

# Conserving Wooded Areas in Developing Communities

Best Management Practices in Minnesota





2000 Revised





### Prepared in cooperation with the following organizations:

American Society of Landscape Architects, Minnesota Chapter

Builders Association of Minnesota

City Foresters of Eagan and Plymouth

County Forester, Sherburne County

Hennepin Parks

Institute for Agriculture and Trade Policy

Izaak Walton League, Minnesota Chapter

Minnesota Association of Realtors

Minnesota Department of Agriculture, Division of Agronomy and Plant Protection

Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, Divisions of Forestry and Waters

Minnesota Department of Transportation, Office of Environmental Services

Minnesota Forestry Association

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency, Division of Water Quality

Minnesota Power

National Association of the Remodeling Industry

Northern States Power Company

Society of American Foresters

Tree Trust

University of Minnesota, College of Natural Resources, Department of Forest Resources

Westwood Professional Services, Inc.

Funding for this guidebook was provided in part by the Minnesota Legislature through the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources. Division of Forestry.

#### Reproduction of this guidebook is encouraged.

Editing: Mary Hoff

Design and Layout: Tami Glassel, DNR Graphic Design Drawings: Debbie Sroka

Project Coordinator: Jean Mouelle, DNR Forestry

Cover photo courtesy of the city of Eagan.

### STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

This best management practices (BMPs) guidebook for conserving wooded areas in developing communities of Minnesota was developed by an advisory committee composed of a wide representation of stakeholders from public, private, and nonprofit organizations. The goals of these BMPs are:

- to provide communities with a better understanding and appreciation of economic, social, and environmental benefits of wooded areas and individual trees.
- to provide decision makers, city planners, landowners, developers, and citizens with land-use approaches to conserve the ecological integrity and functions of wooded areas, including wildlife habitat and corridors throughout the landscape,
- to help builders, utility companies, contractors, machine operators, and crews minimize impacts of construction on wooded areas and trees, and
- to provide citizens with a better place to live and work.



## **CONTENTS**

STATEMENT OF PURPOSE	iii
FIGURES	ix
INTRODUCTION	1
About the urban ecosystem	1
Current status of wooded areas in developing communities	4
About the BMP guidelines	6
BENEFITS OF WOODED AREAS AND TREES	g
Economic benefits	9
Economic stability9	
Increased property values9 Tax revenue10	
Energy savings11	
Social benefits	12
Health benefits12	
Aesthetic values12 Recreational and educational opportunities12	
Screening and privacy12	
Noise and glare reduction13	
Environmental benefits	13
Clean air13	
Clean water13 Wildlife habitat15	
THAME INDICATION IO	

BMPs TO CONSERVE WOODED AREAS AT THE LANDSCAPE LEVEL	17
Audience	17
Overview	17
Recommended approach and conservation options	18
Define goals18 Inventory and assess resource19 Create a conservation plan21 Identify and select land protection options25	
Check list	29
BMPs TO CONSERVE WOODED AREAS AT THE SUBDIVISION LEVEL	31
	31 31
AT THE SUBDIVISION LEVEL	02
AT THE SUBDIVISION LEVEL  Audience	31
AT THE SUBDIVISION LEVEL  Audience  Overview	31 31

BMPs TO PROTECT TREES AT THE LOT LEVEL: NEW CONSTRUCTION, REMODELING, AND REDEVELOPMENT	<i>51</i>
Audience	51
Overview	<i>51</i>
Recommended practices	<i>52</i>
Define goals54 Inventory and assess trees54 Select trees or groups of trees to protect56 Select building site and construction zone58 Create a tree protection plan59 Select and implement tree protection method64 Monitor and evaluate71	
Check list	74
TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS AND UTILITY INFRASTRUCTURE	77
	77 77
AND UTILITY INFRASTRUCTURE	
AND UTILITY INFRASTRUCTURE  Audience	77

Utility infrastructure

Reduce tr	ee damage through utility planning86 ness summary for utilities90	
APPENDICES		91
Appendix 1:	Resources and Reference	91
Appendix 2:	Financial Assistance Programs	97
Appendix 3:	Tree Species and Tolerance	101
Appendix 4:	Examples of Development Plans	105
of natur Appendix resource Appendix ranking Appendix	4 a: An example of a landscape-level inventory al resources, city of Burnsville105 4 b: An example of a city inventory of forest s, city of Maple Grove106 4 c: An example of a landscape inventory and of natural resources, city of Woodbury107 4 d: A series of comprehensive resource maps belivision development plan109	

**8**5

## **FIGURES**

Figure		Page
1	A balanced development approach includes the conservation of wooded areas and other natural resources as shown on the aerial view to the left but not their complete destruction as shown on the aerial view to the right.	2
2	Complete loss and fragmentation of woodlands are demonstrated by comparing the area they once occupied, as shown in the presettlement vegetation map (a), to the areas they occupied in the vegetation map of 1977 (b). Note that the 1977 vegetation map is more than 20 years old and more forest land has since been converted to development.	5
3	Successful conservation of wooded areas and individual trees requires active participation of all people involved in the land development.	7
4	Properties surrounded with trees have higher market value than treeless properties because trees are part of the property infrastructure. In addition, trees provide a healthier and a more pleasant living environment.	10
5	A windbreak on the west and north of the building and shade trees on the east and west of the building significantly reduce the cost of heating and cooling.	11
6	Trees clean the air by filtering dust particles, absorbing gases including carbon and nitrogen compounds, and releasing oxygen into the atmosphere.	14
7	A community forest provides recreational and educational opportunities as well as environmental benefits and wildlife habitat.	15

Figure		Page
8	Infrared aerial photography is used by natural resource professionals to see patterns of water bodies, vegetation cover, and existing infrastructure.	20
9	A resource map such as this example of the city of Cottage Grove shows the location of important features of the landscape including tree stands, water bodies, historical sites, and existing land use.	22
10	People involved in subdivision development may have different priorities.	32
11	A comprehensive resource map of a subdivision such as this example from North Oaks shows location of wooded areas, individual trees, water bodies, proposed developable sites, and indicates conservancy areas.	38
12	Drawing different alternative development plans for the subdivision provides the opportunity to look at all possible options and optimize the land use and conservation effort.	41
13	Determining the construction danger zone between the protective fence and the building site assists with final site and building design and selection of equip- ment to be used. Note that the tree protective fence is placed in front to prevent access and disturbance to the protected root zone.	43
14	Highly visible ribbon identifies protected wooded areas and trees.	44
15	A combination of visual fences and silt fences prevent access to the protected root zone and construction damage to trees as well as runoff.	45
16	Using equipment near protected trees can cause severe mechanical injury to trees and compact soil in the protected root zone.	53

Figure		Page
17	A resource map at individual lot level shows the location of the wooded area and individual trees, the species composition, and diameter of trees at breast height.	56
18	On a wooded lot (a), selecting the center of the lot as the building site (b) conserves less trees than selecting the corner of the lot as the building site (c), which saves more trees.	60
19	The protected root zone of a mature tree may be determined by projecting the dripline.	62
20	A highly visible fence and "off limits" signs should be placed around the protected root zone of each conserved tree to prevent any site disturbance and mechanical injury.	65
21	Parking equipment (a) or storing soil (b) within the protected root zone cause soil compaction and affect tree growth and survival.	66
22	A temporary crossing bridge can be used near the protected root zone to minimize soil compaction and mechanical injury to the tree.	68
23	When roots are cut or severed during trenching or excavation, immediately protect roots with a bag (a) or tarp (b) to prevent drying.	70
24	After cutting a grade near trees (a or b), build a retaining wall to prevent soil erosion.	72
25	A roadway clear zone in a wooded area specifies standards for conserving trees and providing safe road.	80
26	Construction standards for natural preservation routes are used to preserve wooded areas and trees during road construction.	84

Figure		Page
27	A joint underground utility trench for electrical, gas, fiber optic, and cable television infrastructure is encouraged in wooded areas to minimize utility easements and enhance the conservation effort.	87
28	Tunneling below the root system is preferred over open trenching (on right) when installing the utility infrastructure near the protected root zone because tunneling impacts fewer roots and thereby increases tree survival.	88
29	Water under pressure can be used as an alternative method to remove soil near the protected root zone	
	and prevent cutting roots.	89