ID:	Site: Site of Interest 1

Exhibit 3

Comparison SEM Images

SEM Images of NorthMet Flotation Tailings



SEM Images of NorthMet Flotation Tailings



SEM Images of NorthMet Flotation Tailings



SEM Images of NorthMet Flotation Tailings



SEM Images of NorthMet Flotation Tailings



SEM Images of NorthMet Flotation Tailings



SEM Images of LTVSMC Tailings



SEM Images of LTVSMC Tailings



SEM Images of LTVSMC Tailings



SEM Images of LTVSMC Tailings



SEM Images of LTVSMC Tailings



SEM Images of LTVSMC Tailings



Attachment L

NorthMet Tailings Weathering Memorandum

resourceful. naturally.
engineering and environmental consultants

BARR

Technical Memorandum

To: Tom Radue, Bethany Erfourth
From: Tamara Diedrich, Mehgan Blair
Cc: Stephen Day, SRK
Subject: Weathering of NorthMet Flotation Tailings
Date: April 4, 2013
Project: NorthMet Project – 23690862.00-037

Problem Statement

Flotation Tailings produced by a future NorthMet Mine during beneficiation of ore would be comprised of minerals typical of rock from the Duluth Complex (geologic source of NorthMet ore). In geologic time, these minerals can be expected to weather when exposed to surficial conditions, resulting in alteration of the original mineral assemblage through oxidation, hydrolysis, dissolution, leaching, and precipitation of secondary minerals. This process is consistent with the weathering that generally occurs to igneous rock exposed to conditions on the Earth's surface over geologic time.

This memo presents results of a qualitative evaluation of the likely extent of weathering to occur in NorthMet Flotation Tailings over approximately 2,000 years using existing data on mineral, chemical, and physical characteristics of the Flotation Tailings as produced from the NorthMet pilot plant; along with peer-reviewed scientific literature values on weathering rates. Alteration of NorthMet Flotation Tailings due to weathering may, or may not, be consequential with respect to the geotechnical properties of the NorthMet Flotation Tailings; the geotechnical implications of weathering are not addressed in this memo.

Background

Petrographic analysis[1] indicates that NorthMet Flotation Tailings will be comprised of the following minerals:

Table 1. Mineralogy of Flotation Tailings Samples, as Identified by Optical Petrography

Sample ID	P1S	P1SA	P1SOLID	P2S	P3S
Plagioclase (%)	80	75	60	50	60
Olivine (%)	12	15	15	10	10
Clinopyroxene (%)	4	5	5	4	5
Orthopyroxene (%)	1	2	1		1
Pyrite (%)	rare	rare	rare	rare	rare
Pyrrhotite (%)	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.5	0.25
Chalcopyrite (%)	rare	rare	rare	rare	rare
Biotite (%)	1	1	1	1	1
Chlorite (%)	0.5	0.25	1	1.5	1
Serpentine (%)					0.25
Sericite/Muscovite (%)	0.25	0.5	1	2	1
Sphalerite (%)	rare	rare	rare		rare
Galena (%)	rare	rare	rare	rare	rare
Ilmenite (%)	1	1	0.75	1	0.5
Clay/unidentified (%)			15	30	20

Plagioclase (representing 50-80% by volume) makes up the bulk of the tailings. Electron microprobe analysis of selected individual plagioclase crystals in NorthMet ore and waste rock indicates that the plagioclase has an intermediate composition between anorthite (with an idealized formula of $CaAl_2Si_2O_8$) and albite (idealized formula of $NaAlSi_3O_8$). The average NorthMet plagioclase composition is 59% anorthite, and 41% albite (i.e. $An_{59}Ab_{41}$)[2]. This composition of plagioclase is also referred to as labradorite, and has a generalized chemical formula of $Ca_{0.59}Na_{0.61}(Al, Si)_4O_8$.

Plagioclase is susceptible to the primary agents of chemical weathering: water, oxygen, and carbonic acid (produced from the interaction of rainwater with atmospheric CO_2). The

[1] Tailings Petrographic Description, Appendix B.1 in: SRK Consulting, RS54/RS46- Waste Water Modeling-Tailings NorthMet Project- DRAFT 01, July 20, 2007. Report Prepared for PolyMet Mining Inc.
[2] Results of Microprobe Analysis, Appendix D.3 in SRK Consulting, RS53/RS42- Waste Rock Characteristics/Waste Water Quality Modeling-Waste Rock and Lean Ore- DRAFT 01, March 9, 2007. Report prepared for PolyMet Mining, Inc.

weathering process takes place at the surface of minerals, and results in the *in-situ* formation of new, stable minerals, and leached ions such as silica, potassium, hydrogen, sodium, and calcium in solution, through reaction such as:

$$CaAl_2Si_2O_{8\ (Anorthite)} + H_2CO_3 + H_2O \rightarrow CaCO_{3(Calcite)} + Al_2Si_2O_5(OH)_{4\ (Kaolinite)} \quad (1)$$

Due to the predominance of plagioclase in the NorthMet Flotation Tailings, as well as the likelihood that it will weather to some extent over the timeframe of 2,000 years, the focus of the following evaluation will be on plagioclase weathering.

It is notable, that while making up a small fraction of the volume of the original tailings, iron sulfide minerals (pyrite, pyrrhotite, chalcopyrite, ± sphalerite) and less predominant iron- and magnesium-bearing minerals, such as olivine [$(Mg, Fe)_2SiO_4$] and clinopyroxene [$(Ca,Na)(Mg,Fe,Al,Ti)(Si,Al)_2O_6$], will also be undergoing weathering. The release of iron, (as Fe^{2+}) from these phases, followed by almost immediate precipitation of iron as Fe^{3+}-oxyhydroxides (in an oxygen-rich system) will result in the accumulation of iron oxide coating on mineral surfaces and cements[3].

Plagioclase Weathering Evaluation

The rate of plagioclase weathering per mass plagioclase at any given time can be represented by:

$$Q = R * S * t \quad (2)$$

Where Q (mol/kg) is the number of moles of plagioclase reacted per kg original plagioclase, S (m^2/kg) is the specific surface area, t (sec) is time, and R (mol $m^{-2}s^{-1}$) is the weathering rate constant for plagioclase.

For the following evaluation of the extent of plagioclase weathering, estimates of S and R were required.

[3]Iron oxyhydroxide coatings have been shown to increase residual strength, dilatancy and friction angle of sand: Larrahondo, J.M., Zhao, Q., and Burns, S.E., 2010, Effects of ferric oxyhydroxide coatings on sand shear response: a laboratory approach to chemical weathering, *in* Characterization and Behavior of Interfaces: Proceedings of Research Symposium on Characterization and Behavior of Interfaces, 21 September, 2008, Atlanta, Georgia.

Specific Surface Area

In previous investigations[4], Flotation Tailings were separated into particle size fractions representing a fine end member (-200 mesh) and two coarser fractions (+200 to -100 mesh; and +100 mesh). Consistent with equation (2), the extent of weathering will be directly related to the amount of surface area available for reaction. As a simplifying assumption, the Flotation Tailings were assumed to have a size distribution represented by the existing "fine" fraction. This assumption may result in an overestimate of the amount of plagioclase weathered because the fine fraction will have a greater specific surface area than the coarse fraction. In a future NorthMet tailings basin, the actual size distribution of tailings will be intermediate between the fine and coarse fragments and will be dependent at any given location on physics of the depositional environment. Generally, specific surface area is equal to:

$$S = surface\ area/mass \quad (3)$$

Assuming cubic particles with edge length D (m), equation (3) is equivalent to:

$$S = 6D^{-1}\rho^{-1} \quad (4)$$

where ρ (kg/m^3) is the bulk density. Assuming a uniform particle edge length of 0.0375 mm (midpoint of -200 mesh fragment) and an average bulk density[5] of 3,000 kg/m^3, the specific surface area of the fine fraction would be approximately 53 m^2/kg tailings. If 80% of the fine fraction is plagioclase (Table 1), and the plagioclase is uniformly distributed in the tailings (consistent with petrographic observations), this implies that 53 m^2/kg tailings ×0.8 = 42.4 m^2/kg tailings surface area can be attributed to plagioclase.

Weathering Rates

Extrapolating weathering rates over multiple orders of magnitude presents a challenge because weathering rates of silicate minerals are dependent on time due to complex interplay of competing factors[6].

[4] Laboratory Report for Barr Engineering Company (Tailings Samples), Attachment D, Barr Engineering Company, NorthMet Project Waste Characterization Data Package, Version 10, Issue Date, March 7, 2013.

[5] Laboratory Report for Barr Engineering Company (Tailings Samples), Attachment D, Barr Engineering Company, NorthMet Project Waste Characterization Data Package, Version 10, Issue Date, March 7, 2013.

[6] As described in: White, A. F., and Brantley, S.L., 2003. The effect of time on the weathering of silicate minerals: why do weathering rates differ in the laboratory and field? Chemical Geology, v. 202, p. 479-506, time-dependent silicate weathering rates reflect effect of *intrinsic* factors (having to do with changes in reactive surface area and availability with time) and *extrinsic* factors (changes in the chemical environment with time that decrease energetic driver for dissolution, i.e. thermodynamic systems approaching equilibrium).

Published labradorite weathering rates[7,8] (specific to field-based, geometric surface area-normalized studies) were used to calculate the mass of plagioclase that might be expected to weather over periods of 20, 200, and 2,000 years in NorthMet Flotation Tailings. Table 2 summarizes these calculations.

Table 2. Labradorite weathering rates applied to NorthMet Flotation Tailings.

Weathering Duration (yrs)	Specific surface area (m^2/kg)	Labradorite surface area (m^2/kg tailings)	Log R	Labradorite weathered (mols/kg tailings)	Labradorite weathered (g/kg tailings)	Labradorite weathered (% of original rock, by mass)
20	53	42.4	*-13.7*[1]	*0.001*	*0.14*	*0.0%*
	53	42.4	**-12.9**[2]	**0.003**	**0.91**	**0.1%**
200	53	42.4	*-13.7*	*0.005*	*1.45*	*0.1%*
	53	42.4	**-12.9**	**0.034**	**9.15**	**0.9%**
2000	53	42.4	*-13.7*	*0.053*	*14.50*	*1.4%*
	53	42.4	**-12.9**	**0.337**	**91.47**	**9.1%**

[1] *Italicized* values in Table 1 are from Kenoyer and Bowser, 1992.
[2] **Bold** values in Table 1 are from Benedetti et al., 1994.

Results-Extent of Plagioclase Weathering

The amount of labradorite weathered (as a percent of the original tailings mass) ranges from 0.0-0.1% when weathered for 20 years, from 0.1-0.9% when weathered for 200 years, and from 1.4-9.1% when weathered for 2,000 years.

[7] Kenoyer, G.J., Bowser, C.J., 1992. Groundwater chemical evolution in a sandy silicate aquifer in Northern Wisconsin: 2. Reaction modeling. Water Resources Research, v. 28, p. 591-600.

[8] Benedetti, M.F., Menard, O., Noack, Y., Caralho, A., Nahon, D., 1994. Water-rock interactions in tropical catchments: field rates of weathering and biomass impact. Chemical Geology, v. 188, p. 203-220.

Attachment M

Material Strength Characterization Review by Scott Olson

Scott M. Olson, Ph.D., P.E.
Geotechnical Engineer
1096 CR 1800E, Urbana, IL 61802

REVIEW MEMORANDUM

To: Mr. Thomas Radue, PE
From: Scott Olson
Date: April 10, 2013
RE: Comments on static and seismic liquefaction issues
NorthMet Project – Flotation Tailings Basin

This memorandum provides my comments on your stability and liquefaction assessment for the Flotation Tailings Basin (FTB) for the NorthMet Project. Specifically, my review involved the following items.

1. Strength estimates for use in slope stability analysis (drained, undrained, and liquefied).
2. Static and seismic liquefaction triggering analyses.
3. Slope stability analyses, particularly for liquefied conditions.

As part of my analysis, I reviewed the project documents listed below, and met with Barr Engineering, Inc. (Barr) personnel on July 19 and July 30, 2012 to discuss their analyses performed to date. The documents included:

1. NorthMet Project – Flotation Tailings Management Plan, Version 1; dated 10/31/2011. <*filename: Flotation Tailings Management Plan – v1 OCT2011.pdf*>
2. NorthMet Geotechnical Modeling Work Plan, Version 2; dated 3/08/2012. <*filename: 2012 0308 Revised Geotechnical Modeling Workplan FINAL.pdf*>
3. NorthMet Project, Geotechnical Data Package, Volume 1 – Flotation Tailings Basin, Version 2; dated 7/13/2012. Document includes Attachments A through L. <*filename: NorthMet Data Package – Geotechnical Vol 1 v2 – rev 2.docx*>
4. NorthMet Project, Geotechnical Data Package – Volume 1 – Version 2, Attachment X – Material Strength Characterization (Draft Version 2); dated 8/2012. Document includes Appendices A through D. <*filename: Material Strength Characterization_8-6-12.docx*>
5. NorthMet Project, Geotechnical Data Package, Volume 1 – Flotation Tailings Basin, Version 3; dated 11/7/2012. <*filename: NorthMet Data Package – Geotechnical Vol 1 Ver3 – 11_02_2012.docx*>
6. NorthMet Project, Geotechnical Data Package – Volume 1 – Version 2, Attachment E – Material Strength Characterization; dated 8/2012. Document includes Appendices A through D. <*filename: Material Strength Characterization_08_06_2012.docx*>
7. GeoSlope software analyses for "Eroded," "Liquefied," "Static PMP," and "Triggering Analysis" conditions for Section F of Cell 1E.
8. Site aerial and surface photographs taken by Barr personnel.

In addition, I have reviewed new versions, Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) comments, and response to comments from Barr following the February and March 2013 project workshops. The comments in this memorandum related to strength characterization are chiefly focused on the latest versions of Barr's submittals, i.e., Version 4 of documents 5 and 6 above, and address comments and discussions that occurred during and following the February and March 2013 workshops.

Strength Estimates for Use in Slope Stability Analyses

Using the data available in the documents listed above, I evaluated Barr's interpretation of strengths for drained, undrained (peak or yield), and liquefied conditions for use in slope stability analyses. The materials involved at the site include coarse tailings, fine tailings, tailings slimes, composite material (combined fine tailings and tailings slimes), flotation tailings, foundation peat, and foundation till. These strengths and my additional comments and recommendations are provided below.

In general, I recommend that a consistent statistical metric be employed to estimate the shear strengths for each material. Specifically, I recommend that the 33rd percentile values be utilized for the drained and peak (or yield) undrained shear strengths. The 33rd percentile values correspond to values where 1/3 of the data are less than and 2/3 of the data are greater than the selected value. This approach, widely used in practice, yields strength values that are relatively conservative, yet not overly conservative. In contrast, I recommend that the average values be selected for the liquefied shear strengths. The rationale for utilizing an average value, rather than a 33rd percentile value, is: (1) the liquefied shear strength is the lowest shear strength available to contractive soils during undrained loading; (2) the required factor of safety for liquefied conditions (FS $\geq$ 1.1) is larger than typically required for liquefaction flow failure stability analyses, and therefore, a strength higher than the 33rd percentile is justified; and (3) a significant portion of the tailings materials were predicted to dilate during shear and were discounted from the liquefied shear strength estimates. Incorporating these dilative zones into the liquefied shear strength estimates would significantly increase the overall post-triggering strength of the tailings materials.

Strengths can be estimated from direct measurement in-situ (e.g., field vane shear test), direct measurement in the laboratory, and indirectly using correlations with in-situ tests (e.g., SPT and CPT). Each method has distinct advantages and disadvantages. Field vane shear tests provide direct measurements of in-situ strength; however, the drainage conditions must be known to properly interpret the measured strengths. Furthermore, the mode of shear differs from that typically encountered in failures. Nevertheless, where drainage conditions can be reasonably interpreted (and at least six to ten tests are available), field vane shear test results can provide reasonable strength estimates.

Laboratory tests also directly measure material strength, methods for reconstituting specimens can reasonably mimic some man-made artificial fills, and, most importantly, the site-specific materials are tested. Therefore, where available, laboratory tests can provide reasonable strength estimates when the appropriate stress-strain behavior is observed. That is, strengths for materials that are predicted to be contractive should be estimated from laboratory tests where contractive stress-strain response is observed.

Correlations between strength and penetration resistance also are valuable, particularly where contractive, nonplastic specimens are difficult to retrieve or reconstitute. Furthermore, strength correlations utilize in-situ penetration tests (which indicate soil state) and experience from field observations of failures. However, these correlations are not based on site-specific materials and behavior. Therefore, where reliable laboratory results are available, I recommend that laboratory-measured strengths be weighted equally with estimates from field tests (i.e., ½ lab and ½ field). The field tests can be combined in two ways. First, strengths estimated from SPT and CPT correlations can be weighted equally (i.e., ½ SPT and ½ CPT). Then, where a statistically-significant number of reliable FVST are available, these strengths should be weighted equally with the average strength estimate from SPT and CPT correlations (i.e, ½ SPT-CPT average and ½ FVST). Alternately, where the number of FVST is not statistically-significant or the drainage conditions for the tests are uncertain, these tests can be considered with the SPT-based and CPT-based correlations individually (i.e., 1/3 SPT, 1/3 CPT, and 1/3 FVST).

Drained Strength – Coarse Tailings. The coarse tailings consist chiefly of nonplastic silty sand-sized particles with fines contents (FC; percent by weight passing the No. 200 sieve) ranging from about 0 to 25%. The drained strength of the coarse tailings was measured in consolidated drained (CD) triaxial compression (TC) tests, drained direct shear (DS) tests, correlations with standard penetration tests (SPT) via Schmertmann (1975), and correlations with piezocone penetration tests (CPTu) via Robertson and Campanella (1983). Based on these tests and correlations, Barr estimated an effective stress friction angle (ϕ') of 38.5°. Considering the density and angularity of the coarse tailings, this value appears to be reasonable. And based on permeability tests performed during oedometer testing, as well as correlations with piezocone dissipation tests, I agree with Barr's assessment that the coarse tailings will be drained during all loading conditions.

Drained Strength – Fine Tailings. The fine tailings consist chiefly of low plasticity (average PI = 4) silty sand to sandy silt with FC ~ 25 to 95%. The drained strength of the fine tailings was measured in CD TC tests and isotropically-consolidated undrained with porewater pressure (PWP) measurements (CIU-bar) TC tests. Empirical correlations with SPT and CPTu results were not employed because of the high FC of the fine tailings. Based on these tests, Barr estimated $\phi' = 33°$. This value appears to be reasonable. Based on permeability testing, I agree with Barr's evaluation that the fine tailings will be undrained during rapid loading and will be drained during long-term loading.

Drained Strength – Slimes. The tailings slimes (or simply, slimes) consist chiefly of low plasticity (average PI = 10) silt and clay-sized particles with FC > 95%. The drained strength of the fine tailings was measured in CD-TC tests and drained DS tests. Again, empirical correlations with SPT and CPTu results were not employed because of the high FC of the slimes. Based on these tests, Barr estimated $\phi' = 33°$. This value appears to be reasonable. Based on permeability testing, I agree with Barr's evaluation that the slimes will be undrained during rapid loading and will be drained during long-term loading.

Drained Strength – Composite Fine Tailings and Slimes. Owing to the difficulty of differentiating the fine tailings and slimes in the interior portion of the tailings pond, Professor P. Robertson suggested that these materials could be combined for stability analyses. I agree this recommendation. Several sets of composite materials were tested in CD TC, CIU-bar TC,

and DS. Based on these tests, Barr estimated $\phi' = 33°$. This value appears reasonable, and it is quite realistic that the fine tailings, slimes, and composite fine tailings/slimes have the same effective stress friction angle. Based on permeability testing, I agree with Barr's evaluation that the composite material will be undrained during rapid loading and will be drained during long-term loading.

Drained Strength – Bulk Tailings. The bulk tailings consist of a mixture of coarse tailings (chief constituent), fine tailings, and slimes. Several sets of bulk tailings were tested in CIU-bar TC. Based on this tests, Barr estimated $\phi' = 38.5°$. This value appears reasonable, and is identical to the friction angle of the chief component material, the coarse tailings. Because this material will consist chiefly of coarse tailings and will be well-compacted, I agree with Barr's assessment that the bulk tailings will be drained during all loading conditions.

Drained Strength – Flotation Tailings. The flotation tailings will have a gradation similar to the fine tailings. The flotation tailings were tested in CIU-bar TC. Based on reinterpretation of these tests, Barr estimated Barr estimated $\phi' = 33°$. This value appears reasonable. Based on permeability testing, I agree with Barr's evaluation that the flotation tailings will be undrained during rapid loading and will be drained during long-term loading.

Drained Strength – Foundation Peat. Peat was encountered in the foundation for the existing tailings near the critical cross-section for slope stability. It is not known whether the peat is fibrous or amorphous; however, based on the photos taken at the site by Barr personnel, I suspect that the peat may be fibrous. Drained strengths were measured in TC and DS. In general, the DS results are more consistent, and I recommend that these values be preferred. Based on the DS tests, Barr recommended a nonlinear failure envelope with a value of $\phi' \cong 27°$ in the linear portion of the envelope. The nonlinear failure envelope appears reasonable.

Drained Strength – Foundation Till. Coarse-grained till is widely present in the foundation of the tailings basin. One strength value was measured in TC, yielding $\phi' = 35°$. SPT-based correlations (Schmertmann 1975) suggested a friction angle near 38°. Based on these data, Barr selected $\phi' = 36.5°$ for design. This value is conservative, but reasonable for the till.

Drained Strength – Other Comments. Some additional observations regarding Barr's evaluation of drained strengths follow.

1. Barr's approach of plotting strengths from each individual test and determining an average is reasonable and widely-used in practice.
2. The angular particle shapes reported for the tailings materials further justifies the effective stress friction angles recommended by Barr.

Yield (Undrained Peak) Strength – Fine Tailings. Barr evaluated yield shear strengths using only empirical correlations based on in-situ penetration test results. The empirical correlation included CPT- and SPT-based yield strength ratios, $s_u(\text{yield})/\sigma'_{vo}$, proposed by Olson and Stark (2003).

Field vane shear tests were also performed in the fine tailings. Field vane tests directly measure shear resistance, and therefore, drainage conditions must be known to properly interpret the test results. However, in layered soils such as the tailings under investigation, it

is difficult to reliably assess drainage conditions. As a result, FVST may exhibit considerable variation in layered soils. Furthermore, FVSTs may be performed in dilative soils, resulting in a higher shear resistance than in adjacent contractive soils, which would further contribute to observed strength variations. In contrast, the empirical SPT- and CPT-based correlations do not depend on the drainage conditions during penetration. Therefore, it is not necessary to know the drainage conditions during penetration in the tailings to employ these empirical correlations. Field vane shear tests performed in the fine tailings were discounted because the drainage conditions were not certain. I agree with Barr's choice to discount these data.

A very limited number of laboratory tests were performed on the fine tailings, and of these tests, only three specimens exhibited contractive behavior. Because of the limited number of data, Barr opted not to incorporate these data in the strength interpretation. This is a reasonable approach.

The use of strength ratios for evaluating yield shear strengths (as well as liquefied shear strengths) is supported by laboratory-measured compressibility and critical state lines. Oedometer-measured values of compressibility (C_c) for the fine tailings and slimes averaged 0.11 (range of 0.025 to 0.179) and the critical state line slope (λ_{cs}) was approximately 0.109. As discussed by Olson and Stark (2002), the strength ratio approach is valid when these lines are approximately parallel.

The SPT- and CPT-based correlations relate $s_u(yield)/\sigma'_{vo}$ to overburden stress-normalized SPT blow count [$(N_1)_{60}$] and CPTu tip resistance, q_{c1}. For CPTu data in all tailings materials, I recommend that the yield strength ratios be estimated using q_{T1} (tip resistance corrected for unequal end area effects) rather than q_{c1}. As discussed by Olson and Stark (2003) and Olson (2009), yield strength ratio correlations developed by Olson and Stark (2003) apply only to contractive soils. Contractive or dilative conditions can be evaluated using penetration resistance as proposed by Olson (2009). This is described in more detail later. As a result, estimates of undrained strengths for stability analysis will be conservative, as these estimates do not incorporate the impact of dilative zones.

The SPT- and CPT-based empirical correlations yielded an average $s_u(yield)/\sigma'_{vo}$ of 0.25. This value is reasonable for the contractive fine tailings.

Yield (Undrained Peak) Strength – Slimes. Undrained peak shear strengths for the slimes were measured in CIU-bar TC tests, estimated from SPT- and CPT-based correlations (Olson and Stark 2003), and measured using FVST. Field vane tests utilized in Barr's assessment were performed where adjacent soundings and/or borings were available to confirm material type and state (i.e., contractive/dilative response). As recommended earlier, Barr equally weighted the 33rd percentile values of the field results (i.e., 1/3 CPT, 1/3 SPT, and 1/3 FVST). Again, as recommended earlier, this field value was then averaged with the 33rd percentile strength from the laboratory TC tests. From this exercise, Barr estimated $s_u(yield)/\sigma'_{vo} = 0.22$. This value is reasonable for the contractive slimes.

Yield (Undrained Peak) Strength – Composite Fine Tailings and Slimes. Undrained peak shear strengths for the undifferentiated fine tailings and slimes in the interior portion of the pond were estimated using SPT- and CPT-based correlations (Olson and Stark 2003) and FVST performed in the interior portion of the pond. Again, the FVST were performed where

an adjacent sounding and/or boring were available to confirm material type and state. The 33rd percentile values of the CPT, SPT, and FVST strengths were equally weighted to obtain $s_u(yield)/\sigma'_{vo} = 0.24$. This value is reasonable for the contractive fine tailings/slimes mixture.

Yield (Undrained Peak) Strength – Flotation Tailings. Undrained peak shear strengths for the flotation tailings were measured in CIU-bar TC tests. Fourteen specimens exhibited contractive behavior, with $s_u(yield)/\sigma'_{vo} = 0.26$. This value appears reasonable for the flotation tailings.

Yield (Undrained Peak) Strength – Peat. Undrained strengths were estimated from CIU-bar TC tests. Based on these tests, Barr estimated $s_u(yield)/\sigma'_{vo} = 0.23$. This value appears reasonable for the peat.

Liquefied Strength - Coarse Tailings. Prior to assigning a liquefied shear strength, a soil should be evaluated to determine whether it is contractive. Barr used the medium compressibility boundary proposed by Olson (2009) for this evaluation (among other methods). Based on the measured compressibility of the tailings, the use of the medium compressibility contractive-dilative boundary is reasonable for the tailings at the site. Based on this evaluation, Barr concluded that the coarse tailings generally are dilative. As a result, a liquefied shear strength was not assigned to this material. I agree with this assessment.

Liquefied Strength – Fine Tailings, Slimes, and Composite Fine Tailings/Slimes. Because of the limited number of laboratory tests that exhibited contractive behavior (quasi-critical state and critical state strengths), Barr opted to evaluate the liquefied shear strengths of these materials together. As most of the available laboratory data corresponded to the slimes, I believe that this combined interpretation is conservative and defensible. Barr evaluated the state of the tailings using the Olson (2009) medium compressibility boundary, and concluded that approximately 2/3 of the fine tailings are contractive, while nearly all of the slimes are contractive. I agree with this assessment. Furthermore, the composite fine tailings/slimes are likely to be largely contractive given that their component materials are contractive. Therefore, it is appropriate to select liquefied shear strengths for these materials.

Using the results of CIU-bar TC tests (for only contractive specimens that exhibited quasi-critical state or critical state strengths), SPT- and CPT-based correlations for $s_u(liq)/\sigma'_{vo}$, and large-displacement FVST results, Barr recommended $s_u(liq)/\sigma'_{vo} = 0.10$ for the fine tailings, slimes, and composite fine tailings/slimes.. My understanding is that the laboratory specimens were prepared by moist tamping at their loosest possible void ratio. Based on my experience with similar materials, this depositional method (when successfully achieving contractive specimens) yields reasonable liquefied strength ratios. As I noted above, I recommend that the laboratory tests results be weighted equally with the empirical correlations for evaluating the liquefied shear strength. Based on this recommendation, I find the design value of $s_u(liq)/\sigma'_{vo} = 0.10$ to be a reasonable interpretation of the available data.

Liquefied Strength – Flotation Tailings. Liquefied shear strengths for the flotation tailings were measured in CIU-bar TC tests. A limited number of specimens exhibited contractive behavior (quasi-critical state or critical state) throughout shearing, with $s_u(liq)/\sigma'_{vo} \sim 0.12$. This value appears reasonable.

Liquefied Strength – General Comments. Some additional observations regarding Barr's evaluation of liquefied shear strengths follow.

1. Again, where appropriate, laboratory tests should be equally weighted with the empirical correlations for liquefied strength ratio. Empirical correlations suggest that $s_u(liq)/\sigma'_{vo}$ can vary by about 0.06 for a given value of q_{c1} (or q_{T1}) and $(N_1)_{60}$. As a result, the empirical correlations should not supersede laboratory measurements of liquefied shear strength where the appropriate response is observed (i.e., quasi-critical state or critical state response for materials predicted to be contractive).
2. As the density of the tailings materials varies with depth, it is not unusual for the FVST results to vary with depth. Therefore, Barr appropriately interpreted the FVST results with respect to the CPT strength and state profiles to assess whether the FVST results follow identifiable patterns.

Static and Seismic Liquefaction Triggering Analyses

Numerous mechanisms have triggered static liquefaction at tailings retention facilities worldwide, including overtopping, rapid tailings placement, starter dike erosion, drainage system failure, among others. It is good engineering practice to evaluate triggering mechanisms that are considered plausible. However, as a result of the multitude of potential static triggering mechanisms, the state-of-practice in tailings facility design is to assume that liquefaction may be triggered in contractive tailings and to evaluate the "lower bound" factor of safety against potential flow failure. Contractive soils are assigned liquefied shear strengths for this analysis. The failure surfaces evaluated by Barr for all of the the static and seismic stability analyses described below appear reasonable.

Specifically, Barr evaluated static triggering mechanisms involving: (1) an elevated phreatic surface as a result of an ineffective drain; (2) rapid fill placement during Lift 1; (3) local erosion/scour (oversteepening of the exterior slope) resulting from pipe break; and (4) an elevated phreatic surface resulting from an ineffective drain with a high pond level (from PMP event. rapid rise during Lift 1. Each of these analyses indicated that liquefaction was unlikely to be triggered in the contractive tailings. And each analysis was performed in consultation with the author, and I believe that the results are reasonable.

In addition to these plausible static triggering mechanisms, it is standard practice (and I agree with Barr's approach) to assume that some static event (either one of the events analyzed by Barr, or an unknown event) could trigger liquefaction within the contractive soils, and evaluating the post-triggering factor of safety. While I maintain that a $FS_{flow} \geq 1.0$ is appropriate for an unknown triggering event[1] (that has a very low likelihood of occurrence) combined with the assumption that all tailings simultaneously liquefy, Barr computed $FS_{flow} >$

[1] A factor of safety (FS) against seismic liquefaction of 1.2 is required by some regulatory agencies. This is the FS against the triggering of liquefaction ($FS_{triggering}$) by seismic shaking. Barr used $FS_{triggering} \geq 1.2$ for the seismic case and $FS_{triggering} \geq 1.1$ for non-seismic triggering cases per the recommendations of Olson and Stark (2003). This $FS_{triggering}$ differs from the factor of safety against flow failure (FS_{flow}). In a flow failure/post-triggering stability analysis, any slice that $FS_{triggering} \leq 1.1$ was assigned its liquefied shear strength for the stability analysis. The post-triggering stability analysis (utilizing the liquefied shear strength in slices triggered to liquefy) is performed to compute FS_{flow}. Based on my experience, many regulatory agencies (e.g., USACOE) allow $FS(flow) \geq 1.0$.

1.1 for this case when the external berm was raised by 4 ft (to El. 1538). I believe that this result is reasonable and defensible.

Barr also considered an unknown trigger causing liquefaction after closure of the facility (up to 2000 years after closure). This analysis incorporated potential weathering of the tailings materials (thereby decreasing the liquefied shear strengths of the tailings materials) as well as secondary compression (which increases the liquefied shear strengths of the tailings). As the beneficial effects of secondary compression outweigh the detrimental effects of weathering, and because the closure plan will promote long-term dewatering of the tailings (below the surficially-maintained pond), it is reasonable that Barr was computing that the FS_{flow} increases with time after closure.

Lastly, in addition to static triggering mechanisms, earthquake shaking has caused numerous liquefaction-induced failures of tailings facilities. As part of the seismic analysis, Barr performed a probabilistic seismic hazard analysis (PSHA) for the site. In my experience, the number of individual "sources" that were used (and then combined) for the New Madrid seismic zone (NMSZ) is unusually high. This potentially overestimates the hazard from the NMSZ. And although it is unusual to use multiple uniform hazard spectra for a given site, the bi-modal hazard presented at this site from the near-field and far-field sources warrants this analysis approach. It appears that the seismic triggering analysis performed by Barr is reasonable and correct, although I did not perform a detailed review of the spreadsheet calculations. The seismic triggering analysis was performed using updated version of the cyclic stress method endorsed by Youd et al. (2001), as well as the version proposed by Idriss and Boulanger (2008). Both methods predicted that seismic liquefaction of the tailings is highly unlikely.

I look forward to discussing these comments and recommendations with your project team.

References

Applied Technology Council and Multidisciplinary Center for Earthquake Engineering Research (ATC/MCEER) (2003). Recommended LRFD guidelines for the seismic design of highway bridges. Part I: Specifications and Part II: Commentary and Appendices. *MCEER/ATC-49*, prepared for Federal Highway Administration (FHWA).

Idriss, I.M. and Boulanger, R.W. (2008). *Soil Liquefaction during Earthquakes*. Earthquake Engineering Research Institute (EERI) Report No. MNO-12, San Francisco, CA.

Olson, S.M. and Stark, T.D. (2002). Liquefied strength ratio from liquefaction flow failure case histories. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*,39, 629-647.

Olson, S.M. and Stark, T.D. (2003). Yield strength ratio and liquefaction analysis of slopes and embankments. *ASCE Journal of Geotechncial and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 129(8), 727-737.

Olson, S.M., 2009. Strength ratio approach for liquefaction analysis of tailings dams. *Proceedings of the University of Minnesota's 57th Annual Geotechnical Engineering Conference*, edited by J.F. Labuz and K.H. Kwong, 37-48.

Robertson, P.K. (2010). Evaluation of flow liquefaction and liquefied strength using the cone penetration test. *ASCE Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 136(6), 842-853.

Robertson, P.K. and Campanella, R.G. (1983). Interpretation of cone penetration tests – Part I: Sand. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 20, 718-733.

Schmertmann, J. H. (1975). Measurement of insitu shear strength. *Keynote lecture, Proceedings of the Conference on In-situ Measurement of Soil Properties*, June 1-4, 1975, vol. II, American Society of Civil Engineers.

United States Army Corps' of Engineers (USACOE) (2012). Guidelines for seismic evaluation of levees – Draft. *Engineering Technical Letter, ETL 1110-2-xxx*, 78p.

Youd, T.L., Idriss, I.M., Andrus, R.D., Castro, G., Christian, J.T., Liam Finn, W.D., Harder, L.F., Hynes, M.E., Ishihara, K., Koester, J.P., Liao, S.S.C., Macuson, W.F., Martin, G.R., Mitchell, J.K., Power, M.S., Seed, R.B., and Stokoe, K.H. (2001). Liquefaction resistance of soils: Summary report from the 1996 NCEER and 1998 NCEER/NSF workshops on evaluation liquefaction resistance of soils. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 127(4), 297 - 313.

Attachment N

Processed CPT Results



Relative Density Relationship for CPT Data from LTV Coarse Tailings (Baldi et *al.*, 1986)



LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Contractive/Dilative Behavior based on CPT data (Fear and Robertson, 1995)



LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Contractive/Dilative Behavior based on SPT data (Fear and Robertson, 1995)



Liquefaction Potential for LTV Coarse Tailings based on CPT data (after NCEER, 1996)



LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Liquefaction Potential based on SPT data (after NCEER, 1996)

P:\Mpls\23 MN\69\2369862\WorkFiles\Geotechnical Investigations\2009 analysis\liquefaction\LTV CT SPT summary.xls

e - log p' Plot for LTV Fine Tailings and Slimes from Isotropic Consolidation for CIU Triaxial Tests



P:\Mpls\23 MN\69\2369862\WorkFiles\Geotechnical Investigations\2009 analysis\liquefaction\slimes\slimes isotropic consol data.xls

Consolidation Curves for Oedometer Test Samples

LTV Fine Tailings and Slimes



LTVSMC Fine Tailings and Slimes - M-value for CSSM Equation



PolyMet Bulk Tailings - Steady-state
(Poulos, Castro, and France; Olson and Stark)



PolyMet Bulk Tailings - Steady-state
(Poulos, Castro, and France; Olson and Stark)



P:\Mpls\23 MN\69\2369862\WorkFiles\Geotechnical Investigations\2009 analysis\liquefaction\PolyMet\PolyMet bulk triax data.xls

PolyMet Bulk Tailings - Steady-state line
(Jeffries and Been)



P:\Mpls\23 MN\69\2369862\WorkFiles\Geotechnical Investigations\2009 analysis\liquefaction\PolyMet\PolyMet bulk triax data.xls

PolyMet Tailings Basin
2011 Re-analysis
Index Properties
LTVSMC Coarse Tailings

Boring	Year	Depth	lassificatic	WC	LL	PL	PI	SG	p200	CF
	1992, SET	17.5								
		7.2							13.9	
									11.4	
	2007, set J	5.1							12.1	5.5
DH-1	1974	2.5		4.3						
DH-1	1974	5		6.4						
DH-1	1974	7.5		5.2						
DH-1	1974	10		5.9						
DH-2	1974	2.5		7.1						
DH-2	1974	5		6.1						
DH-2	1974	7.5		5.2						
DH-2	1974	10		5.5						
DH-2	1974	15		7.5						
DH-3	1974	2.5		6.8						
DH-3	1974	5		6.6						
DH-3	1974	7.5		5.3						
DH-3	1974	10		4.6						
DH-3	1974	15		5.6						
DH-4	1974	2.5		5.4						
DH-4	1974	5		5.2						
DH-4	1974	7.5		4.4						
DH-4	1974	10		6.4						
DH-4	1974	15		6.2						
DH-5	1974	2.5		6.9						
DH-5	1974	5		5.4						
DH-6	1974	5		8.9						
DH-6	1974	10		12.8						
DH-6	1974	15		4.9						
DH-6	1974	20		4.7						
DH-6	1974	25		4.2						
ST-4	1973	5		5						
ST-4	1973	10		6.6						
TP-1	2007, buck	5		4.5					14.7	
TP-2	2007, buck	12		5.2				2.93	8.6	
bucket 17	2007	1-3		2.2					8.9	
F-1		15-16							18.4	
F-1		25-26							16	
F-1		35-36							13.9	
F-2		10-11							18.8	
F-3		15-16							13	
G-1		20-21							13.5	
G-1		40-41							17.5	
G-1		50-51							19	
G-2		15-16							11	
G-2		20-21							14	
G-2		25-26							15.5	
G-3		10-11							9.5	
ST-1		4-5							3	
ST-1		9-10							13.5	
ST-4		9-10							8.5	
ST-4		19-20							10.5	
ST-5		9-10							13.7	
ST-6		4-5							7.8	
ST-6		39-40							7.8	
ST-7		24-25							13	
ST-7		29-30							17.5	2.5
ST-7		34-35							8.5	
ST-8		14-15							18.2	
ST-8		29-30							11.5	
A-3		4-10							15	
A-3		24-30							17	
DH-5	1974	30		14.9				2.69	6.8	
N76-05									12	
F-1	1990	#4							11	
F-1	1990	#6							14	
F-1	1990	#8							12	
F-2	1990	#2							19	
F-3	1990	#4							11	
G-1	1990	#3							16	
G-2	1990	#5							10	

		WC	LL	PL	PI	SG	p200	CF
mean		**6.1**	**#DIV/0!**	**#DIV/0!**	**#DIV/0!**	**2.8**	**12.9**	**4.0**
min		2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	3.0	2.5
max		14.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.9	19.0	5.5
stdev		*2.37*	*#DIV/0!*	*#DIV/0!*	*#DIV/0!*	*0.17*	*3.77*	*2.12*
# of tests		32	0	0	0	2	41	2

PolyMet Tailings Basin
2011 Re-analysis
Index Properties
LTVSMC Fine Tailings

Boring	Year	Depth	Classification	WC	LL	PL	PI	SG	p200	CF
07-02		59-61	FT (ML w/sand)		23.7	22	1.7			
07-02		59-61	FT (ML w/sand)		23.7	20.4	3.3			
07-02		60-61			23.7	20.4	3.3	2.95	74.8	2
07-10		37-39			NP	NP	NP	2.93	70.3	6
07-15		114.5-115.8	FT (ML w/sand)							
07-17		72-74	FT (ML w/sand)		29.4	21.4	8			
Bucket #11									17.9	
Bulk		Composite	Slimes (ML)* BSE reclassified a		20.7	16.7	4			
Cell-2E		Pail 1							84.7	4.0
Cell-2E		Pail 2							53.9	3.5
Cell-2E		Pail 3							51.0	1.5
DH-5	1974	7.5		17.5						
DH-5	1974	10		12.9					16.6	
DH-5	1974	15		13.7					32	
DH-5	1974	20		11.8						
DH-5	1974	25		17.7						
DH96-19		190		22.4	19.3	16.7	2.6		89.4	12
DH96-39		30		26.8					85.9	1.0
DH96-39		50								
DH96-40		15		21.6					77.2	2.5
DH96-40		25		27					69.9	3.5
DH96-40		45		25.9					87.5	
DH96-40		40								
DH96-40		50							91.1	7.5
DH96-40		55							95.7	3.5
DH96-49		4		24.9					78.6	5.0
DH96-49		25		22.6					49.0	2.0
DH96-49		40.3		24.6					52.6	1.5
DH96-49		65		30.5					88.1	3.0
DH96-49		45								
DH96-49		65								
DH96-49		45								
DH96-49		65								
DH96-49		65								
DH96-49		55							94.6	3.0
DH96-49		85							92.1	2.5
DH96-54		45		23					46.2	
DH96-54		45							46.2	1.5
DH96-60		57.5							89.0	4.0
F-1		45-46							62.1	
F-2		55-56			NP	NP	NP			
F-2		45-46							72.8	
F-2		50-51							88.5	
F-3-A		15-16							13.2	
F-3-A		27-28			NP	NP	NP			
F-3-A		34-36			NP	NP	NP			
I-1		69.5-71.5		21.9	17.5	16.8	0.7		70.6	2.5
Parcel 3	2005	1-pail		24	NP				66.7	1
Parcel 3	2005	2-pail		23.8	NP				68.2	1
PP-10060								2.98	65.4	21
PP-10061								3.03	52	14
PP-10062								3	58.8	17.5
ST-1	1971	5		3.6						
ST-1	1971	10		5.5				2.94		
ST-1	1973	5		3.9						
ST-1	1973	10		5.7				2.98		
ST-1	1973	15		12						
ST-1	1973	20		16.4						
ST-2	1971	5		23.7	np			2.89		
ST-2	1971	35						2.89		
ST-2	1971	50		23.4						
ST-2		4-5							36	2
ST-3	1971	5		12.9						
ST-3	1971	10						2.94		
ST-3	1971	15		10.6	np					
ST-3		9-10							28	2
ST-4	1971	10		13.8				2.63		
ST-4	1973	15		12.1						
ST-4	1973	20		15.3						
ST-4	1973	25		13.3						
ST-4	1973	30		17.5				2.99		
ST-5	1971	5		3.7						
ST-5	1971	10		8.7				2.91		
ST-5	1973	10		5.5						
ST-5	1973	15		11.1						
ST-5	1973	20		15.4						
ST-5	1973	35		15.7						
ST-5	1973	45		23.4						
ST-6	1971	5		11.4				2.93		
ST-6	1971	30						2.91		
ST-6	1971	40		13						
ST-7	1971	5		7.2						
ST-7	1971	10		6.3						
ST-7	1971	15		7.1						
ST-7	1971	20		7.5						
ST-7	1971	25		6.9						
ST-7	1971	30		10.9						
ST-7	1971	35						2.88		
ST-7	1971	70		22.5				2.94		
ST-8	1971	5		3.7						
ST-8	1971	10		5.5						
ST-8	1971	15		5.1						
ST-8	1971	30						2.93		
F-1	1990	#10							61	
F-2	1990	#9							74	
F-2	1990	#10							88	
DH96-60		57.5	ML	24.8					89	4

	WC	LL	PL	PI	SG	p200	CF
mean	**15.1**	**22.6**	**19.2**	**3.4**	**2.9**	**65.7**	**4.8**
min	3.6	17.5	16.7	0.7	2.6	13.2	1.0
max	30.5	29.4	22.0	8.0	3.0	95.7	21.0
stdev	*7.70*	*3.88*	*2.37*	*2.32*	*0.08*	*23.09*	*5.11*
# of tests	53	7	7	7	18	40	28

LTV Fine Tailings - Atterberg Limits



P:\Mpls\23 MN\69\2369862\WorkFiles\Geotechnical Investigations\2011 data & analysis\basin properties\statistics-index props.xlsx

PolyMet Tailings Basin
2011 Re-analysis
Index Properties
LTV Slimes

Boring	Year	Depth	Classification	WC	LL	PL	PI	SG	p200	CF
9C	1996	pail-9C			24.2	20.7	3.5		97.7	8.0
9B	1996	pail-9B			24.6	21.4	3.2		98.6	10.0
9A	1996	pail-9A			24.8	22.2	2.6		98.2	10.0
07-02		59-61	Slimes (ML)		20.4	17.2	3.2			
07-02		59-61	Slimes (ML)	37.4	22	17.2	4.8	2.93	99.4	8
07-03		44.5			24	22	2			
07-03		34			25	22	3			
07-03		30.7-32.7	Slimes (ML)	40.9	31.6	26.4	5.2	2.94	99.8	17
07-06		27.5-29.5	Slimes (ML)	41.1	29.1	23.6	5.5	2.96	99.9	20
07-07C		48-50	Slimes (ML)		22.5	20.8	1.7	2.97	99.4	14
07-09		27.5-29.5	Slimes (ML)		21.3	16.5	4.8	2.96	97.5	12
07-09		36.5-38.5	Slimes (ML)		28	22.6	5.4	2.96	99.5	22
07-09		12-14	Slimes (ML)		31.9	19.4	12.5	2.98	99.6	29
07-15		34-36	Slimes (CL/CL-ML)	33.6	25.2	17.9	7.3		99.4	13
07-15		56.5-58.5	Slimes (CL)	32.3	32.3	20.3	12		99.5	18
07-17		72-74		34.4	29.4	21.4	8			
07-21		38-40	Slimes (ML)		31.3	25	6.3			
07-23		56-58	Slimes (ML)		29.3	20.2	9.1			
07-23		26-28	Slimes (ML)	38.4	37.9	27.6	10.3			
A-9		186.5			26.1	16.8	9.3			
A-9		210			36.2	24.6	11.6			
Bulk Sample		-			20.7	16.7	4	2.99	97.1	4
Cell-1E		Pail 8							95.0	6.0
composite		07-09@36.5 +	Slimes (ML)		30.3	23.7	6.6			
DH-5	1974	27-27.8		32.2	31.6	22.5	9.1		99	20
DH-5	1974			20.7						
DH-6	1974	35		29.5						
DH-6	1974	55		25.5						
DH-6	1974	90-100		17				2.89	91.2	14.5
DH96-12		170		27.2	29.1	20.8	8.3		99.7	20
DH96-12		175		30.5	29.6	21.1	8.5		97.2	20
DH96-12		200		37.5	35.9	26.2	9.7		96.4	18
DH96-13		150		29.2	26.6	20.4	6.2		99.6	18
DH96-13		165.5		58.2	27.2	21	6.2		98.1	20
DH96-13		181		30.8	28	22.8	5.2		97	19
DH96-13		155		35.1	28.7	21.9	6.8		98.7	18
DH96-16		225		32.2	26.4	20.7	5.7		99.8	17
DH96-16		75		29.5	27.1	20.8	6.3		98.9	14
DH96-19		220		29.6	30	22.4	7.6		98.3	19
DH96-19		225		27.7	30.8	22.5	8.3		98.5	17
DH96-23		155		31.9	26.7	20.2	6.5		99.3	18
DH96-23		100		30.3	28.5	22.5	6		99.7	21
DH96-23		220		33	29.1	22.5	6.6		98.3	16
DH96-26		205		32	24.6	20.3	4.3		98.6	10
DH96-26		170		30.9	28.7	22.4	6.3		99.5	18
DH96-26		200		27.8	31.2	22	9.2		99.2	16
DH96-28		55		25.5					96.1	4
DH96-32		195		29.4	26.3	20.6	5.7		99.6	14
DH96-32		200.5		32.4	26.3	20.8	5.5		99.3	17
DH96-39		35		37.9	24	21.9	2.1		98.8	17.0
DH96-40		50		37	18.6	18.5	0.1		91.1	
DH96-40		30		31.5	21.4	19.6	1.8		93.1	8.0
DH96-40		35		41.8					96.0	10.5
DH96-40		40		28.9					99.5	16.5
DH96-40		45							87.5	8.0
DH96-49		85		31.8	19.9	17	2.9		92.1	
DH96-49		60		26.9	24	20.4	3.6		98.8	12.5
DH96-49		55		31.6					95.7	
DH96-49		45		34.9					96.5	8.5
DH96-49		55		32.1					94.6	
DH96-49		65.5		23.2					99.3	12.5
DH96-49		30							87.8	14.0
DH96-49		35							98.4	31.5
DH96-49		40							98.1	22.0
DH96-52		50		29.3	22.8	20.7	2.1		96.2	13.0
DH96-52		40		30.4					96.0	15.0
DH96-52		55		30.2					98.8	14.0
DH96-54		40		36.3	26.1	20.4	5.7		92.4	16.0
DH96-9		50		33.8	21	17.7	3.3		90.4	9
DH96-9		45		31.1	21.9	19.4	2.5		92.4	9
DH96-9		45							97.6	8.5
F-2		50-51							88.4	
F-2		55-56							99.9	25.6
F-3		35-36	ML		34.6	24.6	10		99.8	57.7
F-3		40-41							90.5	
F-3		50-51							95	48.5
F-3-A		50-52		34.7	27.1	21.4	5.7			
F-3-A		50-52		29.5						
F-3-A		50-52		29.3						
F-3-A		34-36		41.2						
F-3-A		34-36		37.4						
F-3-A		34-36		39.3						
G-2		40-41	Slimes (ML)		27.1	21.8	5.3		94.9	17.3
I-1		74.5-76.5		31.4	19.9	16.7	3.2		98.2	7.0
I-1		82.0-84.0		31.8	22.6	19.8	2.8		98.9	7.0
I-1		84.5-86.5							90.6	2.0
I-1H-99		77-79		37.2	25.2	19.2	6		100.0	21.0
I-1H-99		89-91		32	25.4	22.2	3.2	2.98	99.4	16.0
ST-2		34-35							92.0	7.4
ST-2		59-60							95.5	5.0
ST-6		29-30							98.8	14.0
ST-6		69-70							96.8	3.0
ST-7		59-60							100	16
A-7	1977	A-7-B-1-3						2.96		
A-7	1977	A-7-1-3						2.99		
A-7	1977	A-7-1-4						3.02		
F-2	1990	#11							100	8
F-2-A	1990	T-2							100	18
F-3	1990	#8							100	24
F-3	1990	A-3							100	11
F-3	1990	#11							96	6
DH96-61		130	ML	24.9	23.8	21	2.8		99	10
DH96-61		135	CL-ML	32	28.7	23	5.7		98.7	7
DH96-61		65	ML/CL-ML	32.9	23.2	20.1	3.1		93.7	19
DH96-61		125	ML	29.3	23.3	21	2.3		99	16
DH96-60		45	ML/CL-ML	27.2					97.6	9

	WC	LL	PL	PI	SG	p200	CF
mean	**32.5**	**26.7**	**21.1**	**5.6**	**3.0**	**97.1**	**15.1**
min	20.7	18.6	16.5	0.1	2.9	87.5	2.0
max	58.2	37.9	27.6	12.5	3.0	100.0	57.7
stdev	*5.50*	*4.23*	*2.38*	*2.79*	*0.03*	*3.19*	*8.62*
# of tests	63	63	63	63	13	84	78

LTV Slimes - Atterberg Limits



P:\Mpls\23 MN\69\2369862\WorkFiles\Geotechnical Investigations\2011 data & analysis\basin properties\statistics-index props.xlsx

PolyMet Tailings Basin
2011 Re-analysis
Index Properties
Peat

Boring	Year	Depth	lassificatic	WC	LL	PL	PI	SG	p200	CF	OC
DH96-1A		25.5-28	OL	120				2.53			7.4
DH96-1A		25.5-28	OL	53				2.63			3.2
DH96-1		22-24.5	OL	128.3				2.47			5.6
DH96-1A		25.5-28	OL	48.3							3
DH96-1A		25.5-28	OL	48.1							2.9
DH96-1A		25.5-28	OL	44.9							2.9
27	1981	4	PEAT	300					40	13	
F-1		56.5-58.7	PT (fibrous	89.2							
F-1		56.5-58.7	PT (fibrous	173.3							
F-1		56.5-58.7	PT (fibrous	194.8							
DH96-1A		20.5-23	PT (fibrous)	373				1.59			39.9
DH96-1A		20.4-23	PT (fibrous)	377				1.7			41.1
DH96-4		8-10.5	PT (fibrous)	436.4				1.61			83.9
DH96-1A		20.5-23	PT (fibrous)	450.1							46.8
DH96-1A		20.5-23	PT (fibrous)	189.2							28.3
DH96-1A		20.5-23	PT (fibrous)	154.9							19.7
DH96-1A		20.5-23	PT (fibrous)	74.7							9.3
DH96-1A		18-20.5	PT (fibrous)	384.7							70.3
DH96-1A		18-20.5	PT (fibrous)	335.6							57.7
DH96-4		8-10.5	PT (fibrous)	261.8							87.7
DH96-4		8-10.5	PT (fibrous)	222							83.3
F-3-A		69.5-71.5	PT (sapric)	217.9							
F-3-A		69.5-71.5	PT (sapric)	226.7							
F-3-A		69.5-71.5	PT (sapric)	206.8							
F-3-A		65.5-68		188							
E-27									40		
ST-1	1970			350							
ST-14	1970			42							
ST-15	1970			91.5							
ST-2	1971			135							
ST-5	1971			53.6							
ST-6	1971			73.2							
ST-8	1971			219.3							
ST-2	1976			528							
ST-2	1976			365							
DH96-1				313	108						
				149							
DH96-2				269	124						
				359							
				339							
				106							
DH 96-5				285							
E-12		5-7		257.8				1.5			
E-12		10-12		187.8				1.65			
E-12		15-17		271.4				1.45			
E-12		14.5-16.5		273				1.42			
E-16		8-10		409.2				1.52			
				408							
ST-7	1971			115.7							

		WC	LL	PL	PI	SG	P200	CF	OC
mean		**249.0**	**116.0**	**#DIV/0!**	**#DIV/0!**	**1.6**	**40.0**	**13.0**	**51.6**
min		42.0	108.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	40.0	13.0	9.3
max		528.0	124.0	0.0	0.0	1.7	40.0	13.0	87.7
stdev		*121.26*	*11.31*	*#DIV/0!*	*#DIV/0!*	*0.10*	*0.00*	*#DIV/0!*	*27.13*
# of tests		42	2	0	0	8	2	1	11

PolyMet Tailings Basin
2011 Re-analysis
Index Properties
Till

Boring	Year	Depth	lassificatio	WC	LL	PL	PI	SG	p200	CF
07-07		52.2-55.2		7.5					40.2	4
E-2		14-16							29.3	
E-3		9-11							29.3	
E-6		4-6							16.5	
E-7		14-16							20.6	
E-9		9-11							60.8	
E-10		19-21							21.1	
E-12		19-21							9.7	
E-13		19-21							0.6	
E-14		14-16							8.1	
E-15		19-21							39	
ST-2A	1970			11.6						
ST-6	1970			28	36	23	13			
ST-11	1970			18.8						
ST-12	1970				12	11	1			
ST-15	1970			6.6	11	11	0			
ST-16A	1970							2.66		
ST-17	1970			8	13	11	2			
ST-1	1971			6.7						
ST-1	1971			7						
ST-1	1971			7.8						
ST-1	1974			29.8						
ST-1	1976								39	
ST-2									15	
ST-3									37	
ST-7									26	
ST-8									7	

		WC	LL	PL	PI	SG	p200	CF
mean		**13.2**	**18.0**	**14.0**	**4.0**	**2.7**	**25.0**	**4.0**
min		6.6	11.0	11.0	0.0	2.7	0.6	4.0
max		29.8	36.0	23.0	13.0	2.7	60.8	4.0
stdev		*9.08*	*12.03*	*6.00*	*6.06*	*#DIV/0!*	*15.77*	*#DIV/0!*
# of tests		10	4	4	4	1	16	1

Attachment O

FTB Bentonite Amended Coarse Tailings Test Data

Grain Size Distribution ASTM D422

Job No. : **9911**

Project:	PolyMet	Test Date:	6/29/15
Reported To:	Barr Engineering Company	Report Date:	6/30/15

	Location / Boring No.	Sample No.	Date Sampled	Sample Type	Soil Classification
*	5274108.40 MN 567108.26 ME	Polymet Tailings	6/24/15	Bucket	Sand w/ silt and a trace of grass (SP-SM/SM)
●					
◇					



Other Tests

	*	●	◇
Liquid Limit			
Plastic Limit			
Plasticity Index			
Water Content	20.5		
Dry Density (pcf)			
Specific Gravity			
Porosity			
Organic Content			
pH			
Shrinkage Limit			
Penetrometer			
Qu (psf)			

(* = assumed)

Percent Passing

	*	●	◇
Mass (g)	14863.0		
2"			
1.5"			
1"			
3/4"			
3/8"	100.0		
#4	99.7		
#10	92.5		
#20	69.3		
#40	51.8		
#100	25.5		
#200	11.7		

	*	●	◇
D_{60}			
D_{30}			
D_{10}			
C_U			
C_C			

Remarks:

2401 W 66th Street

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

Hydraulic Conductivity Test Data ASTM D5084

Project: PolyMet Date: 7/13/2015

Reported To: Barr Engineering Company Job No.: 9911

Boring No.:							
Sample No.:	Tailings +3% Bentonite						
Date Sampled:	6/24/2015						
Location:	5274108.40 MN 567108.26 ME						
Sample Type:	Bucket						
Soil Type:	Sand w/ silt and a trace of grass (SP-SM/SM)						
Atterberg Limits							
LL							
PL							
PI							
Permeability Test	Reconstituted						
Before Test Conditions: Saturation %:							
Porosity:							
Ht. (in):	3.00						
Dia. (in):	2.85						
Dry Density (pcf):	111.6						
Water Content:	20.0%						
Test Type:	Falling						
Max Head (ft.):	5.0						
Confining press. (Effective-psi):	2.0						
Trial No.:	8-12						
Water Temp ℃:	22.0						
% Compaction	90.1%						
% Saturation (After Test)	95.0%						
Coefficient of Permeability							
K @ 20 ℃ (cm/sec)	**1.8×10^{-7}**						
K @ 20 ℃ (ft/min)	**3.5×10^{-7}**						
Notes:							

2401 W 66th Street
SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.
Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

Moisture Density Curve ASTM: D698, Method B

Project: **PolyMet** Date: **7/3/15**

Client: **Barr Engineering Company** Job No. **9911**

Sample: **Tailings + 3% Bentonite** Date: **6/24/15** Location: **5274108.40 MN, 567108.26 ME**

Soil Type: **Sand w/ silt and a trace of grass(SP-SM/SM)**

As Received W.C. (%) **20.5** LL: PL: PI: Specific Gravity: **2.90** *Assumed

Maximum Dry Density (pcf): **123.8** Opt. Water Content (%): **13.3**



2401 W 66th Street

SOIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.

Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

SET-R18a

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **9911**
Date: **7/13/15**

Project: **PolyMet**
Sample **Tailings +3% Bentonite** Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Composite: 97% Sand w/silt and a trace of grass (SP-SM/SM) and 3% Bentonite**



Failure Criterion:	**Max. Stress Ratio**
Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =**	**39.8 °**
Apparent Cohesion, **c' =**	**0.04 (tsf)**
Test Date: 7/6/15	Liquid Limit:
Test Type: CU w/pp	Plastic Limit:
Strain Rate (in/min): 0.001	Plasticity Index:
Strain Rate (%/min): 0.025	Spec. Gravity (Assumed): 2.90

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94		
Height (in)	4.00	4.00	4.00		
Water Content (%)	19.7	19.7	19.4		
Dry Density (pcf)	120.8	120.9	121.6		
Void Ratio	0.50	0.50	0.49		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.93	1.93	1.92		
Height (in)	4.00	4.00	3.99		
Water Content (%)	17.1	16.7	16.1		
Dry Density (pcf)	121.1	122.1	123.5		
Void Ratio	0.50	0.48	0.47		
Back Pressure (tsf)	6.6	4.4	5.5		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.25	0.50	1.00		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	2.36	3.24	3.78		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	2.36	3.21	3.76		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	1.41	1.91	3.23		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.06	0.20	0.40		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	0.95	0.95	0.95		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	2.5	3.3	5.0		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Radial drainage strips applied to compacted specimen; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 32.6 ° a = 0.03 (tsf)

---------- Effective ϕ': 39.8 ° c'= 0.04 (tsf)
_____ Total ϕ: 33.5 ° c= 0.20 (tsf)

2401 W 66th Street
OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.
Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **9911**
Date: **7/13/15**

Project: **PolyMet**
Sample **Tailings +3% Bentonite** Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Composite: 97% Sand w/silt and a trace of grass (SP-SM/SM) and 3% Bentonite**



Failure Criterion: **Max. Deviator Stress**
Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =** **39.7 °**
Apparent Cohesion, **c' =** **0.02 (tsf)**

Test Date:	7/6/15		Liquid Limit:		
Test Type:	CU w/pp		Plastic Limit:		
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.001		Plasticity Index:		
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.025		Spec. Gravity (Assumed):		2.90

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94		
Height (in)	4.00	4.00	4.00		
Water Content (%)	19.7	19.7	19.4		
Dry Density (pcf)	120.8	120.9	121.6		
Void Ratio	0.50	0.50	0.49		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.93	1.93	1.92		
Height (in)	4.00	4.00	3.99		
Water Content (%)	17.1	16.7	16.1		
Dry Density (pcf)	121.1	122.1	123.5		
Void Ratio	0.50	0.48	0.47		
Back Pressure (tsf)	6.6	4.4	5.5		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.25	0.50	1.00		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	2.36	3.24	3.78		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	2.36	3.21	3.76		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	2.36	3.24	3.78		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.06	0.20	0.40		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	0.95	0.95	0.95		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	20.0	17.5	18.8		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Radial drainage strips applied to compacted specimen; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 32.6 ° a = 0.01 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	39.7 °	c'= 0.02 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ:	28.9 °	c= 0.60 (tsf)

2401 W 66th Street
OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.
Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

TRIAXIAL TEST ASTM: D 4767

Job No. **9911**
Date: **7/13/15**

Project: **PolyMet**
Sample **Tailings +3% Bentonite** Type: **Bulk** Depth (ft):
Soil Type: **Composite: 97% Sand w/silt and a trace of grass (SP-SM/SM) and 3% Bentonite**



Failure Criterion: **Given Strain of: 15%**
Angle of internal friction, **ϕ' =** **40.0 °**
Apparent Cohesion, **c' =** **0.02 (tsf)**

Test Date:	7/6/15		Liquid Limit:		
Test Type:	CU w/pp		Plastic Limit:		
Strain Rate (in/min):	0.001		Plasticity Index:		
Strain Rate (%/min):	0.025		Spec. Gravity (Assumed):		2.90

Before Consolidation	A	B	C	D	E
Diameter (in)	1.94	1.94	1.94		
Height (in)	4.00	4.00	4.00		
Water Content (%)	19.7	19.7	19.4		
Dry Density (pcf)	120.8	120.9	121.6		
Void Ratio	0.50	0.50	0.49		
After Consolidation					
Diameter (in)	1.93	1.93	1.92		
Height (in)	4.00	4.00	3.99		
Water Content (%)	17.1	16.7	16.1		
Dry Density (pcf)	121.1	122.1	123.5		
Void Ratio	0.50	0.48	0.47		
Back Pressure (tsf)	6.6	4.4	5.5		
Minor Principal Stress (tsf)	0.25	0.50	1.00		
Max. Deviator Stress (tsf)	2.36	3.24	3.78		
Ultimate Deviator Stress (tsf)	2.36	3.21	3.76		
Deviator Stress at Failure (tsf)	2.23	3.19	3.75		
Max. Pore Pressure Buildup (tsf)	0.06	0.20	0.40		
Pore Pressure Parameter "B"	0.95	0.95	0.95		
Pct. Axial Strain at Failure	15.0	15.0	15.0		

"These test results are for informational purposes only and must be reviewed by a qualified professional engineer to verify that the test parameters shown are appropriate for any particular design"

Remarks: Radial drainage strips applied to compacted specimen; Saturated, backpressured until "B" response was 0.95 to 1.00; Consolidated; All Drainage valves closed and immediately sheared.





Rupture Envelope at Failure
α = 32.7 ° a = 0.02 (tsf)

----------	Effective ϕ':	40.0 °	c'= 0.02 (tsf)
_____	Total ϕ:	30.0 °	c= 0.54 (tsf)

2401 W 66th Street
OIL ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.
Richfield, Minnesota 55423-2031

Triaxial Data

Job: **9911**

Sample: Tailings +3% Bentonite

Depth:

Date: 7/13/15

Sample 1			Sample 2			Sample 3			Sample 4			Sample 5		
Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)	Strain (%)	Deviator Stress (tsf)	Pore Pressure (tsf)
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						
0.13	0.25	0.04	0.13	0.36	0.11	0.13	0.59	0.18						
0.25	0.38	0.06	0.25	0.50	0.15	0.25	0.91	0.29						
0.38	0.49	0.06	0.38	0.63	0.18	0.38	1.12	0.35						
0.50	0.59	0.05	0.50	0.74	0.19	0.50	1.29	0.37						
0.63	0.66	0.05	0.63	0.83	0.20	0.63	1.46	0.39						
0.75	0.75	0.04	0.75	0.92	0.20	0.75	1.61	0.40						
0.88	0.82	0.03	0.87	0.98	0.20	0.88	1.73	0.40						
1.00	0.88	0.02	1.00	1.06	0.19	1.00	1.85	0.39						
1.13	0.95	0.01	1.13	1.13	0.19	1.13	1.94	0.39						
1.25	1.01	-0.01	1.25	1.18	0.18	1.25	2.04	0.38						
1.38	1.06	-0.02	1.38	1.24	0.17	1.38	2.12	0.37						
1.50	1.11	-0.03	1.50	1.30	0.16	1.51	2.20	0.36						
1.63	1.15	-0.04	1.63	1.35	0.15	1.63	2.27	0.34						
1.75	1.20	-0.05	1.75	1.40	0.14	1.76	2.33	0.33						
1.88	1.24	-0.06	1.88	1.45	0.13	1.88	2.39	0.33						
2.00	1.28	-0.07	2.00	1.49	0.12	2.01	2.45	0.31						
2.13	1.31	-0.08	2.13	1.54	0.11	2.13	2.50	0.30						
2.25	1.34	-0.09	2.25	1.58	0.10	2.26	2.55	0.29						
2.38	1.38	-0.09	2.38	1.63	0.09	2.38	2.60	0.29						
2.50	1.41	-0.10	2.50	1.67	0.08	2.51	2.65	0.28						
2.76	1.47	-0.12	2.75	1.75	0.06	2.76	2.74	0.26						
3.01	1.52	-0.13	3.00	1.83	0.04	3.01	2.81	0.24						
3.26	1.56	-0.14	3.25	1.91	0.03	3.26	2.88	0.23						
3.51	1.62	-0.16	3.50	1.96	0.01	3.51	2.95	0.21						
3.76	1.66	-0.17	3.75	2.03	-0.01	3.76	3.01	0.20						
4.01	1.71	-0.18	4.00	2.09	-0.02	4.01	3.06	0.18						
4.51	1.78	-0.20	4.50	2.20	-0.05	4.51	3.15	0.16						
5.01	1.83	-0.21	5.00	2.29	-0.08	5.01	3.23	0.14						
5.51	1.85	-0.22	5.25	2.34	-0.10	5.26	3.27	0.13						
6.01	1.87	-0.24	5.50	2.39	-0.11	5.51	3.30	0.12						
6.51	1.89	-0.24	5.75	2.43	-0.12	5.76	3.34	0.11						
7.01	1.93	-0.25	6.00	2.47	-0.13	6.01	3.37	0.10						
7.51	1.97	-0.26	6.25	2.51	-0.15	6.26	3.40	0.09						
8.01	2.00	-0.27	6.50	2.55	-0.16	6.51	3.43	0.09						
8.51	2.03	-0.28	6.75	2.58	-0.17	6.76	3.44	0.08						
9.01	2.07	-0.29	7.00	2.62	-0.18	7.01	3.48	0.07						
9.51	2.09	-0.29	7.25	2.65	-0.19	7.26	3.49	0.07						
10.01	2.12	-0.30	7.50	2.68	-0.20	7.52	3.51	0.06						
11.26	2.13	-0.32	8.00	2.75	-0.22	8.02	3.54	0.06						
12.51	2.17	-0.33	8.50	2.80	-0.23	8.52	3.59	0.05						
13.76	2.23	-0.34	9.00	2.85	-0.25	9.02	3.61	0.04						
15.02	2.27	-0.35	9.50	2.89	-0.26	9.52	3.63	0.03						
16.27	2.26	-0.36	10.00	2.93	-0.28	10.02	3.65	0.03						
17.52	2.30	-0.37	11.25	3.01	-0.31	11.27	3.68	0.01						
18.77	2.33	-0.38	12.50	3.10	-0.34	12.52	3.72	0.00						
20.00	2.36	-0.39	13.75	3.15	-0.36	13.78	3.75	-0.01						
			15.00	3.19	-0.37	15.03	3.76	-0.02						
			16.25	3.21	-0.38	16.28	3.76	-0.03						
			17.50	3.24	-0.40	17.53	3.77	-0.03						
			18.75	3.23	-0.41	18.79	3.78	-0.04						
			20.00	3.21	-0.41	20.00	3.76	-0.04						
			20.00	3.21	-0.41									

Attachment P

Static Liquefaction Triggering Plots

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 4/20/2017
File Name: Triggering-Baseline-SecF_Lift8_LIQ_buttress 2017_USSA_rev2.gsz

1.04 Lift 8 - USSA (EE)_(FSFS)
Liquefied / Yield USSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface

Factor of Safety: 2.13

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Flotation Tailings Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.26
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin Design

v8 Submittal

Liquefaction Triggering Analysis

Baseline

Computing the Fstriggering based on the shear mobilized of the pre-con model versus the shear resistance/strength of the post-con model

			Saturated slices	FS	
# of slices	216		*min*	*2.13*	@x=
USSA $_{liq}$ FS= 1.1			*max*	*2.13*	40.3
USSA $_{yield}$ FS= 2.13			*ave*	*2.13*	28.3

Lift8-FSFS

Legend

Added & interpolated to to maintain distances for FS computation

Indicates unsaturated soil (above phreatic), not subject to liquefaction

$FS_{triggering}$ shading scale

min

1.1

max

Liquefaction is triggered (FS<1.1)

		Pore-Water Pressure		Shear Mobilized - Baseline		Shear Strength - Baseline	Strength/ Mob	
Slice #	X (ft)	Pore-Water Pressur	Distance (ft)	Shear Mobilized (psf)	Distance (ft)	Shear Resistance (psf)	$FOS_{triggering}$	Distance (ft)
1	-576.008	20.00	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.56	2.13	0.00
2	-568.3632	41.04	7.65	12.11	7.65	25.86	2.13	26.28
3	-553.5	83.60	22.53	287.75	22.53	614.32	2.13	27.28
4	-519.6195	174.32	56.44	902.60	56.44	1926.98	2.13	28.28
5	-477.6195	271.45	98.47	1651.70	98.47	3526.25	2.13	29.28
6	-436	350.75	140.11	2378.75	140.11	5078.46	2.13	30.28
7	-407.4376	392.31	168.68	2861.08	168.68	6108.19	2.13	31.28
8	-396.0624	409.15	180.06	781.01	180.06	1667.40	2.13	32.28
9	-391.1248	427.67	185.00	788.95	185.00	1684.35	2.13	33.28
10	-384	454.08	192.12	818.64	192.12	1747.74	2.13	34.28
11	-375.5	489.80	200.62	853.35	200.62	1821.84	2.13	35.28
12	-366.1057	538.79	210.02	892.39	210.02	1905.20	2.13	36.28
13	-358.1057	563.40	218.02	928.08	218.02	1981.37	2.13	37.28
14	-351.3788	583.72	224.75	956.85	224.75	2042.81	2.13	38.28
15	-340.3788	616.06	235.75	1002.23	235.75	2139.68	2.13	39.28
16	-329.5	641.33	246.64	1047.33	246.64	2235.97	2.13	40.28
17	-323	648.09	253.14	1075.22	253.14	2295.52	2.13	41.28
18	-319.5	651.20	256.64	1090.77	256.64	2328.72	2.13	42.28
19	-316	655.83	260.14	1106.58	260.14	2362.46	2.13	43.28
20	-307	667.10	269.14	1147.36	269.14	2449.53	2.13	44.28
21	-297	677.06	279.14	1192.01	279.14	2544.85	2.13	45.28
22	-289	682.91	287.14	1226.03	287.14	2617.49	2.13	46.28
23	-278.5	687.52	297.64	1269.51	297.64	2710.31	2.13	47.28
24	-270.25	685.93	305.89	1303.85	305.89	2783.62	2.13	48.28
25	-268.25	682.83	307.89	1312.36	307.89	2801.79	2.13	49.28
26	-266	685.97	310.14	1320.23	310.14	2818.60	2.13	50.28
27	-262	695.55	314.14	1334.10	314.14	2848.19	2.13	51.28
28	-259	702.57	317.14	1340.62	317.14	2862.13	2.13	52.28
29	-253.5	715.06	322.64	1333.60	322.64	2847.13	2.13	53.28
30	-248.5	725.98	327.64	1326.74	327.64	2832.50	2.13	54.28
31	-245.5	732.31	330.64	1325.42	330.64	2829.67	2.13	55.28
32	-241.5	740.49	334.64	1326.19	334.64	2831.32	2.13	56.28
33	-237.0008	751.96	339.14	1330.68	339.14	2840.90	2.13	57.28
34	-233.0008	763.05	343.14	1334.31	343.14	2848.65	2.13	58.28
35	-229.5	772.57	346.64	1335.97	346.64	2852.19	2.13	59.28
36	-225	783.88	351.14	1336.49	351.14	2853.30	2.13	60.28
37	-222.2222	786.89	353.92	1336.12	353.92	2852.51	2.13	61.28
38	-218.6514	790.47	357.49	1335.40	357.49	2850.97	2.13	62.28
39	-210.4871	797.42	365.65	1331.17	365.65	2841.94	2.13	63.28
40	-204.8235	801.17	371.32	1327.41	371.32	2833.93	2.13	64.28
41	-204.2656	801.14	371.88	1327.15	371.88	2833.37	2.13	65.28
42	-199	877.44	377.14	1316.61	377.14	2810.86	2.13	66.28
43	-190.5	1007.50	385.64	1299.23	385.64	2773.75	2.13	67.28
44	-179	1173.71	397.14	1279.48	397.14	2731.60	2.13	68.28
45	-169.4183	1302.98	406.72	1264.46	406.72	2699.52	2.13	69.28
46	-166.4183	1343.46	409.72	1259.25	409.72	2688.41	2.13	70.28
47	-163.0404	1385.31	413.10	1308.15	413.10	2792.80	2.13	71.28
48	-157.5404	1448.01	418.60	1299.10	418.60	2773.48	2.13	72.28
49	-152.5	1505.50	423.64	1290.09	423.64	2754.25	2.13	73.28
50	-128.5	1758.25	447.64	1250.55	447.64	2669.82	2.13	74.28
51	-104	1978.95	472.14	1214.55	472.14	2592.98	2.13	75.28
52	-93.5	2055.28	482.64	1200.63	482.64	2563.26	2.13	76.28
53	-77.92375	2160.40	498.22	1181.40	498.22	2522.21	2.13	77.28
54	-70.42375	2207.98	505.72	1172.48	505.72	2503.17	2.13	78.28
55	-66	2234.90	510.15	1167.35	510.15	2492.21	2.13	79.28
56	-61.5	2258.94	514.65	1162.45	514.65	2481.75	2.13	80.28
57	-60	2266.96	516.15	1160.67	516.15	2477.94	2.13	81.28
58	-57.5	2280.32	518.65	1158.25	518.65	2472.77	2.13	82.28
59	-51.9541	2309.43	524.20	1153.62	524.20	2462.89	2.13	83.28
60	-47.3781	2333.09	528.77	1149.80	528.77	2454.74	2.13	84.28
61	-33.924	2389.72	542.23	1208.25	542.23	2579.51	2.13	85.28
62	-14.5	2459.37	561.66	1265.02	561.66	2700.72	2.13	86.28
63	0	2501.44	576.16	1259.50	576.16	2688.94	2.13	87.28
64	10.5	2528.69	586.66	1255.35	586.66	2680.09	2.13	88.28
65	21.5	2552.66	597.67	1244.63	597.67	2657.19	2.13	89.28
66	36	2579.57	612.17	1228.30	612.17	2622.33	2.13	90.28
67	46.7361	2594.72	622.91	1233.57	622.91	2633.58	2.13	91.28
68	57.7361	2611.14	633.92	1261.63	633.92	2693.49	2.13	92.28
69	67.5	2625.06	643.69	1286.36	643.69	2746.28	2.13	93.28
70	81	2644.72	657.19	1318.84	657.19	2815.62	2.13	94.28
71	92.5	2662.80	668.70	1344.74	668.70	2870.91	2.13	95.28
72	97	2671.19	673.20	1351.28	673.20	2884.89	2.13	96.28
73	107.2412	2690.33	683.45	1371.61	683.45	2928.28	2.13	97.28
74	122.2412	2719.61	698.46	1404.53	698.46	2998.56	2.13	98.28
75	130.60701	2736.24	706.83	1422.81	706.83	3037.58	2.13	99.28
76	131.57701	2737.65	707.80	1424.91	707.80	3042.08	2.13	100.28
77	143.47	2750.16	719.70	1414.03	719.70	3018.85	2.13	101.28
78	158.5	2763.44	734.75	1399.35	734.75	2987.52	2.13	102.28
79	164.74135	2768.34	740.99	1393.65	740.99	2975.34	2.13	103.28
80	179.71135	2775.75	755.98	1380.67	755.98	2947.63	2.13	104.28
81	194.97	2806.68	771.25	1374.73	771.25	2934.94	2.13	105.28
82	207.5	2848.72	783.79	1399.12	783.79	2987.02	2.13	106.28
83	222.5	2878.09	798.81	1430.22	798.81	3053.42	2.13	107.28
84	229	2889.05	805.32	1443.97	805.32	3082.78	2.13	108.28
85	230.49985	2891.52	806.82	1447.22	806.82	3089.70	2.13	109.28
86	231.2622	2892.65	807.59	1448.94	807.59	3093.38	2.13	110.28
87	232.76235	2894.05	809.09	1452.61	809.09	3101.21	2.13	111.28
88	244	2903.78	820.34	1480.04	820.34	3159.76	2.13	112.28
89	267.97	2915.08	844.35	1538.45	844.35	3284.48	2.13	113.28
90	284.5264	2922.26	860.93	1569.96	860.93	3351.76	2.13	114.28

91	290.55235	2925.75	866.97	1564.82	866.97	3340.78	2.13	115.28
92	300.49595	2942.05	876.93	1553.57	876.93	3316.76	2.13	116.28
93	319.47	2989.10	895.93	1527.65	895.93	3261.42	2.13	117.28
94	335.9693	3061.76	912.47	1499.93	912.47	3202.24	2.13	118.28
95	340.9693	3090.80	917.48	1489.90	917.48	3180.82	2.13	119.28
96	345.97	3120.20	922.49	1493.77	922.49	3189.08	2.13	120.28
97	352	3161.97	928.53	1501.53	928.53	3205.65	2.13	121.28
98	364	3271.49	940.55	1514.00	940.55	3232.28	2.13	122.28
99	383.5	3442.39	960.09	1534.09	960.09	3275.17	2.13	123.28
100	394.1251	3516.17	970.75	1546.65	970.75	3301.98	2.13	124.28
101	397.6251	3540.95	974.25	1551.98	974.25	3313.37	2.13	125.28
102	403.9522	3576.41	980.60	1563.74	980.60	3338.48	2.13	126.28
103	410.9522	3609.76	987.61	1576.65	987.61	3366.03	2.13	127.28
104	422.97	3657.87	999.67	1597.36	999.67	3410.24	2.13	128.28
105	443.97	3699.15	1020.73	1598.81	1020.73	3413.34	2.13	129.28
106	468.97	3706.07	1045.80	1570.48	1045.80	3352.86	2.13	130.28
107	486.94	3692.99	1063.82	1550.57	1063.82	3310.36	2.13	131.28
108	494.47	3683.58	1071.38	1549.30	1071.38	3307.65	2.13	132.28
109	505	3669.76	1081.94	1570.34	1081.94	3352.56	2.13	133.28
110	515	3653.53	1091.97	1590.68	1091.97	3395.98	2.13	134.28
111	519.45825	3644.34	1096.44	1599.58	1096.44	3414.99	2.13	135.28
112	534.95825	3605.72	1112.00	1633.98	1112.00	3488.43	2.13	136.28
113	549.5	3567.77	1126.60	1667.13	1126.60	3559.19	2.13	137.28
114	554	3556.21	1131.12	1677.22	1131.12	3580.74	2.13	138.28
115	569.47	3515.75	1146.65	1710.71	1146.65	3652.23	2.13	139.28
116	582.97	3486.87	1160.21	1735.64	1160.21	3705.47	2.13	140.28
117	585.5	3481.38	1162.75	1732.80	1162.75	3699.41	2.13	141.28
118	602.5	3454.72	1179.83	1711.92	1179.83	3654.82	2.13	142.28
119	619.47645	3446.41	1196.88	1688.87	1196.88	3605.61	2.13	143.28
120	621.97645	3447.01	1199.39	1685.21	1199.39	3597.80	2.13	144.28
121	627.5	3448.56	1204.94	1677.10	1204.94	3580.49	2.13	145.28
122	637.7	3466.70	1215.19	1658.87	1215.19	3541.57	2.13	146.28
123	644.88775	3480.38	1222.41	1645.15	1222.41	3512.27	2.13	147.28
124	649.6322	3490.56	1227.18	1636.10	1227.18	3492.96	2.13	148.28
125	653.1606	3502.60	1230.73	1628.87	1230.73	3477.52	2.13	149.28
126	655.40075	3510.31	1232.98	1621.47	1232.98	3461.71	2.13	150.28
127	657.6846	3518.23	1235.27	1613.96	1235.27	3445.68	2.13	151.28
128	664.96145	3542.31	1242.59	1598.87	1242.59	3413.47	2.13	152.28
129	675.46145	3582.55	1253.14	1577.30	1253.14	3367.41	2.13	153.28
130	688.7443	3640.85	1266.49	1551.84	1266.49	3313.06	2.13	154.28
131	700.2443	3695.33	1278.05	1529.35	1278.05	3265.04	2.13	155.28
132	719	3780.39	1296.91	1491.55	1296.91	3184.35	2.13	156.28
133	738.82025	3893.57	1316.85	1448.82	1316.85	3093.13	2.13	157.28
134	742.82025	3918.64	1320.87	1439.95	1320.87	3074.18	2.13	158.28
135	762.9711	4040.60	1341.16	1393.41	1341.16	2974.83	2.13	159.28
136	784.9422	4178.25	1363.28	1341.99	1363.28	2865.04	2.13	160.28
137	795.9711	4245.56	1374.38	1313.98	1374.38	2805.24	2.13	161.28
138	809.12667	4327.20	1387.63	1279.13	1387.63	2730.84	2.13	162.28
139	818.09777	4371.09	1396.66	1256.45	1396.66	2682.42	2.13	163.28
140	826.0997	4397.33	1404.72	1238.90	1404.72	2644.96	2.13	164.28
141	836.0986	4426.24	1414.79	1218.83	1414.79	2602.11	2.13	165.28
142	843.9843	4448.48	1422.74	1209.71	1422.74	2582.63	2.13	166.28
143	848.5183	4459.42	1427.30	1215.58	1427.30	2595.18	2.13	167.28
144	855.4394	4476.35	1434.27	1224.53	1434.27	2614.29	2.13	168.28
145	862.0466	4491.99	1440.93	1233.14	1440.93	2632.65	2.13	169.28
146	865.6112	4499.15	1444.52	1237.53	1444.52	2642.04	2.13	170.28
147	868.6142	4510.27	1447.55	1239.79	1447.55	2646.85	2.13	171.28
148	884.1241	4590.87	1463.20	1251.08	1463.20	2670.96	2.13	172.28
149	900.15485	4673.14	1479.38	1263.34	1479.38	2697.13	2.13	173.28
150	905.14495	4698.30	1484.41	1267.20	1484.41	2705.38	2.13	174.28
151	909.2604	4713.75	1488.57	1271.05	1488.57	2713.60	2.13	175.28
152	921.25655	4726.37	1500.67	1286.32	1500.67	2746.19	2.13	176.28
153	938.0962	4742.16	1517.65	1288.09	1517.65	2749.97	2.13	177.28
154	951.68564	4756.04	1531.36	1261.16	1531.36	2692.49	2.13	178.28
155	960.08559	4769.22	1539.83	1244.01	1539.83	2655.86	2.13	179.28
156	969.08395	4766.36	1548.92	1328.67	1548.92	2836.60	2.13	180.28
157	984.55395	4754.43	1564.55	1296.62	1564.55	2768.19	2.13	181.28
158	997.47	4743.19	1577.59	1289.52	1577.59	2753.02	2.13	182.28
159	1020	4719.60	1600.35	1322.47	1600.35	2823.37	2.13	183.28
160	1045.1594	4688.90	1625.78	1359.30	1625.78	2902.00	2.13	184.28
161	1058.4885	4670.68	1639.25	1378.73	1639.25	2943.49	2.13	185.28
162	1064.3291	4662.57	1645.15	1387.26	1645.15	2961.70	2.13	186.28
163	1068	4656.57	1648.86	1392.50	1648.86	2972.89	2.13	187.28
164	1076.47	4642.12	1657.43	1404.55	1657.43	2998.60	2.13	188.28
165	1082.9828	4630.92	1664.02	1410.15	1664.02	3010.56	2.13	189.28
166	1091.0128	4617.14	1672.14	1393.31	1672.14	2974.62	2.13	190.28
167	1114.97	4569.95	1696.38	1342.37	1696.38	2865.87	2.13	191.28
168	1136.94	4524.80	1718.62	1293.73	1718.62	2762.01	2.13	192.28
169	1144.47	4509.41	1726.24	1284.07	1726.24	2741.40	2.13	193.28
170	1150.4982	4495.87	1732.35	1290.25	1732.35	2754.59	2.13	194.28
171	1162.6861	4466.95	1744.70	1302.54	1744.70	2780.83	2.13	195.28
172	1178.1879	4430.60	1760.41	1320.32	1760.41	2818.79	2.13	196.28
173	1198.5752	4381.92	1781.07	1346.89	1781.07	2875.50	2.13	197.28
174	1216.5752	4339.80	1799.31	1369.98	1799.31	2924.80	2.13	198.28
175	1221.2754	4329.22	1804.08	1375.92	1804.08	2937.48	2.13	199.28
176	1226.7454	4314.22	1809.63	1382.39	1809.63	2951.29	2.13	200.28
177	1243.97	4269.38	1827.12	1360.14	1827.12	2903.79	2.13	201.28
178	1257.3662	4236.74	1840.72	1328.35	1840.72	2835.92	2.13	202.28
179	1270.3362	4207.87	1853.89	1297.26	1853.89	2769.54	2.13	203.28
180	1285.47	4176.61	1869.25	1259.63	1869.25	2689.21	2.13	204.28
181	1290.47	4166.17	1874.33	1245.92	1874.33	2659.95	2.13	205.28
182	1295.9836	4153.35	1879.94	1245.03	1879.94	2658.04	2.13	206.28
183	1310.9708	4120.50	1895.17	1256.16	1895.17	2681.80	2.13	207.28
184	1329.4122	4081.52	1913.91	1272.00	1913.91	2715.63	2.13	208.28
185	1338.9549	4061.99	1923.61	1275.06	1923.61	2722.16	2.13	209.28
186	1350.9964	4035.89	1935.85	1246.27	1935.85	2660.70	2.13	210.28
187	1366.9282	4001.73	1952.06	1208.03	1952.06	2579.06	2.13	211.28
188	1378.4318	3977.35	1963.76	1179.44	1963.76	2518.01	2.13	212.28
189	1399.5	3929.82	1985.20	1124.24	1985.20	2400.16	2.13	213.28
190	1423.47	3870.97	2009.61	1060.65	2009.61	2264.40	2.13	214.28
191	1439.4269	3826.28	2025.87	1015.75	2025.87	2168.55	2.13	215.28
192	1447.4633	3803.68	2034.06	991.91	2034.06	2117.64	2.13	216.28
193	1449.0351	3798.66	2035.66	987.28	2035.66	2107.77	2.13	217.28
194	1450.5287	3793.93	2037.19	982.86	2037.19	2098.34	2.13	218.28
195	1456.3067	3775.06	2043.08	965.60	2043.08	2061.48	2.13	219.28
196	1466.7988	3741.19	2053.78	934.16	2053.78	1994.36	2.13	220.28
197	1482.9921	3681.65	2070.29	890.11	2070.29	1900.32	2.13	221.28
198	1496.5037	3626.28	2084.08	855.15	2084.08	1825.69	2.13	222.28
199	1510.5034	3559.68	2098.38	818.54	2098.38	1747.53	2.13	223.28
200	1535.4998	3432.72	2123.91	754.12	2123.91	1610.00	2.13	224.28
201	1562.2718	3284.14	2151.27	685.63	2151.27	1463.76	2.13	225.28
202	1588.2663	3133.38	2177.85	618.89	2177.85	1321.28	2.13	226.28
203	1602.4945	3049.11	2192.40	569.97	2192.40	1216.85	2.13	227.28
204	1605.3279	3031.55	2195.30	539.03	2195.30	1150.80	2.13	228.28
205	1614.2811	2975.10	2204.46	500.98	2204.46	1069.54	2.13	229.28
206	1635.0451	2833.62	2225.71	442.43	2225.71	944.56	2.13	230.28
207	1651.0919	2707.68	2242.14	401.11	2242.14	856.34	2.13	231.28
208	1675.5	2454.35	2267.18	341.77	2267.18	729.66	2.13	232.28
209	1707.383	2066.67	2299.89	270.79	2299.89	578.12	2.13	233.28
210	1726.0638	1818.73	2319.05	232.13	2319.05	495.57	2.13	234.28
211	1735.1808	1693.34	2328.40	213.72	2328.40	456.27	2.13	235.28
212	1745.5	1537.14	2339.01	191.93	2339.01	409.75	2.13	236.28
213	1765.9544	1224.20	2360.05	151.44	2360.05	323.31	2.13	237.28
214	1781.9544	980.27	2376.51	121.58	2376.51	259.56	2.13	238.28
215	1807.5	591.36	2402.80	73.44	2402.80	156.79	2.13	239.28
216	1837.1203	139.56	2433.30	17.33	2433.30	36.99	2.13	240.28

Baseline Static Triggering Analysis

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



P:\Mpls\23 MN\69\2369862\WorkFiles\022A GeoStudio models\2017\Section F\Triggering_01_Baseline Triggering\Triggering Analysis - Baseline2017.xlsx

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Date Last Saved: 12/23/2014
File Name: RapidLoad_SectionF_L1_sliced_post_loading.gsz

Case: L1 - sliced- USSA (FSFS) (post loading)
Yield USSA strengths
w/ liquified zone
Post Loading

Factor of Safety: 1.78

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 130 pcf
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (LIQ) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Slice #3 Model: Undrained (Phi=0) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 1,440 psf
Name: Slice #4 Model: Undrained (Phi=0) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 2,090 psf
Name: Slice #5 Model: Undrained (Phi=0) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 4,000 psf
Name: Slice #6 Model: Undrained (Phi=0) Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 550 psf
Name: Slice #2 Model: Undrained (Phi=0) Unit Weight: 90 pcf Cohesion': 645 psf



Rapid Load Static Triggering Analysis

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin Design
v5 Submittal

Liquefaction Triggering Analysis

Saturated Zones	
x-coord (feet)	
Toe	Crest
0	243
0	243

Post-liquefaction FSFS FS= ***1.78***

Rapid Load

Computing the Fstriggering based on the shear mobilized of the pre-con model versus the shear resistance/strength of the post-con mode

RapidLoad-SectionF-L1 sliced (pre-trigger)
1.0 L0 - sliced- USSA (FSFS)
# of slices 90 USSA (FSFS)
USSA $_{liq}$ FS= 1.67
USSA $_{yield}$ FS= 2.52

RapidLoad-SectionF-L1 sliced (pre-trigger)
2.0 L1 - sliced- USSA (FSFS) (2)
# of slices 91 USSA (FSFS)
USSA $_{liq}$ FS= 1.72
USSA $_{yield}$ FS= 1.81

	Saturated slices	FS	Distance (ft)	X (ft)
	min	*0.07*	0.0	-432.9
	max	*6.02*	228.8	-204.3
	ave	*1.90*		

Legend

Added & interpolated to to maintain distances for FS computation

Indicates unsaturated soil (above phreatic), not subject to liquefaction

$FS_{triggering}$ shading scale
min
1.1
max

Liquefaction is triggered (FS<1.1)

	Lift0-FSFS								*Lift1-FSFS*									
			Pore-Water Pressure		Shear Mobilized - Rapid Load		Shear Strength - Rapid Load L0				Slip 1 Pore-Water Pressure		Slip 1 Shear Mobilized		Slip 1 Shear Resistance		Strength/ Mob	
Slice #	X (ft)	Pore-Water Pressure (psf)	Distance (ft)	Shear Mobilized (psf)	X (ft)	Shear Resistance (psf)	Difference	Slice #	X (ft)	Slip 1 Pore-Water Pressure (psf)	Distance (ft)	Slip 1 Shear Mobilized (psf)	Distance (ft)	Slip 1 Shear Resistance (psf)	$FOS_{triggering}$	Distance (f	X (ft)
1	-432.899	0	0	355.4176	-432.899	1.176516	0	1	-432.899	0	0	16.60239	0	30	0.070864271	0	-432.899
2	-410.249	0	22.71162	355.4176	-410.249	3.853813	0	2	-410.249	0	22.71162	16.60239	22.71162	30	0.232124033	22.71162	-410.249
3	-391.496	255.6888	41.49722	355.4176	-391.496	34.95286	0	3	-391.496	255.9169	41.49722	356.9513	41.49722	645	0.09792051	41.49722	-391.496
4	-384	285.2076	49.00657	355.4176	-384	143.1295	0	4	-384	285.5408	49.00657	356.9513	49.00657	645	0.400977739	49.00657	-384
5	-375.501	319.0608	57.52008	355.4176	-375.501	258.2974	0	5	-375.501	319.5439	57.52008	356.9513	57.52008	645	0.723620655	57.52008	-375.501
6	-362.997	369.6963	70.04652	355.4176	-362.997	282.5283	0	6	-362.997	370.4688	70.04652	356.9513	70.04652	645	0.791503577	70.04652	-362.997
7	-352.498	413.0246	80.56364	355.4176	-352.498	393.5921	0		-352.498		80.56364	356.9513		645	1.102649063	80.56364	-352.498
8	-338.007	475.0424	95.08071	355.4176	-338.007	546.8965	2.4982	7	-340.505	465.0469	92.57813	356.9513	92.57813	645	1.532131901	92.57813	-340.505
9	-325.132	532.0724	107.9783	355.4176	-325.132	825.483	0	8	-325.132	532.2302	107.9783	356.9513	107.9783	645	2.312592432	107.9783	-325.132
10	-322.121	540.9932	110.9911	355.4176	-322.121	842.8431	0	9	-322.121	540.9277	110.9911	356.9513	110.9911	645	2.361226989	110.9911	-322.121
11	-310.492	567.9574	122.626	355.4176	-310.492	860.8241	0	10	-310.492	566.8645	122.626	356.9513	122.626	645	2.411600578	122.626	-310.492
12	-296.996	599.1316	136.1288	355.4176	-296.996	923.3563	0	11	-296.996	596.6603	136.1288	356.9513	136.1288	645	2.586784934	136.1288	-296.996
	-291				-291	1007.298	0	12	-291	609.7724	142.1277	356.9513	142.1277	645	2.821947718	142.1277	-291
13	-289	617.5181	144.1283	355.4176	-289	1035.292	-0.2360419	13	-288.764	614.6401	144.3645	356.9513	144.3645	645	2.900373226	144.3645	-288.764
	-286.765				-286.765	1070.808	0	14	-286.765	619.4672	146.3651	796.9146	146.3651	1440	1.343692665	146.3651	-286.765
14	-280.875	636.1569	152.2574	355.4176	-280.875	1164.369	0	15	-280.875	633.9315	152.2574	796.9146	152.2574	1440	1.46109606	152.2574	-280.875
15	-272.639	646.5466	160.4956	355.4176	-272.639	1312.094	0	16	-272.639	645.8687	160.4956	796.9146	160.4956	1440	1.646467112	160.4956	-272.639
16	-268.265	647.2819	164.87	355.4176	-268.265	1390.741	0	17	-268.265	647.5541	164.87	796.9146	164.87	1440	1.745156355	164.87	-268.265
17	-266	649.6172	167.1345	355.4176	-266	1429.097	0	18	-266	649.7652	167.1345	796.9146	167.1345	1440	1.793287108	167.1345	-266
18	-261	654.6543	172.1351	355.4176	-261	1463.999	0	19	-261	654.7857	172.1351	796.9146	172.1351	1440	1.837084397	172.1351	-261
19	-253.5	661.8879	179.635	355.4176	-253.5	1464.104	0	20	-253.5	661.9948	179.635	796.9146	179.635	1440	1.837215904	179.635	-253.5
20	-248.493	666.4946	184.643	355.4176	-248.493	1462.989	0	21	-248.493	666.5857	184.643	796.9146	184.643	1440	1.835817009	184.643	-248.493
21	-245.489	669.3551	187.6465	355.4176	-245.489	1467.825	0	22	-245.489	669.4281	187.6465	796.9146	187.6465	1440	1.841885413	187.6465	-245.489
22	-237.493	677.0341	195.643	355.4176	-237.493	1514.091	0	23	-237.493	677.0873	195.643	796.9146	195.643	1440	1.899941571	195.643	-237.493
23	-229.497	684.2327	203.6399	355.4176	-229.497	1594.656	0	24	-229.497	684.2679	203.6399	796.9146	203.6399	1440	2.00103722	203.6399	-229.497
24	-225.35	687.6966	207.7865	355.4176	-225.35	1658.095	0	25	-225.35	687.726	207.7865	796.9146	207.7865	1440	2.080643741	207.7865	-225.35
25	-223.35	688.8169	209.7867	355.4176	-223.35	1687.846	0	26	-223.35	688.8423	209.7867	796.9146	209.7867	1440	2.117976348	209.7867	-223.35
26	-216.499	686.8106	216.6378	355.4176	-216.499	1788.847	0	27	-216.499	686.8223	216.6378	796.9146	216.6378	1440	2.244715648	216.6378	-216.499
27	-207.341	683.0976	225.7958	355.4176	-207.341	1915.681	0	28	-207.341	683.0987	225.7958	796.9146	225.7958	1440	2.403872724	225.7958	-207.341
28	-204.342	694.0405	228.7947	2750.537	-204.342	6960.738	0	29	-204.342	694.0405	228.7947	1156.633	228.7947	2090	6.01810403	228.7947	-204.342
29	-202.997	717.4412	230.1401	2750.537	-202.997	2063.314	0	30	-202.997	717.4413	230.1401	1156.633	230.1401	2090	1.783896513	230.1401	-202.997
30	-200.347	758.7565	232.791	2750.537	-200.347	2073.811	0	31	-200.347	758.7568	232.791	1156.633	232.791	2090	1.792972141	232.791	-200.347
31	-196.35	808.6972	236.789	789.8169	-196.35	2084.058	0	32	-196.35	808.6979	236.789	1156.633	236.789	2090	1.801831898	236.789	-196.35
32	-190.503	870.5323	242.6378	789.8169	-190.503	2112.804	0	33	-190.503	870.5349	242.6378	1156.633	242.6378	2090	1.826684502	242.6378	-190.503
33	-179.008	991.83	254.1364	789.8169	-179.008	2133.884	0	34	-179.008	991.8363	254.1364	1156.633	254.1364	2090	1.84491035	254.1364	-179.008
34	-165.705	1118.255	267.4438	789.8169	-165.705	2158.281	0	35	-165.705	1118.266	267.4438	1156.633	267.4438	2090	1.866003023	267.4438	-165.705
35	-157.201	1196.64	275.9512	789.8169	-157.201	2184.827	0	36	-157.201	1196.653	275.9512	1156.633	275.9512	2090	1.888954469	275.9512	-157.201
36	-152.499	1239.134	280.6553	789.8169	-152.499	2123.961	0	37	-152.499	1239.149	280.6553	1156.633	280.6553	2090	1.836331209	280.6553	-152.499
37	-139.073	1360.985	294.0895	789.8169	-139.073	2057.352	0	38	-139.073	1361.003	294.0895	1156.633	294.0895	2090	1.778742156	294.0895	-139.073
38	-115.224	1544.374	317.9512	789.8169	-115.224	2074.76	0	39	-115.224	1544.393	317.9512	1156.633	317.9512	2090	1.793792392	317.9512	-115.224
39	-102.651	1615.926	330.5319	789.8169	-102.651	2124.348	0	40	-102.651	1615.945	330.5319	1156.633	330.5319	2090	1.836665282	330.5319	-102.651
40	-96.5231	1636.777	336.6759	789.8169	-96.5231	2243.069	0	41	-96.5231	1636.795	336.6759	1156.633	336.6759	2090	1.939308816	336.6759	-96.5231
41	-88.0218	1658.051	345.1991	789.8169	-88.0218	2400.543	0	42	-88.0218	1658.071	345.1991	1156.633	345.1991	2090	2.075458137	345.1991	-88.0218
42	-77.9238	1678.728	355.3231	789.8169	-77.9238	2487.48	0	43	-77.9238	1678.749	355.3231	1156.633	355.3231	2090	2.150621996	355.3231	-77.9238
43	-70.4155	1691.836	362.8508	789.8169	-70.4155	2473.384	0	44	-70.4155	1691.857	362.8508	1156.633	362.8508	2090	2.138434725	362.8508	-70.4155
44	-65.9917	1695.699	367.2859	789.8169	-65.9917	2444.88	0	45	-65.9917	1695.72	367.2859	1156.633	367.2859	2090	2.113790175	367.2859	-65.9917
45	-61.4812	1699.607	371.808	789.8169	-61.4812	2413.861	0	46	-61.4812	1699.628	371.808	1156.633	371.808	2090	2.086972334	371.808	-61.4812
46	-59.9812	1700.9	373.3119	789.8169	-59.9812	2390.725	0	47	-59.9812	1700.921	373.3119	1156.633	373.3119	2090	2.066969101	373.3119	-59.9812
47	-52.924	1706.901	380.3873	789.8169	-52.924	2377.952	0	48	-52.924	1706.922	380.3873	1156.633	380.3873	2090	2.055926445	380.3873	-52.924
48	-37.474	1705.388	395.877	789.8169	-37.474	2368.668	0	49	-37.474	1705.413	395.877	1156.633	395.877	2090	2.047899699	395.877	-37.474
49	-24.5483	1690.311	408.8434	789.8169	-24.5483	2374.206	0	50	-24.5483	1690.337	408.8434	1156.633	408.8434	2090	2.052687043	408.8434	-24.5483
50	-18.9983	1676.193	414.4206	789.8169	-18.9983	2402.754	0	51	-18.9983	1676.219	414.4206	1156.633	414.4206	2090	2.077369547	414.4206	-18.9983
51	-10.8506	1650.391	422.6081	789.8169	-10.8506	2511.428	0	52	-10.8506	1650.418	422.6081	1156.633	422.6081	2090	2.171326316	422.6081	-10.8506
52	1.644344	1624.699	435.1643	789.8169	1.644344	2625.622	0	53	1.644344	1624.728	435.1643	1156.633	435.1643	2090	2.270056508	435.1643	1.644344
53	10.49495	1613.415	444.0582	789.8169	10.49495	2630.064	0	54	10.49495	1613.442	444.0582	1156.633	444.0582	2090	2.273897082	444.0582	10.49495
54	27.5	1583.448	461.1466	789.8169	27.5	2638.599	0	55	27.5	1583.47	461.1466	1156.633	461.1466	2090	2.281276143	461.1466	27.5
55	45.8	1540.597	479.5362	789.8169	45.8	2611.967	0	56	45.8	1540.613	479.5362	1156.633	479.5362	2090	2.258250424	479.5362	45.8
56	50.35	1529.724	484.1113	789.8169	50.35	2605.345	0	57	50.35	1529.739	484.1113	1156.633	484.1113	2090	2.25252545	484.1113	50.35
57	61.05	1440.039	494.91	789.8169	61.05	2582.316	0	58	61.05	1440.055	494.91	1156.633	494.91	2090	2.232614727	494.91	61.05
58	80.9868	1290.279	515.0305	789.8169	80.9868	2522.143	0	59	80.9868	1290.297	515.0305	1156.633	515.0305	2090	2.18059036	515.0305	80.9868
59	92.5022	1210.881	526.6521	789.8169	92.5022	2451.569	0	60	92.5022	1210.901	526.6521	1156.633	526.6521	2090	2.119573686	526.6521	92.5022
60	96.9989	1184.285	531.1902	789.8169	96.9989	2431.15	0	61	96.9989	1184.305	531.1902	1156.633	531.1902	2090	2.101919945	531.1902	96.9989
61	108.5835	1120.445	542.8816	789.8169	108.5835	2425.607	0	62	108.5835	1120.463	542.8816	1156.633	542.8816	2090	2.097127759	542.8816	108.5835
62	120.15	1054.105	554.5624	789.8169	120.15	2384.936	0	63	120.15	1054.121	554.5624	1156.633	554.5624	2090	2.061963969	554.5624	120.15
63	127.52	1002.527	562.0204	789.8169	127.52	2300.012	0	64	127.52	1002.542	562.0204	1156.633	562.0204	2090	1.98854094	562.0204	127.52
64	143.4832	881.9654	578.1741	789.8169	143.4832	2245.389	0	65	143.4832	881.9782	578.1741	1156.633	578.1741	2090	1.941315243	578.1741	143.4832
65	161.2545	742.987	596.1576	789.8169	161.2545	2214.855	0	66	161.2545	743.0024	596.1576	1156.633	596.1576	2090	1.914915685	596.1576	161.2545
66	169.4414	677.6079	604.4422	789.8169	169.4414	2148.158	0	67	169.4414	677.6248	604.4422	1156.633	604.4422	2090	1.857250722	604.4422	169.4414
67	175.1941	624.3305	610.2839	789.8169	175.1941	2118.502	0	68	175.1941	624.348	610.2839	1156.633	610.2839	2090	1.831610868	610.2839	175.1941
68	185.4641	522.5334	620.7332	789.8169	185.4641	2065.559	0	69	185.4641	522.5524	620.7332	1156.633	620.7332	2090	1.785837751	620.7332	185.4641
69	194.2168	437.7714	629.6387	789.8169	194.2168	2033.086	0	70	194.2168	437.792	629.6387	1156.633	629.6387	2090	1.757762131	629.6387	194.2168
70	212.244	236.532	647.9807	789.8169	212.244	1966.204	0	71	212.244	236.5573	647.9807	1156.633	647.9807	2090	1.699937384	647.9807	212.244
71	228.9972	64.17601	665.0263	789.8169	228.9972	1874.038	0	72	228.9972	64.20357	665.0263	1156.633	665.0263	2090	1.620252472	665.0263	228.9972
72	230.7624	46.04123	666.8222	789.8169	230.7624	1829.835	0	73	230.7624	46.06889	666.8222	1156.633	666.8222	2090	1.582036288	666.8222	230.7624
73	232.7557	26.51005	668.8503	789.8169	232.7557	1793.45	0	74	232.7557	26.53808	668.8503	1156.633	668.8503	2090	1.550578601	668.8503	232.7557
74	236.9933	-13.4367	673.162	789.8169	236.9933	1737.001	0	75	236.9933	-13.4071	673.162	1156.633	673.162	2090	1.501773406	673.162	236.9933
75	243	-66.6711	679.2735	1591.481	243	1693.913	0	76	243	-66.6401	679.2735	2213.652	679.2735	4000	0.765212025	679.2735	243
76	246.645	-96.9482	682.9861	1591.481	246.645	1610.784	0	77	246.645	-96.9171	682.9861	2213.652	682.9861	4000	0.727659279	682.9861	246.645
77	259.745	-227.926	696.4006	1591.481	259.745	1529.984	0	78	259.745	-227.906	696.4006	2213.652	696.4006	4000	0.691158595	696.4006	259.745
78	274.97	-432.724	711.9912	1591.481	274.97	1521.616	0	79	274.97	-432.706	711.9912	2213.652	711.9912	4000	0.6873781	711.9912	274.97
79	283.87	-550.944	721.1049	1591.481	283.87	1512.361	0	80	283.87	-550.929	721.1049	2213.652	721.1049	4000	0.683197361	721.1049	283.87
80	296.8052	-721.379	734.3507	195.4797	296.8052	1487.617	0	81	296.8052	-721.363	734.3507	304.3771	734.3507	550	4.88741373	734.3507	296.8052
81	307.8052	-784.137	745.6148	195.4797	307.8052	1466.575	0	82	307.8052	-784.126	745.6148	304.3771	745.6148	550	4.818281524	745.6148	307.8052
82	319.6	-720.199	757.6927	195.4797	319.6	2151.537	0	83	319.6	-720.196	757.6927	304.3771	757.6927	550	7.068656648	757.6927	319.6
83	329.57	-690.598	767.923	195.4797	329.57	2392.941	0	84	329.57	-690.597	767.923	304.3771	767.923	550	7.861764615	767.923	329.57
84	332.9357	-685.332	771.401	195.4797	332.9357	2925.988	0	85	332.9357	-685.332	771.401	304.3771	771.401	550	9.613034258	771.401	332.9357
85	351.9657	-1010.25	791.0662	195.4797	351.9657	4031.125	0	86	351.9657	-1010.25	791.0662	304.3771	791.0662	550	13.24385042	791.0662	351.9657
86	376.4812	-1439.77	816.3999	195.4797	376.4812	2558.239	0	87	376.4812	-1439.77	816.3999	304.3771	816.3999	550	8.404835186	816.3999	376.4812
87	389.1063	-1553.42	829.4465	195.4797	389.1063	2902.367	0	88	389.1063	-1553.42	829.4465	304.3771	829.4465	550	9.535432032	829.4465	389.1063
88	401.5773	-1593.99	842.3337	195.4797	401.5773	1050.469	0	89	401.5773	-1593.99	842.3337	304.3771	842.3337	550	3.451208286	842.3337	401.5773
89	408.6889	-1650.57	849.6827	195.4797	408.6889	928.2366	0	90	408.6889	-1650.57	849.6827	304.3771	849.6827	550	3.049626722	849.6827	408.6889
90	409.5212	-1651.79	850.5428	0.522232	409.5212	913.9312	-11.636289	91	421.1575	0	862.5675	131.5107	862.5675	237.6359	6.94947993	862.5675	421.1575

Rapid Load Strength Lock-in

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Date Last Saved: 12/23/2014
File Name: PLUGGED_SectionF_2014 L1_USSA.gsz

Case: 1.0 L1 - USSA (FSFS)
USSA strengths
FSFS

Factor of Safety: 1.91

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 130 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.25
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.26
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °



Attachment O - Static Triggering Outputs
Plugged Lift 1

PolyMet

v5 Submittal

Post-liquefaction FSFS FS= ***N/A***

PluggedL1

Computing the Fstriggering based on the shear mobilized versus the shear resistance/strength of the plugged steady-state model
Using v3 buttress

# of slices 98
$USSA_{liq}$ ***FS= 1***
$USSA_{yield}$ ***FS= 1.91***
Lift1-FSFS

	Saturated slices	*FS*	
	min	*1.91*	@x=
	max	*1.91*	227.5
	ave	*1.91*	#N/A

Legend

Added & interpolated to to maintain distances for FS computation

Indicates unsaturated soil (above phreatic), not subject to liquefaction

$FS_{triggering}$ shading scale
min
1.1
max

	Pore-Water Pressure		Shear Strength - Plugg		Shear Mobilized - Plugged L1		Strength/ Mob	
Slice #	**Distance (f**	**Pore-Wate**	**Distance (f**	**Shear Resi:**	**Distance (f**	**Shear Mobilized (psf)**	**$FOS_{triggering}$**	**Distance (f**
1	0	26.18202	0	13.31107	0	6.955865	1.9136468	0
2	15.42686	105.7758	15.42686	173.884	15.42686	90.86527	1.9136468	15.42686
3	21.87774	140.9299	21.87774	578.6424	21.87774	302.3768	1.9136468	21.87774
4	25.88742	162.9514	25.88742	225.351	25.88742	117.76	1.9136468	25.88742
5	26.66525	167.5254	26.66525	236.6354	26.66525	123.6568	1.9136468	26.66525
6	31.17793	186.3268	31.17793	271.0972	31.17793	141.6652	1.9136468	31.17793
7	43.35156	239.2323	43.35156	292.5541	43.35156	152.8778	1.9136468	43.35156
8	65.88413	341.0208	65.88413	555.3641	65.88413	290.2124	1.9136468	65.88413
9	83.41763	426.2051	83.41763	844.5332	83.41763	441.3214	1.9136468	83.41763
10	95.95076	490.885	95.95076	866.2887	95.95076	452.69	1.9136468	95.95076
11	107.167	551.8629	107.167	894.2417	107.167	467.2972	1.9136468	107.167
12	111.1661	565.365	111.1661	950.6623	111.1661	496.7804	1.9136468	111.1661
13	117.4676	576.0235	117.4676	1038.524	117.4676	542.6938	1.9136468	117.4676
14	129.9707	596.0486	129.9707	1246.254	129.9707	651.2453	1.9136468	129.9707
15	140.4748	622.0688	140.4748	1430.659	140.4748	747.6086	1.9136468	140.4748
16	145.4767	657.988	145.4767	1460.006	145.4767	762.9445	1.9136468	145.4767
17	152.9786	711.9118	152.9786	1451.703	152.9786	758.6052	1.9136468	152.9786
18	157.9879	747.9558	157.9879	1444.92	157.9879	755.0609	1.9136468	157.9879
19	160.9922	769.3199	160.9922	1446.429	160.9922	755.8493	1.9136468	160.9922
20	166.3865	806.8935	166.3865	1467.261	166.3865	766.7356	1.9136468	166.3865
21	171.8873	838.4878	171.8873	1503.453	171.8873	785.6481	1.9136468	171.8873
22	176.9872	860.9719	176.9872	1557.405	176.9872	813.8416	1.9136468	176.9872
23	181.4836	880.4174	181.4836	1621.984	181.4836	847.5878	1.9136468	181.4836
24	189.9849	905.9357	189.9849	1741.906	189.9849	910.2548	1.9136468	189.9849
25	199.335	933.7896	199.335	1871.475	199.335	977.9628	1.9136468	199.335
26	202.3369	942.3299	202.3369	1911.708	202.3369	998.9868	1.9136468	202.3369
27	203.4902	964.4311	203.4902	1922.555	203.4902	1004.655	1.9136468	203.4902
28	208.4878	1074.986	208.4878	1966.74	208.4878	1027.744	1.9136468	208.4878
29	215.9825	1248.153	215.9825	2097.891	215.9825	1096.279	1.9136468	215.9825
30	227.4791	1445.699	227.4791	2023.295	227.4791	1057.298	1.9136468	227.4791
31	240.2339	1585.027	240.2339	1956.11	240.2339	1022.189	1.9136468	240.2339
32	248.7405	1656.998	248.7405	1976.986	248.7405	1033.099	1.9136468	248.7405
33	253.9952	1696.244	253.9952	2029.674	253.9952	1060.632	1.9136468	253.9952
34	271.7569	1822.919	271.7569	2182.194	271.7569	1140.333	1.9136468	271.7569
35	296.2797	1981.086	296.2797	2342.024	296.2797	1223.854	1.9136468	296.2797
36	310.0388	2053.116	310.0388	2372.42	310.0388	1239.737	1.9136468	310.0388
37	318.5592	2097.915	318.5592	2340.507	318.5592	1223.061	1.9136468	318.5592
38	328.6798	2145.247	328.6798	2303.997	328.6798	1203.982	1.9136468	328.6798
39	336.205	2180.713	336.205	2276.78	336.205	1189.76	1.9136468	336.205
40	340.6387	2198.621	340.6387	2261.48	340.6387	1181.764	1.9136468	340.6387
41	345.1593	2215.346	345.1593	2249.579	345.1593	1175.546	1.9136468	345.1593
42	346.6626	2220.92	346.6626	2254.247	346.6626	1177.985	1.9136468	346.6626
43	353.7357	2247.22	353.7357	2278.701	353.7357	1190.763	1.9136468	353.7357
44	368.7694	2292.087	368.7694	2374.644	368.7694	1240.9	1.9136468	368.7694
45	381.7333	2315.862	381.7333	2480.778	381.7333	1296.362	1.9136468	381.7333
46	395.7179	2314.403	395.7179	2484.918	395.7179	1298.525	1.9136468	395.7179
47	410.2821	2312.518	410.2821	2444.178	410.2821	1277.236	1.9136468	410.2821
48	417.4004	2324.375	417.4004	2421.199	417.4004	1265.228	1.9136468	417.4004
49	426.7008	2331.578	426.7008	2384.358	426.7008	1245.976	1.9136468	426.7008
50	441.2997	2333.672	441.2997	2315.939	441.2997	1210.223	1.9136468	441.2997
51	451.39	2326.11	451.39	2284.101	451.39	1193.585	1.9136468	451.39
52	456.4338	2313.079	456.4338	2301.143	456.4338	1202.491	1.9136468	456.4338
53	460.7263	2295.494	460.7263	2317.206	460.7263	1210.885	1.9136468	460.7263
54	470.3094	2232.424	470.3094	2358.782	470.3094	1232.611	1.9136468	470.3094
55	488.4454	2134.716	488.4454	2432.268	488.4454	1271.012	1.9136468	488.4454
56	499.2895	2085.966	499.2895	2472.46	499.2895	1292.015	1.9136468	499.2895
57	500.8344	2078.184	500.8344	2475.093	500.8344	1293.391	1.9136468	500.8344
58	504.6154	2067.314	504.6154	2478.028	504.6154	1294.925	1.9136468	504.6154
59	523.8116	2007.773	523.8116	2519.537	523.8116	1316.615	1.9136468	523.8116
60	551.6921	1846.118	551.6921	2524.981	551.6921	1319.46	1.9136468	551.6921
61	564.1286	1768.275	564.1286	2479.866	564.1286	1295.885	1.9136468	564.1286
62	570.4744	1718.48	570.4744	2452.196	570.4744	1281.426	1.9136468	570.4744
63	581.9412	1625.442	581.9412	2401.244	581.9412	1254.8	1.9136468	581.9412
64	589.8775	1560.131	589.8775	2366.211	589.8775	1236.493	1.9136468	589.8775
65	596.4817	1494.391	596.4817	2340.07	596.4817	1222.833	1.9136468	596.4817
66	619.4004	1250.005	619.4004	2252.767	619.4004	1177.211	1.9136468	619.4004
67	638.8073	1044.28	638.8073	2178.447	638.8073	1138.375	1.9136468	638.8073
68	640.6074	1025.213	640.6074	2171.681	640.6074	1134.839	1.9136468	640.6074
69	642.6401	1003.635	642.6401	2164.622	642.6401	1131.15	1.9136468	642.6401
70	645.5241	972.9701	645.5241	2154.845	645.5241	1126.041	1.9136468	645.5241
71	648.0805	945.6192	648.0805	6714.978	648.0805	3508.996	1.9136468	648.0805
72	662.2149	764.3429	662.2149	6394.677	662.2149	3341.618	1.9136468	662.2149
73	688.7332	442.8057	688.7332	1962.788	688.7332	1025.679	1.9136468	688.7332
74	713.0221	759.9281	713.0221	1714.902	713.0221	896.1436	1.9136468	713.0221
75	726.7073	1361.08	726.7073	1474.188	726.7073	770.3551	1.9136468	726.7073
76	730.4182	1535.609	730.4182	1405.52	730.4182	734.4721	1.9136468	730.4182
77	738.0635	1539.621	738.0635	1343.789	738.0635	702.2138	1.9136468	738.0635
78	756.8857	1417.697	756.8857	1214.965	756.8857	634.8953	1.9136468	756.8857
79	772.9538	1313.305	772.9538	1101.12	772.9538	575.4039	1.9136468	772.9538
80	777.4697	1281.092	777.4697	1069.413	777.4697	558.8352	1.9136468	777.4697
81	783.4685	1219.362	783.4685	3029.765	783.4685	1583.241	1.9136468	783.4685
82	796.5472	1078.021	796.5472	2744.275	796.5472	1434.055	1.9136468	796.5472
83	807.3711	969.6261	807.3711	2069.672	807.3711	1081.533	1.9136468	807.3711
84	812.312	930.7386	812.312	1981.234	812.312	1035.318	1.9136468	812.312
85	814.702	911.9277	814.702	1942.469	814.702	1015.061	1.9136468	814.702
86	815.3541	906.4923	815.3541	2338.441	815.3541	1221.981	1.9136468	815.3541
87	818.6687	866.405	818.6687	2252.811	818.6687	1177.234	1.9136468	818.6687
88	832.8125	693.2659	832.8125	734.6387	832.8125	383.8946	1.9136468	832.8125
89	846.3485	532.6905	846.3485	643.0944	846.3485	336.057	1.9136468	846.3485
90	857.9334	380.6749	857.9334	562.7257	857.9334	294.0593	1.9136468	857.9334
91	880.8762	54.83158	880.8762	406.6588	880.8762	212.5046	1.9136468	880.8762
92	900.2589	-211.863	900.2589	217.4922	900.2589	113.6532	1.9136468	900.2589
93	913.2922	-400.013	913.2922	80.3776	913.2922	42.00232	1.9136468	913.2922
94	429.5	532.6905	846.3549	643.0944	846.3549	336.1037	1.9133809	846.3549
95	440.5	375.8806	857.9657	561.3106	857.9657	293.3606	1.9133809	857.9657
96	462.25	37.66445	880.9761	401.6501	880.9761	209.9164	1.9133809	880.9761
97	480.625	-238.762	900.4158	202.2663	900.4158	105.7115	1.9133809	900.4158
98	492.0464	-418.942	912.499	71.9623	912.499	37.61002	1.9133809	912.499

Attachment O - Static Triggering Outputs
Plugged Lift 1

Plugged Lift 1 Static Triggering Analysis

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



P:\Mpls\23 MN\69\2369862\WorkFiles\022A GeoStudio models\2014\Section F\Triggering_05_PluggedL1\Triggering Analysis - PluggedL1-20141215.xlsx

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 5/3/2017
File Name: Plugged-SecF_Lift8_buttress 2017_USSA_05.03.2017.gsz

1.0 Lift 8 -USSA-FSFS

Factor of Safety: 2.12

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Flotation Tailings Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.26
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °



PolyMet

4/21/2017

Saturated Zones

0 0

0 0

Post-liquefaction FSFS FS= *N/A*

Computing the Fstriggering based on the shear mobilized of the pre-con model versus the shear resistance/strength of the post-con model

Plugged-SecF_Lift8_buttress 2017_USSA.gsz

# of slices 185

USSA $_{liq}$ FS= 1.1

USSA $_{yield}$ FS= 2.12

Lift8-FSFS

Saturated slices	*FS*	
min	*2.12*	@x=
max	*2.12*	1334.9
ave	*2.12*	458.4

Legend

Added & interpolated to to maintain distances for FS computation

Indicates unsaturated soil (above phreatic), not subject to liquefaction

FS$_{triggering}$ shading scale

min

1.1

max

Liquefaction is triggered (FS<1.1)

Slice #	X (ft)	Pore-Water Pressure-Plugged L8 Pore-Water Pressure (psf)	Distance (ft)	Shear Strength - Plugged L8 Shear Mobilized (psf)	Distance (ft)	Shear Mobilized - Plugged L8 Shear Resistance (psf)	Strength/ Mob FOS$_{triggering}$	Distance (ft)
1	-539	-63	0	432	0	917	2.1222091	0
2	-509	25	30	1022	30	2169	2.1222091	30.45371
3	-497	58	42	1234	42	2618	2.1222091	41.89701
4	-459	171	80	1940	80	4117	2.1222091	79.9658
5	-421	279	118	2610	118	5539	2.1222091	117.9173
6	-408	301	132	2850	132	6049	2.1222091	131.5639
7	-395	322	144	781	144	1658	2.1222091	143.656
8	-391	336	148	791	148	1679	2.1222091	148.0153
9	-385	357	154	819	154	1738	2.1222091	154.4672
10	-381	370	158	835	158	1773	2.1222091	158.4686
11	-376	388	164	858	164	1820	2.1222091	163.6457
12	-366	435	173	898	173	1905	2.1222091	173.0439
13	-358	459	181	934	181	1982	2.1222091	181.0473
14	-351	479	188	963	188	2044	2.1222091	187.777
15	-337	521	202	1024	202	2174	2.1222091	202.283
16	-324	557	215	1079	215	2290	2.1222091	215.1671
17	-321	564	218	1093	218	2319	2.1222091	218.1681
18	-317	571	223	1113	223	2362	2.1222091	222.6689
19	-307	586	232	1157	232	2455	2.1222091	232.1707
20	-297	599	242	1202	242	2551	2.1222091	242.1726
21	-289	608	250	1236	250	2624	2.1222091	250.1741
22	-277	619	263	1289	263	2735	2.1222091	262.6764
23	-266	633	273	1331	273	2824	2.1222091	273.1784
24	-262	651	277	1344	277	2853	2.1222091	277.1791
25	-259	665	280	1350	280	2866	2.1222091	280.1797
26	-254	691	286	1344	286	2853	2.1222091	285.6807
27	-249	714	291	1339	291	2841	2.1222091	290.6816
28	-247	718	292	1338	292	2840	2.1222091	291.6968
29	-245	724	294	1338	294	2840	2.1222091	294.1969
30	-239	735	301	1342	301	2848	2.1222091	300.6811
31	-233	744	306	1345	306	2855	2.1222091	306.1811
32	-230	749	310	1346	310	2857	2.1222091	309.6819
33	-225	754	314	1346	314	2857	2.1222091	314.1819
34	-223	754	316	1346	316	2857	2.1222091	316.3269
35	-222	754	317	1346	317	2857	2.1222091	317.1047
36	-219	753	321	1346	321	2856	2.1222091	320.5305
37	-215	752	324	1345	324	2854	2.1222091	324.3064
38	-210	764	330	4845	330	10283	2.1222091	329.6777
39	-205	783	334	1391	334	2953	2.1222091	334.4068
40	-199	852	340	1381	340	2931	2.1222091	339.9648
41	-191	986	349	1363	349	2892	2.1222091	348.682
42	-179	1153	360	1342	360	2848	2.1222091	360.1821
43	-169	1284	370	1326	370	2814	2.1222091	369.7638
44	-164	1350	375	1317	375	2796	2.1222091	374.7234
45	-158	1430	381	1306	381	2772	2.1222091	381.4167
46	-154	1472	385	1301	385	2760	2.1222091	384.9571
47	-153	1492	387	1297	387	2753	2.1222091	386.6821
48	-142	1607	397	1279	397	2715	2.1222091	396.8947
49	-118	1843	421	1241	421	2633	2.1222091	421.3952
50	-99	2003	440	1213	440	2575	2.1222091	440.4085
51	-90	2068	449	1203	449	2552	2.1222091	448.9091
52	-81	2135	458	1191	458	2528	2.1222091	458.4099
53	-74	2180	465	1183	465	2510	2.1222091	465.4874
54	-70	2199	469	1179	469	2501	2.1222091	468.7635
55	-66	2224	473	1173	473	2490	2.1222091	473.1888
56	-62	2246	478	1168	478	2479	2.1222091	477.6903
57	-60	2253	479	1166	479	2475	2.1222091	479.1908
58	-53	2285	486	1160	486	2462	2.1222091	485.739
59	-47	2314	492	1155	492	2451	2.1222091	491.8171
60	-34	2366	505	1213	505	2574	2.1222091	505.2758
61	-7	2450	532	1266	532	2687	2.1222091	532.3841
62	8	2483	547	1261	547	2675	2.1222091	546.8891
63	11	2489	550	1259	550	2672	2.1222091	549.7154
64	28	2516	567	1240	567	2632	2.1222091	566.7232
65	47	2542	586	1236	586	2622	2.1222091	585.9681
66	61	2563	600	1273	600	2701	2.1222091	600.4747
67	78	2591	617	1315	617	2790	2.1222091	617.2963
68	88	2607	627	1339	627	2841	2.1222091	627.3022
69	93	2611	632	1347	632	2860	2.1222091	631.7566
70	97	2617	636	1354	636	2873	2.1222091	636.2611
71	107	2630	647	1374	647	2915	2.1222091	646.5124
72	116	2642	655	1393	655	2955	2.1222091	655.4481
73	123	2649	663	1408	663	2989	2.1222091	662.6641
74	130	2654	670	1424	670	3022	2.1222091	669.7147
75	143	2657	683	1414	683	3002	2.1222091	682.7827
76	161	2656	701	1396	701	2963	2.1222091	700.5786
77	169	2655	708	1389	708	2947	2.1222091	707.9638
78	181	2652	720	1377	720	2923	2.1222091	720.2093
79	196	2673	735	1374	735	2916	2.1222091	735.4804
80	214	2704	754	1409	754	2991	2.1222091	753.5455
81	229	2712	768	1440	768	3056	2.1222091	768.4524
82	230	2713	770	1443	770	3062	2.1222091	769.9554
83	231	2713	771	1445	771	3066	2.1222091	770.7194
84	233	2713	772	1448	772	3074	2.1222091	772.2228
85	258	2704	797	1509	797	3202	2.1222091	797.4844
86	285	2691	824	1564	824	3319	2.1222091	824.0976
87	291	2689	830	1559	830	3308	2.1222091	830.1365
88	296	2693	835	1553	835	3296	2.1222091	835.2159
89	315	2696	854	1527	854	3240	2.1222091	854.2512
90	336	2764	876	1488	876	3158	2.1222091	875.6968

91	341	2788	881	1477	881	3135	2.1222091	880.7135
92	346	2813	886	1480	886	3142	2.1222091	885.7309
93	352	2850	892	1487	892	3156	2.1222091	891.781
94	364	2961	904	1497	904	3177	2.1222091	904.2726
95	384	3122	924	1513	924	3210	2.1222091	923.8463
96	394	3184	934	1522	934	3231	2.1222091	934.0653
97	398	3206	938	1527	938	3240	2.1222091	937.5804
98	404	3236	944	1537	944	3263	2.1222091	943.9349
99	420	3301	960	1562	960	3314	2.1222091	959.9738
100	432	3322	973	1582	973	3357	2.1222091	972.5758
101	436	3328	976	1576	976	3346	2.1222091	976.0458
102	460	3306	1001	1542	1001	3272	2.1222091	1000.651
103	487	3236	1027	1507	1027	3199	2.1222091	1027.348
104	494	3213	1035	1504	1035	3193	2.1222091	1034.921
105	502	3188	1043	1518	1043	3222	2.1222091	1042.721
106	510	3163	1051	1533	1051	3253	2.1222091	1050.764
107	517	3144	1058	1547	1058	3282	2.1222091	1058.031
108	535	3095	1076	1584	1076	3361	2.1222091	1075.614
109	550	3052	1090	1615	1090	3427	2.1222091	1090.229
110	554	3035	1095	1624	1095	3445	2.1222091	1094.765
111	569	2978	1110	1652	1110	3505	2.1222091	1110.357
112	583	2943	1124	1671	1124	3546	2.1222091	1123.964
113	591	2924	1132	1659	1132	3520	2.1222091	1131.672
114	607	2881	1148	1633	1148	3466	2.1222091	1148.302
115	619	2851	1160	1616	1160	3429	2.1222091	1159.809
116	621	2849	1162	1612	1162	3421	2.1222091	1161.802
117	622	2847	1163	1610	1163	3416	2.1222091	1163.279
118	628	2841	1169	1600	1169	3396	2.1222091	1168.847
119	638	2837	1179	1580	1179	3354	2.1222091	1179.127
120	645	2845	1186	1564	1186	3320	2.1222091	1186.372
121	650	2858	1191	1552	1191	3294	2.1222091	1191.428
122	655	2888	1197	1535	1197	3257	2.1222091	1196.968
123	665	2927	1206	1509	1206	3201	2.1222091	1206.287
124	673	2976	1215	1485	1215	3152	2.1222091	1214.92
125	677	2998	1218	1476	1218	3133	2.1222091	1218.489
126	688	3064	1230	1447	1230	3071	2.1222091	1230.059
127	698	3124	1240	1422	1240	3018	2.1222091	1239.891
128	706	3170	1248	1403	1248	2977	2.1222091	1247.515
129	724	3264	1266	1355	1266	2876	2.1222091	1266.154
130	739	3322	1281	1319	1281	2799	2.1222091	1280.85
131	760	3448	1302	1262	1302	2678	2.1222091	1302.166
132	780	3565	1323	1208	1323	2563	2.1222091	1322.526
133	785	3579	1328	1195	1328	2537	2.1222091	1327.753
134	792	3595	1335	1178	1335	2500	2.1222091	1334.91
135	800	3614	1343	1156	1343	2454	2.1222091	1343.325
136	811	3630	1354	1129	1354	2396	2.1222091	1353.737
137	819	3651	1363	1105	1363	2345	2.1222091	1362.516
138	826	3693	1369	1084	1369	2301	2.1222091	1369.381
139	831	3721	1374	1071	1374	2273	2.1222091	1373.89
140	832	3730	1376	1066	1376	2262	2.1222091	1375.654
141	838	3734	1381	1140	1381	2419	2.1222091	1381.279
142	844	3730	1388	1131	1388	2401	2.1222091	1387.514
143	855	3720	1399	1143	1399	2426	2.1222091	1398.815
144	867	3707	1411	1155	1411	2451	2.1222091	1410.771
145	870	3702	1414	1157	1414	2456	2.1222091	1413.817
146	884	3688	1428	1174	1428	2492	2.1222091	1428.21
147	903	3664	1447	1197	1447	2541	2.1222091	1446.884
148	909	3652	1453	1205	1453	2557	2.1222091	1453.22
149	921	3620	1466	1219	1466	2587	2.1222091	1465.859
150	939	3572	1483	1216	1483	2582	2.1222091	1483.39
151	968	3467	1514	1145	1514	2429	2.1222091	1513.87
152	1026	3249	1572	1127	1572	2392	2.1222091	1572.359
153	1065	3099	1612	1172	1612	2488	2.1222091	1611.732
154	1070	3077	1617	1178	1617	2501	2.1222091	1617.308
155	1076	3047	1624	1185	1624	2515	2.1222091	1623.729
156	1107	2901	1655	1128	1655	2394	2.1222091	1654.811
157	1137	2760	1685	1051	1685	2231	2.1222091	1685.414
158	1148	2709	1697	1042	1697	2210	2.1222091	1696.663
159	1163	2641	1712	1052	1712	2232	2.1222091	1711.677
160	1173	2592	1722	1060	1722	2249	2.1222091	1722.44
161	1183	2535	1732	1068	1732	2267	2.1222091	1732.489
162	1206	2397	1756	1086	1756	2304	2.1222091	1756.029
163	1226	2281	1777	1101	1777	2336	2.1222091	1777.036
164	1243	2193	1794	1072	1794	2274	2.1222091	1794.325
165	1268	2071	1820	999	1820	2120	2.1222091	1819.973
166	1287	1987	1839	942	1839	2000	2.1222091	1839.078
167	1296	1947	1848	927	1848	1968	2.1222091	1848.188
168	1311	1869	1864	930	1864	1974	2.1222091	1863.605
169	1329	1768	1883	936	1883	1986	2.1222091	1882.762
170	1340	1710	1894	930	1894	1974	2.1222091	1893.726
171	1350	1658	1904	902	1904	1914	2.1222091	1903.734
172	1359	1610	1913	875	1913	1858	2.1222091	1912.892
173	1367	1566	1921	850	1921	1805	2.1222091	1921.404
174	1400	1394	1955	746	1955	1582	2.1222091	1955.361
175	1429	1259	1986	648	1986	1376	2.1222091	1985.812
176	1432	1242	1989	638	1989	1355	2.1222091	1988.97
177	1441	1201	1997	610	1997	1295	2.1222091	1997.25
178	1454	1121	2011	565	2011	1200	2.1222091	2010.977
179	1466	1032	2023	524	2023	1113	2.1222091	2023.361
180	1488	827	2047	454	2047	964	2.1222091	2046.518
181	1508	621	2067	396	2067	841	2.1222091	2067.196
182	1540	275	2100	304	2100	646	2.1222091	2100.481
183	1574	-91	2135	198	2135	420	2.1222091	2134.958
184	1590	-196	2152	348	2152	739	2.1222091	2151.797
185	1604	-400	2166	102	2166	217	2.1222091	2166.482

Plugged Lift 8 Static Triggering Analysis

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



P:\Mpls\23 MN\69\2369862\WorkFiles\022A GeoStudio models\2017\Section F\Triggering_02_Plugged L8\Triggering Analysis - PLUGGEDL8_knaQAQC2.xlsx

Example Computation for Olson and Stark's Triggering Analysis

Example for Slice #1, located at the toe of the slope

The Olson and Stark (Reference (1) (2)) procedure can generally be summarized in the following steps:

Step 1 – Perform a limit equilibrium analysis (SLOPE/W) to determine $\tau_{driving}$ and σ'_{vo} for each slice along the critical failure surface.

From back-calculated model where FOS = 1.0, the Shear Mobilized is equal to $\tau_{driving}$. Therefore, this value is obtained from SLOPE/W output for each slice.

Slice #1: $\boldsymbol{\tau_{driving} = 400\ psf}$

The effective vertical stress ($\sigma'_{vo,\ ave}$) is calculated by the following equation:

$$\sigma'_{vo,ave}(psf) = \sigma'_{normal} * cos(\alpha) = 1050\ psf * 0.94 = 987\ psf$$

Where:

$\sigma'_{vo,\ ave}$ = effective vertical stress

σ'_{normal} = effective normal stress, obtained from SLOPE/W output for each slice

Slice #1: $\sigma'_{normal} = 1050\ psf$

α = base angle, obtained from SLOPE/W output for each slice

Slice #1: α = -20°, therefore cos(20°) = 0.94

Slice #1: $\boldsymbol{\sigma'_{vo,\ ave} = 987\ psf}$

Step 2 – Calculate the average static shear stress ratio $\tau_{driving} / \sigma'_{vo,\ ave}$ for each slice using the limit equilibrium results.

$$\begin{aligned} Average\ Shear\ Stress\ Ratio &= \tau_{driving} / \sigma'_{vo,\ ave} \\ &= 400\ psf / 987\ psf \\ &= 0.405 \end{aligned}$$

Slice #1: $\boldsymbol{\tau_{driving}/\sigma'_{vo,\ ave} = 0.405}$

Step 3 – Estimate the average seismic shear stress $\tau_{seismic,ave}$ either using published relationships or using a deformation site response analysis model.

Published relationships were used to estimate $\tau_{seismic,ave}$:

$$\tau_{seismic,ave} = \frac{0.65 * \frac{a_{max}}{g} * \frac{\sigma_{vo}}{\sigma'_{vo}} * rd}{MSF} = \frac{0.65 * 0.02 * 987psf * 0.988}{2.82354} = 4.5\ psf$$

Where:

0.65 = reduction factor to produce a *CSR* representative of the most significant cycles over the full loading duration
a_{max} = peak horizontal ground acceleration at the ground surface due to the design earthquake (2,475-year return period) = 0.02g
g = acceleration due to gravity
Total Stress (psf), $\frac{\sigma_{vo}}{\sigma'_{vo}} = \sigma'_{normal} * \cos(\alpha) = \sigma'_{vo,\ ave} = 987$ psf *[Calculated in Step 1]*
r_d = stress reduction coefficient, which accounts for flexibility of the soil profile

$$r_d = \frac{1.000 - 0.4113z^{0.5} + 0.04052\,z + 0.001753\,z^{1.5}}{1.000 - 0.4177z^{0.5} + 0.05792z - 0.006205z^{1.5} + 0.001210z^{2}} = 0.988$$

The Magnitude Scaling Factor (*MSF*) has the following relationship based on PSHA:

$$MSF = \left(\frac{M_w}{7.5}\right)^{-2.56} = 2.82354$$

M_w = 5, based on maximum earthquake in MN

Slice #1: **$\tau_{seismic,ave}$ = 4.5 psf**

Step 4 – Compute $s_{u(yield)}$ / σ'_v using corrected mean CPT and SPT penetration resistance.
For granular soils, $s_{u(yield)}/\sigma'_{vo} = \tan^{-1}(\varphi')$
For cohesive soils, $s_{u(yield)}/\sigma'_{vo}$ = cohesion (s_u, psf)/σ'_{vo}

Slice #1: $\varphi' = 40°$
Slice #1: $\sigma'_{vo} = \tan^{-1}(\varphi')$
$= \tan^{-1}(40)$
$= 0.839$

Slice #1: **$s_{u(yield)}/\sigma'_{vo}$ = 0.839**

Step 5 – Determine the values of $s_{u(yield)}$ and $\tau_{driving}$ along the base of each slice.

$\tau_{driving}$ = 400 psf *[Calculated in Step 1]*

$s_{u(yield)} = [s_{u(yield)}/\sigma'_{vo}] * [\sigma'_{vo,\ ave}]$
*= 0.839 [Calculated in Step 4] * 987psf [Calculated in Step 1]*
= 828.1 psf

Slice #1: **$s_{u(yield)}$ = 828.1 psf**

Step 6 – Calculate the factor of safety against liquefaction triggering as:

$$FOS_{triggering} = \frac{S_{u(yield)}}{\tau_{driving} + \tau_{seismic,ave} + \tau_{other}} = \frac{828.1\ psf}{400psf + 4.5psf + 0} = 2.05$$

Note: τ_{other} relates to external driving stresses, such as surcharges, are not included within the static driving shear stress.

Slice #1: **$FOS_{triggering}$ = 2.05**

$FOS_{triggering}$ > 1.1 so slice is assumed to not liquefy

References

1. *Yield Strength Ratio and Liquefaction Analysis of Slopes and Embankments.* **Olson, Scott M and Stark, Timothy D.** 8, s.l. : ASCE, August 2003, Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, Vol. 129, pp. 727-737.

2. *Strength Ratio Approach for Liquefaction Analysis of Tailings Dams.* **Olson, Scott M., Kwong Labuz, J. F. and Kwong Labuz, K. H.** Minneapolis : Proceedings of the UMN 57th Annual Geotechnical Engineering Conference, 2009. pp. 37-46.

Attachment Q

NorthMet Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Assessment (PSHA)

(previously presented as Attachment N in Geotechnical Data Package – Volume 1 – Version 4; relocated to Attachment P and retained without further edits for Geotechnical Data Package – Volume 1 – Versions 5 through 8)

POLYMET
MINING

NorthMet Project

Geotechnical Data Package
Volume 1 – Flotation Tailings Basin

Attachment – Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Analysis

Version 1

Issue Date: September 23, 2011

Table of Contents

1.0 Introduction 1

1.1 Outline 1

2.0 Analyzing Seismic Hazards 2

2.1 Seismicity 2

2.2 General PSHA Procedure 3

2.2.1 Site Location and Analysis Choices 4

2.2.2 Source Characterization 5

2.2.2.1 Earthquake Magnitude 5

2.2.3 Attenuation Relations 6

2.3 Probabilistic Hazard Calculations 6

2.4 Spectral Matching 6

3.0 Results 8

3.1 Nearfield Event 8

3.2 Farfield Event 8

3.3 Combined Event 9

3.4 Deaggregation Comparison 9

3.5 Validation of Results 10

4.0 References 12

5.0 List of Tables 12

6.0 List of Figures 12

7.0 List of Attached Figures 12

1.0 Introduction

This document presents the seismic hazard data used in the Flotation Tailings Basin design. In cases where a supporting document is referenced, a general description of the supporting document is provided. In this document, Tailings Basin is the existing former LTVSMC tailings basin and Flotation Tailings are the NorthMet bulk flotation tailings. In addition, Flotation Tailings Basin is designated FTB.

This attachment describes the site-specific probabilistic seismic hazard analysis (PSHA) prepared for PolyMet. A PSHA is a quantitative estimate of the hazards for ground-shaking at the site analyzed probabilistically to consider uncertainties in earthquake location, size, and time of occurrence. The PSHA was used to develop acceleration-time histories for dynamic stability analyses for the FTB.

1.1 Outline

The outline of this document is:

Section 2 Discussion of seismicity and the general PSHA procedure and inputs

Section 3 Results of the PSHA

Section 4 Discussion of using the results in stability modeling

2.0 Analyzing Seismic Hazards

The goal of PSHA is to quantify the probability of exceeding various ground-motion levels at a site given all possible earthquakes. The PSHA has become one of the most effective ways to analyze and describe seismic hazards at a site. The results of a PSHA are useful for geotechnical and structural design to verify that the stability of structures will be maintained during seismic shaking events.

2.1 Seismicity

A time history is the most common way to describe ground motions. For dynamic stability analyses, acceleration (rather than velocity or displacement) induced at the top of bedrock is plotted against time. This record is also known as an accelerogram. The largest absolute acceleration value in the record is known as the peak ground acceleration (PGA). In general, the PGA can be correlated to the earthquake intensity, and ground motions with a higher PGA are usually more destructive than those with a lower PGA.

The duration of shaking also impacts how destructive an event may be. For example, very high PGAs with high frequencies lasting only a very short time may cause little damage, while an event with a moderate PGA and dominated by long period ground motions may cause substantial damage. Two different example earthquake records are shown in Figure 1 illustrating two of the different types of earthquakes – shaking can be high frequency and long duration (top) or low frequency and short duration (bottom).



Figure 1. Example acceleration-time histories (source: GEO-SLOPE International, Ltd.)

Traditionally, PGA has been used to quantify ground motion in a PSHA to define lateral forces and shear stresses in the equivalent-static-force procedures of some building codes, and in liquefaction analyses. While this still presents a quick way to assess and, when using a pseudo-static preliminary analysis, to evaluate earthquake motion, more sophisticated methods are available and used now.

Currently, the preferred parameter is Response Spectral Acceleration (SA), which gives the maximum acceleration experienced by a damped, single-degree-of-freedom oscillator (a crude representation of building response). The oscillator period is chosen in accordance with the natural period of the structure (roughly number of stories/10 in seconds), and damping values are typically set at 5% of critical damping.

2.2 General PSHA Procedure

The basic elements of a PSHA were established almost four decades ago and the PSHA has now become the most widely used approach for estimating seismic-design loads.

The PSHA was performed using EZ-Frisk™ software Version 7.50 created by Risk Engineering, Inc. EZ-Frisk allows the user to calculate the probability of an earthquake shaking the bedrock beneath a site based on the surrounding fault and area sources. To accomplish this, the following steps are taken:

- Enter the site's latitude and longitude, as well as other site conditions and analysis choices.
- Select the relevant seismic sources from multiple databases containing various sources (e.g. fault sources, area sources, and/or gridded sources).
- Select attenuation equations from a database with over 55 equations containing coefficients for over 240 specific relationships with world-wide applicability.
- Create a uniform hazard spectrum for the site based on the selected (and, if applicable, weighted) seismic sources and attenuation relationships.
- Adjust acceleration-time histories with spectral matching to obtain accelerograms for use in design.

2.2.1 Site Location and Analysis Choices

The location input for the PolyMet site was latitude 47.6187 and longitude -92.1281, which corresponds to the center of the existing LTV tailings basin.

Minnesota has one of the lowest levels of earthquake occurrence in the United States. However, some minor seismic activity occurs within the state infrequently. This activity is referred as nearfield events because the seismic source is relatively close to the site. Because it is closer to the PolyMet site, within a distance of a few hundred miles, the uniform hazard spectrum for such an event would have a relatively sharp peak in the low-period range (though the peak from a local source would still have a relatively small PGA) and a shorter duration.

The nearest significant fault system is the New Madrid Seismic Zone, which spans Missouri, Tennessee, Kentucky, Illinois, and Arkansas. Locally, this seismic zone is known to be as seismically hazardous as parts of California. However, shaking occurring in the New Madrid Seismic Zone must travel 920 miles to reach the PolyMet site. As this is relatively far from the site, this is referred to as a farfield event. As the seismic waves move through the bedrock, the amplitude of the waves will attenuate and the high-frequency waves will dissipate more quickly. Because the high-frequency waves are filtered out more quickly, this yields a uniform hazard spectrum with a more rounded peak in the longer period range.

The effects of both nearfield and farfield events were assessed by deaggregating the combined uniform hazard spectrum. In total, three PSHAs were performed – one for the aggregate event, one for the nearfield, and one for the farfield– to assess how the different results would affect the site.

2.2.2 Source Characterization

As discussed, both nearfield and farfield seismic sources were reviewed for the PolyMet PSHA. EZ-Frisk recommends using a minimum of three sources.

The dominant fault system is the New Madrid seismic zone (NMSZ), part of the Iapetan Continental Rifts source zone (ICR), which represents an interconnected system of partially developed and failed continental rifts that lie within the mid-continental region of the United States. The New Madrid Fault Line is a source of intraplate earthquakes, those that occur in the interior of a tectonic plate. It is a 150-mile long fault system extending into five states. Most seismic activity is located between 3 to 15 miles beneath Earth's surface. This seismic zone is made up of reactivated faults formed when North America began to split or rift apart during the breakup of the supercontinent Rodinia during the Neoproterozoic Era. A scar, or zone of weakness, from the rift is deep underground. This weak area, combined with focusing effects from surrounding stronger igneous rocks, allows small east-west compressive forces to reactivate old faults, the cause of seismic activity. However, large earthquakes in this area are rare with only three Magnitude 8 earthquakes recorded in the last 2000 years, with the last major earthquake occurring in the year 1812.

The greatest seismic impact region for northern Minnesota consists of the Midcontinent Rift System (MRS) or Keweenawan Rift, a 1,243 mile long geological rift in the center of North America. The rift began to split apart during the Mesoproterozoic era but failed and healed, leaving behind Lake Superior basin and rock buried beneath layers of sediments. The northern reaches of the rift have exposed rock of gabbro and granites from magma and basalts seen on the North Shore Minnesota, northwest Wisconsin, and the Upper Michigan Peninsula.

2.2.2.1 Earthquake Magnitude

Inconsistent use of terminology is a cause of confusion in many PSHA reports. In listing the fault and source zone parameters, the term "maximum magnitude" is often used for both the true maximum magnitude and for the mean magnitude from full rupture of a fault.

For area sources, the maximum magnitude is the largest magnitude that can occur in the area source. If an exponential distribution is used for the magnitudes (e.g. Gutenberg-Richter model), then the maximum magnitude is the magnitude at which the exponential distribution is truncated. For fault sources, the dimension of the fault (length or area) is typically used to estimate the mean magnitude for full rupture of the fault. This mean magnitude is better described as the "mean characteristic magnitude" and not as the maximum magnitude because the PSHA will typically consider a range of values about this mean magnitude to account for the variability of the magnitude for a given rupture dimension. For example, the widely used Youngs and Coppersmith (1985) model for the magnitude distribution has the characteristic part of the model centered on the mean characteristic magnitude and the maximum magnitude is 0.25 units larger.

2.2.3 Attenuation Relations

In most cases, the attenuation relations are selected from existing models for the appropriate tectonic regime (shallow crustal earthquakes in active tectonic regions, shallow crustal earthquakes in stable continental regions, or subduction zone earthquakes). The PolyMet PSHA used these three attenuation relationships:

- Silva et al (2002) USGS 2008 MbLg - AB MRC
- Toro (1999) Midcontinent - USGS 2008 MbLg MRC
- Campbell (2003) USGS 2008 MbLg - AB MRC

No fewer than three NGA relations should be utilized and these certain attenuation relations were chosen from a database with over 55 equations containing coefficients for over 240 specific relationships with world-wide applicability. All three equations include MbLg or earthquake magnitudes determined from 1.0 hertz S-waves. These are applicable because of much better data on Lg for calibration in the eastern and central United States.

2.3 Probabilistic Hazard Calculations

The basic result of a PSHA is a series of hazard curves showing the probability of exceeding a ground motion for a range of ground motion values. As it is a probabilistic analysis, there are a range of results that can be supplied for varying probabilities. At a minimum, the hazard should be shown for a least two spectral periods: one short period, such as PGA, and one long period, such as T=2 sec. The selection of the spectral periods should consider the period of the structure.

2.4 Spectral Matching

A uniform hazard spectrum from the 2745-year return period, or 2-percent probability of exceedance in 50 years, is obtained from EZ-FRISK^{TM} for each nearfield, farfield, and combined event. It can then be entered into the PEER Ground Motion Database as a target spectrum. This tool plots the target hazard spectrum and searches for an existing earthquake hazard spectrum that matches the inputs provided by the EZ-FRISK^{TM} analysis. The target hazard spectrum is unique for each nearfield, farfield, and combined analysis. Criteria inputs obtained from the EZ-FRISK^{TM} analysis include magnitude, fault type, rupture distance, duration, and factor limits.

For the PEER search criteria, a mean and range of magnitudes was identified for each PSHA performed. The nearfield was given a mean magnitude of 5.62 with a range from 5.0 to 6.5 and did not include records below a magnitude of 5.0. The farfield was given a mean magnitude of 7.73 with a range from 7.0 to 8.0 and did not include records below a magnitude of 5.0. The combined PSHA was given a mean magnitude of 5.92 with a range from 5.0 to 8.0 and did not include records below a magnitude of 5.0. The source gridding by the USGS in 2008 was utilized because it is the most recent mapping exercise by USGS. MRC, or maximum rotated component, was chosen because it is considered to be the most

conservative attenuation equation for predicting the horizontal geomean component of spectral response at 5% damping. A VS30 of 760 m/s was chosen because it gives Site Classes A or B (according to ASCE 7), which are Hard Rock and Rock, respectively.

The PEER spectral matching provides 30 acceleration-time histories from measured earthquakes that best match the target hazard spectrum. The 30 matching records each include a fault normal and fault parallel event (horizontal records rotated to match the normal and parallel directions to the source fault) and they are ordered from most to least similar. For the PolyMet PEER analysis, the best matching event to the EZ-FRISK™ input was the NGA_2996CHICHI05.HWA003 earthquake record providing a fault normal, fault parallel, and vertical shaking record. For the GeoStudio 2007 QUAKE model, the worst case, or highest peak, acceleration record was chosen to run in the program. The QUAKE input acceleration records are shown in Figures 9 and 10. Due to similar acceleration records, the combined and nearfield events are shown in Figure 9 and the farfield event is shown in Figure 10.

3.0 Results

For each nearfield, farfield, and combined PSHA performed, eight figures were produced showing seismic hazard results. Figures 1-8 are shown as combined (C1-C8), nearfield (N1-N8), and farfield (F1-F8) events. Each figure is based on the spectral response at 5% damping – MRC (maximum rotated component). The first figure provided plots the total mean hazard for each source, or annual peak frequency of exceedance, versus peak ground acceleration. The second figure plots the uniform hazard spectral response versus spectral period for a mean return period of 475, 975, and 2475 years or an occurrence of 2%, 5%, and 10% in 50 years, respectively. The third figure shows the seismic hazard for each fault location while the fourth figure plots the seismic hazard for each fault. The fifth plot displays distance deaggregation plotting probability density versus distance. The magnitude deaggregation or probability density versus magnitude is plotted in the sixth figure and the seventh figure combines the magnitude and distance deaggragation onto one three-dimensional plot. The final figure eight plots the activity rate by seismic source or annual rate of events versus magnitude. Also included for each analysis is an ECHO report, an analysis log, and an area map showing the influential fault and area sources.

3.1 Nearfield Event

The EZ-FRISK™ software identifies the seismic sources within 500 miles of PolyMet as CEUS Gridded – AB and CEUS Gridded – J (CEUS standing for Central and Eastern United States). For the nearfield analysis, the deaggregated seismic hazard is also used because the important earthquake frequencies must be determined and characterized.

For the nearfield event, Figures N-1, N-3, and N-4 show the annual frequency of exceedance versus the PGA.

Figure N-2 shows the variation in spectral acceleration for the 2475-, 975-, and 475-year return periods. The nearfield event had a peak acceleration of 0.055g occurring around a period of 0.1 seconds for the 2475-year return period. The peak nearfield accelerations are 0.025g and 0.013g for the 975- and 475-year return periods, respectively

Figure N-5 displays the mean hazard probability density over a given distance for an acceleration of 0.2g. Figure N-6 provides the probability density of earthquake magnitude. The nearfield event has a mean magnitude of 5.62.

3.2 Farfield Event

The nearest significant fault system, about 920 miles away from the site, is the New Madrid Seismic Zone, which spans Missouri, Tennessee, Kentucky, Illinois, and Arkansas. A farfield event for this site includes seismic faults located a distance greater than about 500 miles from the site. As the seismic waves move through the bedrock, the amplitude of the waves

will attenuate and the high-frequency waves will dissipate more quickly. Because the high-frequency waves are filtered out more quickly, this yields a uniform hazard spectrum with a more rounded peak in the longer period range. The EZ-FRISK™ software identifies these seismic sources greater than 500 miles of PolyMet as the New Madrid – Composite or the USGS 2008 New Madrid Reverse Fault.

For the nearfield event, Figures F-1, F-3, and F-4 show the annual frequency of exceedance versus the PGA.

Figure F-2 shows the variation in spectral acceleration for the 2475-, 975-, and 475-year return periods. The farfield event had a peak acceleration of 0.016g occurring around a period of 2.0 seconds for the 2475-year return period. The peak farfield accelerations are 0.006g and almost zero for the 975- and 475-year return periods, respectively

Figure F-5 displays the mean hazard probability density over a given distance for an acceleration of 0.2g. Figure N-6 provides the probability density of earthquake magnitude. The nearfield event has a mean magnitude of 7.73.

3.3 Combined Event

The nearfield analysis analyzes seismic activity within a distance of 500 miles. The farfield events excluded the nearfield influence and analyze seismic activity greater than a distance of 500 miles and less than 1242 miles. The effect of both nearfield and farfield events can also be combined, or aggregated, for a given site. The EZ-FRISK™ software identifies all seismic sources within a 1242 mile range of the PolyMet site. These sources include the nearfield events of CEUS Gridded – AB and CEUS Gridded – J as well as farfield events such as the New Madrid – Composite or the USGS 2008 New Madrid Reverse Fault.

For the nearfield event, Figures C-1, C-3, and C-4 show the annual frequency of exceedance versus the PGA.

Figure C-2 shows the variation in spectral acceleration for the 2475-, 975-, and 475-year return periods. The combined event had a peak acceleration of 0.061g occurring around a period of 0.1 seconds for the 2475-year return period. The peak combined accelerations are 0.030g and 0.017g for the 975- and 475-year return periods, respectively

Figure C-5 displays the mean hazard probability density over a given distance for an acceleration of 0.2g. Figure C-6 provides the probability density of earthquake magnitude. The combined event has a mean magnitude of 5.92.

3.4 Deaggregation Comparison

Figures labeled N-2, F-2, and C-2 show the variation in spectral acceleration for the 2475-,

975-, and 475-year return periods. It can be seen that the nearfield event had a peak acceleration of 0.055g occurring around a period of 0.1 seconds for the 2475-year return period. For the same return period, the farfield spectrum had a spectral peak acceleration much less, with a value of 0.016g at a period of 2.0 seconds. The peak nearfield accelerations are 0.025g and 0.013g for the 975- and 475-year return periods, respectively, and the peak farfield accelerations are 0.006g and almost zero for the 975- and 475-year return periods, respectively. The combined peak acceleration is slightly higher than the nearfield peak acceleration for each return period indicating that the highest amplitude shaking would result from the nearfield seismic events. The combined peak accelerations are 0.061g, 0.030g, and 0.017g for the 2475-, 975-, and 475-year return periods, respectively.

Figures labeled N-5, F-5, and C-5 display the mean hazard probability density over a given distance. The nearfield event has the highest probability (about twice as high as the farfield probability when compared on the combined plot) within a distance of 200 miles from the PolyMet site. The farfield probability is greatest at a distance of 750 miles from the PolyMet site but it is about half the probability when compared to the nearfield probability indicating that closer seismic events are much more likely to produce higher accelerations at the site.

Figures N-6, F-6, and C-6 provide the probability density of earthquake magnitude. The nearfield event has a mean magnitude of 5.62, while the farfield and combined analysis show mean magnitudes of 7.73 and 5.92, respectively.

3.5 Validation of Results

A comparison of uniform hazard spectra was used to verify the results of the PSHAs. The results of the combined PSHA were compared to the hazard spectrum coming from the USGS website which uses latitude and longitude as the input. The 2475-year return period results were almost identical, as shown in Figure 11.

Table 1. Summary of PSHA results

				Nearfield	Farfield	Combined
Figure 2	2475-year Return Period	Spectral Acceleration	g	0.055	0.016	0.061
		Peak Period	seconds	0.1	2.0	0.1
	975-year Return Period	Spectral Acceleration	g	0.025	0.006	0.030
		Peak Period	seconds	0.2	1.0	0.1
	475-year Return Period	Spectral Acceleration	g	0.013	0.000	0.017
		Peak Period	seconds	0.2	N/A	0.2
Figure 5		Hazard (probability)		0.0005254	8.13349E-05	0.000617235
		Mean Distance	miles	100	763	200
Figure 6		Mean Magnitude	M	5.62	7.73	5.92

4.0 References

Barr Engineering Company. (2008, September). *Streamflow and Lake Level Changes, Model Calibration Report for the PolyMet NorthMet Mine Site* .

Edward H. Field. (2005, 02 24). *Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Analysis (PSHA): A Primer.* Retrieved June 29, 2011, from OpenSHA: http://www.opensha.org/sites/opensha.org/files/PSHA_Primer_v2_0.pdf

5.0 List of Tables

Table 1. Summary of PSHA results …… 11

6.0 List of Figures

Figure 1. Example acceleration-time histories ……... 3

7.0 List of Attached Figures

Figure C1 – Combined Total Hazard Spectrum

Figure C2 – Combined Uniform Hazard Spectrum

Figure C3 – Combined Hazard by Seismic Source

Figure C4 – Combined Hazard-Spectral Response

Figure C5 – Combined Distance Deaggregation

Figure C6 – Combined Magnitude Deaggregation

Figure C7 – Combined Magnitude-Distance Deaggregation

Figure C8 – Combined Activity Rate

Combined Map

Figure F1 – Farfield Total Hazard Spectrum

Figure F2 - Farfield Uniform Hazard Spectrum

Figure F3 - Farfield Hazard by Seismic Source

Figure F4 - Farfield Hazard-Spectral Response

Figure F5 - Farfield Distance Deaggregation

Figure F6 - Farfield Magnitude Deaggregation

Figure F7 – Farfield Magnitude-Distance Deaggregation

Figure F8 - Farfield Activity Rate

Farfield Map

Figure N1 – Nearfield Total Hazard Spectrum

Figure N2 - Nearfield Uniform Hazard Spectrum

Figure N3 - Nearfield Hazard by Seismic Source

Figure N4 - Nearfield Hazard-Spectral Response

Figure N5 - Nearfield Distance Deaggregation

Figure N6 - Nearfield Magnitude Deaggregation

Figure N7 – Nearfield Magnitude-Distance Deaggregation

Figure N8 - Nearfield Activity Rate

Nearfield Map

Figure 9 – Combined and Nearfield (fault-parallel)

Figure 10 – Farfield (fault-normal)

Figure 11 – PolyMet: Uniform Hazard Spectrum, 2% Exceedance in 50 Years

Figures

Figure C1 - Combined Total Hazard Spectrum

File: C:\Documents and Settings\jdg\Desktop\EZ-FRISK\PolyMet\Deaggregated_6-11-11\Farfield\~_PolyMet Probal
Date Modified: 06/11/2011 11:05:17 PM



Figure C2 - Combined Uniform Hazard Spectrum

File: C:\Documents and Settings\jdg\Desktop\EZ-FRISK\PolyMet\Deaggregated_6-11-11\Farfield\~_PolyMet Probal
Date Modified: 06/11/2011 11:23:13 PM



Figure C3 - Combined Hazard by Seismic Source

File: C:\Documents and Settings\jdg\Desktop\EZ-FRISK\PolyMet\Deaggregated_6-11-11\Farfield\~_PolyMet Probal
Date Modified: 06/11/2011 11:06:58 PM



Figure C4 - Combined Hazard-Spectral Response

File: C:\Documents and Settings\jdg\Desktop\EZ-FRISK\PolyMet\Deaggregated_6-11-11\Farfield\~_PolyMet Probal
Date Modified: 06/11/2011 11:07:45 PM



Figure C5 - Combined Distance Deaggregation

File: C:\Documents and Settings\jdg\Desktop\EZ-FRISK\PolyMet\Deaggregated_6-11-11\Farfield\~_PolyMet Probal
Date Modified: 06/11/2011 11:24:35 PM



Figure C6 - Combined Magnitude Deaggregation

File: C:\Documents and Settings\jdg\Desktop\EZ-FRISK\PolyMet\Deaggregated_6-11-11\Farfield\~_PolyMet Probal
Date Modified: 06/11/2011 11:26:40 PM



Figure C7 - Combined Magnitude-Distance Deaggregation

File: C:\Documents and Settings\jdg\Desktop\EZ-FRISK\PolyMet\Deaggregated_6-11-11\Farfield\~_PolyMet Probal
Date Modified: 06/11/2011 11:27:02 PM

Magnitude-Distance Deaggregation
Spectral Response @ 5% Damping - Maximum Rotated Horizontal Component



Period: PGA
Amplitude: 0.02
Hazard: 0.000617235
Mean Magnitude: 5.92
Mean Distance: 318.55

Figure C8 - Combined Activity Rate

File: C:\Documents and Settings\jdg\Desktop\EZ-FRISK\PolyMet\Deaggregated_6-11-11\Farfield\~_PolyMet Probal
Date Modified: 06/11/2011 11:27:16 PM



Note: Magnitudes are shown in the scale used by each source, which do vary.

Combined Map

File: C:\Documents and Settings\jdg\Desktop\EZ-FRISK\PolyMet\Deaggregated_6-11-11\Farfield\~_PolyMet Probal



MAP LEGEND

Site

Faults

Included

Not included

Activity Rate
(rate of M>5

0.0e+000
1.0e-005
5.0e-005
1.0e-004
5.0e-004
1.0e+000

Figure F1 - Farfield Total Hazard Spectrum

File: C:\Documents and Settings\jdg\Desktop\EZ-FRISK\PolyMet\Deaggregated_6-11-11\Farfield\~_PolyMet Probal
Date Modified: 06/11/2011 10:11:48 PM



Figure F2 - Farfield Uniform Hazard Spectra

File: C:\Documents and Settings\jdg\Desktop\EZ-FRISK\PolyMet\Deaggregated_6-11-11\Farfield\~_PolyMet Probal
Date Modified: 06/11/2011 11:03:17 PM



Figure F3 - Farfield Hazard by Seismic Source

File: C:\Documents and Settings\jdg\Desktop\EZ-FRISK\PolyMet\Deaggregated_6-11-11\Farfield\~_PolyMet Probal
Date Modified: 06/11/2011 11:05:37 PM



Figure F4 - Farfield Hazard Spectral Response

File: C:\Documents and Settings\jdg\Desktop\EZ-FRISK\PolyMet\Deaggregated_6-11-11\Farfield\~_PolyMet Probal
Date Modified: 06/11/2011 11:06:57 PM



Figure F5 - Farfield Distance Deaggregation

File: C:\Documents and Settings\jdg\Desktop\EZ-FRISK\PolyMet\Deaggregated_6-11-11\Farfield\~_PolyMet Probal
Date Modified: 06/11/2011 11:03:34 PM



Figure F6 - Farfield Magnitude Deaggregation

File: C:\Documents and Settings\jdg\Desktop\EZ-FRISK\PolyMet\Deaggregated_6-11-11\Farfield\~_PolyMet Probal
Date Modified: 06/11/2011 11:08:15 PM



Figure F7 - Farfield Magnitude-Distance Deaggregation

File: C:\Documents and Settings\jdg\Desktop\EZ-FRISK\PolyMet\Deaggregated_6-11-11\Farfield\~_PolyMet Probal
Date Modified: 06/11/2011 11:08:36 PM

Magnitude-Distance Deaggregation
Spectral Response @ 5% Damping - Maximum Rotated Horizontal Component



Period: PGA
Amplitude: 0.02
Hazard: 8.13349e-005
Mean Magnitude: 7.73
Mean Distance: 1227.46

Figure F8 - Farfield Activity Rate

File: C:\Documents and Settings\jdg\Desktop\EZ-FRISK\PolyMet\Deaggregated_6-11-11\Farfield\~_PolyMet Probal
Date Modified: 06/11/2011 11:09:24 PM



Farfield Map

File: C:\Documents and Settings\jdg\Desktop\EZ-FRISK\PolyMet\Deaggregated_6-11-11\Farfield\~_PolyMet Probal



MAP LEGEND

Site

Faults

Included

Not included

Figure N1 - Nearfield Total Hazard Spectrum

File: C:\Documents and Settings\jdg\Desktop\EZ-FRISK\PolyMet\Deaggregated_6-11-11\Nearfield\~_PolyMet Prob
Date Modified: 06/11/2011 09:06:34 PM



Figure N2 - Nearfield Uniform Hazard Spectra

File: C:\Documents and Settings\jdg\Desktop\EZ-FRISK\PolyMet\Deaggregated_6-11-11\Nearfield\~_PolyMet Prob
Date Modified: 06/11/2011 09:49:01 PM



Figure N3 - Nearfield Hazard by Seismic Source

File: C:\Documents and Settings\jdg\Desktop\EZ-FRISK\PolyMet\Deaggregated_6-11-11\Nearfield\~_PolyMet Prob
Date Modified: 06/11/2011 09:08:51 PM



Figure N4 - Neafield Hazard Spectral Response

File: C:\Documents and Settings\jdg\Desktop\EZ-FRISK\PolyMet\Deaggregated_6-11-11\Nearfield\~_PolyMet Prob
Date Modified: 06/11/2011 09:09:39 PM



Figure N5 - Nearfield Distance Deaggregation

File: C:\Documents and Settings\jdg\Desktop\EZ-FRISK\PolyMet\Deaggregated_6-11-11\Nearfield\~_PolyMet Prob
Date Modified: 06/11/2011 09:50:04 PM



Figure N6 - Nearfield Magnitude Deaggregation

File: C:\Documents and Settings\jdg\Desktop\EZ-FRISK\PolyMet\Deaggregated_6-11-11\Nearfield\~_PolyMet Prob
Date Modified: 06/11/2011 09:53:32 PM



Figure N7 - Neafield Magnitude-Distance Deaggregation

File: C:\Documents and Settings\jdg\Desktop\EZ-FRISK\PolyMet\Deaggregated_6-11-11\Nearfield\~_PolyMet Prob
Date Modified: 06/11/2011 09:53:46 PM

Magnitude-Distance Deaggregation
Spectral Response @ 5% Damping - Maximum Rotated Horizontal Component



Period: PGA
Amplitude: 0.02
Hazard: 0.000525374
Mean Magnitude: 5.62
Mean Distance: 159.51

Figure N8 - Nearfield Activity Rate

File: C:\Documents and Settings\jdg\Desktop\EZ-FRISK\PolyMet\Deaggregated_6-11-11\Nearfield\~_PolyMet Prob
Date Modified: 06/11/2011 09:54:02 PM



Nearfield Map

File: C:\Documents and Settings\jdg\Desktop\EZ-FRISK\PolyMet\Deaggregated_6-11-11\Nearfield\~_PolyMet Prob



Figure 9 - Combined and Nearfield (fault parallel)



P:\Mpls\23 MN\69\2369862\WorkFiles\WO 022A Tailings Basin Permitting\2011 re-analysis\2011 Section F report\Att E - PSHA report\Quake acceleration records.xlsx

Figure 10 - Farfield (fault normal)



P:\Mpls\23 MN\69\2369862\WorkFiles\WO 022A Tailings Basin Permitting\2011 re-analysis\2011 Section F report\Att E - PSHA report\Quake acceleration records.xlsx

Figure 11 - PolyMet: Uniform Hazard Spectrum, 2% Exceedance in 50 Years



G:\KNA\EZ-FRISK_New\PolyMet\Spectral_Comparison.xlsx

Attachment R

Seismic Liquefaction Triggering Plots

Sounding 07-05 Triggering Potential

Based on CPT Data (Boulanger and Idriss, 2004)

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



Sounding 07-27 Triggering Potential

Based on CPT Data (Boulanger and Idriss, 2004)

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



Sounding 07-04B Triggering Potential

Based on CPT Data (Boulanger and Idriss, 2004)

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



Sounding CPT14-04 Triggering Potential

Based on CPT Data (Boulanger and Idriss, 2004)

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



Sounding CPT14-05 Triggering Potential

Based on CPT Data (Boulanger and Idriss, 2004)

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



Sounding CPT14-06 Triggering Potential

Based on CPT Data (Boulanger and Idriss, 2004)

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



Sounding CPT14-17 Triggering Potential

Based on CPT Data (Boulanger and Idriss, 2004)

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



Sounding CPT14-18 Triggering Potential

Based on CPT Data (Boulanger and Idriss, 2004)

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



Sounding CPT14-20 Triggering Potential

Based on CPT Data (Boulanger and Idriss, 2004)

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



Sounding CPT14-21 Triggering Potential

Based on CPT Data (Boulanger and Idriss, 2004)

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



Sounding CPT14-22 Triggering Potential

Based on CPT Data (Boulanger and Idriss, 2004)

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



Sounding DH96-46 Triggering Potential

Based on CPT Data (Boulanger and Idriss, 2004)

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



Sounding DH96-48 Triggering Potential

Based on CPT Data (Boulanger and Idriss, 2004)

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



Sounding DH96-49 Triggering Potential

Based on CPT Data (Boulanger and Idriss, 2004)

NorthMet Flotation Tailings Basin



Attachment S

Sensitivity Analysis Plots

Table 1

Critical Slip Surface Segment Lengths in the Existing Tailings Basin for Cross-Section F

										Statistics:	***MIN***	***MAX***	***AVERAGE***	***MEDIAN***
Slip Surface Segment Lengths [feet]	234	226	207	80	138	54	37	55	28		***28***	***234***	***118***	***80***
Material	fine tailings	slimes	slimes	fine tailings	slimes	fine tailings	slimes	fine tailings	slimes		slimes	slimes		

Note: Estimate of Critical Slip Surface Length in Flotation Tailings for Cross-Section F.

It is reasonable to assume that at least every five-year zone of Flotation Tailings will exhibit a strength difference due to consolidation over time. In five years, two lifts will be constructed, each having a height of 20 feet. The length of the critical slip surface through a 40-foot thick deposit of Flotation Tailings will be approximately 210 feet.

FIGURE 1

LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes

Yield Strength Probability Distribution



FIGURE 2

NorthMet Flotation Tailings

Yield Strength Probability Distribution



FIGURE 3

Compressed and Virgin Peat

Yield Strength Probability Distribution



FIGURE 4

LTVSMC Fine Tailings/Slimes

Liquefied Strength Probability Distribution



FIGURE 5

NorthMet Flotation Tailings

Liquefied Strength Probability Distribution



Figure 6. Cross-Section F Sensitivity Analysis 2 Critical Slip Surface
Measured Segment Lengths Along the Slip Surface



FIGURE 7

Cumulative Distribution Function for Factor of Safety Under Normal Pool Conditions



FIGURE 8

Cumulative Distribution Function for Factor of Safety Under Normal Pool Conditions: Sensitivity Analysis of Variable Inputs



FIGURE 9

Cumulative Distribution Function for Factor of Safety given the Occurence of an Unknown Triggering Event



FIGURE 10

Cumulative Distribution Function for Factor of Safety given the Occurence of an Unknown Triggering Event: Sensitivity Analysis of Variable Inputs



Attachment T

SEEP/W Output Figures

Existing Conditions

Sections F, G and N

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Section F 2014 Verification
Date Last Saved: 12/8/2014
File Name: SectionF_2014 verification.gsz

No Lifts - steady-state
2014 Basin Conditions

Name: Glacial Till Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Glacial Till, ksat=5.1x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Compressed Peat, ksat=1.18x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 0.067
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Virgin Peat, ksat=3.3x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Rock Dam Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Rock Dam, ksat=5.0x10-2 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC CT, ksat=8.0x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC slimes, ksat=3.16x10-8 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT/Slimes, ksat=1.0x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Bedrock Model: Saturated Only K-Sat: 6.3e-007 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Saturated Only K-Sat: 2.36e-005 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Section G 2014 Verification
Date Last Saved: 12/9/2014
File Name: Section G_2014 verification.gsz

No Lifts - steady-state
2014 Basin Conditions

Name: Glacial Till Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Glacial Till, ksat=5.1x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Compressed Peat, ksat=1.18x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 0.067
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Virgin Peat, ksat=3.3x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Rock Dam Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Rock Dam, ksat=5.0x10-2 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC CT, ksat=8.0x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC slimes, ksat=3.16x10-8 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT/Slimes, ksat=1.0x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Bedrock Model: Saturated Only K-Sat: 6.3e-007 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Saturated Only K-Sat: 2.36e-005 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf

Total Head countours shown (feet)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Section N 2014 Verification
Date Last Saved: 12/12/2014
File Name: Verification 2014_SectionN.gsz

No Lifts - steady state
2014 Basin Conditions

Name: Glacial Till Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Glacial Till, ksat= 5.1x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Compressed Peat, ksat=1.18x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 0.067
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Virgin Peat, ksat=3.3x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Rock Dam Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Rock Dam, ksat=5.0x10-2 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC CT, ksat=8.0x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTV slimes, ksat=3.16x10-8 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Saturated Only K-Sat: 2.36e-005 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf
Name: Bedrock Model: Saturated Only K-Sat: 6.3e-007 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT/Slimes, ksat=1.0x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Rail Grade Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Rock Dam, ksat=5.0x10-2 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1



P:\Mpls\23 MN\69\2369862\WorkFiles\022A GeoStudio models\2014\Section N\Existing Conditions

Project #23690862-037

Interim Lifts

Section F – Lifts 2, 4 and 6

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 3/13/2017
File Name: SecF_Interim Lift 2.gsz

Lift 2 - steady-state
POM slopes covered in bentonite

Name: Glacial Till Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Glacial Till, ksat=5.1x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Compressed Peat, ksat=1.18x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 0.067
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Virgin Peat, ksat=3.3x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Rock Dam Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Rock Dam, ksat=5.0x10-2 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC CT, ksat=8.0x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (ESSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC bulk, ksat=2.63x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - high k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 0.45tsf, ksat=6.23x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - med k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 1.35tsf, ksat=1.84x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT/Slimes, ksat=1.0x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC slimes, ksat=3.16x10-8 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Bedrock Model: Saturated Only Sat Kx: 6.3e-007 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.8e-007 /psf
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Saturated Only Sat Kx: 2.36e-005 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 3/13/2017
File Name: SecF_Interim Lift 4.gsz

Lift 4 - steady-state
POM slopes covered in bentonite

Name: Glacial Till Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Glacial Till, ksat=5.1x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Compressed Peat, ksat=1.18x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 0.067
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Virgin Peat, ksat=3.3x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Rock Dam Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Rock Dam, ksat=5.0x10-2 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC CT, ksat=8.0x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (ESSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC bulk, ksat=2.63x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - high k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 0.45tsf, ksat=6.23x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - med k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 1.35tsf, ksat=1.84x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - low k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 2.29tsf, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT/Slimes, ksat=1.0x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC slimes, ksat=3.16x10-8 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Bedrock Model: Saturated Only Sat Kx: 6.3e-007 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.8e-007 /psf
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Saturated Only Sat Kx: 2.36e-005 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 3/13/2017
File Name: SecF_Interim Lift 6.gsz

Lift 6 - steady-state
POM slopes covered in bentonite

Name: Glacial Till Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Glacial Till, ksat=5.1x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Compressed Peat, ksat=1.18x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 0.067
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Virgin Peat, ksat=3.3x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Rock Dam Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Rock Dam, ksat=5.0x10-2 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC CT, ksat=8.0x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (ESSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC bulk, ksat=2.63x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - high k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 0.45tsf, ksat=6.23x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - med k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 1.35tsf, ksat=1.84x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - low k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 2.29tsf, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT/Slimes, ksat=1.0x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC slimes, ksat=3.16x10-8 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Bedrock Model: Saturated Only Sat Kx: 6.3e-007 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.8e-007 /psf
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Saturated Only Sat Kx: 2.36e-005 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf



Interim Lifts

Section G – Lifts 2, 4 and 6

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: SecG_Interim Lift 2.gsz

Lift 2 - steady-state
POM slopes covered in bentonite

Name: Glacial Till Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Glacial Till, ksat=5.1x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Compressed Peat, ksat=1.18x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 0.067
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Virgin Peat, ksat=3.3x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Rock Dam Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Rock Dam, ksat=5.0x10-2 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC CT, ksat=8.0x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC slimes, ksat=3.16x10-8 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - high k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 0.45tsf, ksat=6.23x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - med k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 1.35tsf, ksat=1.84x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT/Slimes, ksat=1.0x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Bedrock Model: Saturated Only Sat Kx: 6.3e-007 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Saturated Only Sat Kx: 2.36e-005 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC bulk, ksat=2.63x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1

Total Head contours shown (feet)



P:\Mpls\23 MN\69\2369862\WorkFiles\022A GeoStudio models\2017\Section G

Project #23690862-037

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: SecG_Interim Lift 4.gsz

Lift 4 - steady-state
POM slopes covered in bentonite

Name: Glacial Till Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Glacial Till, ksat=5.1x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Compressed Peat, ksat=1.18x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 0.067
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Virgin Peat, ksat=3.3x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Rock Dam Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Rock Dam, ksat=5.0x10-2 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC CT, ksat=8.0x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC slimes, ksat=3.16x10-8 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - high k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 0.45tsf, ksat=6.23x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - med k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 1.35tsf, ksat=1.84x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - low k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 2.29tsf, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT/Slimes, ksat=1.0x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Bedrock Model: Saturated Only Sat Kx: 6.3e-007 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Saturated Only Sat Kx: 2.36e-005 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC bulk, ksat=2.63x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1

Total Head contours shown (feet)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: SecG_Interim Lift 6.gsz

Lift 6 - steady-state
POM slopes covered in bentonite

Name: Glacial Till Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Glacial Till, ksat=5.1x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Compressed Peat, ksat=1.18x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 0.067
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Virgin Peat, ksat=3.3x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Rock Dam Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Rock Dam, ksat=5.0x10-2 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC CT, ksat=8.0x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC slimes, ksat=3.16x10-8 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - high k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 0.45tsf, ksat=6.23x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - med k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 1.35tsf, ksat=1.84x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - low k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 2.29tsf, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT/Slimes, ksat=1.0x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Bedrock Model: Saturated Only Sat Kx: 6.3e-007 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Saturated Only Sat Kx: 2.36e-005 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC bulk, ksat=2.63x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1

Total Head contours shown (feet)



P:\Mpls\23 MN\69\2369862\WorkFiles\022A GeoStudio models\2017\Section G

Project #23690862-037

Interim Lifts

Section N – Lift 6

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section N
Date Last Saved: 12/15/2014
File Name: Section N_Interim Lift 6.gsz

Case: Lift 6 - steady state
POM slopes covered in bentonite

Name: Glacial Till Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Glacial Till, ksat= 5.1x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Compressed Peat, ksat=1.18x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 0.067
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Virgin Peat, ksat=3.3x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Rock Dam Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Rock Dam, ksat=5.0x10-2 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC CT, ksat=8.0x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTV slimes, ksat=3.16x10-8 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC bulk, ksat=2.63x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT/Slimes, ksat=1.0x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Saturated Only K-Sat: 2.36e-005 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf
Name: Bedrock Model: Saturated Only K-Sat: 6.3e-007 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf
Name: Flotation - high k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 0.45tsf, ksat=6.23x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - med k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 1.35tsf, ksat=1.84x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Rail Grade Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Rock Dam, ksat=5.0x10-2 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1



P:\Mpls\23 MN\69\2369862\WorkFiles\022A GeoStudio models\2014\Section N\Interim Lifts

Project #23690862-037

Normal Pool Conditions

Sections F, G and N – Lift 8

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 4/6/2017
File Name: SecF_Lift8_Normal Pool.gsz

Lift 8 - steady-state
POM slopes covered in bentonite

Name: Glacial Till Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Glacial Till, ksat=5.1x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Compressed Peat, ksat=1.18x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 0.067
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Virgin Peat, ksat=3.3x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Rock Dam Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Rock Dam, ksat=5.0x10-2 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC CT, ksat=8.0x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (ESSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC bulk, ksat=2.63x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - high k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 0.45tsf, ksat=6.23x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - med k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 1.35tsf, ksat=1.84x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - low k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 2.29tsf, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT/Slimes, ksat=1.0x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC slimes, ksat=3.16x10-8 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Bedrock Model: Saturated Only Sat Kx: 6.3e-007 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.8e-007 /psf
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Saturated Only Sat Kx: 2.36e-005 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf

Total Head contours shown (feet)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: SecG_Lift8_Normal Pool.gsz

Lift 8 - steady-state
POM slopes covered in bentonite

Name: Glacial Till Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Glacial Till, ksat=5.1x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Compressed Peat, ksat=1.18x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 0.067
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Virgin Peat, ksat=3.3x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Rock Dam Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Rock Dam, ksat=5.0x10-2 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC CT, ksat=8.0x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC slimes, ksat=3.16x10-8 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - high k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 0.45tsf, ksat=6.23x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - med k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 1.35tsf, ksat=1.84x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - low k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 2.29tsf, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT/Slimes, ksat=1.0x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Bedrock Model: Saturated Only Sat Kx: 6.3e-007 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Saturated Only Sat Kx: 2.36e-005 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC bulk, ksat=2.63x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1

Total Head contours shown (feet)



P:\Mpls\23 MN\69\2369862\WorkFiles\022A GeoSeudio models\2017\Section G

Project #23690862-037

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section N
Date Last Saved: 12/12/2014
File Name: Section N_Lift8_Normal Pool.gsz

Case: Lift 8 - steady state
POM slopes covered in bentonite

Name: Glacial Till Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Glacial Till, ksat= 5.1x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Compressed Peat, ksat=1.18x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 0.067
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Virgin Peat, ksat=3.3x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Rock Dam Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Rock Dam, ksat=5.0x10-2 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC CT, ksat=8.0x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTV slimes, ksat=3.16x10-8 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC bulk, ksat=2.63x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT/Slimes, ksat=1.0x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Saturated Only K-Sat: 2.36e-005 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf
Name: Bedrock Model: Saturated Only K-Sat: 6.3e-007 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf
Name: Flotation - high k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 0.45tsf, ksat=6.23x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - med k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 1.35tsf, ksat=1.84x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - low k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 2.29tsf, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Rail Grade Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Rock Dam, ksat=5.0x10-2 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1



PMP Conditions

Sections F, G and N – Lift 8

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 3/11/2017
File Name: SecF_Lift8_PMP.gsz

Lift 8 - steady-state
POM slopes covered in bentonite

Name: Glacial Till Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Glacial Till, ksat=5.1x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Compressed Peat, ksat=1.18x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 0.067
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Virgin Peat, ksat=3.3x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Rock Dam Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Rock Dam, ksat=5.0x10-2 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC CT, ksat=8.0x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (ESSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC bulk, ksat=2.63x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - high k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 0.45tsf, ksat=6.23x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - med k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 1.35tsf, ksat=1.84x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - low k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 2.29tsf, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT/Slimes, ksat=1.0x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC slimes, ksat=3.16x10-8 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Bedrock Model: Saturated Only Sat Kx: 6.3e-007 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.8e-007 /psf
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Saturated Only Sat Kx: 2.36e-005 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: SecG_Lift8_PMP.gsz

Lift 8 - steady-state
POM slopes covered in bentonite

Name: Glacial Till Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Glacial Till, ksat=5.1x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Compressed Peat, ksat=1.18x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 0.067
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Virgin Peat, ksat=3.3x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Rock Dam Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Rock Dam, ksat=5.0x10-2 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC CT, ksat=8.0x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC slimes, ksat=3.16x10-8 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - high k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 0.45tsf, ksat=6.23x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - med k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 1.35tsf, ksat=1.84x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - low k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 2.29tsf, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT/Slimes, ksat=1.0x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Bedrock Model: Saturated Only Sat Kx: 6.3e-007 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Saturated Only Sat Kx: 2.36e-005 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC bulk, ksat=2.63x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1

Total Head contours shown (feet)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section N
Date Last Saved: 12/12/2014
File Name: Section N_Lift8_PMP.gsz

Case: Lift 8 - steady state
POM slopes covered in bentonite

Name: Glacial Till Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Glacial Till, ksat= 5.1x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Compressed Peat, ksat=1.18x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 0.067
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Virgin Peat, ksat=3.3x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Rock Dam Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Rock Dam, ksat=5.0x10-2 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC CT, ksat=8.0x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTV slimes, ksat=3.16x10-8 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC bulk, ksat=2.63x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT/Slimes, ksat=1.0x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Saturated Only K-Sat: 2.36e-005 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf
Name: Bedrock Model: Saturated Only K-Sat: 6.3e-007 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf
Name: Flotation - high k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 0.45tsf, ksat=6.23x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - med k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 1.35tsf, ksat=1.84x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - low k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 2.29tsf, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Rail Grade Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Rock Dam, ksat=5.0x10-2 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1



Fully Liquefied Conditions

Sections F, G and N – Lift 8

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 5/1/2017
File Name: Baseline-SecF_Lift8_LIQ_buttress 2017 at 1575'.gsz

Lift 8 - steady-state
POM slopes covered in bentonite

Name: Glacial Till Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Glacial Till, ksat=5.10x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Compressed Peat Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Compressed Peat, ksat=1.18x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 0.067
Name: Virgin Peat Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Virgin Peat, ksat=3.3x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Rock Dam Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Rock Dam, ksat=5.0x10-2 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC CT, ksat=8.0x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC bulk, ksat=2.63x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - high k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 0.45tsf, ksat=6.23x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - med k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 1.35tsf, ksat=1.84x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - low k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 2.29tsf, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT/Slimes, ksat=1.0x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC slimes, ksat=3.16x10-8 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Bedrock Model: Saturated Only Sat Kx: 6.3e-007 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Saturated Only Sat Kx: 2.36e-005 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf

Total Head contours shown (feet)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: 2014 Baseline-SecG_Lift8_LIQ_buttress 2017.gsz

Lift 8 - steady-state
POM slopes covered in bentonite

Name: Glacial Till Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Glacial Till, ksat=5.1x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Compressed Peat, ksat=1.18x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 0.067
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Virgin Peat, ksat=3.3x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Rock Dam Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Rock Dam, ksat=5.0x10-2 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC CT, ksat=8.0x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC slimes, ksat=3.16x10-8 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - high k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 0.45tsf, ksat=6.23x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - med k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 1.35tsf, ksat=1.84x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - low k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 2.29tsf, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT/Slimes, ksat=1.0x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Bedrock Model: Saturated Only Sat Kx: 6.3e-007 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Saturated Only Sat Kx: 2.36e-005 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC bulk, ksat=2.63x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1

Total Head contours shown (feet)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section N
Date Last Saved: 12/12/2014
File Name: Baseline-SecN_Lift8_LIQ.gsz

Lift 8 - steady state
POM slopes covered in bentonite

Name: Glacial Till Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Glacial Till, ksat= 5.1x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Compressed Peat, ksat=1.18x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 0.067
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Virgin Peat, ksat=3.3x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Rock Dam Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Rock Dam, ksat=5.0x10-2 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC CT, ksat=8.0x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTV slimes, ksat=3.16x10-8 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC bulk, ksat=2.63x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT/Slimes, ksat=1.0x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Saturated Only K-Sat: 2.36e-005 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf
Name: Bedrock Model: Saturated Only K-Sat: 6.3e-007 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf
Name: Flotation - high k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 0.45tsf, ksat=6.23x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - med k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 1.35tsf, ksat=1.84x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - low k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 2.29tsf, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Rail Grade Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Rock Dam, ksat=5.0x10-2 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1



Long – Term Conditions

Section F

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F Long-term Conditions
Date Last Saved: 4/17/2017
File Name: SecF_Lift8_long-term with erosion2 - Copy.gsz

Lift 8 - steady-state_20 years
POM slopes covered in amended bentonite

Name: Glacial Till Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Glacial Till, ksat=5.1x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Compressed Peat Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Compressed Peat, ksat=1.18x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 0.067
Name: Virgin Peat Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Virgin Peat, ksat=3.3x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Rock Dam Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Rock Dam, ksat=5.0x10-2 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC CT, ksat=8.0x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC bulk, ksat=2.63x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - high k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 0.45tsf, ksat=6.23x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - med k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 1.35tsf, ksat=1.84x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - low k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 2.29tsf, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT/Slimes, ksat=1.0x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC slimes, ksat=3.16x10-8 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Bedrock Model: Saturated Only Sat Kx: 6.3e-007 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Saturated Only Sat Kx: 2.36e-005 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F Long-term Conditions
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: SecF_Lift8_long-term with erosion.gsz

Lift 8 - steady-state_200 years
POM slopes covered in amended bentonite

Name: Glacial Till Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Glacial Till, ksat=5.1x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Compressed Peat Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Compressed Peat, ksat=1.18x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 0.067
Name: Virgin Peat Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Virgin Peat, ksat=3.3x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Rock Dam Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Rock Dam, ksat=5.0x10-2 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC CT, ksat=8.0x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC bulk, ksat=2.63x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - high k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 0.45tsf, ksat=6.23x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - med k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 1.35tsf, ksat=1.84x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - low k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 2.29tsf, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT/Slimes, ksat=1.0x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC slimes, ksat=3.16x10-8 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Bedrock Model: Saturated Only Sat Kx: 6.3e-007 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Saturated Only Sat Kx: 2.36e-005 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf

Total Head contours shown (feet)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F Long-term Conditions
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: SecF_Lift8_long-term with erosion.gsz

Lift 8 - steady-state_2000 years
POM slopes covered in amended bentonite

Name: Glacial Till Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Glacial Till, ksat=5.1x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Compressed Peat Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Compressed Peat, ksat=1.18x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 0.067
Name: Virgin Peat Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Virgin Peat, ksat=3.3x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Rock Dam Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Rock Dam, ksat=5.0x10-2 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC CT, ksat=8.0x10-5 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC bulk, ksat=2.63x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - high k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 0.45tsf, ksat=6.23x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - med k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 1.35tsf, ksat=1.84x10-6 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Flotation - low k Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: Flot Tailings 2.29tsf, ksat=6.56x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC FT/Slimes, ksat=1.0x10-7 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: LTVSMC Slimes (USSA) Model: Saturated / Unsaturated K-Function: LTVSMC slimes, ksat=3.16x10-8 ft/s Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1
Name: Bedrock Model: Saturated Only Sat Kx: 6.3e-007 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Saturated Only Sat Kx: 2.36e-005 ft/sec Ky'/Kx' Ratio: 1 Mv: 4.79e-007 /psf

Total Head contours shown (feet)



Attachment U

SLOPE/W Output Figures

Existing Conditions (Table 7-5)

Sections F, G and N – ESSA

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Section F 2014 Verification
Date Last Saved: 12/19/2014
File Name: SectionF_2014 verification.gsz

Case: 1.0 No Lifts - ESSA (Circular)
ESSA strengths
Grid & Radius, Circular

Factor of Safety: 1.88

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Section F 2014 Verification
Date Last Saved: 12/19/2014
File Name: SectionF_2014 verification.gsz

Case: 1.1 No Lifts - ESSA_bedrock wedge (Circular)
ESSA strengths
Grid & Radius, Circular
Fractured Bedrock and Bedrock Impenetrable

Factor of Safety: 1.83

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Section G 2014 Verification
Date Last Saved: 12/9/2014
File Name: Section G_2014 verification.gsz

1.0 No Lifts - ESSA (Circular)
ESSA Strengths
Grid & Radius, Circular

Factor of Safety: 2.37

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Section G 2014 Verification
Date Last Saved: 12/9/2014
File Name: Section G_2014 verification.gsz

1.2.1 No Lifts - ESSA_till wedge (Optimized)
ESSA Strengths
Grid & Radius, Optimized
Till, Fractured Bedrock and Bedrock Impenetrable

Factor of Safety: 2.21

Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Glacial Till -Impenetrable Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Section N 2014 Verification
Date Last Saved: 12/12/2014
File Name: Verification 2014_SectionN.gsz

1.0 No Lifts - ESSA (Circular)
ESSA strengths
Grid & Radius, Circular

Factor of Safety: 3.11

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 120 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Rail Grade Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Section N 2014 Verification
Date Last Saved: 12/12/2014
File Name: Verification 2014_SectionN.gsz

1.2 No Lifts - ESSA_till wedge (Circular)
ESSA strengths
Grid & Radius, Circular
Till, Fractured Bedrock and Bedrock Impenetrable

Factor of Safety: 3.14

Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 120 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Glacial Till (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Rail Grade Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °



Interim Lifts – Lift 2 (Table 7-6)

Sections F and G – $USSA_{yield}$ and ESSA

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 4/7/2017
File Name: SecF_Interim Lift 2.gsz

2.0.1 Lift 2 - USSA (LTV slope)
Yield USSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface

Factor of Safety: 2.60

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Flotation Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.26
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 130 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.25



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 4/7/2017
File Name: SecF_Interim Lift 2.gsz

2.2.1 Lift 2 - USSA_till wedge (LTV slope)
Yield USSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface
Till, fractured bedrock and bedrock impenetrable

Factor of Safety: 2.26

Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Flotation Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.26
Name: Glacial Till - Impenetrable Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 130 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.25
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 4/7/2017
File Name: SecF_Interim Lift 2.gsz

1.0.1 Lift 2 - ESSA (LTV slope)
ESSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface

Factor of Safety: 3.82

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: Peat Shear/Normal Function (ESSA)
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: Peat Shear/Normal Function (ESSA)
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 4/7/2017
File Name: SecF_Interim Lift 2.gsz

1.1.1 Lift 2 - ESSA_bedrock wedge (LTV slope)
ESSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface
Fractured bedrock and bedrock Impenetrable

Factor of Safety: 3.72

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: Peat Shear/Normal Function (ESSA)
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: Peat Shear/Normal Function (ESSA)
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: SecG_Interim Lift 2.gsz

Factor of Safety: 3.26

2.0.1 Lift 2 - USSA (LTV slope)
Yield USSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.26
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: SecG_Interim Lift 2.gsz

Factor of Safety: 2.29

2.2.1 Lift 2 - USSA_till wedge (LTV slope)
Yield USSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface
Till, fractured bedrock and bedrock impenetrable

Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Glacial Till -Impenetrable Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Flotation Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.26
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: SecG_Interim Lift 2.gsz

Factor of Safety: 3.30

1.0.1 Lift 2 - ESSA (LTV slope)
ESSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: SecG_Interim Lift 2.gsz

Factor of Safety: 3.30

1.1.1 Lift 2 - ESSA_bedrock wedge (LTV slope)
ESSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface
Fractured bedrock and bedrock impenetrable

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)



Interim Lifts – Lift 4 (Table 7-6)

Sections F and G – $USSA_{yield}$ and ESSA

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 4/7/2017
File Name: SecF_Interim Lift 4.gsz

2.0.1 Lift 4 - USSA (LTV slope)
Yield USSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface

Factor of Safety: 2.22

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Flotation Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.26
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 130 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.25



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 4/7/2017
File Name: SecF_Interim Lift 4.gsz

2.2.1 Lift 4 - USSA_till wedge (LTV slope)
Yield USSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface
Till, fractured bedrock and bedrock impenetrable

Factor of Safety: 1.96

Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Flotation Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.26
Name: Glacial Till - Impenetrable Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 130 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.25
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 4/7/2017
File Name: SecF_Interim Lift 4.gsz

1.0.1 Lift 4 - ESSA (LTV slope)
ESSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface

Factor of Safety: 3.75

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: Peat Shear/Normal Function (ESSA)
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: Peat Shear/Normal Function (ESSA)
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 4/7/2017
File Name: SecF_Interim Lift 4.gsz

1.1.1 Lift 4 - ESSA_bedrock wedge (LTV slope)
ESSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface
Fractured bedrock and bedrock impenetrable

Factor of Safety: 3.72

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: Peat Shear/Normal Function (ESSA)
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: Peat Shear/Normal Function (ESSA)
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: SecG_Interim Lift 4.gsz

Factor of Safety: 2.85

2.0.1 Lift 4 - USSA (LTV slope)
Yield USSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.26
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 5/4/2017
File Name: SecG_Interim Lift 4.gsz

Factor of Safety: 1.95

2.2.1 Lift 4 - USSA_till wedge (LTV slope)
Yield USSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface
Till, fractured bedrock and bedrock impenetrable

Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Glacial Till -Impenetrable Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Flotation Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.26
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: SecG_Interim Lift 4.gsz

Factor of Safety: 3.30

1.0.1 Lift 4 - ESSA (LTV slope)
ESSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: SecG_Interim Lift 4.gsz

Factor of Safety: 3.29

1.1.1 Lift 4 - ESSA_bedrock wedge (LTV slope)
ESSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface
Fractured bedrock and bedrock impenetrable

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)



Interim Lifts – Lift 6 (Table 7-6)

Sections F, G and N – $USSA_{yield}$ and ESSA

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 4/7/2017
File Name: SecF_Interim Lift 6.gsz

2.0.1 Lift 6 - USSA (LTV slope)
Yield USSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface

Factor of Safety: 2.05

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Flotation Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.26
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 130 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.25



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 4/7/2017
File Name: SecF_Interim Lift 6.gsz

2.2.1 Lift 6 - USSA_till wedge (LTV slope)
Yield USSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface
Till, fractured bedrock and bedrock impenetrable

Factor of Safety: 1.97

Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Flotation Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.26
Name: Glacial Till - Impenetrable Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 130 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.25
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 4/7/2017
File Name: SecF_Interim Lift 6.gsz

1.0.1 Lift 6 - ESSA (LTV slope)
ESSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface

Factor of Safety: 3.76

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: Peat Shear/Normal Function (ESSA)
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: Peat Shear/Normal Function (ESSA)
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 4/7/2017
File Name: SecF_Interim Lift 6.gsz

1.1.1 Lift 6 - ESSA_bedrock wedge (LTV slope)
ESSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface
Fractured bedrock and bedrock impenetrable

Factor of Safety: 3.73

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: Peat Shear/Normal Function (ESSA)
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: Peat Shear/Normal Function (ESSA)
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: SecG_Interim Lift 6.gsz

2.0.1 Lift 6 - USSA (LTV slope)
Yield USSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface

Factor of Safety: 2.53

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.26
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 5/4/2017
File Name: SecG_Interim Lift 6.gsz

Factor of Safety: 1.95

2.2.1 Lift 6 - USSA_till wedge (LTV slope)
Yield USSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface
Till, fractured bedrock and bedrock impenetrable

Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Glacial Till -Impenetrable Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Flotation Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.26
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: SecG_Interim Lift 6.gsz

Factor of Safety: 3.29

1.0.1 Lift 6 - ESSA (LTV slope)
ESSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: SecG_Interim Lift 6.gsz

Factor of Safety: 3.29

1.1.1 Lift 6 - ESSA_bedrock wedge (LTV slope)
ESSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface
Fractured bedrock and bedrock impenetrable

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Section N
Date Last Saved: 12/15/2014
File Name: Section N_Interim Lift 6.gsz

Case: 2.0 Lift 6 - USSA (Circular)
Yield USSA strengths
Grid & Radius, Circular

Factor of Safety: 2.21

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 120 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Flotation Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.26
Name: Rail Grade Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Section N
Date Last Saved: 12/15/2014
File Name: Section N_Interim Lift 6.gsz

Case: 2.2.1 Lift 6 - USSA_till wedge (Optimized)
Yield USSA strengths
Grid & Radius, Optimized
Till, Fractured Bedrock and Bedrock Impenetrable

Factor of Safety: 1.88

Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 120 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Glacial Till (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Flotation Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.26
Name: Rail Grade Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Section N
Date Last Saved: 12/15/2014
File Name: Section N_Interim Lift 6.gsz

Case: 1.0 Lift 6 - ESSA (Circular)
ESSA strengths
Grid & Radius, Circular

Factor of Safety: 4.48

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 120 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Rail Grade Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Section N
Date Last Saved: 12/15/2014
File Name: Section N_Interim Lift 6.gsz

Case: 1.3 Lift 6 - ESSA_peat wedge (Circular)
ESSA strengths
Grid & Radius, Circular
Peat, Till, Fractured Bedrock and Bedrock Impenetrable

Factor of Safety: 4.43

Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 120 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Glacial Till (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Compressed Peat (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Rail Grade Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °



Maximum Dam Height with Normal Pool – Lift 8 (Table 7-7)

Sections F, G and N – $USSA_{yield}$ and ESSA

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 4/6/2017
File Name: SecF_Lift8_Normal Pool.gsz

2.0.1 Lift 8 - USSA (LTV slope)
Yield USSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface

Factor of Safety: 1.98

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Flotation Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.26
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 130 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.25



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 4/6/2017
File Name: SecF_Lift8_Normal Pool.gsz

Factor of Safety: 1.84

2.2.1 Lift 8 - USSA_till wedge (LTV slope)
Yield USSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface
Till, fractured bedrock and bedrock impenetrable

Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Flotation Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.26
Name: Glacial Till - Impenetrable Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 130 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.25
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 4/6/2017
File Name: SecF_Lift8_Normal Pool.gsz

1.0.1 Lift 8 - ESSA (LTV slope)
ESSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface

Factor of Safety: 3.76

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: Peat Shear/Normal Function (ESSA)
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: Peat Shear/Normal Function (ESSA)
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 4/6/2017
File Name: SecF_Lift8_Normal Pool.gsz

Factor of Safety: 3.72

1.1.1 Lift 8 - ESSA_bedrock wedge (LTV slope)
ESSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface
Fractured bedrock and bedrock impenetrable

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: Peat Shear/Normal Function (ESSA)
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: Peat Shear/Normal Function (ESSA)
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: SecG_Lift8_Normal Pool.gsz

Factor of Safety: 2.42

2.0.1 Lift 8 - USSA (LTV slope)
Yield USSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.26
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: SecG_Lift8_Normal Pool.gsz

Factor of Safety: 1.86

2.2.1 Lift 8 - USSA_till wedge (LTV slope)
Yield USSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface
Till, fractured bedrock and bedrock impenetrable

Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Glacial Till -Impenetrable Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Flotation Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.26
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: SecG_Lift8_Normal Pool.gsz

1.0.1 Lift 8 - ESSA (LTV slope)
ESSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface

Factor of Safety: 3.30

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: SecG_Lift8_Normal Pool.gsz

Factor of Safety: 3.29

1.1.1 Lift 8 - ESSA_bedrock wedge (LTV slope)
ESSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface
Fractured bedrock and bedrock impenetrable

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Section N
Date Last Saved: 12/12/2014
File Name: Section N_Lift8_Normal Pool.gsz

Case: 2.0 Lift 8 - USSA (Circular)
Yield USSA strengths
Grid & Radius, Circular

Factor of Safety: 2.02

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 120 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Flotation Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.26
Name: Rail Grade Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Section N
Date Last Saved: 12/12/2014
File Name: Section N_Lift8_Normal Pool.gsz

Case: 2.1 Lift 8 - USSA_bedrock wedge (Circular)
Yield USSA strengths
Grid & Radius, Circular
Fractured Bedrock and Bedrock Impenetrable

Factor of Safety: 2.00

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 120 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Flotation Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.26
Name: Rail Grade Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Section N
Date Last Saved: 12/12/2014
File Name: Section N_Lift8_Normal Pool.gsz

Case: 1.0 Lift 8 - ESSA (Circular)
ESSA strengths
Grid & Radius, Circular

Factor of Safety: 4.60

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 120 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Rail Grade Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Section N
Date Last Saved: 12/12/2014
File Name: Section N_Lift8_Normal Pool.gsz

Case: 1.2 Lift 8 - ESSA_till wedge (Circular)
ESSA strengths
Grid & Radius, Circular
Till, Fractured Bedrock and Bedrock Impenetrable

Factor of Safety: 4.58

Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 120 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Glacial Till (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Rail Grade Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °



Maximum Dam Height with PMP – Lift 8 (Table 7-8)

Sections F, G and N – $USSA_{yield}$ and ESSA

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 4/6/2017
File Name: SecF_Lift8_PMP.gsz

2.0.1 Lift 8 - USSA (LTV slope)
Yield USSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface

Factor of Safety: 1.97

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Flotation Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.26
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 130 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.25



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 4/6/2017
File Name: SecF_Lift8_PMP.gsz

2.2.1 Lift 8 - USSA_till wedge (LTV slope)
Yield USSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface
Till, fractured bedrock and bedrock impenetrable

Factor of Safety: 1.82

Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Flotation Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.26
Name: Glacial Till - Impenetrable Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 130 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.25
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 4/6/2017
File Name: SecF_Lift8_PMP.gsz

1.0.1 Lift 8 - ESSA (LTV slope)
ESSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface

Factor of Safety: 3.76

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: Peat Shear/Normal Function (ESSA)
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: Peat Shear/Normal Function (ESSA)
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 4/6/2017
File Name: SecF_Lift8_PMP.gsz

1.1.1 Lift 8 - ESSA_bedrock wedge (LTV slope)
ESSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface
Fractured Bedrock and Bedrock Impenetrable

Factor of Safety: 3.67

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: Peat Shear/Normal Function (ESSA)
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: Peat Shear/Normal Function (ESSA)
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: SecG_Lift8_PMP.gsz

Factor of Safety: 2.43

2.0.1 Lift 8 - USSA (LTV slope)
Yield USSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.26
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: SecG_Lift8_PMP.gsz

Factor of Safety: 1.86

2.2.1 Lift 8 - USSA_till wedge (LTV slope)
Yield USSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface
Till, fractured bedrock and bedrock impenetrable

Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Glacial Till -Impenetrable Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Flotation Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.26
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: SecG_Lift8_PMP.gsz

1.0.1 Lift 8 - ESSA (LTV slope)
ESSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface

Factor of Safety: 3.29

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: SecG_Lift8_PMP.gsz

Factor of Safety: 3.29

1.1.1 Lift 8 - ESSA_bedrock wedge (LTV slope)
ESSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface
Fractured bedrock and bedrock impenetrable

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Section N
Date Last Saved: 12/12/2014
File Name: Section N_Lift8_PMP.gsz

Case: 2.0 Lift 8 - USSA (Circular)
Yield USSA strengths
Grid & Radius, Circular

Factor of Safety: 1.92

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 120 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Flotation Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.26
Name: Rail Grade Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Section N
Date Last Saved: 12/12/2014
File Name: Section N_Lift8_PMP.gsz

Case: 2.1 Lift 8 - USSA_bedrock wedge (Circular)
Yield USSA strengths
Grid & Radius, Circular
Fractured Bedrock and Bedrock Impenetrable

Factor of Safety: 1.91

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 120 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.24
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Flotation Tailings (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.26
Name: Rail Grade Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °





PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Section N
Date Last Saved: 12/12/2014
File Name: Section N_Lift8_PMP.gsz

Case: 1.0 Lift 8 - ESSA (Circular)
ESSA strengths
Grid & Radius, Circular

Factor of Safety: 4.38

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 120 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Rail Grade Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Section N
Date Last Saved: 12/12/2014
File Name: Section N_Lift8_PMP.gsz

Factor of Safety: 4.34

Case: 1.2 Lift 8 - ESSA_till wedge (Circular)
ESSA strengths
Grid & Radius, Circular
Till, Fractured Bedrock and Bedrock Impenetrable

Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 120 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: peat
Name: Glacial Till (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Rail Grade Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °



Worst Case Flow Liquefaction Conditions – Lift 8 (Table 7-10)

Sections F, G and N

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 5/5/2017
File Name: Baseline-SecF_Lift8_LIQ_buttress 2017 at 1575'.gsz

1.0 Lift 8 - LIQ (Circular)
Liquefied / Yield USSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface

Factor of Safety: 1.26

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (Liquefied) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.12
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (Liquefied) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.1
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (Liquefied) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.1
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 5/4/2017
File Name: Baseline-SecF_Lift8_LIQ_buttress 2017Dkna.gsz

1.3 Lift 8 - LIQ_peat wedge (Circular)
Liquefied / Yield USSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface
Peat, till, fractured bedrock, and bedrock impenetrable

Factor of Safety: 1.10

Name: Virgin Peat Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (Liquefied) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.12
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Glacial Till - Impenetrable Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (Liquefied) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.1
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (Liquefied) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.1
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock -Impenetrable Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Compressed Peat (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: 2014 Baseline-SecG_Lift8_LIQ_buttress 2017.gsz

Factor of Safety: 1.36

1.0 Lift 8 - LIQ (Circular)
Liquified / Yield USSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (LIQ) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.1
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (LIQ) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.1
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (Liquefied) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.12
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section G
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: 2014 Baseline-SecG_Lift8_LIQ_buttress 2017.gsz

Factor of Safety: 1.10

1.3 Lift 8 - LIQ_peat wedge (Circular)
Liquified / Yield USSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface
Peat, till, fractured bedrock and bedrock impenetrable

Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Glacial Till -Impenetrable Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (LIQ) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.1
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (LIQ) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.1
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (Liquefied) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.12
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Compressed Peat_Impenetrable Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Section N
Date Last Saved: 12/12/2014
File Name: Baseline-SecN_Lift8_LIQ.gsz

Case: 1.0 Lift 8 - LIQ (Circular)
Liquefied / Yield USSA strengths
Grid & Radius, Circular

Factor of Safety: 1.16

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (LIQ) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.1
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (LIQ) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.1
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (LIQ) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.12
Name: Rail Grade Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Section N
Date Last Saved: 12/12/2014
File Name: Baseline-SecN_Lift8_LIQ.gsz

Case: 1.2 Lift 8 - LIQ_till wedge (Circular)
Liquefied / Yield USSA strengths
Grid & Radius, Circular
Till, Fractured Bedrock and Bedrock Impenetrable

Factor of Safety: 1.16

Name: Compressed Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 85 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Virgin Peat (USSA) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (LIQ) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.1
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (LIQ) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.1
Name: Glacial Till (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (LIQ) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.12
Name: Rail Grade Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 45 °



Long – Term Closure Conditions (Table 7-11)

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 4/6/2017
File Name: SecF_Lift8_Normal Pool.gsz

Factor of Safety: 3.72

1.1.1 Lift 8 - ESSA_bedrock wedge (LTV slope)
ESSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface
Fractured bedrock and bedrock impenetrable

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: Peat Shear/Normal Function (ESSA)
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: Peat Shear/Normal Function (ESSA)
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F Long-term Conditions
Date Last Saved: 5/5/2017
File Name: SecF_Lift8_long-term with erosion2 - Copy.gsz

1.1.1 Lift 8 - 20yr - ESSA_bedrock wedge (LTV slope)
ESSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface
Fractured bedrock and bedrock impenetrable

Factor of Safety: 3.89

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: Peat
Name: Flotation Tailings_20yrs (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 34.2 °
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes_20yrs (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 34.1 °
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: Peat
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F Long-term Conditions
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: SecF_Lift8_long-term with erosion.gsz

3.1.1 Lift 8 - 200yr - ESSA_bedrock wedge (LTV slope)
ESSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface
Fractured bedrock and bedrock impenetrable

Factor of Safety: 3.86

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: Peat
Name: Flotation Tailings_200yrs (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes_200yrs (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 34.9 °
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: Peat
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F Long-term Conditions
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: SecF_Lift8_long-term with erosion.gsz

5.1 Lift 8 - 2000yr - ESSA_bedrock wedge (LTV slope)
ESSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface
Fractured bedrock and bedrock impenetrable

Factor of Safety: 3.87

Name: Glacial Till Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Compressed Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 85 pcf Strength Function: Peat
Name: Flotation Tailings_2000yrs (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 39.8 °
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes_2000yrs (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 35.2 °
Name: Virgin Peat (ESSA) Model: Shear/Normal Fn. Unit Weight: 70 pcf Strength Function: Peat
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)



Fully Liquefied Long – Term Closure Conditions (Table 7-12)

PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F
Date Last Saved: 5/4/2017
File Name: Baseline-SecF_Lift8_LIQ_buttress 2017Dkna.gsz

1.3 Lift 8 - LIQ_peat wedge (Circular)
Liquefied / Yield USSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface
Peat, till, fractured bedrock, and bedrock impenetrable

Factor of Safety: 1.10

Name: Virgin Peat Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Flotation Tailings (Liquefied) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.12
Name: Flotation Tailings (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: Glacial Till - Impenetrable Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Interior LTVSMC FT/Slimes (Liquefied) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.1
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes (Liquefied) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.1
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Fractured Bedrock -Impenetrable Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Compressed Peat (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F Long-term Conditions
Date Last Saved: 5/5/2017
File Name: SecF_Lift8_long-term with erosion2 - Copy.gsz

2.3.1 Lift 8 - 20yr - LIQ_peat wedge (LTV slope)
Liquefied / Yield USSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface
Peat, till, fractured bedrock and bedrock impenetrable

Factor of Safety: 1.32

Name: Virgin Peat Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Glacial Till - Impenetrable Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Flotation Tailings_20yrs (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 34.2 °
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes_20yrs (LIQ) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.108
Name: Flotation Tailings_20yrs (LIQ) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.129
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Compressed Peat (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F Long-term Conditions
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: SecF_Lift8_long-term with erosion.gsz

4.3.1 Lift 8 - 200yr - LIQ_peat wedge (LTV slope)
Liquefied / Yield USSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface
Peat, till, fractured bedrock and bedrock impenetrable

Factor of Safety: 1.68

Name: Virgin Peat Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Glacial Till - Impenetrable Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Flotation Tailings_200yrs (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 36.5 °
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes_200yrs (LIQ) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.114
Name: Flotation Tailings_200yrs (LIQ) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.148
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Compressed Peat (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)



PolyMet Flotation Tailings Basin
Cross-Section F Long-term Conditions
Date Last Saved: 4/10/2017
File Name: SecF_Lift8_long-term with erosion.gsz

6.3 Lift 8 - 2000yr - LIQ_peat wedge (LTV slope)
Liquefied / Yield USSA strengths
Entry-exit slip surface
Peat, till, fractured bedrock and bedrock impenetrable

Factor of Safety: 1.74

Name: Virgin Peat Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 70 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.23
Name: Rock Dam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 140 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 40 °
Name: LTVSMC Coarse Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 135 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: LTVSMC Fine Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 33 °
Name: LTVSMC Bulk Tailings Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 130 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 38.5 °
Name: Glacial Till - Impenetrable Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Bedrock Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Flotation Tailings_2000yrs (ESSA) Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 39.8 °
Name: LTVSMC FT/Slimes_2000yrs (LIQ) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.116
Name: Flotation Tailings_2000yrs (LIQ) Model: S=f(overburden) Unit Weight: 125 pcf Tau/Sigma Ratio: 0.174
Name: Fractured Bedrock (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)
Name: Compressed Peat (Impenetrable) Model: Bedrock (Impenetrable)



Appendix C

Permit Application Support Drawings – Flotation Tailings Basin Seepage Containment and Stream Augmentation Systems

POLY MET MINING, INC. NORTHMET PROJECT
PERMIT APPLICATION SUPPORT DRAWINGS
FTB SEEPAGE CONTAINMENT AND STREAM AUGMENTATION SYSTEMS
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA



SITE MAP

0 2000 4000
SCALE IN FEET

PROJECT LOCATION



LOCATION MAP
NOT TO SCALE

VICINITY MAP
NOT TO SCALE

VER NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
A	09/28/12	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 2 – ATTACHEMENT B
1	09/09/14	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 3 – ATTACHEMENT B
2	12/31/14	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 3,4 – ATTACHEMENT B
3	05/28/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
4	05/15/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	4	5/15/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION.

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE
SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue
DATE 5/15/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: BDP
CHECKED: DVS/AMP
BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0C29
SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FTB SEEPAGE CONTAINMENT AND STREAM AUGMENTATION SYSTEMS LOCATION MAP AND SITE MAP

POLYMET MINING

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. FTBCA-001
REV A

CADD USER: Cristian A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT-10-CU-001.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/15/2017 3:01 PM

INCHES 1 2

GENERAL LEGEND

- EXISTING CONTOUR – MAJOR (1000)
- EXISTING CONTOUR – MINOR
- PROPOSED CONTOUR – MAJOR (1000)
- PROPOSED CONTOUR – MINOR
- EXISTING POWER POLE
- EXISTING RAILROAD
- EXISTING ROAD
- EXISTING TRAIL
- EXISTING STRUCTURES
- TREE LINE
- WETLAND BOUNDARY
- EXISTING CULVERT
- EXISTING PIPELINE (P)
- CUTOFF WALL ALIGNMENT
- OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
- SURFACE DRAINAGE
- PROPOSED DEWATERING PIPE
- PROPOSED DISCHARGE PIPELINE
- PROPOSED RETURN PIPELINE
- PROPOSED CULVERT (NON–MINE WATER)
- PROPOSED SEEPAGE COLLECTION DRAIN
- PROPOSED STORMWATER DRAIN
- PROPOSED MANHOLE
- PROPOSED RIP RAP
- ROTASONIC BORING
- ROTASONIC BORING WITH PIEZOMETER
- SPT BORING
- SPT BORING WITH PACKER
- FLOW METER (M)

ABBREVIATIONS

APPROX.	–	APPROXIMATE
CMP	–	CORRUGATED METAL PIPE
CPEP	–	CORRUGATED POLYETHYLENE PIPE
CY	–	CUBIC YARD
DR	–	DIMENSION RATIO
DWG	–	DRAWING
EL.	–	ELEVATION
ø	–	DIAMETER
FTB	–	FLOTATION TAILINGS BASIN
GCL	–	GEOSYNTHETIC CLAY LINER
HDPE	–	HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE
HRF	–	HYDROMETALLURGICAL RESIDUE FACILITY
LDPE	–	LOW DENSITY POLYETHYLENE
LF	–	LINEAR FEET
LTVSMC	–	LTV STEEL MINING COMPANY
MCY	–	MILLION CUBIC YARDS
mil	–	ONE THOUSANDTH OF AN INCH
MIN	–	MINIMUM
MSL	–	MEAN SEA LEVEL
NTS	–	NOT TO SCALE
SCH.	–	SCHEDULE
SDR	–	STANDARD DIMENSION RATIO
TYP	–	TYPICAL
N–MH–XX	–	NORTH SECTION MANHOLE
NW–MH–XX	–	NORTHWEST SECTION MANHOLE
W–MH–XX	–	WEST SECTION MANHOLE
N–MH/PS–XX	–	NORTH SECTION MANHOLE/PUMP STATION
NW–MH/PS–XX	–	NORTHWEST SECTION MANHOLE
W–MH/PS–XX	–	WEST SECTION MANHOLE/PUMP STATION

SHEET INDEX

SHEET NO. TITLE

GENERAL DRAWINGS

FTBCA–001	LOCATION MAP AND SITE MAP
FTBCA–002	LEGEND AND SHEET INDEX
FTBCA–003	PLAN SHEET LAYOUT
FTBCA–004	PLAN AND PROFILE– STATION 0+00 TO STATION 30+94
FTBCA–005	PLAN AND PROFILE– STATION 30+94 TO STATION 61+88
FTBCA–006	PLAN AND PROFILE– STATION 61+88 TO STATION 92+82
FTBCA–007	PLAN AND PROFILE– STATION 92+82 TO STATION 123+76
FTBCA–008	PLAN AND PROFILE– STATION 123+76 TO STATION 154+70
FTBCA–009	PLAN AND PROFILE– STATION 154+70 TO STATION 185+64
FTBCA–010	PLAN AND PROFILE– STATION 185+64 TO STATION 216+58
FTBCA–011	PLAN AND PROFILE– STATION 216+58 TO STATION 240+00
FTBCA–012	EAST SECTION PLAN & PROFILE STATION 0+00 TO STATION 25+43
FTBCA–013	DETAILS
FTBCA–014	DETAILS
FTBCA–015	DETAILS

DRAWING NUMBERING



NOTES

1. COORDINATE SYSTEM IS MINNESOTA STATE PLANE NORTH ZONE, NAD83.
2. ELEVATIONS ARE MEAN SEA LEVEL (MSL), NAVD88.
3. EXISTING TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS WAS PREPARED BY AEROMETRIC, INC. FROM LIDAR DATA COLLECTED ON MARCH 17, 2010.
4. EXISTING TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION WAS UPDATED FOR AREAS SOUTH EAST OF COAL ASH LANDFILL AND EAST OF OUTCROP BETWEEN CELLS 1E AND 2E USING CONTOURS FROM DATA COLLECTED IN 1999.

VER NO	DATE	DESCRIPTION
A	09/28/12	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 2 – ATTACHEMENT B
1	09/09/14	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 3 – ATTACHEMENT B
2	12/31/14	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 3,4 – ATTACHEMENT B
3	05/28/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
4	05/15/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	4	5/15/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION.

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE
SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue
DATE 5/15/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: BDP
CHECKED: DVS/AMP
BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69–0C29
SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FTB SEEPAGE CONTAINMENT AND STREAM AUGMENTATION SYSTEMS
LEGEND AND SHEET INDEX

POLYMET MINING

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1–800–632–2277

DWG. NO. FTBCA–002
REV A

CADD USER: Cristian A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT–10–CU–002.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/15/2017 3:04 PM
INCHES 1 2



1 PLAN: FTB SEEPAGE CONTAINMENT AND STREAM AUGMENTATION LAYOUT

0 800 1600

SCALE IN FEET



2 DETAIL: CONCEPTUAL STREAM AUGMENTATION SYSTEM SUPPLY

NOT TO SCALE

NOTES

1. SEEPAGE CAPTURE SYSTEMS INCLUDE THE FTB CONTAINMENT SYSTEM ALONG THE WEST, NORTH AND EAST SIDES OF THE FTB, THE SOUTH SEEPAGE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF THE FTB AND CONVEYANCE TO THE WWTS BASIN.
2. STREAM AUGMENTATION SYSTEM INCLUDES TREATED WATER CONVEYANCE AND DISCHARGE TO UNNAMED CREEK, TRIMBLE CREEK, AND SECOND CREEK WATERSHEDS.
3. FTB SEEPAGE CONTAINMENT AND STREAM AUGMENTATION SYSTEMS DESIGN REFERENCE BASELINE SHOWN ON THIS SHEET. SEE SUBSEQUENT SHEETS FOR PROPOSED LAYOUT OF SYSTEMS ALONG BASELINE.

VER NO	DATE	DESCRIPTION
A	09/28/12	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 2 – ATTACHEMENT B
1	09/09/14	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 3 – ATTACHEMENT B
2	12/31/14	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 3,4 – ATTACHEMENT B
3	05/28/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
4	05/15/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	4	5/15/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION.

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE

SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue

DATE 5/15/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: BDP

CHECKED: DVS

BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0C29

SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FTB SEEPAGE CONTAINMENT AND STREAM AUGMENTATION SYSTEMS PLAN SHEET LAYOUT

POLYMET MINING

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. FTBCA-003

REV A

CADD USER: Cristian A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT-10-CU-003.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/15/2017 3:10 PM

INCHES 1 2



1 PLAN: STATION 0+00 TO STATION 30+94

0 100 200
SCALE IN FEET



2 PROFILE: STATION 0+00 TO STATION 30+94

0 100 200 0 10 20
SCALE IN FEET HORIZONTAL
SCALE IN FEET VERTICAL

NOTES:

1. HORIZONTAL LOCATION OF FORCE MAIN, SEEPAGE CONVEYANCE PIPES AND STREAM AUGMENTATION PIPES/DISCHARGE POINTS ON THIS SHEET AND SUBSEQUENT SHEETS SHOWN FOR CLARITY. ACTUAL LOCATION TO BE DETERMINED DURING FINAL DESIGN.
2. MANHOLE LOCATIONS ON THIS SHEET AND SUBSEQUENT SHEETS ARE PRELIMINARY.
3. ACCESS ROAD NOT SHOWN ON THIS SHEET AND SUBSEQUENT SHEETS.
4. TREATED WATER DISCHARGE PIPES NOT SHOWN IN PROFILE ON THIS SHEET AND SUBSEQUENT SHEETS.
5. WATER TABLE ELEVATIONS ARE ESTIMATED BASED ON PIEZOMETERS, BORING LOGS, SOIL TYPES AND AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY.
6. GROUND SURFACE ELEVATIONS ARE BASED ON 2010 LIDAR DATA (NAVD88). BORING ELEVATIONS SHOWN ARE BASED ON 2010 LIDAR DATA (NAVC88) ADJUSTED AFTER GEOTECHNICAL EXPLORATION (03–11–2014 THRU 05–20–2014). STRATIGRAPHY AND WATER TABLES ARE BASED ON THE 2014 FIELD INVESTIGATION LOGS.
7. BORING LOCATION OFFSETS FROM PROPOSED CUTOFF WALL ALIGNMENT ARE SHOWN. SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS ON WALL ALIGNMENT WILL DIFFER FROM THOSE SHOWN.
8. AREAS OF COBBLES AND BOULDERS BETWEEN BORING LOCATIONS AND ADJACENT BORING LOCATIONS SHOULD BE ASSUMED TO EXIST.

VER NO	DATE	DESCRIPTION
A	09/28/12	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 2 – ATTACHEMENT B
1	09/09/14	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 3 – ATTACHEMENT B
2	12/31/14	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 3,4 – ATTACHEMENT B
3	05/28/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
4	05/15/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	4	5/15/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION.

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE
SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue
DATE 5/15/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: BJH
CHECKED: DVS/AMP
BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69–0C29
SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FTB SEEPAGE CONTAINMENT AND STREAM AUGMENTATION SYSTEMS PLAN & PROFILE STATION 0+00 TO 30+94

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1–800–632–2277

DWG. NO. FTBCA–004
REV A

CADD USER: Cristian A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT–10–CU–004.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/15/2017 3:18 PM

INCHES 1 2



1/– PLAN: STATION 30+94 TO STATION 61+88

0 100 200

SCALE IN FEET



2/– PROFILE: STATION 30+94 TO STATION 61+88

0 100 200 0 10 20

SCALE IN FEET HORIZONTAL

SCALE IN FEET VERTICAL

VER NO	DATE	DESCRIPTION
A	09/28/12	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 2 – ATTACHEMENT B
1	09/09/14	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 3 – ATTACHEMENT B
2	12/31/14	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 3,4 – ATTACHEMENT B
3	05/28/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
4	05/15/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	4	5/15/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION.

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE

SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue

DATE 5/15/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: BJH

CHECKED: DVS/AMP

BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0C29

SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FTB SEEPAGE CONTAINMENT AND STREAM AUGMENTATION SYSTEMS PLAN & PROFILE STATION 30+94 TO 61+88

POLYMET MINING

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1–800–632–2277

DWG. NO. FTBCA–005

REV A

CADD USER: Cristian A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT–10–CU–005.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/15/2017 4:59 PM

INCHES 1 2





2 – PROFILE: STATION 61+88 TO STATION 92+82

0 100 200 SCALE IN FEET HORIZONTAL

0 10 20 SCALE IN FEET VERTICAL

VER NO	DATE	DESCRIPTION
A	09/28/12	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 2 – ATTACHEMENT B
1	09/09/14	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 3 – ATTACHEMENT B
2	12/31/14	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 3,4 – ATTACHEMENT B
3	05/28/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
4	05/15/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	4	5/15/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION.

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE

SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue

DATE 5/15/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: BJH

CHECKED: DVS/AMP

BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0C29

SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FTB SEEPAGE CONTAINMENT AND STREAM AUGMENTATION SYSTEMS PLAN & PROFILE STATION 61+88 TO 92+82

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. FTBCA-006 REV A

CADD USER: Cristian A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT-10-CU-006.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/15/2017 3:34 PM
INCHES 1 2

CADD USER: Cristian A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT-10-CU-007.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/15/2017 3:43 PM



1 PLAN: STATION 92+82 TO STATION 123+76

0 100 200
SCALE IN FEET



2 PROFILE: STATION 92+82 TO STATION 123+76

0 100 200 — SCALE IN FEET HORIZONTAL
0 10 20 — SCALE IN FEET VERTICAL

VER NO	DATE	DESCRIPTION
A	09/28/12	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 2 – ATTACHEMENT B
1	09/09/14	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 3 – ATTACHEMENT B
2	12/31/14	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 3,4 – ATTACHEMENT B
3	05/28/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
4	05/15/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	4	5/15/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION.

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE
SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue
DATE 5/15/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: BJH
CHECKED: DVS/AMP
BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0C29
SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FTB SEEPAGE CONTAINMENT AND STREAM AUGMENTATION SYSTEMS PLAN & PROFILE STATION 92+82 TO 123+76

POLYMET MINING

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. FTBCA-007
REV A

INCHES 1 2



1/– PLAN: STATION 123+76 TO STATION 154+70

0 100 200
SCALE IN FEET



2/– PROFILE: STATION 123+76 TO STATION 154+70

0 100 200 0 10 20
SCALE IN FEET HORIZONTAL
SCALE IN FEET VERTICAL

VER NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
A	09/28/12	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 2 – ATTACHEMENT B
1	09/09/14	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 3 – ATTACHEMENT B
2	12/31/14	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 3,4 – ATTACHEMENT B
3	05/28/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
4	05/15/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	4	5/15/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION.

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE
SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue
DATE 5/15/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: BJH
CHECKED: DVS/AMP
BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69–0C29
SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FTB SEEPAGE CONTAINMENT AND STREAM AUGMENTATION SYSTEMS PLAN & PROFILE STATION 123+76 TO 154+70

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1–800–632–2277

DWG. NO. FTBCA–008
REV A

CADD USER: Cristian A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT–10–CU–008.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/15/2017 3:52 PM
INCHES 1 2



1 PLAN: STATION 154+70 TO STATION 185+64

0 100 200

SCALE IN FEET



2 PROFILE: STATION 154+70 TO STATION 185+64

0 100 200 0 10 20

SCALE IN FEET HORIZONTAL

SCALE IN FEET VERTICAL

VER NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
A	09/28/12	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 2 – ATTACHEMENT B
1	09/09/14	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 3 – ATTACHEMENT B
2	12/31/14	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 3,4 – ATTACHEMENT B
3	05/28/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
4	05/15/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	4	5/15/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION.

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE

SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue

DATE 5/15/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: BJH

CHECKED: DVS/AMP

BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0C29

SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FTB SEEPAGE CONTAINMENT AND STREAM AUGMENTATION SYSTEMS PLAN & PROFILE STATION 154+70 TO 185+64

POLYMET MINING

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. FTBCA-009

REV A

CADD USER: Cristian A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMF-10-CU-009.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/15/2017 4:44 PM

INCHES 1 2



1/– PLAN: STATION 185+64 TO STATION 216+58

0 100 200

SCALE IN FEET



2/– PROFILE: STATION 185+64 TO STATION 216+58

0 100 200 0 10 20

SCALE IN FEET HORIZONTAL

SCALE IN FEET VERTICAL

VER NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
A	09/28/12	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 2 – ATTACHEMENT B
1	09/09/14	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 3 – ATTACHEMENT B
2	12/31/14	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 3,4 – ATTACHEMENT B
3	05/28/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
4	05/15/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	4	5/15/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION.

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE

SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue

DATE 5/15/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: BJH

CHECKED: DVS/AMP

BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0C29

SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FTB SEEPAGE CONTAINMENT AND STREAM AUGMENTATION SYSTEMS PLAN & PROFILE STATION 185+64 TO 216+58

POLYMET MINING

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. FTBCA-010

REV A

CADD USER: Cristian A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT-10-CU-010.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/15/2017 4:10 PM

INCHES 1 2



1 — PLAN: STATION 216+58 TO STATION 240+00

0 100 200

SCALE IN FEET



2 — PROFILE: STATION 216+58 TO STATION 240+00

0 100 200 — SCALE IN FEET HORIZONTAL

0 10 20 — SCALE IN FEET VERTICAL

VER NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
A	09/28/12	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 2 – ATTACHEMENT B
1	09/09/14	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 3 – ATTACHEMENT B
2	12/31/14	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 3,4 – ATTACHEMENT B
3	05/28/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
4	05/15/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	4	5/15/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION	–	–

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION.

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE

SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue

DATE 5/15/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: BJH

CHECKED: DVS/AMP

BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0C29

SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FTB SEEPAGE CONTAINMENT AND STREAM AUGMENTATION SYSTEMS PLAN & PROFILE STATION 216+58 TO 240+00

POLYMET MINING

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. FTBCA-011

REV A

CADD USER: Cristion A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT-10-CU-011.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/15/2017 4:19 PM

INCHES 1 2



1/– PLAN: STATION 0+00 TO STATION 23+33

0 100 200

SCALE IN FEET



1/– PLAN: STATION 0+00 TO STATION 23+33

0 100 200 — SCALE IN FEET — HORIZONTAL

0 10 20 — SCALE IN FEET — VERTICAL

VER NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
A	09/28/12	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 2 – ATTACHEMENT B
1	09/09/14	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 3 – ATTACHEMENT B
2	12/31/14	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 3,4 – ATTACHEMENT B
3	05/28/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
4	05/15/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	4	5/15/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION		

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION.

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE

SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue

DATE 5/15/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: BDP

CHECKED: DVS/AMP

BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0C29

SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FTB SEEPAGE CONTAINMENT AND STREAM AUGMENTATION SYSTEMS PLAN & PROFILE STATION 0+00 TO 25+43

POLYMET MINING

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. FTBCA-012

REV A

CADD USER: Cristian A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMF-10-CU-012.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/15/2017 4:23 PM

INCHES 1 2



1/- TYPICAL SECTION

0 20 40

SCALE IN FEET



2/- TYPICAL DETAIL

0 5 10

SCALE IN FEET

NOTES:

1. DIFFUSER TO BE INSTALLED ON DISCHARGE PIPES AS REQUIRED TO PREVENT EROSION.
2. CUTOFF WALL MAXIMUM DESIGN HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY = $1X10^{-6}$CM/SEC
3. 7' TYPICAL BUT MAY BE LESS IN AREAS WITH SHALLOW BEDROCK

VER NO	DATE	DESCRIPTION
A	09/28/12	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 2 – ATTACHEMENT B
1	09/09/14	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 3 – ATTACHEMENT B
2	12/31/14	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 3,4 – ATTACHEMENT B
3	05/28/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
4	05/15/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	4	5/15/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION		

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION.

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE

SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue

DATE 5/15/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: BDP

CHECKED: DVS/AMP

BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0C29

SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FTB SEEPAGE CONTAINMENT AND STREAM AUGMENTATION SYSTEMS DETAILS

POLYMET MINING

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. FTBCA-013

REV A

CADD USER: Cristian A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT-10-CU-013.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/15/2017 4:25 PM

INCHES 1 2



1/008 PLAN: VALVE AND MANIFOLD MANHOLE
NOT TO SCALE



3/- PLAN: MANHOLE (TYP.)
NOT TO SCALE



5/008 PLAN: VALVE VAULT
NOT TO SCALE



2/- SECTION: LIFT STATION (TYP.)
NOT TO SCALE



4/- SECTION: MANHOLE (TYP.)
NOT TO SCALE

NOTES:

1. LIFT STATION, MANHOLE AND VAULT CONFIGURATIONS SHOWN ON THIS SHEET ARE INTENDED TO BE REPRESENTATIVE OF TYPICAL FACILITIES NEEDED FOR OPERATION OF THE SEEPAGE CONTAINMENT AND STREAM AUGMENTATION SYSTEMS. THE ACTUAL DESIGN FOR EACH LIFT STATION, PUMP STATION, MANHOLE, AND VAULT WILL BE DEVELOPED DURING DETAILED DESIGN TO REFLECT THE SPECIFIC EQUIPMENT REQUIREMENTS FOR EACH LOCATION.
2. PUMP STATIONS WILL BE SIMILAR TO MANHOLE SHOWN IN 3/- WITH SMALL SUBMERSIBLE PUMP,
3. FLOW METERS WILL BE PROVIDED FOR DISCHARGE FROM ALL LIFT AND PUMP STATIONS AND AT OTHER LOCATIONS AS REQUIRED FOR OPERATIONS.

VER NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
A	09/28/12	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 2 – ATTACHEMENT B
1	09/09/14	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 3 – ATTACHEMENT B
2	12/31/14	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 3,4 – ATTACHEMENT B
3	05/28/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
4	05/15/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS		
ISSUED	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	4	5/15/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION		

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION.

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE
SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue
DATE 5/15/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: BDP
CHECKED: DVS/AMP
BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0C29
SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FTB SEEPAGE CONTAINMENT AND STREAM AUGMENTATION SYSTEMS DETAILS

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. FTBCA-014
REV A

CADD USER: Cristion A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_INMT-10-CU-014.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/15/2017 5:00 PM

INCHES 1 2



1/11 SECTION: EAST DAM SEEPAGE CONTAINMENT SYSTEM

0 50 100

SCALE IN FEET



NOTES:

1. CUTOFF WALL MAXIMUM DESIGN HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY = $1X10^{-6}$CM/SEC

2 TYPICAL DETAIL

0 4 8 12 16

SCALE IN FEET



3 PLAN: MANHOLE (TYP.)

NOT TO SCALE



4 SECTION: MANHOLE (TYP.)

NOT TO SCALE

NOTES:

1. LIFT STATION, MANHOLE AND VAULT CONFIGURATIONS SHOWN ON THIS SHEET ARE INTENDED TO BE REPRESENTATIVE OF TYPICAL FACILITIES NEEDED FOR OPERATION OF THE SEEPAGE CONTAINMENT SYSTEM. THE ACTUAL DESIGN FOR EACH LIFT STATION, PUMP STATION, MANHOLE, AND VAULT WILL BE DEVELOPED DURING DETAILED DESIGN TO REFLECT THE SPECIFIC EQUIPMENT REQUIREMENTS FOR EACH LOCATION.
2. FLOW METERS WILL BE PROVIDED FOR DISCHARGE FROM ALL LIFT AND PUMP STATIONS AND AT OTHER LOCATIONS AS REQUIRED FOR OPERATIONS.

VER NO	DATE	DESCRIPTION
A	09/28/12	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 2 – ATTACHEMENT B
1	09/09/14	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 3 – ATTACHEMENT B
2	12/31/14	WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – PLANT– VERSION 3,4 – ATTACHEMENT B
3	05/28/15	ISSUED FOR INCLUSION IN PERMIT APPLICATIONS
4	05/15/17	PERMIT APPLICATION UPDATES

ISSUE STATUS	VERSION	DATE
FOR PERMITTING	4	5/15/17
FOR CONSTRUCTION		

NOT APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION.

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA.

PRINTED NAME THOMAS J. RADUE

SIGNATURE Thomas J. Radue

DATE 5/15/17 LICENSE# 20951

DRAWN: BDP

CHECKED: DVS/AMP

BARR PROJECT NO.: 23/69-0C29

SCALE: AS SHOWN

PLANT DRAWING NUMBER:

FTB SEEPAGE CONTAINMENT AND STREAM AUGMENTATION SYSTEMS DETAILS

POLYMET MINING

POLY MET MINING, INC.
NORTHMET PROJECT
HOYT LAKES, MINNESOTA

BARR ENGINEERING CO.
4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE
SUITE 200
MINNEAPOLIS, MN.
Ph: 1-800-632-2277

DWG. NO. FTBCA-015

REV A

CADD USER: Cristian A. Diaz FILE: K:\DESIGN\23690C29.10\PERMIT_NMT-10-CU-015.DWG PLOT SCALE: 1:2 PLOT DATE: 5/15/2017 4:31 PM

INCHES 1 2